Zika Virus Subverts Stress Granules to Promote and Restrict Viral Gene Expression

Gaston Bonenfant[1], Nina Williams[1], Rachel Netzband[1], Megan C. Schwarz[2], Matthew J. Evans[2] and Cara T. Pager[1]*

[1]Department of Biological Sciences, The RNA Institute, University at Albany-SUNY, Albany, NY 12222

[2]Department of Microbiology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029

*Corresponding author:

Cara T. Pager, Ph.D.

Department of Biological Sciences

The RNA Institute

University at Albany-SUNY

1400 Washington Avenue

Albany, NY 12222

Phone: 518.591.8841

Fax: 518.442.4767

E-mail: ctpager@albany.edu

Abstract:

Flaviviruses limit the cell stress response by preventing the formation of stress granules and modulate viral gene expression by subverting different proteins involved in the stress granule pathway. In this study, we investigated the formation of stress granules during Zika virus (ZIKV) infection and the role stress granule proteins play during the viral life cycle. Using immunofluorescence and confocal microscopy, we determined that ZIKV disrupted the formation of arsenite-induced stress granules and changed the subcellular distribution, but not the abundance or integrity, of stress granule proteins. We also investigated the role of different stress granule proteins in ZIKV infection by using target-specific siRNAs to deplete Ataxin2, G3BP1, HuR, TIA-1, TIAR and YB1. Knock-down of TIA-1 and TIAR affected ZIKV protein and RNA levels, but not viral titers. Conversely, depletion of Ataxin2 and YB1 decreased virion production despite having only a small effect on ZIKV protein expression. Notably, however, depletion of G3BP1 and HuR decreased and increased ZIKV gene expression and virion production, respectively. Using an MR766 *Gaussia* luciferase reporter genome together with knockdown and overexpression assays, G3BP1 and HuR were found to modulate ZIKV replication. These data indicate that ZIKV disrupts the formation of stress granules by sequestering stress granule proteins required for replication, where G3BP1 functions to promote ZIKV infection, while HuR exhibits an antiviral effect. The consequence of ZIKV re-localizing and subverting select stress granule proteins might have broader consequences on cellular RNA homeostasis and contribute to cellular gene dysregulation and ZIKV pathogenesis.

Importance:

Many viruses inhibit stress granules (SGs). In this study, we observed that ZIKV restricts SG

assembly likely by re-localizing and subverting specific SG proteins to modulate ZIKV replication.

This ZIKV-SG protein interaction is interesting, as many SG proteins are also known to function

in neuronal granules, which are critical in neural development and function. Moreover,

dysregulation of different SG proteins in neurons has been shown to play a role in the progression

of neurodegenerative diseases. The likely consequences of ZIKV modulating SG assembly and

subverting specific SG proteins are alterations to cellular mRNA transcription, splicing, stability,

and translation. Such changes in cellular ribostasis could profoundly affect neural development

and contribute to the devastating developmental and neurological anomalies observed following

intrauterine ZIKV infection. Our study provides new insights into virus-host interactions and the

identification of the SG proteins that may contribute to the unusual pathogenesis associated with

this re-emerging arbovirus.

35
36

Introduction:

Zika virus (ZIKV) is an enveloped, single-stranded positive-sense RNA virus belonging to the *Flaviviridae* family, which includes Dengue virus (DENV), Yellow fever virus (YFV), and West Nile virus (WNV) (1). While ZIKV was discovered in Uganda in 1947 (2) , the virus garnered renewed interest during the 2015-2016 outbreak in the Americas (3), in particular because of intrauterine infections and resulting developmental abnormalities such as severe microcephaly, decreased brain tissue, macular scarring, congenital contractures, and hypertonia (4–9). Additionally, adults infected with ZIKV were reported to develop Guillain-Barré syndrome, a debilitating disorder affecting the peripheral nerves (10–13). Similar to other flaviviruses, ZIKV is transmitted by the *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* mosquitoes, although recent evidence has shown ZIKV is also capable of sexual and vertical transmission (14–17). While half a century has passed since the discovery of ZIKV, little-to-no research was published prior to the emergence of the current strain in the Americas associated with devastating developmental pathologies. Because there is no licensed vaccine and antiviral treatments are elusive, a fundamental understanding of the molecular biology of ZIKV and virus-host interactions is critical to developing therapeutic strategies.

The ZIKV single-stranded positive-sense RNA genome contains a 5'-cap, lacks a poly(A) tail, and encodes one open reading frame (ORF) that is flanked by highly structured 5' and 3' untranslated regions (UTRs). Similar to other flaviviruses, translation of the ZIKV RNA results in one long polyprotein that is co- and post-translationally proteolytically processed to produce at least three structural proteins (capsid [C], premembrane [prM] and envelope [E]) and seven nonstructural proteins (NS1, NS2a, NS2b, NS3, NS4a, NS4b and NS5) (1). Although cap-dependent and cap-independent translation have been reported for DENV (18), it is presently unknown whether ZIKV employs similar translation strategies. Similarly, little is known regarding the strategies ZIKV employs to promote translation of the viral RNA.

To limit translation of viral RNAs, or protect the cells from different environmental stresses, mammalian cells rapidly stall translation via the activation of one of the four eIF2α kinases. In particular, the presence of double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) during viral infection activates protein kinase R (PKR) (19); the accumulation of unfolded proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and resulting stress activates PKR-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK) (20); amino acid starvation activates general control non-repressed 2 (GCN2) (21); and oxidative stress activates

heme-regulated inhibitor kinase (HRI) (22). Phosphorylation of the α subunit of eIF2 by one of

the four stress response kinases results in the stalling of translation initiation, and disassembly of

polysomes. Stalled translation initiation complexes bound to mRNA are recognized by several

RNA binding proteins, which aggregate to form RNA-protein macromolecular complexes called

stress granules (SGs) (23). Once the stressor is abated, eIF2α is dephosphorylated by protein

phosphatase 1 (PPI) and the PPI cofactor growth arrest and DNA-damage-inducible 34

(GADD34), allowing for the return of sequestered mRNA transcripts to active translation (23).

SGs are dynamic nonmembrane-bound cytoplasmic structures that can rapidly assemble in

response to stress and disassemble once the stress has been alleviated (23). SGs typically

contain mRNAs, stalled translation initiation complexes, and numerous RNA binding proteins.

Indeed, SGs may contain upwards of 260 different proteins (24), and ~50% of these are proposed

to be RNA-binding proteins (25). Of these Ras-GTPase activating binding protein 1 (or GAP SH3

domain binding protein 1 [G3BP1]), Caprin1, T-cell internal antigen 1 (TIA-1) and TIA-1 related

protein (TIAR) are proposed to be key nucleators of SG assembly (26–29). In addition to

translation repression and mRNA sorting, SGs also amplify the innate immune response by

aggregating critical antiviral factors (23). Because translation is a critical first step in the flavivirus

life cycle, the formation of SGs presents an immediate obstacle to infection. Notably, however,

during infection with different flaviviruses, such as WNV, DENV, and Japanese encephalitis virus

(JEV) SGs are absent, and treatment of virus-infected cells with arsenite fails to induce SGs (30–

33). While WNV, DENV and JEV all belong to the same flavivirus genus, each virus employs a

unique mechanism to block SG assembly. For example, early during WNV infection PKR is

activated by the appearance of exposed dsRNA replication intermediates, which results in

phosphorylation of eIF2α, the stalling of translation initiation and formation of stress granules.

However, at later times during infection SG formation is limited as membranous vesicles mask

dsRNA and viral replication complexes, and thus PKR remains inactive (30, 34, 35). More

recently, WNV was shown to also limit SG assembly by upregulating and activating key

transcription factors that modulate the antioxidant response (32). In contrast, p38-Mnk1 signaling

and phosphorylation of the cap-binding protein eIF4E was affected during DENV infection, thus

inhibiting SG formation via an eIF2α phosphorylation-independent mechanism (33). Flaviviruses

also subvert specific SG proteins to promote viral gene expression. For example, the SG-

nucleating proteins TIA-1 and TIAR facilitate WNV replication (36); G3BP1, G3BP2 and Caprin1

promote translation of interferon stimulated genes (ISGs) to limit DENV infection (37, 38); and

JEV inhibits SG assembly by co-localizing Caprin1 with the viral capsid protein (31).

Similar to DENV and WNV, ZIKV was recently shown limit the assembly of SGs (39, 40). In

particular, Hou *et al.* reported that exogenously expressed Flag-tagged NS3 and NS4A repressed

translation, and the formation of SGs was restricted when Flag-tagged capsid, NS3, NS2B-3 and

NS4A proteins were individually expressed (39). Moreover ZIKV RNA was bound by G3BP1, and

G3BP1 and Caprin1 co-immunoprecipitated Flag-tagged ZIKV capsid protein (39). Depletion of

TIAR, G3BP1 and Caprin1 decreased viral titers, likely as a result of disrupting the interactions

with ZIKV capsid protein and RNA (39). Hou *et al.*, also showed that SG assembly in ZIKV-

infected cells was the consequence of eIF2α phosphorylation and translational repression by

activating PKR and the unfolded protein response (39). Amorim et al. additionally reported that

ZIKV limits the antiviral stress response by promoting an increase in the rate of eIF2α

dephosphorylation during infection (40). While these studies provide insight into how SG

formation is inhibited during ZIKV infection, the role of various SG proteins on ZIKV gene

expression has yet to be elucidated.

A number of the RNA-binding proteins that localize in SGs, such as Ataxin-2, G3BP1, HuR and

TIA-1, are known to contribute to different neuropathologies (41, 42). Thus, our goal in this study

was to investigate the biological impact of different SG proteins linked to neurodegeneration on

ZIKV gene expression. Here we show a systematic analysis of SGs during ZIKV infection; the

effect of depleting six different SG proteins on ZIKV protein and RNA levels and viral titers; and

elucidate the biological function of two SG proteins on ZIKV gene expression. We determined that

ZIKV disrupted arsenite-induced SG assembly and that several SG markers co-localized with

sites of ZIKV replication. Additionally, the SG protein G3BP1 is required for ZIKV gene expression,

while HuR exhibited antiviral activity. Using a MR766 *Gaussia* luciferase reporter genome, our

studies revealed that G3BP1 and HuR specifically modulate ZIKV replication. This work advances

our understanding of the interplay between ZIKV, the cellular stress response and cellular RNA

metabolism, and demonstrates a role for specific RNA-binding proteins in ZIKV replication.

Materials and Methods:

Cell Maintenance and ZIKV Stocks

The hepatocellular carcinoma cell line Huh7 was maintained in Dulbecco's minimal essential

medium (DMEM; Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Seradigm),

10 mM nonessential amino acids (NEAA; Life Technologies), and 5 mM L-glutamine (Life

Technologies). Vero cells (ATCC# CRL–81) were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10%

FBS and 10 mM HEPES (Life Technologies). Mammalian cell lines were grown at 37°C with 5%

CO_2. C6/36 cells (ATCC# CRL-1660) grown at 27°C with 5% CO_2 were maintained in Eagle's

minimal essential medium (EMEM; Sigma) supplemented in 10% FBS, sodium pyruvate (0.055

g/L; Life Technologies), Fungizone (125 µg/L; Life Technologies), and penicillin and streptomycin

(50000 units/L penicillin, 0.05 g/L streptomycin; Life Technologies). ZIKV (Cambodia-160310,

Uganda MR766, and Puerto Rico PRVABC59; generous gifts from Dr. Brett Lindenbach, Yale

School of Medicine; Dr. Laura Kramer, Wadsworth Center NYDOH; and the CDC) stocks were

generated in C6/36 cells. Briefly, C6/36 cells nearing confluency were infected at a multiplicity of

infection (MOI) of 0.1. Seven days post-infection supernatants from the infected cells were

collected, aliquoted and stored at -80°C. RNA was extracted from cells to confirm infection via

northern blotting, and viral titers were determined by plaque assay.

Small Interfering RNA (siRNA) and DNA Plasmid Transfections

Sense and antisense siRNA oligonucleotides were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies

(IDT). The siRNA sequences are provided in Table 1. Oligonucleotides were resuspended in

RNase-free water to a 50 µM final concentration. Sense and antisense strands were combined in

annealing buffer (150 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 500 mM potassium acetate, and 10 mM magnesium

acetate) to a final concentration of 20 µM, denatured for 1 minute at 95°C, and annealed for 1

hour at 37°C (43).

For siRNA and plasmid transfections, Huh7 cells seeded at 7.5×10^6 in a 10 cm cell culture dish

were transfected 24 hours later with 100 nM of the indicated siRNA duplex or 2 µg of 3xFLAG-

BAP (Bacterial Alkaline Phosphatase; Sigma #C7472), HuR-Flag (GenScript #OHu23723D), or

G3BP1-Flag (GenScript #OHu02150D) plasmids using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) per

manufacturer's protocol. At 24 hours post-transfection, cells were infected with ZIKV.

Table 1: siRNA Sequences

Target	Sense-Strand (5'-3')	Antisense-Strand (5'-3')
Ataxin-2	AAGUGUGAUUUGGUACUUGAU	UGAAGGGUGCGUCAUAUAGGG
G3BP1	CAGGAAGACUUGAGGACAUUU	AUGUCCUCAAGUCUUCCUGUU
GL2	CGUACGCGGAAUACUUCGAUU	UCGAAGUAUUCCGCGUACGUU
HuR	AAGAGGCAAUUACCAGUUUCAUU	UGAAACUGGUAAUUGCCUCUUUU
TIA-1	CUGGGCUAACAGAACAACUAAUU	UUAGUUGUUCUGUUAGCCCAGUU
TIAR	AAGGGCUAUUCAUUUGUCAGAUU	UCUGACAAAUGAAUAGCCCUUUU
YB1	GGCAGCAAAUGUUACAGGUtt	ACCUGUAACAUUUGCUGCCtc

ZIKV Infections

On the day of infection, one mock culture plate was trypsinized and counted to calculate MOI. Cells were infected at either MOI of 1 or 5, as noted in the text and figure legends. Appropriate amount of viral stocks thawed at room temperature were diluted in PBS to a final volume of 3.5 mL. Culture dishes were aspirated, the viral solution was added, and cells were returned to the incubator for 1 hour with rocking every 15 minutes. At the end of the hour 4 mL complete media was added to each plate.

