***Wolbachia pipientis* occurs in *Aedes aegypti* populations in New Mexico and Florida, USA**

Aditi Kulkarni[1] | Wanqin Yu[1] | Jinjin Jiang[1] | Concepcion Sanchez[1] | Ajit K. Karna[1] | Kalli J.L.

Martinez[1] | Kathryn A. Hanley[1] | Michaela Buenemann[2] | Immo A. Hansen[1] | Rui-de Xue[3] |Paul

Ettestad[4] | Sandra Melman[4] | Dagne Duguma[5] | Mustapha Debboun[5] | Jiannong Xu[1#]

[1] Biology Department, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico, USA

[2] Department of Geography, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico, USA

[3] Anastasia Mosquito Control District, St. Augustine, Florida, USA

[4] New Mexico Department of Health, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA

[5] Harris County Public Health, Mosquito and Vector Control Division, Houston, Texas, USA

[#]Address correspondence to Jiannong Xu, jxu@nmsu.edu.

Running title: Natural infection of *Wolbachia* in *Aedes aegypti*

ABSTRACT

The mosquitoes *Aedes aegypti* (L.) and *Ae. albopictus* Skuse are the major vectors of dengue, Zika, yellow fever and chikungunya viruses worldwide. *Wolbachia*, an endosymbiotic bacterium present in many insects, is being utilized in novel vector control strategies to manipulate mosquito life history and vector competence to curb virus transmission. Earlier studies have found that *Wolbachia* is commonly detected in *Ae. albopictus* but rarely detected in *Ae. aegypti*. In this study, we used a two-step PCR assay to detect *Wolbachia* in wild-collected samples of *Ae. aegypti*. The PCR products were sequenced to validate amplicons and identify *Wolbachia* strains. A loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay was developed and used for detecting *Wolbachia* in selected mosquito specimens as well. We found *Wolbachia* in 85/148 (57.4%) wild *Ae. aegypti* specimens from various cities in New Mexico and in 2/46 (4.3%) from St. Augustine, Florida. We did not detect *Wolbachia* in 94 samples of *Ae. aegypti* from Deer Park, Harris County, Texas. *Wolbachia* detected in *Ae. aegypti* from both New Mexico and Florida was the *w*AlbB strain of *Wolbachia pipientis*. A *Wolbachia* positive colony of *Ae. aegypti* was established from pupae collected in Las Cruces, New Mexico in 2018. The infected females of this strain transmitted *Wolbachia* to their progeny when crossed with males of Rockefeller strain of *Ae. aegypti*, which does not carry *Wolbachia*. In contrast, none of the progeny of progeny of Las Cruces males mated to Rockefeller females were infected with *Wolbachia*.

KEYWORDS. *Wolbachia*, *w*AlbB, *Aedes aegypti*, *Aedes albopictus*, New Mexico, Florida, Texas

1 | INTRODUCTION

Wolbachia are obligate intracellular bacteria found in a wide range of terrestrial arthropods and nematodes (Werren, Baldo, & Clark, 2008). The bacterium was discovered in the reproductive tissues (testes and ovaries) of the mosquito *Culex pipiens* L. by Hertig and Wolbach in 1924 (Hertig & Wolbach, 1924) and was formally described as *Wolbachia pipientis* by Hertig in 1936 (Hertig, 1936). About 60-70% of all insect species harbor *Wolbachia*, including some mosquito species (Hilgenboecker, Hammerstein, Schlattmann, Telschow, & Werren, 2008). *Wolbachia* can be a powerful reproductive manipulator, inducing cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI), parthenogenesis, feminization of males, and male killing in various host species (Werren et al., 2008). These properties have been exploited for development of *Wolbachia* as a novel strategy for vector mosquito control. *Wolbachia*-induced CI favors the reproductive success and spread of colonized females in populations, which can be used to drive desirable traits, including resistance to infection with vector-borne pathogens, into a population. On the other hand, infected mosquito males can cause CI in a population with the presence of different *Wolbachia* strains or no infection, which can be used for sterile insect technique (SIT) to decrease vector populations (Flores & O'Neill, 2018).

Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti (L.) and *Ae. (Stegomyia) albopictus* Skuse are the major vectors for the transmission of several arthropod-borne viruses (arboviruses) among humans, particularly dengue, Zika, yellow fever, and chikungunya viruses. *Wolbachia* is commonly found in *Ae. albopictus* (de Albuquerque, Magalhaes, & Ayres, 2011; Joanne et al., 2015; Kitrayapong, Baimai, & O'Neill, 2002), but until recently *Ae. aegypti* was thought not to carry this bacterium (Gloria-Soria, Chiodo, & Powell, 2018; Kitrayapong et al., 2002; Kittayapong, Baisley, Baimai, & O'Neill, 2000). However, *Wolbachia* sequences were found in wild *Ae. aegypti* in a few recent

investigations. *Wolbachia* 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequencing reads (operational taxonomic

units, OTUs) were detected in *Ae. aegypti* larvae collected from Jacksonville, Florida (Coon,

Brown, & Strand, 2016) as well as in two *Ae. aegypti* adult pools collected from Thailand

(Thongsripong et al., 2017).   More recently, *Wolbachia* 16S reads were detected in a few

individuals of *Ae. aegypti* collected from Houston, Texas, though the regular PCR to amplify

other *Wolbachia* genes was not successful (Hegde et al., 2018).

