Sensory experience controls dendritic structure and behavior by distinct pathways involving degenerins

Sharon Inberg, Yael Iosilevskii and Benjamin Podbilewicz*

Department of Biology, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, 3200003 Haifa, Israel

* Corresponding author
Department of Biology, Emerson Building, Room 4-31
Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, 3200003 Haifa, Israel
Tel +972 4 8293454
Email: podbilew@technion.ac.il

Author Contributions: SI and BP conceived and designed the experiments. SI performed the experiments. YI conceived, designed and performed some behavioral and structural experiments. SI, YI and BP analyzed the data and wrote the paper.

Competing Interest Statement: None

Classification: Biological Sciences. Neuroscience

Keywords: Dendritic plasticity, *Caenorhabditis elegans,* Epithelial sodium channels (degenerins)

This PDF file includes:

      Main Text
      Figures 1 to 6

Abstract

Dendrites are crucial for receiving information into neurons. Sensory experience affects the structure of these tree-like neurites, which, it is assumed, modifies neuronal function, yet the evidence is scarce, and the mechanisms are unknown. To study whether sensory experience affects dendritic morphology, we use the *Caenorhabditis elegans'* arborized nociceptor PVD neurons, under natural mechanical stimulation induced by physical contacts between individuals. We found that mechanosensory signals induced by conspecifics affect the dendritic structure of the PVD. Moreover, developmentally isolated animals show a decrease in their ability to respond to harsh touch. The structural and behavioral plasticity following sensory deprivation are functionally independent of each other and are mediated by an array of evolutionarily conserved mechanosensory amiloride-sensitive epithelial sodium channels (degenerins). Our genetic results, supported by optogenetic, behavioral, and pharmacological evidence, suggest an activity-dependent homeostatic mechanism for dendritic structural plasticity, that in parallel controls escape response to noxious mechanosensory stimuli.

Significance Statement

Little is known about how neuronal activity and sensory experience influence the structure and function of dendritic trees. Furthermore, how dendritic structures affect neuronal functions remains to a large extent a mystery despite their fundamental functions in neuronal sensory and synaptic activities in health and diseases. Here we show that complex dendritic trees of the nociceptive and proprioceptive PVD neuron in *C. elegans* display a dynamic nature where they undergo pronounced dendritic modifications during adulthood. These modifications are determined by the received sensory signals generated by conspecific worms on the plate. We revealed functions for degenerins/Epithelial sodium channels in this phenomenon, using genetic and optogenetic approaches. We found that the degenerin MEC-10 acts cell autonomously to translate environmental mechanical signals into structural and functional modifications in the PVD.

Main Text

Introduction

The general structure of the nervous system has been known for over a century. Groundbreaking studies on synaptic plasticity and its underlying mechanisms have shown that before birth and in adult animals, neuronal activity is needed for synaptic remodeling (Fox & Wong, 2005, Goodman & Shatz, 1993, Katz & Shatz, 1996, Wiesner, Bilodeau et al., 2020, Zuo, Yang et al., 2005). In contrast, the molecular mechanisms responsible for structural remodeling of dendritic trees, as a result of different sensory inputs (experience), especially during adulthood, are less understood (Kolb & Whishaw, 1998, Tavosanis, 2012, Wong & Ghosh, 2002).

Mechanistic understanding of experience-dependent structural plasticity is primarily focused on activity sensation by calcium channels and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors. These are known to induce downstream signaling cascades affecting, among others, the Rho family of small GTPases, calcium metabolism and microtubule stability (Ghiretti, Moore et al., 2014, Sin, Haas et al., 2002, Vaillant, Zanassi et al., 2002, Zhou, Hong et al., 2006). Several neurological conditions including autism, Down syndrome, fragile X syndrome, and schizophrenia are characterized by abnormal dendritic spine structures (Hu, Huang et al., 2020, Huebschman, Corona et al., 2020, Jan & Jan, 2010, Tendilla-Beltran, Antonio Vazquez-Roque et al., 2019). Uncovering the molecular basis of dendritic tree instability during development and adulthood, may shed light on neurological disease mechanisms and elucidate their behavioral phenotypes.

The dendrite morphology of the *Caenorhabditis elegans'* PVD bilateral neurons is composed of repetitive, stereotypical and spatially organized structural units that resemble candelabra (Fig. 1A), making it a useful platform to study dendritic morphogenesis (Oren-Suissa, Hall et al., 2010). While some of the genetically-programmed molecular mechanisms responsible for the morphogenesis and regeneration of PVD's dendritic trees are known (Dong, Liu et al., 2013, Dong, Shen et al., 2015, Inberg, Meledin et al., 2019, Kravtsov, Oren-Suissa et al., 2017, Oren-Suissa, Gattegno et al., 2017, Oren-Suissa et al., 2010, Salzberg, Diaz-Balzac et al., 2013, Salzberg, Ramirez-Suarez et al., 2014, Smith, Watson et al., 2010), the influence of nurture, e.g. sensory experience, on its structure and function during development and in adulthood remain unexplored. The PVD mediates three sensory modalities (reviewed in (Goodman & Sengupta, 2019)): response to harsh mechanical stimuli (nociception) (Chatzigeorgiou, Yoo et al., 2010), response to low temperatures (Chatzigeorgiou et al., 2010) and proprioception (Albeg, Smith et al., 2011, Tao, Porto et al., 2019). While the PVD response to low temperatures is mediated by transient receptor potential (TRP) channels (Chatzigeorgiou et al., 2010), nociception and proprioception are mediated by degenerins/epithelial Na^+ channels (DEG/ENaCs) expressed in the PVD

(Chatzigeorgiou et al., 2010, Husson, Costa et al., 2012), which form homo- and hetero-
trimers and are involved in force sensing. In mammals, some DEG/ENaCs such as
ASIC1a participate in synaptic plasticity and cognitive functions such as learning and
memory (Baldin, Barth et al., 2020, Bianchi & Driscoll, 2002, Chen, Bharill et al., 2015,
Gillespie, 2001, Gobetto, Gonzalez-Inchauspe et al., 2021, Hill & Ben-Shahar, 2018,
Mango & Nistico, 2020, Welsh, Price et al., 2002). As sensory and social isolation affect
the behavior and fitness of diverse animals (Bailey & Moore, 2018, Kuhlman, O'Connor
et al., 2014, Wilbrecht, Holtmaat et al., 2010, Yu & Zuo, 2011), including primates
(Harlow, Dodsworth et al., 1965), studying adult nematode somatosensory neurons can
reveal possibly-conserved mechanisms of dendritic plasticity, which are induced by
sensory stimuli.

In gentle touch circuits, which are distinct from nociception (Chalfie & Sulston,
1981, Li, Kang et al., 2011, Oren-Suissa et al., 2010), Rose and colleagues (Rose,
Sangha et al., 2005) found that deprivation of mechanosensory stimulation generated
by colliding conspecifics in the growing plate, resulted in modified glutamatergic
signaling and reduced response to tap stimulation. Here, we adapted this
mechanosensory stimulation paradigm (Rose et al., 2005), where the crowded worms
are thought to represent the natural 'default' enriched mechanosensory state, to look
into nociceptive circuits and identify the mechanism that couples mechanosensory
experience to structural and functional dendritic plasticity. We focused on how
mechanosensory experience, perceived through DEG/ENaCs, affects structural
plasticity of the PVD dendritic trees in adult *C. elegans*, and whether this entails
behavioral consequences. We find that mechanosensory experience not only alters the
PVD's dendritic structure in the adults, but also affects associated behavioral outputs.
However, and in contrast to the prevalent hypothesis, these structural and behavioral
properties are not correlated.

Results

Sensory isolation induces behavioral plasticity

Rose and colleagues (Rose et al., 2005) has shown that isolation of wildtype (WT) *C.*
elegans causes a decreased response to gentle touch circuits when comparing to
worms raised in a crowded setting. We utilized a similar paradigm to study nociception,
a behavior associated with PVD neuron activation (Oren-Suissa et al., 2010, Way &
Chalfie, 1988), using a behavioral assay that registers escape following prodding with a
platinum wire (harsh touch assay; Fig. 1A) (Chalfie & Sulston, 1981, Li et al., 2011,
Oren-Suissa et al., 2010). To study whether mechanosensory deprivation affects the
nociceptive functions of the PVD, we isolated embryos into single plates where they
grew 72 h to adulthood and compared their behavioral response to harsh touch against
same-aged adults that were grown on crowded plates (Fig. 1B). We found that ~40% of
isolated WT animals responded to harsh touch, compared with ~80% of animals grown

in crowded plates (Fig. 1C). To test whether gentle touch neurons are involved in this
behavioral difference, we studied *mec-4(e1611)* mutants, in which gentle touch neurons
are degraded (Caneo, Julian et al., 2019, Driscoll & Chalfie, 1991, Hedgecock, Sulston
et al., 1983, Li et al., 2011, Suzuki, Kerr et al., 2003), and obtained similar results (Fig.
1C). Given that *mec-4(e1611)* exhibits the same experience-dependent behavioral
plasticity as WT, we conclude that this phenomenon is independent from the *mec-4*
gentle-touch neurons. As a negative control, we used *mec-3(e1338)* mutants, that are
harsh-touch-insensitive (Way & Chalfie, 1988) and found no responses for both groups
(Fig. 1C). Thus, isolation reduces the response to noxious stimuli, in a process that is
independent of gentle touch circuits.

