mtDNA recombination indicative of hybridization suggest a role of the mitogenome in the

adaptation of reef building corals to extreme environments

Eulalia Banguera-Hinestroza[1,2]*, Yvonne Sawall[3], Abdulmohsin Al-Sofyani[4], Patrick Mardulyn[2], Javier

Fuertes-Aguilar[5], Heiber Cardenas-Henao[6], Francy Jimenez-Infante[1], Christian R. Voolstra[1]**, Jean-

François Flot [2]**

1. Red Sea Research Center, King Abdullah University of Sciences and Technology

9   (KAUST), Thuwal, Saudi Arabia.

10   2. Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Université libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Brussels.

11   Belgium.

12   3. Bermuda Institute of Ocean Sciences (BIOS), Coral Reef Ecology, Bermuda.

13   4. Department of Marine Biology, Faculty of Marine Sciences, King Abdulaziz University

14   (KAU), Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

15   5. Real Jardín Botánico, RJB-CSIC, Plaza de Murillo 2, 28014 Madrid, Spain.

16   6. Universidad del Valle, Department of Biology. Group of Ecogenetics and Molecular

17   Biology, Cali, Colombia.

19   *Corresponding author: ebanguer@ulb.ac.be; eulalia.banguera@gmail.com

20   ** Equal contributions

Abstract

Introgressive hybridization, as evidenced by topological incongruence between nuclear and mitochondrial phylogenies, has been broadly recorded in a range of organisms. However, mtDNA recombination following hybridization is rarely found in animals and was never until now reported in reef-building corals. Here we report unexpected topological incongruence among mitochondrial markers in the phylogenetic analysis of *Stylophora* species distributed along broad geographic ranges, including the full latitudinal (2000 km) and environmental gradient (21°C-33°C) of the Red Sea. The analysis of *Stylophora* lineages in the framework of the mitogenome phylogenies of members of the family Pocilloporidae, coupled with analyses of recombination, shows the first evidence of asymmetric patterns of introgressive hybridization associated to mitochondrial recombination in this genus. Hybridization likely occurred between an ancestral lineage restricted to the Red Sea/Gulf of Aden basins and migrants from the Indo-Pacific/Indian Ocean that reached the Gulf of Aden. The resulting hybrid occurs in sympatry with the parental Red Sea lineage, from which it inherited most of its mtDNA (except the recombinant region that includes the *nd6*, *atp6* and mt*ORF* genes) and expanded its range into the hottest region of the Arabian Gulf. Noticeably, along the Red Sea both lineages exhibit striking differences in terms of phylogenetic and phylogeographic patterns, clades-morphospecies association, and zooxanthellae composition. Our data suggest that the colonization of the Red Sea by the ancestral lineage, which involved overcoming the extreme temperatures of the southern Red Sea, likely resulted in changes in mitochondrial proteins, which led to its successful adaptation to the novel environmental conditions.

Key words. Introgressive hybridization, coral reefs, extreme environments, mitochondrial recombination, mito-mito incongruences, mito-nuclear incongruences, *Stylophora*, Pocilloporidae.

1. INTRODUCTION

Studies of mitochondrial genomes have been of broad relevance for understanding the ecological and evolutionary processes leading to the diversification of organisms (Ballard and Rand, 2005; Chinnery and Hudson, 2013; Kivisild, 2015; Wolff et al., 2016), due particularly to key characteristics such as: maternal inheritance (in most organisms); high mutation rates in triploblastic metazoans (but not in cnidarians and poriferans; cf. Shearer *et al.* 2002); and lack of recombination (Barr et al., 2005). However, the latter assumption has been challenged by the increasing evidence of mtDNA recombination in animals (Barr et al., 2005; Ladoukakis and Zouros, 2017; Rokas et al., 2003; Tsaousis et al., 2005; Ujvari et al., 2007) with important ecological and evolutionary implications (Dokianakis and Ladoukakis, 2014; Levsen et al., 2016; Passamonti et al., 2013; Rokas et al., 2003; White et al., 2008).

