Title

Local reciprocal release of GABA from dendritic spines of olfactory bulb granule cells

requires local sodium channel activation and occurs on both fast and slow timescales

Authors

Vanessa Lage-Rupprecht[1], Tiffany Ona-Jodar[1,2], Gagik Yeghiazaryan[1], Balázs Rózsa[3] &

Veronica Egger[1]

Affiliations

1. Neurophysiology, Institute of Zoology, Universität Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg,

Germany; 2. Institut D'Investigacions Biomèdiques, 08036 Barcelona, Spain; 3. Two-Photon

Imaging Center, Institute of Experimental Medicine, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 1039

Budapest, Hungary.

Abstract

In the rodent olfactory bulb the smooth dendrites of the principal glutamatergic mitral cells

(MCs) engage in reciprocal dendrodendritic interactions with the large spines of GABAergic

granule cells (GC), giving rise to local postsynaptic activation of voltage-gated Na^+ channels

(Na_v) within the spines. It is not known yet whether individual MC inputs can also trigger the

reciprocal release machinery. Here we show that local activation via two-photon uncaging of

glutamate causes GC spines to release GABA both synchronously and asynchronously onto

MC dendrites, detected as uncaging-evoked reciprocal IPSCs at the MC soma. This local

recurrent inhibition requires GC Na_v activation, indicating that individual spine spikes can

induce release from the reciprocal spine. Interestingly, NMDA receptors also play a major,

probably cooperative, role for GABA release. Finally, we demonstrate prolonged postsynaptic

elevations of both $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ and $[Na^+]_i$ within the GC spine head, on the same time scale as

asynchronous release.

Introduction

Reciprocal dendrodendritic microcircuits can be found in several areas of the nervous system and are especially abundant in the vertebrate olfactory bulb (Crespo et al., 2013), where the dendrites of the principal mitral and tufted cells (MTCs) engage in reciprocal dendrodendritic interactions with at least three major subtypes of local GABAergic neurons, namely periglomerular cells in the glomerular layer, and parvalbumin and granule cells (GC) in the external plexiform layer. What sets the latter synapse apart from the other bulbar reciprocal arrangements is its location within a large spine on the GC side. These spines feature particularly long necks (Woolf et al., 1991) which might serve to electrically isolate the synapse and boost local processing (e.g. Miller et al., 1985; Spruston, 2008). Indeed, we have gathered evidence that postsynaptic potentials in GC spines are electrically compartmentalized and thus can locally activate voltage-gated Na_v channels which in turn activate classical presynaptic N/P/Q Ca^{2+} channels within the spine (Bywalez et al., 2015). These observations enhance our earlier notion of the reciprocal spine as a mini-neuron that can generate synaptic output on its own (Egger and Urban, 2006).

While there have been many earlier studies of recurrent dendrodendritic inhibition at the MC-GC synapse, so far it is an open question whether a unitary, purely local activation of the spine's microcircuit can indeed trigger release of GABA back onto the exciting mitral cell. The issue is still unresolved because the earlier studies are based on the application of a strong stimulus to a voltage-clamped mitral cell (MC), namely a depolarization to 0 mV for mostly 20-50 ms (Isaacson and Strowbridge, 1998; Schoppa et al., 1998; Halabisky et al., 2000; Isaacson, 2001; Chen et al., 2000). This protocol - called MC-step in the following - is likely to cause a substantial release of glutamate from the lateral MC dendrites and was also shown to invoke glutamate spillover between MC lateral dendrites (Isaacson, 1999). MC-step was found to result in long-lasting recurrent inhibition with both synchronous and asynchronous components, the latter with a time constant of $\sim$ 500 ms. For this recurrent inhibition, NMDA

receptor (NMDAR) activation was shown to be an important source of Ca^{2+} entry that triggers

release of GABA from the GC spine, especially under conditions of zero extracellular

$[Mg^{2+}]_e$. NMDARs are known to substantially contribute to synaptic transmission at this

synapse (Isaacson and Strowbridge, 1998; Schoppa et al., 1998) and to postsynaptic Ca^{2+}

entry, also at normal $[Mg^{2+}]_e$ (Egger et al., 2005). Other mechanimss involved in recurrent

release following MC-step include high-voltage activated Ca^{2+} channels (HVACCs),

AMPARs under conditions of reduced desensitization (both Isaacson, 2001), and TRPC1/4-

mediated signalling pathways downstream of the NMDAR (Stroh et al., 2012).

The substantial asynchronous component following MC-step is likely to originate within the

GC, since MC-step caused prolonged activation of GCs (Schoppa et al., 1998) and

asynchronous responses were demonstrated following flash photolysis of Ca^{2+} in MC lateral

dendrites (Chen et al., 2000).

As to the MC side, MC lateral dendrites are known to be densely covered with GABAergic

synapses (Bartel et al., 2015; Sailor et al., 2016). These inputs become strongly attenuated

with distance from the MC soma ($\lambda \sim 80$ µm, Lowe, 2002) but are probably capable of

shunting propagating APs at any point along the dendrite as shown by GABA application or

electrical stimulation of GCs (Lowe, 2002; Xiong et al., 2002). Many of these GABAergic

synapses are reciprocal spine inputs from GCs, especially close to the MC soma. Recent

studies have revealed substantial reciprocal dendrodendritic interactions also with other

GABAergic cell types such as SOM+ neurons, CRH+ neurons and PV+ neurons (populations

in part overlap; Toida et al., 1994; Lepousez et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2013; Kato et al.,

2013; Miyamichi et al., 2013). To our current knowledge these other neuron types do not bear

spines but feature smooth dendrites.

Aside from postsynaptic $GABA_A$Rs, MC lateral dendrites are known to bear scattered NMDA

autoreceptors (Sassoe-Pognetto et al., 2003), and AMPA autoreceptors have been detected in

the apical tuft and at the soma, giving rise to both autoexcitation and spillover effects

(Aroniadou-Anderjaska et al., 1999; Isaacson, 1999; Friedman and Strowbridge, 2000; Didier

et al., 2001; Salin et al., 2001). Lateral excitation between MCs via this pathway appears to

happen mostly in the tuft (e.g. Pimentel and Margrie, 2008). MC NMDA autoreceptors do not

contribute to basal synaptic transmission between MCs and GCs (Egger, 2008).

Here we aim to determine whether – and possibly how - single inputs from MC lateral

dendrites to GC spines can also trigger recurrent release of GABA from the reciprocal

synapse, using recordings from labeled MCs and two-photon uncaging (TPU) of glutamate

along their lateral dendrite near Venus-tagged GC spines.

Results

Experimental configuration

To enable pharmacological interference with components of the reciprocal microcircuit such

as Na_Vs and HVACCs, we bypassed release from the MC presynapse via TPU of DNI-caged

glutamate (DNI; Chiovini et al., 2014; Bywalez et al., 2015) in acute juvenile rat olfactory

bulb slices, in combination with somatic whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings from MCs. To

visualize the lateral dendrites, MCs were filled with the dye Alexa594 (50 µM). Fig. 1A

shows a schematic and example image of the recording configuration in a brain slice from a

VGAT-Venus animal.

In all MC recordings we observed a high basal frequency of spontaneous events (4.5 ± 2.1

Hz, n = 14). High spontaneous activity levels are a general hallmark of the olfactory bulb

network and also observed in GCs (Egger and Urban, 2006). Wash-in of 1 mM DNI further

increased this high basal frequency by on average 1.87 ± 1.21 times control (P < 0.01, Fig.

1B); this effect can be explained by the disinhibition resulting from a partial blockade of

$GABA_A$Rs by DNI. Since ~ 80% of the neurons of the olfactory bulb are inhibitory (e.g.

Shepherd and Greer, 2004), even partial disinhibition is likely to yield strong increases in

overall activity. DNI reduced the mean spontaneous IPSC amplitude to a fraction of 0.47 ±

0.16 (control amplitude: 47 ± 17 pA, n = 14, P < 0.001, Fig. 1B and S1B1). In the presence of

DNI, spontaneous IPSCs had a mean rise time $\tau_{rise} = 7.4 \pm 2.5$ ms and decayed with a half-

duration $\tau_{1/2} = 30.8 \pm 9.5$ ms (n = 14 mitral cells). The $GABA_A R$ antagonist Bicuculline

(BCC, 50 µM) blocked spontaneous activity almost completely (frequency: 0.13 ± 0.10 of

control, n = 6, Fig. 1B, S1B2).

Thus the detectability of TPU-evoked reciprocal release of GABA is lowered by both the

amplitude reduction and the increase in spontaneous activity caused by 1 mM DNI.

Therefore, we also tested RuBi glutamate (500 µM) which has been reported to exert

considerably less of a blocking effect on GABAergic transmission than MNI glutamate (Fino

et al., 2009). However we could not reliably replicate the GC spine signal $(\Delta F/F)_{TPU}$ and the

GC uEPSPs compared to the signals obtained with both DNI and MNI (tested in n = 10

spines, see also Table S2 in Bywalez et al., 2015). Therefore all further experiments were

performed with 1 mM DNI.

Since in initial experiments we found evidence for activation of both NMDA and AMPA

autoreceptors on MC dendrites at a holding potential of -70 mV, MCs were clamped to 10

mV, near the reversal potential of ionotropic glutamate receptors (Isaacson, 1999; Friedman

and Strowbridge, 2000), in later experiments. Throughout all experiments we used

physiological levels of $[Mg^{2+}]_e$ (1 mM), to not overestimate the NMDAR contribution to

recurrent inhibition; previous experiments have shown that GC spine NMDARs are activated

during small EPSPs in the presence of 1 mM $[Mg^{2+}]_e$ and contribute ~50 % to the total

postsynaptic Ca^{2+} signal $(\Delta F/F)_{syn}$ (Egger et al., 2005).