To determine whether the effect of isolation on nociception is related to the
mechanosensory deficit itself (like friction (Shi, Luke et al., 2016) and collisions
(Chatzigeorgiou et al., 2010), in contrast to chemosensory stimuli, we used the *mec-4*
strain to compare responses of isolated worms to responses of isolated worms grown in
the presence of glass beads (resembling a method used by (Sawin, Ranganathan et al.,
2000)). Worms grown in isolation with beads had a similar response compared to
animals grown in crowded plates (Fig. S1A). While the beads are sufficient to increase
isolated animals' responses, they do not fully recapitulate the crowded state (Fig. S1A).
To study whether the effect was chemosensory-mediated, we tested plates pre-
stimulated with pheromones as well as *osm-6* mutants (defective in olfactory sensory
cilia (Collet, Spike et al., 1998)). We found that isolation induced a reduction in the
response to harsh touch, regardless of plate 'odor' (Fig. 1D) or chemosensory function
(Fig. S1B). We note that the chemosensory defective *osm-6* mutants also have a
defective ciliated mechanosensory PDE neuron (Collet et al., 1998) (which mediates
posterior harsh touch responses (Li et al., 2011)). These results suggest that adult
worms display a behavioral plasticity in PVD-related harsh touch circuits, which is
dependent on mechanosensory experience, and is independent of the PDE neuron,
olfactory and gentle touch neurons.

MEC-10 and other mechanosensory channels mediate isolation-induced
behavioral plasticity before adulthood

To study the genetic mechanisms behind the nociceptive response plasticity, we
performed a candidate gene screen for degenerins (DEG/ENaC) and transient receptor
potential (TRP) channels that are expressed in the PVD, where degenerins mediate
mechanosensation and proprioception, while TRPs sense low temperatures. In all these
signal transduction pathways some of the outcomes are behavioral responses
(Chatzigeorgiou et al., 2010, Huang & Chalfie, 1994). We found that the WT-like
isolation-induced reduction in harsh touch response was also present in *degt-1*
DEG/ENaC and *gtl-1* TRP channel mutants, suggesting that these channels are not
directly involved in behavioral plasticity following isolation (Fig. 1E). In contrast, for *del-*

1, *asic-1* and *mec-10* DEG/ENaC mutants the difference between isolated and crowded conditions was undetectable, indicating that they are required for such behavioral plasticity. Interestingly, while the harsh touch response of *asic-1* mutants was consistently high, and similar to crowded WT worms, the response for *mec-10* mutants was consistently low, similar to isolated WT animals, regardless of sensory experience (Fig. 1E). To test whether the response to harsh touch is dependent on DEG/ENaC activity, we used the DEG/ENaC blocker amiloride (Ben-Shahar, 2011, Bianchi & Driscoll, 2002) on WT worms that were grown in crowded plates. We found that the response to harsh touch was not affected by continuous growth in the presence of amiloride (Fig. S1C). This result possibly supports the idea that different amiloride-sensitive epithelial sodium channels may have positive and negative effects on the response to harsh touch, which are masked by global inhibition (Fig. 1E). This is further evident by the plasticity consequences of some double and triple DEG/ENaC mutant combinations, which are difficult to align into an epistatic genetic model (Fig. S8).

The DEG/ENaC MEC-10 is expressed in the PVD and responds to mechanosensory signals (Chatzigeorgiou et al., 2010) including shear forces (Shi et al., 2016, Shi, Mutchler et al., 2018). Since crowded *mec-10* mutants seem isolated-like in their behavioral response (Fig. 1E), we asked how *mec-10* mediates the behavioral plasticity in crowded conditions. To test whether the activity of MEC-10 is required autonomously in the PVD, we expressed MEC-10 under a PVD-specific promoter in a *mec-10* mutant background (Oren-Suissa et al., 2010, Tsalik, Niacaris et al., 2003). We found that expression of MEC-10 in the PVD rescues the low response to harsh touch in crowded *mec-10* mutants, indicating that it acts cell autonomously to modulate behavioral plasticity (Fig. 1F).

To determine whether isolation affects the response to harsh touch during development or in adults, we isolated crowded-raised young adults for 24 h and found no difference in their response to harsh touch (Fig. 1G). Thus, isolation-induced reduction in mechanosensation is determined before young adults, is PVD cell-autonomous, and *mec-10*-dependent.

Experience affects PVD morphology via *mec-10* in adulthood

Sensory experience (such as stimuli deprivation) is known to drive synaptic plasticity in the nervous system (Alvarez & Sabatini, 2007, Fox & Wong, 2005, Zuo et al., 2005). However, little is known about the effects of mechanosensory deprivation on the architecture of sensory neurons. To study whether reduced mechanosensory experience can alter the dendritic structure of the PVD we followed the isolated growth paradigm (Fig. 1B) and examined two morphological features of the PVD (Fig. 2A): The fraction of ectopic (excessive, disordered) branches out of non-ectopic branches (those that form the 'ideal' candelabrum), and the percentage of straight 4th order (quaternary) branches, which form the terminal processes of the candelabrum.

When quantifying the branching pattern of the PVD in adulthood, WT (or WT-like *him*-5 background) animals which were isolated as embryos showed an increase in ectopic branching compared with crowded age-matched worms (Fig. 2B) and the quaternary branches assumed a more rippled shape (fewer straight 4ry branches, Fig. 2C), regardless of the neuron marker utilized (see Fig. S2).

To determine whether chemical stimulation plays a role in the observed morphological plasticity, animals were isolated onto pheromone-conditioned plates (Maures, Booth et al., 2014). Similarly to the response to harsh touch (Fig. 1D), chemical stimulation of the plates did not rescue the isolation-induced increase in the fraction of ectopic branching or the decrease in percentage of straight quaternary branches (Fig. S3). Additionally, we looked at *mec-4* gentle-touch-insensitive mutants (Rose et al., 2005, Suzuki et al., 2003) and found that isolation caused a similar change to PVD structure as in the WT (Fig. S4, compare with Fig. 2B and 2C). In summary, these results suggest that mechanosensory experience controls morphological plasticity of PVD dendritic trees independently of *mec-4* and chemical stimulation.

We next sought to determine whether DEG/ENaCs mediate these experience-driven morphological alterations, by examining PVD morphology in crowded and isolated *mec-10* mutants. We found that crowded *mec-10* animals were different from WT crowded worms, and appear more isolated-like in terms of morphological features (more ectopic branches and fewer straight quaternary branches, compared to crowded *him-5* control animals; Fig. 2B and 2C). These results suggest that PVD dendritic morphology is affected by sensory experience in a *mec-10*-dependent pathway. Importantly, these results confirm a role for the mechanosensory channel MEC-10, but not other degenerins (such as ASIC-1), in the plasticity of both the dendritic structure and the nociceptive function of the PVD in the crowded background.

To study whether *mec-10* acts cell autonomously to mediate morphological plasticity, we again utilized PVD-specific MEC-10 expression in a *mec-10* mutant background and found it reduced the fraction of ectopic branches and increased the percentage of straight quaternary branches, compared to age-matched crowded *mec-10* animals without the rescue array (Fig. 2D-I). Thus, MEC-10 acts in the PVD to mediate morphological plasticity.

Having identified an isolation-induced morphological plasticity in adults (observed at 72 h from embryo), we next sought to establish the temporal dependence of the effect, by comparing animals after only 48 h, as young adults (see Fig. S5A). Isolated worms showed a small but significant difference for the percentage of straight quaternary branches, but not in ectopic branching (Fig. S5B-E) when compared with age-matched crowded worms, suggesting that isolation induces some morphological alterations during morphogenesis, while some relate to adult-stage maintenance of the neuron. We then isolated animals grown for 48 h in crowded conditions, and found that isolation of young crowded adults for 24 h induced changes in both measured

parameters (Fig. S5F-I), recapitulating isolation of embryos (Fig. 2B-C). Thus, isolation
of adults for 24 h is sufficient to affect PVD dendritic tree architecture, in contrast to the
developmentally-established behavioral response (Fig. 1G).

To further determine whether the morphological effect on PVD structure is solely
mediated by mechanical cues, we used glass beads under isolated conditions. We
found that while the presence of glass beads did not reduce the fraction of ectopic
branching, it significantly increased the number of straight quaternary branches
(compared with isolated animals without beads, Fig. 2J-K). Thus, the mechanosensory
stimuli of inert beads can partially rescue isolation-induced morphological changes to
the PVD.

257 Isolation triggers dynamic plasticity of the dendritic tree

To pinpoint the precise time interval required to mediate dendritic arborization changes
in adulthood, we examined the effect of varying isolation times on the PVD morphology
of crowded-raised young adults. We found that isolation of adults for 2 h had no
significant consequence on the structure of the PVD, while isolation of adults for 5 h or
longer, significantly increased the proportion of ectopic branches and reduced the
percentage of quaternary straight branches compared to crowded worms (Fig. 3A-B).

To further study plasticity at the individual level, we compared the dendritic tree
of individual worms grown under crowded conditions before and after 4 h isolation. This
revealed isolation induced more events of ectopic branch growth and fewer events of
branch retraction (Fig. 3C). Furthermore, this period was sufficient to induce an increase
in ectopic branching and a decrease in percentage of straight quaternary branches
(Figs. 3D, 3E, and S6). Thus, 4 h of isolation are sufficient to induce changes in the
architecture of the adult PVD.

Since the geometry of quaternary branches shows rapid response to the amount
of mechanosensory stimulation (Fig. 3A-E), we next asked how dynamic is this
experience-dependent morphological change. We performed a 3 h time lapse imaging
in crowded and isolated animals anesthetized with 1% tricaine (Movies S1 and S2). We
found that while the number of straight quaternary branches in the isolated worms
remained low and stable (~8%), for the crowded animals, we observed a gradual
reduction in straight quaternary branches, starting after ~2 h of isolation of individual
worms on the slide (Fig. 3F,G).

