1 **Genome-wide disruption of DNA methylation by 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine in**

2 **the parasitoid wasp *Nasonia vitripennis***

Cook N[1]*, Parker DJ[2,3]*, Turner F[4], Tauber E[5], Pannebakker BA[6] and Shuker DM[1]

*These authors contributed equally

1. School of Biology, University of St Andrews, UK

2. Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Switzerland

3. Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne, Switzerland

4. Edinburgh Genomics, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, UK

5. Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Haifa, Israel

6. Laboratory of Genetics, Wageningen University, The Netherlands

Keywords: 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine, 5-aza-dC, DNA methylation, epigenetics, *Nasonia vitripennis*, sex
allocation

Abstract

DNA methylation of cytosine residues across the genome influences how genes and phenotypes are

regulated in a wide range of organisms. As such, understanding the role of DNA methylation and other

epigenetic mechanisms has become very much a part of mapping genotype to phenotype, a major

question in evolutionary biology. Ideally, we would like to manipulate DNA methylation patterns on a

genome-wide scale, to help us to elucidate the role that epigenetic modifications play in phenotypic

expression. Recently, the demethylating agent 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-aza-dC; commonly used in

the epigenetic treatment of certain cancers), has been deployed to explore the epigenetic regulation

of a number of traits of interest to evolutionary ecologists, including facultative sex allocation in the

parasitoid wasp *Nasonia vitripennis*. In a recent study, we showed that treatment with 5-aza-dC did

not ablate the facultative sex allocation response in *Nasonia*, but shifted the patterns of sex allocation

in a way predicted by genomic conflict theory. This was the first (albeit indirect) experimental evidence

for genomic conflict over sex allocation facilitated by DNA methylation. However, that work lacked

direct evidence of the effects of 5-aza-dC on DNA methylation, and indeed the effect of the chemical

has since been questioned in *Nasonia*. Here, using whole-genome bisulphite sequencing of more than

4 million CpGs, across more than 11,000 genes, we demonstrate unequivocally that 5-aza-dC disrupts

methylation on a large scale across the *Nasonia vitripennis* genome. We show that the disruption can

lead to both hypo- and hyper-methylation, may vary across tissues and time of sampling, and that the

effects of 5-aza-dC are context- and sequence specific. We conclude that 5-aza-dC does indeed have

the potential to be repurposed as a tool for studying the role of DNA methylation in evolutionary

ecology, whilst many details of its action remain to be discovered.

Introduction

The genomics revolution has transformed our understanding of how genomes build phenotypes. The extraordinary diversity of morphologies, behaviours, and physiological adaptations we see across the natural world are not necessarily the product of very different sets of genes, or very different numbers of genes in organisms, but rather differences in how, where and when genes are expressed during development. The genomics revolution has therefore been as much about understanding gene regulation as it has been about identifying allelic variants at genetic loci (Ashbrook *et al.* 2017, Biémont *et al.* 2010). At the forefront of our growing knowledge of gene regulation has been the role of epigenetic modification of DNA, including DNA methylation. In the vast majority of cases, DNA methylation occurs at the C5 position of the cytosine ring in CpG dinucleotides and is catalysed by DNA methyltransferase enzymes (Dnmts). Three types of Dnmt are known in eukaryotes; Dnmt3 establishes DNA methylation patterns *de novo*, Dnmt1 maintains these patterns, and Dnmt2 is involved in tRNA methylation (Lyko 2018). In mammals, broadly, DNA methylation is found throughout the genome (except at CpG islands near the promoters of genes) and is associated with transcriptional repression (Feng *et al.* 2010, Zemach *et al.* 2010). By contrast, in insects, DNA methylation is concentrated in gene bodies and is associated with more stable patterns of gene expression (Bewick *et al.* 2017). Notably, vertebrate and invertebrate DNA methylation varies greatly in its extent throughout the genome, with the proportion of methylated CpGs much lower in invertebrates; 0-14% as compared to 60-90% in mammals (Bewick *et al.* 2017, Glastad *et al.* 2011). The evolutionary reasons for these differences are not yet clear, although it is thought that gene body methylation is an ancient feature of eukaryote genomes (Zemach *et al.* 2010). However, DNA methylation status influences how genes (and phenotypes) are expressed in many organisms, including reproductive vs non-reproductive worker bumblebees (Amarasinghe *et al.* 2014), caste differentiation (Kucharski *et al.* 2008, Lyko *et al.* 2010), and learning and memory (Li *et al.* 2017) in honey bees, and behavioural division of labour in ants (*Pogonomyrmex barbatus*; Smith *et al.* 2012; but see Libbrecht et al. 2016 for a robust critique of earlier studies of DNA methylation and caste determination in social insects). Therefore, DNA methylation patterns are very much part of mapping genotypes to phenotypes.

The flexible control of gene expression provided by mechanisms such as DNA methylation has also caught the interest of biologists interested in the underlying mechanisms of phenotypic plasticity, and indeed for evolutionary biologists interested in how such plasticity may evolve (Bonduriansky and Day 2009, 2018). Moreover, there has also been great interest in the extent to which epigenetic modifications may be inherited across generations (Lind and Spagopoulou 2018, Burggren 2016, Bondurianksy and Day 2009). Transmission of epigenetic state allows transmission of parent-of-origin information, or so-called genomic imprinting, setting the scene for genomic conflict (Patten *et al.*

2014, Haig 2002). Genomic conflict arises when maternally- and paternally- inherited alleles have

different evolutionary optima for traits expressed in an individual (Haig 1997, 2002). A classic example

is the expression of maternally- or paternally- inherited alleles during embryonic development in

mammals: paternally-inherited alleles are selected to extract more resources from the mother *in utero*

compared to maternally-inherited alleles (Moore and Haig 1991). This conflict is now known to be, at

least in part, facilitated by parent-of-origin specific gene expression of insulin-like growth factor II

(Igf2) and Igf2r. In mammals, the Igf2 gene promotes growth and cellular differentiation during

development and also regulates the placental supply of nutrients and the demand of nutrients by the

foetus (Constância *et al.* 2002).

