Title

Local reciprocal release of GABA from olfactory bulb granule cell spines: Cooperation of

conventional release mechanisms and NMDA receptors

Authors

Vanessa Lage-Rupprecht[1,2], Li Zhou[1], Gaia Bianchini[1], S. Sara Aghvami[1,3,4], Balázs Rózsa[5],

Marco Sassoé-Pognetto[6] & Veronica Egger[1*]

Affiliations

1. Neurophysiology, Institute of Zoology, Universität Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg,
Germany; 2. Fraunhofer SCAI, 53754 Sankt Augustin, Germany; 3. School of Electrical and
Computer Engineering, University of Tehran, 14395-515 Tehran, Iran; 4. School of Cognitive
Sciences, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), 19395-5746 Tehran, Iran;
5. Two-Photon Imaging Center, Institute of Experimental Medicine, Hungarian Academy of
Sciences, 1039 Budapest, Hungary; 6. Neuroscience Department, University of Turin, I-
10126 Torino, Italy.

Abstract

In the rodent olfactory bulb the smooth dendrites of the principal glutamatergic mitral cells

(MCs) engage in reciprocal dendrodendritic interactions with the large spines of GABAergic

granule cells (GC), where unitary release of glutamate gives rise to a postsynaptic local

activation of voltage-gated Na^+ channels (Na_v), i.e. a spine spike. Can single MC inputs thus

trigger reciprocal release? Here we show that unitary-like activation via two-photon uncaging

of glutamate causes GC spines to release GABA both synchronously and asynchronously

onto MC dendrites, detected as IPSCs at the MC soma. This release indeed requires

activation of Na_v and high-voltage-activated Ca^{2+} channels (HVACCs), but also NMDA

receptor (NMDAR) activation. Simulations show a substantial temporal overlap of HVACC-

and NMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+} currents during the spine spike, and ultrastructural data prove

NMDAR presence within the GABAergic presynapse. Thus output from the GC spine

reciprocal microcircuit relies on cooperative action of HVACCs and presynaptic NMDARs.

Introduction

Reciprocal dendrodendritic microcircuits can be found in several parts of the nervous system

and are especially abundant in the vertebrate olfactory bulb (Crespo et al., 2013), where the

dendrites of the principal mitral and tufted cells (MTCs) engage in such interactions with at

least three major subtypes of local GABAergic neurons, namely periglomerular cells in the

glomerular layer, and parvalbumin and granule cells (GC) in the external plexiform layer. The

latter synapse differs from the other bulbar reciprocal arrangements by its location within a

large spine on the GC side. These spines feature particularly long necks (Woolf et al., 1991)

which might serve to electrically isolate the synapse and boost local processing (e.g. Miller et

al., 1985; Spruston, 2008). Indeed, we have gathered evidence that postsynaptic potentials

in GC spines are electrically compartmentalized and thus can locally activate voltage-gated

Na channels (Na_v) which in turn activate classic presynaptic N/P/Q Ca^{2+} channels within the

spine ('spine spike', Bywalez et al., 2015). These observations reinforce our earlier notion of

the reciprocal spine as a mini-neuron that is predicted to generate synaptic output upon local

activation (Egger and Urban, 2006).

While there have been many earlier studies of recurrent dendrodendritic inhibition (DDI) at

the MTC-GC synapse, it is unknown whether a unitary, purely local activation of the spine's

microcircuit can indeed trigger release of GABA back onto the exciting MTC. The issue is still unresolved because (1) paired recordings of synaptically coupled GCs and MTCs are notoriously hard to perform (e.g. Pressler and Strowbridge, 2017) and would also not allow to fully dissect the circuit, and (2) most of these earlier studies used a strong stimulation of voltage-clamped MCs, namely a depolarization to 0 mV for 20-50 ms (Isaacson and Strowbridge, 1998; Halabisky et al., 2000; Isaacson, 2001; Chen et al., 2000). This DDI protocol is likely to cause a massive release of glutamate from the lateral MC dendrites and was indeed shown to invoke glutamate spillover between them (Isaacson, 1999). It results in long-lasting recurrent inhibition with both synchronous and asynchronous components, the latter with a time constant of ~ 500 ms. For this type of DDI, NMDA receptor (NMDAR) activation was shown to be an important source of Ca^{2+} entry that suffices to trigger release of GABA from the GC spine under conditions of zero extracellular $[Mg^{2+}]_e$ (also in Schoppa et al. 1998). However, such a strong role of NMDARs has been subject to debate, and under conditions of normal $[Mg^{2+}]_e$ it was found that DDI-evoked release from GC spines is mostly abolished by HVACC blockade (Isaacson, 2001; see Discussion).

The MC lateral dendrites are densely covered with GABAergic synapses (Bartel et al., 2015; Sailor et al., 2016). These inputs become strongly attenuated with distance from the MC soma (λ ~ 80 μm, Lowe, 2002), but are probably capable of shunting propagating APs at any point along the dendrite (Lowe, 2002; Xiong et al., 2002). While proximal inputs are thought to be mostly mediated by GC spines, recent studies have revealed reciprocal dendrodendritic interactions also with other GABAergic cell types such as SOM+ neurons, CRH+ neurons and most prominently parvalbumin/PV+ neurons that all feature aspiny, smooth dendrites (partially overlapping populations; Toida et al., 1994; Lepousez et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2013; Kato et al., 2013; Miyamichi et al., 2013). Aside from postsynaptic GABA_ARs, MC lateral dendrites bear scattered NMDA autoreceptors (Sassoé-Pognetto et al., 2003), and AMPA autoreceptors have been detected in the apical tuft and at the soma, giving rise to both autoexcitation and spillover effects (e.g. Aroniadou-Anderjaska et al., 1999; Isaacson, 1999; Friedman and Strowbridge, 2000; Didier et al., 2001; Salin et al., 2001).

Here we aim to determine whether – and possibly how - single inputs from MC lateral

dendrites to GC spines can also trigger recurrent release of GABA from the reciprocal

synapse, using recordings from labeled MCs and two-photon uncaging (TPU) of glutamate

along their lateral dendrite near a Venus-tagged GC spine. The mini-neuron hypothesis

along with the GC spine spike phenomenon suggest that just like in conventional axons, Na_v

channel and ensuing HVACC activation are required to cause release.

Results

Experimental configuration

To enable pharmacological interference with components of the reciprocal microcircuit such

as Na_vs and HVACCs, we bypassed release from the MC presynapse via TPU of DNI-caged

glutamate (DNI, see Methods; Chiovini et al., 2014; Bywalez et al., 2015; Palfi et al. 2018) in

acute juvenile rat olfactory bulb slices, while recording from MCs in somatic whole-cell

voltage-clamp. To visualize the lateral dendrites, MCs were filled with the dye Alexa594 (50

μM). Fig. 1A shows the recording configuration.

In all MC recordings we observed a high basal frequency of spontaneous events which is a

general hallmark of the olfactory bulb network (Egger and Urban, 2006). Wash-in of 1 mM

DNI further increased the basal frequency by on average 1.9 ± 1.2 times (mean value before

DNI: 4.5 ± 2.1 Hz, n = 14, P < 0.01), due to the disinhibition resulting from a partial blockade

of $GABA_A$Rs by DNI, which also reduced the mean spontaneous IPSC amplitude to a fraction

of 0.47 ± 0.16 of control (n = 14 MCs, P < 0.001, Fig. S1A,B,C). In the presence of DNI, the

$GABA_A$R antagonist bicuculline (BCC, 50 μM) blocked spontaneous activity almost

completely (frequency: 0.13 ± 0.10 of control, n = 6, P < 0.01, Fig. 1C, Fig. S1A).

Since in initial experiments we occasionally observed activation of both NMDA and AMPA

autoreceptors on MC dendrites at a holding potential of -70 mV, MCs were clamped to +10

mV in the main body of experiments, near the reversal potential of ionotropic glutamate

receptors (Isaacson, 1999; Friedman and Strowbridge, 2000). All experiments were

performed at physiological levels of $[Mg^{2+}]_e$ (1 mM).

Triggering of reciprocal IPSCs via TPU

To prevent overstimulation with glutamate, we uncaged with similar laser parameter settings

as in the previous study (Bywalez et al., 2015), in which TPU-evoked GC Ca^{2+} signals were

indistinguishable from those of unitary spontaneous or triggered synaptic inputs, and

routinely tested these settings (see Methods). In most experiments, uncaging was performed

at one spot in the immediate vicinity of GC spines that were visible in VGAT-Venus rats and

in close proximity (0.2 – 0.5 μm) to the MC lateral dendrite (e.g. Fig. 1A, 2A); in a few initial

experiments in WT rats uncaging was performed 'blindly' at up to four spots along the

dendrite (to increase the likelihood for triggering a unitary response, e.g. Fig. 2B, see

Methods). Responses were detectable in ~ 30% of tested MCs (total n = 166, see Methods).

All uncaging spots were located proximally, at distances < 50 μm from the MC soma (Suppl.

Fig. S1D). The rationale for this choice was twofold. First we aimed to minimize electrotonic

attenuation, since IPSC amplitudes were small (see above, Suppl. Fig. S1). Second, GC

inputs are located more proximally as compared to the widely distributed PV+ neuron inputs

(Kato et al., 2013; Miyamichi et al., 2013). In addition, PV+ neuron dendrites are smooth and

thus in the experiments in VGAT-Venus rats with visible GC spines an accidental activation

of PV neurons is yet less likely to occur.

TPU of glutamate resulted in consecutive triggered responses and failures in MCs (Fig. 1B).

Responses were classified as triggered if they were observed repeatedly within the same

time window and showed similar kinetics (see Methods). Next we tested whether these

triggered responses were indeed GABAergic by applying the $GABA_A R$ antagonist Bicuculline

(BCC, 50 μM), which invariably blocked responses completely (Fig. 1C, n = 7, P < 0.01,

Wilcoxon test). Thus in the following the triggered events are denoted as urIPSCs (**u**ncaging-

evoked **r**eciprocal IPSCs). Sufficient stability of such urIPSC responses was established via

long-term recordings (see Methods). The mean amplitudes of responses during the first and

last 5 -10 photostimulations (with at least 10 min in between to mimic the time course of

pharmacological manipulations) were not significantly different (Fig. 1E, n = 7, ratio last to

first 0.95 ± 0.15, P = 0.74).

