Title: Bacterial contribution to genesis of the novel germ line determinant *oskar*

Authors: *Leo Blondel[1], Tamsin E. M. Jones[2,3] and Cassandra G. Extavour[1,2*]*

Affiliations:

1. Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, 16 Divinity Avenue,
Cambridge MA, USA

2. Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, 16 Divinity
Avenue, Cambridge MA, USA

3. Current address: European Bioinformatics Institute, EMBL-EBI, Wellcome Genome
Campus, Hinxton, Cambridgeshire, UK

* Correspondence to extavour@oeb.harvard.edu

Abstract: New cellular functions and developmental processes can evolve by modifying
existing genes or creating novel genes. Novel genes can arise not only via duplication or
mutation but also by acquiring foreign DNA, also called horizontal gene transfer (HGT). Here
we show that HGT likely contributed to the creation of a novel gene indispensable for
reproduction in some insects. Long considered a novel gene with unknown origin, *oskar* has
evolved to fulfil a crucial role in insect germ cell formation. Our analysis of over 100 insect
Oskar sequences suggests that Oskar arose de novo *via* fusion of eukaryotic and prokaryotic
sequences. This work shows that highly unusual gene origin processes can give rise to novel
genes that can facilitate evolution of novel developmental mechanisms.

One Sentence Summary: Our research shows that gene origin processes often considered
highly unusual, including HGT and de novo coding region evolution, can give rise to novel
genes that can both participate in pre-existing gene regulatory networks, and also facilitate the
evolution of novel developmental mechanisms.

Main Text: Heritable variation is the raw material of evolutionary change. Genetic variation can

arise from mutation and gene duplication of existing genes (*1*), or through *de novo* processes (*2*),

but the extent to which such novel, or "orphan" genes participate significantly in the

evolutionary process is unclear. Mutation of existing cis-regulatory (*3*) or protein coding regions

(*4*) can drive evolutionary change in developmental processes. However, recent studies in

animals and fungi suggest that novel genes can also drive phenotypic change (*5*). Although

counterintuitive, novel genes may be integrating continuously into otherwise conserved gene

networks, with a higher rate of partner acquisition than subtler variations on preexisting genes

(*6*). Moreover, in humans and fruit flies, a large proportion of novel genes are expressed in the

brain, suggesting their participation in the evolution of major organ systems (*7, 8*). However,

while next generation sequencing has improved their discovery, the developmental and

evolutionary significance of novel genes remains understudied.

The mechanism of formation of a novel gene may have implications for its function. Novel genes

that arise by duplication, thus possessing the same biophysical properties as their parent genes,

have innate potential to participate in preexisting cellular and molecular mechanisms (*1*).

However, orphan genes lacking sequence similarity to existing genes must form novel functional

molecular relationships with extant genes, in order to persist in the genome. When such genes

arise by introduction of foreign DNA into a host genome through horizontal gene transfer

(HGT), they may introduce novel, already functional sequence information into a genome.

Whether genes created by HGT show a greater propensity to contribute to or enable novel

processes is unclear. Endosymbionts in the host germ line cytoplasm (germ line symbionts) could

increase the occurrence of evolutionarily relevant HGT events, as foreign DNA integrated into

the germ line genome is transferred to the next generation. HGT from bacterial endosymbionts

into insect genomes appears widespread, involving transfer of metabolic genes or even larger

genomic fragments to the host genome (see for example *9, 10-12*).

Here we examined the evolutionary origins of the *oskar* (*osk*) gene, long considered a novel gene

that evolved to be indispensable for insect reproduction (*13*). First discovered in *Drosophila*

melanogaster (*14*), *osk* is necessary and sufficient for assembly of germ plasm, a cytoplasmic

determinant that specifies the germ line in the embryo. Germ plasm-based germ line

specification appears derived within insects, confined to insects that undergo metamorphosis

(Holometabola) (*15, 16*). Initially thought exclusive to Diptera (flies and mosquitoes), its

discovery in a wasp, another holometabolous insect with germ plasm (*17*), led to the hypothesis

that *oskar* originated as a novel gene at the base of the Holometabola approximately 300 Mya,

facilitating the evolution of insect germ plasm as a novel developmental mechanism (*17*).

However, its subsequent discovery in a cricket (*15*), a hemimetabolous insect without germ

plasm (*18*), implied that *osk* was instead at least 50 My older, and that its germ plasm role was

derived rather than ancestral (*19*). Despite its orphan gene status, *osk* plays major developmental

roles, interacting with the products of many genes highly conserved across animals (*13, 20, 21*).

osk thus represents an example of a novel gene that not only functions within pre-existing gene

networks in the nervous system (*15*), but has also evolved into the only animal gene known to be

both necessary and sufficient for germ line specification (*22, 23*).

The evolutionary origins of this remarkable gene are unknown. Osk contains two biophysically

conserved domains, an N-terminal LOTUS domain and a C-terminal hydrolase-like domain

called OSK (*20, 24*) (Figure 1a). An initial BLASTp search using the full-length *D.*

melanogaster osk sequence as a query yielded either other holometabolous insect *osk* genes, or

partial hits for the LOTUS or OSK domains (E-value < 0.01; Supplementary files: BLAST

search results). This suggested that full length *osk* was unlikely to be a duplication of any other

known gene. This prompted us to perform two more BLASTp searches, one using each of the

two conserved Osk protein domains individually as query sequences. Strikingly, in this BLASTp

search, although we recovered several eukaryotic hits for the LOTUS domain, we recovered no

eukaryotic sequences that resembled the OSK domain, even with very low E-value stringency

(E-value < 10; see Methods section *"BLAST searches of oskar"* for an explanation of E-value

threshold choices; Supplementary files: BLAST search results).

To understand this anomaly, we built an alignment of 95 Oskar sequences (Supplementary files:

Alignments>OSKAR_MUSCLE_FINAL.fasta; Supplementary Table S2) and used a custom

iterative HMMER sliding window search tool to compare each domain with protein sequences

from all domains of life. Sequences most similar to the LOTUS domain were almost exclusively

eukaryotic sequences (Supplementary Table 3). In contrast, those most similar to the OSK

domain were bacterial, specifically sequences similar to SGNH-like hydrolases (*20, 24*) (Pfam

Clan: SGNH_hydrolase - CL0264; Supp. Table 4; Figure 1b). To visualize their relationships, we

graphed the sequence similarity network for the sequences of these domains and their closest

hits. We observed that the majority of LOTUS domain sequences clustered within eukaryotic

sequences (Figure 1c). In contrast, OSK domain sequences formed an isolated cluster, a small

subset of which formed a connection to bacterial sequences (Figure 1d). These data are

consistent with a previous suggestion, based on BLAST results (*17*), that HGT from a bacterium

into an ancestral insect genome may have contributed to the evolution of *osk*. However, this

possibility was not adequately addressed by previous analyses, which were based on alignments

of full length Osk containing only eukaryotic sequences as outgroups (*15*). To rigorously test this

hypothesis, we therefore performed phylogenetic analyses of the two domains independently. A

finding that LOTUS sequences were nested within eukaryotes, while OSK sequences were

nested within bacteria, would provide support for the HGT hypothesis.

Both Maximum likelihood and Bayesian approaches confirmed this prediction (Figure 2a, Figure

2–figure supplements 1 and 2), and these results were robust to changes in the methods of

sequence alignment (Figure 2-figure supplements 6-10). As expected, LOTUS sequences from

Osk proteins were related to other eukaryotic LOTUS domains, to the exclusion of the only three

bacterial sequences that met our E-value cutoff for inclusion in the analyses (Figs. 2a, Figure 2–

figure supplements 1 and 2; see Methods and Supplemental Text). LOTUS sequences from non-

Oskar proteins were almost exclusively eukaryotic. (Supplementary Table 3); only three bacterial

sequences matched the LOTUS domain with an E-value < 0.01. Osk LOTUS domains clustered

into two distinct clades, one comprising all Dipteran sequences, and the other comprising all

other Osk LOTUS domains examined from both holometabolous and hemimetabolous orders

(Figure 2a). Dipteran Osk LOTUS sequences formed a monophyletic group that branched sister

to a clade of LOTUS domains from Tud5 family proteins of non-arthropod animals (NAA). NAA

LOTUS domains from Tud7 family members were polyphyletic, but most of them formed a

clade branching sister to (Osk LOTUS + NAA Tud5 LOTUS). Non-Dipteran Osk LOTUS

domains formed a monophyletic group that was related in a polytomy to the aforementioned

(NAA Tud7 LOTUS + (Dipteran Osk LOTUS + NAA Tud5 LOTUS)) clade, and to various

arthropod Tud7 family LOTUS domains.

The fact that Tud7 LOTUS domains are polyphyletic suggests that arthropod domains in this

family may have evolved differently than their homologues in other animals. The relationships of

Dipteran LOTUS sequences were consistent with the current hypothesis for interrelationships

between Dipteran species (*25*) Similarly, among the non-Dipteran Osk LOTUS sequences, the

hymenopteran sequences form a clade to the exclusion of the single hemimetabolous sequence

(from the cricket *Gryllus bimaculatus*), consistent with the monophyly of Hymenoptera (*26*). It is

unclear why Dipteran Osk LOTUS domains cluster separately from those of other insect Osk

proteins. We speculate that the evolution of the Long Oskar domain (*27, 28*), which appears to be

a novelty within Diptera (Supplementary Files: Alignments>OSKAR_MUSCLE_FINAL.fasta),

may have influenced the evolution of the Osk LOTUS domain in at least some of these insects.

Consistent with this hypothesis, of the 17 Dipteran *oskar* genes we examined, the seven *oskar*

genes possessing a Long Osk domain clustered into two clades based on the sequences of their

LOTUS domain. One of these clades comprised five Drosophila species (*D. willistoni*, *D.*

mojavensis, *D. virilis*, *D. grimshawi* and *D. immigrans*), and the second was composed of two

calyptrate flies from different superfamilies, *Musca domestica* (Muscoidea) and *Lucilia cuprina*

(Oestroidea).

In summary, the LOTUS domain of Osk proteins is most closely related to a number of other

LOTUS domains found in eukaryotic proteins, as would be expected for a gene of animal origin,

and the phylogenetic interrelationships of these sequences is largely consistent with the current

species or family level trees for the corresponding insects.

In contrast, OSK domain sequences were nested within bacterial sequences (Figure 2b, Figure 2–

figure supplements 3, 4). This bacterial, rather than eukaryotic, affinity of the OSK domain was

recovered even when different sequence alignment methods were used (Figure 2- figure

supplements 7-11). The only eukaryotic proteins emerging from the iterative HMMER search for

OSK domain sequences that had an E-value < 0.01 were all from fungi. All five of these

sequences were annotated as Carbohydrate Active Enzyme 3 (CAZ3), and all CAZ3 sequences

formed a clade that was sister to a clade of primarily Firmicutes. Most bacterial sequences used

in this analysis were annotated as lipases and hydrolases, with a high representation of GDSL-

like hydrolases (Supplementary Table S4). OSK sequences formed a monophyletic group but did

not branch sister to the other eukaryotic sequences in the analysis. Within this OSK clade, the

topology of sequence relationships was largely concordant with the species tree for insects (*29*),

as we recovered monophyletic Diptera to the exclusion of other insect species. However, the

single orthopteran OSK sequence (from the cricket *Gryllus bimaculatus*) grouped within the

Hymenoptera, rather than branching as sister to all other insect sequences in the tree, as would be

expected for this hemimetabolous sequence (*29*).

Importantly, OSK sequences did not simply form an outgroup to bacterial sequences. To formally

reject the possibility that the eukaryotic OSK clade has a sister group relationship to all bacterial

sequences in the analysis, we performed topology constraint analyses using the Swofford–Olsen–

Waddell–Hillis (SOWH) test, which assigns statistical support to alternative phylogenetic

topologies (*30*). We used the SOWHAT tool (*31*) to compare the HGT-supporting topology to

two alternative topologies with constraints more consistent with vertical inheritance. The first

was constrained by domain of life, disallowing paraphyletic relationships between sequences

from the same domain of life (Figure 2–figure supplement 5a). The second required monophyly

of Eukaryota, but allowed paraphyletic relationships between bacterial and archaeal sequences

(Figure 2–figure supplement 5b). We found that the topologies of both of these constrained trees

were significantly worse than the result we had recovered with our phylogenetic analysis (Figure

2–figure supplement 5), namely that the closest relatives of the OSK domain were bacterial

rather than eukaryotic sequences (Figure 2b, Figure 2–figure supplements 3 and 4).

OSK sequences formed a well-supported clade nested within bacterial GDSL-like lipase

sequences. The majority of these bacterial sequences were from the Firmicutes, a bacterial

phylum known to include insect germline symbionts (*32, 33*). All other sequences from classified

bacterial species, including a clade branching as sister to all other sequences, belonged either to

the Bacteroidetes or to the Proteobacteria. Members of both of these phyla are also known

germline symbionts of insects (*9, 34*) and other arthropods (*35*). In sum, the distinct phylogenetic

relationships of the two domains of Oskar are consistent with a bacterial origin for the OSK

domain. Further, the specific bacterial clades close to OSK suggest that an ancient arthropod

germ line endosymbiont could have been the source of a GDSL-like sequence that was

transferred into an ancestral insect genome, and ultimately gave rise to the OSK domain of

oskar.

We then asked if two additional sequence characteristics, GC3 content and codon use, were

consistent with distinct domain of life origins for the two Oskar domains (*36*). Under our

hypothesis, the HGT event that contributed to *oskar*'s formation would have occurred at least

480 Mya, in a common insect ancestor (*29*). This means that we would be highly unlikely to be

able to definitively identify any extant bacterial species from which the OSK sequence were

putatively derived, as has been possible, for example, with much more recent HGT events on the

order of a few million years ago (see for example *9*). However, we reasoned that if evolutionary

time had not completely erased the original GC3 content and codon use signatures from the

putative bacterially donated sequence (OSK), we might detect some differences in these

parameters both from the LOTUS domain, and from the host genome. Thus, we performed a

parametric analysis of these parameters for 17 well annotated insect genomes (Supplementary

Table 5).

To quantify the null hypothesis, we calculated an "Intra-Gene distribution" for all genes in the

genome, which assessed the correlation between codon use in the 5' and 3' halves of a given

gene. To determine whether the AT3/GC3 content correlation between the OSK and LOTUS

domains of *oskar* was different from that between the 5' and 3' ends of an average gene in the

genome, we then calculated the residuals of the Intra-Gene distribution and the LOTUS-OSK

domain pair. Pooling the residuals together revealed that the GC3 content was significantly

different between the LOTUS and OSK domains, compared to what would be expected within an

average gene in that genome (Figure 3a-c).

To ask if codon use was different between the OSK domain and other genes in the genome, we

used a modified "codon adaptation index" (CAI) approach (37). We calculated the frequency of

codon use for every gene in the available transcriptomes, and calculated a "global CAI" for all

genes in each of these species (rather than only for highly expressed genes, since we cannot be

confident which ones those are based on the available data for these species). We compared the

genome-wide CAI distribution with the CAI values of the full length oskar mRNA, the LOTUS

domain and the OSK domain, and found that the latter three CAI values fell well within the

ranges of the genome-wide CAI distribution, for all 17 genomes studied (Figure 3 Supplement

6A). Similarly, the differences between the LOTUS and OSK domain CAI values were not

significantly different from those between the 5' and 3' ends of each of the other genes in the

genome (Figure 3 Supplement 6B), and the OSK and LOTUS CAI values were similar to median

values for the 5' and 3' ends of other genes in the same genome (Figure 3 Supplement 6B). In

sum, while the overall codon use of the OSK and LOTUS domains of oskar appears similar to

each other and to the codon use of other genes in the same genome, the GC3 content is

significantly different between the two domains, and from that of an average gene in the genome.

While the results of our GC3 content analyses are consistent with the hypothesis of an HGT

origin for oskar, we cannot exclude the possibility that other mechanisms might impact this

codon use difference between two different regions of oskar. For example, it is possible that a

form of translational selection might be acting to promote differential codon use in distinct

regions of *oskar* (*38*), as a consequence of different folding requirements for different regions of

the protein (*39, 40*). Codon use may influence differential translation of ordered versus

disordered regions of the same protein (*41*), and Oskar contains regions of high predicted

disorder in addition to the LOTUS and OSK domains (*20, 42*). However, in the present study we

have examined only the latter two domains, and to our knowledge there is no evidence of

differential translational regulation of these two well-folded domains of Oskar.

An alternative parameter that could impact codon use across the *oskar* gene is a possible

requirement for specific structures of the *oskar* mRNA. Given that the *oskar* transcript plays a

translation-independent role in the *Drosophila* germ line (*43, 44*), it is conceivable that structural

constraints might be different on different regions of its mRNA. Moreover, specific stem-loop

structures within the oskar 3' UTR are required for its localization within the oocyte (*45-47*),

consistent with structural constraints on *oskar* sequence evolution. However, we are unaware of

any evidence suggesting specific roles for the structure of the coding region of the *oskar*

transcript. Thus, taken together with the sequence similarity and strong phylogenetic evidence

presented above, we propose that the results of these analyses support an HGT origin for the

OSK domain (Figure 4).

While multiple mechanisms can give rise to novel genes, HGT is arguably among the least well

understood, as it involves multiple genomes and ancient biotic interactions between donor and

host organisms that are often difficult to reconstruct. In the case of *oskar*, however, the fact that

both germline symbionts (*48*) and HGT events (*9*) are widespread in insects, provides a plausible

biological mechanism consistent with our hypothesis that fusion of eukaryotic and bacterial

domain sequences led to the birth of this novel gene. Under this hypothesis, this fusion would

have taken place before the major diversification of insects, nearly 500 million years ago (*29*).

Once arisen, novel genes might be expected to disappear rapidly, given that pre-existing gene

regulatory networks operated successfully without them (*1*). However, it is clear that novel genes

can evolve functional connections with existing networks, become essential (*49*), and in some

cases lead to new functions (*50*) and contribute to phenotypic diversity (*5*). Even given the

growing number of convincing examples of HGT from both prokaryotic and eukaryotic origins

(see for example *51, 52-54*), some authors suspect that the contribution of horizontal gene

transfer to the acquisition of novel traits has been underestimated across animals (*55*). Moreover,

the functional contribution of genes horizontally transferred specifically from bacteria to insects

has been documented for a range of adaptive phenotypes (see for example *56, 57, 58*), including

digestive metabolism (*10, 11, 59*), glycolysis (*60*) complex symbiosis (*12*) and endosymbiont

cell wall construction (*61*). *oskar* plays multiple critical roles in insect development, from neural

patterning (*15, 62*) to oogenesis (*43*). In the Holometabola, a clade of nearly one million extant

species (*63*), *oskar*'s co-option to become necessary and sufficient for germ plasm assembly is

likely the cell biological mechanism underlying the evolution of this derived mode of insect

germ line specification (*15, 17, 19*). Our study thus provides evidence that HGT can not only

introduce functional genes into a host genome, but also, by contributing sequences of individual

domains, generate genes with entirely novel domain structures that may facilitate the evolution

of novel developmental mechanisms.

Methods

BLAST searches of Oskar

All BLAST (*64*) searches were performed using the NCBI BLASTp tool suite on the non-

redundant (nr) database. Amino Acid (AA) sequences of *D. melanogaster* full length Oskar

(EMBL ID AAF54306.1), as well as the AA sequences for the *D. melanogaster* Oskar LOTUS

(AA 139-238) and OSK (AA 414-606) domains were used for the BLAST searches. We used the

default NCBI cut-off parameters (E-value cut-off of 10) for searches using OSK and LOTUS as

queries, and a more stringent E-value threshhold of 0.01 for the search using full length *D.*

melanogaster Oskar as a query. We chose an E-value threshold of 10 for LOTUS and OSK to

capture potentially highly divergent homologs of the two domains, especially for the OSK

domain, where we were looking for any viable candidate for a homologous eukaryotic domain.

All BLAST searches results are included in the Supplementary files: BLAST search results.

Hidden Markov Model (HMM) generation and alignments of the OSK and LOTUS domains

101 1KITE transcriptomes (*65*) (Supplementary Table 1) were downloaded and searched using

the local BLAST program (BLAST+) using the tblastn algorithm with default parameters, with

Oskar protein sequences of *Drosophila melanogaster, Aedes aegypti, Nasonia vitripennis* and

Gryllus bimaculatus as queries (EntrezIDs: NP_731295.1, ABC41128.1, NP_001234884.1 and

AFV31610.1 respectively). For all of these 1KITE transcriptome searches, predicted protein

sequences from transcript data were obtained by in silico translation using the online ExPASy

translate tool (https://web.expasy.org/translate/), taking the longest open reading frame. Publicly

available sequences in the non-redundant (nr), TSA databases at NCBI, and a then-unpublished

transcriptome (*66*) (kind gift of Matthew Benton and Siegfried Roth, University of Cologne)

were subsequently searched using the web-based BLAST tool hosted at NCBI, using the tblastn

algorithm with default parameters. Sequences used for queries were the four Oskar proteins

described above, and newfound *oskar* sequences from the 1KITE transcriptomes of *Baetis*

pumilis, Cryptocercus wright, and *Frankliniella cephalica*. For both searches, *oskar* orthologs

were identified by the presence of BLAST hits on the same transcript to both the LOTUS (N-

terminal) and OSK (C-terminal) regions of any of the query *oskar* sequences, regardless of E-

values. The sequences found were aligned using MUSCLE (8 iterations) (*67*) into a 46-sequence

alignment (Supplementary files: Alignments>OSKAR_MUSCLE_INITIAL.fasta). From this

alignment, the LOTUS and OSK domains were extracted (Supplementary files:

Alignments>LOTUS_MUSCLE_INITIAL.fasta and

Alignments>OSK_MUSCLE_INITIAL.fasta) to define the initial Hidden Markov Models

(HMM) using the hmmbuild tool from the HMMER tool suite with default parameters (*68*). 126

insect genomes and 128 insect transcriptomes (from the Transcriptome Shotgun Assembly TSA

database: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/wgs/?view=TSA) were subsequently

downloaded from NCBI (download date September 29, 2015 ; Supplementary table 1). Genomes

were submitted to Augustus v2.5.5 (*69*) (using the *D. melanogaster* exon HMM predictor) and

SNAP v2006-07-28 (*70*) (using the default 'fly' HMM) for gene discovery. The resulting

nucleotide sequence database comprising all 309 downloaded and annotated genomes and

transcriptomes, was then translated in six frames to generate a non-redundant amino acid

database (where all sequences with the same amino acid content are merged into one). This

process was automated using a series of custom scripts available here:

https://github.com/Xqua/Genomes. The non-redundant amino acid database was searched using

the HMMER v3.1 tool suite (*68*) and the HMM for the LOTUS and OSK domains described

above. A hit was considered positive if it consisted of a contiguous sequence containing both a

LOTUS domain and an OSK domain, with the two domains separated by an inter-domain

sequence. We imposed no length, alignment or conservation criteria on the inter-domain

sequence, as this is a rapidly-evolving region of Oskar protein with predicted high disorder (*20,*

24, 71). Positive hits were manually curated and added to the main alignment, and the search was

performed iteratively until no more new sequences meeting the above criteria were discovered.

