Unsupervised deconvolution of molecular heterogeneity uncovers novel signatures and glia-neuron ratio

Lulu Chen[1,†], Niya Wang[1,†], Chunyu Liu[2], David M. Herrington[3], Robert Clarke[4], Zhen Zhang[5], and Yue Wang[1,#]

[1]Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Arlington, VA 22203, USA; [2]Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY 13210, USA; [3]Department of Internal Medicine, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC 27157, USA; [4]Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center, Georgetown University, Washington, DC 20057, USA; [5]Department of Pathology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21231, USA

Article Format: bioRxiv

Running title: Deconvolution of brain tissue heterogeneity

[†]Equally contributed authors

[#]Author for correspondence: Yue Wang, Ph.D.

Virginia Tech Research Center - Arlington

900 N. Glebe Road, Arlington, VA 22203

E-mail: yuewang@vt.edu

Introduction

While the two major types of cells in the brain are known to be glia and neuron, the true ratio of glia to neurons in the brain remains a mystery. One of recent studies using efficient cell counting method provides compelling evidence for 1:1 ratio on four whole human brains [1]. The same study also reveals that the ratio of glia to neurons in the brain varies from one region to another, sometimes dramatically, e.g., 3.76:1 in the cerebral cortex versus 1:4.3 in the cerebellum [1,2]. However, other scientists have argued that more rigorous studies are needed in which just about every known or unknown marker for both neurons and glia is used to capture as many of the different cell types as possible.

Here we report a study that applies UNsupervised DecOnvolution version 2 (UNDO2.0) software tool [3], the spin-off from the Convex Analysis of Mixtures framework [4], to the gene expression and DNA methylation data acquired from heterogeneous brain tissues. UNDO2.0 can automatically detect cell-specific markers located on the scatter radii of mixed gene expressions, estimate cellular proportions in each sample, and deconvolve mixed expressions into cell-specific expression profiles. The in-silico analysis uncovers many novel marker genes and provides the molecularly-defined 2.2:1 ratio of glia to neurons in the brain.

An R package of UNDO2.0 is available at http://bioconductor.org/packages.

Results

Re-validation of UNDO2.0 on benchmark datasets

We first test UNDO2.0 on the gene expression data acquired from Rat neuron-astrocyte mixtures (GSE19380 [5]). We apply UNDO2.0 to the 3 mixtures of neuron and astrocyte, samples 17, 19, 21. Experimental result shows accurate estimate of both cellular proportions (correlation coefficient of 0.997~0.998 between known proportions and the estimates by UNDO2.0) and cell-specific expression profiles (correlation coefficient of 0.984~0.988 between the UNDO2.0 estimates and pure neuron/glia expressions).

We then test UNDO2.0 on the DNA methylation data acquired from post mortem frontal cortex (GSE41826 [6,7]). The platform is Illumina HumanMethylation450 BeadChip. There are 480,492 probes in total. We apply UNDO2.0 to the 9 reconstituted mixtures of FACS-purified neuron and glia with neuron proportions varying from 10% to 90%. The experimental results are summarized in **Figure 1**. It can be clearly seen that the scatter plot of two mixtures form a parallelogram, where the green orange lines represent the two column vectors of the predefined proportions, tightly embraced the scatter distribution. Experimental

result shows accurate estimate of cellular proportions (correlation coefficient of 0.998 between the UNDO2.0 estimates and known proportions) given in **Table 1**.

Table 1.

Sample #	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Neuron (ground truth %)	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
Neuron (UNDO2.0 estimated %)	0.101	0.195	0.281	0.381	0.476	0.568	0.667	0.785	0.906

UNDO2.0 Re-analysis on gene expression data of Huntington's disease

The gene expression dataset contains 56 samples of caudate nucleus, among which 29 samples are controls and 27 are cases (GSE3790 [5]). The cases consist of 12 and 15 subjects with grade 1 and 2 Huntington's disease, respectively. One of the pathological hallmarks on Huntington's disease is neuronal death and glial proliferation of caudate nucleus [5]. We apply UNDO2.0 to these three sample groups separately, and estimate both the neuron/glia proportions and neuron/glia-specific expression profiles. The experimental results are consistent with what previously reported by supervised deconvolution method on the same dataset [5], as summarized in **Table 2**.