Establishing the prevalence of *Wolbachia* in *Ae. aegypti* is critical to public health,

because over the past decade, *Ae. aegypti* transinfected with *Wolbachia* have been generated

with the goal of blocking transmission of dengue virus (Bian, Xu, Lu, Xie, & Xi, 2010; Bull &

Turelli, 2013; Hoffmann et al., 2011; McMeniman et al., 2009; O'Neill, 2018; Walker et al.,

2011). This approach was initially aimed at shortening mosquito lifespan below the extrinsic

incubation period of the virus (McMeniman et al., 2009), but in the course of these experiments

it was discovered that transinfection of *Ae. aegypti* with *Wolbachia* strain *w*MelPop also blocks

dengue and chikungunya virus infections of the mosquito (Moreira et al., 2009). A successful

large field trial in Australia showed a stable establishment and slow but steady spread of released

Ae. aegypti transinfected with *w*Mel in the study area (Schmidt et al., 2017). However, if a

population of *Ae. aegypti* were to harbor an autochthonous strain of *Wolbachia*, then this native

strain would have a high potential to prevent invasion of a virus-blocking strain that exhibits

incompatibility with the native strain (Hoffmann, Ross, & Rasic, 2015).   This effect was

demonstrated in a study of *Ae. albopictus*, wherein the *w*Mel transinfected line produced

complete bidirectional incompatibility with a wildtype line carrying *w*AlbA and *w*AlbB, with 0%

hatch rate from crossing between females of either strain with males of the other strain

(Blagrove, Arias-Goeta, Failloux, & Sinkins, 2012). On the other hand, complete CI could favor

SIT for population reduction.

As part of a project to map the distribution of *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* in New

Mexico in 2017 and characterize their mosquito microbiota, we unexpectedly detected

Wolbachia 16S rRNA gene amplicon in wild-caught *Ae. aegypti* in Las Cruces, New Mexico. A

more comprehensive survey was then conducted using a two-step PCR assay, which revealed a

57.4% prevalence of *Wolbachia* carriage in 148 specimens of *Ae. aegypti* collected from eight

cities across New Mexico. *Wolbachia* was also detected in two of 48 specimens of *Ae. aegypti*

from St. Augustine, Florida (4.2% prevalence), but not detected in 94 specimens of *Ae. aegypti*

from Deer Park, Harris County, Texas. A *Wolbachia* infected *Ae. aegypti* strain was established

from wild pupae collected from Las Cruces. The cross of the strain with the *Wolbachia*

uninfected Rockefeller strain demonstrated maternal transmission of *Wolbachia* to progeny.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Mosquito collections and species identification

Aedes aegypti and *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes were collected using gravid and sentinel

traps in New Mexico in 2017 by the SouthWest *Aedes* Research and Mapping (SWARM) project

team, in Florida in 2016 by the Anastasia Mosquito Control District, and in Texas in 2018 by the

Harris County Public Health Mosquito and Vector Control Division. The location details of the

samples are presented in Table 1 and Figure 1. Although *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* were

commonly collected in the same trap in Texas, they were never collected in the same trap in New

Mexico or Florida. Mosquitoes were sorted and identified as *Ae. aegypti* or *Ae. albopictus* based

on morphology. The species identity was confirmed by a species-diagnostic PCR assay that

amplifies species-specific fragments of internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) of ribosomal DNA,

as described by (Higa, Toma, Tsuda, & Miyagi, 2010). The primers used in this study are listed

in Table S1. The PCR was conducted using $2 \times$ PCR master mix (MCLab, South San Francisco,

CA) with ~20 ng DNA, 0.2 µM primers, and cycling parameters as: 35 cycles of denaturing at

94°C for 15 seconds, annealing at 55°C for 15 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 30 seconds

with extra 5 min in the last cycle for final extension.

2.2 | DNA isolation, Bacterial 16S rDNA PCR, cloning and sequencing

Mosquito specimens from traps were desiccated in most cases. For each mosquito

specimen, the abdomen was separated from the thorax by pulling gently with tweezers that were

cleaned with 75% ethanol between samples. Abdomens were used for detecting associated

microbiota. Metagenomic DNA was isolated individually from each abdomen using DNAzol

following the manufacturer's protocol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). Briefly, one

abdomen was homogenized in 100 µl DNAzol, and centrifuged at 12,000 $\times$g for 10 min.

Supernatant was transferred to a new tube and 50 µl ethanol was added, and the tube was

centrifuged at 12,000 $\times$g for 10 min for DNA precipitation. The DNA pellet was dissolved in 30

µl H_2O. A bacterial 16S rDNA fragment covering V1 to V3 was amplified from individual DNA

using primers 27F and 519R (Table S1), as we previously reported (Wang, Gilbreath, Kukutla,

Yan, & Xu, 2011). PCR was run using $2\times$ PCR master mix with 0.2 µM primers and cycling

parameters: 35 cycles of denaturing at 94°C for 15 seconds, annealing at 52°C for 15 seconds,

and extension at 72°C for 30 seconds with extra 5 min in the last cycle for final extension. PCR

products were purified and cloned into the plasmid pMiniT 2.0 using a NEB PCR cloning kit

(New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) following manufacturer's instructions. Colony PCR was

conducted to amplify the inserts using SP6 forward and T7 reverse primers. The PCR products

with a size of ~ 500 bp were sent for Sanger sequencing at a commercial provider (MCLab).

