Differences in effective ploidy as drivers of genome-wide endosperm expression asymmetries and seed failure in wild tomato hybrids

Morgane Roth[*,1], Ana M. Florez-Rueda[*,2] and Thomas Städler[*]

[*] Plant Ecological Genetics, Institute of Integrative Biology & Zurich–Basel Plant Science Center, ETH Zurich, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

[1] Current address: Agroscope, Research Division Plant Breeding, 8820 Wädenswil, Switzerland

[2] Current address: Plant Developmental Genetics, Department of Plant and Microbial Biology & Zurich–Basel Plant Science Center, University of Zurich, 8008 Zurich, Switzerland

Running title: expression asymmetries in hybrid endosperm

Keywords: endosperm, hybrid seed failure, effective ploidy, gene expression, wild tomatoes

Corresponding author: Dr. Thomas Städler, Plant Ecological Genetics, Institute of Integrative Biology & Zurich–Basel Plant Science Center, ETH Zurich, Universitätstrasse 16, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland. Phone: +41-44-6327429; E-mail: thomas.staedler@env.ethz.ch

1 Abstract

Endosperm misdevelopment leading to hybrid seed failure is a common cause of postzygotic

isolation in angiosperms and is observed in both interploidy and homoploid crosses between

closely related lineages. Moreover, parental dosage is critical for successful endosperm and seed

development, typically requiring a ratio of two maternal to one paternal genome(s) in within-

species crosses. The recently revived concept of 'effective ploidy' can largely explain the

outcome of experimental crosses that (partly) ameliorate hybrid seed failure by manipulating the

actual ploidy in one of the parents. However, genome-wide expression perturbations

concomitant with levels of hybrid seed failure have yet to be reported. The tomato clade

(*Solanum* section *Lycopersicon*), encompassing closely related diploids with partial-to-complete

hybrid seed failure and diverse mating systems, provides outstanding opportunities to study these

issues. Here we compared replicated endosperm transcriptomes from six crosses within and

among three wild tomato lineages. Strikingly, both strongly inviable hybrid crosses displayed

conspicuous, asymmetric expression perturbations with strong signatures of cross direction. In

particular, *Solanum peruvianum*, the species inferred to have evolved higher effective ploidy

than the other two, drove hybrid expression landscapes in both maternal and paternal roles. This

global expression divergence was mirrored in functionally important gene families such as

transcription factors and E3 ubiquitin ligases, and revealed differences in cell-cycle tuning

between lineages that match phenotypic differences in developing endosperm and mature seed

size between reciprocal crosses. Our work initiates the exploration of links between parental

conflict, genomic imprinting, expression dosage and hybrid seed failure in flowering plants.

Introduction

Hybrid seed failure (HSF) is a common phenotype mediating early-acting postzygotic reproductive isolation in flowering plants. HSF does not necessarily result from F1 embryo defects as embryos may be rescued from developing seeds and grown to become fertile plants (Sharma *et al.* 1996). Such observations have been widely interpreted as evidence for hybrid endosperms' compromised ability to correctly nourish the embryo (Lester and Kang 1998; Sekine *et al.* 2013; Rebernig *et al.* 2015). As the products of double fertilization, embryo and endosperm are genetically closely related, yet these fertilization products are strongly dissimilar, concomitant with their different genome composition (embryo diploid, 1m:1p; endosperm triploid, 2m:1p) and methylation profiles (Gehring *et al.* 2009). This original 'brotherhood' between endosperm and embryo evolved over long periods of coevolutionary history, which might have contributed to the success of flowering plants (Baroux *et al.* 2002).

The frequent occurrence of HSF in interploidy crosses has been interpreted to be a consequence of endosperm sensitivity to parental dosage, establishing a reproductive barrier termed the 'triploid block' (Köhler *et al.* 2010; Stoute *et al.* 2012). A well-known feature of interploid seed failure are the typically contrasting phenotypes of reciprocal developing and/or mature hybrid seeds (Cooper and Brink 1945; Valentine and Woodell 1963; Scott *et al.* 1998; Leblanc *et al.* 2002). Specifically, these asymmetric phenotypes comprise smaller seeds when the ovule parent is of higher ploidy ('maternal-excess phenotype') and larger seeds when the pollen parent is of higher ploidy ('paternal-excess phenotype'; Haig and Westoby 1991). As endosperm size—which largely determines mature seed size—is affected in corresponding directions in such reciprocal interploidy crosses, parental-excess phenotypes have been regarded as a direct consequence of asymmetric parental dosage in their endosperms (Scott *et al.* 1998; Sabelli and Larkins 2009; Stoute *et al.* 2012).

Importantly, such inferred dosage sensitivity is also suspected to play a role in the developmental trajectory and (often) abortion of homoploid hybrid seeds with similar symptoms of parental excess (Josefsson *et al.* 2006; Rebernig *et al.* 2015; Oneal *et al.* 2016; Lafon-Placette *et al.* 2017, 2018). Phenotypic asymmetries between seeds from reciprocal homoploid crosses indicate that incompatibilities expressed in hybrid endosperm encompass parental effects. These phenomena might be caused by differences in so-called 'effective ploidy, a compound property thought to determine dosage requirements for specific genes in a given lineage (reviewed in

Lafon-Placette and Köhler 2016), and in classical work on tuber-bearing *Solanum* species

proposed as 'endosperm balance number' (EBN; Johnston *et al.* 1980; Ortiz and Ehlenfeld

1992). In crosses between homoploid species with different effective ploidy, the species with

higher effective ploidy would mimic the lineage with higher actual (karyotypic) ploidy in an

interploidy cross.

From an evolutionary point of view, variation in effective ploidy or 'genetic strength' is

regarded as a potential consequence of divergence between species in levels of parental conflict.

According to this line of thinking, maternal interests ought to restrict seed growth to allocate

resources equally among all seeds (from potentially different fathers). In contrast, paternal

interests ought to promote growth only for their own sires in the face of other potential fathers,

setting up competition for resource allocation between seeds from the same mother (Haig and

Westoby 1991; Brandvain and Haig 2005). Under this scenario, the smaller seeds observed in

maternal-excess crosses could be a manifestation of growth restrictions of maternal origin, while

the larger seeds in paternal-excess crosses might reveal weakened maternal control over resource

allocation, thus leading to paternally-driven overgrowth.

Thus far, dissimilar seed phenotypes have been revealed in interploidy crosses, yet without

addressing variability in parental conflict strength between lineages. Indeed, while interploidy

crosses can reveal parental effects, parental conflict is not expected to depend on ploidy level *per*

se. Arguably, studies on homoploid interspecific hybrids are more suitable to investigate whether

parental conflict strength has evolved in response to differences in mating system, long-term

demographic history, and/or other evolutionary forces. Relevant studies have recently been

performed in two Brassicaceae genera, *Arabidopsis* and *Capsella*, where it was shown that the

parent with the outcrossing breeding system (*A. lyrata*, *A. arenosa* and *C. grandiflora*,

respectively) drives seed phenotypes of maternal- and paternal-excess (Josefsson *et al.* 2006;

Rebernig *et al.* 2015; Lafon-Placette *et al.* 2017, 2018); experimentally increasing the ploidy of

the inbreeding species partly restored seed viability (Josefsson *et al.* 2006; Lafon-Placette *et al.*

2017). Beyond these phenotypic evidences, divergence in dosage between parental species of

flowering plants and its consequences for genome-wide expression modulation appear to not

have previously been quantified.

To date, genome-wide studies on endosperm gene expression have mainly focused on

characterizing genomic imprinting, *i.e.* the parent-of-origin–dependent expression of genes. A

trend for elevated expression of imprinted genes in species with higher parental conflict was

found between closely related species, but it is currently not known whether this might

contribute to incidences of HSF (Klosinska *et al.* 2016; Roth *et al.* 2018b). Of note, genomic

imprinting is extensively perturbed in failing wild tomato hybrid endosperm (Florez-Rueda *et al*.

2016), but it is unclear whether mis-imprinting *per se* or total expression-level changes of

functionally important genes (plausibly including imprinted genes) underpin hybrid seed

abortion. We may hypothesize that parental imbalances caused by divergent effective ploidies in

homoploid crosses affect global expression levels and dosage-sensitive processes such as

genomic imprinting. Moreover, we expect such parental imbalances to be reflected by opposite

patterns of expression change in the reciprocal crosses.

Wild tomatoes (*Solanum* section *Lycopersicon*) provide a well-suited plant system to study

developmental and evolutionary questions on HSF (Florez-Rueda *et al*. 2016; Roth *et al*. 2018a).

We have recently shown that crosses between *S. arcanum* var. marañón (A), *S. chilense* (C) and

S. peruvianum (P) result in different degrees of endosperm disruption leading to partial or

complete seed inviability (Roth *et al*. 2018a). In particular, crosses between A and C yield

variable proportions of viable and inviable seeds (here categorized as 'weak-HSF') whereas

crosses between P and either A or C result in near-complete seed failure (termed 'strong-HSF';

Figure S1). Moreover, marked phenotypic asymmetries are characteristic of seeds from

reciprocal crosses of the strong-HSF category, where endosperms fathered by species P (*i.e.*

from crosses AP and CP) correspond to paternal-excess phenotypes and endosperms of P

maternal plants (*i.e.* from crosses PA and PC) correspond to maternal-excess phenotypes

(Florez-Rueda 2014; Roth *et al*. 2018a). We thus hypothesized that lineage P experienced higher

levels of parental conflict that led to its increased effective ploidy compared to C and A during

their evolutionary divergence.