Specifically, in Huntington's disease groups, both mean expression levels and proportions in the neurons decrease, while the opposite changes are observed in glial cells. Furthermore, differential analysis on the neuron/glia-specific expression profiles reveals 496 probe sets significantly up-regulated in neuron and 774 probe sets significantly up-regulated in glia between grade 1 and control; and 294 probe sets significantly up-regulated in neuron and 1082 probe sets significantly up-regulated in glia between grade 2 and control. Moreover, the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA), focused on canonical pathways using these phenotype-upregulated genes, reconfirms that Huntington's disease signaling pathways are among the top disease-related pathways (**Appendix**). The experimental results are summarized in **Figure 2**. Lastly, the PPM1H expression levels in neurons estimated by UNDO2.0 shows significant increase in Huntington's disease compared to control, consistent with what validated by immunohistochemistry method [5]. PPM1H (NERPP-2C) has been shown to have a developmentally regulated expression and to be expressed by adult neurons in rat.

Table 2. The means of neuron/glia-specific gene expressions in the three sample groups estimated by UNDO2.0. The average of neuron/glia proportions in the three sample groups estimated by UNDO2.0.

Table 2.

	Mean expressions		Average proportions	
	Neuron	Glia	Neuron	Glia
Control	427.21	173.50	0.53	0.47
Grade 1	386.92	257.84	0.47	0.53
Grade 2	380.04	262.77	0.45	0.55

To illustrate the invalidity of the common assumption that the expression signatures of cell-type specific markers are invariant across phenotypes, made necessarily by most supervised deconvolution methods [5], we also check the mean expression levels of cell-specific marker genes across the three phenotypic groups, and observe significance changes in the marker gene expressions associated with both neurons (5 genes) and glia (9 genes), shown in **Figure 3**.

UNDO2.0 Analysis on gene expression data of different brain regions

We first apply UNDO2.0 to the gene expression data of 129 samples acquired from cerebellum (CB). The deconvolution results indicate that the overall neuron proportion is about 70% and non-neuron proportion about 30%, consistent with what previously reported [1,2]. We then apply UNDO2.0 to the gene expression data of 129 samples acquired from parietal cortex (PC). The neuro/glia marker genes blindly detected by UNDO2.0 are geometrically close to (overlap), in the scatter simplex, the marker genes given by literatures [5], as shown in **Figure 4**. More interestingly, the deconvolution also reveals that the overall glia to neuron ratios are different between CB and PC, indicating that neuron/glia distributions may be region-dependent.

We then apply UNDO2.0 to the paired 258 samples all together, and detect the two dominant yet most differential neuron cell types that are concordantly associated with CB versus PC brain regions. Strikingly, our experiment detects many region-specific neuron markers that are exclusively enriched in CB or PC neurons, shown in **Figure 5**, molecularly defining two region-dependent neuron subpopulations.

UNDO2.0 Analysis on methylation data in human brain cerebellum region

We apply UNDO2.0 to the methylation data of 130 CB samples and detect two cell types with relative proportions of 30% and 70%, respectively. After a sample-wise

comparison on the relative proportion vectors (non-neuron : neuron), estimated using methylation data and that of gene expression data acquired from the same regional samples, we observed a high correlation coefficient of 0.948 (see **Figure 6**) that indicates that the two cellular subpopulations deconvolved from methylation data correspond to neuron and non-neuron cells.

Discussion

UNDO2.0 uses various molecular signals, e.g., gene expression profiles, and thus detects molecularly define subpopulations. To characterize biological associations of the subpopulations (e.g., cell or tissue types), subsequent enrichment analysis is often required. Because the deconvolution is limited to only two subpopulations, UNDO2.0 produces the two most differential subpopulations, while not necessarily the most dominant ones. Our experimental results show that preprocessing may have significant impact on deconvolution. For example, when sample clustering is performed prior UNDO2.0, the input mixtures would represent dominant subpopulations; while extracted mixtures by e.g. principle component analysis may favor the most differential subpopulations. Furthermore, feature selection (e.g., genes or proteins) based on a priori would produce the subpopulations that may be focused on certain biological questions.