2.3 | *Wolbachia* PCR assays and sequencing

The PCR assays using primer sets for the *Wolbachia gatB* and *ftsZ* gene from (Baldo et

al., 2006) (Table S1), were used for detecting *Wolbachia* in mosquitoes. PCR was run using 2×

PCR master mix (MCLab) with a primer concentration of 0.2 µM and the following cycling

parameters: 35 cycles of denaturing at 94°C for 15 seconds, annealing at a temperature optimal

for the amplicon (Table S1) for 15 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 30 seconds with an extra 5

min in the last cycle for final extension. For specimens that showed a faint band or no visible

band after a *Wolbachia* target PCR, a second round PCR was conducted. The first round PCR

product was diluted 100 times with H_2O and 1 µl was used as template for the second PCR. In

85 *Wolbachia* positive specimens from NM, 8 showed a clear band in the first PCR and the

remaining specimens showed a faint or no band in the first PCR but a clear band in the second

PCR.

To validate the *Wolbachia* detection in *Ae. aegypti*, we designed primers to amplify

fragments from two *Wolbachia* genes encoding phosphoesterase (PE) and diaminopimelate

epimerase (DE) based on the draft genomes of *w*AlbB (Mavingui et al., 2012) and *w*AlbA

(GenBank accession NWVK00000000.1). The sequences of the two genes have distinctive inter-

strain differences, enabling the design of strain specific primers (Table S1). A subset of

specimens that were positive from the first or second PCR were subjected to the validation PCR

and sequencing. The products were sequenced at MCLab, and the sequences were deposited in

GenBank; the accession numbers are presented in Table S2.

2.4 |Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) assay

Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) was developed as an additional assay

for the detection of *Wolbachia* in mosquitoes. Oligonucleotides for LAMP were designed using

Primer   Explorer   V5   software   available   on   the   website

(http://primerexplorer.jp/lampv5e/index.html). The sequences of oligos for the 16S rRNA gene

are listed in Table S1. The LAMP reactions were conducted using a NEB LAMP kit with Bst 3.0

(M0374, NEB). The reaction mixture, consisting of 1X isothermal amplification buffer II, 6 mM

$MgSO_4$, 1.4 mM of each of the deoxynucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs), 1.6 µM Forward Inner

Primer/Backward Inner Primer, 0.4 µM F3/B3 primers, 0.8 µM Loop Forward/Backward, 8U of

Bst 3.0 DNA polymerase, and 1 µl genomic DNA in a total volume of 25 µl, was incubated at

65°C for 60 min in a T100 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad). The amplified products (10 µl) were run

on 2% agarose gel and visualized under UV light. For all the tests, a positive control (DNA from

a female *Wolbachia*-infected *Ae. albopictus*), a negative control (DNA from females of *Ae.*

aegypti Rockefeller strain) and a no template control (nuclease-free water) were used.

2.5| Maternal transmission of *Wolbachia* in Las Cruces strain

In August 2017, a colony of *Ae. aegypti* was established from pupae (n=8) collected from

a larval habitat in a residential area in Las Cruces. The eclosed adults were confirmed to carry

Wolbachia by PCR and LAMP assays as described above. Unfortunately, the colony was lost in

January 2018. In September 2018, a new colony was initiated from the pupae (n=77) collected

from the same location in August 2017. Again, the eclosed adults tested positive for *Wolbachia*

The strain was named the LC (Las Cruces, NM) strain. To test whether *Wolbachia* can be

transmitted to the progeny maternally, crosses between LC and Rockefeller (Rock) strains were

conducted, which included a cross of virgin females (LC) × males (Rock), and a cross of virgin

females (Rock) × males (LC). Rockefeller strain of *Ae. aegypti* is known not to carry *Wolbachia*

(0% infected out of the 10 individuals screened with LAMP assay). Each cross included 50

females and 30 males of the respective strains. All cages were maintained at 28°C, 72% RH and

fed on 20% sucrose till day 3. On day 4, females were blood fed on the forearm of a human

volunteer. Eggs were collected at three days post feeding and reared to adults. The progeny

adults from the respective crosses were screened for *Wolbachia* by LAMP assay.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Prevalence of *Wolbachia* in *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* populations in New Mexico

Aedes aegypti and *Ae. albopictus* occur in the state of New Mexico (Hahn et al., 2017;

Hahn et al., 2016). In 2017, we conducted a survey to map the distribution and characterize the

microbiomes of both species in New Mexico. To profile bacteriomes associated with wild *Ae.*

aegypti collected from Las Cruces, a bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA gene fragment was amplified

by PCR using primers 27F and 519R. The PCR products were cloned and sequenced to identify

bacterial taxa, and a total of 80 sequences were obtained from 8 individuals. Unexpectedly, 10

sequences of *Wolbachia* 16S amplicons were identified in six of eight individuals. We then

conducted a large survey by screening 148 specimens collected from eight cities across New

Mexico, which largely span the distribution of *Ae. aegypti* in the state (Figure 1), by amplifying

Wolbachia gatB or *ftsZ* (Table 2). Selected positive PCR products were validated by sequencing.

Out of 148 specimens tested, 85 were *Wolbachia* positive, the overall prevalence was 57.4%

(Tables 2 & 3). *Aedes albopictus* is less common in New Mexico and is only present on the

eastern part of the state, and those collected from Clovis (n=12) and Roswell (n=1) were assayed

for *Wolbachia* (Table 4). Among the 13 specimens, 11 carried both the *w*AlbA and *w*AlbB

strains and one carried *w*AlbB only. The overall *Wolbachia* prevalence in *Ae. albopictus* in New

Mexico was 92.3% (Table 4 & 5). We also amplified DNA fragments of two additional

Wolbachia genes, encoding PE and DE. The amplicons of *Wolbachia* 16S rDNA, *gatB*, *ftsZ, PE*

and *DE* were confirmed by sequencing. In *Ae. aegypti* specimens, only *w*AlbB sequences were

detected. Representative sequences were deposited in GenBank (Table S2).

