An ER CREC family protein regulates the egress proteolytic cascade in malaria parasites

Manuel A. Fierro[1,2], Beejan Asady[1], Carrie F. Brooks[1], Alejandra Villegas[1,2], Silvia N.J. Moreno[1,2], and Vasant Muralidharan[1,2]

[1]Center for Tropical and Emerging Global Diseases

[2]Department of Cellular Biology

University of Georgia, Athens, GA, 30602, USA

Address correspondence to Vasant Muralidharan, vasant@uga.edu

Abstract

The endoplasmic reticulum is thought to play an essential role during egress of malaria parasites because the ER is assumed to be the calcium (Ca^{2+}) signaling hub and required for biogenesis of egress organelles. However, no proteins localized to the parasite ER have been shown to play a role in egress of malaria parasites. In this study, we generated conditional mutants of the *Plasmodium falciparum* Endoplasmic Reticulum-resident Calcium-binding protein (PfERC), a member of the CREC family. Knockdown of PfERC shows that this gene is essential for asexual growth of *P. falciparum*. Analysis of the intraerythocytic lifecycle revealed that PfERC is required for parasite egress and invasion. We found that PfERC knockdown prevents the rupture of the parasitophorous vacuole membrane. This is because PfERC knockdown inhibited the proteolytic maturation of the subtilisin-like serine protease, SUB1, and the essential SUB1 substrate, the merozoite surface protein 1. PfERC knockdown further inhibited the proteolytic maturation of the essential invasion ligand, Apical Membrane Antigen 1 (AMA1), which occurs during egress. These data establish the ER-resident CREC family protein, PfERC, as a key early regulator of the egress proteolytic cascade of malaria parasites.

Introduction

Members of the phylum *Apicomplexa* are responsible for severe human diseases such as malaria, toxoplasmosis, and cryptosporidiosis. Together, this group of obligate intracellular parasites causes several hundred million infections every year and remains one of the major drivers of infant mortality (1-4). In fact, malaria results in nearly half a million deaths each year and most of the mortality is attributed to one species, *Plasmodium falciparum*. All the clinical symptoms of malaria are directly correlated to the asexual lifecycle of malaria parasites within the host red blood cells.

The egress and subsequent invasion of daughter parasites into the host cells are essential for the propagation of apicomplexan parasites. Both egress and invasion are ordered and essential processes which are regulated by signaling pathways dependent upon the second messengers, cGMP and Ca^{2+} (5-9). Upon invading the host cell, the parasites create and reside within a host-derived vacuole called the parasitophorous vacuole (PV). Within this vacuole, the parasites grow and divide into daughter cells, which must egress from the host cell to complete the life cycle. This event is triggered by the activation of a cGMP dependent protein kinase (PKG) and the inhibition of PKG activity blocks egress (6, 10, 11). Ca^{2+}-signaling also induces egress although it is uncertain whether this pathway works downstream (7) or synergistically with cGMP signaling (6, 12, 13). For example, studies have shown that blocking the release of Ca^{2+} from intracellular stores using cell permeable Ca^{2+} chelators blocks egress in malaria parasites (13-15). It has been suggested that this release of Ca^{2+} into the cytoplasm comes from the parasite ER; however, the parasite genome lacks identifiable orthologs of ligand-gated Ca^{2+} channels such as the inositol 1,4,5,-triphosphate or ryanodine receptors (16). Increase in cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} is then thought to activate calcium dependent protein kinases (CDPKs) resulting in release of egress-related vesicles (12, 17).

In malaria parasites, these egress-related vesicles contain specific proteases that require proteolytic processing to be activated (18-20). For example, one such pivotal enzyme is the serine protease, Subtilisin 1 (SUB1), which undergoes two cleavage events. First, the zymogen undergoes Ca^{2+} dependent autoprocessing in the ER (21, 22) and then, it is cleaved again by the aspartic protease, Plasmepsin X (PMX) (19, 20). The release of the processed form of SUB1 into the parasitophorous vacuole (PV) commits

the parasites for egress resulting in the rapid (~10 minutes) breakdown of the
parasitophorous vacuole membrane (PVM) (18, 23). Then, substrates of SUB1 such as
merozoite surface protein 1 (MSP1) and serine-repeat antigen 6 (SERA6) help
breakdown the RBC cytoskeleton and the RBC membrane (RBCM) (24, 25). Once egress
is completed, the merozoites subsequently invade fresh RBCs to start the 48-hour
asexual cycle again. Like egress, invasion requires specific secretory events such as
fusion of micronemes to the merozoite membrane and secretion of rhoptry contents into
the host cell, which provides the ligand-receptor pair essential for driving the parasite into
the host cell (26, 27).

The parasite endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is thought to play a key role in egress
and invasion of daughter merozoites. The putative functions of the parasite ER during
these lifecycle stages, include biogenesis of the specific egress and invasion related
organelles, transporting proteins to these organelles, and propagating Ca^{2+} signals
essential for egress and invasion (28, 29). However, none of the proteins responsible for
these ER-related functions during egress and invasion of apicomplexan parasites have
been identified. One potential candidate is the ER-resident calcium binding protein PfERC
(PF3D7_1108600). In malaria parasites, PfERC is the only protein with identifiable Ca^{2+}-
binding domains localized to the ER and it is capable of binding Ca^{2+} (30). However, the
biological function of PfERC is unknown. To address this, we used CRISPR/Cas9 based
gene editing approach to generate conditional mutants of PfERC. The conditional mutants
allowed us to determine that this ER-resident protein controls the nested proteolytic
cascade in *P. falciparum* that regulates the egress of malaria parasites from human
RBCs.

Results

PfERC is an CREC family protein localized in the ER

PfERC is a protein related to the CREC (Calumenin, Reticulocalbin 1 and 3, ERC-
55, Cab-45) family of proteins, which are characterized by the presence of multiple EF-
hands and localization in various parts of the secretory pathway (31, 32) (Figure 1A and
Supplementary Figure 1). PfERC contains a signal peptide, multiple EF-hands, and an
ER-retention signal (Figure 1A). The domain structure of PfERC is homologous to other

members of the CREC family of proteins (Figure 1A and Supplementary Figure 1).

However, PfERC differs from its mammalian homologs in that it only contains 5 predicted

EF-hands although a 6th degenerate EF-hand (residues 314-325) may be present in its

extended C-terminus (Supplementary Figure 1) (30). Various roles have been attributed

to CREC members including Ca^{2+} signaling and homeostasis, and one member, RCN3,

has been shown to interact with the subtilisin-like peptidase, PACE4, though the

functional significance of this interaction is unknown (31, 33). As PfERC expression peaks

during early schizont stage parasites, we hypothesized that PfERC is required for egress

of daughter parasites during this terminal stage of the asexual lifecycle (30, 34) (Figure

1A).

Generating conditional mutants of PfERC

In order to determine the biological role of PfERC, we used CRISPR/Cas9 gene

editing to generate conditional mutants of PfERC. In these parasite lines, the endogenous

locus of PfERC was tagged with the inducible ribozyme, *glmS* or the inactive version of

the ribozyme, *M9* (termed PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* respectively) (Figure 1B and 1C)

(35). PCR analysis of DNA isolated from PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasite clones

from two independent transfections demonstrate the correct insertion of the

hemagglutinin (HA) tag and the *glmS/M9* ribozymes at the endogenous PfERC locus

(Figure 1D). We detected expression of PfERC fused to the HA tag in the PfERC-*glmS*

and PfERC-*M9* clones at the expected size and but not in the parental line (Figure 1E).

Immunofluorescence microscopy confirmed that PfERC localized to the ER by co-staining

with anti-HA and anti-BiP antibodies (Figure 1F).

To determine if PfERC was essential for intraerythrocytic survival, we grew

asynchronous PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasites in the presence of glucosamine

(GlcN), which activates the *glmS* ribozyme leading to mRNA cleavage (Figure 1B). We

observed a reproducible reduction of PfERC expression in PfERC-*glmS* parasites while

there was no reduction in PfERC expression in PfERC-*M9* parasites grown under

identical conditions (Figure 2A and 2B). Importantly, this reduction in PfERC levels

inhibited the asexual expansion of PfERC-*glmS* parasites, while the PfERC-*M9* parasites

were able to grow normally under the same conditions (Figure 2C). This inhibition of the

asexual growth of PfERC-*glmS* parasites was dose-dependent upon GlcN
(Supplementary figure 2A).

<u>PfERC is essential for schizont to ring transition</u>

Since our data show that PfERC was essential for growth within the host RBC, we
used synchronous parasites to determine which asexual stage was affected by
knockdown. We added GlcN to synchronized schizonts and observed the morphological
development of the asexual stages at regular intervals during the intraerythrocytic life
cycle (Figure 2D). All intracellular stages were morphologically normal in both PfERC-
glmS and PfERC-*M9* parasites grown with GlcN (Figure 2D and Supplementary Figure
2B). However, 55hrs after addition of GlcN, the PfERC-*glmS* parasites remained either
as morphologically normal schizonts or were observed as daughter merozoites in the
extracellular space as well as some that were attached to RBCs (Figure 2D). On the other
hand, PfERC-*M9* parasites were able to egress and re-invade fresh RBCs and developed
into ring stage parasites (Figure 2D and Supplementary figure 2B).

These data suggest that knockdown of PfERC resulted in a defect in the
conversion of schizonts into rings. To test this, we induced knockdown and observed the
conversion of schizonts into rings via flow cytometry at 44, 48, and 56 h post-addition of
GlcN. We found that over the course of 12 hours, PfERC-*M9* parasites transitioned from
schizonts to rings as determined by the ring:schizont ratio while PfERC-*glmS* parasites
were unable to convert from schizonts into rings resulting in a drastically reduced ratio
(Figure 2E). Using synchronized PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasites, treated as in
Figure 2D, we observed the final hours of the asexual lifecycle using thin blood smears
and quantified parasites using flow cytometry (Supplementary Figure 2B and Figure 2F-
H). These data show that there was a delay in the disappearance of the morphologically
normal PfERC-*glmS* schizonts over the final few hours of the asexual life cycle compared
to PfERC-*M9* schizonts, suggesting that knockdown of PfERC led to a defect in egress
(Figure 2F and 2G). Consequently, the delayed egress lead to reduced numbers of ring
stage parasites in PfERC-*glmS* parasites unlike PfERC-*M9* parasites (Figure 2F and 2H).

