Parent-offspring conflict, ecology, and life history diversification of

livebearing fishes

Michael Tobler[1] & Zachary Culumber[2]

[1]Division of Biology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA

[2]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL 35899,

USA

Major shifts in life history evolution—such as the transition from pre- to post-fertilization

maternal provisioning in viviparous organisms—require complex morphological and

physiological adaptations. The conditions under which these transitions arise remain largely

unexplored. Theory postulates that parent-offspring conflict can drive diversification in

maternal provisioning strategies, but while alternative hypotheses focusing on sexual

selection and ecological adaptation have been proposed, neither have been evaluated

comparatively. Livebearing fishes (Poeciliidae) have provided important insights into life

history evolution due to variation in maternal provisioning strategies, mating systems, and

ecology. Comparative analyses of temporal dynamics across 94 species revealed that

repeated shifts from lecithotrophy (pre-fertilization provisioning) to matrotrophy (post-

fertilization provisioning) preceded concomitant changes in sexual selection, establishing a

previously missing causal link in the correlated evolution of life history and mating systems.

Adaptive hypotheses of matrotrophy evolution were supported by correlations between

ecological variables and the extent of post-fertilization provisioning. Consistent with

theoretical models, matrotrophy was associated with environments exhibiting high primary

productivity and low competition. In contrast, results did not support strictly conflict-driven

scenarios of matrotrophy evolution. Bi-directional evolution along the lecithotrophy-

matrotrophy continuum contradicted the expectation of intraspecific Red Queen dynamics

with perpetual increases in post-fertilization provisioning. Our results demonstrate that

parent-offspring conflict alone is not sufficient to explain the evolution of matrotrophy, but

may play a role in conjunction with ecological mechanisms. We propose that natural

selection mediated by resource availability counterbalances conflict-fueled—potentially

maladaptive—increases in matrotrophy.

Evolutionary transitions in maternal provisioning strategies represent a primary axis of

reproductive life history variation in viviparous organisms (1, 2). Shifts from an ancestral strategy of

females providing all resources for embryonic development prior to fertilization (lecithotrophy) to

post-fertilization provisioning (matrotrophy) have been associated with the evolution of complex

physiological and morphological adaptations, including placental structures of apposed maternal and

embryonic tissues that facilitate nutrient transfer (3). Matrotrophy and placentas have evolved

repeatedly in viviparous animals, including multiple invertebrate (4-8) and vertebrate lineages (9-12).

Transitions along the lecithotrophy-matrotrophy continuum may have far reaching consequences,

shaping the evolution of other traits and patterns of biological diversification. The exacerbation of

parent-offspring conflict associated with the evolution of matrotrophy (13, 14) has been

hypothesized to impact patterns of sexual selection and promote speciation (15-18). What

evolutionary forces shape the evolution of matrotrophy consequently is a pivotal question in

evolutionary biology (19).

Two conceptual frameworks may explain the evolution of matrotrophy. *(1)* The viviparity-

driven conflict hypothesis postulates that matrotrophy evolves as a consequence of parent-offspring

conflict over the degree of maternal investment, leading to antagonistic coevolution between

maternal and embryonic traits that impact nutrient transfer during pregnancy (17, 20). This

hypothesis predicts positive feedback loops between matrotrophy and the strength of parent-

offspring conflict, and variation in maternal provisioning strategies is not expected to be related to

environmental variables (16, 19, 20). The viviparity-driven conflict hypothesis also predicts

correlated evolution between life history and sexual selection, because matrotrophy can facilitate

post-copulatory mate choice by females and weaken the importance of pre-copulatory sexual

selection and male courtship (15). While there is empirical evidence for correlated evolution between

matrotrophy and sexual selection (15), the causal relationship between life history evolution and

sexual selection remains unresolved. It has been assumed that shifts in maternal provisioning

strategies drive shifts in sexual selection, but the directionality of this relationship may just as likely

be reversed (21, 22). Evolution along a continuum of mating systems ranging from those

characterized predominantly by male coercion to those predominantly exhibiting male courtship and

pre-copulatory female choice may favor diversification in life history strategies, where females of

species exhibiting high rates of male coercion should be selected for reduced pre-fertilization

provisioning to avoid costs associated with genetically incompatible sires. *(2)* Matrotrophy may be a

consequence of adaptive evolution in response to ecological sources of selection. Matrotrophy has

been hypothesized to reduce locomotor costs associated with pregnancy (23-25). Specifically,

lecithotrophic females are expected to suffer from impaired locomotion throughout gestation, while

matrotrophic females with initially small embryos should avoid such costs at least in early stages of

pregnancy (26, 27). In addition, resource availability may shape evolution along the lecithotrophy-

matrotrophy continuum. Lecithotrophy is expected to be adaptive in environments with fluctuating

resource availability (27), whereas matrotrophy theoretically maximizes reproductive output when

resource availability is high and stable (28).

Livebearing fishes of the family Poeciliidae have become an iconic model system for testing

hypotheses about the evolution of reproductive strategies (29). Poeciliids have undergone

remarkable diversification in levels of post-fertilization maternal provisioning [with independent

origins of matrotrophy in different clades (15, 19, 30)], as well as male mating strategies and sexual

selection [ranging from mating systems dominated by male coercion to systems dominated by pre-

copulatory female mate choice (15, 31, 32)]. In addition, species in this family are broadly distributed

throughout the Americas, found in a wide variety of ecological contexts, and have a well-resolved

phylogeny (33-35), facilitating comparative analyses that contrast alternative hypotheses about the

evolutionary origins of matrotrophy. Research on poeciliid fishes has been instrumental for the

advancement of our theoretical and empirical understanding of matrotrophy (19, 27, 36), and there

is circumstantial evidence supporting various predictions of both the viviparity-driven conflict and

adaptive hypotheses of matrotrophy evolution. Intra-specific analyses have shown that the evolution

of matrotrophy is associated with parent-offspring conflict (37, 38), and a comparative study

documented the predicted correlation between matrotrophy and sexual selection (15). Furthermore,

pregnancy in poeciliids is associated with locomotor costs expected under the adaptive hypothesis

(39, 40), and there is evidence that matrotrophy increases streamlining (41) and is favored in high

predation environments requiring efficient escape responses (42). Finally, maternal provisioning also

responds to resource availability (43, 44), and matrotrophy is associated with significant costs when

resources fluctuate (45).