In August 2017, a local *Ae. aegypti* colony was derived from larvae collected in Las

Cruces, NM. *Wolbachia* was detected in two of four females and two of four males that eclosed

from the pupae. The infection persisted in F1 as well, 14/33 females (42.4%) and 14 of 14 males

(100%) of F1 adults examined were positive for *Wolbachia*. Unfortunately, the colony was lost

in January 2018 because of a failure of egg hatching at F3 generation. In September 2018, a new

colony of *Ae. aegypti* was initiated from the pupae collected from the same location in Las

Cruces. The strain, named LC, was *Wolbachia* positive, with a prevalence of 84.6% of the 13

individuals screened.

3.2 | Prevalence of *Wolbachia* in *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* in Florida

Coon and colleagues (2016) previously reported the presence of *Wolbachia* in the larvae

of *Ae. aegypti* collected from Jacksonville, Florida. We therefore examined the specimens of *Ae.*

aegypti collected in July 2016 from St. Augustine, Florida, which is approximately 50 miles

south of Jacksonville. Among 46 specimens screened, one male and one female were *w*AlbB

positive, with a prevalence of 4.3% (Table 3). The *w*AlbA strain was not detected in these

specimens. As expected, *Wolbachia* infection occurred at a high prevalence in *Ae. albopictus*.

Among the 38 specimens tested, 35 were co-infected with *w*AlbA and *w*AlbB, and one carried

only *w*AlbB. The overall *Wolbachia* prevalence in *Ae. albopictus* was 92.1% (Table 5). *Ae.*

aegypti and *Ae. albopictus* were sampled at different sites in St. Augustine (Table 1).

3.3 | Prevalence of *Wolbachia* in *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* in southeastern Texas

In 2018, we screened 98 *Ae. aegypti* and 32 *Ae. albopictus* collected from a neighborhood

in Deer Park, Harris County, Texas (Table 1). No *Wolbachia* was detected in *Ae. aegypti*. The

overall prevalence of *Wolbachia* infection was 81.3% in *Ae. albopictus*. Among the 26

specimens positive for *Wolbachia*, 15 carried both *w*AlbA and *w*AlbB, two carried *w*AlbA only,

and nine carried *w*AlbB only (Table 5).

3.4 | LAMP assays

The LAMP assay is a sensitive method for detecting low-abundance target DNA in a

sample (Notomi et al., 2000). Goncalves Dda et al. (2014) developed a LAMP assay for

Wolbachia detection in insects (Goncalves Dda, Cassimiro, de Oliveira, Rodrigues, & Moreira,

2014). Recently, Bhadra et al. (2018) reported a specific and sensitive assay that combines

LAMP and oligonucleotide strand displacement (OSD) for detecting both species identity and

Wolbachia. The *Wolbachia* density appears to be low in most infected specimens of *Ae. aegypti*.

To corroborate the results from the *Wolbachia* PCR assay, we developed a LAMP assay to detect

Wolbachia. The LAMP assay was sensitive and detected target *Wolbachia* DNA in infected *Ae.*

aegypti directly. As shown in Figure 2, *Wolbachia* positive samples yield a ladder of bands

between 200 bp-1kb, and a ~100 bp band. *Wolbachia* negative samples show an accumulation of

oligos around 50 bp. The infected *Ae. albopictus* specimen could be detected at 100 times

dilution of template, but not at 500 times dilution, while the infected *Ae. aegypti* specimen could

not be detected at 20 times dilution. Figure 2 shows a representative result of LAMP assay on the

Wolbachia positive and negative specimens from New Mexico, Florida, and Texas.

3.5| Maternal transmission of *Wolbachia* occurs in Las Cruces strain

Wolbachia are known to be transmitted vertically from female to the offspring (Werren et

al., 2008). To test the occurrence of vertical transmission of *Wolbachia* in LC strain, crosses

were set up between the adults of LC strain and Rockefeller strain (Rock). The F2 generation of

LC strain was used for the experiment. From the LC females and males used for the crosses, five

specimens from each sex were randomly selected and examined by the LAMP assay. They were

all *Wolbachia* positive. The crosses between females (LC) × males (Rock) and females (Rock) ×

males (LC) both yielded viable progenies. The egg hatch rate was significantly higher in F/LC ×

M/Rock (98/463, 21.2%) than in M/LC × F/Rock (39/475, 8.2%), (Chi square, P<0.01). The

progenies were screened for the presence of *Wolbachia* by the LAMP assay. As shown in Figure

3, the progenies of F/LC × M/Rock were *Wolbachia* positive (10/10, 100%), while the progenies

of M/LC × F/Rock were *Wolbachia* negative (10/10, 100%). The results clearly demonstrated the

maternal transmission of *Wolbachia* in LC *Ae. aegypti*. .