<u>PfERC is not required for calcium storage</u>

Since PfERC resides in the ER and possesses Ca^{2+} binding domains, we
hypothesized that PfERC is required for egress because it plays a role in Ca^{2+}
homeostasis in the ER. To test this model, synchronized PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9*
schizonts were incubated with GlcN and allowed to proceed through one asexual cycle
until they formed schizonts again. The second cycle schizonts were isolated using
saponin lysis and loaded with Fluo-4AM to measure cytosolic Ca^{2+} (Supplementary
Figure 3A). To assess if the storage of Ca^{2+} in the ER of the parasite was affected by
knockdown of PfERC, we added the SERCA-Ca^{2+} ATPase inhibitor, Cyclopiazonic acid
(CPA), to these saponin-isolated parasites (Supplementary Figure 3A and 3B) (36).
Inhibiting the SERCA-Ca^{2+} ATPase allows Ca^{2+} stored in the ER to leak into the
cytoplasm, which results in a detectable change in the fluorescence of Fluo-4AM
(Supplementary Figure 3B). Our measurements show that there was no difference in the
amount of Ca^{2+} that leaked from the parasite ER, upon SERCA-Ca^{2+} ATPase inhibition,
between PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts (Supplementary Figure 3B).

To test if there was a defect in Ca^{2+} storage in neutral stores, we used the
ionophore, Ionomycin, which releases Ca^{2+} from all neutral stores in the cell and
measured the release of Ca^{2+} into the cytoplasm of PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9*
schizonts. The parasites were isolated as described above and the changes in
cytoplasmic Ca^{2+} were measured using Fluo-4AM (Supplementary Figure 3A and 3C).
Again, we did not observe any difference in the amount of Ca^{2+} released into the
cytoplasm of PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts treated with ionomycin
(Supplementary Figure 3C). These data suggest that the availability of free Ca^{2+} in the
ER (or other neutral Ca^{2+} stores) of *P. falciparum* is not affected by knockdown of PfERC.
Furthermore, these data suggest that the observed egress defect upon PfERC
knockdown was not a result of disequilibrium of Ca^{2+} in the parasite ER.

<u>PfERC is required for PVM breakdown</u>

Since we could not observe a defect in ER Ca^{2+} storage upon knockdown, we
further analyzed how egress of PfERC-*glmS* parasites was failing during knockdown.
Egress of daughter merozoites from the infected RBC is an ordered and rapid process
where the PVM breakdown precedes the disruption of RBCM (Figure 3A) (11). Therefore,

we took synchronized PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts and initiated knockdown
with addition of GlcN. These schizonts were allowed to reinvade fresh RBCs and proceed
through the asexual stages for 48 hours until they developed into schizonts again. Then,
these second cycle schizonts were incubated with inhibitors that block key steps during
egress of *P. falciparum* (Figure 3A). To ensure synchronized egress, we used reversible
inhibitors of PKG, Compound 1 (C1) or Compound 2 (C2), because inhibition of PKG
allows merozoites to develop normally but prevents them from initiating egress (Figure
3A) (6, 11). We used flow cytometry to observe PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts
after washing off C1 and saw that there was a delay in the egress of PfERC-*glmS*
schizonts while the majority (>60%) of the PfERC-*M9* schizonts were able to complete
egress within two hours after washout of C1 (Figure 3B). Removal of C1 initiates the
breakdown of the PVM followed by RBCM rupture (Figure 3A), suggesting that PfERC-
glmS parasites fail to breach one of these membranes down despite removal of the PKG
inhibitor.

Therefore, we tested whether PfERC knockdown prevented rupture of PVM or if
PfERC was required for RBCM breakdown (Figure 3A). PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9*
schizonts (where knockdown had been initiated in the previous cycle) were incubated
with C2 (6, 11) and observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Figure 3A and
3C). We observed that parasites treated with C2 were morphologically identical and had
developed into mature schizonts within the PVM inside the RBC (Figure 3C). Then, we
washed C2 from the parasites and observed these schizonts after 30 mins by SEM
(Figure 3C). During this time period, the majority of PfERC-*M9* schizonts were able to
initiate egress after removal of C2 and we observed free merozoites attached to the RBC
as well as clusters of merozoites that had broken out of the PVM but were contained by
a collapsed RBCM wrapped around them (Figure 3C and Supplementary Figure 4). In
contrast, the majority of PfERC-*glmS* schizonts were still stuck within the RBC and looked
identical to the C2 arrested schizonts, suggesting that they had not initiated egress even
though PKG was no longer inhibited (Figure 3C and Supplementary Figure 4). These data
suggest that knockdown of PfERC blocks egress at an early step, perhaps blocking the
rupture of the PVM (Figure 3C).

We directly observed if breakdown of the PVM was impacted by knockdown of

PfERC using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Figure 3D). Knockdown was

induced by adding GlcN to PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts and these parasites

were allowed to go through one asexual cycle and develop into schizonts again 48hrs

later. These schizonts were prevented from completing egress using the irreversible

cysteine protease inhibitor, E-64 (Figure 3A). This inhibitor blocks the breakdown of the

RBCM but allows both the breakdown of PVM and poration of the RBCM, which results

in the loss of the electron dense contents of the infected RBC (Figure 3A) (11, 25, 37).

Our results show that the PfERC-*M9* schizonts were able to break down the PVM as well

as proceed with the poration of the RBCM after an 8-hour incubation with E-64, while the

PfERC-*glmS* mutants were unable to proceed through the first step of egress and failed

to rupture the PVM (Figure 3D). Overall, these data demonstrate that PfERC function is

critical for the breakdown of the PVM (Figure 3C and 3D).

<u>SUB1 maturation requires PfERC</u>

Electron microscopy data show that knockdown of PfERC prevents the breakdown

of the PVM (Figure 3). A key event required for PVM breakdown is the proteolytic

processing of SUB1, which is required to start a proteolytic cascade that ends in the

release of merozoites from the infected RBC (18, 25). Therefore, we tested if knockdown

of PfERC affects processing of PfSUB1. This protease is processed twice, once in the

ER, where it undergoes a Ca^{2+}-dependent autocatalytic processing from its zymogen

form (83-kDa) into a 54-kDa semi-proenzyme form (p54) (21, 22, 38). From the ER, SUB1

is transported to the egress-related secretory vesicles, the exonemes, which are secreted

into the PV to initiate breakdown of the PV membrane. It is proposed that during trafficking

of SUB1 to exonemes, it is processed by PMX from its semi-proenzyme form (p54) to its

mature form (p47) (19, 20). The secretion of mature p47 form of SUB1 initiates the

breakdown of the PVM (18, 38). Given that one CREC family member has been shown

to transiently interact with a subtilisin like protease in mammalian cells (33), we

hypothesized that PfERC is required for one of the proteolytic maturation steps of SUB1,

most likely the first Ca^{2+}-dependent autocatalytic processing step in the ER.

To test this hypothesis, PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts were incubated with
GlcN and allowed to progress through one asexual growth cycle (48 hours) to develop
into schizonts again. Lysates from these synchronized schizonts were separated on a
Western blot and probed with antibodies against SUB1 (Figure 4A and Supplementary
Figure 5A). No change was observed in the Ca^{2+}-dependent autoprocessing of the
zymogen-form of SUB1 into the semi-proenzyme (p54) form (Figure 5A and
Supplementary Figure 5A). Surprisingly, we observed a reproducible and significant
decrease in the processing of SUB1 from p54 to the p47 form in PfERC-*glmS* parasites
(Figure 4A and 4B). Compared to PfERC-*M9* parasites, there was a >50% decrease in
the amount of processed SUB1 (p47) in PfERC-*glmS* parasites (Figure 4B). This effect
was also observed in cells treated with Compound 1, suggesting that PfERC is required
for SUB1 processing prior to secretion of exonemes (Figure 5C and 5D). Taken together,
our data suggest that PfERC is essential for the proteolytic maturation of SUB1.
Since we observed the presence of some mature SUB1 in PfERC-*glmS* parasites
(Figure 4A), we tested if the activity of SUB1 was affected upon knockdown of PfERC by
assaying for the processing of a known SUB1 substrate, the merozoite surface protein 1
(MSP1). MSP1 is required for the initial attachment of merozoites onto RBCs and it has
been shown that correct processing of MSP1 by SUB1, is also required for efficient egress
as it plays a role in breakdown of the RBC cytoskeleton after release from the PVM (24,
39-41). Lysates from synchronized second-cycle PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts,
treated as above, were separated on a Western blot and probed using anti-MSP1
antibodies (Figure 4E and Supplementary Figure 5B and 5C). Our data show that there
was significant inhibition of MSP1 processing in PfERC-*glmS* parasites as compared to
PfERC-*M9* parasites after knockdown (Figure 4F and Supplementary Figure 5C). These
data reveal that knockdown of PfERC leads to defects in SUB1 processing and activity,
and consequently, MSP1 processing (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure 5B and 5C).
292

<u>PfERC is not required for protein trafficking or organelle biogenesis</u>
MSP1 is a GPI-anchored merozoite membrane protein that is presumably
processed by SUB1 once the protease is secreted into the PV (24, 25). Therefore, we
wanted to verify that knockdown of PfERC led to a specific defect in the egress cascade

and is not due to a block in protein trafficking via the ER or defects in the biogenesis of

egress and invasion related organelles. To address this, we used super resolution

structured illumination microscopy (SR-SIM) to observe if there was a difference in the

surface expression of MSP1 between PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts upon

knockdown of PfERC (Figure 5A). As before, knockdown was initiated in synchronized

PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts and after 48 hours, these schizonts were stained

with anti-MSP1 antibodies. Our data shows that there was no difference in the trafficking

of MSP1 to the surface of developing PfERC-*glmS* or PfERC-*M9* merozoites after

knockdown (Figure 5A and 5B). Further, the localization of the rhoptry protein, RAP1,

which also traffics through the ER, was observed in these schizonts using SR-SIM. Our

data show that there was no difference in the localization of RAP1 in schizonts between

PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasites suggesting that the knockdown of PfERC does not

cause a generalized defect in the secretory pathway (Figure 5C and 5D).