In summary, isolation-induced morphological plasticity is apparent within 2-3 h
from the switch from crowded conditions to growth in the absence of mechanical stimuli.
This dynamic process involves simultaneous increase in ectopic branching and
decrease in straight terminal branches.

283 Activity affects morphology

It has been shown that activity and sodium influx via the DEG/ENaC UNC-8 promotes
synapse elimination in *C. elegans* (Miller-Fleming, Petersen et al., 2016). To test how
global inhibition of DEG/ENaCs affects the morphology of the PVD neuron, we
evaluated crowded worms that were grown on plates with amiloride compared to control
worms. We found that blocking DEG/ENaCs by amiloride increased the fraction of
ectopic branching and decreased the percentage of straight quaternary branches (Fig.
S7A-D). Thus, unlike harsh touch response, which is independent of sensory-level
global inhibition (Fig. S1C), global inhibition at the sensory level alters dendritic
morphology. These results suggest that activity via degenerins modulates the structure
of the PVD.

To test whether manipulations of other neuromodulators can affect the branching
dynamics of the PVD, we utilized two anesthetics: tetramisole, which activates the
nematode nicotinic acetylcholine receptors inducing muscle contraction (Aceves, Erlij et
al., 1970) and tricaine, which modulates neuronal activity by blocking sodium channels
(Katz, Chu et al., 2020) and analyzed their effects during 2-3 h of time lapse movies of
crowded and isolated animals. We first tested the commonly used mixture of 0.1%
tricaine and 0.01% tetramisole (the racemic mixture of the enantiomer levamisole)
(Kravtsov et al., 2017) and found that it induces more growth than retraction of ectopic
branches in crowded animals (Fig. S7E-G). In contrast, 1% tricaine alone has the
opposite effect- more retractions of ectopic branches (Fig. S7H-I; Movies S1 and S2). In
addition, 1% tricaine induces more growth for isolated compared to crowded worms,
indicating that there are activity-dependent intrinsic factors that are different for the two
experience states. These results suggest that modulating neuronal activity triggers
structural modifications in the PVD, indicating a possible structural sensitivity to the
amount of neuronal activity. In summary, we found that mechanosensory experience,
DEG/ENaC protein presence and activity, and pharmacological targeting of activity
dynamically affect the structure of the PVD.

PVD structure and function are independent

After establishing that mechanosensory experience induces both a behavioral and a
structural plasticity of the PVD, we asked whether there is a causal link between the
morphology of the dendritic tree of the PVD and its function as a nociceptor (Hall &
Treinin, 2011). We followed the isolation protocol described in Fig. 1B for seven
combinations of DEG/ENaC mutants and analyzed their response to harsh touch (Fig.
S8) and their PVD structure (Fig. S9). In order to compare the morphological properties
of the different DEG/ENaC genotypes under different sensory experience (crowded,
isolated; Fig. S9), we used discriminant analysis. This provides a supervised
classification method to combine all the analyzed morphological phenotypes, including
loss of self-avoidance between adjacent candelabra (Fig S10), into a certain value
characterizing the PVD morphological state (Fig. 4A). We then superimposed the
behavioral results of the DEG/ENaC mutants' response to harsh touch (Fig. S8) on the

morphological clustering, as a binary-like property (<45% responding for isolated WT
versus >65% for crowded WT, as shown in Fig. S8). We found no correlation between
the morphology of the PVD and the response to harsh touch when testing the different
combinations of genotypes and treatments. For example, isolated *mec-10;degt-1* double
mutants show crowded-like morphology with isolated-like behavioral response (Fig. 4A
and Fig. S8, S9). These findings suggest that while degenerins are required for
isolation-induced plasticity of both traits, response to harsh touch is independent of the
structural alteration of the PVD.

An additional line of evidence supporting the independence of the behavioral and
morphological phenotypes was demonstrated by isolation of young adult worms for 24 h
(Fig. 3). This isolation affects the structure of the PVD (Fig. S5) but has no effect on the
response to harsh touch (Fig. 1G). Amiloride also has no effect on harsh touch
response (Fig. S1C) but does alter dendritic morphology (Fig S7 A-D). Finally, to directly
demonstrate that these two features are independent, we assayed harsh touch
responses of isolated animals and then analyzed each individual animal for its PVD
morphology. We then compared the dendritic morphology of responding and non-
responding worms, and found that the morphological parameters were similar (Fig. 4B-
E). Thus, analysis at the level of individual worms failed to demonstrate a correlation
between the morphology and the response to harsh touch. In summary, the
morphological and behavioral phenotypes were independently affected by sensory
experience via degenerins. We cannot exclude the possibility that other functions of the
PVD, like the response to low temperatures (Chatzigeorgiou et al., 2010) and
proprioception (Albeg et al., 2011) are more tightly associated to the structure of the
PVD, nor that the morphological changes induced by isolation are too minor to
constitute a difference in neuronal function.

In addition to the isolation-induced changes in the number of ectopic branches
and the percentage of straight quaternary branches, we found that worms raised in
isolation are also more likely to lose the self-avoidance between two adjacent menorahs
(candelabra). This effect is also *mec-10*-dependent, but appears to act cell non-
autonomously. In addition, it is chemosensory and amiloride independent (Fig. S10).

MEC-10 and DEGT-1 localization is experience-dependent

Differential localization of degenerins can affect both the behavioral response to harsh
touch and the structural properties of the neuron. When considering the effect of
isolation, we hypothesized that changes in the localization patterns of DEG/ENaC can
account for plasticity at both the behavioral (Fig. 1) and the structural level (Figs. 2, 3).
Since MEC-10 and DEGT-1 tend to co-localize within the PVD (Chatzigeorgiou et al.,
2010) we analyzed the interaction between these two proteins, under different
mechanosensory experiences. We found that MEC-10 localization in the plasma
membrane, in intracellular vesicular compartments of the axon and in the quaternary
branches was reduced after isolation (Fig. 5A-D, Movies S3 and S4). In contrast to

MEC-10 (Figs. 5A-D), DEGT-1 localization is reduced only in the cell body following
isolation (Fig. 5E-H). Furthermore, *degt-1* mutants reduced the overall amount of MEC-
10, and more importantly, abrogated the isolation-induced reduction in MEC-10
localization at the quaternary branches and the axon (Fig. 5A-D). In the reciprocal
experiment, DEGT-1 localization was affected in *mec-10* mutants, as isolated worms
exhibit increased localization to the cell body compared with WT isolated worms (Fig.
5E-H). Thus, mechanosensory experience also induces plasticity in the localization
pattern of MEC-10 and DEGT-1. We propose this differential localization may be part of
the mechanism that independently and locally modulates dendritic and axonal
properties, to affect both the structure and the function of the PVD, respectively.

Optogenetic stimulation suggests behavioral plasticity is post-sensory

We have shown that sensory deprivation (isolation) affects the localization of two
different mechanoreceptors, the degenerins MEC-10 and DEGT-1 in the dendrites,
axon and soma of the PVD. It is conceivable that the differential localization of
degenerins in different domains of the PVD may affect the morphology and function of
the PVD. Thus, we decided to stimulate the PVD independently of the endogenous
mechanoreceptors and study whether the escape behavior following harsh touch is
similar in isolated and crowded animals. We hypothesized that if we circumvent the
normal degenerin-mediated mechano-stimulation, exciting the PVD downstream to
sensory activity, the animals grown in isolation will respond to the same degree as if
they were in crowded conditions and independently of the dendritic tree morphology.
Optogenetic stimulation is an elegant way to bypass the sensory perception mediated
by receptors and transduced by diverse signal transduction pathways (Husson et al.,
2012). Thus, to investigate whether the isolation-induced decreased response to harsh
touch is a PVD-dependent function, we used optogenetic stimulation with
Channelrhodopsin (ChR2) expressed in the PVD (Husson et al., 2012). We found that
isolation significantly reduced the percentage of worms responding to optogenetic
stimulation of the PVD (Fig. 5I), indicating that the plasticity in the response is acting
downstream to PVD activation, mechanosensory channels and signal transduction
pathways. This activation is sensitive to isolation probably because it is acting pre- or
post-synaptically. To determine whether optogenetic stimulation of isolated animals can
reverse the morphological changes induced by the absence of mechanical stimuli in
PVD, we used optogenetic stimulation of the PVD and found no significant difference
between isolated and isolated-optogenetically-stimulated animals in terms of any
measured PVD structural characteristic (Fig. S11). Thus, optogenetic stimulation on
isolated animals is not sufficient to convert their dendritic trees to crowded-like. In
addition, isolated animals respond to optogenetic stimulation in a reduced way
suggesting that the escape response is not dependent on the structure of dendritic trees
but on unknown downstream pathways.

In summary, genetic, and pharmacological evidence suggest that dendritic structural
plasticity is an autonomous activity-dependent homeostatic mechanism. Combined with
optogenetic testing, our results indicate the mechanosensory dendritic tree morphology
is independent from pre- or post-synaptic degenerin-mediated processes that affect
behavioral escape in response to harsh touch.

Discussion

From the evolutionary point of view, dendritic trees and their structural complexity
remain mysterious objects, despite many efforts to understand the contribution of
arborization complexity to dendritic physiology and function (Hausser & Mel, 2003).

