Integrating Vectors for Genetic Studies in the Rare Actinomycete *Amycolatopsis marina*

Hong Gao[1],*, Buvani Murugesan[1], Janina Hossbach[1], Stephanie K. Evans[1], W. Marshall Stark[2] and Margaret C.M. Smith[1]

1 Department of Biology, University of York, York, North Yorkshire, UK, YO10 5DD

2 Institute of Molecular, Cell and Systems Biology, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK, G12 8QQ

* Communicating author: gaohong221@gmail.com

Abstract:

Few natural product pathways from rare Actinomycetes have been studied due to the difficulty in applying molecular approaches in these genetically intractable organisms. In this study, we sought to identify integrating vectors, derived using phage *int/attP* loci, that would efficiently integrate site-specifically in the rare Actinomycete, *Amycolatopsis marina* DSM45569. Analysis of the genome of *A. marina* DSM45569 indicated the presence of *attB*-like sequences for TG1 and R4 integrases. The TG1 and R4 *attB*s were active in *in vitro* recombination assays with their cognate purified integrases and *attP* loci. Integrating vectors containing either the TG1 or R4 *int/attP* loci yielded exconjugants in conjugation assays from *E. coli* to *A. marina* DSM45569. Site-specific recombination of the plasmids into the host TG1 or R4 *attB* sites was confirmed by sequencing. The presence of homologous TG1 and R4 *attB* sites in other species of this genus indicates that vectors based on TG1 and R4 integrases could be widely applicable.

Keywords: Rare Actinomycetes, Amycolatopsis, integrating vectors, TG1 integrase, R4 integrase

Introduction

Streptomyces bacteria are widely exploited for their abundant bioactive natural products[1]. However, after 70 years of exploitation, the rate of discovery of new *Streptomyces*-derived bioactive products has declined, and interest in other potential non-Streptomycete sources, such as the rare Actinomycetes, has grown[2, 3].

Amongst rare Actinomycetes, the *Amycolatopsis* genus is of particular interest for its production of important antibiotics such as vancomycin[4] and rifamycin[5], as well as a diverse range of active natural products[6-8]. The publicly available NCBI database contains nearly 70 genomes of *Amycolatopsis* strains, covering more than 40 species from this genus. Similar to *Streptomyces*, the genome of each *Amycolatopsis* contains, on average, over 20 secondary metabolic gene clusters[9]. The mining of these metabolic clusters offers great potential for novel antibiotic discovery. However, the lack of widely available and efficient genetic tools for these rare species impedes their potential in research and development.

Phage-encoded serine and tyrosine integrases catalyse site-specific integration of a circularized phage genome into the host chromosome as part of the process to establish a lysogen. DNA integration mediated by serine integrases occurs between short (approximately 50 bp) DNA substrates that are located on the phage genome, (the phage attachment site *attP*) and the host genome (the bacterial attachment site *attB*). The product of *attP* x *attB* recombination is an integrated phage genome flanked by two new sites, *attL* and *attR*, each of which contains half-sites from *attP* and *attB*. During phage induction, integrase in the presence of a recombination directionality factor (RDF) again mediates site-specific recombination, but this time between *attL* and *attR*, to excise the phage genome, which can then be replicated during a lytic cycle. The mechanism of recombination and the factors that control integration versus excision have been elucidated in recent years[10-12].

Integrating vectors based on the *Streptomyces* phage φC31 integrase and *attP* locus are the best known and most widely used in Actinomycete genome engineering(13-16). In addition to the phage recombination machinery (*int/attP*), integrating vectors contain a replicon for maintenance in *E. coli*, an *oriT* for conjugal transfer and a marker or markers for selection in *E. coli* and in the recipient. They are powerful genome engineering tools that act in an efficient, highly controllable and predictable way(17).

Integrating vectors using serine integrase-mediated recombination require no additional phage or host functions for integration, an especially important feature when they are to be used in other organisms that cannot be infected by the phages. This property makes serine integrase-based vectors promising tools for use in heterologous systems(11, 18). However, use of these integration vectors has not been fully explored in rare Actinomycetes, e.g. *Amycolatopsis*. There is only one reported example of a conjugation system based on φC31 integrase in *Amycolatopsis japonicum* MG417-CF17(19), and it has been reported that other *Amycolatopsis* species lack φC31 *attB* sites in their chromosomes(20). The φBT1 *attB* sites have been more commonly identified in *Amycolatopsis*, and there has been successful conjugative transfer of vectors based on φBT1 *int/attP* in *A. orientalis(20)* and *A. mediterranei(21)*. Furthermore, electroporation remains the most widely applied method for transfer of integrative plasmids into this genus, rather than conjugation(20, 21).

