The Landscape of *Parkin* Variants Reveals Pathogenic Mechanisms and Therapeutic Targets in PD

Wei Yi[1], Emma J. MacDougall[1], Matthew Y. Tang[1], Andrea I. Krahn[1], Ziv Gan-Or[2], Jean-François Trempe[3], Edward A. Fon[1*]

[1]McGill Parkinson Program, Neurodegenerative Diseases Group, Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, Montreal Neurological Institute, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2B4. Phone: +1-514-398-8398.

[2]Montreal Neurological Institute and Hospital, Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, Department of Human Genetics, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 1A1. Phone: +1-514-398-5845.

[3]Groupe de recherche axé sur la structure des protéines, Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3G 1Y6. Phone: +1-514-398-6833.

*Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E.A.F. (email: ted.fon@mcgill.ca).

Conflict of interest: The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Abstract

Mutations in *Parkin* (*PARK2*) are the most common genetic cause of early-onset Parkinson's disease (PD). *Parkin* encodes an E3 ubiquitin ligase implicated in the turnover of damaged mitochondria. Hundreds of *Parkin* missense variants have been reported in public databases, yet the role of most of them in PD remains unclear. We analyzed *Parkin* variants using clinical, experimental, and structural modeling approaches. For most variants, clinical evidence alone was insufficient to determine pathogenicity. Utilizing a cell model that allows measurement of Parkin-mediated mitophagy and Parkin stability, 51 variants were classified into five groups based on their effect on Parkin function. Most variants were like wildtype but, unexpectedly, several enhanced mitophagy. A minority destabilized Parkin or severely disrupted Parkin-mediated mitophagy, most of which were also determined as *pathogenic* based on clinical evidence alone. Structural simulation predicted discrete mechanisms explaining both increases and decreases in Parkin function. Interestingly, impaired mitophagy in several of the most common *pathogenic* Parkin variants could be rescued both by naturally-occurring (p.V224A) and structure-guided designer (p.W403A; p.F146A) hyperactive Parkin variants. These findings provide a coherent framework to classify *Parkin* variants based on pathogenicity and suggest that several *pathogenic Parkin* variants represent promising targets for genotype-specific drug design.

Introduction

Parkinson's disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disease. Although most PD cases are sporadic, a fraction are familial and caused by mutations in different genes (Poewe *et al.*, 2017). Mutations in the *Parkin* (*PARK2*) gene are the most common cause of autosomal recessive early-onset parkinsonism (EOPD) and are believed to result in a loss of Parkin protein function (Koros *et al.*, 2017). *Parkin* variants include rearrangements and copy number variations, such as deletions and duplications of exons, as well as single nucleotide variants (SNVs) that cause missense, nonsense, or splice site mutations (Nuytemans *et al.*, 2010; Cruts *et al.*, 2012; Kasten *et al.*, 2018). Of these, missense variants are the most frequently reported in PD patients and, because they likely impede Parkin protein function rather than disrupting protein expression, may represent viable targets for therapies that enhance Parkin activity.

To envisage such genotype-specific therapies, the pathogenicity of the many *Parkin* variants in the population first needs to be determined. The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics for Molecular Pathology (ACMG-AMP) has outlined five standard terminologies to describe variants identified in genes that cause Mendelian disorders (Richards *et al.*, 2015). "*Pathogenic*" and "*likely pathogenic*" indicate a clear or very likely disease-causing effect of a variant, respectively. Conversely,"*likely benign*," and "*benign*" indicate variants that are not disease-causing. Variants that cannot be assigned to one of these four groups are designated as "*uncertain significance*". Over 200 *Parkin* missense variants have been deposited in

public repositories (Sherry *et al.*, 2001; Cruts *et al.*, 2012; Lek *et al.*, 2016; Kasten *et*

al., 2018). However, to date, only a minority have been clearly annotated based on

formal criteria.

A clear assignment of a *Parkin* variant requires integrating different lines of

evidence that fall into two broad categories (Nykamp *et al.*, 2017). Clinical evidence

consists of the association or segregation of the variant with disease (or the absence of)

in human cohorts or within families with multiple affected individuals. Functional

evidence refers to the consequence(s) of the variant, using experimental assays that

measure biochemical and cellular properties, as well as computational algorithms that

model the effect(s) of the variant based on protein structure and function. Clinical

evidence has a hierarchical relationship relative to functional evidence and prevails

when a discrepancy or conflict arises between clinical and functional observations

(MacArthur *et al.*, 2014; Nykamp *et al.*, 2017).

Parkin is a basally autoinhibited E3 ubiquitin (Ub) ligase, which contains an

N-terminal Ub-like (Ubl) domain, connected through a linker to four

zinc-coordinating domains, RING0, RING1, In-Between-RING (IBR), and RING2,

which form a core designated as the R0RBR (Wenzel *et al.*, 2011). Parkin is activated

by PINK1, a mitochondrial kinase that is also implicated in EOPD (Poewe *et al.*,

2017). PINK1 accumulates on damaged mitochondria upon depolarization, where it

phosphorylates nearby Ub (Kane *et al.*, 2014; Kazlauskaite *et al.*, 2014; Koyano *et al.*,

2014; Ordureau *et al.*, 2014). Parkin binds to phospho-Ub (pUb), which recruits

Parkin to mitochondria and facilitates PINK1 phosphorylation of the Parkin Ubl,

which in turn fully activates Parkin (Sauve *et al.*, 2015; Gladkova *et al.*, 2018; Sauvé

et al., 2018). Parkin then ubiquitinates multiple outer mitochondrial membrane targets,

triggering a feed-forward amplification loop, that leads to the clearance of damaged

mitochondria via autophagy (mitophagy) (reviewed in (Pickles *et al.*, 2018)). Some

Parkin missense variants found in patients have been shown to affect protein folding,

Parkin autoubiquitination, protein-protein interactions or recruitment to mitochondria

(Sriram *et al.*, 2005; Wang *et al.*, 2005; Hampe *et al.*, 2006; Matsuda *et al.*, 2006;

Narendra *et al.*, 2010; Fiesel *et al.*, 2015). However, as the pathogenic nature of most

of these variants was not clear, the disease relevance of the functional alteration

remained to be determined.

Here, we characterized all *Parkin* missense variants found in public databases,

according to ACMG-AMP criteria. We then used a cell-based assay to quantify

Parkin-mediated mitophagy and Parkin protein levels. We also applied structural

simulations to explore the mechanisms underlying the observed functional alterations.

Integrating these data, we find that only a minority of *Parkin* variants can be

considered *pathogenic*. Interestingly, we identified several naturally-occurring *Parkin*

variants in the population that increase mitophagy in cells. Remarkably, such

hyperactive *Parkin* variants were able to rescue the impaired function of several

common *pathogenic Parkin* variants. Our study suggests that several *pathogenic*

PD-linked *Parkin* mutations represent promising targets amenable to

genotype-specific drug design.

Materials and methods

Classification of *Parkin* missense variants

We utilized Sherloc (semiquantitative, hierarchical evidence-based rules for locus interpretation), a variant classification framework derived from ACMG standards (Richards *et al.*, 2015) to assign *Parkin* missense variants into five categories: *pathogenic*, *likely pathogenic*, *benign*, *likely benign* and of *uncertain significance* (Nykamp *et al.*, 2017). We considered two broad categories of evidence for the classification, clinical and functional. The procedures for evaluating and scoring these lines of evidence are summarized as root-decision trees in Supplementary Fig. 1, 2, and 5.