To further understand the role epigenetic modifications such as DNA methylation have in

influencing phenotypes and their evolution, we need robust ways of manipulating them. Here we

consider the efficacy of the chemical 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-aza-dC) as a way of manipulating DNA

methylation, using the parasitoid wasp *Nasonia vitripennis*. The extent and nature of DNA methylation

across the *N. vitripennis* genome has recently been characterised (Wang et al. 2013; Pegoraro et al.

2016), and the presence in the genome of a full "methylation toolkit" has also been confirmed (Werren

et al. 2010). 5-aza-dC is well-known from the cancer literature as a hypomethylating agent, and is used

in the treatment of acute myeloid leukaemia and myelodysplastic syndrome (Seelan *et al.* 2018, Bryan

et al. 2011, Momparler 2012). In cancer patients, many genes that suppress leukemogenesis in

humans are silenced by aberrant DNA methylation. 5-aza-dC acts as a demethylating agent to

reactivate these genes (see below for details of action). In recent years, 5-aza-dC has been used across

a range of species as an experimental tool to explore how DNA methylation influences phenotypes

including diapause in *Nasonia vitripennis* (Pegoraro *et al.* 2016) and worker reproductive status in

bumble bees (Amarasinghe *et al.* 2014). Previously, we used 5-aza-dC to explore whether DNA

methylation was involved in the control of facultative sex allocation in *N. vitripennis* (Cook *et al.*

2015a).

Like all Hymenoptera, *N. vitripennis* is haplodiploid, with males arising from unfertilised

haploid eggs and females arising from fertilised diploid eggs. Females have putative control over the

sex of their offspring, releasing sperm to produce daughters or not to produce sons. Female *Nasonia*

allocate sex broadly in line with the predictions of Local Mate Competition theory (LMC: Hamilton

1967, Taylor and Bulmer 1980, Werren 1980, 1983, Shuker and West 2004, Burton-Chellew *et al.,*

2008; reviewed by West 2009). Females laying eggs alone on their blowfly pupae hosts produce very

female biased offspring sex ratios to minimise competition amongst her sons for mating opportunities.

As more females (foundresses) lay eggs on the same host(s) together, females produce less female-

biased sex ratios, as LMC is reduced and the fitness gained through the production of sons and

daughters moves towards equality (Hamilton 1967). When treated with 5-aza-dC, the facultative sex
allocation response in female *Nasonia* was maintained, suggesting that this plastic behaviour in and
of itself did not require certain patterns of methylation. Rather, the sex ratios (as proportion male)
produced by females were shifted slightly upwards, with more sons produced by treated females than
by controls. This subtle shift is as predicted by genomic conflict over sex allocation theory, which
requires some form of genomic imprinting (Wild and West 2008). Assuming that DNA methylation is
the mechanism by which parent-of-origin allelic information is carried in *Nasonia*, our data therefore
provided the first (albeit indirect) evidence for genomic conflict influencing sex allocation (Cook *et al*.
2015a).

Recently, Ellers *et al.* (2018) challenged the effects of 5-aza-dC as a general modifier of
methylation status, considering CpGs in ten genes in *Nasonia vitripennis*. Ellers *et al.* (2018) failed to
find changes in methylation status across any of the 155 CpGs in their study, questioning the action of
5-aza-dC in *Nasonia*, and hence of course the interpretation of the effects of 5-aza-dC in our earlier
study (Cook *et al.* 2015a). Fully negative results are of course very hard to interpret, but Ellers *et al.*
(2018) nonetheless raised valid concerns of the efficacy of the chemical. As noted above, levels of
methylation in insects is generally low, and a genome-wide study would provide greater resolution to
explore the effects of this chemical in an ecologically valuable model system. Here we consider the
effects of 5-aza-dC across the whole genome using a Bisulfite sequencing (BS-Seq) experiment. There
is evidence to suggest that the effects of 5-aza-dC are unlikely to be random across methylated CpGs
in a given genome. For instance, in mammals, genomic context can influence the extent to which 5-
aza-dC is effective as a demethylating agent. DNA sequence context, the distribution of transcription
factor binding sites, and chromatin structure are known to influence the DNA methylation patterns
produced by 5-aza-dC exposure (Ramos *et al.* 2015, Mossmann *et al.* 2010, Hagemann *et al.* 2011).
Although patterns of methylation differ among eukaryotes, the epigenetic modification itself is
chemically identical. Here, we verify that 5-aza-dC influences patterns of methylation across the
genome in *N. vitripennis*. Altered methylation patterns produced by 5-aza-dC exposure were non-
random, with enrichment in genes associated with transcription-factor activity and sequence specific
binding.

Materials and Methods

Study species

Nasonia vitripennis (Hymenoptera, Chalcicoidea) is a generalist parasitoid of large dipteran pupae including species of Calliphoridae. Females oviposit between 20 and 50 eggs in an individual host, with male offspring emerging just before females (after approximately 14 d at 25 °C: Whiting 1967). Males are brachypterous and unable to fly, remaining close to the emergence site where they compete with each other for emerging females, including their sisters. Females disperse after mating to locate new hosts. The females used in this experiment were from the wild-type AsymC strain, originally isolated in 1986 by curing the wild-type strain LabII of *Wolbachia*. Wasps have been maintained on *Calliphora vomitoria* or *C. vicina* hosts at 25 °C, 16L:8D light conditions ever since, and AsymC is also the reference genome strain (Werren *et al.* 2010). The quantitative genetic basis of sex ratio variation has been repeatedly quantified (reviewed in Pannebakker et al. 2008, 2011) whilst whole-genome transcription studies have confirmed that facultative sex allocation takes place without discernible changes in gene expression (Cook et al. 2015b, 2018a).