In this paper, we chose to study *Amycolatopsis marina* DSM45569, a species isolated from an ocean-sediment sample collected in the South China Sea(22). We explored the application of bacterial genetic engineering using serine integrases, and developed conjugative and integrating vectors for use in this species. We present evidence suggesting that these vectors could be applied to various other species in

86 this genus, opening up the prospect for more versatile genetic manipulation of

87 *Amycolatopsis*.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

Plasmid propagation and subcloning was conducted using *E. coli* Top10 (F- *mcrA*

Δ(*mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC*) φ80*lacZ*ΔM15 Δ*lacX74 nupG recA1 araD139* Δ(*ara-leu*)*7697*

galE15 galK16 rpsL(Str^R) *endA1* λ⁻). Plasmid conjugations from *E. coli* to *Streptomyces*

were carried out using *E. coli* ET12567(pUZ8002) containing the plasmid to be

transferred as the donor (23). *E. coli* strains were grown in Luria-Bertani broth (LB) or

on LB agar at 37°C.

Amycolatopsis marina DSM45569 was purchased from the German Collection of

Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ, Germany), and maintained on Soya

Mannitol (SM) agar at 30°C. Harvested spores were maintained long-term in 20%

glycerol at -80°C. Conjugations were plated on SM agar containing 10 mM $MgCl_2$, and

ISP2 medium(24) was used for the preparation of genomic DNA(25).

DNA manipulation

E. coli transformation and gel electrophoresis were carried out as described

previously(26). Genomic DNA preparation from *Streptomyces* was performed

following the salting out procedure in the *Streptomyces* manual(25). Plasmids from *E.*

coli were prepared using QIAprep® Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen, Germany) following

the manufacturer's instructions. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) was carried out

using Phusion® High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB, USA) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. The primers used in this study are listed in Table 1. DNA

samples were purified by the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Germany).

Plasmid construction

The integrating plasmid pHG4 contains the TG1 *int/attP* locus and the

apramycin-resistance gene (*aac3(IV)*) for selection (Figure 1A). The fragment

containing *oriT*, *aac3(IV)* and TG1 *int/attP* was amplified from plasmid pBF20(27)

using the primer pair pHG4-for/pHG4-rev. The fragment was joined via In-Fusion

cloning to the 3344 bp HindIII-PacI fragment from pBF22(27) (containing the *E. coli*

plasmid replication origin, the *bla* gene encoding resistance to ampicillin and the

actII-orf4/act1p expression cassette) to form the plasmid pHG4.

To construct the integrating plasmid pJH1R4 (Figure 1A), pSET152(28) was cut with

AatII and PvuI to remove the ϕC31 *attP* site and integrase gene. R4 phage lysate was

used as template in a PCR with the primers pJH1R4-for and pJH1R4-rev to amplify

the R4 *attP* site and integrase coding region. The PCR product was joined to the

AatII-PvuI fragment from pSET152 via In-Fusion cloning.

The plasmid pHG1 (Figure 1B) was used as template to amplify *attB*-containing

sequences for *in vitro* recombination assays. This plasmid was originally constructed

for the expression of *EryF*. The *eryF* gene was amplified from *Saccharopolyspora*

erythraea BIOT-0666 genomic DNA using the primer pair pHG1A-for/pHG1A-rev, and

inserted by In-Fusion cloning into pBF20(27) cut with NheI and PacI to form the

plasmid pHG1A. The 3785 bp fragment containing the ϕC31 *int/attP* and hygromycin

resistance gene was amplified from plasmid pBF27C(27), using the primer pair

pHG1-for and pHG1-rev. Plasmid pHG1A was digested with XbaI and NheI, and the

5668 bp fragment was ligated with the 3785 bp PCR fragment from pBF27C by

In-Fusion to give the plasmid pHG1.

***In Vitro* Recombination Assays**

In vitro recombination assays were performed using PCR-amplified DNA fragments

containing the *attB* and *attP* attachment sites located at the ends. Recombination

between the *attP* and *attB* sites joined the two fragments to give a product whose

length was almost the sum of the substrates (Figure 3A). To generate the

attB-containing substrates, the forward primer, TG1-attB-Am-for, contained the

closest match in the *A. marina* genome to the characterised TG1 *attB* site from *S.*

avermitilis, TG1 *attB^{Sa}* (29)(Figure 2). TG1-attB-Am-for also had a sequence identical

to the 3' end of the act1p element from plasmid pHG1, which was used as a template

for PCR (Figure 1). Similarly, the forward primer R4-attB-Am-for contained the closest

match in the *A. marina* genome to the characterized R4 *attB* site from *S. parvulus,* R4

attB^{Sp} (30) (Figure 2). R4-attB-Am-for also had a sequence identical to the 3' end of

ActII-orf4 element from the template plasmid pHG1 (Figure 1). Forward primers

TG1-attB-Sa-for and R4-attB-Sp-for were used to create positive control

recombination substrates containing the TG1 and R4 *attB* sites originally found in *S.*

avermitilis(29) and *S. parvulus*(30) respectively. The reverse primer used to generate

all the *attB*-containing substrates (attB-rev) was located within the *hyg* gene of pHG1;

the amplified products were 1627 bp (TG1 *attB^{Am}*), 1035 bp (TG1 *attB^{Sa}*), 1854 bp (R4

attB^{Am}) and 1855 bp (R4 *attB^{Sp}*). The DNA fragments containing the *attP* sites were

prepared as follows; the TG1-*attP* fragment (2471 bp) was amplified using the primer

pair TG1-attP-for/TG1-attP-rev with pHG4 as the template, and the R4-*attP* fragment

(990 bp) was amplified using the primer pair R4-attP-for/R4-attP-rev with pJH1R4 as

the template (Figure 1). Note that other than the *attB* and *attP* sites, none of the

substrates contained any DNA that should interact specifically with the integrases.