For clinical evidence, information regarding missense variants in *Parkin* reported in the population database ExAC (http://exac.broadinstitute.org/gene/ENSG00000185345) (Lek *et al.*, 2016) and the disease-specific databases, PDmutDB (http://www.molgen.vib-ua.be/PDmutDB) (Nuytemans *et al.*, 2010; Cruts *et al.*, 2012), and MDSgene (http://www.mdsgene.org/) (Lill *et al.*, 2016) were searched. We also searched dbSNP (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp) and the Exon variant server (EVS, http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/) for missense variants that were found in the disease databases, but not in ExAC. The homozygote count, MAF in ExAC and maximal MAF in dbSNP, EVS and subpopulations in ExAC were calculated and used to assign points to the variants according to the decision tree in Supplementary Fig.

1A. The clinical cases reported in PDmutDB and MDSmutDB were evaluated

according to the decision tree in Supplementary Fig. 1B-C. The original references

were traced back for the indexed families or individuals reported in these databases.

Indexed cases reported in both databases cited from the same reference were only

evaluated once. Indexed cases reported in a more recent paper showing the same

information (same number of family members with same genotype and phenotype) as

a case in an older reference were considered as the same family and the older report

was used.

For functional evidence, the effects of the variants in the cellular assay were

assigned points according to the decision tree in Supplementary Fig. 5. We imposed a

2.5-point cap on functional evidence to ensure that functional data which lacked

supporting clinical evidence would not be sufficient on its own to reach the threshold

required (3 benign points or 4 pathogenic points; Supplementary Fig. 2) to assign a

variant to the *pathogenic* or *benign* categories (Nykamp *et al.*, 2017).

Cell culture, cloning and mutagenesis

Human osteosarcoma U2OS cells were a gift from Dr. Robert Screaton (Sunnybrook

Research Institute). U2OS cells stably expressing mtKeima (a gift from A. Miyawaki,

Laboratory for Cell Function and Dynamics, Brain Science Institute, RIKEN, Japan)

were created by transfecting plasmid DNA using jetPRIME (Polyplus), followed by

selection with G418 for 2 weeks and sorting using flow cytometry (Tang *et al.*, 2017).

Cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS),

4 mM L-glutamine and 0.1% Penicillin/Streptomycin, in a 37℃ incubator with 5%

CO_2. All GFP-Parkin variants were generated using PCR mutagenesis on the

GFP-Parkin WT plasmid (addgene#45875) according to the manufacturer's protocol

(Agilent Technologies). Constructs were verified by Sanger sequencing.

Mitophagy and GFP-intensity measurement by FACS

U2OS cells stably expressing ecdysone-inducible mt-Keima were induced with 10

mM ponasterone A and transiently transfected with WT or variant GFP-Parkin for 24

h and treated with DMSO or 20 μM CCCP for 4 h and followed immediately by flow

cytometry. To minimize transfection efficiency variation, the same amount of

GFP-Parkin WT or variant plasmid was utilized and only the population of

GFP-positive cells were analyzed in the subsequent FACS data processing. For flow

cytometry, cells were trypsin digested, washed and resuspended in PBS prior to their

analysis on an LSR Fortessa (BD Bioscience) equipped with 405 and 561 nm lasers

and 610/20 filters (Department of Microbiology and Immunology Flow Cytometry

Facility, McGill University). Measurement of mtKeima was made using a

dual-excitation ratiometric pH calculation where pH 7 was detected through the

excitation at 405 nm and pH 4 at 561 nm (Tang *et al.*, 2017). For each untreated

sample, 75,000 events were collected and single GFP-Parkin-positive cells were

subsequently gated for quantification of the geometric mean of the GFP signal as a

measure of steady-state Parkin protein levels. The value for each Parkin missense

variant was normalized to that for the WT in each experiment. For each untreated and

treated sample, single GFP-Parkin-positive, mtKeima-405 nm-positive cells were

subsequently gated. The percentage of cells with an increase in the 405nm:561nm

ratio in mtKeima was quantified. The percentage in treated cells minus the percentage

in untreated cells was calculated as the induced Parkin-mediated mitophagy. The

induced mitophagy for each Parkin missense variant was normalized to that for WT in

each repeat. Data was analyzed using FlowJo v10.1 (Tree Star).

Modeling of Parkin Structures, Modifications and Variants

The structures of human Parkin bound to phospho-ubiquitin (PDB 5N2W), rat parkin

(PDB 4ZYN), human phospho-parkin bound to phospho-Ub (PDB 6GLC) and fly

pParkin-pUb-UbcH7 complex (PDB 6DJX) were analyzed using PyMOL version 1.5

(Schrödinger, New York). Mutations and clashes were simulated using the

mutagenesis wizard toolbox. The presence of more than three simulated significant

clashes (red disks) was taken to indicate major clashes. One to three significant

clashes (red disks) together with other slight clashes (brown and green disks) were

considered as minor clashes. Polar contacts within 4 Å distance of the residue were

explored for characterizing interactions.

Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis of mitophagy and protein levels, one-way analysis of variance

(ANOVA) with Bonferroni post-hoc tests were performed. To determine the ability of

hyperactive mutants to rescue *Parkin* variants, two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)

and Bonferroni post-hoc test comparing row factors among the single or double

mutations were performed. $*P<0.05$; $**P<0.01$; $***P<0.001$.

Results

Most *Parkin* missense variants lack sufficient clinical evidence to establish pathogenicity

To classify *Parkin* variants, we utilized Sherloc (semiquantitative, hierarchical evidence-based rules for locus interpretation), a classification framework that translates the ACMG-AMP standards to a set of discrete, but related, rules with refined weights (Nykamp *et al.*, 2017). Clinical evidence was examined first, as it most directly relates to disease (Nykamp *et al.*, 2017). Briefly, data from population databases, including minor allele frequency (MAF) and homozygote counts, and clinical records in PD-specific databases that report PD patients or unaffected family members carrying missense variants in *Parkin*, were examined to assign weighted points as pathogenic or benign to each variant (Supplementary Fig. 1). The pathogenic points and benign points were summed-up separately and compared to preset thresholds to assign the variants to one of the five ACMG-AMP categories (Supplementary Fig. 2).

From the PD-specific databases, PDmutDB (Nuytemans *et al.*, 2010; Cruts *et al.*, 2012) and MDSgene (Lill *et al.*, 2016; Kasten *et al.*, 2018), we identified a total of 75 *Parkin* missense variants in PD patients (Fig. 1A). Most were absent or very rare in the control cohorts from the original reports, possibly due to the small sizes of the control cohorts. Therefore, we searched for the 75 variants in public population databases and found that 51 of them were reported in dbSNPs (Sherry *et al.*, 2001) and in the Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC), including high-quality variant

calls across 60,706 human exomes (Lek *et al.*, 2016) (Fig. 1A). In ExAC, we also

found an additional 164 *Parkin* missense variants (Fig. 1A). The classification of the

missense variants using clinical evidence allowed us to clearly designate thirteen

variants in PD as *pathogenic* or *likely pathogenic* and ten variants as *likely benign* or

benign (Fig. 1A and Table 1). The details of the points assigned to each variant are

summarized in Supplementary Table 1. Remarkably, large numbers of remaining

variants lacked sufficient data to be assigned to either the *benign* or *pathogenic*

categories and were therefore designated as of *uncertain significance* (Fig. 1A, Table

1 and Supplementary Table 1).

***Parkin* variants can be classified functionally in cells**

Next, to determine the effects of the variants on Parkin function, we employed a

cell-based assay to monitor and quantify mitophagy using fluorescence-activated cell

sorting (FACS) in U2OS cells stably expressing inducible mt-Keima, a pH-sensitive

fluorescent protein that is targeted to mitochondria and exhibits a large shift in its

emission wavelength upon engulfment in the acidic compartment of lysosomes

(Katayama *et al.*, 2011). U2OS cells express very low level of endogenous Parkin,

which is insufficient to mediate mitophagy in response to the mitochondrial potential

uncoupler CCCP (Tang *et al.*, 2017). In cells transiently expressing either wildtype

(WT) Parkin or one of the Parkin variants fused to GFP, the shift in mt-Keima

emission was measured upon four hours of mitochondrial depolarization with CCCP

(Supplementary Fig. 3A). The GFP intensity in untreated cells was also quantified as

a measure of steady-state Parkin protein levels (Supplementary Fig. 3B). The level of

CCCP-induced Parkin-mediated mitophagy and GFP intensity of the Parkin variants

were normalized to that of WT Parkin.