The present study seeks to (i) quantify molecular perturbations of gene expression levels in

(partly or entirely) failing wild tomato endosperm, (ii) assess the prediction of genome-wide

asymmetries in patterns of endosperm expression levels between reciprocal strong-HSF crosses,

(iii) identify candidate genes/gene families with potentially important roles in expression

perturbation, and (iv) discuss the role of parental conflict-driven differences in effective ploidy

in causing or contributing to hybrid seed failure.

Materials and Methods

Plant material and crosses

Seeds were obtained from the Tomato Genetics Resource Center (TGRC, University of California, Davis, USA; https://tgrc.ucdavis.edu). We crossed three genotypes (one per species) in a full diallele design with all reciprocal crosses producing seed phenotypes typical for weak or strong seed inviability, respectively (Roth *et al.* 2018a; Figure S1). Genotypes were chosen from population LA2185 (Amazonas, Peru) for *S. arcanum* var. marañón (A), population LA4329 (Antofagasta, Chile) for *S. chilense* (C) and population LA2744 (Arica and Parinacota, Chile) for *S. peruvianum* (P) to be used in hybrid crosses (Figure S2). In addition, we chose three genotypes from additional populations of each species in order to perform intraspecific reciprocal crosses (referred to as 'controls'; Figure S2). The corresponding populations are LA1626 (Ancash, Peru) for A, LA2748 (Tarapaca, Chile) for C and LA2964 (Tacna, Peru) for P. The latter three populations were not used in hybrid crosses. As detailed in Roth *et al.* (2018a), all crosses produced normal quantities of seeds per fruit. Plants were grown from seed in an insect-free greenhouse at ETHZ (Lindau-Eschikon, canton Zurich, Switzerland). They were regularly repotted in 5-l pots using fresh soil (Ricoter Substrate 214, Ricoter Erdaufbereitung AG, Aarberg, Switzerland) and fertilizing granules (Gartensegen, Hauert HBG Dünger AG, Grossaffoltern, Switzerland). Additional liquid fertilizer was applied once or twice per month depending on the season (Wuxal® NPK solution, Aglukon Spezialdünger GmbH and Co. KG, Düsseldorf, Germany). Plants were watered two to four times per week.

Well before the onset of the experiments, cuttings yielded multiple ramets per genotype, from which we chose three to serve as biological replicates. All clones were maintained in a climate chamber for the duration of the whole experiment (12 h light at 18 Klux and 50% relative humidity, 12 h darkness at 0 Klux with 60% relative humidity). Reciprocal crosses were named with the two initial letters of parental lineages within brackets (all reciprocal crosses are: [AC], [AP], [CP], [AA], [CC], [PP]), and individual crosses designated by the initial letters of parental lineages without brackets, indicating the cross direction 'mother × father': **AA1**, LA2185A × LA1626B; **AA2**, LA1626B × LA2185A; **CC1**, LA4329B × LA2748B; **CC2**, LA2748B × LA4329B; **PP1**, LA2744B × LA2964A; **PP2**, LA2964A × LA2744B; **AC**, LA2185A × LA4329B; **CA**, LA4329B × LA2185A; **AP**, LA2185A × LA2744B; **PA**, LA2744B × LA2185A; **CP**, LA4329B × LA2744B; **PC**, LA2744B x LA4329B. This implies that AC, AP,

and AA1 share the same mother, that CA, CP, and CC1 share the same mother, and that PA, PC,

and PP1 share the same mother. Each cross was performed three times using clonal replicates of

each genotype. Fruits were sampled 12 days after manual pollinations (12 DAP)—corresponding

to the early globular embryo stage—embedded, and endosperms were sampled from fruit

cryosections via laser-assisted microdissection. Methods for endosperm sampling, RNA

extraction, library preparation and sequencing are detailed in our previous study (Roth *et al*.

2018b).

Alignment and counting methods

Short read alignment was done as previously described (Roth *et al*. 2018b). Briefly, RNA-Seq

quality assessment of all samples was performed with the FastQC program

(http://bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). Adapters were removed with cutadapt

(Martin 2011). Trimming and quality filtering were done with the Perl script trimmingreads.pl

from the NGSQC Toolkit version 2.3 (Patel and Jain 2012). Read mapping was performed with

TopHat version 2.1.0 (Trapnell *et al*. 2009) against the SL2.50 reference genome of the

cultivated tomato var. Heinz (The Tomato Genome Consortium 2012) with the corresponding

annotation ITAG2.4 (International Tomato Annotation Consortium; https://solgenomics.net/).

Mapping quality check was done with Qualimap version 2.2 (Okonechnikov *et al*. 2016) and

RSeQC (Wang *et al*. 2012). Total reads per gene were counted from bam files with HTseq

(Anders *et al.* 2015) using the gff ITAG2.4 annotation file (The Tomato Genome Consortium

2012). Only reads with mapping quality above 20 were retained.

Statistical analyses

Differential gene expression analysis (DGE) was performed with the R package EdgeR

(Robinson *et al.* 2010; R Development Core Team 2014). Only genes with at least one read

count per million in at least two of the 36 libraries were kept, resulting in a set of 22,006 genes.

We used Multidimensional Scaling (MDS) plots to assess variation between biological

replicates, using the function plotMDS in EdgeR and target groups 'species' for intraspecific

crosses and 'cross type' for the whole dataset. A negative binomial model was fitted to each

gene using individual crosses as factors, estimating trended dispersions (variance parameters).

Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) were identified in the selected pairwise comparisons

using different contrasts with a generalized linear model likelihood ratio test (*P*-value correction

with the Benjamini–Hochberg method for a false discovery rate [FDR] of 5%).

In each comparison, we used specific contrasts to compare two classes of crosses according

to different criteria: (i) their seed phenotype (*e.g.* in the 'strong–intra' comparison, strongly

abortive crosses were compared to intraspecific crosses by pooling all replicates of all strong-

HSF crosses together (*i.e.* AP, PA, CP, and PC) and comparing them to all replicates of all

intraspecific crosses pooled together (*i.e.* AA1, AA2, CC1, CC2, PP1, and PP2)); (ii) hybrids

compared to their respective intraspecific cross sharing the same mother (*e.g.* in the 'PA-PP1'

comparison, all replicates of the PA cross were compared to all replicates of the PP1 cross); (iii)

cross direction by comparing reciprocal crosses (*e.g.* in the 'PA-AP' comparison, all replicates of

the PA cross were compared to all replicates of the AP cross); and (iv) the species in

intraspecific crosses (*e.g.* in the '[AA]-[CC]' comparison, we compared all replicates of AA1

and AA2 to all replicates of CC1 and CC2); in total we report 18 different contrasts (Table S1,

sheet 'Contrasts'). Count data used for creating heat maps were obtained from normalized counts

per million, averaged across replicates for each cross. Heat maps were plotted with the R

package 'gplots' using hierarchical clustering (R Development Core Team 2014; Warnes *et al.*

2016). The R package 'topGO' (Alexa and Rahnenführer 2016) was used to identify enriched

Gene Ontology (GO) terms from ITAG 2.4 downloaded from Plant Ensembl Biomart

datamining platform (Kinsella *et al.* 2011), using as gene universe the set of 22,006 endosperm-

expressed genes. Venn diagrams were obtained with the R package 'venneuler' (Wilkinson

2011).

Data availability

Raw sequence data for the RNA-sequencing dataset used in this study are available from the

Sequence Read Archive (https://trace.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/sra/) with the accession numbers

PRJNA427095 (18 hybrid endosperm libraries), SRP132466 (18 within-species endosperm

libraries and five parental plants; Roth *et al.* 2018b), and SRX1850236 (parent LA4329B;

Florez-Rueda *et al.* 2016). Supplemental Material, Figure S1 details the distribution of seed

viability in all crosses used in this study, which are a subset of a larger phenotypic study of

(hybrid) seed viability (Roth *et al.* 2018a). Figure S2 is a diagram of the crossing design

representing the six reciprocal crosses used for our endosperm RNA-Seq experiment. Table S1 is

as large Excel table containing four data sheets: 'Contrasts' contains the list of 18 comparisons

with their corresponding contrasts used in this study, 'DEGs' summarizes differential gene

expression (DGE) for each of them, 'GO_enrichment' summarizes GO-term enrichments for

differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in selected categories, and 'DGE_imprinted_genes' lists

the status of candidate imprinted genes and their differential expression in all tested contrasts.

Results and Discussion

Molecular responses to hybridization correspond to hybrid seed failure severity

Seeds with similar phenotypes are likely to have similar expression patterns in the endosperm

and low proportions of DEGs between them. In turn, we hypothesized that the magnitude of

gene expression differences between two crosses would broadly match their developmental

trajectories (along the gradient intraspecific – weak HSF – strong HSF). We assessed this

hypothesis with a suite of DGE analyses. After filtering our dataset for lowly expressed genes

across the 36 libraries, 22,006 genes remained for DGE analysis, indicating that 63.4% of the

ITAG2.4-annotated genes were jointly expressed in the 12-DAP endosperm of our various cross

types. The multidimensional scaling (MDS) plot using expression data from only the

intraspecific crosses revealed that samples broadly group by species and cross direction (Figure

1A). In particular, differences in the overall gene expression landscape between [CC] and [PP]

endosperms appear to be fewer than between [CC] and [AA] or [PP] and [AA] endosperms: 817

DEGs were found between [PP] and [CC], 1,226 DEGs between [CC] and [AA], and 1,184

DEGs between [PP] and [AA]. This apparent genome-wide expression divergence broadly

reflects the differences in divergence time between A, C and P (Städler *et al.* 2008; Beddows *et*

al. 2017). A positive correlation between genomic and expression divergence is expected from

theory (Nuzhdin *et al.* 2004; Renaut *et al.* 2012). However, while our results support this notion,

the correlation between expression and sequence divergence appears to be either positive

(Nuzhdin *et al.* 2004; Khaitovich *et al.* 2005; Renaut *et al.* 2012) or non-significant (Jeukens *et*

al. 2010; Wolf *et al.* 2010; Moyers and Rieseberg 2013) in previous empirical studies.