5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine

We used 5-aza-dC (Sigma Aldrich Company Ltd., Gillingham, UK) at a non-lethal concentration (10 µM). Widely used in epigenetic anticancer treatments (Seelan *et al.* 2018), 5-aza-dC is a nucleoside analogue that works by inhibiting DNA methyltransferase activity (Piskala and Sorm 1964, Jones and Taylor 1980, Momparler 1985, Christman 2002). When initially introduced to a cell, 5-aza-dC is essentially inert but is then converted by processes inherent in the cell to its active form 5-aza-dCTP (Seelan *et al.* 2018). This active form is readily incorporated into DNA during S-phase in the place of cytosine. In the daughter strands arising from a replication event, DNA methyltransferase 1 (Dnmt1) will attempt to restore the patterns of methylation that were present in the parent strand. However, Dnmt1 becomes irreversibly bound to the 5-aza-dCTP residues in the daughter strands, resulting in a diminishing pool of Dnmt1. Thus, administering 5-aza-dC results in a passive loss of DNA methylation; the key effect of 5-aza-dC (for a fuller description, see Cook et al, 2018b).

Experimental design

To control for possible host and other maternal effects, experimental females were not drawn straight from stock populations. Instead, two-day-old, mated, wild-type AsymC females were isolated from

the mass cultures into individual glass vials. Each female was provided with three hosts and allowed

to oviposit. Experimental females were drawn from the F1 generation, two days after emergence, one

female per "grandmother". We then employed a three by three factorial design whereby females

were allocated to one of three 5-aza-dC exposure regimes and were harvested post-feeding at three

time points. Exposure regimes were a) 20% sucrose for 24h, b) 20% sucrose supplemented with 10

µM 5-aza-dC for 24h and c) 20% sucrose supplemented with 10 µM 5-aza-dC for 48h. For each of the

three regimes, females were harvested at 0, 24 or 48h post-exposure. Throughout the experiment,

females were kept individually in glass vials and incubated at 25 °C, 16L :8D. Solutions (200 µl) were

provided in the lid of a 2 ml microcentrifuge tube. For individuals exposed to 5-aza-dC for 48 h, the

solutions were topped up by 100µl half-way through the exposure period to compensate for

evaporation. All solutions were stained green with food colouring such that a subset of 10 females

from each treatment combination could be dissected to check that feeding had occurred. At the point

of harvest, females were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C prior to DNA extraction.

DNA extraction and bisulphite-conversion

Prior to DNA extraction, individuals were removed from -80 °C storage and heads were quickly excised

from bodies using a sterile scalpel and a cryloyser to keep samples cold. Heads (and likewise bodies)

from the same treatment combination were randomly pooled into groups of 10 to give a maximum of

3 biological replicates for each treatment combination giving a total of 54 DNA samples. Briefly, tissue

was homogenised using a micropestle in 350 µl CTAB (Hexadecyltrimethylammonium Bromide) buffer

with subsequent overnight proteinase K digestion (400 µg) at 56 °C. Samples were cooled to room

temperature prior to RNase A digestion for 1 hour at 37 °C. A chloroform: isoamyl-alcohol wash (300

µl) was performed twice before ethanol/sodium acetate precipitation of the DNA. DNA purity was

analysed using the Nanodrop spectrophotometer with all samples having a 260/280 ratio of ≥1.8. DNA

integrity was confirmed using agarose gel electrophoresis.

Bisulphite sequencing

Bisulphite conversion, library preparation and sequencing were carried out by Edinburgh Genomics.

Briefly, bisulphite conversion was carried out using the EZ DNA Methylation Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine

USA), designed to reduce template degradation during the harsh bisulphite treatment whilst ensuring

complete conversion of the DNA. Library preparation was carried out using the TruSeq DNA

methylation Kit which takes the single-stranded DNA that results from bisulphite treatment and

converts it into an Illumina sequencing library. Sequencing of bisulphite-treated DNA was carried out

on the Illumina HiSeq 2500 in high output mode with 125bp paired-end reads. Library preparation

failed for three of the samples due to low levels of input DNA, leaving only two biological replicates

for three out of 18 treatment combinations and a total of 51 Bisulphite-sequenced samples (Table 1).

Raw data processing

Initial data processing was carried out by Edinburgh Genomics. Briefly, reads were trimmed using

Cutadapt version 1.12 removing any adapter sequences and for quality using a threshold of 30. After

trimming reads were required to have a minimum length of 50 bp. *Nasonia vitripennis* genome version

2.1 and the associated annotation, available for download at Ensembl

(http://metazoa.ensembl.org/Nasonia_vitripennis/Info/Index), were used as a reference. Reads were

aligned to the reference genome using Bismark (version 0.16) with the parameter "bowtie2". PCR

duplicates were removed from the resulting BAM files using samtools with the parameter "view -F

1024". Data for each CpG was then extracted from the BAM files using the Bioconductor package

methylKit (version 0.99.3) with R (version 3.3.1). The methylation status of each CpG for all samples

was calculated using the function "processBismarkAln" using the default parameters, except

"min.cov=1 no;ap=TRUE", which excludes CpG's not covered by any reads across all samples and does

not count CpGs covered by overlapping ends of a paired read as covered twice. The 1% of bases with

the highest coverage were removed using the function "filterByCoverage" to eliminate potential PCR

bias. After initial processing as outlined above, the raw data comprised percentage methylation at

4,150,376 CpG loci (no. of reads in which the CpG is methylated/total no. of reads covering the CpG)

for each of 51 samples. CpG read counts were then summed by gene, (including 1Kb up and

downstream) using gene annotation from Ensembl (version 2.1. available from:

ftp://ftp.ensemblgenomes.org/pub/metazoa/release-40/gff3/nasonia_vitripennis). Around half

(2,119,643) of the CpG's in the full dataset fell within genic regions with 14,765/17,279 genes (85%)

having at least one CpG present.