As the ER produces the lipid membranes required for generating organelles

essential for egress and invasion, we observed if organelle biogenesis was inhibited upon

PfERC knockdown. To test this, knockdown was initiated in synchronized PfERC-*glmS*

and PfERC-*M9* schizonts and after 48 hours, these schizonts were treated with

Compound 1 for 4hrs. Then, we observed these C1-treated schizonts using TEM. In these

PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasites both micronemes and rhoptries remain

morphologically intact (Figure 5E). Likewise, we observed morphologically intact

micronemes and rhoptries in PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts that were further

incubated with E-64 for 8 hours (Supplementary Figure 6). Together, these data suggest

that the knockdown of PfERC does not lead to defects in organelle biogenesis (Figure

3D, Figure 5E, and Supplementary Figure 6).

<u>PfERC is required for invasion of merozoites</u>

The synchronized growth assays suggest that knockdown of PfERC inhibits the

invasion of merozoites into RBCs (Figure 2D, H and Figure 5C, D). To assess if invasion

was inhibited upon knockdown, PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts in the second

cycle after 48 hours in GlcN, were incubated with the PKG inhibitor, C1, for four hours

(Figure 3A). The inhibitor was then washed off and the formation of ring stages was

observed over two hours by flow cytometry (Figure 6A). We observed that there was a
delay in the formation of ring stages as well as a drastic decrease in the numbers of ring
stage parasites formed in PfERC-*glmS* parasites compared to the PfERC-*M9* control
(Figure 6A). This could be due to inhibition of egress or could be a combination of defects
in egress and invasion due to PfERC knockdown.

To decouple the egress and invasion phenotypes, we directly measured the
efficiency of merozoite invasion (Figure 6B). This was accomplished by incubating second
cycle PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts with E-64 and then mechanically releasing
the merozoites (Figure 6B) (42). Incubation with E-64 for 8 hours allows for the completion
of schizogony and formation of invasion-competent merozoites (Supplementary Figure
6). These purified merozoites were then allowed to invade fresh RBCs and the invasion
rate was quantified using flow cytometry as described previously (Figure 6C and
Supplementary Figure 7) (42). These data show that there was a drastic reduction in the
invasion efficiency of PfERC-*glmS* merozoites as compared to the control PfERC-*M9*
merozoites, thus demonstrating that knockdown of PfERC led to a defect in invasion as
well (Figure 6C).

The reduced invasion of PfERC-*glmS* merozoites could be explained by the
reduction in processing of MSP1, which is required for the initial attachment of merozoites
to the RBC (39-41, 43). Invasion of RBCs by *P. falciparum* merozoites requires secretion
of contents from another apical organelle, the rhoptries, into the RBC (44-46). Proteins in
the rhoptries, like the rhoptry-bulb protein, RAP1, require proteolytic processing for their
activity (19, 20). Once in the rhoptry, RAP1 is processed by the aspartic protease,
Plasmepsin IX (PMIX), from a pro-form (p83) to a mature form (p67) (19, 20, 47, 48).
Therefore, we tested if RAP1 processing was inhibited by knockdown of PfERC using
Western blot analysis (Figure 6D and Supplementary Figure 5D). Our data show that the
proteolytic processing of RAP1 was not inhibited by the knockdown of PfERC (Figure 6E),
showing that knockdown does not lead to a generalized defect in the processing of all
proteins that traverse through the secretory pathway.

<u>PfERC is required for AMA1 processing but not secretion</u>

Another key and essential step in invasion of merozoites is the formation of a tight
junction between the parasite and the RBC and AMA1 is critical for the formation of this
tight junction (26, 27). AMA1 is trafficked from micronemes to the merozoite surface and
there it is processed from its pro-form (p83) to its mature form (p66) by an unknown
protease (49-51). Studies have shown that secretion of micronemes require Ca^{2+}
signaling pathways (9) and, although our data suggest that PfERC is not required for Ca^{2+}
storage in the ER, we could not rule out a role for PfERC in Ca^{2+} signaling. Since the
translocation of AMA1 is dependent upon this Ca^{2+} signaling pathway, we observed if
AMA1 exocytosis was inhibited upon PfERC knockdown (6, 12). Synchronized PfERC-
M9 or PfERC-*glmS* schizonts where knockdown had been initiated the previous cycle
were incubated with C1 to achieve tight synchronization. Then, C1 was washed off and
the parasites were incubated with E-64 to trap merozoites that had initiated egress within
the RBC membrane (12). Using immunofluorescence microscopy, we observed AMA1
localization in the either micronemes or on the surface of merozoites (Figure 7A). Our
data show that there was no difference in the localization of AMA1 between PfERC-M9
or PfERC-glmS parasites, suggesting that PfERC is not required for the signaling
necessary for vesicle secretion (Figure 7A and B).

The proteolytic processing of AMA1 is critical for its function during invasion (52).
Therefore, we tested if the processing of the AMA1 was affected upon knockdown of
PfERC. As before, after initiating knockdown in synchronized schizonts, we isolated
lysates from second cycle PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts on a Western blot and
probed it with anti-AMA1 antibodies (Figure 7C and Supplementary Figure 5E). We
observed a significant reduction in the proteolytic processing of AMA1 upon knockdown
(Figure 7C). Indeed, there was a >40% decrease in the processing of AMA1 in PfERC-
glmS mutants compared to the PfERC-*M9* control (Figure 7D). These data suggest that
PfERC is required for the correct processing of AMA1 and therefore, essential for invasion
of merozoites into the host RBC.

Discussion

In this study, we revealed the biological role of a conserved Ca^{2+}-binding protein
that resides in the lumen of the ER of *Plasmodium falciparum*. Our data show that PfERC

is essential for asexual replication of malaria parasites. Knockdown of PfERC did not affect the ring and trophozoite development but clearly inhibited the subsequent schizont-to-ring transition. Specifically, these data show that PfERC is required for both egress from infected RBCs and invasion into host erythrocytes. This is consistent with data that suggest PfERC may be transcriptionally controlled by the invasion-specific transcription factor PfAP2-I (53). Knockdown of PfERC leads to defects in the processing of proteins critical for invasion of merozoites into the host RBC, namely, MSP1 and AMA1. However, the observed invasion defect is likely a secondary effect because several proteins critical for invasion are processed during egress (19, 20, 24, 25). Given the kinetic limitations of the conditional knockdown system, we cannot tease out a specific role for PfERC in invasion. As invasion occurs rapidly (<2mins), a potential specific invasion-related function of PfERC could be tested using a small molecule that specifically targets PfERC (54). Overall, these data show that PfERC is essential for egress of merozoites from the infected RBC and for invasion of merozoites into the host erythrocyte.

During the formation of daughter merozoites in schizonts, several key egress and invasion related organelles essential for propagation of the infection are generated. The ER is thought to play a key role in the biogenesis of these organelles and the ER is responsible for transporting the essential proteins to these organelles (28, 29). As an ER-resident protein, knockdown of PfERC could affect several ER functions such as protein trafficking, organellar biogenesis, and Ca^{2+} signaling. Therefore, we tested if PfERC functions in the trafficking of proteins required for schizont to ring transition such as MSP1, AMA1, and RAP1. A defect in the secretory pathway would explain the observed deficiencies in the proteolytic processing of SUB1, MSP1 and AMA1, as transport out of the ER is required for their maturation (6, 22, 48). However, super-resolution and electron microscopy experiments show that proteins on the merozoite surface, micronemes, and rhoptries are trafficked normally and biogenesis of egress and invasion organelles is normal. Likewise, Western blot analysis showed that the proteolytic processing of a rhoptry protein, RAP1, which is processed after transport to the organelle, occurs normally upon knockdown of PfERC (19, 20). These data show that knockdown of PfERC does not result in a generalized defect in protein trafficking via the ER, or in organelle

biogenesis. Instead, these data show that PfERC knockdown specifically inhibits the
proteolytic maturation of a subset of proteins essential for egress and invasion.

A key enzyme that is required for initiating egress is the protease SUB1 as the
exocytosis of this serine protease into the PV results in the rupture of both the PVM and
the RBCM (18, 25). It is produced as an 82-kDa zymogen in the ER, where it rapidly self-
processes into a 54-kDa semi-proenzyme in the ER (38). If PfERC was needed for this
autoprocessing event, then this would explain the observed knockdown phenotypes as
they are similar to that seen when SUB1 is conditionally knocked out (25). To test if PfERC
interacts directly with SUB1, we performed co-IP experiments but failed to detect any
interaction between these two proteins. This is not surprising since any such interaction
is likely to be very transient as has been shown for other CREC family members (33).
Instead, PfERC was essential for the second processing step of SUB1 that produces the
mature, active form of the protease (p54 to p47). This processing event occurs once is
trafficked out of the ER suggesting a role for PfERC in SUB1 maturation once it leaves
the ER (6, 13, 20, 21). One possibility is that a fraction of PfERC does leave the ER to
enable SUB1 maturation as a subset of ER-resident proteins are known to be secreted
beyond the organelle (55). Another model is that PfERC is required for the maturation of
an unknown protease in this pathway that works upstream of SUB1. The recently
discovered aspartic protease, PMX, is likely responsible for the maturation of SUB1 from
p54 to p47 (19, 20). In turn, PMX itself is proteolytically matured from a 67kDa zymogen
to a 45kDa active protease (19, 20). But unlike most aspartyl proteases, PMX does not
autoprocess because inhibitors that block PMX activity do not inhibit its maturation (19).
The maturase responsible for PMX cleavage is unknown and therefore, if this unknown
protease requires PfERC for its activity, then knockdown of PfERC would lead to the
observed defects in egress.