We analyzed all the variants that were designated clinically as *pathogenic* or *likely*

pathogenic, *benign* or *likely benign* (Fig. 1B). We also analyzed an additional

twenty-eight variants of *uncertain significance*, including variants that were reported

as homozygous or compound heterozygous in either the disease-specific databases or

in population databases (Fig. 1C and Supplementary Table 1). These variants

represented the most common missense variants reported in the human population

from public databases. Moreover, they were reported in 283 out of 309 families or

isolated patients carrying Parkin missense variants in PD-specific databases.

The thirteen *Parkin* variants classified as *pathogenic* or *likely pathogenic* based on

clinical evidence all showed significantly decreased mitophagy (Fig. 1B). Seven

variants (p.R42P, p.V56E, p.C212Y, p.C253Y, p.C238W, p.R275W, and p.C441R)

also showed decreased GFP intensity (Fig. 1B), suggesting reduced protein stability.

Of these, all the variants in the R0RBR of Parkin formed inclusions to different

degrees, detected by fluorescence microscopy (Supplementary Fig. 4). In contrast, the

p.R42P and p.V56E variants in the Ubl domain showed lower overall GFP intensity

without visible inclusions. Thus, for a subset of *pathogenic* and *likely pathogenic*

Parkin variants, the observed defects in mitophagy are likely to stem from abnormal

protein folding and reduced protein stability. In contrast, all ten *Parkin* variants

classified as *benign* and *likely benign* based on clinical evidence exhibited similar

GFP intensity as WT and most, with three exceptions, also exhibited WT levels of

Parkin-mediated mitophagy (Fig. 1B). p.Q34R and p.A46T displayed decreased

mitophagy, whereas p.R334C showed an almost three-fold increase (Fig. 1B). Of the

twenty-eight variants of *uncertain significance* based on clinical evidence, fourteen

displayed similar GFP intensity and mitophagy as WT Parkin (Fig. 1C). Nine

displayed impaired mitophagy, three of which also showed decreased GFP intensity.

Surprisingly, five variants showed increased mitophagy (Fig. 1C).

The wide range of changes in Parkin levels and mitophagy prompted us to ask

whether we could classify the different variants into discrete groups. Variants that

significantly decreased Parkin protein levels and mitophagy were assigned to Group 1

(Fig. 2A and Table 1). Variants that severely (0-30% of WT) or moderately (30-60%

of WT) reduced Parkin-mediated mitophagy but displayed normal protein levels were

assigned to Groups 2 and 3, respectively. Group 4 consisted of variants that were

similar to WT, whereas Group 5 consisted of variants with increased (>140% of WT)

Parkin-mediated mitophagy. Interestingly, all the *pathogenic* or *likely pathogenic*

variants, classified based on clinical evidence, were assigned to group 1 or 2, whereas

all the *benign* or *likely benign* variants fell into group 3, 4, or 5 (Fig. 2A-B). This

suggested that the two functional measurements in our cell model could faithfully

discriminate the pathogenic variants from the "non-pathogenic" variants.

Integration of clinical and functional evidence refines the classification of
***Parkin* variants**

We next examined which clinical features were most strongly correlated with

functional alterations. Among the variants that segregated with PD in families

(Supplementary Table 1), all but one (p.R33Q) severely altered Parkin function and

were assigned to groups 1 or 2 (Fig. 2C). However, it is important to note that

segregation analysis was only possible in ~30 families, as most reports only involved

single case reports without related family information (Supplementary Table 1).

Conversely, variants that were reported as homozygotes in ExAC were frequent in

Groups 3, 4 and 5 and not assigned to Groups 1 or 2 (Fig. 2D). Notably, several

variants that were reported in PD patients as homozygous or compound heterozygous,

nonetheless displayed WT Parkin levels and function (Fig. 2E). Most had relatively

high MAFs in ExAC (Supplementary Table 1), suggesting their presence in patients

was due to their high prevalence rather than pathogenicity. Taken together, our data

shows that variants segregating with disease in families impair Parkin function

(Groups 1 and 2), whereas variants that occur as homozygotes in ExAC did not

severely reduce Parkin function (Groups 3, 4 and 5).

Next, we devised a scoring scheme, based on Sherloc, to assign benign or

pathogenic points (Supplementary Fig. 5), according to the functional group to which

the *Parkin* variants were assigned in the cellular assays (Fig. 2A). The pathogenic

points and benign points were then added to the corresponding points from the

clinical evidence in order to obtain combined *pathogenic* and *benign* scores from all

evidence for the final annotation of each variant (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1).

Using these combined clinical and functional scores, all the *likely pathogenic* variants

from clinical evidence were reclassified as *pathogenic* (Table 1). Additionally, three

likely benign variants were reclassified as *benign* (Table 1). Most of the variants of

uncertain significance were reclassified as *likely benign*, while six were reclassified as

pathogenic and nine remained of *uncertain significance* (Table 1). In summary, all

variants that caused functional alterations assigned to groups 1 and 2, as measured in

our experimental assay, were reclassified as *pathogenic,* whereas most variants

assigned to functional groups 3, 4 and 5 were reclassified as either *benign* or *likely*

benign (Fig. 2F).

Structural analysis of *Parkin* variants reveals pathogenic mechanisms

As the structure of Parkin is known, we modeled the effects of variants on the

reported crystal structures of Parkin to gain insight into the mechanisms underlying

the functional changes. The structures of autoinhibited Parkin, pUb-bound Parkin,

p-Ub-bound phospho-Parkin, pUb-E2 enzyme-bound phospho-Parkin were used as

they depict Parkin in its different states of activation (Trempe *et al.*, 2013; Kumar *et*

al., 2017; Gladkova *et al.*, 2018; Sauvé *et al.*, 2018).

Most variants in functional groups 1 and 2 were predicted to introduce steric

clashes with nearby residues in at least one of the Parkin structures. In contrast, most

variants in Group 4, which displayed similar function as WT Parkin, introduced no

major clashes and did not affect interactions (Fig. 3A-B). However, mild clashes were

observed in a few cases, suggesting that some degree of steric clashing could be

tolerated and possibly be compensated for by local conformation changes to maintain

overall protein function. For example, three variants at Arg42 were analyzed.

Mutation of Arg42 to proline introduced major clashes, whereas substitution to

histidine introduced mild clashes and there was no clash introduced by substitution to

cysteine (Fig. 3C-E). Congruently, only p.R42P was classified as *pathogenic* based on

clinical criteria and functional impairment in cell-based assays (Fig. 1B-C), consistent

with the simulations that showed this mutation unfolded the Ubl domain (Hampe *et*

al., 2006; Safadi and Shaw, 2007). These simulated steric clashes nicely illustrate how

different amino acid substitutions at a given residue could lead to distinct functional

impairment.