The amplitude of triggered urIPSCs was on average 12 ± 8 pA, with a rise time of 6 ± 3 ms

(in n = 32 MCs clamped to +10 mV), which is significantly smaller than the mean amplitudes

of spontaneous IPSCs (n = 14; 22 ± 12 pA; P < 0.001, Mann-Whitney test, Fig. 1F). Since

spontaneous IPSCs are highly likely to also originate from the reciprocal MC-GC circuit (see Discussion), this observation argues against overstimulation with glutamate in our experiment. The average release probability from the reciprocal spine was estimated as P_r = 0.34 ± 0.11 (Fig. 1F, range 0.13 - 0.60, based on n = 44 MCs, see Methods and Discussion). The latencies of urIPSPCs were not normally distributed, with a first peak within the first 10 ms post TPU onset (n = 13), a second peak around 30 ms (n = 19 within the range 10-50 ms) and a yet more delayed tail (n = 3; Fig. 1F).

In most experiments we detected asynchronous urIPSCs following the first triggered event which were quantified via integral analysis and counting of events (Fig. 1D, see Methods). Both area and number of events increased highly significantly in the 500 ms interval following TPU ('post') compared to the same interval right before ('pre'; mean increase of integrated activity Δ_area + 0.50 ± 0.55 relative to 'pre' value; mean increase in event number + 0.25 ± 0.37 relative to 'pre' value; n = 27, P < 0.001 for both; absolute values in 'pre' area 2.40 ± 1.83 pAs, events 26.1 ± 14.9). Δ_area was also significantly increased if the extra area of the synchronous urIPSC was subtracted (P < 0.005). Thus asynchronous recurrent inhibition can be already triggered by single glutamatergic inputs.

From all these experiments we conclude that local, unitary-like TPU of glutamate can indeed trigger the fast reciprocal release of GABA, providing proof that the reciprocal microcircuit can be activated by single synaptic inputs at physiological levels of Mg^{2+}.

Sodium channel activation is required for most urIPSCs

Next, we investigated a possible contribution of Na_v activation to urIPSC generation. Fig. 2 illustrates that wash-in of TTX (500 nM) substantially reduced both triggered and spontaneous events. On average, urIPSC amplitudes were reduced to a fraction of 0.17 ± 0.34 of control (Fig. 2D, n = 12, P < 0.005; absolute control amplitudes for -70 mV: -7.8 ± 3.6 pA, for 10 mV: 15.4 ± 12.4 pA), and the increase in integrated activity Δ_area was decreased to 0.28 ± 0.21 of its control value (see Methods; n = 10, P < 0.005).

Spontaneous sIPSC frequency was also strongly decreased in TTX, to a fraction of 0.24 ± 0.17 of control (Fig. 2E, n = 10, P < 0.005).

Thus Na_vs are essential to trigger GABA release from the reciprocal spines.

High-voltage activated Ca^{2+} channels in the spine trigger GABA release

HVACCs have been implied to mediate recurrent release from reciprocal spines (Isaacson,

2001) and are activated by Na_vs, contributing a substantial fraction to the total postsynaptic

Ca^{2+} signal in the GC spine (Bywalez et al., 2015). To directly test whether HVACC activation

is required for release of GABA, we blocked NPQ type Ca^{2+} channels with 1 µM ω-conotoxin

MVIIC (CTX; Bloodgood and Sabatini, 2007; Bywalez et al., 2015).

Fig. 3 shows the resulting substantial decrease of urIPSCs to a fraction of 0.08 ± 0.14 of

control (from a mean amplitude of 11.3 ± 5.7 pA; n = 8, P < 0.005, Fig. 3D). This decrease

was not different from the effect of TTX on urIPSC amplitude described above (P = 0.35

ratios in CTX vs TTX). Δ_area decreased to 0.39 ± 0.23 of control (n = 9, P < 0.005, Fig. 3D),

again not different from the effect of TTX on Δ_area described above (P = 0.15 vs TTX).

Spontaneous sIPSC frequency in CTX was decreased to a fraction of 0.53 ± 0.15 of control,

less markedly than in TTX (Fig. 3E, n = 7 cells, control vs CTX: P < 0.01; CTX vs TTX: P <

0.005).

We conclude that HVACC activation is required for both fast and slow release of GABA from

the reciprocal spine following local input.

NMDA receptors are also relevant for recurrent release

NMDARs are known to substantially contribute to unitary synaptic transmission and to

postsynaptic Ca^{2+} entry at the MC to GC synapse (Isaacson and Strowbridge, 1998;

Schoppa et al., 1998; Isaacson 2001; Egger et al., 2005). However, because NMDAR-

mediated Ca^{2+} entry into GC spines did not depend on Na_v activation in our previous study

(in contrast to HVACC-mediated Ca^{2+} entry, Bywalez et al., 2015), and because of the strong

blocking effects of TTX or CTX on urIPSCs reported above, we hypothesized that NMDAR

blockade would have only mild effects on fast recurrent release upon single inputs.

Intriguingly however, Fig. 4 shows that the application of 25 µM D-APV resulted in a

substantial decrease of urIPSC amplitudes, to on average 0.22 ± 0.21 of control (from a

mean amplitude of 13.8 ± 8.6 pA, n = 10, P < 0.002, Fig. 4D). All individual experiments

showed the amplitude decrease, albeit to a variable degree (range 0.00 - 0.68 of control).

Δ_area following TPU decreased to 0.40 ± 0.28 of control (n = 10, P < 0.003, Fig. 4D). Both

effects were statistically not different from the effects of either TTX or CTX (amplitude: P =

0.12 and P = 0.08; Δ_area: P = 0.19 and P = 0.47).

APV also substantially reduced spontaneous activity, to a frequency of 0.19 ± 0.08 of control

(n = 9, P < 0.01, Fig. 4E), similar to that in TTX (P = 0.84) and significantly more pronounced

than that in CTX (P < 0.001).

Since the strength of the effect of NMDAR blockade on urIPSCs was surprising to us, we

sought to provide another line of evidence for these findings in an experimental setting that

does not involve uncaging of glutamate (which might preferentially activate NMDARs, see

Discussion). The afterhyperpolarization (AHP) following single MC APs elicited by somatic

current injection was found to mainly reflect recurrent inhibition in brain slices from adult

mouse (Nunes and Kuner, 2018), while in juvenile rat inhibitory synaptic contributions to the

AHP were observed to be less substantial but still detectable (on the order of 20-30% relative

to the K^+ channel-mediated component, Dumenieu et al., 2015). We used this paradigm to

test whether NMDAR blockade alone could interfere with recurrent inhibition (Fig. 4F). Single

MC AP AHPs (n = 13 MCs, V_{hold} = -70 mV) had a mean amplitude ΔV_m = − 9.5 ± 2.1 mV and

a mean half duration $t_{1/2}$ = 43 ± 25 ms. Fig. 4G shows that APV application significantly

reduced the mean AHP amplitude to a fraction of 0.86 ± 0.12 of control (P < 0.001, Wilcoxon

test; reduction in 12 of 13 MCs), while the half duration was not changed (fraction of control

1.02 ± 0.42, P = 0.65). Next, to block $GABA_ARs$ we turned to gabazine (GBZ, 20 µM), since

BCC might affect the K^+ channels that contribute to slow AHPs (Khawaled et al. 1999,

Dumenieu et al. 2015). GBZ alone caused a slightly stronger reduction than APV which was

not significantly different (to 0.71 ± 0.10 of control, n = 7 MCs, P = 0.08 vs APV, not shown).

Occlusion experiments using APV before GBZ or GBZ before APV did result in no further

reduction (0.98 ± 0.10 of amplitude in presence of first drug, n = 8 MCs, P = 0.45, Fig. 4F,G).

Thus these experiments further support a substantial role of GC NMDARs for GABA release.

From these and the uncaging experiments we conclude that NMDARs located on GCs are

strongly involved in reciprocal release, even though prevention of HVACC activation (via Na_v

block or pharmacology) also blocks reciprocal release. What is the underlying mechanism?

The simplest explanation for a fast cooperation would be a summation of NMDAR- and

HVACC-mediated Ca^{2+} currents at the presynaptic Ca^{2+} sensor. For this scenario two

requirements need to be satisfied: (1) temporal overlap of Ca^{2+} currents and (2) spatial

proximity of NMDARs and HVACCs within the same microdomain.

Temporal overlap: Simulations of GC spine Ca^{2+} currents via HVACCs and NMDARs

In conventional glutamatergic synapses the NMDAR-mediated postsynaptic current is rising

rather slowly compared to the AMPAR-mediated component (rise time ~ 10 ms, Lester et al.

1990) and therefore the fractional NMDAR Ca^{2+} current seems at first an unlikely candidate

to make direct contributions to fast release. In the reciprocal GC spines however, Na_v

channels are locally activated, which enables fast and substantial NMDAR activation. Fig. 5A

shows a simulation of the postsynaptic NMDAR- and HVACC-mediated Ca^{2+} currents (based

on the detailed NEURON model described in Aghvami et al. 2019, see Methods) which

illustrates that the local AP causes a transient boosting of the NMDAR Ca^{2+} current because

of further relief of the Mg^{2+} block during the upstroke and overshoot of the spine spike.

According to the simulation this fast NMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+} spikelet begins even before the

HVACC-mediated current and tightly overlaps with it within > 1 ms. Thus HVACC- and

NMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+} currents could act in a cooperative manner at the Ca^{2+} sensor(s) that

mediate fast release of GABA, especially so, if the release probability was proportional to the

fourth power of local $\Delta[Ca^{2+}]$ or more as at other central synapses, and if the channels were

close enough to form a microdomain, allowing for an 'overlap bonus' (Stanley 2016, Fig. 5B).

In the temporal domain, this overlap was found to be highly robust against the variation of the

combined Na_v/K_v conductance, and increases in either AMPAR conductance g_{AMPA} or neck

resistance R_{neck} resulted in an earlier activation of both HVACC and NMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+}

currents and even stronger overlap (Fig. 5C, see Methods). Decreases resulted at first in

little change and then a loss of the spine spike whereupon there is no more HVACC

activation. To illustrate specifically the contribution of the Na_v-mediated boosting of the

NMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+} current, we also calculated the overlap bonus for the HVACC-

mediated current as above and the NMDAR current in absence of the spine spike, which

indeed renders the cooperative effect negligible (Fig. 5B).