4 | DISCUSSION

Wolbachia is commonly associated with wild *Ae. albopictus* around the world. However,

Wolbachia has not been detected in wild *Ae. aegypti* in previous surveys, until recently. In the

study by Coon et al. (2016), two *Wolbachia* 16S rDNA OTUs were detected in a pool of 30

larvae of *Ae. aegypti* that were collected from one of five larval sites in Jacksonville, Florida in

June 2014. The *Wolbachia* OTUs were detected in another collection from the same location in

July 2014, and both *w*AlbA and *w*AlbB were detected based on the sequence comparison of

several *Wolbachia* genes. The prevalence of *Wolbachia* in the *Ae. aegypti* population was not

investigated in this study (Coon et al., 2016). Similarly, *Wolbachia* 16S OTUs were detected in

two pools of 10 and 25 *Ae. aegypti* respectively, collected in the suburb and urban areas of

Thailand (Thongsripong et al., 2017).   In a study by Hegde et al. (2018), a small number of

Wolbachia 16S rDNA reads were found in a few *Ae. aegypti* individuals collected from Houston,

Texas, but the results were not validated by PCR using several *Wolbachia* genes. Our survey of

Florida mosquitoes was consistent with previous results, detecting a low prevalence (4.3%) of

Wolbachia in *Ae. aegypti* from St. Augustine, Florida (Table 3). Similarly, no *Wolbachia* was

detected in 94 *Ae. aegypti* specimens from Deer Park, Texas.

In contrast, the screening of wild populations of *Ae. aegypti* from eight cities in New

Mexico revealed a high prevalence (15.8-100%, average of 57.4%) of *Wolbachia*, a level

unprecedented for this species. The infection was validated by sequencing the PCR amplicons

from the *ftsZ, gatB, DE and PE* genes (Table S2). These sequences were identical to the

sequences of respective genes in the genome of *w*AblB strain (Mavingui et al., 2012), indicating

the *Wolbachia* detected in the *Ae. aegypti* samples belongs to the *w*AlbB strain.

A local *Ae. aegypti* strain LC was established from the pupae collected in the fall of 2018.

The LC strain was *Wolbachia* positive. LC females were able to pass *Wolbachia* infection to

their progeny when crossed with males of *Wolbachia*-free Rockefeller strain (Figure 3), while

the infected LC males were did not produce *Wolbachia*- infected offspring when crossed with

Rockefeller females, demonstrating maternal transmission.   Taken together, the molecular

detection of *Wolbachia* in NM *Ae. aegypti* populations and establishment of *Wolbachia* positive

colonies in both 2017 and 2018 indicated a persistent transmission of *Wolbachia* with the wild

Ae. aegypti populations. Furthermore, the maternal transmission of *Wolbachia* to the progeny in

the crosses of infected LC and uninfected Rockefeller strains ultimately provided satisfactory

evidence that the presence of *Wolbachia* sequences in *Ae. aegypti* reflects authentic infection

rather than environmental contamination or *Wolbachia* sequences derived from commensal or

parasitic species, such as nematodes, within the mosquitoes.

Recently, Gloria-Soria et al. (2018) reported screening for *Wolbachia* in 2,663 specimens

of *Ae. aegypti* from 27 countries, including 60 specimens from Las Cruces, New Mexico, and no

Wolbachia was detected in these samples. Their screen was conducted on DNA pools of up to 20

individuals. In our survey, we screened individual mosquitoes, and *Wolbachia* density in *Ae.*

aegypti was substantially lower than in *Ae. albopictus*. Moreover, most positive specimens were

identified by two rounds of PCR. We tested the sensitivity of our PCR assay using a DNA pool

comprising 19 *Wolbachia* negative individuals and one positive individual for both *Ae. aegypti*

and *Ae. albopictus*. *Wolbachia* could be detected in the pool containing DNA from the single

positive *Ae. albopictus* specimen, but not in the pool containing DNA from the single positive *Ae.*

aegypti specimen (data not shown). *Wolbachia* titer shows striking variation in infected

individuals of *Ae. albopictus* (Ahantarig, Trinachartvanit, & Kittayapong, 2008; Calvitti, Marini,

Desiderio, Puggioli, & Moretti, 2015). For example, in wild-caught *Ae. albopictus* in North and

Central Italy, 30.8-50.0% of infected male *Ae. albopictus* had a low titer of *w*AlbA, which was

not detectable by a standard PCR, but detectable by a quantitative PCR assay, while in the

remaining males having higher *Wolbachia* densities it was detectable by a standard PCR (Calvitti

et al., 2015). Similarly, *Wolbachia* load also varies substantially in *Ae. aegypti* into which the

bacterium has been artificially transinfected (Ant, Herd, Geoghegan, Hoffmann, & Sinkins,

2018). The *Wolbachia* load was quite low in the *Ae. aegypti* samples in our study. Therefore, we

hypothesize that assay sensitivity explains the different results between our study and that of

Gloria-Soria et al. (2018).

The conspicuous variation in the prevalence of *Wolbachia* infection in different

populations of *Ae. aegypti* among the three states and eight cities within New Mexico raises

several compelling questions. Chief among them are 'what factors contribute to the low density

of *Wolbachia* in *Ae. aegypti* relative to *Ae. albopictus?'*, and 'what makes the New Mexico

populations more susceptible/hospitable to *Wolbachia* than other populations?'

Wolbachia density variation is common in natural populations of different insect hosts

(Ahantarig et al., 2008; Unckless, Boelio, Herren, & Jaenike, 2009), however, it is not clear

whether the variation is driven by genetic or environmental variation or both. A recent study

revealed an amplification of a genome region that harbors a cluster of eight genes, called

Octomom, which is responsible for the over-replication and virulence of *w*MelPop in *Drosophila*

melanogaster. The copy number of Octomom correlates with *Wolbachia* titers (Chrostek &

Teixeira, 2015). Environmental factors such as temperature may play a role as well. A recent

study in *D. melanogaster* demonstrated that *Wolbachia* abundance was higher when host flies

developed at lower temperature (13°C and 23°C compared to 31°C) (Moghadam et al., 2018).