Here, we used phylogenetic comparative analyses of 94 species spanning the family

Poeciliidae to test predictions of the viviparity-driven conflict and adaptive evolution hypotheses to

gain insights into ultimate drivers of matrotrophy evolution: *(1)* The viviparity-driven conflict

hypothesis predicts correlated evolution between life history and sexual selection, but the causal

relationship remains unclear. We used phylogenetic time-series analyses to test whether life history

evolution drives sexual selection, or vice versa. *(2)* The viviparity-driven conflict hypothesis predicts

that positive feedbacks lead to continuous increases in matrotrophy through evolutionary time. We

used ancestral state reconstruction to evaluate the directionality of matrotrophy evolution during the

diversification of poeciliids. *(3)* The adaptive hypothesis of matrotrophy evolution predicts

correlations between ecological variables and the degree of matrotrophy. We used phylogenetic path

analyses to test whether variation in abiotic and biotic environmental variables relevant to locomotor

costs and resource availability predict variation along the lecithotrophy-matrotrophy continuum.

Results

Evolution of matrotrophy shapes sexual selection, not vice versa

A prior study documented correlated evolution of matrotrophy and sexual selection in poeciliid

fishes (15), but the causal relationship was not resolved. Three hypotheses could explain correlated

evolution: *(1)* Shifts along the lecithotrophy-matrotrophy continuum (driven by parent-offspring

conflict or ecological selection) may drive the evolution of sexual selection (15, 16); *(2)* evolution in

patterns of sexual selection along a continuum from male coercion with cryptic female choice to

male courtship with pre-copulatory female choice may favor different maternal provisioning

strategies and impact parent-offspring conflict (21, 38); or *(3)* the same (or correlated) environmental

factors may exert selection on multiple traits, causing correlated phenotypic evolution without

pleiotropic interactions among trait suites.

These alternative hypotheses result in different predictions about time-lags between shifts in

the evolution of matrotrophy and sexual selection, which can be contrasted by explicitly testing the

relative timing of evolutionary shifts in the two traits across a phylogenetic tree. We quantified

variation along the lecithotrophy-matrotrophy continuum based on embryonic mass changes during

development [resulting in a matrotrophy index (30)] and variation in sexual selection based on the

degree of sexual dimorphism [resulting in a sexual selection index (31)]. Analyses of evolutionary

time-lags across 250 trees revealed the strongest support for the model in which shifts in

matrotrophy preceded shifts in sexual selection (mean Akaike weight: 0.430; 95% CI: 0.411 – 0.450).

The null model (no lag between the two traits) received significantly lower support (mean Akaike

weight: 0.312; 95% CI: 0.304 – 0.320), and the model assuming that shifts in sexual selection

preceded shifts in matrotrophy had the lowest support (mean Akaike weight: 0.258; 95% CI: 0.244 –

0.272). These results support the previously held assumption that evolution in matrotrophy mediates

subsequent changes in sexual selection (15), rejecting the alternative hypothesis that sexual selection

was a key driver of life history diversification.

Matrotrophy has evolved bi-directionally during the diversification of poeciliids

A critical assumption of the viviparity-driven conflict hypothesis is that there are positive feedback-

loops between parent-offspring conflict and matrotrophy (19). Matrotrophy evolution within species

should therefore be characterized by Red Queen dynamics (46). Following the emergence of

matrotropy, coevolution of maternal and embryonic traits that impact nutrient transfer during

pregnancy are expected to continuously increase post-fertilization provisioning (20). Consequently,

the viviparity-driven conflict hypothesis predicts that lecithotrophy is the ancestral provisioning

strategy in the family Poeciliidae, and that unidirectional evolutionary changes have occurred from

lecithotrophy toward matrotrophy.

Ancestral state reconstructions (ASR) were used to compare inferred ancestral states of

matrotrophy to variation in matrotrophy observed in extant taxa. ASRs across 250 trees

demonstrated that lecithotrophy is not the ancestral provisioning strategy (Fig. 1A), challenging

previous assumptions about matrotrophy evolution in poeciliids (19). The inferred ancestral state

was clearly toward the matrotrophic end of the spectrum (with a net weight gain during

development) and distinctly above the levels of post-fertilization provisioning observed in most

extant taxa (Fig. 1B). This does not mean that matrotrophy evolved prior to lecithotrophy, but

rather that the common ancestor of extant poeciliids had already evolved some degree of post-

fertilization provisioning. More importantly, examining the direction of shifts in post-fertilization

provisioning strategies between all nodes and their descendants revealed that reductions of

matrotrophy were just as common as increases in matrotrophy (Fig. 1C). The bidirectionality of

matrotrophy evolution does not support the central prediction of the viviparity-driven conflict

hypothesis that matrotrophy should continue to increase throughout diversification.