Triggering of reciprocal IPSCs via TPU is possible

To prevent overstimulation with glutamate, we uncaged with similar laser parameters as in the

previous study (Bywalez et al., 2015), in which TPU-evoked GC signals were

indistinguishable from unitary spontaneous events. Uncaging was performed either 'blindly'

at several spots along the dendrite (e.g. Fig. 2B) in WT rats or in the immediate vicinity of

GC spines that were visible in VGAT-Venus rats and in close proximity (0.2 – 0.5 μm) to the

mitral cell lateral dendrite (e.g. Fig. 1A, Fig. 2A). Responses were detectable in 53 out of 166

uncaging experiments (see Methods). In both stimulation paradigms uncaging was performed

at distances < 40 μm from the MC soma (mean distance of uncaging spots with responses 18

± 8 μm, n = 27, Fig. S1A1). The rationale for this choice was twofold. First we aimed to

minimize electrotonic attenuation of IPSCs, since IPSC amplitudes were already diminished

by the caged compound (see above, Fig. 1B and S1B1) and IPSCs from more distal locations

are of neglibible impact at the MC soma (e.g. McIntyre and Cleland, 2016). Second, this

strategy is likely to preferentially stimulate GC spines rather than PV+ neuron dendrites, since

the GC inputs are located more proximally as compared to the widely distributed PV+ neuron

inputs (Kato et al., 2013; Miyamichi et al., 2013). In addition, PV+ neuron dendrites are

smooth and thus in the experiments in VGAT-Venus rats with visible GC spines an accidental

activation of PV neurons is yet less likely to occur.

TPU of glutamate resulted in consecutive triggered responses and failures in MCs (Fig. 1D

left). Responses were classified as triggered if they were observed repeatedly within the same

time window (see Methods). Next we tested whether these triggered responses were indeed

GABAergic by applying the $GABA_A R$ antagonist Bicuculline (50 μM), which invariably

blocked responses completely (Fig. S1C1, n = 7, P < 0.01, Wilcoxon test). Thus in the

following the triggered events are denoted as urIPSCs (**u**ncaging-evoked **r**eciprocal IPSCs).

To establish the stability of urIPSCs, we performed TPU over an extended period of time at

0.033 Hz and measured the mean amplitude of responses during the first and last 5-10

photostimulations (with at least 10 min in between to mimic the time course of

pharmacological manipulations, Fig. 1C). There was no significant difference (n = 7, ratio last

to first 0.95 ± 0.15, P = 0.74).

The amplitude of triggered urIPSCs was on average 12 ± 8 pA (Fig. 1E, n = 32), with a rise

time of 6 ± 3 ms (in n = 25 MCs clamped to +10 mV). There was no detectable correlation

between the urIPSC amplitude and the distance from the soma, although in our data set all

three examples with larger amplitudes (> 25 pA) occurred in the more proximal half of the

covered dendritic range (n = 20, r = -0.07, Fig. S1A2).

The average release probability from the reciprocal spine was estimated as $P_r = 0.34 \pm 0.11$

(Fig. 1E, range 0.13 - 0.60, based on n = 44 MCs, see Methods). Due to the presence of DNI

and the ensuing difficulty of urIPSC detection this result might represent an underestimate; on

the other hand, connections with a very low $P_r < 0.2$ could go unnoticed, since at least two

responses within the same time window were required to qualify the experiment for the

presence of triggered urIPSCs.

Triggered urIPSCs were observed within a far ranging distribution, with the latency measured

from the onset of TPU pulse onwards (Fig. 1E). Latency values were not normally distributed,

with a first peak within the first 10 ms post TPU onset (n = 13), a second peak around 30 ms

(n = 19 within the range 10-50 ms) and three delayed events past 50 ms. An example for such

a late triggered response is shown in Fig. S1E1.

In most experiments we detected asynchronous urIPSCs following the first triggered event

which were quantified both via integral analysis and counting of events (Fig. 1D, see

Methods). Both the area and the number of events increased highly significantly in the 500

ms interval following TPU ('post') compared to the same interval right before ('pre'; n = 27,

mean increase of integral to 1.50 ± 0.55 relative to 'pre'; mean increase in event number to

1.25 ± 0.37 relative to 'pre'; n = 27, P < 0.001 for both; absolute values in 'pre' interval

integral 2.40 ± 1.83 pAs, events 26.1 ± 14.9 shown in Fig. S1D1). The increase in area is also

significant (P < 0.003) if the extra area provided by the first triggered response was

subtracted. The total duration of recurrent inhibition was on average 179 ± 137 ms (range 32

– 533 ms, n = 26, Fig. S1D2). These data demonstrate that asynchronous recurrent inhibition

also happens for unitary stimulation of a single spine. In the last part of this study we

investigate possible mechanisms underlying this asynchronous output (see below).

From all these experiments we conclude that local, unitary TPU of glutamate can indeed

trigger the reciprocal release of GABA, providing proof that the reciprocal microcircuit can

be activated by single synaptic inputs under physiological conditions (normal levels of Mg^{2+}).

The substantial asynchronous component indicates that delayed release does not require

global signals within the entire GC.

Sodium channel blockade decreases urIPSCs

Next, we investigated a possible contribution of Na_v activation to urIPSC generation by

application of 500 nM TTX. Fig. 2 illustrates that TTX substantially reduced both triggered

and spontaneous events. On average, urIPSC amplitudes were reduced to a fraction of 0.17 ±

0.34 of control (Fig. 2C, n = 12, P < 0.005; absolute control amplitudes for -70 mV: -7.8 ± 3.6

pA, for 10 mV: 15.4 ± 12.4 pA). Only one experiment did not show any effect, in line with

our earlier observation that there is a broad variability in the degree to which TPU-evoked GC

spine Ca^{2+} signals are reduced by TTX (Bywalez et al., 2015). The relative increase in area

post TPU compared to pre TPU (Δ area) decreased to 0.28 ± 0.21 of the relative increase in Δ

area in control (see Methods; control in DNI alone; n = 10, P < 0.005, Fig. 2D).

Fig. S2 shows the analysis of TTX effects on spontaneous activity, which was also strongly

decreased to a fraction of 0.24 ± 0.17 of control (n = 10, P < 0.005). The few remaining

events were blocked by BCC (to a fraction of 0.16 ± 0.16 of TTX, n = 10).

Thus Na_vs are essential to trigger GABA release from the reciprocal spines.

High-voltage activated Ca^{2+} channels in the spine contribute to GABA release

HVACCs have been implied to mediate recurrent release from reciprocal spines (Isaacson,

2001) and are activated by Na_vs, contributing a substantial fraction of 30% to the postsynaptic

Ca^{2+} signal in the GC spine (Bywalez et al., 2015). To directly test the hypothesis that

HVACC activation is required for release of GABA, we blocked N/P/Q type Ca^{2+} channels

with 1 µM ω-conotoxin MVIIC (CTX; Bloodgood and Sabatini, 2007; Bywalez et al., 2015).

Fig. 3 shows the resulting substantial decrease of urIPSCs to a fraction of 0.08 ± 0.14 of

control (from a mean amplitude of 11.3 ± 5.7 pA; n = 8, P < 0.005, Fig. 3C). This decrease

was not different from the effect of TTX on urIPSC amplitude described above (P = 0.35

ratios in CTX vs TTX). The relative Δ area following TPU compared to baseline decreased to

0.39 ± 0.23 of control (control in DNI alone; n = 9, P < 0.005, Fig. 3D), which was also not

significantly different from the effect of TTX on Δ area described above (P = 0.15 vs TTX).

The effect of CTX on spontaneous activity was less pronounced than that of TTX, as shown

in Fig. S3. The frequency of events decreased to a fraction of 0.53 ± 0.15 of control (n = 7

cells, control vs CTX: P = 0.018; CTX vs TTX: P = 0.005), and again the remaining events

were blocked in the presence of the $GABA_A R$ antagonist BCC (to a fraction of 0.05 ± 0.05 of

CTX, n = 7).

We conclude that HVACC activation is required for release of GABA from the reciprocal

spine following local input. Thus the spine indeed acts similarly to a mini-neuron that can

process single synaptic inputs to generate recurrent output. The local input triggers a local AP

and therewith Ca^{2+} entry via HVACCs which then brings about release.

NMDA receptors are also relevant for recurrent release

Because NMDAR activation was clearly not dependent on Na_v activation in our earlier study

where postsynaptic GC spine Ca^{2+} signals in the presence of TTX were substantially reduced

further by APV (Bywalez et al., 2015), and because the blocking effects of TTX or CTX on

urIPSCs were rather strong, we hypothesized that NMDAR blockade would have only mild

effects on fast recurrent release. Also, an earlier study of dendrodendritic inhibition under

conditions of normal Mg^{2+} indicated that release from GC spines is mostly triggered in the

classical way via HVACC activation (Isaacson, 2001). Intriguingly, however, the application

of 25 µM D-APV resulted in a decrease of urIPSC amplitudes to on average 0.22 ± 0.21 of

control (from a mean amplitude of 13.8 ± 8.6 pA, n = 10, P < 0.002, Fig. 4C). All individual

experiments showed the amplitude decrease, albeit with variable degree (range 0.00 - 0.68 of

control). There was no significant correlation between the relative amplitude decrease and the

urIPSC latency (r = 0.26, P = 0.23). The effect of APV on urIPSC amplitude was statistically

not different from the effect of TTX or CTX (P = 0.12 and P = 0.08). The relative increase in

Δ area following TPU compared to baseline decreased to 0.40 ± 0.28 of control (control in

DNI alone; n = 10, P < 0.003, Fig. 4D), which was also not different from the effect of TTX

or CTX on Δ area (P = 0.19 and P = 0.47).

In addition APV also substantially reduced spontaneous activity, to a frequency of 0.19 ± 0.08

of control (n = 9, P < 0.01, Fig. S4A, B). This effect of APV was similar to that of TTX (P =

0.84) and significantly more pronounced than that of CTX (P < 0.001). The few remaining

events in APV were blocked by BCC (to a fraction of 0.02 ± 0.04 of APV, n = 9, Fig. S4B).

