Glass confers rhabdomeric photoreceptor identity in *Drosophila*, but not across all metazoans

F. Javier Bernardo-Garcia[1,2], Maryam Syed[1], Gáspár Jékely[3,*] and Simon G. Sprecher[1,*]

[1]Department of Biology, University of Fribourg, Chemin du Musée 10, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland

[2]Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

[3]Living Systems Institute, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter EX4 4QD, United Kingdom

*corresponding authors: g.jekely@exeter.ac.uk, simon.sprecher@unifr.ch

ABSTRACT

Across metazoans, visual systems employ different types of photoreceptor neurons to detect light. These include rhabdomeric PRs, which exist in distantly related phyla and possess an evolutionarily conserved phototransduction cascade. While the development of rhabdomeric PRs has been thoroughly studied in the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster*, we still know very little about how they form in other species. To investigate this question, we tested whether the transcription factor Glass, which is crucial for instructing rhabdomeric PR formation in *Drosophila*, may play a similar role in other metazoans. Glass homologues exist throughout the animal kingdom, indicating that this protein evolved prior to the metazoan radiation. Interestingly, our work indicates that *glass* is not expressed in rhabdomeric photoreceptors in the planarian *Schmidtea mediterranea* nor in the annelid *Platynereis dumerilii*. Combined with a comparative analysis of the Glass DNA-binding domain, our data suggest that the fate of rhabdomeric PRs is controlled by Glass-dependent and Glass-independent mechanisms in different animal clades.

Keywords: Glass, transcription factor, *Drosophila*, *Schmidtea*, *Platynereis*, photoreceptor development, rhabdomeric photoreceptors, eye development, evolutionary conservation

INTRODUCTION

Most animals can detect visual cues, which provide them with detailed information about their environment. This information may include the shape of nearby objects, colours, movements or the day–night cycle, and it is relevant for surviving. As a consequence, animals have evolved various types of photoreceptor neurons (PRs) such as ciliary and rhabdomeric PRs [1, 2], which play different roles in different animal species. For instance, rhabdomeric PRs are critical for image-forming vision (e.g. in *Drosophila* compound eye PRs) and for identifying the direction of a light source (e.g. in the planarian *Schmidtea mediteranea* and in the annelid *Platynereis dumerilii*) [3-5]. Nevertheless, in the case of most metazoan clades, very little is known about how rhabdomeric PRs develop.

Interestingly, all known rhabdomeric PRs appear to use a similar assortment of phototransduction proteins. These PRs possess rhabdomeric-type opsins that can modify their spatial conformation upon light stimulation, which allows them to activate Gαq. Gαq signals through phospholipase C (PLC) causing the opening of cation channels on the cytoplasmic membrane of PRs and thus leading to the formation of action potentials. This light-sensing machinery is present in distantly related animal phyla [1, 6, 7], including vertebrates (due to the 'intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells', ipRGCs [8]), which poses the question of to what degree the development of rhabdomeric PRs is evolutionarily conserved. Is the acquisition of the rhabdomeric phototransduction cascade regulated by a similar set of transcription factors across metazoans? Using the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* as a model system we have recently shown that the zinc finger transcription factor Glass acts as critical cell fate selector by directing the maturation of PR precursors into adult, light-sensing PRs. In *Drosophila*, Glass is required for the expression of virtually all the phototransduction proteins [9], and it regulates the development of all types of rhabdomeric PRs (including those in the Bolwig organ, the ocelli, and the compound eye) [10-12]. Therefore, we investigated whether Glass may also be involved in rhabdomeric PR differentiation in other animal species.

The planarian *Schmidtea* and the annelid *Platynereis* are emerging model organisms whose visual systems have been well characterised [3, 5, 13-19]. Interestingly, by analysing recently published single-cell sequencing data of *Schmidtea* we found that *glass* is not expressed in rhabdomeric PRs in this species. Moreover, using *in situ* hybridisation we could not detect *glass* expression in rhabdomeric PRs in *Platynereis.* Thus, while Glass is critical for the specification of rhabdomeric PR identity in *Drosophila*, the absence of Glass in rhabdomeric PRs in *Schmidtea* and *Platynereis* supports that different genetic programmes are required for controlling rhabdomeric PR cell fate in different animal clades. Therefore, while the initial specification of the eye field appears to be controlled by an evolutionarily conserved group of transcription factors (called the retinal determination network, RDN) [17, 20, 21], the subsequent

steps that diversify distinct cell types, including rhabdomeric PRs, are likely controlled by
diverse developmental programmes.

METHODS

Glass sequence conservation analysis

We used BLAST [22] to retrieve NCBI-deposited sequences belonging to clear Glass
homologues from several species, including *Amphimedon queenslandica*, *Aplysia californica*,
Caenorhabditis elegans, *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*, and *Branchiostoma belcheri*. Details
about these sequences appear in the text and in Figure 2. Also, we found a Glass homologue in
the genome of *Schmidtea mediterranea* [23] and in the transcriptome of *Platynereis dumerilii*
[24]. For more information about the *Platynereis* Glass homologue, see Additional file 1:
Supplementary methods and sequences.

We used either BLAST [22] or MUSCLE [25] to align protein sequences, and analysed them
with 'DNA-binding site predictor for Cys_2His_2 Zinc Finger Proteins' [26, 27]. To investigate the
DNA-binding specificity of each candidate, we copied its full amino acid sequence as input, and
asked the software to search for Cys_2His_2 domains [26]. Then, we predicted the binding sites for
those regions that best aligned with the 4th and the 5th zinc fingers of Glass, which are
responsible for recognising its targets *in vivo* [28-31]. We used 'expanded linear SVM' as
prediction model.

Animal caretaking

Drosophila melanogaster stocks were cultured at 25 °C in a 12:12 hour light–dark cycle, and we
fed them with cornmeal medium (which was supplemented with molasses, fructose and yeast).
We used Canton-S as a wild-type strain (courtesy of R. Stocker), *glass-Gal4* (courtesy of S.
Kim) [32] and *UAS-mCD8::RFP* (Bloomington Stock Center, no. 32219).

Our wild-type *Platynereis dumerilii* were a mixed population of worms, originally captured in the
sea in Naples (Italy) and Arcachon (France). We also used *r-opsin1-GFP* worms (courtesy of F.
Raible) [14]. These animals were kept in sea water at 22 °C, in a 16:8 hours light–dark cycle.
We maintained them synchronised to an artificial moon cycle, induced by slightly increasing the
light intensity at night for 1 week per month (using a 10 W light bulb, to simulate the full moon).
Platynereis had a varied diet that included *Artemia salina*, *Tetraselmis marina*, fish food and
spinach leaves. For our experiments (i.e. *in situ* hybridisation and microinjections) we crossed
males and females and collected the fertilised eggs, as previously described [33]. The larvae
that hatched from these eggs were kept at 18 °C.

Immunohistochemistry and in *situ* hybridisation

In the case of *Drosophila* antibody stainings, these were performed on cryosections of
glass>mCD8::RFP flies, as previously described [9, 34]. We dissected heads (removing the
proboscis to improve the penetration of our reagents) and fixed them for 20 minutes with 3.7%
formaldehyde in 0.01 M phosphate buffer (PB; pH 7.4). Then, we washed our samples with PBT
(Triton X-100 0.3% in PB) and incubated them with a cryoprotectant solution (sucrose 25% in
PB) overnight at 4 °C. The following day we embedded the fly heads in OCT, froze them with
liquid nitrogen, and cut 14 μm cryosections in the transverse plane. Once the samples were dry,
we proceeded to immunostain them. For this, we washed the slides with PBT (this buffer was
also used in subsequent washing steps) and incubated them in primary antibody (rabbit anti-
DsRed, 1:100, Clontech, no. 632496) at 4 °C overnight. Then, we washed the cryosections and
incubated them in secondary antibody (goat anti-rabbit conjugated to Alexa Fluor 568, 1:200,
Molecular Probes, no. A-11011) at 4 °C overnight, and washed again the next day. We mounted
our samples by using Vectashield that contained DAPI (Vector, H-1200) and took images with a
Leica SP5 confocal microscope.