Another important yet subtle concept is the difference between differentially expressed genes (DEGs) and marker genes (MGs). MGs are the genes exclusively enriched in particular subpopulations in the form of e.g. (High, 0, 0); (0, High, 0); or (0, 0, High); where '0' (or near zero) is a much stronger requirement than 'Low'.

While correlation coefficient is a classic concept, its calculation in comparing the estimates by two different methods needs special care. If the cellular proportions associated with each sample, in a population, are largely random over the entire space of 'proportion vectors', then, the correction coefficient between two estimates can be calculated over either one individual component or all components of the proportion vector. In contrast, if such cellular proportions are cluster-structured with moderate randomness', e.g., the cellular proportions of neuron and glia cells follow an average ratio, then correlation coefficient between two estimates should be calculated using all components of the proportion vector, and within-cluster high correlation may not be expected.

Methods

See reference [3].

Availability of UNDO2.0 software

The R package of UNDO2.0 is available for academic and non-commercial use at:

http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/UNDO2.0.html

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at http://www.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded in part by the National Institutes of Health under Grants HL111362-05A1, HL133932, BC171885P1, and U24CA160036-05S1.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LC and NW developed the UNDO2.02.0 algorithm and performed data analyses; CL, DMH, RC and ZZ provided data and interpreted results; YW designed the framework and wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Azevedo, F. A. *et al.* Equal numbers of neuronal and nonneuronal cells make the human brain an isometrically scaled-up primate brain. *J Comp Neurol* **513**, 532-541, doi:10.1002/cne.21974 (2009).

Azevedo, F. A. *et al.* Automatic isotropic fractionation for large-scale quantitative cell analysis of nervous tissue. *J Neurosci Methods* **212**, 72-78, doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2012.09.015 (2013).

Wang, N. *et al.* UNDO: a Bioconductor R package for unsupervised deconvolution of mixed gene expressions in tumor samples. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 137-139, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu607 (2015).

Wang, N. *et al.* Mathematical modelling of transcriptional heterogeneity identifies novel markers and subpopulations in complex tissues. *Scientific Reports* **5**, 18909 (2015).

Kuhn, A., Thu, D., Waldvogel, H. J., Faull, R. L. & Luthi-Carter, R. Population-specific expression analysis (PSEA) reveals molecular changes in diseased brain. *Nat Methods* **8**, 945-947, doi:nmeth.1710.10.1038/nmeth.1710 (2011).

Montano, C. M. *et al.* Measuring cell-type specific differential methylation in human brain tissue. *Genome biology* **14**, R94, doi:10.1186/gb-2013-14-8-r94 (2013).

Guintivano, J., Aryee, M. J. & Kaminsky, Z. A. A cell epigenotype specific model for the correction of brain cellular heterogeneity bias and its application to age, brain region and major depression. *Epigenetics* **8**, 290-302, doi:10.4161/epi.23924 (2013).

Appendix

Grade 1 group (neuron 496 probe sets)

Top Networks

ID		Associated Network Functions	Score
1	View	Dermatological Diseases and Conditions, Immunological Disease, Inflammatory Disease	42
2	View	Lipid Metabolism, Small Molecule Biochemistry, Endocrine System Disorders	39
3	View	Gene Expression, Cell Death and Survival, Cellular Development	36
4	View	Post-Translational Modification, Cell Cycle, Cellular Assembly and Organization	35
5	View	Neurological Disease, Skeletal and Muscular Disorders, Cellular Compromise	30

Top Diseases and Bio Functions

Diseases and Disorders

Name	p-value	# Molecules
Cancer	2.32E-18 - 7.56E-04	288
Neurological Disease	7.25E-15 - 8.48E-04	154
Skeletal and Muscular Disorders	7.25E-15 - 8.48E-04	112
Psychological Disorders	3.79E-14 - 8.48E-04	95
Gastrointestinal Disease	1.13E-13 - 3.51E-05	173

Grade 1 group (glia 774 probe sets)