In natural populations, most evidence for mtDNA and/or nuclear introgression comes from species living at the edge of their geographic range (i.e. marginal ecosystem that often exhibit genetically atypical populations; Johannesson and André, 2006), perturbed habitats, near extreme environmental conditions or in hybrid zones (Brennan et al., 2014; Hellberg et al., 2016; Johannesson and Carl, 2006; Riginos and Cunningham, 2004; Taylor et al., 2015). There is growing evidence in plants, in fungi, and to a lesser extent in animals, that divergent lineages that meet and interbreed in contact zones, particularly after expanding their range as a consequence of climatic or habitat fluctuations, produce hybrids carrying recombinant mitochondrial genomes (Barr et al., 2005) that are able to adapt to new environmental or habitat conditions leading eventually to hybrid speciation (Brennan et al., 2014; Mastrantonio et al., 2016; Riginos and Cunningham, 2004; Taylor et al., 2015).

Marginal areas such as the Red Sea, a biogeographic *cul-de-sac* extending from the Indian Ocean, are observed to host a high number of hybrid species (Berumen et al., 2017; DiBattista et al., 2016a; Garzón-Ospina et al., 2012; Johannesson and Carl, 2006; Veron, 1995) and therefore offer an interesting playground to study the main factors influencing patterns of diversification and hybrid speciation in extreme environments. The Red Sea ocean basin exhibits a high variability in oceanographic conditions following a N-S gradient along four well-defined oceanographic provinces (Raitsos et al., 2013), ranging from relatively low temperatures in the northern areas (below 23°C) to record summer temperatures up

to 33°C in the southern region (Acker et al., 2008; Moustafa et al., 2014; Raitsos et al., 2013; Sawall et

al., 2015, 2014).

In addition, since its inception this region has undergone a complex climatic and geological history.

During the last glacial cycles, the Red Sea experienced multiple sea-level fluctuations (Rohling et al.,

2013; Siddall et al., 2003) as well as repetitive periods of connection and isolation from the Indo-Pacific,

through the Strait of Bab-el-Mandeb in the South (Righton et al., 1996; Rohling et al., 2013; Siddall et

al., 2004). These events, together with discontinuous periods of extinction and re-colonization from

refuge zones inside and outside the region (Fine et al., 2013; Pellissier et al., 2014), likely played an

important role in shaping the geographic distribution of genetic diversity for coral reefs in this oceanic

basin, as well as the diversification of their associated fauna (Berumen et al., 2017; DiBattista et al.,

2016a, 2016b, 2013). In fact, it has been postulated that corals entered the region during the Miocene –a

period characterized by hyper-saline conditions and strong climate change – and after several episodes

of post-Miocene migrations (Taviani, 1998). Lineages already inhabiting this area were affected by the

successive geological and climatic processes influencing the region (DiBattista et al., 2016a). Repetitive

invasions from the Indian Ocean likely enhanced the potential for the encounter of lineages with

different genetic backgrounds, increasing the chance for hybridization and therefore hybrid speciation in

the unique conditions of this marine zone.

Introgressive hybridization has been reported to be widespread in reef-building corals, with reports

mostly in the families Acroporidae, Poritidae and Pocilloporidae (Combosch and Vollmer, 2015;

Forsman et al., 2017; Hellberg et al., 2016; Johnston et al., 2017; Richards and Hobbs, 2015; Van Oppen

et al., 2001; Willis et al., 2006). Indeed, the topological incongruence observed in coral phylogenies

might be explained by reticulate evolution due to multiple climatic and see-level changes across

geological eras (Van Oppen et al., 2001; Veron, 1995; Vollmer and Palumbi, 2002; Willis et al., 2006).

Moreover, it has been proposed that many species occurring in marginal areas and with restricted

distribution, such as those endemic of the Indo-Pacific Ocean, might be hybrids (Richards and Hobbs,

2015; Veron, 1995). Even though mtDNA introgression is expected to be more frequent than nuclear

introgression, particularly between species occurring in sympatry (Mastrantonio et al., 2016), it has not

being reported to date in reef-building corals.