Ecological variables are correlated with matrotrophy evolution

Ecological selection related to locomotor performance and resource acquisition are the two leading

adaptive hypotheses for diversification of maternal provisioning strategies. The locomotor cost

hypothesis predicts that matrotrophy should evolve in ecological contexts that select for high

locomotor efficiency, for example under high water flow conditions or in habitats with high

predation risk (42, 47). Alternatively, matrotrophy may be favored in environments with high and

stable resource availability (28). If ecologically-mediated natural selection contributed to the

diversification in maternal provisioning strategies, we would predict correlations between the

matrotrophy index and ecological variables associated with locomotor performance, resource

availability, or both.

163   We contrasted a series of hypotheses about the hierarchical relationships among different

abiotic and biotic environmental variables and matrotrophy using phylogenetic path analysis (48).

Environmental predictor variables included metrics of hydrology (based on the topography of each

species' range) and predation (number of predatory fish species overlapping each species' range),

which are both relevant in the context of the locomotor cost hypothesis (42, 47). In addition, we

used metrics of climate (temperature and precipitation patterns in each species' range), average net

primary productivity (NPP), and competition (number of poeciliid species overlapping each species'

range), which are relevant in the context of the resource availability hypothesis (28). The

matrotrophy index and environmental predictor variables were combined in model sets representing

18 different hypotheses about putative relationships among the variables (Fig. S1). Model selection

identified two models with average $\Delta CIC_c < 2$ across the 250 trees (Fig. S2), including NPP,

competition, and predation as predictor variables for variation in matrotrophy. Both models

indicated that NPP positively correlated with competition ($r = 0.325$, 95% CI $= 0.324 - 0.326$ for

both supported models), which in turn was negatively correlated with matrotrophy (top model: $r = -$

0.030, 95% CI = -0.034 – -0.025; secondary model: r = -0.077, 95% CI = -0.081 – -0.075). In

addition, there was a positive relationship between NPP and matrotrophy (top model: r = 0.024,

95% CI = 0.022 – 0.025; secondary model: r = 0.023, 95% CI = 0.021 – 0.024). Simultaneously

visualizing the effects of NPP and competition on matrotrophy indicated that high levels of

matrotrophy occurred when NPP was high and competition was low (Fig. 1D). This finding is

consistent with the predictions of theoretical models that emphasize resource availability (28). In the

best supported model, NPP was also positively correlated with predation (r = 0.184, 95% CI =

0.183 – 0.185), which was negatively correlated with matrotrophy (r = -0.082, 95% CI = -0.087 – -

0.078). Notably, however, the directionality of the relationship between predation and matrotrophy

was opposite to the predictions of the locomotor cost hypothesis, which posits that matrotrophy

should enhance locomotor performance and be favored in high-predation environments (42).

Although the effects sizes in the path analyses were relatively small, they were nonetheless

significantly different from zero, indicating that ecology has played a role in matrotrophy evolution.

Discussion

Shifts from pre- to post-fertilization maternal provisioning represent a major axis of life history

evolution in viviparous organisms (1, 2), with cascading effects for the evolution of complex traits,

sexual selection, and speciation (16, 49, 50). We used phylogenetic comparative analyses of 94

livebearing fishes in the family Poeciliidae to contrast hypothesized mechanisms driving the

evolution of post-fertilization maternal provisioning. A key assumption of the viviparity-driven

conflict hypothesis is a positive feedback-loop between parent-offspring conflict and matrotrophy,

resulting in a co-evolutionary arms-race between maternal and offspring traits (19, 20). Conflict

mechanisms—by definition—are therefore only sufficient to explain the evolution of matrotrophy if

post-fertilization maternal provisioning increases through time. Our analyses indicated that decreases

in levels of matrotrophy were just as common as increases (Fig. 1C). Such bi-directional evolution

along the lecithotrophy-matrotrophy continuum has been documented in other viviparous taxa (e.g.,

47, 51) and parallels secondary losses of obligate viviparity in fishes and reptiles (52, 53). The

standard model of linear life history evolution from oviparity to lecithotrophic viviparity to

matrotrophic viviparity (e.g., 17) therefore needs reevaluation to acknowledge that the evolution of

these traits is much more complex and dynamic than generally appreciated. Our results demonstrate

that evolutionary mechanisms other than parent-offspring conflict must have played a role in the

diversification of maternal provisioning strategies of poeciliids.

Correlations between ecological variables and matrotrophy support adaptive hypotheses of

matrotrophy evolution. Phylogenetic path analysis identified three biotic variables that were

associated with variation in matrotrophy (resource availability, competition, and predation), all of

which are well-documented drivers of life history diversification in animals (54-56). While the

relationship between matrotrophy and predation was opposite to the predictions of the locomotor

cost hypothesis, high levels of matrotrophy coincided with low competition and high resource

availability (Fig. 1D). This finding is consistent with the Trexler-DeAngelis model (28), which

predicts matrotrophy evolution in environments with abundant and stable resources.

There are two caveats that highlight the inconsistencies between existing conceptual

frameworks and empirical data associated with matrotrophy evolution. *(1)* Although viviparity-

driven conflict alone cannot explain matrotrophy evolution due to repeated evolutionary transitions

towards lower levels of post-fertilization provisioning ($\Delta MI < 0$ in Fig. 1C), we cannot exclude the

possibility that viviparity-driven conflict played a key role in repeated transitions towards

matrotrophy [$\Delta MI > 0$ in Fig. 1C (15, 30)]. *(2)* There is clear empirical evidence that high levels of

matrotrophy are disadvantageous when resources are scarce or unpredictable, impacting both

offspring size and maternal body condition (45, 57). But while models suggest that matrotrophic

provisioning should outcompete a lecithotrophic strategy when resource availability is high (28), key

assumptions of the model do not hold up to empirical scrutiny (57), and we still lack any empirical

evidence indicating that matrotrophy provides fitness benefits over lecithotrophy under those

conditions (19).

We propose a novel paradigm of matrotrophy evolution that addresses existing caveats by

emphasizing interactions between conflict-driven and ecological mechanisms of selection.