Since the strong effect of NMDAR blockade on urIPSCs was surprising to us, we sought to

provide a second line of evidence for this finding in an experimental setting that does not

involve uncaging of glutamate. Recently, Nunes and Kuner (2018) clearly demonstrated that

the smooth and uniform negative voltage deflection following single MC APs elicited by

somatic current injection is not an intrinsic afterhyperpolarization. Rather, it mainly reflects

recurrent inhibition from a large set of reciprocal synapses since it could be strongly reduced

by either $GABA_AR$ blockade or ionotropic glutamate receptor blockade; therefore they

termed this signal rIPSP (recurrent IPSP). We used the same paradigm to test whether

NMDAR blockade alone could interfere with recurrent inhibition. Single MC AP rIPSPs (n =

11 MCs) had a mean amplitude $\Delta V_m = -8.7 ± 2.3$ mV and a mean half duration $\tau_{1/2} = 37 ± 9$

ms, whereas the entire hyperpolarizing phase lasted for on average 382 ± 78 ms, in line with

asynchronous release from GC spines (compare to duration of barrages Fig. S1D2; see

Methods). Fig. 4E, F shows that APV application significantly reduced the mean rIPSP

amplitude to a fraction of 0.64 ± 0.20 of control (P < 0.005), while the rIPSP half duration

was decreased, but not significantly so, to a fraction of 0.91 ± 0.24 of control ($P = 0.06$), and

also the total duration of the hyperpolarization did not change significantly (fraction of

control 0.96 ± 0.40, $P = 0.27$, not shown). The remaining rIPSP was further reduced

substantially in BCC, to a fraction of 0.13 ± 0.19 of control (from 8.9 ± 2.9 mV, n = 4 MCs,

example in Fig. S4D).

In summary, these results imply that NMDARs do play a presynaptic role for both fast and

slow recurrent release of GABA from the reciprocal spine. Since the Na_v/HVACC pathway is

also required for GABA release, it appears likely that there is a fast cooperative mechanism

between NMDARs and the classical combination of Na_vs and HVACCs (see discussion).

Time course of synaptic spine Ca^{2+} and Na^+ signals with minimal exogenous buffering

While HVACC-mediated Ca^{2+} entry operates on a fast time scale and coupling to release is

fast in a substantial subset of spines (Fig. 1E), slower actions downstream of both Na_v and

NMDAR activation are required to trigger cascades that result in asynchronous release events.

A number of global mechanisms has been proposed to promote asynchronous release from

GC spines, including a global GC spike delay due to the prominent I_A current (Schoppa and

Westbrook, 1999; Kapoor and Urban, 2006) and prolonged Ca^{2+} entry due to global spiking in

coincidence with synaptic TRPC14 activation (Egger, 2008; Egger and Stroh, 2009; Stroh et

al., 2012). On the other hand, we found unitary EPSPs evoked by spontaneous MTC input or

local TPU to decay with a time constant < 50 ms (as recorded at the GC soma, Bywalez et al.,

2015). To further investigate the mechanisms underlying the asynchronous component of

reciprocal GABA release in the absence of a global Na^+ spike and in levels of normal Mg^{2+}

we aimed to detect local postsynaptic Ca^{2+} signalling in GC spines with as little exogenous

buffering as possible, since sluggish extrusion of Ca^{2+} might also contribute to delayed release

(Egger and Stroh, 2009). The low affinity dye OGB-6F ($K_d \approx 8$ μM, Tran et al., 2018) was

used at a concentration of 100 μM, where the kinetics of OGB-6F fluorescence transients in

response to single somatic action potentials $(\Delta F/F)_{sAP}$ are identical to the kinetics determined

by extrapolation of measurements with varying concentrations of OGB-1 ($K_d \approx 0.2$ μM) to

zero added buffer (Egger and Stroh, 2009). TPU of DNI with similar parameters as in

Bywalez et al. (2015) evoked Ca^{2+} transients $(\Delta F/F)_{TPU}$ with a mean amplitude of 24 ± 12 %

and a mean $\tau_{1/2}$ of 440 ± 180 ms (n = 16 spines, Figure 5 A, B). While $\tau_{1/2}$ was difficult to

analyse in some of the individual spine responses because of noise, the averaged transient

yielded a $\tau_{1/2}$ of ~ 600 ms, clearly substantially slower than the half duration of AP-mediated

transients recorded in a subset of these spines (n = 8, $\tau_{1/2}$ of averaged $\Delta F/F$ ~ 100 ms, Fig. 5A

bottom).

Since both Na_vs and AMPAR/NMDARs admit Na^+, elevations of Na^+ might also be involved

in cooperative signalling. Also, postsynaptic Na^+ signals could report the activity of the Ca^{2+}-

impermeable GC AMPARs and Na_vs in a more direct way than Ca^{2+} signals and thus yield

additional information on the state of the locally activated GC spine. We performed two-

photon Na^+ imaging using SBFI at a concentration of 1 mM, far below the Na^+ concentration

of 15 mM in the internal solution, so the degree of buffering is negligible (Mondragao et al.,

2016; settings as in Ona-Jodar et al., 2017; Fig. 5C,D). The ensuing Na^+ signals following

TPU of glutamate at individual spine heads with similar parameters as above had a mean

amplitude of $-(\Delta F/F)_{TPU} = 4.9 \pm 1.4$ % in the spine head and were localized to the spine head

to some extent (signal ratio in adjacent dendrite 0.56 ± 0.38 of spine signal; $P < 0.001$ vs

spine signal amplitude; n = 13 spines in 11 GCs). Conversion of the signal amplitude to

absolute changes in $[Na^+]_i$ (Rose et al., 1999; Ona-Jodar et al., 2017) yields a mean increase

$\Delta[Na^+]_i$ by ~ 10 mM. The average half duration was $\tau_{1/2} = 890 \pm 770$ ms in the spines,

including frequently observed plateau phases. Because of the small signal to noise ratio in the

individual experiments we also averaged data across all spine/dendrite pairs (Fig. 5C bottom).

The averaged spine signal showed an initial plateau phase of 600 ms, and the averaged

dendrite signal mirrored the kinetics of the spine signal, which would be expected because of

the fast diffusion of Na^+ into the dendrite (Mondragao et al., 2016). The TPU-evoked signals

are very slow in view of the fast diffusion of Na^+ and recent data from synaptic signals in

hippocampal pyramidal neuron spines (their $\tau_{1/2} \sim 20$ ms; Miyazaki and Ross, 2017).

From these experiments we conclude that the time course of the asynchronous component of

GABA release triggered by unitary activation matches well with prolonged elevated Na^+ and

Ca^{2+} concentrations in the GC spine. Thus late release could result from local processing

following unitary inputs to the reciprocal spine (see Discussion).

Discussion

Here we have demonstrated that local uncaging of glutamate onto individual olfactory bulb GC spines can activate the entire microcircuit within the spine, from the local spine spike to the release of GABA onto MC lateral dendrites, which confirms the functionality of the microcircuit as a mini-neuron. As in classical axonal release, Na_v channel and HVACC activation contribute to microcircuit output, which occurs on both fast and slow time scales. The time course of the asynchronous component is matched by postsynaptic Na^+ and Ca^{2+} elevations. Strikingly, however, we observed that NMDA receptors also play a major role in both fast and slow release and that the involved pathway is likely to cooperate with the Na_v/HVACC mediated pathway.

Properties of microcircuit output

For the proximal reciprocal GC inputs investigated here we estimate that under physiological conditions close to the MC resting potential the size of the fast IPSCs is on the order of -5 pA, after corrections for the presence of DNI and the setting of E_{Cl}. Assuming an *in vivo* input resistance of MCs of 100 MΩ (Angelo and Margrie, 2011), a single GC-mediated IPSC will exert a somatic hyperpolarization of at best 0.5 mV. Thus proximal single GC spine inputs are likely to play a rather subtle role in influencing MC firing (Fukunaga et al., 2014; McIntyre and Cleland, 2016), unless there is coordinated activity across GC spines connected to the same MC dendrite, most importantly in the wake of an MC action potential during the recurrent IPSP (see e.g. Fig. 4E), during gamma oscillations (e.g. Kay, 2003; Lagier et al., 2004) or due to 'super-inhibitory connectivity' as predicted by Gilra and Bhalla (2015).

A negative correlation between IPSC amplitudes and distance to the soma would be expected because of electrotonic attenuation and from earlier work (Lowe, 2008); our data do not show such an effect, probably due to the close proximity of most stimulation sites to the soma with a mean distance of ~ 20 μm and variability across sizes of individual GABAergic synapses, dendritic input resistance etc.

Upon local activation we observed a release probability P_r from the GC spine on the order of

0.33. This P_r value might represent an upper limit, because the global reduction of inhibition

by DNI might cause homeostatic effects that could also involve an increase in P_r at

GABAergic synapses (e.g. Rannals and Kapur, 2011). Moreover there is a general bias for

detection of connections with larger P_r due to the high level of spontaneous activity and

limited recording time. However, since our experiments were conducted at room temperature,

physiological temperatures might counterbalance such effects.

Assuming a P_r of 0.3 allows to estimate the efficiency of the entire reciprocal microcircuit

based on previous observations of the probability for glutamate release from the MC dendrites

on the order of $0.5 - 0.75$ (Egger et al., 2005; Pressler and Strowbridge, 2017). Thus the total

efficiency of the microcircuit is likely to be on the order of 0.2, possibly informing future

network models of bulbar interactions. The rather low P_r for GABA observed here also

implies that GC spines might become enabled to release with higher probabilities during a

coincidence with global GC signalling (Ca^{2+} spike or global AP), due to increased ΔCa^{2+} in

the spine (Egger et al., 2005; Egger, 2008; but see Aghvami et al., manuscript in revision, for

sublinear summation effects during perfect coincidence).

As to the minimal latency required for release of GABA, the temporal resolution of our

experiments is limited by the duration of the uncaging pulse (1 ms) and by not knowing the

time course of the membrane potential $V_m(t)$ in the GC spine. Fig. 1E shows that the fastest

urIPSCs were detected within 2 ms from TPU onset, implying that there is a fast mechanism

coupling Ca^{2+} entry to release as in classical axonal release as suggested previously (Bywalez

et al., 2015; Nunes and Kuner, 2018) and also supported by the role of Na_v/HVACC

demonstrated here (see below). While $\sim 30\%$ of urIPSCs occurred within 10 ms post TPU

onset, there was also a substantial fraction with longer latencies in the range of 10 - 30 ms.

The fast urIPSCs and possibly also the events with medium latency could still contribute to

the aforementionaed gamma oscillations that originate within the MC-GC reciprocal

microcircuits.

Substantial asynchronous release from the GC spine on yet longer time scales (detected at up

to 550 ms post TPU) was frequently observed in our study; while the detailed mechanism for

delayed release remains to be elucidated, we found that TPU of glutamate results in elevations

of both Ca^{2+} and Na^+ concentrations within the spine lasting for similar durations (see below).

Thus with regard to temporal processing the microcircuit is capable of operating across a wide

range of latencies and also of generating combined synchronous and asynchronous output.