The effects of variants in Groups 1 and 2 likely disrupt several different aspects

of Parkin function (Fig. 4A). Seven variants involve cysteine residues that coordinate

zinc, and their mutation would result in overall misfolding of the Parkin protein

(Trempe *et al.*, 2013). Five variants alter key motifs mediating ubiquitination of

substrates, including steric clashes with the E2 binding site on RING1 (p.T240R and

p.T240M), and residues implicated in thioester transfer of Ub in the catalytic RING2

domain (p.T415N, p.G430D, p.C431F) (Spratt *et al.*, 2013). These types of alterations

are likely to cause a complete loss of Parkin function in either PINK1-Parkin

mediated mitophagy or other potential Parkin pathways. Four variants specifically

localize to motifs implicated in the conformational change that occurs during

activation by PINK1. p.K161N and p.K211N introduce no or mild steric clashes, but

substitution of the basic lysine residue to the neutral asparagine eliminates the

interaction with the acidic phosphate in pUbl (Fig. 4B and (Gladkova *et al.*, 2018;

Sauvé *et al.*, 2018)). p.G284R introduces major clashes with pUb, thus impairing

binding and recruitment to mitochondria (Fig. 4C). p.R275W disrupts interaction with

the helix that mediates pUb binding (Fig. 4D). This is predicted to destabilize Parkin,

consistent with the observed decreased steady-state protein level and the presence of

cellular inclusions (Fig. 1B and Supplementary Fig. 4). This helix becomes more

exposed following pUb binding and may also be involved in the allosteric release of

the Ubl during activation (Fig. 4D and (Gladkova *et al.*, 2018; Sauvé *et al.*, 2018)).

The clashes caused by p.R42P and p.V56E are predicted to misfold the Ubl domain

and thus destabilize the protein, as demonstrated earlier for p.R42P (Hampe *et al.*,

2006). Concurrently, p.R42P and p.V56E may also hinder conformational change

during activation by preventing Ubl phosphorylation (Rasool *et al.*, 2018) and the

binding of pUbl to RING0 (Sauvé *et al.*, 2018). One variant, p.P437L, introduced

very mild steric clashes in RING2 in the Parkin structure, and the exact molecular

mechanism of p.P437L in causing decreased mitophagy remains unclear.

Several variants in functional Group 3 that moderately decreased

Parkin-mediated mitophagy might also act by hindering Parkin activation by PINK1.

p.A46T could disrupt the interaction of pUbl with RING0 (Supplementary Fig. 6A).

p.R104W induced clashes to the newly identified activation element (ACT), which

binds RING0 and helps stabilize the interaction with pUbl and RING0

(Supplementary Fig. 6B and (Gladkova *et al.*, 2018)). p.G359D disrupted the

glycine-rich loop in the IBR domain that interacts with pUb (Supplementary Fig. 6C).

These clashes may be compensated by minor local conformation changes, and thus

lead to milder disruptions in mitophagy and protein stability.

Structure-guided *designer* hyperactive Parkin mutants can rescue mitophagy in pathogenic variants

We next hypothesized that some of the *pathogenic* variants may represent targets for genotype-specific therapy. As a proof of concept, we introduced two artificially designed mutations, W403A and F146A, which destabilized the REP (repressor element of Parkin):RING1 and the RING0:RING2 interfaces, respectively. Both mutations have been shown to accelerate mitophagy by promoting the conformational changes that occur at these interfaces during Parkin activation by PINK1 (Trempe *et al.*, 2013; Tang *et al.*, 2017). We tested whether these *hyperactive* mutations could rescue the mitophagy defects seen in the *pathogenic* Parkin variants. As reported previously, mutating F146A or W403A alone enhanced mitophagy compared to WT Parkin (Fig. 5A) (Tang *et al.*, 2017). Remarkably, introducing F146A or W403A *in cis* with p.R42P, p.V56E, p.K161N, p.K211N, p.R275W p.P437L or p.T240M rescued mitophagy (Fig. 5A). Variants p.R42P, p.V56E and p.R275W each lower Parkin levels, likely by disrupting protein stability (Fig. 1B). However, introduction of F146A or W403A did not restore Parkin levels to WT (Supplementary Fig. 7), suggesting the rescue of mitophagy was mediated by Parkin activation *per se* rather than by enhancing Parkin protein stability. The *pathogenic* variants p.K161N and p.K211N are involved in binding the pUbl during activation (Gladkova *et al.*, 2018; Sauvé *et al.*, 2018). The fact that both these variants can be rescued by F146A or W403A suggests that destabilization of the REP:RING1 or the RING0:RING2 interface can bypass the tethering of the Ubl to RING0, which occurs during Parkin activation.

Introducing F146A or W403A *in cis* with the variants could not rescue any of the

seven *pathogenic* cysteines variants involved in zinc coordination (Fig. 5A). Thus, the

severe disruption of Parkin folding and stability induced by mutating these cysteines

are likely to preclude them from being good candidates for therapeutic rescue by

hyperactivation (Fig. 1B-C). Similarly, most variants that disrupted key catalytic sites

could not be rescued (Fig. 5A). Also, p.G284R could not be rescued as it disrupts

binding to pUb, which is an essential receptor for recruiting Parkin to damaged

mitochondria (Okatsu *et al.*, 2015). Interestingly, whereas both p.T240M and

p.T240R are predicted to interfere with E2 Ub-conjugating enzyme binding to RING1

and showed similar severe defects in mitophagy, only the former could be rescued by

F146A or W403A (Fig. 5A). Both variants created clashes in the E2 binding site

(Supplementary Fig. 8A-B). However, Arg240 created a positive charge at the

interface, increasing the electrostatic repulsion of E2. Methionine is less bulky and

neutral, and its flexibility could allow some weak interactions with E2 to remain,

perhaps explaining its rescue by F146A or W403A (Supplementary Fig. 8C-E).

Overall, the defects in mitophagy of seven of the 19 *pathogenic* variants could be

rescued by the designed activating mutations. These seven variants are responsible for

over 75% of reported PD patients carrying *pathogenic* missense variants (Fig. 5B) and

were the most frequent *pathogenic* missense variants in the general population (Fig.

5C). Mimicking the effects of F146A or W403A could therefore be a useful starting

point for designing treatments for patients with PD caused by these *Parkin* variants.

Characterization of naturally-occurring hyperactive Parkin variants

We identified six naturally-occurring variants that, considering all the evidence,

were classified as *likely benign* or *benign* and showed enhanced Parkin-mediated

mitophagy (Fig. 1B, 1C and 2G). The Parkin structure shows that Arg234 and Arg256

are located at the interface between the REP and RING0. The p.R234Q and p.R256C

variants are predicted to destabilize the interface, thus mimicking the W403A

designer mutant used above (Fig. 6A). Additionally, p.M458L may destabilize the

RING0:RING2 interface, mimicking the effects of our other *designer* mutation,

F146A (Fig. 6B). Thus, based on structural predictions, three of the six

naturally-occurring variants are likely to activate Parkin via mechanisms akin to those

involved in the hyperactive mutants designed previously (Tang *et al.*, 2017). Because

these variants occur naturally in the population, our findings demonstrating rescue of

mitophagy suggest that targeting these sites and mechanisms are likely to be tolerated

and potentially therapeutic in PD. p.P37L also moderately increased Parkin-mediated

mitophagy, but the structural basis of the increased activity was unclear, as this

variant does not create any steric clash and thus should not affect interactions of the

Ubl with RING1 or interactions of the pUbl with RING0 (Supplementary Fig. 9A-B).

Mutation of Arg334 to a cysteine could affect the coordination of a nearby zinc in the

IBR, which may stabilize the interaction with pUb and thereby enhance Parkin

activity (Supplementary Fig. 9C).