Specifically, natural selection mediated by resource availability may counterbalance evolutionary

trends driven by parent-offspring conflict. Under low resource conditions, incipient matrotrophy

comes with substantial costs (28, 45), preventing the escalation of coevolutionary arms-races

between maternal and offspring traits, and thus, the evolution of matrotrophy is impeded. Under

favorable conditions with stable and abundant resources, matrotrophy may not actually provide a

selective advantage over lecithotrophy. Rather, increases in post-fertilization provisioning may be

rendered selectively neutral (or merely less maladaptive) under relaxed resource constraints, allowing

parent-offspring conflict to fuel increases in matrotrophy. Bi-directional evolution along the

lecithotrophy-matrotrophy continuum could be a simple consequence of a shifting balance between

ecological selection favoring lecithotrophy and conflict-driven increases in matrotrophy, in a manner

similar to how natural selection counter-balances sexually selected traits evolving under Fisherian

runaway scenarios (58, 59). The suggested paradigm is consistent with evidence of ecological sources

of selection modulating the outcomes of conflict in other contexts, including sexual conflict (60, 61)

and sibling conflict (62, 63). Consequently, we may have to consider that matrotrophy is not actually

adaptive [see (57)], but that maladaptive increases in post-fertilization provisioning may spread in

populations when selection against it is weak. The notion that matrotrophy—as a widespread life

history phenomenon—may be a maladaptive trait is also consistent with the observation that

evolutionary conflicts commonly cause deviations from adaptive peaks (64). Escalation of parent-

offspring conflict under relaxed constraints is readily possible, because fish eggs have an ancestral

ability to acquire organic molecules from the environment through active transport (65); *i.e.*,

conditions facilitating potentially maladaptive increases in matrotrophy are present in poeciliids and

do not have to evolve *de novo.*

A more rigorous understanding of matrotrophy evolution in the future will require the

exploration of interactive effects among evolutionary mechanisms. Combining theoretical models

and microevolutionary analyses will allow comparative evaluation of hypotheses about organismal

performance under different environmental conditions to explicitly determine the conditions that

are conducive to the spread of post-fertilization provisioning under adaptive and maladaptive

evolutionary scenarios. The grand question for future research is whether natural selection alone can

explain the evolution of post-fertilization provisioning (if there is a consistent—yet

unknown—adaptive benefit to matrotrophy), or whether matrotrophy evolution is a consequence of

a shifting balance between adaptive and potentially maladaptive evolutionary processes.

Methods

Taxon sampling and phylogenetic framework

Our analyses included 94 species (Table S1), encompassing representatives of all major genera in the

family Poeciliidae. These species span a geographic range from the eastern United States south to

Argentina, including Caribbean islands (Fig. S3). The phylogenetic framework used for analyses

came from previously published studies with a similar taxon sampling (15, 31). In brief, sequences

for six mitochondrial (*12S*, *COI*, *CytB*, *ND2*, *tRNAvalu*, and *tRNAleu*) and 11 nuclear genes (*Beta*

Actin, *CCND1*, *ENC1*, *GLYT*, *MYH6*, *RAG1*, *Rhodopsin*, *RPS7*, *SH3PX3*, *T36*, and *XSRC*) were

obtained from GenBank, aligned, and maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis was conducted

using RAxML-HPC version 8 (66) on the CIPRES computer cluster (San Diego State University,

San Diego, CA, USA). The resulting best scoring tree was highly consistent with previously

published phylogenetic hypotheses for the family Poeciliidae (15, 34, 35). Phylogenetic trees were

time calibrated with three calibration points spanning the depth of the phylogeny (see 31 for details),

including a primary fossil calibration associated with the split separating the outgroup (*Fundulus*)

from all poeciliids (55-99 Ma; 67) and a secondary fossil calibration separating *Heterandria formosa*

from the genus *Poecilia* (9.3-19 Ma; 68). In addition, the formation of Laguna de Catemaco (Mexico),

a crater lake with several endemic species, was used as a constraint on the age of the endemic

Poeciliopsis catemaco (0.5-2.0 Ma; 69). Even though bootstrap support values on the best scoring tree

were generally strong, phylogenetic comparative methods described below were conducted across

250 trees drawn at random from the bootstrap replicates to account for phylogenetic uncertainty.

Matrotrophy, sexual selection, and ecological variables

Matrotrophy index: The extent of post-fertilization maternal provisioning was quantified using the

matrotrophy index (MI, ln-transformed for all analyses). The matrotrophy index is the ratio of

offspring mass at birth to the mass of the egg at fertilization (19). Offspring of lecithotrophic species

typically lose 25-55% of the initial egg mass during development (MI < 0.75), while continuous

nutrient transfer from mother to offspring during gestation in matrotrophic species leads to MI > 1,

with some species giving birth to offspring that are up to 100 times heavier than the initial egg (19,

30). Matrotrophy levels for all species included in the analysis were obtained from previously

published studies (15, 70).

Sexual selection index: Variation in sexual selection within poeciliids was quantified using a

morphology-based sexual selection index, which quantifies the degree of sexual dimorphism in body

shape and multiple fin traits that reflect variation in female choice and male mating strategies (31).

The index describes variation along a previously established continuum of poeciliid mating systems

(32, 71, 72), ranging from species characterized by long gonopodia and coercive mating tactics

(negative index scores) to species with short gonopodia and courtship [positive index scores (31)].

