Boosting subdominant neutralizing antibody responses with a computationally

designed epitope-focused immunogen

Authors:

Sesterhenn F[1,2], Galloux M[3], Vollers SS[1], Csepregi L[4], Yang C[1,2], Descamps D[3],
Bonet J[1,2], Friedensohn S[4], Gainza P[1,2], Corthésy P[1], Chen M[5], Rosset S[1], Rameix-
Welti MA[6,7], Eléouët JF[3], Reddy ST[4], Graham BS[5], Riffault S[3] & Correia BE[1,2,*].

Affiliations:

[1] Institute of Bioengineering, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne CH-1015,
Switzerland.
[2] Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics (SIB), Lausanne CH-1015, Switzerland.
[3] Unité de Virologie et Immunologie Moléculaires (UR892), INRA, Université Paris-Saclay, 78352,
Jouy-en-Josas, France.
[4] Department of Biosystems Science and Engineering, ETH Zurich, Basel, Switzerland.
[5] Viral Pathogenesis Laboratory, Vaccine Research Center, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious
Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, United States.
[6] UMR1173, INSERM, Université de Versailles St. Quentin, 78180 Montigny le Bretonneux, France.
[7] AP-HP, Laboratoire de Microbiologie, Hôpital Ambroise Paré, 92104, Boulogne-Billancourt, France.
* Corresponding author: bruno.correia@epfl.ch

Abstract

Throughout the last decades, vaccination has been key to prevent and eradicate

infectious diseases. However, many pathogens (e.g. Respiratory Syncytial Virus

(RSV), Influenza, Dengue and others) have resisted vaccine development efforts,

largely due to the failure to induce potent antibody responses targeting conserved

epitopes. Deep profiling of human B-cells often reveals potent neutralizing antibodies

that emerge from natural infection, but these specificities are generally subdominant

(i.e., are present in low titers). A major challenge for next-generation vaccines is to

overcome established immunodominance hierarchies and focus antibody responses

on crucial neutralization epitopes. Here, we show that a computationally designed

epitope-focused immunogen presenting a single RSV site targeted by Palivizumab

elicits superior site II epitope-specific responses compared to the RSV prefusion

protein. In addition, the epitope-focused immunogen efficiently boosts antibodies

targeting the Palivizumab epitope, resulting in enhanced neutralization. Overall, we

show that epitope-focused immunogens can boost subdominant neutralizing antibody

responses *in vivo* and reshape established antibody hierarchies.

Introduction

The development of vaccines has proven to be one of the most successful medical interventions to reduce the burden of infectious diseases (*1*), and their correlate of protection is the induction of neutralizing antibodies (nAbs) that block infection (*2*).

In recent years, advances in high-throughput B-cell technologies have revealed a plethora of potent nAbs for different pathogens which have resisted the traditional means of vaccine development for several decades, including HIV-1 (*3*), Influenza (*4*), Respiratory Syncytial Virus (RSV) (*5, 6*), Zika (*7, 8*), Dengue (*9*) and others (*10-12*). A major target of these nAb responses is the pathogens fusion protein, which drives the viral and host cell membrane fusion while undergoing a conformational rearrangement from a prefusion to a postfusion state (*13*) . Many of these nAbs have been structurally characterized in complex with their target, unveiling the atomic details of neutralization epitopes (*7, 14, 15*). Together, these studies have provided comprehensive antigenic maps of the viral fusion proteins which delineate epitopes susceptible to antibody-mediated neutralization and provide a roadmap for rational and structure-based vaccine design approaches.

The conceptual framework to leverage neutralizing antibody-defined epitopes for vaccine development is commonly referred to as reverse vaccinology (*16, 17*). Although reverse vaccinology-inspired approaches have yielded a number of exciting advances in the last decade, the design of immunogens that elicit such focused antibody responses remains challenging. Successful examples of structure-based immunogen design approaches include conformational stabilization of RSVF in its prefusion state, which induces superior serum neutralization titers when compared to immunization with F in the postfusion conformation (*18*). In the case of Influenza, several epitopes targeted by broadly neutralizing antibodies (bnAbs) were identified within the hemagglutinin (HA) stem domain, and an HA stem-only immunogen elicited a broader neutralizing antibody response than full-length HA (*19, 20*). Commonly, these approaches have aimed to focus antibody responses on specific conformations or subdomains of viral proteins. In a more aggressive approach, Correia et al. (*21*) computationally designed a synthetic immunogen presenting the RSV antigenic site II (Figure 1a), and provided a proof-of-principle for the induction of RSV neutralizing activity mediated by a single epitope in non-human primates.

RSV, among other pathogens, strikingly illustrates the major need to be able to elicit epitope-focused antibody responses. While the reasons for the failure of 1960's formalin-inactivated RSV vaccine are not fully clear, several reports have suggested that the induction of antibodies targeting non-neutralizing and structurally altered neutralization epitopes may have played a role in the disease enhancement observed upon later infection (*22-24*). Despite more than 50 years of intensive research, a licensed vaccine has remained elusive, and RSV-induced severe lower respiratory tract infections continue to cause more than three million hospitalizations annually (*25*). Thus far, the only prophylactic therapy for individuals at high risk for severe RSV disease is passive immunization with Synagis®, a monoclonal antibody targeting antigenic site II of RSVF (*25*).

The absence of a potent and long-lasting immune response upon natural infection is a major challenge associated with RSV, Influenza virus and other pathogens. While single exposure to pathogens like Poliovirus confers life-long immunity, RSV, Influenza and other pathogens have developed mechanisms to subvert the development of a durable and potent neutralizing antibody response, thereby allowing such pathogens to infect humans repeatedly throughout their lives (*26*). One of the major factors hindering the induction of long-lasting protection after the first infection is related to the antibody specificities induced. Upon exposure to the pathogen, the human antibody responses predominantly target non-neutralizing or strain-specific antigenic sites, whereas potent bnAbs are subdominant (*27*). This phenomenon is generally referred to as B-cell immunodominance, which describes the unbalanced immunogenicity of certain antigenic sites within an antigen, favoring strain-specific, variable, non-neutralizing epitopes to the detriment of conserved, neutralization-sensitive epitopes (*28*). The factors that determine the antigenicity of specific epitopes remain unclear, making the categorization of immunodominant and subdominant epitopes an empirical classification based on serological analysis. Importantly, the presence of high levels of antibodies directed against immunodominant epitopes can sterically mask surrounding subdominant epitopes that may be targeted by bnAbs, preventing the immune system from mounting productive antibody responses against subdominant epitopes, and potentially limiting vaccination efficacy (*27-30*).

The immunodominance hierarchy is established within the germinal center, where B-
cells undergo a binding affinity-based competition for available antigen and
subsequently initiate a clonal expansion stage, ultimately becoming long-lived plasma
cells or memory B-cells (*31*). Controlling this competition and driving antibody
responses towards the increased recognition of subdominant, neutralizing epitopes is
of primary importance to enable development of novel vaccines to fight pathogens
which have resisted traditional strategies. One of the few strategies to guide antibody
maturation was tested in the HIV field and is referred to as germline targeting, which
relies upon the activation and expansion of rare but specific B-cell lineages in naïve
individuals (*32, 33*). In contrast, under conditions of pre-existing immunity acquired
during natural infection or previous vaccination, the challenge is to manipulate already
established B-cell immunodominance hierarchies and reshape serum antibody
responses towards desired specificities. In an indirect approach towards increasing
subdominant B-cell populations, Silva et al. (*34*) have shown that the targeted
suppression of immunodominant clones during an active germinal center reaction can
allow subdominant B-cell populations to overtake the germinal center response. Other
approaches have used heterologous prime-boost immunization regimens with either
alternative viral strains or rationally modified versions of the priming immunogen (*35-*
38) in order to steer antibody responses towards more conserved domains. However,
leveraging structural information of defined neutralization epitopes to guide bulk
antibody responses towards specific, well-characterized single epitopes remains an
unmet challenge.

Here, we investigate whether, under conditions of pre-existing immunity, a
computationally designed immunogen presenting a single epitope is able to reshape
serum antibody responses towards increased recognition of a specific neutralizing
epitope. For these studies, we used an optimized formulation and resurfaced version
of the previously designed RSV site II epitope-scaffold targeted by the monoclonal
antibody Palivizumab (*21*), the only FDA-approved prophylactic measure against RSV
infection.

We show that an optimized, multivalent presentation of an RSV antigenic site II
scaffold elicits superior levels of epitope-specific antibodies compared to prefusion
RSVF in naïve mice, indicating that the immunodominance of a particular epitope can

be altered through its presentation in a distinct molecular context. We further used the

site II scaffold as a boosting immunogen in animals primed with prefusion RSVF,

mimicking a scenario of pre-existing immunity against RSVF. Whereas homologous

boosting immunizations with prefusion RSVF failed to increase site II-specific

antibodies, we found that heterologous boosts with an epitope scaffold nanoparticle

enhanced serum responses towards this *bona fide* vaccine target, and that the

boosted antibodies neutralized RSV *in vitro*. For the first time, we provide compelling

evidence that synthetic immunogens comprising a single epitope can efficiently

enhance subdominant neutralizing antibody responses *in vivo* and redirect

specificities in bulk antibody responses. Such a strategy may present an important

alternative for pathogens where future vaccines are required to reshape pre-existing

immunity and elicit finely tuned antibody specificities.