The global expression landscape represented by the joint analysis of all 36 samples

revealed several expression profiles corresponding to different seed phenotypes (Figure 1B); the

y-axis mainly separates intraspecific and weak-HSF crosses [AA], [CC], [PP] and [AC] from

strong-HSF crosses [AP] and [CP]. We quantified these expression changes and found that many

more genes are differentially expressed between strong-HSF ([AP] and [CP]) and intraspecific

endosperms ([AA], [CC] and [PP]) than between weak-HSF ([AC]) and intraspecific

endosperms (3,026 vs. 682 DEGs; Figure 2A; Table S1, sheet 'DEGs'). Interestingly, 85.5% of

DEGs between strong-HSF and intraspecific endosperms overlap with DEGs between strong-

HSF and weak-HSF endosperms (with the same direction of expression changes relative to

strong-HSF endosperms; Table S1, sheet 'DEGs'). Expression differences in hybrid endosperms

are likely a product of hybridization *per se* (Hegarty *et al.* 2009; Combes *et al.* 2015; Raza *et al.*

2017), but expression perturbation may also be expected to be stronger when parental species are

more genetically diverged (Landry *et al.* 2007; Stelkens and Seehausen 2009; He *et al.* 2010).

Because expression differences among intraspecific crosses reflect genetic divergence between

lineages (Figure 1A), we might have expected [CP] to exhibit the least-altered expression pattern

among all hybrids. To the contrary, [CP] and [AP] hybrid endosperms revealed the most

dissimilar expression patterns compared to their parental intraspecific crosses, while [AC]

endosperms were close to their parental intraspecific crosses in terms of overall expression

landscape (Figures 1B, 2B). Also, interspecific expression differences contributed more to DEGs

observed between individual hybrids and their corresponding intraspecific cross (sharing the

same mother) in weak-HSF hybrids (52.5–54.4%) than in strong-HSF hybrids (only 19.3–

31.9%). This suggests that gene expression divergence between parental species alone cannot

explain the extensive expression changes in strongly abortive endosperms (Figure 3); rather,

epistatic interactions might rewire gene regulation and generate unique expression landscapes in

[AP] and [CP] hybrids which might be responsible for their extreme phenotypes and near-

complete inviability (Renaut *et al.* 2009; Dion-Côté *et al.* 2014; Roth *et al.* 2018a).

As a consequence of extensive transcriptomic changes, a wide range of biological functions

were affected in strong-HSF endosperms. DEGs between strong-HSF and intraspecific

endosperms were enriched for carbohydrate and lipid metabolism, transcription regulation,

chromatin conformation, cell cycle, cell structure (cell wall, microtubules), signalling (peptides,

hormones, response to stress) and transport (100 GO terms; Table S1, sheet 'GO_enrichment').

The far fewer DEGs between weak-HSF and intraspecific endosperms were mainly enriched for

terms related to carbohydrate and lipid metabolism (34 GO terms; Table S1, sheet

'GO_enrichment'). Changes related to signalling and cell wall modifications have been reported

as potential contributors to HSF in *Arabidopsis* hybrid endosperm (Burkart-Waco *et al.* 2013),

but functions relating to global transcriptome changes during endosperm-based HSF remain

poorly documented. Interestingly, functions enriched among imprinted genes (*i.e.* those with

parent-of-origin–dependent expression) whose expression levels may be critical for seed

development, seem to overlap with perturbed functions observed in strong-HSF endosperms. In

particular, ten enriched GO terms found among strong-HSF DEGs were in common with GO

terms enriched among wild tomato imprinted genes (Roth *et al*. 2018b; Table S1, sheet

'GO_enrichment'). These GO terms correspond mainly to transcription factor activity, metabolic

processes and signalling and are also found enriched among imprinted genes in other species

such as *A. thaliana*, rice, maize and sorghum (Gehring *et al*. 2011; Luo *et al*. 2011; Waters *et al*.

2013; Zhang *et al*. 2016). Focusing on 58 conserved imprinted genes previously identified in our

three wild tomato species (Roth *et al*. 2018b), we found that 23 were differentially expressed in

strong-HSF endosperm vs. only four in weak-HSF endosperm, when compared to intraspecific

crosses (Table S1, sheet 'DGE_imprinted_genes'). While we have previously shown that

maternal-to-paternal expression ratios are markedly perturbed in abortive [CP] crosses (Florez-

Rueda *et al*. 2016), our results demonstrate that total expression levels of imprinted genes are

also affected and could contribute to HSF in strong-HSF crosses.

Expression asymmetries between reciprocal crosses match parental-excess phenotypes

For the entire transcriptome data set, we found the strongest expression differences between the

reciprocals of strong-HSF crosses. Indeed, the expression landscapes of crosses with P as the

ovule parent (PA and PC) on the one hand and crosses with P as the pollen parent (AP and CP)

on the other hand, are fundamentally dissimilar (x-axis of the MDS plot; Figure 1B). This

marked expression divergence corresponds to opposite seed phenotypes, comprising larger seeds

in AP and CP crosses ('paternal excess'-like) and smaller seeds in PA and PC crosses ('maternal

excess'-like; see Introduction).

The DGE analysis revealed that about one third of all endosperm-expressed genes were

differentially expressed between both the PA and AP (n = 7,227 genes) and the PC and CP

crosses (n = 7,153 genes; Figure 2C). This indicates profound parental dosage differences

between reciprocals which qualitatively inherit the same parental genomes but differ in the

dosage from each parent due to the asymmetric 2m:1p endosperm genomic content. In both the

[AP] and [CP] reciprocal crosses, more genes were overexpressed when P was in the paternal

than when it was in the maternal role (Figure 2C). Moreover, of these two sets of DEGs, 4,477

genes were in common and shared the same direction of expression change in both the 'PA-AP'

and 'PC-CP' comparisons (only 127 genes showed opposite gene expression changes between

them). This high proportion of shared gene identity and expression change implies that the

strong-HSF endosperms respond in a highly symmetric fashion relative to parent P, indicating

that the relative dosage of P (two as ovule parent and one as pollen parent) drove global

expression changes between these two reciprocal hybrid crosses. We performed a functional

enrichment analysis for the 4,320 GO-annotated DEGs shared in the two comparisons 'PA-AP'

and 'PC-CP' (with the same direction of expression change with respect to the P parent; Table

S1, sheet 'GO_enrichment'). Overall, these DEGs were mainly enriched for expression

regulation, chromatin modifications and a large number of biosynthetic and catalytic processes

(Table 1; Table S1, sheet 'GO_enrichment'). Transcription was affected from initiation to RNA

maturation (DNA binding, RNA polymerase II, tRNA, snRNA, posttranscriptional regulation of

gene expression; Table 1; Table S1, sheet 'GO_enrichment'). The expression of genes relating to

chromatin modifications was also highly divergent between these crosses (helicases,

nucleosome, replication initiation, chiasma assembly; Table 1; Table S1, sheet

'GO_enrichment').

DEGs between reciprocal crosses can reveal functions preferentially controlled by one

parent that are perturbed in hybrid endosperms. For example, transcription and chromatin-related

activities were more often—but not exclusively—enriched among genes overexpressed with P as

pollen parent (Table S1, sheet 'GO_enrichment'). Other functions seemed to be more

specifically overexpressed when P was the ovule parent, such as energy metabolism (*e.g.* starch

and lipids), stress signals, cell-cycle control (protein phosphorylation, protein serine/threonine

kinase and auxin-related terms) and cell architecture (cell wall; Table 1; Table S1, sheet

'GO_enrichment'). Also, important functional categories among candidate imprinted genes such

as DNA-binding (Waters *et al.* 2011; Roth *et al.* 2018b) were enriched among DEGs between

reciprocal strong-HSF crosses (Table 1; Table S1, sheet 'GO_enrichment'). We found that a

large proportion of wild tomato conserved imprinted genes were differentially expressed

between CP and PC (39 out of 58 genes) and between AP and PA (29 out of 58 genes). In

particular, maternally expressed genes (MEGs) were mostly overexpressed in maternal-excess

endosperms (32/32 differentially expressed MEGs overexpressed in PC-CP and 20/22 MEGs

differentially expressed MEGs overexpressed in PA-AP) while paternally expressed genes

(PEGs) tended to be overexpressed in paternal-excess endosperms (7/7 differentially expressed

PEGs overexpressed in CP-PC and 5/7 differentially expressed PEGs overexpressed in AP-PA).

These expression patterns might indicate that parental excess alters specific dosage mechanisms

regulating the expression of imprinted genes, which is potentially lethal for the endosperm and
thus the developing seed (Lafon-Placette *et al*. 2018).