Previous research has demonstrated both cell autonomous (Aguirre-Chen, Bulow
et al., 2011, Oren-Suissa et al., 2010, Salzberg et al., 2014) and cell non-autonomous
(Dong et al., 2013, Salzberg et al., 2013) mechanisms that regulate the PVD's dendritic
morphogenesis during development. Some studies have also focused on regeneration
and aging effects on the tree structure of the PVD, revealing plasticity in the adult stage
(Iosilevskii & Podbilewicz, 2021, Kravtsov et al., 2017, Oren-Suissa et al., 2017), similar
to what has been shown for Drosophila sensory neurons (DeVault, Li et al., 2018). We
found, by using mutants for degenerins, chemosensory stimulation controls,
pharmacology, optogenetics and glass beads, that the dendritic structure of the PVD
and the behavioral response to harsh touch are activity- and mechanosensory-
dependent, but appear to be chemosensory-independent. It is still conceivable that
chemosensation can affect nociception and proprioception, in some sort of a cross
modal plasticity mechanism, but at the moment we do not have evidence for this
scenario in the PVD, where we show that mechanosensory channels (DEG/ENaCs)
affect the architecture of the PVD.

Our results suggest that 'nurture', manifested as mechanosensory experience,
activates mechanotransduction signaling, via DEG/ENaCs amiloride-sensitive activity,
to stabilize the homeostatic structure of the dendritic tree in adults. The sensory
experience induced when one body side of the worm is in contact with the agar plate is
not sufficient for this purpose (Fig. S12); however, other worms on the plate, or the
presence of glass beads, elicit significant structural alterations. Moreover, the cell
autonomous activity of MEC-10 in the PVD was found to be both necessary and
sufficient to preserve the crowded-experience phenotype in terms of the simplified
structure of the PVD. While there are other multiple plausible explanations, our failure in
remodeling the isolated-state arbor by repeated optogenetic activation of the PVD may
provide further evidence for the necessity of degenerins in this structural plasticity, since
neuron activation by ChR2 bypasses the activation of mechanically-gated degenerin
channels. Figure 6 depicts our working model, where the amount of mechanosensory
stimulation, in crowded or isolated conditions, affects the expression and localization of
MEC-10 and DEGT-1 in different compartments of the PVD. Localization of MEC-10,
probably by forming higher-order complexes with other DEG/ENaCs, can affect the

structure of the PVD at the level of the dendritic tree. The morphological and behavioral
phenotypes shown here were rescued by a PVD-specific expression of MEC-10,
supporting such a cell autonomous mechanism. The experience-induced structural
plasticity seems homeostatic at the individual branch dynamics level in the adult. In
contrast, we show that the behavioral response to harsh touch is modulated by
mechanosensory experience during development and by the presence of degenerins,
and perhaps involves other neurons downstream. Based on optogenetic stimulation, we
presume that the behavioral plasticity is a pre- and/or post-synaptic property, mediated
by DEG/ENaC (Hill & Ben-Shahar, 2018) and related to neurotransmission modulation,
independently of the structure of the PVD dendritic tree. These structural and behavioral
plasticity are separated in time (adulthood vs. development) and space (dendrite vs.
axon; Fig. 6). We found no correlation or causation between the structure and the
nociceptive function of the PVD. It is possible that such a link exists, but our
physiological and behavioral outputs are not sensitive enough to detect it.

Somatosensory activation in vertebrates plays a prominent role in shaping the
structural and functional properties of dendritic spines, mainly studied in the central
nervous system (Gyorffy, Kun et al., 2018, Holtmaat & Svoboda, 2009, Xu, Yu et al.,
2009, Yang, Pan et al., 2009). In contrast to mammalian cortical neurons, much less is
known about sensory neurons' degree of plasticity and the molecular mechanisms
utilized during adulthood. Here we suggest that degenerins mediate mechanosensation-
induced dendritic growth in sensory dendrites. The dendritic plasticity we described
bears resemblance to the activity-dependent effect of glutamatergic signaling and
NMDA receptors. Activity via NMDA affects dendritic spines as an upstream mechanism
of cell signaling, resulting in structural modifications (Nagerl, Eberhorn et al., 2004, Star,
Kwiatkowski et al., 2002, Stein, Park et al., 2021, Zhang, Cudmore et al., 2015). It is
possible that degenerins mediate mechanosensory signaling sensation, by activating
cationic gradients (Kellenberger & Schild, 2002), leading to activation of downstream
intracellular signaling pathways (Ghiretti et al., 2014, Sin et al., 2002, Vaillant et al.,
2002, Zhou et al., 2006), which in turn stabilize local, actin-mediated (Halpain, 2018,
Luo, 2002, Zuo et al., 2005) structural plasticity in the PVD dendritic branches. In
Drosophila, at the epithelium, mechanosensitive ion channels, together with E-cadherin-
catenin complexes and calcium sensing mechanisms affect epithelial morphogenesis
(Roy Choudhury, Groflhans et al., 2021). In parallel, DEG/ENaCs may also modulate
pre- and post-synaptic homeostatic signaling in the harsh touch circuit, as has been
shown in neuromuscular junctions (Younger, Muller et al., 2013). While this study
focuses on degenerins activation in the PVD with emphasis on dendritic structures,
future studies may establish the pre- and -post-synaptic mechanisms which act
downstream, on the transcriptional and translational levels. These directions for future
studies have the potential to increase our understanding of the mechanisms that couple
sensory experience, to structural dendritic plasticity.

In summary, we propose that the combinatorial actions of DEG/ENaCs have
mechano-signaling functions mediating plasticity in sensory dendritic trees, and provide
mechanistic insights into dendritic structural responses to sensory experience in
adulthood and the behavioral consequences of such adaptations during development.
487

Materials and Methods

Strains
Nematode strains were maintained according to standard protocols (Brenner, 1974).
The list of the strains is presented in Supplementary Table 1. Strains of the DEG/ENaCs
family obtained from the CGC (JPS282: *asic-1*(*ok415*) I; ZB2551: *mec-10*(*tm1552*) X;
VC2633: *degt-1*(*ok3307*) V) were crossed with BP709: *hmnIs133[ser-2Prom3::kaede]*.
The validation of F2 homozygotes for the DEG/ENaCs deletions (including single,
double and triple mutants) was performed by PCR amplification of the genomic area
containing the deleted region.

Primers for Multiplex PCR

The list of the primers used is presented in Supplementary Table 2.

Spinning disk confocal microscopy
Prior to imaging, the worms were mounted on a 10% agar pad placed on a microscope
glass slide, in 1μl polystyrene beads (100 nm diameter; Polysciences, Inc.) for their
mechanical restraint, and sealed with a coverslip for complete physical immobilization
(Kim, Sun et al., 2013). The PVD neuron was visualized using a Yokagawa CSU-X1
spinning disk, Nikon eclipse Ti inverted microscope and iXon3 camera (ANDOR).
Images were captured with MetaMorph, version 7.8.1.0. For each worm, a sequential z-
series image stack (step size of 0.35 μm) was obtained with an oil Plan Fluor 40X (NA
1.3) lens around the PVD cell body, encompassing approximately 50-100 μm segments
both anteriorly and posteriorly.

Data analysis
The analysis of the PVD structure was performed for the area surrounding the cell body.
All images were analysed with ImageJ, version 1.48 (NIH), in TIFF format, by producing
a maximal intensity z-series projection and converting it to negative form (invert lookup
table) for improved visibility. Ectopic branching was defined as described previously
(Hausser & Mel, 2003). Briefly, non-ectopic branches form the 'ideal' WT candelabrum
of the late L4 stage, whereas excess branches, which create non-quaternary terminal
ends, are considered ectopic, as illustrated with dashed lines for ectopic branching in
Fig. 2A. The total number of ectopic and non-ectopic branches was quantified for each
image, and presented as a fraction (ectopic/non-ectopic branches).
The geometry of each quaternary branch ("candle") was defined in the following
manner: Straight geometry- all the pixels that constitute the branch are positioned on a

straight line generated with ImageJ. The width of the line (1 pixel) was constant for the
entire sets of experiments. The number of straight quaternary branches were divided by
the total quaternary branches in the image for each worm and presented as a
percentage. The analysis was done only for worms which did not move through the Z
series. Moving worms were excluded from the experiment. Self-avoidance defects- the
number of events where two adjacent candelabra overlapped (no gap formation), was
divided by the total number of gaps between the candelabra within the frame (Fig. 2A).
The self-avoidance values are presented as percentage.

Behavioral procedures

Harsh touch assay
After 72 h, adult worms (both isolated and those from the crowded plate as described
below) were transferred using an eyelash each to a new agar plate, freshly seeded with
150 µl OP50 (about 16 h after seeding). This step is required to avoid a thick edge of
the bacterial lawn, which might interfere with harsh touch response measurement. After
~45 minutes in the plate, the non-moving worms were prodded with a platinum wire
posterior to the vulva, above the interface between worm's body and the agar plate
(Way & Chalfie, 1988), every 10 seconds, and the number of responses to harsh touch
was counted. Animals with a functional PVD moved, sometimes backing up. More than
one response constitutes a responsive animal. The non-responding worms were
defined if two prodding events were observed sequentially without response. The
percentage of responding worms was calculated for each genotype and treatment. The
experimenter was blind to both the genotype and the treatment- crowded or isolated.