Na_v-mediated and NMDAR-mediated contributions to release

How is postsynaptic Ca^{2+} entry coupled to release of GABA within the GC spine? Previously

we have shown via occlusion experiments that Ca^{2+} entry via NMDARs occurs independently

from Ca^{2+} entry mediated by the Na_v-HVACC pathway, since AMPAR-mediated

depolarization on its own is strong enough to lift the Mg^{2+} block, probably due to boosting by

the high GC spine neck resistance (Bywalez et al., 2015). Therefore we hypothesized that the

Na_v-HVACC pathway would provide the sole trigger for fast release of GABA, as in classical

release from axons (see also the recent findings on GC Na_v 1.2 by Nunes and Kuner, 2018),

reinforcing the notion of the GC spine as an independent mini-neuron that can generate output

without global firing. Indeed, blockade of either Na_v or HVACCs strongly reduced or

abolished urIPSCs. However, in subsequent experiments probing NMDAR contribution we

observed that urIPSCs became strongly reduced by blockade of NMDARs as well.

As a note of caution, activation of single GC spines via TPU might involve spurious

activation of extrasynaptic NMDARs since at the large GC spines TPU does not necessarily

occur at the precise location of the glutamatergic postsynapse, and we also observed that TPU

resulted in a slightly larger NMDA-receptor mediated component of the postsynaptic Ca^{2+}

signal than true synaptic activation via glomerular stimulation which was the only significant

difference between the two data sets (~ 65 % vs ~ 50%, Bywalez et al., 2015; Egger et al.,

2005). Thus at least part of the strong impact of NMDARs observed here might be rooted in

such extrasynaptic glutamatergic activation, similar to what is suggested to happen during

MC-step on a yet larger scale (see introduction).

Therefore we tested for a role of NMDARs in recurrent inhibition elicited by MC APs, and

could demonstrate that NMDAR blockade alone (which does not prevent spine spike

generation, see Bywalez et al., 2015) also reduces recurrent inhibition. It appears from these

experiments that NMDARs are not absolutely necessary for all GABA release events, because

recurrent inhibition was not blocked entirely. However, inhibitory feedback from neurons

other than GCs could explain part of the APV-resistant component of the rIPSP (see below).

In summary, we observe that both Na_vs and NMDARs can contribute to release of GABA in a

cooperative manner, the exact mechanism of which remains to be elucidated. Presynaptic

actions of NMDARs have been described at other synapses (Bouvier et al., 2015; Dore et al.,

2017). If the presumed cooperation was mediated by ΔCa^{2+} itself, this would require a

colocalization of NMDARs and HVACCs in the active zone of the GC spine, which remains

to be investigated. In addition, because of temporal constraints for the fast release components

observed here it is tempting to speculate that NMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+} currents could become

activated already before the peak of the spine spike and the ensuing activation of HVACCs,

allowing for summation of the respective Ca^{2+} signals.

Further implications of involvement of NMDARs in GABA release

The observation of an apparent cooperation between Na_v/HVACCs and NMDARs relates our

study back to the initial studies on dendrodendritic recurrent inhibition, when it was

concluded by several groups that NMDARs can contribute directly to release from the

reciprocal spine (see Introduction). However, the relative contribution of NMDARs has been

under debate. While MC-step, the standard protocol used for evoking dendrodendritic

recurrent inhibition of MCs via 20-50 ms long depolarizations, would evoke recurrent

inhibition also in the presence of TTX (possibly even enhanced, Halabisky et al., 2000), it

was reported by two groups that recurrent inhibition in response to shorter MC-step stimuli (<

5 ms) is substantially smaller than for the long MC-step and reduced in TTX (Schoppa et al.,

1998; Halabisky et al., 2000). These observations seem to indicate that the standard MC-step

protocol recruits NMDAR-dependent pathways for triggering GABA release also via

prolonged release of Glu and the subsequent summation of EPSPs in GC spines, whereas

short stimulation is more likely to recruit the GC spine spike that we have recently described

(Halabisky et al., 2000; Bywalez et al., 2015). Thus a cooperative mechanism could reconcile

some of the aforementioned earlier findings. Summation effects could also play a role in

physiological signalling, in particular following MC theta bursts as observed during odor

sampling *in vivo*.

Finally, another interesting aspect of the strong influence of NMDARs is that this property is

likely to differentiate the MC-GC microcircuit from the MC-parvalbumin cell microcircuit.

Since PV+ cells feature Ca^{2+}-permeable AMPARs and show a rather small NMDAR

component in response to MTC input (Kato et al., 2013), the strong effect of APV on urIPSCs

here argues in favor of a preferential activation of the MC-GC circuit by our experimental

method, along with the proximal stimulation and the use of VGAT-Venus rats. Conversely,

inhibitory feedback from neurons other than GCs could also explain part of the APV-resistant

component of the rIPSP (Fig. 4E).

Asynchronous release and postsynaptically elevated Ca^{2+} and Na^+ in the spine head

Asynchronous release – i.e. release that happens later than the fast coupling of HVA

presynaptic Ca^{2+} currents to the release machinery (e.g. Kaeser and Regehr, 2013) - is a

phenomenon known from from many central synapses. It is often observed at repetitively

stimulated synapses (Wen et al., 2013), which would also hold for the MC-step protocol,

where ongoing release of glutamate from MCs is likely to happen over dozens of ms and

subsequent asynchronous release of GABA has been documented by many groups (see

Introduction). Thus it was at first surprising that local stimulation would suffice to elicit

asynchronous release. However, the temporal extent of asynchronous release observed here was shorter than in the classical MC-step experiments (> 1 s vs a maximal extent of ~ 500 ms here) and therefore there might be additional mechanisms involved whenever GCs are activated more strongly.

NMDAR-mediated Ca^{2+} entry in itself is expected to recede on the order of 100 ms and thus on its own is unlikely to mediate asynchronous release far beyond the first 100 ms; Na_v-mediated Ca^{2+} entry via HVACCs should decline even faster. To further unravel signalling downstream of the NMDAR and Na_v and thus move towards unravelling both the mechanisms of cooperativity and asynchronous release we investigated the time course of postsynaptic Na^+ and Ca^{2+} elevations with minimal exogenous buffering. Both ion species showed elevated levels for durations well compatible with asynchronous output. In particular, there was a substantial and long-lasting postsynaptic elevation of Na^+. This detected $\Delta[Na^+]_i$ is much higher than what could be extrapolated for a single backpropagating GC AP (~ 1 mM, Ona-Jodar et al., 2017). Thus there must be substantial Na^+ entry via AMPARs and NMDARs and possibly other postsynaptic sources. The elevation of $[Na^+]_i$ persisted during a plateau-like phase, very much unlike recent observations of synaptic Na^+ transients in spines of hippocampal pyramidal neurons which decayed within 20 ms (Miyazaki and Ross, 2017). The slow decay of the GC spine $\Delta[Na^+]_i$ might be explained by the diffusive barrier provided by the high neck resistance (predicted as $\geq 1G\Omega$, Bywalez et al., 2015). At this point we can only speculate about the origin of the plateau. It might correspond to a local UP state in the GC spine which causes a reversal of the pumping activity of the Na^+/Ca^{2+-}exchanger, similar to a model recently proposed for apical dendritic tufts of MCs of the accessory olfactory bulb (Zylbertal et al., 2015). Such a reverse action might then provide ongoing local influx of Ca^{2+} sufficient to trigger release. This influx should also happen close to the release machinery, since buffering of GC Ca^{2+} by EGTA had no effect on asynchronous release (Isaacson, 2001). The UP state might not be evident in GC somatic membrane potential recordings due to

filtering by the spine neck resistance. Increased $[Na^+]_i$ within the observed regime might also

provide positive feedback to NMDARs via an upregulation of NMDAR Ca^{2+} currents by the

Src kinase (Yu and Salter, 1997, 1998). Further experiments are required to unravel such

interactions.

Sources of spontaneous inhibitory activity in mitral cells

The MC apical tuft is thought to contribute to the high level of spontaneous activity mostly

via excitatory inputs that also can provide lateral excitation between the tufts of sister mitral

MCs within the same glomerulus (Schoppa et al., 2001; Christie and Westbrook, 2006;

Pimentel and Margrie, 2008). MCs with truncated tufts do not show a reduced sIPSC

frequency (Arnson and Strowbridge, 2017) but are less prone to exhibit long-lasting

depolarizations (LLDs) and excitation via metabotropic GluRs (Carlson et al., 2000; Dong et

al., 2008). We observed that all three antagonists of urIPSC generation (TTX, CTX, APV)

also substantially reduced spontaneous IPSC frequency (to 15%, 50% and 35% of control,

respectively. In the case of Na_v blockade, strong effects are to be expected because of the

blockade of spontaneous firing and thus a decrease in the excitation levels also of inhibitory

neurons (GCs and other lateral inputs alike). Moreover, as we have shown above,

spontaneous release of glutamate from MC lateral dendrites onto GC spines is far less likely

to trigger recurrent release in the presence of TTX because of blockade of the spine spike.

Other groups have observed similarly strong effects of TTX on sIPSC frequency (e.g.

Halabisky et al., 2000; Arnson and Strowbridge, 2017). The effect of HVACC blockade alone

was less pronounced than for TTX, because it will neither prevent spontaneous spikes nor

spontaneous release of vesicles and thus have less of an effect on global network activity.

The substantial effect of NMDAR blockade on spontaneous IPSCs was also observed in other

olfactory bulb studies (Wellis and Kauer, 1993; Schmidt and Strowbridge, 2014). Part of the

strong contribution of NMDARs to bulbar network activity may be related to the LLDs

observed in MCs. While these LLDs do not require NMDARs to become triggered, they are

enhanced in amplitude and duration via NMDARs (Carlson and Keller, 2000) and in our

hands often increased their frequency in the presence of DNI-caged glutamate. In addition,

MC NMDA autoreceptors will also contribute (see Introduction). Finally, the contribution of

NMDARs to release from the GC spine observed here also implies that in the presence of

APV spontaneous release of glutamate from MCs is less likely to trigger MC-GC rIPSCs.

