## Type:

Research article

## Title:

A seleno-hormetine protects bone marrow hematopoietic cells against ionizing radiation-induced toxicity.

## Short title:

Seleno-hormesis in radiation-induced bone marrow damage

# Authors:

Bartolini Desirée<sup>1#,§</sup>, Wang Yanzhong <sup>2#</sup>, Zhang Jie <sup>3</sup>, Giustarini Daniela<sup>4</sup>, Rossi Ranieri<sup>5</sup>, Wang, Gavin Y. <sup>2</sup>, Torquato Pierangelo<sup>1</sup>, Townsend, Danyelle M. <sup>3</sup>, Tew Kenneth D. <sup>3#</sup>, and Galli Francesco <sup>1#</sup>.

## Affiliations:

1. Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Perugia, 06126 Perugia, Italy

2. Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC 29425, USA.

3. Department of Cell and Molecular Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC 29401, USA.

4. Department of Medicine, Surgery and Neuroscience, University of Siena, 53100 Siena, Italy.

5. Department of Life Sciences, Laboratory of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Siena, 53100 Siena, Italy.

# # these Authors equally contributed to this research

## **§Corresponding Author:**

Bartolini Desirée FIRC-AIRC Fellow of research at Clinical Biochemistry and Nutrition Lab Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Perugia, 06126 Perugia, Italy Pole of Via del Giochetto, building B, 2<sup>nd</sup> floor. Tel. +39 075 585 7445 e-mail address: desirex85@hotmail.it

### 34 Abstract

2,2'-diselenyldibenzoic acid (DSBA) is a mild thiol peroxidase agent presently in preclinical 35 development. This study reports that the drug has novel seleno-hormetic properties in both murine 36 bone marrow and human liver cells. According with previous in vitro findings, mechanistic aspects 37 of such properties were confirmed to include the activation of Nrf2 transcription factor and an 38 increased expression of downstream stress response genes in the liver and in hematopoietic stem and 39 progenitor cells of the myeloid lineage. These genes include glutathione S-transferase that is reported 40 to represent a major player in the metabolism and pharmacological function of seleno-organic 41 compounds. As a practical application, DSBA administration prevented bone marrow toxicities 42 following acute exposure to sub-lethal doses of ionizing radiation in C57 BL/6 mice. 43

- In conclusion, this study demonstrates for the first time the pharmacological properties of DSBA *in vivo*. The findings suggest applications for this selenohormetine in radioprotection and prevention
   protocols.
- 4748 Word: 149
- 49

Key words: selenium; diselenides; Nrf2; ionizing radiations; hormesis; seleno-hormetine; oxidative
 stress; glutathione; glutathione S-transferase P; S-glutathionylation.

#### 52 1. Introduction

#### 53

Inorganic and organic forms of selenium have extensively been investigated as pharmacological agents with applications in either cancer chemoprevention (cytoprotective effects) or therapy of drugresistant tumors (recently reviewed in [1]). These compounds act as thiol peroxidases (TP) and agonists of drug metabolism genes associated with the detoxification of cellular electrophiles [2].

Recently, we demonstrated that structural modifications of the diphenyldiselenide [(PhSe)<sub>2</sub>] scaffold, 58 can lead to mitigation of the redox cycling activities of the Se-Se functional group of this potent Se-59 60 organic thiol peroxidase (SeTP) [3], thus lessening its cytotoxicity [4]. 2,2'-diselenyldibenzoic acid (DSBA) is the resultant diselenide generated by this strategy that possesses *in vitro* pharmacological 61 62 properities and insignificant toxicity. However, its TP activity is sufficient to stimulate an efficient adaptive redox stress response that increases protection against  $H_2O_2$ -induced injury in both murine 63 64 embryonic fibroblasts and human hepatocytes [4]. The hormetic effect of DSBA involves the activation of the transcription factor NF-E2-Related Factor 2 (Nrf2) and is influenced by the 65 66 expression of the isoform P of the enzyme glutathione S-transferase (GSTP). GSTs are among the most abundant Cys-containing cellular proteins of the liver and were the first identified to react with 67 Se-organic compounds thus promoting their hepatic metabolism [5,6]. In this context, recent studies 68 by some of us demonstrated that the GSTP isoform is critical for detoxification and maintenance of 69 redox homeostasis in cells treated with SeTP [1]. The importance of this protein in regulating cellular 70 71 signaling events and in initiating response to oxidative stress has been reported in some detail and implies that it may act in concert with Nrf2 to regulate a variety of cellular pathways [7]. 72

In the present study, *in vitro* results are extended into an animal model of oxidant stress by acute exposure to ionizing radiations (IR), to examine whether DSBA had sufficient hormetic activity to prevent damage to hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells from bone marrow (BM), a major biological consequence of radiation exposure in this model [8].