Moreover, each fragment was designed to be easily identifiable by molecular weight.

The integrases were purified as described previously(31, 32). All recombination

reactions were in 20 µl final volume. Recombination reactions of TG1 substrates were

carried out in TG1 RxE buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 25 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol

[DTT], 10 mM spermidine, 10 mM EDTA, 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin [BSA])(33),

and recombination reactions of R4 substrates were carried out in buffer containing

20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM spermidine, 5 mM $CaCl_2$ and 50 mM

DTT(32). Integrase was added at the concentrations indicated. Recombination

substrates were used at 50 ng each per reaction. Reactions were incubated at 30°C

overnight, and then heated (10 min, 75°C) to denature integrase. The reaction

mixtures were loaded on a 0.8% agarose gel in Tris/Borate/EDTA (TBE) buffer (90 mM

Tris base, 90 mM boric acid and 2 mM EDTA) containing ethidium bromide for

electrophoretic separation.

Results

Identification of possible *attB* sequences from the genome of *Amycolatopsis*

marina **DSM45569**

The sequences of *attB* sites recognised by a variety of integrases (φC31(34), φJoe(35),

Bxb1(36), R4(32), SPBc(37), SV1(38), TG1(29) and TP901(39)) were used in BLAST

searches of the genome sequence of *Amycolatopsis marina* DSM45569 (NCBI

Genome Database NZ_FOKG00000000) (Table 2). The most significant hits for R4 and

TG1 *attB* sites had the highest identities and lowest *E*-value. The predicted R4 *attB*

site is located within a gene predicted to encode a fatty-acyl-CoA synthase

(SFB62308.1) and the TG1 *attB* site is located within a gene predicted to encode a

putative succinyldiaminopimelate transaminase (WP_091671332.1). The BLAST

analysis was extended to other species of *Amycolatopsis* to assess the conservation

of these *attB* sites in the genus (Figure 2). Both R4 and TG1 *attB* sites were highly

conserved relative to the *attB* sites originally identified from *S. parvulus*(30) and *S.*

avermitilis(29) (84% for R4 and 62% for TG1).

A. marinum *attB*-like sequences for TG1 and R4 are both active in *in vitro*

recombination

In each recombination reaction, substrates containing *attP* and the putative *attB* site

were mixed in cognate pairs with different concentrations of purified R4 or TG1

integrase in the corresponding buffer and incubated overnight at 30°C, as described

in Materials and Methods. The expected recombination events and the nature of the

products are shown in Figure 3A-3C. TG1 catalysed recombination between the

substrates more efficiently than R4 (Figure 3D). As expected because neither phage is

an *Amycolatopsis* phage, the recombination efficiencies for each integrase were

observably better when the *Streptomyces attB* sites were used (Figure 3E) compared

to the *A. marina attB* sites (Figure 3D), particularly for TG1 integrase. Nevertheless,

the presence of recombination activity indicated that both *A. marina attB* sites were

functional and were likely to be active integration sites for integrative conjugation

vectors.

***In vivo* integration**

A. marina DSM45569 was unable to grow in the presence of apramycin, so

integrating plasmids pHG4 and pJH1R4, containing the apramycin resistance

determinant *aac3(IV)*, were constructed. Following the standard *Streptomyces*

conjugation protocol (see Materials and Methods), a frequency of approximately 160

exconjugants/10^8 spores was obtained for transfer of pHG4 (encoding TG1 integrase),

while the conjugation efficiency of pJH1R4 (R4 integrase) was only 20

exconjugants/10^8 spores (Table 3). For each integration, six exconjugants were picked

at random and streaked on MS agar containing apramycin. Genomic DNA was then

prepared and used as the template in PCR reactions, in which the primer pairs of

TG1-attL-Am-for/rev and R4-attL-Am-for/rev were used to test for the occurrence of

recombination at the expected TG1 and R4 *attB* sites (Figure 4). All PCR reactions

using exconjugants as templates gave the expected band sizes. Sequencing (GATC,

Germany) of the PCR products with the primers TG1-attL-Am-for and R4-attL-Am-for

confirmed that the plasmids had integrated into the predicted *attB* sites for TG1 or

R4 integrase within *A. marina* DSM45569 (Figure 5).

Discussion

The lack of effective genetic engineering tools is considered one of the greatest

hindrances in the search for novel natural products from rare Actinomycetes[40-42].

Previous studies in rare Actinomycete species have largely focused on the use of the

well-characterised φC31-based integration vectors, and have mostly overlooked tools

based on other phage integrases[43-45]. Additionally, the conjugation methods used

widely in *Streptomyces* gene transfer because of their ease have shown little success

in rare Actinomycetes, including species in the genus *Amycolatopsis*, so

electroporation has been the long-preferred method of gene transfer for species in

this genus[5, 9, 46, 47]. However, the growing interest in the use of serine integrases

for synthetic biology applications[11] has led to further research into expanding the

pool of available enzymes and their potentials as genetic tools[48-50]. Therefore,

within this study, we explored whether integrating vectors based on eight different

serine integrases could be employed for genetic engineering of *A. marina* DSM45569.