To detect the *glass* transcript in *Drosophila*, we used the ViewRNA *in situ* hybridisation kit of
Affimetrix (no. QVT0012) – which is a proprietary method – and proceeded according to the
instructions of the company. Briefly, we took head cryosections (as described above for
antibody stainings) and ordered a mix of labelled RNA probes against *glass* from Affimetrix.
Then, we processed the samples by digesting them with protease QF, and washed with PB and
with various commercial solutions included in the kit. We incubated our cryosections with the
glass probes for 2 hours, at 40 °C. After this, we continued with a series of washing and signal
amplification steps, followed by a colour reaction (we used Fast Red as a fluorophore). We
finished by washing the samples with PB, and used Vectashield containing DAPI (Vector, H-
1200) to cover the slides. Imaging was done with a Leica SP5 confocal microscope.

To perform double *in situ* hybridisation in *Platynereis*, we followed – with few modifications – a
protocol that has been previously used for characterising the expression pattern of *r-opsin1* [16,
35]. For the present work, we also produced an RNA probe against the *glass* transcript (for
details on the *glass* probe, see supplementary Materials and Methods). We fixed 3–5 day old
larvae in 4% formaldehyde, and we subjected them to a mild proteinase K digestion to improve
the penetration of our reagents. These larvae were prehybridised at 65 °C by using a
hybridisation mix (Hyb-Mix), containing 50% formamide, 5x saline-sodium citrate buffer (SSC),
50 μg/ml heparin, 0.1% Tween 20, and 5 mg/ml torula RNA. Then, we dissolved the probes
against *r-opsin1* and *glass* (labelled with either fluorescein-UTP or digoxigenin-UTP) in Hyb-Mix,
denatured them at 80 °C for 10 minutes, and added this solution to our samples. We hybridised

both probes simultaneously by incubating at 65 °C overnight. Then, we washed the samples at

65 °C with a series of solutions that initially contained 50% formamide and 2x SSCT (obtained

from a stock solution with Tween 20 0.1% in 4x SSC), and we progressively decreased the

concentration of both formamide and SSCT throughout successive washes. After washing, we

placed the larvae at room temperature and proceeded to immunostain them. We detected the

two probes sequentially, by using peroxidase-conjugated primary antibodies against fluorescein

(1:250, Roche) and digoxigenin (1:50, Roche). To detect the first probe, we incubated our

samples overnight at 4 °C in one of these antibodies, washed them with Tris NaCl Tween 20

buffer (TNT; 0.1 M Tris-HCl, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20; pH 7.5), and started the colour

reaction by adding a solution that contained fluorescent tyramide (conjugated to either Cy3 or

fluorescein). We controlled the development of the signal by using a fluorescence microscope

and, when it was ready, we washed in TNT and stopped the peroxidase activity with H_2O_2. To

detect the second probe, we repeated these immunostaining steps similarly. We mounted our

samples with 90% glycerol, and scanned them in a confocal microscope.

Microinjection of *glass-Tomato*

We used an unpublished assembly of the *Platynereis* genome (courtesy of D. Arendt, EMBL

Heidelberg) for making a *glass-Tomato* reporter. We PCR-amplified a fragment of the

Platynereis glass promoter and cloned it into a plasmid in frame with the tandem dimer version

of *Tomato* (courtesy of L. A. Bezares-Calderón) [36]. The fragment that we cloned included a

5,789 bp long upstream sequence, and also the beginning of the Glass coding sequence: the

first ATG codon was predicted both by aligning the *Platynereis* version of Glass to the Glass

homologues of other species and by using the ATGpr software [37, 38]. For details on the

sequence that we cloned, see Additional file 1: Supplementary methods and sequences.

For microinjections, we collected freshly fertilised *Platynereis* eggs and proceeded as previously

described [14]. Briefly, we removed the jelly of the eggs by digesting with proteinase K and

washing with abundant sea water, using a sieve. We diluted the *glass-Tomato* plasmid to a final

concentration of about 200 ng/μl, and delivered it into 1-cell embryos with a microinjection set-

up, by using Femtotip II microcapillaries (Eppendorf). Larvae were kept at 18 °C, and we

imaged them with a Leica SP8 confocal microscope to study the expression of the reporter.

RESULTS

Glass homologues are present throughout metazoans

Glass plays a fundamental role for the differentiation of rhabdomeric PRs in the fruit fly [9, 11, 39, 40]. To investigate if it provides a similar function in other animal clades, we first decided to search for Glass-like sequences outside *Drosophila* by using BLAST [22].

Intriguingly, we did not find any protein very similar to Glass in choanoflagellates (the sister group of metazoans), nor in vertebrates. However, we were able to retrieve multiple NCBI-deposited sequences belonging to clear Glass homologues. These were distributed across distantly related genera, including *Amphimedon* (Porifera), *Aplysia* (Mollusca), *Caenorhabditis* (Nematoda), *Strongylocentrotus* (Echinodermata) and *Branchiostoma* (Cephalochordata). Also, we found one single Glass homologue in the planarian *Schmidtea mediterranea* (Platyhelminthes) [23] and in the marine ragworm *Platynereis* (Annelida) [24] (Fig. 1, Fig. 2). Therefore, based on sequence alignment, Glass is broadly distributed across metazoans.

Neither vertebrates nor choanoflagellates have clear Glass homologues

Since we identified Glass in multiple animal clades, we wondered why we could not find its vertebrate homologue. Several species have fully sequenced, well annotated genomes, like zebrafish, mice, or humans [41-45]. For this reason, we decided to further investigate the evolutionary conservation of Glass by scrutinising its sequence.

Glass homologues share a distinctive cluster of five Cys_2His_2 zinc fingers in most species (one exception is *Caenorhabditis*, in which it only has four zinc fingers because the first one is missing). Particularly, the 4th and the 5th zinc fingers are especially important because they are responsible for guiding Glass towards its targets, given that they recognise its DNA-binding motif *in vivo*, GAARCC [28-31]. Therefore, we modified our bait by using the consensus sequence of either the full cluster of five zinc fingers, or only the 4th and 5th zinc fingers, and we repeated our BLAST search against vertebrates and choanoflagellates. By doing this we obtained results like, for example, ZSCAN22, ZNF253, or KOX 26 in humans, which still showed less similarity to Glass than any of those homologues that we identified in other species (Fig. 2). We also considered the human candidates that appeared annotated as putative Glass orthologues in Flybase via DIOPT [46, 47], including ZNF764, ZNF683, or ZNF500, but, likewise, they aligned poorly with the consensus sequence of the Glass zinc fingers (Fig. 2). Next, we analysed if any of these proteins would be able to functionally substitute Glass by recognising its DNA-binding motif, the GAARCC sequence [28, 29, 31]. For this, we used the online tool 'DNA-binding site predictor for Cys_2His_2 Zinc Finger Proteins', which predicts the

DNA-binding behaviour of zinc finger proteins [26, 27]. This software indicates that those Glass-
like proteins that exist in vertebrates and choanoflagellates cannot recognise the GAARCC
motif, in contrast to the clear Glass homologues that we found in other animals (i.e. in
Amphimedon, *Schmidtea*, *Platynereis*, *Aplysia*, *Caenorhabditis*, *Drosophila*, *Strongylocentrotus*
and *Branchiostoma*) (Fig. 2). Consequently, it remains unclear what happened to the *glass*
gene during the evolution of vertebrates: it could be that they lost Glass, or that it severely
changed its amino acid sequence and its DNA-binding motif. Intriguingly, similar to *Drosophila*,
some cells in the vertebrate retina also use the rhabdomeric phototransduction cascade – the
ipRGCs, which detect irradiance [8] – and, based on our data, it seems highly probable that
these cells develop through different mechanisms in *Drosophila* and in vertebrates.

glass is not expressed in *Schmidtea* rhabdomeric PRs

Given that Glass is an essential transcription factor for activating the expression of
phototransduction proteins in all *Drosophila* PRs [9, 10], we investigated whether Glass has a
similar function in other organisms. For this, we tested if it is expressed in PRs in the eye of the
planarian *Schmidtea mediterranea*. Planarians typically possess one pair of eyes, located in the
head, that mediate light avoidance [5, 17, 48]. Importantly, their eyes contain rhabdomeric PRs,
which are evolutionarily homologous to *Drosophila* PRs [1, 17].