Spatial proximity: Ultrastructural evidence for presynaptic localization of NMDARs

For fast neurotransmitter release to occur, the current view is that Ca^{2+} entry needs to

happen in a proximity of 100 nm or less from the Ca^{2+} sensing part of the SNARE machinery

(reviewed in Kaeser and Regehr, 2014; Stanley 2016). Therefore, to permit cooperation of

Ca^{2+} influxes, NMDARs should be localized very close to or within the GABAergic

presynapse. Earlier electron microscopic (EM) studies had reported the presence of postsynaptic NMDARs in GC spines and observed also extrasynaptic labeling (Sassoè-Pognetto & Ottersen 2000, Sassoè et al. 2003). To test for the presence of NMDARs near or within GABAergic active zones, we analysed GC spine heads in ultrathin sections that were immunogold-labeled with either GluN1 or GluN2A/B antibodies (Fig. 6A; see Methods); the sections were selected for the presence of at least one asymmetric (i.e. glutamatergic) contact and/or one symmetric (i.e. GABAergic) contact.

Interestingly, we observed that when both symmetric and asymmetric synaptic profiles were visible in individual GC-MC dendrodendritic pairs, the two synaptic profiles were mostly either contiguous (44% of n = 60 cases, examples Fig. 6A2,A3, cumulative plot Fig. 6B) or closer than 100 nm (36% of cases). This observation implies that on both sides of the dendrodendritic synaptic arrangement the postsynaptic specialization very often merges with presynaptic release sites without interposition of non-synaptic membrane domains, and that on the GC side postsynaptic NMDARs can be located very closely to the GABAergic presynapse.

Immunogold labeling for NMDARs was also frequently observed in symmetric contacts, albeit at a lesser density compared to asymmetric contacts (Fig. 6A). To establish whether there is an increased likelihood for the presence of NMDARs at symmetric contacts, we compared the densities of labeling in non-synaptic membrane segments of GC spines with those in symmetric synapses, and as a control in asymmetric synapses. GC spines establishing synapses with MC dendrites were selected as described (see Methods) and all discernible membrane segments within a spine were analysed. For GluN1, there were 50 particles along 84.5 µm of 138 non-synaptic spine membrane segments (mean 0.6 particles/µm), 70 particles along 32.7 µm of 120 symmetric profiles (mean 2.1 particles/µm) and 394 particles along 28.6 µm of 111 asymmetric profiles (mean 13.8 particles/µm). Fig. 6C shows the density distributions across the three types of membrane segments. The distribution of the density of gold particles at symmetric synapses was significantly higher than the one in non-synaptic membrane (P = 0.000029, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). For GluN2A/B, the sample size was smaller, with 5 particles along 10.0 µm of 24 non-synaptic spine membrane segments (mean 0.5 particles/µm), 89 particles along 14.0 µm of 46 symmetric profiles

(mean 6.4 particles/µm) and 96 particles along 6.1 µm of 25 asymmetric profiles (mean 15.7

particles/µm). Still, the density distribution at symmetric synapses was significantly higher

than the distribution at the non-synaptic membrane (P = 0.000048). Interestingly, the labeling

densities for GluN1 and also GluN2A/B were similar across non-synaptic membranes and

asymmetric synapses (P = 0.99 and P = 0.81, respectively). However, labeling of symmetric

synapses was considerably stronger for GluN2A/B versus GluN1 (P = 0.00042). This

difference might be due to a distinct receptor configuration and/or a better accessibility of the

GluN2 epitopes in the presynaptic symmetric membrane.

Finally, we analysed the radial distribution of particles across synaptic profiles for both

antibodies to establish whether labeling was predominantly associated with either the GC or

the MC membrane (Fig. 6D; see Methods). The distribution of both GluN1 and GluN2A/B

label at asymmetric synapses was similar, with a main peak just inside the postsynaptic GC

membrane and another peak within the synaptic cleft. Only a few gold particles were

localized beyond 20 nm on the MC side, suggesting that NMDARs are not expressed at

significant levels at the glutamate release sites on the MC membrane. Notably, the

distribution of gold particles at symmetric synapses mirrored the one at asymmetric

synapses, implying that labeling for NMDARs is predominantly associated with both the

presynaptic GABAergic and the postsynaptic glutamatergic membrane of GCs.

In summary, we conclude from these findings that NMDARs do play a direct presynaptic role

for recurrent release of GABA.

Discussion

Here we have demonstrated that mimicking unitary synaptic inputs via two-photon uncaging of glutamate onto individual olfactory bulb GC spines can activate the entire microcircuit within the spine, from the local spine spike to the release of GABA onto MC lateral dendrites, proving the mini-neuron-like functionality of the reciprocal microcircuit. As in classical axonal release, sequential Na_v channel and HVACC activation triggers output, which occurs on both fast and slow time scales. Strikingly, however, NMDA receptors are also found to play a presynaptic role for GABA release.

Properties of microcircuit operation

For the proximal reciprocal GC inputs investigated here we estimate that under physiological conditions close to the MC resting potential the size of the fast IPSCs is on the order of -5 pA, after corrections for the partial $GABA_AR$ block by DNI and the setting of E_{Cl}. Thus, assuming an *in vivo* MC input resistance of 100 MΩ (Angelo and Margrie, 2011), a single GC-mediated IPSC will exert a somatic hyperpolarization of at best 0.5 mV. Thus proximal single GC spine inputs are likely to play a rather subtle role in influencing MC firing (Fukunaga et al., 2014; McIntyre and Cleland, 2016) - unless there is coordinated activity across GC spines connected to the same MC dendrite, for example in the wake of an MC action potential during the recurrent IPSP (see e.g. Fig. 4F), during gamma oscillations (e.g. Kay, 2003; Lagier et al., 2004) or due to 'super-inhibitory connectivity' as predicted by Gilra and Bhalla (2015).

Upon local activation we observed a release probability P_r from the GC spine on the order of 0.33. This P_r value might represent an upper limit, because the global reduction of inhibition by DNI could cause a homeostatic upregulation of P_r at GABAergic synapses (e.g. Rannals and Kapur, 2011). Moreover there is a general bias for detection of connections with larger P_r due to high levels of spontaneous activity and the limited recording time. However, since our experiments were conducted at room temperature, physiological temperatures might counterbalance such effects.

With $P_{r\_GABA} \approx 0.3$ and the probability for MC glutamate release on the order of $P_{r\_Glu} \approx 0.5 - 0.75$ (Egger et al., 2005; Pressler and Strowbridge, 2017) the efficiency of the entire reciprocal microcircuit can be estimated as $P_{reciprocal} = P_{r\_Glu} \cdot P_{r\_GABA} \approx 0.2$, possibly informing

future network models of bulbar interactions. The rather low P_{r_GABA} observed here also

implies that GC spines might be enabled to release with higher probabilities by coincident

global GC signalling (Ca^{2+} spike or global AP), due to increased ΔCa^{2+} in the spine (Egger et

al., 2005; Egger, 2008; Aghvami et al., 2019).

As to the minimal latency for GABA release, the temporal resolution of our experiments is

limited by the duration of the uncaging pulse (1 ms) and by not knowing the membrane

potential time course $V_m(t)$ in the GC spine. Fig. 1E shows that the fastest urIPSCs were

detected within 2 ms from TPU onset, implying that there is a fast mechanism coupling Ca^{2+}

entry to release. Earlier, tight coupling between Ca^{2+} entry and GABA release has been

demonstrated at this synapse using EGTA (Isaacson, 2001), and a crucial role for Na_v

channels has been suggested (Bywalez et al., 2015; Nunes and Kuner, 2018), both

confirmed by our present results.

While ~ 30% of urIPSCs occurred within 10 ms post TPU onset, there was also a substantial

fraction with longer latencies in the range of 10 - 30 ms and some even larger delays.

Substantial asynchronous release from the GC spine on yet longer time scales (detected at

up to 500 ms post TPU) was frequently observed. Thus with regard to temporal processing

the microcircuit is capable of operating across a wide range of latencies, which might

contribute to glomerulus-specific GC global AP firing latencies (Kapoor and Urban, 2006),

and also of generating combined synchronous and asynchronous output.

Na_v-mediated and NMDAR-mediated contributions to release

How is postsynaptic Ca^{2+} entry coupled to release of GABA within the GC spine? Previously

we have shown via occlusion experiments that Ca^{2+} entry via NMDARs occurs independently

from Ca^{2+} entry mediated by the Na_v-HVACC pathway, since AMPAR-mediated

depolarization on its own is strong enough to lift the Mg^{2+} block, probably due to boosting by

the high GC spine neck resistance (Bywalez et al., 2015). Therefore we hypothesized that

the Na_v-HVACC pathway would provide the sole trigger for fast release of GABA, as in

classical release from axons (see also the recent findings on GC Na_v 1.2 by Nunes and

Kuner, 2018), reinforcing the notion of the GC spine as an independent mini-neuron that can

generate output via its own local AP. Indeed, blockade of either Na_v or HVACCs strongly

reduced or abolished urIPSCs. However, in subsequent experiments probing NMDAR

contribution we observed that urIPSCs were massively reduced by blockade of NMDARs as

well.

As a note of caution, activation of single GC spines via TPU might involve spurious activation

of extrasynaptic NMDARs, since at the large GC spines the TPU volume does not

necessarily precisely match the location of the glutamatergic postsynapse. We also observed

that TPU resulted in a slightly larger NMDA-receptor mediated component of the

postsynaptic Ca^{2+} signal than true synaptic activation via glomerular stimulation (~ 65 % vs ~

50% of ΔF/F, Bywalez et al., 2015; Egger et al., 2005). Thus at least part of the strong impact

of NMDARs observed here might have been rooted in their over-activation. Therefore we

investigated recurrent inhibition elicited by single MC APs, and could demonstrate that

NMDAR blockade alone (which does not prevent GC spine spike generation, see Bywalez et

al., 2015) also reduces recurrent inhibition. This effect was found to be mutually occlusive

with the effect of GABA$_A$R blockade, arguing again in favor of an essential role of NMDARs

for GABA release.

In our previous study of postsynaptic Ca^{2+} signals in GC spines there was no obvious

influence of Na_v activation on NMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+} entry (Bywalez et al. 2015). At first, this

finding might seem at variance with the current simulations in Fig.5 that demonstrate a

boosting of early NMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+} currents by the spine spike. However, the

simluations also indicate that this extra contribution is not substantial in terms of added ΔF/F

under our experimental conditions and therefore could not be detected (Fig. 5D).