Isolation of embryos
To establish the method for conspecifics based mechanosensory stimulation, we
performed several calibration experiments with different population densities (250
embryos, 15 adults, 30 adults) to test conditions for the crowded plate.
We found a gradual increase in the crowding effect and reduced variation in the
measured parameter. Following that, we decided to work with the group of 30 crowded
adults" as a source of mechanosensory signal.
550
The worm isolation procedure was based on previous work (Rose et al., 2005) with a
few modifications, as indicated. Isolated animals were grown on 6 cm agar plate with
150 µl of OP50 *E. coli*, while crowded plate worms were grown on 600-700 µl OP50 to
prevent starvation. The embryos and adult worms were isolated with platinum wire. The
plates were sealed with one layer of Parafilm M and placed into a plastic box, at 20 ºC,
for the entire experiment.
Three experimental groups were used for the 72 h (96 h of experiment was performed
only for *mec-4* worms, since they were L4- very young adults at 72 h) isolation
experiment: **(1)** Single isolated embryos **(2)** Crowded worms - the progeny of 30 young,
non-starved, adults (approximately, 7,000-9,000 worms in different developmental

stages, without approaching starvation) **(3)** Crowded adult worms that were isolated for
a certain amount of time as adults.
After 48/72/96 h (according to the experiment), age-matched worms from each group
were transferred to 10% agar pad slides for imaging, as described above (Rose et al.,
2005).

Isolation of adults for 2, 5, 15 or 24 hours
Crowded plates were prepared as described above, with progeny from 30 adult
hermaphrodites. Animals were separated into individual plates for the desired time
window before 72 h have passed (*i.e.* after ~70 h for 2 h isolation, ~67 h for 5 h isolation
etc.). Worms were isolated using an eyelash into new plates containing 150 µl of OP50.
At 72 h, the PVD of isolated animals was imaged and compared with age-matched
animals which remained in the crowded plate of origin (see Fig. 1B).

Optogenetics stimulation for harsh touch
Crowded and isolated worms (ZX819: *lite-1(ce314) X;*
xIs12[pF49H12.4::ChR2::mCherry; pF49H12.4::GFP]) were grown on OP50 with 100
µM All Trans Retinal (ATR, Sigma R2500), in order to obtain functional
Channelrhodopsin (Husson et al., 2012) (as a control we tested the response of worms
that were grown on ethanol alone, no response was detected for these worms). After 72
h the worms were singly mounted with eyelash on a chunk (1cm X 1cm) of agar that
was mounted on a microscope glass slide. The agar contained fresh but dry OP50, with
100 µM ATR. About 30 minutes following the transfer the worms were tested for the
response to light. Worms were stimulated at 488 nm wavelength, with laser intensity of
40% and exposure time of 100 ms, with 10X Plan Fluor (NA 0.3) for ~1 second and the
forward acceleration response was measured. The microscope system is as described
above. The experimenter determined the presence or the absence of forward
acceleration in response to light activation.

Optogenetics stimulation of isolated worms
Isolated eggs were grown as described at the 'Isolation of embryos' section.
Isolated worms (ZX819: *lite-1(ce314) X; xIs12[pF49H12.4::ChR::mCherry;*
pF49H12.4::GFP]) were grown on 150 µM OP50 with 100 µM ATR (Husson et al.,
2012) or 0.3% ethanol as control. After 72 h both the crowded and isolated worms were
singly mounted with eyelash onto a cube (with surface area of about approx.1cm^2,
depth of 0.5 cm) of agar layered with fresh, fully dried, OP50 with 100 µM ATR or 0.3%
ethanol as a control group. The worms were stimulated with 488 nm wavelength, with
laser intensity of 40% and exposure time of 100 ms, with 10X Plan Fluor (NA 0.3) for
~60 seconds, every 5 min during 4 h. Stimulation and recording was performed with the
microscope system described above.
As a control, before each experiment, crowded ZX819 worms were tested for forward
acceleration as a positive control to the functionality of the ChR2. After the end of 4 h

stimulation, the worms were mounted for imaging of the PVD at 40X as described under
"Spinning disk confocal microscopy" section.

Isolation with chemical stimulation

603   The *glp-4(bn2)* mutants which are sterile at 25 °C were used (~40 worms for each
604   plate), in order to prepare conditioned/chemically stimulated plates (Maures et al., 2014)
605   prior to growing isolated animals. The *glp-4* mutants were transferred at early larval
606   stage (L1, L2) to a new agar plate for 96 h at 25 °C. After the removal of the *glp-4*
607   mutants, the embryo isolation procedure was used, as described before at 20 °C.

Isolation with glass beads

609   Single embryos were isolated to agar plates with 150 µl OP50 and 2.5 g of glass beads
610   (1 mm diameter) were placed on the OP50 lawn in the middle of the plate. The worms
611   were isolated for 72 h and tested for response to harsh touch as described above.

Pharmacology

Amiloride hydrochloride hydrate (Sigma, #A7410) 1 M stock solution in DMSO was
stored at -20°C. A final concentration of 3 mM amiloride in 0.03 % DMSO was prepared
in OP50 bacteria (LB medium) and seeded on NGM plates. 650 µl of the OP50 mixture
was seeded on each plate. As a control, 0.03 % DMSO was added to OP50 bacteria.
For each plate (control 0.03% DMSO or 3 mM amiloride) 30 non-starved adult worms
were added. After 72 h at 20 °C the progeny of the 30 adults were tested as young
adults for their PVD morphology and their response to posterior harsh touch, as
described in the previous sections.

Anesthetics and long-term imaging

In addition to immobilization of worms with 100 nm polystyrene beads as described in
the "Spinning disk confocal microscopy" section above, two additional methods for long
term imaging and pharmacological effects assays were used: 1% tricaine (Sigma,
A5040) in M9 buffer or a mixture of 0.01% tetramisole (Sigma, T-1512) and 0.1%
tricaine in M9 buffer were utilized.
Worms were exposed to the anesthetics (in a glass well) for ~20 min until paralyzed,
then transferred to 3% agar pads with 1 µl of the anesthetics. Sequential z-axis image
series (0.6 µm step-size) were taken, as described above, every 5 min for 2-3 h.

Imaging individual worms- crowded to isolated

Worms were grown at crowded conditions, as described above. At ~24 h of adulthood,
individual worms were placed in a 1% tricaine solution in M9 buffer for 10 min until
paralyzed and transferred to 3% agar slides with 1 µl 1% tricaine. Following a short
PVD imaging session, the worms were recovered from the slide with M9 and isolated to
a new plate for 4 h. Each 4 h-isolated animal was anesthetized once again with 1%
tricaine for 10 min prior to PVD imaging.

Analysis of DEG/ENaCs localization in the PVD

Two DEG/ENaCs protein constructs, pF49H12.4::MEC-10::mCherry and
pF49H12.4::DEGT-1::mCherry (plasmids kindly provided by W. Schafer's lab,
(Chatzigeorgiou et al., 2010)) were analysed for their localization in the PVD, by
comparing worms expressing the co-injection marker *punc-122*::GFP raised in crowded
or isolated conditions, in a similar behavioral assay as described in Fig. 1B. A z-series
(step size of 0.35 µm, immobilization performed with polystyrene beads, as described in
the microscopy section) of the area around the cell body of the PVD was obtained with
a 60X Apochromat (NA 1.4) lens. The images (after maximal intensity projection of the
Z-series) were encoded so the analysis was performed in a blind manner. The presence
or absence of fluorescent signal was examined in three compartments: The cell body,
the quaternary branches and the axon of the PVD.

Rescue strains
Worms from BP1022 (*mec-10(tm1552)* X; *hmnIs133[ser-2Prom3::Kaede]*; *him-5(e1490)*
V) were injected into the gonad with a rescuing plasmid for *mec-10*, with a PVD specific
promoter (pWRS825: *ser-2Prom3::mec-10* genomic) kindly provided by W. Schafer's
lab.(Chatzigeorgiou et al., 2010). The injection mix contained *myo-2p::GFP* (20 ng/µl) as
a co-transformation marker and pWRS825 (80 ng/µl). For both behavioral and structural
characterization, the *him-5;mec-10* strains, with and without rescuing plasmid, shared
the same crowded plate and were differentiated by the presence or absence of the co-
injection marker.

Effect of plate on PVD in contact with agar and the opposing side
Embryos were singled into plates with 150 µl OP50 bacteria at 20°C, and
analyzed as young adults 72 h later. Every animal was transferred using an
eyelash into freshly seeded plates (<24 h), and noted for its side orientation.
Once on these experiment plates, animals were observed for their side
orientation at 30-minute intervals for a minimum of 3 h prior to microscopy.
For microscopy of both PVD neurites, while few animals were removed from the slide
and repositioned using an eyelash, most animals were imaged using a coverslip
'sandwich' method (adapted from (Sulston, Schierenberg et al., 1983)), whereby the
animal is pressed on an agar pad between two large coverslips, which are subsequently
flipped as a single unit and observed from the other side. Briefly, a thin 3% agar pad
was pressed onto a 24x50 mm coverslip (170±5 µm thick), placed on a standard
microscope slide for ease of handling. Animals were singly placed in a drop of 0.05%
tetramisole (Sigma, T-1512) in M9 on the agar pad using an eyelash, and a second,
similar coverslip was immediately placed above. The two coverslips were sealed shut
onto the supporting slide using two thin strips of marking tape, and once the animal was
anaesthetized the PVD on the side closest to the objective was imaged as described
above (see Spinning Disk confocal microscopy). For viewing the opposite neurite, the
tape was carefully peeled and the two coverslips flipped as one, taped again, and
similarly imaged. Note that this method inevitably makes the second side imaged to be
fainter due to the laser penetrating through the agar pad layer itself; laser intensities

were typically increased by up to 5% in order to compensate for the decrease in
fluorescence.