Immunofluorescence Analysis and Confocal Microscopy

Cells seeded in 8-chambered slides (Nunc Lab-Tek Chamber Slide system; Sigma C7182) were infected with ZIKV at MOI of 5. Two days post-infection, cells were either mock-treated or treated with sodium arsenite (1 mM final concentration; Sigma) for 30 minutes. Hereafter the cells were washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed with paraformaldehyde (4%)-PBS for 10 minutes at room temperature. Cells were washed once with PBS and permeabilized with 100% ice-cold methanol for 15 minutes at room temperature. Cells were washed in blocking buffer (PBS-1% fish gelatin (FG); Sigma) three times for 15 minutes. Primary antibody was diluted in blocking buffer, added to the appropriate wells and incubated overnight at 4°C. Secondary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer were added for 1 hour at room temperature in the dark. Hoechst-33342 (Life Technologies) was applied for 15 minutes. Between and after application of antibodies, cells were washed with blocking buffer three times for 15 minutes. Finally, the cells were washed twice with PBS for 5 minutes, the 8-chamber upper structure was removed, Fluoromount (Southern Biotech) added, and a cover-slip applied. Slides were stored at 4°C if imaging was undertaken within 1 week, or at -20°C for long-term storage. Antibodies and

191 concentrations used are listed in Table 2. Slides were imaged on a Zeiss LM710 confocal
192 microscope with a 63x oil objective.

194 **Table 2: Antibodies and Concentrations**

Protein	Supplier (Product ID)	Species	IFA Dilution	Western Dilution
G3BP1	Bethyl (A302-034A)	Rabbit	1:300	1:5,000
TIAR	Bethyl (A303-613A)	Rabbit	1:250	1:1,000
YB1	Cell Signaling (D299)	Rabbit	1:250	N/A
Ataxin-2	ProteinTech (21776-1-AP)	Rabbit	1:200	1:5,000
HuR	ProteinTech (11910-1-AP)	Rabbit	1:200	1:6,000
YB1	Novus (NBP1-97572)	Rabbit	N/A	1:5,000
TIA-1 (C-20)	Santa Cruz (Sc-1751)	Goat	1:50	1:3,000
eIF3	Santa Cruz (Sc-16377)	Goat	1:50	N/A
GRP78	Santa Cruz (Sc-376768)	Goat	N/A	1:1,000
Fibrillarin	ProteinTech(16021-1-AP)	Rabbit	N/A	1:5,000
Calnexin	ProteinTech (10427-1-AP)	Rabbit	N/A	1:5,000
GAPDH	Millipore Sigma	Mouse	N/A	1:10,000
ZIKV Capsid	GeneTex (GTX133317)	Rabbit	N/A	1:5,000
ZIKV Envelope	BioFront (1176-76)	Mouse	1:800	N/A
ZIKV NS5	BioFront (6A1)	Mouse	1:150	N/A
Anti-rabbit-peroxidase	Santa Cruz (Sc-2313)	Donkey	N/A	1:10,000
Anti-goat-peroxidase	Santa Cruz (Sc-2354)	Mouse	N/A	1:5,000
Anti-mouse-peroxidase	Santa Cruz (Sc-2314)	Donkey	N/A	1:10,000
Anti-mouse-488	Life Technologies	Donkey	N/A	1:200
Anti-rabbit-594	Life Technologies	Donkey	N/A	1:200
Anti-goat-647	Life Technologies	Donkey	N/A	1:200

*N/A Not applicable

Quantification of SG-positive Cells

A 40x oil objective was used to acquire images of ZIKV-infected cells stained with mouse-anti-
dsRNA antibody to detect ZIKV-infected cells and one of the following anti-SG protein antibodies:
rabbit-anti-Ataxin-2, rabbit-anti-G3BP1, rabbit-anti-HuR, goat-anti-TIA-1, rabbit-anti-TIAR, and
rabbit-anti-YB1. To quantify the effect of ZIKV infection on SG formation, 200 to 300 infected cells
between three or more biological replicates were counted. Quantification of SGs between different
infections was completed as follows: for each treatment, the percentage of uninfected cells
with/without SGs and ZIKV-infected cells with/without SGs was calculated. Using the percentages

from cells with/without SGs from three or more biological replicates, a one-tailed student T-test
was carried out.

Subcellular Fractionation

Huh7 cells seeded in 10 cm cell culture dishes at 7.5×10^6 were infected at a MOI of 5. Two days
post-infection, the cells were trypsinized, centrifuged at 500 x g for 5 minutes, and resuspended
in PBS. Cytoplasmic and nuclear proteins were separated using the NE-PER extraction reagents
per manufacturer's protocol (ThermoScientific). After clarification of proteins, 30 μg of protein
lysate from the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were analyzed by western blot analysis. To
demonstrate successful separation of cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions the western blots were
also probed for the ER chaperone protein GRP78 and Fibrillarin, a methyltransferase enzyme
that 2'O-methylates ribosomal RNA, respectively. Quantification of band intensities was
performed using Image Lab software (BioRad). The subcellular distribution of SG proteins in
ZIKV-infected cells was normalized to the corresponding mock-infected lane, and an average of
three independent experiments was used to generate the quantification graph.

Harvest of ZIKV-Infected Cells for Protein and RNA Analysis

At the indicated time point, media from culture dishes was aspirated and the cells were washed
once with PBS. Cells were manually scraped from plate in 1 mL PBS, divided between two
microcentrifuge tubes, and pelleted at 14,000 RPM for 15 seconds. The supernatant was
removed, and cells were resuspended in 50 μL RIPA buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM
NaCl, 1% sodium deoxycholic acid, 1% Triton-X100, 0.1% SDS) containing protease inhibitors
(mini tablets EDTA-free; ThermoScientific Pierce) or 1 mL TRIzol (Invitrogen) for protein and RNA
analysis, respectively. For protein analysis, cells resuspended in RIPA buffer with protease
inhibitors were incubated on ice for 20 minutes. The lysate was clarified by centrifugation at
14,000 RPM at 4$°$C for 20 minutes. The clarified protein lysate was collected and processed for
western blot analysis. RNA from cell lysates was extracted using TRIzol per the manufacturer's
instructions.

Western Blot Analysis

Protein lysates were quantified using the DC protein assay kit (BioRad) and 20 μg lysate was
electrophoresed for 2 hours at 100V through SDS-10% PAGE. Protein was transferred to a PVDF

membrane at 100 V for 60 minutes at 4°C. Transfer efficiency was determined by Ponceau S

(Sigma) staining, after which the blots were washed in buffer (0.1% Tween in PBS (PBS-T)) and

blocked for 30 minutes in blocking buffer (5% powdered milk (w/v) in PBS-T). Primary antibodies

diluted in blocking buffer were added to the blots and incubated at 4°C overnight. Secondary

antibodies diluted in blocking buffer were incubated at room temperature for 1 hour. The assay

was developed using Clarity Western ECL Blotting Substrate (BioRad) and imaged on a

chemiluminescent imager (BioRad). Prior to application of additional antibody, blots were stripped

using ReBlot Plus Mild (Millipore Sigma) according to manufacturer's suggestion. Before and after

application of primary/secondary antibodies and stripping, blots were washed for 15 minutes three

times in wash buffer. Antibodies and concentrations are listed in Table 2.

Northern Blot Analysis

TRIzol-extracted RNA (10 µg) was resuspended in loading buffer (1x MOPS-EDTA-sodium

acetate (MESA; Sigma), 4.5% formaldehyde, and 32% formamide), denatured for 15 minutes at

65°C, separated in a 1.2% agarose gel containing 5.92% formaldehyde (v/v) and 1x MESA (v/v),

and transferred via capillary action to a Zeta-probe membrane (BioRad) overnight at room

temperature. RNA was crosslinked to the membrane using a TL-2000 (UVP) and then stained

with methylene blue to visualize transfer of RNA. Methylene blue was removed by washing the

membranes in 1xSSC/1% SDS for 15 minutes three times, and then prehybridized in 5 mL

ExpressHyb (ClonTech) for 1 hour at 65°C. The hybridization buffer was changed and a ^{32}P-

labeled dsDNA probe (Invitrogen) was added for 1 hour at 65°C. Blots were then washed with

0.1xSSC/0.1% SDS three times for 15 minutes at 55°C, exposed overnight to a phosphorimager

screen, and subsequently visualized on a Typhoon 9400 (GE). The ZIKV 3' UTR probe (targeting

nt 10324-10808 of the viral genome) was generated by RT-PCR amplification of the 3' UTR region

from ZIKV-infected cells and the resulting PCR product cloned into pCR-TOPO2.1 (Invitrogen).

The actin and ZIKV probes were randomly labeled with α^{32}P dATP (Perkin Elmer) using a

RadPrime labeling kit (Invitrogen).

Isolation of ZIKV Replication Complexes

A protocol adapted from Schlegel *et al.*, and Chen *et al.*, was used to isolate ZIKV replication

complexes (44, 45). Huh7 cells seeded in 15 cm cell culture dishes were either mock-infected or

infected with ZIKV (Cambodia-160310) at a MOI of 1 and then harvested 1-day post-infection.

Specifically, cells were washed twice with cold PBS, gently dislodged using a cell lifter, collected

and pelleted at 1,000 RPM for 5 minutes at 4°C. The cell pellets were resuspended in 1 mL

hypotonic buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 10 mM NaCl, 1 mM $MgCl_2$) containing protease and

phosphatase inhibitors (mini tablets EDTA-free; ThermoScientific Pierce). One-tenth volume of

cells (100 μL) was collected for the protein input sample, which was lysed in RIPA buffer as

described above. The cells in hypotonic buffer were incubated on ice for 10 minutes. After

incubation, cells were homogenized using the tight pestle of a Dounce homogenizer

approximately 50 times on ice. Samples were then centrifuged at 1,000 x g for 10 minutes at 4°C,

the supernatant collected and NaCl was added to the supernatants to a final concentration of 300

mM. The replication complexes in the collected supernatant were separated in a 10%/60%

gradient. The 10%/60% sucrose gradient was prepared in Ultraclear tubes (Beckman Coulter) by

first adding 5.5 mL of 10% sucrose solution (300 mM NaCl, 15 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 15 mM

$MgCl_2$, and 10% sucrose), followed by a slow deposition of 5.5 mL 60% sucrose (300 mM NaCl,

15 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 15 mM $MgCl_2$, and 60% sucrose) below the 10% sucrose layer. Samples

were layered onto the sucrose gradients and ultracentrifuged using the SW41 rotor at 26,000

RPM for 16 hours at 4°C. The opaque layer sedimenting between the 10% and 60% sucrose

layers was collected for analysis. For western blot analysis the proteins were precipitated with

methanol and resuspended in a buffer containing 8 M urea and 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0).

Plaque Assays

Six-well plates were seeded with $6x10^5$ Vero cells per well. The following day, serial dilutions of

virus were prepared in PBS, media was aspirated, and 300 μL of appropriate dilution was added.

Cultures were returned to incubator for 1 hour with rocking every 15 min. After incubation, 2.5 mL

of a 1:1 overlay (1.2% Oxoid agar and modified media (2xDMEM, 4% FBS, 10 mM HEPES) was

added to each well. Agar was left to solidify at room temperature for 10 minutes before returning

the plates to incubator. At 4 days post-infection, plaques were developed using 1% crystal violet

in 20% methanol.

Cell Viability Assays

Huh7 cells seeded at $5x10^5$ in a 6 cm cell culture dish were transfected 24 hours later with the

indicated siRNA duplex using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) as per manufacturer's protocol.

After 24 hours, cells were trypsinized and seeded in triplicate into a white 96-well plate. Forty-

eight hours after seeding the multi-well plate, the original 6 cm culture dish was harvested for

protein to confirm knockdown, and a cell viability assay was performed. An equal volume of

CellTiter-Glo 2.0 (100 µL; Promega) to cell culture media was added to each well, which was

rocked for two minutes following a ten-minute room temperature incubation. Luminescence was

recorded with an integration time of one second using a BioTEK Synergy luminometer.

Luciferase Reporter Virus and Assays

To construct a ZIKV reporter virus, the *Gaussia* luciferase gene was cloned as a fusion with the

amino terminus of the polyprotein, as previously described for another ZIKV strain (46), into the

previously described plasmid pCDNA6.2 MR766 Intron3127 HDVr encoding a CMV promoter

driven MR766 ZIKV (47). Specifically, a translational fusion was generated comprised of the first

20 amino acids of the Capsid protein, the full-length *Gaussia* luciferase reporter gene, along with

its signal sequence, the FMDV 2A peptide, and finally the full-length viral polyprotein. The

flavivirus cyclization sequence determinants in full length capsid coding region was disrupted by

silent mutagenesis (indicated here by lower case nucleotides: 5' ATT GTa AAc ATG tTA AAA) To

create a replication incompetent version of this plasmid, this reporter cassette was also cloned

into the previously described pCDNA6.2 MR766 Intron3127 Pol(-) HDVr (47) to create pCDNA6.2

MR766 clGLuc Intron3127 Pol(-) HDVr.