In line with the fast NMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+} spikelet, Kampa et al. (2004) have shown that the

earlier the postsynaptic membrane is depolarized after glutamate release, the more

efficiently NMDARs will be activated. These observations are of general importance in the

context of spike-timing dependent plasticity and electrical compartmentalization of spines

(e.g. Grunditz and Oertner, 2004, Tonnesen and Nägerl, 2016).

How exactly do NMDARs now effect release? The Na_v/NMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+} spikelet

results in substantial and fast coincident activation of NMDARs and HVACCs, and our

ultrastructural evidence for presynaptic NMDARs implies that indeed these currents can feed

into the same presynaptic microdomain (see Results). This overlap bonus at the presynaptic

Ca^{2+} sensor (as predicted by the simulations) is likely to underlie the observed cooperative

signalling of HVACCs and NMDARs.

Direct involvement of presynaptic NMDARs in GABA release

Presynaptic actions of NMDARs at specific subsets of both glutamatergic and GABAergic

synapses have recently received attention (reviewed in Bouvier et al., 2015; Banerjee and

Paulsen, 2016). Presynaptic NMDARs have been shown to play a role in plasticity induction

(e.g. Duguid and Smart, 2004) or in modulation of basal synaptic transmission, where effects

are mostly observed upon repetitive transmitter release (e.g. McGuiness et al. 2010).

Presynaptic NMDARs in the cerebellum are also involved in enhancing spontaneous release

of GABA (Glitsch and Marty, 1999), and similar observations have recently been made for

extrasynaptic NMDA receptors on retinal A17 amacrine cells (Veruki et al., 2019). However,

to our knowledge direct triggering of release during unitary transmission via presynaptic

NMDARs has not been observed so far, adding another pathway to the already highly

diverse signalling downstream of NMDARs.

The observed cooperation between Na$_v$/HVACCs and NMDARs relates our study back to the

initial studies on dendrodendritic recurrent inhibition (DDI), when it was concluded by several

groups that NMDARs can contribute directly to release from the reciprocal spine upon

extended release of glutamate from the MC dendrite (see Introduction), and Schoppa et al.

(1998) already speculated that this presynaptic function might be related to either Ca^{2+} entry

or depolarization, favoring the latter possibility. However, the relative contribution of

NMDARs has been under debate and it was demonstrated that under physiological

conditions HVACC activation would be the dominant release trigger (Isaacson 2001). While

the standard DDI protocol, using 20-50 ms long depolarizations, would evoke recurrent

inhibition also in the presence of TTX (possibly even enhanced, Halabisky et al., 2000), it

was reported by two groups that recurrent inhibition in response to shorter steps (< 5 ms) is

substantially smaller than for the long step and reduced in TTX (Schoppa et al., 1998;

Halabisky et al., 2000). In view of our finding of the spine spike and its ability to trigger

release these observations can be explained such that the standard DDI protocol can recruit

NMDAR-dependent pathways for triggering GABA release via prolonged release of

glutamate and the subsequent summation of EPSPs in GC spines, whereas short stimulation

is more likely to trigger release via the GC spine spike. Thus the cooperation of NMDARs

and HVACCs reconciles the conflicting earlier findings.

Ultimately, what is the advantage of this cooperative release mechanism for recurrent

processing? At this point, we can merely guess that perhaps the involvement of presynaptic

NMDARs allows the mini-neuron to incorporate extra modulatory mechanisms that it is

otherwise deprived of, since its compact arrangement prevents any modulatory interference

known to occur in conventional neurons during the classical steps of integration from the

synapse via the dendrite to the axon hillock.

Reciprocal inhibition and spontaneous inhibitory activity

Since MCs with truncated tufts do not show a reduced sIPSC frequency (Arnson and

Strowbridge, 2017), sIPSCs are likely to predominantly originate from the more proximal

lateral MC dendrites and consist of GC inputs. The larger mean amplitude of sIPSCs

compared to triggered urIPSCs observed here might be explained by perisomatic inhibitory

contacts onto MCs, e.g. by type S GCs (Naritsuka et al. 2009) or connections from other

bulbar inhibitory interneuron types that might feature stronger synapses and/or several

contacts. All three antagonists of urIPSC generation (TTX, CTX, APV) were found to also

substantially reduce spontaneous IPSC frequency. In the case of Na_v blockade, strong

effects on MC sIPSCs were also reported elsewhere (e.g. Halabisky et al., 2000; Arnson and

Strowbridge, 2017) and are expected because of the blockade of spontaneous MC firing and

thus a decrease in the excitation levels also of synaptically connected inhibitory neurons; in

particular, the blockade of the GC spine spike will prevent recurrent GABA release upon

spontaneous release of glutamate from MC lateral dendrites onto GC spines. The effect of

HVACC blockade was less pronounced than that of Na_vs, probably because it will not

prevent spontaneous MC firing and thus have less of an effect on global network activity.

The substantial effect of NMDAR blockade on spontaneous IPSCs was also observed in

other olfactory bulb studies (Wellis and Kauer, 1993; Schmidt and Strowbridge, 2014). Part

of the strong contribution of NMDARs may be related to the long-lasting depolarizations

observed in MCs that are enhanced in amplitude and duration by NMDARs (Carlson et al.,

2000) and in our hands often increased their frequency in the presence of DNI. MC NMDA

autoreceptors might also contribute (see Introduction). Finally, the crucial role of NMDARs for

release from the GC spine reported here explains why spontaneous release of glutamate from MCs is unlikely to trigger recurrent IPSCs in the presence of APV. Thus the strong effect of APV on sIPSCs is also in line with the presynaptic function of NMDARs.

Functional role of local reciprocal processing

While there is first evidence for substantial dendritic Ca^{2+} signals and local GC spine signals *in vivo* (Wienisch and Murthy, 2016; Wallace et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2016), the extent to which specifically local GABA release from GC spines contributes to odor processing in the olfactory bulb is difficult to estimate at this point. Although global GC spiking is probably not required for the generation of gamma oscillations (Lagier et al., 2004), the precise role of gamma in odor processing is not yet clear. It is tempting to speculate that local recurrent feedback might allow for glomerular columns to act as independent oscillators.

Both GC NMDARs and Na_vs are known to play a role specifically in discriminations between binary odorant mixtures, since in the same behavioral paradigm GC-specific knock-down (in about ~ 50% of GCs) of GluN1 resulted in an increase in discrimination time of on average ~ 60 ms, and knock-down of Na_vs of ~ 85 ms versus control, while discriminations between pure odors were not affected (Abraham et al., 2010; Nunes and Kuner, 2018). However, the relative impact of recurrent inhibition versus lateral inhibition is not clear. Future methodological advances willl be required to tackle the contribution of the GC spine spike along with its cooperative release mechanism to bulbar processing, including voltage-sensitive dye imaging and novel genetic loss-of-function approaches for dissecting local recurrent release from lateral inhibition - perhaps by targeting specifically presynaptic NMDARs. In any case, our data show that fast recurrent inhibition can be triggered by single mitral cell inputs, which may explain the purpose of the granule cell spine spike. We predict that these mechanisms are likely to be of crucial importance for fast bulbar processing.

476
Figure 1. **TPU-induced glutamatergic activation of GC spines triggers GABA release detected as**
uncaging-evoked reciprocal IPSCs (urIPSCs) in MCs.
(**A**) Experimental setting (scheme and image): Somatic whole cell voltage clamp recording from MC
filled with Alexa 594 (red, 50 µM) and TPU of DNI-caged glutamate (DNI; blue star) at GC spines in
VGAT-Venus rats (GCs green).
(**B**) Example of consecutive uncaging traces showing urIPSC responses (black) and failures (grey).
(**C**) Effect of GABA$_A$R blockade (bicuculline, BCC, 50 µM, blue) on urIPSCs and spontaneous
activity. Top: Example experiment, showing averaged traces. Bottom: Cumulative data on urIPSC
amplitude (left panel, n =7) and on frequency of spontaneous events (right panel, n = 6).
(**D**) Analysis of asynchronous events. Top: Example trace from (B) with analysis intervals pre- and
post-uncaging (grey areas, black arrows). Counted events marked by blue arrowheads. Bottom:
Normalized IPSC event number and area (integrated activity, relative increase vs control Δ) in pre-
versus post-uncaging intervals (n = 27, see Methods).

(**E**) Stability of urIPSC recordings. Left: Representative experiment showing individual urIPSC
amplitude values over consecutive stimulations (1 TPU per min). Insets: Averaged urIPSC responses
in the first (black, n = 3 responses) and last ten minutes (grey, n = 3). Right: Comparison of averaged
normalized urIPSC amplitudes separated by 10 min interval (n = 7 MCs).
(**F**) Properties of first triggered urIPSCs. Left: Amplitudes (n = 32 MCs, V_m = +10 mV). Dark grey:
Amplitude distribution of spontaneous IPSCs for comparison (n = 14 MCs, mean amplitudes). Middle:
Release probabilities P_r (n = 44). Right: Latencies from TPU onset (n = 36).
All Figures: Significance (non-parametric tests): n.s. not significant, * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P <
0.001; mean data ± S.D.

499
Figure 2. The urIPSC is reduced by Na$_v$ blockade (TTX, 500 nM).
(**A, B**) Representative experiments showing a patch-clamped mitral cell (Alexa594 50 μM, red), the uncaging site(s) along a lateral dendrite (blue star) and below the corresponding uncaging traces with individual traces shown in grey, average control traces in red and average TTX traces indicated in blue (A: VGAT-Venus rat, single-site TPU, B: Wistar rat, multi-site TPU).
(**C**) Magnified illustration of traces in (A) (for control individual traces with urIPSC responses and their average, for TTX only average, color coding as above).
(**D**) Left: Cumulative normalized data showing the strong reduction of urIPSC amplitude during Na$_v$ blockade with TTX (n = 12). Diamonds indicate the experiments with no detectable response in the presence of the drug. Right: Comparison of normalized IPSC Δ_area between control and in the presence of TTX (see Methods, n = 10).
(**E**) sIPSC frequency comparison beween control and in presence of TTX (n = 10). See also A,B.

512
Figure 3. Blockade of high voltage activated Ca^{2+} channels by ω-conotoxin MVIIC (CTX, 1
µM) causes a prominent reduction of urIPSC amplitudes.
(**A, B**) Two representative experiments in brain slices from VGAT-Venus rat with the corresponding
MC (red), the site of TPU (blue star) and the uncaging traces according to the condition (individual
traces : grey, average control: red, average CTX: green).
(**C**) Left: Magnified illustration of traces in B (for control individual traces with urIPSC responses and
their average, for CTX only average, color coding as above).
(**D**) Left: Summary of effects of CTX on average normalized urIPSC amplitude (n = 8). Diamonds
indicate the experiments with no detectable response in the presence of the drug. Right: Comparison
of delta urIPSC areas normalized to control versus in the presence of CTX (n = 9).
(**E**) Inhibitory effect of CTX on spontaneous IPSC frequency (n = 7). See also A,B.

