Boosting subdominant neutralizing antibody responses with a computationally

designed epitope-focused immunogen

Authors:

Sesterhenn F[1,2], Galloux M[3], Vollers SS[1], Csepregi L[4], Yang C[1,2], Descamps D[3],
Bonet J[1,2], Friedensohn S[4], Gainza P[1,2], Corthésy P[1], Chen M[5], Rosset S[1], Rameix-
Welti MA[6,7], Eléouët JF[3], Reddy ST[4], Graham BS[5], Riffault S[3] & Correia BE[1,2,*].

Affiliations:

[1] Institute of Bioengineering, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne CH-1015,
Switzerland.
[2] Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics (SIB), Lausanne CH-1015, Switzerland.
[3] Unité de Virologie et Immunologie Moléculaires (UR892), INRA, Université Paris-Saclay, 78352,
Jouy-en-Josas, France.
[4] Department of Biosystems Science and Engineering, ETH Zurich, Basel, Switzerland.
[5] Viral Pathogenesis Laboratory, Vaccine Research Center, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious
Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, United States.
[6] UMR1173, INSERM, Université de Versailles St. Quentin, 78180 Montigny le Bretonneux, France.
[7] AP-HP, Laboratoire de Microbiologie, Hôpital Ambroise Paré, 92104, Boulogne-Billancourt, France.
* Corresponding author: bruno.correia@epfl.ch

Abstract

Throughout the last decades, vaccination has been key to prevent and eradicate

infectious diseases. However, many pathogens (e.g. respiratory syncytial virus (RSV),

influenza, dengue and others) have resisted vaccine development efforts, largely due

to the failure to induce potent antibody responses targeting conserved epitopes. Deep

profiling of human B-cells often reveals potent neutralizing antibodies that emerge

from natural infection, but these specificities are generally subdominant (i.e., are

present in low titers). A major challenge for next-generation vaccines is to overcome

established immunodominance hierarchies and focus antibody responses on crucial

neutralization epitopes. Here, we show that a computationally designed epitope-

focused immunogen presenting a single RSV neutralization epitope elicits superior

epitope-specific responses compared to the viral fusion protein. In addition, the

epitope-focused immunogen efficiently boosts antibodies targeting the Palivizumab

epitope, resulting in enhanced neutralization. Overall, we show that epitope-focused

immunogens can boost subdominant neutralizing antibody responses *in vivo* and

reshape established antibody hierarchies.

Introduction

The development of vaccines has proven to be one of the most successful medical interventions to reduce the burden of infectious diseases (*1*), and their correlate of protection is the induction of neutralizing antibodies (nAbs) that block infection (*2*).

In recent years, advances in high-throughput B-cell technologies have revealed a plethora of potent nAbs for different pathogens which have resisted the traditional means of vaccine development for several decades, including HIV-1 (*3*), influenza (*4*), respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) (*5, 6*), zika (*7, 8*), dengue (*9*) and others (*10-12*). A major target of these nAb responses is the pathogens fusion protein, which drives the viral and host cell membrane fusion while undergoing a conformational rearrangement from a prefusion to a postfusion state (*13*). Many of these nAbs have been structurally characterized in complex with their target, unveiling the atomic details of neutralization epitopes (*7, 14, 15*). Together, these studies have provided comprehensive antigenic maps of the viral fusion proteins which delineate epitopes susceptible to antibody-mediated neutralization and provide a roadmap for rational and structure-based vaccine design approaches.

The conceptual framework to leverage neutralizing antibody-defined epitopes for vaccine development is commonly referred to as reverse vaccinology (*16, 17*). Although reverse vaccinology-inspired approaches have yielded a number of exciting advances in the last decade, the design of immunogens that elicit such focused antibody responses remains challenging. Successful examples of structure-based immunogen design approaches include conformational stabilization of RSVF in its prefusion state, which induces superior serum neutralization titers when compared to immunization with F in the postfusion conformation (*18*). In the case of influenza, several epitopes targeted by broadly neutralizing antibodies (bnAbs) were identified within the hemagglutinin (HA) stem domain, and an HA stem-only immunogen elicited a broader neutralizing antibody response than full-length HA (*19, 20*). Commonly, these approaches have aimed to focus antibody responses on specific conformations or subdomains of viral proteins. In a more aggressive approach, Correia et al. (*21*) computationally designed a synthetic immunogen presenting the RSV antigenic site II (Figure 1a), and provided a proof-of-principle for the induction of site specific, RSV neutralizing antibodies using a synthetic immunogen.

The absence of a potent and long-lasting immune response upon natural infection is a major challenge associated with RSV, influenza virus and other pathogens. While single exposure to pathogens like poliovirus confers life-long immunity, RSV, influenza and other pathogens have developed mechanisms to subvert the development of a durable and potent neutralizing antibody response, thereby allowing such pathogens to infect humans repeatedly throughout their lives (*22*). One of the major factors hindering the induction of long-lasting protection after the first infection is related to the antibody specificities induced. Upon exposure to a pathogen, such as influenza, the human antibody responses predominantly target strain-specific antigenic sites, whereas potent bnAbs are subdominant (*23*). This phenomenon is generally referred to as B-cell immunodominance, which describes the unbalanced immunogenicity of certain antigenic sites within an antigen, favoring strain-specific, variable, non-neutralizing epitopes to the detriment of conserved, neutralization-sensitive epitopes (*24*). The factors that determine the antigenicity of specific epitopes remain unclear, making the categorization of immunodominant and subdominant epitopes an empirical classification based on serological analysis. Importantly, the presence of high levels of antibodies directed against immunodominant epitopes can sterically mask surrounding subdominant epitopes that may be targeted by bnAbs, preventing the immune system from mounting productive antibody responses against subdominant epitopes, and potentially limiting vaccination efficacy (*23-26*).

The immunodominance hierarchy is established within the germinal center, where B-cells undergo a binding affinity-based competition for available antigen and subsequently initiate a clonal expansion stage, ultimately becoming long-lived plasma cells or memory B-cells (*27*). Controlling this competition and driving antibody responses towards the increased recognition of subdominant, neutralizing epitopes is of primary importance to enable development of novel vaccines to fight pathogens which have resisted traditional strategies. One of the few strategies to guide antibody maturation was tested in the HIV field and is referred to as germline targeting, which relies upon the activation and expansion of rare but specific B-cell lineages in naïve individuals (*28, 29*). In contrast, under conditions of pre-existing immunity acquired during natural infection or previous vaccination, the challenge is to manipulate already established B-cell immunodominance hierarchies and reshape serum antibody

responses towards desired specificities. In an indirect approach towards increasing
subdominant B-cell populations, Silva et al. (*30*) have shown that the targeted
suppression of immunodominant clones during an active germinal center reaction can
allow subdominant B-cell populations to overtake the germinal center response. Other
approaches have used heterologous prime-boost immunization regimens with either
alternative viral strains or rationally modified versions of the priming immunogen (*31-*
34) in order to steer antibody responses towards more conserved domains. However,
leveraging structural information of defined neutralization epitopes to guide bulk
antibody responses towards specific, well-characterized single epitopes remains an
unmet challenge.

Here, we investigate whether, under conditions of pre-existing immunity, a
computationally designed immunogen presenting a single epitope is able to reshape
serum antibody responses towards increased recognition of a specific neutralizing
epitope. To mimic a scenario of pre-existing immunity against a relevant pathogen, we
immunized mice with a prefusion-stabilized version of RSVF, and found that antibody
titers against RSV antigenic site II were present in very low levels, i.e. a subdominant
epitope-specific response was elicited. Based on a previously developed epitope-
focused immunogen for RSV site II (FFL_001) (*21*), we engineered an optimized
nanoparticle presenting this immunogen, and investigated the potential of a rationally
designed epitope-focused immunogen to boost these subdominant levels of site-
specific antibodies.
We show that multivalent presentation of a designed epitope-focused immunogen
elicits superior levels of epitope-specific antibodies compared to prefusion RSVF in
naïve mice, indicating that the subdominance of a particular epitope can be altered
through its presentation in a distinct molecular context. Repeated immunizations with
RSVF failed to increase site II-specific antibodies, and instead further diluted site II
specific responses. In contrast, heterologous boosts with an epitope-scaffold
nanoparticle enhanced serum responses towards the subdominant site
II epitope, and the boosted antibodies neutralized RSV *in vitro*. For the first time, we
provide compelling evidence that synthetic immunogens comprising a single epitope
can efficiently redirect specificities in bulk antibody responses *in vivo* and enhance
subdominant neutralizing antibody responses. Such strategy may present an

important alternative for pathogens where future vaccines are required to reshape pre-

existing immunity and elicit finely tuned antibody specificities.