Statistics and data plotting

At least two independent experiments constitute the data set described in each figure.
For the morphological characterization of the PVD the results are expressed as means
(blue circle) ± standard error of the mean (s.e.m.). In the boxplot (first, third quartiles)
the upper whisker extends from the hinge to the highest value that is within 1.5 * IQR
(inter-quartile range), the distance between the first and third quartiles. The lower
whisker extends from the hinge to the lowest value within 1.5 * IQR of the hinge.
The statistical analyses were performed with SPSS software (IBM, version 20) and "R
package". Two-tailed tests were performed for the entire data sets.
Since for many experiments the distribution of the data was not normal, nonparametric
tests were used: Mann-Whitney test for comparison between two independent groups.
Kruskal-Wallis test was used for multiple comparisons for more than two groups.
For proportions (percentage worms) ± standard error of proportion was calculated.
Fisher's exact test was used for analysis of differences in proportions. To estimate the
variability in proportion we calculated the Standard Error of Proportion: $\sqrt{\frac{(1-p)\cdot p}{n}}$
The dot plot figures were prepared with "R package", the bar charts with Microsoft Excel
software. Final figures were prepared with Adobe Illustrator CS version 11.0.0.

Discriminant analysis

Eight different strains (WT and seven DEG/ENaCs), with two treatments (crowded,
isolated worms) for each strain were analyzed for Linear discriminant analysis for
morphological characteristics, to evaluate similarity between different strains and
treatments. Each worm in the data set was characterized by the three morphological
characteristics (the fraction of ectopic branching, the percentage straight quaternary
branches and the percentage of self-avoidance defects). The centroid for morphological
characterization was calculated for each condition and represented by a square. Data
from independent harsh touch experiments are shown for each group. The analysis was
performed using SPSS 20.

Acknowledgments

We thank current and former lab members for their intellectual and technical support.
Veronika Kravtsov, Sagi Levy, Anna Meledin, Meital Oren-Suissa, Tom Shemesh, Shay
Stern, Yehuda Salzberg and Alon Zaslaver for critically reading and commenting on the
manuscript. Ehud Ahissar, Dan Cassel, Michel Labouesse and Kang Shen for fruitful
discussions. William Schafer, Max Heiman, Hannes Bulow, Yehuda Salzberg and
Alexander Gottschalk for plasmids and strains. Some strains were provided by the
Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC), which is funded by NIH Office of Research
Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440). This work was supported by grants from the
Israel Science Foundation (442/12 and 257/17), Adelis Fund (2023479), and the
Ministry of Science and Technology (3-13022).

References

Aceves J, Erlij D, Martinez-Maranon R (1970) The mechanism of the paralysing action of tetramisole on Ascaris somatic muscle. British journal of pharmacology 38: 602-607

Aguirre-Chen C, Bulow HE, Kaprielian Z (2011) C. elegans bicd-1, homolog of the Drosophila dynein accessory factor Bicaudal D, regulates the branching of PVD sensory neuron dendrites. Development 138: 507-18

Albeg A, Smith C, Chatzigeorgiou M, Feitelson DG, Hall DH, Schafer WR, Miller DM, 3rd, Treinin M (2011) C. elegans multi-dendritic sensory neurons: Morphology and function. Mol Cell Neurosci 46: 308-317

Alvarez VA, Sabatini BL (2007) Anatomical and physiological plasticity of dendritic spines. Annual review of neuroscience 30: 79-97

Bailey NW, Moore AJ (2018) Evolutionary Consequences of Social Isolation. Trends in ecology & evolution 33: 595-607

Baldin JP, Barth D, Fronius M (2020) Epithelial Na+ Channel (ENaC) Formed by One or Two Subunits Forms Functional Channels That Respond to Shear Force. Frontiers in Physiology 11

Ben-Shahar Y (2011) Sensory Functions for Degenerin/Epithelial Sodium Channels (DEG/ENaC). Adv Genet 76: 1-26

Bianchi L, Driscoll M (2002) Protons at the gate: DEG/ENaC ion channels help us feel and remember. Neuron 34: 337-340

Brenner S (1974) The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetics 77: 71-94

Caneo M, Julian V, Byrne AB, Alkema MJ, Calixto A (2019) Diapause induces functional axonal regeneration after necrotic insult in C. elegans. PLoS Genet 15: e1007863

Chalfie M, Sulston J (1981) Developmental genetics of the mechanosensory neurons of Caenorhabditis elegans. Dev Biol 82: 358-370

Chatzigeorgiou M, Yoo S, Watson JD, Lee WH, Spencer WC, Kindt KS, Hwang SW, Miller DM, 3rd, Treinin M, Driscoll M, Schafer WR (2010) Specific roles for DEG/ENaC and TRP channels in touch and thermosensation in C. elegans nociceptors. Nature neuroscience 13: 861-868

Chen Y, Bharill S, Isacoff EY, Chalfie M (2015) Subunit composition of a DEG/ENaC mechanosensory channel of Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112: 11690-11695

Collet J, Spike CA, Lundquist EA, Shaw JE, Herman RK (1998) Analysis of osm-6, a gene that affects sensory cilium structure and sensory neuron function in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 148: 187-200

DeVault L, Li T, Izabel S, Thompson-Peer KL, Jan LY, Jan YN (2018) Dendrite regeneration of adult Drosophila sensory neurons diminishes with aging and is inhibited by epidermal-derived matrix metalloproteinase. Genes Dev 32: 402-414

Dong X, Liu OW, Howell AS, Shen K (2013) An extracellular adhesion molecule complex patterns dendritic branching and morphogenesis. Cell 155: 296-307

Dong X, Shen K, Bulow HE (2015) Intrinsic and extrinsic mechanisms of dendritic morphogenesis. Annu Rev Physiol 77: 271-300

Driscoll M, Chalfie M (1991) The *mec*-4 gene is a member of a family of *Caenorhabditis elegans* genes that can mutate to induce neuronal degeneration. Nature 349: 588-593

Fox K, Wong RO (2005) A comparison of experience-dependent plasticity in the visual and somatosensory systems. Neuron 48: 465-477

Ghiretti AE, Moore AR, Brenner RG, Chen LF, West AE, Lau NC, Van Hooser SD, Paradis S (2014) Rem2 Is an Activity-Dependent Negative Regulator of Dendritic Complexity In Vivo. J Neurosci 34: 392-407

Gillespie PGW, R. G. (2001) Molecular basis of mechanosensory transduction. Nature 413: 194-202

Gobetto MN, Gonzalez-Inchauspe C, Uchitel OD (2021) Histamine and Corticosterone Modulate Acid Sensing Ion Channels (ASICs) Dependent Long-term Potentiation at the Mouse Anterior Cingulate Cortex. Neurosci Behav Physiol 460: 145-160

Goodman CS, Shatz CJ (1993) Developmental mechanisms that generate precise patterns of neuronal connectivity. Cell 72 Suppl: 77-98

Goodman MB, Sengupta P (2019) How Caenorhabditis elegans Senses Mechanical Stress, Temperature, and Other Physical Stimuli. Genetics 212: 25-51

Gyorffy BA, Kun J, Torok G, Bulyaki E, Borhegyi Z, Gulyassy P, Kis V, Szocsics P, Micsonai A, Matko J, Drahos L, Juhasz G, Kekesi KA, Kardos J (2018) Local apoptotic-like mechanisms underlie complement-mediated synaptic pruning. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115: 6303-6308

Hall DH, Treinin M (2011) How does morphology relate to function in sensory arbors? Trends Neurosci 34: 443-51

Halpain S (2018) Avoiding Sibling Conflict: Lessons from Dendrite Self-Avoidance in C. elegans. Neuron 98: 864

Harlow HF, Dodsworth RO, Harlow MK (1965) Total social isolation in monkeys. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 54: 90-97

Hausser M, Mel B (2003) Dendrites: bug or feature? Curr Opin Neurobiol 13: 272-283

Hedgecock EM, Sulston JE, Thomson JN (1983) Mutations affecting programmed cell deaths in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Science 220: 1277-1279

Hill AS, Ben-Shahar Y (2018) The synaptic action of Degenerin/Epithelial sodium channels. Channels 12: 262-275

Holtmaat A, Svoboda K (2009) Experience-dependent structural synaptic plasticity in the mammalian brain. Nat Rev Neurosci 10: 647-658

Hu HT, Huang TN, Hsueh YP (2020) KLHL17/Actinfilin, a brain-specific gene associated with infantile spasms and autism, regulates dendritic spine enlargement. J Biomed Sci 27: 103

Huang M, Chalfie M (1994) Gene interactions affecting mechanosensory transduction in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature 367: 467-470

Huebschman JL, Corona KS, Guo Y, Smith LN (2020) The Fragile X Mental Retardation Protein Regulates Striatal Medium Spiny Neuron Synapse Density and Dendritic Spine Morphology. Front Mol Neurosci 13: 161

Husson SJ, Costa WS, Wabnig S, Stirman JN, Watson JD, Spencer WC, Akerboom J, Looger LL, Treinin M, Miller DM, 3rd, Lu H, Gottschalk A (2012) Optogenetic analysis of a nociceptor neuron and network reveals ion channels acting downstream of primary sensors. Curr Biol 22: 743-752

Inberg S, Meledin A, Kravtsov V, Iosilevskii Y, Oren-Suissa M, Podbilewicz B (2019) Lessons from worm dendritic patterning. Annual review of neuroscience 42: 365-383

Iosilevskii Y, Podbilewicz B (2021) Programmed cell fusion in development and homeostasis. Curr Top Dev Biol 144: 215-244

Jan YN, Jan LY (2010) Branching out: mechanisms of dendritic arborization. Nat Rev Neurosci 11: 316-328

