1 **Conducting social network analysis with animal**

2 telemetry data: applications and methods using spatsoc

- 3 Alec L. Robitaille^a, Quinn M.R. Webber^b & Eric Vander Wal^{ab}
- ^a Department of Biology, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL, Canada
- 5 ^b Cognitive and Behavioural Ecology Interdisciplinary Program, Memorial University of
- 6 Newfoundland, St. John's, NL, Canada

7 Summary

- 8 1. We present spatsoc: an R package for conducting social network analysis with9 animal telemetry data.
- 10 2. Animal social network analysis is a method for measuring relationships between
- 11 individuals to describe social structure. Using animal telemetry data for social
- 12 network analysis requires functions to generate proximity-based social networks that
- 13 have flexible temporal and spatial grouping. Data can be complex and relocation
- 14 frequency can vary so the ability to provide specific temporal and spatial thresholds
- 15 based on the characteristics of the species and system is required.
- 3. spat soc fills a gap in R packages by providing flexible functions, explicitly for
 animal telemetry data, to generate gambit-of-the-group data, perform data-stream
 randomization and generate group by individual matrices.

- 19 4. The implications of spatsoc are that current users of large animal telemetry or
- 20 otherwise georeferenced data for movement or spatial analyses will have access to
- 21 efficient and intuitive functions to generate social networks.

22 Introduction

23 Animal social network analysis is a method for measuring the relationships between 24 individuals to describe social structure (Wey et al. 2008; Croft, James, and Krause 2008). 25 Association networks are built from a set of observed elements of social community 26 structure and are useful to understand a variety of ecological and behavioural processes, 27 including disease transmission, interactions between individuals and community structure 28 (Pinter-Wollman et al. 2014). Among the most common types of social network data 29 collection is gambit-of-the-group, where individuals observed in the same group are 30 assumed to be associating or interacting (Franks, Ruxton, and James 2010). Similar to 31 gambit-of-the-group, proximity based social networks (PBSNs) are association networks 32 based on close proximity between individuals (Spiegel et al. 2016). PBSNs rely on spatial 33 location datasets that are typically acquired by georeferenced biologging methods such as 34 radio-frequency identification tags, radiotelemetry, and Global Positioning System (GPS) 35 devices (hereafter, animal telemetry).

Biologging using GPS devices allow simultaneous spatiotemporal sampling of multiple individuals in a group or population, thus generating large datasets which may otherwise be challenging to collect. The advent of biologging technology allows researchers to study individuals of species that range across large areas, migrate long distances, or spend time in inaccessible areas (Cagnacci et al. 2010; Cooke et al. 2013; Hebblewhite

41	and Haydon 2010). Moreover, the recent increase in the number of studies using GPS
42	telemetry to study movement ecology (Kays et al. 2015; Tucker et al. 2018) indicates the
43	potential for a large number of existing datasets that may be retro-actively analyzed to
44	test a priori hypotheses about animal social structure. As animal telemetry data have
45	become more accessible and finer scaled, a number of techniques and methods have been
46	developed to quantify various aspects of animal social structure. These include dynamic
47	interaction networks (Long et al. 2014), PBSNs (Spiegel et al. 2017) and the development
48	of traditional randomization techniques to assess non-random structure of PBSNs
49	constructed using animal telemetry data (Spiegel et al. 2016). Despite the recent increase
50	in the number of studies using animal telemetry data and GPS relocation data (Webber
51	and Vander Wal 2018), there is no comprehensive R package that generates PBSNs using
52	animal telemetry data.

53 Here, we present spatsoc, a package developed for the R programming language (R 54 Core Team 2018) to (i) convert animal telemetry data into gambit-of-the-group format to 55 build PBSNs, (ii) implement data-stream social network randomization methods of 56 animal telemetry data (Farine and Whitehead 2015; Spiegel et al. 2016), and (iii) provide 57 flexible spatial and temporal grouping of individuals from large datasets. Animal 58 telemetry data can be complex both temporally (e.g., data can be partitioned into 59 monthly, seasonal or yearly segments) and spatially (e.g., subgroups, communities or 60 populations). Functions in spatsoc were developed taking these complexities into 61 account and provide users with flexibility to select relevant parameters based on the 62 biology of their study species and systems and test the sensitivity of results across spatial 63 and temporal scales.