This resulted in a total of 95 Oskar protein sequences, (see Supplementary Table 2 for the

complete list). Using the final resulting alignment (Supplementary Files:

Alignments>OSKAR_MUSCLE_FINAL.fasta), the LOTUS and OSK domains were extracted

from these sequences (Supplementary Files: Alignments>LOTUS_MUSCLE_FINAL.fasta and

Alignments>OSK_MUSCLE_FINAL.fasta), and the final three HMM (for full-length Oskar,

OSK, and LOTUS domains) used in subsequent analyses were created using hmmbuild with

default parameters (Supplementary files: HMM>OSK.hmm, HMM>LOTUS.hmm and

HMM>OSKAR.hmm).

Iterative HMMER search of OSK and LOTUS domains

A reduced version of TrEMBL (*72*) (v2016-06) was created by concatenating all hits (regardless

of E-value) for sequences of the LOTUS domain, the OSK domain and full-length Oskar, using

hmmsearch with default parameters and the HMM models created above from the final

alignment. This reduced database was created to reduce potential false positive results that might

result from the limited size of the sliding window used in the search approach described here.

The full-length Oskar alignment of 1133 amino acids (Supplementary files:

Alignments>OSKAR_MUSCLE_FINAL.fasta) was split into 934 sub-alignments of 60 amino

acids each using a sliding window of one amino acid. Each alignment was converted into a

HMM using hmmbuild, and searched against the reduced TrEMBL database using hmmsearch

using default parameters. Domain of life origin of every hit sequence at each position was

recorded. Eukaryotic sequences were further classified as Oskar/Non-Oskar and Arthropod/Non-

Arthropod. Finally, for the whole alignment, the counts for each category were saved and plotted

in a stack plot representing the proportion of sequences from each category to create Figure 1b.

The python code used for this search is available at https://github.com/Xqua/Iterative-HMMER.

Sequence Similarity Networks

LOTUS and OSK domain sequences from the final alignment obtained as described above (see

"*Hidden Markov Model (HMM) generation and alignments of the OSK and LOTUS domains*";

Supplementary files: Alignments>LOTUS_MUSCLE_FINAL.fasta and

Alignments>OSK_MUSCLE_FINAL.fasta) were searched against TrEMBL (*72*) (v2016-06)

using HMMER. All hits with E-value < 0.01 were consolidated into a fasta file that was then

entered into the EFI-EST tool (*73*) using default parameters to generate a sequence similarity

network. An alignment score corresponding to 30% sequence identity was chosen for the

generation of the final sequence similarity network. Finally, the network was graphed using

Cytoscape 3 (*74*).

Phylogenetic Analysis Based on MUSCLE Alignment

For both the LOTUS and OSK domains, in cases where more than one sequence from the same

organism was retrieved by the search described above in "*Iterative HMMER Search of OSK and*

LOTUS domains", only the sequence with the lowest E-value was used for phylogenetic analysis.

For the LOTUS domain, the first 97 best hits (lowest E-value) were selected, and the only three

bacterial sequences that satisfied an E-value < 0.01 were manually added. For *oskar* sequences,

if more than one sequence per species was obtained by the search, only the single sequence per

species with the lowest E-value was kept for analysis, generating a set of 100 sequences for the

LOTUS domain, and 87 sequences for the OSK domain. Unique identifiers for all sequences

used to generate alignments for phylogenetic analysis are available in Supplementary Tables S3,

S4. For both datasets, the sequences were then aligned using MUSCLE (*67*) (8 iterations) and

trimmed using trimAl (*75*) with 70% occupancy. The resulting alignments that were subject to

phylogenetic analysis are available in Supplementary Files:

Alignments>LOTUS_MUSCLE_TREE.fasta and Alignments>OSK_MUSCLE_TREE.fasta. For

the maximum likelihood tree, we used RaxML v8.2.4 (*76*) with 1000 bootstraps, and the models

were selected using the automatic RaxML model selection tool. The substitution model chosen

for both domains was LGF. For the Bayesian tree inference, we used MrBayes V3.2.6 (*77*) with

a Mixed model (prset aamodel=Mixed) and a gamma distribution (lset rates=Gamma). We ran

the MonteCarlo for 4 million generations (std < 0.01) for the OSK domain, and for 3 million

generations (std < 0.01) for the LOTUS domain. For the tree comparisons (Fig2 supplementary

figures 8-9), the RaxML best tree output from the MUSCLE and PRANK alignments were

compared using the tool Phylo.io (*78*).

Phylogenetic analysis based on PRANK alignment

For the OSK domain, the raw full length sequences obtained from the HMMER search were

aligned to each other using HMMER HMM based alignment tool: hmmalign, with the same

HMM used to do the search, namely OSK.hmm (supplementary data: Data/HMM/OSK.hmm).

Starting from this base alignment, we used the default alignment method option offered by

PRANK (version: v.170427) ((*79*). We then used PRANK to realign those sequences, which in

turn led to a usable alignment for phylogenetic analysis. This alignment was trimmed using the

same parameters as described in *Hidden Markov Model (HMM) generation and alignments of*

the OSK and LOTUS domains above. The final alignment is available in supplementary data:

Alignment/OSK_prank_aligned.fasta. We then performed a phylogenetic analysis of this

alignment using RAXML with the same parameters described in *Phylogenetic Analysis Based on*

MUSCLE Alignment above. The resulting tree is presented in Figure 2 Supplementary figures 7

and 8.

For the LOTUS domain, the raw full length sequences obtained from the HMMER search were

aligned to each other using the HMMER HMM based alignment tool: hmmalign, with the same

HMM used to do the search, namely LOTUS.hmm (Supplementary data:

Data/HMM/LOTUS.hmm). Starting from this base alignment, we then used PRANK with

default options to realign those sequences. This alignment was trimmed using the same

parameters as described in the *Hidden Markov Model (HMM) generation and alignments of the*

OSK and LOTUS domains. The final alignment is available in supplementary data:

Alignments/LOTUS_prank_aligned.fasta. We then performed a phylogenetic analysis using

RAXML with the same parameters described above in *Phylogenetic Analysis Based on MUSCLE*

alignment. The resulting trees are presented in Figure 2 Supplementary figures 6 and 9.

Phylogenetic Analysis Based on T Coffee alignment

For the LOTUS and OSK domain, the raw full length sequences obtained from the HMMER

search were aligned to each other using T-Coffee with its default parameters (*80*). This

alignment was trimmed using the same parameters as described in *Hidden Markov Model*

(HMM) generation and alignments of the OSK and LOTUS domains above. The final alignment

is available in supplementary data: Alignment/LOTUS_tcoffee_aligned.fasta

Alignment/OSK_tcoffee_aligned.fasta. We then performed a phylogenetic analysis of this

alignment using RAXML with the same parameters described in *Phylogenetic Analysis Based on*

MUSCLE Alignment above. The resulting trees are presented in Figure 2 Supplementary figures

10 and 11.

Visual Comparison of Phylogenetic Trees

To compare the trees obtained with different alignment tools, we used Phylo.io (*78*). The trees

were imported in Newick format, and the Phylo.io tool generated the mirrored and aligned

versions of the trees represented in Figure 2 Supplementary figures 8, 9, 12 and 13. The color of

the branches is the tree similarity score, where lighter colors represent a higher number of

topological differences. Exactly, it is a custom implementation of the Jacard Index by Phylo.io.

Statistical Analysis of Tree Topology

To statistically evaluate our best-supported topology of the OSK and LOTUS trees, we compared

constrained topologies to the highest likelihood trees using the SOWHAT tool (*31*). SOWHAT

automates the stringent SOWH phylogenetic topology test (*30*), and compares the log likelihood

between generated trees. We defined three constrained trees to test our results, one requiring

monophyly of all domains of life, a second requiring only eukaryotic monophyly, and the last

one requiring monophyly of the oskar LOTUS domain (Supplementary Files:

Data>Trees>constrained_kingdom_tree.tre, constrained_eukmono_tree.tre &

constrained_lotus_mono_tree.tre). We then ran SOWHAT using its default parameters, 1000

bootstraps, and the two constrained trees against the OSK or LOTUS alignment used to generate

the phylogenetic trees (Supplementary Files: Alignments>OSK_MUSCLE_TREE.fasta &

LOTUS_MUSCLE_TREE.fasta). All best trees generated by SOWHAT are available in

(Supplementary Files: Data>Trees>SOWHAT_*_test.tre).

Selection of Sequences for Codon Use Analysis

To study the codon use of the OSK and LOTUS domains, we chose 17 well-annotated (defined

as possessing at least 8,000 annotated genes) insect genomes that included a confidently

annotated *oskar* orthologue from the NCBI nucleotide database. The complete list and accession

numbers of the sequences used for this analysis is in Supplementary Table 5. This list contains

oskar sequences from genomes that were either added to the databases after the first *oskar*

sequence search or re-annotated after said search. Therefore the sequences coming from the

following organisms are not represented in the final *oskar* alignment: *Harpegnathos saltator,*

Fopius arisanus, Athalia rosae, Orussus abietinus, Stomoxys calcitrans, Bactrocera oleae,

Neodiprion lecontei.

Calculation of Codon Adaptation Index (CAI)

For each of the 17 genomes indicated in Table SX, a codon use index was calculated by

measuring the Relative Synonymous Codon Use (RCSU) of all genes in each genome. Using this

codon use index, the CAI for all genes, the 3' and 5' cuts of the intra-gene distribution, Oskar,

OSK and LOTUS was calculated for each genome. All calculations were performed using the

Biopython library (https://biopython.org/ version 1.70). The Delta CAI was computed by taking

the CAI difference between the 5' and 3' or LOTUS and OSK. The Z score of the intra-gene and

LOTUS OSK were computed against the distribution of CAI of all genes in a genome.

Generation of Intra-Gene Distribution of Ccodon Use

We wished to determine whether *oskar* differed from the null hypothesis that a given gene would

follow similar codon use throughout its sequence. To generate a distribution of codon use

similarity across a gene for all genes in the genomes studied, we generated what we named the

"Intra-Gene" sequence distribution (Figure 3 Supplement 5). Each gene was cut into two

fragments at a random position "x" following the rule: $351 < x < Length\_gene - 351$, x modulo 3

= 0 (Corresponding Jupyter notebook file: Scripts>notebook>Codon Analysis AT3 GC3 and A3

T3 G3 C3 Section: 4). In other words, for this analysis we split each transcript into two parts,

requiring that each part be a minimum of 351 bp (117 codons) long. This approach allowed us to

sample at least 117 codons for each of the 3' and 5' parts of a transcript.

Fitting a Linear Model of Codon Use

Using the Intra-Gene null distribution generated above, we fitted a linear model of codon use

frequencies per gene for the wobble position and AT3 GC3 content. To do so, we measured the

different frequencies of A3, T3, G3 and C3 (any codon ending in A was counted as A3) and AT3

GC3. Then, we fitted a linear model to the pairs of 5' and 3' regional codon use values for within

each gene, obtained from the Intra-Gene distribution described above (conserving the 3'/5'

position information), and for the OSK and LOTUS domains, for each of the 17 genomes

analyzed (Supp Table 3). We then calculated the residuals of the Intra-Gene distribution and the

LOTUS-OSK distribution. Finally, we determined the Pearson correlation coefficient for all

genomes pooled together, and all *oskar* genes pooled together (Corresponding Jupyter notebook

file: Scripts>notebook>Codon Analysis AT3 GC3 and A3 T3 G3 C3 Section: 7 and 8).

Calculation and Analysis of the Codon Use Z_score

For each genome, the codon use frequency for AT3/GC3 and A3/T3/G3/C3 was calculated as

described above. Then, Z scores for each sequence from the Intra-Gene, OSK or LOTUS domain

sequences were calculated against the corresponding genome frequency distribution. The Z

scores were then used to generate the analysis of Pearson correlation coefficients shown in

Figures 3, Figure 3–figure supplement 1 and 2 (Corresponding Jupyter notebook file:

Scripts>notebook>Codon Analysis AT3 GC3 and A3 T3 G3 C3 Section: 3, 5 and 6).

Data Availability

All sequences discovered using the automatic annotation pipeline described in (M&M HMM and

oskar search) are annotated as such in Supplementary Table S2.

Code Availability

All custom code generated for this study is available in the GitHub repository

https://github.com/extavourlab/Oskar_HGT, commit ID

2565e2f313db9eb310f907ef37ab644985fba565.

References

1. J. S. Taylor, J. Raes, Duplication and divergence: the evolution of new genes and old ideas. *Annual review of genetics* **38**, 615-643 (2004).
2. D. Tautz, T. Domazet-Loso, The evolutionary origin of orphan genes. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **12**, 692-702 (2011).
3. P. J. Wittkopp, G. Kalay, Cis-regulatory elements: molecular mechanisms and evolutionary processes underlying divergence. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **13**, 59-69 (2011).
4. H. E. Hoekstra, J. A. Coyne, The locus of evolution: evo devo and the genetics of adaptation. *Evolution* **61**, 995-1016 (2007).
5. S. Chen, B. H. Krinsky, M. Long, New genes as drivers of phenotypic evolution. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **14**, 645-660 (2013).
6. W. Zhang, P. Landback, A. R. Gschwend, B. Shen, M. Long, New genes drive the evolution of gene interaction networks in the human and mouse genomes. *Genome Biol.* **16**, 202 (2015).
7. Y. E. Zhang, P. Landback, M. Vibranovski, M. Long, New genes expressed in human brains: implications for annotating evolving genomes. *BioEssays* **34**, 982-991 (2012).
8. S. Chen *et al.*, Frequent recent origination of brain genes shaped the evolution of foraging behavior in Drosophila. *Cell Reports* **1**, 118-132 (2012).
9. J. C. Dunning Hotopp *et al.*, Widespread lateral gene transfer from intracellular bacteria to multicellular eukaryotes. *Science (New York, NY)* **317**, 1753-1756 (2007).
10. R. Acuna *et al.*, Adaptive horizontal transfer of a bacterial gene to an invasive insect pest of coffee. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 4197-4202 (2012).
11. D. B. Sloan *et al.*, Parallel histories of horizontal gene transfer facilitated extreme reduction of endosymbiont genomes in sap-feeding insects. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **31**, 857-871 (2014).
12. F. Husnik *et al.*, Horizontal gene transfer from diverse bacteria to an insect genome enables a tripartite nested mealybug symbiosis. *Cell* **153**, 1567-1578 (2013).
13. R. Lehmann, Germ Plasm Biogenesis--An Oskar-Centric Perspective. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.* **116**, 679-707 (2016).
14. R. Lehmann, C. Nüsslein-Volhard, Abdominal Segmentation, Pole Cell Formation, and Embryonic Polarity Require the Localized Activity of *oskar*, a Maternal Gene in *Drosophila*. *Cell* **47**, 144-152 (1986).
15. B. Ewen-Campen, J. R. Srouji, E. E. Schwager, C. G. Extavour, *oskar* Predates the Evolution of Germ Plasm in Insects. *Curr. Biol.* **22**, 2278-2283 (2012).
16. C. G. Extavour, M. E. Akam, Mechanisms of germ cell specification across the metazoans: epigenesis and preformation. *Development* **130**, 5869-5884 (2003).
17. J. A. Lynch *et al.*, The Phylogenetic Origin of *oskar* Coincided with the Origin of Maternally Provisioned Germ Plasm and Pole Cells at the Base of the Holometabola. *PLoS Genetics* **7**, e1002029 (2011).
18. B. Ewen-Campen, S. Donoughe, D. N. Clarke, C. G. Extavour, Germ cell specification requires zygotic mechanisms rather than germ plasm in a basally branching insect. *Curr. Biol.* **23**, 835-842 (2013).
19. E. Abouheif, Evolution: oskar Reveals Missing Link in Co-optive Evolution. *Curr. Biol.* **23**, R24-R25 (2012).

20.   M. Jeske *et al.*, The Crystal Structure of the *Drosophila* Germline Inducer Oskar
Identifies Two Domains with Distinct Vasa Helicase- and RNA-Binding Activities. *Cell*
Reports **12**, 587-598 (2015).
21.   M. Jeske, C. W. Muller, A. Ephrussi, The LOTUS domain is a conserved DEAD-box
RNA helicase regulator essential for the recruitment of Vasa to the germ plasm and
nuage. *Genes Dev.* **31**, 939-952 (2017).
22.   A. Ephrussi, R. Lehmann, Induction of germ cell formation by *oskar*. *Nature* **358**, 387-
392 (1992).
23.   J. Kim-Ha, J. L. Smith, P. M. Macdonald, *oskar* mRNA is localized to the posterior pole
of the *Drosophila* oocyte. *Cell* **66**, 23-35 (1991).
24.   N. Yang *et al.*, Structure of *Drosophila* Oskar reveals a novel RNA binding protein.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **112**, 11541-11546 (2015).
25.   A. H. Kirk-Spriggs, B. J. Sinclair, Eds., *Manual of Afrotropical Diptera*, (South African
National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria, South Africa, 2017), vol. 1.
26.   R. S. Peters *et al.*, Evolutionary History of the Hymenoptera. *Curr. Biol.* **27**, 1013-1018
(2017).
27.   N. F. Vanzo, A. Ephrussi, Oskar anchoring restricts pole plasm formation to the posterior
of the *Drosophila* oocyte. *Development* **129**, 3705-3714 (2002).
28.   T. R. Hurd *et al.*, Long Oskar Controls Mitochondrial Inheritance in *Drosophila*
melanogaster. *Dev. Cell* **39**, 560-571 (2016).
29.   B. Misof *et al.*, Phylogenomics resolves the timing and pattern of insect evolution.
Science **346**, 763-767 (2014).
30.   D. L. Swofford, G. J. Olsen, P. J. Waddell, D. M. Hillis, in *Molecular Systematics 2nd*
Edition, D. M. Hillis, C. Moritz, B. K. Mable, Eds. (Sinauer Associates, Inc., Sinauer,
MA, 1996), chap. 11, pp. 407-453.
31.   S. H. Church, J. F. Ryan, C. W. Dunn, Automation and Evaluation of the SOWH Test
with SOWHAT. *Syst. Biol.* **64**, 1048-1058 (2015).
32.   D. Wheeler, A. J. Redding, J. H. Werren, Characterization of an ancient lepidopteran
lateral gene transfer. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e59262 (2013).
33.   S. T. Chepkemoi *et al.*, Identification of Spiroplasmainsolitum symbionts in Anopheles
gambiae. *Wellcome Open Research* **2**, 90 (2017).
34.   E. Zchori-Fein, S. J. Perlman, S. E. Kelly, N. Katzir, M. S. Hunter, Characterization of a
'Bacteroidetes' symbiont in Encarsia wasps (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae): proposal of
'Candidatus Cardinium hertigii'. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary*
Microbiology **54**, 961-968 (2004).
35.   E. Zchori-Fein, S. J. Perlman, Distribution of the bacterial symbiont Cardinium in
arthropods. *Mol. Ecol.* **13**, 2009-2016 (2004).
36.   T. Tuller, Codon bias, tRNA pools and horizontal gene transfer. *Mobile Genetic Elements*
1, 75-77 (2011).
37.   P. M. Sharp, W. H. Li, The codon Adaptation Index--a measure of directional
synonymous codon usage bias, and its potential applications. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **15**,
1281-1295 (1987).
38.   J. J. Li, G. L. Chew, M. D. Biggin, Quantitative principles of cis-translational control by
general mRNA sequence features in eukaryotes. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 162 (2019).
39.   C. H. Yu *et al.*, Codon Usage Influences the Local Rate of Translation Elongation to
Regulate Co-translational Protein Folding. *Mol Cell* **59**, 744-754 (2015).