Hydrology, climate, and net primary productivity: Assembly of hydrological and climate variables

associated with each species' range was based on georeferenced occurrence points. We obtained

73,398 locality points from multiple sources (http://fishnet2.net/, http://gbif. org/, primary

literature), representing the known distributions for all 94 species included in our study. We first

removed duplicate points and retained a maximum of 100 randomly sampled localities within the

native range of each species, which is sufficient to capture environmental variation even in wide-

ranging species (73). We further verified that all data points for a given species were at least 1 km

apart to match the spatial resolution of environmental data. Any locality that did not meet this

criterion was either removed for species with <100 localities or replaced by another randomly drawn

locality for species with >100 localities. For all locality records, we then extracted values for 19

climatic (Worldclim: http://worldclim.org/) and three hydrological variables (elevation, slope and

compound topographic index; Hydro1k: https://lta.cr.usgs.gov/HYDRO1K/) as well as an

estimate of net primary productivity (https://lpdaac.usgs.gov/) at a spatial resolution of ~1 km^2 (30

arcsec) in ArcMap version 10.2.2 (ESRI Inc, Redlands, CA, USA). For each species, we calculated

the median value for all 23 variables. Climatic and hydrological variables were then subjected to

separate phylogenetic principal component analyses (pPCA) using a correlation matrix, as

implemented in the PHYTOOLS package in R (74). In addition to the estimate of net primary

productivity associated with each species' range, we retained two pPCA axes accounting for 66 % of

variation in hydrology (Table S2) and three pPCA axes accounting for 81 % of variation in climate

(Table S3).

Competition and predation: Quantifying the actual biotic interactions for a large number of

species distributed across the vast geographic scale included in this study is virtually impossible, and

we developed two simple, objectively quantifiable metrics to approximate levels of competition and

predation. We assumed that competitive interactions for the focal species primarily occur with other

species of the family Poeciliidae (75, 76) and that the intensity of competition is a function of the

number of coexisting species. Hence, we first analyzed overlap of distributional ranges to

characterize patterns of sympatry [defined as range overlap values greater than zero (77)]. We

created geo-referenced distributional range maps for each species by generating a convex hull

around each species' known occurrence points (see above) using ArcMap. The resulting species-

specific distributions were then intersected to determine the total number of competitor species

exhibiting a range overlap with a focal species. Similarly, we created a metric estimating the levels of

predation by determining the total number of piscivorous fish species exhibiting a range overlap

with a focal species. To do so, we obtained 271,148 locality points (http://gbif. org/) of 7,170

species across 1602 genera and 26 families in the superclass Osteichthyes that coincide with the

distribution of poeciliids. Since distributional polygons of some focal species overlapped with

marine habitats (particularly in poeciliid species occurring along the Gulf of Mexico and in both

island and mainland localities), we first removed species primarily inhabiting marine environments as

well as non-native species, retaining 5019 native freshwater species in 853 genera (Table S4). To

identify potential predators, we conducted a genus-level literature search of dietary habits using

relevant monographs (78-82), supplemented by the primary literature when necessary. We retained

131 genera that included species with evidence for piscivory (867 species, 73,421 locality points).

Values for the number of competitors and predators were square-root-transformed prior to analyses.

Analytical approaches

Evolutionary time-lag analysis: We used the MVMORPH package in R (83) to evaluate the causal

relationship underlying the previously documented correlated evolution of matrotrophy and sexual

selection. MVMORPH implements Ornstein–Uhlenbeck models to test whether one trait follows the

optimum of a second trait with a detectable time-lag. We used the matrotrophy index and the sexual

selection index as focal traits, contrasting a null model (no detectable lag) to a model that assumes

shifts in matrotrophy lag behind shifts in sexual selection (i.e., sexual selection drives matrotrophy

evolution) and a model that assumes shifts in sexual selection lag behind shifts in matrotrophy (i.e.,

matrotrophy drives evolution of sexual selection). Analyses assuming a unique adaptive optimum

(OU1 model) yielded identical results to assuming multiple optima (OUM model). Analyses were

conducted across 250 random trees. Models were compared based on their average Akaike weights

calculated with a finite sample correction (84).

Ancestral state reconstruction: Ancestral state reconstructions of matrotrophy index were

conducted using PHYTOOLS (74). Inferences of ancestral states were averaged across 250 random

trees. To evaluate the directionality of matrotrophy evolution between each node and its

descendants, we extracted trait reconstructions for each node of the tree and calculated ΔMI as the

observed (tip) or inferred (node) matrotrophy values subtracted from the values of its most recent

ancestral node.

Phylogenetic path analysis: We investigated hypotheses about the hierarchical relationships

among abiotic and biotic environmental factors and matrotrophy using phylogenetic path analysis as

implemented in the R package PHYLOPATH (85). We developed 18 models based on *a priori*

hypotheses about the effects of climate, hydrology, competition, and predation, as well as

interactions between predictor variables relevant in the context of the locomotor cost and resource

limitation hypotheses of matrotrophy evolution (Fig. S1). Phylogenetic path analysis was run across

250 random trees. PHYLOPATH implements model selection with covariance inflation criterion [CIC$_C$

(86)]. Models with an average ΔCIC$_C$ < 2 were considered equally supported (87). Joint effects of net

primary productivity and competition were visualized using non-parametric thin-plate spline

regression to create a surface of matrotrophy variation (88). Estimation of matrotrophy surfaces was

performed using the FIELDS package in R (89) (smoothing parameter $\lambda = 0.005$).

Acknowledgments

373 This research was supported by the National Science Foundation (IOS-1557860 to MT). We thank

374 members of the K-State Evolution Journal Club for constructive feedback on the manuscript.

References

1. Blackburn DG (1992) Convergent evolution of viviparity, matrotrophy, and specializations

for fetal nutrition in reptiles and other vertebrates. *American Zoologist* 32:313-321.

2. Wourms JP (1981) Viviparity: the maternal-fetal relationship in fishes. *American Zoologist*

21:473-515.