Because transcription regulation seems to be deeply affected in reciprocal strong-HSF
crosses, we scrutinized expression changes of transcription factors (TFs) and found extensive
expression changes among WRKY and MADS-Box TFs (Figure 4A, B). In the WRKY-
annotated genes, expression was homogeneous between intraspecific and weak-HSF crosses, but
markedly different in strong-HSF endosperms. Two sets of genes were respectively over- and
underexpressed in all strong-HSF crosses when compared to intraspecific and weak-HSF
crosses. Two other sets of genes exhibited different expression levels between reciprocals of
[AP] and [CP] (Figure 4A). The WRKY TF family is very diverse and involved in several major
developmental processes including seed development (Rushton *et al.* 2010). One WRKY TF,
TRANSPARENT TESTA GLABRA2, has been reported as a MEG in *A. thaliana* for which
accession-specific dosage is essential for seed survival and involved in the control of endosperm
cellularization (Dilkes *et al.* 2008). Among MADS-Box TFs, we also observed two subsets of
over- and underexpressed genes in paternal-excess endosperms AP and CP compared to all other
cross categories (Figure 4B). MADS-Box genes such as *AGAMOUS-LIKE* (AGL) genes are
linked to the Polycomb Repressive Complex (PRC) and involved in *A. thaliana* endosperm
cellularization during development (Kang *et al.* 2008; Walia *et al.* 2009). The paternal-excess
phenotype of *A. thaliana* × *A. arenosa* interspecific seeds has been linked to the overexpression
of several AGL genes in the developing endosperm (Walia *et al.* 2009), while downregulation of
AGL62 in *A. thaliana osd1* mutants results in a maternal-excess phenotype (Kradolfer *et al.*
2013).

Higher effective ploidy of P might underlie phenotypic and transcriptomic asymmetries

The phenotypic asymmetries between reciprocal, inviable hybrid crosses [AP] and [CP] coincide
with seed phenotypes typically observed in interploidy crosses (see Introduction). However, P
does not have an increased ploidy as all three species studied here are diploid. Although P does
not exhibit higher genome-wide expression levels (Roth *et al*. 2018b), our DGE analysis found
more genes overexpressed than underexpressed in [PP] endosperm compared to either [AA] or
[CC] endosperm, with an overlap of 390 genes overexpressed in [PP] in both comparisons (PP-
AA up = 1,471; PP-AA down = 1,176; PP-CC up = 971; PP-CC down = 851; Table 2; Table S1,
sheet 'DEGs'). This indicates that compared to both A and C, lineage P features increased

expression in the endosperm that is not observed genome-wide but rather restricted to a subset of

genes. Interestingly, among the common set of 390 genes overexpressed in [PP] compared to

both [CC] and [AA], a sizable fraction ($n = 252$, 64.6%) comprises genes either overexpressed in

both maternal-excess crosses (PA and PC compared to AP and CP, $n = 129$) or overexpressed in

both paternal-excess crosses (AP and CP compared to PA and PC, $n = 123$; Table S1, sheet

'DEGs'). From these sets of genes, genes overexpressed in maternal-excess crosses are mainly

enriched for nutrient reservoir activity ($P = 0.0145$) and galactose metabolism ($P = 0.0037$), and

genes overexpressed in paternal-excess crosses are enriched for DNA binding ($P = 3.00e-05$),

transcription regulation ($P = 8.00e-05$) and biosynthetic process ($P = 3.55e-05$). These

enrichments possibly reflect increased maternal influence on resource allocation in maternal-

excess endosperms and increased paternal influence on the control of gene expression and

growth, respectively.

It has recently been shown that imprinted genes in *Capsella*, and especially PEGs, tend to

have increased expression in species with the highest effective ploidy (Lafon-Placette *et al*.

2018). In our recent study on wild tomatoes, only a small fraction of candidate imprinted genes

were significantly differentially expressed between [AA], [CC] and [PP], and these were

exclusively MEGs (Roth *et al*. 2018b; Table S1, sheet 'DGE_imprinted_genes'). MEGs

overexpressed in [PP] were mostly found to be overexpressed in maternal-excess crosses PA and

PC (6 of 7 in PA and 4 of 5 in PC; Table S1, sheet 'DGE_imprinted_genes'). Thus, an increased

expression of imprinted genes in P might contribute only marginally to expression asymmetries

observed between reciprocals of the strong-HSF crosses. Alternatively, the contribution of

imprinted genes might be underestimated because more imprinted genes still remain to be

identified due to technical limitations (*e.g.* lack of parental polymorphism for many genes in the

crosses used; Roth *et al*. 2018b).

Among the 41 putative AGL genes expressed in wild tomato endosperm, we found that 28

were jointly overexpressed in both paternal-excess crosses (30 of 34 DEGs in CP-PC and 29 of

31 DEGs in AP-PA comparisons). Among them, eight were also overexpressed in [PP]

compared to both [CC] and [AA] endosperms. This pattern of expression suggests that these

eight AGL genes might be paternally expressed, but their imprinting status could not be assessed

due to lack of SNPs between our parental plants (only one AGL gene was polymorphic in P and

not imprinted; Roth *et al*. 2018b). Yet, AGL genes are potentially subject to imprinting in the

endosperm, as shown by the first-ever identified PEG *PHERES1*, and further AGL genes being

maternally or paternally expressed in *Arabidopsis* (Köhler *et al.* 2003; Shirzadi *et al.* 2011; Bai

and Settles 2015). Overall, our data indicate increased expression levels in species P for genes

known to be critical for seed size and seed viability, such as AGL genes. This might reflect the

increased effective ploidy of this species as an evolutionary consequence of higher levels of

parental conflict in P compared to both A and C (Lafon-Placette and Köhler 2016; Lafon-

Placette *et al*. 2018; Roth *et al.* 2018b).

Molecular functions underlying parental excess reveal differences in cell-cycle tuning between lineages

GO terms associated with genes differentially expressed between maternal- and paternal-excess

crosses (*i.e.* PA and PC versus AP and CP, respectively) indicated contrasting cell cycle regimes.

DNA replication and chiasma assembly were enriched among genes overexpressed in paternal-

excess endosperms, indicating that AP and CP endosperm cells were probably still dividing at 12

DAP, while proliferation had most likely stopped in the corresponding PA and PC endosperms

(Table S1, sheet 'GO_enrichment'). Our previously published morphological measurements of

various [CP] seed compartments between 10 and 13 DAP bolster this inference (Roth *et al.*

2018a). Also, the enrichment in cell cycle control- and cell wall-related terms in DEGs between

hybrid endosperms with P in the maternal vs. paternal role (*i.e.* PA and PC versus AP and CP,

respectively) is plausibly linked to cell-proliferation differences observed between these

endosperms. Related to this, we found striking expression asymmetries in E3 ubiquitin ligases

whose protein products are involved in the control of the cell cycle (Inzé and De Veylder 2006;

Figure 4C). Among the 20 E3 ubiquitin ligase genes expressed in our data set, eight were

overexpressed in maternal-excess compared to paternal-excess endosperms, while only one gene

was differentially expressed between the weak-HSF cases CA and AC (Table S1, sheet 'DEGs').

The function 'negative regulation of growth' was overexpressed in maternal-excess

phenotypes, combined with an increased response to auxin (Table S1, sheet 'GO_enrichment')

which is known to exert negative control of cell division (John *et al.* 1993; Schruff *et al.* 2006;

Orozco-Arroyo *et al.* 2015). *A. thaliana arf* mutants bear a non-functional *AUXIN RESPONSE*

FACTOR 2 (ARF2) and a paternal-excess phenotype with enlarged seeds due to delayed and

extended cell divisions in seed tissues (Schruff *et al.* 2006). This indicates that maternal factors

control the response to auxin, which is responsible for the control of cell cycle transitions.

Interestingly, five ARFs were found to be MEGs in wild tomato endosperm, and three of them

were overexpressed in maternal-excess phenotypes (Solyc04g081240.2, Solyc07g043610.2,

Solyc11g069500.1; Roth *et al*. 2018b; Table S1, sheet 'DEGs'). Signals for cell differentiation

and response to hormones involved in cell differentiation and seed maturation, such as

brassinosteroids and abscisic acid (Orozco-Arroyo *et al*. 2015), were overrepresented among

genes overexpressed in the maternal-excess endosperms (Table S1, sheet 'GO_enrichment').

Compared to intraspecific PP1 endosperm, genes involved in mitotic chromosome condensation

and regulation of G2/M transition of the mitotic cell cycle were mainly underexpressed in PA

and PC (category 'down in PA-PP1 & PC-PP1'; Table S1, sheet 'GO_enrichment'), whereas

genes involved in fruit ripening and seed dormancy were overexpressed (category 'up in PA-PP1

& PC-PP1'; Table S1, sheet 'GO_enrichment'). These concomitant expression changes probably

reflect our histological observations that maternal-excess endosperms stopped dividing and

already started to differentiate at the early globular embryo stage (Roth *et al*. 2018a).

We thus suggest that hormone concentrations, regulating the progression through the cell

cycle, are mainly under maternal control and perturbed in opposite ways in (PA, PC) versus (AP,

CP) endosperms, contributing to maternal- and paternal-excess endosperm morphologies and the

corresponding seed size differences. As proposed for interploid maize crosses by Leblanc *et al*.

(2002), parental dosage would influence the cell cycle such that (i) rapid mitotic arrest is due to

fast G/M transitions in maternal-excess endosperm, and (ii) a longer phase of cell proliferation is

due to facilitated re-entry into the S-phase (DNA replication phase) and delayed G/M transitions

in paternal-excess endosperm.