Results

<u>Design of an RSV-based nanoparticle displaying a site II epitope-focused immunogen</u>
In a previous study, a computationally-designed, RSV site II epitope-scaffold
nanoparticle was shown to elicit serum neutralization activity in non-human primates
(NHPs) (*21*). Despite the fact that very potent monoclonal antibodies were isolated
from the immunized NHPs, the neutralization potency at serum level was modest,
indicating low titers of the potent antibodies. Therefore, our first aim was to take the
best previously tested immunogen (FFL_001) and further optimize the immunogen
delivery and immunization conditions to maximize the induction of site II-specific
antibodies. A comparative study of four different adjuvants revealed that Alhydrogel®,
an adjuvant approved for human use, yielded highest overall immunogenicity and
elicited antibodies cross-reactive with prefusion RSVF in four out of five mice
(Supplementary Fig. 1).

Next, we sought to develop an improved, easily produced nanoparticle to multimerize
the epitope-scaffold for efficient B-cell receptor crosslinking. Previously, Correia et al.
(*21*) employed a chemical conjugation strategy of FFL_001 to a Hepatitis-B core
antigen based nanoparticle, which resulted in a difficult construct with a laborious
purification process. Recently, several studies have reported the use of RSV
nucleoprotein (RSVN) as a nanoparticle platform for immunogen presentation (*39, 40*).
When expressed in *E. coli*, RSVN forms nanorings, 17 nm in diameter, containing 10
or 11 RSVN protomers (*41*). We reasoned that RSVN would be an ideal particle
platform to multimerize an RSV epitope scaffold, as RSVN contains strong, RSV-
directed T-cell epitopes (*40*). However, our initial attempts to genetically fuse FFL_001
to RSVN yielded poorly soluble proteins that rapidly aggregated after purification. We
therefore employed structure-based protein resurfacing (*42*), attempting to improve
the solubility of this site II epitope-scaffold when arrayed in high density on RSVN. To
guide our resurfacing design process, we leveraged information from a sequence
homolog of the ribosomal recycling factor (PDB: 1ISE), the structural template
originally used to design FFL_001. Based on a sequence alignment of the mouse
homolog (NCBI reference: NP_080698.1) and FFL_001, we exchanged the FFL_001
amino acids for the mouse sequence homolog and used Rosetta Fixed Backbone
Design (*43*) to ensure that the mutations were not energetically unfavorable, resulting

in 38 amino acid substitutions (34.2% overall). We named this variant FFLM, whose

expression yields in *E. coli* showed a five-fold increase when compared to FFL_001,

and it was confirmed to be monomeric in solution (Supplementary Fig. 2).

To confirm that the resurfacing did not alter the epitope integrity, we measured the

binding affinities of FFLM to Motavizumab, a high-affinity variant of Palivizumab (*44*),

and to a panel of human site II nAbs previously isolated (*5*) using surface plasmon

resonance (SPR). All antibodies bound with high affinity to FFLM, indicating broad

reactivity of this immunogen with a diverse panel of human nAbs (Figure 1b).

Interestingly, the tested nAbs showed approximately one order of magnitude higher

affinity to the epitope-scaffold as compared to prefusion RSVF, suggesting that the

epitope is properly presented and likely further stabilized in a relevant conformation.

Importantly, the FFLM-RSVN fusion protein expressed with high yields in *E. coli* (>10

mg/liter), forming a nanoring particle, dubbed NRM, that was monodisperse in solution

with a diameter of approximately 21 nm (Figure 1c). Although we cannot fully

rationalize the factors that contributed to the solubility improvement upon

multimerization, our strategy to transplant surface residues from a sequence homolog

to synthetic proteins may prove useful to enhance the solubility of other

computationally designed proteins.

Figure 1:

Design of an RSV-based nanoparticle displaying a site II epitope-focused

immunogen. a) Structural model of the prefusion RSVF trimer (PDBID: 4JHW), with

two subunits shown as a grey surface and one subunit shown as light blue cartoon

representation with the epitope targeted by Palivizumab (antigenic site II) highlighted

in red. FFL_001 was previously designed to present the site II epitope in a

computationally designed scaffold. FFLM was designed by evolution-guided

resurfacing, where changes in amino acid identity are highlighted in blue. FFLM was

genetically fused to the N-terminus of the RSV nucleoprotein (RSVN), resulting in a

high-density array of the epitope scaffold, as shown by the structural model (based on

PDBID: 2WJ8). **b)** Binding affinities of site II-specific human nAbs measured by SPR.

K_Ds were measured with RSVF/FFLM immobilized as ligand and antibody fabs as

analyte. nM = nanomolar. **c)** Dynamic light scattering (DLS) profiles for FFL_001 and

FFLM fused to RSVN. The FFL_001-RSVN fusion protein formed higher-order

oligomers in solution (66.6 nm of median diameter), whereas the resurfaced FFLM-

RSVN fusion protein (NRM) was monodisperse with a median diameter of 21 nm. nm
= nanometer.

<u>NRM enhances the induction of site II-specific antibodies</u>
We next tested the immunogenicity of the site II scaffold nanoring and its ability to elicit
site II-specific antibodies. Three groups of ten mice were subjected to three
immunizations with 10 μg of NRM, monomeric FFLM and prefusion RSVF (*45*), which
is currently the leading immunogen for an RSV vaccine (Figure 2a). Based on the
results of our adjuvant screen (Supplementary Fig. 1), all the immunogens were
formulated in Alhydrogel, an adjuvant approved for human use. As compared to FFLM,
NRM showed a higher overall immunogenicity (directed both against RSVN and
FFLM) (Figure 2b).

A key aspect of epitope-focused vaccines is to understand how much of the antibody
response targets the viral epitope presented to the immune system. Therefore, we
sought to measure the site II-specific antibody titers elicited by NRM and FFLM and
compare these epitope-specific antibody responses to those elicited by prefusion
RSVF. Using an SPR competition assay to measure site II-specific antibodies in sera
(described in methods), we observed that NRM elicited site II-specific antibody
responses superior to those elicited by RSVF (Figure 2c). This was surprising, given
that the ratio of site II epitope surface area to overall immunogen surface is similar in
both NRM and RSVF (Supplementary Fig. 2). To confirm this finding through a direct
binding assay rather than a competitive format, we measured the binding levels of
sera to the site II epitope in a peptide ELISA, where the site II peptide was immobilized
on streptavidin-coated wells. Consistent with the previous experiment, we found that
NRM elicited two orders of magnitude higher site II-specific responses than RSVF
(Figure 2d). Together, these data validated NRM as our lead immunogen to induce
high levels of site II-specific antibodies in mice.

Figure 2:

Immunogenicity and quantification of site II-specific antibody responses. a) Immunization scheme. Balb/c mice were immunized three times on days 0, 21 and 42, and blood was drawn 14 days after each vaccination. **b)** Serum antibody titers elicited by FFLM and NRM at different timepoints measured by ELISA against the respective immunogen. NRM shows significantly increased immunogenicity at day 14, 35 and 56 relative to FFLM. **c)** SPR competition assay with Motavizumab. Day 56 sera of mice immunized with RSVF, FFLM or NRM was diluted 1:100 and SPR response units (RU) were measured on sensor chip surfaces containing the respective immunogen. Motavizumab binding sites were then blocked by saturating amounts of Motavizumab, and the residual serum response was measured to calculate the serum fraction competed by Motavizumab binding. Mice immunized with FFLM or NRM show significantly higher levels of serum antibodies that are competed by Motavizumab binding. **d)** Site II-specific serum titers at day 56 from mice immunized with RSVF, FFLM and NRM, measured by ELISA against site II peptide. Three immunizations with prefusion RSVF elicited low levels of site II-specific antibodies, whereas FFLM and NRM vaccinations yielded significantly higher peptide-specific serum titers. Data shown are derived from at least two independent experiments, each sample assayed

273   in duplicate. Statistical comparisons were calculated using two-tailed Mann-Whitney

274   U tests. ** indicates p < 0.01, *** indicates p < 0.0001, **** p < 0.0001

NRM induces low levels of RSVF cross-reactive antibodies with low neutralization potency

Given the substantial site II-specific serum titers elicited by NRM in mice, we investigated whether these antibodies cross-reacted with prefusion RSVF and were sufficient to neutralize RSV *in vitro*.

Following three immunizations with NRM, all the mice (n=10) developed detectable serum cross-reactivity with prefusion RSVF (mean serum titer = 980) (Figure 3a). Unsurprisingly, the overall quantity of prefusion RSVF cross-reactive antibodies elicited by immunization with an immunogen presenting a single epitope is more than two orders of magnitude lower than those of mice immunized with prefusion RSVF, which comprises at least six antigenic sites (*5*). Similarly, a B-cell ELISpot revealed that NRM-immunized mice presented prefusion RSVF-reactive antibody secreting cells, but their frequency was approximately one order of magnitude lower than upon immunization with prefusion RSVF (Figure 3c).