77

#### 2. Materials and Methods

- 78 79
- 80 2.1 Seleno-Compounds
- 81

82 2,2'-diselenyldibenzoicacid (DSBA) was synthesized as reported in [4]. Purity >98.5%.

Ebselen (E3520) and diphenyl-diselenide [PhSe)<sub>2</sub>] (180629; purity 98%) were purchased from Sigma-

84 Aldrich and all compounds were dissolved in DMSO.

#### 86 2.2 In vitro studies in human liver cell lines

87

HepG2 human hepatocarcinoma cells were maintained in MEM medium (Gibco, Life Technology) 88 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Life Technology) in the presence of 100 U/ml 89 penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). HepaRG human progenitor hepatic 90 cells (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were maintained according to the manufacturer's recommendations. 91 Briefly, the cells were grown in William's E medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 92 Glutamax (Gibco), 5 ug/mL human insulin (Sigma-Aldrich) and 50 µM Hydrocortisone 93 hemisuccinate (Sigma-Aldrich) for 14 days. All cells were kept at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO<sub>2</sub> cell 94 culture incubator and were passaged using trypsin-EDTA (Euroclone). 95

- 96
- 97 2.3 Cellular thiols and glutathionylation
- 98

Cellular thiols were assessed by HPLC analysis with fluorescence detection after derivatization with
monobromobimane (mBrB, Calbiochem). For disulfide analysis, aliquots of samples were derivatized
with N-ethylmaleimide (Sigma-Aldrich) to mask reduced thiols and then dithiothreitol (DTT, SigmaAldrich) was used to reduce disulfide bridges, according to Rossi et al. [9].

The Cayman's Glutathionylated protein detection kit (Cayman Chrmical, Item No.10010721) was
 used to assess PSSG in MEFs. The method allows a direct measurement of *S*-glutathionylated
 proteins in whole (permeabilized) cells by flow cytometry (Attune NxT Acustic Focusing Cytometer,
 Thermo Fisher Scientific).

- 107
- 108

2.4 In vivo and ex vivo studies

109

Male C57 BL/6 mice purchased from the Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME) and were used for 110 in vivo experiments. The animals were housed five per cage in the Hollings Cancer Center AAALAC-111 certified animal facilities at the Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC). Animals received 112 food and water ad libitum. All mice were used at approximately 8-12 weeks of age. The Institutional 113 Animal Care and Use Committee of MUSC approved all experimental procedures used in this study. 114 DSBA was dissolved in DMSO and then diluted with 30% PEG2000/PBS. Mice were administered 115 with a single dose of the diluted DSBA solution at 10 mg/Kg and 50 mg/Kg via intraperitoneal 116 injection. Control animals were treated with the vehicle. The groups of mice included 3 animals each. 117 Mice were scarified 24 hrs after the treatment to collect blood, bone marrow (BM) and liver samples. 118

#### 120 2. 5 Total-Body Irradiation (TBI) and DSBA treatment

121

To investigate the protection against IR injury, the number of BM HSPCs was evaluated in animals that received a dose of 50 mg/kg DSBA 4 h before TBI exposure. Mice were exposed to 3 Gy of irradiation using a J. L. Shepherd Model 143 <sup>137</sup>Cs gamma irradiator at a dose rate of 2.0 Gy/min as described previously [10]. Twenty-four hours after TBI, mice were euthanized by CO<sub>2</sub> suffocation followed by cervical dislocation, and the femora and tibiae were immediately harvested from the mice for the isolation of bone marrow mononuclear cells as described below.

128

130

#### 129 2.6 Isolation of BM Mononuclear Cells (BM-MNCs)

The femora and tibiae were harvested from the mice immediately after they were euthanized with CO<sub>2</sub>. Bone marrow cells were flushed from the bones into Hank's buffered saline solution (HBSS) containing 2% FCS using a 21-gauge needle and syringe. Cells from at least three mice were pooled and centrifuged through Histopaque 1083 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) to isolate bone marrow BM-MNCs as described previously [10].