Unlike the five other naturally-occurring hyperactive variants, p.V224A has not

been reported in PD patients (Supplementary Table 1) and showed the highest (almost

3-fold above WT) Parkin-mediated mitophagy activity (Fig. 1C). The Val224 residue

is localized in the pUb binding pocket, with its side-chain facing towards the

phosphorylated Ser65 residue of pUb, and the mutation to alanine could modulate the

affinity of Parkin for phospho-ubiquitin (Fig. 6C). We therefore examined the ability

of hyperactive p.V224A to rescue the function of the *pathogenic* variants. Introducing

the p.V224A variant *in cis* did not affect the protein level of most *pathogenic* variants,

except for p.G284R (Fig. 7A). This may stem from an additive destabilizing effect of

the double mutant on Parkin folding as both p.V224A and p.G284R are located within

the same pUb binding motif. Introducing the p.V224A variant partially rescued the

mitophagy defects of p.R42P, p.V56E and p.K161N (Fig. 7B). How the predicted

effects of V224A on pUb-binding could partially compensate for defects in Ubl- and

pUbl-mediated activation by p.R42P, p.V56E and p.K161N remains to be elucidated.

p.V224A could not rescue the mitophagy deficit in p.R275W and p.G284R variants,

nor could it rescue any of the remaining *pathogenic* variants that directly damaged

catalytic activity and zinc coordination (Fig. 7B). Compared with F146A or W403A,

p.V224A was less effective at rescuing the *pathogenic* variants, suggesting that the

pUb-binding site may be a less promising target for activating mitophagy than

releasing the autoinhibited conformation of Parkin.

Discussion

Parkin mutations are the most common cause of recessive early-onset PD

(EOPD) (Koros *et al.*, 2017). Although *Parkin* loss-of-function is well established in

EOPD (Kitada *et al.*, 1998; Kasten *et al.*, 2018), causality for any given missense

variant has been more difficult to ascertain. In this study, we have integrated clinical,

experimental and structural modeling approaches to map out the landscape of *Parkin*

variants in the general population and in PD patients. Our hope is that this work will

help provide a more cohesive framework to guide basic science studies exploring the

molecular and cellular functions of the *PINK1/Parkin* pathway and to guide clinicians

caring for patients carrying specific *Parkin* variants. We also hope that the work will

inform structure-based drug design to develop Parkin activators and help guide Parkin

allele- and genotype-specific clinical studies.

For the over 200 *Parkin* variants reported in public databases (Nuytemans *et al.*,

2010; Lek *et al.*, 2016; Kasten *et al.*, 2018), we found that only a minority of variants

could be clearly designated as *likely pathogenic*, *pathogenic*, *likely benign* or *benign*

based on clinical evidence alone. While this may not seem surprising for variants

found only in population databases such as ExAC, where accompanying clinical

information is scant, we found a similar situation for variants reported in patients.

Indeed, 52 out 75 variants reported in PDmutDB and MDSgene remained of

uncertain significance after having been subjected to the Sherloc algorithm, the

variant classification framework derived from ACMG standards that we used in this

study. The overarching message from these observations is that the mere presence of

Parkin variants in PD patients, PD kindreds or PD-specific databases should be

interpreted with caution and not automatically taken to imply pathogenicity. Rather,

we propose that clinical evidence available for new variants should be subjected to the

same rigorous classification scheme presented here to determine pathogenicity.

In addition to analyzing clinical evidence, we extensively characterized the

cellular effects of the 51 *Parkin* variants most commonly found in patient and

population sequencing databases. To our knowledge, a systematic analysis integrating

clinical evidence with cellular function, on this scale, has not been reported previously

for *Parkin* (Sriram *et al.*, 2005; Wang *et al.*, 2005; Hampe *et al.*, 2006; Matsuda *et al.*,

2006; Geisler *et al.*, 2010; Narendra *et al.*, 2010; Safadi *et al.*, 2011; Fiesel *et al.*,

2015). Notably, all the variants designated as *pathogenic* or *likely pathogenic* based

on clinical evidence also displayed severe mitophagy defects in cells (functional

groups 1 and 2). Conversely, all variants designated clinically as *benign* or *likely*

benign displayed mitophagy function in the WT range or showed only a slight

reduction (functional groups 3, 4 and 5). While this may seem *a priori* as self-evident,

several alternative functions of Parkin in cells have been proposed and the role of

mitophagy in PD has yet to be definitively established (Grenier *et al.*, 2013; Pickrell

and Youle, 2015; Panicker *et al.*, 2017; Whitworth and Pallanck, 2017). Thus, while

this work does not refute the biological importance of such alternative functions, the

tight correlation between the clinical impact of the variants and their effects on

mitophagy provides further evidence that mitophagy can be used as a robust and

disease-relevant readout of Parkin function that likely reflects a key pathogenic

process in PD.

Assignment of the *Parkin* variants to functional groups in cells allowed us to

determine which clinical features best correlate with and could be used to predict

pathogenicity. Segregation of variants with PD in families and observation of

homozygotes in ExAC turned out to be very strong predictors for pathogenicity or the

absence of pathogenicity, respectively. In contrast, the mere report of PD patients with

one or two *Parkin* variants or the absence of these variants in control cohorts or

population databases should not be automatically taken to imply pathogenicity.

Perhaps more importantly, integrating clinical with functional evidence from cells

allowed us to re-assign 19 of the 28 variants, designated as of *uncertain significance*

based on clinical evidence alone, to one of the benign and pathogenic categories. It

also allowed us to reclassify 6 of the "*likely*" variants to their respective more

definitive *benign* and *pathogenic* categories. Together, these findings attest to the

power of using this sort of iterative combined clinical and experimental approach to

stratify variants.

Given what is already known about the structure and function of the Parkin

protein, the work enables in-depth mechanistic exploration of how *pathogenic*

variants can lead to dysfunction. This is something that has been sorely lacking and

may have important implications for how best to target Parkin and design activators

for future therapy. For most of the *pathogenic* variants, the mechanisms by which they

interfere with function can be rationalized based on the Parkin structure. As an

important proof of concept, we showed that the function of several pathogenic *Parkin*

variants, defective in mitophagy in cells, could be rescued when expressed *in cis* with

mutations that have been previously designed to enhance Parkin activity (Trempe *et*

al., 2013; Tang *et al.*, 2017). This provides further stratification according to

therapeutic potential. For instance, alterations in residues involved in zinc

coordination, in catalytic activation or in pUb-binding could not be rescued. In

contrast, alterations in residues involved in Ubl folding or in the pUbl-RING0

interface in the active Parkin structure could be fully rescued, suggesting that

therapeutics that disrupt the REP-RING1 or the RING0-RING2 interfaces could

potentially bypass these defects (Gladkova *et al.*, 2018; Sauvé *et al.*, 2018).

Importantly, many of the most commonly occurring variants were the ones that could

be rescued, something that bodes well for patients carrying these variants, should a

therapeutic mimicking W403A or F146A become available.

One of the most surprising findings of our study was that several

naturally-occurring variants exhibited a 1.5- to almost 3-fold enhancement in

Parkin-mediated mitophagy in our assay. This could not simply be explained by

increased Parkin protein levels or by the fact that our assay involved overexpression.

Indeed, except for certain pathogenic variants that destabilized Parkin, most variants,

including the hyperactive ones, displayed steady-state levels that were very close to

WT levels. These hyperactive variants provide an important proof of principle that

there are, presumably healthy, individuals in the population living with enhanced

Parkin activity. The strongest activating variant was V224A, which increases

mitophagy by nearly 3-fold and occurs very near the site for pUb-binding. This was

surprising as pUb-binding serves as a critical receptor to recruit Parkin to

mitochondria and, to date, every reported mutation in this motif, abolished or

dramatically reduced mitophagy. Moreover, when expressed *in cis*, V224A partially

rescued certain, but not all, of the mutants that were rescued by W403A and F146A.

In the future, it will be important to test whether this involves an enhancement in

pUb-binding or some other downstream allosteric effect. Similarly, it will be

important to determine the mechanisms by which the two remaining hyperactive

variants, P37L and R334C, enhance Parkin function. Moreover, as we only sampled

51 of the over 200 *Parkin* variants in the population in this study, it is conceivable that

other yet-to-be-discovered hyperactive variants will provide further mechanistic

insights into Parkin activation and help identify additional therapeutic sites within the

protein.