Further, some authors have argued that HSF due to dosage imbalance is not a result of

perturbed imprinting *per se* but rather a sign that imprinted regulators of cytoplasmic growth rate

are misexpressed (von Wangenheim and Peterson 2004; Li and Dickinson 2010). All eukaryotic

cells progress through the cell cycle by means of precise control of cyclin concentrations.

Although cyclins and their regulation are only partially characterized in plants, it is known that

genes encoding cyclins are controlled by growth hormones (Inzé and De Veylder 2006, and

references therein). Parental control of hormones and other cell-cycle regulators would support

the hypothesis that imprinting evolved to ensure stable production of certain cell components

(Hurst and McVean 1998; Weisstein and Spencer 2003). Also, pervasive maternal control over

hormone supply could be interpreted as a coadapted control of cell signalling between the

endosperm and maternal tissues, thus allowing their synchronized development (Wolf and Hager

2006).

Parental excess in the endosperm mediates perturbed growth of maternal seed tissues

We previously reported that sporophytic tissues were affected by the hybrid state, notably in CP

crosses where both nucellus and seed coat were enlarged compared to [CC] developing seeds

(Roth *et al*. 2018a). Based on studies of *A. thaliana arf* mutants, Schruff *et al.* (2006) proposed

that impaired auxin regulation in sporophytic tissues altered seed size. As highlighted by our

morphological data on some of the same wild tomato hybrid crosses studied here (Roth *et al*

2018a), seed size and development was impaired in strong-HSF hybrids in a fashion similar to

that observed by Schruff *et al.* (2006). However, in our study CP, CA and CC1 seeds inherited

the same sporophytic genome (from mother C1) yet exhibited different seed viability levels,

suggesting that the perturbation of auxin control is unlikely to originate in sporophytic tissues.

Rather, auxin control is most likely first impaired in the endosperms of [AP] and [CP] hybrids

and might subsequently mediate hormonal perturbation in sporophytic tissues.

Recent studies in *A. thaliana* have demonstrated that the endosperm-expressed AGL62

mediates the transport of auxin from the endosperm to the integuments and underlies nucellus

degradation as well as integument initiation and growth during seed development (Figueiredo *et*

al. 2016; Xu *et al*. 2016; Fiume *et al.* 2017). These results strengthen the hypothesis that AGL

and auxin deregulation in the endosperm might be tightly linked and indicate that expression

perturbation in the endosperm might trigger physiological abnormalities in maternal

compartments of wild tomato abortive seeds. Our results thus emphasize the central role of the

endosperm as a coordinator of seed development and growth, and they lend support to maternal–

offspring coadaptation of gene expression in the seed (Berger *et al.* 2006; Wolf and Hager 2006;

Nowack *et al.* 2010).

Evolutionary implications of differences in effective ploidy for reproductive isolation

We described the transcriptomes of hybrid endosperms obtained by reciprocally crossing three

homoploid, closely related wild tomato lineages and found that transcriptomic differences were

associated with phenotypic differences between intraspecific, partially viable, and completely

inviable hybrid seeds. Our study system included two crosses with reciprocal strong-HSF

phenotypes ([AP] and [CP]) which also exhibited similar expression signatures. Thus, the [AP]

and [CP] data reflect independently evolved yet similar biological features, suggesting shared

molecular and physiological underpinnings of reproductive isolation between closely related

lineages.

Moreover, the asymmetric phenotypes and expression landscapes of strongly abortive

hybrid seeds indicate that parental conflict has facilitated the establishment of reproductive

isolation. More specifically, species P appears to drive HSF at both molecular and phenotypic

levels upon hybridization with lineages C or A. We thus propose that P bears an increased

effective endosperm dosage, which can be interpreted as a higher effective ploidy (or EBN;

Johnston *et al.* 1980; Lafon-Placette and Köhler 2016). Recent empirical data in *Capsella*

suggest a positive correlation between levels of parental conflict within lineages and effective

ploidy (Rebernig *et al.* 2015; Lafon-Placette *et al.* 2018). As levels of parental conflict should

negatively correlate with relatedness between parents, such conflict is expected to decrease with

more intense inbreeding (Brandvain and Haig 2005). Although our study included only obligate

outcrossers, lineages A, C and P harbor different levels of range-wide nucleotide diversity;

specifically, P is the most diverse and A the least diverse lineage (Städler *et al.* 2008; Tellier *et*

al. 2011; Labate *et al.* 2014). Range-wide nucleotide diversity should reflect long-term effective

population size; all other things being equal, one would expect lower parental conflict between

two randomly drawn plants from the least polymorphic (A) compared to the more polymorphic

lineages (C and, particularly, P). In summary, we infer the relative effective ploidies between

lineages to be P >> C ≥ A.

Lafon-Placette *et al.* (2018) identified higher numbers and expression levels of PEGs in the

obligatory outcrosser *Capsella grandiflora* (inferred to have the highest effective ploidy),

compared to the highly selfing species *C. rubella* and the more ancient selfer *C. orientalis*. In

contrast, our present and previously reported data (Roth *et al.* 2018b) entail that PEGs are

expressed at similar levels between A, C and P. We also found no significant differences in the

proportion of PEGs between A, C and P (χ^2 test, $P > 0.05$). This lack of a clear signal regarding

the number and expression level of PEGs concomitant with apparent divergence in effective

ploidy within our study system can be reconciled due to the presumably closer levels of parental

conflict among our wild tomato lineages (with A, C and P all being obligate outcrossers),

compared to the *Capsella* system (Lafon-Placette *et al.* 2018).

Hybrid crosses between A and C produced variable proportions of viable seeds, suggesting

they have roughly comparable effective ploidies. Despite the occurrence of a few developmental

abnormalities, germinating [CA] F1 hybrids proved viable (Roth *et al.* 2018a), indicating that

lineages C and A have accrued only few genetic incompatibilities. On the other hand, in the

crosses selected for the present study, AC seeds were larger than [AA] seeds and much larger

than CA seeds, suggesting a pattern of paternal excess for AC seeds (Roth *et al*. 2018a).

Consequently, C manifests signs of higher effective ploidy compared with A, but this dosage

difference appears small enough to be overcome by natural (endosperm) robustness to

hybridization, at least for a large fraction of seeds. Unfortunately, our dissection protocol does

not allow discriminating the endosperm from viable and non-viable seeds at the pre-globular

embryo stage, which could be useful to compare the transcriptomes of non-viable and viable

hybrids seeds from 'weak-HSF' crosses.

Importantly, we did not find significant genome-wide differences in expression levels

between [AA], [CC] and [PP] endosperms and relatively few DEGs between them (Figures 1A,

3; Table 2; Table S1, sheet 'DEGs'). Hence, we propose that the property 'effective ploidy'

manifests as the stronger expression of a limited number of specific genes controlling dosage-

sensitive mechanisms, such as cell-cycle regulation; we provide a number of candidate

mechanisms controlling this feature. In particular, expression levels of AGL genes seem to

match the inferred genetic-value hierarchy; among the 41 putative AGL genes expressed in our

dataset, eight showed expression differences between the intraspecific crosses [AA], [CC] and

[PP], such that P > C, P > A, and C > A. All eight genes were overexpressed in the paternal-

excess endosperms AP and CP, and four of them were also overexpressed in AC (the hybrid

combination exhibiting 'milder' paternal excess) such that AP > PA, CP > PC, and AC > CA

(Table 3). These eight AGL genes are thus candidates for underpinning different effective

ploidies between tomato lineages, and as a consequence they might be decisive for the

occurrence and/or severity of HSF. Knocking out single or multiple AGL genes in parental

plants or modifying their expression levels in the endosperm, as has been done in *Arabidopsis*

(Walia *et al*. 2009; Kradolfer *et al*. 2013), would allow to validate their specific roles (if any) in

endosperm development and seed failure in *Solanum*. The expression patterns of AGL genes in

intraspecific and reciprocal hybrid comparisons indicate that they might be paternally expressed,

but imprinting could not be assessed for these genes.

While genomic imprinting—which is at the core of the parental conflict theory—probably

plays a crucial role in the evolution of effective ploidy (Lafon-Placette *et al*. 2018), our results

indicate that the causal functional drivers might not be restricted to imprinted genes. We suggest

that regulators of parent-specific expression, rather than strictly imprinted genes, might be

responsible for evolutionary changes in effective ploidy. Specifically, frequent gene duplication
and neofunctionalization within specific genes families such as MADS-Box TFs (*e.g.* AGL
genes; Martínez-Castilla and Alvarez-Buylla 2003) and/or imprinted genes (Yoshida and
Kawabe 2013; Qiu *et al.* 2014), together with epigenetic variation impacting the control of
transposable elements (Pignatta *et al*. 2014; Lafon-Placette *et al.* 2018), might modify transcript
abundance and expression modes over very short evolutionary timescales. This could explain
why expression levels and imprinting status of specific genes vary between closely related
species such as wild tomato lineages (Roth *et al*. 2018b).