136

#### 137 2.7. Flow Cytometric analysis of Hematopoietic Cells

138

Flow cytometry was used to analyze Hematopoietic Stem Cells (HSCs) and Progenitor cells (HPCs) 139 as previously described [11]. Briefly, BM-MNCs were incubated with PE-conjugated antibodies 140 against CD3e, CD45R/B220, Gr-1, Mac-1 and Ter-119 to stain the lineage-positive cells. The cells 141 were washed with PBS and incubated with anti-CD16/CD32 antibody to block Fc receptors. Finally, 142 the cells were stained with PE-Cy7 conjugated anti-Sca-1 and APC-H7 conjugated anti-c-kit 143 antibodies and analyzed using a BD LSRFortessa<sup>TM</sup> X-20 flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San 144 Jose, CA). The data were analyzed using FlowJo software. Cells stained negative for lineage markers 145 and c-kit but positive for Sca1 were considered as HPCs (lineage/Scal1-/c-kit+cells, or LSK- cells) 146 147 and those negative for lineage markers but positive for Sca1 and c-kit as HSCs (lineage-/Sca1<sup>+</sup>/c-kit<sup>+</sup> cells, or LSK cells). 148

149

150 *2.8 Flow cytometric analysis of ROS* 

151

ROS levels were measured in HSCs and HPCs as an *in vivo* indicator of DSBA toxicity by Flow Cytometry using the probe DCFH-DA. Intracellular ROS were measured by flow cytometric analysis

as previously reported [8]. Briefly, Lin<sup>-</sup> HSPCs were loaded with 5 mM of DCF-DA and incubated
at 37 °C for 30 min. The levels of ROS in HSPCs were analyzed by measuring the mean fluorescence
intensity of DCF-DA using a BDLSRFortessa<sup>TM</sup> X-20 cell analyzer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose,
CA) and FACSDiva<sup>TM</sup> software. Data analysis was performed using FlowJo software (Tree Star,
Ashland, OR).

159

## 160 2.9 Colony-forming unit assay

161

162 Colony-forming unit (CFU) assays were performed by culturing the isolated BM-MNCs in 163 MethoCult GF M3434 methylcellulose medium (Stem Cell Technologies) as described previously 164 [12]. Colonies of colony-forming unit-granulocyte macrophage (CFU-GM) and burst-forming unit-165 erythroid (BFU-E) were scored on day 7, while colonies of CFU-granulocyte, -erythrocyte, -166 monocyte, and -megakaryocyte (CFU-GEMM) were enumerated on day 12 after incubation.

167

### 2.10 Nuclear and cytosolic protein extraction

169

168

Cellular extracts obtained after 4 h of treatment with DSBA (from 5 to 20 μM) were used for these
 experiments and cellular proteins were probed before or after fractionation of cytosolic and nuclear
 proteins carried out utilizing a Thermo Scientific NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Kit
 (Cat# 78833, Thermo Fisher).

174

## 175 2.11 Western blotting analysis

176

Protein samples were extracted using cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling) supplemented with a cocktail 177 of proteinase inhibitors (Sigma) and protein concentrations were determined using the Bio-Rad Dc 178 protein assay kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Western blots was performed as described in [13]. Briefly, 179 50 µg of protein samples were resolved on 10% Mini-Protean TGX gels (Bio-Rad) and transferred 180 181 onto 0.2 mM PVDF membrane (Millipore). Blots were blocked with 5% nonfat milk for 1–2 h at room temperature, then probed with primary antibodies, and incubated at 4 °C overnight. Primary 182 antibodies used were: anti-GSTP, anti-Nrf2 (#12721) and anti-aldehyde dehydrogenase-1 (ALDH1) 183 (#12035) from Cell Signaling; heme-oxygenase 1 (HO-1) (SC-390991), anti-Nrf2 (SC-772) and 184 Tubulin (SC-23948) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. After extensive washing with TBST, blots were 185 incubated with appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary antibody for 1.5 h at room temperature. 186

Protein bands were detected using an ECL Plus Western Blot Detection System (GE Healthcare LifeScience).

- 189
- 190 *2.12 GST activity*
- 191

The specific activity of the GST in bone marrow and plasma samples were measured as previously described in [14] using 5 mM GSH (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and 0.5 mM CDNB (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) as second substrate in 0.1M potassium phosphate buffer pH 6.5 at room temperature with the Benchmark plus microplate spectrophotometer (BioRad, Hercules, CA) by following the change in absorbance at 340 nm. The molar extinction coefficient used for CDNB conjugation was 9.6 mM<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>. Enzymatic activities were calculated after correction for the nonenzymatic reaction.