Author contributions

W.Y. performed cloning, genetic analysis, and experiments in cells. E.J.M., M.Y.T. and A.I.K. assisted with cloning and experiments in cells. Z.G-O. assisted with genetic analysis. J.-F.T. assisted with all the structural simulations. W.Y., E.J.M., M.Y.T., Z.G-O, J.F.T. and E.A.F. participated in the design of experiments, data analysis and preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank members from the Trempe and Fon labs, as well as Kalle Gehring for useful discussion and comments. The flow cytometry work/cell sorting was performed in the McGill Life Science Complex Flow Cytometry Core Facility supported by funding from the Canadian Foundation for Innovation. We acknowledge support from Parkinson Society Canada (Basic Science Postdoctoral Fellowship to W.Y.), and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (FDN grant – 154301 to E.A.F.).

Table 1. Annotation of *Parkin* missense variants by ACMG terminologies.

Index	Databases	Parkin Variant	Annotation with clinical evidence	Functional group	Annotation with clinical and functional evidence
1	Disease and population	p.R42P	*Pathogenic*	1	*Pathogenic*
2	Disease and population	p.V56E	*Pathogenic*	1	*Pathogenic*
3	Disease and population	p.K211N	*Pathogenic*	2	*Pathogenic*
4	Disease and population	p.C212Y	*Pathogenic*	1	*Pathogenic*
5	Disease and population	p.C238W	*Pathogenic*	1	*Pathogenic*
6	Disease	p.C253Y	*Pathogenic*	1	*Pathogenic*
7	Disease and population	p.G284R	*Pathogenic*	2	*Pathogenic*
8	Disease and population	p.T415N	*Pathogenic*	2	*Pathogenic*
9	Disease	p.C431F	*Pathogenic*	2	*Pathogenic*
10	Disease and population	p.C441R	*Pathogenic*	1	*Pathogenic*
11	Disease	p.T240R	*Likely Pathogenic*	2	*Pathogenic*
12	Disease and population	p.R275W	*Likely pathogenic*	1	*Pathogenic*
13	Disease and population	p.G430D	*Likely pathogenic*	2	*Pathogenic*
14	Disease and population	p.A46T	*Likely benign*	3	*Likely benign*
15	Disease and population	p.P153R	*Likely benign*	4	*Benign*
16	Disease and population	p.M192L	*Likely benign*	4	*Benign*
17	Disease and population	p.R402C	*Likely benign*	4	*Benign*
18	Disease and population	p.Q34R	*Benign*	3	*Benign*
19	Disease and population	p.A82E	*Benign*	4	*Benign*
20	Disease and population	p.S167N	*Benign*	4	*Benign*
21	Disease and population	p.R334C	*Benign*	5	*Benign*
22	Disease and population	p.V380L	*Benign*	4	*Benign*
23	Disease and population	p.D394N	*Benign*	4	*Benign*
24	Disease and population	p.D18N	*Uncertain significance*	4	*Likely benign*
25	Disease and population	p.R33Q	*Uncertain significance*	4	*Likely benign*
26	Disease and population	p.P37L	*Uncertain significance*	5	*Likely benign*
27	Disease and population	p.R42C	*Uncertain significance*	4	*Likely benign*
28	Disease and population	p.R42H	*Uncertain significance*	4	*Likely benign*
29	Disease	p.W54R	*Uncertain significance*	3	*Uncertain significance*
30	Disease and population	p.R104W	*Uncertain significance*	3	*Uncertain significance*
31	Disease	p.K161N	*Uncertain significance*	2	*Pathogenic*
32	Disease	p.M192V	*Uncertain significance*	4	*Uncertain significance*
33	Population	p.V224A	*Uncertain significance*	5	*Likely benign*
34	Disease and population	p.R234Q	*Uncertain significance*	5	*Likely benign*
35	Disease and population	p.T240M	*Uncertain significance*	2	*Pathogenic*

36	Population	p.V248I	_Uncertain significance_	4	_Likely benign_
37	Disease	p.C253F	_Uncertain significance_	1	_Pathogenic_
38	Disease and population	p.R256C	_Uncertain significance_	5	_Likely benign_
39	Disease and population	p.D280N	_Uncertain significance_	4	_Likely benign_
40	Disease	p.C289G	_Uncertain significance_	1	_Pathogenic_
41	Disease and population	p.E310R	_Uncertain significance_	4	_Likely benign_
42	Disease	p.G328E	_Uncertain significance_	4	_Uncertain significance_
43	Disease and population	p.R334H	_Uncertain significance_	4	_Uncertain significance_
44	Disease	p.T351P	_Uncertain significance_	4	_Uncertain significance_
45	Disease and population	p.G359D	_Uncertain significance_	3	_Uncertain significance_
46	Disease and population	p.R366W	_Uncertain significance_	4	_Likely benign_
47	Disease and population	p.R396G	_Uncertain significance_	4	_Uncertain significance_
48	Disease	p.C418R	_Uncertain significance_	1	_Pathogenic_
49	Disease and population	p.P437L	_Uncertain significance_	2	_Pathogenic_
50	Disease and population	p.E444Q	_Uncertain significance_	4	_Uncertain significance_
51	Disease and population	p.M458L	_Uncertain significance_	5	_Likely benign_

References

Cruts M, Theuns J, Van Broeckhoven C. Locus-specific mutation databases for neurodegenerative brain diseases. Human mutation 2012; 33(9): 1340-4.

Fiesel FC, Caulfield TR, Moussaud-Lamodiere EL, Ogaki K, Dourado DF, Flores SC, _et al._ Structural and Functional Impact of Parkinson Disease-Associated Mutations in the E3 Ubiquitin Ligase Parkin. Human mutation 2015; 36(8): 774-86.

Geisler S, Holmstrom KM, Skujat D, Fiesel FC, Rothfuss OC, Kahle PJ, _et al._ PINK1/Parkin-mediated mitophagy is dependent on VDAC1 and p62/SQSTM1. Nature cell biology 2010; 12(2): 119-31.

Gladkova C, Maslen SL, Skehel JM, Komander D. Mechanism of parkin activation by PINK1. Nature 2018; 559(7714): 410-4.

Grenier K, McLelland GL, Fon EA. Parkin- and PINK1-Dependent Mitophagy in Neurons: Will the Real Pathway Please Stand Up? Frontiers in neurology 2013; 4: 100.

Hampe C, Ardila-Osorio H, Fournier M, Brice A, Corti O. Biochemical analysis of Parkinson's disease-causing variants of Parkin, an E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase with monoubiquitylation capacity. Human molecular genetics 2006; 15(13): 2059-75.

Kane LA, Lazarou M, Fogel AI, Li Y, Yamano K, Sarraf SA, _et al._ PINK1 phosphorylates ubiquitin to activate Parkin E3 ubiquitin ligase activity. The Journal of cell biology 2014; 205(2): 143-53.

Kasten M, Hartmann C, Hampf J, Schaake S, Westenberger A, Vollstedt EJ, _et al._ Genotype-phenotype relations for the Parkinson's Disease genes Parkin, PINK1, DJ1: MDSGene Systematic Review. Movement disorders : official journal of the Movement Disorder Society 2018; 33(5): 730-41.

Katayama H, Kogure T, Mizushima N, Yoshimori T, Miyawaki A. A Sensitive and Quantitative Technique

for Detecting Autophagic Events Based on Lysosomal Delivery. Chemistry & biology 2011; 18(8):
1042-52.