64 Functions

65	The spatsoc package provides functions for using animal telemetry data to generate
66	PBSNs. Relocations are converted to gambit-of-the-group using grouping functions
67	which can be used to build PBSNs. Raw data streams can be randomized where animal
68	telemetry data is swapped between individuals at hourly or daily scales (Farine and
69	Whitehead 2015), or within individuals using a daily trajectory method (Spiegel et al.
70	2016).

71 Grouping

Gambit-of-the-group data is generated from animal telemetry data where individuals are
grouped based on temporal and spatial overlap (Figure 1). The spatsoc package provides
one temporal grouping function:

group_times groups animal telemetry relocations into time groups. The function
 accepts date time formatted data and a temporal threshold argument. The temporal
 threshold argument allows users to specify a time window within which relocations
 are grouped, for example 5 minutes, 2 hours or 10 days. We recommend this
 temporal threshold is based on the nuances of the animal telemetry data, study
 species and system.

81 The spatsoc package provides three spatial grouping functions:

group_pts compares the Euclidean distance between animal telemetry relocations
 (Figure 2 - Panel A). Relocations for all individuals within each time group will be

84		grouped based on spatial proximity. Spatial proximity is defined by the user-
85		specified distance threshold.
86	2.	group_lines groups overlapping movement trajectories generated from animal
87		telemetry data. Movement trajectories for each individual within each time group,
88		e.g. 8 hours, 1 day or 20 days, are generated and grouped based on spatial overlap,
89		optionally within the user-specified distance threshold buffers around each trajectory
90		(Figure 2 - Panel B).
91	3.	group_polys generates and groups overlapping home ranges using kernel
92		utilization distributions or minimum convex polygons generated in adehabitatHR
93		of individuals and optionally returns a measure of proportional area overlap.
94	For	all three spatial grouping functions, individuals that are not within the user-specified
95	dist	ance threshold or that do not overlap with any other individuals are assigned to a
96	gro	up on their own. Functions provided by spatsoc emphasize flexibility to allow users
97	the	ability to modify functions to better suit their study systems. The temporal threshold
98	arg	ument of group_times accepts units of minutes, hours and days to consider spatial
99	gro	uping at different temporal scales. For example, grouping trajectories with
100	gro	oup_lines is compatible with daily or weekly time group thresholds while point
101	gro	uping with group_pts is compatible with minute or hourly time group thresholds.

102 Randomizations

Randomization procedures in social network analysis are important to test assumptions of
spatial and temporal non-independence of social association data (Farine and Whitehead
2015). Data-stream randomization is the recommended randomization technique for

106	social network users (Farine and Whitehead 2015) and involves swapping individuals and		
107	group observations within or between temporal groups and individuals. Animal telemetry		
108	data has inherent temporal structure and is well suited to randomization methods. The		
109	spa	tsoc package provides three data-stream randomization methods:	
110	1.	Step - randomizes identities of animal telemetry relocations between individuals	
111		within each time step.	
112	2.	Daily - randomizes daily animal telemetry relocations between individuals,	
113		preserving the order of time steps.	
114	3.	Trajectory - randomizes daily trajectories generated from animal telemetry	
115		relocations within individuals (Spiegel et al. 2016).	
116	The	randomizations functions return the input data with random fields appended, ready	
117	to u	se by the grouping functions or to build social networks. Step and daily methods	
118	return a "randomID" field that can be used in place of the ID field and the trajectory		
119	met	hod returns a "randomDatetime" that can be used in place of the datetime field. The	
120	ran	domizations function in spatsoc allow users to split randomizations between	
121	spat	tial or temporal subgroups to ensure that relocations are only swapped between or	
122	with	nin relevant individuals.	