- 199
- 200 2.13 Immunohistochemical analysis (IHC)
- 201

Hepatic Nrf2 was measured by immunohistochemistry (IHC) as previously described in [15]. Briefly, 202 203 mouse liver tissues were fixed with formalin and embedded in paraffin. Tissue sections (5 µm thick) were prepared. Endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked by incubation with 3 % hydrogen 204 peroxide for 30 min and followed by heating in 1mM EDTA for antigen retrieval. The sections were 205 then blocked with 5 % normal goat serum in 0.1 % Triton X-100/PBS for 1 h and incubated overnight 206 at 4 degree with rabbit anti-human Nrf2 antibody (1:200, Santa Cruz). After wash with PBS, slides 207 were incubated with ABC reagent (Vector) for 30 min. Immunostaining was visualized by DAB and 208 the slides were counterstained using hematoxylin. 209

210

211 *2.14 Statistics* 

212

Data (as means+/-SD) were assessed for distribution and differences between variables were assessed
 for statistical significance using parametric or non-parametric tests when appropriate.

#### 216 **3** Results

217

#### 218 3.1 In vitro effects of DSBA on liver cell ROS and thiols

To further characterize the liver cell metabolism and redox function of DSBA, we comparatively 219 assessed the effect of this and other Se-organic drugs on ROS generation and thiol levels of HepaRG 220 hepatic stem cells and HepG2 hepatocarcinoma cells. DSBA was less effective than Ebselen or the 221 diselenide precursor (PhSe)<sub>2</sub> in stimulating the generation of cellular ROS that was generally higher 222 in HepaRG than in HepG2 cells (Figure 1). Accordingly, a slight increase in the generation of cellular 223 ROS was only observed when HepaRG cells were treated with 50 µM DSBA that is the highest 224 concentration of the compound tested in this study (Figure 1). In both the cell lines, treatments with 225 226 DSBA and the other SeTP at a final concentration of 10 µM did not cause significant reductions of cell viability (not shown). 227

- Lower fluxes of ROS in HepG2 hepatocarcinoma cells compared to HepaRG cells might be explained 228 by the higher cellular levels of GSH (Table1) and average GSH/GSSG ratio (256 and 170, 229 230 respectively; p < 0.05). This more reduced environment of HepG2 cells was sustained by the lower capability of GSH secretion in the extracellular medium (Table1) and protein S-glutathionylation 231 232 (Figure 1). In these human hepatocarcinoma cells, DSBA did not change the intra- and extra-cellular levels of GSH, as well as the cellular levels of GSSG (Table1), but did significantly increase PSSG 233 levels (Figure 1). Conversely, the more potent TP compound Ebselen [3], stimulated the GSH 234 metabolism of HepG2 cells, increasing its cellular levels and secretion, and its oxidation to form 235 PSSG (Figure 1) and GSSG (Table 1) at the cellular level. 236
- In HepaRG cells, DSBA, but not Ebselen, significantly increased intracellular GSH (Table1). This resulted in changes of the GSH/GSSG ratio (from 256 to 200), while a more marked decrease was found in Ebselen treated cells that showed an average value of 100. Under these conditions neither compound stimulated a significant increase in PSSG (Figure 1).
- DSBA inhibited GST activity in HepaRG cells, but not in HepG2 cells (Table1); the latter cell type,
  on the contrary, showed GST activity inhibition when treated with Ebselen.
- 243

#### 244 3.2 DSBA activates liver tissue Nrf2 in vivo

Acute exposure to DSBA at the doses used in this study did not cause overt toxicity as demonstrated by objective examination of animal behavior and clinical cues, liver histology (Figure 2), and blood and BM cellular composition and morphology (not shown). IHC analysis revealed that Nrf2 expression levels were markedly increased in mouse liver tissue in a dose-dependent fashion after DSBA injection, showing that DSBA treatment may activate Nrf2 in animal tissues in vivo (Figure250 2).

251

## 252 3.3 DSBA modulates the redox signaling of HSPCs in vivo

Our previous studies have shown that DSBA regulates redox status in various cells *in vitro* (6). However, it remains to be determined if DSBA affects redox balance in tissues and cells *in vivo*. As such, we investigated the impact of DSBA on ROS levels in HSPCs of C57 mice. Flow cytometry data showed that DSBA stimulates ROS generation in both HSCs and HPCs (Figure 3); reaching peak effect at a dose of 10 mg/kg and decreasing at 50 mg/kg.

Since GST plays a significant role in maintaining redox balance, we examined whether DSBAmediated increases in ROS were due to inhibition of GST activity. Our data showed that there was no significant change in plasma GST activity after DSBA treatment (Figure 4A), but such activity significantly increased in BM-MNCs obtained from animals treated with 50 mg/kg DSBA (Figure 4B). These results imply that DSBA-induced increases in ROS are not likely the consequence of GST inhibition. In contrast, DSBA-mediated ROS production may trigger a cellular adaptive response and consequently a slight increase of GST activity in HSPCs.