524
Figure 4. NMDAR blockade with D-APV (25 µM) results in a strong reduction of urIPSC
amplitudes and also reduces AHPs following mitral cell APs
(**A, B**) Two representative uncaging experiments with the corresponding MC (red), the site of TPU
(blue star) and the uncaging traces according to the condition (individual traces: grey, average control:
red, average APV: dark grey); both VGAT-Venus.
(**C**) Magnified illustration of traces in A (for control individual traces with urIPSC responses (grey)
and their average (red), for APV only average (dark grey)).
(**D**) Left: Summary of effects of APV on average normalized urIPSC amplitude (n = 10). Diamonds
indicate the experiments with no detectable response in the presence of the drug. Right: Comparison
of delta urIPSC integrals between control versus in the presence of APV (n = 10).
(**E**) Cumulative effect of APV on spontaneous IPSC frequency; see also A,B (n = 9).
(**F**) Representative example of MC AP evoked by somatic current injection in control conditions
(black trace) and in the presence of APV (grey trace) and added GBZ (20 µM; blue trace). Inset:
Magnified AHPs.
(**G**) Left: Cumulative effects of APV on average normalized AHP amplitude (n = 11 MCs). Right:
Effect of occlusion experiments on AHP amplitude (APV before GBZ or GBZ before APV, n = 8).

Figure 5. Temporal overlap of HVACC- and NMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+} currents. Simulated spine Ca^{2+} currents and $(\Delta F/F)_{TPU}$

(**A**) Top panels: spine membrane potential V_m and Ca^{2+} currents (as in Aghvami et al. 2019, their Fig 2B, but without exogenous Ca^{2+} buffer). Bottom: Blow-up of NMDAR- and HVACC-mediated Ca^{2+} currents, overlaid with the membrane potential V_m. Note the early onset of the NMDAR Ca^{2+} current and its increase during the underlying local action potential. Red dotted line: NMDAR Ca^{2+} current in the absence of Na_v channels.

(**B**) The temporal coincidence creates an ,overlap bonus' with respect to local Ca^{2+} concentration and triggering of release: Fourth power of single and added Ca^{2+} currents. Black dotted line: Addition (hypothetical!) of HVACC with NMDAR Ca^{2+} current in the absence of Na_v.

(**C**) Robustness test for extent of overlap between NMDAR- and HVACC-mediated Ca^{2+} current. Overlap is measured as the stretch of overlapping FWHMs of the current transients (Full Width Half Maxima, see Methods). Parameter variation within 50 - 200% of the nominal value of the neck resistance R_{neck}, the AMPAR conductance gAMPA and the coupled Na_v/K_v conductance $g_{Na/K}$. Results are shown only for parameter runs with spine spike.

(**D**) Simulated fluorescence transients $\Delta F/F$ (in the presence of 100 µM OGB-1) show that the contribution of the Na_v-mediated boost of NMDAR Ca^{2+} currents to the total NMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+} signal is almost negligible (see Discussion).

562
Figure 6. Ultrastructural analysis of the distribution of NMDARs at reciprocal dendro-dendritic
synapses.
(**A**) Representative micrographs of reciprocal dendrodendritic synapses labeled for GluN1 (A1 and
A2) and GluN2A/B (A3, A4). Note that asymmetric MC-to-GC synapses (red arrows) are strongly
labeled and that symmetric GC-to-MC junctions (blue arrows) are also immunopositive. Gold particles
are usually not associated with non-synaptic plasma membrane domains of GC spines. The inset in
panel A2 illustrates the selection of non-synaptic membrane segments (black brackets), symmetric
synapses (blue) and asymmetric synapses (red).
(**B**) Frequency distribution of distances between symmetric and asymmetric synaptic profiles on the
same GC spine membrane (based on n = 60 micrographs with both types of profiles on the same
spine).
(**C**) Frequency distributions of labeling densities across synaptic (symmetric and asymmetric) and
non-synaptic membrane segments for GluN1 (thick lines) and GluN2A/B (thin dotted lines). The
arrows on the x-axis indicate the mean density (GluN1: solid, GluN2A/B: open). Color code as in (A).
(**D**) Radial distribution of gold particles representing GluN1 (top panel) and GluN2A/B (bottom panel)
at asymmetric (red) and symmetric (blue) synapses. Particle numbers were normalized to the total
length of the respective synaptic segments.

580
Figure 7 Summary: Mechanisms leading to release of GABA from the granule cell spine.
Depolarizing currents are indicated by red arrows and Ca^{2+} entry by green arrows.
Upon binding of glutamate, AMPARs get activated, leading to depolarization of the spine, then
activation of both post- and presynaptic NMDARs and Na_vs. The latter in turn drive further
depolarization, i.e. the spine spike, which both activates HVACCs and enhances Ca^{2+} entry via
NMDARs by additional relief of their Mg^{2+} block. HVACC- and presynaptic NMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+}
currents cooperate to promote release of GABA.

Methods

Animal handling, slice preparation and electrophysiology

Animals used in our experiments were juvenile Wistar or VGAT-Venus transgenic rats (VGAT-Venus /w-Tg(SLc32a1-YFP*)1Yyan) of either sex (P11 – P19). VGAT-Venus transgenic rats are based on mouse BAC transgenic lines. They were generated by Drs. Y. Yanagawa, M. Hirabayashi and Y. Kawaguchi at the National Institute for Physiological Sciences, Okazaki, Japan, using pCS2-Venus provided by Dr. A. Miyawaki (Uematsu et al., 2008), RRID: RGD_2314361. In this rat line, fluorescent Venus protein is preferentially expressed in cells carrying the vesicular GABA transporter (VGAT), i.e. GABAergic neurons: the localization of Venus-labeled cells across OB layers was found to be similar to that of GABA-positive cells; direct colocalization in the cortex yielded an overlap of 97% (Uematsu et al., 2008).

Sagittal olfactory bulb brain slices (thickness 300 µm) were prepared in ACSF (composition see below) following procedures in accordance with the rules laid down by the EC Council Directive (86/89/ECC) and German animal welfare legislation. Slices were incubated a water bath at 33°C for 30 min and then kept at room temperature (22°C) until recordings were performed.

Olfactory bulb mitral cells were visualized by gradient contrast and recorded from in whole cell voltage clamp mode (at -70 mV or +10 mV) or current clamp mode. Recordings were made with an EPC-10 amplifier and Patchmaster v2.60 software (both HEKA Elektronik, Lambrecht/Pfalz, Germany). Experiments were performed at room temperature (22°C). Patch pipette resistance ranged from 5-6-MΩ. Mitral cells clamped at -70 mV were filled with intracellular solution containing the following substances (in mM): (1) tip solution: 130 K-Methylsulfate, 10 HEPES, 4 MgCl2, 2 Ascorbic acid, 10 Phosphocreatine-di-tris-salt, 2.5 Na2ATP, 0.4 NaGTP (2) backfilling solution: 110 Cs-Chloride, 10 HEPES, 10 TEA, 4MgCl2, 2 Ascorbic acid, 10 5-N-(2,6-dimethylphenylcarbamoylmethyl) triethylammonium bromide (QX-314, Sigma), 0.2 EGTA, 10 Phosphocreatine, 2.5 Na2ATP, 0.4 NaGTP. Mitral cells clamped at + 10 mV contained internal solution composed of: 125 Cs-methanesulfonate 1 NaCl, 0.5 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 3 MgATP, 0.3 NaGTP, 10 Phosphocreatine-di-Tris-salt, 10 QX-

314, 0.05 Alexa 594 (Ca^{2+} indicator, Thermofisher Scientific, Waltham. Massachusetts, US),

at pH 7.3.

For current clamp experiments the internal solution contained: 130 K-Methylsulfate, 10

HEPES, 4 MgCl2, 2 Ascorbic acid, 10 Phosphocreatine-di-Tris-salt, 2.5 Na2ATP, 0.4

NaGTP. Single APs were evoked by somatic current injection (3 ms, 1 nA) and 5 APs were

elicited for every recording condition. Cells with leak currents > 200 pA (at V_{hold} = - 70 mV)

were discarded. We chose a hyperpolarized holding potential in order to reduce the

activation of NMDA autoreceptors on MC lateral dendrites.

The extracellular ACSF was bubbled with carbogen and contained (in mM): 125 NaCl, 26

$NaHCO_3$, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4, 20 glucose, 2.5 KCl, 1 $MgCl_2$, and 2 $CaCl_2$. The following

pharmacological agents were bath-applied in some experiments: bicuculline (BCC, 50 μM,

Sigma-Aldrich), ω-conotoxin MVIIC (CTX, 1 μM, Alomone, Jerusalem, Israel), TTX (500 nM,

Alomone), D-APV (25 μM, Tocris), gabazine (GBZ, 20 μM, Tocris). In pharmacological

experiments we waited for 10 minutes after wash-in of the drugs TTX, APV resp. CTX. In

CTX experiments 1mg/ml cyctochrome C was added to the ACSF. TTX voltage clamp

experiments were conducted at clamping potentials of -70 mV (n = 5) or + 10 mV (n = 7),

whereas all CTX and APV voltage clamp experiments were conducted at + 10 mV.

Combined two-photon imaging and uncaging

Imaging and uncaging were performed on a Femto-2D-uncage microscope (Femtonics,

Budapest, Hungary). Two tunable, Verdi-pumped Ti:Sa lasers (Chameleon Ultra I and II

respectively, Coherent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) were used in parallel. The first laser was set

either to 900 nm for simultaneous excitation of YFP and Alexa 594 in one channel for

visualization of spines and the mitral cell for urIPSC recordings, and the second laser was

set to 750 nm for uncaging of caged glutamate. The two laser lines were directly coupled into

the pathway of the microscope with a polarization cube (PBS102, Thorlabs Inc, Newton, NJ,

USA) and two motorized mirrors. As caged compound we used DNI-caged glutamate (DNI;

Femtonics). DNI was used in 1 mM concentration in a closed perfusion circuit with a total

volume of 12 ml. Caged compounds were washed in for at least 10 minutes before starting

measurements. The uncaging laser was switched using an electro-optical modulator

(Pockels cell model 350-80, Conoptics, Danbury, CT, USA). The emitted fluorescence was

split into a red and a green channel with a dichroic mirror.