Top Networks

ID		Associated Network Functions	Score
1	View	Cell Morphology, Tissue Morphology, Nervous System Development and Function	40
2	View	Post-Translational Modification, Gene Expression, Protein Synthesis	38
3	View	Cellular Movement, Embryonic Development, Protein Degradation	38
4	View	Cellular Assembly and Organization, Cellular Function and Maintenance, Cellular Movement	38
5	View	Hematological System Development and Function, Cardiovascular System Development and Function, Cell Morphology	38

Top Diseases and Bio Functions

Diseases and Disorders

Name	p-value	# Molecules
Neurological Disease	9.56E-24 - 3.01E-03	238
Psychological Disorders	9.56E-24 - 2.77E-03	173
Skeletal and Muscular Disorders	6.24E-23 - 1.62E-03	121
Hereditary Disorder	4.07E-21 - 3.34E-03	125
Infectious Disease	5.22E-10 - 3.34E-03	124

Grade 2 group (neuron 294 probe sets)

Top Networks

ID		Associated Network Functions	Score
1	View	Nervous System Development and Function, Tissue Development, Cellular Development	58
2	View	Cellular Assembly and Organization, Cellular Function and Maintenance, Embryonic Development	35
3	View	Nervous System Development and Function, Connective Tissue Disorders, Inflammatory Disease	33
4	View	Cancer, Neurological Disease, Ophthalmic Disease	33
5	View	Cellular Growth and Proliferation, Amino Acid Metabolism, Drug Metabolism	32

Top Diseases and Bio Functions

Diseases and Disorders

Name	p-value	# Molecules
Neurological Disease	3.00E-11 - 2.49E-03	100
Psychological Disorders	3.88E-11 - 2.38E-03	71
Cancer	5.56E-11 - 2.50E-03	170
Skeletal and Muscular Disorders	4.73E-10 - 1.70E-03	71
Hereditary Disorder	3.26E-09 - 5.12E-04	51

Grade 2 group (glia 1082 probe sets)

Top Networks

ID		Associated Network Functions	Score
1	View	Cellular Compromise, Cellular Function and Maintenance, Nervous System Development and Function	41
2	View	Cellular Assembly and Organization, Post-Translational Modification, Cancer	39
3	View	Cell-To-Cell Signaling and Interaction, Cellular Assembly and Organization, Nervous System Development and Function	38
4	View	Cell Morphology, Cell-To-Cell Signaling and Interaction, Tissue Development	36
5	View	Cell Cycle, Cellular Assembly and Organization, Hepatocellular Peroxisome Proliferation	36

Top Diseases and Bio Functions

Diseases and Disorders

Name	p-value	# Molecules
Neurological Disease	2.56E-45 - 1.02E-03	384
Psychological Disorders	2.56E-45 - 1.02E-03	283
Skeletal and Muscular Disorders	1.10E-42 - 1.96E-04	199
Hereditary Disorder	5.58E-39 - 3.00E-04	200
Cancer	1.77E-23 - 9.36E-04	552

a)

b)

Figure 1. a) Scatter plot of methylation signals in two mixtures. b) Scatter plot of the measured and UNDO2.0 estimated neuron-glia proportions.

Figure 2. a) One of the top pathway networks enriched by 496 neuron-upregulated probe sets in grade 1. b) One of the top pathway networks enriched by 774 glia-upregulated probe sets in grade 1. c) One of the top pathway networks enriched by 294 neuron-upregulated probe sets in grade 2. d) One of the top pathway networks enriched by 1082 glia-upregulated probe sets in grade 2.

Figure 3. Significant variations in marker gene expression levels (neuron and glia) among control, grade 1, and grade 2.

Figure 4. Convex cone in scatter space identified by UNDO2.0, where the marker genes given by literatures are geometrically close to the edges of convex cone.

Figure 5. Heatmap of neuron/glia-specific expressions in CB and PC (region-dependent/independent marker genes). (b) Overlap of neuron markers (FC > 20) blindly detected in CB samples, PC samples, and all samples. (c) Overlap of glia markers (FC > 20) blindly detected in CB samples, and PC samples.

Figure 6. Scatter plot of the relative neuron/non-neuron proportion vectors estimated by UNDO2.0 on methylation data versus gene expression data.