Statistical analysis

The overall structure of our dataset in terms of the proportion of methylated reads per gene and per

loci was visualised with two complementary analyses: a principle component analysis (PCA), which

allows samples to be visualised in relation to the two major axes of variation in our experiment, and a

T-distributed Stochastic Neighbour Embedding (t-SNE) analysis. t-SNE is a machine-learning algorithm

for data visualisation well-suited for high-dimensional datasets (van der Maaten and Hinton 2008).

The PCA was conducted using the R base function prcomp with default values. To perform the t-SNE

analysis we used the R package Rtsne (Krijthe 2015), with default values and perplexity set to 15. For

the PCA and t-SNE analyses any genes or loci with 0 coverage in any one sample were discarded prior

to analysis.

To examine the effect of 5-aza-dC exposure on individual genes, we modelled the number of

methylated and unmethylated reads from a gene as a generalized linear model (GLM) with a binomial

distribution with the following terms: exposure, tissue-type, collection time and their interactions.

Genes with 0 coverage for all samples in any particular treatment group were excluded from our

analysis (leaving a total of 11582 genes). Significance of each term was determined by a likelihood

ratio test, which was corrected for multiple testing using Benjamini and Hochberg's algorithm

(Benjamini and Hochberg 1995), with statistical significance set to 5%. Coefficients (log odds of

methylation) for each term were extracted from the full model. All statistical analyses were conducted

in R (v. 3.4.1; R Core Team 2017).

Functional enrichment

N. vitripennis GO-terms were downloaded from "hymenopteramine"

(http://hymenopteragenome.org/hymenopteramine/begin.do) on 27th September 2018. In addition,

we used hymenopteramine to firstly obtain *D. melanogaster* orthologs for each *N. vitripennis* gene,

from which we could then obtain the associated *D. melanogaster* GO terms. We used both of these

GO-term sets for enrichment analyses (below). Some *N. vitripennis* genes had multiple *D.*

melanogaster orthologs. In these cases, all GO-terms from each *D. melanogaster* ortholog were

combined together. To ensure this did not bias our results we repeated our analyses, but instead of

combining GO-terms we kept only GO-terms that were shared between all orthologs.

To examine which processes are most affected by 5-aza-dC exposure, we conducted gene set

enrichment analyses using the R package TopGO (v. 2.28.0) (Rahnenfuhrer 2016) using the elim

algorithm to account for the GO topology. Gene set enrichment analyses identify enriched GO terms

in a threshold-free way, by finding GO-terms that are overrepresented at the top of a ranked list of

genes. Here we ranked genes by FDR for the exposure effect. GO terms were considered to be

significantly enriched when $p < 0.05$.

Results

Median coverage across the whole experiment (N = 51 BS-seq libraries) was 916X, but coverage was heterogeneous between loci (Figure 1) and samples (Table S1). Mean read coverage per sample ranged from 2.7X to 49.4X. Four samples had less than 10X mean coverage per CpG, however, these samples were distributed across treatment groups.

Treatment with 5-aza-dC had wide-ranging effects on DNA methylation across the genome. First, samples clustered strongly by exposure regime at the gene-level in both the t-SNE visualisation (Figure 2) and in the principle component analyses (Figure 3), indicating that 5-aza-dC has a strong effect on the methylation of genes. Both analyses show the strong clustering at the gene loci, and that wasps exposed for 24 hours are more like controls than wasps treated for 48 hours. In addition, the PCA analysis showed clear separation along PCA1 (which presumably is heavily associated with exposure regime; Figure 3). Importantly though, this analysis also emphasises the extent of variation in response across the three treatment regimes, which is smallest for the controls, and largest for the 48 hour-exposed wasps (note the spread along PCA axis 2 in Figure 3). In addition, both cluster analyses placed the controls as some way intermediate between the two 5-aza-dC exposed treatments, which we consider further in the next two paragraphs. Both analyses also show that exposure regime dominated the clustering, over and above of any effects of either tissue-type or collection time, suggesting that this is the key effect. When we consider clustering at the level of individual CpG loci, clustering is much less obvious, using both t-SNE and PCA. However, the control treatment appears to cluster within the others in the t-SNE analyses, and the 24 hour and 48 hour treatments do separate to some extent, e.g. with the 24h samples more the bottom and left of Figure S1 and the 48h samples more to the top and right (see Figures S1 and S2). The differences between the individual CpG and gene-level cluster analyses are likely to be due to a combination of low coverage and a greater stochasticity of methylation at the individual CpG level (see discussion).