Huh7 cells were seeded into 6 cm cell culture dishes at a density of $5x10^5$ cells/dish. For siRNA

experiments, the cells were transfected with siRNAs 24-hours after seeding of the cells. Twenty-

four hours post-transfection, cells were subsequently seeded into 24-well plates at a density of

$5x10^4$ cells/well. The following day, 200 ng of the replication-competent and replication-

incompetent pCDNA6.2 MR766 clGLuc Intron3127 HDVr, along with appropriate siRNAs (40 nM)

or plasmid DNA (500 ng) were transfected using Lipofectamine 3000. At 6, 24, 48- and 96-hours

post-transfection, media from wells was collected and stored at -20°C. Assays were performed

using NEB BioLux *Gaussia* Luciferase (GLuc) kit (NEB #E3300L) following the stabilized protocol.

Briefly, samples and GLuc assay solution were prepared and equilibrated to room temperature.

The sample (35 µL) was added to three individual wells in a white 96-well plate. The GLuc assay

solution (50 µL) was dispensed into each sample well, shaken for 5 seconds, incubated at room

temperature for 30 seconds, and luminescence recorded with an integration time of 10 seconds

using a BioTEK Synergy luminometer.

Statistical Analysis

For RNA quantification of northern blots, ImageQuantTL was used to obtain the mean density of

gZIKV, sgZIKV, and actin RNA. RNA levels of ZIKV were initially divided by the mean density of

actin and subsequently standardized to the control siGL2 (or 3xFlag-BAP). A two-tailed student

T-test comparing control RNA levels to each knockdown (or overexpression) sample was

performed using data from three or more biological replicates. Relative viral titers were

determined by standardizing the plaque forming units/mL (PFU/mL) for each treatment to the

control siRNA (siGL2) or plasmid (p3xFLAG-BAP). A two-tailed student T-test was performed

using relative viral titers from three biological replicates. All graphs were generated using

Microsoft Excel for Mac 2011. Statistical analysis was performed using StatPlus:mac LE.

Results:

ZIKV inhibits arsenite-induced SG formation. To determine whether SGs form during ZIKV

infection, Huh7 cells were infected with the Cambodian-isolate (160310) at an MOI of 5, and the

formation of SGs at 24 hours post-infection was visualized by immunofluorescence and confocal

microscopy using an antibody to detect TIA-1, a protein known to facilitate nucleation of SGs (27).

ZIKV-infected cells were identified by staining for double-stranded (ds) RNA, a marker of viral

replication sites. In mock-infected cells, TIA-1 localized to the nucleus (Figure 1A). Similar to other

flavivirus infected cells (30, 31), TIA-1 was mostly localized in the nucleus and we observed ~10%

of ZIKV-infected cells containing SG foci in the cytoplasm (Figure 1A and Figure 1C). A lack of

SGs during ZIKV-infection suggested ZIKV either inhibited the formation of SGs or promoted the

disassembly of SGs. To investigate whether ZIKV inhibited the formation of SGs, we treated cells

with 1 mM sodium arsenite for 30 minutes. In mock-infected cells treated with sodium arsenite,

we observed 80-100% of the cells containing TIA-1 SGs in the cytoplasm (Figure 1). In contrast,

TIA-1-containing SGs were observed in ~24% of ZIKV-infected treated with sodium arsenite

(Figure 1B and Figure 1D).

We similarly investigated SG formation following infection with the 1947 Uganda-isolate (MR766)

and a recent ZIKV strain isolated in Puerto Rico in 2015 (PRVABC59) (44, 45). Similar to Huh7

cells infected with the Cambodia-ZIKV strain (Figure 1C), ~10% of cells infected with MR766 or

PRVABC59, had TIA-1-containing SGs (Figure 1E and Figure 1G). Consistent with the number

of SGs in cells infected with the Cambodia ZIKV strain (Figure 1D), approximately 20% of cells

infected with MR766 or PRVABC formed TIA-1-SGs following treatment with sodium arsenite

(Figure 1F and Figure 1H). These data indicate that the three ZIKV strains (Cambodia, Uganda

and Puerto Rico) examined restrict the formation of SGs when treated with sodium arsenite and

are consistent with SG studies undertaken with WNV, DENV and ZIKV (30, 32, 33, 39, 40).

To determine whether ZIKV inhibited a particular subset of SGs, we next investigated the

formation of SGs containing Ataxin-2, G3BP1, eIF3B, HuR, TIAR, and YB1 in mock and ZIKV

(Cambodia-160310)-infected cells in the absence or presence of sodium arsenite (Figure 1C and

Figure 1D). Quantification of the different SG proteins in mock-infected cells showed that less

than 10% of untreated cells contained SGs, while SGs were visible in more than 90% of cells

treated with sodium arsenite (Figure 1C and Figure 1D). In contrast, analysis of SGs as visualized

using the different SG proteins in cells infected with the Cambodia ZIKV-isolate (160310), showed

that 1-25% of untreated cells and 18-56% of sodium arsenite-treated contained SGs (Figure 1C

and Figure 1D). We noted that a significant number of ZIKV-infected cells formed Ataxin-2 and

YB1 containing SGs in untreated cells, and that the number of cells with SGs containing YB1

increased following sodium arsenite-treatment. Overall, ~20% of ZIKV-infected cells showed

sodium arsenite-induced SGs containing common SG components (Figure 1D, Figure 1F and

Figure 1H). However, we also observed that 44% of infected cells showed SGs containing the

translation initiation factor eIF3B (Figure 1D, Figure 1F and Figure 1H), indicating that the SGs

induced in ZIKV-infected cells contain translationally repressed ribonucleoprotein complexes.

Together these data show that all three ZIKV strains inhibit the formation of SGs albeit to different

extents.

ZIKV does not dramatically change the abundance or integrity of SG proteins during

infection. To determine if the ability of ZIKV to inhibit sodium arsenite-induced SG assembly is a

result of promoting proteolytic cleavage of and/or degrading SG-nucleating proteins, we infected

Huh7 cells with ZIKV at a MOI of 1 and 5 and investigated the abundance and integrity of select

SG proteins at 1, 2, and 3 days post-infection. By western blot analysis we found that the

abundance of most SG proteins remained the same between mock- and Cambodia ZIKV-infected

samples (Figure 2A), and no cleavage products were identified (Figure 2A and Figure 2B).

Interestingly, independent of MOI, the levels of Ataxin-2 increased with ZIKV infection at 1- and

2-days post-infection (Figure 2A). We similarly observed an increase in Ataxin-2 abundance and

no change in HuR, TIA-1 and TIAR levels following infections with the Ugandan and Puerto Rican

strains (Figure 2B). We also observed a modest decrease in G3BP1 levels (Figure 2A and Figure
2B). While Poliovirus 3C protease has been shown to cleave G3BP1 and block the formation of
SGs, we did not observe such a cleavage product (48). It is possible that G3BP1 was cleaved
during ZIKV-infection, however the modest decrease in G3BP1 levels likely limited the detection
of such a fragment by western blot analysis. Alternatively, G3BP1 might be degraded during ZIKV-
infection. Thus, the ability of ZIKV to block the assembly of stress granules was not the result of
a change in the abundance or proteolytic cleavage of HuR, TIA-1, and TIAR. However, changes
in Ataxin-2 and G3BP1 levels might in part restrict the formation of SGs during ZIKV infection.
408

SG proteins are re-localized during ZIKV infection. To investigate whether SG proteins are re-
localized during ZIKV infection, we examined the relative distribution of different SG markers in
the cytoplasm versus nucleus. In particular, Huh7 cells were either mock- or ZIKV-infected at a
MOI of 5, and 1-day post-infection the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were isolated and the
subcellular distribution of Ataxin-2, G3BP1, HuR, TIA-1, TIAR, and YB1 analyzed by western blot
(Figure 2C). To demonstrate effective isolation of the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions, and to
show standardization of the amount of loaded proteins, we examined the localization and
abundance of GRP78 (or BiP), an endoplasmic reticulum chaperone protein, and Fibrillarin, the
methyltransferase protein that 2'O-methylates ribosomal RNA and is localized in the nucleoli.
Western blot detection of ZIKV NS5 confirmed infection, and consistent with immunofluorescence
studies (Figure 6A; (49)) showed that ZIKV NS5 was predominantly localized in the nuclear
fraction. We semi-quantified the amount of the respective SG protein in each fraction by
normalizing the band intensities in the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions to GRP78 and Fibrillarin,
respectively. Normalized values were then standardized to mock samples to obtain a relative
change in distribution of each protein. Thus, the ratio of band intensities less-than-one indicate a
decrease in the respective fraction, while values greater-than-one represent an enrichment of the
SG protein in the subcellular fraction (Figure 2D and Figure 2E). Overall, we did not observe
differences in the distribution of Ataxin-2, G3BP1, TIA-1, TIAR and YB-1 between the cytoplasmic
and nuclear fractions (Figure 2D and Figure 2E). In contrast however, the relative abundance of
HuR in the nuclear fraction decreased, and the concomitant amount of HuR in the cytoplasm
increased (Figure 2D and Figure 2E). Indeed, immunofluorescence analysis of HuR localization
in mock- and ZIKV-infected cells showed strong nuclear staining, and increased staining in the
cytoplasm in ZIKV-infected cells (Figure 5B). We also quantified the fluorescence signal from
confocal microscopy images by examining HuR localization in mock- and ZIKV-infected cells. In

particular, the fluorescence signal in confocal microscopy images of HuR in the nucleus was

quantified. The relative fluorescence intensity signal of HuR, in mock-infected cells was arbitrarily

set to 1 (Figure 2F). Using this quantification analysis, a value greater-than-one would indicate

increased nuclear localization, and a value less-than-one would indicate decreased localization

in the nucleus. Similar to the subcellular fractionation and western blot analysis, we observed a

decreased amount of HuR protein in the nucleus in ZIKV-infected cells compared to mock-

infected cells (Figure 2E and Figure 2F). These data together show that the subcellular

localization of most SG proteins does not significantly change during ZIKV infection. In contrast,

however HuR is re-distributed from the nucleus into the cytoplasm in ZIKV-infected cells. That SG

proteins, particularly those that promote SG-assembly, do not significantly accumulate in the

cytoplasm may in part contribute to the reduction in the number of SGs during ZIKV infection.

G3BP1 and HuR modulate ZIKV gene expression. To elucidate the role of SG proteins during

ZIKV infection we transfected Huh7 cells with siRNAs targeting six different SG proteins and

infected with the Cambodian-isolate (160310) at a MOI of 5. Two days post-infection cells were

harvested for protein and RNA analysis by western and northern blot, and media was collected

for plaque assays. Western blot analysis from at least three independent experiments, showed

that the siRNAs effectively depleted the abundance of the targeted SG proteins (Figure 3A). For

the majority of the SG proteins examined, siRNA depletion of the individual SG proteins did not

impact the levels of other SG markers. We did however observe that the levels of TIA-1 modestly

decreased following knockdown of HuR (Figure 3A). TIA-1 levels have similarly been shown to

be modulated in cells deficient in another cellular RNA binding protein, namely TIAR (36). In

examining the effect of SG protein depletion on ZIKV, the abundance of the ZIKV capsid protein

(Figure 3A) did not change following depletion of Ataxin-2. We did however observe a dramatic

decrease in viral protein when G3BP1 was depleted, while knockdown of HuR and TIA-1

substantially increased ZIKV capsid protein levels (Figure 3A). Depletion of TIAR and YB1

similarly increased the amount of ZIKV capsid protein, albeit not to the same extent as depletion

of HuR and TIA-1 (Figure 3A).

We next examined the abundance of ZIKV genomic RNA (gRNA). By northern blot we observed

significant reductions in gZIKV levels following depletion of G3BP1 compared to cells transfected

with the control siRNA, siGL2 (Figure 3B). Depletion of Ataxin-2, TIAR and YB1 also decreased

gZIKV (Figure 3B). Depletion of TIA-1 did not significantly affect the levels of viral RNA (Figure

3B). Consistent with the increase in ZIKV capsid protein, depletion of HuR significantly increased

gZIKV levels (Figure 3B). During flaviviral infections incomplete degradation of the viral gRNA by

the cellular 5'-to-3' exonuclease Xrn1 produces a small noncoding viral RNA or subgenomic

flavivirus RNA (sfRNA) that corresponds to the 3' UTR (50). In our assays we used a northern

blot probe to the 3' UTR and thus also detected the ZIKV sfRNA (Figure 3A and Figure 3B).

Overall a decrease in ZIKV gRNA coincided with a decrease in sfRNA, although the abundance

of sfRNA was greater than the gRNA, likely because both translating and newly-replicated ZIKV

RNA were degraded by Xrn1 (Figure 3B).

We next examined the effect of depleting SG proteins on viral titers by plaque assay (Figure 3C).

While, depletion of TIA-1 and TIAR had no effect on the production of infectious virus, depletion

of Ataxin-2, G3BP1 and YB1 significantly reduced viral titers. Consistent with the increase in ZIKV

protein and RNA following depletion of HuR, we also observed a significant increase in viral titers

(Figure 3C).

To ensure the effects on ZIKV following siRNA depletion of the SG proteins were not a result of

a change in cell viability, we quantified ATP levels in metabolically active cells from Huh7 cells

transfected with the respective siRNAs. The cell viability assay showed no significant differences

in the metabolic activity in cells depleted of Ataxin-2, G3BP1, HuR, TIA-1, TIAR and YB1,

indicating that the changes in ZIKV protein, RNA, and viral titers were the consequence of

knockdown of the specific SG protein (Figure 3D).