123 Using spatsoc in social network analysis

124 spat soc is integrated with social network analysis in R in three main steps: 1) generate

125 gambit-of-the-group data by temporal and spatial grouping, 2) generate group by

126 individual matrices and 3) PBSN data-stream randomization. Users should first determine

127 relevant temporal and spatial grouping thresholds based on details from their study 128 species and systems. These thresholds depend on the fix rate of animal telemetry devices, 129 movement rates of study species, and other biological details of each species and system 130 as well as the questions or hypotheses of interest. In addition, thresholds selected for 131 temporal and spatial grouping must be relevant to each other. For example, point based 132 spatial grouping with group times may only be relevant with temporal thresholds in 133 units of hours or minutes while line and polygon based spatial grouping with 134 group lines and group polys may only be relevant with temporal thresholds in units 135 of hours or days.

136 Generating networks

137 Here, we will provide an example of point based spatial grouping with spatsoc's

138 "Newfoundland Bog Cow" example animal telemetry data. The data has a relocation rate

139 of 2 hours but in this case, we consider relocations that occur within 5 minutes of each

140 other. The columns in this data are "id" (character type), "datetime" (character type), "X"

141 and "Y" Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinates (numeric type). The

142 character type "datetime" will be converted to POSIXct, R's date time format, the

143 required type for spatsoc's temporal grouping function group_times. The coordinates

144 "X" and "Y" must be in a projected coordinate system with units of meters. In this case,

145 the coordinate system is UTM Zone 21 N. We will use a spatial distance threshold of 50

146 m given the size and behaviour of the study species. The combination of spatial and

147 temporal thresholds means that any individuals within 50 m of each other within 5

148 minutes will be assigned to the same group.

```
149
     # Load packages
150
     libs <- c('spatsoc', 'data.table', 'asnipe', 'igraph')</pre>
151
     lapply(libs, require, character.only = TRUE)
152
153
     # Read spatsoc's example data as a data.table
154
     DT <- fread(system.file("extdata", "DT.csv", package = "spatsoc"))</pre>
155
156
     # Cast datetime column to POSIXct
157
     DT[, datetime := as.POSIXct(datetime)]
158
159
     # Temporal groups
160
     group times(DT, datetime = 'datetime', threshold = '5 minutes')
161
162
     # Spatial groups using 'timegroup' generated from group times
163
     group pts(
164
       DT,
165
       threshold = 50.
166
       id = 'ID',
167
       coords = c('X', 'Y'),
168
       timegroup = 'timegroup'
169
     )
```

170 After the temporal and spatial grouping is completed with group_times and group_pts,

171 a group by individual matrix is generated (described by Farine and Whitehead (2015)). A

- 172 group by individual matrix forms columns of individuals and rows of groups and a
- 173 boolean will indicate membership of each individual to a group.
- 174 gbi <- get_gbi(DT, group = 'group', id = 'ID')</pre>
- 175 After generating the group by individual matrix, it is passed directly to asnipe, the
- animal social network package (Farine 2013), to generate a proximity based social
- 177 network. Note, in this example we use the simple ratio index (SRI) as an association
- 178 index because all individuals are correctly identified and observed at each relocation
- 179 event (i.e. the equivalent to an observational period for networks generated using focal
- 180 observations).
- 181 net <- get_network(gbi, data_format = "GBI", association_index = "SRI")</pre>

182 Data-stream randomization

To perform network data-stream permutations, the randomizations function is used to permute spatial and temporal groupings and rebuild PBSNs at each iteration. In this example, we use the "step" method to randomize between individuals at each time step for 500 iterations. The output randStep contains the observed and randomized data and can subsequently be used to generate group by individual matrices, networks and calculate network metrics.