<u>Triggering of mitral cell reciprocal IPSCs</u>

The region of interest on a proximal lateral mitral cell dendrite was moved to the center of the

scanning field. In some initial experiments, four uncaging spots were placed in close

apposition along the lateral mitral cell dendrite in case of 'blind' uncaging (n = 8 out of total

number of successful experiments n = 44). In most experiments, a single uncaging spot was

positioned near the region of interest, using YFP fluorescence of GABAergic cells in VGAT-

Venus rats as an optical guide to identify potential synaptic contacts between MC lateral

dendrites and GC spines.

The parameter settings for two-photon uncaging were as established previously on the same

experimental rig for precise mimicking of unitary synaptic Ca^{2+} transients in GC spines

(Egger et al., 2005; Bywalez et al., 2015). These parameter settings were routinely verified in

parallel test experiments, where we imaged Ca^{2+} transients in GC spines following TPU (as

in Bywalez et al. 2015). The uncaging power was adjusted to the depth of the measured

region of interest, corresponding to a laser power of approximately 15 mW at the uncaging

site (Sobczyk et al., 2005). The uncaging beam was positioned at ~ 0.2 - 0.5 µm distance

from the MC dendrite/GC spine head. The uncaging pulse duration was 1 ms. The scanning

position was readjusted if necessary before each measurement to account for drift. The

microscope was equipped with a 60x Nikon Fluor water immersion objective (NA 1.0; Nikon

Instruments, Tokyo, Japan). The microscope was controlled by MES v.5.3190 software

(Femtonics).

Uncaging stability

To test for the stability of uncaging evoked recurrent IPSCs in MCs, uncaging at a dendritic

region of interest was performed. If repeated uncaging led to the apparent occurrence of

urIPSCs within the same time window (see below for anlysis details), the stability

measurement was continued by either uncaging 30 times in total with a frequency of 0.033

Hz (every 30 s) or 5 times in a row with 0.033 Hz followed by a 10 min break (to mimic the

time for wash-in of pharmacological compounds) and another round of uncaging (5x,

0.033Hz). urIPSC amplitudes were taken from averages of the first 5 – 10 and the last 5 – 10

uncaging sweeps and statistically compared with each other.

Electrophysiology: Data analysis and statistics

Electrophysiological data were analysed with custom written macros in Igor pro

(Wavemetrics, Lake Oswego, OR, USA). Additional sIPSC and urIPSC analysis was

performed using the MiniAnalysis program (Synaptosoft, Decature, GA, USA) and Origin

(Northampton, MA, USA).

<u>Detection of urISPCs</u> Due to the high spontaneous activity, in order to test for the presence

of a signal we performed first an area and event analysis of IPSC traces (see below and Fig.

1D; if a signal was detected based on these analyses, we went on to search for individual

triggered urIPSCs by visual inspection of an overlay of the recorded traces. Individual IPSCs

were considered as uncaging-evoked when they repetitively occurred within the same time

window (width 3 ± 2 ms, n = 35) after uncaging and had similar kinetics (indicating a similar

location of the respective input on the dendrite). Signal types ranged from single urIPSC

events to barrages of urIPSCs lasting tens to hundreds of ms. The release probability was

estimated based on 5 – 30 TPU samplings with a mean of 7.5 ± 1.7 stimulations (n = 44).

<u>Area analysis</u> The area was measured in individual traces as the integrated activity above

baseline for a 500 ms pre-uncaging baseline window and for a 500 ms post-uncaging

window, in order to screen for the presence of a signal (Fig. 1D). The 500 ms extent of the

time windows was validated by our measurements of averaged barrage duration (see Fig.

S1D2).

Delta (Δ) area values were calculated by subtracting the area of the 500 ms pre-uncaging

baseline window ('pre') from the 500 ms post-uncaging window ('post'), in order to isolate the

amount of uncaging-evoked inhibitory activity from spontaneous activity. If this procedure

was applied to averaged traces and the result was negative, the Δ_area value was set to

zero (i.e. no uncaging-evoked activity). While this procedure might still generate false

positives due to spontaneous bursts of activity in the post-uncaging window, it also prevents

a spurious cancelling of activity (false negative) that otherwise might happen upon averaging

Δ_area across an entire set of experiments. Δ_area values for pharmacological conditions

were normalized to control ∆_area in order to assess the net effect of drugs on uncaging-

evoked inhibitory activity (Fig. 2D, 3D, 4D).

<u>Event analysis</u> Within the individual recorded traces, the peak time points of individual IPSCs

were analysed. Peak search parameters in MiniAnalysis were adjusted in order to detect

potentially all IPSCs within a trace. For detailed spontaneous IPSC amplitude analysis,

IPSCs were sorted manually after the automated peak search and discarded if the amplitude

exceeded less than 5 pA and/or the IPSC onset was not be detected properly. Event counts

were averaged for the 500 ms pre-uncaging and the 500 ms post-uncaging windows,

respectively.

<u>Evaluation of effects of pharmacological agents</u> For determining drug effects, the averaged

urIPSC amplitudes were scaled down by the ratio of number of responses to total number of

trials, both in control and drug condition, in order to account also for changes in release

probability. If no single responses/urIPSCs could be detected anymore in the presence of

TTX, CTX or APV according to the criteria described above, we measured the mean

amplitude of V_m above baseline in the averaged response at the time point of the maximal

response amplitude in control condition. If this value was below 0, the response size was set

to 0 pA. If the value was larger than 0, we interpreted it as average drug response amplitude

including failures and thus did not scale it. This conservative method prevents false

negatives due to lacking sensitivity in individual trials in the presence of the drug.

<u>Detection of spontaneous activity</u> Spontaneous IPSCs were recorded prior to wash-in of DNI,

in the presence of DNI and in the presence of each pharmacological compound. For each

condition, data were analysed for a total duration of 20 s of recordings.

<u>Analysis of afterhyperpolarizations</u> All stable MC AP recordings within either baseline or drug

condition were averaged (n = 5 each). If between the two conditions the holding membrane

potential changed by more than 0.3 mV, or the time course (onset of upstroke relative to

onset of step depolarization, width) and/or the amplitude of the AP changed by more than

15% from their baseline values, the experiment was discarded. If single individual recordings

showed such variations, they were not included in the average. For each average, the AHP

amplitude was measured as the maximal negative deflection of the membrane potential from

the resting membrane potential.

Simulations

The simulations are based on a published compartmental model in NEURON (Aghvami et al., 2019, ModelDB entry 244687). This model uses the 5-state gating model for NMDA receptors (Destexhe et al., 1998), while the HVACC model is adopted from Hemond et al. 2008, with adjustments based on own Ca^{2+} current recordings (see Aghvami et al., 2019). For the simulations shown in Fig. 5, there was no exogenous Ca^{2+} buffer included except for panel E where fluorescence transients were simulated in the presence of 100 μM of the Ca^{2+}-sensitive dye OGB-1 in order to emulate the experimental situation from Bywalez et al. 2015.

As a readout measure for the temporal overlap between I_{Ca_NMDAR} and I_{Ca_HVACC} we first determined the FWHM (full width half maximum) for each current and then the interval within which the two FWHMs overlapped. At this point, we focussed on temporal relationships and did not account for current amplitudes or integrals, since the Ca^{2+} concentration change within the relevant volume cannot be simulated in NEURON and thus spine Ca^{2+} current amplitudes are of limited meaning. We tested for the robustness of this measure against variation of those model parameters that are crucially involved in the generation of the spine spike, i.e. the resistance of the spine neck (R_{neck}), the conductance of AMPA receptors (g_{AMPA}) and the voltage-gated sodium channel conductance (varied in proportion to the potassium channel conductance, $g_{Na/K}$). Their nominal values in the GC model are 1.7 GΩ, 2000 pS and 0.5 S/cm^2 respectively. Each was varied between up to 200% of the nominal value and down until no spine spike was generated any more.

Immunogold labeling and electron microscopy

Immunogold labeling was performed on cryosubstituted rat olfactory bulbs (n = 4 animals, 3 months old), that had been used previously; for further details on the fixation, embedding and immunogold labeling procedure see (Sassoè-Pognetto and Ottersen, 2000; Sassoè-Pognetto et al., 2003).

To maximize detection of the GluN1 subunit, we used a combination of two rabbit antisera as described in Sassoè-Pognetto et al. (2003). One antiserum (kindly donated by Anne Stephenson) binds an extracellular domain (amino acid residues 17–35) common to all splice variants of the GluN1 subunit (Chazot et al., 1995; Racca et al., 2000). The other antiserum

was raised against a C-terminal domain and recognizes four splice variants (Chemicon,

Temecula, CA; catalog No. AB1516).

For GluN2, we used a affinity-purified rabbit antibody raised against a synthetic peptide

corresponding to the C-terminus of the GluN2A subunit conjugated to BSA (Chemicon, cat.

no. AB1548). According to the manufacturer, this antibody recognizes the GluN2A and

GluN2B subunits in Western blot analysis of transfected cells.

Ultrastructure data analysis and statistics

Grid squares were analysed systematically for the presence of synaptic profiles (symmetric

and/or asymmetric) between GC spines and MC dendrites (Fig. 6; see also Fig. 1A in

Sassoè-Pognetto and Ottersen, 2000). Synaptic profiles were then photographed at high

magnification (75.000 - 120.000x) with a side-mounted CCD camera (Mega View III,

Olympus Soft Imaging System). The plasma membrane of GC spines, when clearly visible,

was classified as either belonging to an asymmetric synaptic profile, a symmetric profile, or a

non-synaptic segment (see Fig. 6A2 for examples). The length of segments was measured

along the spine membrane curvature (using ImageJ 1.52 analysis software) and the number

of immunogold particles within a distance of $\leq$ 30 nm from the GC spine membrane was

counted for the individual segments. The lengths of non-synaptic segments were on average

longer than those of synaptic segments, which argues against an undersampling of gold

particle densities in non-synaptic membranes compared to synaptic membranes and thus a

false positive difference between the density distribution in non-synaptic membranes and

symmetric profiles (GluN1: mean non-synaptic segment length: 610 ± 400 nm, n = 138,

symmetric synaptic profiles: 270 ± 120 nm, n = 120, asymmetric synaptic profiles: 260 ± 110

nm, n = 111; similar results for GluN2, not shown). When both symmetric and asymmetric

synaptic profiles were visible in the same individual spine, the distance of such reciprocal

contacts was also measured along the curvature of the GC spine membrane.