Recently, a single-cell transcriptome atlas has been published for *Schmidtea*, and it is available
online [18, 19, 49]. Using this database, rhabdomeric PRs can be identified because they form a
cluster of non-ciliated neurons that express phototransduction proteins, including the *opsin* gene
(Fig. 3A) [19]. Surprisingly, these cells do not co-express Glass (Fig. 3B), suggesting that, in
contrast to *Drosophila*, Glass is not important for the function of rhabdomeric PRs in *Schmidtea*.

glass is not expressed in *Platynereis* rhabdomeric PRs

We next tested whether Glass is expressed in rhabdomeric PRs in the marine ragworm
Platynereis dumerilii. The visual system of *Platynereis* has been well studied, both from a
molecular and a functional point of view. *Platynereis* possesses two types of bilateral eyes
containing rhabdomeric PRs, called the dorsal and ventral eyes (also known as adult and larval
eyes, respectively). These two eye types are able to detect the direction of light, thus mediating
phototaxis [3, 13-16].

In *Drosophila*, *glass* is expressed in all rhabdomeric PRs [12, 50]. We could detect *glass*
expression in the compound eye of adult flies both with *in situ* hybridisation and with a *glass-*
Gal4 line crossed to *UAS-mCD8::RFP* (Figs. 4A–B′), which confirms previous data [12, 50]. By
contrast, in the case of *Platynereis*, *in situ* hybridisations performed in 3–5 day old larvae did not

show co-expression of the *glass* transcript with *rhabdomeric opsin 1* (*r-opsin1*), which is a

marker for rhabdomeric PRs in both the dorsal and the ventral eyes [14, 16], indicating that

glass is not present in these cells (Figs. 4C–C″″). In addition, we also generated a *Platynereis*

glass reporter by cloning 5.7 kb of its upstream sequence into a plasmid, where the *glass* start

codon was in frame with *Tomato* (a red fluorescent protein). We used this plasmid for transient

transgenesis by injecting it in 1-cell embryos containing a stable *r-opsin1-GFP* insertion [14]. *r-*

opsin1-GFP animals consistently showed strong GFP signal in their dorsal eye PRs, and this

signal was weaker in the ventral eye PRs. In the case of the dorsal eyes, all PRs project their

rhabdomeres into a pigment cup, and their axons form four nerves that innervate the optic

neuropil in the brain [3, 14, 16]. After microinjections, we tested 3–8 day old larvae (slightly

older than those that we used for *in situ*, to guarantee that positive cells had enough

fluorescence to distinguish them) but we did not observe co-expression of GFP and Tomato.

glass-Tomato-expressing neurons were consistently located in the head of *Platynereis*, distant

from the ventral eyes. Some of these Tomato-positive cells appeared close to the dorsal eyes,

but they did not project any rhabdomere-like extension into the pigment cup, and their axons did

not innervate the optic neuropil (Figs. 4D–E″), indicating that they were not part of the eye

rhabdomeric PRs. We conclude that, while Glass is expressed in all types of rhabdomeric PRs

in *Drosophila*, it is not present in known rhabdomeric PRs in *Platynereis*.

Glass is expressed in *Platynereis* sensory neurons

Since *glass* is predominantly expressed in PRs in *Drosophila*, we wondered what type of cells

express *glass* in *Platynereis*. Most of the neurons that we saw labelled with the *glass-Tomato*

reporter innervated the neurosecretory neuropil, a region located posterior to the optic neuropil

(also, some Tomato-positive axons innervated a slightly more antero-ventral region, forming a

ring) (Figs. 4D–D″). Interestingly, an ongoing electron microscopy (EM) reconstruction of the

Platynereis connectome shows that most neurons in the head of *Platynereis* that project to the

neurosecretory neuropil are sensory neurons, suggesting that *glass* is likely expressed in

sensory neurons [51]. To test this hypothesis, we examined the morphology of the cells that

were labelled with the *glass-Tomato* reporter. In EM reconstructions, sensory neurons can be

identified because they possess distinctive membranous specialisations that project towards the

surface, called sensory dendrites. We observed this type of structures on some *glass-Tomato*-

expressing neurons, further supporting that these cells were indeed sensory neurons (Fig. 5).

Notably, the *Caenorhabditis* homologue of Glass (called CHE-1) is expressed in ASE

chemosensory neurons, and it regulates their development [28, 52]. Therefore, it could be

possible that Glass plays a similar function in *Platynereis*.

CONCLUSIONS

Remarkably, the earliest steps of eye development are controlled by a group of transcription factors, called the 'retinal determination network' (RDN), which is both required and sufficient for eye formation in distantly related species [20, 53-59]. RDN members, such as Eyeless, Sine oculis, or Eyes absent are important for inducing eye field specification. To achieve this, they establish complex epistatic interactions with each other. These interactions occur similarly across model organisms, suggesting that this is an evolutionarily conserved process [20, 60]. In contrast to the early steps of eye field specification, subsequent mechanisms that specify the cell fate of PRs are not well understood. Here we provide evidence that, during the late stages of eye development, rhabdomeric PRs mature through different mechanisms in different species.

In *Drosophila*, we have recently shown that Sine oculis (a core component of the RDN) directly activates the expression of the transcription factor *glass*, which is crucial for activating the expression of virtually all the phototransduction proteins in all types of *Drosophila* PRs [9, 10, 61]. Based on their similar light-sensing machinery, *Drosophila* PRs are considered homologous to the ipRGCs of vertebrates, and also to the rhabdomeric PRs that exist in *Schmidtea* and *Platynereis* [1, 6, 7, 15, 17, 19]. Intriguingly, while we did identify Glass homologues in most metazoans, we could not find a clear Glass homologue in vertebrates. Moreover, our data indicate that *glass* is not expressed in the rhabdomeric PRs of *Schmidtea* or *Platynereis*. This suggests that metazoans have evolved alternative transcriptional pathways to direct the formation of rhabdomeric PRs. One of these pathways requires Glass (e.g. in *Drosophila*), while others do not (e.g. in vertebrates, *Schmidtea*, or *Platynereis*).

It could be possible that Glass started being expressed in rhabdomeric PRs at some point during the evolution of ecdysozoans and that it became specialised in regulating the differentiation of these cells. Therefore, comparing the differentiation of Glass-expressing and non-Glass-expressing PRs provides a valuable entry point to dissect shared and dissimilar aspects of the developmental programme. The absence of Glass in rhabdomeric PRs in some species argues for other transcription factors being capable of activating the expression of phototransduction proteins, however the underlying mechanism remains unknown. Our data support a rather complex scenario for the evolution of rhabdomeric PRs, but future works on the targets of the RDN may help to better understand how rhabdomeric PR identity is regulated.

ABBREVIATIONS

ac-Tub: acetylated Tubulin, EM: electron microscopy, PB: phosphate buffer, PBT: phosphate buffer with Triton X-100, PR: photoreceptor neuron, RDN: retinal determination network, r-

opsin1: rhabdomeric opsin 1, SSC: saline-sodium citrate buffer, SSCT: saline-sodium citrate buffer with Tween 20.

DECLARATIONS

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and material

All data presented in this article are accessible online, as indicated in either the main text or in the Additional file section.

Competing interests

The authors do not declare competing or financial interests.

Funding

This work was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (31003A_149499 to S.G.S.) and the European Research Council (ERC-2012-StG 309832-PhotoNaviNet to S.G.S.).

Authors' contributions

F.J.B.-G., G.J., and S.G.S. conceived the study. F.J.B.-G., G.J. and M.S. performed the experiments. F.J.B.-G., G.J., and S.G.S. wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Bloomington Stock Center, R. Stocker, and S. Kim for fly stocks; F. Raible for the *r-opsin1-GFP Platynereis* strain; D. Arendt for providing access to the draft *Platynereis* genome; and L. A. Bezares-Calderón for plasmids. We are also grateful to C. Adler, J. Cleland, and to our colleagues of the Sprecher and Jékely labs for valuable discussions.

ADDITIONAL FILE

Additional file 1: Supplementary methods and sequences. Contains information on plasmids and DNA/RNA sequences.