The major determinant for antibody specificity is attributed to the heavy chain CDR3 region (HCDR3) (*46*). While for certain classes of nAbs, the antibody lineages and their sequence features are well-defined (e.g. HIV neutralizing VRC01 class antibodies (*47*), or RSV neutralizing MPE8-like antibodies (*48*)), antibodies targeting RSV antigenic site II seem to be derived from diverse precursors and do not show HCDR3 sequence convergence in humans (*5*). While we did not expect to find dominant lineages or HCDR3 sequence patterns in mice, we used next-generation antibody repertoire sequencing (*49*) to ask whether NRM could elicit antibodies with similar sequence signatures to those elicited by prefusion RSVF. Indeed, we found 300 clonotypes, defined as antibodies derived from the same VH gene with the same HCDR3 length and 80% sequence similarity, that overlapped between NRM and the prefusion RSVF immunized cohort, suggesting that at the molecular level, relevant antibody lineages can be activated with the NRM immunogen (Supplementary Figure 3). Notably, nine out of the 20 most expanded clonotypes in the NRM cohort were also present in mice immunized with prefusion RSVF, albeit not as expanded (Figure 3b). This finding might reflect the enrichment of site II specific antibodies in the NRM cohort (Figure 2d).

We further investigated whether these low levels of prefusion RSVF-binding
antibodies were sufficient to neutralize RSV *in vitro*. While three immunizations with
prefusion RSVF elicited potent RSV-neutralizing serum titers (mean IC_{50}= 10,827), for
NRM we only detected low levels of RSV-neutralizing serum activity in three out of ten
mice (Figure 3d). This result is consistent with Correia *et al*. (*21*), who observed no
serum neutralization in mice, but succeeded in inducing nAbs in NHPs with prior RSV
seronegativity.
316
Altogether, we concluded that despite NRM's superior potential to induce high levels
of site II-specific antibodies, the majority of antibodies activated from the naïve
repertoire is unable to potently neutralize RSV *in vitro*. A potential explanation,
stemming from structural comparison between the epitope-focused immunogen
(FFLM) and RSVF, is that although epitope-specific antibodies are abundantly elicited
by NRM, these antibodies do not recognize the site II epitope in its native RSVF
quaternary environment in the prefusion conformation, or on virions in sufficient
amounts and with high enough affinity to potently neutralize RSV.
325

Fig. 3

Figure 3:

RSVF cross-reactivity and serum neutralization. **a)** NRM elicits prefusion RSVF

cross-reactive antibodies, which are two orders of magnitude lower compared to

prefusion RSVF immunization. Mice immunized only with adjuvant (naïve) do not show

RSVF cross-reactivity. **b)** Next-generation sequencing of antibody repertoire. Antibody

variable heavy chains of mice immunized with RSVF or NRM (5 mice per cohort) were

sequenced and grouped into clonotypes. Circos plot showing the 20 most expanded

clonotypes from both cohorts, with identical clonotypes connected. Height of bars

indicates number of mice that showed the respective clonotype, width represents the

clonal expansion within a clonotype (i.e. the number of clones grouped into the

respective clonotype). Three clonotypes that occurred both in the RSVF and the NRM

cohort, but were expanded within the NRM cohort were analyzed for their HCDR3

sequence profile, as shown by sequence logo plots (top). Dark blue color represents

amino acid identities that occurred in RSVF cohort, light blue color represents amino

acids uniquely found following NRM immunization. The frequency of each amino acid

in the NRM cohort is indicated by the size of the letter. **c)** B-cell ELISpot of mouse

splenocytes to quantify prefusion RSVF-specific antibody secreting cells (ASC).

Number of ASCs per 10^6 splenocytes that secrete prefusion RSVF-specific antibodies
following three immunizations with adjuvant only (naïve), NRM or prefusion RSVF **d)**
RSV neutralizing activity of mouse sera from day 56 shown as neutralization IC_{50}.
Three out of ten mice immunized with NRM showed detectable RSV neutralizing
activity, whereas all mice immunized with prefusion RSVF neutralized RSV (mean IC_{50}
= 10,827). Data shown are from one out of two independent experiments. Statistical
comparisons were calculated using two-tailed Mann-Whitney U tests. *** indicates p <
0.001, **** indicates p < 0.0001.
353
354

<u>NRM boosts site II-specific antibodies under conditions of pre-existing immunity</u>

While RSV vaccination studies in naïve animal models are an important first step to

validate novel immunogens, two important human target populations for RSV

vaccination are pregnant women and the elderly. These two groups are seropositive

for RSVF due to life-long recurrent infections and have a basal level of RSV nAbs (*50*).

We hypothesized that the high affinity of the epitope scaffold towards a panel of site

II- specific nAbs, together with the ability to elicit high titers of site II-specific antibodies

in vivo, could make the NRM an efficient immunogen to recall RSVF primed site II-

specific B-cells, thereby achieving an enhanced and focused neutralization response.

Our initial homologous prime-boost immunization studies with prefusion RSVF

showed that site II-specific responses were subdominant (Figure 2c and 2d). Given

that subdominance is a common immunological phenotype for many of the

neutralization epitopes that are relevant for vaccine development (*51*), we sought to

test if NRM could boost subdominant site II-specific antibody lineages that should

ultimately be functional and recognize the epitope in the tertiary environment of

prefusion RSVF. To test this hypothesis, we designed a mouse immunization

experiment with three cohorts, as outlined in Figure 4a. Following a priming

immunization with RSVF, cohort (1) was boosted with adjuvant only ("prime only"),

cohort (2) received two boosting immunizations with prefusion RSVF ("homologous

boost"), and cohort (3) received two boosts with NRM ("heterologous boost").

A comparison between prefusion RSVF immunized groups prime only and

homologous boost revealed that the two additional boosting immunizations with RSVF

only slightly increased overall titers of prefusion RSVF-specific antibodies ($p = 0.02$,

Mann-Whitney), indicating that a single immunization with adjuvanted RSVF is

sufficient to induce close to maximal serum titers against RSVF (Figure 4b). Following

the heterologous boost with NRM, overall RSVF specific antibody titers remained

statistically comparable to the prime only group ($p = 0.22$, Mann-Whitney).

Next, we quantified the site II-specific endpoint serum titers in a peptide ELISA format

(Figure 4c). Interestingly, the homologous boost with prefusion RSVF failed to

increase site II-specific antibody levels, reducing the responses directed to site II to

the lower limit of detection by ELISA. This result is yet another example of the

underlying complexity inherent to the fine specificity of antibody responses elicited by immunogens and how important specificities can be dampened throughout the development of an antibody response. In contrast to the homologous boost, the heterologous boost with NRM significantly increased site II peptide-specific serum titers ($p < 0.0001$, Mann-Whitney).

In order to understand whether this increase relied at least partially on an actual recall of antibodies primed by RSVF, or rather on an independent antibody response irrelevant for RSVF binding and RSV neutralization, we dissected the epitope specificity within the RSVF-specific serum response. In an SPR competition assay, a significantly higher fraction ($p = 0.02$, Mann-Whitney) of prefusion RSVF-reactive antibodies were competed by Motavizumab in mouse sera primed with prefusion RSVF and boosted with NRM (mean % competition = $37.5 \pm 14.5\%$), as compared to mice immunized once or three times with prefusion RSVF ($21.5\% \pm 12.1\%$ or $19.5\% \pm 3.7\%$, respectively) (Figure 4d). Similarly, a competition ELISA revealed that a significantly larger fraction of overall RSVF reactivity was attributed to site II-specific antibodies upon heterologous boost, as compared to both control groups ($36.1\% \pm 2.5\%$ versus $22.6\% \pm 9.1\%$ or $14.4\% \pm 5.9\%$, respectively, $p = 0.002$ and $p < 0.0001$, Mann-Whitney). In contrast, site II-specific antibodies were significantly higher in mice that received only one as opposed to three RSVF immunizations, indicating that RSVF boosting immunizations depleted site II-specific antibody titers ($p = 0.03$, Mann-Whitney) (Figure 4e).

Together, we have shown that the serum antibody specificity can be steered towards antigenic site II by boosting with NRM under conditions of pre-existing immunity, and thereby increase site II-specific antibody levels *in vivo*. This is an important and distinctive feature of NRM compared to prefusion RSVF, which was shown to decrease Palivizumab-like antibody responses under the same conditions. These results may have broad implications on strategies to control antibody fine specificities in vaccination schemes, both for RSV and other pathogens.

Figure 4:

Heterologous prime boost reshapes antibody responses enhancing levels of

site II specific antibodies. a) Heterologous prime-boost study groups. Three mouse

cohorts were immunized with either 1x RSVF ("prime only"), 3x RSVF ("homologous

boost") or 1x RSVF followed by two boosts with NRM ("heterologous boost"). **b)**

Antibody titers directed against prefusion RSVF. Mice receiving homologous boosting

immunizations show slightly higher RSVF-specific serum titers compared to the prime

only cohort, whereas heterologous boosting yielded statistically comparable titers to

the prime only group. The difference between the homologous and heterologous boost

cohorts was statistically significant. **c)** Site II-specific titers measured by ELISA

showed that the heterologous boost significantly increases site II-specific titers

compared to both prime and homologous boost groups. Albeit not statistically significant (p = 0.06), mice receiving a homologous boost had lower levels of site II-specific antibodies compared to prime only group. **d)** SPR competition assay with Motavizumab on a prefusion RSVF-coated sensor chip. Sera from indicated groups were diluted 1:100 and RSVF binding responses were quantified. Site II was then blocked with Motavizumab, and the remaining serum response quantified. The heterologous boost induced a significantly higher fraction of site II-directed antibodies competed with Motavizumab for RSVF binding, as compared to both prime only and homologous boost groups). **e)** Quantification of site II-specific responses in a competition ELISA. Binding was measured against prefusion RSVF, and the Area Under the Curve (AUC) was calculated in presence of NRM competitor, normalized to the AUC in the presence of RSVN as a control competitor. Compared to the prime only group, the homologous boost resulted in significantly lower site II-specific serum titers, confirming the trend observed in c). The heterologous boost increased the fraction of site II-targeting antibodies within the pool of prefusion RSVF-specific antibodies compared to both control groups. Data presented are from at least two independent experiments, with each sample assayed in duplicates. Statistical comparisons were calculated using two-tailed Mann-Whitney U tests. * indicates $p<0.05$, ** indicates $p < 0.01$, *** indicates $p < 0.0001$, **** $p < 0.0001$.