Katz EM, Chu DK, Casey KM, Jampachaisri K, Felt SA, Pacharinsak C (2020) The Stability and Efficacy of Tricaine Methanesulfonate (MS222) Solution After Long-Term Storage. J Am Assoc Lab Anim Sci. 12: 393-400

Katz LC, Shatz CJ (1996) Synaptic activity and the construction of cortical circuits. Science 274: 1133-1138

Kellenberger S, Schild L (2002) Epithelial Sodium Channel/Degenerin Family of Ion Channels: A Variety of Functions for a Shared Structure. Physiol Rev 82: 735-767

Kim E, Sun L, Gabel CV, Fang-Yen C (2013) Long-Term Imaging of Caenorhabditis elegans Using Nanoparticle-Mediated Immobilization. PLoS One 8: e53419

Kolb B, Whishaw IQ (1998) Brain plasticity and behavior. Annu Rev Psychol 49: 43-64

Kravtsov V, Oren-Suissa M, Podbilewicz B (2017) The fusogen AFF-1 can rejuvenate the regenerative potential of adult dendritic trees via self-fusion. Development 144: 2364-2374

Kuhlman SJ, O'Connor DH, Fox K, Svoboda K (2014) Structural Plasticity within the Barrel Cortex during Initial Phases of Whisker-Dependent Learning. J Neurosci 34: 6078-6083

Li W, Kang L, Piggott BJ, Feng Z, Xu XZ (2011) The neural circuits and sensory channels mediating harsh touch sensation in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat Commun 2: 315

Luo L (2002) Actin Cytoskeleton Regulation in Neuronal Morphogenesis and Structural Plasticity. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 18: 601-635

Mango D, Nistico R (2020) Role of ASIC1a in Normal and Pathological Synaptic Plasticity. Reviews of physiology, biochemistry and pharmacology 177: 83-100

Maures TJ, Booth LN, Benayoun BA, Izrayelit Y, Schroeder FC, Brunet A (2014) Males shorten the life span of C. elegans hermaphrodites via secreted compounds. Science 343: 541-4

Miller-Fleming TW, Petersen SC, Manning L, Matthewman C, Gornet M, Beers A,
Hori S, Mitani S, Bianchi L, Richmond J, Miller DM (2016) The DEG/ENaC
cation channel protein UNC-8 drives activity-dependent synapse removal in
remodeling GABAergic neurons. eLife 5
Nagerl UV, Eberhorn N, Cambridge SB, Bonhoeffer T (2004) Bidirectional
Activity-Dependent Morphological Plasticity in Hippocampal Neurons.
Neuron 44: 759-767
Oren-Suissa M, Gattegno T, Kravtsov V, Podbilewicz B (2017) Extrinsic Repair of
Injured Dendrites as a Paradigm for Regeneration by Fusion in
Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 206: 215-230
Oren-Suissa M, Hall D, Treinin M, Shemer G, Podbilewicz B (2010) The Fusogen
EFF-1 Controls Sculpting of Mechanosensory Dendrites. Science 328:
1285-1288
Rose JK, Sangha S, Rai S, Norman KR, Rankin CH (2005) Decreased sensory
stimulation reduces behavioral responding, retards development, and alters
neuronal connectivity in Caenorhabditis elegans. J Neurosci 25: 7159-68
Roy Choudhury A, Groflhans J, Kong D (2021) Ion Channels in Epithelial
Dynamics and Morphogenesis. Cells 10: 2280
Salzberg Y, Diaz-Balzac CA, Ramirez-Suarez NJ, Attreed M, Tecle E, Desbois
M, Kaprielian Z, Bulow HE (2013) Skin-Derived Cues Control Arborization
of Sensory Dendrites in Caenorhabditis elegans. Cell 155: 308-20
Salzberg Y, Ramirez-Suarez NJ, Bulow HE (2014) The proprotein convertase
KPC-1/furin controls branching and self-avoidance of sensory dendrites in
Caenorhabditis elegans. PLoS genetics 10: e1004657
Sawin ER, Ranganathan R, Horvitz HR (2000) C. elegans locomotory rate is
modulated by the environment through a dopaminergic pathway and by
experience through a serotonergic pathway. Neuron 26: 619-631
Shi S, Luke CJ, Miedel MT, Silverman GA, Kleyman TR (2016) Activation of the
Caenorhabditis elegans Degenerin Channel by Shear Stress Requires the
MEC-10 Subunit. J Biol Chem 291: 14012-14022
Shi S, Mutchler SM, Blobner BM, Kashlan OB, Kleyman TR (2018) Pore-lining
residues of MEC-4 and MEC-10 channel subunits tune the Caenorhabditis
elegans degenerin channel's response to shear stress. J Biol Chem 293:
10757-10766
Sin WC, Haas K, Ruthazer ES, Cline HT (2002) Dendrite growth increased by
visual activity requires NMDA receptor and Rho GTPases. Nature 419: 475-
480
Smith CJ, Watson JD, Spencer WC, O'Brien T, Cha B, Albeg A, Treinin M, Miller
DM, 3rd (2010) Time-lapse imaging and cell-specific expression profiling
reveal dynamic branching and molecular determinants of a multi-dendritic
nociceptor in C. elegans. Dev Biol 345: 18-33
Star EN, Kwiatkowski DJ, Murthy VN (2002) Rapid turnover of actin in dendritic
spines and its regulation by activity. Nat Neurosci 5: 239-246

Stein IS, Park DK, Claiborne N, Zito K (2021) Non-ionotropic NMDA receptor
signaling gates bidirectional structural plasticity of dendritic spines. Cell
reports 34: 108664
Sulston JE, Schierenberg E, White JG, Thomson JN (1983) The Embryonic Cell
Lineage of the Nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Dev Biol 100: 64-119
Suzuki H, Kerr R, Bianchi L, Frokjaer-Jensen C, Slone D, Xue J, Gerstbrein B,
Driscoll M, Schafer WR (2003) In vivo imaging of C. elegans
mechanosensory neurons demonstrates a specific role for the MEC-4
channel in the process of gentle touch sensation. Neuron 39: 1015-1017
Tao L, Porto D, Li Z, Fechner S, Lee SA, Goodman MB, Xu XZS, Lu H, Shen K
(2019) Parallel Processing of Two Mechanosensory Modalities by a Single
Neuron in C. elegans. Dev Cell 51: 617-631 e3
Tavosanis G (2012) Dendritic structural plasticity. Dev Neurobiol 72: 73-86
Tendilla-Beltran H, Antonio Vazquez-Roque R, Judith Vazquez-Hernandez A,
Garces-Ramirez L, Flores G (2019) Exploring the Dendritic Spine Pathology
in a Schizophrenia-related Neurodevelopmental Animal Model.
Neuroscience 396: 36-45
Tsalik EL, Niacaris T, Wenick AS, Pau K, Avery L, Hobert O (2003) LIM
homeobox gene-dependent expression of biogenic amine receptors in
restricted regions of the C. elegans nervous system. Dev Biol 263: 81-102
Vaillant AR, Zanassi P, Walsh GS, Aumont A, Alonso A, Miller FD (2002)
Signaling mechanisms underlying reversible, activity-dependent dendrite
formation. Neuron 34: 985-998
Way JC, Chalfie M (1988) mec-3, a homeobox-containing gene that specifies
differentiation of the touch receptor neurons in C. elegans. Cell 54: 5-16
Welsh MJ, Price MP, Xie J (2002) Biochemical Basis of Touch Perception:
Mechanosensory Function of Degenerin/Epithelial Na+ Channels. J Biol
Chem 277: 2369-2372
Wiesner T, Bilodeau A, Bernatchez R, Deschenes A, Raulier B, De Koninck P,
Lavoie-Cardinal F (2020) Activity-Dependent Remodeling of Synaptic
Protein Organization Revealed by High Throughput Analysis of STED
Nanoscopy Images. Front Neural Circuits 14: 57
Wilbrecht L, Holtmaat A, Wright N, Fox K, Svoboda K (2010) Structural Plasticity
Underlies Experience-Dependent Functional Plasticity of Cortical Circuits. J
Neurosci 30: 4927-4932
Wong RO, Ghosh A (2002) Activity-dependent regulation of dendritic growth and
patterning. Nat Rev Neurosci 3: 803-812
Xu T, Yu X, Perlik AJ, Tobin WF, Zweig JA, Tennant K, Jones T, Zuo Y (2009)
Rapid formation and selective stabilization of synapses for enduring motor
memories. Nature 462: 915-919
Yang G, Pan F, Gan WB (2009) Stably maintained dendritic spines are
associated with lifelong memories. Nature 462: 920-924
Younger MA, Muller M, Tong A, Pym EC, Davis GW (2013) A Presynaptic ENaC
Channel Drives Homeostatic Plasticity. Neuron 79: 1183-1196

Yu X, Zuo Y (2011) Spine plasticity in the motor cortex. Curr Opin Neurobiol 21:
169-174
Zhang Y, Cudmore RH, Lin DT, Linden DJ, Huganir RL (2015) Visualization of
NMDA receptor–dependent AMPA receptor synaptic plasticity in vivo. Nat
Neurosci 18: 402-407
Zhou Z, Hong EJ, Cohen S, Zhao WN, Ho HY, Schmidt L, Chen WG, Lin Y,
Savner E, Griffith EC, Hu L, Steen JA, Weitz CJ, Greenberg ME (2006)
Brain-Specific Phosphorylation of MeCP2 Regulates Activity-Dependent
Bdnf Transcription, Dendritic Growth, and Spine Maturation. Neuron 52:
255-269
Zuo Y, Yang G, Kwon E, Gan WB (2005) Long-term sensory deprivation
prevents dendritic spine loss in primary somatosensory cortex. Nature 436:
261-265
952