40. S. Pechmann, J. Frydman, Evolutionary conservation of codon optimality reveals hidden
signatures of cotranslational folding. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **20**, 237-243 (2013).
41. M. Zhou, T. Wang, J. Fu, G. Xiao, Y. Liu, Nonoptimal codon usage influences protein
structure in intrinsically disordered regions. *Mol. Microbiol.* **97**, 974-987 (2015).
42. P. Krishnakumar *et al.*, Functional equivalence of germ plasm organizers. *PLoS Genet*
14, e1007696 (2018).
43. A. Jenny *et al.*, A translation-independent role of oskar RNA in early Drosophila
oogenesis. *Development* **133**, 2827-2833 (2006).
44. M. Kanke *et al.*, oskar RNA plays multiple noncoding roles to support oogenesis and
maintain integrity of the germline/soma distinction. *RNA* **21**, 1096-1109 (2015).
45. H. Jambor, C. Brunel, A. Ephrussi, Dimerization of oskar 3' UTRs promotes hitchhiking
for RNA localization in the Drosophila oocyte. *RNA* **17**, 2049-2057 (2011).
46. H. Jambor, S. Mueller, S. L. Bullock, A. Ephrussi, A stem-loop structure directs oskar
mRNA to microtubule minus ends. *RNA* **20**, 429-439 (2014).
47. M. Zubradt *et al.*, DMS-MaPseq for genome-wide or targeted RNA structure probing in
vivo. *Nat Methods* **14**, 75-82 (2017).
48. K. Bourtzis, T. A. Miller, Eds., *Insect Symbiosis*, (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2006),
vol. 3, pp. 304.
49. S. Chen, Y. E. Zhang, M. Long, New genes in *Drosophila* quickly become essential.
Science **330**, 1682-1685 (2010).
50. G. Cornelis *et al.*, Ancestral capture of syncytin-Car1, a fusogenic endogenous retroviral
envelope gene involved in placentation and conserved in Carnivora. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
Sci. USA **109**, E432-441 (2012).
51. F. Husnik, J. P. McCutcheon, Functional horizontal gene transfer from bacteria to
eukaryotes. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **16**, 67-79 (2018).
52. I. Di Lelio *et al.*, Evolution of an insect immune barrier through horizontal gene transfer
mediated by a parasitic wasp. *PLoS Genet* **15**, e1007998 (2019).
53. N. Wybouw, Y. Pauchet, D. G. Heckel, T. Van Leeuwen, Horizontal Gene Transfer
Contributes to the Evolution of Arthropod Herbivory. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **8**, 1785-1801
(2016).
54. D. G. Quispe-Huamanquispe, G. Gheysen, J. F. Kreuze, Horizontal Gene Transfer
Contributes to Plant Evolution: The Case of Agrobacterium T-DNAs. *Front Plant Sci* **8**,
2015 (2017).
55. L. Boto, Horizontal gene transfer in the acquisition of novel traits by metazoans. *Proc*
Biol Sci **281**, 20132450 (2014).
56. A. C. Wilson, R. P. Duncan, Signatures of host/symbiont genome coevolution in insect
nutritional endosymbioses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 10255-10261 (2015).
57. S. Lopez-Madrigal, R. Gil, Et tu, Brute? Not Even Intracellular Mutualistic Symbionts
Escape Horizontal Gene Transfer. *Genes (Basel)* **8**, (2017).
58. N. A. Provorov, O. P. Onischuk, Microbial Symbionts of Insects: Genetic Organization,
Adaptive Role, and Evolution. *Mikrobiologiya* **87**, 151-163 (2018).
59. M. Shelomi *et al.*, Horizontal Gene Transfer of Pectinases from Bacteria Preceded the
Diversification of Stick and Leaf Insects. *Sci Rep* **6**, 26388 (2016).
60. Z. Zeng *et al.*, Bacterial endosymbiont Cardinium cSfur genome sequence provides
insights for understanding the symbiotic relationship in Sogatella furcifera host. *BMC*
Genomics **19**, 688 (2018).

61.   D. C. Bublitz *et al.*, Peptidoglycan Production by an Insect-Bacterial Mosaic. *Cell* **179**,
703-712 e707 (2019).
62.   X. Xu, J. L. Brechbiel, E. R. Gavis, Dynein-Dependent Transport of *nanos* RNA in
Drosophila Sensory Neurons Requires Rumpelstiltskin and the Germ Plasm Organizer
Oskar. *J. Neurosci.* **33**, 14791-14800 (2013).
63.   J. A. Rees, K. Cranston, Automated assembly of a reference taxonomy for phylogenetic
data synthesis. *Biodiversity Data Journal* **5**, e12581 (2017).
64.   S. F. Altschul, W. Gish, W. Miller, E. W. Myers, D. J. Lipman, Basic local alignment
search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **215**, 403-410 (1990).
65.   H. Aspöck *et al.* (2018).
66.   M. A. Benton, N. J. Kenny, K. H. Conrads, S. Roth, J. A. Lynch, Deep, Staged
Transcriptomic Resources for the Novel Coleopteran Models Atrachya menetriesi and
Callosobruchus maculatus. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0167431 (2016).
67.   R. C. Edgar, MUSCLE: a multiple sequence alignment method with reduced time and
space complexity. *BMC Bioinformatics* **5**, 113 (2004).
68.   S. R. Eddy. (2007).
69.   M. Stanke, R. Steinkamp, S. Waack, B. Morgenstern, AUGUSTUS: a web server for
gene finding in eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**, W309-312 (2004).
70.   I. Korf, Gene finding in novel genomes. *BMC Bioinformatics* **5**, 59 (2004).
71.   A. Ahuja, C. G. Extavour, Patterns of molecular evolution of the germ line specification
gene *oskar* suggest that a novel domain may contribute to functional divergence in
Drosophila. *Dev. Genes Evol.* **222**, 65-77 (2014).
72.   U. Consortium, The Universal Protein Resource (UniProt) 2009. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **37**,
D169-174 (2009).
73.   J. A. Gerlt *et al.*, Enzyme Function Initiative-Enzyme Similarity Tool (EFI-EST): A web
tool for generating protein sequence similarity networks. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta
(BBA) - Proteins and Proteomics* **1854**, 1019-1037 (2015).
74.   P. Shannon *et al.*, Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of
biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res.* **13**, 2498-2504 (2003).
75.   S. Capella-Gutierrez, J. M. Silla-Martinez, T. Gabaldon, trimAl: a tool for automated
alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1972-1973
(2009).
76.   A. Stamatakis, RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1312-1313 (2014).
77.   J. P. Huelsenbeck, F. Ronquist, MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees.
Bioinformatics **17**, 754-755 (2001).
78.   O. Robinson, D. Dylus, C. Dessimoz, Phylo.io: Interactive Viewing and Comparison of
Large Phylogenetic Trees on the Web. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **33**, 2163-2166 (2016).
79.   A. Loytynoja, Phylogeny-aware alignment with PRANK. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1079**, 155-
170 (2014).
80.   C. Notredame, D. G. Higgins, J. Heringa, T-Coffee: A novel method for fast and accurate
multiple sequence alignment. *J. Mol. Biol.* **302**, 205-217 (2000).
682

Acknowledgments:

We thank Sean Eddy, Chuck Davis, and Extavour lab members for discussion.

Funding: This work was supported by funds from Harvard University.

Author contributions: CGME conceived of the project and overall experimental design.

TEMJ collected initial transcriptome datasets and identified *oskar* orthologues therein. LB built

the HMM model, identified additional orthologues, and performed sequence, phylogenetic,

cluster and codon use analyses. LB and CGME interpreted data and wrote the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Data and materials availability: All data is available in the main text or the supplementary

materials.

Supplementary Information for

Bacterial contribution to genesis of the novel germ line determinant *oskar*
Leo Blondel, Tamsin E. M. Jones and Cassandra G. Extavour

The Supplementary Information for this paper consists of the following elements:

BLONDEL_JONES_EXTAVOUR_HGT_SUPPLEMENTARY_MATERIALS_ALL

(THIS PDF DOCUMENT)

1. Supplementary Tables
   a. Table S1: List of genomes and transcriptomes used for automated *oskar* search.
   b. Table S2: List of *oskar* sequences used in the final alignment.
   c. Table S3: List of sequences used for phylogenetic analysis of the LOTUS domain.
   d. Table S4: List of sequences used for phylogenetic analysis of the OSK domain.
   e. Table S5: List of genomes analyzed for codon use.

All scripts used for this analysis are hosted on GitHub at
https://github.com/extavourlab/Oskar_HGT

OSKAR HGT SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FILES
(FOLDER)

1. Subfolder **Alignments**: All sequences identified and analyzed in this study, in FASTA format and with corresponding Alignments
2. Subfolder **BLAST search results**: Results of BLASTP searches with full length Oskar, OSK or LOTUS domains as queries
3. Subfolder **Data**: Necessary files for running the different IPython notebooks:
   a. Subfolder *HMM*: HMM models used for iterative searching for sequences similar to full-length Oskar, LOTUS and OSK domains
   b. Subfolder *Taxonomy*: Conversion table for UniProt ID to taxon information. (uniprot_ID_taxa.tsv)
   c. Subfolder *Trees*: Contains the tree files obtained from
      i. RaxML phylogenetic analyses of the OSK and LOTUS domains aligned with MUSCLE, T-Coffee or PRANK
      ii. MrBayes phylogenetic analyses of the OSK and LOTUS domains aligned with MUSCLE
      iii. SOWHAT analyses.

Please download Oskar HGT SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FILES Folder here:

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/zqf6kpo0kzav7xp/AAC5WPVm9lrDrZHqZg_RlsiTa?dl=0

Figure 1. **Sequence analysis of the Oskar gene. a**, Schematic representation of the Oskar gene. The LOTUS and
OSK hydrolase-like domains are separated by a poorly conserved region of predicted high disorder and variable
length between species. In some dipterans, a region 5' to the LOTUS domain is translated to yield a second isoform,
called Long Oskar. Residue numbers correspond to the *D. melanogaster* Osk sequence. **b**, Stackplot of domain of
life identity of HMMER hits across the protein sequence. For a sliding window of 60 Amino Acids across the
protein sequence (X axis), the number of hits in the Trembl (UniProt) database (Y axis) is represented and color
coded by domain of life origin (see Methods: Iterative HMMER search of OSK and LOTUS domains), stacked on
top of each other. **c, d** EFI-EST-generated graphs of the sequence similarity network of the LOTUS (**c**) and OSK (**d**)
domains of Oskar (*73*). Sequences were obtained using HMMER against the UniProtKB database. Most Oskar
LOTUS sequences cluster within eukaryotes and arthropods. In contrast, Oskar OSK sequences cluster most
strongly with a small subset of bacterial sequences.

Figure 2. **Phylogenetic analysis of the LOTUS and OSK domains. a**, Bayesian consensus tree for the LOTUS domain. Three major LOTUS-containing protein families are represented within the tree: Tudor 5, Tudor 7, and Oskar. Oskar LOTUS domains form two clades, one containing only dipterans and one containing all other represented insects (hymenopterans and orthopterans). The tree was rooted to the three bacterial sequences added in the dataset. **b**, Bayesian consensus tree for the OSK domain. The OSK domain is nested within GDSL-like domains of bacterial species from phyla known to contain germ line symbionts in insects. The ten non-Oskar eukaryotic sequences in the analysis form one clade comprising fungal Carbohydrate Active Enzyme 3 (CAZ3) proteins. For Bayesian and RaxML trees with all accession numbers and node support values see Figure 2–figure supplement 1-4.

Figure 3. Parametric analysis of codon use for the LOTUS and OSK domains. a, Scatter plot representing the full distribution of correlations from Pearson correlation analysis for AT3 use in 3' and 5' halves of all non-*oskar* genes in the 17 genomes analyzed (grey), compared to the correlation for the OSK and LOTUS domains of *oskar* (red). The Z score ranges of correlations for the *oskar* domains are within the distribution for all genes in the genome, although correlations of use across the gene are lower for *oskar* than for non-*oskar* genes (see also **c**). **b**, Scatter plot representing the full distribution of correlations from Pearson correlation analysis for GC3 use in 3' and 5' halves of all non-*oskar* genes in the 17 genomes analyzed (grey), compared to the correlation for the OSK and LOTUS domains of *oskar* (red). The Z score ranges of correlations for the *oskar* domains are within the distribution for all genes in the genome, although correlations of use across the gene are lower for *oskar* than for non-*oskar* genes (see also **c**). **c**, Pearson correlation analysis of AT3 and GC3 content for Oskar vs other genes. AT3 and GC3 content are correlated across the 5' and 3' halves of a gene for all genes in a given genome (grey), but not between the LOTUS and OSK domains of Oskar (purple). (******: Pearson correlation p-value > 0.1, AT3 r=0.014 p=0.646 and GC3 r=0.014 and p=0.646) **d**, Pearson correlation analysis of wobble position identity for the Oskar gene vs other genes. Wobble position identity content is correlated across the 5' and 3' halves of a gene for all genes in a given genome (grey) but not between the LOTUS and OSK domains of Oskar (purple), with the exception of T3. (******: Pearson correlation p-value > 0.1, A3 r=0.034 p=0.476, T3 r=0.289 p=0.026, C3 r=0.023 p=0.560 and G3 r=0.088 p=0.247) **e**, Analysis of GC3 content. Measure of the residuals of Z scores for Oskar gene GC3 content (LOTUS vs OSK: purple) and the IntraGene GC3 content (grey). The GC3 content of the LOTUS and OSK domains does not follow a linear relationship, and the residuals are significantly higher (purple) than those observed across the 5' and 3' halves of other genes within a given genome (grey). (****** : Mann-Whitney U test p-value < 10^{-5} p=$1.927.10^{-7}$).

a. *bacterial DNA transfer to germ line nucleus*

Figure 4. Hypothesis for the origin of *oskar*. Integration of the OSK domain close to a LOTUS domain in an ancestral insect genome. **a**, DNA containing a GDSL-like domain from an endosymbiotic germ line bacterium is transferred to the nucleus of a germ cell in an insect common ancestor. **b**, DNA damage or transposable element activity induces an integration event in the host genome, close to a pre-existing LOTUS-like domain. **c**, The region between the two domains undergoes *de novo* coding evolution, creating an open reading frame with a unique, chimeric domain structure. **d**, In some Diptera, including *D. melanogaster*, part of the 5' UTR of *oskar* has undergone *de novo* coding evolution to form the Long Oskar domain.

Supplementary Figure Legends

Figure 2 Supplements

Figure 2–figure supplement 1: LOTUS Domain RaxML MUSCLE Tree. Phylogenetic tree of the HMMER sequences retrieved from the UniProt database using the LOTUS alignment HMM model. The top 97 hits were selected for phylogenetic analysis, and the only three bacterial sequences found to be a match were added to the alignment manually. The resulting 100 sequences were aligned using MUSCLE with default settings. The sequences were filtered to contain only one sequence per species (best E-value kept) yielding 100 sequences for analysis. Finally, the tree was created using RaxML v8.2.4, using 1000 bootstraps and model selection performed by the RaxML automatic model selection tool. See "Phylogenetic Analysis" in Methods for further detail. Sequences are color-coded as follows: Purple = Oskar; Red = Non-Oskar Arthropod; Green = Non-Arthropod Eukaryote; Blue = Bacteria. Names following leaves display the UniProt accession number followed by the species name and the UniProt protein name.

Figure 2–figure supplement 2: LOTUS Domain Bayesian MUSCLE Tree. Phylogenetic tree of the HMMER sequences retrieved from the UniProt database using the LOTUS alignment HMM model. 100 sequences were chosen for analysis as described for Supplementary Figure 1. The tree was created using Mr Bayes V3.2.6 using a Mixed model (prset aamodel=Mixed) and a gamma distribution (lset rates=Gamma). The algorithm was allowed to run for 3 million generations to achieve a std < 0.01. See "Phylogenetic Analysis" in Methods for further detail. Sequences are color-coded as follows: Purple = Oskar; Red = Non-Oskar Arthropod; Green = Non-Arthropod Eukaryote; Blue = Bacteria. Names following leaves display the UniProt accession number followed by the species name and the UniProt protein name.

Figure 2–figure supplement 3: OSK Domain RaxML MUSCLE Tree. Phylogenetic tree of the HMMER sequences retrieved from the UniProt database using the OSK alignment HMM model. The top 95 hits were selected for phylogenetic analysis, and the only five non-Oskar eukaryotic sequences found to be a match were added to the alignment manually. The resulting 100 sequences were aligned using MUSCLE with default settings. The sequences were filtered to contain only one sequence per species (best E-value kept), yielding 87 sequences for analysis. Finally, the tree was created using RaxML v8.2.4, using 1000 bootstraps and model selection performed by the RaxML automatic model selection tool. See "Phylogenetic Analysis" in Methods for further detail. Sequences are color-coded as follows: Purple = Oskar; Red = Non-Oskar Arthropod; Green = Non-Arthropod Eukaryote; Blue = Bacteria. Names following leaves display the UniProt accession number followed by the species name and the UniProt protein name.

Figure 2–figure supplement 4: OSK Domain Bayesian MUSCLE Tree. Phylogenetic tree of the HMMER sequences hit on the UniProt database using the OSK alignment HMM model. 87 sequences were chosen for analysis as described for Supplementary Figure 3.The tree was created using Mr Bayes V3.2.6 using a Mixed model (prset aamodel=Mixed) and a gamma distribution (lset rates=Gamma). The algorithm was allowed to run for 4 million generations to achieve a std < 0.01. See "Phylogenetic Analysis" in Methods for further detail. Sequences are color-coded as follows: Purple = Oskar; Red = Non-Oskar Arthropod; Green = Non-Arthropod Eukaryote; Blue

834 = Bacteria. Names following leaves display the UniProt accession number followed by the species
835 name and the UniProt protein name.
836
Figure 2–figure supplement 5: SOWHAT constrained trees and results. Two trees constrained
by alternative relationships that would be expected under vertical transmission of sequences were
designed and tested against our result supporting a putative HGT event of the OSK domain. (**a**)
The first tree (right) is constrained by domain of life, requiring bacterial and eukaryotic sequences
to be monophyletic, and disallowing sister group relationships of subsets of eukaryotic sequences
and bacterial sequences. Our unconstrained tree topology (left) outperformed this topology with a
p-value of 0.002 (95% confidence interval upper: 0.007 lower: 0.0002). (**b**) The second tree
requires monophyly of Eukaryota. Our unconstrained tree topology (left) outperformed this
topology with a p-value of 0.009 (95% confidence interval upper: 0.017 lower: 0.004). (**c**) The
third tree tested whether the LOTUS domain split observed in the tree generated with the MUSCLE
alignment was significantly different from a tree where the LOTUS sequences formed a
monophyly. The unconstrained tree (left) outperformed this topology with a p-value of 0.037 (95%
confidence interval upper: 0.05 lower: 0.026).
850

Figure 2–figure supplement 6: LOTUS Domain RaxML PRANK Tree. Phylogenetic tree of
the same sequences used for the previous LOTUS trees. The sequences were aligned using
PRANK and the tree generated with RaxML as described in ***Phylogenetic Analysis Based on***
PRANK alignment. Sequences are color-coded as follows: Purple = Oskar; Red = Non-Oskar
Arthropod; Green = Non-Arthropod Eukaryote; Blue = Bacteria. Names following leaves display
the UniProt accession number followed by the species name and the UniProt protein name.
857

Figure 2–figure supplement 7: OSK Domain RaxML PRANK Tree. Phylogenetic tree of the
same sequences used for the previous OSK trees. The sequences were aligned using PRANK and
the tree generated with RaxML as described in ***Phylogenetic Analysis Based on PRANK***
alignment. Sequences are color-coded as follows: Purple = Oskar; Red = Non-Oskar Arthropod;
Green = Non-Arthropod Eukaryote; Blue = Bacteria. Names following leaves display the
UniProt accession number followed by the species name and the UniProt protein name.
864
Figure 2–figure supplement 8: OSK Tree PRANK Comparison. Comparison of the tree
obtained with RaxML starting from the MUSCLE alignment (left) versus the PRANK alignment
(right) for the OSK domain. Similarity scores for the branching events are color coded from
yellow to blue (see figure color bar legend). The OSK (purple) clade and CAZ3 (green) clade
have been colored and compacted for readability as they do not have any internal branching
changes. Node color is blue if the leaf is a sequence, and red if this is a compacted group of
sequences.
872
Figure 2–figure supplement 9: LOTUS Tree PRANK Comparison. Comparison of the tree
obtained with RaxML starting from the MUSCLE alignment (left) versus the PRANK alignment
(right) for the LOTUS domain. Similarity scores for the branching events are color coded from
yellow to blue (see figure color bar legend). The LOTUS (purple) clades have been colored and

compacted for readability as they do not have any internal branching changes. Node color is blue
if the leaf is a sequence, and red if this is a compacted group of sequences.
879

Figure 2–figure supplement 10: LOTUS Domain RaxML T-Coffee Tree. Phylogenetic tree
of the same sequences used for the previous LOTUS trees. The sequences were aligned using T-
Coffee and the tree generated with RaxML as described in ***Phylogenetic Analysis Based on* T-**
Coffee *alignment*. Sequences are color-coded as follows: Purple = Oskar; Red = Non-Oskar
Arthropod; Green = Non-Arthropod Eukaryote; Blue = Bacteria. Names following leaves display
the UniProt accession number followed by the species name and the UniProt protein name.

Figure 2–figure supplement 11: OSK Domain RaxML T-Coffee Tree. Phylogenetic tree of
the same sequences used for the previous OSK trees. The sequences were aligned using T-Coffee
and the tree generated with RaxML as described in ***Phylogenetic Analysis Based on* T-Coffee
*alignment***. Sequences are color-coded as follows: Purple = Oskar; Red = Non-Oskar Arthropod;
Green = Non-Arthropod Eukaryote; Blue = Bacteria. Names following leaves display the
UniProt accession number followed by the species name and the UniProt protein name.

893
Figure 2–figure supplement 12: OSK Tree T-Coffee Comparison. Comparison of the tree
obtained with RaxML starting from the MUSCLE alignment (left) versus the T-Coffee alignment
(right) for the OSK domain. Similarity scores for the branching events are color coded from
yellow to blue (see figure color bar legend). The OSK (purple) clade and CAZ3 (green) clade
have been colored and compacted for readability as they do not have any internal branching
changes. Node color is blue if the leaf is a sequence, and red if this is a compacted group of
sequences.

901
Figure 2–figure supplement 13: LOTUS Tree T-Coffee Comparison. Comparison of the tree
obtained with RaxML starting from the MUSCLE alignment (left) versus the T-Coffee alignment
(right) for the LOTUS domain. Similarity scores for the branching events are color coded from
yellow to blue (see figure color bar legend). The LOTUS (purple) clades have been colored and
compacted for readability as they do not have any internal branching changes. Node color is blue
if the leaf is a sequence, and red if this is a compacted group of sequences.