- 265
- 266

#### 3.4 Hematopoietic radioprotection by DSBA correlates with Nrf2 activation in BM-MNCs

267

Nrf2 is a master modulator of cellular antioxidant response transcriptionally regulating expression of 268 a variety of cytoprotective genes. To elucidate the mechanisms by which DSBA protects HSPCs 269 against radiation injury, we determined whether this compound impacts Nrf2 signaling in BM 270 hematopoietic cells. Immunoblot of BM-MNC proteins further confirmed the in vivo effects of 271 DSBA as an Nrf2 activator; besides Nrf2 transcription (forward-feeding, self-regulating), DSBA 272 treatment increased expression of GSTP and the other Nrf2-dependent genes HO-1 and ALDH1 273 (Figure 5A); at the same time, Nrf2 protein levels slightly increased after DSBA treatment in the 274 nuclear fraction of BM-MNCs (Figure 5B); ALDH1 and GSTP proteins were also present in the 275 276 nucleus and their levels increased following DSBA (Figure 5B).

277

## 278 3.5 DSBA protects HSPCs against radiation injury in vivo

279

The hematopoietic system is highly sensitive to radiation injury and a dose beyond 2 Gy may lead to BM suppression characterized by neutropenia, lymphocytopenia and thrombocytopenia. In light of the Fukushima nuclear accident and the increasing risk of radiation-induced BM injury, there is a

critical need to develop new countermeasure agents against radiation-induced toxicity. To explore the therapeutic potential of DSBA as a new radiation protector, we treated C57 mice with this compound before exposing animals to TBI. CFU assays were employed to measure the colonyforming capacities of HSPCs. The results showed that DSBA pre-treatment prevented the IR-induced decrease of CFU-GM, BFU-E and CFU-GEMM numbers (Figure 6), indicating that DSBA does possess radioprotective properties against IR-induced injury in HSPCs.

289

#### 290 4 Discussion

The findings in this study confirm the recently identified in vitro activity of DSBA as an Nrf2-291 acivating seleno-hormetine [4] in vivo. Nrf2 activation was demonstrated in the present study 292 293 assessing liver tissue and BM HSPCs following sub-cytotoxic concentrations of DSBA in C57 BL/6 mice. The canonical Nrf2 activation model predicts that DSBA generated ROS (in both hepatocytes 294 and BM) stimulates this transcription factor through dissolution of its interaction with Keap1, 295 allowing migration to the nucleus promoting antioxidant and electrophile responsive elements [16]. 296 297 Indeed, the lowest dose of DSBA investigated in this study caused such a response in BM cells, associated with nuclear translocation of Nrf2 protein and expression of a series of Nrf2-dependent 298 genes. In these BM cells, GSTP was found to be the most responsive gene followed by ALDH1 and 299 then HO-1, and GSTP and ALDH1 were also upregulated in the nucleus. In mice treated with 50 300 mg/kg DSBA, such gene response was associated with lowered ROS levels, implying a rapid and 301 efficient detoxification response mediated through Nrf2 activation. 302

The observation that DSBA increased hepatic Nrf2 in vivo (Figure 2) is in agreement with previous 303 findings obtained in human liver cells [4]. Since this transcription factor plays a role in hepatic and 304 systemic metabolism of GSH and Cys [16], and thiol-mediated pathways are implicated in Se-305 compound detoxification [1], the influence of DSBA on liver cell glutathione was investigated. 306 DSBA increased cellular GSH and ROS flux in HepaRG cells with minor effects on GSH secretion 307 into the extracellular milieu and oxidation to GSSG, effects that are commonly associated with the 308 exposure to Se-compounds [17] and other electrophiles [18]. The more reduced intracellular 309 310 environment of HepG2 cells prevented these effects, with only slightly increased levels of protein Sglutathionylation, probably dependent upon higher levels of GSTP in this hepatocarcinoma cell line. 311 Conversely, treatment with other compounds with much higher TP activity, i.e. Ebselen and (PhSe)<sub>2</sub>, 312 markedly influenced the redox homeostasis of these liver cells. We therefore demonstrated in this 313 study that DSBA is a relatively safe Se-organic molecule with minor effects on liver cell redox and 314 low toxicity, even though the drug effects are sufficient to activate Nrf2 and its detoxification gene 315 316 response both in vitro and in vivo.