Because siRNA-depletion of G3BP1 and HuR showed the most dramatic effect on ZIKV gene

expression, we chose to focus on these two SG proteins in subsequent experiments. To confirm

the effect of G3BP1 and HuR on ZIKV gene expression, we overexpressed G3BP1-Flag and

HuR-Flag in ZIKV-infected cells and examined viral RNA levels and viral titers (Figure 3E and

Figure 3F). Analysis of viral RNA abundance showed that overexpression of G3BP1 and HuR

significantly increased and decreased ZIKV RNA, respectively (Figure 3E). Consistent with the

effects on ZIKV RNA, we observed a corresponding increase and decrease in viral titers following

overexpression of G3BP1 and HuR (Figure 3F). Together these data indicate TIA-1, TIAR and

YB1 regulate translation of the ZIKV polyprotein, and have a role in replication of the viral genome

(Figure 3A and Figure 3B). The significant decrease in viral titer following depletion of YB1 further

suggest a role for this cellular RNA-binding protein in virus assembly (Figure 3C). Ataxin-2 and

G3BP1 are proviral cellular factors as depletion of Ataxin-2 and G3BP1 decreased ZIKV RNA

levels (Figure 3A and 3B), and overexpression of G3BP1 increased viral RNA (Figure 3E), which

in turn affected the production of infectious particles (Figure 3F). Finally, HuR exhibits antiviral

activity as depletion of this RNA-binding protein significantly increased ZIKV protein and RNA

levels and viral titers, and overexpression of HuR-Flag showed a reciprocal effect on ZIKV gene

expression (Figure 3).

Changing the abundance of G3BP1 and HuR affects ZIKV replication. Depletion of G3BP1

and HuR significantly decreased and increased ZIKV gene expression respectively (Figure 3),

suggesting a role for these SG proteins in ZIKV translation and/or replication. To decipher the

function of G3BP1 and HuR in ZIKV gene expression, we used the replication-competent (WT)

and -deficient (Pol-) *Gaussia* luciferase (GLuc) ZIKV reporter plasmid (pCDNA6.2 MR766 clGLuc

Intron3127 HDVr, Figure 4A). These pCDNA6.2 MR766 clGLuc Intron3127 HDVr constructs were

derived from the cDNA clone containing the full-length genome of the Uganda MR766 strain under

the control of the cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter (Figure 4A) (47). Specifically, the full-length

GLuc reporter gene, along with its signal sequence and the sequence for the foot-and-mouth

disease virus (FMDV) 2A peptide, was cloned as a translational fusion following the first 20 amino

acids of the capsid protein, and the full-length viral polyprotein was cloned downstream of GLuc.

To avoid aberrant genome cyclization during replication dues to duplicated 5' cyclization

elements, the flavivirus cyclization sequences in full length capsid coding region were disrupted

by silent mutagenesis. Following transfection of this reporter construct, transcription and 5'-end

capping of ZIKV genomic RNA are directed by the host, and the hepatitis D virus ribozyme (HDVr)

at the end of the 3' UTR cleaves the ZIKV genomic RNA to create an authentic 3' end (Figure 4A)

(47). Using GLuc expression as a proxy for viral RNA, translation of capped ZIKV RNA is detected

6 hours post-transfection. Translation of the ZIKV polyprotein, including the synthesis of NS5, the

RNA-dependent-RNA polymerase (RdRp), directs replication of the reporter genome such that

the increase in viral RNA as a result of replication is observed at 48- and 96-hours post-

transfection. We also expressed a ZIKV GLuc reporter genome that contained a mutation in the

RdRp active site (GDD-to-GNN; pCDNA6.2 MR766 clGLuc Intron3127 Pol(-) HDVr) which

rendered this mutant GLuc reporter genome replication-deficient (Pol-). Expression of the Pol(-)

reporter genome showed a clear difference between replicating WT genomes and ZIKV RNA

transcribed from the transfected plasmid at 48- and 96-hours post-transfection (Figures 4B-4E).

To elucidate effects on translation and replication we examined expression of the ZIKV GLuc

reporter genome following siRNA depletion or overexpression of G3BP1 and HuR (Figures 4B-

4E). RNAi depletion or overexpression of G3BP1 and HuR did not significantly affect GLuc

expression at 6- and 24-hours post-transfection of both the ZIKV WT and Pol(-) reporter genomes

(Figures 4B-4E), indicating that these two SG proteins do not affect translation of the ZIKV

genome (Figures 4B-4E). At 96 hours post-transfection of the WT reporter genome however,

siRNA depletion and overexpression of G3BP1 notably decreased and increased GLuc

expression respectively, showing that G3BP1 facilitates ZIKV replication (Figure 4B and Figure

4C). Curiously, overexpression of G3BP1 also increased GLuc expression from the ZIKV Pol(-)

reporter genome (Figure 4C). Since this ZIKV Pol(-) reporter genome is replication deficient, the

increased levels of host-transcribed ZIKV mRNA might be the result of G3BP1 binding (39) and

stabilizing the viral mRNA. In contrast to the effect of G3BP1, at 96 hours post-transfection

depletion of HuR dramatically increased GLuc expression (Figure 4D). Reciprocally,

overexpression of HuR decreased luciferase units compared to expression of the 3xFlag-BAP

control (Figure 4E), indicating that HuR negatively impacts the ZIKV replication. Taken together

these data support a role for G3BP1 and HuR in replication of the ZIKV genome.

G3BP1 and HuR localize with ZIKV replication complexes. To further demonstrate a role for

G3BP1 and HuR in ZIKV replication, we next examined the localization of these two SG proteins

at replication complexes (Figure 5). We first examined the localization of G3BP1 and HuR at

replication complexes by immunofluorescence analysis. In particular, we used antibodies to

dsRNA and ZIKV NS5 protein as markers for replication sites (Figure 5A and Figure 5B). While

dsRNA is a replication intermediate of negative-and positive-sense dsRNA, NS5 is critical for the

replication of the ZIKV RNA as it functions as the RdRp and the methyltransferase that caps the

5' end of the ZIKV genome (1). G3BP1 shows diffuse cytoplasmic staining in mock-infected cells,

however in ZIKV-infected cells we observed strong co-localization of G3BP1 with dsRNA (Figure

5A), and some co-localization with NS5 in the cytoplasm (Figure 5A). In mock-infected cells, HuR

was predominantly localized in the nucleus (Figure 5B). Consistent with the subcellular

fractionation data (Figure 2B and Figure 2C) we observed an increase in the localization of HuR

in the cytoplasm of ZIKV-infected cells (Figure 5B). Although HuR did not co-localize with dsRNA

in ZIKV-infected cells, we did note HuR staining adjacent to ZIKV replication sites (Figure 5B).

HuR showed similar localization with NS5 in the cytoplasm (Figure 5B). The localization of G3BP1

and HuR with respect to ZIKV replication sites support a role in the synthesis of viral RNA.

Similar to other immunofluorescence studies (49), we observed strong nuclear and weak cytoplasmic localization for the ZIKV NS5 protein (Figure 5A and Figure 5B). Such localization was consistent with the cytoplasmic/nuclear fractionation data (Figure 2B, Figure 2C and Figure 2D). Because both NS5 and HuR are largely nuclear, we anticipated that these proteins might co-localize. Although immunofluorescence analysis showed diffuse nuclear staining for both NS5 and HuR, HuR did not co-localize with NS5 foci in the nucleus (Figure 5B). Both HuR and flavivirus NS5 proteins have been shown to affect RNA splicing (51). While it is possible that HuR and NS5 together in the nucleus might modulate processing of cellular RNAs, further interaction studies would need to be undertaken to verify such a role.

To corroborate the immunofluorescence analyses and role for G3BP1 and HuR in ZIKV replication, we also isolated replication complexes from mock- and ZIKV-infected Huh7 cells by sucrose gradient ultracentrifugation, and then investigated the localization of select SG proteins with replication complexes. Western blot analysis showed the isolated fractions were derived from the endoplasmic reticulum as shown by calnexin immunostaining in both mock and ZIKV-infected fractions, and NS5 protein was only isolated in membrane fractions isolated from ZIKV-infected cells. G3BP1 and HuR were isolated with the replication complexes (Figure 5C). TIA-1, TIAR and YB1 have previously been shown to affect WNV replication (30, 36), we only observed a small amount of TIA-1 and YB1 in the enriched membranes (Figure 5C). While we noted an increase in the Ataxin-2 levels in ZIKV infected cells (Figure 2A), Ataxin-2 did not sediment with replication complexes (Figure 5C). That G3BP1 and HuR were isolated with replication complexes (Figure 5C) further indicates that G3BP1 and HuR function to modulate ZIKV replication (Figure 4).

G3BP1 co-localizes with ZIKV envelope protein. Because ZIKV replication and assembly occur in close proximity on cellular membranes, and G3BP1 was previously shown to interact with the capsid protein (39), we also investigated whether G3BP1 and HuR co-localized with ZIKV assembly sites. In particular, we examined the co-localization of both proteins with ZIKV envelope (E) protein by immunofluorescence analysis (Figure 6). Similar to the localization of HuR with dsRNA and ZIKV NS5 protein (Figure 5B) a small amount of HuR co-localized with ZIKV envelope protein (Figure 6A). Interestingly, G3BP1 showed co-localization with the envelope protein in cells infected with the Cambodia ZIKV strain (Figure 6A) and with the Puerto Rican (PRVABC59) and Ugandan (MR766) strains of ZIKV (Figure 6B). The co-localization of G3BP1 with the ZIKV

envelope protein together with the siRNA studies showing that depletion of G3BP1 modestly
decreased ZIKV RNA by ~40% (Figure 3B), while viral titers were dramatically reduced (Figure
3C), raise the possibility that G3BP1 might function more broadly than previously recognized.
Specifically, G3BP1 promotes replication of the ZIKV genome and maybe also the assembly of
new viral particles.

Discussion:
The formation and sequestration of components of the translation machinery in SGs function as
an intrinsic antiviral mechanism to restrict viral translation and/or replication. Flaviviruses have
been shown to limit stress granule assembly by affecting cellular pathways. Specifically WNV was
shown to modulate the cellular redox response by increasing the levels of the transcription factors
that activate antioxidant genes and increase reduced glutathione levels (32). DENV modulates
stress granule assembly by changing the phosphorylation of the cap-binding protein eIF4E by
altering p38-Mnk1 signaling to affect cellular translation (33). More recently, ZIKV was shown to
increase the rate of eIF2α dephosphorylation which would promote translation and the rapid
disassembly of stress granules (40). Interestingly, Hou *et al.*, also showed that specific viral
proteins impact cellular translation and the formation of stress granules (39). We similarly
observed fewer SGs in ZIKV-infected cells, and that the assembly of SGs following sodium
arsenite-treatment was inhibited during ZIKV infection (Figure 1). Proceeding depletion of TIAR,
G3BP1 and Caprin-1, Hou *et al.*, also found decreased ZIKV viral titers (39). In our study we
present a systematic investigation of the role of six different SG proteins on ZIKV protein and RNA
abundance, production of infectious particles, the co-localization with ZIKV and effects on
replication. Although G3BP1 from A549-infected cells co-immunopreciptated ZIKV RNA (39), we
specifically show that G3BP1 is in replication complexes (Figure 5) and promotes synthesis of
ZIKV RNA (Figure 4B and Figure 4C). Hou *et al.*, also demonstrated that G3BP1 interacted with
overexpressed Flag-tagged ZIKV capsid protein (39). We found that in ZIKV-infected cells G3BP1
co-localized with ZIKV envelope protein which raises the possibility that G3BP1 may facilitate
virion assembly in addition to its role in ZIKV replication (Figure 6). Excitingly, we show that HuR
functions to limit ZIKV replication, which to our knowledge has not previously been shown for this
cellular RNA binding protein. Our systematic analysis of SG proteins during ZIKV infection is
significant particularly as G3BP1, HuR and other SG proteins have an intimate role in
neurodevelopment and function. The modulation of SGs and SG proteins by ZIKV may have a
more significant impact on normal gene regulation that contributes to ZIKV pathogenesis.

632 Ataxin-2 is a cytosolic SG protein that is associated with the neurodegenerative disorder

633 spinocerebellar ataxia type-2 (SCA2) (52, 53). During ZIKV infection we observed an increase in

634 the abundance of Ataxin-2 (Figure 2A). Furthermore, RNAi studies showed that depletion of

635 Ataxin-2 did not affect ZIKV capsid levels (Figure 3A) but did decrease the amount of viral RNA

636 and viral titers (Figure 3B and Figure 3C). Ataxin-2 levels have been shown to affect the

637 abundance of the poly(A) binding protein (PABP) (53), where elevated levels of Ataxin-2

638 decreased the amount of PABP (53). Such changes in PABP would be expected to negatively

639 affect translation of cellular mRNAs and promote ZIKV gene expression. Moreover, Ataxin-2

640 interacts with the cellular RNA DEAD-box helicase DDX6 (53), which we have previously shown

641 to be required for ZIKV gene expression (54). Therefore, the impact on ZIKV RNA and production

642 of infectious particles (Figure 3B and Figure 3C) following RNAi depletion of Ataxin-2 might also

643 be the result of changes to DDX6. Analysis of SCA2 brains showed an increase in Ataxin-2 levels

644 and that Ataxin-2 localized in intranuclear inclusions in 1-2% of neurons (55, 56). Our data

645 showing that Ataxin-2 modulates ZIKV gene expression in the hepatocellular carcinoma cell line

646 Huh7 is exciting and additional studies in neuronal cells would illuminate the role of this protein in

647 cellular dysfunction and neurodegeneration following intrauterine ZIKV infection.