The coral genus *Stylophora* (family Pocilloporidae) is widely distributed in the Indo-Pacific and Indian

Ocean and comprises a range of a highly plastic morphospecies (Veron, 1995, 2002), which are

diversified within four phylogenetic lineages (Flot et al., 2011; Keshavmurthy et al., 2013; Stefani et al.,

2011). *Stylophora* is found throughout the entire environmental range of the Red Sea and is represented

by several morphospecies: *Stylophora pistillata* (Esper, 1797), *Stylophora subseriata* (Ehrenberg, 1834),

Stylophora wellsi (also found in Madagascar), *Stylophora kuelhmanni*, *Stylophora danae* and *Stylophora*

mamillata (Scheer and Pillai, 1983; Veron, 2002). A recent molecular study aiming to identify species

boundaries in the genus (Arrigoni et al., 2016) suggests that all these morphospecies belong to one

single cohesive molecular lineage.

Here, we studied the genetic variation of coral species within the genus *Stylophora* and their associated

zooxanthellae across the environmental gradients of the Red Sea, spanning over ~2000Km (12 degrees of

latitude), and included sequences from other relevant biogeographic regions (i.e. New Caledonia, Great

Barrier Reef, Madagascar, Gulf of Aden and Arabian Gulf). A total of 827 sequences were analyzed to

understand their genetic diversity, phylogenetic patterns and phylogeographic framework, in addition 281

colonies were typified for *Symbiodinium*. We selected this genus given its widespread geographical

distribution in the Indo-Pacific region and the presence of several endemic morphospecies in the Red Sea

(Veron et al., 2018), which may represent important sources of genetic variability for the future adaptation

of reef-building corals under the current threats of climate changes. Our molecular markers included ITS2

for *Symbiodinium* and four nuclear (*hsp70*, ITS1, ITS2 and *PMCA*) and five mitochondrial genes for the

coral host (mtCR, *cox1*, 12S, 16S and mt*ORF*). Among these markers, the hypervariable mt*ORF*, a

mitochondrial open reading frame of unknown function, which is unique to the family Pocilloporidae (Flot

and Tillier, 2007a), has been proposed as an alternative barcode for members of this family, performing

as well as NGS sequencing data for distinguishing species (i.e RAD-seq markers; Johnston et al., 2017).

But some authors such as Chen et al. (2008) considers the mt*ORF* as the control region, resulting in some

confusion in the literature.

Subsequently, the patterns of diversification of the Red Sea specimens were evaluated using full

mitochondrial genome sequences of species belonging to the family Pocilloporidae (*Pocillopora*,

Seriatopora, *Stylophora* and *Madracis*) (Veron and Pichon 1976). Our aims were (1) to investigate

patterns of diversification in *Stylophora* species and their associated zooxanthellae within the Red Sea and

verified further whether host morphological variation had any taxonomic value for these species (in other

words, is there any association between morphological and genetic variation?). (2) to find out whether

mitochondrial variation and phylogeographic patterns fit the trends expected from the environmental

gradients in this marginal basin, and (3) to test whether the topological incongruence between mtDNA

markers observed in our data and previously suggested by Arrigoni et al. (2016) could be explained by

introgressive hybridization. Here we discuss our results in a broad sense, coupling phylogenetic and

phylogeographic patterns, *Symbiodinium* distribution, morphospecies-clades association and the finding

of mtDNA recombination**.** We discuss the implications of these results for coral conservation in highly

variable settings.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Coral Sampling

We sampled a total of 725 colonies of *Stylophora* at 25 locations along the Red Sea coast in 2011 and

2012 from the Gulf of Aqaba in the North (Maqna, 28° 31' 34.20 N, 34° 48' 14.30" E) to Farasan Island

in the South (16° 31' 38.5" N, 42° 01' 54.09" E). Our sampling design covered the entire environmental

gradient (Temperature: ~21 in the north to ~33°C in the south; Salinity: 41 PSU in the north to 37 PSU

in the south) of the Red Sea and the four oceanographic provinces as defined in Raitsos et al. (2013;

Figure 1 and Supplementary Table *S1*). Colonies were determined to belong to morphotypes of *S.*

pistillata, *S. subseriata*, *S. danae*, *S. kuelhmanni* and *S. wellsi* (Figure 1). Identification was carried out

with the assistance of Dr. J.E.N Veron (personal communication) and by comparing his photographic

records of Red Sea samples with our photographs taken during coral sampling. Small coral nubbins