- 189 # Calculate year column
- 190 DT[, yr := year(datetime)]
- 191
- 192 # Step type randomizations

193	<pre># providing 'timegroup' (from group_times) as datetime</pre>
194	<pre># splitBy = 'yr' to randomize only within year</pre>
195	<pre>randStep <- randomizations(</pre>
196	DT,
197	type = 'step',
198	id = 'ID',
199	group = 'group',
200	<pre>datetime = 'timegroup',</pre>
201	iterations = 500,
202	splitBy = 'yr'
203)
204	
205	# Create a data.table of unique combinations of iteration and year
206	including observed and random rows
207	iterYearLs <- unique(randStep[, .(iteration, yr)])
208	
209	# Generate group by individual matrix
210	# for each combination of iteration and year
211	<pre># 'group' generated by spatsoc::group_pts</pre>
212	<pre># 'randomID' used instead of observed ID (type = 'step')</pre>
213	<pre>gbiLs <- mapply(FUN = function(i, y) {</pre>
214	<pre>get_gbi(randStep[iteration == i & yr == y],</pre>
215	'group', 'randomID')
216	},
217	i = iterYearLs\$iter,

ر

```
218
     y = iterYearLs$yr
219
     )
220
221
     # Generate a list of random networks
222
     netLs <- lapply(gbiLs, FUN = get network,</pre>
                      data format = "GBI", association index = "SRI")
223
224
225
     # Generate graph and calculate network metrics
226
     mets <- lapply(seg along(netLs), function(n) {</pre>
227
       g <- graph.adjacency(netLs[[n]], 'undirected',</pre>
228
                              diag = FALSE, weighted = TRUE)
229
230
        data.table(
231
          centrality = evcent(g)$vector,
232
         strength = graph.strength(g),
233
         ID = names(degree(g)),
234
         iteration = iterYearLs$iter[[n]],
235
         yr = iterYearLs$yr[[n]]
236
         )
237
     })
238
239
     # Observed and random for all individuals across all iterations and
240
     years
241
     out <- rbindlist(mets)</pre>
242
```

243 # Split observed and random

```
244 out[, observed := ifelse(iteration == 0, TRUE, FALSE)]
245
246 # Mean values for each individual and year, by observed/random
247 meanMets <- out[, lapply(.SD, mean), by = .(ID, yr, observed),
248 ...SDcols = c('centrality', 'strength')]</pre>
```

249 The splitBy argument can be used in randomizations and grouping functions to

250 specify spatial, e.g. groups or populations, or temporal groups, e.g. weekly, monthly,

251 yearly, by which PBSNs will be generated. For example, in large datasets with

252 individuals in two distinct populations with data over many years, users may use the

splitBy argument to generate PBSNs for each population by year combination as opposed

to either generating each PBSN separately or using loops.

255 Implications

256 spat soc represents a novel integration of tools for generating PBSNs from animal 257 telemetry data. The grouping and randomization functions allow users to efficiently and 258 rapidly generate a large number PBSNs within the spatsoc environment. spatsoc will be 259 of interest and use to a wide range of behavioural ecologists who either already use social 260 network analysis or those who typically work with GPS relocation but are interested in 261 becoming social network users. We advocate for the use of spatsoc in conjunction with 262 other R packages, such as asnipe (Farine 2013), igraph (Csardi and Nepusz 2006), to 263 facilitate greater sharing of computational and statistical efficiencies and ideas for users 264 of social network analysis.

265 **Resources**

- 266 spatsoc is a free and open source software available on CRAN (stable release) and at
- 267 (development version). It is licensed under the GNU General Public License 3.0.
- 268 spatsoc depends on other R packages: data.table (Dowle and Srinivasan 2018),
- 269 igraph (Csardi and Nepusz 2006), rgeos (Bivand and Rundel 2018), sp (Bivand,
- 270 Pebesma, and Gomez-Rubio 2013) and adehabitatHR (Calenge 2006). Documentation
- 271 of all functions and detailed vignettes can be found on the companion website at
- 272 spatsoc.gitlab.io. Development of spatsoc welcomes contribution of feature requests,
- 273 bug reports and suggested improvements through the issue board at
- 274 https://gitlab.com/robit.a/spatsoc/issues}.

275 Acknowledgements

276 We thank all members of the Wildlife Evolutionary Ecology Lab, including Juliana

277 Balluffi-Fry, Sana Zabihi-Seissan, Erin Koen, Mike Laforge, Christina Prokopenko, Julie

278 Turner, Levi Newediuk and Chris Hart for their comments on previous versions of this

279 manuscript. We thank Tyler Bonnell, Martin Leclerc and Shane Frank for testing the

280 package ahead of its release. We also thank the rOpenSci organization for their package

281 onboarding process including rOpenSci reviewers for their code review, which

- 282 contributed to improving this package. Funding for this study was provided by a Vanier
- 283 Canada Graduate Scholarship to QMRW and a NSERC Discovery Grant to EVW.