649 The RNA-binding proteins TIA-1 and TIAR are broadly expressed in cells and tissues (57). These

650 proteins are predominantly localized in the nucleus (Figure 1, and data not shown), have been

651 shown to modulate translation of specific cellular mRNAs (58) and are critical nucleators of stress

652 granules in the cytoplasm (27). During WNV and DENV infection TIA-1 and TIAR co-localize at

653 replication sites, and bind to the 3' stem loop region in the negative-strand of WNV RNA to

654 promote replication (30, 36, 59). We determined by western blot analysis that TIA-1, but not TIAR,

655 localized in isolated replication complexes (Figure 5C). Because TIA-1 and TIAR were previously

656 shown to promote WNV replication we also investigated the role of TIA-1 and TIAR during ZIKV

657 infection. Similar to Hou *et al.* (39), we found that decreasing the levels of TIA-1 had no effect on

658 viral titers (Figure 3C). In contrast to Hou *et al.* (39), we found that that depletion of TIAR did not

659 affect ZIKV titers (Figure 3C). Our RNAi studies also demonstrated that following the reduction of

660 TIA-1 and TIAR levels, the abundance of ZIKV capsid protein increased (Figure 3A), and

661 depletion of TIAR decreased ZIKV RNA levels (Figure 3A and Figure 3B), suggesting that, similar

662 to tick-borne encephalitis virus, TIA-1 and TIAR are re-localized to perinuclear regions of

663 replication to modulate translation of the ZIKV polyprotein (60). While the role of TIA-1 and TIAR

in our studies in Huh7 differs from the study by Hou and colleagues which were undertaken in

A549 cells (39), the impact of TIA-1 and TIAR might be better elucidated in neuronal cells where

a link between TIA-1, the microtubule protein Tau and the neurodegenerative tauopathies has

been described (61, 62).

Y box-binding protein-1 (YB1) modulates translation and stabilizes cellular mRNAs (58) and also

localizes in processing bodies and stress granules (63). YB1 has been shown to bind the DENV

3' UTR to decrease DENV translation (64). In our study, siRNA depletion of YB1 increased the

levels of ZIKV capsid protein (Figure 3A) indicating that YB1, similar to the role in DENV infection,

negatively regulates ZIKV translation. We also observed that YB1 is localized in replication

complexes (Figure 5C) and that depleting YB1 levels decreases ZIKV RNA levels (Figure 3B).

Notably however, the modest effects of YB1 reduction on ZIKV protein and RNA levels differ from

the significantly decreased viral titers (Figure 3C). YB1 was previously shown to interact with the

hepatitis C virus NS3/4A protein, and other cellular factors, to modulate the balance between

replication and the assembly of HCV infectious particles (65, 66), and additional studies with YB1

may reveal a similar function during ZIKV infection.

G3BP1, similar to TIA-1, is an important nucleator of SGs (26, 28, 67). Interestingly, the role of

G3BP1 varies in viral infections from limiting alphavirus replication (68–70) to interacting with the

HCV RdRp NS5B and enhancing the production of HCV infectious virus particles (43, 71, 72). In

DENV-infected cells, G3BP1 interacts with the sfRNA (37, 73), and sequestration of G3BP1 by

the sfRNA was shown to inhibit translation of interferon-stimulated genes (38). Hou *et al.*, showed

that siRNA depletion of G3BP1 decreased ZIKV titers and that G3BP1 also bound ZIKV RNA

(39). In addition to the dramatic reduction in ZIKV titers following depletion of G3BP1 (Figure 3C)

we also observed a decrease ZIKV protein and RNA levels (Figure 3A and Figure 3B). Together

these data suggested a role for G3BP1 in ZIKV translation, replication, and/or assembly. In our

study we also used the ZIKV MR766 GLuc reporter genome (Figure 4B and Figure 4C) and

showed a specific role in ZIKV replication, which was further supported by the localization of

G3BP1 with ZIKV replication complexes (Figure 5C) and co-localized with dsRNA (Figure 5A).

We also observed that ZIKV envelope protein (Figure 6) co-localized with G3BP1 suggesting that

in addition to facilitating replication of the ZIKV genome, G3BP1 may have a role in assembly of

new virus particles. Hou and colleagues report that G3BP1 binds ZIKV gRNA and that G3BP1

and Caprin-1 interact with the ZIKV capsid protein to increase ZIKV gene expression. While

further mechanistic studies are required to demonstrate a role for G3BP1 in ZIKV assembly, it is

possible that a multi-protein complex between ZIKV RNA, capsid protein, G3BP1 and Caprin-1

may shift the equilibrium from replication of the viral genome towards localization with the ZIKV

envelope protein and the assembly of infectious ZIKV particles.

Human antigen R (HuR) is a member of the ELAVL RNA-binding protein family and is ubiquitously

expressed (74). Notably, the three additional members of the ELAVL family (HuB/C/D) are highly

expressed in the brain (74). HuR binding to poly-U and AU-rich elements within the 3' UTR

increases mRNA stability (75, 76). During infection with the single-stranded positive-sense

alphaviruses Sindbis virus (SINV) and Chikungunya virus (CHIKV), HuR was dramatically re-

localized from the nucleus into the cytoplasm (77, 78). By subcellular fractionation and western

blot analysis we similarly observed that HuR is re-distributed into the cytoplasm (Figure 2B), albeit

not to the same extent as during alphavirus infection (77, 78). HuR is recruited to alphavirus RNA

via the U-rich elements within the 3' UTR and this interaction stabilizes and protects the viral RNA

from the cellular mRNA decay machinery (78). Although HuR has been shown to promote

alphavirus mRNA stability (77, 78) and HCV IRES-mediated translation (43, 79) our study

indicates an antiviral role for HuR during ZIKV infection (Figure 3), which to our knowledge has

not previously been shown. In particular we found that HuR modulates ZIKV replication (Figure

4D and Figure 4E) which resulted in increased ZIKV protein and RNA levels and viral titers (Figure

3). The role of HuR in ZIKV replication was further supported by the detection of HuR in isolated

replication complexes (Figure 5C). Curiously, immunofluorescence studies showed that HuR in

the cytoplasm was localized adjacent to dsRNA and ZIKV NS5 protein staining (Figure 5B). We

note that the localization of HuR in replication complexes when analyzed in isolated replication

complexes versus immunofluorescence analysis do not strongly correlate. However, it is

important to highlight that HuR is strongly localized in the nucleus (Figure 5B and 6A) and this

nuclear staining likely overshadows the re-localization of HuR into the cytoplasm and replication

complexes. Indeed, immunofluorescence analysis of ZIKV NS5 protein similarly showed strong

nuclear and weak cytoplasmic localization (Figure 5B), despite being the RdRp responsible for

replicating the ZIKV RNA genome. A role for HuR in ZIKV replication was further supported by

siRNA depletion of HuR and overexpression of HuR-Flag that increased and decreased

replication of the MR766 GLuc reporter expression respectively (Figure 4D and Figure 4E). The

mode by which HuR limits ZIKV replication remains to be elucidated. A bioinformatic analysis of

the ZIKV 3' UTRs identified putative HuR binding sites (data not shown), raising the possibility

that similar to SINV (78), HuR interacts with ZIKV RNA to alter the subcellular localization of HuR,

and directly affect ZIKV replication, possibly by destabilizing, rather than stabilizing, the viral RNA.

Alternatively, the consequence on ZIKV replication might be indirect where HuR re-localization

affects cellular RNA homeostasis or ribostasis. Indeed, re-localization of HuR by SINV into the

cytoplasm was shown to decrease cellular mRNA stability and alter mRNA splicing and nuclear

polyadenylation (80). Interestingly, another cell RNA-binding protein Fragile X mental retardation

factor (FMRP) was shown to bind ZIKV sfRNA and limit viral infection (81). It will be interesting to

determine the mechanism by which HuR functions during ZIKV, and whether this regulatory mode

is similar to FMRP. Such studies will provide new insights into viral-host interactions, particularly

for RNA-binding proteins that function as restriction factors.

Beyond aggregating stalled translation complexes, RNA binding proteins in stress granules also

localize in neuronal granules. These granules regulate neuronal growth and synaptic plasticity

(82, 83). Interestingly, a number of stress granule proteins such as Ataxin-2, G3BP1, TIA-1 and

HuR are known to contribute to different neuropathologies (41, 83). While transcriptional changes

have been reported to contribute to the neurological and developmental defects observed

following intrauterine ZIKV-infection (84–87), the role of stress granules and granule proteins

should not be overlooked. By limiting the formation of stress granules, and re-localizing and

subverting specific stress granule proteins, alterations in RNA homeostasis, such as changes in

RNA splicing, RNA stability and translation, could also contribute to ZIKV neuropathologies. To

this end, elucidating the interactions of stress granule proteins and the cellular consequences

particularly in neuronal cells could provide new insights into the pathomechanisms underlying

ZIKV congenital disease.

Acknowledgements:

We thank Dr. Brett Lindenbach (Yale School of Medicine) for ZIKV Cambodian-isolate (160310)

and Ugandan-isolate (MR766), and Dr. Laura Kramer (Wadsworth Center, NYSDOH) and the

CDC for the Puerto Rican-isolate (PRVABC59). We also thank members of the Pager lab,

Marlene Belfort, Gabriele Fuchs and Ing-Nang Wang for valuable comments and suggestions on

the manuscript.

Funding:

This work was supported by start-up funds from University at Albany-SUNY and New York State,

the University at Albany Presidential Initiatives Fund for Research and Scholarship (PIFRS) to

CTP; and NIH grants (R21 AI133617-01 and R01 GM123050) to CTP. MJE received funding from

the Burroughs Wellcome Fund Investigators in Pathogenesis of Infectious Disease Award and

NIH grants (R21 AI133649 and R21 AI140196).

 Figure Legends:

Figure 1. ZIKV restricts the assembly of cellular stress granules. Huh7 cells were either mock-infected or infected with the Cambodia ZIKV-strain (160310) at a MOI of 5. Twenty-four hours post-infection cells were mock-treated or incubated with 1 mM sodium arsenite for 30 minutes, fixed, permeabilized, and analyzed using a 63x oil immersion objective on a Zeiss LSM710 laser scanning confocal microscope. ZIKV-infected cells were detected with mouse-anti-dsRNA antibody (green). The distribution of dsRNA (green) and the stress granule (SG) marker TIA-1 (red) in mock- and ZIKV-infected cells A) not treated and B) treated with sodium arsenite. The white arrows highlight stress granules. Quantification of SGs containing Ataxin-2, G3BP1, eIF3B, HuR, TIA-1, TIAR and YB1 proteins in mock- and ZIKV (Cambodia-160310)-infected which were either C) not treated or D) treated with sodium arsenite. The number of cells containing G3BP1, eIF3, and TIA-1-containing SGs in mock-infected cells or cells infected with a Puerto Rican isolate of ZIKV at a MOI of 5 and either E) not treated or F) treated with sodium arsenite. G-H) SGs in mock-infected cells and cells infected with the original Ugandan isolate of ZIKV at a MOI of 5 were quantified. The number of cells containing G3BP1, eIF3, and TIA-1-SG was quantified from cells that were G) untreated or H) incubated with sodium arsenite. Note, in the original immunofluorescence images, TIA-1 was visualized using an anti-donkey Alexa Fluor® 647 (magenta) anti-goat IgG secondary antibody. In panels A) and B) TIA-1 has been pseudo-colored red. The immunofluorescence images are representative of at least three independent experiments. The errors bars shown on the SG quantification data (Panel 1C, 1D, 1E, 1F, 1G, and 1H) are of the mean ± SD. Significance was determined by two-tailed student T-test (*P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; and N.S. not significant).

Figure 2. ZIKV infection changes the nuclear and cytoplasmic distribution of stress granule proteins. A) Huh7 cells infected with the Cambodia strain of ZIKV at MOI of 1 or 5 were harvested at 1, 2, and 3 days post-infection. Detection of ZIKV capsid protein by western blot confirmed viral infection. The abundance and integrity of Ataxin-2, G3BP1, HuR, TIA-1 and TIAR proteins was also examined at each time point. The western blot shown is representative of at least three independent experiments. B) Western blot analysis of SG proteins in MR766 and PRVABC59-infected Huh7 cells 3 days post-infection. C) Cytoplasmic and nuclear distribution of Ataxin-2, G3BP1, HuR, TIA-1, TIAR and YB1. Huh7 cells were mock-infected or infected with the Cambodia strain of ZIKV at MOI of 5 and 1-day post-infection the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were

isolated. The distribution of ZIKV NS5, Ataxin-2, G3BP1, HuR, TIA-1, TIAR, YB1, GRP78 and

Fibrillarin was analyzed by western blot. The western blot shown is representative of the three

independent experiments performed. Quantification of the distribution of Ataxin-2, G3BP1, HuR,

TIA-1, TIAR and YB1 in the D) cytoplasmic and E) nuclear subcellular fractions. The abundance

of each SG protein in the cytoplasmic or nuclear fraction was standardized against GRP78 or

Fibrillarin, respectively. These values were then normalized against the abundance of the specific

SG protein in mock-infected cells, which was assigned the arbitrary unit (a.u.) of one (denoted by

dashed line on each graph). The data presented in panels D) and E) were calculated from three

independent infections, fractionations and immunoblots. F) Quantification of fluorescence

intensity signal of the localization of HuR in the nucleus. Fluorescence intensity was derived in

ImageJ using a freehand selection of the nucleus in mock- and ZIKV-infected cells. Values

obtained for HuR were divided by the nucleus signal (Hoechst) within mock- and ZIKV-infected

cells. Fluorescence intensity of the infected cells were standardized against mock-infected cells

and arbitrarily assigned a value of 1. The relative fluorescence intensity signal was calculated

from three independent experiments and nine cells were counted per experiment. Two-tailed

student T-tests were performed to calculate significance with *P<0.05, ***P<0.001, and N.S. not

significant.