<u>Boosted antibodies neutralize RSV *in vitro*</u>

The enhanced reactivity to site II observed in the heterologous prime-boost scheme

led us to investigate if changing the nature of the immune response had functional

consequences upon RSV neutralization. Overall, we observed 2.3-fold higher serum

neutralization titers in mice receiving a heterologous boost (mean IC_{50}=7654)

compared to the prime only control group (mean IC_{50}=3275) (Figure 5a). While the

neutralization titer difference in these two groups (prime vs. heterologous boost) did

not reach statistical significance, the homologous prime boost was significantly

improved relative to the prime only (mean IC_{50} = 10,827 vs mean IC_{50} = 3,276) and

interestingly, we also did not observe a statistically significance between the

homologous boost and the heterologous boost cohort (mean IC_{50} = 10,827 vs. mean

IC_{50} = 7,654).

Next, we assessed if this increase in neutralization was driven by increased levels of

Palivizumab-like antibodies. We observed that site II-directed antibody levels

correlated with overall serum neutralization titers in the heterologous prime boost

group (r^2=0.76, p=0.0009) (Figure 5b), whereas prime only (r^2=0.32, p=0.09) or

animals receiving a homologous boost showed no such correlation (r^2 = 0.18, p=0.22)

(Supplementary Figure 4). To characterize the neutralizing serum activity dependence

on antigenic site II, we pooled mouse sera within each cohort, enriched site II-specific

antibodies and measured neutralization (see methods). Briefly, we incubated pooled

sera from each group with streptavidin beads conjugated to biotin-labeled antigenic

site II peptide, and eluted bound antibodies. To control for the quality of the enrichment

protocol, we verified by ELISA that the column flow-through was depleted of site II-

specific antibodies (Supplementary Figure 5).

Strikingly, mice receiving a heterologous boost showed a 15-fold increase in site II-

mediated neutralization as compared to mice immunized once or three times with

prefusion RSVF (Figure 5c). We performed the same experiment for mice immunized

three times with NRM and did not detect any RSV neutralization in this format. This

observation is consistent with the very low levels of bulk sera neutralization measured

in this group, indicating that NRM can only boost nAbs under conditions of pre-existing

immunity. In summary, we conclude that the heterologous boosting scheme with a

single epitope immunogen enhanced subdominant neutralizing antibody responses

directed against the antigenic site presented, and effectively redirected an antibody-

based immune response *in vivo*.

Fig. 5

495
496   Figure 5

Boosted site II-specific antibodies are functional and mediate increased neutralization activity. a) *In vitro* RSV neutralization IC_{50} for each group. Compared to the prime only group, mice receiving a homologous boost showed increased RSV neutralization titers. On average, the heterologous boost yielded a 2.3-fold increase in serum neutralization titers compared to prime only, but these differences were statistically not significant when compared to either group. **b)** Correlation of site II-specific serum titer (measured by peptide ELISA) with RSV neutralization IC_{50} as determined for each mouse within the heterologous prime boost cohort. Correlations for control groups are shown in Supplementary Figure 4. Data represent the mean of two independent experiments, each measured in duplicate. Pearson correlation coefficient (r^2) and p-value were calculated in GraphPad Prism. **c)** Site II-specific antibody fractionation revealed increased levels of nAbs. Site II-specific antibodies from mouse sera were enriched in an affinity purification. Those isolated from the heterologous boost group showed a 15-fold increase in RSV neutralizing activity compared to both control groups. No site II-mediated neutralization was detected for mice receiving three immunizations of NRM (N.D. = non-detectable). Data are

513   presented from two independent experiments, and each sample was assayed in

514   duplicate with additional controls shown in Supplementary Figure 5. Statistical

515   comparisons were calculated using two-tailed Mann-Whitney U tests. * indicates $p <$

516   0.05.

Discussion

Despite a rapid increase in our atomic-level understanding of antibody-antigen interactions for various pathogens, the translation of structural information into efficacious immunogens eliciting an antibody response specific to *bona fide* epitopes remains a key challenge for next-generation vaccine development. In recent years, numerous nAbs have been identified that target different epitopes on pre- and postfusion RSVF, where prefusion-specific nAbs in particular have been proposed for further clinical development and provide a plethora of valuable vaccine design targets (*52*). Despite these advances, the proven clinical success of Palivizumab (*53*) supports the induction of high levels of Palivizumab-like antibodies as a key route of vaccine development for both naïve and RSV seropositive individuals.

Epitope scaffolds have been shown to hold great potential to elicit RSV antigenic site II-specific, neutralizing antibody responses in naïve non-human primates, and an elicited monoclonal antibody showed superior neutralization potency to that of Palivizumab (*21*). However, a major limitation of epitope scaffolds or peptide-based vaccine approaches (*54-56*) is that the quaternary environment of the epitope in its viral protein is lost, and thereby the binding mode of a significant fraction of the antibodies elicited is likely incompatible with the epitope in its native environment. This observation is reinforced by our finding that although NRM elicited high serum levels of site II-directed antibodies, only residual RSV neutralizing activity was observed, which is consistent with the results of several previous studies using epitope scaffolds (*56-58*). This presents a major limitation to the use of synthetic scaffolds in an epitope-focused vaccine approach in naïve individuals.

However, some of the most relevant target populations for RSV vaccination (both pregnant women and the elderly) have pre-existing humoral responses against RSVF, including to site II (*59*). To date, boosting nAbs targeting specific epitopes under conditions of pre-existing immunity has proven challenging, particularly because a strong antibody response against immunodominant epitopes that structurally surround a subdominant neutralization epitope can sterically mask the neutralization epitope, preventing a subdominant epitope from mounting a potent antibody response (*27, 29, 30, 60*). Overcoming immunodominance hierarchies under conditions of pre-existing

551 immunity has proven challenging both for RSV, Influenza, and others, and such
552 hierarchies seem to be impacted by multiple factors including serological antibody
553 levels, their specificity, memory B-cell counts, adjuvants, as well as the immunization
554 or infection route (*28*).
555

Heterologous prime-boost schemes are a promising strategy to guide the fine
specificity of antibody responses and to focus these responses on particularly
vulnerable antigenic sites. Several vaccine studies have been conducted for
pathogens such as Influenza (*37, 38*), RSV (*35*) and HIV (*32*), where the heterologous
immunogens were alternative strains or modified versions of the viral fusion proteins,
yet not heterologous to the same degree as a computationally designed, single epitope
scaffold. An important point to consider regarding immunogens based on modified
versions of viral proteins is whether immunodominant signatures do not actually
remain, and thereby steer the antibody responses away from the target epitopes.
While this scenario may not be fully absent in the synthetic epitope-scaffolds, it is at
least mitigated by the fact that the protein has not evolved under the pressure of
escaping the immune system.
568

Another key aspect in heterologous prime-boost schemes is to maintain the structural
integrity of the neutralizing epitope in both the priming and heterologous boosting
immunogens. FFL_001 has been thoroughly structurally characterized and presents
a very close mimicry of the site II epitope in RSVF (*21*). Importantly, a recent study by
Mousa et al. (*61*) has identified non-neutralizing antibodies that can compete with
Palivizumab by binding to a nearby epitope, and a large-scale sequence analysis has
suggested that the presence of similar antibodies may be common in the human RSV
immune response. However, as the site II epitope scaffold does not contain this non-
neutralizing site, their study demonstrated that FFL_001 can distinguish site II nAbs
from their non-neutralizing competitors (*61*). Using an extended panel of site II specific,
potent nAbs, we could confirm that the epitope scaffold binds with extremely high
affinity to multiple site II nAbs, leading us to speculate that NRM could recall site II-
specific memory B-cells *in vivo*, thereby boosting site II-specific serum titers. Given
the very low serum titers specific for antigenic site II following a prefusion RSVF prime,
it was questionable whether NRM could boost these subdominant antibody levels.
However, previous studies with HIV immunogens have shown that a very low number

of epitope-specific B-cells (1 out of 1,000,000) is sufficient to be selected and recruited
to the germinal center by a multimeric immunogen that binds with high affinity to the
target B-cell receptor (*57*). Indeed, we found that under conditions where site II-
specific antibodies are subdominant, a heterologous boost can redirect serum
antibody specificity towards increased site II recognition. Importantly, these antibodies
competed with Motavizumab for RSVF binding, confirming their recognition of the
epitope in its native quaternary context. In contrast, repeated immunization with
prefusion RSVF failed to direct antibodies towards antigenic site II.

This study demonstrates that the number of neutralization epitopes in a heterologous
boosting immunogen can be reduced to a single, well-defined site; which, if the
immunogen is optimally presented, can enhance pre-existing, subdominant antibody
responses targeting this epitope. The ability to narrowly focus antibody responses to
a single, well-understood epitope that mediates clinical protection once it is targeted
by sufficient antibody titers is an important milestone for RSV vaccine development.