REFERENCES

1.   Fain GL, Hardie R, Laughlin SB. Phototransduction and the evolution of photoreceptors. Current Biology 2010;20:R114–124.

2.   Nilsson D-E. Photoreceptor evolution: Ancient siblings serve different tasks. Current Biology 2005;15:R94–96.

3.   Randel N, Asadulina A, Bezares-Calderón LA, Verasztó C, Williams EA, Conzelmann M, Shahidi R, Jékely G. Neuronal connectome of a sensory-motor circuit for visual navigation. eLife 2014;3.

4.   Borst A. *Drosophila*'s view on insect vision. Current Biology 2009;19:R36–47.

5.   Paskin TR, Jellies J, Bacher J, Beane WS. Planarian Phototactic Assay Reveals Differential Behavioral Responses Based on Wavelength. PloS one 2014;9:e114708.

6.   Provencio I, Warthen DM. Melanopsin, the photopigment of intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells. WIREs: Membrane Transport and Signaling 2012;1:228–237.

7.   Montell C. *Drosophila* visual transduction. Trends in neurosciences 2012;35:356–363.

8.   Hankins MW, Hughes S. Vision: melanopsin as a novel irradiance detector at the heart of vision. Current Biology 2014;24:R1055–1057.

9.   Bernardo-Garcia FJ, Fritsch C, Sprecher SG. The transcription factor Glass links eye field specification with photoreceptor differentiation in *Drosophila*. Development 2016;143:1413–1423.

10.   Bernardo-Garcia FJ, Humberg T-H, Fritsch C, Sprecher SG. Successive requirement of Glass and Hazy for photoreceptor specification and maintenance in *Drosophila*. Fly 2017;11:112–120.

11.   Moses K, Ellis MC, Rubin GM. The *glass* gene encodes a zinc-finger protein required by *Drosophila* photoreceptor cells. Nature 1989;340:531–536.

12. Ellis MC, O'Neill EM, Rubin GM. Expression of *Drosophila glass* protein and evidence
for negative regulation of its activity in non-neuronal cells by another DNA-binding protein.
Development 1993;119:855–865.

13. Jékely G, Colombelli J, Hausen H, Guy K, Stelzer E, Nédélec F, Arendt D. Mechanism
of phototaxis in marine zooplankton. Nature 2008;456:395–399.

14. Backfisch B, Veedin Rajan VB, Fischer RM, Lohs C, Arboleda E, Tessmar-Raible K,
Raible F. Stable transgenesis in the marine annelid *Platynereis dumerilii* sheds new light on
photoreceptor evolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States
of America 2013;110:193–198.

15. Arendt D, Tessmar-Raible K, Snyman H, Dorresteijn AW, Wittbrodt J. Ciliary
photoreceptors with a vertebrate-type opsin in an invertebrate brain. Science 2004;306:869–
871.

16. Randel N, Bezares-Calderón LA, Gühmann M, Shahidi R, Jékely G. Expression
dynamics and protein localization of rhabdomeric opsins in *Platynereis* larvae. Integrative and
comparative biology 2013;53:7–16.

17. Lapan SW, Reddien PW. Transcriptome analysis of the planarian eye identifies ovo as
a specific regulator of eye regeneration. Cell reports 2012;2:294-307.

18. Plass M, Solana J, Wolf FA, Ayoub S, Misios A, Glazar P, Obermayer B, Theis FJ,
Kocks C, Rajewsky N. Cell type atlas and lineage tree of a whole complex animal by single-cell
transcriptomics. Science 2018;360.

19. Fincher CT, Wurtzel O, de Hoog T, Kravarik KM, Reddien PW. Cell type transcriptome
atlas for the planarian Schmidtea mediterranea. Science 2018;360.

20. Silver SJ, Rebay I. Signaling circuitries in development: insights from the retinal
determination gene network. Development 2005;132:3–13.

21. Arendt D, Tessmar K, Medeiros de Campos-Baptista M-I, Dorresteijn A, Wittbrodt J.
Development of pigment-cup eyes in the polychaete *Platynereis dumerilii* and evolutionary
conservation of larval eyes in Bilateria. Development 2002;129:1143–1154.

22. NCBI BLAST service. http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/.

23. *Schmidtea mediterranea* Genome Database. http://smedgdkc03.stowers.org.

24. Max Planck Institute for Developmental Biology: *Platynereis dumerilii* transcriptome.
http://jekely-lab.tuebingen.mpg.de/blast/.

25.   Edgar RC. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
throughput. Nucleic acids research 2004;32:1792–1797.

26.   DNA-binding site predictor for Cys$_2$His$_2$ zinc finger proteins. http://zf.princeton.edu/.

27.   Persikov AV, Singh M. De novo prediction of DNA-binding specificities for Cys$_2$His$_2$ zinc
finger proteins. Nucleic acids research 2014;42:97–108.

28.   Etchberger JF, Lorch A, Sleumer MC, Zapf R, Jones SJ, Marra MA, Holt RA, Moerman
DG, Hobert O. The molecular signature and cis-regulatory architecture of a *C. elegans*
gustatory neuron. Genes & development 2007;21:1653–1674.

29.   Naval-Sánchez M, Potier D, Haagen L, Sánchez M, Munck S, Van de Sande B,
Casares F, Christiaens V, Aerts S. Comparative motif discovery combined with comparative
transcriptomics yields accurate targetome and enhancer predictions. Genome research
2013;23:74–88.

30.   O'Neill EM, Ellis MC, Rubin GM, Tjian R. Functional domain analysis of *glass*, a zinc-
finger-containing transcription factor in *Drosophila*. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences of the United States of America 1995;92:6557–6561.

31.   Enuameh MS, Asriyan Y, Richards A, Christensen RG, Hall VL, Kazemian M, Zhu C,
Pham H, Cheng Q, Blatti C *et al*. Global analysis of *Drosophila* Cys$_2$-His$_2$ zinc finger proteins
reveals a multitude of novel recognition motifs and binding determinants. Genome research
2013;23:928–940.

32.   Park S, Bustamante EL, Antonova J, McLean GW, Kim SK. Specification of *Drosophila*
corpora cardiaca neuroendocrine cells from mesoderm is regulated by Notch signaling. PLoS
genetics 2011;7.

33.   Hauenschild C, Fischer A. *Platynereis dumerilii*: Mikroskopische Anatomie,
Fortpflanzung, Entwicklung. Stuttgart, Germany: Gustav Fischer Verlag; 1969.

34.   Wolff T. Histological techniques for the *Drosophila* eye. Part II: Adult. In: Sullivan W,
Ashburner M, Hawley RS, editors. *Drosophila* protocols. Cold Spring Harbor, New York: Cold
Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2000. pp. 229–243.

35.   Tessmar-Raible K, Steinmetz PR, Snyman H, Hassel M, Arendt D. Fluorescent two-
color whole mount in situ hybridization in *Platynereis dumerilii* (Polychaeta, Annelida), an
emerging marine molecular model for evolution and development. BioTechniques 2005;39:460–
462.

36.   Day RN, Davidson MW. The fluorescent protein palette: tools for cellular imaging.
Chemical Society reviews 2009;38:2887–2921.

37.   ATGpr service. http://atgpr.dbcls.jp/.

38.   Nishikawa T, Ota T, Isogai T. Prediction whether a human cDNA sequence contains
initiation codon by combining statistical information and similarity with protein sequences.
Bioinformatics 2000;16:960-967.

39.   Liang X, Mahato S, Hemmerich C, Zelhof AC. Two temporal functions of Glass:
ommatidium patterning and photoreceptor differentiation. Developmental biology 2016;414:4–
20.

40.   Morrison CA, Chen H, Cook T, Brown S, Treisman JE. Glass promotes the
differentiation of neuronal and non-neuronal cell types in the *Drosophila* eye. PLoS genetics
2018;14.

41.   Venter JC, Adams MD, Myers EW, Li PW, Mural RJ, Sutton GG, Smith HO, Yandell M,
Evans CA, Holt RA *et al*. The sequence of the human genome. Science 2001;291:1304–1351.