Figure 3. Stress granule proteins promote and limit ZIKV gene expression. Ataxin-2, G3BP1,

HuR, TIA-1, TIAR and YB1 proteins were depleted in Huh7 cells using target-specific siRNAs,

and then infected 24 hours later at a MOI of 5 with the Cambodia strain of ZIKV (160310). A

siRNA targeting *Gaussia* luciferase (siGL2) was used as a control, non-targeting siRNA. Protein,

RNA and media were harvested 48 hours post-infection. A) Western blot shows expression of

ZIKV capsid, and depletion of each stress granule protein. Expression of GAPDH was used as a

loading control, and the western blot shown is representative of at least three independent

experiments. The northern blot shows the effect of depleting each stress granule protein on the

abundance of the ZIKV genomic (gZIKV) and subgenomic flaviviral (sfZIKV) RNA. Actin mRNA

levels were evaluated as a loading control. The northern blot shown is representative of at least

three independent experiments. B) Quantification of ZIKV gRNA and sfRNA. ZIKV RNA levels

were normalized to actin mRNA, and then represented relative to siGL2 control. C) Viral titers in

the extracellular media were determined by plaque assay and relative viral titers were calculated

by normalizing titers relative to siGL2 transfected cells. D) A representative assay showing the

effect of siRNA depletion of the six SG proteins on cell viability. E) Quantification of ZIKV RNA

levels following overexpression of G3BP1-Flag and HuR-Flag. Huh7 cells were transfected with

plasmids expressing 3xFlag-Bacterial Alkaline Phosphatase (BAP; control), G3BP1-Flag or HuR-

Flag, and then infected with ZIKV (Cambodia-160310) 24 hours post-infection. The effect of

overexpressing G3BP1-Flag and HuR-Flag on gZIKV and sfZIKV RNA was evaluated by northern

blot. F) Effect of overexpression of G3BP1-Flag and HuR-Flag on viral titers. Data presented in

Figure 3 are from at least three independent experiments. Error bars show mean $\pm$ SD, and

statistical significance was determined using a two-tailed student T-test (*P<0.05; **P<0.01;

***P<0.001; N.S. not significant).

Figure 4. G3BP1 and HuR modulate ZIKV replication. A) Schematic of the ZIKV MR766

Gaussia luciferase reporter genome within the pCDNA6.2 MR766 clGLuc Intron3127 HDVr

plasmid showing the 5' and 3' UTRs, the mature viral proteins within the single-open reading

frame, as well as the position of the *Gaussia* luciferase gene within the MR766 genome. Two

elements within the plasmid namely the CMV promoter and the HDVr, which creates an authentic

3' UTR in the genome, are also denoted. B & D) Huh7 cells were first transfected with either the

control or target-specific siRNAs, and then transfected with the same siRNAs and the pCDNA6.2

MR766 clGLuc Intron3127 HDVr WT replication-competent or Pol(-) replication-deficient

plasmids. GLuc activity in the media of transfected cells was assayed at 6, 24, 48, and 96 hours

post-transfection. C & E) Huh7 cells were transfected with p3xFlag-BAP, pG3BP1-Flag, pHuR-

Flag and WT and Pol(-) pCDNA6.2 MR766 clGLuc Intron3127 HDVr. At 6, 24, 48, and 96 hours

post-transfection media from the transfected cells was collected and GLuc activity measured. B)

Effect of G3BP1 knockdown on ZIKV-GLuc genome expression. C) Effect on ZIKV-GLuc reporter

genome expression following overexpression of 3xFlag-BAP (control) and G3BP1-Flag. D) Effect

of depleting HuR on ZIKV-GLuc genome expression. E) Effect of overexpressing 3xFlag-BAP and

HuR-Flag on ZIKV-GLuc genome expression. The data shown in Figure 4B-4E are from a single

experiment and are representative of at least three independent experiments. Error bars indicate

mean ± SD.

Figure 5. G3BP1 and HuR localize with ZIKV replication complexes. A-B) Huh7 cells were

either mock-infected or infected with the Cambodia ZIKV strain at a MOI of 5. One day post-

infection cells were fixed, permeabilized, and prepared for confocal imaging. Immunofluorescence

images are representative of at least three independent experiments. A) Immunofluorescence

image showing G3BP1 (red) localization in mock- infected cells and with viral replication sites as

visualized by the staining with antibodies to dsRNA and NS5 (green) in ZIKV-infected cells. B)

Localization of HuR (red) in mock-infected cells and with dsRNA and NS5 (green) in ZIKV-infected

cells. C) Western blot analysis of ZIKV NS5, Ataxin-2, G3BP1, HuR, TIA-1, TIAR, YB1, and

calnexin associated with replication complexes isolated from mock- and ZIKV-infected Huh7 cells.

The western blot is representative of three independent experiments.

Figure 6. G3BP1 co-localizes with ZIKV E protein. One day post-infection Huh7 cells infected

with ZIKV at a MOI of 5 were fixed, permeabilized, and prepared for confocal imaging. A)

Localization of ZIKV E protein with HuR and G3BP1 following infection with the Cambodia strain

of ZIKV. B) Localization of ZIKV E protein with G3BP1 following infection with the Puerto Rican

(PRVABC59) and Ugandan (MR766) strains of ZIKV, respectively. The immunofluorescence

images shown are representative of at least three independent experiments.

References:

1.   Lindenbach BD, Murray CL, Thiel H-J, Rice CM. 2013. FlaviviridaeFields Virology, 6th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, PA.

2.   Dick GWA. 1952. Zika Virus (I). Isolations and serological specificity. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 46:509–520.

3.   Faria NR, Azevedo R do S da S, Kraemer MUG, Souza R, Cunha MS, Hill SC, Thézé J, Bonsall MB, Bowden TA, Rissanen I, Rocco IM, Nogueira JS, Maeda AY, Vasami FG da S, Macedo FL de L, Suzuki A, Rodrigues SG, Cruz ACR, Nunes BT, Medeiros DB de A, Rodrigues DSG, Queiroz ALN, da Silva EVP, Henriques DF, da Rosa EST, de Oliveira CS, Martins LC, Vasconcelos HB, Casseb LMN, Simith D de B, Messina JP, Abade L, Lourenço J, Alcantara LCJ, de Lima MM, Giovanetti M, Hay SI, de Oliveira RS, Lemos P da S, de Oliveira LF, de Lima CPS, da Silva SP, de Vasconcelos JM, Franco L, Cardoso JF, Vianez-Júnior JL da SG, Mir D, Bello G, Delatorre E, Khan K, Creatore M, Coelho GE, de Oliveira WK, Tesh R, Pybus OG, Nunes MRT, Vasconcelos PFC. 2016. Zika virus in the Americas: Early epidemiological and genetic findings. Science (80-) 352:345–349.

4.   Melo AS de O, Aguiar RS, Amorim MMR, Arruda MB, Melo F de O, Ribeiro STC, Batista AGM, Ferreira T, dos Santos MP, Sampaio VV, Moura SRM, Rabello LP, Gonzaga CE, Malinger G, Ximenes R, de Oliveira-Szejnfeld PS, Tovar-Moll F, Chimelli L, Silveira PP, Delvechio R, Higa L, Campanati L, Nogueira RMR, Filippis AMB, Szejnfeld J, Voloch CM, Ferreira OC, Brindeiro RM, Tanuri A. 2016. Congenital Zika Virus Infection. JAMA Neurol 73:1407–1416.

5.   Martines RB, Bhatnagar J, de Oliveira Ramos AM, Davi HPF, Iglezias SDA, Kanamura CT, Keating MK, Hale G, Silva-Flannery L, Muehlenbachs A, Ritter J, Gary J, Rollin D, Goldsmith CS, Reagan-Steiner S, Ermias Y, Suzuki T, Luz KG, de Oliveira WK, Lanciotti R, Lambert A, Shieh WJ, Zaki SR. 2016. Pathology of congenital Zika syndrome in Brazil: a case series. Lancet 388:898–904.

6.   Miner JJ, Diamond MS. 2017. Zika Virus Pathogenesis and Tissue Tropism. Cell Host Microbe 21:134–142.

7.   Russo FB, Jungmann P, Beltrão-Braga PCB. 2017. Zika infection and the development of neurological defects. Cell Microbiol 19:e12744.

8.   Coyne CB, Lazear HM. 2016. Zika virus — reigniting the TORCH. Nat Rev Microbiol 14:707–715.

9.   Chan JFW, Choi GKY, Yip CCY, Cheng VCC, Yuen KY. 2016. Zika fever and congenital Zika syndrome: An unexpected emerging arboviral disease. J Infect 72:507–524.

10.   Cao-Lormeau V-M, Blake A, Mons S, Lastère S, Roche C, Vanhomwegen J, Dub T, Baudouin L, Teissier A, Larre P, Vial A-L, Decam C, Choumet V, Halstead SK, Willison HJ, Musset L, Manuguerra J-C, Despres P, Fournier E, Mallet H-P, Musso D, Fontanet A, Neil J, Ghawché F. 2016. Guillain-Barré Syndrome outbreak associated with Zika virus infection in French Polynesia: a case-control study. Lancet 387:1531–1539.

11.   da Silva IRF, Frontera JA, Bispo de Filippis AM, Nascimento OJM do, RIO-GBS-ZIKV Research Group. 2017. Neurologic Complications Associated With the Zika Virus in Brazilian Adults. JAMA Neurol 74:1190–1198.

12.   Parra B, Lizarazo J, Jiménez-Arango JA, Zea-Vera AF, González-Manrique G, Vargas J,

Angarita JA, Zuñiga G, Lopez-Gonzalez R, Beltran CL, Rizcala KH, Morales MT,
Pacheco O, Ospina ML, Kumar A, Cornblath DR, Muñoz LS, Osorio L, Barreras P, Pardo
CA. 2016. Guillain–Barré Syndrome Associated with Zika Virus Infection in Colombia. N
Engl J Med 375:1513–1523.

13.   Oehler E, Watrin L, Larre P, Leparc-Goffart I, Lastere S, Valour F, Baudouin L, Mallet H,
Musso D, Ghawche F. 2014. Zika virus infection complicated by Guillain-Barre syndrome-
-case report, French Polynesia, December 2013. Euro Surveill 19:pii=20720.

14.   Musso D, Roche C, Robin E, Nhan T, Teissier A, Cao-Lormeau VM. 2015. Potential
sexual transmission of zika virus. Emerg Infect Dis 21:359–361.

15.   Besnard M, Lastere S, Teissier A, Cao-Lormeau VM, Musso D, Lastère S, Teissier A,
Cao-Lormeau VM, Musso D. 2014. Evidence of perinatal transmission of zika virus,
French Polynesia, December 2013 and February 2014. Eurosurveillance 19:pii=20751.

16.   D'Ortenzio E, Matheron S, de Lamballerie X, Hubert B, Piorkowski G, Maquart M,
Descamps D, Damond F, Yazdanpanah Y, Leparc-Goffart I. 2016. Evidence of Sexual
Transmission of Zika Virus. N Engl J Med 374:2195–2198.

17.   Mansuy JM, Dutertre M, Mengelle C, Fourcade C, Marchou B, Delobel P, Izopet J,
Martin-Blondel G. 2016. Zika virus: High infectious viral load in semen, a new sexually
transmitted pathogen? Lancet Infect Dis.

18.   Edgil D, Polacek C, Harris E. 2006. Dengue virus utilizes a novel strategy for translation
initiation when cap-dependent translation is inhibited. J Virol 80:2976–2986.

19.   Levin DH, Petryshyn R, London IM. 1980. Characterization of double-stranded-RNA-
activated kinase that phosphorylates subunit of eukaryotic initiation factor 2 (eIF-2) in
reticulocyte lysates. Proc Natl Acad Sci 77:832–836.

20.   Harding HP, Zhang Y, Ron D. 1999. Protein translation and folding are coupled by an
endoplasmic-reticulum-resident kinase. Nature 397:271–274.

21.   Dever TE, Feng L, Wek RC, Cigan AM, Donahue TF, Hinnebusch AG. 1992.
Phosphorylation of initiation factor 2α by protein kinase GCN2 mediates gene-specific
translational control of GCN4 in yeast. Cell 68:585–596.

22.   Chen JJ, Throop MS, Gehrke L, Kuo I, Pal JK, Brodsky M, London IM. 1991. Cloning of
the cDNA of the heme-regulated eukaryotic initiation factor 2 alpha (eIF-2 alpha) kinase
of rabbit reticulocytes: homology to yeast GCN2 protein kinase and human double-
stranded-RNA-dependent eIF-2 alpha kinase. Proc Natl Acad Sci 88:7729–7733.

23.   Protter DSW, Parker R. 2016. Principles and Properties of Stress Granules. Trends Cell
Biol 26:668–679.

24.   Markmiller S, Soltanieh S, Server KL, Mak R, Jin W, Fang MY, Luo EC, Krach F, Yang D,
Sen A, Fulzele A, Wozniak JM, Gonzalez DJ, Kankel MW, Gao FB, Bennett EJ, Lécuyer
E, Yeo GW. 2018. Context-Dependent and Disease-Specific Diversity in Protein
Interactions within Stress Granules. Cell 172:590–604.e13.

25.   Jain S, Wheeler JRR, Walters RWW, Agrawal A, Barsic A, Parker R. 2016. ATPase-
Modulated Stress Granules Contain a Diverse Proteome and Substructure. Cell 164:487–
498.

26.   Tourrière H, Chebli K, Zekri L, Courselaud B, Blanchard JM, Bertrand E, Tazi J. 2003.

The RasGAP-associated endoribonuclease G3BP assembles stress granules. J Cell Biol
160:823–831.

27.   Gilks N, Kedersha N, Ayodele M, Shen L, Stoecklin G, Dember LM, Anderson P. 2004.
Stress Granule Assembly Is Mediated by Prion-like Aggregation of TIA-1. Mol Biol Cell
15:5383–5398.