DSBA was also confirmed to inhibition GST activity in HepaRG cells and to stimulate GSTP gene 317 expression *in vivo*. This is not a trivial observation if we consider that GST is the first and likely the 318 most important thiol-containing protein identified to react with SeTP, and Cys alkylation of GST 319 protein is demonstrated promoting Se-compound sequestration and metabolism in the liver [5,6]. This 320 alkylation reaction produces the irreversible inhibition of GST enzyme activity, a process that was 321 originally characterized for the prototypal compound Ebselen [6] and a response that is confirmed to 322 occur in the *in vitro* experiments on liver cells of this study. Alkylating agents are potent GST gene 323 inducers and also a cause of drug resistance [1,19]. GST-overexpressing tumor cells, such as the 324 hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cell line investigated herein, are in fact poorly responsive to the 325 mild thiol peroxidase activity of DSBA while the reactive compound Ebselen provokes a significant 326 327 inhibition of the enzyme activity, then leading to increased GST gene and protein expression (previously characterized in [3]). In these cells and in other cell models, the GSTP isoform was 328 demonstrated to be particularly important in provide an efficient cellular response to either the 329 hormetic or cytotoxic activity of SeTP [3,4]. Intriguingly, such response appears to depend on the 330 331 capability of GSTP isoform to functionally and physically interact with Nrf2 protein during the gene induction process [4]. 332

At the same time, GSTP gene expression is enmeshed in pathways that control proliferation and 333 migration of BM myeloid cells and among these cells, the myeloid lineage is known to be highly 334 responsive to GSTP-targeted pharmacological agents [20]. Intriguingly, in vivo treatment with DSBA 335 increased GSTP expression both in the cytosol and the nucleus of BM progenitor cells. This finding 336 is in agreement with the previously reported co-localization of GSTP and Nrf2 in both the cytosolic 337 and nuclear compartments during drug-induced activation [4]. Therefore, the nuclear availability of 338 GSTP together with relevant concentrations of protein thiols and GSH in the nuclear environment 339 [21], make such co-localization potentially strategic for nuclear protection and redox-dependent 340 regulation of transcriptional processes associated with SeTP detoxification. 341

A novel component of this study was to determine whether DSBA may have in vivo hormetic effects. 342 A total body irradiation model was used for these experiments which is associated with oxidative 343 344 stress, causative of BM stem cell damage and subsequent myelosuppression [8]. Our results conclusively demonstrated that DSBA pretreatment prevents hematopoietic stem cell damage and 345 death in IR-exposed animals. In this regard, recent studies demonstrated that some redox-active 346 superoxide dismutase mimics produce similar positive effects on BM cells and behaving 347 mechanistically in the same fashion [22]. Therefore, these results may be expanded to consider 348 whether DSBA can be used to manage radiation emergency situations or the types of hematologic 349 350 toxicity observed in patients undergoing chemo-radiotherapy [11].

In conclusion, DSBA was shown to promote positive hormesis *in vivo*. Mechanistically, Nrf2 activation and downstream GSTP gene induction are confirmed as molecular players of this selenohormetic effect.

#### 356 Legends to Figures

357

Figure 1. Reactive oxygen species (A and B) and protein S-glutathionylation (C and D) in
 HepaRG and HepG2 cells. HepaRG or HepG2 cells were alternatively treated for 24 hours with 10
 μM DSBA, PhSe)<sub>2</sub> or Ebselen then ROS were measured the DCF method. PSSG were assessed in
 permeabilized cells by FACS-Scan as described in the text.

362

Figure 2. DSBA activates Nrf2 in liver tissues in vivo. IHC was employed to assess Nrf2 expression
 in liver tissues of C57 BL/6 mice at 24 h after drug treatment. Magnification 400x. Vehicle control
 = DMSO.

366

Figure 3. Levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in HPCs and HSCs isolated from DSBAtreated C57 BL/6 mice. BM-MNCs were collected at 24 h after DSBA treatment and subjected to immune-phenotype assays. DCF-DA staining and flow cytometric analysis were performed to measure ROS levels in HSCs and HPCs as described previously (8). t-test: \* p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01.

371

Figure 4. GST activity in C57 BL/6 mice plasma (A) and BM-MNCs (B) after DSBA treatment.
GST activity in plasma and BM-MNCs was measured at 24 h after DSBA treatment using approch as described in the methods section. t-test: \*p<0.05.</li>

375

Figure 5. Transcriptional activation (A) and nuclear translocation (B) of Nrf2 in DSBA-treated
BM-MNCs. Cells were treated for 4h with DSBA at increasing concentrations from 5 to 20 μM and
levels of Nrf2 protein and the Nrf2-dependent genes HO-1, ALDH1, and GSTP were assessed by
immunoblot in cellular extracts before (A) or after fractionation of cytosolic and nuclear components
(B).