It has been shown that *Arabidopsis* AGL genes act within a network and that they can be
non-imprinted, maternally expressed, or paternally expressed (Walia *et al.* 2009; Bai and Settles
2015). Thus, any perturbation of expression levels among co-adapted AGLs in hybrids might be
at the root of the genome-wide perturbations observed in strong-HSF hybrids. Within species,
parental conflict might be stabilized by gene expression co-adaptation within functional
networks, which might also determine the property 'effective ploidy'. When parental species
have accrued diverged effective ploidies this equilibrium may be disrupted in their hybrids,
acting as a postzygotic reproductive barrier with varying quantitative effects depending on the
disparity of effective ploidies as manifested in the endosperm. In this context, our work is the
first to explore genome-wide expression correlates of dissimilar effective ploidies in the
endosperm, thus enabling the exploration of possible links between parental conflict, expression
dosage and HSF in flowering plants. It may also have practical applications in plant breeding, for
example to enhance hybridization success between crops and their wild relatives by
compensating effective ploidy differences with targeted, experimental ploidy changes.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Maja Frei and Esther Zürcher for taking expert care of the plants, to Beatrice

Arnold for preparing RNA-Seq libraries, and to Claudia Michel, Silvia Kobel, and Joachim Hehl

for further technical help. We thank Margot Paris for her advice on experimental design and

analyses and Niklaus Zemp, Stefan Zoller and Mathias Scharmann for their generous

bioinformatics advice. We are grateful to Alex Widmer for his general support of this project.

We thank the C.M. Rick Tomato Genetics Resource Center at U.C. Davis for generously

supplying seed samples. We acknowledge the technical support on histological preparations and

laser-microdissections provided by SECTION-LAB (Hiroshima, Japan) and ScopeM (ETH

Zurich, Switzerland). Sequencing data were produced at the Functional Genomics Center Zurich

(University of Zurich, Switzerland). We thank the Genetic Diversity Center (ETH Zurich,

Switzerland) and the Swiss Institute for Bioinformatics (Lausanne, Switzerland) for providing

valuable tools and training for bioinformatics analyses. This work was supported by the Swiss

National Science Foundation [31003A_130702 to T.S.] and an ETH Research Grant [ETH-40

13-2 to T.S. and Alex Widmer].

Literature Cited

Alexa A, Rahnenführer J. 2016. topGO: enrichment analysis for gene ontology. *R Package Version* 2.28.0.

Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. 2015. HTSeq-A Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**: 166–169.

Bai F, Settles AM. 2015. Imprinting in plants as a mechanism to generate seed phenotypic diversity. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **5**: 780.

Baroux C, Spillane C, Grossniklaus U. 2002. Evolutionary origins of the endosperm in flowering plants. *Genome Biology* **3**: reviews1026.1.

Beddows I, Reddy A, Kloesges T, Rose LE. 2017. Population genomics in wild tomatoes—the interplay of divergence and admixture. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **9**: 3023–3038.

Berger F, Grini PE, Schnittger A. 2006. Endosperm: an integrator of seed growth and development. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **9**: 664–670.

Brandvain Y, Haig D. 2005. Divergent mating systems and parental conflict as a barrier to

hybridization in flowering plants. *The American Naturalist* **166**: 330–338.

Burkart-Waco D, Ngo K, Dilkes B, Josefsson C, Comai L. 2013. Early disruption of maternal-zygotic interaction and activation of defense-like responses in *Arabidopsis* interspecific crosses. *The Plant Cell* **25**: 2037–2055.

Combes MC, Hueber Y, Dereeper A, Rialle S, Herrera JC, Lashermes P. 2015. Regulatory divergence between parental alleles determines gene expression patterns in hybrids. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **7**: 1110–1121.

Cooper DC, Brink RA. 1945. Seed collapse following matings between diploid and tetraploid races of *Lycopersicon pimpinellifolium*. *Genetics* **30**: 376–401.

Dilkes BP, Spielman M, Weizbauer R, Watson B, Burkart-Waco D, Scott RJ, Comai L. 2008. The maternally expressed WRKY transcription factor TTG2 controls lethality in interploidy crosses of *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS Biology* **6**: 2707–2720.

Dion-Côté AM, Renaut S, Normandeau E, Bernatchez L. 2014. RNA-seq reveals transcriptomic shock involving transposable elements reactivation in hybrids of young lake whitefish species. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **31**: 1188–1199.

Figueiredo DD, Batista RA, Roszak PJ, Hennig L, Köhler C. 2016. Auxin production in the endosperm drives seed coat development in *Arabidopsis*. *eLife* **5**: e20542.

Fiume E, Coen O, Xu WJ, Lepiniec L, Magnani E. 2017. Growth of the *Arabidopsis* sub-epidermal integument cell layers might require an endosperm signal. *Plant Signaling and Behavior* **12**: e1339000.

Florez-Rueda AM. 2014. Postzygotic barriers to interbreeding in wild tomatoes: genomic imprinting and transcriptional signatures of hybrid seed failure. PhD thesis 22458, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.

Florez-Rueda AM, Paris M, Schmidt A, Widmer A, Grossniklaus U, Städler T. 2016. Genomic imprinting in the endosperm is systematically perturbed in abortive hybrid tomato seeds. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **33**: 2935–2946.

Gehring M, Bubb KL, Henikoff S. 2009. Extensive demethylation of repetitive elements during seed development underlies gene imprinting. *Science* **324**: 1447–1451.

Gehring M, Missirian V, Henikoff S. 2011. Genomic analysis of parent-of-origin allelic expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana* seeds. *PLoS ONE* **6**: e23687.

Haig D, Westoby M. 1991. Genomic imprinting in endosperm: its effect on seed development in crosses between species, and between different ploidies of the same species, and its

implications for the evolution of apomixis. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **333**: 1–13.

He G, Zhu X, Elling AA, Chen L, Wang X, Guo L, Liang M, He H, Zhang H, Chen F, *et al.* 2010. Global epigenetic and transcriptional trends among two rice subspecies and their reciprocal hybrids. *The Plant Cell* **22**: 17–33.

Hegarty MJ, Barker GL, Brennan AC, Edwards KJ, Abbott RJ, Hiscock SJ. 2009. Extreme changes to gene expression associated with homoploid hybrid speciation. *Molecular Ecology* **18**: 877–889.

Hurst LD, McVean GT. 1998. Do we understand the evolution of genomic imprinting? *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development* **8**: 701–708.

Inzé D, De Veylder L. 2006. Cell cycle regulation in plant development. *Annual Review of Genetics* **40**: 77–105.

Jeukens J, Renaut S, St-Cyr J, Nolte AW, Bernatchez L. 2010. The transcriptomics of sympatric dwarf and normal lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis* spp., Salmonidae) divergence as revealed by next-generation sequencing. *Molecular Ecology* **19**: 5389–5403.

John P, Zhang K, Dong C, Diederich L, Wightman F. 1993. p34^{cdc2} related proteins in control of cell cycle progression, the switch between division and differentiation in tissue development, and stimulation of division by auxin and cytokinin. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* **20**: 503–526.

Johnston SA, den Nijs TPM, Peloquin SJ, Hanneman RE. 1980. The significance of genic balance to endosperm development in interspecific crosses. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **57**: 5–9.

Josefsson C, Dilkes B, Comai L. 2006. Parent-dependent loss of gene silencing during interspecies hybridization. *Current Biology* **16**: 1322–1328.

Kang I-H, Steffen JG, Portereiko MF, Lloyd A, Drews GN. 2008. The AGL62 MADS domain protein regulates cellularization during endosperm development in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell* **20**: 635–647.

Khaitovich P, Hellmann I, Enard W, Nowick K, Leinweber M, Franz H, Weiss G, Lachmann M, Pääbo S. 2005. Parallel patterns of evolution in the genomes and transcriptomes of humans and chimpanzees. *Science* **309**: 1850–1854.

Kinsella, Rhoda J, Kähäri A, Haider S, Zamora J, Proctor G, Spudich G, Almeida-King J, Staines D, Derwent P, Kerhornou A, Kersey P. 2011. Ensembl BioMarts: a hub for data

retrieval across taxonomic space. *Database* **2011**: bar030.

Klosinska M, Picard CL, Gehring M. 2016. Conserved imprinting associated with unique epigenetic signatures in the *Arabidopsis* genus. *Nature Plants* **2**: 16145.

Köhler C, Hennig L, Spillane C, Pien S, Gruissem W, Grossniklaus U. 2003. The Polycomb-group protein MEDEA regulates seed development by controlling expression of the MADS-box gene PHERES1. *Genes and Development* **17**: 1540–1553.

Köhler C, Mittelsten Scheid O, Erilova A. 2010. The impact of the triploid block on the origin and evolution of polyploid plants. *Trends in Genetics* **26**: 142–148.

Kradolfer D, Hennig L, Köhler C. 2013. Increased maternal genome dosage bypasses the requirement of the FIS Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 in *Arabidopsis* seed development. *PLoS Genetics* **9**: e1003163.

Labate JA, Robertson LD, Strickler SR, Mueller LA. 2014. Genetic structure of the four wild tomato species in the *Solanum peruvianum* s.l. species complex. *Genome* **57**: 169–180.

Lafon-Placette C, Köhler C. 2016. Endosperm-based postzygotic hybridization barriers: developmental mechanisms and evolutionary drivers. *Molecular Ecology* **25**: 2620–2629.

Lafon-Placette C, Johannessen IM, Hornslien KS, Ali MF, Bjerkan KN, Bramsiepe J, Glöckle BM, Rebernig CA, Brysting AK, Grini PE, *et al.* 2017. Endosperm-based hybridization barriers explain the pattern of gene flow between *Arabidopsis lyrata* and *Arabidopsis arenosa* in central Europe. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences U.S.A.* **114**: E1027–E1035.

Lafon-Placette C, Hatorangan MR, Steige KA, Cornille A, Lascoux M, Slotte T, Köhler C. 2018. Paternally expressed imprinted genes associate with hybridization barriers in *Capsella*. *Nature Plants* **4**: 352–357.