908
909
910

Legend:
- Oskar Protein (purple)
- Bacteria (blue)
- Non-Arthropod Eukaryota (green)
- Archea (gold)

★ *Putative HGT*

Constraint

a   *Unconstrained tree*   *Constrained by domain of life*

Archaea
Bacterias
Bacterias
OSK
Bacterias
CAZ3

VS

Bacterias
Bacterias
OSK
CAZ3
Archaea

P-value = 0.002

b   *Unconstrained tree*   *Monophyletic Eukaryota*

Archaea
Bacterias
Bacterias
OSK
Bacterias
CAZ3

VS

Archaea
Bacterias
Bacterias
OSK
CAZ3

P-value = 0.009

c   *Unconstrained tree*   *Monophyletic LOTUS*

Bacterias
LOTUS
Tudor 5
Tudor 7
LOTUS

VS

Bacterias
Tudor 5
Tudor 7
LOTUS
LOTUS

P-value = 0.037

A0A0G3CFD7_METBA | Methanosarcina barkeri CM1 | GDSL family lipase/acylhydrolase
R2P1Z4_9ENTE | Enterococcus raffinosus ATCC 49464 | Uncharacterized protein
A0A0G1KN57_9BACT | candidate division WWE3 bacterium GW2011_GWC2_44_9 | Secreted protein
B4K9E5_DROMO | Drosophila mojavensis | Uncharacterized protein
B4JTJ1_DROGR | Drosophila grimshawi | GH23955
A0A0M4F3M8_DROBS | Drosophila buzskii | Osk
B4LXK5_DROVI | Drosophila virilis | Oskar
Q295Q4_DROPS | Drosophila pseudoobscura pseudoobscura | Uncharacterized protein, isoform A
A0A059PGF2_9MUSC | Drosophila pseudoobscura | GA10627
A0A059PF64_9MUSC | Drosophila pseudoobscura | GA10627
B3LZ06_DROAN | Drosophila ananassae | Uncharacterized protein
A0A126GUR4_DROME | Drosophila melanogaster | Oskar, isoform D
E8NH25_DROME | Drosophila melanogaster | RE24380p
B4HKZ1_DROSE | Drosophila sechellia | GM23770
B4PTX6_DROYA | Drosophila yakuba | Uncharacterized protein
B3P1W4_DROER | Drosophila erecta | GG13545
B4N815_DROWI | Drosophila willistoni | Uncharacterized protein
A1Y1T7_DROIM | Drosophila immigrans | Oskar
A0A0L0CP24_LUCCU | Lucilia cuprina | Uncharacterized protein
T1PG45_MUSDO | Musca domestica | GDSL-like Lipase/Acylhydrolase
W8CE30_CERCA | Ceratitis capitata | Maternal effect protein oskar
A0A0K8U7J3_BACLA | Bactrocera latifrons | Maternal effect protein oskar
A0A034WRF5_BACDO | Bactrocera dorsalis | Maternal effect protein oskar
A0A0A1XRQ4_BACCU | Bactrocera cucurbitae | Maternal effect protein oskar
U5EFJ8_9DIPT | Corethrella appendiculata | Putative oskar
Q2PP79_AEDAE | Aedes aegypti | Oskar
B0WIV7_CULQU | Culex quinquefasciatus | Oskar
Q7PQJ3_ANOGA | Anopheles gambiae | AGAP003545-PA
A0A084WRU4_ANOSI | Anopheles sinensis | AGAP003545-PA-like protein
T1DTM7_ANOAQ | Anopheles aquasalis | Uncharacterized protein
W5JJ85_ANODA | Anopheles darlingi | Uncharacterized protein
K4MTL4_GRYBI | Gryllus bimaculatus | Oskar
E2BYH0_HARSA | Harpegnathos saltator | Putative uncharacterized protein
A0A026WMY1_CERBI | Cerapachys biroi | Maternal effect protein oskar
E9IZ46_SOLIN | Solenopsis invicta | Putative uncharacterized protein
F4WQN7_ACREC | Acromyrmex echinatior | Maternal effect protein oskar
F2WJY6_9HYME | Messor pergandei | Oskar
A0A0J7KH44_LASNI | Lasius niger | Maternal effect protein oskar
E2A7I8_CAMFO | Camponotus floridanus | Putative uncharacterized protein
A0A0C9QHR7_9HYME | Fopius arisanus | Osk protein
E1A883_NASVI | Nasonia vitripennis | Oskar
E9I8K8_SOLIN | Solenopsis invicta | Putative uncharacterized protein
R5VMZ1_9FIRM | Firmicutes bacterium CAG:631 | GDSL-like protein
A0A0A6S1U2_STRUB | Streptococcus uberis | Acylneuraminate cytidylyltransferase
A0A0H1UPZ9_STRAG | Streptococcus agalactiae | Acylneuraminate cytidylyltransferase
A0A0G9K3L5_9PROT | Arcobacter butzleri L348 | Lipolytic protein
E6L4E3_9PROT | Arcobacter butzleri JV22 | Lipolytic protein
A0A0M1UPT0_9PROT | Arcobacter butzleri ED-1 | Lipolytic protein
S5PEQ8_9PROT | Arcobacter butzleri 7h1h | Lipolytic enzyme, GDSL domain protein
A8EWS4_ARCB4 | Arcobacter butzleri (strain RM4018) | Lipolytic enzyme, GDSL domain
G2HS43_9PROT | Arcobacter sp. L | Lipolytic protein
A5N8N5_CLOK5 | Clostridium kluyveri (strain ATCC 8527 / DSM 555 / NCIMB 10680) | Uncharacterized protein
K9WJ28_9CYAN | Microcoleus sp. PCC 7113 | Lysophospholipase L1-like esterase
D5DGY9_BACMD | Bacillus megaterium (strain DSM 319) | Lipase/Acylhydrolase (GDSL)
A0A0M0WNM0_9BACI | Bacillus sp. FJAT-21351 | Lipase
A0A0L1HYX4_9PLEO | Stemphylium lycopersici | Carbohydrate esterase family 3 protein
E3RJZ5_PYRTT | Pyrenophora teres f. teres (strain 0-1) | Putative uncharacterized protein
G0S9F4_CHATD | Chaetomium thermophilum (strain DSM 1495 / CBS 144.50 / IMI 039719) | Putative uncharacterized protein
G2QVW9_THITE | Thielavia terrestris (strain ATCC 38088 / NRRL 8126) | Carbohydrate esterase family 3 protein
G2QGB0_MYCTT | Myceliophthora thermophila (strain ATCC 42464 / BCRC 31852 / DSM 1799) | Carbohydrate esterase family 3 protein
A0A094AE00_9PEZI | Pseudogymnoascus sp. VKM F-4281 (FW-2241) | Uncharacterized protein
R5S0B3_9BACE | Bacteroides sp. CAG:545 | GDSL-like protein
R6T7B3_9BACE | Bacteroides sp. CAG:770 | GDSL-like protein
E1YW67_9PORP | Parabacteroides sp. 20_3 | GDSL-like protein
A0A069S7Q5_9PORP | Parabacteroides distasonis str. 3776 Po2 i | Uncharacterized protein
A0A0J9FZD4_9PORP | Parabacteroides sp. D26 | Uncharacterized protein
A0A073IAZ3_9PORP | Porphyromonas sp. 31_2 | Uncharacterized protein
W3AC50_9BACL | Planomicrobium glaciei CHR43 | Uncharacterized protein
A0A098EIL2_9BACL | Planomicrobium sp. ES2 | Multifunctional acyl-CoA thioesterase I and protease I and lysophospholipase L1
K8GFE2_9CYAN | Oscillatoriales cyanobacterium JSC-12 | Lysophospholipase L1-like esterase
R4JC30_9BACT | uncultured bacterium BAC25G1 | Uncharacterized protein
R7ADB4_9BACE | Bacteroides pectinophilus CAG:437 | Uncharacterized protein
A0A0C1UEU0_9CLOT | Clostridium argentinense CDC 2741 | GDSL-like Lipase/Acylhydrolase family protein
Q897X6_CLOTE | Clostridium tetani (strain Massachusetts / E88) | Platelet activating factor acetylhydrolase-like protein
U6EVC2_CLOTA | Clostridium tetani 12124569 | Platelet activating factor acetylhydrolase-likeprotein
A0A0L0WAR6_CLOPU | Clostridium purinilyticum | Lysophospholipase L1
A0A095ZDI3_9FIRM | Tissierellia bacterium S7-1-4 | Uncharacterized protein
A0A078KJ49_9FIRM | [Clostridium] cellulosi | Uncharacterized protein
A0A072Y8N5_9CLOT | Clostridium sp. K25 | Acetylhydrolase
B7KKA6_CYAP7 | Cyanothece sp. (strain PCC 7424) | Lipolytic protein G-D-S-L family
F6DQC0_DESRL | Desulfotomaculum ruminis (strain ATCC 23193 / DSM 2154 / NCIB 8452 / DL) | Lipolytic protein G-D-S-L family
R5GT16_9FIRM | Eubacterium sp. CAG:786 | GDSL-like protein
R5LL12_9FIRM | Eubacterium sp. CAG:115 | GDSL-like protein
A0A0J6BBM7_BREBE | Brevibacillus brevis | Lysophospholipase
A0A0H0SJ00_9BACL | Brevibacillus formosus | Lysophospholipase
J3A568_9BACL | Brevibacillus sp. BC25 | Lysophospholipase L1-like esterase
A0A0E3QN36_METBA | Methanosarcina barkeri str. Wiesmoor | Putative tesA-like protease

MUSCLE tree

PRANK tree

A0A0E3QN36_METBA	A0A0E3QN36_METBA
A0A0G3CFD7_METBA	A0A0G3CFD7_METBA
R5S0B3_9BACE	R5S0B3_9BACE
R6T7B3_9BACE	R6T7B3_9BACE
E1YW67_9PORP	E1YW67_9PORP
A0A073IAZ3_9PORP	A0A073IAZ3_9PORP
A0A0J9FZD4_9PORP	A0A0J9FZD4_9PORP
A0A069S7Q5_9PORP	A0A069S7Q5_9PORP
CAZ3	CAZ3
K8GFE2_9CYAN	W3AC50_9BACL
W3AC50_9BACL	A0A098EIL2_9BACL
A0A098EIL2_9BACL	K8GFE2_9CYAN
K9WJ28_9CYAN	K9WJ28_9CYAN
D5DGY9_BACMD	D5DGY9_BACMD
A0A0M0WNM0_9BACI	A0A0M0WNM0_9BACI
A5N8N5_CLOK5	A5N8N5_CLOK5
R4JC30_9BACT	R4JC30_9BACT
R7ADB4_9BACE	R7ADB4_9BACE
Q897X6_CLOTE	A0A095ZDI3_9FIRM
U6EVC2_CLOTA	A0A0C1UEU0_9CLOT
A0A095ZDI3_9FIRM	Q897X6_CLOTE
A0A0C1UEU0_9CLOT	U6EVC2_CLOTA
A0A0L0WAR6_CLOPU	A0A0L0WAR6_CLOPU
R5GT16_9FIRM	B7KKA6_CYAP7
R5LL12_9FIRM	F6DQC0_DESRL
B7KKA6_CYAP7	R5GT16_9FIRM
F6DQC0_DESRL	R5LL12_9FIRM
A0A0J6BBM7_BREBE	A0A0J6BBM7_BREBE
A0A0H0SJ00_9BACL	A0A0H0SJ00_9BACL
J3A568_9BACL	J3A568_9BACL
A0A078KJ49_9FIRM	A0A078KJ49_9FIRM
A0A072Y8N5_9CLOT	A0A072Y8N5_9CLOT
A0A0G9K3L5_9PROT	A0A0G9K3L5_9PROT
E6L4E3_9PROT	E6L4E3_9PROT
A0A0M1UPT0_9PROT	A0A0M1UPT0_9PROT
S5PEQ8_9PROT	S5PEQ8_9PROT
A8EWS4_ARCB4	A8EWS4_ARCB4
G2HS43_9PROT	G2HS43_9PROT
A0A0A6S1U2_STRUB	A0A0A6S1U2_STRUB
A0A0H1UPZ9_STRAG	A0A0H1UPZ9_STRAG
OSK	OSK
A0A0G1KN57_9BACT	R5VMZ1_9FIRM
R5VMZ1_9FIRM	A0A0G1KN57_9BACT
R2P1Z4_9ENTE	R2P1Z4_9ENTE

Similarity

0   1

MUSCLE tree

PRANK tree

Similarity

0   1

A0A0G0IVI6_9BACT | candidate division TM6 bacterium GW2011_GWA2_36_9 | Uncharacterized protein
G3R6R4_GORGO | Gorilla gorilla gorilla | Uncharacterized protein
G7NU06_MACFA | Macaca fascicularis | Putative uncharacterized protein
A0A096NXU4_PAPAN | Papio anubis | Uncharacterized protein
F7CN93_MACMU | Macaca mulatta | Uncharacterized protein
A0A0D9RID1_CHLSB | Chlorocebus sabaeus | Uncharacterized protein
H2Q0P6_PANTR | Pan troglodytes | Uncharacterized protein
A0A024R910_HUMAN | Homo sapiens | Tudor domain containing 5, isoform CRA_b
H2N4J0_PONAB | Pongo abelii | Uncharacterized protein
F7GPY1_CALJA | Callithrix jacchus | Uncharacterized protein
G3TEV7_LOXAF | Loxodonta africana | Uncharacterized protein
M3VXB3_FELCA | Felis catus | Uncharacterized protein
M3Y1J3_MUSPF | Mustela putorius furo | Uncharacterized protein
H0WXJ6_OTOGA | Otolemur garnettii | Uncharacterized protein
S7NG41_MYOBR | Myotis brandtii | Tudor domain-containing protein 5
G1PFT9_MYOLU | Myotis lucifugus | Uncharacterized protein
F1S6A1_PIG | Sus scrofa | Uncharacterized protein
G1M861_AILME | Ailuropoda melanoleuca | Tudor domain-containing protein 5
A0A0A0MPC8_CANLF | Canis lupus familiaris | Tudor domain-containing protein 5
G5E528_BOVIN | Bos taurus | Tudor domain-containing protein 5
L8I7L7_9CETA | Bos mutus | Tudor domain-containing protein 5
W5Q779_SHEEP | Ovis aries | Uncharacterized protein
L9L889_TUPCH | Tupaia chinensis | Tudor domain-containing protein 5
A0A0P6JFX9_HETGA | Heterocephalus glaber | Tudor domain-containing protein 5 isoform 2
H0V001_CAVPO | Cavia porcellus | Uncharacterized protein
A0A0H2UHC6_RAT | Rattus norvegicus | Tudor domain-containing protein 5
A0A061HYN9_CRIGR | Cricetulus griseus | Tudor domain-containing protein 5
F6WY93_HORSE | Equus caballus | Uncharacterized protein
G3VEY7_SARHA | Sarcophilus harrisii | Uncharacterized protein
F7B4W0_MONDO | Monodelphis domestica | Uncharacterized protein
F6YH90_ORNAN | Ornithorhynchus anatinus | Uncharacterized protein
K7FVR3_PELSI | Pelodiscus sinensis | Uncharacterized protein
G1KVT0_ANOCA | Anolis carolinensis | Uncharacterized protein
V9KH94_CALMI | Callorhinchus milii | Tudor domain-containing protein 5
W5LEX2_ASTMX | Astyanax mexicanus | Uncharacterized protein
A0A0P7YHR6_9TELE | Scleropages formosus | Uncharacterized protein
F6QYS5_XENTR | Xenopus tropicalis | Uncharacterized protein
S4NW46_9NEOP | Pararge aegeria | Putative transcriptional coactivator
A0A023EWV9_TRIIF | Triatoma infestans | Tudor domain-containing protein 7
A0A067RPA3_ZOONE | Zootermopsis nevadensis | Tudor domain-containing protein 7
A0A0K8TEH4_LYGHE | Lygus hesperus | Uncharacterized protein
A0A088ASD6_APIME | Apis mellifera | Uncharacterized protein
E2BFZ8_HARSA | Harpegnathos saltator | Tudor domain-containing protein 7
K1QZD2_CRAGI | Crassostrea gigas | Tudor domain-containing protein 7
W5N030_LEPOC | Lepisosteus oculatus | Uncharacterized protein
A0A087XQP1_POEFO | Poecilia formosa | Uncharacterized protein
H2MII4_ORYLA | Oryzias latipes | Uncharacterized protein
A0A0F8AWR5_LARCR | Larimichthys crocea | Tudor domain-containing protein 7A
H3DL34_TETNG | Tetraodon nigroviridis | Uncharacterized protein
H2USX7_TAKRU | Takifugu rubripes | Uncharacterized protein
A0A060W2X9_ONCMY | Oncorhynchus mykiss | Uncharacterized protein
A0A0J9YJ00_DANRE | Danio rerio | Tudor domain-containing protein 7A
A0A099ZTT8_TINGU | Tinamus guttatus | Tudor domain-containing protein 7
R9PXP1_CHICK | Gallus gallus | Tudor domain-containing protein 7
L5KMV4_PTEAL | Pteropus alecto | Tudor domain-containing protein 7
I3NCP3_ICTTR | Ictidomys tridecemlineatus | Uncharacterized protein
G1SCH8_RABIT | Oryctolagus cuniculus | Uncharacterized protein
Q3TTK4_MOUSE | Mus musculus | Putative uncharacterized protein
U6CRG5_NEOVI | Neovison vison | Tudor domain-containing protein 7
G1S4T3_NOMLE | Nomascus leucogenys | Uncharacterized protein
A0A0J7KVQ7_LASNI | Lasius niger | Tudor domain-containing protein 5
X1WIW2_ACYPI | Acyrthosiphon pisum | Uncharacterized protein
E0VJL4_PEDHC | Pediculus humanus subsp. corporis | Putative uncharacterized protein
V5GPP4_ANOGL | Anoplophora glabripennis | Tudor domain-containing protein
A0A0T6AU98_9SCAR | Oryctes borbonicus | Uncharacterized protein
A0A139WGI6_TRICA | Tribolium castaneum | Tudor domain-containing protein 7-like protein
N6TQX5_DENPD | Dendroctonus ponderosae | Uncharacterized protein
K4MTL4_GRYBI | Gryllus bimaculatus | Oskar
K7JUZ2_NASVI | Nasonia vitripennis | Uncharacterized protein
F2WJY6_9HYME | Messor pergandei | Uncharacterized protein
F4WQN7_ACREC | Acromyrmex echinatior | Maternal effect protein oskar
E9IZ46_SOLIN | Solenopsis invicta | Putative uncharacterized protein
A0A026WMY1_CERBI | Cerapachys biroi | Maternal effect protein oskar
E2A7I8_CAMFO | Camponotus floridanus | Putative uncharacterized protein
B0WIV7_CULQU | Culex quinquefasciatus | Oskar
Q2PP79_AEDAE | Aedes aegypti | Oskar
Q7PQJ3_ANOGA | Anopheles gambiae | AGAP003545-PA
W5JJ85_ANODA | Anopheles darlingi | Uncharacterized protein
T1DTM7_ANOAQ | Anopheles aquasalis | Uncharacterized protein
A0A084WRU4_ANOSI | Anopheles sinensis | AGAP003545-PA-like protein
U5EFJ8_9DIPT | Corethrella appendiculata | Putative oskar
A0A0L0CP24_LUCCU | Lucilia cuprina | Uncharacterized protein
T1PG45_MUSDO | Musca domestica | GDSL-like Lipase/Acylhydrolase
B4K9E5_DROMO | Drosophila mojavensis | Uncharacterized protein
A1Y1T7_DROIM | Drosophila immigrans | Uncharacterized protein
B4N816_DROWI | Drosophila willistoni | Uncharacterized protein
B4JTJ1_DROGR | Drosophila grimshawi | GH23955
B4LXK5_DROVI | Drosophila virilis | Oskar
W8CE30_CERCA | Ceratitis capitata | Maternal effect protein oskar
A0A0K8W0W3_BACLA | Bactrocera latifrons | Maternal effect protein oskar
A0A034WRF5_BACDO | Bactrocera dorsalis | Maternal effect protein oskar
A0A0A1XRQ4_BACCU | Bactrocera cucurbitae | Maternal effect protein oskar
A0A0L8HW18_OCTBM | Octopus bimaculoides | Uncharacterized protein
R7UJX3_CAPTE | Capitella teleta | Uncharacterized protein
V3Z0B0_LOTGI | Lottia gigantea | Uncharacterized protein
W4ZBK4_STRPU | Strongylocentrotus purpuratus | Uncharacterized protein
H2YLA8_CIOSA | Ciona savignyi | Uncharacterized protein
C3ZCL9_BRAFL | Branchiostoma floridae | Putative uncharacterized protein
E0QL58_9FIRM | [Eubacterium] yurii subsp. margaretiae ATCC 43715 | Uncharacterized protein
J4K9P6_9FIRM | Peptostreptococcaceae bacterium AS15 | NYN domain protein