Sequence analysis of the *A. marina* DSM45569 genome identified close matches to

the *attB* sites used by TG1 and R4 integrases. Although conjugation frequencies were

low, integrating plasmids based on the TG1 and R4 recombination systems

successfully integrated into the expected *attB* sites in *A. marina* DSM45569.

Conservation between the *attB* sites for TG1 and R4 in a number of *Amycolatopsis*

species is high, suggesting that plasmids with the integration systems from these

phages should be widely useful in this genus.

As is common with serine integrase-mediated recombination, the *attB* sites in *A.*

marina are located within open reading frames and potentially disrupt the gene. The

TG1 *attB*^{Am} site is located within a gene predicted to encode a putative

succinyldiaminopimelate transaminase (WP_091671332.1), and the R4 *attB*^{Am} site is

located within a gene predicted to encode a fatty-acyl-CoA synthase (SFB62308.1).

Compared to the wild-type (unintegrated) strain, the strains with integrated pHG4 or

pJH1R4 did not show any difference in growth. However, further study is required to

investigate the effects of TG1 or R4 plasmid recombination on both primary and

secondary metabolism as, for example, the integration of ϕC31 integrase-based

plasmids has been shown to have pleiotropic effects on bacterial physiology(51).

Currently, the two most commonly used methods of bacterial gene transfer are

conjugation and electroporation, both of which come with several advantages and

disadvantages. Electroporation involves the introduction of pores within bacterial

membranes via an electric current to allow mass, unrestricted transfer of genetic

material into species(52, 53). The efficiency of transformation, however, is

species-dependent(52). Unlike electroporation, conjugation is not limited by the size

of vectors that can be transformed, and has been used successfully to transfer entire

genomes in *E. coli*(54). Additionally, conjugation uses the transfer of DNA as a single

strand that is relatively insensitive to the majority of the restriction systems of the

cells(55). Thus conjugation may have advantages over electroporation. In this study,

we successfully integrated a plasmid into the *attB* sites for TG1 and R4 integrases by

conjugation, thus supplementing the potential gene transfer methods that could be

used in the genus *Amycolatopsis*.

In conclusion, we have identified highly conserved sequences of the *attB* sites for

TG1 and R4 integrases within the genus *Amycolatopsis* and demonstrated their use in

conjugative DNA transfer. The *A. marina* DSM45569 *attB* sites showed slightly lower

recombination efficiencies *in vitro* than the previously identified *attB* sites from

Streptomyces spp. However, this slight reduction is not enough by itself to explain

the order of magnitude reductions in conjugation frequencies observed with *A.*

marina compared to *Streptomyces* spp. (Table 3). The conjugation frequencies might

be increased by optimising conjugation conditions. Alternatively, efficiently used *attB*

sites for the widely used vectors, such as those based on phiC31 *int/attP* could be

incorporated into the *Amylcolatopsis* genome using TG1 or R4 integrating plasmids as

described here. In short, this work shows that integrative vectors are viable and

promising tools for the genetic engineering of rare Actinomycetes.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research

Council project grant BB/K003356/1, and Buvani Murugesan acknowledges the

receipt of a summer studentship from the Department of Biology, University of York.

References

1. Jones SE, Ho L, Rees CA, Hill JE, Nodwell JR, Elliot MA. 2017. Streptomyces exploration is triggered by fungal interactions and volatile signals. Elife 6:e21738.

2. Zarins-Tutt JS, Barberi TT, Gao H, Mearns-Spragg A, Zhang L, Newman DJ, Goss RJM. 2016. Prospecting for new bacterial metabolites: a glossary of approaches for inducing, activating and upregulating the biosynthesis of bacterial cryptic or silent natural products. Natural product reports 33:54-72.

3. Jose PA, Jebakumar SRD. 2013. Non-streptomycete actinomycetes nourish the current microbial antibiotic drug discovery. Frontiers in microbiology 4.

4. Jung H-M, Kim S-Y, Moon H-J, Oh D-K, Lee J-K. 2007. Optimization of culture conditions and scale-up to pilot and plant scales for vancomycin production by Amycolatopsis orientalis. Applied microbiology and biotechnology 77:789-795.

5. Li C, Liu X, Lei C, Yan H, Shao Z, Wang Y, Zhao G, Wang J, Ding X. 2017. RifZ (AMED_0655) is a pathway-specific regulator for rifamycin biosynthesis in Amycolatopsis mediterranei. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 83:e03201-16.

6. Hashizume H, Iijima K, Yamashita K, Kimura T, Wada S-i, Sawa R, Igarashi M. 2017. Valgamicin C, a novel cyclic depsipeptide containing the unusual amino acid cleonine, and related

297   valgamicins A, T and V produced by Amycolatopsis sp. ML1-hF4. The Journal of
298   Antibiotics:ja2017135.

299   7.   Li X, Wu X, Zhu J, Shen Y. 2017. Amexanthomycins A–J, pentangular polyphenols produced by
300   Amycolatopsis mediterranei S699Δ rifA. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology:1-14.