Results

<u>Design of an RSV-based nanoparticle displaying a site II epitope-focused immunogen</u>
In a previous study, a computationally-designed, RSV site II epitope-scaffold nanoparticle was shown to elicit serum neutralization activity in non-human primates (NHPs) (*21*). Despite the fact that very potent monoclonal antibodies were isolated from the immunized NHPs, the neutralization potency at serum level was modest, indicating low titers of the potent antibodies. Therefore, our first aim was to take the best previously tested immunogen (FFL_001) and further optimize the immunogen delivery and immunization conditions to maximize the induction of site II-specific antibodies. A comparative study of four different adjuvants revealed that Alhydrogel®, an adjuvant approved for human use, yielded highest overall immunogenicity and elicited antibodies cross-reactive with prefusion RSVF in four out of five mice (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Next, we sought to develop an improved, easily produced nanoparticle to multimerize the epitope-scaffold for efficient B-cell receptor crosslinking. Previously, Correia et al. (*21*) employed a chemical conjugation strategy of FFL_001 to a Hepatitis-B core antigen based nanoparticle, which resulted in a difficult construct with a laborious purification process. Recently, several studies have reported the use of RSV nucleoprotein (RSVN) as a nanoparticle platform for immunogen presentation (*35, 36*). When expressed in *E. coli*, RSVN forms nanorings, 17 nm in diameter, containing 10 or 11 RSVN protomers (*37*). We reasoned that RSVN would be an ideal particle platform to multimerize an RSV epitope-scaffold, as RSVN contains strong, RSV-directed T-cell epitopes (*36*). However, our initial attempts to genetically fuse FFL_001 to RSVN yielded poorly soluble proteins that rapidly aggregated after purification. We therefore employed structure-based protein resurfacing (*38*), attempting to improve the solubility of this site II epitope-scaffold when arrayed in high density on RSVN. To guide our resurfacing design process, we leveraged information from a sequence homolog of the ribosomal recycling factor (PDB: 1ISE), the structural template originally used to design FFL_001. Based on a sequence alignment of the mouse homolog (NCBI reference: NP_080698.1) and FFL_001, we exchanged the FFL_001 amino acids for the mouse sequence homolog and used Rosetta Fixed Backbone Design (*39*) to ensure that the mutations were not energetically unfavorable, resulting

in 38 amino acid substitutions (34.2% overall). We named this variant FFLM, whose

expression yields in *E. coli* showed a five-fold increase when compared to FFL_001,

and it was confirmed to be monomeric in solution (Supplementary Fig. 2).

To confirm that the resurfacing did not alter the epitope integrity, we measured the

binding affinities of FFLM to Motavizumab, a high-affinity variant of Palivizumab (*40*),

and to a panel of human site II nAbs previously isolated (*5*) using surface plasmon

resonance (SPR). All antibodies bound with high affinity to FFLM, indicating broad

reactivity of this immunogen with a diverse panel of human nAbs (Figure 1b).

Interestingly, the tested nAbs showed approximately one order of magnitude higher

affinity to the epitope-scaffold as compared to the latest version of prefusion RSVF,

originally called DS2 (*41*), suggesting that the epitope is properly presented and likely

further stabilized in a relevant conformation.

Importantly, the FFLM-RSVN fusion protein expressed with high yields in *E. coli* (>10

mg/liter), forming a nanoring particle, dubbed NRM, that was monodisperse in solution

with a diameter of approximately 21 nm (Figure 1c). Although we cannot fully

rationalize the factors that contributed to the solubility improvement upon

multimerization, our strategy to transplant surface residues from a sequence homolog

to synthetic proteins may prove useful to enhance the solubility of other

computationally designed proteins.

Figure 1:

Design of an RSV-based nanoparticle displaying a site II epitope-focused

immunogen. a) Structural model of the prefusion RSVF trimer (PDBID: 4JHW), with

two subunits shown as a grey surface and one subunit shown as light blue cartoon

representation with the epitope targeted by Palivizumab (antigenic site II) highlighted

in red. FFL_001 was previously designed to present the site II epitope in a

computationally designed scaffold. FFLM was designed by evolution-guided

resurfacing, where changes in amino acid identity are highlighted in blue. FFLM was

genetically fused to the N-terminus of the RSV nucleoprotein (RSVN), resulting in a

high-density array of the epitope-scaffold, as shown by the structural model (based on

PDBID: 2WJ8). **b)** Binding affinities of site II-specific human nAbs measured by SPR.

K_Ds were measured with RSVF/FFLM immobilized as ligand and antibody fabs as

analyte. nM = nanomolar. **c)** Dynamic light scattering (DLS) profiles for FFL_001 and

FFLM fused to RSVN. The FFL_001-RSVN fusion protein formed higher-order

oligomers in solution (66.6 nm of median diameter), whereas the resurfaced FFLM-

RSVN fusion protein (NRM) was monodisperse with a median diameter of 21 nm. nm

= nanometer.

<u>NRM enhances the induction of site II-specific antibodies</u>

We next tested the immunogenicity of the site II scaffold nanoring and its ability to elicit

site II-specific antibodies. Three groups of ten mice were subjected to three

immunizations with 10 μg of NRM, monomeric FFLM and prefusion RSVF (*41*), which

is currently the leading immunogen for an RSV vaccine (Figure 2a). Based on the

results of our adjuvant screen (Supplementary Fig. 1), all the immunogens were

formulated in Alhydrogel, an adjuvant approved for human use. As compared to FFLM,

NRM showed a higher overall immunogenicity (directed both against RSVN and

FFLM) (Figure 2b).

A key aspect of epitope-focused vaccines is to understand how much of the antibody

response targets the viral epitope presented to the immune system. Therefore, we

sought to measure the site II-specific antibody titers elicited by NRM and FFLM and

compare these epitope-specific antibody responses to those elicited by prefusion

RSVF. Using an SPR competition assay to measure site II-specific antibodies in sera

(described in methods), we observed that NRM elicited site II-specific antibody

responses superior to those elicited by RSVF (Figure 2c). This was surprising, given

that the ratio of site II epitope surface area to overall immunogen surface is similar in

both NRM and RSVF (Supplementary Fig. 2). To confirm this finding through a direct

binding assay rather than a competitive format, we measured the binding levels of

sera to the site II epitope in a peptide ELISA, where the site II peptide was immobilized

on a streptavidin-coated surface. Consistent with the previous experiment, we found

that NRM elicited two orders of magnitude higher site II-specific responses than RSVF

(Figure 2d). Together, we concluded that an epitope-focused immunogen, despite

similar molecular surface area, can elicit substantially higher levels of site-specific

antibodies compared to a viral fusion protein.

Fig. 2

Figure 2:

Immunogenicity and quantification of site II-specific antibody responses. a)
Immunization scheme. Balb/c mice were immunized three times on days 0, 21 and 42,
and blood was drawn 14 days after each vaccination. **b)** Serum antibody titers elicited
by FFLM and NRM at different timepoints measured by ELISA against the respective
immunogen. NRM shows significantly increased immunogenicity at day 14, 35 and 56
relative to FFLM. **c)** SPR competition assay with Motavizumab. Day 56 sera of mice
immunized with RSVF, FFLM or NRM was diluted 1:100 and SPR response units (RU)
were measured on sensor chip surfaces containing the respective immunogen.
Motavizumab binding sites were then blocked by saturating amounts of Motavizumab,
and the residual serum response was measured to calculate the serum fraction
competed by Motavizumab binding. Mice immunized with FFLM or NRM show
significantly higher levels of serum antibodies that are competed by Motavizumab
binding. **d)** Site II-specific serum titers at day 56 from mice immunized with RSVF,
FFLM and NRM, measured by ELISA against site II peptide. Three immunizations with
prefusion RSVF elicited low levels of site II-specific antibodies, whereas FFLM and
NRM vaccinations yielded significantly higher peptide-specific serum titers. Data
shown are derived from at least two independent experiments, each sample assayed

in duplicate. Statistical comparisons were calculated using two-tailed Mann-Whitney

U tests. ** indicates p < 0.01, *** indicates p < 0.0001, **** p < 0.0001.

NRM induces low levels of RSVF cross-reactive antibodies with low neutralization
potency

Given the substantial site II-specific serum titers elicited by NRM in mice, we

investigated whether these antibodies cross-reacted with prefusion RSVF and were

sufficient to neutralize RSV *in vitro*.

Following three immunizations with NRM, all the mice (n=10) developed detectable

serum cross-reactivity with prefusion RSVF (mean serum titer = 980) (Figure 3a).

Unsurprisingly, the overall quantity of prefusion RSVF cross-reactive antibodies

elicited by immunization with an immunogen presenting a single epitope is more than

two orders of magnitude lower than those of mice immunized with prefusion RSVF,

which comprises at least six antigenic sites (*5*). Similarly, a B-cell ELISpot revealed

that NRM-immunized mice presented prefusion RSVF-reactive antibody secreting

cells, but their frequency was approximately one order of magnitude lower than upon

immunization with prefusion RSVF (Figure 3c).