1.46752

A0A0E3QN36_METBA | Methanosarcina barkeri str. Wiesmoor | Putative tesA-like protease
R6T7B3_9BACE | Bacteroides sp. CAG:770 | GDSL-like protein
R5S0B3_9BACE | Bacteroides sp. CAG:545 | GDSL-like protein
E1YW67_9PORP | Parabacteroides sp. 20_3 | GDSL-like protein
A0A073IAZ3_9PORP | Porphyromonas sp. 31_2 | Uncharacterized protein
A0A0J9FZD4_9PORP | Parabacteroides sp. D26 | Uncharacterized protein
A0A069S7Q5_9PORP | Parabacteroides distasonis str. 3776 Po2 i | Uncharacterized protein
B7KKA6_CYAP7 | Cyanothece sp. (strain PCC 7424) | Lipolytic protein G-D-S-L family
A0A0L1HYX4_9PLEO | Stemphylium lycopersici | Carbohydrate esterase family 3 protein
E3RJZ5_PYRTT | Pyrenophora teres f. teres (strain 0-1) | Putative uncharacterized protein
A0A094AE00_9PEZI | Pseudogymnoascus sp. VKM F-4281 (FW-2241) | Uncharacterized protein
G2QGB0_MYCTT | Myceliophthora thermophila (strain ATCC 42464 / BCRC 31852 / DSM 1799) | Carbohydrate esterase family 3 protein
G0S9F4_CHATD | Chaetomium thermophilum (strain DSM 1495 / CBS 144.50 / IMI 039719) | Putative uncharacterized protein
G2QVW9_THITE | Thielavia terrestris (strain ATCC 38088 / NRRL 8126) | Carbohydrate esterase family 3 protein
G2HS43_9PROT | Arcobacter sp. L | Lipolytic protein
S5PEQ8_9PROT | Arcobacter butzleri 7h1h | Lipolytic enzyme, GDSL domain protein
A0A0G9K3L5_9PROT | Arcobacter butzleri L348 | Lipolytic protein
A0A0M1UPT0_9PROT | Arcobacter butzleri ED-1 | Lipolytic protein
E6L4E3_9PROT | Arcobacter butzleri JV22 | Lipolytic protein
A8EWS4_ARCB4 | Arcobacter butzleri (strain RM4018) | Lipolytic enzyme, GDSL domain
A5N8N5_CLOK5 | Clostridium kluyveri (strain ATCC 8527 / DSM 555 / NCIMB 10680) | Uncharacterized protein
D5DGY9_BACMD | Bacillus megaterium (strain DSM 319) | Lipase/Acylhydrolase (GDSL)
A0A0M0WNM0_9BACI | Bacillus sp. FJAT-21351 | Lipase
K8GFE2_9CYAN | Oscillatoriales cyanobacterium JSC-12 | Lysophospholipase L1-like esterase
K9WJ28_9CYAN | Microcoleus sp. PCC 7113 | Lysophospholipase L1-like esterase
R7ADB4_9BACE | Bacteroides pectinophilus CAG:437 | Uncharacterized protein
R5GT16_9FIRM | Eubacterium sp. CAG:786 | GDSL-like protein
R5LL12_9FIRM | Eubacterium sp. CAG:115 | GDSL-like protein
F6DQC0_DESRL | Desulfotomaculum ruminis (strain ATCC 23193 / DSM 2154 / NCIB 8452 / DL) | Lipolytic protein G-D-S-L family
A0A0H0SJ00_9BACL | Brevibacillus formosus | Lysophospholipase
J3A568_9BACL | Brevibacillus sp. BC25 | Lysophospholipase L1-like esterase
A0A0J6BBM7_BREBE | Brevibacillus brevis | Lysophospholipase
A0A0L0WAR6_CLOPU | Clostridium purinilyticum | Lysophospholipase L1
A0A0C1UEU0_9CLOT | Clostridium argentinense CDC 2741 | GDSL-like Lipase/Acylhydrolase family protein
Q897X6_CLOTE | Clostridium tetani (strain Massachusetts / E88) | Platelet activating factor acetylhydrolase-like protein
U6EVC2_CLOTA | Clostridium tetani 12124569 | Platelet activating factor acetylhydrolase-likeprotein
A0A095ZDI3_9FIRM | Tissierellia bacterium S7-1-4 | Uncharacterized protein
A0A072Y8N5_9CLOT | Clostridium sp. K25 | Acetylhydrolase
A0A078KJ49_9FIRM | [Clostridium] cellulosi | Uncharacterized protein
W3AC50_9BACL | Planomicrobium glaciei CHR43 | Uncharacterized protein
A0A098EIL2_9BACL | Planomicrobium sp. ES2 | Multifunctional acyl-CoA thioesterase I and protease I and lysophospholipase L1
R4JC30_9BACT | uncultured bacterium BAC25G1 | Uncharacterized protein
R5VMZ1_9FIRM | Firmicutes bacterium CAG:631 | GDSL-like protein
A0A0H1UPZ9_STRAG | Streptococcus agalactiae | Acylneuraminate cytidylyltransferase
A0A0A6S1U2_STRUB | Streptococcus uberis | Acylneuraminate cytidylyltransferase
R2P1Z4_9ENTE | Enterococcus raffinosus ATCC 49464 | Uncharacterized protein
A0A0G1KN57_9BACT | candidate division WWE3 bacterium GW2011_GWC2_44_9 | Secreted protein
E9I8K8_SOLIN | Solenopsis invicta | Putative uncharacterized protein
A0A026WMY1_CERBI | Cerapachys biroi | Maternal effect protein oskar
E2BYH0_HARSA | Harpegnathos saltator | Putative uncharacterized protein
E2A7I8_CAMFO | Camponotus floridanus | Putative uncharacterized protein
F2WJY6_9HYME | Messor pergandei | Oskar
F4WQN7_ACREC | Acromyrmex echinatior | Maternal effect protein oskar
E9IZ46_SOLIN | Solenopsis invicta | Putative uncharacterized protein
A0A0J7KH44_LASNI | Lasius niger | Maternal effect protein oskar
E1A883_NASVI | Nasonia vitripennis | Oskar
A0A0C9QHR7_9HYME | Fopius arisanus | Osk protein
K4MTL4_GRYBI | Gryllus bimaculatus | Oskar
Q2PP79_AEDAE | Aedes aegypti | Oskar
B0WIV7_CULQU | Culex quinquefasciatus | Oskar
U5EFJ8_9DIPT | Corethrella appendiculata | Putative oskar
T1PG45_MUSDO | Musca domestica | GDSL-like Lipase/Acylhydrolase
A0A0L0CP24_LUCCU | Lucilia cuprina | Uncharacterized protein
W8CE30_CERCA | Ceratitis capitata | Maternal effect protein oskar
A0A034WRF5_BACDO | Bactrocera dorsalis | Maternal effect protein oskar
A0A0K8U7J3_BACLA | Bactrocera latifrons | Maternal effect protein oskar
A0A0A1XRQ4_BACCU | Bactrocera cucurbitae | Maternal effect protein oskar
A1Y1T7_DROIM | Drosophila immigrans | Oskar
B4LXK5_DROVI | Drosophila virilis | Oskar
A0A0M4F3M8_DROBS | Drosophila busckii | Osk
B4JTJ1_DROGR | Drosophila grimshawi | GH23955
B4K9E5_DROMO | Drosophila mojavensis | Uncharacterized protein
B3LZ06_DROAN | Drosophila ananassae | Uncharacterized protein
B3P1W4_DROER | Drosophila erecta | GG13545
B4PTX6_DROYA | Drosophila yakuba | Uncharacterized protein
E8NH25_DROME | Drosophila melanogaster | RE24380p
B4HKZ1_DROSE | Drosophila sechellia | GM23770
A0A126GUR4_DROME | Drosophila melanogaster | Oskar, isoform D
Q295Q4_DROPS | Drosophila pseudoobscura pseudoobscura | Uncharacterized protein, isoform A
A0A059PGF2_9MUSC | Drosophila pseudoobscura | GA10627
A0A059PF64_9MUSC | Drosophila pseudoobscura | GA10627
B4N815_DROWI | Drosophila willistoni | Uncharacterized protein
T1DTM7_ANOAQ | Anopheles aquasalis | Uncharacterized protein
W5JJ85_ANODA | Anopheles darlingi | Uncharacterized protein
A0A084WRU4_ANOSI | Anopheles sinensis | AGAP003545-PA-like protein
Q7PQJ3_ANOGA | Anopheles gambiae | AGAP003545-PA
A0A0G3CFD7_METBA | Methanosarcina barkeri CM1 | GDSL family lipase/acylhydrolase

1.46752

MUSCLE tree

E0QL58_9FIRM
A0A0G0IVI6_9BACT
G3R6R4_GORGO
H2Q0P6_PANTR
G1KVT0_ANOCA
A0A024R910_HUMAN
H2N4J0_PONAB
G7NU06_MACFA
A0A096NXU4_PAPAN
F7CN93_MACMU
A0A0D9RID1_CHLSB
F7GPY1_CALJA
G1PFT9_MYOLU
L9L889_TUPCH
G1M861_AILME
A0A0A0MPC8_CANLF
M3Y1J3_MUSPF
M3VXB3_FELCA
H0WXJ6_OTOGA
S7NG41_MYOBR
F1S6A1_PIG
A0A0H2UHC6_RAT
A0A061HYN9_CRIGR
A0A0P6JFX9_HETGA
H0V001_CAVPO
G3TEV7_LOXAF
G5E528_BOVIN
W5Q779_SHEEP
L8I7L7_9CETA
F6WY93_HORSE
G3VEY7_SARHA
F7B4W0_MONDO
F6YH90_ORNAN
K7FVR3_PELSI
V9KH94_CALMI
W5LEX2_ASTMX
A0A0P7YHR6_9TELE
S4NW46_9NEOP
E2BFZ8_HARSA
A0A067RPA3_ZOONE
A0A139WGI6_TRICA
A0A023EWV9_TRIIF
A0A0K8TEH4_LYGHE
A0A088ASD6_APIME
V5GPP4_ANOGL
N6TQX5_DENPD
                                                    LOTUS
K1QZD2_CRAGI
A0A087XQP1_POEFO
H3DL34_TETNG
H2MII4_ORYLA
A0A0F8AWR5_LARCR
H2USX7_TAKRU
A0A060W2X9_ONCMY
A0A0J9YJ00_DANRE
W5N030_LEPOC
A0A099ZTT8_TINGU
R9PXP1_CHICK
L5KMV4_PTEAL
Q3TTK4_MOUSE
I3NCP3_ICTTR
G1SCH8_RABIT
U6CRG5_NEOVI
G1S4T3_NOMLE
A0A0L8HW18_OCTBM
R7UJX3_CAPTE
V3Z0B0_LOTGI
W4ZBK4_STRPU
F6QYS5_XENTR
C3ZCL9_BRAFL
H2YLA8_CIOSA
A0A0J7KVQ7_LASNI
X1WIW2_ACYPI
E0VJL4_PEDHC
A0A0T6AU98_9SCAR
                                                    LOTUS
J4K9P6_9FIRM

Similarity

0   1

T COFFEE tree

A0A0G0IVI6_9BACT
G3R6R4_GORGO
H2Q0P6_PANTR
A0A024R910_HUMAN
H2N4J0_PONAB
G7NU06_MACFA
A0A096NXU4_PAPAN
F7CN93_MACMU
A0A0D9RID1_CHLSB
F7GPY1_CALJA
G1PFT9_MYOLU
S7NG41_MYOBR
M3Y1J3_MUSPF
H0WXJ6_OTOGA
M3VXB3_FELCA
G3TEV7_LOXAF
F1S6A1_PIG
G1M861_AILME
A0A0A0MPC8_CANLF
L9L889_TUPCH
G5E528_BOVIN
W5Q779_SHEEP
L8I7L7_9CETA
A0A0H2UHC6_RAT
A0A061HYN9_CRIGR
A0A0P6JFX9_HETGA
H0V001_CAVPO
F6WY93_HORSE
G3VEY7_SARHA
F7B4W0_MONDO
F6YH90_ORNAN
K7FVR3_PELSI
G1KVT0_ANOCA
V9KH94_CALMI
W5LEX2_ASTMX
A0A0P7YHR6_9TELE
F6QYS5_XENTR
C3ZCL9_BRAFL
H2YLA8_CIOSA
W4ZBK4_STRPU
V3Z0B0_LOTGI
R7UJX3_CAPTE
A0A0L8HW18_OCTBM
S4NW46_9NEOP
A0A067RPA3_ZOONE
A0A023EWV9_TRIIF
A0A0K8TEH4_LYGHE
E2BFZ8_HARSA
A0A088ASD6_APIME
K1QZD2_CRAGI
A0A087XQP1_POEFO
H2MII4_ORYLA
A0A0F8AWR5_LARCR
H3DL34_TETNG
H2USX7_TAKRU
A0A060W2X9_ONCMY
A0A0J9YJ00_DANRE
W5N030_LEPOC
A0A099ZTT8_TINGU
R9PXP1_CHICK
L5KMV4_PTEAL
Q3TTK4_MOUSE
G1SCH8_RABIT
I3NCP3_ICTTR
U6CRG5_NEOVI
G1S4T3_NOMLE
N6TQX5_DENPD
A0A139WGI6_TRICA
A0A0J7KVQ7_LASNI
X1WIW2_ACYPI
E0VJL4_PEDHC
V5GPP4_ANOGL
A0A0T6AU98_9SCAR

LOTUS

E0QL58_9FIRM
J4K9P6_9FIRM

911
912
Figure 3–figure supplement 1: GC3 correlations between the LOTUS and OSK domains.
IntraGene distribution scatter plot for the coding sequences of the 17 genomes analyzed.
Sequences were cut into two parts as per the description in Methods "Generation of IntraGene
distribution of codon use". Z-scores were calculated for the 5' and 3' ends against the genome
distribution. Finally, the 5' and 3' "domain" values were plotted against each other and a linear
regression was performed. The Oskar sequence was then plotted in red. A kernel density
estimation is displayed as black contour lines, increasing in shades of blue as the density
increases.
921
Figure 3–figure supplement 2: AT3 correlations between the LOTUS and OSK domains.
Intra-Gene distribution scatter plot for the coding sequences of the 17 genomes analyzed.
Sequences were cut into two parts as per the description in Methods "Generation of intra-gene
distribution of codon use". Z-scores were calculated for the 5' and 3' ends against the genome
distribution. Finally, the 5' and 3' "domain" values were plotted against each other and a linear
regression was performed. The Oskar sequence was then plotted in red. A kernel density
estimation is displayed as black contour lines, increasing in shades of blue as the density
increases.
930
Figure 3–figure supplement 3: A3/T3/G3/C3 correlations between the LOTUS and OSK
domains. (**a**) Intra-Gene distribution scatter plot for the coding sequences of the 17 genomes
analyzed. Sequences were cut into two parts as per the description in Methods "Generation of
intra-gene distribution of codon use". The A3, T3, G3 and C3 codon use was measured, and Z-
score calculations, value plots and linear regression were performed as described for
Supplementary Figure 5. The A3, T3 G3 and C3 content is generally similar in the 5' and 3'regions
of all genes across the genome (A3: $r^2 = 0.40$, p = 0; T3: $r^2 = 0.34$, p = 0; G3: $r^2 = 0.40$, p = 0; C3:
$r^2 = 0.30$, p = 0). (**b**) OSK vs LOTUS A3, T3, G3 and C3 use across the 17 genomes analyzed. The
A3, T3, G3 and C3 content Z-score were calculated against the genome distribution. The A3, G3
and C3 content of the two domains of the Oskar gene are not correlated with each other. However,
the T3 distribution follows a linear correlation similar to the one found across the Intra-Gene
distribution (A3: $r^2 = -0.04$, p = 0.48; T3: $r^2 = 0.29$, p = 0.026; G3: $r^2 = -0.09$, p = 0.25; C3: $r^2 = $
0.02, p = 0.59).
944
945 **Figure 3–figure supplement 4: OSK-LOTUS VS Random Cut method.** Comparison of
946 Wobble position use of codon between OSK and LOTUS domains versus the Oskar gene cut at
947 random following the same procedure as the intra-gene distribution. All analyses were performed
948 as described in Figure 3.
949
Figure 3–figure supplement 5: IntraGene Schematic. Schematic representation of the intra-
gene distribution. For each gene, a random cut preserving the frame was chosen. The position
was forced to be over 351bp and less than the length of the sequence minus 351bp. Each part of
the sequence was then split into two bins, one for the 5' and one for the 3'. Moreover, the gene
identity was preserved to compare the 5' and 3' end of the same sequence in all following
analysis. For more information see *Generation of Intra-Gene distribution of codon use.*
956

Figure 3–figure supplement 6: Genome and Oskar CAI. This Figure presents the results of
the Codon Adaptation Index (CAI) analysis of *oskar*. The CAI were computed against a codon
index measured for each genome (see *Calculation of Codon Adaptation Index (CAI)*). (A) The
distribution of CAI for each gene in the genome (grey box whisker plot). Colored dots represent
are the CAI values for full length Oskar (green), OSK domain (orange) and LOTUS domain
(blue) sequences. (B) The distribution of the Delta CAI, or the difference in CAI between two
halves of the same gene sequence. Grey = intra-gene distribution, where for each gene, the 5'
and 3' CAI were computed and the difference calculated. Red = Delta CAI between the LOTUS
and OSK domains of Oskar (C). The Z score distribution of the CAI scores for the 3' (dark grey)
and 5' (light grey) halves of a gene. Colored dots represent the CAI scores for the LOTUS
domain (blue) and OSK domain (orange) of Oskar.
968

Bacterial contribution to genesis of the novel germ line determinant *oskar*

Leo Blondel, Tamsin E. M. Jones and Cassandra G. Extavour

Figure 3–figure supplement 1: GC3 correlations between the LOTUS and OSK domains.
IntraGene distribution scatter plot for the coding sequences of the 17 genomes analyzed. Sequences were cut into two parts as per the description in Methods "Generation of IntraGene distribution of codon use". Z-scores were calculated for the 5' and 3' ends against the genome distribution. Finally, the 5' and 3' "domain" values were plotted against each other and a linear regression was performed. The Oskar sequence was then plotted in red. A kernel density estimation is displayed as black contour lines, increasing in shades of blue as the density increases.

Figure download link:
https://www.dropbox.com/s/kxjypf064kano9u/Blondel_Jones_Extavour_HGT_Figure%203%E2%80%93figure%20supplement%201%3A%20GC3%20correlations%20between%20the%20LOTUS%20and%20OSK%20domains.pdf?dl=0

Figure 3–figure supplement 2: AT3 correlations between the LOTUS and OSK domains.
Intra-Gene distribution scatter plot for the coding sequences of the 17 genomes analyzed. Sequences were cut into two parts as per the description in Methods "Generation of intra-gene distribution of codon use". Z-scores were calculated for the 5' and 3' ends against the genome distribution. Finally, the 5' and 3' "domain" values were plotted against each other and a linear regression was performed. The Oskar sequence was then plotted in red. A kernel density estimation is displayed as black contour lines, increasing in shades of blue as the density increases.

Figure download link:
https://www.dropbox.com/s/1gt5xzu6eigikd5/Blondel_Jones_Extavour_HGT_Figure%203%E2%80%93figure%20supplement%202%3A%20AT3%20correlations%20between%20the%20LOTUS%20and%20OSK%20domains.pdf?dl=0

| 351bp | Gene X | 351bp |

Select a cut point at random
which preserve the frame

Gene X 5' Domain

Gene X 3' Domain

for all genes

Other-Genes
Distribution

Codon Usage

Analysis

Codon frequency / Z-score

oskar

residuals

other-genes

Codon frequency / Z-score

**A. Genome CAI distribution
LOTUS/OSK/Oskar CAI**

**B. Delta CAI between 5'/3'
and LOTUS/OSK**

**C. Z score relative to genome CAI of
IntraGene distribution and LOTUS/OSK**

<div align="center">

Supplementary Information for

Bacterial contribution to genesis of the novel germ line determinant *oskar*
Leo Blondel, Tamsin E. M. Jones and Cassandra G. Extavour

</div>

The Supplementary Information for this paper consists of the following elements:

<div align="center">

BLONDEL_JONES_EXTAVOUR_HGT_SUPPLEMENTARY_MATERIALS_ALL
(THIS PDF DOCUMENT)

</div>

1. Supplementary Tables
   a. Table S1: List of genomes and transcriptomes used for automated *oskar* search.
   b. Table S2: List of *oskar* sequences used in the final alignment.
   c. Table S3: List of sequences used for phylogenetic analysis of the LOTUS domain.
   d. Table S4: List of sequences used for phylogenetic analysis of the OSK domain.
   e. Table S5: List of genomes analyzed for codon use.

All scripts used for this analysis are hosted on GitHub at: https://github.com/extavourlab/Oskar_HGT

<div align="center">

OSKAR HGT SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FILES
(FOLDER)

</div>

1. Subfolder **Alignments**: All sequences identified and analyzed in this study, in FASTA format and with corresponding Alignments
2. Subfolder **BLAST search results**: Results of BLASTP searches with full length Oskar, OSK or LOTUS domains as queries
3. Subfolder **Data**: Necessary files for running the different IPython notebooks:
   a. Subfolder *HMM*: HMM models used for iterative searching for sequences similar to full-length Oskar, LOTUS and OSK domains
   b. Subfolder *Taxonomy*: Conversion table for UniProt ID to taxon information. (uniprot_ID_taxa.tsv)
   c. Subfolder *Trees*: Contains the tree files obtained from
      i. RaxML phylogenetic analyses of the OSK and LOTUS domains aligned with MUSCLE, T-Coffee or PRANK
      ii. MrBayes phylogenetic analyses of the OSK and LOTUS domains aligned with MUSCLE
      iii. SOWHAT analyses.

Please download Oskar HGT SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION FILES Folder here:

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/zqf6kpo0kzav7xp/AAC5WPVm9lrDrZHqZg_RlsiTa?dl=0

42
Supplementary Table S1: List of genomes and transcriptomes used for automated *oskar* search.
List of genomes and transcriptomes that were downloaded, annotated, and searched for *oskar*
sequences (*see "Hidden Markov Model (HMM) generation and alignments of the OSK and LOTUS
domains"* in Methods). The table reports the database provenance (NCBI genome or TSA, or 1KITE
database) and the accession number. The TSA accession ID can be searched using the NCBI TSA
browser here: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/wgs/?view=TSA.