CREC family members are known to regulate the function of Ca^{2+} pumps and
channels such as the Ryanodine and IP_3 recepors (56, 57). Therefore, one interesting
possibility we considered was that PfERC may play a role in the signal-dependent release
of Ca^{2+} from the ER. This is difficult to test in *Plasmodium* since there are no clear
orthologs for a ligand-dependent Ca^{2+} channel in its genome (16). Intracellular Ca^{2+} stores
are required for egress and invasion of malaria parasites since cell permeable Ca^{2+}

chelators block egress of *Plasmodium* parasites from host RBCs (13-15, 58, 59)*.* Further,

Ca^{2+} binding proteins in the parasite cytoplasm are essential for egress of malaria

parasites, for example, the Ca^{2+} dependent protein kinase, PfCDPK5, is required for

secretion of egress specific organelles, such as those containing AMA1 (12, 60). As

PfCDPK5 is thought to be activated upon the signal-dependent release of intracellular

Ca^{2+} into the cytoplasm (12), we tested if PfERC was required for exocytosis of AMA1-

containing vesicles. The data suggest that PfERC is not required for the PfCDPK5-

dependent translocation of AMA1 onto merozoite membrane. However, PfERC is

required for the essential proteolytic maturation of AMA1, suggesting that this CREC

family member regulates (directly or indirectly) the unknown protease that processes

AMA1.

The release of the mature SUB1 into the PV kickstarts the egress cascade (25)and

the cGMP signaling pathway is thought to be essential for vesicle exocytosis via the

release of intracellular Ca^{2+} stores (58). We independently tested whether PfERC

knockdown inhibited this signaling pathway, using the PKG inhibitor Compound 1, and

show that PfERC knockdown inhibited SUB1 maturation even when PKG activity was

inhibited. This data together with the experiments testing AMA1 translocation onto the

merozoite membrane suggest that PfERC does not play a role in the Ca^{2+}-dependent

exocytosis of egress-specific organelles. Instead, our data suggest a model where PfERC

plays a key role in the maturation of SUB1 prior to its secretion into the PV. In the absence

of PfERC, exocytosis of immature SUB1 fails to breakdown the PVM as well as prevents

proteolytic maturation of key invasion ligands on the merozoite surface, such as MSP1

and AMA1.

A principal finding of these studies is the identification of an early regulator in the

ER of *P. falciparum* with a specific role in egress of malaria parasites from RBCs and

potentially in the invasion of parasites into the RBC. These data help build a model where

PfERC modulates the maturation of the egress proteolytic cascade. These studies lay the

foundation for understanding the vital and key role that ER-resident proteins play in the

egress of human malaria parasites from the infected RBC and their re-entry into the host

cell. Some studies have suggested that a key class of antimalarials containing

endoperoxides, which includes the frontline antimalarial artemisinin, may target PfERC

(54) and one of the transcriptomic responses of artemisinin-resistant parasites is the

overexpression of PfERC (61). These data suggest that targeting PfERC, and thus

egress, is a viable strategy for antimalarial drug development.

Material and Methods

Cell culture and transfections. *Plasmodium* parasites were cultured in RPMI 1640

medium supplemented with Albumax I (Gibco) and transfected as described earlier (62-

65). To generate PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasites, a mix of two plasmids (50µg of

each) was transfected in duplicate into 3D7 parasites. The plasmid mix contained pUF1-

Cas9-guide (66) which contains the DHOD resistance gene, and pPfERC-HA-SDEL-

glmS or pPfERC-HA-SDEL-*M9*, which are marker-free. Drug pressure was applied 48hrs

after transfection, using 1µM DSM1 (67), selecting for Cas9 expression. DSM1 was

removed from the culturing medium once the parasites were detected in the culture,

around 3 weeks post-transfection.

Construction of PfERC plasmids. Genomic DNA was isolated from *P. falciparum*

cultures using the QIAamp DNA blood kit (Qiagen). Constructs utilized in this study were

confirmed by sequencing. PCR products were inserted into the respective plasmids using

the In-Fusion cloning system (Clontech), the sequence- and ligation-independent cloning

(SLIC) method (64, 65), T4-ligation (New England BioLabs), or site-directed mutagenesis

using QuickChange (Agilent). To generate the pHA-SDEL-*glmS*/*M9* plasmid, primers 1+2

were used to add an SDEL sequence at the end of the HA tag in pHA-*glmS* and pHA-*M9*

plasmids (64, 65).

For generating the PfERC-*glmS*/*M9* conditional mutants, pHA-SDEL-*glmS*/*M9*

plasmid, consisting of two homology regions flanking the HA-SDEL tag and the *glmS* or

M9 sequence, was used as a donor DNA template. To allow efficient genomic integration

of the pHA-SDEL-*glmS* and pHA-SDEL-*M9* donor plasmids, 800-bp sequences were

used for each homology region. The C-terminus of the *pferc* coding region was PCR

amplified from genomic DNA using primers 3+4 (containing the shield mutation) and was

inserted into pHA-SDEL-*glmS* and pHA-SDEL-*M9* using restriction sites SacII and AfeI.

The 3'UTR of *pferc* was PCR amplified from genomic DNA using primers 5+6 and was

inserted into pHA-SDEL-*glmS* and pHA-SDEL-*M9* (already containing the C-terminus

region) using restriction sites HindIII and NheI. For expression of PfERC guide RNA,

oligos 7+8 were inserted into pUF1-Cas9-guide as previously described (64, 65). Briefly,

pUF1-Cas9-guide was digested with BtgZI and annealed oligos were inserted using SLIC.

Primers 3+6 and primers 3+9 (which recognizes the *glmS*/*M9* sequence) were used for

clone verification.

***Plasmodium* growth assays**. Asynchronous growth assays were done as described

previously (71, 72). Briefly, 5mM glucosamine (GlcN) (Sigma) was added to the growth

medium and parasitemia was monitored every 24hrs using a CyAn ADP (Beckman

Coulter) or CytoFLEX (Beckman Coulter) flow cytometers and analyzed by FlowJo

software (Treestar, Inc.). As required, parasites were subcultured to avoid high parasite

density, and relative parasitemia at each time point was back-calculated based on actual

parasitemia multiplied by the relevant dilution factors. One hundred percent parasitemia

was determined as the highest relative parasitemia and was used to normalize parasite

growth. Data were fit to exponential growth equations using Prism (GraphPad Software,

Inc.).

To determine the ring:schizont ratio of PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasites,

7.5mM GlcN was added to percoll isolated schizont-stage parasites and parasites were

allowed to egress and reinvade fresh RBCs. Two hours later, 5% sorbitol +7.5mM GlcN

was added to the invaded culture to lyse any remaining schizonts and isolate two-hour

rings. The ring-stage parasites were grown again in media supplemented with GlcN. Then

samples were taken at 44hrs, 48hrs, and 56hrs, and read by flow cytometry to determine

the population of rings and schizonts present at those times using FlowJo software

(Treestar, Inc.). To determine the development of each life cycle stage during the asexual

lifecycle of PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasites, 7.5mM was added to percoll isolated

schizont-stage parasites and parasites were allowed to egress and reinvade fresh RBCs.

At specific times Hema-3 stained blood smears were used to count parasite stages and

the percentage of the specific lifecycle stage was calculated as: $\% \; of \; stage =$

$\frac{number \; of \; specific \; stage}{total \; number \; of \; parasites}$. Time 0hr is when GlcN was added.

To determine the % amount of rings or schizonts, samples of synchronized
schizonts grown with 7.5mM GlcN for about 48hrs were taken and fixed with 8%
paraformaldehyde and 0.3% glutarladehyde. Samples were read by flow cytometry. For
growth assays using Compound 1 (4-[2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-(1-methylpiperidine-4-yl)-1H-
pyrrol-3-yl] pyridine), synchronized schizonts were grown with 7.5mM GlcN for about
48hrs. Then, schizonts were percoll isolated and incubated with Compound 1 for 4hrs
and then removed by gently washing parasites twice with 1mL of warm, complete RPMI
+ 7.5mM GlcN. Parasites were resuspended with fresh media and RBCs and fixed
samples (as above) were read by flow cytometry. DNA content was determined using
Hoechst 33342 staining (ThermoFisher).

Western blotting. Western blotting for *Plasmodium* parasites was performed as
described previously (64, 65). Briefly, parasites were permeabilized selectively by
treatment with ice-cold 0.04% saponin in PBS for 10 min and pellets were collected for
detection of proteins with the parasite. For detection of MSP1, schizonts were isolated on
a Percoll gradient (Genesee Scientific) and whole-cell lysates were generated by
sonication. The antibodies used in this study were rat anti-HA (3F10; Roche, 1:3,000),
rabbit anti-HA (715500; Invitrogen, 1:100), rabbit anti-PfEF1α (from D. Goldberg,
1:2,000), mouse anti-plasmepsin V (from D. Goldberg, 1:400), rabbit anti-SUB1 (from Z.
Dou and M. Blackman, 1:10,000), rat anti-AMA1 (28G2; Alan Thomas via BEI Resources,
NIAID, NIH 1:500), mouse anti-MSP1 (12.4; European Malaria Reagent Repository,
1:500) and mouse anti-RAP1 (2.29; European Malaria Reagent Repository, 1:500). The
secondary antibodies that were used are IRDye 680CW goat anti-rabbit IgG and IRDye
800CW goat anti-mouse IgG (LICOR Biosciences) (1:20,000). The Western blot images
were processed using the Odyssey Clx LICOR infrared imaging system software (LICOR
Biosciences). Calculation of knockdown and processing ratios was determined by both
the Odyssey infrared imaging system software and ImageJ 1.8 (NIH).