301   8.   Schwarz PN, Buchmann A, Roller L, Kulik A, Gross H, Wohlleben W, Stegmann E. 2017. The
302   Immunosuppressant Brasilicardin: Determination of the Biosynthetic Gene Cluster in the
303   Heterologous Host Amycolatopsis japonicum. Biotechnology Journal.

304   9.   Kumari R, Singh P, Lal R. 2016. Genetics and genomics of the genus Amycolatopsis. Indian
305   journal of microbiology 56:233-246.

306   10.   Colloms SD, Merrick CA, Olorunniji FJ, Stark WM, Smith MC, Osbourn A, Keasling JD, Rosser SJ.
307   2013. Rapid metabolic pathway assembly and modification using serine integrase site-specific
308   recombination. Nucleic acids research 42:e23-e23.

309   11.   Fogg PC, Colloms S, Rosser S, Stark M, Smith MC. 2014. New applications for phage integrases.
310   Journal of molecular biology 426:2703-2716.

311   12.   Smith MC. 2015. Phage-encoded serine integrases and other large serine recombinases, p
312   253-272, Mobile DNA III. American Society of Microbiology.

313   13.   Sosio M, Giusino F, Cappellano C, Bossi E, Puglia AM, Donadio S. 2000. Artificial chromosomes
314   for antibiotic-producing actinomycetes. Nature biotechnology 18:343-345.

315   14.   Hong Y, Hondalus MK. 2008. Site-specific integration of Streptomyces ΦC31 integrase-based
316   vectors in the chromosome of Rhodococcus equi. FEMS microbiology letters 287:63-68.

317   15.   Saha S, Zhang W, Zhang G, Zhu Y, Chen Y, Liu W, Yuan C, Zhang Q, Zhang H, Zhang L. 2017.
318   Activation and characterization of a cryptic gene cluster reveals a cyclization cascade for
319   polycyclic tetramate macrolactams. Chemical Science 8:1607-1612.

320   16.   Thorpe HM, Smith MC. 1998. In vitro site-specific integration of bacteriophage DNA catalyzed
321   by a recombinase of the resolvase/invertase family. Proceedings of the National Academy of
322   Sciences 95:5505-5510.

323   17.   Rutherford K, Van Duyne GD. 2014. The ins and outs of serine integrase site-specific
324   recombination. Current opinion in structural biology 24:125-131.

325   18.   Baltz RH. 2012. Streptomyces temperate bacteriophage integration systems for stable genetic
326   engineering of actinomycetes (and other organisms). Journal of industrial microbiology &
327   biotechnology 39:661-672.

328   19.   Stegmann E, Pelzer S, Wilken K, Wohlleben W. 2001. Development of three different gene
329   cloning systems for genetic investigation of the new species Amycolatopsis japonicum
330   MG417-CF17, the ethylenediaminedisuccinic acid producer. Journal of biotechnology
331   92:195-204.

332   20.   Lei X, Zhang C, Jiang Z, Li X, Shi Y, Liu M, Xie Y, Wang L, Hong B. 2017. Complete genome
333   sequence of Amycolatopsis orientalis CPCC200066, the producer of norvancomycin. Journal
334   of biotechnology 247:6-10.

335   21.   Li C, Zhou L, Wang Y, Zhao G, Ding X. 2017. Conjugation of ΦBT1-derived integrative plasmid
336   pDZL802 in Amycolatopsis mediterranei U32. Bioengineered 8:549-554.

337   22.   Bian J, Li Y, Wang J, Song F-H, Liu M, Dai H-Q, Ren B, Gao H, Hu X, Liu Z-H. 2009.
338   Amycolatopsis marina sp. nov., an actinomycete isolated from an ocean sediment.
339   International journal of systematic and evolutionary microbiology 59:477-481.

340   23.   MacNeil DJ. 1988. Characterization of a unique methyl-specific restriction system in

341   Streptomyces avermitilis. Journal of bacteriology 170:5607-5612.

342   24.   Shirling Et, Gottlieb D. 1966. Methods for characterization of Streptomyces species1.
343   International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 16:313-340.

344   25.   Kieser T, Bibb MJ, Buttner MJ, Chater KF, Hopwood DA. 2000. Practical Streptomyces Genetics.
345   The John Innes Foundation, Norwich.

346   26.   Sambrook J, Russell DW. 2001. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor
347   Laboratory Press, New York.

348   27.   Fayed B, Ashford DA, Hashem AM, Amin MA, El Gazayerly ON, Gregory MA, Smith MC. 2015.
349   Multiplexed integrating plasmids for engineering the erythromycin gene cluster for
350   expression in Streptomyces and combinatorial biosynthesis. Applied and environmental
351   microbiology:AEM. 02403-15.

352   28.   Wilkinson CJ, Hughes-Thomas ZA, Martin CJ, Bohm I, Mironenko T, Deacon M, Wheatcroft M,
353   Wirtz G, Staunton J, Leadlay PF. 2002. Increasing the efficiency of heterologous promoters in
354   actinomycetes. Journal of molecular microbiology and biotechnology 4:417-426.