The major determinant for antibody specificity is attributed to the heavy chain CDR3

region (HCDR3) (*42*). While for certain classes of nAbs, the antibody lineages and

their sequence features are well-defined (e.g. HIV neutralizing VRC01 class

antibodies (*43*), or RSV neutralizing MPE8-like antibodies (*44*)), antibodies targeting

RSV antigenic site II seem to be derived from diverse precursors and do not show

HCDR3 sequence convergence in humans (*5*). While we did not expect to find

dominant lineages or HCDR3 sequence patterns in mice, we used next-generation

antibody repertoire sequencing (*45*) to ask whether NRM could elicit antibodies with

similar sequence signatures to those elicited by prefusion RSVF. Indeed, we found

300 clonotypes, defined as antibodies derived from the same VH gene with the same

HCDR3 length and 80% sequence similarity, that overlapped between NRM and the

prefusion RSVF immunized cohort, suggesting that at the molecular level, relevant

antibody lineages can be activated with the NRM immunogen (Supplementary Figure

3). Notably, nine out of the 20 most expanded clonotypes in the NRM cohort were also

present in mice immunized with prefusion RSVF, albeit not as expanded (Figure 3b).

This finding might reflect the enrichment of site II specific antibodies in the NRM cohort
(Figure 2d).

We further investigated whether these low levels of prefusion RSVF-binding
antibodies were sufficient to neutralize RSV *in vitro*. While three immunizations with
prefusion RSVF elicited potent RSV-neutralizing serum titers (mean IC_{50}= 10,827), for
NRM we only detected low levels of RSV-neutralizing serum activity in three out of ten
mice (Figure 3d). This result is consistent with Correia *et al*. (*21*), who observed no
serum neutralization in mice, but succeeded in inducing nAbs in NHPs with prior RSV
seronegativity.

Altogether, we concluded that despite NRM's superior potential to induce high levels
of site II-specific antibodies, the majority of antibodies activated from the naïve
repertoire is not functional for RSV neutralization. A potential explanation, stemming
from structural comparison between the epitope-focused immunogen (FFLM) and
RSVF, is that although epitope-specific antibodies are abundantly elicited by NRM,
these antibodies do not recognize the site II epitope in its native RSVF quaternary
environment in the prefusion conformation, or on virions in sufficient amounts and with
high enough affinity to potently neutralize RSV.

Fig. 3

315
316

Figure 3:

RSVF cross-reactivity and serum neutralization. **a)** NRM elicits prefusion RSVF

cross-reactive antibodies, which are two orders of magnitude lower compared to

prefusion RSVF immunization. Mice immunized only with adjuvant (naïve) do not show

RSVF cross-reactivity. **b)** Next-generation sequencing of antibody repertoire. Antibody

variable heavy chains of mice immunized with RSVF or NRM (5 mice per cohort) were

sequenced and grouped into clonotypes. Circos plot showing the 20 most expanded

clonotypes from both cohorts, with identical clonotypes connected. Height of bars

indicates number of mice that showed the respective clonotype, width represents the

clonal expansion within a clonotype (i.e. the number of clones grouped into the

respective clonotype). Three clonotypes that occurred both in the RSVF and the NRM

cohort, but were expanded within the NRM cohort were analyzed for their HCDR3

sequence profile, as shown by sequence logo plots (top). Dark blue color represents

amino acid identities that occurred in RSVF cohort, light blue color represents amino

acids uniquely found following NRM immunization. The frequency of each amino acid

in the NRM cohort is indicated by the size of the letter. **c)** B-cell ELISpot of mouse

splenocytes to quantify prefusion RSVF-specific antibody secreting cells (ASC).

Number of ASCs per 10^6 splenocytes that secrete prefusion RSVF-specific antibodies

following three immunizations with adjuvant only (naïve), NRM or prefusion RSVF **d)**

RSV neutralizing activity of mouse sera from day 56 shown as neutralization IC_{50}.

Three out of ten mice immunized with NRM showed detectable RSV neutralizing

activity, whereas all mice immunized with prefusion RSVF neutralized RSV (mean IC_{50}

= 10,827). Data shown are from one out of two independent experiments. Statistical

comparisons were calculated using two-tailed Mann-Whitney U tests. *** indicates p <

0.001, **** indicates p < 0.0001.

NRM boosts site II-specific antibodies under conditions of pre-existing immunity

While vaccination studies in naïve animal models are an important first step to validate

novel immunogens, previous studies (*21*) and results presented here imply that

epitope-scaffolds may not be able to elicit robust RSV neutralizing serum activity from

a naïve antibody repertoire. However, given the high affinity of the epitope-scaffold

towards a panel of site II- specific nAbs, together with the ability to elicit high titers of

site II-specific antibodies *in vivo*, we hypothesized that such an epitope focused

immunogen could be efficient in recalling site II-specific B-cells in a scenario of pre-

existing immunity, thereby achieving an enhanced site-specific neutralization

response.

Our initial immunization studies with prefusion RSVF showed that site II-specific

responses were subdominant (Figure 2c and 2d). Given that subdominance is a

common immunological phenotype for many of the neutralization epitopes that are

relevant for vaccine development (*46*), we sought to test if NRM could boost

subdominant antibody lineages that should ultimately be functional and recognize the

epitope in the tertiary environment of the viral protein. To test this hypothesis, we

designed a mouse immunization experiment with three cohorts, as outlined in Figure

4a. Following a priming immunization with RSVF, cohort (1) was boosted with adjuvant

only ("prime only"), cohort (2) received two boosting immunizations with prefusion

RSVF ("homologous boost"), and cohort (3) received two boosts with NRM

("heterologous boost").

A comparison between prefusion RSVF immunized groups prime only and

homologous boost revealed that the two additional boosting immunizations with RSVF

only slightly increased overall titers of prefusion RSVF-specific antibodies (p = 0.02),

indicating that a single immunization with adjuvanted RSVF is sufficient to induce close

to maximal serum titers against RSVF (Figure 4b). Following the heterologous boost

with NRM, overall RSVF specific antibody titers remained statistically comparable to

the prime only group (p = 0.22).

Next, we quantified the site II-specific endpoint serum titers in a peptide ELISA format

(Figure 4c). Interestingly, the homologous boost with prefusion RSVF failed to

increase site II-specific antibody levels, reducing the responses directed to site II to

the lower limit of detection by ELISA. This result is yet another example of the underlying complexity inherent to the fine specificity of antibody responses elicited by immunogens and how important specificities can be dampened throughout the development of an antibody response. In contrast to the homologous boost, the heterologous boost with NRM significantly increased site II peptide-specific serum titers (p < 0.0001).

In order to understand whether this increase relied at least partially on an actual recall of antibodies primed by RSVF, or rather on an independent antibody response irrelevant for RSVF binding and RSV neutralization, we dissected the epitope specificity within the RSVF-specific serum response. In an SPR competition assay, a significantly higher fraction (p = 0.02) of prefusion RSVF-reactive antibodies were competed by Motavizumab in mouse sera primed with prefusion RSVF and boosted with NRM (mean % competition = 37.5 $\pm$ 14.5%), as compared to mice immunized once or three times with prefusion RSVF (21.5% $\pm$ 12.1% or 19.5% $\pm$ 3.7%, respectively) (Figure 4d). Similarly, a competition ELISA revealed that a significantly larger fraction of overall RSVF reactivity was attributed to site II-specific antibodies upon heterologous boost, as compared to both control groups (36.1% $\pm$ 2.5% versus 22.6% $\pm$ 9.1% or 14.4% $\pm$ 5.9%, respectively, p = 0.002 and p < 0.0001). In contrast, site II-specific antibodies were significantly higher in mice that received only one as opposed to three RSVF immunizations, indicating that RSVF boosting immunizations further diluted site II-specific antibody titers (p = 0.03) (Figure 4e).

Together, we have shown that the serum antibody specificity can be steered towards a well-defined antigenic site by boosting pre-existing, subdominant antibody levels with an epitope focused immunogen. This is an important and distinctive feature of the epitope focused immunogen compared to an immunogen based on a viral protein (prefusion RSVF), which was shown to decrease already subdominant antibody responses under the same conditions. These results may have broad implications on strategies to control antibody fine specificities in vaccination schemes, both for RSV and other pathogens.