3. Wooding P & Burton G (2008) *Comparative placentation: structures, functions and evolution*

(Springer-Verlag, Berlin).

4. Campiglia SS & Walker MH (1995) Developing embryo and cyclicchanges in the uterus of

Peripatus (*Macroperipatus*) *acacioi* (Onychophora, Peripatidae). *Journal of Morphology* 224:179-198.

5. Hart MW, Byrne M, & Smith MJ (1997) Molecular phylogenetic analysis of life-history

evolution in asterinid starfish. *Evolution* 51:1848-1861.

6. Korniushin AV & Glaubrecht M (2003) Novel reproductive modesin freshwater clams:

brooding and larval morphology in southeast Asian taxa of *Corbicula* (Mollusca, Bivalvia,

Corbiculidae). *Acta Zoologica* 84:293-315.

7. Korneva ZV (2005) Placental type interactions and evolutionary trends ofdevelopment of

uterus in cestodes. *Journal of Evolutionary Biochemistry and Physiology* 41:552-560.

8.   Ostrovsky AN, *et al.* (2016) Matrotrophy and placentation in invertebrates: a new paradigm.

Biological Reviews 91:673-711.

9.   Wourms JP, Grove BD, & Lombardi JL (1988) The maternal-fetal relationship in viviparous

fishes. *Fish Physiology*, ed Hoar WS (Academic Press, London), pp 2-134.

10.   Wake MH & Dickie R (1998) Oviduct structure and function and reproductive modes in

amphibians. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 282:477-506.

11.   Steward JR & Blackburn DG (1988) Reptilian placentation: structural diversity and

terminology. *Copeia* 1988:839-852.

12.   Wildman DE, *et al.* (2006) Evolution of the mammalian placenta revealed by phylogenetic

analysis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 103:3203-3208.

13.   Haig D (1993) Genetic conflict in human pregnancy. *Quarterly Review of Biology* 68:495-532.

14.   Haig D (1996) Altercation of generations: genetic conflicts of pregnancy. *American Journal of*

Reproductive Immunology 35:226-232.

15.   Pollux BJA, Meredith RW, Springer MS, Garland T, & Reznick DN (2014) The evolution of

the placenta drives a shift in sexual selection in livebearing fish. *Nature* 513:233-236.

16.   Zeh DW & Zeh JA (2000) Reproductive mode and speciation: the viviparity-driven conflict

hypothesis. *Bioessays* 22:938-946.

17.   Furness AI, Morrison KR, Orr TJ, Arendt JD, & Reznick DN (2015) Reprodictive mode

and the shifting arenas of evolutionary conflict. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*

1360:75-100.

18.   Coleman SW, Harlin-Cognato A, & Jones AG (2009) Reproductive isolation, reproductive

mode, and sexual selection: empirical tests of the viviparity-driven conflict hypothesis.

American Naturalist 173:291-303.

19.   Pollux B, Pires M, Banet A, & Reznick D (2009) Evolution of placentas in the fish family

416   Poeciliidae: an empirical study of macroevolution. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and*

417   *Systematics* 40:271-289.

418   20.   Crespi B & Semeniuk C (2004) Parent-offspring conflict in the evolution of vertebrate

419   reproductive mode. *American Naturalist* 163:635-653.

420   21.   Haig D (2014) Sexual selection: placentation, superfetation, and coercice copulation. *Current*

421   *Biology* 24:R805-R808.

422   22.   Long TAF (2005) The influence of mating system on the intensity of parent-offspring

423   conflict in primates. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 18:509-515.

424   23.   Shaffer LR & Formanowicz DR (1996) A cost of viviparity and parental care in scorpions:

425   reduced sprint speed and behavioral compensation. *Animal Behavior* 51:1017-1024.

426   24.   Miles DB, Sinervo B, & Frankino WA (2000) Reproductive burden, locomotor performance,

427   and the cost of reproduction in free ranging lizards. *Evolution* 54:1386-1395.

428   25.   Magnhagen C (1991) Predation risk as a cost of reproduction. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*

429   6:183-185.

430   26.   Miller RR (1975) Five new species of Mexican poeciliid fishes of the genera *Poecilia*,

431   *Gambusia*, and *Poeciliopsis*. *Occasional Papers of the Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan* 672:1-

432   44.

433   27.   Thibault RE & Schultz RJ (1978) Reproductive adaptions among viviparous fishes

434   (Cyprinodontiformes: Poeciliidae). *Evolution* 32(2):320-333.

435   28.   Trexler JC & DeAngelis DL (2003) Resource allocation in offspring provisioning: An

436   evaluation of the conditions favoring the evolution of matrotrophy. *American Naturalist*

437   162(5):574-585.

438   29.   Evans JP, Pilastro A, & Schlupp I eds (2011) *Ecology and Evolution of Poeciliid Fishes* (The

439   University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL), p 380.

440   30.   Reznick D, Mateos M, & Springer M (2002) Independent origins and rapid evolution of the

441   placenta in the fish genus *Poeciliopsis. Science* 298:1018-1020.

442   31.   Culumber ZW & Tobler M (2017) Sex-specific evolution during the diversification of live-

443   bearing fishes. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 1:1185-1191.

444   32.   Bisazza A, Grapputo A, & Nigro L (1997) Evolution of reproductive strategies and male

445   sexual ornaments in poeciliid fishes as inferred by mitochondrial 16 rDNA gene phylogeny.

446   *Ethology, Ecology & Evolution* 9:55-67.

447   33.   Meffe GK & Snelson FF (1989) An ecological overview of poeciliid fishes. *Ecology and*

448   *evolution of lifebearing fishes (Poeciliidae)*, eds Meffe GK & Snelson FF (Prentice Hall, New

449   Jersey), pp 13-31.

450   34.   Reznick DN, Furness AI, Meredith RW, & Springer MS (2017) The origin and

451   biogeographic diversification of fishes in the family Poeciliidae. *PLoS ONE* 12:e0172546.