42.   Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B, Nusbaum C, Zody MC, Baldwin J, Devon K, Dewar K,
Doyle M, FitzHugh W *et al*. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature
2001;409:860–921.

43.   Waterston RH, Lindblad-Toh K, Birney E, Rogers J, Abril JF, Agarwal P, Agarwala R,
Ainscough R, Alexandersson M, An P *et al*. Initial sequencing and comparative analysis of the
mouse genome. Nature 2002;420:520–562.

44.   Howe K, Clark MD, Torroja CF, Torrance J, Berthelot C, Muffato M, Collins JE,
Humphray S, McLaren K, Matthews L *et al*. The zebrafish reference genome sequence and its
relationship to the human genome. Nature 2013;496:498–503.

45.   Ensembl genome browser. http://www.ensembl.org/.

46.   Hu Y, Flockhart I, Vinayagam A, Bergwitz C, Berger B, Perrimon N, Mohr SE. An
integrative approach to ortholog prediction for disease-focused and other functional studies.
BMC bioinformatics 2011;12:357.

47.   Flybase. http://flybase.org/.

48.   Arees EA. Absence of light response in eyeless planaria. Physiology & behavior
1986;36:445-449.

49.   Planarian Digiworm atlas. https://digiworm.wi.mit.edu.

50.   Moses K, Rubin GM. Glass encodes a site-specific DNA-binding protein that is
regulated in response to positional signals in the developing *Drosophila* eye. Genes &
development 1991;5:583–593.

51.   Williams EA, Verasztó C, Jasek S, Conzelmann M, Shahidi R, Bauknecht P, Mirabeau
O, Jékely G. Synaptic and peptidergic connectome of a neurosecretory center in the annelid
brain. eLife 2017;6.

52.   Uchida O, Nakano H, Koga M, Ohshima Y. The *C. elegans che-1* gene encodes a zinc
finger transcription factor required for specification of the ASE chemosensory neurons.
Development 2003;130:1215–1224.

53.   Hoge MA. Another gene in the fourth chromosome of *Drosophila*. The American
Naturalist 1915;49:47–49.

54.   Quiring R, Walldorf U, Kloter U, Gehring WJ. Homology of the *eyeless* gene of
Drosophila to the *Small eye* gene in mice and *Aniridia* in humans. Science 1994;265:785–789.

55.   Halder G, Callaerts P, Flister S, Walldorf U, Kloter U, Gehring WJ. Eyeless initiates the
expression of both *sine oculis* and *eyes absent* during *Drosophila* compound eye development.
Development 1998;125:2181–2191.

56.   Halder G, Callaerts P, Gehring WJ. Induction of ectopic eyes by targeted expression of
the *eyeless* gene in *Drosophila*. Science 1995;267:1788–1792.

57.   Pignoni F, Hu B, Zavitz KH, Xiao J, Garrity PA, Zipursky SL. The eye-specification
proteins So and Eya form a complex and regulate multiple steps in *Drosophila* eye
development. Cell 1997;91:881–891.

58.   Chow RL, Altmann CR, Lang RA, Hemmati-Brivanlou A. Pax6 induces ectopic eyes in a
vertebrate. Development 1999;126:4213–4222.

59.   Loosli F, Winkler S, Wittbrodt J. *Six3* overexpression initiates the formation of ectopic
retina. Genes & development 1999;13:649–654.

60.   Donner AL, Maas RL. Conservation and non-conservation of genetic pathways in eye
specification. The International journal of developmental biology 2004;48:743–753.

61.   Jusiak B, Karandikar UC, Kwak S-J, Wang F, Wang H, Chen R, Mardon G. Regulation
of *Drosophila* eye development by the transcription factor Sine oculis. PloS one 2014;9.

62.   Dunn CW, Giribet G, Edgecombe GD, Hejnol A. Animal Phylogeny and Its Evolutionary
Implications. Annu Rev Ecol Evol S 2014;45:371-+.

63.   Pavletich NP, Pabo CO. Zinc finger-DNA recognition: Crystal structure of a Zif268-DNA
complex at 2.1 A. Science 1991;252:809–817.

64.   Garton M, Najafabadi HS, Schmitges FW, Radovani E, Hughes TR, Kim PM. A
structural approach reveals how neighbouring C2H2 zinc fingers influence DNA binding
specificity. Nucleic acids research 2015;43:9147–9157.

FIGURES

Fig. 1: Glass homologues exist in most animal groups. Based on sequence comparison (see Fig. 2), we infer that *glass* appeared in the common ancestor of all metazoans, and that it has been transmitted to most present-day animals (shown in green on the phylogenetic tree [62]). However, we were not able to identify *glass* in vertebrates.

Glass homologues

>Amphimedon, https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
XP_019864430.1
CDYCGKCEADTSTLTKHLRIHSGEKPYFCKICNLGFSQSGNIIHRHMKTH

>Schmidtea, http://smedgdkc03.stowers.org/
EGI57499.1
CHHCSKAFSDSSTLTKHTRVHSGEKPYKCRICLLKFSQSGNIINRHMRVH

>Platynereis, http://jiekely-lab.tuebingen.mpg.de/blast/
comp416373_c0_seq8
CRMCKKAFSDSSTLTKHLRIHSGEKPYQCKICLLRFSQSGNIINRHMRVH

>Aplysia, https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
XP_005091155.1
CRMCKKAFSDSSTLTKHLRIHSGEKPYQCKICLLRFSQSGNIINRHMRVH

>Caenorhabditis, https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
NP_001076597.1, CHE-1
CAQCEKAFTDSSTLKHLRFTGHKPYVCSICMKFTQSGNITRHHMKTH

>Drosophila, http://flybase.org/
Glass
CSSCKKSFSDSSTLTKHLRIHSGEKPYQCKICLLRFSQSGNIINRHMRVH

>Strongylocentrotus, https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
XP_790671.3
CAYCRKAFADSSTLTKHLRIHSGEKPYQCKICLLRFSQSGNITRHMKVH

>Branchiostoma, https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
XP_019644085.1
CTVCPRAFADASTLTKHVRTHNEKPYQCSECGQSFTQSGNIIKRHLKVH

Glass-like proteins (vertebrates and choanoflagellates)

>Human, https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
XP_011525220.1, ZSCAN22
CDACGRAFSDCSALTRHLRIHSGEKPYQCKVCPKAFAQSSSIIEHQRIH

>Human, https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
EAW84863.1, ZNF253
CEECGKAFNRSTDLTHKIVHTGEKPYKCEECGKAFNQPSTLSKFESLY

>Human, https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
CAA36583.1, KOX 26
CKECGEAFSQSSTLTKHIKVYTGEKPYTCKDCRKAFSQSSITQHQRVH

>Human, http://www.uniprot.org/
ZNF764
CPDCGRCFRQSEMAAHRTHSGEKPYPCPQCGRRFGQKSAVAKHQWVH

>Human, http://www.uniprot.org/
ZNF683
REVCHKRFSSSSNLKTHLRLHSGARPFQCSVCSRETQHIHKLIHHRLH

>Human, http://www.uniprot.org/
ZNF500
CTQCGKRFNNSSHFSAHRTHTGEKPYTCPACGRGFRRGTDTHKHQRTH

>Salpingoeca, https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
XP_004993762.1
CSICGKSFITSSHVRMERTHDDARHFSCPLCSKFEKTKPGTKQHLKTG

>Salpingoeca, https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
XP_004989424.1
CDQCSFKTAYKHILTHKRIHTGEKPYKCDQCEYKTAYKRNMRHKRIH

Fig. 2: Analysis of the Glass zinc fingers. Generally, Glass homologues possess a cluster of five Cys_2His_2 zinc fingers, each of them containing the following motif: $Cys-X_{2,4}-Cys-X_{12}-His-X_{3,4,5}-His$. Of these, we compared the sequences of the 4th and the 5th zinc fingers, which are responsible for recognising the DNA Glass-binding motif in PRs *in vivo* [28-31]. In the table, those aminoacids that match the Glass consensus sequence (deduced by aligning the homologues of different species, in the first column) appear on black background. The 3D structure of the DNA-bound Cys_2His_2 domain has been resolved [63], and it is expected that four aminoacids per zinc finger directly recognise three base pairs. These aminoacids are well evolutionarily conserved across different Glass homologues and, in the sequences that we show, they are no. 10 (D), 12 (S), 13 (T), and 16 (K) in the 4th zinc finger, and no. 38 (Q), 40 (G), 41 (N), and 44 (R) in the 5th zinc finger. Other residues and neighbouring zinc fingers are also expected to contribute to the DNA-binding specificity of Glass [64]. Similarly, we aligned Glass-like proteins from vertebrates (e.g. human) and choanoflagellates (e.g. *Salpingoeca*) with BLAST [22] and MUSCLE [25], but they did not entirely match the Glass consensus sequence (shown on the second column) and, therefore, they were not clear Glass homologues. Furthermore, a 'DNA-binding site predictor for Cys_2His_2 Zinc Finger Proteins' has been developed and is available online [26, 27]. This software predicts that, based on their aminoacid sequence, all Glass homologues (in the first column) can bind to the same DNA motif: GAAGCC, which was expected from experimental works in *Drosophila* and *Caenorhabditis* [28, 29]. By contrast, it appears that the Glass-like proteins of vertebrates and choanoflagellates (on the second column) would not be able to recognise this motif.