The distribution of labeling along the axis perpendicular to the GC spine membrane (radial

axis) was determined by examining micrographs of transversely cut synaptic profiles, with

well defined presynaptic and postsynaptic membranes. Here all particles at distances up to

40 µm were counted to prevent a possible bias.

Statistical tests

All electrophysiological data were analysed with non-parametric paired (*Wilcoxon matched*

pairs) or unpaired (*Mann-Whitney-U*) tests and expressed as mean ± SD. The density

distributions of immunogold particles were compared with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

(https://www.wessa.net/rwasp_Reddy-Moores%20K-S%20Test.wasp).

Author contributions

VLR performed all uncaging experiments. LZ performed MC current clamp recordings. VLR,

GB, MSP and VE analyzed data. SSA performed simulations. VE and VLR designed

research and wrote the manuscript, with MSP contributing to the parts on ultrastructure. BR

provided DNI-caged glutamate and assisted in editing the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We thank Anne Pietryga-Krieger for expert technical assistance, Marius Stephan and Philipp

Seidel for assistance with IPSC analysis, Gagik Yeghiazaryan for initial MC current clamp

recordings, Imre Vida for facilitating access to VGAT-Venus rats, and Sigrun Korsching and

Yoshiyuki Kubota for advice on statistics. This work was funded mainly by the German

Federal Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF, 01GQ1104/01GQ1502), with additional

equipment funding by LMU-GSN, DFG-SFB 870 and funding for staff from GIF (1479-418.13;

all to VE), and by ERC 682426, GINOP_2.1.1-15-2016-00979, VKSZ_14-1-2015-0155,

712821-NEURAM to BR.

Competing interests

There are competing financial interests since BR is a founder of Femtonics Kft and a

member of its scientific advisory board. No other competing interests exist.

References

1. Abraham, N. M., Egger, V., Shimshek, D. R., Renden, R., Fukunaga, I., Sprengel, R.,

Seeburg, P. H., Klugmann, M., Margrie, T. W., Schaefer, A. T., & Kuner, T. (2010). Synaptic

inhibition in the olfactory bulb accelerates odor discrimination in mice. *Neuron, 65*(3), 399-

411.

2. Aghvami, S., Müller, M., Araabi ,B.N., & Egger V. (2019) Coincidence detection within the

excitable rat olfactory bulb granule cell spines. *J. Neurosci*. 39, 584-595. doi:

10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1798-18.2018

3. Angelo, K., & Margrie, T. W. (2011). Population diversity and function of hyperpolarization-

activated current in olfactory bulb mitral cells. *Sci Rep, 1*, 50. doi:10.1038/srep00050

4. Arnson, H. A., & Strowbridge, B. (2017). Spatial Structure Of Synchronized Inhibition In The
Olfactory Bulb. *J Neurosci,* 37(43):10468 –10480. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1004-17.2017

5. Aroniadou-Anderjaska, V., Ennis, M., & Shipley, M. T. (1999). Dendrodendritic recurrent
excitation in mitral cells of the rat olfactory bulb. *J Neurophysiol, 82*(1), 489-494.
doi:10.1152/jn.1999.82.1.489

6. Banerjee, A., Larsen, R.S., Philpot, B.D., & Paulsen,O. (2016). Roles of Presyanptic NMDA
Receptors in Neurotransmission and Plasticity. *Trends in Neurosciences* 39 (1).
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2015.11.001

7. Bartel, D. L., Rela, L., Hsieh, L., & Greer, C. A. (2015). Dendrodendritic synapses in the
mouse olfactory bulb external plexiform layer. *J Comp Neurol, 523*(8), 1145-1161.
doi:10.1002/cne.23714

8. Bloodgood, B. L., & Sabatini, B. L. (2007). Ca(2+) signaling in dendritic spines. *Curr Opin*
Neurobiol, 17(3), 345-351. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2007.04.003

9. Bouvier, G., Bidoret, C., Casado, M., & Paoletti, P. (2015). Presynaptic NMDA receptors:
Roles and rules. *Neuroscience, 311*, 322-340. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.10.033

10. Bywalez, W. G., Patirniche, D., Rupprecht, V., Stemmler, M., Herz, A. V. M., Pálfi, D., Rozsa,
B., & Egger, V. (2015). Local Postsynaptic Voltage-Gated Sodium Channel Activation in
Dendritic Spines of Olfactory Bulb Granule Cells. *Neuron, 85*(3), 590-601.
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.12.051

11. Carlson, G. C., Shipley, M. T., & Keller, A. (2000). Long-lasting depolarizations in mitral cells
of the rat olfactory bulb. *J Neurosci, 20*(5), 2011-2021.

12. Chazot, P.L., Cik, M., & Stephenson, F.A. (1995) An investigation into the role of N-
glycosylation in the functional expression of a recombinant heteromeric NMDA receptor. Mol
Membr Biol, 12(4):331-337.

13. Chen, W. R., Xiong, W., & Shepherd, G. M. (2000). Analysis of relations between NMDA
receptors and GABA release at olfactory bulb reciprocal synapses. *Neuron, 25*(3), 625-633.

14. Chiovini, B., Turi, G. F., Katona, G., Kaszas, A., Palfi, D., Maak, P., Szalay, G., Szabo, M. F.,
Szabo, G., Szadai, Z., Kali, S., & Rozsa, B. (2014). Dendritic spikes induce ripples in
parvalbumin interneurons during hippocampal sharp waves. *Neuron, 82*(4), 908-924.
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.04.004

15. Crespo, C., Liberia, T., Blasco-Ibáñez, J.M., Nácher, J., & Varea, E. (2013). The circuits of
the olfactory bulb. The exception as a rule. Anat Rec (Hoboken)., 296(9):1401-1412. doi:
10.1002/ar.22732.

16. Destexhe, A., Mainen, Z.F., & Sejnowski, T.J. (1998). Kinetic models of synaptic
transmission. In: Methods in Neuronal Modeling (2nd edition; edited by Koch, C., & Segev,
I.). MIT press, Cambridge, 1998, 1-25.

17. Didier, A., Carleton, A., Bjaalie, J. G., Vincent, J. D., Ottersen, O. P., Storm-Mathisen, J., &
Lledo, P. M. (2001). A dendrodendritic reciprocal synapse provides a recurrent excitatory
connection in the olfactory bulb. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 98*(11), 6441-6446.

18. Duguid, I., & Smart, T.G. (2004) Retograde activation of presynaptic NMDA receptors
enhances GABA release at cerebellar interneuton-Purkinje cell synapses. *Nat Neurosci*, 7(5),
525-533.

19. Duménieu, M., Fourcaud-Trocmé, N., Garcia, S., & Kuczewski, N. (2015).
Afterhyperpolarization (AHP) regulates the frequency and timing of action potentials in the
mitral cells of the olfactory bulb: role of olfactory experience. Physiol Rep, 3(5). pii: e12344.
doi: 10.14814/phy2.12344.

20. Egger, V. (2008). Synaptic sodium spikes trigger long-lasting depolarizations and slow
calcium entry in rat olfactory bulb granule cells. *Eur J Neurosci, 27*(8), 2066-2075.

21. Egger, V., Svoboda, K., & Mainen, Z. F. (2005). Dendrodendritic synaptic signals in olfactory
bulb granule cells: Local spine boost and global low-threshold spike. *J Neurosci, 25*, 3521-
3530.

22. Egger, V., & Urban, N. N. (2006). Dynamic connectivity in the mitral cell-granule cell
microcircuit. *Semin Cell Dev Biol, 17*(4), 424-432.

23. Friedman, D., & Strowbridge, B. W. (2000). Functional role of NMDA autoreceptors in
olfactory mitral cells. *J Neurophysiol, 84*(1), 39-50. doi:10.1152/jn.2000.84.1.39

24. Fukunaga, I., Herb, J. T., Kollo, M., Boyden, E. S., & Schaefer, A. T. (2014). Independent
control of gamma and theta activity by distinct interneuron networks in the olfactory bulb. *Nat*
Neurosci, 17(9), 1208-1216. doi:10.1038/nn.3760

25. Gilra, A., & Bhalla, U. S. (2015). Bulbar Microcircuit Model Predicts Connectivity and Roles of
Interneurons in Odor Coding. *PLoS ONE, 10*(5), e0098045.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098045

26. Glitsch, M., & Marty, A. (1999). Presynaptic Effects of NMDA in Cerebellar Purkinje Cells and
Interneurons. J Neurosci, 19(2):511-519.

27. Grunditz, Å., Holbro, N., Tian, L., Zuo, Y., & Oertner, T.G. (2008). Spine Neck
Plasticity Controls Postsynaptic Calcium Signals through Electrical
Compartmentalization. J Neurosci 28:13457-13466.

28. Halabisky, B., Friedman, D., Radojicic, M., & Strowbridge, B. W. (2000). Calcium influx
through NMDA receptors directly evokes GABA release in olfactory bulb granule cells. *J*
Neurosci, 20(13), 5124-5134.

29. Hemond, P., Epstein, D., Boley, A., Migliore, M., Ascoli, G.A., & Jaffe, D.B. (2008).
Distinct classes of pyramidal cells exhibit mutually exclusive firing patterns in
hippocampal area CA3b. *Hippocampus* 18:411-424.

30. Huang, L., Garcia, I., Jen, H.-I., & Arenkiel, B. R. (2013). Reciprocal connectivity between
mitral cells and external plexiform layer interneurons in the mouse olfactory bulb. *Frontiers in*
Neural Circuits, 7. doi:10.3389/fncir.2013.00032

31. Isaacson, J. S. (1999). Glutamate spillover mediates excitatory transmission in the rat
olfactory bulb. *Neuron, 23*(2), 377-384.

32. Isaacson, J. S. (2001). Mechanisms governing dendritic gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)

904   release in the rat olfactory bulb. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 98*(1), 337-342.

905 33. Isaacson, J. S., & Strowbridge, B. W. (1998). Olfactory reciprocal synapses: dendritic

906   signaling in the CNS. *Neuron, 20*(4), 749-761.

907 34. Kaeser, P. S., & Regehr, W. G. (2014). Molecular mechanisms for synchronous,

908   asynchronous, and spontaneous neurotransmitter release. *Annu Rev Physiol, 76*, 333-363.