Kazlauskaite A, Kondapalli C, Gourlay R, Campbell DG, Ritorto MS, Hofmann K, *et al.* Parkin is activated
by PINK1-dependent phosphorylation of ubiquitin at Serine65. The Biochemical journal 2014; 460(1):
127-39.

Kitada T, Asakawa S, Hattori N, Matsumine H, Yamamura Y, Minoshima S, *et al.* Mutations in the parkin
gene cause autosomal recessive juvenile parkinsonism. Nature 1998; 392(6676): 605-8.

Koros C, Simitsi A, Stefanis L. Genetics of Parkinson's Disease: Genotype-Phenotype Correlations.
International review of neurobiology 2017; 132: 197-231.

Koyano F, Okatsu K, Kosako H, Tamura Y, Go E, Kimura M, *et al.* Ubiquitin is phosphorylated by PINK1
to activate parkin. Nature 2014; 510(7503): 162-6.

Kumar A, Chaugule VK, Condos TEC, Barber KR, Johnson C, Toth R, *et al.* Parkin-phosphoubiquitin
complex reveals cryptic ubiquitin-binding site required for RBR ligase activity. Nature structural &
molecular biology 2017; 24(5): 475-83.

Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, Samocha KE, Banks E, Fennell T, *et al.* Analysis of protein-coding
genetic variation in 60,706 humans. Nature 2016; 536(7616): 285-91.

Lill CM, Mashychev A, Hartmann C, Lohmann K, Marras C, Lang AE, *et al.* Launching the movement
disorders society genetic mutation database (MDSGene). Movement disorders : official journal of the
Movement Disorder Society 2016; 31(5): 607-9.

MacArthur DG, Manolio TA, Dimmock DP, Rehm HL, Shendure J, Abecasis GR, *et al.* Guidelines for
investigating causality of sequence variants in human disease. Nature 2014; 508(7497): 469-76.

Matsuda N, Kitami T, Suzuki T, Mizuno Y, Hattori N, Tanaka K. Diverse Effects of Pathogenic Mutations
of Parkin That Catalyze Multiple Monoubiquitylation in Vitro. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2006;
281(6): 3204-9.

Narendra DP, Jin SM, Tanaka A, Suen D-F, Gautier CA, Shen J, *et al.* PINK1 Is Selectively Stabilized on
Impaired Mitochondria to Activate Parkin. PLoS Biol 2010; 8(1): e1000298.

Nuytemans K, Theuns J, Cruts M, Van Broeckhoven C. Genetic etiology of Parkinson disease associated
with mutations in the SNCA, PARK2, PINK1, PARK7, and LRRK2 genes: a mutation update. Human
mutation 2010; 31(7): 763-80.

Nykamp K, Anderson M, Powers M, Garcia J, Herrera B, Ho Y-Y, *et al.* Sherloc: a comprehensive
refinement of the ACMG–AMP variant classification criteria. Genetics In Medicine 2017; 19: 1105.

Okatsu K, Koyano F, Kimura M, Kosako H, Saeki Y, Tanaka K, *et al.* Phosphorylated ubiquitin chain is the
genuine Parkin receptor. The Journal of cell biology 2015; 209(1): 111-28.

Ordureau A, Sarraf Shireen A, Duda David M, Heo J-M, Jedrykowski Mark P, Sviderskiy Vladislav O, *et al.*
Quantitative Proteomics Reveal a Feedforward Mechanism for Mitochondrial PARKIN Translocation
and Ubiquitin Chain Synthesis. Molecular Cell 2014; 56(3): 360-75.

Panicker N, Dawson VL, Dawson TM. Activation mechanisms of the E3 ubiquitin ligase parkin. The
Biochemical journal 2017; 474(18): 3075-86.

Pickles S, Vigié P, Youle RJ. Mitophagy and Quality Control Mechanisms in Mitochondrial Maintenance.
Current Biology 2018; 28(4): R170-R85.

Pickrell AM, Youle RJ. The Roles of PINK1, Parkin, and Mitochondrial Fidelity in Parkinson's Disease.
Neuron 2015; 85(2): 257-73.

Poewe W, Seppi K, Tanner CM, Halliday GM, Brundin P, Volkmann J, *et al.* Parkinson disease. Nature
Reviews Disease Primers 2017; 3: 17013.

Rasool S, Soya N, Truong L, Croteau N, Lukacs GL, Trempe JF. PINK1 autophosphorylation is required
for ubiquitin recognition. EMBO Rep 2018; 19(4).

Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, *et al.* Standards and guidelines for the
interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of
Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med 2015; 17(5):
405-24.

Safadi SS, Barber KR, Shaw GS. Impact of Autosomal Recessive Juvenile Parkinson's Disease Mutations
on the Structure and Interactions of the Parkin Ubiquitin-like Domain. Biochemistry 2011; 50(13):
2603-10.

Safadi SS, Shaw GS. A disease state mutation unfolds the parkin ubiquitin-like domain. Biochemistry
2007; 46(49): 14162-9.

Sauve V, Lilov A, Seirafi M, Vranas M, Rasool S, Kozlov G, *et al.* A Ubl/ubiquitin switch in the activation
of Parkin. Embo j 2015; 34(20): 2492-505.

Sauvé V, Sung G, Soya N, Kozlov G, Blaimschein N, Miotto LS, *et al.* Mechanism of parkin activation by
phosphorylation. Nature structural & molecular biology 2018; 25(7): 623-30.

Sherry ST, Ward MH, Kholodov M, Baker J, Phan L, Smigielski EM, *et al.* dbSNP: the NCBI database of
genetic variation. Nucleic acids research 2001; 29(1): 308-11.

Spratt DE, Martinez-Torres RJ, Noh YJ, Mercier P, Manczyk N, Barber KR, *et al.* A molecular explanation
for the recessive nature of parkin-linked Parkinson's disease. Nature communications 2013; 4: 1983.

Sriram SR, Li X, Ko HS, Chung KKK, Wong E, Lim KL, *et al.* Familial-associated mutations differentially
disrupt the solubility, localization, binding and ubiquitination properties of parkin. Human molecular
genetics 2005; 14(17): 2571-86.

Tang MY, Vranas M, Krahn AI, Pundlik S, Trempe JF, Fon EA. Structure-guided mutagenesis reveals a
hierarchical mechanism of Parkin activation. Nature communications 2017; 8: 14697.

Trempe J-F, Sauvé V, Grenier K, Seirafi M, Tang MY, Ménade M, *et al.* Structure of Parkin Reveals
Mechanisms for Ubiquitin Ligase Activation. Science 2013; 340(6139): 1451-5.

Wang C, Tan JM, Ho MW, Zaiden N, Wong SH, Chew CL, *et al.* Alterations in the solubility and
intracellular localization of parkin by several familial Parkinson's disease-linked point mutations.
Journal of neurochemistry 2005; 93(2): 422-31.

Wenzel DM, Lissounov A, Brzovic PS, Klevit RE. UBCH7 reactivity profile reveals parkin and HHARI to be
RING/HECT hybrids. Nature 2011; 474(7349): 105-8.

Whitworth AJ, Pallanck LJ. PINK1/Parkin mitophagy and neurodegeneration—what do we really know
in vivo? Current opinion in genetics & development 2017; 44: 47-53.

 Figure legends

 Figure 1. *Parkin* **missense variants displayed a wide range of functional**

 alterations. (A) 75 *Parkin* missense variants were reported in disease specific

 databases (PDmutDB, MDSgene), 215 were reported in population databases (ExAC,

 dbSNP) and 51 were reported in both. Variants were assigned with 1 of 5 standard

 ACMG terminologies: *Pathogenic* (red), *likely pathogenic* (pink), *likely benign*

 (green), *benign* (olive) and *uncertain significance* (grey). **(B-C)** Quantification of the

 function of Parkin missense variants assigned as **(B)** *Pathogenic* (red), *likely*

 pathogenic (pink), *likely benign* (green), *benign* (olive) or **(C)** Uncertain significance

 (grey) by clinical evidence. Solid bars show mitophagy after 4h of CCCP treatment

 quantified from mtKeima signal in U2OS cells expressing GFP-Parkin variants

 normalized to wildtype (WT) Parkin. Hatched bars show GFP intensity of cells

 expressing GFP-Parkin variants normalized to WT Parkin. * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, in

 one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test comparing the function of each variant

 with WT. N=3-7.