Fig. 3: *glass* is not expressed in rhabdomeric PRs in *Schmidtea*. These graphs were obtained from the Planarian Digiworm atlas, a single-cell transcriptome database for *Schmidtea mediterranea* [19, 23]. Each point corresponds to one single cell, and they are clustered according to the similarity of their transcriptome. One of the clusters shown, corresponding to non-ciliated neurons, is formed by 14 rhabdomeric PRs which can be identified because of the expression of the *opsin* gene (dd_Smed_v4_15036_0_1, A). However, these PRs do not appear to express the *Schmidtea glass* homologue (annotated as dd_Smed_v4_75162_0_1 in this website [19, 49], B).

Fig. 4: *glass* is not expressed in rhabdomeric PRs in *Platynereis*. (A, B) *glass* is present in all *Drosophila* rhabdomeric PRs, including those in the compound eye [12, 50]. This can be observed in head cryosections, either by using *in situ* hybridisation (magenta in A, greyscale in A′) or with *glass>mCD8::RFP* flies (magenta in B, greyscale in B′). In both cases samples were counterstained with DAPI (green). (C-E) In contrast to *Drosophila*, double *in situ* hybridisation against the *glass* (red) and *r-opsin1* (green) transcripts shows that *glass* is not present in *Platynereis* rhabdomeric PRs. Samples were counterstained with antibodies against acetylated Tubulin (ac-Tub, blue), which is a neuropil marker (C, transversal view of a whole-mounted, 5 day old larva). To the right, close-ups of the dorsal (arrow in C; C′,C″) and ventral eyes (arrowhead in C; C‴, C⁗) show that *glass* (in magenta/greyscale) is not expressed in either of these visual organs. Similarly, we found that a microinjected *glass-Tomato* reporter (magenta/greyscale) was not co-expressed with a stable *r-opsin1-GFP* insertion (green). Brightfield (BF, greyscale) was imaged as a reference (D–D″, dorsal view of a whole mounted, 8 day old larva). The positions of the dorsal and ventral eyes are shown with an arrow and an arrowhead, respectively. Close-ups to the right show how the axons of Tomato and GFP-

positive neurons project into two different areas in the brain (D′, D″). As a control, we also imaged an 8 day old, wild-type, uninjected larva to test its autofluorescence (using two excitation laser wavelengths: 552 nm, same as for Tomato; and 488 nm, same as for GFP). Scale bars: 10 µm in C′, C‴; 20 µm in D–E; and 50 µm in A, B. Axes: D, dorsal; M, medial; P, posterior.

Fig. 5: Glass-expressing cells in *Platynereis* include sensory neurons. When we injected our *glass-Tomato* reporter, we observed that many of the neurons that appeared labelled in the *Platynereis* head were close to the surface, and they often possessed membranous specialisations resembling sensory dendrites (arrows) (A–D). Scale bars: 5 µm.

ADDITIONAL FILE 1: SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS AND SEQUENCES

To find a Glass homologue in *Platynereis*, we searched a transcriptome database (http://jekely-

lab.tuebingen.mpg.de/blast/) (see Table 1 in the main text). We also had available an

unpublished reconstruction of the *Platynereis* genome. Both sources indicated that *Platynereis*

possesses one single Glass homologue, and that two different Glass isoforms can be produced

by alternative splicing. These are:

```
543 >Glass isoform 1, Platynereis dumerilii
544 MLNVRMTVDVPLCAKNTSYQKPQARMESCYLSAGGHSHGGHHGHGSHGGGGPGGHCGGGGGGSPGPSYYT
545 SSAAAAVAAAGELWRSSPLKPGSPASASEVCGPPRSSVDLSVNTFAMPPLDIDPLSNFFSFSSPAYKELS
546 VFKEKGPQDIADALLSLKHAVVHPGMNGQLSPLSPGLPPHLPQSISSAMAPSSSLSSYPMSHQHSQLQSY
547 GMSSQYGSPPPPPPPAPQYGETGSGQASPCHPQAPQHHSMFPAMSVNVSMNMNVAMGNQYNNSMDNWGHH
548 PTHQPSAQYSPAAAAAAAQMTSQYPSYGHHHHHHHHHHHQNHSYSASYAFSPELRSSSSVSVRDMMYPHPH
549 KPSSASDSYKDVSSKLYSLSAMRRSPRGSCSPVGLGPPPGLRSHHPSGHLGSASSVDSKVNLCRICGKTY
550 ARPSTLKTHMRTHSGEKPYRCQTCSKSFSQAANLTAHLRTHSGEKPFRCPMCDRRFSQSSSVTTHMRTHS
551 GERPYRCRMCKKAFSDSSTLTKHLRIHSGEKPYQCKLCLLRFSQSGNLNRHMRVHANNA
```

```
553 >Glass isoform 2, Platynereis dumerilii
554 MESCYLSAGGHSHGGHHGHGSHGGGGPGGHCGGGGGGSPGPSYYTSSAAAAVAAAGELWRSSPLKPGSPA
555 SASEVCGPPRSSVDLSVNTFAMPPLDIDPLSNFFSFSSPAYKELSVFKEKGPQDIADALLSLKHAVVHPG
556 MNGQLSPLSPGLPPHLPQSISSAMAPSSSLSSYPMSHQHSQLQSYGMSSQYGSPPPPPPPAPQYGETGSG
557 QASPCHPQAPQHHSMFPAMSVNVSMNMNVAMGNQYNNSMDNWGHHPTHQPSAQYSPAAAAAAAQMTSQYP
558 SYGHHHHHHHHHHHQNHSYSASYAFSPELRSSSSVSVRDMMYPHPHKPSSASDSYKDVSSKLYSLSAMRRS
559 PRGSCSPVGLGPPPGLRSHHPSGHLGSASSVDSKVNLCRICGKTYARPSTLKTHMRTHSGEKPYRCQTCS
560 KSFSQAANLTAHLRTHSGEKPFRCPMCDRRFSQSSSVTTHMRTHSGERPYRCRMCKKAFSDSSTLTKHLR
561 IHSGEKPYQCKLCLLRFSQSGNLNRHMRVHANNA
```

563 To do *in situ* hybridisation against Glass, we obtained a plasmid from a *Platynereis* EST library,

564 and we generated an RNA probe by using T7 RNA polymerase. We checked the size of our

565 probe by running it in an agarose gel next to an RNA ladder. The plasmid contained the