Functional role of local reciprocal processing

While there is first evidence for substantial dendritic Ca^{2+} signals and local GC spine signals

in vivo (Wienisch et al., 2016; Wallace et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2016), the extent to which

specifically local GABA release from GC spines contributes to odor processing in the

olfactory bulb is difficult to estimate at this point. Athough it is very likely that global GC

spiking is not required for the generation of gamma oscillations (Lagier et al., 2004), the

precise role of gamma in odor processing is not yet clear. Further advances in high resolution

functional imaging including further development of voltage-sensitive dyes might be required

to tackle these questions. Genetic loss-of-function approaches would need to either

exclusively prevent global signals in GCs (but not the spine spike) or to come up with

methods to inactivate GABA release from all the GC spines associated with one particular

glomerular set of MCs but not from the other spines, such that the impact of recurrent

inhibition could be separated from the lateral inhibition which requires global GC activity.

In any case, there is convincing evidence for a role of both NMDARs and Na_vs specifically in

difficult odor discriminations, since in the same behavioral paradigm GC-specific knock-

down (in about ~50% of GCs) of GluN1 resulted in an increase in discrimination time of on

average ~ 60ms, and of Na_vs of ~ 85 ms versus control, while simple discriminations were not

affected (Abraham et al., 2010; Nunes and Kuner, 2018).

Experimental procedures

Animal handling, slice preparation and electrophysiology

Animals used in our experiments were juvenile Wistar or VGAT-Venus transgenic rats (VGAT-Venus /w-Tg(SLc32a1-YFP*)1Yyan) of either sex (P11 – P19). VGAT-Venus transgenic rats are based on mouse BAC transgenic lines. They were generated by Drs. Y. Yanagawa, M. Hirabayashi and Y. Kawaguchi at the National Institute for Physiological Sciences, Okazaki, Japan, using pCS2-Venus provided by Dr. A. Miyawaki (Uematsu et al., 2008), RRID: RGD_2314361. In this rat line, fluorescent Venus protein is preferentially expressed in cells carrying the vesicular GABA transporter (VGAT), i.e. GABAergic neurons: the localization of Venus-labeled cells across OB layers was found to be similar to that of GABA-positive cells; direct colocalization in the cortex yielded an overlap of 97% (Uematsu et al., 2008).

Sagittal olfactory bulb brain slices (thickness 300 μm) were prepared in ACSF (composition see below) following procedures in accordance with the rules laid down by the EC Council Directive (86/89/ECC) and German animal welfare legislation. Slices were incubated a water bath at 33°C for 30 min and then kept at room temperature (22°C) until recordings were performed.

Mitral cell experiments

Olfactory bulb mitral cells were visualized by gradient contrast and recorded from in whole cell voltage clamp mode (at -70 mV or +10 mV) or current clamp mode. Recordings were made with an EPC-10 amplifier and Patchmaster v2.60 software (both HEKA Elektronik, Lambrecht/Pfalz, Germany). Experiments were performed at room temperature (22°C). Patch pipette resistance ranged from 5-6-MΩ. Mitral cells clamped at -70 mV were filled with intracellular solution containing the following substances (in mM): (1) tip solution: 130 K-Methylsulfate, 10 HEPES, 4 MgCl2, 2 Ascorbic acid, 10 Phosphocreatine-di-tris-salt, 2.5 Na2ATP, 0.4 NaGTP (2) backfilling solution: 110 Cs-Chloride, 10 HEPES, 10 TEA,

4MgCl2, 2 Ascorbic acid, 10 5-N-(2,6-dimethylphenylcarbamoylmethyl) triethylammonium

bromide (QX-314, Sigma), 0.2 EGTA, 10 Phosphocreatine, 2.5 Na2ATP, 0.4 NaGTP. Mitral

cells clamped at + 10mV contained internal solution composed of: 125 Cs-methanesulfonate

1 NaCl, 0.5 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 3 MgATP, 0.3 NaGTP, 10 Phosphocreatine-di-Tris-salt,

10QX-314, 0.05 Alexa 594 (Ca^{2+} indicator, Thermofisher Scientific, Waltham.

Massachusetts, US), at pH 7.3. For mitral cell current clamp experiments the same internal

solution as for granule cell current clamp experiments was used, but without fluorescent dye

(see below). APs were evoked by somatic current injection (3 ms, 1 nA) and 5 APs were

elicited for every recording condition.

The extracellular ACSF was bubbled with carbogen and contained (in mM): 125 NaCl, 26

NaHCO$_3$, 1.25 NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 20 glucose, 2.5 KCl, 1 MgCl$_2$, and 2 CaCl$_2$. The following

pharmacological agents were bath-applied in some experiments: bicucculline (BCC, 50 μM,

Sigma-Aldrich), ω-conotoxin MVIIC (CTX, 1 μM, Alomone, Jerusalem, Israel), TTX (500

nM, Alomone), D-APV (25 μM, Tocris). In pharmacological experiments we waited for 10

minutes after wash-in of the drugs TTX, APV resp. CTX. In CTX experiments 1mg/ml

cyctochrome C was added to the ACSF. TTX voltage clamp experiments were conducted at

clamping potentials of -70 mV (n = 5) or + 10 mV (n = 7), whereas all APV and CTX voltage

clamp experiments were conducted at + 10 mV.

<u>Granule cell experiments (Na$^+$ and Ca^{2+} imaging)</u>

Whole cell current clamp recordings were performed at room temperature (22°C) and granule

cells were held near their resting potential of below -70 mV. Granule cells were filled with an

internal solution containing the following substances (in mM): 130 K-Methylsulfate, 10

HEPES, 4 MgCl2, 2 Ascorbic acid, 10 Phosphocreatine-di-tris-salt, 2.5 Na2ATP, 0.4 NaGTP,

and 1 mM SBFI (sodium-binding benzofuran isophthalate, Teflabs, Austin, TX and

Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) or 0.1 OGB-6F (Ca^{2+} indicator, Thermofisher

Scientific, Waltham. Massachusetts, USA). The patch pipette resistance varied between 6 and

7 MΩ. The ACSF was the same as for mitral cell experiments.

Combined two-photon imaging and uncaging

Imaging and uncaging were performed on a Femto-2D-uncage microscope (Femtonics,

Budapest, Hungary). Two tunable, Verdi-pumped Ti:Sa lasers (Chameleon Ultra I and II

respectively, Coherent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) were used in parallel. The first laser was set

either to 900 nm for simultaneous excitation of YFP and Alexa 594 in one channel for

visualization of spines and the mitral cell for urIPSC recordings, to 840 nm for excitation of

OGB-6F or to 800 nm for excitation of SBFI in GC spines and dendrites, and the second laser

was set to 750 nm for uncaging of caged glutamate. The two laser lines were directly coupled

into the pathway of the microscope with a polarization cube (PBS102, Thorlabs Inc, Newton,

NJ, USA) and two motorized mirrors. As caged compound we used DNI-caged glutamate

(DNI; Femtonics). DNI was used in 1 mM concentration in a closed perfusion circuit with a

total volume of 12 ml. Caged compounds were washed in for at least 10 minutes before

starting measurements. The uncaging laser was switched using an electro-optical modulator

(Pockels cell model 350-80, Conoptics, Danbury, CT, USA). The emitted fluorescence was

split into a red and a green channel with a dichroic mirror.

<u>Triggering of mitral cell reciprocal IPSCs</u>

The region of interest on a proximal lateral mitral cell dendrite was moved to the center of the

scanning field. Four uncaging spots were placed in close apposition along the lateral mitral

cell dendrite in case of 'blind' uncaging or one spot was positioned near the region of interest

when YFP fluorescence of GABAergic cells in VGAT-Venus rats was used as an optical help

to identify potential synaptic contacts between mitral cell lateral dendrites and granule cell

spines.

The uncaging power was adjusted to the depth of the measured region of interest,

corresponding to a laser power of approximately 15 mW at the uncaging site (Sobczyk et al.,

2005). The uncaging beam was positioned at ~ 0.2 - 0.5 µm distance from the mitral cell

dendrite/ potential site of synaptic MC – GC contact. The uncaging pulse duration was 1 ms.

The scanning position was readjusted if necessary before each measurement to account for

drift. The microscope was equipped with a 60x Nikon Fluor water immersion objective (NA

1.0; Nikon Instruments, Tokyo, Japan). The microscope was controlled by MES v.5.3190

software (Femtonics).

Uncaging stability

To test for the stability of uncaging evoked recurrent IPSCs in mitral cells, uncaging at a

dendritic region of interest was performed. If uncaging led to the repetitive occurrence of an

urIPSC in the same time window, the stability measurement was continued by either uncaging

30 times in total with a frequency of 0.033 Hz or 5 times in a row with 0.033 Hz followed by

a 10 min break (to mimic the time for wash-in of pharmacological compounds) and another

round of uncaging (5x, 0.033Hz). urIPSC amplitudes were taken from averages of the first 5 –

10 and the last 5 – 10 uncaging sweeps and statistically compared with each other.

Na^+ or Ca^{2+} imaging of granule cell spines and simultaneous glutamate uncaging

For Na^+ imaging experiments, electrophysiology and imaging were performed as in (Ona-

Jodar et al., 2017), and for Ca^{2+} imaging experiments as in (Bywalez et al., 2015). Two-

photon uncaging of glutamate was performed as described above and in (Bywalez et al.,

2015). Na^+ and Ca^{2+} signals were imaged in line scanning mode with a temporal resolution of

~ 1 ms. The scan position was checked and readjusted if necessary before each measurement

to account for drift.

Data analysis and statistics

Electrophysiological and imaging data were analysed with custom written macros in Igor pro

(Wavemetrics, Lake Oswego, OR, USA). Additional sIPSC and urIPSC analysis was

performed using the Mini Analysis program (Synaptosoft, Decature, GA, USA) and Origin

(Northampton, MA, USA).

<u>Detection of urISPCs</u> Due to the high spontaneous activity, in order to test for the presence of

a signal we performed first an area and event analysis of IPSC traces (see below and Fig. 1D;

if a signal was detected based on these analyses we went on to search for individual triggered

urIPSCs by visual inspection of an overlay of the recorded traces. Individual IPSCs were

considered as uncaging-evoked when they repetitively occurred within the same time window

(3 ± 2 ms, n = 31) after uncaging and had similar kinetics (indicating a similar location of the

respective input on the dendrite). Signal types ranged from single urIPSC events to barrages

of urIPSCs lasting tens to hundreds of ms. The time span of signals was measured in averaged

responses as the duration from the onset until the return to the baseline (Fig. S1D2).

