species2vec: A novel method for species representation

Boyan Angelov[1,*]

1 MindMatch GmbH

* boyan.angelov@gmail.com

Abstract

Word embeddings are omnipresent in Natural Language Processing (NLP) tasks. The same technology which defines words by their context can also define biological species. This study showcases this new method - species embedding (`species2vec`). By proximity sorting of 6761594 mammal observations from the whole world (2862 different species), we are able to create a training corpus for the skip-gram model. The resulting species embeddings are tested in an environmental classification task. The classifier performance confirms the utility of those embeddings in preserving the relationships between species, and also being representative of species consortia in an environment.

Introduction   1

Species Distribution Models have historically been focused on the estimation of a   2
potential ecological niche for a single species [5]. One of the largest drawbacks of this   3
approach is that those models do not take into account any possible interactions   4
between the organisms (which are, of course, commonplace in nature) [4]. Such   5
interactions include mutualism, commensalism, predation, and symbiosis [7]. Thus there   6
is a growing need for methods that include this valuable information. Such tools can   7
improve our understanding of ecosystems and their communities and direct more efforts   8
into conservation and assessment of climate change effects on the biosphere. Recent   9
advances in other areas of machine learning, such as NLP open opportunities for   10
cross-domain adoption. One technique that is very common in the industry is the   11
generation of word embeddings [10]. The current study aims to implement this   12
technique, prove its statistical and ecological significance, and provide the research   13
community with a new concept for species and an associated tool to use. A similar   14
project (but a different approach, focused on environmental data) was performed by   15
Chen et. al [4], unfortunately, the code and model was not made publically available, so   16
we could not compare the methods directly.   17

Materials and Methods   18

The data processing pipeline is shown on Figure 1, where the steps are in sequential   19
order.   20

Figure 1. Data processing pipeline.

Occurence data 21

Species occurence data was obtained from Global Biodiversity Information Facility [22] (GBIF) [6]. The data for the species composition of different environments is obtained [23] by a custom data download [9]. For initial version of `species2vec` the subject are [24] mammal species (class Mammalia), because the ecological interpretation for testing is [25] easier (there is more descriptive data for them available, as compared to other groups [26] such as insects etc.). 6761594 records from 1760 published datasets were obtained. 2862 [27] unique species were present in the dataset. In order to test that the generated [28] `species2vec` embeddings can ecologically characterize an environment, they were used [29] to substitude occurence counts data for several mammal species in three different [30] environment types (based on a study by Michael et al. [9]). [31]

Geohashing 32

Geohashing is computed by using the algorithm developed by Niemyer [11]. This [33] technique allows for the conversion of geographic coordinates (lattitude and longitude) [34] into hash codes. Those are then sorted alphanumerically, ordering the coordinate points [35] by proximity. [36]

Data processing and embeddings training 37

Word embedding is a method for generating a vector ("embedding") for each word [38] based on its context. There are several different approaches to generating those, most of [39] them relying on shallow neural networks. For this study the python `fasttext` library [40] from Facebook Research [2] was used to generate the embeddings. `fasttext` relies on [41] the extension of the continious skip-gram model by using subword information. The [42] resulting embeddings are the sums of the n-gram vectors. For accessing and storing the [43] embeddings the `Gensim` library was used [12]. In order for complete species names to be [44] accurately predicted, the empty spaces were replaced by underscores (i.e. Grammomys [45] ibeanus → Grammomys_ibeanus). Those names were placed inside a large corpus, [46] ordered by proximity, and finally fed to `fasttext`. The basic objective of the Skip-gram [47] model is to maximize the average log probability shown in Equation 1, where [48] $w_1, w_2, w_3...w_T$ are the training words and c is the training context. [49]

$$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{i}^{T}\sum_{-c\leq j\leq c, j\neq 0} logp(w_{t+j}|w_t) \qquad (1)$$

Machine learning 50

Machine learning was performed by the `mlr` package [1]. The Random Forests algorithm [51] was used because of its good out-of-the-box performance [3]. The dataset for model [52] training was built by replacing the occurence counts for the several different mammal [53] species by the generated embeddings. The vectors were weighted in order to account for [54] the relative abundance (since it is not a presence-absence only dataset). A 300×5 [55] matrix was created, split randomly into training and tests sets (50% each) and a model [56]

was trained. In order to visualise the embeddings, the t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor   57
Embedding (t-SNE) method was used [8].   58

Results   59

Species embeddings   60

After training the `species2vec` model based on the steps in Figure 1, $n = 1987$ unique   61
species remain (69% of the original dataset). For the lost 31% not enough data points   62
were available. The geohashing took ~ 14 minutes on a 4 core 2.70GHz CPU 32GB   63
RAM machine. The first step of investigating the embeddings performance is to use a   64
function from the `Gensim` package which allows for the extraction of most similar species   65
embeddings. The results are shown on Figure 2. The *Grammomys ibeanus* species was   66
chosen for this, since it is one of the species in the environment dataset which is used to   67
test the embeddings. All 10 most similar species are also rodents from central Africa.   68


Figure 2. Most similar species. All shown species are central african rodents.