284 Author contributions

- 285 ALR, QMRW, and EVW conceived of the original package concept. ALR developed the
- 286 package. ALR and QMRW drafted the manuscript and all co-authors contributed
- 287 critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

288 Data accessibility

- 289 The data used for illustration is distributed with the package as example data. After
- 290 installing the package, the data can be viewed with:
- 291 data.table::fread(system.file("extdata", "DT.csv", package =
- 292 "spatsoc"))`

293 Citation

- 294 Users of spatsoc should cite this article directly. A formatted citation and BibTex entry
- is provided in R:
- 296 citation('spatsoc')

297

298 **References**

- 299 Bivand, Roger, and Colin Rundel. 2018. rgeos: Interface to Geometry Engine Open
- 300 *Source ('GEOS')*. https://cran.r-project.org/package=rgeos.
- 301 Bivand, Roger S, Edzer Pebesma, and Virgilio Gomez-Rubio. 2013. Applied spatial data
- 302 analysis with R, Second edition. Springer, NY. http://www.asdar-book.org/.
- 303 Cagnacci, Francesca, Luigi Boitani, Roger A. Powell, and Mark S. Boyce. 2010. "Animal
- 304 ecology meets GPS-based radiotelemetry: A perfect storm of opportunities and
- 305 challenges." Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 365
- 306 (1550): 2157–62. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0107.
- 307 Calenge, C. 2006. "The package adehabitat for the R software: tool for the analysis of
- 308 space and habitat use by animals." *Ecological Modelling* 197: 1035.
- 309 Cooke, Steven J., Jonathan D. Midwood, Jason D. Thiem, Peter Klimley, Martyn C.
- 310 Lucas, Eva B. Thorstad, John Eiler, Chris Holbrook, and Brendan C. Ebner. 2013.
- 311 "Tracking animals in freshwater with electronic tags: Past, present and future." Animal
- 312 *Biotelemetry* 1 (1): 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1186/2050-3385-1-5.
- 313 Croft, D P, R James, and J Krause. 2008. Exploring Animal Social Networks. Princeton
- 314 University Press. https://books.google.ca/books?id=CViwRbohzZkC.
- 315 Csardi, Gabor, and Tamas Nepusz. 2006. "The igraph software package for complex
- 316 network research." InterJournal Complex Systems: 1695. http://igraph.org.
- 317 Dowle, Matt, and Arun Srinivasan. 2018. data.table: Extension of 'data.frame'.
- 318 https://cran.r-project.org/package=data.table.

- 319 Farine, Damien R. 2013. "Animal social network inference and permutations for
- 320 ecologists in R using asnipe." *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 4 (12): 1187–94.
- 321 https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12121.
- 322 Farine, Damien R., and Hal Whitehead. 2015. "Constructing, conducting and interpreting
- animal social network analysis." *Journal of Animal Ecology* 84 (5): 1144–63.
- 324 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12418.
- 325 Franks, Daniel W., Graeme D. Ruxton, and Richard James. 2010. "Sampling animal
- 326 association networks with the gambit of the group." Behavioral Ecology and
- 327 *Sociobiology* 64 (3): 493–503. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-009-0865-8.
- 328 Hebblewhite, Mark, and Daniel T. Haydon. 2010. "Distinguishing technology from
- 329 biology: A critical review of the use of GPS telemetry data in ecology." *Philosophical*
- 330 Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 365 (1550): 2303–12.
- 331 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0087.
- 332 Kays, Roland, Margaret C. Crofoot, Walter Jetz, and Martin Wikelski. 2015. "Terrestrial
- animal tracking as an eye on life and planet." *Science* 348 (6240): aaa2478.
- 334 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa2478.
- 335 Long, Jed A., Trisalyn A. Nelson, Stephen L. Webb, and Kenneth L. Gee. 2014. "A
- 336 critical examination of indices of dynamic interaction for wildlife telemetry studies."
- 337 *Journal of Animal Ecology* 83 (5): 1216–33. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12198.
- 338 Pinter-Wollman, Noa, Elizabeth A. Hobson, Jennifer E. Smith, Andrew J. Edelman,
- 339 Daizaburo Shizuka, De SilvaShermin, James S. Waters, et al. 2014. "The dynamics of