Given that this approach is generalizable and that epitope scaffold nanoparticles have
proven successful in boosting nAbs specific for other sites, this strategy holds great
potential to tune levels of antibody specificities depending on the target population.
Goodwin et al. have shown that young infants preferentially develop RSV site III-
specific antibodies that lack somatic hypermutation (*48*). Consequently, vaccine
antigens given to pregnant women should primarily induce antibodies targeting
structurally distal antigenic sites in order to avoid interference with an immune
response mounted by the infant. Given these specific antibody lineage requirements
and the previously failed clinical trial in infants (*62*), its seems unlikely that a vaccine
based solely on prefusion RSVF could be maximally effective in all target populations.
The addition of precision immunogens to spotlight different antigenic sites tailored to
a specific patient population may increase the efficacy of prefusion RSVF-based
vaccines. An increasing structural and immunological understanding of antibody
specificities will help inform the design of novel immunogens and appropriate
immunization regimens, allowing the tailoring of vaccine-induced antibody responses
in different target populations.

However, our results show that the success of this boosting strategy is dependent on
the presence of a low but detectable amount of site II-specific antibodies elicited by
prefusion RSVF. Although three immunizations with NRM yielded even higher site II
peptide-specific antibodies, these antibodies were largely non-neutralizing. Further
studies in more relevant animal models will reveal if natural infection with RSV can
also serve as appropriate prime for subsequent boosting of site II-nAbs, as well as for
other RSV neutralization epitopes.

Projecting beyond the RSV vaccine problem, these results provide a strong rationale
for developing precision immunogens that present conserved, neutralization-sensitive
sites of other pathogens. For example, multiple epitopes on the Influenza
hemagglutinin head and stem region that confer broad and potent antibody-mediated
neutralization have been identified. Focusing antibody responses on these defined
epitopes may remove the need for annual vaccine reformulation, and may also protect
against emerging pandemic strains (*14, 51, 63, 64*). The Influenza vaccine challenge
seems particularly well suited to our approach considering that the human population
has pre-existing immunity to Influenza, including some subdominant bnAbs that
seasonal vaccines fail to stimulate (*27*). Additionally, antigenically related viruses such
as Zika and Dengue pose a major challenge for vaccine development, as antibodies
mounted against the envelope protein of a Dengue subtype can facilitate infection with
Zika (*65*) or other Dengue subtypes. A site conserved between all four Dengue
subtypes and Zika envelope protein has been structurally characterized and
suggested for the development of an epitope-focused immunogen (*7*).

When seeking to apply an immunofocusing strategy to other antigenic sites and
pathogens, one challenge is the development of epitope scaffolds stably presenting
the epitope in a synthetic immunogen that is compatible with antibody binding. While
the RSV antigenic site II is a structurally simple helix-turn-helix motif, many other
identified neutralization epitopes comprise multiple, discontinuous segments.
However, continuous advances in rational protein design techniques (*66*) will allow the
design of more complex protein scaffolds to stabilize increasingly complex epitopes.

Altogether, we have shown the development of an optimized RSV epitope scaffold
nanoparticle able to boost subdominant, neutralizing antibody responses *in vivo* that

target site II, an epitope of utmost clinical relevance. This is a distinctive feature compared to using prefusion RSVF as a boosting immunogen, and underscores how subdominant epitopes can be converted to immunodominant epitopes when presented in a different environment. We foresee the great promise of this strategy to overcome the challenge of boosting and focusing pre-existing immunity towards defined neutralization epitopes, potentially applicable to multiple pathogens.

Methods

Resurfacing

The previously published RSV site II epitope scaffold ("FFL_001") (*21*) was designed based on a crystal structure of a mutant of ribosome recycling factor from *E. coli* (PDB entry 1ISE. Using BLAST, we identified sequence homologs of 1ISE from eukaryotic organisms and created a multiple sequence alignment with clustal omega (CLUSTALO (1.2.1)) (*67*) of the mouse homolog sequence (NCBI reference NP_080698.1), 1ISE and FFL_001. Surface-exposed residues of FFL_001 were then mutated to the respective residue of the mouse homolog using the Rosetta fixed backbone design application (*43*), resulting in 38 surface mutations. Amino acid changes were verified to not impact overall Rosetta energy score term.

Protein expression and purification

FFLM

DNA sequences of the epitope-scaffold designs were purchased from Genscript and cloned in pET29b, in frame with a C-terminal 6x His tag. The plasmid was transformed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) and grown in Terrific Broth supplemented with Kanamycin (50 µg/ml). Cultures were inoculated to an OD_{600} of 0.1 from an overnight culture and incubated at 37°C. After reaching OD_{600} of 0.6, expression was induced by the addition of 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) and cells were incubated for further 4-5h at 37°C. Cell pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM TRIS, pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5% Glycerol, 1 mg/ml lysozyme, 1 mM PMSF, 1 µg/ml DNase) and sonicated on ice for a total of 12 minutes, in intervals of 15 seconds sonication followed by a 45 seconds pause. Lysates were clarified by centrifugation (18,000 rpm, 20 minutes), sterile-filtered and purified using a His-Trap FF column on an Äkta pure

system (GE healthcare). Bound proteins were eluted in buffer containing 50 mM Tris,
500 mM NaCl and 300 mM imidazole, pH 7.5. Concentrated proteins were further
purified by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex^{TM} 75 300/10 (GE
Healthcare) in PBS. Protein concentrations were determined via measuring the
absorbance at 280 nm on a Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific). Proteins were concentrated
by centrifugation (Millipore, #UFC900324) to 1 mg/ml, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen
and stored at -80°C.

NRM
The full-length N gene (sequence derived from the human RSV strain Long, ATCC
VR-26; GenBank accession number AY911262.1) was PCR amplified using the
Phusion DNA polymerase (Thermo Scientific) and cloned into pET28a+ at NcoI-XhoI
sites to obtain the pET-N plasmid. The sequence of FFLM was then PCR amplified
and cloned into pET-N at NcoI site to the pET-NRM plasmid. *E. coli* BL21 (DE3)
bacteria were co-transformed with pGEX-PCT (*68*) and pET-FFLM-N plasmids and
grown in LB medium containing ampicillin (100 µg/ml) and kanamycin (50 µg/ml). The
same volume of LB medium was then added, and protein expression was induced by
the addition of 0.33 mM IPTG to the medium. Bacteria were incubated for 15 h at 28°C
and then harvested by centrifugation. For protein purification, bacterial pellets were
resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.8, 60 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM
dithiothreitol, 0.2% Triton X-100, 1 mg/ml lysozyme) supplemented with a complete
protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche), incubated for one hour on ice, and disrupted by
sonication. The soluble fraction was collected by centrifugation at 4 °C for 30 min at
10,000 x g. Glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare) were added to clarify
supernatants and incubated at 4°C for 15h. The beads were then washed one time in
lysis buffer and two times in 20 mM Tris pH 8.5, 150 mM NaCl. To isolate NRM, beads
containing bound complex were incubated with thrombine for 16 h at 20 °C. After
cleavage of the GST tag, the supernatant was loaded onto a Sephacryl S-200 HR
16/30 column (GE Healthcare) and eluted in 20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 8.5.

Antibody variable fragments (Fabs)
For Fab expression, heavy and light chain DNA sequences were purchased from Twist
Biosciences and cloned separately into the pHLSec mammalian expression vector
(Addgene, #99845) using AgeI and XhoI restriction sites. Expression plasmids were

pre-mixed in a 1:1 stoichiometric ratio, co-transfected into HEK293-F cells and

cultured in FreeStyle™ medium (Gibco, #12338018). Supernatants were harvested

after one week by centrifugation and purified using a kappa-select column (GE

Healthcare). Elution of bound proteins was conducted using 0.1 M glycine buffer (pH

2.7) and eluates were immediately neutralized by the addition of 1 M Tris ethylamine

(pH 9), followed by buffer exchange to PBS pH 7.4.

Respiratory Syncytial Virus Fusion protein (prefusion RSVF)

Protein sequence of prefusion RSVF corresponds to the sc9-10 DS-Cav1 A149C

Y458C S46G E92D S215P K465Q variant designed by Joyce et al. (*45*), which we

refer to as RSVF DS2. RSVF DS2 was codon optimized for mammalian expression

and cloned into the pHCMV-1 vector together with two C-terminal Strep-Tag II and

one 8x His tag. Plasmids were transfected in HEK293-F cells and cultured in

FreeStyle™ medium. Supernatants were harvested one week after transfection and

purified via Ni-NTA affinity chromatography. Bound protein was eluted using buffer

containing 10 mM Tris, 500 mM NaCl and 300 mM Imidazole (pH 7.5), and eluate was

further purified on a StrepTrap HP affinity column (GE Healthcare). Bound protein was

eluted in 10mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl and 20 mM Desthiobiotin (Sigma), pH 8, and size

excluded in PBS, pH 7.4, on a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column (GE

Healthcare) to obtain trimeric RSVF.

Affinity determination using Surface Plasmon Resonance

Surface Plasmon Resonance experiments were performed on a Biacore 8K at room

temperature with HBS-EP+ running buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 3

mM EDTA, 0.005 % v/v Surfactant P20) (GE Healthcare). Approximately 100

response units (RU) of FFLM were immobilized via amine coupling on a CM5 sensor

chip (GE Healthcare). Serial dilutions of site II-specific antibody variable fragments

(fabs) were injected as analyte at a flow rate of 30 µl/min with 120 seconds contact

time. Following each injection cycle, ligand regeneration was performed using 0.1 M

glycine, pH 2. Data analysis was performed using 1:1 Langmuir binding kinetic fits

within the Biacore evaluation software (GE Healthcare).