A second step in assessing the quality of the species embeddings is t-SNE   69
visualisation. This result is shown on Figure 3. Samples of the data points are manually   70
investigated to confirm that the clusters overlayed on top of the plot are correct. We   71
can see that there is good separation between the continents, further confirming our   72
hypothesis that the geohashing and subsequent embeddings have an ecological meaning.   73
This large t-SNE plot has a lot of detail available for further interpretation. As an   74
example we can zoom into one of the clusters to inspect the results deeper. This   75
visualisation is shown on Figure 4. In the upper-left corner a mini cluster of the   76
Leontocebus genus is present, showing that those several species of tamarins are closely   77

related.

Figure 3. t-SNE plot of a sample of $n = 1000$ different embeddings. The red dotted lines denote the different clusters.

Figure 4. South American t-SNE cluster.

Predicting environment types

The Random Forest classifier which was trained to predict the 3 different environments by using weighted `species2vec` embeddings achieved $accuracy = 87\%$ and $mmce = 0.13$[1]. The decision boundaries are plotted on Figure 5, showing a clear

---

[1]Mean missclassification error.

separation between the environment types[2].

Figure 5. Classifier decision boundary.

Discussion

The results obtained in this study prove that the concept of generating species embeddings is meaningful statistically and ecologically. The initial observation of the most similar species (Figure 2) confirms that the vector generated for *Grammomys ibeanus* indeed represents it as occupying the same niche as the other listed species (*Grammomys macmillani*[3], *Praomys delectorum*, *Mastomys erythroleucus* and others). The t-SNE visualisation (Figure 3) provides further evidence for this. Some information is lost by reducing the dimensions, but still the separation between different biotopes is clear. A potential future improvement of this plot is to generate an interactive 3D visualisation that would allow for even deeper exploration, since even more deeper patterns in local communities can be discovered (i.e. can we see a separation between sub-saharan african species and those to the north?). The high classification accuracy for the environment type classification dataset proves that a vector representation of a species can also represent its environment successfully. Environments represented by the weighted associated species vectors could be distinguished strongly, even with a low number of features (≤ 6) and having data from relatively similar environments.

species2vec as a method can be used in solving a variety of ecological problems and challenges. Domain experts in the field can benefit greatly from having a tool which can recommend which species are most likely to be encountered next in the

---

[2]Here two species (features) plotted against each other.
[3]Note that there are two species from the same genus in the list.

environment. Related to this, researchers can assess and classify differences in habitats in a more accurate way by using the weighted vectorized species representations instead of simple raw counts. Those differences in habitats can have large ecological significance if they are caused by direct habitat descruction or climate change. Invasive species can also be classified by using their embeddings.

Future work

The embeddings are available in a GitHub repository (`https://github.com/boyanangelov/species2vec/`) and also archived on Zenodo (`https://zenodo.org/record/1477661`). The current version of `species2vec` (v1.0) provides embeddings for mammals only. It can be interesting and useful to generate embeddings of other separate groups of organisms (insects, birds) or to include all taxonomic groups available in GBIF (also flora). The aforementioned interactive exploration tool can also prove to be useful to domain experts.

Acknowledgments

References

1. B. Bischl, M. Lang, L. Kotthoff, J. Schiffner, J. Richter, E. Studerus, G. Casalicchio, and Z. M. Jones. mlr: Machine learning in r. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 17(1):5938–5942, 2016.

2. P. Bojanowski, E. Grave, A. Joulin, and T. Mikolov. Enriching word vectors with subword information. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.04606*, 2016.

3. L. Breiman. Random forests. *Machine learning*, 45(1):5–32, 2001.

4. D. Chen, Y. Xue, S. Chen, D. Fink, and C. Gomes. Deep multi-species embedding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.09353*, 2016.

5. J. Elith and J. R. Leathwick. Species distribution models: ecological explanation and prediction across space and time. *Annual review of ecology, evolution, and systematics*, 40:677–697, 2009.

6. GBIF. (30th october 2018) gbif occurrence download, 2016.

7. H. F. Howe, L. C. Westley, et al. *Ecological relationships of plants and animals.* Oxford University Press, 1988.

8. L. v. d. Maaten and G. Hinton. Visualizing data using t-sne. *Journal of machine learning research*, 9(Nov):2579–2605, 2008.

9. N. Michael, J. Ringo, and S. Ratnayeke. Diversity, Composition and Richness of Small Mammals in Natural and Agricultural Areas in Mbeya Region, Tanzania. *Int. J. Modern Plant & Anim. Sci*, 4(1):35–46, 2016.

10. T. Mikolov, I. Sutskever, K. Chen, G. Corrado, and J. Dean. Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality. *CoRR*, abs/1310.4546, 2013.

11. G. Niemeyer. Geohash, 2008.

12. R. Rehurek and P. Sojka. Software framework for topic modelling with large corpora. In *In Proceedings of the LREC 2010 Workshop on New Challenges for NLP Frameworks.* Citeseer, 2010.