Immunofluorescence microscopy. For IFAs, cells were fixed as described previously
(64, 65). The antibodies used for IFA were: rat anti-HA antibody (clone 3F10; Roche,
1:100), mouse anti-AMA1 (1F9 from Alan Cowman), rat anti-PfGRP78 (MRA-1247; BEI

Resources, NIAID, NIH 1:100), mouse anti-MSP1 (12.4; European Malaria Reagent Repository, 1:500), rat anti-AMA1 (28G2; Alan Thomas via BEI Resources, NIAID, NIH 1:500), and mouse anti-RAP1 (2.29; European Malaria Reagent Repository, 1:500). Secondary antibodies used were anti-rat antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 or 546 and anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488, (Life Technologies, 1:100). Cells were mounted on ProLong diamond with 4',6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Invitrogen) and imaged using a Delta-Vision II microscope system with an Olympus IX-71 inverted microscope using a 100x objective or an Elyra S1 SR-SIM microscope (Zeiss). Image processing, analysis, and display were performed using SoftWorx or Axiovision and Adobe Photoshop. Adjustments to brightness and contrast were made for display purposes.

AMA1 Translocation Assays. To observe AMA1 translocation in our mutants, 7.5mM GlcN was added to percoll isolated schizont-stage parasites and parasites were allowed to egress and reinvade fresh RBCs. 44-48hrs later, schizonts were percoll purified and incubated with 1.5μM Compound 1 for 4 hours at 37°C. Then, Compound 1 removed by washing parasites twice with 1mL of warm complete RMPI +7.5mM GlcN. These parasites were immediately resuspended in media plus 7.5mM GlcN and 20 μM E-64 (Sigma) and incubated at 37°C in a still incubator for 6hrs. Parasites were then fixed as in (64, 65) and probed with anti-AMA1 (1F9) antibodies. Images were taken using a Delta-Vision II microscope system with an Olympus IX-71 inverted microscope using a 100x objective and using an Elyra S1 SR-SIM microscope (Zeiss).

Invasion Rate Quantification. To calculate the invasion rate, parasites were treated as described previously (42). Briefly, 7.5mM GlcN was added to percoll isolated schizont-stage parasites and parasites were allowed to egress and reinvade fresh RBCs. 48hrs later, schizonts were percoll purified and incubated with 20μM E-64 (Sigma) at 37°C in an incubator for 7-8hrs. Once incubation was done, merozoites were isolated by gently resuspending and passing the schizonts through a 1.2μm Acrodisic Syringe Filter (PALL). Merozoites were spun at 2000xg for 5min, and then resuspended in 100μL of complete RMPI medium and added to a 1mL culture of uninfected RBCs at 2% hematocrit. Cultures

were grown in a FluoroDish cell culture dish (World Precision Instruments) and gassed in

a chamber for 20-24hrs. Invasion rate was then measured by the following equation: $IR =$

$iRBC \cdot \left[\frac{RBC/\mu L}{Mz/\mu L} \right]$ where "*iRBC*" is the parasitemia 20-24hrs later, "*RBC/µL*" are the free

RBCs used before addition of merozoites and "*Mz/µL*" are the merozoites found in the

100µL suspension used before adding to fresh RBCs. Values for these variables were

acquired by flow cytometry (CytoFLEX Beckman Coulter) with cells stained with acridine

orange. The data were normalized using the IR values for PfERC-*M9* merozoites as

100%.

Transmission Electron Microscopy. 7.5mM GlcN was added to percoll isolated

schizont-stage parasites and parasites were allowed to egress and reinvade fresh RBCs.

48hrs later, parasites were percoll-isolated and then incubated with 20µM E-64 for 8hrs.

After incubation, parasites were washed with 1X PBS and gently resuspended in 2.5%

glutaraldehyde in 0.1M sodium cacodylate-HCl (Sigma) buffer pH 7.2 for 1hr at room

temperature. Parasites were then rinsed in 0.1M Cacodylate-HCl buffer before agar-

enrobing the cells in 3% Noble agar. Parasites were post fixed in 1% osmium

tetroxide/0.1M Cacodylate-HCl buffer for 1 hour and rinsed in buffer and deionized water.

Dehydration of the parasite samples was done with an ethanol series and then exposed

to Propylene oxide before infiltration with Epon-Araldite. The blocks of parasites were

trimmed, and sections were obtained using a Reichert Ultracut S ultramicrotome (Leica,

Inc., Deerfield, IL). 60-70nm sections were placed on 200-mesh copper grids and post-

stained with ethanolic uranyl acetate and Reynolds Lead Citrate. Grids were viewed with

a JEOL JEM-1011 Transmission Electron Microscope (JEOL USA, Inc., Peabody, MA)

using an accelerating voltage of 80 KeV. Images were acquired using an AMT XR80M

Wide-Angle Multi-Discipline Mid-Mount CCD Camera (Advanced Microscopy

Techniques, Woburn, MA).

Scanning Electron Microscopy. 7.5mM GlcN was added to percoll isolated schizont-

stage parasites and parasites were allowed to egress and reinvade fresh RBCs. 48hrs

later, parasites were percoll-isolated and then incubated with 2µM Compound 2 (4-[7-

[(dimethylamino)methyl]-2-(4-fluorphenyl)imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-yl]pyrimidin-2-amine)

for 4 hours without shaking at 37°C in an incubator. After incubation, parasites were

washed twice with warm, complete RPMI + 7.5mM GlcN. Samples were taken

immediately after washing off Compound 2 and then 30min after and fixed as with TEM

samples. Parasites were rinsed with 0.1M Cacodylate-HCl buffer before placing on glass

coverslips prepared with 0.1% Poly-L-lysine. Parasites were allowed to settle onto the

glass coverslips in a moist chamber overnight and then post fixed in 1% osmium

tetroxide/0.1M Cacodylate-HCl buffer for 30 minutes. Cells on coverslips were rinsed

three times in deionized water and then dehydrated with an ethanol series. The glass

coverslips were critical point dried in an Autosamdri-814 Critical Point Dryer (Tousimis

Research Corporation, Rockville, MD), mounted onto aluminum pin stubs with colloidal

paint, and sputter coated with gold-palladium with a Leica EM ACE600 Coater (Leica

Microsystems Inc., Buffalo Grove, IL). Stubs were examined with the FE-SEM FEI Teneo

(FEI, Inc., Hillsboro, OR) using the secondary electron detector to obtain digital images.

Calcium Measurements. To measure Ca^{2+} in PfERC mutants, knockdown was induced

on synchronized schizonts. After 48hrs, schizonts were percoll purified and permeabilized

selectively by treatment with ice-cold 0.04% saponin in PBS for 10 min. Isolated parasites

were then washed 2X with BAG Buffer (116mM NaCl, 5.4mM KCl, 0.8mM $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$,

50mM HEPES, 5.5mM Glucose) + 7.5mM GlcN and incubated with 10µM Fluo-4AM

(ThermoFisher) for 45min while rocking at 37°C. After incubation, cells were washed 2X

with BAG buffer + 7.5mM GlcN and immediately taken for fluorimetric measurements.

Fluorescence measurements were carried out in a cuvette (Sarstedt) containing parasites

suspended in 2.5 ml of BAG buffer and 100uM EGTA (Sigma). The cuvette was placed

in a Hitachi F-4500 fluorescence spectrophotometer and Fluo-4AM excitation was done

at 505 nm with emission read at 530 nm (68). Drugs and reagents were added via a

Hamilton syringe. Final concentration of CPA (Sigma) was 3 µM, and Ionomycin (Sigma)

at 2µM.

Acknowledgments

We thank Michael Reese and Meg Phillips for comments on the manuscript; Dan
Goldberg for comments on the manuscript, anti Ef1α and anti-PMV antibodies; The
European Malaria Reagent Repository for anti-MSP1 12.4 and anti-RAP1 2.29,
antibodies; Alan Thomas and BEI Resources NIAID, NIH for anti-AMA1 28G2 and anti-
BiP antibodies; Zhicheng Dou and Michael Blackman for anti-SUB1 antibody; Alan
Cowman for anti-AMA1 (1F9); Purnima Bhanot for Compound 1 and Compound 2;
Muthugapatti Kandasamy at the University of Georgia Biomedical Microscopy Core, Julie
Nelson at the CTEGD Cytomtetry Shared Resource Lab for technical assistance; Mary
Ard from the Georgia Electron Microscopy core at the University of Gerogia for assistance
with SEM; Michael Cipriano for assistance with protein alignment. This work was
supported by UGA Startup funds and UGA Faculty Research Grant (FRG-SE0031) to
V.M., and the US National Institutes of Health (R21AI133322) to V.M. and S.N.J.M., and
(T32AI060546) to M.A.F.

Figure 1: Generating PfERC-*glmS*/M9 mutant parasites. (A) Schematic representation
of the domain structure of PfERC and its transcription profile. PfERC contains a signal
peptide, 5 EF hands, and an ER-retention signal. Transcription data from *PlasmoDB* (34),

were normalized to the highest expression value of the total abundance of the

transcription. The expression profile of PfERC and an egress protease, SUB1, are shown.