355   29.   Morita K, Yamamoto T, Fusada N, Komatsu M, Ikeda H, Hirano N, Takahashi H. 2009. The
356   site-specific recombination system of actinophage TG1. FEMS microbiology letters
357   297:234-240.

358   30.   Shirai M, Nara H, Sato A, Aida T, Takahashi H. 1991. Site-specific integration of the
359   actinophage R4 genome into the chromosome of Streptomyces parvulus upon lysogenization.
360   Journal of bacteriology 173:4237-4239.

361   31.   Rowley PA, Smith MC, Younger E, Smith MC. 2008. A motif in the C-terminal domain of ΦC31
362   integrase controls the directionality of recombination. Nucleic acids research 36:3879-3891.

363   32.   Miura T, Hosaka Y, Yan-Zhuo Y, Nishizawa T, Asayama M, Takahashi H, Shirai M. 2011. In vivo
364   and in vitro characterization of site-specific recombination of actinophage R4 integrase. The
365   Journal of general and applied microbiology 57:45-57.

366   33.   Morita K, Morimura K, Fusada N, Komatsu M, Ikeda H, Hirano N, Takahashi H. 2012.
367   Site-specific genome integration in alphaproteobacteria mediated by TG1 integrase. Applied
368   microbiology and biotechnology 93:295-304.

369   34.   Combes P, Till R, Bee S, Smith MC. 2002. The Streptomyces genome contains multiple
370   pseudo-attB sites for the φC31-encoded site-specific recombination system. Journal of
371   bacteriology 184:5746-5752.

372   35.   Fogg PC, Haley JA, Stark WM, Smith MC. 2016. Genome integration and excision by a new
373   Streptomyces bacteriophage, ΦJoe. Applied and environmental microbiology:AEM. 02767-16.

374   36.   Ghosh P, Pannunzio NR, Hatfull GF. 2005. Synapsis in phage Bxb1 integration: selection
375   mechanism for the correct pair of recombination sites. Journal of molecular biology
376   349:331-348.

377   37.   Lazarevic V, Düsterhöft A, Soldo B, Hilbert H, Mauel C, Karamata D. 1999. Nucleotide
378   sequence of the Bacillus subtilis temperate bacteriophage SPβc2. Microbiology
379   145:1055-1067.

380   38.   Fayed B, Younger E, Taylor G, Smith MC. 2014. A novel Streptomyces spp. integration vector
381   derived from the S. venezuelae phage, SV1. BMC biotechnology 14:51.

382   39.   Christiansen B, Johnsen M, Stenby E, Vogensen F, Hammer K. 1994. Characterization of the
383   lactococcal temperate phage TP901-1 and its site-specific integration. Journal of bacteriology
384   176:1069-1076.

385   40.   Li X, Zhou X, Deng Z. 2003. Vector systems allowing efficient autonomous or integrative gene
386   cloning in Micromonospora sp. strain 40027. Applied and environmental microbiology
387   69:3144-3151.

388   41.   Marcone GL, Carrano L, Marinelli F, Beltrametti F. 2010. Protoplast preparation and reversion
389   to the normal filamentous growth in antibiotic-producing uncommon actinomycetes. The
390   Journal of antibiotics 63:83-88.

391   42.   Wolf T, Gren T, Thieme E, Wibberg D, Zemke T, Pühler A, Kalinowski J. 2016. Targeted genome
392   editing in the rare actinomycete Actinoplanes sp. SE50/110 by using the CRISPR/Cas9 System.
393   Journal of biotechnology 231:122-128.

394   43.   Wagner N, Oßwald C, Biener R, Schwartz D. 2009. Comparative analysis of transcriptional
395   activities of heterologous promoters in the rare actinomycete Actinoplanes friuliensis. Journal
396   of biotechnology 142:200-204.

397   44.   Anzai Y, Iizaka Y, Li W, Idemoto N, Tsukada S-i, Koike K, Kinoshita K, Kato F. 2009. Production of
398   rosamicin derivatives in Micromonospora rosaria by introduction of d-mycinose biosynthetic
399   gene with ΦC31-derived integration vector pSET152. Journal of industrial microbiology &
400   biotechnology 36:1013.

401   45.   Kim D-Y, Hwang Y-I, Choi S-U. 2011. Cloning of metK from Actinoplanes teichomyceticus
402   ATCC31121 and effect of its high expression on antibiotic production. Journal of microbiology
403   and biotechnology 21:1294-1298.

404   46.   Shen Y, Huang H, Zhu L, Luo M, Chen D. 2012. Type II thioesterase gene (ECO-orf27) from
405   Amycolatopsis orientalis influences production of the polyketide antibiotic, ECO-0501 (LW01).
406   Biotechnology letters 34:2087-2091.

407   47.   Lee K, Lee B, Ryu J, Kim D, Kim Y, Lim SK. 2016. Increased vancomycin production by

408   overexpression of MbtH-like protein in Amycolatopsis orientalis KFCC10990P. Letters in

409   applied microbiology 63:222-228.

410   48.   Mandali S, Dhar G, Avliyakulov NK, Haykinson MJ, Johnson RC. 2013. The site-specific
411   integration reaction of Listeria phage A118 integrase, a serine recombinase. Mobile DNA
412   4:2-2.