909   doi:10.1146/annurev-physiol-021113-170338

910 35. Kampa, B., Clements, J., Jonas, P., & Stuart, G.J. (2004) Kinetics of Mg2+ unblock of NMDA

911   receptors: implications for spike-timing dependent synaptic plasticity. J Physio, 556.2, 337-

912   345.

913 36. Kapoor, V., & Urban, N. N. (2006). Glomerulus-specific, long-latency activity in the olfactory

914   bulb granule cell network. *J Neurosci, 26*, 11706-11719.

915 37. Kato, H. K., Gillet, S. N., Peters, A. J., Isaacson, J. S., & Komiyama, T. (2013). Parvalbumin-

916   expressing interneurons linearly control olfactory bulb output. *Neuron, 80*(5),

917   10.1016/j.neuron.2013.1008.1036. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.08.036

918 38. Kay, L. M. (2003). Two species of gamma oscillations in the olfactory bulb: dependence on

919   behavioral state and synaptic interactions. *J Integr Neurosci, 2*(1), 31-44.

920 39. Khawaled, R., Bruening-Wright, A., Adelman, J. P., & Maylie, J. (1999). Bicuculline Block of

921   Small-conductance Calcium-activated Potassium Channels. *Pflügers Archiv : Eur J Physiol.*

922   **438** (3): 314–321. doi:10.1007/s004240050915.

923 40. Lagier, S., Carleton, A., & Lledo, P.-M. (2004). Interplay between Local GABAergic

924   Interneurons and Relay Neurons Generates Gamma Oscillations in the Rat Olfactory Bulb. *J*

925   *Neurosci*, 24(18):4382– 4392.

926 41. Lepousez, G., Csaba, Z., Bernard, V., Loudes, C., Videau, C., Lacombe, J., Epelbaum, J., &

927   Viollet, C. (2010). Somatostatin interneurons delineate the inner part of the external plexiform

928   layer in the mouse main olfactory bulb. *J Comp Neurol, 518*(11), 1976-1994.

929   doi:10.1002/cne.22317

930 42. Lester, R.A., Clements, J.D.,Westbrook, G.L., & Jahr, C.E. (1990) NMDAR Channel kinetics

931   determine the time course of NMDA receptor-mediated synaptic currents. Nature,

932   346(6284):565-567.

933 43. Lowe, G. (2002). Inhibition of backpropagating action potentials in mitral cell secondary

934   dendrites. *J Neurophysiol, 88*(1), 64-85.

935 44. McGuinness, L., Taylor, C., Taylor, R.D., Yau, C., Langenhan, T., Hart, M.L., Christian, H.,

936   Tynan, P.W., Donnelly, P., & Emptage, N.J. (2010). Presynaptic NMDARs in the

937   hippocampus facilitate transmitter release at theta frequency. *Neuron*, 68(6):1109-1127. doi:

938   10.1016/j.neuron.2010.11.023.

939 45. McIntyre, A. B. R., & Cleland, T. A. (2016). Biophysical constraints on lateral inhibition in the

940   olfactory bulb. *Journal of Neurophysiology, 115*(6), 2937-2949. doi:10.1152/jn.00671.2015

941 46. Miller, J. P., Rall, W., & Rinzel, J. (1985). Synaptic amplification by active membrane in

942   dendritic spines. *Brain Res, 325*(1-2), 325-330.

47. Miyamichi, K., Shlomai-Fuchs, Y., Shu, M., Weissbourd, B. C., Luo, L., & Mizrahi, A. (2013).

Dissecting Local Circuits: Parvalbumin Interneurons Underlie Broad Feedback Control of

Olfactory Bulb Output. *Neuron, 80*(5), 1232-1245. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.08.027

48. Naritsuka, H., Sakai, K., Hashikawa, T., Mori, K., & Yamaguchi, M. (2009). Perisomatic-

targetign Granule Cells in the Mouse Olfactory Bulb. *J Comp Neurol*, 525, 409-426.

49. Nunes, D., & Kuner, T. (2018). Axonal sodium channel NaV1.2 drives granule cell dendritic

GABA release and rapid odor discrimination. *PLoS Biol, 16*(8), e2003816.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.2003816

50. Pálfi, D., Chiovini, B., Szalay, G., Kaszás, A., Turi, G.F., Katona, G., Ábrányi-Balogh, P.,

Szőri, M., Potor, A., Frigyesi, O., Lukácsné Haveland, C., Szadai, Z., Madarász, M.,

Vasanits-Zsigrai, A., Molnár-Perl, I., Viskolcz, B., Csizmadia, I.G., Mucsi, Z., & Rózsa, B.

(2018). High efficiency two-photon uncaging coupled by the correction of spontaneous

hydrolysis. Org Biomol Chem. 16(11):1958-1970. doi: 10.1039/c8ob00025e.

51. Pressler, R. T., & Strowbridge, B. W. (2017). Direct Recording of Dendrodendritic Excitation

in the Olfactory Bulb: Divergent Properties of Local and External Glutamatergic Inputs

Govern Synaptic Integration in Granule Cells. *J Neurosci, 37*(49), 11774-11788.

doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2033-17.2017

52. Racca, C., Stephenson, F.A., Streit, P., Roberts, J.D., & Somogyi, P. (2000). NMDA receptor

content of synapses in stratum radiatum of the hippocampal CA1 area. J Neurosci.

20(7):2512-2522.

53. Rannals, M. D., & Kapur, J. (2011). Homeostatic strengthening of inhibitory synapses is

mediated by the accumulation of GABA(A) receptors. *J Neurosci, 31*(48), 17701-17712.

doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4476-11.2011

54. Sailor, Kurt A., Valley, Matthew T., Wiechert, Martin T., Riecke, H., Sun, Gerald J., Adams,

W., . . . Lledo, P.-M. (2016). Persistent Structural Plasticity Optimizes Sensory Information

Processing in the Olfactory Bulb. *Neuron, 91*(2), 384-396.

doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.06.004

55. Salin, P. A., Lledo, P. M., Vincent, J. D., & Charpak, S. (2001). Dendritic glutamate

autoreceptors modulate signal processing in rat mitral cells. *J Neurophysiol, 85*(3), 1275-

1282.

56. Sassoé-Pognetto, M., & Ottersen, O. P. (2000). Organization of Ionotropic Glutamate

Receptors at Dendrodendritic Synapses in the Rat Olfactory Bulb. J Neurosci, 20(6):2192–

2201.

57. Sassoé-Pognetto, M., Utvik, J. K., Camoletto, P., Watanabe, M., Stephenson, F. A., Bredt, D.

S., & Ottersen, O. P. (2003). Organization of postsynaptic density proteins and glutamate

receptors in axodendritic and dendrodendritic synapses of the rat olfactory bulb. *J Comp

Neurol, 463*(3), 237-248.

58. Schmidt, L. J., & Strowbridge, B. W. (2014). Modulation of olfactory bulb network activity by

serotonin: synchronous inhibition of mitral cells mediated by spatially localized GABAergic

microcircuits. *Learn Mem, 21*(8), 406-416. doi:10.1101/lm.035659.114

59. Schoppa, N. E., Kinzie, J. M., Sahara, Y., Segerson, T. P., & Westbrook, G. L. (1998).

Dendrodendritic inhibition in the olfactory bulb is driven by NMDA receptors. *J Neurosci,*

18(17), 6790-6802.

60. Sobczyk, A., Scheuss, V., & Svoboda, K. (2005). NMDA receptor subunit-dependent [Ca2+]

signaling in individual hippocampal dendritic spines. *J Neurosci, 25*(26), 6037-6046.

doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1221-05.2005

61. Spruston, N. (2008). Pyramidal neurons: dendritic structure and synaptic integration. *Nat Rev*

Neurosci, 9(3), 206-221. doi:10.1038/nrn2286

62. Stanley, E. F. (2016) The Nanophysiology of Fast Transmitter Release. *Trends Neurosci.* 39

(3). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2016.01.005

63. Toida, K., Kosaka, K., Heizmann, C. W., & Kosaka, T. (1994). Synaptic contacts between

mitral/tufted cells and GABAergic neurons containing calcium-binding protein parvalbumin in

the rat olfactory bulb, with special reference to reciprocal synapses between them. *Brain Res,*

650(2), 347-352.

64. Tonnesen, J., & Nagerl, U. V. (2016) Dendritic Spines as Tunable Regulators of Synaptic

Signals. *Front Psychiatry* 7:101. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2016.00101

65. Uematsu, M., Hirai, Y., Karube, F., Ebihara, S., Kato, M., Abe, K., . . . Kawaguchi, Y. (2008).

Quantitative Chemical Composition of Cortical GABAergic Neurons Revealed in Transgenic

Venus-Expressing Rats. *Cerebral Cortex, 18*(2), 315-330. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhm056

66. Veruki, M. L., Zhou, Y., Castilho, A., Morgans, C. W., & Hartveit, E. (2019) Extrasynaptic

NMDA Receptors on Rod Pathway Amacrine Cells: Molecular Composition, Activation, and

Signaling. *J Neurosci* 39: 627-650. Doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2267-18.2018

67. Wallace, J. L., Wienisch, M., & Murthy, V. N. (2017). Development and Refinement of

Functional Properties of Adult-Born Neurons. *Neuron, 96*(4), 883-896.e887.

doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.09.039

68. Wellis, D. P., & Kauer, J. S. (1993). GABAA and glutamate receptor involvement in

dendrodendritic synaptic interactions from salamander olfactory bulb. *J Physiol, 469*, 315-

339.

69. Wienisch, M., & Murthy, V. N. (2016). Population imaging at subcellular resolution supports

specific and local inhibition by granule cells in the olfactory bulb. *Scientific Reports, 6*, 29308.

doi:10.1038/srep29308

70. Woolf, T. B., Shepherd, G. M., & Greer, C. A. (1991). Serial reconstructions of granule cell

spines in the mammalian olfactory bulb. *Synapse, 7*(3), 181-192.

71. Xiong, W., & Chen, W. R. (2002). Dynamic gating of spike propagation in the mitral cell

lateral dendrites. *Neuron, 34*(1), 115-126.

72. Zhang, L., Huang, Y., & Hu, B. (2016). Olfactory experiences dynamically regulate plasticity

of dendritic spines in granule cells of Xenopus tadpoles in vivo. *Scientific Reports, 6*, 35009.

doi:10.1038/srep35009