Type	Accession ID	Organism Name
Genome	GCA_000001765.2	*Drosophila pseudoobscura pseudoobscura*
Genome	GCA_000002325.2	*Nasonia vitripennis*
Genome	GCA_000002335.2	*Tribolium castaneum*
Genome	GCA_000004775.1	*Nasonia giraulti*
Genome	GCA_000004795.1	*Nasonia longicornis*
Genome	GCA_000005115.1	*Drosophila ananassae*
Genome	GCA_000005135.1	*Drosophila erecta*
Genome	GCA_000005195.1	*Drosophila persimilis*
Genome	GCA_000005245.1	*Drosophila virilis*
Genome	GCA_000005925.1	*Drosophila willistoni*
Genome	GCA_000005975.1	*Drosophila yakuba*
Genome	GCA_000006295.1	*Pediculus humanus corporis*
Genome	GCA_000143395.2	*Atta cephalotes*
Genome	GCA_000147175.1	*Camponotus floridanus*
Genome	GCA_000147195.1	*Harpegnathos saltator*
Genome	GCA_000149185.1	*Mayetiola destructor*
Genome	GCA_000151625.1	*Bombyx mori*
Genome	GCA_000151715.1	*Bombyx mori*
Genome	GCA_000181055.3	*Rhodnius prolixus*
Genome	GCA_000184785.1	*Apis florea*
Genome	GCA_000187875.1	*Daphnia pulex*
Genome	GCA_000187915.1	*Pogonomyrmex barbatus*
Genome	GCA_000188075.1	*Solenopsis invicta*
Genome	GCA_000209185.1	*Culex quinquefasciatus*
Genome	GCA_000211455.3	*Anopheles darlingi*
Genome	GCA_000214255.1	*Bombus terrestris*
Genome	GCA_000217595.1	*Linepithema humile*
Genome	GCA_000220665.2	*Drosophila ficusphila*
Genome	GCA_000220905.1	*Megachile rotundata*
Genome	GCA_000224215.2	*Drosophila kikkawai*
Genome	GCA_000224235.2	*Drosophila takahashii*
Genome	GCA_000233415.2	*Drosophila biarmipes*
Genome	GCA_000235995.1	*Danaus plexippus plexippus*
Genome	GCA_000236305.2	*Drosophila rhopaloa*

Genome	GCA_000239435.1	*Tetranychus urticae*
Genome	GCA_000239455.1	*Strigamia maritima*
Genome	GCA_000259055.1	*Drosophila simulans*
Genome	GCA_000262585.1	*Manduca sexta*
Genome	GCA_000262795.1	*Phlebotomus papatasi*
Genome	GCA_000265325.1	*Lutzomyia longipalpis*
Genome	GCA_000269505.2	*Drosophila miranda*
Genome	GCA_000281935.1	*Mengenilla moldrzyki*
Genome	GCA_000298335.1	*Drosophila albomicans*
Genome	GCA_000325945.1	*Plutella xylostella*
Genome	GCA_000330985.1	*Plutella xylostella*
Genome	GCA_000341935.1	*Cephus cinctus*
Genome	GCA_000344095.1	*Athalia rosae*
Genome	GCA_000347755.1	*Ceratitis capitata*
Genome	GCA_000349025.1	*Anopheles minimus*
Genome	GCA_000349045.1	*Anopheles stephensi*
Genome	GCA_000349065.1	*Anopheles quadriannulatus*
Genome	GCA_000349085.1	*Anopheles funestus*
Genome	GCA_000349105.1	*Anopheles epiroticus*
Genome	GCA_000349145.1	*Anopheles dirus*
Genome	GCA_000349165.1	*Anopheles christyi*
Genome	GCA_000349185.1	*Anopheles arabiensis*
Genome	GCA_000371365.1	*Musca domestica*
Genome	GCA_000439205.1	*Anopheles nili*
Genome	GCA_000441895.2	*Anopheles sinensis*
Genome	GCA_000469605.1	*Apis dorsata*
Genome	GCA_000472105.1	*Drosophila suzukii*
Genome	GCA_000473185.1	*Anopheles maculatus*
Genome	GCA_000473375.1	*Anopheles culicifacies*
Genome	GCA_000473445.2	*Anopheles farauti*
Genome	GCA_000473505.1	*Anopheles atroparvus*
Genome	GCA_000473525.2	*Anopheles melas*
Genome	GCA_000473845.2	*Anopheles merus*
Genome	GCA_000475195.1	*Diaphorina citri*
Genome	GCA_000500325.1	*Leptinotarsa decemlineata*
Genome	GCA_000503995.1	*Ceratosolen solmsi marchali*
Genome	GCA_000507165.1	*Ephemera danica*
Genome	GCA_000516895.1	*Locusta migratoria*
Genome	GCA_000599845.1	*Trichogramma pretiosum*
Genome	GCA_000611835.1	*Ooceraea biroi*
Genome	GCA_000648655.1	*Copidosoma floridanum*
Genome	GCA_000648675.1	*Cimex lectularius*

Genome	GCA_000648695.1	*Onthophagus taurus*
Genome	GCA_000648945.1	*Limnephilus lunatus*
Genome	GCA_000671735.1	*Glossina fuscipes fuscipes*
Genome	GCA_000688715.1	*Glossina pallidipes*
Genome	GCA_000688735.1	*Glossina austeni*
Genome	GCA_000695345.1	*Bactrocera tryoni*
Genome	GCA_000695645.1	*Pachypsylla venusta*
Genome	GCA_000696155.1	*Zootermopsis nevadensis*
Genome	GCA_000696205.1	*Oncopeltus fasciatus*
Genome	GCA_000696795.1	*Halyomorpha halys*
Genome	GCA_000696855.1	*Homalodisca vitripennis*
Genome	GCA_000699065.1	*Lucilia cuprina*
Genome	GCA_000762945.1	*Blattella germanica*
Genome	GCA_000775305.1	*Belgica antarctica*
Genome	GCA_000786065.1	*Piezodorus guildinii*
Genome	GCA_000786525.1	*Chironomus tentans*
Genome	GCA_000789215.2	*Bactrocera dorsalis*
Genome	GCA_000818775.1	*Glossina palpalis gambiensis*
Genome	GCA_000836235.1	*Papilio xuthus*
Genome	GCA_000934665.1	*Catajapyx aquilonaris*
Genome	GCA_000956155.1	*Cotesia vestalis*
Genome	GCA_000956235.1	*Wasmannia auropunctata*
Genome	GCA_000956255.1	*Anopheles punctulatus*
Genome	GCA_000956275.1	*Anopheles koliensis*
Genome	GCA_001014415.1	*Phortica variegata*
Genome	GCA_001014435.1	*Mayetiola destructor*
Genome	GCA_001014445.1	*Scaptodrosophila lebanonensis*
Genome	GCA_001014505.1	*Chironomus riparius*
Genome	GCA_001014625.1	*Bactrocera oleae*
Genome	GCA_001014835.1	*Lucilia sericata*
Genome	GCA_001014935.1	*Liriomyza trifolii*
Genome	GCA_001014945.1	*Clogmia albipunctata*
Genome	GCA_001015115.1	*Eutreta diana*
Genome	GCA_001015145.1	*Eristalis dimidiata*
Genome	GCA_001015175.1	*Megaselia abdita*
Genome	GCA_001015215.1	*Holcocephala fusca*
Genome	GCA_001015235.1	*Sphyracephala brevicornis*
Genome	GCA_001015335.1	*Stomoxys calcitrans*
Genome	GCA_001017275.1	*Calliphora vicina*
Genome	GCA_001017455.1	*Neobellieria bullata*
Genome	GCA_001017515.1	*Tephritis californica*
Genome	GCA_001017525.1	*Teleopsis dalmanni*

Genome	GCA_001017535.1	*Tipula oleracea*
Genome	GCA_001077435.1	*Glossina morsitans morsitans*
Genome	GCA_001186385.1	*Diuraphis noxia*
Genome	GCA_001188975.2	*Bactrocera oleae*
Genome	GCA_001272555.1	*Dufourea novaeangliae*
Genome	GCF_000142985.2	*Acyrthosiphon pisum*
Genome	GCF_000208615.1	*Ixodes scapularis*
Genome	GCF_000004015.3	*Aedes aegypti*
TSA	GBKU	*Trichoplusia ni*
TSA	GBRL	*Sitodiplosis mosellana*
TSA	GAAX	*Forficula auricularia*
TSA	GACV	*Philopotamus ludificatus*
TSA	GADM	*Drosophila malerkotliana malerkotliana*
TSA	GAEO	*Pissodes strobi*
TSA	GAFI	*Dendroctonus frontalis*
TSA	GAFR	*Polistes canadensis*
TSA	GAHN	*Drosophila serrata*
TSA	GAHP	*Ostrinia nubilalis*
TSA	GAHQ	*Ostrinia scapulalis*
TSA	GAIW	*Ganaspis sp. G1*
TSA	GAJA	*Leptopilina boulardi*
TSA	GAJC	*Leptopilina heterotoma*
TSA	GAKJ	*Sitodiplosis mosellana*
TSA	GAMD	*Anopheles aquasalis*
TSA	GANH	*Microplitis demolitor*
TSA	GANO	*Corethrella appendiculata*
TSA	GAPE	*Brassicogethes aeneus*
TSA	GAPT	*Ectopsocus briggsi*
TSA	GASE	*Prorhinotermes simplex*
TSA	GASG	*Yponomeuta evonymellus*
TSA	GASN	*Thermobia domestica*
TSA	GASO	*Tricholepidion gertschi*
TSA	GASQ	*Tetrix subulata*
TSA	GASS	*Platycentropus radiatus*
TSA	GAST	*Polyommatus icarus*
TSA	GASV	*Notostira elongata*
TSA	GASW	*Mantis religiosa*
TSA	GASX	*Folsomia candida*
TSA	GASY	*Dyseriocrania subpurpurella*
TSA	GATA	*Meloe violaceus*
TSA	GATB	*Metallyticus splendidus*
TSA	GATC	*Nemophora degeerella*

TSA	GATD	*Pogonognathellus sp. AD-2013*
TSA	GATG	*Corydalus cornutus*
TSA	GATH	*Bittacus pilicornis*
TSA	GATI	*Bombylius major*
TSA	GATJ	*Bibio marci*
TSA	GATU	*Baetis sp. AD-2013*
TSA	GATV	*Perla marginata*
TSA	GATW	*Aleochara curtula*
TSA	GATY	*Chrysis viridula*
TSA	GATZ	*Sminthurus viridis*
TSA	GAUE	*Anurida maritima*
TSA	GAUF	*Leuctra sp. AD-2013*
TSA	GAUG	*Meinertellus cundinamarcensis*
TSA	GAUH	*Panorpa vulgaris*
TSA	GAUI	*Subilla sp. AD-2014*
TSA	GAUM	*Machilis hrabei*
TSA	GAUN	*Cercopis vulnerata*
TSA	GAUO	*Velia caprai*
TSA	GAUV	*Acanthosoma haemorrhoidale*
TSA	GAUW	*Apachyus charteceus*
TSA	GAUX	*Ceuthophilus sp. AD-2013*
TSA	GAUY	*Gyrinus marinus*
TSA	GAUZ	*Stenobothrus lineatus*
TSA	GAVA	*Triarthria setipennis*
TSA	GAVB	*Triodia sylvina*
TSA	GAVM	*Hydroptila sp. AD-2013*
TSA	GAVV	*Pseudomallada prasinus*
TSA	GAVW	*Epiophlebia superstes*
TSA	GAVX	*Timema cristinae*
TSA	GAWC	*Aretaon asperrimus*
TSA	GAWD	*Medauroidea extradentata*
TSA	GAWE	*Ramulus artemis*
TSA	GAWF	*Sipyloidea sipylus*
TSA	GAWG	*Extatosoma tiaratum*
TSA	GAWK	*Ceratophyllus gallinae*
TSA	GAWM	*Culicoides sonorensis*
TSA	GAWP	*Grylloblatta bifratrilecta*
TSA	GAWQ	*Okanagana villosa*
TSA	GAWR	*Menopon gallinae*
TSA	GAWS	*Periplaneta americana*
TSA	GAWT	*Empusa pennata*
TSA	GAWU	*Aposthonia japonica*

TSA	GAWW	*Tenthredo koehleri*
TSA	GAWX	*Trialeurodes vaporariorum*
TSA	GAWZ	*Gryllotalpa sp. AD-2013*
TSA	GAXA	*Isonychia bicolor*
TSA	GAXB	*Tanzaniophasma sp. AD-2013*
TSA	GAXC	*Thrips palmi*
TSA	GAXE	*Acerentomon sp. AD-2013*
TSA	GAXF	*Planococcus citri*
TSA	GAXG	*Gynaikothrips ficorum*
TSA	GAXH	*Parides eurimedes*
TSA	GAXI	*Tetrodontophora bielanensis*
TSA	GAXM	*Mischocyttarus flavitarsis*
TSA	GAXO	*Argochrysis armilla*
TSA	GAXS	*Pepsis grossa*
TSA	GAXT	*Crioscolia alcione*
TSA	GAXW	*Euroleon nostras*
TSA	GAXX	*Rhyacophila fasciata*
TSA	GAXZ	*Trichocera saltator*
TSA	GAYA	*Zorotypus caudelli*
TSA	GAYB	*Zygaena fausta*
TSA	GAYC	*Osmylus fulvicephalus*
TSA	GAYD	*Blaberus atropos*
TSA	GAYE	*Frankliniella cephalica*
TSA	GAYH	*Conwentzia psociformis*
TSA	GAYI	*Xenophysella greensladeae*
TSA	GAYJ	*Atelura formicaria*
TSA	GAYK	*Boreus hyemalis*
TSA	GAYL	*Cosmioperla kuna*
TSA	GAYM	*Calopteryx splendens*
TSA	GAYN	*Campodea augens*
TSA	GAYO	*Cordulegaster boltonii*
TSA	GAYP	*Ctenocephalides felis*
TSA	GAYQ	*Forficula auricularia*
TSA	GAYV	*Liposcelis bostrychophila*
TSA	GAYY	*Acanthocasuarina muellerianae*
TSA	GAYZ	*Ranatra linearis*
TSA	GAZA	*Haploembia palaui*
TSA	GAZB	*Lepicerus sp. AD-2013*
TSA	GAZD	*Lipara lucens*
TSA	GAZE	*Mastotermes darwiniensis*
TSA	GAZF	*Essigella californica*
TSA	GAZG	*Eurylophella sp. AD-2013*

TSA	GAZH	*Inocellia crassicornis*
TSA	GAZM	*Stylops melittae*
TSA	GAZN	*Cryptocercus wrighti*
TSA	GAZQ	*Aretaon asperrimus*
TSA	GAZT	*Prosarthria teretrirostris*
TSA	GBAB	*Musca domestica*
TSA	GBBP	*Teleopsis dalmanni*
TSA	GBCX	*Dastarcus helophoroides*
TSA	GBDM	*Helicoverpa armigera*
TSA	GBGV	*Polistes metricus*
1KITE	INSnfrTAJRAAPEI	*Machilis hrabei*
1KITE	INSnfrTAFRAAPEI	*Meinertellus cundinamarcensis*
1KITE	INSfrgTAVRAAPEI	*Blaberus atropos*
1KITE	INSfrgTAARAAPEI	*Periplaneta americana*
1KITE	INSytvTCDRAAPEI	*Cryptocercus wrighti*
1KITE	INSbttTCRAAPEI	*Arrhenodes minutus*
1KITE	INSnfrTBERAAPEI	*Gyrinus marinus*
1KITE	INSytvTAJRAAPEI	*Lepicerus sp.*
1KITE	INShauTAYRAAPEI	*Meloe violaceus*
1KITE	INShauTBERAAPEI	*Aleochara curtula*
1KITE	INSbttTIRAAPEI	*Folsomia candida*
1KITE	INSnfrTAIRAAPEI	*Anurida maritima*
1KITE	INSjdsTAKRAAPEI	*Tetrodontophora bielanensis*
1KITE	INShauTAFRAAPEI	*Sminthurus viridis/nigromaculatus*
1KITE	INShauTAJRAAPEI	*Pogonognathellus longicornis/flavescens*
1KITE	INSfrgTALRAAPEI	*Apachyus charteceus*
1KITE	INSjdsTBNRAAPEI	*Forficula auricularia*
1KITE	INStmbTABRAAPEI	*Campodea augens*
1KITE	INSjdsTANRAAPEI	*Occasjapyx japonicus*
1KITE	INSbusTBKRAAPEI	*Bombylius major*
1KITE	INSytvTBWRAAPEI	*Lipara lucens*
1KITE	INSnfrTBFRAAPEI	*Triarthria setipennis*
1KITE	INSbusTBCRABPEI	*Bibio marci*
1KITE	INSjdsTBERAAPEI	*Trichocera saltator*
1KITE	INSfrgTAZRAAPEI	*Aposthonia japonica*
1KITE	INSytvTAHRAAPEI	*Haploembia palaui*
1KITE	INShauTAKRAAPEI	*Baetis sp.*
1KITE	INSytvTCERAAPEI	*Eurylophella sp.*
1KITE	INSnfrTAKRAAPEI	*Ephemera danica*
1KITE	INSjdsTAGRAAPEI	*Isonychia bicolor*
1KITE	INSfrgTAKRAAPEI	*Galloisiana yuasai*
1KITE	INSnfrTBKRAAPEI	*Grylloblatta bifratrilecta*

1KITE	INSnfrTANRAAPEI	*Cercopis vulnerata*
1KITE	INSnfrTBLRAAPEI	*Okanagana villosa*
1KITE	INSfrgTBCRAAPEI	*Nilaparvata lugens*
1KITE	INSjdsTARRAAPEI	*Xenophysella greensladeae*
1KITE	INSnfrTAPRAAPEI	*Acanthosoma haemorrhoidale*
1KITE	INShauTAPRAAPEI	*Notostira elongata*
1KITE	INSytvTANRAAPEI	*Ranatra linearis*
1KITE	INSnfrTAORAAPEI	*Velia caprai*
1KITE	INSfrgTAPRAAPEI	*Trialeurodes vaporariorum*
1KITE	INSytvTBHRAAPEI	*Essigella californica*
1KITE	INSjdsTAIRAAPEI	*Planococcus citri*
1KITE	INSytvTALRAAPEI	*Acanthocasuarina muellerianae*
1KITE	INSnfrTAQRAAPEI	*Cotesia vestalis*
1KITE	INShauTAQRABPEI	*Chrysis viridula*
1KITE	INSjdsTAURAAPEI	*Leptopilina clavipes*
1KITE	INSnfrTAARAAPEI	*Orussus abietinus*
1KITE	INSfrgTATRAAPEI	*Tenthredo koehleri*
1KITE	INStmbTBPRAAPEI	*Mastotermes darwiniensis*
1KITE	INSbusTBMRAAPEI	*Prorhinotermes simplex*
1KITE	INShauTABRAAPEI	*Nemophora degeerella*
1KITE	INSbusTBDRAAPEI	*Dyseriocrania subpurpurella*
1KITE	INSnfrTAVRAAPEI	*Triodia sylvina*
1KITE	INShauTBGRAAPEI	*Polyommatus icarus*
1KITE	INSjdsTAJRAAPEI	*Parides eurimedes*
1KITE	INShauTBFRAAPEI	*Yponomeuta evonymellus*
1KITE	INSjdsTAWRAAPEI	*Zygaena fausta*
1KITE	INSfrgTASRAAPEI	*Empusa pennata*
1KITE	INShauTAARAAPEI	*Mantis religiosa*
1KITE	INShauTAMRAAPEI	*Metallyticus splendidus*
1KITE	INSfrgTBBRAAPEI	*Tanzaniophasma sp.*
1KITE	INSbttTARAAPEI	*Bittacus pilicornis*
1KITE	INStmbTAWRAAPEI	*Boreus hyemalis*
1KITE	INShauTACRAAPEI	*Panorpa vulgaris*
1KITE	INSbttTKRAAPEI	*Corydalus cornutus*
1KITE	INSnfrTARRAAPEI	*Pseudomallada prasinus*
1KITE	INSjdsTBQRAAPEI	*Conwentzia psociformis*
1KITE	INSjdsTATRAAPEI	*Euroleon nostras*
1KITE	INSjdsTBJRAAPEI	*Osmylus fulvicephalus*
1KITE	INSjdsTBHRAAPEI	*Cordulegaster boltonii*
1KITE	INSfrgTAHRAAPEI	*Epiophlebia superstes*
1KITE	INStmbTAARAAPEI	*Calopteryx splendens*
1KITE	INSnfrTAMRAAPEI	*Stenobothrus lineatus*

1KITE	INStmbTBCRBAPEI	*Prosarthria teretrirostris*
1KITE	INShauTANRAAPEI	*Tetrix subulata*
1KITE	INSfrgTAXRABPEI	*Gryllotalpa sp.*
1KITE	INSnfrTBIRAAPEI	*Ceuthophilus sp.*
1KITE	INStmbTBERAAPEI	*Aretaon asperrimus*
1KITE	INSfrgTAORAAPEI	*Peruphasma schultei*
1KITE	INSnfrTBPRAAPEI	*Timema cristinae*
1KITE	INStmbTBFRAAPEI	*Cosmioperla kuna*
1KITE	INSnfrTALRAAPEI	*Leuctra sp.*
1KITE	INShauTALRAAPEI	*Perla marginata*
1KITE	INSjdsTAHRAAPEI	*Acerentomon sp.*
1KITE	INSfrgTAFRAAPEI	*Menopon gallinae*
1KITE	INSytvTCFRAAPEI	*Ectopsocus briggsi*
1KITE	INStmbTBGRAAPEI	*Liposcelis bostrychophila*
1KITE	INSnfrTAGRAAPEI	*Xanthostigma xanthostigma*
1KITE	INSnfrTBARAAPEI	*Ceratophyllus gallinae*
1KITE	INStmbTAYRAAPEI	*Ctenocephalides felis*
1KITE	INSytvTBKRAAPEI	*Stylops melittae*
1KITE	INSjdsTADRAAPEI	*Gynaikothrips ficorum*
1KITE	INSjdsTABRAAPEI	*Frankliniella cephalica*
1KITE	INSjdsTACRAAPEI	*Thrips palmi*
1KITE	INSnfrTBJRAAPEI	*Hydroptila actia/argosa*
1KITE	INSjdsTBSRAAPEI	*Rhyacophila fasciata*
1KITE	INSjdsTAQRAAPEI	*Zorotypus caudelli*
1KITE	INSjdsTAVRAAPEI	*Atelura formicaria*
1KITE	INSbttTJRAAPEI	*Tricholepidion gertschi*
1KITE	INSbttTSRAAPEI	*Thermobia domestica*

52 **Supplementary Table S2: List of *oskar* sequences used in the final alignment.**
53 List of accession numbers and database provenance of the sequences used in the final alignments of Oskar analysed herein. The table
54 contains the database provenance (*Type*), the database accession number (*ID*), the species, family and order, and extraction notes. In the
55 "Annotation" Column, P = homolog identified by pipeline; DB = homolog identified by database annotation. *Sequence recomposed from
56 two transcripts: GBCX01024638.1 and GBCX01024637.
57

Type	ID	Species	Family	Order	Annotation	Note
TSA	GAWC01068734.1	*Aretaon asperrimus*	Heteropterygidae	Phasmatodea	P	HMMER
TSA	GAKJ01010751.1	*Sitodiplosis mosellana*	Cecidomyiidae	Diptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GATI01010233.1	*Bombylius major*	Bombyliidae	Diptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAWW01000144.1	*Tenthredo koehleri*	Tenthredinidae	Hymenoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAIW01009539.1	*Ganaspis sp.*	Figitidae	Hymenoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GATY01008637.1	*Chrysis viridula*	Chrysididae	Hymenoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAXM01030263.1	*Mischocyttarus flavitarsis*	Vespidae	Hymenoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAFR01040300.1	*Polistes canadensis*	Vespidae	Hymenoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAZD01106195.1	*Lipara lucens*	Chloropidae	Diptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAVA01002196.1	*Triarthria setipennis*	Tachinidae	Diptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GACV01001831.1	*Philopotamus ludificatus*	Philopotaminae	Trichoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAPE01019095.1	*Brassicogethes aeneus*	Nitidulidae	Coleoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GBCX01024638.1_7.1	*Dastarcus helophoroides**	Bothrideridae	Coleoptera	P	BLAST 1
TSA	GAKJ01010751.1	*Sitodiplosis mosellana*	Cecidomyiidae	Diptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAWM01006639.1	*Culicoides sonorensis*	Ceratopogonidae	Diptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAMD01000859.1	*Anopheles aquasalis*	Culicidae	Diptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GBEO01001325.1	*Anopheles sinensis*	Culicidae	Diptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAKP01002609.1	*Bactrocera dorsalis*	Tephritidae	Diptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAXM01030263.1	*Mischocyttarus flavitarsis*	Vespidae	Hymenoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAFR01040300.1	*Polistes canadensis canadensis*	Vespidae	Hymenoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GBGV01010610.1	*Polistes metricus*	Vespidae	Hymenoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAIW01011550.1	*Ganaspis sp. G1*	Figitidae	Hymenoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAJC01011221.1	*Leptopilina heterotoma*	Figitidae	Hymenoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAIW01009539.1	*Ganaspis sp. G1*	Figitidae	Hymenoptera	P	HMMER