The release probability was estimated based on $5 - 30$ TPU samplings with a mean of 7.5

± 1.7 stimulations (n = 31).

<u>Area analysis</u> The area was measured as the integrated activity above baseline for a 500 ms

pre-uncaging baseline window and for a post-uncaging window in individual traces to screen

for the presence of a signal (Fig. 1D). The 500 ms extent of the time windows was validated

by our measurements of averaged barrage duration (see Fig. S1D2).

Delta (Δ) area values were calculated by subtracting the area of a 500 ms pre-uncaging

baseline window ('pre') from a 500 ms post-uncaging window ('post'), to isolate the amount

of uncaging-evoked inhibitory activity from spontaneous activity. If this procedure was

applied to averaged traces and the result was negative, the Δ area value was set to zero (i.e. no

uncaging-evoked activity). While this procedure might still generate false positives due to

spontaneous bursts of activity in the post-uncaging window, it also prevents a spurious

cancelling of activity during averaging across experiments. Δ area values for pharmacological

conditions were normalized to control Δ area in order to assess the net effect of drugs on

uncaging-evoked inhibitory activity (Fig. 2D, 3D, 4D).

<u>Event analysis</u> Within the individual recorded traces, the peak time points of individual IPSCs

were analysed. Peak search parameters in MiniAnalysis were adjusted in order to detect

potentially all IPSCs within a trace. For detailed spontaneous IPSC amplitude analysis, IPSCs

were sorted manually after the automated peak search and discarded if the amplitude

exceeded less than 5 pA and/or the amplitude onset was not detected properly. Event counts

were averaged for the 500 ms pre-uncaging and the 500 ms post-uncaging windows,

respectively.

<u>Evaluation of effects of pharmacological agents</u> For determining drug effects, the averaged

urIPSC amplitudes were scaled by the ratio of number of responses to total number of trials,

both in control and drug condition, in order to account also for changes in release probability.

If no single responses/urIPSCs could be detected anymore in the presence of TTX, CTX or

APV according to the criteria described above, we measured the mean amplitude of V_m above

baseline in the averaged response at the time point of the maximal response amplitude in

control condition. If this value was below 0, the response size was set to 0 pA. If the value

was larger than 0, we interpreted it as average drug response amplitude including failures and

thus did not scale it. This conservative method prevents false negatives due to lacking

sensitivity in individual trials in the presence of the drug.

<u>Detection of spontaneous activity</u> Spontaneous IPSCs were recorded prior to wash-in of DNI,

in the presence of DNI and in the presence of each pharmacological compound. For each

condition, data were analysed for a total duration of 20 s of recordings.

<u>Analysis of recurrent IPSPs</u> For each recording, the amplitude was measured as the maximal

negative deflection of the membrane potential from baseline, the half duration of decay $\tau_{1/2}$ as

the time between the time point of the maximal amplitude and its decay to half the maximal

value and the total duration as the time span between the onset of the hyperpolarization below

baseline and return to baseline. Values for all 5 recordings in one condition were averaged.

<u>Statistical tests</u> Data were analysed with non-parametric paired (*Wilcoxon matched* pairs) or

unpaired (*Mann-Whitney-U*) tests and expressed as mean ± SD.

Author contributions

VR performed all experiments, except for granule cell Na^+ imaging by TOJ, mitral cell curent

clamp experiments by GY and initial test experiments by VE. VR, TOJ, GY and VE analyzed

data. VE and VR designed research and wrote the manuscript. BR provided DNI-caged

glutamate, and all co-authors except for GY contributed to editing the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We thank Anne Pietryga-Krieger for expert technical assistance, Christine R. Rose and Niklas

Gerkau for the introduction to Na^+ imaging, Marius Stephan and Philipp Seidel for assistance

with IPSC analysis, Imre Vida for facilitating access to VGAT-Venus rats and Christine R.

Rose for comments on the manuscript. This work was funded mainly by the German Federal

Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF, 01GQ1104/01GQ1502, V.E.), with additional

equipment funding by LMU-GSN and DFG-SFB 870.

Competing interests

There are competing financial interests since B.R. is a founder of Femtonics Kft and a

member of its scientific advisory board. No other competing interests exist.

References

Abraham, N. M., Egger, V., Shimshek, D. R., Renden, R., Fukunaga, I., Sprengel, R., Seeburg, P. H.,
Klugmann, M., Margrie, T. W., Schaefer, A. T., & Kuner, T. (2010). Synaptic inhibition in
the olfactory bulb accelerates odor discrimination in mice. *Neuron, 65*(3), 399-411.
694

695   Angelo, K., & Margrie, T. W. (2011). Population diversity and function of hyperpolarization-
696   activated current in olfactory bulb mitral cells. *Sci Rep, 1*, 50. doi:10.1038/srep00050
697

698   Arnson, H. A., & Strowbridge, B. (2017). Spatial Structure Of Synchronized Inhibition In The
699   Olfactory Bulb. *J Neurosci, 37*(43):10468 –10480. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1004-17.2017
700

701   Aroniadou-Anderjaska, V., Ennis, M., & Shipley, M. T. (1999). Dendrodendritic recurrent excitation
702   in mitral cells of the rat olfactory bulb. *J Neurophysiol, 82*(1), 489-494.
703   doi:10.1152/jn.1999.82.1.489
704

705   Bartel, D. L., Rela, L., Hsieh, L., & Greer, C. A. (2015). Dendrodendritic synapses in the mouse
706   olfactory bulb external plexiform layer. *J Comp Neurol, 523*(8), 1145-1161.
707   doi:10.1002/cne.23714
708

709   Bloodgood, B. L., & Sabatini, B. L. (2007). Ca(2+) signaling in dendritic spines. *Curr Opin
710   Neurobiol, 17*(3), 345-351. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2007.04.003
711

712   Bouvier, G., Bidoret, C., Casado, M., & Paoletti, P. (2015). Presynaptic NMDA receptors: Roles and
713   rules. *Neuroscience, 311*, 322-340. doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.10.033
714

715   Bywalez, W. G., Patirniche, D., Rupprecht, V., Stemmler, M., Herz, A. V. M., Pálfi, D., Rozsa, B., &
716   Egger, V. (2015). Local Postsynaptic Voltage-Gated Sodium Channel Activation in Dendritic
717   Spines of Olfactory Bulb Granule Cells. *Neuron, 85*(3), 590-601.
718   doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.12.051
719

720   Carlson, G. C., Shipley, M. T., & Keller, A. (2000). Long-lasting depolarizations in mitral cells of the
721   rat olfactory bulb. *J Neurosci, 20*(5), 2011-2021.
722

723   Chen, W. R., Xiong, W., & Shepherd, G. M. (2000). Analysis of relations between NMDA receptors
724   and GABA release at olfactory bulb reciprocal synapses. *Neuron, 25*(3), 625-633.
725

726   Chiovini, B., Turi, G. F., Katona, G., Kaszas, A., Palfi, D., Maak, P., Szalay, G., Szabo, M. F., Szabo,
727   G., Szadai, Z., Kali, S., & Rozsa, B. (2014). Dendritic spikes induce ripples in parvalbumin
728   interneurons during hippocampal sharp waves. *Neuron, 82*(4), 908-924.
729   doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.04.004
730

731   Christie, J. M., & Westbrook, G. L. (2006). Lateral excitation within the olfactory bulb. *J Neurosci,
732   26*(8), 2269-2277.
733

734   Didier, A., Carleton, A., Bjaalie, J. G., Vincent, J. D., Ottersen, O. P., Storm-Mathisen, J., & Lledo, P.
735   M. (2001). A dendrodendritic reciprocal synapse provides a recurrent excitatory connection in
736   the olfactory bulb. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 98*(11), 6441-6446.
737

Dong, H. W., Hayar, A., & Ennis, M. (2007). Activation of group I metabotropic glutamate receptors
on main olfactory bulb granule cells and periglomerular cells enhances synaptic inhibition of

mitral cells. *J Neurosci, 27*(21), 5654-5663.

Egger, V. (2008). Synaptic sodium spikes trigger long-lasting depolarizations and slow calcium entry
in rat olfactory bulb granule cells. *Eur J Neurosci, 27*(8), 2066-2075.

Egger, V., Nevian, T., & Bruno, R. M. (2007). Subcolumnar Dendritic and Axonal Organization of
Spiny Stellate and Star Pyramid Neurons within a Barrel in Rat Somatosensory Cortex. *Cereb*
Cortex.

Egger, V., & Stroh, O. (2009). Calcium buffering in rodent olfactory bulb granule cells and mitral
cells. *J Physiol, 587*(Pt 18), 4467-4479.

Egger, V., Svoboda, K., & Mainen, Z. F. (2005). Dendrodendritic synaptic signals in olfactory bulb
granule cells: Local spine boost and global low-threshold spike. *J Neurosci, 25*, 3521-3530.

Egger, V., & Urban, N. N. (2006). Dynamic connectivity in the mitral cell-granule cell microcircuit.
Semin Cell Dev Biol, 17(4), 424-432.

Fino, E., Araya, R., Peterka, D. S., Salierno, M., Etchenique, R., & Yuste, R. (2009). RuBi-
Glutamate: Two-Photon and Visible-Light Photoactivation of Neurons and Dendritic spines.
Front Neural Circuits, 3, 2. doi:10.3389/neuro.04.002.2009

Friedman, D., & Strowbridge, B. W. (2000). Functional role of NMDA autoreceptors in olfactory
mitral cells. *J Neurophysiol, 84*(1), 39-50. doi:10.1152/jn.2000.84.1.39

Fukunaga, I., Herb, J. T., Kollo, M., Boyden, E. S., & Schaefer, A. T. (2014). Independent control of
gamma and theta activity by distinct interneuron networks in the olfactory bulb. *Nat Neurosci,*
17(9), 1208-1216. doi:10.1038/nn.3760

Gilra, A., & Bhalla, U. S. (2015). Bulbar Microcircuit Model Predicts Connectivity and Roles of
Interneurons in Odor Coding. *PLoS ONE, 10*(5), e0098045.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098045

Halabisky, B., Friedman, D., Radojicic, M., & Strowbridge, B. W. (2000). Calcium influx through
NMDA receptors directly evokes GABA release in olfactory bulb granule cells. *J Neurosci,*
20(13), 5124-5134.