28.   Kedersha N, Panas MD, Achorn CA, Lyons S, Tisdale S, Hickman T, Thomas M,
Lieberman J, McInerney GM, Ivanov P, Anderson P. 2016. G3BP-Caprin1-USP10
complexes mediate stress granule condensation and associate with 40S subunits. J Cell
Biol 212:845–860.

29.   Tsai W-C, Gayatri S, Reineke LC, Sbardella G, Bedford MT, Lloyd RE. 2016. Arginine
Demethylation of G3BP1 Promotes Stress Granule Assembly. J Biol Chem 291:22671–
22685.

30.   Emara MM, Brinton MA. 2007. Interaction of TIA-1/TIAR with West Nile and dengue virus
products in infected cells interferes with stress granule formation and processing body
assembly. Proc Natl Acad Sci 104:9041–9046.

31.   Katoh H, Okamoto T, Fukuhara T, Kambara H, Morita E, Mori Y, Kamitani W, Matsuura
Y. 2013. Japanese Encephalitis Virus Core Protein Inhibits Stress Granule Formation
through an Interaction with Caprin-1 and Facilitates Viral Propagation. J Virol 87:489–
502.

32.   Basu M, Courtney SC, Brinton MA. 2017. Arsenite-induced stress granule formation is
inhibited by elevated levels of reduced glutathione in West Nile virus-infected cells. PLoS
Pathog 13:e1006240.

33.   Roth H, Magg V, Uch F, Mutz P, Klein P, Haneke K, Lohmann V, Bartenschlager R,
Fackler OT, Locker N, Stoecklin G, Ruggieri A. 2017. Flavivirus infection uncouples
translation suppression from cellular stress responses. MBio 8:e02150-16.

34.   Elbahesh H, Scherbik SV, Brinton MA. 2011. West Nile virus infection does not induce
PKR activation in rodent cells. Virology 421:51–60.

35.   Courtney SC, Scherbik S V., Stockman BM, Brinton M a. 2012. West Nile Virus Infections
Suppress Early Viral RNA Synthesis and Avoid Inducing the Cell Stress Granule
Response. J Virol 86:3647–3657.

36.   Li W, Li Y, Kedersha N, Anderson P, Emara M, Swiderek KM, Moreno GT, Brinton MA.
2002. Cell proteins TIA-1 and TIAR interact with the 3' stem-loop of the West Nile virus
complementary minus-strand RNA and facilitate virus replication. J Virol 76:11989–2000.

37.   Ward AM, Bidet K, Yinglin A, Ler SG, Hogue K, Blackstock W, Gunaratne J, Garcia-
Blanco MA. 2011. Quantitative mass spectrometry of DENV-2 RNA-interacting proteins
reveals that the DEAD-box RNA helicase DDX6 binds the DB1 and DB2 3' UTR
structures. RNA Biol 8:1173–86.

38.   Bidet K, Dadlani D, Garcia-Blanco MA. 2014. G3BP1, G3BP2 and CAPRIN1 Are
Required for Translation of Interferon Stimulated mRNAs and Are Targeted by a Dengue
Virus Non-coding RNA. PLoS Pathog 10:e1004242.

39.   Hou S, Kumar A, Xu Z, Airo AM, Stryapunina I, Wong CP, Branton W, Tchesnokov E,
Götte M, Power C, Hobman TC. 2017. Zika Virus Hijacks Stress Granule Proteins and
Modulates the Host Stress Response. J Virol 91:e00474-17.

40. Amorim R, Temzi A, Griffin BD, Mouland AJ. 2017. Zika virus inhibits eIF2α-dependent
stress granule assembly. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 11:e0005775.

41. Ash PEA, Vanderweyde TE, Youmans KL, Apicco DJ, Wolozin B. 2014. Pathological
stress granules in Alzheimer's disease. Brain Res 1584:52–58.

42. Wang M-D, Gomes J, Cashman NR, Little J, Krewski D. 2014. Intermediate CAG repeat
expansion in the ATXN2 gene is a unique genetic risk factor for ALS--a systematic review
and meta-analysis of observational studies. PLoS One 9:e105534.

43. Pager CT, Schütz S, Abraham TM, Luo G, Sarnow P. 2013. Modulation of hepatitis C
virus RNA abundance and virus release by dispersion of processing bodies and
enrichment of stress granules. Virology 435:472–484.

44. Chen T-C, Hsieh C-H, Sarnow P. 2015. Supporting Role for GTPase Rab27a in Hepatitis
C Virus RNA Replication through a Novel miR-122-Mediated Effect. PLoS Pathog
11:e1005116.

45. Schlegel A, Giddings TH, Ladinsky MS, Kirkegaard K. 1996. Cellular origin and
ultrastructure of membranes induced during poliovirus infection. J Virol 70:6576–6588.

46. Shan C, Xie X, Muruato AE, Rossi SL, Roundy CM, Azar SR, Yang Y, Tesh RB, Bourne
N, Barrett AD, Vasilakis N, Weaver SC, Shi PY. 2016. An Infectious cDNA Clone of Zika
Virus to Study Viral Virulence, Mosquito Transmission, and Antiviral Inhibitors. Cell Host
Microbe 19:891–900.

47. Schwarz MC, Sourisseau M, Espino MM, Gray ES, Chambers MT, Tortorella D, Evans
MJ. 2016. Rescue of the 1947 Zika Virus Prototype Strain with a Cytomegalovirus
Promoter-Driven cDNA Clone. mSphere 1:e00246-16.

48. White JP, Cardenas AM, Marissen WE, Lloyd RE. 2007. Inhibition of Cytoplasmic mRNA
Stress Granule Formation by a Viral Proteinase. Cell Host Microbe 2:295–305.

49. Grant A, Ponia SS, Tripathi S, Balasubramaniam V, Miorin L, Sourisseau M, Schwarz
MC, S??nchez-Seco MP, Evans MJ, Best SM, Garc??a-Sastre A. 2016. Zika Virus
Targets Human STAT2 to Inhibit Type i Interferon Signaling. Cell Host Microbe 19.

50. Donald CL, Brennan B, Cumberworth SL, Rezelj V V., Clark JJ, Cordeiro MT, Freitas de
Oliveira França R, Pena LJ, Wilkie GS, Da Silva Filipe A, Davis C, Hughes J, Varjak M,
Selinger M, Zuvanov L, Owsianka AM, Patel AH, McLauchlan J, Lindenbach BD, Fall G,
Sall AA, Biek R, Rehwinkel J, Schnettler E, Kohl A. 2016. Full Genome Sequence and
sfRNA Interferon Antagonist Activity of Zika Virus from Recife, Brazil. PLoS Negl Trop Dis
10:e0005048.

51. De Maio FA, Risso G, Iglesias NG, Shah P, Pozzi B, Gebhard LG, Mammi P, Mancini E,
Yanovsky MJ, Andino R, Krogan N, Srebrow A, Gamarnik A V. 2016. The Dengue Virus
NS5 Protein Intrudes in the Cellular Spliceosome and Modulates Splicing. PLoS Pathog
12:e1005841.

52. Velázquez-Pérez LC, Rodríguez-Labrada R, Fernandez-Ruiz J. 2017. Spinocerebellar
ataxia type 2: Clinicogenetic aspects, mechanistic insights, and management
approaches. Front Neurol.

53. Nonhoff U, Ralser M, Welzel F, Piccini I, Balzereit D, Yaspo M-L, Lehrach H, Krobitsch S.
2007. Ataxin-2 Interacts with the DEAD/H-Box RNA Helicase DDX6 and Interferes with P-
Bodies and Stress Granules. Mol Biol Cell 18:1385–1396.

54. McIntyre W, Netzband R, Bonenfant G, Biegel JM, Miller C, Fuchs G, Henderson E, Arra M, Canki M, Fabris D, Pager CT. 2018. Positive-sense RNA viruses reveal the complexity and dynamics of the cellular and viral epitranscriptomes during infection. Nucleic Acids Res 46:5776–5791.

55. Huynh DP, Del Bigio MR, Ho DH, Pulst SM. 1999. Expression of ataxin-2 in brains from normal individuals and patients with Alzheimer's disease and spinocerebellar ataxia 2. Ann Neurol 45:232–241.

56. Koyano S, Uchihara T, Fujigasaki H, Nakamura A, Yagishita S, Iwabuchi K. 1999. Neuronal intranuclear inclusions in spinocerebellar ataxia type 2: Triple-labeling immunofluorescent study. Neurosci Lett.

57. Beck ARP, Medley QG, O'Brien S, Anderson P, Streuli M. 1996. Structure, tissue distribution and genomic organization of the murine RRM-type RNA binding proteins TIA-1 and TIAR. Nucleic Acids Res 24:3829–3835.

58. Damgaard CK, Lykke-Andersen J. 2011. Translational coregulation of 5'TOP mRNAs by TIA-1 and TIAR. Genes Dev 25:2057–2068.

59. Emara MM, Liu H, Davis WG, Brinton M a. 2008. Mutation of mapped TIA-1/TIAR binding sites in the 3' terminal stem-loop of West Nile virus minus-strand RNA in an infectious clone negatively affects genomic RNA amplification. J Virol 82:10657–10670.

60. Albornoz A, Carletti T, Corazza G, Marcello A. 2014. The Stress Granule Component TIA-1 Binds Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus RNA and Is Recruited to Perinuclear Sites of Viral Replication To Inhibit Viral Translation. J Virol 88:6611–6622.

61. Apicco DJ, Ash PEA, Maziuk B, LeBlang C, Medalla M, Al Abdullatif A, Ferragud A, Botelho E, Ballance HI, Dhawan U, Boudeau S, Cruz AL, Kashy D, Wong A, Goldberg LR, Yazdani N, Zhang C, Ung CY, Tripodis Y, Kanaan NM, Ikezu T, Cottone P, Leszyk J, Li H, Luebke J, Bryant CD, Wolozin B. 2018. Reducing the RNA binding protein TIA1 protects against tau-mediated neurodegeneration in vivo. Nat Neurosci 21:72–80.

62. Vanderweyde T, Apicco DJJ, Youmans-Kidder K, Ash PEA, Cook C, Lummertz da Rocha E, Jansen-West K, Frame AAA, Citro A, Leszyk JDD, Ivanov P, Abisambra JFF, Steffen M, Li H, Petrucelli L, Wolozin B. 2016. Interaction of tau with the RNA-Binding Protein TIA1 Regulates tau Pathophysiology and Toxicity. Cell Rep 15:1455–1466.

63. Anderson P, Kedersha N. 2008. Stress granules: the Tao of RNA triage. Trends Biochem Sci 33:141–150.

64. Paranjape SM, Harris E. 2007. Y box-binding protein-1 binds to the dengue virus 3'-untranslated region and mediates antiviral effects. J Biol Chem 282:30497–30508.

65. Chatel-Chaix L, Melancon P, Racine M-E, Baril M, Lamarre D. 2011. Y-Box-Binding Protein 1 Interacts with Hepatitis C Virus NS3/4A and Influences the Equilibrium between Viral RNA Replication and Infectious Particle Production. J Virol 85:11022–11037.

66. Chatel-Chaix L, Germain M-A, Motorina A, Bonneil E, Thibault P, Baril M, Lamarre D. 2013. A Host YB-1 Ribonucleoprotein Complex Is Hijacked by Hepatitis C Virus for the Control of NS3-Dependent Particle Production. J Virol 87:11704–11720.

67. Aulas A, Caron G, Gkogkas CG, Mohamed N-V, Destroismaisons L, Sonenberg N, Leclerc N, Parker JA, Vande Velde C. 2015. G3BP1 promotes stress-induced RNA granule interactions to preserve polyadenylated mRNA. J Cell Biol 209:73–84.

68. Cristea IM, Rozjabek H, Molloy KR, Karki S, White LL, Rice CM, Rout MP, Chait BT,
MacDonald MR. 2010. Host factors associated with the Sindbis virus RNA-dependent
RNA polymerase: role for G3BP1 and G3BP2 in virus replication. J Virol 84:6720–6732.

69. Scholte FEM, Tas A, Albulescu IC, Žusinaite E, Merits A, Snijder EJ, van Hemert MJ.
2015. Stress Granule Components G3BP1 and G3BP2 Play a Proviral Role Early in
Chikungunya Virus Replication. J Virol 89:4457–4469.

70. Fros JJ, Domeradzka NE, Baggen J, Geertsema C, Flipse J, Vlak JM, Pijlman GP. 2012.
Chikungunya Virus nsP3 Blocks Stress Granule Assembly by Recruitment of G3BP into
Cytoplasmic Foci. J Virol 86:10873–10879.

71. Garaigorta U, Heim MH, Boyd B, Wieland S, Chisari F V. 2012. Hepatitis C Virus (HCV)
Induces Formation of Stress Granules Whose Proteins Regulate HCV RNA Replication
and Virus Assembly and Egress. J Virol 86:11043–11056.

72. Yi Z, Pan T, Wu X, Song W, Wang S, Xu Y, Rice CM, Macdonald MR, Yuan Z. 2011.
Hepatitis C virus co-opts Ras-GTPase-activating protein-binding protein 1 for its genome
replication. J Virol 85:6996–7004.

73. Liao K-C, Chuo V, Ng WC, Neo SP, Pompon J, Gunaratne J, Ooi EE, Garcia-Blanco MA.
2018. Identification and characterization of host proteins bound to dengue virus 3′ UTR
reveal an antiviral role for quaking proteins. RNA 24:803–814.

74. Hinman MN, Lou H. 2008. Diverse molecular functions of Hu proteins. Cell Mol Life Sci.

75. Ma WJ, Cheng S, Campbell C, Wright A, Furneaux H. 1996. Cloning and characterization
of HuR, a ubiquitously expressed Elav-like protein. J Biol Chem 271:8144–8151.