(B) Mechanism of the conditional expression of PfERC using the *glmS* ribozyme system.

glmS is an inactive ribozyme that is transcribed, but not translated, with the mRNA of a

protein of interest. Addition of glucosamine (GlcN) leads to its phosphorylation within the

cell to glucosamine-6-phosphate (GlcN-6P). GlcN-6P binds to the transcribed PfERC-

glmS mRNA and the *glmS* ribozyme is activated and cleaves itself from the mRNA. This

leads to disassociation of the mRNA from its poly-A tail and leads to the degradation of

target specific mRNA. The resulting decline in mRNA levels leads to reduced protein

levels and, thus, loss of gene expression. As a control, we generated parasite lines

containing a mutated version of the *glmS* ribozyme, called *M9*, which cannot cleave itself

upon binding of GlcN. (C) Using the CRISPR/Cas9 system and a guide RNA targeting

the PfERC gene, we induced a double stranded break in the PfERC locus that was

repaired by a donor plasmid containing homology templates to the PfERC locus and

appended a C-terminal 3XHA tag, the ER-retention signal (SDEL), and a stop codon

followed by the *glmS* or *M9* sequence to the targeted gene. The location of diagnostic

primers used to demonstrate the repair of the locus via double cross-over homologous

integration are also shown (P3, P6 and P9). (D) PCR analysis of the generated mutants

using specific primers (P3+P6; Table S1) in the C-terminus and 3'UTR of PfERC shows

integration of the HA tag and *glms*/*M9* ribozymes into the PfERC locus. Modification of

PfERC gene introduces an AfeI restriction enzyme site in this locus that is absent in the

parental line. Digesting the PCR products (using AfeI) resulting from amplification using

primers P3+P6 shows that AfeI is able to digest the PCR products from our mutants but

not the parental line. PCR analysis using another primer pair (P3+P9) that sits on the

glmS/*M9* sequence shows that amplification only occurs in the mutants but not in the

parental line. (E) Western blot of lysates isolated from two independent clones and the

parental line (3D7) probed with anti-HA antibodies show that the PfERC gene was tagged

with HA in the mutants but not the parental line. PfBiP was the loading control. (F)

Representative IFA of PfERC-*M9* parasites showing that tagged PfERC localizes to the

ER as shown with co-localization with the ER chaperone BiP in all asexual stages of the

parasite. From left to right, the images are phase-contrast, anti-BiP antibody (green), anti-

HA antibody (red), and DAPI (blue), and fluorescence merge. Abbreviations: R, rings; T,

trophozoites; S, schizonts. Scale bar, 5μm.

 Figure 2: PfERC mutants fail to transition from schizonts to rings. (A) Western blot

 of parasite lysates isolated from PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasites grown in the

presence of 7.5 mM GlcN and probed with anti-HA antibodies (red) and anti-Plasmepsin V antibodies (PMV; green). One representative experiment out of four is shown. (B) Quantification of changes in expression of PfERC in PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasites after addition of GlcN, as shown in (A). Data were normalized to the loading control (PMV) and shown as mean ± SEM (n=4 biological replicates). (C) Growth of asynchronous PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* clones incubated with 5mM GlcN, over 5 days, were observed using flow cytometry. Data are normalized to parasites grown without GlcN and are represented as the mean ± SEM (n=3). (D) Representative Hema-3 stained blood smears of synchronous PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasites grown in the presence of GlcN (n=2 biological replicates). (E) GlcN was added to synchronous PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts, and parasite stages were determined using flow cytometry. The ratio of rings to schizonts was calculated using the number of rings and schizonts observed at each time point. Data are represented as the mean ± SEM (n=3 biological replicates). (F) Hema-3 stained blood smears of synchronous PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasites grown in the presence of GlcN (shown in D) were manually counted. The amount of each lifecycle stage (ring, trophozoite, and schizont) was determined as a percentage of the total number of parasites for each time point. (G, H) GlcN was added to synchronous PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts, and parasite stages were determined using flow cytometry. At each time point, cells were fixed and stained with the DNA dye, Hoescht 33342, to distinguish between ring strage parasites (1N) and schizont stage parasites (16-32N). One representative experiment out of three are shown. Data are represented as the mean ± SEM (n=3 technical replicates; ****P<0.0001 2-way ANOVA).

Figure 3: PfERC knockdown inhibits PVM breakdown. (A) Schematic showing the

experimental layout to study the effect of PfERC knockdown using specific compounds

that inhibit egress in parasites. Abbreviations: C1/2- PKG inhibitors, compound 1 or

compound 2; SEM- Scanning Electron Microscopy; TEM- Transmission Electron
Microscopy. (B) As shown in (A), synchronized PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts
were grown in the presence of GlcN and second cycle schizonts were observed by flow
cytometry after removal of C1 (time 0hr). Schizonts were quantified as a percentage of
the total amount of parasites as determined by flow cytometry. Data are represented as
the mean ± SEM (n=3 biological replicates; **$P<0.01$, ***$P<0.001$ 2-way ANOVA). (C)
Representative SEM images of C2 arrested PfERC-*glmS* (n=4 biological replicates) and
PfERC-*M9* (n=4 biological replicates) mutants fixed immediately after washing off C2 and
after 30mins, as shown in (A). (D) Representative TEM images of PfERC-*glmS* (n=2
biological replicates) and PfERC-*M9* (n=2 biological replicates) schizonts incubated with
E-64, as shown in (A). Scale bar, 800nm. Higher magnification images for PfERC-*glmS*
parasites where the PVM is marked by black arrowheads are shown in the inset.

Figure 4: PfERC knockdown inhibits SUB1 and MSP1 processing. (A) Western blot
of parasite lysates isolated from PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts grown in the
presence of GlcN for 48 hours and probed with anti-SUB1 antibodies (top panel) and anti-
PMV (loading control, bottom panel). One representative experiment out of four is shown.

The protein marker sizes that co-migrated with the probed protein are shown on the left.

(B) Quantification of SUB1 processing in PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasites over time

after addition of GlcN, as shown in (A). Data were normalized to the ratio of processed

SUB1 (p47:p54) of PfERC-*M9* parasites and are represented as mean ± SEM (n=4

biological replicates; **$P<0.005$ unpaired t-test). (C) Western blot of parasite lysates

isolated from PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts grown in the presence of GlcN for

48hrs and then incubated with Compound 1. Samples were taken either 0hrs or 4hrs post

addition of Compound 1. (D) Quantification of SUB1 processing in PfERC-*glmS* and

PfERC-*M9* parasites incubated with Compound 1 as shown in (C). Data were normalized

to the ratio of processed SUB1 (p47:p54) of PfERC-*M9* parasites at 0hr and represented

as ± SEM. (n=2 biological replicates; *$P<0.05$ one-way ANOVA). (E) Western blot of

parasite lysates isolated from PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts grown in the

presence of GlcN for 48 hours and probed with anti-MSP1 12.4 antibodies (top panel)

and with anti-EF1α (loading control, bottom panel). One representative experiment out of

two is shown. (F) Quantification of unprocessed (or full length) MSP1 in PfERC-*glmS* and

PfERC-*M9* parasites after addition of GlcN, as shown in (E). Data were normalized to the

loading control (EF1α) and are represented as mean ± SEM (n=2 biological replicates;

**$P<0.005$ unpaired *t*-test).

Figure 5: PfERC is not required for protein trafficking or organellar biogenesis. (A)

Representative Super-Resolution SIM images of PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts

incubated with GlcN for 48hrs and stained with anti-MSP1 and the nuclear stain, DAPI.

From top to bottom, the images are anti-MSP1 (green), DAPI (blue), and fluorescence

merge. Scale bar 2μm. (B) The surface localization of MSP1 was quantified in PfERC-

glmS and PfERC-*M9* schizonts incubated with GlcN for 48hrs and stained as shown in

(A). Data are represented as mean ± SEM (n=2 biological replicates; n.s.=non-significant,

unpaired *t*-test). (C) Representative Super-Resolution SIM images of PfERC-*glmS* and

PfERC-*M9* schizonts incubated with GlcN for 48hrs and stained with anti-RAP1 and the

nuclear stain, DAPI. From top to bottom, the images are anti-RAP1 (green), DAPI (blue),

and fluorescence merge. Scale bar 2μm. (D) The rhoptry localization of RAP1 was

quantified in PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts incubated with GlcN for 48hrs and

stained as shown in (C). Data are represented as mean ± SEM (n=3 biological replicates;

n.s.=non-significant, unpaired *t*-test). (E) Representative TEM images of synchronized

PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts grown for 48hrs with GlcN and incubated with C1

for 4 hours, as shown in Figure 3A (n=2 biological replicates). Small arrowheads point to

rhoptries, large arrowheads to micronemes. Scale bar, 200nm.

Figure 6: PfERC knockdown prevents invasion of RBCs. (A) As shown in Figure 3A, synchronized PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasites were observed by flow cytometry after removal of C1 (time 0hr). Rings were quantified as a percentage of the total amount of parasites as determined by flow cytometry. Data are represented as the mean ± SEM (n=3 biological replicates; **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 2-way ANOVA). (B) Schematic of the experiment to study the effect of PfERC knockdown on invasion of merozoites into host RBCs. Merozoites were purified using mechanical lysis after an 8hr incubation with E64. (C) Invasion rates of mechanically purified merozoites from PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasites, as shown in (B), were quantified using flow cytometry (47). All replicates were normalized to PfERC-*M9* merozoites. Data are represented as mean ± SEM (n=6 biological replicates; ***P<0.001, unpaired t-test). (D) Western blot of parasite lysates isolated from PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts grown in the presence of GlcN for 48 hours and probed with anti-RAP1 (top panel) and anti- EF1α (loading control, bottom panel). One representative experiment out of five is shown. The protein marker sizes that co-migrated with the probed protein are shown on the left. (E) Quantification of RAP1

856   processing in PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasites incubated with GlcN, as shown in

857   (D). Data were normalized to the ratio of processed RAP1 (p67:p82) of PfERC-*M9*

858   parasites and are represented as mean ± SEM (n=5 biological replicates; n.s=non-

859   significant, unpaired t-test).

 Figure 7: Proteolytic processing of AMA1 requires PfERC. (A) Parasites were grown

in the presence of GlcN for 48hrs and then incubated with Compound 1 for 4hrs.

Compound 1 was then removed and parasites were incubated further with E-64 for 6hrs

and stained with anti-AMA1 as well as the nuclear stain, DAPI. Representative Super-

Resolution SIM images of these PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts are shown. From

top to bottom, the images are anti-AMA1 (green), DAPI (blue), and fluorescence merge.