Huang, L., Garcia, I., Jen, H.-I., & Arenkiel, B. R. (2013). Reciprocal connectivity between mitral
cells and external plexiform layer interneurons in the mouse olfactory bulb. *Frontiers in*
Neural Circuits, 7. doi:10.3389/fncir.2013.00032

Isaacson, J. S. (1999). Glutamate spillover mediates excitatory transmission in the rat olfactory bulb.
Neuron, 23(2), 377-384.

Isaacson, J. S. (2001). Mechanisms governing dendritic gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) release
in the rat olfactory bulb. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 98*(1), 337-342.

Isaacson, J. S., & Strowbridge, B. W. (1998). Olfactory reciprocal synapses: dendritic signaling in
the CNS. *Neuron, 20*(4), 749-761.

Kaeser, P. S., & Regehr, W. G. (2014). Molecular mechanisms for synchronous, asynchronous, and

spontaneous neurotransmitter release. *Annu Rev Physiol, 76*, 333-363. doi:10.1146/annurev-
physiol-021113-170338
793
794 Kapoor, V., & Urban, N. N. (2006). Glomerulus-specific, long-latency activity in the olfactory bulb
795 granule cell network. *J Neurosci, 26*, 11706-11719.
796
797 Kato, H. K., Gillet, S. N., Peters, A. J., Isaacson, J. S., & Komiyama, T. (2013). Parvalbumin-
798 expressing interneurons linearly control olfactory bulb output. *Neuron, 80*(5),
799 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.1008.1036. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.08.036
800
801 Kay, L. M. (2003). Two species of gamma oscillations in the olfactory bulb: dependence on
802 behavioral state and synaptic interactions. *J Integr Neurosci, 2*(1), 31-44.
803
804 Lepousez, G., Csaba, Z., Bernard, V., Loudes, C., Videau, C., Lacombe, J., Epelbaum, J., & Viollet,
805 C. (2010). Somatostatin interneurons delineate the inner part of the external plexiform layer in
806 the mouse main olfactory bulb. *J Comp Neurol, 518*(11), 1976-1994. doi:10.1002/cne.22317
807
808 Lowe, G. (2002). Inhibition of backpropagating action potentials in mitral cell secondary dendrites. *J
809 Neurophysiol, 88*(1), 64-85.
810
811 McIntyre, A. B. R., & Cleland, T. A. (2016). Biophysical constraints on lateral inhibition in the
812 olfactory bulb. *Journal of Neurophysiology, 115*(6), 2937-2949. doi:10.1152/jn.00671.2015
813
814 Miller, J. P., Rall, W., & Rinzel, J. (1985). Synaptic amplification by active membrane in dendritic
815 spines. *Brain Res, 325*(1-2), 325-330.
816
817 Miyamichi, K., Shlomai-Fuchs, Y., Shu, M., Weissbourd, B. C., Luo, L., & Mizrahi, A. (2013).
818 Dissecting Local Circuits: Parvalbumin Interneurons Underlie Broad Feedback Control of
819 Olfactory Bulb Output. *Neuron, 80*(5), 1232-1245. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2013.08.027
820
821 Miyazaki, K., & Ross, W. N. (2017). Sodium Dynamics in Pyramidal Neuron Dendritic Spines:
822 Synaptically Evoked Entry Predominantly through AMPA Receptors and Removal by
823 Diffusion. *The Journal of Neuroscience, 37*(41), 9964-9976. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1758-
824 17.2017
825
826 Mondragao, M. A., Schmidt, H., Kleinhans, C., Langer, J., Kafitz, K. W., & Rose, C. R. (2016).
827 Extrusion versus diffusion: mechanisms for recovery from sodium loads in mouse CA1
828 pyramidal neurons. *J Physiol, 594*(19), 5507-5527. doi:10.1113/JP272431
829
830 Nunes, D., & Kuner, T. (2018). Axonal sodium channel NaV1.2 drives granule cell dendritic GABA
831 release and rapid odor discrimination. *PLoS Biol, 16*(8), e2003816.
832 doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.2003816
833
834 Ona-Jodar, T., Gerkau, N. J., Sara Aghvami, S., Rose, C. R., & Egger, V. (2017). Two-Photon Na(+)
835 Imaging Reports Somatically Evoked Action Potentials in Rat Olfactory Bulb Mitral and
836 Granule Cell Neurites. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience, 11*, 50.
837 doi:10.3389/fncel.2017.00050
838
839 Pimentel, D. O., & Margrie, T. W. (2008). Glutamatergic transmission and plasticity between
840 olfactory bulb mitral cells. *J Physiol, 586*(8), 2107-2119.
841

842   Pressler, R. T., & Strowbridge, B. W. (2017). Direct Recording of Dendrodendritic Excitation in the
843   Olfactory Bulb: Divergent Properties of Local and External Glutamatergic Inputs Govern
844   Synaptic Integration in Granule Cells. *J Neurosci, 37*(49), 11774-11788.
845   doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2033-17.2017
846
847   Rannals, M. D., & Kapur, J. (2011). Homeostatic strengthening of inhibitory synapses is mediated by
848   the accumulation of GABA(A) receptors. *J Neurosci, 31*(48), 17701-17712.
849   doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4476-11.2011
850
851   Rose, C. R., Kovalchuk, Y., Eilers, J., & Konnerth, A. (1999). Two-photon Na+ imaging in spines
852   and fine dendrites of central neurons. *Pflugers Arch, 439*(1-2), 201-207.
853
854   Sailor, Kurt A., Valley, Matthew T., Wiechert, Martin T., Riecke, H., Sun, Gerald J., Adams, W., . . .
855   Lledo, P.-M. (2016). Persistent Structural Plasticity Optimizes Sensory Information
856   Processing in the Olfactory Bulb. *Neuron, 91*(2), 384-396.
857   doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.06.004
858
859   Salin, P. A., Lledo, P. M., Vincent, J. D., & Charpak, S. (2001). Dendritic glutamate autoreceptors
860   modulate signal processing in rat mitral cells. *J Neurophysiol, 85*(3), 1275-1282.
861
862   Sassoe-Pognetto, M., Utvik, J. K., Camoletto, P., Watanabe, M., Stephenson, F. A., Bredt, D. S., &
863   Ottersen, O. P. (2003). Organization of postsynaptic density proteins and glutamate receptors
864   in axodendritic and dendrodendritic synapses of the rat olfactory bulb. *J Comp Neurol, 463*(3),
865   237-248.
866
867   Schmidt, L. J., & Strowbridge, B. W. (2014). Modulation of olfactory bulb network activity by
868   serotonin: synchronous inhibition of mitral cells mediated by spatially localized GABAergic
869   microcircuits. *Learn Mem, 21*(8), 406-416. doi:10.1101/lm.035659.114
870
871   Schoppa, N. E., Kinzie, J. M., Sahara, Y., Segerson, T. P., & Westbrook, G. L. (1998).
872   Dendrodendritic inhibition in the olfactory bulb is driven by NMDA receptors. *J Neurosci,*
873   *18*(17), 6790-6802.
874
875   Schoppa, N. E., & Westbrook, G. L. (1999). Regulation of synaptic timing in the olfactory bulb by an
876   A-type potassium current. *Nat Neurosci, 2*(12), 1106-1113.
877
878   Schoppa, N. E., & Westbrook, G. L. (2001). Glomerulus-specific synchronization of mitral cells in
879   the olfactory bulb. *Neuron, 31*(4), 639-651.
880
881   Shepherd, G. M., & Greer, C. A. (2004). Olfactory bulb. In G. M. Shepherd (Ed.), *The Synaptic*
882   *Organization of the Brain* (3rd ed., pp. 133-169). New York: Oxford University Press.
883
884   Sobczyk, A., Scheuss, V., & Svoboda, K. (2005). NMDA receptor subunit-dependent [Ca2+]
885   signaling in individual hippocampal dendritic spines. *J Neurosci, 25*(26), 6037-6046.
886   doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1221-05.2005
887
888   Spruston, N. (2008). Pyramidal neurons: dendritic structure and synaptic integration. *Nat Rev*
889   *Neurosci, 9*(3), 206-221. doi:10.1038/nrn2286
890
891   Stroh, O., Freichel, M., Kretz, O., Birnbaumer, L., Hartmann, J., & Egger, V. (2012). NMDA-
892   receptor dependent synaptic activation of TRPC channels in olfactory bulb granule cells. *The*

893   *Journal of Neuroscience, 32*(17), 5737-5746. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3753-11.2012
894

895 Toida, K., Kosaka, K., Heizmann, C. W., & Kosaka, T. (1994). Synaptic contacts between
896   mitral/tufted cells and GABAergic neurons containing calcium-binding protein parvalbumin
897   in the rat olfactory bulb, with special reference to reciprocal synapses between them. *Brain*
898   *Res, 650*(2), 347-352.
899

900 Uematsu, M., Hirai, Y., Karube, F., Ebihara, S., Kato, M., Abe, K., . . . Kawaguchi, Y. (2008).
901   Quantitative Chemical Composition of Cortical GABAergic Neurons Revealed in Transgenic
902   Venus-Expressing Rats. *Cerebral Cortex, 18*(2), 315-330. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhm056
903

904 Wallace, J. L., Wienisch, M., & Murthy, V. N. (2017). Development and Refinement of Functional
905   Properties of Adult-Born Neurons. *Neuron, 96*(4), 883-896.e887.
906   doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2017.09.039
907

908 Wellis, D. P., & Kauer, J. S. (1993). GABAA and glutamate receptor involvement in dendrodendritic
909   synaptic interactions from salamander olfactory bulb. *J Physiol, 469*, 315-339.
910

911 Wen, H., Hubbard, J. M., Rakela, B., Linhoff, M. W., Mandel, G., & Brehm, P. (2013). Synchronous
912   and asynchronous modes of synaptic transmission utilize different calcium sources. *Elife, 2*,
913   e01206. doi:10.7554/eLife.01206
914

915 Wienisch, M., & Murthy, V. N. (2016). Population imaging at subcellular resolution supports
916   specific and local inhibition by granule cells in the olfactory bulb. *Scientific Reports, 6*, 29308.
917   doi:10.1038/srep29308
918

919 Woolf, T. B., Shepherd, G. M., & Greer, C. A. (1991). Serial reconstructions of granule cell spines in
920   the mammalian olfactory bulb. *Synapse, 7*(3), 181-192.
921

922 Xiong, W., & Chen, W. R. (2002). Dynamic gating of spike propagation in the mitral cell lateral
923   dendrites. *Neuron, 34*(1), 115-126.
924

925 Yu, X. M., Askalan, R., Keil, G. J., 2nd, & Salter, M. W. (1997). NMDA channel regulation by
926   channel-associated protein tyrosine kinase Src. *Science, 275*(5300), 674-678.
927

928 Yu, X. M., & Salter, M. W. (1998). Gain control of NMDA-receptor currents by intracellular sodium.
929   *Nature, 396*(6710), 469-474. doi:10.1038/24877
930

931 Zhang, L., Huang, Y., & Hu, B. (2016). Olfactory experiences dynamically regulate plasticity of
932   dendritic spines in granule cells of Xenopus tadpoles in vivo. *Scientific Reports, 6*, 35009.
933   doi:10.1038/srep35009
934

935 Zylbertal, A., Kahan, A., Ben-Shaul, Y., Yarom, Y., & Wagner, S. (2015). Prolonged Intracellular
936   Na(+) Dynamics Govern Electrical Activity in Accessory Olfactory Bulb Mitral Cells. *PLoS*
937   *Biology, 13*(12), e1002319. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002319

Figure 1

Figure 1. TPU-induced glutamatergic activation of GC spines triggers GABA release detected as urIPSCs in MCs.