76. Tran H, Maurer F, Nagamine Y. 2003. Stabilization of urokinase and urokinase receptor
mRNAs by HuR is linked to its cytoplasmic accumulation induced by activated mitogen-
activated protein kinase-activated protein kinase 2. Mol Cell Biol 23:7177–7188.

77. Dickson AM, Anderson JR, Barnhart MD, Sokoloski KJ, Oko L, Opyrchal M, Galanis E,
Wilusz CJ, Morrison TE, Wilusz J. 2012. Dephosphorylation of HuR Protein during
Alphavirus Infection Is Associated with HuR Relocalization to the Cytoplasm. J Biol Chem
287:36229–36238.

78. Sokoloski KJ, Dickson AM, Chaskey EL, Garneau NL, Wilusz CJ, Wilusz J. 2010. Sindbis
virus usurps the cellular HuR protein to stabilize its transcripts and promote productive
infections in mammalian and mosquito cells. Cell Host Microbe 8:196–207.

79. Rivas-Aravena A, Ramdohr P, Vallejos M, Valiente-Echeverría F, Dormoy-Raclet V,
Rodríguez F, Pino K, Holzmann C, Huidobro-Toro JP, Gallouzi IE, López-Lastra M. 2009.
The Elav-like protein HuR exerts translational control of viral internal ribosome entry sites.
Virology 392:178–185.

80. Barnhart MD, Moon SL, Emch AW, Wilusz CJ, Wilusz J. 2013. Changes in Cellular
mRNA Stability, Splicing, and Polyadenylation through HuR Protein Sequestration by a
Cytoplasmic RNA Virus. Cell Rep 5:909–917.

81. Soto-Acosta R, Xie X, Shan C, Baker CK, Shi P-Y, Rossi SL, Garcia-Blanco MA, Bradrick
S. 2018. Fragile X mental retardation protein is a Zika virus restriction factor that is
antagonized by subgenomic flaviviral RNA. Elife 7.

82. Maziuk B, Ballance HI, Wolozin B. 2017. Dysregulation of RNA Binding Protein

Aggregation in Neurodegenerative Disorders. Front Mol Neurosci 10:89.

83.   Wolozin B. 2012. Regulated protein aggregation: stress granules and neurodegeneration.
1140   Mol Neurodegener 7:56.

1141   84.   Tang H, Hammack C, Ogden SC, Wen Z, Qian X, Li Y, Yao B, Shin J, Zhang F, Lee EM,
1142   Christian KM, Didier RA, Jin P, Song H, Ming GL. 2016. Zika virus infects human cortical
1143   neural progenitors and attenuates their growth. Cell Stem Cell 18:587–590.

1144   85.   Qian X, Nguyen HN, Song MM, Hadiono C, Ogden SC, Hammack C, Yao B, Hamersky
1145   GR, Jacob F, Zhong C, Yoon KJ, Jeang W, Lin L, Li Y, Thakor J, Berg DA, Zhang C,
1146   Kang E, Chickering M, Nauen D, Ho CY, Wen Z, Christian KM, Shi PY, Maher BJ, Wu H,
1147   Jin P, Tang H, Song H, Ming GL. 2016. Brain-Region-Specific Organoids Using Mini-
1148   bioreactors for Modeling ZIKV Exposure. Cell 165:1238–1254.

1149   86.   Simonin Y, Loustalot F, Desmetz C, Foulongne V, Constant O, Fournier-Wirth C, Leon F,
1150   Molès J-PP, Goubaud A, Lemaitre J-MM, Maquart M, Leparc-Goffart I, Briant L, Nagot N,
1151   Van de Perre P, Salinas S. 2016. Zika Virus Strains Potentially Display Different
1152   Infectious Profiles in Human Neural Cells. EBioMedicine 12:161–169.

1153   87.   Zhang F, Hammack C, Ogden SC, Cheng Y, Lee EM, Wen Z, Qian X, Nguyen HN, Li Y,
1154   Yao B, Xu M, Xu T, Chen L, Wang Z, Feng H, Huang WK, Yoon KJ, Shan C, Huang L,
1155   Qin Z, Christian KM, Shi PY, Xu M, Xia M, Zheng W, Wu H, Song H, Tang H, Ming GL,
1156   Jin P. 2016. Molecular signatures associated with ZIKV exposure in human cortical
1157   neural progenitors. Nucleic Acids Res 44:8610–8620.

1158

1159

Figure 1. ZIKV restricts the assembly of cellular stress granules. Huh7 cells were either mock-infected or infected with the Cambodia ZIKV-strain (160310) at a MOI of 5. Twenty-four hours post-infection cells were mock-treated or incubated with 5 mM sodium arsenite for 30 minutes, fixed, permeabilized, and analyzed using a 63x oil immersion objective on a Zeiss LSM710 laser scanning confocal microscope. ZIKV-infected cells were detected with mouse-anti-dsRNA antibody (green). The distribution of dsRNA (green) and the stress granule (SG) marker TIA-1 (red) in mock- and ZIKV-infected cells A) not treated and B) treated with sodium arsenite. The white arrows highlight stress granules. Quantification of SGs containing Ataxin-2, G3BP1, eIF3B, HuR, TIA-1, TIAR and YB1 proteins in mock- and ZIKV (Cambodia-

160310)-infected which were either C) not treated or D) treated with sodium arsenite. The number of cells
containing G3BP1, eIF3, and TIA-1-containing SGs in mock-infected cells or cells infected with a Puerto
Rican isolate of ZIKV at a MOI of 5 and either E) not treated or F) treated with sodium arsenite. G-H) SGs
in mock-infected cells and cells infected with the original Ugandan isolate of ZIKV at a MOI of 5 were
quantified. The number of cells containing G3BP1, eIF3, and TIA-1-SG was quantified from cells that were
G) untreated or H) incubated with sodium arsenite. Note, in the original immunofluorescence images, TIA-
1 was visualized using an anti-donkey Alexa Fluor® 647 (magenta) anti-goat IgG secondary antibody. In
panels A) and B) TIA-1 has been pseudo-colored red. The immunofluorescence images are representative
of at least three independent experiments. The errors bars shown on the SG quantification data (Panel 1C,
1D, 1E, 1F, 1G, and 1H) are of the mean ± SD. Significance was determined by two-tailed student T-test
(*P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; and N.S. not significant).
1181

1182
1183
Figure 2. ZIKV infection changes the nuclear and cytoplasmic distribution of stress granule
proteins. A) Huh7 cells infected with the Cambodia strain of ZIKV at MOI of 1 or 5 were harvested at
1, 2, and 3 days post-infection. Detection of ZIKV capsid protein by western blot confirmed viral
infection. The abundance and integrity of Ataxin-2, G3BP1, HuR, TIA-1 and TIAR proteins was also
examined at each time point. The western blot shown is representative of at least three independent
experiments. B) Western blot analysis of SG proteins in MR766 and PRVABC59-infected Huh7 cells
3 days post-infection. C) Cytoplasmic and nuclear distribution of Ataxin-2, G3BP1, HuR, TIA-1, TIAR
and YB1. Huh7 cells were mock-infected or infected with the Cambodia strain of ZIKV at MOI of 5 and
1-day post-infection the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions were isolated. The distribution of ZIKV NS5,
Ataxin-2, G3BP1, HuR, TIA-1, TIAR, YB1, GRP78 and Fibrillarin was analyzed by western blot. The
western blot shown is representative of the three independent experiments performed. Quantification
of the distribution of Ataxin-2, G3BP1, HuR, TIA-1, TIAR and YB1 in the D) cytoplasmic and E) nuclear
subcellular fractions. The abundance of each SG protein in the cytoplasmic or nuclear fraction was
standardized against GRP78 or Fibrillarin, respectively. These values were then normalized against
the abundance of the specific SG protein in mock-infected cells, which was assigned the arbitrary unit
(a.u.) of one (denoted by dashed line on each graph). The data presented in panels D) and E) were
calculated from three independent infections, fractionations and immunoblots. F) Quantification of
fluorescence intensity signal of the localization of HuR in the nucleus. Fluorescence intensity was
derived in ImageJ using a freehand selection of the nucleus in mock- and ZIKV-infected cells. Values
obtained for HuR were divided by the nucleus signal (Hoechst) within mock- and ZIKV-infected cells.
Fluorescence intensity of the infected cells were standardized against mock-infected cells and

arbitrarily assigned a value of 1. The relative fluorescence intensity signal was calculated from three
independent experiments and nine cells were counted per experiment. Two-tailed student T-tests were
performed to calculate significance with *P<0.05, ***P<0.001, and N.S. not significant.
1208

Figure 3. Stress granule proteins promote and limit ZIKV gene expression. Ataxin-2, G3BP1, HuR, TIA-1, TIAR and YB1 proteins were depleted in Huh7 cells using target-specific siRNAs, and then infected 24 hours later at a MOI of 5 with the Cambodia strain of ZIKV (160310). A siRNA targeting *Gaussia* luciferase (siGL2) was used as a control, non-targeting siRNA. Protein, RNA and media were harvested 48 hours post-infection. A) Western blot shows expression of ZIKV capsid, and depletion of each stress granule protein. Expression of GAPDH was used as a loading control, and the western blot shown is representative of at least three independent experiments. The northern blot shows the effect of depleting each stress granule protein on the abundance of the ZIKV genomic (gZIKV) and subgenomic flaviviral (sfZIKV) RNA. Actin mRNA levels were evaluated as a loading control. The northern blot shown is representative of at least three independent experiments. B) Quantification of ZIKV gRNA and sfRNA. ZIKV RNA levels were normalized to actin mRNA, and then represented relative to siGL2 control. C) Viral titers in the extracellular media were determined by plaque assay and relative viral titers were calculated by normalizing titers relative to siGL2 transfected cells. D) A representative assay showing the effect of siRNA depletion of the six SG proteins on cell viability. E) Quantification of ZIKV RNA levels following overexpression of G3BP1-Flag and HuR-Flag. Huh7 cells were transfected with plasmids expressing 3xFlag-Bacterial Alkaline Phosphatase (BAP; control), G3BP1-Flag or HuR-Flag, and then infected with ZIKV (Cambodia-160310) 24 hours post-infection. The effect of overexpressing G3BP1-Flag and HuR-Flag on gZIKV and sfZIKV RNA was evaluated by northern blot. F) Effect of overexpression of G3BP1-Flag and HuR-Flag on viral titers. Data presented in Figure 3 are from at least three independent experiments. Error bars show mean ± SD, and statistical significance was determined using a two-tailed student T-test (*P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; N.S. not significant).

1233
1234
Figure 4. G3BP1 and HuR modulate ZIKV replication. A) Schematic of the ZIKV MR766 *Gaussia*
luciferase reporter genome within the pCDNA6.2 MR766 clGLuc Intron3127 HDVr plasmid showing the 5'
and 3' UTRs, the mature viral proteins within the single-open reading frame, as well as the position of the
Gaussia luciferase gene within the MR766 genome. The position of the FMDV 2A peptide within the ZIKV
open reading frame, and the two elements within the plasmid namely the CMV promoter and the HDVr,
which creates an authentic 3' UTR in the genome, are also denoted. B & D) Huh7 cells were first transfected
with either the control or target-specific siRNAs, and then transfected with the same siRNAs and the
pCDNA6.2 MR766 clGLuc Intron3127 HDVr WT replication-competent or Pol(-) replication-deficient
plasmids. GLuc activity in the media of transfected cells was assayed at 6, 24, 48, and 96 hours post-
transfection. C & E) Huh7 cells were transfected with p3xFlag-BAP, pG3BP1-Flag, pHuR-Flag and WT and
Pol(-) pCDNA6.2 MR766 clGLuc Intron3127 HDVr. At 6, 24, 48, and 96 hours post-transfection media from
the transfected cells was collected and GLuc activity measured. B) Effect of G3BP1 knockdown on ZIKV-
GLuc genome expression. C) Effect on ZIKV-GLuc reporter genome expression following overexpression
of 3xFlag-BAP (control) and G3BP1-Flag. D) Effect of depleting HuR on ZIKV-GLuc genome expression.
E) Effect of overexpressing 3xFlag-BAP and HuR-Flag on ZIKV-GLuc genome expression. The data shown
in Figure 4B-4E are from a single experiment and are representative of at least three independent
experiments. Error bars indicate mean ± SD.
1252

1253

Figure 5. G3BP1 and HuR localize with ZIKV replication complexes. A-B) Huh7 cells were either mock-
infected or infected with the Cambodia ZIKV strain at a MOI of 5. One day post-infection cells were fixed,
permeabilized, and prepared for confocal imaging. Immunofluorescence images are representative of at
least three independent experiments. A) Immunofluorescence image showing G3BP1 (red) localization in
mock- infected cells and with viral replication sites as visualized by the staining with antibodies to dsRNA
and NS5 (green) in ZIKV-infected cells. B) Localization of HuR (red) in mock-infected cells and with dsRNA
and NS5 (green) in ZIKV-infected cells. C) Western blot analysis of ZIKV NS5, Ataxin-2, G3BP1, HuR, TIA-
1, TIAR, YB1, and calnexin associated with replication complexes isolated from mock- and ZIKV-infected
Huh7 cells. The western blot is representative of three independent experiments.
1263
1264

1265
1266
Figure 6. G3BP1 co-localizes with ZIKV E protein. One day post-infection Huh7 cells infected with ZIKV
at a MOI of 5 were fixed, permeabilized, and prepared for confocal imaging. A) Localization of ZIKV E
protein with HuR and G3BP1 following infection with the Cambodia strain of ZIKV. B) Localization of ZIKV
E protein with G3BP1 following infection with the Puerto Rican (PRVABC59) and Ugandan (MR766) strains
of ZIKV, respectively. The immunofluorescence images shown are representative of at least three
independent experiments.