Mouse immunizations

All animal experiments were approved by the Veterinary Authority of the Canton of
Vaud (Switzerland) according to Swiss regulations of animal welfare (animal protocol
number 3074). Six-week-old, female Balb/c mice were ordered from Janvier labs and
acclimatized for one week. Immunogens were thawed on ice and diluted in PBS pH
7.4 to a concentration of 0.2 mg/ml. The immunogens were then mixed with an equal
volume of 2% Alhydrogel® (Invivogen), resulting in a final Alhydrogel concentration
of 1%. Other adjuvants were formulated according to manufacturer's instructions.
After mixing immunogens and adjuvants for one hour at 4°C, each mouse was
injected with 100 μl, corresponding to 10 μg immunogen adsorbed to Alhydrogel. All
immunizations were done subcutaneously, with no visible irritation around the
injection site. Immunizations were performed on day 0, 21 and 42. 100-200 μl blood
were drawn on day 0, 14, 35, and the maximum amount of blood (200-1000μl) was
taken by cardiac puncture at day 56, when mice were sacrificed.
766
Antigen ELISA
Nunc Medisorp plates (Thermo Scientific, # 467320) were coated overnight at 4°C with
100 μl of antigen (recombinant RSVF, FFLM and NRM) diluted in coating buffer (100
mM sodium bicarbonate, pH 9) at a final concentration of 0.5 μg/ml. For blocking,
plates were incubated for two hours at room temperature with blocking buffer (PBS +
0.05% Tween 20 (PBST) supplemented with 5% skim milk powder (Sigma, #70166)).
Mouse sera were serially diluted in blocking buffer and incubated for one hour at room
temperature. Plates were washed five times with PBST before adding 100 μl of anti-
mouse HRP-conjugated secondary antibody diluted at 1:1500 in blocking buffer
(abcam, #ab99617). An additional five washes were performed before adding Pierce
TMB substrate (Thermo Scientific, # 34021). The reaction was stopped by adding 100
μl of 2M sulfuric acid, and absorbance at 450 nm was measured on a Tecan Safire 2
plate reader.
Each plate contained a standard curve of Motavizumab to normalize signals between
different plates and experiments. Normalization was done in GraphPad Prism. The
mean value was plotted for each cohort and statistical analysis was performed using
GraphPad Prism.
784
785 Competition ELISA

Prior to incubation with a coated antigen plate, sera were serially diluted in the presence of 100 μg/ml competitor antigen and incubated overnight at 4°C. ELISA curves of a positive control, Motavizumab, are shown in Supplementary Figure 6. Curves were plotted using GraphPad Prism, and the area under the curve (AUC) was calculated for the specific (NRM) and control (RSVN) competitor. % competition was calculated using the following formula (*69*):

$$\% \text{ competition} = \left(1 - \left(\frac{AUC(specific\ competitor\ (NRM))}{AUC(control\ competitor\ (NR))}\right)\right) * 100$$

Peptide sandwich ELISA

The antigenic site II was synthesized as peptide by JPT Peptide Technologies, Germany. The following sequence was synthesized and biotinylated at the N-terminus:

MLTNSELLSKINDMPITNDQKKLMSNNVQI

For ELISA analysis of peptide-reactive serum antibodies, Nunc MediSorp plates were coated with 5 μg/ml streptavidin (Thermo Scientific, #21122) for one hour at 37°C. Subsequently, ELISA plates were blocked as indicated above, followed by the addition of 2.4 μg/ml of the biotinylated site II peptide. Coupling was performed for one hour at room temperature. The subsequent steps were performed as described for the antigen ELISA.

Serum competition using Surface Plasmon Resonance

Approximately 300 RU of antigen were immobilized via amine coupling on a CM5 chip. Mouse sera were diluted 1:100 in HBS-EP+ running buffer and flowed as analyte with a contact time of 120 seconds to obtain an initial response unit ($RU_{\text{non-blocked surface}}$). The surface was regenerated using 50 mM NaOH. Sequentially, Motavizumab was injected four times at a concentration of 2 μM, leading to complete blocking of Motavizumab binding sites as confirmed by signal saturation. The same serum dilution was reinjected to determine the remaining response ($RU_{\text{blocked surface}}$). The delta serum response (ΔSR) corresponds to the baseline-subtracted, maximum signal of the injected sera.

$$\Delta SR = RU_{\text{(non-)blocked surface}} - RU_{\text{Baseline}}$$

Percent blocking was calculated as follows:

$$\% \text{ blocking} = (1 - (\frac{\Delta \text{SR}_{\text{blocked surface}}}{\Delta \text{SR}_{\text{non-blocked surface}}})) * 100$$

A schematic representation of the SPR experiment is shown in Supplementary Figure

7, and calculated blocking values are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

<u>Enzyme-linked immunospot assay (ELISPOT)</u>

B-cell ELISPOT assays were performed using the Mouse IgG ELISpot HRP kit

(Mabtech, #3825-2H) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, mouse

spleens were isolated, and pressed through a cell strainer (Corning, #352350) to

obtain a single cell suspension. Splenocytes were resuspended in RPMI media

(Gibco, #11875093) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco), Penicillin/Streptomycin

(Gibco), 0.01 µg/ml IL2, 1 µg/ml R848 (Mabtech, #3825-2H) and 50 µM β-

mercaptoethanol (Sigma) for ~60 hours stimulation at 37 °C, 5% CO_2. ELISpot plates

(PVDF 96-well plates, Millipore, #MSIPS4510) were coated overnight with 15 µg/ml

antigen diluted in PBS, followed by careful washing and blocking using RPMI + 10%

FBS. Live splenocytes were counted and the cell number was adjusted to $1x10^7$

cells/ml. Serial dilutions of splenocytes were plated in duplicates and incubated

overnight with coated plates. After several wash steps with PBS buffer, plates were

incubated for two hours with biotinylated anti-mouse total IgG (Mabtech, # 3825-6-

250) in PBS, followed by incubation with streptavidin-conjugated to HRP (Mabtech,

#3310-9) for one hour. Spots were revealed using tetramethylbenzidine (TMB,

Mabtech, #3651-10) and counted with an automatic reader (Bioreader 2000; BioSys

GmbH). Results were represented as number of spots per 10^6 splenocytes.

<u>RSV neutralization assay</u>

The RSV A2 strain carrying a luciferase gene (RSV-Luc) was a kind gift of Marie-Anne

Rameix-Welti, UFR des Sciences et de la Santé, Paris. Hep2 cells were seeded in

Corning 96-well tissue culture plates (Sigma, #CLS3595) at a density of 40,000

cells/well in 100 µl of Minimum Essential Medium (MEM, Gibco, #11095-080)

supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco, 10500-084), L-glutamine 2 mM (Gibco, #25030-

081) and penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco, #15140-122), and grown overnight at 37 °C

with 5% CO2.

Sera were heat-inactivated for 30 minutes at 56 °C. Serial two-fold dilutions were

prepared in an untreated 96-well plate using MEM without phenol red (M0, Life

Technologies, #51200-038) containing 2mM L-glutamine, penicillin + streptomycin,

and mixed with 800 pfu/well RSV-Luc (corresponding to a final MOI of 0.01). After

incubating diluted sera and virus for one hour at 37 °C, growth media was removed

from the Hep2 cell layer and 100 μl/well of the serum-virus mixture added. After 48

hours, cells were lysed in 100 μl buffer containing 32 mM Tris pH 7.9, 10 mM $MgCl_2$,

1.25% Triton X-100, 18.75% glycerol and 1mM DTT. 50 μl lysate were transferred to

a 96-well plate with white background (Sigma, # CLS3912). 50 μl of lysis buffer

supplemented with 1 μg/ml luciferin (Sigma, #L-6882) and 2 mM ATP (Sigma, #A3377)

were added to each well immediately before reading luminescence signal on a Tecan

Infinite 500 plate reader.

On each plate, a Palivizumab dilution series was included to ensure comparability of

neutralization data. In our assay, we determined IC_{50} values for Palivizumab of 0.32

μg/ml, which is similar to what other groups have reported (*44*). The neutralization

curve was plotted and fitted using the GraphPad variable slope fitting model, weighted

by $1/Y^2$.

<u>Sera fractionation</u>

400 μl of streptavidin agarose beads (Thermo Scientific, #20347) were pelleted at

13,000 rpm for 2 minutes in a table top centrifuge and washed with phosphate buffered

saline (PBS). 200 μg of biotinylated site II peptide were incubated for 2 hours at room

temperature to allow coupling of biotinylated peptide to streptavidin beads. Beads

were washed three times with 1 ml PBS to remove excess of peptide and resuspended

to a total volume of 500 μl bead slurry. Mouse sera from the same cohort (n=10) were

pooled (4 μl each, 40 μl total) in a total volume of 200 μl PBS, and 90 μl diluted sera

were mixed with 150 μl of bead slurry, followed by an overnight incubation at 4 °C.