(~1cm) were collected in 3-7 m depth from colonies 5 m apart from each other to minimize sampling of

clones and were preserved at room temperature in salt-saturated DMSO buffer (Gaither et al., 2011;

Seutin et al., 1991)

2.2. DNA extraction, PCR and sequencing

Coral nubbins were placed in approximately 400 µL of lysis buffer (DNeasy Plant Minikit; Qiagen,

Hilden, Germany®) with sterile glass beads and beaten for 30 s at full speed in a Qiagen Tissue Lyser II

(Qiagen, Hilden, Germany®). After cell lysis, DNA was extracted following the protocol recommended

by the manufacturer. Five mitochondrial genes and four nuclear genes were amplified. First we barcoded

our samples using the mt*ORF*, which is considered the most variable gene for Pocilloporidae (Flot and

Tillier, 2007) and a short fragment of the adjacent ATPase subunit 6 gene (*atp6*). After this initial

barcoding step, the mitochondrial control region (CR), the cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I (*cox1*) and

the mitochondrial 12S and 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) genes were amplified for a subset of samples. A

subset was also amplified for several nuclear genes: the heat shock protein (*hsp70*) gene, the internal

transcribed spacers (ITS1 and ITS2) and the Plasma Membrane Calcium ATPase (*PMCA*) gene. The

16S, 12S and *cox1* were amplified with primers designed in this study. The primers we included and

their respective references are listed in the Supplementary Table *S2*.

After amplification, samples were cleaned using the Exostar 1-step protocol (Ilustra®) and sequenced in

both forward and reverse directions; 5-10 specimens of each haplotype were sequenced twice to confirm

the accuracy of the sequences. The forward and reverse chromatograms were assembled and edited

using Chromas-Pro software version 2.1.5.1 (Technelysium, Pty Ltd). Sequences were first aligned

using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) as implemented in MEGA version 7.0.26 (Kumar et al., 2016) and when

the resulting alignment contained multiple gaps we used MAFFT's FFT-NS-i (Slow; iterative

refinement method) to improve the alignment (Katoh and Standley, 2013). All alignments were

confirmed and corrected by eye and sequences were trimmed to the same length for further analyses. For

12S and 16S, we removed a small region in which a long stretch of homopolymers did not allow high

confidence in the alignment. Nuclear genes were phased using the programs PHASE Version 2.1

(Stephens and Donnelly, 2003) using the input files obtained in SeqPHASE (Flot, 2010).

In the few cases when ITS1, ITS2, or *PMCA* chromatograms showed multiple double peaks (as expected

when sequencing a mixture of DNA sequences of unequal length; Flot et al., 2006) the indels from these

chromatograms were not considered for downstream analyses and only clean regions with double peaks

were included in the phasing process. In addition to our samples from the Red Sea, we amplified and

sequenced the 12S and 16S markers of selected specimens from Flot et al. (2011) from several

geographic regions (New Caledonia, Japan, Philippines and Madagascar). Moreover, previously

published mt*ORF* and CR sequences (Flot et al., 2011; Stefani et al., 2011) as well as *hsp70* sequences

(Klueter and Andreakis, 2013) from other geographic regions (i.e. Gulf of Aden and Indo-Pacific) as

well as from the Red Sea (i.e. mt*ORF* sequences belonging to *S. mamillata*: Arrigoni et al., 2016) were

downloaded from the NCBI database and included in our analyses (accession numbers for these samples

can be found in the respective references). Finally, we also included *cox1* sequences from Keshavmurthy

et al. (2013) that were downloaded from the Dryad Digital Repository: (doi:10.5061/dryad.n2fb2).

2.3. Identification of zooxanthellae (*Symbiodinium*)

Symbiodinium types were identified by the amplification of the *ITS2* rDNA in a set of *Stylophora*

samples from the Red Sea collected throughout the same gradient as described above (N=281). We used

the DGGE-ITS2 protocol described in LaJeunesse (2002) with the primers and protocols recommended

in LaJeunesse et al. (2003). DGGE gels, electrophoresis and PCR conditions are fully described in Arif

et al. (2014). Sequences were processed using Chromas-Pro software version 1.7.5 (Technelysium, Pty

Ltd) and phylogenetic assignments were built by comparisons with previously published *ITS2* sequences

in the GeoSymbio data base (Franklin et al. 2012) and the Todd LaJeunesse's database

(https://131.204.120.103/srsantos/symbiodinium/sd2_ged/database/views.php).