381

Figure 6. DSBA pre-treatment protects mouse BM HSPCs against ionizing radiation (IR)induced injury in vivo. The clonogenic function of HSPCs was measured using CFU assays (12).
average number of (A) CFU-GM, (B) BFU-E and (C) CFU-GEMM in 20,000 BM-MNCs.

386	Acknowledgments
-----	-----------------

- BD is a fellow of research supported by the FIRC-AIRC grant program for young investigators.
- 388 The study was supported in part by the University of Perugia grant program "Ricerca di base".
- **389 Author Contributions**
- 390 **Conceptualization:** Bartolini Desirée, Wang Yanzhong, Giustarini Daniela, Wang Gavin Y.
- **Data curation:** Bartolini Desirée, Giustarini Daniela, Wang Gavin Y.
- **Formal analysis:** Bartolini Desirée and Wang Gavin Y
- 393 Methodology: Bartolini Desirée, Wang Yanzhong, Jie Zhang, Torquato Pierangelo and Giustarini
- 394 Daniela
- **Supervision:** Rossi Ranieri, Galli Francesco, Tew Kenneth D. and Townsend, Danyelle M.
- **Validation:** Bartolini Desirée and Wang Yanzhong
- **Resources:** Galli Francesco, Tew Kenneth D. and Rossi Ranieri
- 398 Writing original draft: Bartolini Desirée and Galli Francesco
- 399
- 400

#### 401 **References**

- 402 1. Bartolini D, Sancineto L, Fabro de Bem A, Tew KD, Santi C, et al. (2017) Selenocompounds in Cancer
   403 Therapy: An Overview. Adv Cancer Res 136: 259-302.
- 404 2. Galli F (2007) Interactions of polyphenolic compounds with drug disposition and metabolism. Curr Drug
   405 Metab 8: 830-838.
- 3. Bartolini D, Piroddi M, Tidei C, Giovagnoli S, Pietrella D, et al. (2015) Reaction kinetics and targeting to
   cellular glutathione S-transferase of the glutathione peroxidase mimetic PhSeZnCl and its D,L polylactide microparticle formulation. Free Radic Biol Med 78: 56-65.
- 409 4. Bartolini D, Commodi J, Piroddi M, Incipini L, Sancineto L, et al. (2015) Glutathione S-transferase pi
  410 expression regulates the Nrf2-dependent response to hormetic diselenides. Free Radic Biol Med
  411 88: 466-480.
- 5. Nikawa T, Schuch G, Wagner G, Sies H (1994) Interaction of albumin-bound ebselen with rat liver
  glutathione S-transferase and microsomal proteins. Biochem Mol Biol Int 32: 291-298.
- 6. Nikawa T, Schuch G, Wagner G, Sies H (1994) Interaction of ebselen with glutathione S-transferase and
  papain in vitro. Biochem Pharmacol 47: 1007-1012.
- 416 7. Bartolini D, Galli F (2016) The functional interactome of GSTP: A regulatory biomolecular network at the
  417 interface with the Nrf2 adaption response to oxidative stress. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol
  418 Biomed Life Sci 1019: 29-44.
- 8. Wang Y, Liu L, Pazhanisamy SK, Li H, Meng A, et al. (2010) Total body irradiation causes residual bone
   marrow injury by induction of persistent oxidative stress in murine hematopoietic stem cells. Free
   Radic Biol Med 48: 348-356.
- 9. Rossi R, Giustarini D, Colombo G, Milzani A, Dalle-Donne I (2009) Evidence against a role of ketone bodies
  in the generation of oxidative stress in human erythrocytes by the application of reliable methods
  for thiol redox form detection. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci 877: 3467-3474.
- 425 10. Wang Y, Liu L, Zhou D (2011) Inhibition of p38 MAPK attenuates ionizing radiation-induced
   426 hematopoietic cell senescence and residual bone marrow injury. Radiat Res 176: 743-752.
- 427 11. Xiao X, Luo H, Vanek KN, LaRue AC, Schulte BA, et al. (2015) Catalase inhibits ionizing radiation-induced
  428 apoptosis in hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells. Stem Cells Dev 24: 1342-1351.
- 429 12. Wang Y, Schulte BA, LaRue AC, Ogawa M, Zhou D (2006) Total body irradiation selectively induces
   430 murine hematopoietic stem cell senescence. Blood 107: 358-366.
- 431 13. He X, Yang A, McDonald DG, Riemer EC, Vanek KN, et al. (2017) MiR-34a modulates ionizing radiation 432 induced senescence in lung cancer cells. Oncotarget 8: 69797-69807.
- 433 14. Zhang J, Shibata A, Ito M, Shuto S, Ito Y, et al. (2011) Synthesis and characterization of a series of highly
   434 fluorogenic substrates for glutathione transferases, a general strategy. J Am Chem Soc 133: 14109 435 14119.
- 436 15. Yang A, Qin S, Schulte BA, Ethier SP, Tew KD, et al. (2017) MYC Inhibition Depletes Cancer Stem-like
   437 Cells in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. Cancer Res 77: 6641-6650.
- 43816. Tebay LE, Robertson H, Durant ST, Vitale SR, Penning TM, et al. (2015) Mechanisms of activation of the<br/>transcription factor Nrf2 by redox stressors, nutrient cues, and energy status and the pathways<br/>through which it attenuates degenerative disease. Free Radic Biol Med 88: 108-146.
- 17. Olm E, Fernandes AP, Hebert C, Rundlof AK, Larsen EH, et al. (2009) Extracellular thiol-assisted selenium
  uptake dependent on the x(c)- cystine transporter explains the cancer-specific cytotoxicity of
  selenite. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106: 11400-11405.
- 444 18. De Nicola M, Ghibelli L (2014) Glutathione depletion in survival and apoptotic pathways. Front
   445 Pharmacol 5: 267.
- 446 19. Tew KD (1994) Glutathione-associated enzymes in anticancer drug resistance. Cancer Res 54: 4313–
  447 4320.
- 20. Zhang J, Ye ZW, Gao P, Reyes L, Jones EE, et al. (2014) Glutathione S-transferase P influences redox and
   migration pathways in bone marrow. PLoS One 9: e107478.
- 450 21. Markovic J, Garcia-Gimenez JL, Gimeno A, Vina J, Pallardo FV (2010) Role of glutathione in cell nucleus.
   451 Free Radic Res 44: 721-733.