Landry CR, Hartl DL, Ranz JM. 2007. Genome clashes in hybrids: insights from gene expression. *Heredity* **99**: 483–493.

Leblanc O, Pointe C, Hernandez M. 2002. Cell cycle progression during endosperm development in *Zea mays* depends on parental dosage effects. *The Plant Journal* **32**: 1057–1066.

Lester RN, Kang JH. 1998. Embryo and endosperm function and failure in *Solanum* species and hybrids. *Annals of Botany* **82**: 445–453.

Li N, Dickinson HG. 2010. Balance between maternal and paternal alleles sets the timing of resource accumulation in the maize endosperm. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:*

Biological Sciences **277**: 3–10.

Luo M, Taylor JM, Spriggs A, Zhang H, Wu X, Russell S, Singh M, Koltunow A. 2011. A genome-wide survey of imprinted genes in rice seeds reveals imprinting primarily occurs in the endosperm. *PLoS Genetics* **7**: e1002125.

Martin M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet J.* **17**: 10–12.

Martínez-Castilla LP, Alvarez-Buylla ER. 2003. Adaptive evolution in the *Arabidopsis* MADS-box gene family inferred from its complete resolved phylogeny. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences U.S.A.* **100**: 13407–13412.

Moyers BT, Rieseberg LH. 2013. Divergence in gene expression is uncoupled from divergence in coding sequence in a secondarily woody sunflower. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* **174**: 1079–1089.

Nowack MK, Ungru A, Bjerkan KN, Grini PE, Schnittger A. 2010. Reproductive cross-talk: seed development in flowering plants. *Biochemical Society Transactions* **38**: 604–612.

Nuzhdin SV, Wayne ML, Harmon KL, McIntyre LM. 2004. Common pattern of evolution of gene expression level and protein sequence in *Drosophila*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **21**: 1308–1317.

Okonechnikov K, Conesa A, García-Alcalde F. 2016. Qualimap 2: advanced multi-sample quality control for high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **32**: 292–294.

Oneal E, Willis JH, Franks RG. 2016. Disruption of endosperm development is a major cause of hybrid seed inviability between *Mimulus guttatus* and *Mimulus nudatus*. *New Phytologist* **210**: 1107–1120.

Orozco-Arroyo G, Paolo D, Ezquer I, Colombo L. 2015. Networks controlling seed size in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Reproduction* **28**: 17–32.

Ortiz R, Ehlenfeldt MK. 1992. The importance of endosperm balance number in potato breeding and the evolution of tuber-bearing *Solanum* species. *Euphytica* **60**: 105–113.

Patel RK, Jain M. 2012. NGS QC Toolkit: a toolkit for quality control of next generation sequencing data. *PLoS ONE* **7**: e30619. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0030619.

Pignatta D, Erdmann RM, Scheer E, Picard CL, Bell GW, Gehring M. 2014. Natural epigenetic polymorphisms lead to intraspecific variation in *Arabidopsis* gene imprinting. *eLife* **3**: e03198.

Qiu Y, Liu S-L, Adams KL. 2014. Frequent changes in expression profile and accelerated

sequence evolution of duplicated imprinted genes in *Arabidopsis*. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **6**: 1830–1842.

R Development Core Team. 2014. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna (Austria): R Foundation for Statistical Computing. URL: http://www.R–project.org/.

Raza MA, Yu NN, Wang D, Cao LW, Gan SS, Chen LP. 2017. Differential DNA methylation and gene expression in reciprocal hybrids between *Solanum lycopersicum* and *S. pimpinellifolium*. *DNA Research* **24**: 597–607.

Rebernig CA, Lafon-Placette C, Hatorangan MR, Slotte T, Köhler C. 2015. Non-reciprocal interspecies hybridization barriers in the *Capsella* genus are established in the endosperm. *PLoS Genetics* **11**: e1005295.

Renaut S, Nolte AW, Bernatchez L. 2009. Gene expression divergence and hybrid misexpression between lake whitefish species pairs (*Coregonus* spp. Salmonidae). *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **26**: 925–936.

Renaut S , Grassa CJ, Moyers BT, Kane NC, Rieseberg LH. 2012. The population genomics of sunflowers and genomic determinants of protein evolution revealed by RNAseq. *Biology* **1**: 575–596.

Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 139–140.

Roth M, Florez-Rueda AM, Griesser S, Paris M, Städler T. 2018a. Incidence and developmental timing of endosperm failure in post-zygotic isolation between wild tomato lineages. *Annals of Botany* **121**: 107–118.

Roth M, Florez-Rueda AM, Paris M, Städler T. 2018b. Wild tomato endosperm transcriptomes reveal common roles of genomic imprinting in both nuclear and cellular endosperm. *The Plant Journal* **95**: 1084–1101.

Rushton PJ, Somssich IE, Ringler P, Shen QJ. 2010. WRKY transcription factors. *Trends in Plant Science* **15**: 247–258.

Sabelli PA, Larkins BA. 2009. The contribution of cell cycle regulation to endosperm development. *Sexual Plant Reproduction* **22**: 207–219.

Schruff MC, Spielman M, Tiwari S, Adams S, Fenby N, Scott RJ. 2006. The AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR 2 gene of *Arabidopsis* links auxin signalling, cell division, and the size of seeds and other organs. *Development* **133**: 251–261.

Scott RJ, Spielman M, Bailey J, Dickinson HG. 1998. Parent-of-origin effects on seed development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Development* **125**: 3329–3341.

Sekine D, Ohnishi T, Furuumi H, Ono A, Yamada T, Kurata N, Kinoshita T. 2013. Dissection of two major components of the post-zygotic hybridization barrier in rice endosperm. *The Plant Journal* **76**: 792–799.

Sharma DR, Kaur R, Kumar K. 1996. Embryo rescue in plants – a review. *Euphytica* **89**: 325–337.

Shirzadi R, Andersen ED, Bjerkan KN, Gloeckle BM, Heese M, Ungru A, Winge P, Koncz C, Aalen RB, Schnittger A, *et al.* 2011. Genome-wide transcript profiling of endosperm without paternal contribution identifies parent-of-origin-dependent regulation of AGAMOUS-LIKE36. *PLoS Genetics* **7**: e1001303.

Städler T, Arunyawat U, Stephan W. 2008. Population genetics of speciation in two closely related wild tomatoes (*Solanum* section *Lycopersicon*). *Genetics* **178**: 339–350.

Stelkens R, Seehausen O. 2009. Genetic distance between species predicts novel trait expression in their hybrids. *Evolution* **63**: 884–897.

Stoute AI, Varenko V, King GJ, Scott RJ, Kurup S. 2012. Parental genome imbalance in *Brassica oleracea* causes asymmetric triploid block. *The Plant Journal* **71**: 503–516.

Tellier A, Fischer I, Merino C, Xia H, Camus-Kulandaivelu L, Städler T, Stephan W. 2011. Fitness effects of derived deleterious mutations in four closely related wild tomato species with spatial structure. *Heredity* **107**: 189–199.

The Tomato Genome Consortium. 2012. The tomato genome sequence provides insights into fleshy fruit evolution. *Nature* **485**: 635–641.

Trapnell C, Pachter L, Salzberg SL. 2009. TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 1105–1111. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp120.

Valentine DH, Woodell SRJ. 1963. Studies in British primulas. X. Seed incompatibility in intraspecific and interspecific crosses at diploid and tetraploid levels. *New Phytologist* **62**: 125–143.

von Wangenheim KH, Peterson HP. 2004. Aberrant endosperm development in interploidy crosses reveals a timer of differentiation. *Developmental Biology* **270**: 277–289.

Walia H, Josefsson C, Dilkes B, Kirkbride R, Harada J, Comai L. 2009. Dosage-dependent deregulation of an AGAMOUS-LIKE gene cluster contributes to interspecific incompatibility. *Current Biology* **19**: 1128–1132.

Wang L, Wang, S, Li W. 2012. RSeQC: quality control of RNA-seq experiments. *Bioinformatics* **28**: 2184–2185.

Warnes GR, Bolker B, Bonebakker L, Gentleman R, Liaw WHA, Lumley M, Maechler M, Magnusson A, Moeller A *et al.* 2016. gplots: various R programming tools for plotting data. *R Package Version 3.0.1.*

Waters AJ, Makarevitch I, Eichten SR, Swanson-Wagner RA, Yeh C-T, Xu W, Schnable PS, Vaughn MW, Gehring M, Springer NM. 2011. Parent-of-origin effects on gene expression and DNA methylation in the maize endosperm. *The Plant Cell* **23**: 4221–4233.

Waters AJ, Bilinski P, Eichten SR, Vaughn MW, Ross-Ibarra J, Gehring M, Springer NM. 2013. Comprehensive analysis of imprinted genes in maize reveals allelic variation for imprinting and limited conservation with other species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences U.S.A.* **110**: 19639–19644.

Weisstein AE, Spencer HG. 2003. The evolution of genomic imprinting via variance minimization: an evolutionary genetic model. *Genetics* **165**: 205–222.

Wilkinson L. 2011. Venneuler: Euler and Venn diagrams. *R Package Version 1.1-0.*

Wolf JB, Hager R. 2006. A maternal–offspring coadaptation theory for the evolution of genomic imprinting. *PLoS Biology* **4**: e380.

Wolf JBW, Bayer T, Haubold B, Schilhabel M, Rosenstiel P, Tautz D. 2010. Nucleotide divergence vs. gene expression differentiation: comparative transcriptome sequencing in natural isolates from the carrion crow and its hybrid zone with the hooded crow. *Molecular Ecology* **19**: 162–175.