452   35.   Hrbek T, Seckinger J, & Meyer A (2007) A phylogenetic and biogeographic perspective on

453   the evolution of poeciliid fishes. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 43:986-998.

454   36.   Pires MN, Banet AI, Pollux BJA, & Reznick DN (2011) Variation and evolution of

455   reproductive strategies. *Ecology and Evolution of Poeciliid Fishes*, eds Evans JP, Pilastro A, &

456   Schlupp I (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL), pp 28-37.

457   37.   Schrader M & Travis J (2008) Testing the viviparity-driven-conflict hypothesis: parent-

458   offspring conflict and the evolution of reproductive isolation in a poeciliid fish. *American*

459   *Naturalist* 172(6):806-817.

38. Ala-Honkola O, Friman E, & Lindström K (2011) Costs and benefits of polyandry in a
placental poeciliid fish *Heterandria formosa* are in accordance with the parent–offspringconflict
theory of placentation. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 24:2600-2610.

39. Plaut I (2002) Does pregnancy affect swimming performance in female mosquitofish,
Gambusia affinis? *Functional Ecology* 16:290-295.

40. Ghalambor CK, Reznick DN, & Walker JA (2004) Constraints on adaptive evolution: the
functional trade-off between reproduction and fast-start swimming performance in the
Trinidadian guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*). *American Naturalist* 164(1):38-50.

41. Fleuren M, Quicazan-Rubio EM, van Leeuwen JL, & Pollux BJA (2018) Why do placentas
evolve? Evidence for a morphological advantage during pregnancy in live-bearing fish. *PLoS*
ONE 13:e0195976.

42. Gorini-Pacheco B, Zandonà E, & Mazzoni R (2017) Predation effects on matrotrophy,
superfetation and other life history traits in *Phalloceros harpagos*. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish*
27:442-452.

43. Marsh-Matthews E & Deaton R (2006) Resources and offspring provisioning: A test of the
Trexler-DeAngelis model for matrotrophy evolution. *Ecology* 87:3014-3020.

44. Trexler JC (1997) Resource availability and plasticity in offspring provisioning: Embryo
nourishment in sailfin mollies. *Ecology* 78(5):1370-1381.

45. Pollux BJA & Reznick DN (2011) Matrotrophy limits a female's ability to adaptively adjust
offspring size and fecundity in fluctuating environments. *Functional Ecology* 25:747-756.

46. Rice WR & Holland B (1997) The enemies within: intergenomic conflict, interlocus contest
evolution (ICE), and the intraspecific Red Queen. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 41:1-10.

47.   Reznick D, Meredith R, & Collette BB (2007) Independent evolution of complex life history

483   adaptation in two families of fishes, live-bearing halfbeaks (Zenarchopteridae, Beloniformes)

484   and Poeciliidae (Cyprinodontiformes). *Evolution* 61:2570-2583.

485   48.   Gonzalez-Voyer A & von Hardenberg A (2014) An introduction to phylogenetic path

486   analysis. *Modern Phylogenetyic Comparative Methods and Their Application in Evolutionary Biology*, ed

487   Garamszegi LZ (Springer, Berlin), pp 201-229.

488   49.   Meredith RW, Pires MN, Reznick DN, & Springer MS (2011) Molecular phylogenetic

489   relationships and the coevolution of placentotrophy and superfetation in (Poecilia)

490   (Poeciliidae: Cyprinodontiformes). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 59:148-157.

491   50.   Zeh JA & Zeh DW (2008) Viviparity-driven conflict. *Annals of the New York Academy of*

492   *Sciences* 1133(1):126-148.

493   51.   Dulvy NK & Reynolds JD (1997) Evolutionary transitions among egg-laying, live-bearing

494   and maternal inputs in sharks and rays. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 264:1309-1315.

495   52.   Parenti LR, LoBNostro FL, & Grier H (2010) Reproductive histology of Tomeurus gracilis

496   Eigenmann, 1909 (Teleostei: Atherinomorpha: Poeciliidae) with comments on evolution of

497   viviparity in atherinomorph fishes. *Journal of Morphology* 27:1399-1406.

498   53.   Recknagel H, Kamenos NA, & Elmer KR (2018) Common lizards break Dollo's law of

499   irreversibility: genome-wide phylogenomics support a single origin of viviparity and re-

500   evolution of oviparity. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 127:579-588.

501   54.   Wilson AJ (2014) Competition as a source of constraint on life history evolution in natural

502   populations. *Heredity* 112:70-78.

503   55.   Martin TE (1995) Avian life history evolution in relation to nest sites, nest predation, and

504   food. *Ecological Monographs* 65:101-127.

56.   Stearns SC (1976) Life-history tactics: a review of ideas. *Quarterly Review of Biology* 51:3-47.

57. Banet AI, Au AG, & Reznick DN (2010) Is mom in charge? Implications of resource

provisioning on the evolution of the placenta. *Evolution* 64:3172-3182.

58. Lande R (1981) Models of speciation by sexual selection on polygenic traits. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences 78(6):3721-3725.

59. Kirkpatrick M (1982) Sexual selection and the evolution of female choice. *Evolution* 36:1-12.

60. Arbuthnott D, Dutton EM, Agrawal AF, & Rundle HD (2014) The ecology of sexual

conflict: ecologically dependent parallel evolution of male harm and female resistance in

Drosophila melanogaster. *Ecology Letters* 17:221-228.

61. Fricke C, Andersson C, & Arnqvist G (2010) Natural selection hampers divergence of

reproductive traits in a seed beetle. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 23:1857-1867.