2.4. Sequence variation, phylogenetic and phylogeographic analyses

Number of haplotypes (h), polymorphic sites per gene, and shared haplotypes within and among Red

Sea regions (Maqna, Al-Wajh, Yanbu, Kaust, Jeddah, Doga, Farasan, Gulf of Aden; Figure 1) as well as

for other geographic locations (see above), were calculated using Arlequin version 3.5 (Excoffier and

Lischer, 2010) and DNAsp version 5.1 (Librado and Rozas, 2009). Phylogenetic analyses were

performed using *Stylophora* specimens from seven geographic regions (Caledonia, Japan, Philippines,

Madagascar, Gulf of Aden, Arabian Gulf and Red Sea). When several sequences shared the same

haplotype, a single representative was included in the data set for phylogenetic analyses.

To account for the phylogenetic signal in indels (insertion-deletion polymorphism) gaps were treated as

missing data and coded as additional presence/absence (0-1) characters with the program Fastgap v1.2.

(Borchsenius 2009) resulting in a data set with two data partitions: nucleotides and standard characters

(0-1). This approach was used to infer Bayesian trees with a mixed model, which allows the

combination of different data partitions with parameters unlinked across partitions (Huelsenbeck and

Ronquist, 2001). The best evolutionary models for each gene and data partition were selected by

calculating their BIC (Bayesian Information Criterion) scores as suggested in MEGA v.7 (Kumar et al.
2016). The non-uniformity of evolutionary rates among sites was modelled using a discrete Gamma
distribution (+G) with 5 rate categories and by assuming a portion of the sites to be invariant (+I). The
gamma shape parameter, proportion of invariant sites, transition/transversion ratio, nucleotide
frequencies and rates of substitutions were also estimated from the data.
236
Bayesian trees were built in MrBayes (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001) at the CIPRES Science
Gateway v 3.1 (Miller et al., 2010). For each data set we performed 4 independent runs (nruns=4) with 1
cold and 3 heated chains (nchains=4). The total number of generations (ngen) was set at 10,000,000;
sampling was performed every 1000 generations (Diagnfreq=1000); and the burn-in fraction was set to
25% (burninfrac=0.25). Convergence was assessed using the program Tracer v 1.7 (Rambaut and
Drummond, 2009). Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Neighbor Joining (NJ trees) were built in MEGA v7
(Kumar et al., 2016), with 1000 bootstrap replicates, We used the nearest neighbor interchange algorithm
(NNI) with an initial tree automatically generated (option: NJ/BioNJ) and the branch-swap filter set to
strong, including all sites in the alignment. NJ trees were constructed using the Maximum Composite
Likelihood method, with the pairwise deletion option activated. Trees were constructed for all markers,
except 16S and *cox1* that showed little or no variability in *Stylophora* spp. (see results). *Atp6,* mt*ORF*
and CR phylogenies (using our complete data set) were built only using the alignable regions among all
samples and the outgroups (*Pocillopora* and *Seriatopora*), and alternatively, excluding the outgroups to
allow the analyses of longer fragments (in these cases, the root of the trees was placed in agreement with
that suggested by the phylogeny using shorter fragments and by the loci in which the full outgroup
sequences were included).
253
Relationships among haplotypes were explored for the three most variable markers (mt*ORF*, CR and
hsp70) using the program HaplowebMaker (https://eeg-ebe.github.io/HaplowebMaker/; Spöri and Flot,
in prep.) applying the median-joining algorithm. Haplotypes belonging to specimens from the Pacific
regions and Madagascar were included, when possible, to identify patterns of colonization. The
frequency of each haplotype along the environmental gradient of the Red Sea (i.e. each
locality/population) was calculated using Arlequin v. 3.5 (Excoffier and Lischer, 2010).

2.5. Mitogenomes assembly and annotation

The complete mitogenomes of the two Red Sea lineages identify in our individual phylogenies analyses

(see results) –called *RS_LinA* and *RS_LinB* hereafter– were obtained using two different approaches.