Second, a more in-depth examination of the data using a generalised linear modelling (GLM) approach to examine differential methylation at the gene level found that 5-aza-dC exposure had a significant effect on the methylation status of the majority of genes examined (exposure treatment was significant as a main effect at an FDR level of 0.05 for 8,556 out of 11,582 genes, or 73.9% of genes). Moreover, both tissue-type and collection time also influenced methylation status across a large number of genes (significant as main effects in the GLMs for 3483 and 3134 genes respectively). Significant interactions between factors were also present for the majority of genes (exposure regime * collection time = 10,547 genes, exposure regime * tissue type = 9,042 genes, collection time * tissue type = 5,011 genes, and exposure * collection time * tissue type = 10,234 genes), showing widespread

evidence for significant interplay between these factors. These results emphasise the context-
dependent nature of the action of 5-aza-dC. Full results from the GLMs are presented in Table S2.

Interestingly, it appears that methylation tends to increase initially in response to exposure to
5-aza-dC, an effect that is noticeable after 24h of exposure, before decreasing after 48h of exposure
(Figure 4A). This means that how long individuals are exposed to the chemical may well influence what
kind of methylation changes are observed; in other words, both hyper- and hypo-methylation can
result from 5-aza-dC treatment. In terms of when wasps were collected after exposure, 3134 genes
displayed significantly decreased methylation in association with collection time, with a more
pronounced decrease in methylation in samples collected 48h post-exposure relative to 24h post-
exposure (when compared to 0h post-exposure; Figure 4B). This suggests that the effect of 5-aza-dC
persists at least 48h after exposure has stopped. Finally, 3483 genes displayed significantly altered
methylation patterns in association with tissue type (Figure 4C), with lower methylation overall in the
head. However, we re-iterate that these main effects need to be contextualised within the pattern of
widespread interactions seen in the data, with 88% of genes exhibiting a significant second-order
interaction between exposure, tissue type and collection time.

Gene-set enrichment analysis, using *N. vitripennis* GO terms, when genes were ranked by
their response to exposure regime is presented in Table S3. Broadly, we identified 129 significantly
enriched GO terms, 52 of these terms fell under the "Biological process" (BP) category, 16 under
"Cellular component" (CC) and 61 under "Molecular function" (MF). The top three enriched GO
terms were "sequence-specific DNA binding" (GO:43565), "regulation of transcription, DNA
templated" (GO:6355) and "transcription factor activity, sequence-specific DNA binding" (GO:
43565) (Table S3). When the analysis was repeated using GO terms for the *D. melanogaster*
orthologs, a higher number of significantly enriched GO terms was obtained (686; 526 BP, CC 59, MF
89) of significantly enriched GO terms was obtained (Table S4). The top three GO terms for the *D.*
melanogaster analysis were "nucleus" (GO:0005634), "positive regulation of transcription from RNA
polymerase II promoter" (GO:45944), and, making a reappearance, "sequence-specific DNA binding"
(GO:43565). This analysis combined GO-terms when *N. vitripennis* genes had multiple *D.*
melanogaster orthologs, which could lead to bias, however, we retrieve very similar enriched terms
when we used only the GO terms that were shared between the multiple orthologs (Table S5),
suggesting any bias caused by this approach is small.

Discussion

A genome-wide analysis of CpGs across more than 11,000 genes, using 51 biological replicates across our treatment combinations, has shown that the demethylating agent 5-aza-dC has very widespread effects on patterns of DNA methylation in the *Nasonia vitripennis* genome. The methylome has been characterised in *Nasonia vitripennis* by Wang et al (2013) and Pegoraro et al (2016), and in common with other insect species, DNA methylation appears to be primarily associated with gene bodies (including intron-exon boundaries), and a rather low proportion of CpGs are methylated. As mentioned above, previous work that has attempted to confirm the effects of 5-aza-dC on DNA methylation have had mixed results. Pegoraro et al (2016) confirmed the effects of 5-aza-dC across five genes (four via a qPCR MethylQuant assay and one via cloning a fragment of the *msn* gene from treated versus untreated individuals), whilst Ellers et al (2018) have recently failed to show any effects of 5-aza-dC on the methylation status of 155 CpGs across ten genes (nine of which provided libraries; they used high-throughput bisulfite amplicon sequencing: BSAS; see Redshaw et al. 2014 for a discussion of different methylation quantification assays). Our larger-scale study has both confirmed the action of 5-aza-dC as a disruptor of methylation in *Nasonia*, but also suggested why it might be so difficult to pin down those effects. In particular, we find that whilst we see statistically significant main effects of exposure regime on DNA methylation (which includes differences in length of exposure), we also see effects of tissue type (albeit at the crude level of heads versus bodies), and collection time. Moreover, we also see many statistically significant interactions between these factors; all told, the action of 5-aza-dC is likely to be context-dependent, both in terms of the sequences more likely to be targeted but also in terms of the experimental details, including target tissues. Nonetheless, our results strongly suggest that 5-aza-dC is not chemically inert in terms of influencing DNA methylation in *Nasonia* – indeed, quite the opposite.

That the effects of 5-aza-dC are non-random in terms of the genes it influences are further confirmed by our gene ontology enrichment analyses. We see enrichment for genes associated with DNA binding, regulation of transcription, and transcription factor activity. These processes are of interest given that we might expect the regulation of transcription to be a crucial component of the epigenetic role of DNA methylation, given what we know from both mammals and insects, even though the targets (throughout the genome versus exons) and outcomes (transcriptional silencing versus stable gene expression) are typically different in the two taxa. Our analysis certainly confirms the sequence-specific action of 5-aza-dC seen in other species.