Xu W, Fiume E, Coen O, Pechoux C, Lepiniec L, Magnani E. 2016. Endosperm and nucellus develop antagonistically in *Arabidopsis* seeds. *The Plant Cell* **28**: 1343–1360.

Yoshida T, Kawabe A. 2013. Importance of gene duplication in the evolution of genomic imprinting revealed by molecular evolutionary analysis of the type I MADS-Box gene family in *Arabidopsis* species. *PLoS ONE* **8**: e73588.

Zhang M, Li N, He W, Zhang H, Yang W, Liu B. 2016. Genome-wide screen of genes imprinted in sorghum endosperm, and the roles of allelic differential cytosine methylation. *The Plant Journal* **85**: 424–436.

Figure 1 Multidimensional scaling plot representing the distances between endosperm samples (*i.e.* sequencing libraries) based on the joint expression levels of 22,006 genes. (A) All 18 samples representing intraspecific, reciprocal crosses [AA], [CC] and [PP]. (B) All 36 endosperm samples (*i.e.* intraspecific as well as hybrid) analyzed jointly. HSF, hybrid seed failure; log$_2$(FC), log$_2$-fold change. A, *S. arcanum* var. marañón; C, *S. chilense*; P, *S. peruvianum*. **AA1**, LA2185A × LA1626B; **AA2**, LA1626B × LA2185A; **CC1**, LA4329B × LA2748B; **CC2**, LA2748B × LA4329B; **PP1**, LA2744B × LA2964A; **PP2**, LA2964A × LA2744B; **AC**, LA2185A × LA4329B; **CA**, LA4329B × LA2185A; **AP**, LA2185A × LA2744B; **PA**, LA2744B × LA2185A; **CP**, LA4329B × LA2744B; **PC**, LA2744B × LA4329B. Cross specifications are identical in all other display items.

Figure 2 Overview of numbers of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in different cross comparisons. The direction of expression change refers to the first term as compared to the second term (*e.g.* genes upregulated in 'weak-intra' refers to genes upregulated in 'weak' compared to 'intra'). Blue, underexpressed genes; red, overexpressed genes. (A) DEGs between designated classes of seed failure phenotype (*i.e.* intraspecific, weak hybrid seed failure (HSF), strong HSF). (B) DEGs between reciprocal crosses. (C) DEGs between hybrid and intraspecific crosses sharing the same mother.

Figure 3 Venn diagrams representing the overlap between DEGs identified in different cross comparisons. (A) Comparisons involving lineages C and P; (B) comparisons involving lineages A and P; (C) comparisons involving lineages A and C.

Figure 4 Heat maps representing expression variability for selected gene families among intraspecific and hybrid crosses sharing the same mother. (A) WRKY transcription factors (TFs); (B) MADS-Box TFs; (C) E3 ubiquitin ligases. Color scale according to Z-score (darker colors correspond to stronger expression values); samples and genes ordered by hierarchical clustering.

Table 1 Top 10 GO-terms enriched among genes differentially expressed in reciprocal PA-AP and PC-CP hybrid endosperm

Direction of change	Ontology category	GO-term ID	GO term description	#Annotated genes	#Observed genes	#Expected genes	Corrected *P*-value
Overexpressed when P is father (AP and CP crosses)	MF	4,161	dimethylallyltranstransferase activity	20	20	2	1.75E-17
	MF	3,677	DNA binding	1,358	247	163	3.25E-15
	MF	8,234	cysteine-type peptidase activity	133	49	16	2.00E-11
	MF	46,983	protein dimerization activity	354	90	43	1.63E-09
	BP	6,334	nucleosome assembly	41	20	5	7.75E-08
	BP	6,508	proteolysis	684	122	78	7.75E-08
	MF	8,289	lipid binding	125	33	15	1.50E-07
	MF	1,104	RNA polymerase II transcription cofactor activity	28	13	3	5.58E-05
	MF	46,982	protein heterodimerization activity	118	31	14	1.14E-04
	MF	30,599	pectinesterase activity	49	17	6	1.94E-04
Overexpressed when P is mother (PA and PC crosses)	MF	3,700	transcription factor activity	473	98	42	1.95E-14
	MF	43,565	sequence-specific DNA binding	265	62	23	3.75E-11
	MF	8,146	sulfotransferase activity	18	11	2	7.17E-07
	BP	6,355	regulation of transcription, DNA-templated	1,086	143	98	2.05E-06
	MF	45,735	nutrient reservoir activity	30	13	3	6.63E-06
	BP	9,734	auxin-activated signaling pathway	48	17	4	4.75E-05
	MF	4,722	protein serine/threonine phosphatase activity	79	20	7	1.20E-04
	MF	8,289	lipid binding	125	25	11	2.08E-04
	MF	4,674	protein serine/threonine kinase activity	413	61	36	4.36E-04
	BP	6,468	protein phosphorylation	934	122	84	7.67E-04

MF, molecular function; BP, biological process.

Table 3 Expression pattern and annotation of eight AGL genes potentially contributing to differences in effective ploidy between species

| Gene model | Among-species comparisons | | | Reciprocal hybrid comparisons | | | Source | |
	[PP]-[AA]	[PP]-[CC]	[CC]-[AA]	AP-PA	CP-PC	AC-CA	TFDB v3.0 [a]	Annotation in ITAG2.4
Solyc01g097850	UP	UP	UP	UP	UP	UP	AGAMOUS-like 62	MADS-box TF 31
Solyc01g103870	UP	UP	UP	UP	UP	ns	AGAMOUS-like 98	SRF-type TF family protein
Solyc03g033570	UP	UP	UP	UP	UP	UP	Not found	Agamous-like MADS-box protein AGL62
Solyc04g025110	UP	UP	UP	UP	UP	ns	AGAMOUS-like 62	MADS-box TF 8
Solyc04g047870	UP	UP	UP	UP	UP	ns	AGAMOUS-like 62	MADS box TF 1
Solyc06g054680	UP	UP	UP	UP	UP	UP	AGAMOUS-like 62	MADS-box TF
Solyc11g069770	UP	UP	UP	UP	UP	UP	AGAMOUS-like 62	TF MADS-box
Solyc12g016150	UP	UP	UP	UP	UP	ns	AGAMOUS-like 96	MADS-box protein (fragment)

TF, transcription factor. Up, overexpressed in first cross of each pairwise comparison; ns, non-significant expression change.

[a] Transcription Factor Database v3.0 (http://planttfdb_v3.cbi.pku.edu.cn/).

Table 2 Contingency table of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in among-species vs. reciprocal hybrid comparisons

	Up in PA (down in AP)	Down in PA (up in AP)	Total # DEGs found
Up in [PP] (down in [AA])	544	385	1,471
Down in [PP] (up in [AA])	98	588	1,176
Total # DEGs found	3,222	4,005	

	Up in PC (down in CP)	Down in PC (up in CP)	Total # DEGs found
Up in [PP] (down in [CC])	409	270	971
Down in [PP] (up in [CC])	79	407	851
Total # DEGs found	3,354	3,799	

	Up in CA (down in AC)	Down in CA (up in AC)	Total # DEGs found
Up in [CC] (down in [AA])	392	258	2,198
Down in [CC] (up in [AA])	236	351	1,509
Total # DEGs found	1,513	1,784	

Comparisons among species (first column) each include both reciprocal crosses (*e.g.* PP1, PP2, AA1 and AA2 were used to compare expression levels of species P and A).

Figure S1 Box plot representing the distribution of seed viability in all crosses used in this study. Assessment of seed viability was performed visually at fruit maturity 60 days after pollination (data source: Roth *et al*. 2018a). Open boxes, intraspecific crosses; grey boxes, hybrid crosses. A, *S. arcanum* var. marañón; C, *S. chilense*; P, *S. peruvianum*. **AA1**, LA2185A × LA1626B; **AA2**, LA1626B × LA2185A; **CC1**, LA4329B × LA2748B; **CC2**, LA2748B × LA4329B; **PP1**, LA2744B × LA2964A; **PP2**, LA2964A × LA2744B; **AC**, LA2185A × LA4329B; **CA**, LA4329B × LA2185A; **AP**, LA2185A × LA2744B; **PA**, LA2744B × LA2185A; **CP**, LA4329B × LA2744B; **PC**, LA2744B × LA4329B. Cross specifications are identical in all other display items.

Figure S2 Crossing design representing the six reciprocal crosses used for our endosperm RNA-Seq experiment. Arrows represent reciprocal crosses (white, intraspecific; black, hybrid crosses resulting in strong hybrid seed failure (HSF); grey, hybrid crosses resulting in weak HSF). Each shape represents one wild tomato genotype sampled from separate populations in species A (*S. arcanum* var. marañón; triangles), C (*S. chilense*; circles) and P (*S. peruvianum*; squares). **A1**, LA2185A; **A2**, LA1626B; **C1**, LA4329B; **C2**, LA2748B; **P1**, LA2744B; **P2**, LA2964A.

Table S1 File composed of four data sheets: 'Contrasts' contains the list of 18 comparisons with their corresponding contrasts used in this study; 'DEGs' summarizes differential gene expression (DGE) for each of them; 'GO_enrichment' summarizes GO-term enrichments for differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in selected categories; 'DGE_imprinted_genes' lists the status of candidate imprinted genes and their differential expression in all tested contrasts (separate Excel data file).