TSA	GAJA01020544.1	*Leptopilina boulardi*	Figitidae	Hymenoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAJC01009625.1	*Leptopilina heterotoma*	Figitidae	Hymenoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAXO01016630.1	*Argochrysis armilla*	Chrysididae	Hymenoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAEO01004319.1	*Pissodes strobi*	Curculionidae	Coleoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GBBP01080309.1	*Teleopsis dalmanni*	Diopsidae	Diptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAVM01000124.1	*Hydroptila actia/argosa*	Hydroptilidae	Trichoptera	P	HMMER
TSA	GAWC01068728	*Aretaon asperrimus*	Heteropterygidae	Phasmatodea	P	HMMER
TSA	GBEO01001325.1	*Anopheles sinensis*	Culicidae	Diptera	P	HMMER
Genome	GCA_000349125.1	*Anopheles albimanus*	Culicidae	Diptera	P	Snap
Genome	GCA_000349065.1	*Anopheles quadriannulatus*	Culicidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_000349185.1	*Anopheles arabiensis*	Culicidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_000473845.2	*Anopheles merus*	Culicidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_000473375.1	*Anopheles culicifacies*	Culicidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_000349085.1	*Anopheles funestus*	Culicidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_000209185.1	*Culex quinquefasciatus*	Culicidae	Diptera	P	Snap
Genome	GCF_000004015.3	*Aedes aegypti*	Culicidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_001014445.1	*Scaptodrosophila lebanonensis*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Snap
Genome	GCA_000005925.1	*Drosophila willistoni*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_000005115.1	*Drosophila ananassae*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Snap
Genome	GCA_000236285.2	*Drosophila bipectinata*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_000224215.2	*Drosophila kikkawai*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Snap
Genome	GCA_000236325.2	*Drosophila eugracilis*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_000001215.4	*Drosophila melanogaster*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_000259055.1	*Drosophila simulans*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Snap
Genome	GCA_000005215.1	*Drosophila sechellia*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_000005135.1	*Drosophila erecta*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_000005975.1	*Drosophila yakuba*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_000220665.2	*Drosophila ficusphila*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Snap
Genome	GCA_000224195.2	*Drosophila elegans*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Snap
Genome	GCA_000236305.2	*Drosophila rhopaloa*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_000233415.2	*Drosophila biarmipes*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Snap

Genome	GCA_000224235.2	*Drosophila takahashii*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_000269505.2	*Drosophila miranda*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_000001765.2	*Drosophila pseudoobscura*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Snap
Genome	GCA_000005195.1	*Drosophila persimilis*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Snap
Genome	GCA_000298335.1	*Drosophila albomicans*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Snap
Genome	GCA_000005155.1	*Drosophila grimshawi*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Snap
Genome	GCA_000005175.1	*Drosophila mojavensis*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Snap
Genome	GCA_000005245.1	*Drosophila virilis*	Drosophilidae	Diptera	P	Snap
Genome	GCA_000371365.1	*Musca domestica*	Muscidae	Diptera	P	Augustus
Genome	GCA_000648655.1	*Copidosoma floridanum*	Encyrtidae	Hymenoptera	P	Blast
Protein	ABC54566.1	*Anopheles gambiae*	Culicidae	Diptera	DB	-
Protein	KYN09041	*Trachymyrmex cornetzi*	Formicidae	Hymenoptera	DB	-
Protein	KYM88541	*Atta colombica*	Formicidae	Hymenoptera	DB	-
Protein	XP_012060266	*Atta cephalotes*	Formicidae	Hymenoptera	DB	-
Protein	XP_011057669	*Acromyrmex echinatior*	Formicidae	Hymenoptera	DB	-
Protein	ADM07366	*Messor pergandei*	Formicidae	Hymenoptera	DB	-
Protein	XP_008556449	*Microplitis demolitor*	Microgastrinae	Hymenoptera	DB	-
Protein	XP_012229836	*Linepithema humile*	Dolichoderinae	Hymenoptera	DB	-
Protein	ABC54566	*Anopheles gambiae*	Culicidae	Diptera	DB	-
Protein	ACB20969	*Culex quinquefasciatus*	Culicidae	Diptera	DB	-
Protein	ABC41128	*Aedes aegypti*	Culicidae	Diptera	DB	-
Protein	XP_004529162	*Ceratitis capitata*	Tephritidae	Diptera	DB	-
Protein	NP_001234884	*Nasonia vitripennis*	Pteromalidae	Hymenoptera	DB	-
Protein	XM_011167600	*Solenopsis invicta*	Formicidae	Hymenoptera	DB	-
Protein	JR477371.1	*Rhynchophorus errugineus*	Curculionidae	Coleoptera	DB	-
Nucleotide	JO902149	*Aedes albopictus*	Aedes	Diptera	DB	-
Nucleotide	JO874052	*Aedes albopictus*	Aedes	Diptera	DB	-
Nucleotide	JO885398	*Aedes albopictus*	Aedes	Diptera	DB	-
1KITE	INStmbTBGRAAPEI-33	*Liposcelis bostrychophila*	Liposcelididae	Psocodea	P	Blast
1KITE	INSjdsTABRAAPEI-20	*Frankliniella cephalica*	Thripidae	Thysanoptera	P	Blast
1KITE	INSjdsTACRAAPEI-21	*Thrips palmi*	Thripidae	Thysanoptera	P	Blast

1KITE	INShauTABRAAPEI-93	*Nemophora degeerella*	Adelidae	Lepidoptera	P	Blast
1KITE	INSbttTARAAPEI-9	*Platycentropus radiatus*	Limnephilidae	Trichoptera	P	Blast
1KITE	INSnfrTALRAAPEI-31	*Leuctra sp.*	Leuctridae	Plecoptera	P	Blast
1KITE	INShauTAKRAAPEI-90	*Baetis pumilus*	Baetidae	Ephemeroptera	P	Blast
1KITE	INSjdsTADRAAPEI-22	*Gynaikothrips ficorum*	Phlaeothripidae	Thysanoptera	P	Blast
1KITE	INStmbTAWRAAPEI-13	*Boreus hyemalis*	Boreidae	Mecoptera	P	Blast
1KITE	INSytvTCDRAAPEI-35	*Cryptocercus wrighti*	Cryptocercidae	Blattodea	P	Blast
1KITE	INSnfrTAQRAAPEI-37	*Cotesia vestalis*	Braconidae	Hymenoptera	P	Blast
1KITE	INSjdsTAURAAPEI-62	*Leptopilina clavipes*	Figitidae	Hymenoptera	P	Blast

Supplementary Table S3: List of sequences and their BLAST results used for phylogenetic analysis of the LOTUS domain.
The sequences were obtained by searching the TrEMBL database using hmmsearch and the final HMM generated for LOTUS
(Supplementary files: HMM>LOTUS.hmm). Reported are the UniProtID (*Accession Number*), the Domain and Phylum origin of the
sequence, the E-value, score and bias given by hmmsearch, and the description of the target from UniProt. To obtain sequences for each
entry, either search UniProt directly (https://www.uniprot.org/) or consult the final alignment in Supplementary Files:
Alignments>LOTUS_TREE.fasta. Phylum abbreviations: A = Arthropoda; An = Annelida; E = Echinodermata; F = Firmicutes; M =
Mollusca; T = Tunicata; V = Vertebrata; ? = unclassified
66

Accession ID	Domain	Phylum	E-value	score	bias	Description of Target
V3Z0B0_LOTGI	Eukarya	M	8.30E-32	120.8	0.1	Uncharacterized protein OS=Lottia gigantea GN=LOTGIDRAFT_236389 PE=4 SV=1
R7UJX3_CAPTE	Eukarya	An	4.00E-30	115.3	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Capitella teleta GN=CAPTEDRAFT_218952 PE=4 SV=1
E9IZ46_SOLIN	Eukarya	A	2.80E-27	106.2	0.1	Putative uncharacterized protein (Fragment) OS=Solenopsis invicta GN=SINV_01516 PE=4 SV=1
K7JUZ2_NASVI	Eukarya	A	9.00E-27	104.6	0.2	Uncharacterized protein OS=Nasonia vitripennis GN=oskar PE=4 SV=1
F4WQN7_ACREC	Eukarya	A	1.30E-25	100.9	0.1	Maternal effect protein oskar OS=Acromyrmex echinatior GN=G5I_08127 PE=4 SV=1
W4ZBK4_STRPU	Eukarya	E	1.70E-25	100.5	0.1	Uncharacterized protein OS=Strongylocentrotus purpuratus GN=Sp-Tdrd5 PE=4 SV=1
E2A7I8_CAMFO	Eukarya	A	1.90E-25	100.4	2.1	Putative uncharacterized protein OS=Camponotus floridanus GN=EAG_03874 PE=4 SV=1
F2WJY6_9HYME	Eukarya	A	3.40E-25	99.6	0	Oskar (Fragment) OS=Messor pergandei PE=2 SV=1
B4N816_DROWI	Eukarya	A	8.50E-25	98.3	9.6	Uncharacterized protein OS=Drosophila willistoni GN=Dwil\GK11116 PE=4 SV=2
B4K9E5_DROMO	Eukarya	A	8.70E-25	98.2	0.6	Uncharacterized protein OS=Drosophila mojavensis GN=Dmoj\GI10055 PE=4 SV=2
A0A026WMY1_CERBI	Eukarya	A	2.20E-24	97	0.1	Maternal effect protein oskar OS=Cerapachys biroi GN=X777_01612 PE=4 SV=1
C3ZCL9_BRAFL	Eukarya	A	5.40E-24	95.7	0	Putative uncharacterized protein OS=Branchiostoma floridae GN=BRAFLDRAFT_64001 PE=4 SV=1
B4LXK5_DROVI	Eukarya	A	8.00E-24	95.2	0.9	Oskar OS=Drosophila virilis GN=osk PE=4 SV=1
K4MTL4_GRYBI	Eukarya	A	6.70E-23	92.2	0	Oskar OS=Gryllus bimaculatus PE=2 SV=1
B4JTJ1_DROGR	Eukarya	A	8.30E-23	91.9	1.4	GH23955 OS=Drosophila grimshawi GN=Dgri\GH23955 PE=4 SV=1
A1Y1T7_DROIM	Eukarya	A	8.60E-23	91.9	0.8	Oskar OS=Drosophila immigrans GN=osk PE=4 SV=1
Q2PP79_AEDAE	Eukarya	A	1.70E-22	90.9	0	Oskar OS=Aedes aegypti PE=4 SV=1

W8CE30_CERCA	Eukarya	A	2.00E-22	90.7	0.3	Maternal effect protein oskar OS=Ceratitis capitata GN=OSKA PE=2 SV=1
T1PG45_MUSDO	Eukarya	A	1.60E-21	87.8	1.2	GDSL-like Lipase/Acylhydrolase OS=Musca domestica PE=2 SV=1
A0A0L8HW18_OCTBM	Eukarya	M	1.70E-21	87.7	7.5	Uncharacterized protein OS=Octopus bimaculoides GN=OCBIM_22005378mg PE=4 SV=1
F6QYS5_XENTR	Eukarya	V	6.10E-20	82.7	0.2	Uncharacterized protein (Fragment) OS=Xenopus tropicalis PE=4 SV=1
B0WIV7_CULQU	Eukarya	A	8.90E-20	82.2	0.1	Oskar OS=Culex quinquefasciatus GN=CpipJ_CPIJ007471 PE=2 SV=1
A0A034WRF5_BACDO	Eukarya	A	1.30E-19	81.6	13.1	Maternal effect protein oskar OS=Bactrocera dorsalis GN=OSKA PE=4 SV=1
Q7PQJ3_ANOGA	Eukarya	A	1.40E-19	81.5	0	AGAP003545-PA OS=Anopheles gambiae GN=osk PE=4 SV=3
X1WIW2_ACYPI	Eukarya	A	1.70E-19	81.3	12.3	Uncharacterized protein OS=Acyrthosiphon pisum GN=LOC100162069 PE=4 SV=1
A0A023EWV9_TRIIF	Eukarya	A	1.80E-19	81.2	0.2	Putative transcriptional coactivator (Fragment) OS=Triatoma infestans PE=2 SV=1
A0A0L0CP24_LUCCU	Eukarya	A	2.40E-19	80.8	0.5	Uncharacterized protein OS=Lucilia cuprina GN=FF38_12727 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0K8W0W3_BACLA	Eukarya	A	5.00E-19	79.8	0	Maternal effect protein oskar OS=Bactrocera latifrons GN=osk_1 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0A1XRQ4_BACCU	Eukarya	A	7.20E-19	79.3	6.4	Maternal effect protein oskar OS=Bactrocera cucurbitae GN=osk PE=4 SV=1
A0A088ASD6_APIME	Eukarya	A	2.90E-18	77.3	0.2	Uncharacterized protein OS=Apis mellifera GN=LOC726241 PE=4 SV=1
K1QZD2_CRAGI	Eukarya	M	1.60E-17	75	0	Tudor domain-containing protein 7 OS=Crassostrea gigas GN=CGI_10018436 PE=4 SV=1
A0A139WGI6_TRICA	Eukarya	A	1.70E-17	74.9	0.1	Tudor domain-containing protein 7-like protein OS=Tribolium castaneum GN=TcasGA2_TC034722 PE=4 SV=1
F6YH90_ORNAN	Eukarya	V	1.80E-17	74.8	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Ornithorhynchus anatinus GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
W5JJ85_ANODA	Eukarya	A	2.10E-17	74.6	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Anopheles darlingi GN=AND_005442 PE=4 SV=1
A0A084WRU4_ANOSI	Eukarya	A	2.40E-17	74.4	0.2	AGAP003545-PA-like protein OS=Anopheles sinensis GN=ZHAS_00021239 PE=4 SV=1
H2YLA8_CIOSA	Eukarya	T	2.60E-17	74.3	0.1	Uncharacterized protein OS=Ciona savignyi GN=Csa.10307 PE=4 SV=1
G1KVT0_ANOCA	Eukarya	V	2.70E-17	74.2	0.1	Uncharacterized protein OS=Anolis carolinensis GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
G3TEV7_LOXAF	Eukarya	V	4.50E-17	73.5	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Loxodonta africana GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0K8TEH4_LYGHE	Eukarya	A	6.40E-17	73	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Lygus hesperus PE=4 SV=1

A0A067RPA3_ZOONE	Eukarya	A	7.10E-17	72.9	0.1	Tudor domain-containing protein 7 OS=Zootermopsis nevadensis GN=L798_01728 PE=4 SV=1
G3VEY7_SARHA	Eukarya	V	1.30E-16	72.1	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Sarcophilus harrisii GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
L8I7L7_9CETA	Eukarya	V	1.40E-16	72	0.1	Tudor domain-containing protein 5 (Fragment) OS=Bos mutus GN=M91_03486 PE=4 SV=1
W5Q779_SHEEP	Eukarya	V	1.40E-16	71.9	0.5	Uncharacterized protein OS=Ovis aries GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
G5E528_BOVIN	Eukarya	V	2.30E-16	71.3	0	Tudor domain-containing protein 5 OS=Bos taurus GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
H2MII4_ORYLA	Eukarya	V	2.90E-16	71	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Oryzias latipes GN=TDRD7 (1 to many) PE=4 SV=1
N6TQX5_DENPD	Eukarya	A	3.20E-16	70.8	0.1	Uncharacterized protein (Fragment) OS=Dendroctonus ponderosae GN=YQE_11709 PE=4 SV=1
F6WY93_HORSE	Eukarya	V	5.80E-16	70	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Equus caballus GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0J9YJ00_DANRE	Eukarya	V	5.90E-16	69.9	0	Tudor domain-containing protein 7A (Fragment) OS=Danio rerio GN=tdrd7a PE=1 SV=2
U5EFJ8_9DIPT	Eukarya	A	9.50E-16	69.3	0.9	Putative oskar (Fragment) OS=Corethrella appendiculata PE=2 SV=1
H2USX7_TAKRU	Eukarya	V	9.60E-16	69.3	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Takifugu rubripes PE=4 SV=1
F1S6A1_PIG	Eukarya	V	1.10E-15	69.1	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Sus scrofa GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=2
T1DTM7_ANOAQ	Eukarya	A	1.10E-15	69	0	Uncharacterized protein (Fragment) OS=Anopheles aquasalis PE=2 SV=1
A0A060W2X9_ONCMY	Eukarya	V	2.20E-15	68.1	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Oncorhynchus mykiss GN=GSONMT00078733001 PE=4 SV=1
S7NG41_MYOBR	Eukarya	V	2.60E-15	67.9	0.1	Tudor domain-containing protein 5 OS=Myotis brandtii GN=D623_10022817 PE=4 SV=1
F7B4W0_MONDO	Eukarya	V	2.70E-15	67.8	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Monodelphis domestica GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=2
V9KH94_CALMI	Eukarya	V	3.50E-15	67.5	0	Tudor domain-containing protein 5 OS=Callorhinchus milii PE=2 SV=1
A0A0P7YHR6_9TELE	Eukarya	V	4.70E-15	67	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Scleropages formosus GN=Z043_114704 PE=4 SV=1
G1PFT9_MYOLU	Eukarya	V	5.70E-15	66.8	0.1	Uncharacterized protein OS=Myotis lucifugus GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
H3DL34_TETNG	Eukarya	V	7.70E-15	66.4	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Tetraodon nigroviridis PE=4 SV=1
A0A096NXU4_PAPAN	Eukarya	V	8.70E-15	66.2	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Papio anubis GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
F7GPY1_CALJA	Eukarya	V	1.10E-14	65.9	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Callithrix jacchus GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1

W5N030_LEPOC	Eukarya	V	1.20E-14	65.8	0.1	Uncharacterized protein OS=Lepisosteus oculatus PE=4 SV=1
M3VXB3_FELCA	Eukarya	V	1.30E-14	65.6	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Felis catus GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
A0A099ZTT8_TINGU	Eukarya	V	1.40E-14	65.6	0	Tudor domain-containing protein 7 OS=Tinamus guttatus GN=N309_12928 PE=4 SV=1
G3R6R4_GORGO	Eukarya	V	1.50E-14	65.5	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Gorilla gorilla gorilla GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
L9L889_TUPCH	Eukarya	V	1.60E-14	65.4	0.3	Tudor domain-containing protein 5 OS=Tupaia chinensis GN=TREES_T100015801 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0J7KVQ7_LASNI	Eukarya	A	1.70E-14	65.3	0	Tudor domain-containing protein 5 (Fragment) OS=Lasius niger GN=RF55_5458 PE=4 SV=1
E0VJL4_PEDHC	Eukarya	A	1.70E-14	65.3	0.9	Putative uncharacterized protein OS=Pediculus humanus subsp. corporis GN=Phum_PHUM247930 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0D9RID1_CHLSB	Eukarya	V	1.70E-14	65.2	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Chlorocebus sabaeus GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
E2BFZ8_HARSA	Eukarya	A	2.00E-14	65	0.9	Tudor domain-containing protein 7 OS=Harpegnathos saltator GN=EAI_14615 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0T6AU98_9SCAR	Eukarya	A	2.10E-14	65	3.9	Uncharacterized protein (Fragment) OS=Oryctes borbonicus GN=AMK59_7658 PE=4 SV=1
R9PXP1_CHICK	Eukarya	V	2.30E-14	64.8	0	Tudor domain-containing protein 7 OS=Gallus gallus GN=TDRD7 PE=4 SV=1
F7CN93_MACMU	Eukarya	V	2.70E-14	64.6	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Macaca mulatta GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0A0MPC8_CANLF	Eukarya	V	2.70E-14	64.6	0	Tudor domain-containing protein 5 OS=Canis lupus familiaris GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
M3Y1J3_MUSPF	Eukarya	V	2.90E-14	64.5	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Mustela putorius furo GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
H2N4J0_PONAB	Eukarya	V	3.00E-14	64.5	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Pongo abelii GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
H2Q0P6_PANTR	Eukarya	V	3.20E-14	64.4	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Pan troglodytes GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
W5LEX2_ASTMX	Eukarya	V	3.50E-14	64.3	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Astyanax mexicanus PE=4 SV=1
A0A024R910_HUMAN	Eukarya	V	4.00E-14	64.1	0	Tudor domain containing 5, isoform CRA_b OS=Homo sapiens GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0P6JFX9_HETGA	Eukarya	V	4.10E-14	64.1	0	Tudor domain-containing protein 5 isoform 2 OS=Heterocephalus glaber GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
H0WXJ6_OTOGA	Eukarya	V	4.70E-14	63.8	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Otolemur garnettii GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
H0V001_CAVPO	Eukarya	V	5.20E-14	63.7	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Cavia porcellus GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
G7NU06_MACFA	Eukarya	V	5.80E-14	63.6	0	Putative uncharacterized protein OS=Macaca fascicularis GN=EGM_01633 PE=4 SV=1

ID	Domain	Code	E-value	Score	Value	Description
G1S4T3_NOMLE	Eukarya	V	6.40E-14	63.4	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Nomascus leucogenys GN=TDRD7 PE=4 SV=1
G1M861_AILME	Eukarya	V	6.80E-14	63.3	0	Tudor domain-containing protein 5 OS=Ailuropoda melanoleuca GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
A0A061HYN9_CRIGR	Eukarya	V	7.10E-14	63.3	0	Tudor domain-containing protein 5 OS=Cricetulus griseus GN=H671_5g14992 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0F8AWR5_LARCR	Eukarya	V	1.00E-13	62.7	0	Tudor domain-containing protein 7A OS=Larimichthys crocea GN=EH28_10800 PE=4 SV=1
V5GPP4_ANOGL	Eukarya	A	1.10E-13	62.6	1.4	Tudor domain-containing protein (Fragment) OS=Anoplophora glabripennis GN=TDRD7 PE=4 SV=1
A0A087XQP1_POEFO	Eukarya	V	1.10E-13	62.6	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Poecilia formosa PE=4 SV=2
S4NW46_9NEOP	Eukarya	A	1.20E-13	62.5	0	Tudor domain containing 7 (Fragment) OS=Pararge aegeria PE=4 SV=1
L5KMV4_PTEAL	Eukarya	V	2.10E-13	61.8	0	Tudor domain-containing protein 7 OS=Pteropus alecto GN=PAL_GLEAN10008027 PE=4 SV=1
I3NCP3_ICTTR	Eukarya	V	2.40E-13	61.6	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Ictidomys tridecemlineatus GN=TDRD7 PE=4 SV=1
G1SCH8_RABIT	Eukarya	V	2.50E-13	61.5	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Oryctolagus cuniculus GN=TDRD7 PE=4 SV=1
Q3TTK4_MOUSE	Eukarya	V	2.50E-13	61.5	0	Putative uncharacterized protein (Fragment) OS=Mus musculus GN=Tdrd7 PE=2 SV=1
A0A0H2UHC6_RAT	Eukarya	V	3.90E-13	60.9	0	Tudor domain-containing protein 5 OS=Rattus norvegicus GN=Tdrd5 PE=4 SV=1
U6CRG5_NEOVI	Eukarya	V	3.90E-13	60.9	0	Tudor domain-containing protein 7 OS=Neovison vison GN=TDRD7 PE=2 SV=1
K7FVR3_PELSI	Eukarya	V	5.10E-13	60.5	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Pelodiscus sinensis GN=TDRD5 PE=4 SV=1
J4K9P6_9FIRM	Bacteria	F	2.90E-07	42.1	31.8	NYN domain protein OS=Peptostreptococcaceae bacterium AS15 GN=HMPREF1142_1162 PE=4 SV=1
E0QL58_9FIRM	Bacteria	F	0.00014	33.5	29.1	Uncharacterized protein OS=[Eubacterium] yurii subsp. margaretiae ATCC 43715 GN=HMPREF0379_1756 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0G0IVI6_9BACT	Bacteria	?	0.0025	29.5	1.9	Uncharacterized protein OS=candidate division TM6 bacterium GW2011_GWA2_36_9 GN=US32_C0002G0021 PE=4 SV=1

67
68

Supplementary Table S4: List of sequences and their BLAST results used for phylogenetic analysis of the OSK domain.