Fig. 4

Figure 4:

Heterologous prime boost reshapes antibody responses enhancing levels of

site II specific antibodies. a) Heterologous prime-boost study groups. Three mouse

cohorts were immunized with either 1x RSVF ("prime only"), 3x RSVF ("homologous

boost") or 1x RSVF followed by two boosts with NRM ("heterologous boost"). **b)**

Antibody titers directed against prefusion RSVF. Mice receiving homologous boosting

immunizations show slightly higher RSVF-specific serum titers compared to the prime

only cohort, whereas heterologous boosting yielded statistically comparable titers to

the prime only group. The difference between the homologous and heterologous boost

cohorts was statistically significant. **c)** Site II-specific titers measured by ELISA showed that the heterologous boost significantly increases site II-specific titers compared to both prime and homologous boost groups. Albeit not statistically significant (p = 0.06), mice receiving a homologous boost had lower levels of site II-specific antibodies compared to prime only group. **d)** SPR competition assay with Motavizumab on a prefusion RSVF-coated sensor chip. Sera from indicated groups were diluted 1:100 and RSVF binding responses were quantified. Site II was then blocked with Motavizumab, and the remaining serum response quantified. The heterologous boost induced a significantly higher fraction of site II-directed antibodies competed with Motavizumab for RSVF binding, as compared to both prime only and homologous boost groups). **e)** Quantification of site II-specific responses in a competition ELISA. Binding was measured against prefusion RSVF, and the Area Under the Curve (AUC) was calculated in presence of NRM competitor, normalized to the AUC in the presence of RSVN as a control competitor. Compared to the prime only group, the homologous boost resulted in significantly lower site II-specific serum titers, confirming the trend observed in c). The heterologous boost increased the fraction of site II-targeting antibodies within the pool of prefusion RSVF-specific antibodies compared to both control groups. Data presented are from at least two independent experiments, with each sample assayed in duplicates. Statistical comparisons were calculated using two-tailed Mann-Whitney U tests. * indicates $p<0.05$, ** indicates $p < 0.01$, *** indicates $p < 0.0001$, **** $p < 0.0001$.

<u>Boosted antibodies neutralize RSV _in vitro_</u>

The enhanced reactivity to site II observed in the heterologous prime-boost scheme led us to investigate if antibodies boosted by a synthetic immunogen were functionally relevant for virus neutralization. In bulk sera, we observed 2.3-fold higher serum neutralization titers in mice receiving a heterologous boost (mean IC_{50}=7,654) compared to the prime only control group (mean IC_{50}=3,275) (Figure 5a). While this increase in serum neutralization was not statistically significant, we next assessed if this increase in neutralization was driven by increased levels of epitope-specific antibodies. We observed that site II-directed antibody levels correlated with overall serum neutralization titers in the heterologous prime boost group (r^2=0.76, p=0.0009) (Figure 5b), whereas prime only (r^2=0.32, p=0.09) or animals receiving a homologous boost showed no such correlation (r^2 = 0.18, p=0.22) (Supplementary Figure 4). To characterize the neutralizing serum activity dependence on antigenic site II, we pooled mouse sera within each cohort, enriched site II-specific antibodies and measured viral neutralization (see methods). Briefly, we incubated pooled sera from each group with streptavidin beads conjugated to biotin-labeled antigenic site II peptide, and eluted bound antibodies. To control for the quality of the enrichment protocol, we verified by ELISA that the column flow-through was depleted of site II-specific antibodies (Supplementary Figure 5).

Strikingly, mice receiving a heterologous boost showed a 15-fold increase in site II-mediated neutralization as compared to mice immunized once or three times with prefusion RSVF (Figure 5c). We performed the same experiment for mice immunized three times with NRM and did not detect any RSV neutralization in this format. This observation is consistent with the very low levels of bulk sera neutralization measured in this group, indicating that NRM can only boost nAbs under conditions of pre-existing immunity. In summary, we conclude that the heterologous boosting scheme with a single epitope immunogen enhanced subdominant neutralizing antibody responses directed against the antigenic site presented, and effectively redirected an antibody response _in vivo_.

470
471　Figure 5

Boosted site II-specific antibodies are functional and mediate increased neutralization activity. a) *In vitro* RSV neutralization IC_{50} for each group. Compared to the prime only group, mice receiving a homologous boost showed increased RSV neutralization titers. On average, the heterologous boost yielded a 2.3-fold increase in serum neutralization titers compared to prime only, but these differences were statistically not significant when compared to either group. **b)** Correlation of site II-specific serum titer (measured by peptide ELISA) with RSV neutralization IC_{50} as determined for each mouse within the heterologous prime boost cohort. Correlations for control groups are shown in Supplementary Figure 4. Data represent the mean of two independent experiments, each measured in duplicate. Pearson correlation coefficient (r^2) and p-value were calculated in GraphPad Prism. **c)** Site II-specific antibody fractionation revealed increased levels of nAbs. Site II-specific antibodies from mouse sera were enriched in an affinity purification. Those isolated from the heterologous boost group showed a 15-fold increase in RSV neutralizing activity compared to both control groups. No site II-mediated neutralization was detected for mice receiving three immunizations of NRM (N.D. = non-detectable). Data are

488   presented from two independent experiments, and each sample was assayed in

489   duplicate with additional controls shown in Supplementary Figure 5. Statistical

490   comparisons were calculated using two-tailed Mann-Whitney U tests. * indicates $p <$

491   0.05.

Discussion

Despite a rapid increase in our atomic-level understanding of antibody-antigen interactions for various pathogens, the translation of structural information into efficacious immunogens that elicit antibody responses specific to *bona fide* epitopes remains a key challenge for next-generation vaccine development.

Multiple strategies have been investigated to focus nAb responses on defined neutralization epitopes (*47*). Among them, epitope-scaffolds have been shown to elicit RSV site II-specific, neutralizing antibody responses in naïve non-human primates. While the overall serum neutralization was modest, a monoclonal antibody induced by vaccination, showed superior neutralization potency to that of Palivizumab (*21*). However, a major limitation of epitope-scaffold immunogens (*48-50*) is that the quaternary environment of the epitope presented in the native viral protein is lost. Thus, the binding mode of a significant fraction of the elicited antibodies is likely incompatible with the epitope in its native environment. This observation is reinforced by our finding that although NRM elicited high serum levels of site II-directed antibodies, only residual neutralizing activity was observed in mice, which is consistent with previous studies using epitope-scaffolds (*50-52*). Together, these results highlight the limitations of synthetic scaffolds in an epitope-focused vaccine approach in naïve individuals.

However, our finding that a subdominant epitope (site II) in its native environment (prefusion RSVF) is readily targeted by the immune system when presented in a distinct molecular context (NRM), supported the potential use of synthetic immunogens to reshape antibody responses towards *bona fide* vaccine epitopes. Pre-existing immunity against a viral protein (RSVF, influenza HA or others), in which certain antibody specificities are subdominant, is a common scenario in humans that have encountered repeated natural infections throughout their life (*26, 53-55*). Therefore, a major challenge for vaccine development is to boost pre-existing, subdominant antibodies to enhance site-specific neutralization.

To date, boosting nAbs targeting specific epitopes under conditions of pre-existing immunity has been challenging. For instance, strong antibody responses against

immunodominant epitopes can sterically mask the neutralization epitope, preventing
the induction of a potent antibody response targeting the subdominant site (*23, 25, 26,*
56). Overcoming these established immunodominance hierarchies is complex, as
such hierarchies seem to be impacted by multiple factors including serological
antibody levels, their specificity, memory B-cell counts, adjuvants, and the
immunization or infection route (*24*).

Heterologous prime-boost schemes are a promising strategy to guide the fine
specificity of antibody responses and to focus these responses on vulnerable antigenic
sites. Several vaccine studies have been conducted for influenza (*33, 34*), RSV (*31*)
and HIV (*28*), where the heterologous immunogens were alternative strains or
modified viral fusion proteins, but yet not as heterologous as a computationally
designed epitope-scaffold. An important point to consider regarding immunogens
based on modified viral proteins is whether immunodominant signatures remain,
steering the antibody responses away from the target epitopes. While this scenario
may not be fully absent in synthetic epitope-scaffolds, it is at least mitigated by the fact
that the protein has not evolved under the pressure of escaping the immune system.

Our study demonstrates that a heterologous boosting immunogen with a single
neutralization epitope, when optimally presented can enhance pre-existing,
subdominant antibody responses targeting this epitope. The ability to narrowly focus
antibody responses to a single epitope that mediates clinical protection, underlines the
potential of rationally designed immunogens for vaccine development against elusive
pathogens. In particular, our results demonstrate that albeit single-epitope
immunogens may not be the most powerful to select functional antibodies from a naïve
repertoire, they have a unique ability to boost neutralizing epitope-specific antibodies
primed by a viral protein. Further studies in more relevant animal models will reveal if
neutralizing antibodies primed by natural infection with RSV can also be boosted
mimicking a more realistic vaccination scenario.

Given that the approach presented here is generalizable and that epitope-scaffold
nanoparticles can be proven successful in boosting nAbs specific for other sites, this
strategy holds great potential to tune levels of antibody specificities through

heterologous prime boost vaccination schemes which are now frequently used in for

challenging pathogens (*28, 33, 57*).

The original antigenic sin theory in the influenza field describes that the first viral

exposure permanently shapes the antibody response, which causes individuals to

respond to seasonal vaccines dependent on their immune history (*23, 58*). Seasonal

vaccines generally fail to boost antibodies targeting broadly neutralization epitopes on

the hemagglutinin stem region (*23*). Focusing antibody responses on these defined

epitopes may remove the need for annual vaccine reformulation, and may also protect

against emerging pandemic strains (*14, 46, 59, 60*). The influenza vaccine challenge

seems particularly well suited to our approach considering that the human population

has pre-existing immunity to influenza, including some subdominant bnAbs that

seasonal vaccines fail to stimulate (*23*).