62. Mock DW & Parker GA (1997) *The evolution of sibling rivalry* (Oxford University Press,

Oxford).

63. Rubenstein DR (2011) Spatiotemporal envrionmental variation, risk aversion, and the

evolution of cooperative breeding as a bet-hedging strategy. *Proceedings of the National Academy*

of Sciences USA 108:10816-10822.

64. Queller DC & Strassmann JE (2018) Evolutionary conflict. *Annual Reviews of Ecology, Evolution*

and Systematics 49:73-93.

65. Morrison KR, Ngo V, Cardullo RA, & Reznick DN (2017) How fish eggs are preadapted for

the evolution of matrotrophy. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 284:20171342.

66. Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of

large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30(9):1312-1313.

67. Santini F, Harmon LJ, Carnevale G, & Alfaro ME (2009) Did genome duplication drive the

origin of teleosts? A comparative study of diversification in ray-finned fishes. *BMC*

Evolutionary Biology 9(1):1.

68.   Ho AFLC, Pruet CL, & Lin J (2016) Phylogeny and biogeography of *Poecilia*

(Cypinodontiformes: Poeciliinae) across Central and South America based on mitochondrial

and nuclear DNA markers. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 101:32-45.

69.   Mateos M, Sanjur OI, & Vrijenhoek RC (2002) Historical biogeography of the livebearing

fish genus *Poeciliopsis* (Poeciliidae: Cyprinodontiformes). *Evolution* 56(5):972-984.

70.   Olivera-Tlahuel C, Ossip-Klein AG, Espinosa-Pérez HS, & Zúñiga-Vega JJ (2015) Have

superfetation and matrotrophy facilitated the evolution of larger offspring in poeciliid fishes?

Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 116(4):787-804.

71.   Martin SB, Albert JS, & Leberg PL (2010) The evolution of the poeciliid gonopodium:

integrating morphological and behavioral traits. *Viviparous Fishes II*, eds Uribe MC & Grier

HJ (New Life Publications, Homestead, FL).

72.   Greven H (2005) Structural and behavioral traits associated with sperm transfer in

Poeciliindae. *Viviparous Fishes*, eds Uribe MC & Grier H (New Life Publications, Homestead,

FL), pp 145-163.

73.   van Proosdij AS, Sosef MS, Wieringa JJ, & Raes N (2015) Minimum required number of

specimen records to develop accurate species distribution models. *Ecography*.

74.   Revell LJ (2012) phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other

things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 3:217-223.

75.   Torres-Dowdall J, Dargent F, Handelsman CA, Ramnarine IW, & Ghalambor CK (2013)

Ecological correlated of the distribution limites of two poeciliid species along a salinity

gradient. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 108:790-805.

76.   Alberici da Barbiano L, Waller J, & Gabor C (2010) Differences in competitive efficiency

between a sexual parasite and its host provide insight into the maintenance of a sperm-

dependent vertebrate species. *Journal of Freshwater Ecology* 25:523-530.

77. Weber MG, Mitko L, Eltz T, & Ramírez SR (2016) Macroevolution of perfume signalling in

orchid bees. *Ecology Letters* 19:1314-1323.

78. Miller RR, Minckley W, & Norris S (2005) *Freshwater fishes of Mexico* (University of Chicago

Press, Chicago).

79. Bussing WA (1998) *Peces de las Aguas Continentales de Costa Rica - Freshwater Fishes of Costa Rica*

(Editorial de la Universidad de Costa Rica, San José) 2nd Ed p 468.

80. Greenfield DW & Thomerson JE (1997) *Fishes of the continental waters of Belize* (The University

Press of Florida, Gainesville) p 311.

81. van der Sleen P & Albert JS eds (2017) *Field guide to the fishes of the Amazon, Orinoco, and*

Guianas (Princeton University Press, Princeton).

82. Boschung HT, Mayden RL, & Tomelleri JR (2004) *Fishes of Alabama* (Smithsonian Books,

Washinton, D. C.).

83. Clavel J, Escarguel G, & Merceron G (2015) mvMORPH: an R package for fitting

multivariate evolutionary models to morphometric data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*

6:1311-1319.

84. Johnson JB & Omland KS (2004) Model selection in ecology and evolution. *Trends in Ecology*

& Evolution 19:101-108.

85. van der Bijl W (2018) phylopath: easy phylogenetic path analysis in R. *PeerJ* 6:e4718.

86. Rodriguez CC (2005) The ABC of model selection: AIC, BIC, and the new CIC. *AIP*

Conference Proceedings 803:80-87.

87. Burnham KP & Anderson DR (2002) *Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical*

information-theoretic approach (Springer, New York, NY).

88. Arnold SJ (2003) Performance surfaces and adaptive landscapes. *Integrative and Comparative*

Biology 43:367-375.

89.   Nychka D, Furrer R, Paige J, & Sain S (2007) fields: tools for spatial data. *R package version*

579   *9.6.*

Fig. 1. A. Best-scoring maximum likelihood tree of 94 species in the family Poeciliidae. The ancestral

state reconstruction of matrotrophy is mapped onto the phylogeny, with red colors depicting

lecithotrophy and blue colors matrotrophy (as indicated by the color scale of ln-transformed

matrotrophy index values). B. Frequency histogram of the distribution of matrotrophy index values

in extant poeciliid species. The solid red line represents the average ancestral state reconstruction for

the matrotrophy index across 250 random trees with dotted lines indicating the 95% confidence

interval for the estimate. C. Frequency histogram depicting the relative change in matrotrophy index

between all nodes and their descendants. D. Landscape of matrotrophy variation as a function of net

primary productivity and competition. Colors correspond to variation in matrotrophy, as indicated

in the color scale of panel A.