Scale bar 2µm. (B) The micronemal and surface (or translocated) localization of AMA1

was quantified in PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts as shown in (A). Data are

represented as mean ± SEM (n=4 biological replicates; n.s.=non-significant, one-way

ANOVA). (C) Western blot of parasite lysates isolated from PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9*

schizonts grown in the presence of GlcN for 48 hours and probed with anti-AMA1

antibodies (top panel) and anti- EF1α antibodies (loading control, bottom panel). One

representative experiment out of eight is shown. The protein marker sizes that co-

migrated with the probed protein are shown on the left. (D) Quantification of AMA1

maturation in PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* parasites incubated with GlcN, as shown in

(C). Data were normalized to the ratio of processed AMA1 (p66:p83) in PfERC-*M9*

parasites and are represented as mean ± SEM (n=8 biological replicates; *P<0.05

unpaired t-test).

Table S1: Primers used in this study.

Primer	Primer Sequence
1	CTGCAGGTCTGGACATTTAAAGTTCATCACTAGCGTAATCTGGAACATCG
2	CGATGTTCCAGATTACGCTAGTGATGAACTTTAAATGTCCAGACCTGCAG
3	AATTCGCCCTTTCCGCGGAGAATAGAAAAATTATTTCATTTGATAGATAAA AACAATGAT
4	TGGGTAACTAGTAGCGCTTAATTCATCAATTGCTGGGGATTTTTGTTGCGA TGCATCGTC
5	ATGATCTTGCCGGCAAGCTTTTTATATAAACATATTTTTTTTTTTTTAACAT AAAGGG
6	CCTTGAGCTCGCTAGCGACAAATTGGATAGATAATAGGGGGTACAAATAT ACATAC
7	AAGTATATAATATTCAATTGCTGGGGATTTTTGTGTTTTAGAGCTAGAA
8	TTCTAGCTCTAAAACACAAAAATCCCCAGCAATTGAATATTATATACTTA
9	GCAAGATCATGTGATTTCTCTTTGTTCAAGGAGTCACCCCC

Supplementary Figure 1: Sequence alignment of PfERC to other members of the CREC

family of proteins using MUSCLE alignment, viewed using JalView Software

(http://www.jalview.org/) and BOXSHADE (82). Alignment was done using the human

homologs: Cab-45, ERC-55, Reticulocalbin1(RCN1), Reticulocalbin (RCN3), and

Calumenin. Identical residues are shaded in black, similar residues are shaded in gray,

and EF-hands are highlighted in red.

 Supplementary Figure 2: Effect of PfERC knockdown on parasite growth. (A)

 Asynchronous PfERC-*glmS* parasites were incubated in different concentrations of GlcN

 and growth after three days was assessed by flow cytometry. Data are represented as

 mean ± SEM of n=2 biological replicates. (B) The levels of rings (red), trophozoites

898   (black), and schizonts (blue) as a percentage of total parasites as scored by light

899   microscopy of Hema-3 stained blood smears from synchronous PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-

900   *M9* parasites grown in the presence of GlcN (n=2 biological replicates).

Supplementary Figure 3: PfERC knockdown is not required for ER Ca²⁺ storage. (A)
Experimental schematic showing how Ca^{2+} measurements were done in PfERC-*glmS*
and PfERC-*M9* mutants. Synchronized PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts were
incubated with GlcN for 48 hours and isolated using saponin lysis, which lyses the RBC
membrane but leaves the PV intact. Abbreviations: CPA- cyclopiazonic acid (B)
Representative fluorescence tracings after CPA addition to PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9*
schizonts, isolated as in (A). Quantification was done by calculating the difference in
fluorescence between the basal to the highest peak of fluorescence. Data are
represented as the combined mean ± SEM (PfERC-*glmS;* n=15 biological replicates;
PfERC-*M9;* n=9 biological replicates; n.s- non-significant, unpaired *t*-test). (C)
Representative fluorescence tracings after Ionomycin addition to PfERC-*glmS* and

PfERC-*M9* schizonts, isolated as in (A). Quantification was done by calculating the difference in fluorescence between the basal to the highest peak of fluorescence. Data are represented as the combined mean ± SEM (PfERC-*glmS;* n=9 biological replicates; PfERC-*M9;* n=5 biological replicates; n.s- non-significant, unpaired *t*-test).

Supplementary Figure 4: Quantification of SEM images showing PfERC knockdown blocks PVM breakdown. PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts were treated as shown in Figure 3C and wide field (10 fields per biological replicate) SEM images were quantified. The collapsed schizonts as shown in Figure 3C were normalized to total schizonts counted in the fields. Data are represented as mean ± SEM (n=4 biological replicates; n.s.=non-significant, *P<0.05 one-way ANOVA).

Supplementary Figure 5: Representative images of Western blots of lysates from PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9* schizonts incubated with GlcN for 48 hours, probed with anti-SUB1, anti-MSP1 12.4 and 9.2, anti-AMA1, and anti-RAP1 antibodies from Figures

948   4, 6 and 7. The protein marker sizes that co-migrated with the probed protein are shown

949   on the left.

PfERC-*M9*

PfERC-*glmS*

 Supplementary Figure 6: Representative TEM images of PfERC-*glmS* and PfERC-*M9*

 schizonts grown for 48hrs with GlcN and incubated with E-64 for 8 hours, as shown in

954   Figure 3A (n=2 biological replicates). Small arrowheads point to rhoptries, large

955   arrowheads to micronemes, and white arrowheads to PVM fragments (15). Scale bar,

956   200nm.

A

B

	Merozoites/µL	
	PfERC-*glmS*	PfERC-*M9*
Replicate 1	2015.085	1270.22
Replicate 2	2006.74	1075.745
Replicate 3	420.815	308.28
Replicate 4	3269.535	3594.14
Replicate 5	1077.78	1184.545
Replicate 6	2471.745	4276.89

Supplementary Figure 7: Sample Flow Gate of Merozoite Purification. (A) Representative flow cytometry plot of merozoite (Red) and RBC (Blue) populations used for invasion assays. (B) Table showing the number of merozoites/µL as determined by CytExpert software from all invasion experiments used in this study.

References

1. Torgerson PR & Mastroiacovo P (2013) The global burden of congenital toxoplasmosis: a systematic review. *Bull World Health Organ* 91(7):501-508.

2. Checkley W*, et al.* (2015) A review of the global burden, novel diagnostics, therapeutics, and vaccine targets for cryptosporidium. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 15(1):85-94.

3. Liu L*, et al.* (2016) Global, regional, and national causes of under-5 mortality in 2000–15: an updated systematic analysis with implications for the Sustainable Development Goals. *The Lancet* 388(10063):3027-3035.

4. WHO (2018) World Malaria Report 2018.210.

5. Donald RGK*, et al.* (2002) Toxoplasma gondiiCyclic GMP-Dependent Kinase: Chemotherapeutic Targeting of an Essential Parasite Protein Kinase. *Eukaryotic Cell* 1(3):317-328.

6. Collins CR*, et al.* (2013) Malaria parasite cGMP-dependent protein kinase regulates blood stage merozoite secretory organelle discharge and egress. *PLoS Pathog* 9(5):e1003344.

7. Brochet M*, et al.* (2014) Phosphoinositide metabolism links cGMP-dependent protein kinase G to essential Ca(2)(+) signals at key decision points in the life cycle of malaria parasites. *PLoS Biol* 12(3):e1001806.

8. Hortua Triana MA, Márquez-Nogueras KM, Vella SA, & Moreno SNJ (2018) Calcium signaling and the lytic cycle of the Apicomplexan parasite Toxoplasma gondii. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research* 1865(11):1846-1856.

9. Brochet M & Billker O (2016) Calcium signalling in malaria parasites. *Mol Microbiol* 100(3):397-408.

10. Taylor HM*, et al.* (2010) The malaria parasite cyclic GMP-dependent protein kinase plays a central role in blood-stage schizogony. *Eukaryot Cell* 9(1):37-45.

11. Hale VL*, et al.* (2017) Parasitophorous vacuole poration precedes its rupture and rapid host erythrocyte cytoskeleton collapse in Plasmodium falciparum egress. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 114(13):3439-3444.

12. Absalon S*, et al.* (2018) Calcium-Dependent Protein Kinase 5 Is Required for Release of Egress-Specific Organelles in Plasmodium falciparum. *MBio* 9(1).

13. Glushakova S*, et al.* (2018) Rounding precedes rupture and breakdown of vacuolar membranes minutes before malaria parasite egress from erythrocytes. *Cell Microbiol* 20(10):e12868.

14. Garg S*, et al.* (2013) Calcium-dependent permeabilization of erythrocytes by a perforin-like protein during egress of malaria parasites. *Nat Commun* 4:1736.

15. Glushakova S*, et al.* (2013) Cytoplasmic free Ca2+ is essential for multiple steps in malaria parasite egress from infected erythrocytes. *Malar J* 12:41.

16. Lourido S & Moreno SN (2015) The calcium signaling toolkit of the Apicomplexan parasites Toxoplasma gondii and Plasmodium spp. *Cell Calcium* 57(3):186-193.

17. Lourido S, Tang K, & Sibley LD (2012) Distinct signalling pathways control Toxoplasma egress and host-cell invasion. *EMBO J* 31(24):4524-4534.

18. Yeoh S*, et al.* (2007) Subcellular discharge of a serine protease mediates release of invasive malaria parasites from host erythrocytes. *Cell* 131(6):1072-1083.

19. Nasamu AS*, et al.* (2017) Plasmepsins IX and X are essential and druggable mediators of malaria parasite egress and invasion. *Science* 358(6362):518-522.

20. Pino P*, et al.* (2017) A multistage antimalarial targets the plasmepsins IX and X essential for invasion and egress. *Science* 358(6362):522-528.

21. Blackman MJ*, et al.* (1998) A subtilisin-like protein in secretory organelles of Plasmodium falciparum merozoites. *J Biol Chem* 273(36):23398-23409.