Beads were pelleted by centrifugation and the supernatant carefully removed by

pipetting. Beads were then washed twice with 200 μl PBS and the wash fractions were

discarded. To elute site II-specific antibodies, beads were resuspended in 200 μl

elution buffer (0.1 M glycine, pH 2.7) and incubated for 1 minute before centrifugation.

Supernatant was removed, neutralized with 40 μl neutralization buffer (1 M Tris pH

7.5, 300 mM NaCl), and stored at -20 °C for subsequent testing for RSV neutralization.

As a control, unconjugated streptavidin was used for each sample to account for non-

specific binding.

Next-generation antibody repertoire sequencing (NGS)

RNA isolation

Mouse bone marrow was isolated from femurs and re-suspended in 1.5 ml Trizol (Life

Technologies, #15596) and stored at -80˚C until further processing. RNA extraction

was performed using the PureLink RNA Mini Kit (Life Technologies, #12183018A)

following the manufacturer guidelines.

Antibody sequencing library preparation

Library preparation for antibody variable heavy chain regions was performed using a

protocol that incorporates unique molecular identifier (UID) tagging, as previously

described in Khan *et al.* (*70*). Briefly, first-strand cDNA synthesis was performed by

using Maxima reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies, #EP0742) following the

manufacturer instructions, using 5 μg RNA with 20 pmol of IgG gene-specific primers

(binding IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b, IgG2c, and IgG3) with an overhang of a reverse UID

(RID). After cDNA synthesis, samples were subjected to a left-hand sided SPRIselect

bead (Beckman Coulter, #B23318) cleanup at 0.8X. Quantification of target-specific

cDNA by a digital droplet (dd)PCR assay allowed exact input of 135000 copies into

the next PCR step. Reaction mixtures contained a forward multiplex primer set that

was specific for variable heavy region framework 1 and possessed forward UID (FID),

a 3' Illumina adapter specific reverse primer, and 1X KAPA HIFI HotStart Uracil+

ReadyMix (KAPA Biosystems, #KK2802). PCR reactions were then left-hand side

SPRIselect bead cleaned as before and quantified using ddPCR assay. Finally, an

Illumina adaptor-extension PCR step was carried out using 820000 copies of the

previous PCR product. Following 2nd-step adaptor-extension PCR, reactions were

cleaned using a double-sided SPRIselect bead cleanup process (0.5X-0.8X) and

eluted in TE buffer.

NGS with Illumina MiSeq (2 x 300 bp)

After library preparation, individual NGS libraries were characterized for quality and

quantified by capillary electrophoresis using a Fragment Analyzer (Advanced

Analytical DNF-473 Standard Sensitivity). Samples were then pooled and NGS was

performed on the Illumina MiSeq platform with a MiSeq Reagent Kit V3, 2x300bp

paired-end (Illumina, #MS-102-3003), using an input concentration of 10 pM with 10%

PhiX.

Error and bias correction

Error and bias correction was performed using molecular amplification fingerprinting

pipeline, as previously described (*70, 71*).

1) Bioinformatic preprocessing

Paired-end FASTQ files obtained from Illumina MiSeq were imported into CLC

Genomics Workbench 10 on the ETH Zurich Euler High Performance Computing

(HPC) cluster. A preprocessing workflow was run containing the following steps:

trimming of low quality reads, merging of paired-end reads, removal of sequences not

aligning to mouse IGH constant sequences, and length filtering.

2) Error correction by consensus building

After pre-processing all datasets were downsampled to contain the same amount of

sequencing reads as the dataset with the lowest overall number of reads (361749

sequencing reads). For error correction, a custom Python script was used to perform

consensus building on the sequences for which at least three reads per UID were

required. VDJ annotation and frequency calculation was then performed by our in-

house aligner (*70, 71*). The complete error-correction and alignment pipeline is

available under https://gitlab.ethz.ch/reddy/MAF.

Sequence analysis and data visualization

Data analysis was done by customized scripts in R. For the identification of clonotypes

hierarchical clustering (*71*) was utilized to group CDR3 sequences together. The

following parameters were used: identical IGHV and IGHJ gene segment usage,

identical CDR3 length, and at least 80% CDR3 amino acid similarity to one other

sequence in the given clonotype (single linkage). The overlap of clonotypes between

both cohorts was analyzed by extracting the 20 most expanded clonotypes from each

cohort and visualizing their size, occurrence, and Vgene usage by a circos plot using R software circlize (*72*). CDR3 sequence similarities between overlapping clonotypes were represented graphically with the R software motifStack (*73*). Scripts used for analysis are available under https://github.com/luciacsep/EpitopeFocussing.

Data availability

Data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its Supplementary Information, or are available from the authors upon request.

Contributions

F.S. and B.E.C. designed experiments. M.G. expressed and purified RSVN and NRM fusion protein. F.S., C.Y., S.S.V and P.C performed ELISAs. F.S. designed and performed SPR competition assay. F.S., C.Y and P.C performed ELISpot experiments, S.S.V, P.C and S.R performed mouse immunizations. L.C and S.F performed next generation sequencing analysis. F.S., J.B. and P.G. designed FFLM. F.S., P.C and M.C. performed RSV neutralization assay. F.S. and B.E.C wrote the paper. All authors commented on the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Stefan Kunz for helpful discussions and critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by the Swiss initiative for systems biology (SystemsX.ch), the European Research Council (Starting grant - 716058) and the Swiss National Science Foundation.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

1.   R. Rappuoli, C. W. Mandl, S. Black, E. De Gregorio, Vaccines for the twenty-first century society. *Nat Rev Immunol* **11**, 865-872 (2011).
2.   S. A. Plotkin, Correlates of protection induced by vaccination. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* **17**, 1055-1065 (2010).
3.   D. R. Burton, L. Hangartner, Broadly Neutralizing Antibodies to HIV and Their Role in Vaccine Design. *Annu Rev Immunol* **34**, 635-659 (2016).

4.   P. S. Lee, I. A. Wilson, Structural characterization of viral epitopes recognized by
broadly cross-reactive antibodies. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* **386**, 323-341 (2015).
5.   M. S. Gilman *et al.*, Rapid profiling of RSV antibody repertoires from the memory B
cells of naturally infected adult donors. *Sci Immunol* **1**, (2016).
6.   J. S. McLellan, Neutralizing epitopes on the respiratory syncytial virus fusion
glycoprotein. *Curr Opin Virol* **11**, 70-75 (2015).
7.   G. Barba-Spaeth *et al.*, Structural basis of potent Zika-dengue virus antibody cross-
neutralization. *Nature* **536**, 48-53 (2016).
8.   D. F. Robbiani *et al.*, Recurrent Potent Human Neutralizing Antibodies to Zika Virus in
Brazil and Mexico. *Cell* **169**, 597-609 e511 (2017).
9.   M. Xu *et al.*, A potent neutralizing antibody with therapeutic potential against all
four serotypes of dengue virus. *NPJ Vaccines* **2**, 2 (2017).
10.   Z. A. Bornholdt *et al.*, Isolation of potent neutralizing antibodies from a survivor of
the 2014 Ebola virus outbreak. *Science* **351**, 1078-1083 (2016).
11.   S. Chandramouli *et al.*, Structural basis for potent antibody-mediated neutralization
of human cytomegalovirus. *Sci Immunol* **2**, (2017).
12.   K. M. Hastie *et al.*, Structural basis for antibody-mediated neutralization of Lassa
virus. *Science* **356**, 923-928 (2017).
13.   S. C. Harrison, Viral membrane fusion. *Virology* **479-480**, 498-507 (2015).
14.   D. Corti *et al.*, A neutralizing antibody selected from plasma cells that binds to group
1 and group 2 influenza A hemagglutinins. *Science* **333**, 850-856 (2011).
15.   J. S. McLellan *et al.*, Structure of RSV fusion glycoprotein trimer bound to a
prefusion-specific neutralizing antibody. *Science* **340**, 1113-1117 (2013).
16.   D. R. Burton, Antibodies, viruses and vaccines. *Nat Rev Immunol* **2**, 706-713 (2002).
17.   D. R. Burton, What Are the Most Powerful Immunogen Design Vaccine Strategies?
Reverse Vaccinology 2.0 Shows Great Promise. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **9**,
(2017).
18.   J. S. McLellan *et al.*, Structure-based design of a fusion glycoprotein vaccine for
respiratory syncytial virus. *Science* **342**, 592-598 (2013).
19.   A. Impagliazzo *et al.*, A stable trimeric influenza hemagglutinin stem as a broadly
protective immunogen. *Science* **349**, 1301-1306 (2015).
20.   H. M. Yassine *et al.*, Hemagglutinin-stem nanoparticles generate heterosubtypic
influenza protection. *Nat Med* **21**, 1065-1070 (2015).
21.   B. E. Correia *et al.*, Proof of principle for epitope-focused vaccine design. *Nature* **507**,
201-206 (2014).
22.   M. F. Delgado *et al.*, Lack of antibody affinity maturation due to poor Toll-like
receptor stimulation leads to enhanced respiratory syncytial virus disease. *Nat Med*
15, 34-41 (2009).
23.   A. M. Killikelly, M. Kanekiyo, B. S. Graham, Pre-fusion F is absent on the surface of
formalin-inactivated respiratory syncytial virus. *Sci Rep* **6**, 34108 (2016).
24.   I. Widjaja *et al.*, Characterization of Epitope-Specific Anti-Respiratory Syncytial Virus
(Anti-RSV) Antibody Responses after Natural Infection and after Vaccination with
Formalin-Inactivated RSV. *J Virol* **90**, 5965-5977 (2016).
25.   R. T. Stein *et al.*, Respiratory syncytial virus hospitalization and mortality: Systematic
review and meta-analysis. *Pediatr Pulmonol* **52**, 556-569 (2017).
26.   B. B. Finlay, G. McFadden, Anti-immunology: evasion of the host immune system by
bacterial and viral pathogens. *Cell* **124**, 767-782 (2006).