566 following sequence:

```
568 >DNA template for glass RNA probe
569 CTTGCTCCAAATCTTTCTCTCAAGCTGCTAATCTCACTGCACACTTGCGCACCCACTCTGGCGAGAAACC
570 TTTCAGATGTCCGATGTGTGACCGCCGTTTTTCCCAGTCGTCTTCGGTGACCACTCACATGAGAACACAT
571 AGCGGAGAACGGCCTTACAGATGTCGGATGTGCAAGAAGGCCTTCTCCGACAGCTCAACCCTCACCAAGC
572 ACCTAAGAATCCACAGTGGCGAAAAACCTTACCAGTGCAAACTCTGTTTATTAAGATTTTCTCAGTCTGG
573 AAATCTAAATAGGCACATGCGAGTACATGCTAACAATGCCTGATTATATTCTGAGCTATTCTGCTACTTC
574 ATTCATTCTGTGGACTGTGAACAATACCATAATGATCTGACAAGTTGTGACAGTTGTGAATTTACTGCTG
575 TAGTCTGTGGAATACAGCAATGTGAACTATGGACAGTTTCTTTTTAAATGTTGACAATGGACAATGTCTA
576 TTATGACTGTAACATTCGTGACATGAATGTGTTGTTTAATGATCAAAACATGTCATAGTTAATATATTTC
577 TTCATGACAACAAATCTTGGTAATACACTTTCTTCTTTCCGCCTTGAAATTATTGTAGATTCAATAGTT
578 ATACCAATCCAATTGTTTTCATAATGATTTTTTCCCTTGCCTGTTGTTTTTTCAAATAAATTCCTTGATC
579 AATTCCTTCTATTTCTTTTTTACTTCCTTCACTTCTTTTTTTTCTCCATTTCGCTTTCCTTCCATAGGCC
580 TAATCCTTCTTTCCTTGCTTCCTTCATTGCCTGATTCACATTCCTTCTGTCTTCCTTCTTGTTGCATCCT
581 CTCCTTGCTTCTTTGTCTATCACTCATTCCTTTCTTCCTCGCTTCTTTCTATTTTTCCTTCCTTCCTTCC
582 TTTGCTTTCTCGTAACAATTGCTTGCTTGCTTCATTACTTTCTTCCTTCACCACTTGCTTCCTTCCTTGC
583 TCACTTTCTTGCCTCCTTCCTTTCTTGTTTCCTTCTCTTCTTCCTTCTGTGCTTCCTATTCTGCTTCATT
584 CCTTGCTTCCTACCTTGTTTGATTCCATAATCTTTTTCCTTCCTTGCTTTCTTGATAGTTTCTTCCTTGC
585 TTGCTTTCTTGAAAGTGTCTTGCTTCCTTGATTCATAAAAACTATAAAACAAATTTATACATACACACAA
586 CTTCAGATTCTTTTTGCTACACATGATTTGAATGTGAAACCATTTGTGCATAATATGTTGATATACATTT
```

```
587   GTTCTACTGGTGCTATTGACTATGATGTTTTATTCTGTCTTTACACTTAATTTCTTTACATCTCTTCTTT
588   ATTGATATGTAAAATTGTTCATTGCTGTTATTTTTGTTTTGTATATATAATAAACATTTTGTCTTGACTG
589   TGAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
590   AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
591
```

592   To generate a *glass-Tomato* reporter, we amplified the following sequence of the *glass*

593   promoter by using *Platynereis* genomic DNA as a template (ApaI and SgsI were used as

594   restriction enzymes, cut sites are shown in lowercase letters, primer sequences are underlined,