A further important effect we see is that 5-aza-dC exposure can result in hyper-methylation as well as hypo-methylation. This means that the characterisation of 5-aza-dC as a strictly

demethylating agent may be somewhat misleading. The complexity of outcomes of 5-aza-dC in

mammals, in particular in terms of trying to understand its action for cancer treatments, is becoming

increasingly well-appreciated (e.g. Seelan et al 2018). As such, our data confirm in an insect what is

being seen in mammalian cells. We are not well placed to speculate on the mechanism(s) by which

5-aza-dC may lead to hyper-methylation (see instead Seelan et al. 2018), even though its

hypomethylating effect is well characterised chemically (see Introduction). However, if 5-aza-dC

disrupts the regulation of the DNA methylation machinery itself, for instance in terms of how the

Dnmt genes are expressed and act, then the downstream outcomes could be both hyper- and hypo-

methylation. Perhaps related to this, in their study of DNA methylation and the control of the

diapause response in *Nasonia*, Pegoraro et al (2016) used both 5-aza-dC and RNA interference

(RNAi) to manipulate methylation, in the latter case disrupting DNA methylation by knocking down

both Dnmt1a and Dnmt3. Whilst both 5-aza-dC and the RNAi constructs influenced how diapause

was influenced by exposure to short or long day length, they did so in different ways. Whilst both

manipulations abolished the day-length response, the RNAi of Dnmt1a and Dnmt3 resulted in a

change in how wasps responded to long-day length (increasing the diapause response), whilst 5-aza-

dC led to a change on response to short-day length (decreasing the diapause response). As such,

different manipulations of DNA methylation may lead to different phenotypic outcomes, depending

on the regulatory causes and consequences of the methylation pattern itself. More generally then, it

is clear that there is much that remains to be elucidated in how 5-aza-dC shapes methylation

patterns, in both insects and mammals (Seelan et al. 2018).

On a more technical note, perhaps unsurprisingly we found more significant genes in the GO

enrichment analysis using the GO terms for *Drosophila melanogaster* orthologs. This is perhaps

because of the more detailed gene ontology information available for the *D. melanogaster* genome.

Nonetheless, the two analyses provide a similar story in terms of GO enrichment. In addition, the

majority of our analyses were undertaken at the level of the gene. Perhaps given the variation in

coverage at the level of individual CpGs, the clustering analyses were not by any means as clear cut

as the gene-level results. However, in the t-SNE analysis (Figure S1), the control replicates cluster

together, albeit within the broader distribution of the other two exposure treatment groups. The

effect of genomic and sequence context may be particularly relevant here: our analysis at the level

of the gene (see Methods for details) brings together CpGs that share a lot of context, by virtue of

being associated with a given genetic locus. The differences in the clustering at the individual CpG

versus gene levels may therefore represent the fact that the action of 5-aza-dC does appear to be

non-random, and that we gain clarity when we consider closely associated CpGs whose patterns of

methylation, and responses to 5-aza-dC, are more similar than randomly chosen CpGs across the

genome.

In conclusion, our results suggest that 5-aza-dC remains a potential tool for evolutionary

ecologists wishing to explore how DNA methylation influences phenotypic expression. As with all

genomic approaches however, no one approach gives all the answers. 5-aza-dC may prove a very

useful, if rather blunt, tool for first assessing the range of phenotypes that are influenced by

methylation status, whilst no doubt more targeted knock-downs and genetic manipulations will be

needed to link phenotypes to causal CpGs.

Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to Mark Lammers and Jacintha Ellers for their collegiate and constructive

engagement with our previous DNA methylation work. We are also grateful to Matthew Arno and

the Edinburgh Genomics team for their sequencing and downstream support. This work was

initiated as part of NERC grant NE/J024481/1.

References

Amarasinghe HE, Clayton CI and Mallon EB (2014) Methylation and worker reproduction in the
bumble-bee (*Bombus terrestris*). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*
281(1780): 20132502.

Ashbrook DG, Mulligan MK and Williams RW (2018) Post-genomic behavioural genetics: From
revolution to routine. *Genes, Brain and Behaviour* 17: e12441.

Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach
to multiple testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. Series B Stat. Methodol. 57:289–300.

Bewick AJ, Vogel KJ, Moore AJ and Schmitz RJ (2017) Evolution of DNA methylation across Insects.
Molecular Biology and Evolution 34(3), 654-665.

Biémont C (2010) From genotype to phenotype. What do epigenetics and epigenomics tell us?
Heredity 105(1), 1-3.

Bonduriansky R and Day T (2009) Nongenetic inheritance and its evolutionary implications. *Annual
Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics* 40(1), 103-125.

Bonduriansky R and Day T (2018) *Extended Heredity*. Princeton University Press: Princeton.

Bryan J, Kantarjian H, Garcia-Manero G and Jabbour E (2011) Pharmacokinetic evaluation of decitabine
for the treatment of leukemia. *Expert Opinion on Drug Metabolism and Toxicology* 7, 661-672.

Burggren W (2016) Epigenetic Inheritance and its role in evolutionary biology: re-evaluation and new
perspectives. *Biology* 5(2): 24.

Burton-Chellew MN, Koevoets T, Grillenberger BK, Sykes EM, Underwood SL *et al.* (2008) Facultative
sex ratio adjustment in natural populations of wasps: cues of local mate competition. *The
American Naturalist* 172(3), 393-404.

Christman JK (2002) 5-azacytidine and 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine as inhibitors of DNA methylation:
mechanistic studies and their implications for cancer therapy. *Oncogene* 21(35), 5483-5495.

Constancia M, Hemberger M, Hughes J, Dean W, Fergusin-Smith A *et al.* (2002) Placental-specific IGF-
II is a major modulator of placental and fetal growth. *Nature* 417(6892), 945-948.

Cook N, Pannebakker BA, Tauber E and Shuker DM (2015a) DNA methylation and sex allocation in the
parasitoid wasp Nasonia vitripennis. *The American Naturalist* 186(4), 513-518.