Lastly, vaccine development against antigenically related viruses such as zika and

dengue could benefit of the approach presented here, as antibodies mounted against

the envelope protein of a dengue subtype can facilitate infection with zika (*61*) or other

dengue subtypes (*62*). A site conserved between all four dengue subtypes and zika

envelope protein has been structurally characterized and suggested for the

development of an epitope-focused immunogen (*7*).

When seeking to apply an immunofocusing strategy to other antigenic sites and

pathogens, one challenge is the development of epitope-scaffolds stably presenting

the epitope in a synthetic immunogen that is compatible with antibody binding. While

the RSV antigenic site II is a structurally simple helix-turn-helix motif, many other

identified neutralization epitopes comprise multiple, discontinuous segments.

However, continuous advances in rational protein design techniques (*63*) will allow the

design of more complex protein scaffolds to stabilize increasingly complex epitopes.

Altogether, we have shown how an optimized presentation of a computationally

designed immunogen in an RSVN-based nanoparticle can reshape bulk serum

responses and boost subdominant, neutralizing antibody responses *in vivo.* This is a

distinctive feature compared to using prefusion RSVF as a boosting immunogen, and

underscores how subdominant epitopes can be converted to immunodominant

epitopes when presented in a different environment. We foresee the great promise of

this strategy to overcome the challenge of boosting and focusing pre-existing immunity

towards defined neutralization epitopes, potentially applicable to multiple pathogens.

Methods

<u>Resurfacing</u>

The previously published RSV site II epitope-scaffold ("FFL_001") (*21*) was designed based on a crystal structure of a mutant of ribosome recycling factor from *E. coli* (PDB entry 1ISE. Using BLAST, we identified sequence homologs of 1ISE from eukaryotic organisms and created a multiple sequence alignment with clustal omega (CLUSTALO (1.2.1)) (*64*) of the mouse homolog sequence (NCBI reference NP_080698.1), 1ISE and FFL_001. Surface-exposed residues of FFL_001 were then mutated to the respective residue of the mouse homolog using the Rosetta fixed backbone design application (*39*), resulting in 38 surface mutations. Amino acid changes were verified to not impact overall Rosetta energy score term.

<u>Protein expression and purification</u>

FFLM

DNA sequences of the epitope-scaffold designs were purchased from Genscript and cloned in pET29b, in frame with a C-terminal 6x His tag. The plasmid was transformed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) and grown in Terrific Broth supplemented with Kanamycin (50 μg/ml). Cultures were inoculated to an OD_{600} of 0.1 from an overnight culture and incubated at 37°C. After reaching OD_{600} of 0.6, expression was induced by the addition of 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) and cells were incubated for further 4-5h at 37°C. Cell pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM TRIS, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5% Glycerol, 1 mg/ml lysozyme, 1 mM PMSF, 1 μg/ml DNase) and sonicated on ice for a total of 12 minutes, in intervals of 15 seconds sonication followed by a 45 seconds pause. Lysates were clarified by centrifugation (18,000 rpm, 20 minutes), sterile-filtered and purified using a His-Trap FF column on an Äkta pure system (GE healthcare). Bound proteins were eluted in buffer containing 50 mM Tris, 500 mM NaCl and 300 mM imidazole, pH 7.5. Concentrated proteins were further purified by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex^{TM} 75 300/10 (GE Healthcare) in PBS. Protein concentrations were determined via measuring the absorbance at 280 nm on a Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific). Proteins were concentrated

by centrifugation (Millipore, #UFC900324) to 1 mg/ml, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen
and stored at -80°C.

NRM

The full-length N gene (sequence derived from the human RSV strain Long, ATCC
VR-26; GenBank accession number AY911262.1) was PCR amplified using the
Phusion DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific) and cloned into pET28a+ at NcoI-XhoI
sites to obtain the pET-N plasmid. The sequence of FFLM was then PCR amplified
and cloned into pET-N at NcoI site to the pET-NRM plasmid. *E. coli* BL21 (DE3)
bacteria were co-transformed with pGEX-PCT (*65*) and pET-FFLM-N plasmids and
grown in LB medium containing ampicillin (100 µg/ml) and kanamycin (50 µg/ml). The
same volume of LB medium was then added, and protein expression was induced by
the addition of 0.33 mM IPTG to the medium. Bacteria were incubated for 15 h at 28°C
and then harvested by centrifugation. For protein purification, bacterial pellets were
resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.8, 60 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM
dithiothreitol, 0.2% Triton X-100, 1 mg/ml lysozyme) supplemented with a complete
protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), incubated for one hour on ice, and disrupted by
sonication. The soluble fraction was collected by centrifugation at 4 °C for 30 min at
10,000 x g. Glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare) were added to clarify
supernatants and incubated at 4°C for 15h. The beads were then washed one time in
lysis buffer and two times in 20 mM Tris pH 8.5, 150 mM NaCl. To isolate NRM, beads
containing bound complex were incubated with thrombin for 16 h at 20 °C. After
cleavage of the GST tag, the supernatant was loaded onto a Sephacryl S-200 HR
16/30 column (GE Healthcare) and eluted in 20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.5.

Antibody variable fragments (Fabs)

For Fab expression, heavy and light chain DNA sequences were purchased from Twist
Biosciences and cloned separately into the pHLSec mammalian expression vector
(Addgene, #99845) using AgeI and XhoI restriction sites. Expression plasmids were
pre-mixed in a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio, co-transfected into HEK293-F cells and
cultured in FreeStyle^{TM} medium (Gibco, #12338018). Supernatants were harvested
after one week by centrifugation and purified using a kappa-select column (GE
Healthcare). Elution of bound proteins was conducted using 0.1 M glycine buffer (pH

2.7) and eluates were immediately neutralized by the addition of 1 M Tris ethylamine

(pH 9), followed by buffer exchange to PBS pH 7.4.

Respiratory Syncytial Virus Fusion protein (prefusion RSVF)

Protein sequence of prefusion RSVF corresponds to the sc9-10 DS-Cav1 A149C

Y458C S46G E92D S215P K465Q variant designed by Joyce et al. (*41*), which we

refer to as RSVF DS2. RSVF DS2 was codon optimized for mammalian expression

and cloned into the pHCMV-1 vector together with two C-terminal Strep-Tag II and

one 8x His tag. Plasmids were transfected in HEK293-F cells and cultured in

FreeStyle™ medium. Supernatants were harvested one week after transfection and

purified via Ni-NTA affinity chromatography. Bound protein was eluted using buffer

containing 10 mM Tris, 500 mM NaCl and 300 mM Imidazole (pH 7.5), and eluate was

further purified on a StrepTrap HP affinity column (GE Healthcare). Bound protein was

eluted in 10mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl and 20 mM Desthiobiotin (Sigma), pH 8, and size

excluded in PBS, pH 7.4, on a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column (GE

Healthcare) to obtain trimeric RSVF.

<u>Affinity determination using Surface Plasmon Resonance</u>

Surface Plasmon Resonance experiments were performed on a Biacore 8K at room

temperature with HBS-EP+ running buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 3

mM EDTA, 0.005 % v/v Surfactant P20) (GE Healthcare). Approximately 100

response units (RU) of FFLM were immobilized via amine coupling on a CM5 sensor

chip (GE Healthcare). Serial dilutions of site II-specific antibody variable fragments

(fabs) were injected as analyte at a flow rate of 30 µl/min with 120 seconds contact

time. Following each injection cycle, ligand regeneration was performed using 0.1 M

glycine, pH 2. Data analysis was performed using 1:1 Langmuir binding kinetic fits

within the Biacore evaluation software (GE Healthcare).

<u>Mouse immunizations</u>

All animal experiments were approved by the Veterinary Authority of the Canton of

Vaud (Switzerland) according to Swiss regulations of animal welfare (animal protocol

number 3074). Six-week-old, female Balb/c mice were ordered from Janvier labs and

acclimatized for one week. Immunogens were thawed on ice and diluted in PBS pH

7.4 to a concentration of 0.2 mg/ml. The immunogens were then mixed with an equal

volume of 2% Alhydrogel® (Invivogen), resulting in a final Alhydrogel concentration

of 1%. Other adjuvants were formulated according to manufacturer's instructions.

After mixing immunogens and adjuvants for one hour at 4°C, each mouse was

injected with 100 μl, corresponding to 10 μg immunogen adsorbed to Alhydrogel. All

immunizations were done subcutaneously, with no visible irritation around the

injection site. Immunizations were performed on day 0, 21 and 42. 100-200 μl blood

were drawn on day 0, 14, 35, and the maximum amount of blood (200-1000μl) was

taken by cardiac puncture at day 56, when mice were sacrificed.