Additionally, mosquito genetic background may affect *Wolbachia* prevalence. It has been shown

that *Ae. aegypti* populations from Las Cruces, New Mexico, Houston, Texas, and four locations

of Florida are genetically distinct (Pless et al., 2017). Further studies are needed to tease out the

roles of these potential drivers of *Wolbachia* presence and abundance in *Ae. aegypti*.

Another critical question raised by our study is how *Ae. aegypti* acquires a strain of

Wolbachia associated with *Ae. albopictus* and how this strain impacts *Ae. aegypti* life history and

pathogen susceptibility. *Ae. aegypti* can be artificially transinfected with different *Wolbachia*

strains, and the artificial infection can be introduced into natural populations and spread in nature

(Frentiu et al., 2014; Hoffmann et al., 2011; Schmidt et al., 2017). The *w*AlbB has been

successfully introduced into *Ae. aegypti* to form a line with inherited infection (Xi, Khoo, &

Dobson, 2005). Interestingly, the Toll and IMD pathways favor establishment and maintenance

of *w*AlbB infection in the line; the knockdown of Toll and IMD by RNA interference reduces the

*w*AlbB load, while the transgenic activation of Toll and IMD increases the load (Pan et al., 2018).

It appears that transinfected *Wolbachia* can exploit host immunity for a symbiotic association.

Our survey revealed the prevalence of *w*AlbB in *Ae. aegypti* natural populations in New Mexico,

and an infected colony has been established from wild-collected mosquitoes. This provides an

opportunity to study the natural *Ae. aegypti-Wolbachia* association and its impact on various

mosquito life traits, such as reproductive manipulation, as well as interference with viral

transmission.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the participants of SouthWest *Aedes* Research and Mapping project (SWARM);

Jennifer Corrado at Anastasia Mosquito Control District in St. Augustine, FL; and Elaine Chu at

Harrison County Public Health, Mosquito and Vector Control Division in Houston, TX for their

contributions to the mosquito sampling. This work was supported by New Mexico Department of

Health contract to Kathryn A. Hanley, Michaela Buenemann, Immo A. Hansen and Jiannong Xu.

Concepcion Sanchez was an undergraduate research scholar supported by the Institutional

Development Award (IDeA) from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the

National Institutes of Health under grant number P20GM103451. The funder had no roles in the

study design, data collection and analysis, or decision to publish. The content is solely the

responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the

National Institutes of Health and the Department of Health of New Mexico.

COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

JX conceived the study design. KJLM, DD, KH and MD collected mosquitoes. AK, WY,

JJ, CS AKK conducted assays and data analysis. JX, AK, MB and AKK drafted the manuscript,

KAH, DD, MD, MB, IAH and RX critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and

approved the manuscript.

DATA ACCESSEBILITY

DNA sequences were deposited in GenBank under accession number MH732668-

MH732670, MH734116-MH734121.

REFRENCES

Ahantarig, A., Trinachartvanit, W., & Kittayapong, P. (2008). Relative *Wolbachia* density of field-
collected *Aedes albopictus* mosquitoes in Thailand. *J Vector Ecol, 33*(1), 173-177.
Ant, T. H., Herd, C. S., Geoghegan, V., Hoffmann, A. A., & Sinkins, S. P. (2018). The *Wolbachia* strain
wAu provides highly efficient virus transmission blocking in *Aedes aegypti*. *PLoS Pathog, 14*(1),
e1006815. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006815
Baldo, L., Dunning Hotopp, J. C., Jolley, K. A., Bordenstein, S. R., Biber, S. A., Choudhury, R. R., . . .
Werren, J. H. (2006). Multilocus sequence typing system for the endosymbiont *Wolbachia
pipientis*. *Appl Environ Microbiol, 72*(11), 7098-7110. doi:10.1128/AEM.00731-06
Bian, G., Xu, Y., Lu, P., Xie, Y., & Xi, Z. (2010). The endosymbiotic bacterium *Wolbachia* induces
resistance to dengue virus in *Aedes aegypti*. *PLoS Pathog, 6*(4), e1000833.
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000833
Blagrove, M. S., Arias-Goeta, C., Failloux, A. B., & Sinkins, S. P. (2012). *Wolbachia* strain wMel
induces cytoplasmic incompatibility and blocks dengue transmission in *Aedes albopictus*. *Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A, 109*(1), 255-260. doi:10.1073/pnas.1112021108
Bull, J. J., & Turelli, M. (2013). *Wolbachia* versus dengue: Evolutionary forecasts. *Evol Med Public
Health, 2013*(1), 197-207. doi:10.1093/emph/eot018