(**A**) Experimental setting showing somatic whole cell voltage clamp recording from MC filled with Alexa 594 (red, 50 μM) and TPU of DNI-caged glutamate (DNI; blue star, 1 mM) at GC spines in VGAT-Venus rats (GCs green).

(**B**) Summary of effects of DNI (red) on spontaneous activity. Left: sIPSC amplitude and frequency (n = 14 MCs); Right: recordings from example experiment. sIPSCs are gone in the presence of bicuculline (BCC, orange, 50 μM).

(**C**) Left: Representative experiment showing individual urIPSC amplitudes over time. Inset: Averaged urIPSC in the first (black, n = 3 urIPSCs) and last ten minutes (grey, n = 3 urIPSCs). Right: Comparison of averaged normalized urIPSC amplitudes separated by 10 min interval (n = 7).

(**D**) Left: Example of consecutive uncaging traces showing urIPSC responses (black) and failures (grey). Inset: Integrals (grey) of 500 ms time windows pre and post uncaging. Middle: Area (integrated activity) in pre and post uncaging integrals in experiments where triggered urIPSCs were detected (n = 27). Right: Comparison between the number of pre and post uncaging IPSC events in the same data set (n = 27).

(**E**) Properties of first triggered urIPSCs. Left: Distribution of urIPSC amplitudes (n = 32, V_m = +10 mV). Middle: Distribution of release probabilities of GABA after TPU (n = 44). Right: Distribution of urIPSC latencies from TPU onset (n = 36).

Levels of significance (also in other figures): n.s., not significant, *: $P < 0.05$, **: $P < 0.01$, ***: $P < 0.001$

Figure S1

Figure S1. Secondary figure for Figure 1

Ad Fig. 1A: Location of uncaging sites. (**A1**) Cumulative data showing TPU distance from MC soma along lateral dendrites (n = 27). (**A2**) Correlation between urIPSC amplitudes and the site of TPU (n = 20).

Ad Fig. 1B: DNI significantly decreases sIPSC amplitude and leaves sIPSC kinetics unaffected. (**B1**) Representative events and summary plots showing the comparison of sIPSC parameters (amplitude, rise time, decay time, area) between control and in the presence of DNI (red, n = 14). (**B2**) Normalized frequency showing the effect of bicuculline (orange) on control (DNI) sIPSC activity (n = 6).

Ad Fig. 1C: (**C1**) The urIPSC is mediated by $GABA_A$ receptors. Normalized urIPSC amplitudes; signals are gone in the presence of bicuculline (n = 7)

Ad Fig. 1D: (**D1**) Absolute changes in area (integrated activity) and event numbers between ‚pre' interval and ‚post' interval (delta = ‚post' – ‚pre'). (**D2**) Quantification of extent of late/asynchronous activity: Duration of urIPSC barrages after TPU (n = 26); inset: examples for short average urIPSC (black) and long-lasting average urIPSC barrage (grey).

Ad Fig. 1E: (**E1**) Latency: Example of one of the three late first urIPSC recordings (> 50 ms). Representative traces with magnifying inset showing late triggered urIPSCs (individual traces: grey, average: red)

Figure 2

979
980
Figure 2. The urIPSC is reduced by Na_v blockade (TTX, 500nM).
(**A, B**) Representative experiments showing a patch-clamped mitral cell (Alexa594 50 μM, red), the
uncaging site(s) along a lateral dendrite (blue star) and below the corresponding uncaging traces with
individual traces shown in grey, average control traces in red and average TTX traces indicated in blue
(A: VGAT-Venus rat, B: Wistar rat).
(**C**) Left: Magnified illustration of traces in A (for control individual traces with urIPSC responses and
their average, for TTX only average, color coding as above). Right: Cumulative normalized data
showing the strong reduction of urIPSC amplitude during Na_v blockade with TTX (n = 12). Diamonds
indicate the experiments with no detectable response in the presence of the drug.
(**D**) Comparison of normalized delta IPSC area between control and in the presence of TTX (see
Methods, n = 10).

Figure S2

Figure S2: Secondary figure for Figure 2

Sodium channel blockade by TTX strongly attenuates spontaneous IPSC activity.

(**A**) Representative example of sIPSC traces in control (red), in the presence of TTX (blue) and

additional application of bicuculline (orange).

(**B**) sIPSC frequency comparison beween control and additional presence of TTX (left, n = 10),

between TTX and extra bicuculline added (+BCC, n = 10).

(**C**) Cumulative plot of normalized amplitudes illustrating the lack of an effect of Na_v blockade on

spontaneous IPSC amplitudes (n = 9).

Figure 3

1002

1003
1004
Figure 3.
Blockade of high voltage activated Ca^{2+} channels by ω-conotoxin MVIIC (CTX, 1
μM) causes a prominent reduction of urIPSC amplitudes.
(**A, B**) Two representative experiments in brain slices from VGAT-Venus rat with the corresponding
MC (red), the site of TPU (blue star) and the uncaging traces according to the condition (individual
traces : grey, average control: red, average CTX: green).
(**C**) Left: Magnified illustration of traces in B (for control individual traces with urIPSC responses and
their average, for CTX only average, color coding as above). Right: Summary of effects of CTX on
average normalized urIPSC amplitude (n = 8). Diamonds indicate the experiments with no detectable
response in the presence of the drug.
(**D**) Comparison of delta urIPSC areas normalized to control versus in the presence of CTX (n = 9).

Figure S3

1016

Figure S3. **Secondary figure for Figure 3**
Spontaneous IPSC activity is lowered in the presence of CTX.
(**A**) Example traces showing the decreasing effect of CTX (green) and bicuculline application (BCC,
orange) on sIPSCs.
(**B**) Normalized summary plots of the inhibitory effect of CTX (n = 7) and additional bicuculline (+
BCC, n = 7) on sIPSC frequency.
(**C**) Cumulative plot of normalized amplitudes showing no effect of CTX on sIPSC amplitudes (n = 7).

Figure 4

1026

Figure 4. NMDAR blockade with D-APV (25 μM) results in a strong reduction of urIPSC amplitudes and also reduces rIPSPs following mitral cell APs.
(**A, B**) Shown are two representative uncaging experiments with the corresponding MC (red), the site of TPU (blue star) and the uncaging traces according to the condition (individual traces : grey, average control: red, average APV: dark grey; VGAT-Venus rat).
(**C**) Left: Magnified illustration of traces in A (for control individual traces with urIPSC responses and their average, for APV only average, color coding as above). Right: Summary of effects of APV on average normalized urIPSC amplitude (n = 10). Diamonds indicate the experiments with no detectable response in the presence of the drug.
(**D**) Comparison of delta urIPSC integrals between control versus in the presence of APV (n = 10).
(**E**) Left: Representative example of mitral cell AP evoked by somatic current injection in control conditions (black trace) and in the presence of APV (grey trace). Inset: Magnified recurrent IPSPs. Right: Summary of effects of APV on average normalized rIPSP amplitude (n = 11 MCs).
(**F**) Summary of effects of APV on normalized rIPSP half durations $\tau_{1/2}$ (n = 11).

Figure S4

1041

1042

Figure S4. **Secondary figure for Figure 4**
NMDAR blockade by APV strongly depresses spontaneous IPSC activity.
(**A**) Representative example of sIPSC traces in control (red), in the presence of D-APV (25
μM, dark grey) and additional application of bicuculline (+BCC, orange).
(**B**) sIPSC frequency comparison beween control and additional presence of D-APV (left, n =
9), between D-APV and extra bicuculline added (n = 8).
(**C**) Cumulative plot of normalized sIPSC amplitudes illustrating the effect of NMDAR
blockade on spontaneous activity (n = 9).
(**D**) Representative example of additional effect of GABA$_A$ receptor blockade with BCC on
rIPSP in the presence of APV (after 25 min of wash-in).

Figure 5

1053

1054

Figure 5.
Imaging of TPU-evoked Ca^{2+} or Na^{+} entry into GC spines with low exogenous buffering (100
μM OGB-6F or 1 mM SBFI) reveals sluggish decay of $\Delta[Ca^{2+}]$ and plateau in $\Delta[Na^{+}]$.
(**A, C**) Top: Representative examples of individual spines. Blue stars denote uncaging
locations. Middle: Respective averaged fluorescence transients $(\Delta F/F)_{TPU}$ within the above
spines (S, red) and the adjacent dendrite (D, black). Bottom: $(\Delta F/F)_{TPU}$ transients averaged
across experiments (Ca^{2+} imaging: n = 11 spines, Na^{+} imaging: n = 13 spines) with the same
time axis, spine response in red and dendrite response in grey. The dotted red trace in the Ca^{2+}
imaging graph represents the averaged response to a backpropragating somatically evoked AP
(n = 8).
(**B, D**) Cumulative plots of $(\Delta F/F)_{TPU}$ amplitudes in dendrite and spine pairs and of half
durations $\tau_{1/2}$ of $(\Delta F/F)_{TPU}$ within the spine heads (mostly not detectable in the dendrites).