<u>Antigen ELISA</u>

Nunc Medisorp plates (Thermo Scientific, # 467320) were coated overnight at 4°C with

100 μl of antigen (recombinant RSVF, FFLM and NRM) diluted in coating buffer (100

mM sodium bicarbonate, pH 9) at a final concentration of 0.5 μg/ml. For blocking,

plates were incubated for two hours at room temperature with blocking buffer (PBS +

0.05% Tween 20 (PBST) supplemented with 5% skim milk powder (Sigma, #70166)).

Mouse sera were serially diluted in blocking buffer and incubated for one hour at room

temperature. Plates were washed five times with PBST before adding 100 μl of anti-

mouse HRP-conjugated secondary antibody diluted at 1:1500 in blocking buffer

(abcam, #ab99617). An additional five washes were performed before adding Pierce

TMB substrate (Thermo Scientific, # 34021). The reaction was stopped by adding 100

μl of 2M sulfuric acid, and absorbance at 450 nm was measured on a Tecan Safire 2

plate reader.

Each plate contained a standard curve of Motavizumab to normalize signals between

different plates and experiments. Normalization was done in GraphPad Prism. The

mean value was plotted for each cohort and statistical analysis was performed using

GraphPad Prism.

<u>Competition ELISA</u>

Prior to incubation with a coated antigen plate, sera were serially diluted in the

presence of 100 μg/ml competitor antigen and incubated overnight at 4°C. ELISA

curves of a positive control, Motavizumab, are shown in Supplementary Figure 6.

Curves were plotted using GraphPad Prism, and the area under the curve (AUC) was

calculated for the specific (NRM) and control (RSVN) competitor. % competition was
calculated using the following formula (*66*):

$$\% \text{ competition} = \left(1 - \left(\frac{AUC(specific\ competitor\ (NRM))}{AUC(control\ competitor\ (NR))}\right)\right) * 100$$

<u>Peptide sandwich ELISA</u>
The antigenic site II was synthesized as peptide by JPT Peptide Technologies,
Germany. The following sequence was synthesized and biotinylated at the N-
terminus:
MLTNSELLSKINDMPITNDQKKLMSNNVQI
For ELISA analysis of peptide-reactive serum antibodies, Nunc MediSorp plates were
coated with 5 μg/ml streptavidin (Thermo Scientific, #21122) for one hour at 37°C.
Subsequently, ELISA plates were blocked as indicated above, followed by the addition
of 2.4 μg/ml of the biotinylated site II peptide. Coupling was performed for one hour at
room temperature. The subsequent steps were performed as described for the antigen
ELISA.

<u>Serum competition using Surface Plasmon Resonance</u>
Approximately 300 RU of antigen were immobilized via amine coupling on a CM5 chip.
Mouse sera were diluted 1:100 in HBS-EP+ running buffer and flowed as analyte with
a contact time of 120 seconds to obtain an initial response unit ($RU_{non\text{-}blocked\ surface}$).
The surface was regenerated using 50 mM NaOH. Sequentially, Motavizumab was
injected four times at a concentration of 2 μM, leading to complete blocking of
Motavizumab binding sites as confirmed by signal saturation. The same serum dilution
was reinjected to determine the remaining response ($RU_{blocked\ surface}$). The delta serum
response (ΔSR) corresponds to the baseline-subtracted, maximum signal of the
injected sera.

$$\Delta SR = RU_{(non-)blocked\ surface} - RU_{Baseline}$$

Percent blocking was calculated as follows:

$$\% \text{ blocking} = (1 - (\frac{\Delta \text{SR}_{\text{blocked surface}}}{\Delta \text{SR}_{\text{non-blocked surface}}})) * 100$$

A schematic representation of the SPR experiment is shown in Supplementary Figure

7, and calculated blocking values are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

<u>Enzyme-linked immunospot assay (ELISPOT)</u>

B-cell ELISPOT assays were performed using the Mouse IgG ELISpot HRP kit

(Mabtech, #3825-2H) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, mouse

spleens were isolated, and pressed through a cell strainer (Corning, #352350) to

obtain a single cell suspension. Splenocytes were resuspended in RPMI media

(Gibco, #11875093) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco), Penicillin/Streptomycin

(Gibco), 0.01 µg/ml IL2, 1 µg/ml R848 (Mabtech, #3825-2H) and 50 µM β-

mercaptoethanol (Sigma) for ~60 hours stimulation at 37 °C, 5% CO_2. ELISpot plates

(PVDF 96-well plates, Millipore, #MSIPS4510) were coated overnight with 15 µg/ml

antigen diluted in PBS, followed by careful washing and blocking using RPMI + 10%

FBS. Live splenocytes were counted and the cell number was adjusted to 1×10^7

cells/ml. Serial dilutions of splenocytes were plated in duplicates and incubated

overnight with coated plates. After several wash steps with PBS buffer, plates were

incubated for two hours with biotinylated anti-mouse total IgG (Mabtech, # 3825-6-

250) in PBS, followed by incubation with streptavidin-conjugated to HRP (Mabtech,

#3310-9) for one hour. Spots were revealed using tetramethylbenzidine (TMB,

Mabtech, #3651-10) and counted with an automatic reader (Bioreader 2000; BioSys

GmbH). Results were represented as number of spots per 10^6 splenocytes.

<u>RSV neutralization assay</u>

The RSV A2 strain carrying a luciferase gene (RSV-Luc) was a kind gift of Marie-Anne

Rameix-Welti, UFR des Sciences et de la Santé, Paris. Hep2 cells were seeded in

Corning 96-well tissue culture plates (Sigma, #CLS3595) at a density of 40,000

cells/well in 100 µl of Minimum Essential Medium (MEM, Gibco, #11095-080)

supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco, 10500-084), L-glutamine 2 mM (Gibco, #25030-

081) and penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco, #15140-122), and grown overnight at 37 °C

with 5% CO2.

Sera were heat-inactivated for 30 minutes at 56 °C. Serial two-fold dilutions were
prepared in an untreated 96-well plate using MEM without phenol red (M0, Life
Technologies, #51200-038) containing 2mM L-glutamine, penicillin + streptomycin,
and mixed with 800 pfu/well RSV-Luc (corresponding to a final MOI of 0.01). After
incubating diluted sera and virus for one hour at 37 °C, growth media was removed
from the Hep2 cell layer and 100 μl/well of the serum-virus mixture added. After 48
hours, cells were lysed in 100 μl buffer containing 32 mM Tris pH 7.9, 10 mM MgCl$_2$,
1.25% Triton X-100, 18.75% glycerol and 1mM DTT. 50 μl lysate were transferred to
a 96-well plate with white background (Sigma, # CLS3912). 50 μl of lysis buffer
supplemented with 1 μg/ml luciferin (Sigma, #L-6882) and 2 mM ATP (Sigma, #A3377)
were added to each well immediately before reading luminescence signal on a Tecan
Infinite 500 plate reader.
On each plate, a Palivizumab dilution series was included to ensure comparability of
neutralization data. In our assay, we determined IC$_{50}$ values for Palivizumab of 0.32
μg/ml, which is similar to what other groups have reported (*40*). The neutralization
curve was plotted and fitted using the GraphPad variable slope fitting model, weighted
by 1/Y^2.
808
<u>Sera fractionation</u>
400 μl of streptavidin agarose beads (Thermo Scientific, #20347) were pelleted at
13,000 rpm for 2 minutes in a table top centrifuge and washed with phosphate buffered
saline (PBS). 200 μg of biotinylated site II peptide were incubated for 2 hours at room
temperature to allow coupling of biotinylated peptide to streptavidin beads. Beads
were washed three times with 1 ml PBS to remove excess of peptide and resuspended
to a total volume of 500 μl bead slurry. Mouse sera from the same cohort (n=10) were
pooled (4 μl each, 40 μl total) in a total volume of 200 μl PBS, and 90 μl diluted sera
were mixed with 150 μl of bead slurry, followed by an overnight incubation at 4 °C.
Beads were pelleted by centrifugation and the supernatant carefully removed by
pipetting. Beads were then washed twice with 200 μl PBS and the wash fractions were
discarded. To elute site II-specific antibodies, beads were resuspended in 200 μl
elution buffer (0.1 M glycine, pH 2.7) and incubated for 1 minute before centrifugation.
Supernatant was removed, neutralized with 40 μl neutralization buffer (1 M Tris pH
7.5, 300 mM NaCl), and stored at -20 °C for subsequent testing for RSV neutralization.

As a control, unconjugated streptavidin was used for each sample to account for non-

specific binding.

<u>Next-generation antibody repertoire sequencing (NGS)</u>

RNA isolation

Mouse bone marrow was isolated from femurs and re-suspended in 1.5 ml Trizol (Life

Technologies, #15596) and stored at -80˚C until further processing. RNA extraction

was performed using the PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Life Technologies, #12183018A)

following the manufacturer guidelines.