Calvitti, M., Marini, F., Desiderio, A., Puggioli, A., & Moretti, R. (2015). *Wolbachia* density and
cytoplasmic incompatibility in *Aedes albopictus*: concerns with using artificial *Wolbachia*
infection as a vector suppression tool. *PLoS One, 10*(3), e0121813.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121813
Chrostek, E., & Teixeira, L. (2015). Mutualism breakdown by amplification of *Wolbachia* genes. *PLoS*
Biol, 13(2), e1002065. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1002065
Coon, K. L., Brown, M. R., & Strand, M. R. (2016). Mosquitoes host communities of bacteria that are
essential for development but vary greatly between local habitats. *Mol Ecol, 25*(22), 5806-5826.
doi:10.1111/mec.13877
de Albuquerque, A. L., Magalhaes, T., & Ayres, C. F. (2011). High prevalence and lack of diversity of
Wolbachia pipientis in *Aedes albopictus* populations from Northeast Brazil. *Mem Inst Oswaldo*
Cruz, 106(6), 773-776.
Flores, H. A., & O'Neill, S. L. (2018). Controlling vector-borne diseases by releasing modified
mosquitoes. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. doi:10.1038/s41579-018-0025-0
Frentiu, F. D., Zakir, T., Walker, T., Popovici, J., Pyke, A. T., van den Hurk, A., . . . O'Neill, S. L. (2014).
Limited dengue virus replication in field-collected *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes infected with
Wolbachia. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis, 8*(2), e2688. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0002688
Gloria-Soria, A., Chiodo, T. G., & Powell, J. R. (2018). Lack of Evidence for Natural *Wolbachia*
Infections in *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *J Med Entomol*. doi:10.1093/jme/tjy084
Goncalves Dda, S., Cassimiro, A. P., de Oliveira, C. D., Rodrigues, N. B., & Moreira, L. A. (2014).
Wolbachia detection in insects through LAMP: loop mediated isothermal amplification. *Parasit*
Vectors, 7, 228. doi:10.1186/1756-3305-7-228
Hahn, M. B., Eisen, L., McAllister, J., Savage, H. M., Mutebi, J. P., & Eisen, R. J. (2017). Updated
Reported Distribution of *Aedes* (*Stegomyia*) *aegypti* and *Aedes* (*Stegomyia*) *albopictus* (Diptera:
Culicidae) in the United States, 1995-2016. *J Med Entomol, 54*(5), 1420-1424.
doi:10.1093/jme/tjx088
Hahn, M. B., Eisen, R. J., Eisen, L., Boegler, K. A., Moore, C. G., McAllister, J., . . . Mutebi, J. P. (2016).
Reported Distribution of *Aedes* (*Stegomyia*) *aegypti* and *Aedes* (*Stegomyia*) *albopictus* in the
United States, 1995-2016 (Diptera: Culicidae). *J Med Entomol*. doi:10.1093/jme/tjw072
Hegde, S., Khanipov, K., Albayrak, L., Golovko, G., Pimenova, M., Saldaña, M. A., . . . Hughes, G. L.
(2018). Microbiome Interaction Networks and Community Structure From Laboratory-Reared
and Field-Collected *Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus,* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* Mosquito
Vectors. *Frontiers in Microbiology, 9*(2160). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.02160
Hertig, M. (1936). The Rickettsia, *Wolbachia pipientis* (gen. et sp.n.) and Associated Inclusions of the
Mosquito, *Culex pipiens*. *Parasitology, 28*(4), 453-486.
Hertig, M., & Wolbach, S. B. (1924). Studies on Rickettsia-Like Micro-Organisms in Insects. *J Med Res,*
44(3), 329-374 327.
Higa, Y., Toma, T., Tsuda, Y., & Miyagi, I. (2010). A multiplex PCR-based molecular identification of
five morphologically related, medically important subgenus *Stegomyia* mosquitoes from the
genus *Aedes* (Diptera: Culicidae) found in the Ryukyu Archipelago, Japan. *Jpn J Infect Dis, 63*(5),
312-316.
Hilgenboecker, K., Hammerstein, P., Schlattmann, P., Telschow, A., & Werren, J. H. (2008). How many
species are infected with *Wolbachia*?--A statistical analysis of current data. *FEMS Microbiol Lett,*
281(2), 215-220. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01110.x
Hoffmann, A. A., Montgomery, B. L., Popovici, J., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Johnson, P. H., Muzzi, F., . . .
O'Neill, S. L. (2011). Successful establishment of *Wolbachia* in *Aedes* populations to suppress
dengue transmission. *Nature, 476*(7361), 454-457. doi:10.1038/nature10356
Hoffmann, A. A., Ross, P. A., & Rasic, G. (2015). *Wolbachia* strains for disease control: ecological and
evolutionary considerations. *Evol Appl, 8*(8), 751-768. doi:10.1111/eva.12286