Cook N, Trivedi U, Pannebakker BA, Blaxter M, Ritchie MG, Tauber E, Sneddon T and Shuker DM
(2015b) Oviposition but not sex allocation is associated with transcriptomic changes in
females of the parasitoid wasp *Nasonia vitripennis*. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics*, 5, 2885-
2892.

Cook N, Boulton RA, Green J, Trivedi U, Tauber E, Pannebakker BA, Ritchie MG and Shuker DM (2018a)
Differential gene expression is not required for facultative sex allocation: a transcriptome
analysis of brain tissue in the parasitoid wasp *Nasonia vitripennis*. *Royal Society Open Science*,
5, 171718

Cook N, Parker DJ, Tauber E, Pannebakker BA and Shuker DM (2018b) Validating the demethylating
effects of 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine in insects requires a whole-genome approach (A reply to
Ellers *et al.*) *The American Naturalist, in review*.

Ellers J, Visser M, Mariën J, Kraaijeveld K and Lammers M (2018) The importance of validating the
demethylating effect of 5-aza-dC in model species. Under Review.

Feng S, Cokus SJ, Zhang X, Chen P, Bostick M et al. (2010) Conservation and divergence of methylation patterning in plants and animals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 107(19), 8689-8694.

Glastad KM, Hunt BG, Yi SV and Goodisman MAD (2011) DNA methylation in insects: on the brink of the epigenomic era.

Hagemann S, Heil O, Lyko F and Brueckner B (2011) Azacytidine and decitabine induce gene-specific and non-random DNA demethylation in human cancer cell lines. *PLOS ONE* 6(3), e17388.

Haig D (1997) Parental antagonism, relatedness asymmetries and genomic imprinting. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 264(1388), 1657-1662.

Haig D (2002) Genomic imprinting and kinship. Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick, NJ.

Hamilton WD (1967) Extraordinary sex ratios. *Science* 156(3774), 477-488.

Jones PA and Taylor SM (1980) Cellular differentiation, cytidine analogs and DNA methylation. *Cell* 20, 85-93.

Krijthe JH (2015) Rtsne: T-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding using a Barnes-Hut Implementation, URL: https://github.com/jkrijthe/Rtsne

Kucharski R, Maleszka J, Foret S and Maleszka R (2008) Nutritional control of reproductive status in honeybees via DNA methylation. *Science* 319, 1827-1830.

Li Y, Zhang L, Yi Y, Hu W, Guo, Y, Zeng Z, Huang Z and Wang Z (2017) Genome-wide DNA methylation changes associated with olfactory learning and memory in *Apis mellifera*. *Scientific Reports* 7(1): 17017.

Libbrecht R, Oxley PR, Keller L, Kronauer DJ (2016) Robust DNA methylation in the clonal raider ant brain. *Current Biology* 26(3), 391-395.

Lind MI and Spagopoulou F (2018) Evolutionary consequences of epigenetic inheritance. *Heredity* 121(3), 205-209.

Lyko F, Foret S, Kucharski R, Wolf S, Falckenhayn C and Maleszka R (2010) The honey bee epigenomes: differential metjhylation of brain DNA in queens and workers. *PLOS Biology* 8(11): e1000506.

Lyko F (2018) The DNA methyltransferase family: a versatile toolkit for epigenetic regulation. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 19(2),81-92.

Momparler RL (1985) Molecular, cellular and animal pharmacology of 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine. *Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 30(3), 287-299.

Momparler RL (2012) A perspective on the comparative antileukemic activity of 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (Decitabine) and 5-azacytidine (Vidaza). *Pharmaceuticals* 5(8), 875-881.

Mossman D, Kim K and Scott RJ (2010) Demethylation by 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine in colorectal cancer cells targets genomic DNA whilst promoter CpG island methylation persists. *BMC Cancer* 10:366.

Pannebakker BA, Halligan DL, Reynolds KT, Ballantyne GA, Shuker DM, Barton N and West SA (2008) Effects of spontaneous mutation accumulation on sex ratio traits. *Evolution*, 62: 1921-1935.

Pannebakker BA, Watt R, Knott SA, West SA and Shuker DM (2011) The quantitative genetic basis of sex ratio variation in *Nasonia vitripennis*: a QTL study. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 24, 12-22.

Patten MM, Ross L, Curley JP, Queller DC, Bonduriansky R and Wolf JB (2014) The evolution of genomic
imprinting: theories, predictions and empirical tests. *Heredity* 113, 119-128.

Pegoraro M, Bafna A, Davies NJ, Shuker DM and Tauber E (2016) DNA methylation changes induced
by long and short photoperiods in Nasonia. *Genome Research* 26(2), 203-210.

Piskala A and Sorm F (1964) Nucleic acid components and their analogues. LI. Synthesis of 1-glycosyl
derivatives of 5-azauracil and 5-azacytosine. *Collection of Czechoslovak Chemical*
Communications 29(9), 2060-2076.

R Core Team (2017). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.

Ramos M, Wijetunga NA, McLellan AS, Suzuki M and Greally JM (2015) DNA demethylation by 5-aza-
2'-deoxycytidine is imprinted, targeted to euchromatin and has limited transcriptional
consequences. *Epigenetics and Chromatin* 8:11.

Rahnenfuhrer AAJ (2016) *topGO: Enrichment Analysis for Gene Ontology*. R package version 2.28.0.

Redshaw N, Huggett JF, Taylor MS, Carole A Foy CA and Devonshire AS (2014) Quantification of
epigenetic biomarkers: an evaluation of established and emerging methods for DNA
methylation analysis. BMC Genomics 15:1174

Seelan RS, Mukhopadhyay P, Pisano MM and Greene RM (2018) Effects of 5-Aza-2'-deoxycytidine
(decitabine) on gene expression. *Drug Metabolism Reviews* 50(2), 193-207.