- 452 22. Li H, Wang Y, Pazhanisamy SK, Shao L, Batinic-Haberle I, et al. (2011) Mn(III) meso-tetrakis-(N-
- 453 ethylpyridinium-2-yl) porphyrin mitigates total body irradiation-induced long-term bone marrow
  454 suppression. Free Radic Biol Med 51: 30-37.

455





С

D

[PSSG], events/ JE











**Cytosolic Proteins** 

В



# **Nuclear Proteins**





В





Table 1. Cellular and extracellular levels of glutathione in tumoral HepG2 and non-tumoral HepaRG human liver cell lines treated for 24 hours with DSBA.

	Intracellular GSH (nmol/10 <sup>6</sup> cells)	Extracellular GSH (nmol/10 <sup>6</sup> cells)	Intracellular GSSG (nmol/10 <sup>6</sup> cells)	GST activity (U/mg of proteins)		
HepG2 cells						
CTL	33.3 ± 5.37	$2.25\pm1.41$	0.13 ± 0.03	53.4± 6.64		
DSBA 10 µM	31.5 $\pm$ 5.12	$3.32\pm1.50$	$0.15\pm0.05$	$57.2\pm0.65$		
DSBA 50 μM	27.2 ± 6.2	2.48 ± 1.2	0.12 ±0.04	41.0 ± 10.03*		
Ebselen 10 µM	45.0 ± 5.0	4.40 ± 1.31 **	0.18 ±0.05 *	23.2 ± 8.31**		
Ebselen 50 µM	50.3 ± 4.2 *	5.06 ± 2.0 **	0.40 ± 0.06 **	43.1± 2.21*		
HepaRG cells						
CTL	18.7 $\pm$ 2.12	10.25 $\pm$ 3.70	$0.11\pm0.01$	18.2 ± 0.63		
DSBA 10 μM	25.60 ±4.3 *	13.85 ±5.2	0.13 ± 0.03 *	6.8 $\pm$ 0.19 *		
Ebselen 10 µM	$18.80\pm5.0$	16.54 ± 4.31 *	0.18 ±0.07 **	16.3 ± 0.07		
t-test: CTL (vehicle = DMSO) vs treatment $p<0.05$ ; $p<0.01$ . Data in parentheses are the percentage of activity calculated on the CTL.						