Joanne, S., Vythilingam, I., Yugavathy, N., Leong, C. S., Wong, M. L., & AbuBakar, S. (2015).
Distribution and dynamics of *Wolbachia* infection in Malaysian *Aedes albopictus*. *Acta Trop, 148*,
38-45. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2015.04.003
Kitrayapong, P., Baimai, V., & O'Neill, S. L. (2002). Field prevalence of *Wolbachia* in the mosquito
vector *Aedes albopictus*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg, 66*(1), 108-111.
Kittayapong, P., Baisley, K. J., Baimai, V., & O'Neill, S. L. (2000). Distribution and diversity of
Wolbachia infections in Southeast Asian mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae). *J Med Entomol, 37*(3),
340-345.
Mavingui, P., Valiente Moro, C., Tran-Van, V., Wisniewski-Dye, F., Raquin, V., Minard, G., . . .
Gonzalez, V. (2012). Whole-genome sequence of *Wolbachia* strain wAlbB, an endosymbiont of
tiger mosquito vector *Aedes albopictus*. *J Bacteriol, 194*(7), 1840. doi:10.1128/JB.00036-12
McMeniman, C. J., Lane, R. V., Cass, B. N., Fong, A. W., Sidhu, M., Wang, Y. F., & O'Neill, S. L.
(2009). Stable introduction of a life-shortening *Wolbachia* infection into the mosquito *Aedes
aegypti*. *Science, 323*(5910), 141-144. doi:10.1126/science.1165326
Moghadam, N. N., Thorshauge, P. M., Kristensen, T. N., de Jonge, N., Bahrndorff, S., Kjeldal, H., &
Nielsen, J. L. (2018). Strong responses of *Drosophila melanogaster* microbiota to developmental
temperature. *Fly (Austin), 12*(1), 1-12. doi:10.1080/19336934.2017.1394558
Moreira, L. A., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Jeffery, J. A., Lu, G., Pyke, A. T., Hedges, L. M., . . . O'Neill, S. L.
(2009). A *Wolbachia* symbiont in *Aedes aegypti* limits infection with dengue, Chikungunya, and
Plasmodium. *Cell, 139*(7), 1268-1278. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.11.042
Notomi, T., Okayama, H., Masubuchi, H., Yonekawa, T., Watanabe, K., Amino, N., & Hase, T. (2000).
Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res, 28*(12), E63.
O'Neill, S. L. (2018). The Use of *Wolbachia* by the World Mosquito Program to Interrupt Transmission
of *Aedes aegypti* Transmitted Viruses. *Adv Exp Med Biol, 1062*, 355-360. doi:10.1007/978-981-
10-8727-1_24
Pan, X., Pike, A., Joshi, D., Bian, G., McFadden, M. J., Lu, P., . . . Xi, Z. (2018). The bacterium
Wolbachia exploits host innate immunity to establish a symbiotic relationship with the dengue
vector mosquito *Aedes aegypti*. *ISME J, 12*(1), 277-288. doi:10.1038/ismej.2017.174
Pless, E., Gloria-Soria, A., Evans, B. R., Kramer, V., Bolling, B. G., Tabachnick, W. J., & Powell, J. R.
(2017). Multiple introductions of the dengue vector, *Aedes aegypti*, into California. *PLoS Negl
Trop Dis, 11*(8), e0005718. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005718
Schmidt, T. L., Barton, N. H., Rasic, G., Turley, A. P., Montgomery, B. L., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., . . .
Turelli, M. (2017). Local introduction and heterogeneous spatial spread of dengue-suppressing
Wolbachia through an urban population of *Aedes aegypti*. *PLoS Biol, 15*(5), e2001894.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.2001894
Thongsripong, P., Chandler, J. A., Green, A. B., Kittayapong, P., Wilcox, B. A., Kapan, D. D., & Bennett,
S. N. (2017). Mosquito vector-associated microbiota: Metabarcoding bacteria and eukaryotic
symbionts across habitat types in Thailand endemic for dengue and other arthropod-borne
diseases. *Ecol Evol, 8*(2), 1352-1368. doi:10.1002/ece3.3676
Unckless, R. L., Boelio, L. M., Herren, J. K., & Jaenike, J. (2009). *Wolbachia* as populations within
individual insects: causes and consequences of density variation in natural populations. *Proc Biol
Sci, 276*(1668), 2805-2811. doi:10.1098/rspb.2009.0287
Walker, T., Johnson, P. H., Moreira, L. A., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Frentiu, F. D., McMeniman, C. J., . . .
Hoffmann, A. A. (2011). The wMel *Wolbachia* strain blocks dengue and invades caged *Aedes
aegypti* populations. *Nature, 476*(7361), 450-453. doi:10.1038/nature10355
Wang, Y., Gilbreath, T. M., 3rd, Kukutla, P., Yan, G., & Xu, J. (2011). Dynamic gut microbiome across
life history of the malaria mosquito *Anopheles gambiae* in Kenya. *PLoS One, 6*(9), e24767.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0024767
Werren, J. H., Baldo, L., & Clark, M. E. (2008). *Wolbachia*: master manipulators of invertebrate biology.
Nat Rev Microbiol, 6(10), 741-751. doi:10.1038/nrmicro1969

Xi, Z., Khoo, C. C., & Dobson, S. L. (2005). *Wolbachia* establishment and invasion in an *Aedes aegypti*
laboratory population. *Science, 310*(5746), 326-328. doi:10.1126/science.1117607

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Maps of the sites where *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes were sampled in this study. No. of

Wolbachia positive/No. of tested (%) was displayed in sampling sites.

Figure 2. LAMP detection of *Wolbachia* 16S rDNA. 1,2 = *Ae. aegypti* (NM); 3,4 = *Ae. aegypti*

(FL); 5,6 = *Ae. aegypti* (TX); 7 = Marker; 8 = *Ae. aegypti* (NM-1, 1:20 dilution); 9 = *Ae.*

albopictus (NM, 1:100 dilution); 10 = *Ae. albopictus* (NM, 1:500 dilution); 11 = *Ae.*

aegypti Rockefeller; 12 = No template control. +: *Wolbachia* positive; -: *Wolbachia*

negative.

Figure 3. Maternal transmission of *Wolbachia* in LC strain. *Wolbachia* detection in the

progeny of the respective crosses. (**A**) 1-10= Progeny of the cross between females (LC) and

males (Rock), 11 =Marker, 12 = *Ae. albopictus* (NM, 1:20 dilution), 13= *Ae. aegypti* Rockefeller,

14= No template control. +: *Wolbachia* positive, -: *Wolbachia* negative. **(B)** 1-10= Progeny of

the cross between females (Rock) and males (LC), 11 =Marker, 12 = *Ae. albopictus* (NM, 1:20

dilution), 13= *Ae. aegypti* Rockefeller, 14= No template control. +: *Wolbachia* positive, -:

Wolbachia negative.

Additional files

Table S1. **Primer sets used in the study**

Table S2. **GenBank accession numbers of *Wolbachia* sequences**