22. Sajid M, Withers-Martinez C, & Blackman MJ (2000) Maturation and Specificity ofPlasmodium
falciparumSubtilisin-like Protease-1, a Malaria Merozoite Subtilisin-like Serine Protease. *Journal*
of Biological Chemistry 275(1):631-641.
23. Tawk L*, et al.* (2013) A key role for Plasmodium subtilisin-like SUB1 protease in egress of malaria
parasites from host hepatocytes. *J Biol Chem* 288(46):33336-33346.
24. Das S*, et al.* (2015) Processing of Plasmodium falciparum Merozoite Surface Protein MSP1
Activates a Spectrin-Binding Function Enabling Parasite Egress from RBCs. *Cell Host Microbe*
18(4):433-444.
25. Thomas JA*, et al.* (2018) A protease cascade regulates release of the human malaria parasite
Plasmodium falciparum from host red blood cells. *Nat Microbiol* 3(4):447-455.
26. Lamarque M*, et al.* (2011) The RON2-AMA1 interaction is a critical step in moving junction-
dependent invasion by apicomplexan parasites. *PLoS Pathog* 7(2):e1001276.
27. Paul AS, Egan ES, & Duraisingh MT (2015) Host-parasite interactions that guide red blood cell
invasion by malaria parasites. *Curr Opin Hematol* 22(3):220-226.
28. Tomavo S, Slomianny C, Meissner M, & Carruthers VB (2013) Protein trafficking through the
endosomal system prepares intracellular parasites for a home invasion. *PLoS Pathog*
9(10):e1003629.
29. Coffey MJ, Jennison C, Tonkin CJ, & Boddey JA (2016) Role of the ER and Golgi in protein export
by Apicomplexa. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 41:18-24.
30. La Greca N, Hibbs AR, Riffkin C, Foley M, & Tilley L (1997) Identification of an endoplasmic
reticulum-resident calcium-binding protein with multiple EF-hand motifs in asexual stages of
Plasmodium falciparum. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 89(2):283-293.
31. Honore B (2009) The rapidly expanding CREC protein family: members, localization, function, and
role in disease. *Bioessays* 31(3):262-277.
32. Honoré B & Vorum H (2000) The CREC family, a novel family of multiple EF-hand, low-affinity Ca2+

-binding proteins localised to the secretory pathway of mammalian cells. *FEBS Letters* 466(1):11-18.
33. Tsuji A*, et al.* (2006) A proteomic approach reveals transient association of reticulocalbin-3, a
novel member of the CREC family, with the precursor of subtilisin-like proprotein convertase,
PACE4. *Biochem J* 396(1):51-59.
34. Painter HJ*, et al.* (2018) Genome-wide real-time in vivo transcriptional dynamics during
Plasmodium falciparum blood-stage development. *Nat Commun* 9(1):2656.
35. Prommana P*, et al.* (2013) Inducible knockdown of Plasmodium gene expression using the glmS
ribozyme. *PLoS One* 8(8):e73783.
36. Alleva LM & Kirk K (2001) Calcium regulation in the intraerythrocytic malaria parasite Plasmodium
falciparum. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 117(2):121-128.
37. Salmon BL, Oksman A, & Goldberg DE (2001) Malaria parasite exit from the host erythrocyte: a
two-step process requiring extraerythrocytic proteolysis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98(1):271-276.
38. Giganti D*, et al.* (2014) A novel Plasmodium-specific prodomain fold regulates the malaria drug
target SUB1 subtilase. *Nat Commun* 5:4833.
39. Goel VK*, et al.* (2003) Band 3 is a host receptor binding merozoite surface protein 1 during the
Plasmodium falciparum invasion of erythrocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100(9):5164-5169.
40. Li X*, et al.* (2004) A co-ligand complex anchors Plasmodium falciparum merozoites to the
erythrocyte invasion receptor band 3. *J Biol Chem* 279(7):5765-5771.
41. Baldwin MR, Li X, Hanada T, Liu SC, & Chishti AH (2015) Merozoite surface protein 1 recognition
of host glycophorin A mediates malaria parasite invasion of red blood cells. *Blood* 125(17):2704-
2711.

42. Boyle MJ, *et al.* (2010) Isolation of viable Plasmodium falciparum merozoites to define erythrocyte
invasion events and advance vaccine and drug development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
107(32):14378-14383.
43. Lin CS, *et al.* (2016) Multiple Plasmodium falciparum Merozoite Surface Protein 1 Complexes
Mediate Merozoite Binding to Human Erythrocytes. *J Biol Chem* 291(14):7703-7715.
44. Riglar DT, *et al.* (2011) Super-resolution dissection of coordinated events during malaria parasite
invasion of the human erythrocyte. *Cell Host Microbe* 9(1):9-20.
45. Cowman AF, Tonkin CJ, Tham WH, & Duraisingh MT (2017) The Molecular Basis of Erythrocyte
Invasion by Malaria Parasites. *Cell Host Microbe* 22(2):232-245.
46. Bannister LH, Mitchell GH, Butcher GA, & Dennis ED (1986) Lamellar membranes associated with
rhoptries in erythrocytic merozoites of Plasmodium knowlesi: a clue to the mechanism of
invasion. *Parasitology* 92 (Pt 2):291-303.
47. Howard RF, Narum DL, Blackman M, & Thurman J (1998) Analysis of the processing of Plasmodium
falciparum rhoptry-associated protein 1 and localization of Pr86 to schizont rhoptries and p67 to
free merozoites. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 92(1):111-122.
48. Howard RF & Schmidt CM (1995) The secretory pathway of Plasmodium falciparum regulates
transport of p82/RAP-1 to the rhoptries. *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology* 74(1):43-54.
49. Narum DL & Thomas AW (1994) Differential localization of full-length and processed forms of
PF83/AMA-1 an apical membrane antigen of Plasmodium falciparum merozoites. *Mol Biochem*
Parasitol 67(1):59-68.
50. Bannister LH, *et al.* (2003) Plasmodium falciparum apical membrane antigen 1 (PfAMA-1) is
translocated within micronemes along subpellicular microtubules during merozoite development.
J Cell Sci 116(Pt 18):3825-3834.
51. Howell SA, Withers-Martinez C, Kocken CH, Thomas AW, & Blackman MJ (2001) Proteolytic
processing and primary structure of Plasmodium falciparum apical membrane antigen-1. *J Biol*
Chem 276(33):31311-31320.
52. Healer J, Triglia T, Hodder AN, Gemmill AW, & Cowman AF (2005) Functional analysis of
Plasmodium falciparum apical membrane antigen 1 utilizing interspecies domains. *Infect Immun*
73(4):2444-2451.
53. Santos JM, *et al.* (2017) Red Blood Cell Invasion by the Malaria Parasite Is Coordinated by the
PfAP2-I Transcription Factor. *Cell Host Microbe* 21(6):731-741 e710.
54. Morita M, *et al.* (2012) Plasmodium falciparum endoplasmic reticulum-resident calcium binding
protein is a possible target of synthetic antimalarial endoperoxides, N-89 and N-251. *J Proteome*
Res 11(12):5704-5711.
55. Zhang Y, Liu R, Ni M, Gill P, & Lee AS (2010) Cell surface relocalization of the endoplasmic reticulum
chaperone and unfolded protein response regulator GRP78/BiP. *J Biol Chem* 285(20):15065-
15075.
56. Jung DH, Mo SH, & Kim DH (2006) Calumenin, a multiple EF-hands Ca2+-binding protein, interacts
with ryanodine receptor-1 in rabbit skeletal sarcoplasmic reticulum. *Biochem Biophys Res*
Commun 343(1):34-42.
57. Xu S, *et al.* (2017) RCN1 suppresses ER stress-induced apoptosis via calcium homeostasis and
PERK-CHOP signaling. *Oncogenesis* 6(3):e304.
58. Agarwal S, Singh MK, Garg S, Chitnis CE, & Singh S (2013) Ca(2+) -mediated exocytosis of subtilisin-
like protease 1: a key step in egress of Plasmodium falciparum merozoites. *Cell Microbiol*
15(6):910-921.
59. Jones ML, Cottingham C, & Rayner JC (2009) Effects of calcium signaling on Plasmodium
falciparum erythrocyte invasion and post-translational modification of gliding-associated protein
45 (PfGAP45). *Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology* 168(1):55-62.

60.   Dvorin JD*, et al.* (2010) A plant-like kinase in Plasmodium falciparum regulates parasite egress
1131   from erythrocytes. *Science* 328(5980):910-912.
1132   61.   Mok S*, et al.* (2015) Population transcriptomics of human malaria parasites reveals the mechanism
1133   of artemisinin resistance. *Science* 347(6220):431-435.
1134   62.   Drew ME*, et al.* (2008) Plasmodium food vacuole plasmepsins are activated by falcipains*. J Biol
1135   Chem* 283(19):12870-12876.
1136   63.   Russo I, Oksman A, & Goldberg DE (2009) Fatty acid acylation regulates trafficking of the unusual
1137   Plasmodium falciparum calpain to the nucleolus. *Mol Microbiol* 72(1):229-245.
1138   64.   Cobb DW*, et al.* (2017) The Exported Chaperone PfHsp70x Is Dispensable for the Plasmodium
1139   falciparum Intraerythrocytic Life Cycle. *mSphere* 2(5).
1140   65.   Florentin A*, et al.* (2017) PfClpC Is an Essential Clp Chaperone Required for Plastid Integrity and
1141   Clp Protease Stability in Plasmodium falciparum. *Cell Rep* 21(7):1746-1756.
1142   66.   Spillman NJ, Beck JR, Ganesan SM, Niles JC, & Goldberg DE (2017) The chaperonin TRiC forms an
1143   oligomeric complex in the malaria parasite cytosol. *Cell Microbiol* 19(6).
1144   67.   Ganesan SM*, et al.* (2011) Yeast dihydroorotate dehydrogenase as a new selectable marker for
1145   Plasmodium falciparum transfection. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* 177(1):29-34.
1146   68.   Budu A*, et al.* (2016) Calmidazolium evokes high calcium fluctuations in Plasmodium falciparum.
1147   *Cell Signal* 28(3):125-135.

1148