Shuker DM and West SA (2004) Information constraints and the precision of adaptation: sex ratio
manipulation in wasps. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 101(28),
10363-10367.

Smith CR, Mutti NS, Jasper WC, Naidu A, Smith CD and Gadau J (2012) Patterns of DNA methylation in
development, division of labor and hybridisation in an ant with genetic caste determination.
PLOS One 7(8): e42433.

Taylor PD and Bulmer MG (1980) Local Mate Competition and the sex-ratio. *Journal of Theoretical*
Biology 86(3) 409-419.

van der Maaten LJP and Hinton GE (2008) Visualizing high-dimensional data using t-SNE. *Journal of*
Machine Learning Research 9, 2579-2605.Wang X, Wheeler D, Avery A, Rago A, Choi JH,
Colbourne JK, Clark AG, and Werren JH (2013) Function and evolution of DNA methylation in
Nasonia vitripennis. *PLoS Genetics*. 9(10):e1003872.

Werren JH (1980) Sex ratio adaptations to local mate competition in a parasitic wasp. *Science*
208(4448), 1157-1159.

Werren JH (1983) Sex ratio evolution under local mate competition in a parasitic wasp. *Evolution* 37(1),
116-124.

Werren JH, *et al* (2010) Functional and evolutionary insights from the genomes of three parasitoid
Nasonia species. *Science*, 327: 343-348.

West SA (2009) Sex allocation. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.

Whiting AR (1967) The biology of the parasitic wasp *Mormoniella vitripennis* [=*Nasonia brevicornis*]
(Walker). *The Quarterly Review of Biology* 42(3), 333-406.

Wild G and West SA (2009) Genomic imprinting and sex allocation. *The American Naturalist* 173(1),
E1-14.

Zemach A, McDaniel IE, Silva P and Zilberman D (2010) Genome-wide evolutionary analysis of
eukaryotic DNA methylation. *Science* 328(5980), 916-919.

Data and code availability

537 Raw reads will be deposited in the SRA and accession codes will be made available upon publication.

538 Scripts for the analyses in this paper are available at: https://github.com/DarrenJParker/5-Aza-

539 dC_methylation

Tables and Figures

Figure 1. Read coverage by locus across all 51 BS-Seq libraries.

547 **Figure 2.** t-SNE of the proportion of methylated reads per gene, showing the effects of (A) Exposure

548 regime and tissue type and (B) Exposure regime and harvest time.

Figure 3. PCA of the proportion of methylated reads per gene, showing the effects of (A) Exposure
regime and tissue type and (B) Exposure regime and harvest time.

Figure 4. A significant effect on gene methylation status (displayed as log odds of methylation) was
noted in response to (A) 5-aza-dC exposure regime, (B) harvest time after exposure and (C) tissue-
type. N= number of genes where significantly differentially methylated CpGs in association with each
factor.

Table 1. Experimental design. Female wasps were exposed to 1 of 3 5-aza-dC exposure regimes including a control. Females were then harvested at 1 of 3 timepoints after the exposure period heads were excised from bodies to give a degree of tissue-specificity to the analysis. Three biological replicates were available for almost all treatment combinations with each biological replicate consisting of tissue from three *N. vitripennis* females.

Treatment Combination	5-aza-dC exposure regime	Harvest time	Tissue type	No. replicates (1 x rep. = 1 pool of 10)
1	20% sucrose for 24 h	0h	Bodies	3
2	20% sucrose for 24 h	0h	Heads	3
3	20% sucrose for 24 h	24h	Bodies	3
4	20% sucrose for 24 h	24h	Heads	3
5	20% sucrose for 24 h	48h	Bodies	3
6	20% sucrose for 24 h	48h	Heads	3
7	10µM 5-aza-dC for 24 h	0h	Bodies	3
8	10µM 5-aza-dC for 24 h	0h	Heads	3
9	10µM 5-aza-dC for 24 h	24h	Bodies	3
10	10µM 5-aza-dC for 24 h	24h	Heads	2
11	10µM 5-aza-dC for 24 h	48h	Bodies	3
12	10µM 5-aza-dC for 24 h	48h	Heads	2
13	10µM 5-aza-dC for 48 h	0h	Bodies	3
14	10µM 5-aza-dC for 48 h	0h	Heads	2
15	10µM 5-aza-dC for 48 h	24h	Bodies	3
16	10µM 5-aza-dC for 48 h	24h	Heads	3
17	10µM 5-aza-dC for 48 h	48h	Bodies	3
18	10µM 5-aza-dC for 48 h	48h	Heads	3

    Supplementary Figures

    Figure S1. t-SNE of the proportion of methylated reads per CpG locus, showing the effects of (A)
    Exposure regime and tissue type and (B) Exposure regime and harvest time.

    Figure S2. PCA of the proportion of methylated reads per CpG locus, showing the effects of (A)
    Exposure regime and tissue type and (B) Exposure regime and harvest time.

Supplemental Table Information

Table S1. Number of reads and coverage of each sample

Table S2. Output of coefficients and significance values from the GLM analysis

Table S3. Enriched GO terms for treatment using *Nasonia vitripennis* GO terms

Table S4. Enriched GO terms for treatment using *D. melanogaster* GO terms, when GO-terms from
multiple orthologs are combined together

Table S5. Enriched GO terms for treatment using *D. melanogaster* GO terms, when only GO-terms
which are the same are kept (when a *Nasonia vitripennis* gene has multiple *D. melanogaster*
orthologs)