413   49.   Yoon B, Kim I, Nam J-A, Chang H-I, Ha CH. 2016. In vivo and in vitro characterization of
414   site-specific recombination of a novel serine integrase from the temperate phage EFC-1.
415   Biochemical and biophysical research communications 473:336-341.

416   50.   Yang L, Nielsen AA, Fernandez-Rodriguez J, McClune CJ, Laub MT, Lu TK, Voigt CA. 2014.
417   Permanent genetic memory with> 1-byte capacity. Nature methods 11:1261-1266.

418   51.   Talà A, Damiano F, Gallo G, Pinatel E, Calcagnile M, Testini M, Fico D, Rizzo D, Sutera A,
419   Renzone G. 2018. Pirin: A novel redox-sensitive modulator of primary and secondary
420   metabolism in Streptomyces. Metabolic engineering 48:254-268.

421   52.   Bekker V, Dodd A, Brady D, Rumbold K. 2014. Tools for metabolic engineering in
422   Streptomyces. Bioengineered 5:293-299.

423   53.   Cadoret F, Soscia C, Voulhoux R. 2014. Gene transfer: transformation/electroporation.
424   Pseudomonas Methods and Protocols:11-15.

425   54.   Isaacs FJ, Carr PA, Wang HH, Lajoie MJ, Sterling B, Kraal L, Tolonen AC, Gianoulis TA, Goodman
426   DB, Reppas NB. 2011. Precise manipulation of chromosomes in vivo enables genome-wide
427   codon replacement. Science 333:348-353.

428   55.   Dominguez W, O'Sullivan DJ. 2013. Developing an efficient and reproducible
429   conjugation-based gene transfer system for bifidobacteria. Microbiology 159:328-338.
430

 Figures

 Figure 1. Plasmids used in this study. The primer binding sites are indicated. (A)

 Integrating plasmids pHG4 and pJH1R4; (B) PCR template plasmid pHG1.

 Figure 2. Alignment of R4 and TG1 *attB* sites in *A. marina* DSM45569 and other

 Amycolatopsis species. GenBank accession nos. of DNA sequences: *A. alba*

 (NMQU01000019.1), *A. antarctica* (NKYE01000021.1), *A. azurea* (MUXN01000005.1),

 A. kentuckyensis (MUMI01000226.1), *A. lurida* (FNTA01000004.1), *A. nigrescens*

 (ARVW01000001.1), *A. niigatensis* (PJMY01000003.1), *A. orientalis*

 (ASXH01000007.1), *A. pretoriensis* (MUMK01000092.1), *A. regifaucium*

 (LQCI01000034.1), *A. rubida* (FOWC01000001.1), *A. sacchari* (FORP01000010.1), *A.*

 thailandensis (NMQT01000219.1), *A. thermoflava* (AXBH01000004.1), *A.*

 tolypomycina (FNSO01000004.1), *A. xylanica* (FNON01000002.1), *Streptomyces*

 avermitilis (NC_003155.5) and *S. parvulus* (CP015866.1).

 Figure 3. (A) Design and synthesis of DNA substrate *attB*. The *attB* sites were fused at

 the end of forward primers, to amplify a sequence flanked with *attB* from an

 unrelated DNA template, pHG1 in this case. (B) Design and synthesis of DNA

 substrate *attP*. The *attP* sites were amplified directly from integrating vectors

 carrying TG1/*attP* (pHG4) or R4/*attP* (pJH1R4). (C) Recombination substrates and

 their expected products. (D) *In vitro* recombination between DNA fragments

 containing TG1 *attB*^{Am} (1627 bp) and TG1 *attP* (2471 bp; left), and R4 *attB*^{Am} (1854 bp)

 and R4 *attP* (990 bp; right). The expected products of the TG1 integrase-mediated

 reaction were a 4.1 kb DNA fragment containing the *attR*^{Am} site, and a 53 bp

 fragment containing *attL*^{Am} (not observed). For the R4 integrase recombination

 reaction, the expected products were a 2.8 kb fragment containing *attR*^{Am}, and a 51

 bp *attL*^{Am} fragment (not observed). (E) *In vitro* recombination between DNA

 fragments containing TG1 *attB*^{Sa} (1035 bp) and TG1 *attP* (2471 bp; left), and R4 *attB*^{Sp}

 (1855 bp) and R4 *attP* (990 bp; right). The expected products were a 3.5 kb fragment

 containing *attR*^{Sa} for the TG1 reaction, and a 2.8 kb fragment containing *attR*^{Sp} for the

 R4 reaction. M: Fast DNA Ladder (NEB, USA).

Figure 4. PCR confirmation of site-specific integration in the exconjugants. (A) Design

of primers. (B) PCR (using primers TG1-attL-Am-for/rev) of the expected TG1

attL-containing fragment from *A. marina* DSM45569:pHG4. (C) PCR (using primers

R4-attL-Am-for/rev) of the expected R4 *attL*-containing fragment from *A. marina*

DSM45569:pJH1R4. M: Fast DNA Ladder. Colonies 1 to 6 are independent

exconjugants.