Antibody sequencing library preparation

Library preparation for antibody variable heavy chain regions was performed using a

protocol that incorporates unique molecular identifier (UID) tagging, as previously

described in Khan *et al.* (*67*). Briefly, first-strand cDNA synthesis was performed by

using Maxima reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies, #EP0742) following the

manufacturer instructions, using 5 µg RNA with 20 pmol of IgG gene-specific primers

(binding IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b, IgG2c, and IgG3) with an overhang of a reverse UID

(RID). After cDNA synthesis, samples were subjected to a left-hand sided SPRIselect

bead (Beckman Coulter, #B23318) cleanup at 0.8X. Quantification of target-specific

cDNA by a digital droplet (dd)PCR assay allowed exact input of 135000 copies into

the next PCR step. Reaction mixtures contained a forward multiplex primer set that

was specific for variable heavy region framework 1 and possessed forward UID (FID),

a 3' Illumina adapter specific reverse primer, and 1X KAPA HIFI HotStart Uracil+

ReadyMix (KAPA Biosystems, #KK2802). PCR reactions were then left-hand side

SPRIselect bead cleaned as before and quantified using ddPCR assay. Finally, an

Illumina adaptor-extension PCR step was carried out using 820000 copies of the

previous PCR product. Following 2nd-step adaptor-extension PCR, reactions were

cleaned using a double-sided SPRIselect bead cleanup process (0.5X-0.8X) and

eluted in TE buffer.

NGS with Illumina MiSeq (2 x 300 bp)

After library preparation, individual NGS libraries were characterized for quality and

quantified by capillary electrophoresis using a Fragment Analyzer (Advanced

Analytical DNF-473 Standard Sensitivity). Samples were then pooled and NGS was

performed on the Illumina MiSeq platform with a MiSeq Reagent Kit V3, 2x300bp
paired-end (Illumina, #MS-102-3003), using an input concentration of 10 pM with 10%
PhiX.
861
Error and bias correction
Error and bias correction was performed using molecular amplification fingerprinting
pipeline, as previously described (*67, 68*).
1) Bioinformatic preprocessing
Paired-end FASTQ files obtained from Illumina MiSeq were imported into CLC
Genomics Workbench 10 on the ETH Zurich Euler High Performance Computing
(HPC) cluster. A preprocessing workflow was run containing the following steps:
trimming of low quality reads, merging of paired-end reads, removal of sequences not
aligning to mouse IGH constant sequences, and length filtering.
871
2) Error correction by consensus building
After pre-processing all datasets were downsampled to contain the same amount of
sequencing reads as the dataset with the lowest overall number of reads (361749
sequencing reads). For error correction, a custom Python script was used to perform
consensus building on the sequences for which at least three reads per UID were
required. VDJ annotation and frequency calculation was then performed by our in-
house aligner (*67, 68*). The complete error-correction and alignment pipeline is
available under https://gitlab.ethz.ch/reddy/MAF.
880
Sequence analysis and data visualization
Data analysis was done by customized scripts in R. For the identification of clonotypes
hierarchical clustering (*68*) was utilized to group CDR3 sequences together. The
following parameters were used: identical IGHV and IGHJ gene segment usage,
identical CDR3 length, and at least 80% CDR3 amino acid similarity to one other
sequence in the given clonotype (single linkage). The overlap of clonotypes between
both cohorts was analyzed by extracting the 20 most expanded clonotypes from each
cohort and visualizing their size, occurrence, and Vgene usage by a circos plot using
R software circlize (*69*). CDR3 sequence similarities between overlapping clonotypes
were represented graphically with the R software motifStack (*70*). All scripts are
available upon request.

Data availability

894 Data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its
895 Supplementary Information, or are available from the authors upon request.

Contributions

F.S. and B.E.C. designed experiments. M.G. expressed and purified RSVN and NRM
fusion protein. F.S., C.Y., S.S.V and P.C performed ELISAs. F.S. designed and
performed SPR competition assay. F.S., C.Y and P.C performed ELISpot
experiments, S.S.V, P.C and S.R performed mouse immunizations. L.C and S.F
performed next generation sequencing analysis. F.S., J.B. and P.G. designed FFLM.
F.S., P.C and M.C. performed RSV neutralization assay. F.S. and B.E.C wrote the
paper. All authors commented on the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

906 We thank Stefan Kunz for helpful discussions and critical reading of the manuscript.
907 This work was supported by the Swiss initiative for systems biology (SystemsX.ch),
908 the European Research Council (Starting grant - 716058) and the Swiss National
909 Science Foundation.

Competing interests

912 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

1.   R. Rappuoli, C. W. Mandl, S. Black, E. De Gregorio, Vaccines for the twenty-first
century society. *Nat Rev Immunol* **11**, 865-872 (2011).
2.   S. A. Plotkin, Correlates of protection induced by vaccination. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*
17, 1055-1065 (2010).
3.   D. R. Burton, L. Hangartner, Broadly Neutralizing Antibodies to HIV and Their Role in
Vaccine Design. *Annu Rev Immunol* **34**, 635-659 (2016).
4.   P. S. Lee, I. A. Wilson, Structural characterization of viral epitopes recognized by
broadly cross-reactive antibodies. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* **386**, 323-341 (2015).
5.   M. S. Gilman *et al.*, Rapid profiling of RSV antibody repertoires from the memory B
cells of naturally infected adult donors. *Sci Immunol* **1**, (2016).
6.   J. S. McLellan, Neutralizing epitopes on the respiratory syncytial virus fusion
glycoprotein. *Curr Opin Virol* **11**, 70-75 (2015).

7. G. Barba-Spaeth *et al.*, Structural basis of potent Zika-dengue virus antibody cross-
neutralization. *Nature* **536**, 48-53 (2016).
8. D. F. Robbiani *et al.*, Recurrent Potent Human Neutralizing Antibodies to Zika Virus in
Brazil and Mexico. *Cell* **169**, 597-609 e511 (2017).
9. M. Xu *et al.*, A potent neutralizing antibody with therapeutic potential against all
four serotypes of dengue virus. *NPJ Vaccines* **2**, 2 (2017).
10. Z. A. Bornholdt *et al.*, Isolation of potent neutralizing antibodies from a survivor of
the 2014 Ebola virus outbreak. *Science* **351**, 1078-1083 (2016).
11. S. Chandramouli *et al.*, Structural basis for potent antibody-mediated neutralization
of human cytomegalovirus. *Sci Immunol* **2**, (2017).
12. K. M. Hastie *et al.*, Structural basis for antibody-mediated neutralization of Lassa
virus. *Science* **356**, 923-928 (2017).
13. S. C. Harrison, Viral membrane fusion. *Virology* **479-480**, 498-507 (2015).
14. D. Corti *et al.*, A neutralizing antibody selected from plasma cells that binds to group
1 and group 2 influenza A hemagglutinins. *Science* **333**, 850-856 (2011).
15. J. S. McLellan *et al.*, Structure of RSV fusion glycoprotein trimer bound to a
prefusion-specific neutralizing antibody. *Science* **340**, 1113-1117 (2013).
16. D. R. Burton, Antibodies, viruses and vaccines. *Nat Rev Immunol* **2**, 706-713 (2002).
17. D. R. Burton, What Are the Most Powerful Immunogen Design Vaccine Strategies?
Reverse Vaccinology 2.0 Shows Great Promise. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **9**,
(2017).
18. J. S. McLellan *et al.*, Structure-based design of a fusion glycoprotein vaccine for
respiratory syncytial virus. *Science* **342**, 592-598 (2013).
19. A. Impagliazzo *et al.*, A stable trimeric influenza hemagglutinin stem as a broadly
protective immunogen. *Science* **349**, 1301-1306 (2015).
20. H. M. Yassine *et al.*, Hemagglutinin-stem nanoparticles generate heterosubtypic
influenza protection. *Nat Med* **21**, 1065-1070 (2015).
21. B. E. Correia *et al.*, Proof of principle for epitope-focused vaccine design. *Nature* **507**,
201-206 (2014).
22. B. B. Finlay, G. McFadden, Anti-immunology: evasion of the host immune system by
bacterial and viral pathogens. *Cell* **124**, 767-782 (2006).
23. S. F. Andrews *et al.*, Immune history profoundly affects broadly protective B cell
responses to influenza. *Sci Transl Med* **7**, 316ra192 (2015).
24. D. Angeletti *et al.*, Defining B cell immunodominance to viruses. *Nat Immunol* **18**,
456-463 (2017).
25. V. I. Zarnitsyna *et al.*, Masking of antigenic epitopes by antibodies shapes the
humoral immune response to influenza. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **370**,
(2015).
26. V. I. Zarnitsyna, J. Lavine, A. Ellebedy, R. Ahmed, R. Antia, Multi-epitope Models
Explain How Pre-existing Antibodies Affect the Generation of Broadly Protective
Responses to Influenza. *PLoS Pathog* **12**, e1005692 (2016).
27. G. D. Victora, M. C. Nussenzweig, Germinal centers. *Annu Rev Immunol* **30**, 429-457
(2012).
28. B. Briney *et al.*, Tailored Immunogens Direct Affinity Maturation toward HIV
Neutralizing Antibodies. *Cell* **166**, 1459-1470 e1411 (2016).
29. J. G. Jardine *et al.*, HIV-1 VACCINES. Priming a broadly neutralizing antibody response
to HIV-1 using a germline-targeting immunogen. *Science* **349**, 156-161 (2015).