953
954
Figure 1. Mechanosensory deprivation during development reduces the behavioral
response of the PVD neuron. (A) The PVD neuron dendritic tree, cell body (CB) and axon.
The red arrow corresponds to the contact point with a platinum wire during posterior harsh

touch, while the black arrow represents the behavioral escape response of the worm. The red
dashed square represents the analyzed region around the CB. One representative candelabrum
is colored by branch orders: blue primary (1°), purple secondary (2°), cyan tertiary (3°) and
green quaternaries (4°). A- anterior; P- posterior; V- ventral; D-dorsal. **(B)** Schematic of the
isolation protocol followed at 72 h by posterior harsh touch assay or PVD imaging of adult
worms. **(C)** Isolation of embryos reduced the percentage of worms responding to harsh touch at
adulthood. Crowded- black bars, Isolated- red bars. Wildtype (WT) N2 worms (Crowded, n=32;
Isolated, n=32), *mec-4* (Crowded, n=52; Isolated, n=46), *mec-3* (Crowded, n=12; Isolated,
n=12). *mec-4* animals were assayed as adults after 96 h to account for their slower rate of
growth. **(D)** Growth in plates with chemical cues from adult hermaphrodites did not alter the
reduced response rate of isolated *mec-4* animals to harsh touch (Crowded, n=33; Isolated,
n=28). **(E)** Harsh touch response in crowded and isolated conditions for mutants of different
DEG-ENaCs and the TRP channel *gtl-1*. WT worms (same set of worms as in Fig. 1C.
Crowded, n=32; Isolated, n=32), *asic-1* (Crowded, n=46; Isolated, n=30), *mec-10* (Crowded,
n=38; Isolated, n=31), *degt-1* (Crowded, n=37; Isolated, n=31), *unc-8* (Crowded, n=18; Isolated,
n=15), *del-1* (Crowded, n=31; Isolated, n=27), *gtl-1* (Crowded, n=27; Isolated, n=25). **(F)** MEC-
10 expression in the PVD rescues *mec-10* mutants' crowded-specific reduction in response to
harsh touch. All strains contain the *ser-2Prom3::Kaede* PVD marker construct, and were tested
in the crowded conditions. (WT, n=42; *mec-4,* n=17; *him-5,* n=34 (*him-5* was used as WT
background for several strains after cross); *him-5;mec-10,* n=35; *him-5;mec-*
10;ser2Prom3::MEC-10, n=33). **(G)** Isolation for 24 h in adulthood did not affect the response to
harsh touch. Worms grown under crowded conditions were isolated for 24 h as young adults
and compared against their crowded age-matched counterparts in their response to harsh touch
(Crowded, n=25; Isolated for 24 h, n=26). The proportion of responding worms (percentage) ±
standard error of proportion is shown. Fisher's exact test, *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$, n.s.
not significant.
984

Figure 2. Mechanosensory deprivation and cell autonomous activity of *mec-10* affects the architecture of the PVD. (A) Schematic representation of the PVD dendritic structure, marking the morphological characteristics of interest: ectopic branches and quaternary branching geometry. Dashed lines represent ectopic branching at each order. Colors correspond to Fig. 1A. (**B-G**) Both isolation and *mec-10* affect the structure of the PVD: **(B)** Isolation increases the

fraction of ectopic branching, *mec-10* mutation increases ectopic branching in the crowded
state. **(C)** Isolation decreases the percentage of straight quaternary branches, *mec-10* mutation
decreases the percentage of straight quaternary branches in the crowded state. Crowded- black
dots, isolated- red dots; WT (Crowded, n=28; Isolated n=26), *him-5* (Crowded, n=27; Isolated,
n=25; *him-5* was used as WT background for several strains after cross), *him-5;mec-10*
(Crowded, n=30; Isolated, n=24). **(D)** Expression of *mec-10* in the PVD on the background of
him-5;mec-10 in the crowded state reduces ectopic branching and **(E)** increases the percentage
of straight quaternary branches. **(F-I)** Representative PVD images of WT, *mec-10* and *mec-
10*;PVD::MEC-10 in different growth conditions. (Scale bar, 50 µm). **(J)** Embryo isolation with
glass beads did not affect ectopic branching. **(K)** Embryo isolation with glass beads increased
the percentage of straight quaternary branches (Crowded, n=28; Isolated n=26; Isolated with
beads, n=22. Crowded and isolated WT are the same set of worms as in Fig. 2B,C). Each dot
represents a single worm. The mean ± s.e.m. are shown in blue. Box plot with median and
hinges for the first and third quartile. The whiskers represent an estimated calculation of the
95% confidence interval. Kruskal-Wallis test, # # $p<0.01$, # # # $p<0.001$, Mann Whitney test with
Bonferroni Correction α=0.0167. *$p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$, *** $p<0.001$, n.s. not significant.
1008

1009
1010
Figure 3. Adult isolation for less than 4 h affects the structure of the PVD.
(A) Isolation of crowded worms induces a time-dependent increase in the proportion of ectopic
branches, and **(B)** a decrease in the number of straight quaternary branches (2 h: Crowded,
n=24, Isolated, n=22; 5 h: Crowded, n=24, Isolated, n=27; 15 h: Crowded, n=3, Isolated, n=3;
24 h: Crowded, n=20, Isolated, n=21; animals imaged using polystyrene beads for
immobilization, see Materials and Methods). **(C)** Isolation for 4 h induces more growth of new
branches than retraction of existing ones. Ectopic branch dynamics were compared for crowded
animals against their state after 4 h isolation. Imaging used 1% tricaine, see Materials and
Methods. **(D)** Isolation of crowded worms for 4 h increases the ratio between ectopic/non-
ectopic branches in individual animals and **(E)** reduces the percentage of straight quaternary
branches (Crowded, n=6; Isolated n=6). **(F)** Crowded, but not isolated worms, show dynamic
reduction in the percentage of straight quaternary branches during a 3 h time lapse movie in 1%
tricaine; see Movies S1 and S2. **(G)** Representative time lapse frames of a crowded worm in 1%
tricaine. Thick and thin arrows represent straight and non-straight quaternary branches,
respectively. For **(D, E)** the lines connecting two points refer to the same crowded specimen at
time 0 and after 4 h isolation. Box plot representation as in Fig. 2. For **(A-B)** Mann-Whitney test,
* $p<0.05$,.*** $p<0.001$. For **(C-E)** Wilcoxon test (for two related samples), * $p<0.05$. The mean ±
s.e.m. are shown for panels A-C, and F.

1029

Figure 4. Response to harsh touch and PVD morphology are independent.
(A) Discriminant analysis shows independence of harsh touch response from the PVD's morphological classification. Squares indicate the centroids for morphological characteristics analyzed in Fig. S10A-C. The response to harsh touch (Fig. S9) is illustrated by its magnitude (low, <45% in yellow star; high, >65% in light blue circles). The different genotypes are numbered in the list on the right. Crowded- black, Isolated- red. **(B-E)** Isolated animals show similar PVD morphology regardless of their touch response: **(B)** isolated responding and non-responding animals are not different with regard to the fraction of ectopic branching, and **(C)** the geometry of quaternary branches (Isolated non-responding, n=18; Isolated responding, n=20). Box plot representation as in Fig. 2. Mann-Whitney test. n.s. not significant. **(D, E)** Representative PVD images of responding and non-responding worms. (Scale bar, 50 μm).

1041

Figure 5. Mechanosensory-dependent localization of degenerins and optogenetics. (A)
PVD::MEC-10::mCherry localization (shown and labeled in purple, also in Fig. 7) is reduced in
the quaternary branches and the axon following isolation, in a *degt-1*-dependent manner (WT:
Crowded, n=31; Isolated, n=27; *degt-1* mutants: Crowded, n=23; Isolated, n=22). **(B-D)**
Representative images and reconstructions for PVD::MEC-10::mCherry localization for crowded
and isolated WT worms, and crowded *degt-1* worms. (Scale bar, 50 μm). **(E)** PVD::DEGT-
1::mCherry localization level is reduced at the cell body, but not in the quaternary branches or
the axon, following isolation, in a *mec-10*-dependent-manner (WT: Crowded, n=33; Isolated,
n=21; *mec-10* mutants: Crowded, n=28; Isolated, n=22). The percentage of expressing worms ±
standard error of proportion is shown. **(F-H)** Representative images and reconstructions for
PVD::DEGT-1::mCherry localization for crowded and isolated WT worms and crowded *mec-10*
worms. **(I)** Isolation leads to a reduced escape response following optogenetic photoactivation
of Channelrhodopsin 2 in the PVD (Crowded, n=30; Isolated, n=24, grown on All Trans Retinal.
No response was observed for worms grown without All Trans Retinal). Fisher exact test,
*$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.01$ n.s. not significant.

1057

Figure 6. Model of experience-induced structural and behavioral plasticity. During adulthood, mechanosensory signals maintain the structure of the PVD in crowded animals, with straight quaternary branches and fewer ectopic branches. Sensory deprivation (in isolated animals) results in ectopic dendrites, wavy branches and defects in candelabra self-avoidance. During development, mechanosensory experience alters the response to harsh touch and the crawling gait of the worm, possibly through changes in MEC-10 localization in the axon and mediated by other DEG/ENaCs. Mechanosensory stimuli are a driving force for changes in the compartment specific localization of MEC-10 and DEGT-1 in the PVD, which may affect the structure of the PVD. MEC-10 is represented in blue, DEGT-1 is represented in green.