 Figure 2. Integration of clinical and functional evidence refined the classification

 of Parkin variants. (A) Functional alteration of variants assigned as *pathogenic* (red),

 likely pathogenic (pink), *likely benign* (green), *benign* (olive), and of *uncertain*

 significance (grey) based on clinical evidence were plotted for mitophagy activity on

 the X axis and GFP intensity on the Y axis. Functional alteration segregated into five

 groups, indicated by black boxes. 1. Significantly decreased mitophagy activity and

 GFP intensity compared with WT. 2. Severely decreased mitophagy activity with WT

GFP intensity. 3. Moderately decreased mitophagy activity with WT GFP intensity. 4.

WT mitophagy activity and GFP intensity. 5. Significantly increased mitophagy

activity with WT GFP intensity. **(B)** Quantification of the variants within each of the

functional groups from (A). **(C)** Quantification of variants within the functional

groups described in (A) according to their segregation or lack of segregation with PD

in families. **(D)** Quantification of variants within the functional groups described in

(A) according to the observation of the variant as more than 1 homozygote (blue), 1

homozygote (light-blue), or no homozygotes (white) in ExAC. **(E)** Quantification of

variants within the functional groups described in (A) according to the observation of

the variant in PD patients. **(F)** Quantification of variants within the functional groups

described in (A) according to their classification based on clinical and functional

evidence.

Figure 3. Steric clashes in structural simulations predicted the dysfunction of

***Parkin* variants. (A)** Schematic representation of Parkin missense variants on Parkin

protein 2D structure. Each circle indicates a missense variant. The location of the

variant on the 2D sequence was plotted in the X axis with dotted lines separating the

Parkin domains. The functional groups described in Figure 2A, were plotted on the Y

axis. The colors indicate the type of clash introduced by the missense variant from

structural simulation. **(B)** Distribution of the variants from (A) within functional

groups according to the type of clash they introduce. **(C)** Structure of human Parkin

bound to phospho-ubiquitin (PDB 5n2w) was used to illustrate the impact of the R42P

mutation. Substitution of the arginine side chain (black) to proline (white) introduced

major clashes (red disks), which would destabilize the β-sheet in the Ubl. **(D)**

Substitution of the arginine side chain (black) to histidine (white) introduced mild

clashes (red and green disks). **(E)** Substitution of the arginine side chain (black) to

cysteine (white) did not introduce any clashes.

Figure 4. Structural analysis of *Parkin* variants revealed various pathogenic

mechanisms. **(A)** Pathogenic variants were mapped onto the 3D structure of human

Parkin bound to phospho-ubiquitin (PDB 5N2W). The side-chains of the amino acids

substituted by the variants were highlighted in black. The blue spheres represent the

phosphate of pUb. The grey spheres represent zinc. The color of the text indicates the

type of disruption to Parkin caused by the variant. **(B)** Close-up view of pUbl-RING0

interface in the structure of fly pParkin bound to phospho-Ub (PDB 6DJX). Lys161

and Lys211 form ionic interactions with the phosphate on Ser65 of the pUbl.

Mutations of these lysine residues would weaken the pUbl-RING0 interaction,

preventing activation of Parkin. **(C)** Close-up view of the pUb:RING1 interface in

human Parkin bound to pUb (PDB 5N2W). The G284R variant in Parkin RING1

would introduce major clashes with pUb, disrupting the interaction. **(D)** Close-up

view of Arg275 in human Parkin bound to pUb (PDB 5N2W). Arg275 interacts with

Glu321 in the helix that interacts with pUb. Mutation to a tryptophan (white) would

introduce clashes with this helix as well as Ser10 in the Ubl domain.

Figure 5. Structure-guided designer hyperactive Parkin mutants can rescue

mitophagy in *pathogenic* variants. (A) Quantification of induced mitophagy after 4h

of CCCP treatment in U2OS cells expressing WT GFP-Parkin, *pathogenic* missense

variants, or W403A or F146A *in cis* with WT or *pathogenic* variants. Mitophagy

mediated by each Parkin missense variant was normalized to that of WT Parkin in

each replicate. * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, in two-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc

test comparing the function of each variant with the variant *in cis* with W403A or

F146A. N=3-7. **(B)** The number of families or individuals with PD carrying the

pathogenic missense variants for which mitophagy was or was not rescued by the

designer mutations are shown. **(C)** The sum of the MAF in ExAC of the *pathogenic*

missense variants for which mitophagy was or was not rescued by the designer

mutations are shown.

Figure 6. Structural basis for the effects of the naturally occurring hyperactive

Parkin variants. (A) Close-up view of R234Q and R256C variant sites in the

structure of human Parkin bound to pUb (PDB 5N2W). Arg256 forms a hydrogen

bond with Glu402, and its mutation to a cysteine would destabilize the REP:RING1

interaction, similar to W403A. The side-chain of Arg234 also stacks with the indole

ring of Trp403. **(B)** Close-up view of M458L variant site in the RING0:RING2

interface (PDB 5N2W). M458L introduced major clashes that would destabilize the

RING0:RING2 interaction, similarly to F146A. **(C)** Close-up view of V224A variant

site (PDB 5N2W). Val224 interacts with pUb and forms van der Waals force

interactions with Asn60. Mutation to alanine could modulate the affinity for pUb.

Figure 7. The naturally-occurring *Parkin* p.V224A hyperactive variant rescued

mitophagy in several *pathogenic* variants. (A) Quantification of GFP intensity from

GFP signal by FACS in untreated cells expressing WT GFP-Parkin, *pathogenic*

missense variants, or p.V224A *in cis* with WT or *pathogenic* variants. The GFP

intensity for each Parkin missense variant was normalized to that for WT Parkin in

each replicate. **(B)** Quantification of induced mitophagy after 4h of CCCP treatment

in cells expressing WT GFP-Parkin, *pathogenic* missense variants, or p.V224A *in cis*

with WT or *pathogenic* variants. Mitophagy mediated by each Parkin missense

variant was normalized to that of WT Parkin in each replicate. * $P<0.05$; ** $P<0.01$,

in two-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test comparing the function of each

variant with variant *in cis* with p.V224A. N=3-7.

Figure 1. Parkin missense variants displayed a wide range of functional alterations.

Figure 2. Integration of clinical and functional evidence refined the classification of *Parkin* variants.

Figure 3. Steric clashes in structural simulations predicted the dysfunction of *Parkin* variants.

Figure 4. Structural analysis of *Parkin* variants revealed various pathogenic mechanisms.

A

Black: Ubl misfolding
Red: Zinc coordination
Blue: Catalytic activity
Purple: Activation by PINK1
Grey: Unclear

Figure 5. Structure-guided designer hyperactive Parkin mutants can rescue mitophagy in *pathogenic* variants.

A

B

Total number of affected
families or unrelated individuals
carrying the *pathogenic* variants

39 — No rescue

124 — Rescue

C

Sum of the MAF of
the *pathogenic* variants
in ExAC

0.02%
No rescue

0.39%
Rescue

Figure 6. Structural basis for the effects of the naturally occurring hyperactive Parkin variants.

Figure 7. The naturally-occurring *Parkin* p.V224A hyperactive variant rescued mitophagy in several *pathogenic* variants.