27. S. F. Andrews *et al.*, Immune history profoundly affects broadly protective B cell
responses to influenza. *Sci Transl Med* **7**, 316ra192 (2015).

28. D. Angeletti *et al.*, Defining B cell immunodominance to viruses. *Nat Immunol* **18**,
456-463 (2017).

29. V. I. Zarnitsyna *et al.*, Masking of antigenic epitopes by antibodies shapes the
humoral immune response to influenza. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **370**,
(2015).

30. V. I. Zarnitsyna, J. Lavine, A. Ellebedy, R. Ahmed, R. Antia, Multi-epitope Models
Explain How Pre-existing Antibodies Affect the Generation of Broadly Protective
Responses to Influenza. *PLoS Pathog* **12**, e1005692 (2016).

31. G. D. Victora, M. C. Nussenzweig, Germinal centers. *Annu Rev Immunol* **30**, 429-457
(2012).

32. B. Briney *et al.*, Tailored Immunogens Direct Affinity Maturation toward HIV
Neutralizing Antibodies. *Cell* **166**, 1459-1470 e1411 (2016).

33. J. G. Jardine *et al.*, HIV-1 VACCINES. Priming a broadly neutralizing antibody response
to HIV-1 using a germline-targeting immunogen. *Science* **349**, 156-161 (2015).

34. M. Silva *et al.*, Targeted Elimination of Immunodominant B Cells Drives the Germinal
Center Reaction toward Subdominant Epitopes. *Cell Rep* **21**, 3672-3680 (2017).

35. J. C. Boyington *et al.*, Structure-Based Design of Head-Only Fusion Glycoprotein
Immunogens for Respiratory Syncytial Virus. *PLoS One* **11**, e0159709 (2016).

36. G. A. Kirchenbaum, D. M. Carter, T. M. Ross, Sequential Infection in Ferrets with
Antigenically Distinct Seasonal H1N1 Influenza Viruses Boosts Hemagglutinin Stalk-
Specific Antibodies. *J Virol* **90**, 1116-1128 (2016).

37. K. Van Reeth *et al.*, Heterologous prime-boost vaccination with H3N2 influenza
viruses of swine favors cross-clade antibody responses and protection. *NPJ Vaccines*
2, (2017).

38. C. J. Wei *et al.*, Induction of broadly neutralizing H1N1 influenza antibodies by
vaccination. *Science* **329**, 1060-1064 (2010).

39. P. L. Herve *et al.*, RSV N-nanorings fused to palivizumab-targeted neutralizing
epitope as a nanoparticle RSV vaccine. *Nanomedicine* **13**, 411-420 (2017).

40. X. Roux *et al.*, Sub-nucleocapsid nanoparticles: a nasal vaccine against respiratory
syncytial virus. *PLoS One* **3**, e1766 (2008).

41. R. G. Tawar *et al.*, Crystal structure of a nucleocapsid-like nucleoprotein-RNA
complex of respiratory syncytial virus. *Science* **326**, 1279-1283 (2009).

42. B. E. Correia *et al.*, Computational protein design using flexible backbone remodeling
and resurfacing: case studies in structure-based antigen design. *J Mol Biol* **405**, 284-
297 (2011).

43. B. Kuhlman, D. Baker, Native protein sequences are close to optimal for their
structures. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **97**, 10383-10388 (2000).

44. H. Wu *et al.*, Development of motavizumab, an ultra-potent antibody for the
prevention of respiratory syncytial virus infection in the upper and lower respiratory
tract. *J Mol Biol* **368**, 652-665 (2007).

45. M. G. Joyce *et al.*, Iterative structure-based improvement of a fusion-glycoprotein
vaccine against RSV. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **23**, 811-820 (2016).

46. J. L. Xu, M. M. Davis, Diversity in the CDR3 region of V(H) is sufficient for most
antibody specificities. *Immunity* **13**, 37-45 (2000).

47. J. F. Scheid *et al.*, Sequence and structural convergence of broad and potent HIV
antibodies that mimic CD4 binding. *Science* **333**, 1633-1637 (2011).
48. E. Goodwin *et al.*, Infants Infected with Respiratory Syncytial Virus Generate Potent
Neutralizing Antibodies that Lack Somatic Hypermutation. *Immunity* **48**, 339-349
e335 (2018).
49. S. Friedensohn, T. A. Khan, S. T. Reddy, Advanced Methodologies in High-Throughput
Sequencing of Immune Repertoires. *Trends Biotechnol* **35**, 203-214 (2017).
50. L. J. Anderson *et al.*, Strategic priorities for respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) vaccine
development. *Vaccine* **31 Suppl 2**, B209-215 (2013).
51. N. L. Kallewaard *et al.*, Structure and Function Analysis of an Antibody Recognizing
All Influenza A Subtypes. *Cell* **166**, 596-608 (2016).
52. I. Rossey, J. S. McLellan, X. Saelens, B. Schepens, Clinical Potential of Prefusion RSV F-
specific Antibodies. *Trends Microbiol* **26**, 209-219 (2018).
53. A. Mejias, O. Ramilo, Review of palivizumab in the prophylaxis of respiratory
syncytial virus (RSV) in high-risk infants. *Biologics* **2**, 433-439 (2008).
54. G. Ofek *et al.*, Elicitation of structure-specific antibodies by epitope scaffolds. *Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 17880-17887 (2010).
55. N. Jaberolansar *et al.*, Induction of high titred, non-neutralising antibodies by self-
adjuvanting peptide epitopes derived from the respiratory syncytial virus fusion
protein. *Sci Rep* **7**, 11130 (2017).
56. J. S. McLellan *et al.*, Design and characterization of epitope-scaffold immunogens
that present the motavizumab epitope from respiratory syncytial virus. *J Mol Biol*
409, 853-866 (2011).
57. R. K. Abbott *et al.*, Precursor Frequency and Affinity Determine B Cell Competitive
Fitness in Germinal Centers, Tested with Germline-Targeting HIV Vaccine
Immunogens. *Immunity* **48**, 133-146 e136 (2018).
58. B. E. Correia *et al.*, Computational design of epitope-scaffolds allows induction of
antibodies specific for a poorly immunogenic HIV vaccine epitope. *Structure* **18**,
1116-1126 (2010).
59. J. O. Ngwuta *et al.*, Prefusion F-specific antibodies determine the magnitude of RSV
neutralizing activity in human sera. *Sci Transl Med* **7**, 309ra162 (2015).
60. S. F. Andrews *et al.*, High preexisting serological antibody levels correlate with
diversification of the influenza vaccine response. *J Virol* **89**, 3308-3317 (2015).
61. J. J. Mousa *et al.*, Structural basis for nonneutralizing antibody competition at
antigenic site II of the respiratory syncytial virus fusion protein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U
S A* **113**, E6849-E6858 (2016).
62. H. W. Kim *et al.*, Respiratory syncytial virus disease in infants despite prior
administration of antigenic inactivated vaccine. *Am J Epidemiol* **89**, 422-434 (1969).
63. D. C. Ekiert *et al.*, A highly conserved neutralizing epitope on group 2 influenza A
viruses. *Science* **333**, 843-850 (2011).
64. D. D. Raymond *et al.*, Conserved epitope on influenza-virus hemagglutinin head
defined by a vaccine-induced antibody. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **115**, 168-173 (2018).
65. W. Dejnirattisai *et al.*, Dengue virus sero-cross-reactivity drives antibody-dependent
enhancement of infection with zika virus. *Nat Immunol* **17**, 1102-1108 (2016).
66. A. Chevalier *et al.*, Massively parallel de novo protein design for targeted
therapeutics. *Nature* **550**, 74-79 (2017).

67. F. Sievers *et al.*, Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence
alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol Syst Biol* **7**, 539 (2011).
68. N. Castagne *et al.*, Biochemical characterization of the respiratory syncytial virus P-P
and P-N protein complexes and localization of the P protein oligomerization domain.
J Gen Virol **85**, 1643-1653 (2004).
69. D. W. Kulp *et al.*, Structure-based design of native-like HIV-1 envelope trimers to
silence non-neutralizing epitopes and eliminate CD4 binding. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1655
(2017).
70. T. A. Khan *et al.*, Accurate and predictive antibody repertoire profiling by molecular
amplification fingerprinting. *Sci Adv* **2**, e1501371 (2016).
71. S. Friedensohn *et al.*, Synthetic Standards Combined With Error and Bias Correction
Improve the Accuracy and Quantitative Resolution of Antibody Repertoire
Sequencing in Human Naive and Memory B Cells. *Front Immunol* **9**, 1401 (2018).
72. Z. Gu, L. Gu, R. Eils, M. Schlesner, B. Brors, circlize Implements and enhances circular
visualization in R. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2811-2812 (2014).
73. J. Ou, S. A. Wolfe, M. H. Brodsky, L. J. Zhu, motifStack for the analysis of transcription
factor binding site evolution. *Nat Methods* **15**, 8-9 (2018).
1140