Figure 5. The insertion sites of TG1 and R4 integration plasmids in *A. marina*

DSM45569. Sequencing (using primers TG1-attL-Am-for or R4-attL-Am-for) of PCR

products containing *attL* from exconjugants validated the site-specific recombination

of the TG1 and R4 *attB* sites in *A. marina* DSM45569 after introduction of pHG4 or

pJH1R4, respectively.

 Figure 1

Figure 2.

A. R4 *attB* sites

A marina
A kentuckyensis
A nigrescens
A niigatensis
A orientalis
A pretoriensis
A rubida
A tolypomycina
A xylanica
S parvulus

B. TG1 *attB* sites

A marina
A alba
A antarctica
A azurea
A lurida
A regifaucium
A sacchari
A thaitandensis
A thermoflava
S avermitilis

477 **Figure 3.**

480   **Figure 4.**

483 **Figure 5.**

A

```
      attB - A. marina  GGTTGCCCATCACCATGCC GAAGCAGTG ----------------------
attL - exconjugant  GGTTGCCCATCACCATGCC GAAGCAGTG GTACTGCTTGTGGGTACACTCT
       attP - pJH1R4  -------------------- GAAGCAGTG GTACTGCTTGTGGGTACACTCT
```

B

```
      attB - A. marina  GATCTCCAGTGCGGGCAAGACG TT --------------------------
attL - exconjugant  GATCTCCAGTGCGGGCAAGACG TT GCTCTTACCCAGTTGGGCGGGATAGC
        attP - pHG4  ---------------------- TT GCTCTTACCCAGTTGGGCGGGATAGC
```

486 **TABLE 1** Oligonucleotides used in this study

Oligonucleotide	Sequence (5'-3')
pHG1A-for	CGAACGCATCGATTAATTAAGGAGGATCGTATGACGACCGTTCCCG
pHG1A-rev	CGTGGTGGGCGCTAGCCTCCTCTAGTCATCCGTCG
pHG1-for	ACTAGAGGAGGCTAGCTTCAATGGAGGAGATGATCGAGG
pHG1-rev	GCAGGTCGACTCTAGATCTCGCTACGCCGCTACG
pHG4-for	CGAACGCATCGATTAATTAAGCGGCCGCCATATGGAATTCGGTACCGCATGCAGATCTAGGAACTTCGAAGTTCCCGC
pHG4-rev	TGATTACGCCAAGCTTTCGACTCTAGAGTAAGCGTCACGG
pJH1R4-for	CTAGCGATTGCCATGACGTCGGAGCTGCTTACCAATGTC
pJH1R4-rev	AAGAGGCCCGCACCGATTCCAAGAGGCCGGCAACTAC
TG1-attB-Am-for	<u>TCGATCTCCAGTGCGGGCAAGACGTTCAACTGCACCGGCTGGAAGATCGGGACCA</u>CCGGACGAACGCA
TG1-attB-Sa-for	TCGATCAGCTCCGCGGGCAAGACCTTCTCCTTCACGGGGTGGAAGGTCGGCGGTGGAGCTCGGAGA
R4-attB-Am-for	<u>GGTTGCCCATCACCATGCCGAAGCAGTGATAGAAGGGAACCGGGATGCAGGTGA</u>GAAGGTGCTCGTGT
R4-attB-Sp-for	AGTTGCCCATGACCATGCCGAAGCAGTGGTAGAAGGGCACCGGCAGACACGG
attB-rev	CTGCATCTCAACGCCTTCCGG
TG1-attP-for	AACCTTCACGCTCATGCC
TG1-attP-rev	GTCGAGATTCTCCGTCTCCTG
R4-attP-for	GATCGGTCTTGCCTTGCTC
R4-attP-rev	ACCCGCAGAGTGTACCCA
TG1-attL-Am-for	ACAACCCCACCGGCACCGTCTTCA
TG1-attL-Am-rev	AGTATAGGAACTTCGAAGCAGCTC
R4-attL-Am-for	CGGCCGGTGATGTTGACGT
R4-attL-Am-rev	TCGGCCGTCACGATGGTCA

487 The possible *attB* sequences identified from the genome sequence of *Amycolatopsis*

488 *marina* DSM45569 are shown underlined.

490 **TABLE 2**. The original *attB* sites for integrases and results of BLAST search

Integrase	*attB* sites and the best hit from BLAST	Homology (%)	*E*-value
φ31	S.coelicolor hit from A.marina	41	0.015
φJoe	S.venezuelae hit from A.marina	30	0.60
Bxb1	Mycobacterium smegma hit from A.marina	48	0.014
R4	S.parvulus hit from A.marina	84	3e-11
SPBc	No hit		
SV1	S.venezuelae hit from A.marina	32	0.17
TG1	S.avermitilis hit from A.marina	62	0.001
TP901	Lactococcus lactis s hit from A.marina	25	8.1

493 **TABLE 3**. Conjugation efficiency of pHG4 and pJH1R4 in different species

Exconjugants/10^8 spores	pHG4	pJH1R4
A. marina	160	20
S. coelicolor	1.47×10^3	3.28×10^4
S. lividans	1.56×10^3	3.33×10^4