70 The sequences were obtained by searching the TrEMBL database using hmmsearch and the final HMM generated for OSK (Supplementary
71 files: HMM>OSK.hmm). Reported parameters are as described for Supplementary Table S3. To obtain sequences for each entry, either
72 search UniProt directly (https://www.uniprot.org/) or consult the final alignment in Supplementary Files: Alignments>OSK_TREE.fasta.
73 Phylum Abbreviations: A = Arthropoda; Ar = Archaea; As = Ascomycota; B = Bacteroidetes; C = Cyanobacteria; Eu = Euryarchaeota; F =
74 Firmicutes; Fu = Fungi; P = Proteobacteria
75

Accession Number	Domain	Phylum	E-value	Score	Bias	Description of target
A1Y1T7_DROIM	Eukarya	A	2.90E-34	128.4	0.6	Oskar OS=Drosophila immigrans GN=osk PE=4 SV=1
F2WJY6_9HYME	Eukarya	A	3.50E-34	128.2	0.9	Oskar (Fragment) OS=Messor pergandei PE=2 SV=1
B4JTJ1_DROGR	Eukarya	A	5.00E-34	127.6	0.1	GH23955 OS=Drosophila grimshawi GN=Dgri\GH23955 PE=4 SV=1
F4WQN7_ACREC	Eukarya	A	8.10E-34	127	0.9	Maternal effect protein oskar OS=Acromyrmex echinatior GN=G5I_08127 PE=4 SV=1
E2A7I8_CAMFO	Eukarya	A	2.80E-33	125.2	0.4	Putative uncharacterized protein OS=Camponotus floridanus GN=EAG_03874 PE=4 SV=1
W8CE30_CERCA	Eukarya	A	5.80E-33	124.2	0.3	Maternal effect protein oskar OS=Ceratitis capitata GN=OSKA PE=2 SV=1
A0A0A1XRQ4_BACCU	Eukarya	A	7.50E-33	123.9	0.4	Maternal effect protein oskar OS=Bactrocera cucurbitae GN=osk PE=4 SV=1
B4N815_DROWI	Eukarya	A	8.10E-33	123.8	0.3	Uncharacterized protein OS=Drosophila willistoni GN=Dwil\GK11117 PE=4 SV=2
A0A026WMY1_CERBI	Eukarya	A	2.40E-32	122.3	0.7	Maternal effect protein oskar OS=Cerapachys biroi GN=X777_01612 PE=4 SV=1
E9IZ46_SOLIN	Eukarya	A	2.50E-32	122.2	0.1	Putative uncharacterized protein (Fragment) OS=Solenopsis invicta GN=SINV_01516 PE=4 SV=1
A0A034WRF5_BACDO	Eukarya	A	2.70E-32	122.1	0.5	Maternal effect protein oskar OS=Bactrocera dorsalis GN=OSKA PE=4 SV=1
A0A0K8U7J3_BACLA	Eukarya	A	5.70E-32	121	0.5	Maternal effect protein oskar OS=Bactrocera latifrons GN=osk_2 PE=4 SV=1
Q2PP79_AEDAE	Eukarya	A	6.30E-32	120.9	0.1	Oskar OS=Aedes aegypti PE=4 SV=1
B4K9E5_DROMO	Eukarya	A	8.60E-32	120.5	0.1	Uncharacterized protein OS=Drosophila mojavensis GN=Dmoj\GI10055 PE=4 SV=2
B4LXK5_DROVI	Eukarya	A	1.20E-31	120	0.1	Oskar OS=Drosophila virilis GN=osk PE=4 SV=1
A0A0M4F3M8_DROBS	Eukarya	A	1.80E-31	119.4	0.4	Osk OS=Drosophila busckii GN=Dbus_chr3Rg607 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0L0CP24_LUCCU	Eukarya	A	2.90E-31	118.8	1.5	Uncharacterized protein OS=Lucilia cuprina GN=FF38_12727 PE=4 SV=1
B4PTX6_DROYA	Eukarya	A	1.00E-30	117	0.5	Uncharacterized protein OS=Drosophila yakuba GN=Dyak\GE25914 PE=4 SV=1

B3P1W4_DROER	Eukarya	A	2.70E-30	115.6	0.5	GG13545 OS=Drosophila erecta GN=Dere\GG13545 PE=4 SV=1
T1PG45_MUSDO	Eukarya	A	4.20E-30	115.1	0.7	GDSL-like Lipase/Acylhydrolase OS=Musca domestica PE=2 SV=1
B4HKZ1_DROSE	Eukarya	A	5.20E-30	114.8	0.2	GM23770 OS=Drosophila sechellia GN=Dsec\GM23770 PE=4 SV=1
Q295Q4_DROPS	Eukarya	A	6.40E-30	114.5	0.2	Uncharacterized protein, isoform A OS=Drosophila pseudoobscura pseudoobscura GN=Dpse\GA10627 PE=4 SV=2
E8NH25_DROME	Eukarya	A	7.30E-30	114.3	0.2	RE24380p (Fragment) OS=Drosophila melanogaster GN=osk-RA PE=2 SV=1
T1DTM7_ANOAQ	Eukarya	A	1.00E-29	113.8	0	Uncharacterized protein (Fragment) OS=Anopheles aquasalis PE=2 SV=1
B3LZ06_DROAN	Eukarya	A	2.20E-28	109.5	0.1	Uncharacterized protein OS=Drosophila ananassae GN=Dana\GF17692 PE=4 SV=1
E1A883_NASVI	Eukarya	A	4.20E-28	108.6	0.2	Oskar OS=Nasonia vitripennis PE=2 SV=1
A0A084WRU4_ANOSI	Eukarya	A	1.30E-27	107.1	0.1	AGAP003545-PA-like protein OS=Anopheles sinensis GN=ZHAS_00021239 PE=4 SV=1
W5JJ85_ANODA	Eukarya	A	4.50E-27	105.3	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Anopheles darlingi GN=AND_005442 PE=4 SV=1
Q7PQJ3_ANOGA	Eukarya	A	6.00E-27	104.9	0	AGAP003545-PA OS=Anopheles gambiae GN=osk PE=4 SV=3
B0WIV7_CULQU	Eukarya	A	1.30E-26	103.9	0.4	Oskar OS=Culex quinquefasciatus GN=CpipJ_CPIJ007471 PE=2 SV=1
U5EFJ8_9DIPT	Eukarya	A	4.00E-25	99.1	0	Putative oskar (Fragment) OS=Corethrella appendiculata PE=2 SV=1
A0A126GUR4_DROME	Eukarya	A	3.10E-24	96.2	0.3	Oskar, isoform D OS=Drosophila melanogaster GN=osk PE=4 SV=1
A0A059PGF2_9MUSC	Eukarya	A	3.10E-24	96.2	0.5	GA10627 (Fragment) OS=Drosophila pseudoobscura GN=GA10627 PE=4 SV=1
K4MTL4_GRYBI	Eukarya	A	1.20E-23	94.2	0.2	Oskar OS=Gryllus bimaculatus PE=2 SV=1
A0A0C9QHR7_9HYME	Eukarya	A	5.40E-22	89	0	Osk protein OS=Fopius arisanus GN=osk PE=4 SV=1
A0A059PF64_9MUSC	Eukarya	A	1.90E-19	80.8	0.7	GA10627 (Fragment) OS=Drosophila pseudoobscura GN=GA10627 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0J7KH44_LASNI	Eukarya	A	1.40E-13	61.9	0	Maternal effect protein oskar (Fragment) OS=Lasius niger GN=RF55_10783 PE=4 SV=1
E2BYH0_HARSA	Eukarya	A	4.00E-12	57.3	0	Putative uncharacterized protein OS=Harpegnathos saltator GN=EAI_08923 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0J6BBM7_BREBE	Bacteria	F	3.10E-10	51.2	0	Lysophospholipase OS=Brevibacillus brevis GN=AB432_04505 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0H0SJ00_9BACL	Bacteria	F	9.60E-10	49.6	0	Lysophospholipase OS=Brevibacillus formosus GN=AA984_15375 PE=4 SV=1
E9I8K8_SOLIN	Bacteria	A	5.90E-09	47.1	1	Putative uncharacterized protein (Fragment) OS=Solenopsis invicta GN=SINV_16199 PE=4 SV=1
J3A568_9BACL	Bacteria	F	5.80E-08	43.9	0	Lysophospholipase L1-like esterase OS=Brevibacillus sp. BC25 GN=PMI05_03395 PE=4 SV=1

G2HS43_9PROT	Bacteria	P	1.10E-07	43	1	Lipolytic protein OS=Arcobacter sp. L GN=ABLL_2651 PE=4 SV=1
E6L4E3_9PROT	Bacteria	P	1.70E-07	42.4	0.7	Lipolytic protein OS=Arcobacter butzleri JV22 GN=HMPREF9401_1319 PE=4 SV=1
R5GT16_9FIRM	Bacteria	F	2.60E-07	41.8	0	GDSL-like protein OS=Eubacterium sp. CAG:786 GN=BN782_00012 PE=4 SV=1
A0A078KJ49_9FIRM	Bacteria	F	3.40E-07	41.4	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=[Clostridium] cellulosi GN=CCDG5_0508 PE=4 SV=1
A8EWS4_ARCB4	Bacteria	P	3.80E-07	41.3	0.7	Lipolytic enzyme, GDSL domain OS=Arcobacter butzleri (strain RM4018) GN=Abu_2183 PE=4 SV=1
S5PEQ8_9PROT	Bacteria	P	4.00E-07	41.2	0.4	Lipolytic enzyme, GDSL domain protein OS=Arcobacter butzleri 7h1h GN=A7H1H_2115 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0G9K3L5_9PROT	Bacteria	P	4.00E-07	41.2	0.6	Lipolytic protein OS=Arcobacter butzleri L348 GN=AA20_04280 PE=4 SV=1
R6T7B3_9BACE	Bacteria	B	4.80E-07	41	0	GDSL-like protein OS=Bacteroides sp. CAG:770 GN=BN777_00744 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0A6S1U2_STRUB	Bacteria	F	4.90E-07	40.9	0.2	Acylneuraminate cytidylyltransferase OS=Streptococcus uberis GN=NC01_08240 PE=4 SV=1
W3AC50_9BACL	Bacteria	F	5.50E-07	40.8	0.3	Uncharacterized protein OS=Planomicrobium glaciei CHR43 GN=G159_16940 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0M1UPT0_9PROT	Bacteria	P	5.50E-07	40.8	0.4	Lipolytic protein OS=Arcobacter butzleri ED-1 GN=ABED_1978 PE=4 SV=1
U6EVC2_CLOTA	Bacteria	F	6.30E-07	40.6	0.7	Platelet activating factor acetylhydrolase-likeprotein OS=Clostridium tetani 12124569 GN=BN906_00617 PE=4 SV=1
A0A098EIL2_9BACL	Bacteria	F	7.90E-07	40.3	0.1	Multifunctional acyl-CoA thioesterase I and protease I and lysophospholipase L1 OS=Planomicrobium sp. ES2 GN=BN1080_01055 PE=4 SV=1
A0A069S7Q5_9PORP	Bacteria	B	1.10E-06	39.9	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Parabacteroides distasonis str. 3776 Po2 i GN=M090_4091 PE=4 SV=1
Q897X6_CLOTE	Bacteria	F	1.20E-06	39.7	0.8	Platelet activating factor acetylhydrolase-like protein OS=Clostridium tetani (strain Massachusetts / E88) GN=CTC_00594 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0J9FZD4_9PORP	Bacteria	B	1.30E-06	39.6	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Parabacteroides sp. D26 GN=HMPREF1000_00856 PE=4 SV=1
K9WJ28_9CYAN	Bacteria	C	1.60E-06	39.3	1.2	Lysophospholipase L1-like esterase OS=Microcoleus sp. PCC 7113 GN=Mic7113_4114 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0G1KN57_9BACT	Bacteria	?	3.70E-06	38.1	0	Secreted protein OS=candidate division WWE3 bacterium GW2011_GWC2_44_9 GN=UW82_C0006G0015 PE=4 SV=1
R5VMZ1_9FIRM	Bacteria	F	5.00E-06	37.7	0.1	GDSL-like protein OS=Firmicutes bacterium CAG:631 GN=BN742_01282 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0L0WAR6_CLOPU	Bacteria	F	7.20E-06	37.2	0.4	Lysophospholipase L1 OS=Clostridium purinilyticum GN=CLPU_6c00720 PE=4 SV=1
R5S0B3_9BACE	Bacteria	B	7.30E-06	37.2	0	GDSL-like protein OS=Bacteroides sp. CAG:545 GN=BN702_00435 PE=4 SV=1

A0A073IAZ3_9PORP	Bacteria	B	7.60E-06	37.1	0	Uncharacterized protein OS=Porphyromonas sp. 31_2 GN=HMPREF1002_01104 PE=4 SV=1
R4JC30_9BACT	Bacteria	?	7.90E-06	37.1	0.1	Uncharacterized protein OS=uncultured bacterium BAC25G1 GN=metaSSY_00600 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0C1UEU0_9CLOT	Bacteria	F	7.90E-06	37	0.8	GDSL-like Lipase/Acylhydrolase family protein OS=Clostridium argentinense CDC 2741 GN=U732_2423 PE=4 SV=1
R5LL12_9FIRM	Bacteria	F	1.00E-05	36.7	0	GDSL-like protein OS=Eubacterium sp. CAG:115 GN=BN470_02036 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0E3QN36_METBA	Archaea	Eu	1.20E-05	36.5	0.2	Putative tesA-like protease OS=Methanosarcina barkeri str. Wiesmoor GN=MSBRW_2234 PE=4 SV=1
B7KKA6_CYAP7	Bacteria	C	1.60E-05	36.1	0.3	Lipolytic protein G-D-S-L family OS=Cyanothece sp. (strain PCC 7424) GN=PCC7424_2577 PE=4 SV=1
R2P1Z4_9ENTE	Bacteria	F	1.60E-05	36	0.1	Uncharacterized protein OS=Enterococcus raffinosus ATCC 49464 GN=UAK_02837 PE=4 SV=1
A0A072Y8N5_9CLOT	Bacteria	F	1.80E-05	35.9	1.5	Acetylhydrolase OS=Clostridium sp. K25 GN=Z957_08245 PE=4 SV=1
K8GFE2_9CYAN	Bacteria	C	2.00E-05	35.8	0.1	Lysophospholipase L1-like esterase OS=Oscillatoriales cyanobacterium JSC-12 GN=OsccyDRAFT_3941 PE=4 SV=1
A0A095ZDI3_9FIRM	Bacteria	F	2.00E-05	35.8	0.3	Uncharacterized protein OS=Tissierellia bacterium S7-1-4 GN=HMPREF1634_08565 PE=4 SV=1
E1YW67_9PORP	Bacteria	B	2.20E-05	35.6	0	GDSL-like protein OS=Parabacteroides sp. 20_3 GN=HMPREF9008_00759 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0M0WNM0_9BACI	Bacteria	F	2.60E-05	35.4	0.4	Lipase OS=Bacillus sp. FJAT-21351 GN=AMS61_13120 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0G3CFD7_METBA	Archaea	Eu	2.80E-05	35.3	0.1	GDSL family lipase/acylhydrolase OS=Methanosarcina barkeri CM1 GN=MCM1_0752 PE=4 SV=1
F6DQC0_DESRL	Bacteria	F	3.40E-05	35	0	Lipolytic protein G-D-S-L family OS=Desulfotomaculum ruminis (strain ATCC 23193 / DSM 2154 / NCIB 8452 / DL) GN=Desru_1430 PE=4 SV=1
D5DGY9_BACMD	Bacteria	F	3.50E-05	35	0.5	Lipase/Acylhydrolase (GDSL) OS=Bacillus megaterium (strain DSM 319) GN=BMD_3140 PE=4 SV=1
A5N8N5_CLOK5	Bacteria	F	3.70E-05	34.9	1.6	Uncharacterized protein OS=Clostridium kluyveri (strain ATCC 8527 / DSM 555 / NCIMB 10680) GN=CKL_1624 PE=4 SV=1
R7ADB4_9BACE	Bacteria	F	3.90E-05	34.8	0.2	Uncharacterized protein OS=Bacteroides pectinophilus CAG:437 GN=BN656_00903 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0H1UPZ9_STRAG	Bacteria	F	4.30E-05	34.7	0.2	Acylneuraminate cytidylyltransferase OS=Streptococcus agalactiae GN=WA03_09270 PE=4 SV=1
A0A094AE00_9PEZI	Eukarya	As	0.00036	31.7	0.1	Uncharacterized protein (Fragment) OS=Pseudogymnoascus sp. VKM F-4281 (FW-2241) GN=V493_03380 PE=4 SV=1
A0A0L1HYX4_9PLEO	Eukarya	As	0.00066	30.9	0	Carbohydrate esterase family 3 protein OS=Stemphylium lycopersici GN=TW65_91054 PE=4 SV=1

G2QGB0_MYCTT	Eukarya	As	0.00074	30.7	0.1	Carbohydrate esterase family 3 protein OS=Myceliophthora thermophila (strain ATCC 42464 / BCRC 31852 / DSM 1799) GN=MYCTH_53698 PE=4 SV=1
E3RJZ5_PYRTT	Eukarya	As	0.0014	29.8	0	Putative uncharacterized protein OS=Pyrenophora teres f. teres (strain 0-1) GN=PTT_08513 PE=4 SV=1
G2QVW9_THITE	Eukarya	As	0.0066	27.7	0.1	Carbohydrate esterase family 3 protein OS=Thielavia terrestris (strain ATCC 38088 / NRRL 8126) GN=THITE_2042744 PE=4 SV=1
G0S9F4_CHATD	Eukarya	As	0.01	27.1	0	Putative uncharacterized protein OS=Chaetomium thermophilum (strain DSM 1495 / CBS 144.50 / IMI 039719) GN=CTHT_0045680 PE=4 SV=1

 Supplementary Table S5: List of genomes analyzed for codon use.
This table lists the 17 genomes that were downloaded and analyzed for codon use as described in
"*Selection of sequences for codon use analysis*" in Methods. All genomes can be downloaded from
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/browse#!/overview/. The table lists the species name (*Species*),
family (*Family*) and Order (*Order*), NCBI genome accession number (*Genome ID*), and the *oskar*
NCBI Nucleotide accession number (*oskar Nucleotide ID*).

Species	Family	Order	Genome ID	Oskar Nucleotide ID¬†
Drosophila melanogaster	Drosophilidae	Diptera	GCA_001014345.1	NM_169248.4
Nasonia vitripennis	Pteromalidae	Hymenoptera	GCA_000002325.2	HM535628.1
Culex quinquefasciatus	Culicidae	Diptera	GCA_000209185.1	EU517695.1
Drosophila virilis	Drosophilidae	Diptera	GCA_000005245.1	L22556.1
Ceratitis capitata	Tephritidae	Diptera	GCA_000347755.4	LOC101450245
Musca domestica	Muscidae	Diptera	GCA_000371365.1	LOC101890691
Acromyrmex echinatior	Formicidae	Hymenoptera	GCA_000204515.1	LOC105147973
Harpegnathos saltator	Formicidae	Hymenoptera	GCA_000147195.1	LOC105187957
Bactrocera dorsalis	Tephritidae	Diptera	GCA_000789215.2	LOC105232054
Fopius arisanus	Braconidae	Hymenoptera	GCA_000806365.1	LOC105267990
Athalia rosae	Tenthrendinidae	Hymenoptera	GCA_000344095.2	LOC105692731
Orussus abietinus	Orussidae	Hymenoptera	GCA_000612105.2	LOC105696794
Stomoxys calcitrans	Muscidae	Diptera	GCA_001015335.1	LOC106086381
Bactrocera oleae	Tephritidae	Diptera	GCA_001188975.2	LOC106622417
Copidosoma floridanum	Encyrtidae	Hymenoptera	GCA_000648655.2	LOC106642594
Polistes canadensis	Vespidae	Hymenoptera	GCA_001313835.1	LOC106790143
Neodiprion lecontei	Diprionidae	Hymenoptera	GCF_001263575.1	LOC107223453