30.   M. Silva *et al.*, Targeted Elimination of Immunodominant B Cells Drives the Germinal
975   Center Reaction toward Subdominant Epitopes. *Cell Rep* **21**, 3672-3680 (2017).

976   31.   J. C. Boyington *et al.*, Structure-Based Design of Head-Only Fusion Glycoprotein
977   Immunogens for Respiratory Syncytial Virus. *PLoS One* **11**, e0159709 (2016).

978   32.   G. A. Kirchenbaum, D. M. Carter, T. M. Ross, Sequential Infection in Ferrets with
979   Antigenically Distinct Seasonal H1N1 Influenza Viruses Boosts Hemagglutinin Stalk-
980   Specific Antibodies. *J Virol* **90**, 1116-1128 (2016).

981   33.   K. Van Reeth *et al.*, Heterologous prime-boost vaccination with H3N2 influenza
982   viruses of swine favors cross-clade antibody responses and protection. *NPJ Vaccines*
983   **2**, (2017).

984   34.   C. J. Wei *et al.*, Induction of broadly neutralizing H1N1 influenza antibodies by
985   vaccination. *Science* **329**, 1060-1064 (2010).

986   35.   P. L. Herve *et al.*, RSV N-nanorings fused to palivizumab-targeted neutralizing
987   epitope as a nanoparticle RSV vaccine. *Nanomedicine* **13**, 411-420 (2017).

988   36.   X. Roux *et al.*, Sub-nucleocapsid nanoparticles: a nasal vaccine against respiratory
989   syncytial virus. *PLoS One* **3**, e1766 (2008).

990   37.   R. G. Tawar *et al.*, Crystal structure of a nucleocapsid-like nucleoprotein-RNA
991   complex of respiratory syncytial virus. *Science* **326**, 1279-1283 (2009).

992   38.   B. E. Correia *et al.*, Computational protein design using flexible backbone remodeling
993   and resurfacing: case studies in structure-based antigen design. *J Mol Biol* **405**, 284-
994   297 (2011).

995   39.   B. Kuhlman, D. Baker, Native protein sequences are close to optimal for their
996   structures. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **97**, 10383-10388 (2000).

997   40.   H. Wu *et al.*, Development of motavizumab, an ultra-potent antibody for the
998   prevention of respiratory syncytial virus infection in the upper and lower respiratory
999   tract. *J Mol Biol* **368**, 652-665 (2007).

1000   41.   M. G. Joyce *et al.*, Iterative structure-based improvement of a fusion-glycoprotein
1001   vaccine against RSV. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **23**, 811-820 (2016).

1002   42.   J. L. Xu, M. M. Davis, Diversity in the CDR3 region of V(H) is sufficient for most
1003   antibody specificities. *Immunity* **13**, 37-45 (2000).

1004   43.   J. F. Scheid *et al.*, Sequence and structural convergence of broad and potent HIV
1005   antibodies that mimic CD4 binding. *Science* **333**, 1633-1637 (2011).

1006   44.   E. Goodwin *et al.*, Infants Infected with Respiratory Syncytial Virus Generate Potent
1007   Neutralizing Antibodies that Lack Somatic Hypermutation. *Immunity* **48**, 339-349
1008   e335 (2018).

1009   45.   S. Friedensohn, T. A. Khan, S. T. Reddy, Advanced Methodologies in High-Throughput
1010   Sequencing of Immune Repertoires. *Trends Biotechnol* **35**, 203-214 (2017).

1011   46.   N. L. Kallewaard *et al.*, Structure and Function Analysis of an Antibody Recognizing
1012   All Influenza A Subtypes. *Cell* **166**, 596-608 (2016).

1013   47.   F. Sesterhenn, J. Bonet, B. E. Correia, Structure-based immunogen design-leading the
1014   way to the new age of precision vaccines. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **51**, 163-169 (2018).

1015   48.   G. Ofek *et al.*, Elicitation of structure-specific antibodies by epitope scaffolds. *Proc*
1016   *Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 17880-17887 (2010).

1017   49.   N. Jaberolansar *et al.*, Induction of high titred, non-neutralising antibodies by self-
1018   adjuvanting peptide epitopes derived from the respiratory syncytial virus fusion
1019   protein. *Sci Rep* **7**, 11130 (2017).

1020   50.   J. S. McLellan *et al.*, Design and characterization of epitope-scaffold immunogens
1021   that present the motavizumab epitope from respiratory syncytial virus. *J Mol Biol*
1022   **409**, 853-866 (2011).
1023   51.   R. K. Abbott *et al.*, Precursor Frequency and Affinity Determine B Cell Competitive
1024   Fitness in Germinal Centers, Tested with Germline-Targeting HIV Vaccine
1025   Immunogens. *Immunity* **48**, 133-146 e136 (2018).
1026   52.   B. E. Correia *et al.*, Computational design of epitope-scaffolds allows induction of
1027   antibodies specific for a poorly immunogenic HIV vaccine epitope. *Structure* **18**,
1028   1116-1126 (2010).
1029   53.   G. D. Victora, P. C. Wilson, Germinal Center Selection and the Antibody Response to
1030   Influenza. *Cell* **163**, 545-548 (2015).
1031   54.   P. Tsui *et al.*, Isolation of a neutralizing human RSV antibody from a dominant, non-
1032   neutralizing immune repertoire by epitope-blocked panning. *Journal of Immunology*
1033   **157**, 772-780 (1996).
1034   55.   I. Widjaja *et al.*, Characterization of Epitope-Specific Anti-Respiratory Syncytial Virus
1035   (Anti-RSV) Antibody Responses after Natural Infection and after Vaccination with
1036   Formalin-Inactivated RSV. *J Virol* **90**, 5965-5977 (2016).
1037   56.   S. F. Andrews *et al.*, High preexisting serological antibody levels correlate with
1038   diversification of the influenza vaccine response. *J Virol* **89**, 3308-3317 (2015).
1039   57.   K. Xu *et al.*, Epitope-based vaccine design yields fusion peptide-directed antibodies
1040   that neutralize diverse strains of HIV-1. *Nat Med* **24**, 857-867 (2018).
1041   58.   Y. Li *et al.*, Immune history shapes specificity of pandemic H1N1 influenza antibody
1042   responses. *J Exp Med* **210**, 1493-1500 (2013).
1043   59.   D. C. Ekiert *et al.*, A highly conserved neutralizing epitope on group 2 influenza A
1044   viruses. *Science* **333**, 843-850 (2011).
1045   60.   D. D. Raymond *et al.*, Conserved epitope on influenza-virus hemagglutinin head
1046   defined by a vaccine-induced antibody. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**, 168-173 (2018).
1047   61.   W. Dejnirattisai *et al.*, Dengue virus sero-cross-reactivity drives antibody-dependent
1048   enhancement of infection with zika virus. *Nat Immunol* **17**, 1102-1108 (2016).
1049   62.   L. C. Katzelnick *et al.*, Antibody-dependent enhancement of severe dengue disease in
1050   humans. *Science* **358**, 929-932 (2017).
1051   63.   A. Chevalier *et al.*, Massively parallel de novo protein design for targeted
1052   therapeutics. *Nature* **550**, 74-79 (2017).
1053   64.   F. Sievers *et al.*, Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence
1054   alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol Syst Biol* **7**, 539 (2011).
1055   65.   N. Castagne *et al.*, Biochemical characterization of the respiratory syncytial virus P-P
1056   and P-N protein complexes and localization of the P protein oligomerization domain.
1057   *J Gen Virol* **85**, 1643-1653 (2004).
1058   66.   D. W. Kulp *et al.*, Structure-based design of native-like HIV-1 envelope trimers to
1059   silence non-neutralizing epitopes and eliminate CD4 binding. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1655
1060   (2017).
1061   67.   T. A. Khan *et al.*, Accurate and predictive antibody repertoire profiling by molecular
1062   amplification fingerprinting. *Sci Adv* **2**, e1501371 (2016).
1063   68.   S. Friedensohn *et al.*, Synthetic Standards Combined With Error and Bias Correction
1064   Improve the Accuracy and Quantitative Resolution of Antibody Repertoire
1065   Sequencing in Human Naive and Memory B Cells. *Front Immunol* **9**, 1401 (2018).

1066 69. Z. Gu, L. Gu, R. Eils, M. Schlesner, B. Brors, circlize Implements and enhances circular
1067 visualization in R. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2811-2812 (2014).
1068 70. J. Ou, S. A. Wolfe, M. H. Brodsky, L. J. Zhu, motifStack for the analysis of transcription
1069 factor binding site evolution. *Nat Methods* **15**, 8-9 (2018).
1070