595   start codon appears in green and italics):

```
597   >Fragment of the glass promoter
598   aagggcccGCATCCGTGCTTGACAGAATGTGGAGTCAGGTGTTTGCACATCTTCATCACAATACAACAGC
599   TCCTATAAGGCTCATCCAATGGAAGGTCATGATGCCAAGTTTTGCATAAAAGGTTCTAGCCTGTTCCACT
600   GACGGTTATTGCCTGCATGGGTTCCAACCCGGAATGGGGAACCCACAATTTACAGCCACTGTGGACCTTC
601   ATGAGAAAAAATCCAGCTGGATGTCGTTCGGATAAGATGTTTATAATTGGAGGTCCCTTGCATCAGTGAC
602   TTTCAGTTTCTGTGCTGGGCAAGTAAAATATCCCTCACATGGCGATGATGGCGTAATATAAATGTGTACC
603   GGTAATGCCTAGATCACACGAGAGTGGTTAAGTGACGGCGAAGTTGTAGAGGTGCCAGGAACTCAAGTTT
604   CGCCTACTTTCGGCGTTTCAAGCCATTTTTGGACAATTATATAATCAATTAGAGCTTATAAATCGTCGTT
605   GATGGTTCCTATTACGACGAGGCACTCGTTTTTTACGCCGAATCGCTCGTAATTACGGACGAGGCAAGAA
606   GAGACTTGTGTCGGCGAGTTGTCAGGAGCCTCGACGCAGTTCCATTGCTTCAATGTTAAACTAGATTCGC
607   CCAAGGAGTCTCTTTCTTCGCCCTAGCATACTGTTGCTTCGCCCTAGCATCCTCTTACTTCATCCTAATC
608   TTCAATTACGCCCAAGCAAATACATTTTACGGTGAAGCAAGTAGCTGTTTCGGCGTTTCAACAGCCTGTT
609   TGTGTCGGCGAGTTGTGCTCTTTTTTCGCCGAAGTTCCATTGACTCAATGATAAGTAGCCTCGCCCAACC
610   TGCCTCTAACTTCGCCCAACCTGTCTCTAACTTCGCCCAAGCTGTCTCTAACTTCGCCCAATCATTTTGG
611   GGGGCTTTCTGGTCTCTTGCATCGTGGTTTCTTCCTTCGCAAAGATTCAACCGGTTGATTCTTTCGTGAA
612   GCAAGTTCTTGCTTCACCATAAGGTCACGTGATTTCTAGGAACTTTTGGCGAGGAAGTTTAAGAAGCGCC
613   GTAGACGAAAATAGTGTCGCCGAATTGTTGAATGTAGTTTAAAAACCTCCCTCTTTTCTGGAAAAGCAAG
614   AAGCGACGCGACAAGAACCCTCTGTTACGTCTCCGTGAGAACTAGCCATAACATGCTGAGGACTCCCGCT
615   TTCTCCCCCATCCCATCAACTCTCATGAGCATCCAGAACTGTACTTGCAGCTTGAAGTAACTAGCTAGTT
616   TCTTCAAGCTTACAGGGTGGTATTTTATTGTAGGCCTATCTGTCTATAGATCTTGCTTGTGTGATAGTAA
617   GTTTTGATCAGCAGTAGTTTTCAGGGGAAAATAGTGGTTGTCTTTTACATCGAGCTCAGTAGAAGATACG
618   AGTGTTTAAAACATCACGAAATGTTATTCTACCTGTTCACAAAGTTGATTTTTAAAATTTCAAATAATAT
619   GTAAATGGCACTGCCATGAAATTCGCACAGCATTGTCACAGAAGTACTGCATTATCTTTAACTTTCCATG
620   CAGAAGGCCAATTTATATTTTTAAATGACTAAGCAAATGGGCATATAGATAGAATAGATAGATAAGATCA
621   GATCTATAGATAAGATTAGATAGATAGATAGATAGATAGATAGATAGATAGATAGATAGATAGATAGATA
622   GATAGATAGATTGCACTGACAGTTGTGTAATTCAAATCTGTGTTAAATTTGGATCTATAAATTTGGATCT
623   ATTATGTTAAATTGTTGATGTTACATAACTGAGATCAATAAAACAATAGCTATTCAGAGATGACTGTTTA
624   CTATTAGACTGTTACATAATTGTTGATAGTTAATGAAGATTATGTAACCTTAAGTGTTGTTGTGATTTCT
625   TACTTGGTGAGCCATTTAAGTCATTAACTTTTGTGTTGACTTTTAATTTTCAGTCACTTCTTATTACAAT
626   CAGGGGTATGGAAATAATTATTTCCAATGTTGATACACATGTTGATTTAAATCCCTGAAATATGTCATTG
627   GAGGTTGTTATACGACGAGACTGAAATTTTAAAGCTATTTTGGAAGATATTTGAGGTATTTTAATTATTT
628   ACCAAACTAAACTCTGACTAAACTACTAGCTCCACTTTTATGGCAACTACAGGCACTTCCTGTTTAGGCA
629   GTCACTGTAGACAGGAGCACTACACAGAATGAGCTGTCCTTGTGCTAAGTGAGCTTCGCTCATGGCTTCC
630   TTCGGCAGCCATGAGCTGCGCTCATATTATTTTCCTCACATAAAAAGCAAAAAAATCTAAAATTTTAGG
631   AGATTTTGGTCACAAAAAGGAGACTTTTGCAAGAAACTTTTTAGTCAAAAGGAGGTTCTGTCAGAAGGA
632   GATTTTGTCAAAGATGATTCTGGGAAAGGCGATTTTTGAAAAAGGAGAGTTTGACTGTCCTCTTGAAAG
633   GAGTACGCTTTCGTTGTGTGGCTTGTTTTATTCAAAAGAAAGGGTAATATGTTTTTCAATATCTTTCATG
634   ACTGAGTTGTACACCTACATTGATCAGCACAAGGTCATTGAGACACTTGTTGTCGTAGATCCAGCCGAAG
635   GCCAACTTCAAAGTCAGTCTTTGCTTGTACATGCACAGACATAAAGGTATCTTTCTATCCTGATAATATT
636   GCAATGAAATTGATTTCATTTAACTATAGGCCTACGTGCCTGTTGAGAAAGATATTCTGATGAGTAGAAT
637   GCTTGGAGTCTGCTAGATGAGTTCTTGGAAGATCTTAATGGAATTACATTAGTGGGATCCAGAAGCAAGG
638   GCAGATAAGAAGAAAAAGAGACGTGCTTGACAAGGAGGGGGGGGGGGTAATAACACCCCCTCTCTGTTC
639   ACCCCCCCCCCCACCTTCATCCTCCTATCTTCTCTCNATCTTGATGTAAAGGCCTCTCAGCGACTCTCTCA
640   GGCTTCTGAGTAGAGCTGCAATCTGATTGGCTGTTGGCGCGTGAGCTGCGCTGTGATTGGCCAGTGCCTT
641   TGAGTAGCCACGCCNCCTGCCTTGCAGCCCCTCAAGAGCTTTCACACTGCTTGATCAGGCTTAATACCAC
642   GAATCCCACCAAGTGTAGAGCACCCAGCCAACTCCGTGCGATTGTGGACAGGTCGAGGGGGATTGGGCGG
643   ATGCTGTGAAGTGGGACTTGCAACAGCAACAAGGAAAATCACAACAACAGGACTTGAAACCCAACTTCTT
```

```
644   CTGGAAAACGAGCATCTCTCACATACTCCCAGGTTTAAAACAAGGAGCTCCAGGACAACAGTGTCTGAAT
645   CAGGTAGGTGATGTTGGATAGCATCCATCTCCAACATCAGCAACAGGCTTCATCAGTAAGCCACATCAGC
646   AAGCCACATCAGCAGCCTCCACGGCTGAATATCAGTTGGTCCATCAGCTTTTGAGCAGCCTCCTAGCTTG
647   TGAGAAAATTCTAGGACTTCCTGGCTGCTGTAGACAATCCTTACAACATCCTTCCTGTTCCTGTAGCTTC
648   CTGTTGAGATGTGAATCTTGCTGATGAATGTGCTGATGTTGTTGATGATGAAGAATTCCTGATGCTTGTG
649   ATAATTATCGATGTTGTTGTGTCGGTGCTGTTGTCGATATGTCTGTAATTTGTTGATTATATTTTTATCT
650   TCCGATGATGATGCACATATCCAGCTTCTTTCACCTCCCTGAGATAACATGCAGAATCTTCTCGAAACCT
651   TCTGAATCACCTTGAATATATACGGGTTTTTTTCTGTTTCATTTCTGAATCCAATGATTTCCTGATTCTT
652   GTGCTTTATATATTCTCAAATTTATCCGAGAACGCAACCATTTTTCACAGACTCTGCATGGGTGACAGAA
653   GACATGTTGGAAAGTTCGTTCCATGCACTGGCAGTTAAGCTATGTTCTTTTAGCTTAAAAAGTTGACCCA
654   TTTGCACAAACTGAGAAGGGTCTATAAAGTGAACATTTTAAGCAGAATTCTTGCAGGCAAAATATCCCTT
655   CCAAAAAGTACTAGGTAGTAAATATTTTAGGCGACATTATAAATCATACTAGCGGTAATCCAGAAATGTA
656   ACTTGATTTATGCTTGTAAAATTCTACCATGCAAGTTAGGCCTCTGGAACAGTCCATGATGTAAAAGCAG
657   GACAAGATTAGGATGTTTTGTACCTGTGATGCTTCAGGTTTCCTCAACTAGATTAGCCTTATAAGCCTTT
658   TGCAGGTGTTTTTGCTTTTGACATAGACCTAGACCTCCAAATGGAGCTTATATGCCAAACTCGTGAGCAG
659   TGGGAGGTGCCAGGTCTCTATCTGTCGTTTTGGAGCTTCTCGAATCTTCACAGCCTTGCACTCTTACAAG
660   TTTTCGAGCTGCTTAACACCACGAAACCAACAAATGCAAATCCACTGGCTCCCTAAGCTTTGAGAGCTCT
661   CTTATTTGATAGGTCTCTTATTTGAGCTCTTATTCGAGCTCTCCCATTTGCTCCTATTAGAGTTGCTCAC
662   AAGTCGCACAACTGGGCTTAGAGGAGCTTCAAGGGAACACCCATGACAGACAGTGTTTATAAGGCATCTC
663   ATCAGCCCCTTCCCTCCAAAAGATTCCCTATAAATATACTTACAATCGAATCAGCCTGAATCAGATTGGC
664   CTAGCAGCATTTGCCTGCAGCTTTGCCTGCACCTGCCTGCTACTGCTCACTGCAGGAGAGGAGTAAAAGT
665   TGCCCATCTTCCAACTGCATACATTCAGCATTTTGGCAACACCTACACCACATCTACTTGGATTTTTGTG
666   AACATATTTCCATTTTGAAATATTTCATCTGACTGAACTTGGACTTGGAAGTCTTGGACATATCAGGAGT
667   TAGAAATCTGCGCAGGCCAGCATTATGCAGTGAGAATTTGGACACCACTGACCCATCAGCCCAGCTTTGT
668   GTGCTTCTGGAAGACACTCACACTTGTCTGGCAAACCATGGATCTATTTCTTTTAAATTATCCAGTTCTG
669   GACTGAAATGTCACAAAGATGGTAAACTAGCTTTCTGACGAGGACTTTGAAAAACTTTTATACACCATTA
670   CACCTGCAGTCATTAGCTTCAGTTCTTAGTTTTTGACAATGGTGAGCCATTCAGTTTGGCTACAAACTTA
671   GGAGACTTGGATCACTATTTGATACTTCTGTGTACTGGAACAAGAATTGTATCCTTCTATTGTGATGTCC
672   AGTTTTGAATATTGGAAACTAACTTCATGTTACCATTTTCAATTTCTAATTCTCACTCGCGCTTGGGATT
673   TTCGTTTCTGGTATTCTCACATTGATCTTTGACAAGTCGTCTCATATTACCAACACTGGACTTCACCACG
674   AACCTTTGAGATAAATATTTCACAGAATACTCACTTTTTGAAAATTTTGGTAACCCGCTGAAATTTTGAG
675   CGGTGTGAGCATCATGTGGAATCAGCTACATCATATTTTTGTCGTCTCTTATATTTTTTAATGTCTCAA
676   AATTGATGTTTAAACAATTCGAGACAAATCTATTCTAGTATGAGCCCAGTCATTCGGGCCTAAAGAGCTC
677   TGAAAATTGAGAAACTTTCAGAAAACTGTTATTTTGTACGGAAAAACACACATTTGACATTTCTGAATC
678   GGTAATGCGCAGTTCCCAGAATGTTCCAGAATGTACGCCATTTTGAATTTTGTGACGGGCAGACATTTTG
679   TCGTTTTGGTAATGCTGCTGGAGTTGATTCTCGTACAGCGAGCAGGTTATCATTGAATGCCAAGGATTTT
680   TGATGGACTGAATTTTCCCCCTTAGTTTGGATTAAATAAAATAGGTTTTGGAAAAAA*ATG*CTAAACGTTC
681   GGATGACTGTGGAggcgcgcctt
```