- animal social networks: Analytical, conceptual, and theoretical advances." *Behavioral*
- 341 *Ecology* 25 (2): 242–55. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/art047.
- 342 R Core Team. 2018. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna,
- 343 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.r-project.org/.
- 344 Spiegel, Orr, Stephan T. Leu, Andrew Sih, and C. Michael Bull. 2016. "Socially
- 345 interacting or indifferent neighbours? Randomization of movement paths to tease apart
- 346 social preference and spatial constraints." Methods in Ecology and Evolution 7 (8): 971–
- 347 79. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12553.
- 348 Spiegel, Orr, Andrew Sih, Stephan T. Leu, and C. Michael Bull. 2017. "Where should we
- 349 meet? Mapping social network interactions of sleepy lizards shows sex-dependent social
- 350 network structure." Animal Behaviour 136: 207–15.
- 351 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2017.11.001.
- 352 Tucker, Marlee A., Katrin Böhning-Gaese, William F. Fagan, John M. Fryxell, Van
- 353 MoorterBram, Susan C. Alberts, Abdullahi H. Ali, et al. 2018. "Moving in the
- 354 Anthropocene: Global reductions in terrestrial mammalian movements." Science 359
- 355 (6374): 466–69. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam9712.
- 356 Webber, Quinn MR, and Vander WalEric. 2018. "Trends and Perspectives on the Use of
- 357 Social Network Analysis in Behavioural Ecology: A Bibliometric Approach." *bioRxiv*,
- 358 379008.
- 359 Wey, Tina, Daniel T. Blumstein, Weiwei Shen, and Ferenc Jordán. 2008. "Social
- 360 network analysis of animal behaviour: a promising tool for the study of sociality." Animal
- 361 *Behaviour* 75 (2): 333–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2007.06.020.

362

363	Figure 1: Broad overview flowchart of recommended usage of grouping functions. A
364	temporal grouping function (group_times) is followed by one of three spatial grouping
365	functions. Panel A) group_pts compares the Euclidean distance between animal
366	telemetry relocations and groups points based on a threshold distance with an output
367	containing temporal and spatial groups for each individual at each relocation; Panel B)
368	group_lines groups overlapping movement trajectories generated from GPS relocations
369	with an output containing temporal and spatial groups for each individual at each
370	relocation; or Panel C) group_polys generates and groups overlapping home ranges of
371	individuals and optionally returns a measure of proportional area overlap with an output
372	containing the area of overlap (m^2) and proportion of overlap among individuals. Note,
373	coordinates for each panel are projected in Universal Transverse Mercator and the unit
374	for distance thresholds is in meters.

375

376 Figure 2: Detailed overview flowchart of group pts and group lines. Panel A) 377 group pts details showing a single spatial relocation for each of seven individuals at the 378 same time, each indicated by a different colour. Based on the distance among spatial 379 relocations, a distance matrix is generated. Distances are compared to the user-provided 380 spatial distance threshold and individuals within this distance are grouped together. The 381 distance threshold in this example is 15 m and distances less than this threshold are 382 highlighted in yellow. Note, *individual J* was not within 15 meters of any other 383 individuals, but is still assigned a group number as a solitary individual. Panel B) 384 group lines details showing buffered lines for three individuals and resulting spatial 385 groups. Within each time group generated by group times, line segments are generated 386 and buffered by the distance threshold and individuals with overlapping buffers, indicated 387 by the dark grey shaded areas, are grouped together. Note, coordinates for each panel are 388 projected in Universal Transverse Mercator and the unit for distance thresholds is in 389 meters.