First, paired-end Illumina reads from the draft genome of *Stylophora pistillata* (Voolstra et al. 2017)

–identified as *RS_LinA*– were downloaded from the NCBI Short Read Archive

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRX999949) using fastq-dump (fastq-dump --origfmt --split-3

SRR1980974). Second, 1.7 Gb paired-end reads for the *RS_LinB* were obtained from Red Sea samples

preserved in CHAOS buffer (Flot 2007) and extracted using the DNA NucleoSpin kit (Macherey-

Nagel) following the protocol recommended by the company. Sequencing was performed in an Illumina

NovaSeq 6000 at the BRIGHTcore facilities (Brussels Interuniversity Genomics High Throughput core).

The mitogenome of both lineages were assembled de novo from the raw Illumina reads using

NOVOPlasty v2.7.1 (Dierckxsens et al., 2016) with the *cox1* gene as a seed. For the assembly of the

RS_LinB mitogenome, both *cox1* and the complete mitogenome of the *RS_LinA* were used as seeds.

Runs were performed without and with reference, using the mitogenome of *Stylophora pistillata*

(NC_011162.1) for comparative purposes. The accuracy of the assembly was confirmed by mapping the

reads back to the assembled genomes using Bowtie2. In addition, the identity of each lineage was

corroborated by aligning the resulting genomes with sequences from six of the markers used for our

interspecific phylogenies (mt*ORF*, CR, *atp6*, 12S, 16S and *cox1*). Genes were annotated using MITOS

(Al Arab et al., 2017; Bernt et al., 2013). Alignment for each annotated gene was performed with

MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) and genes identities were confirmed using BLAST searches. When there was

incongruence, particularly at the 5' and 3' ends of the genes, annotations were manually corrected using

as reference standard (RefSeq) the mitogenome of *Stylophora pistillata* from the NCBI data base

(NC_011162.1). Finally, genes were concatenated using Fastgap v1.2. (Borchsenius 2009) and aligned

with mitogenomes from other members of the family Pocilloporidae using MAFFT (Katoh and

Standley, 2013). The mitogenomes included were: *Seriatopora hystrix* (EF633600.2), *Seriatopora*

caliendrum (EF633601.2), *Pocillopora damicornis* (EF526302.1; EU400213.1), *Pocillopora eydouxi*

(EF526303.1), *Stylophora pistillata* (of Indo-Pacific origin; NC_011162.1) and *Madracis mirabilis*

(EU400212.1).

2.6. Analyses of recombination and mitogenome phylogenies

Recombination was tested using the alignments of the full mitogenomes from members of the family Pocilloporidae, including the two divergent *Stylophora* lineages from the present study –see results –; the genus *Polyciathus* was also included as an external sequence. Seven heuristic recombination detection methods implemented in the RDP4 package (Martin et al., 2015) were used: RDP (Martin et al., 2010), BOOTSCAN (Martin et al., 2005), GENECONV (Padidam et al., 1999), MAXCHI (Maximum Chi Square method; Smith 1992); CHIMAERA (Posada and Crandall, 2001), SISCAN (Sister Scanning method; Gibbs *et al.* 2000), and 3SEQ (Boni et al., 2006).

The default settings were used for most methods, except for windows sizes, step sizes and tree building methods. Window size was selected to include at least 10 variable nucleotide positions within every window examined. In RPD, SISCAN, and BOOTSCAN methods windows size were set at 100; in CHIMAERA and MAXCHI at 200. Alternatively, the MAXCHI and CHIMAERA methods were set to run with variable windows sizes, to allow the program to adjust the window size depending on degrees of parental sequence divergence as recommended by the author. Only recombination events detected with P<0.05, after Bonferroni correction were recorded.

The boundaries of the breakpoints were double checked by comparing the agreement of the boundaries between methods. The major parent and the minor parent hypothesized by the program, were contrasted against evidence from our phylogenetic and phylogeographic analyses. Furthermore, given that the parental ascription of the recombinant sequence may be difficult among closely related sequences, we refined the hypothesis by comparing the hypothesized distribution range of the major *Stylophora* clades (Keshavmurthy et al., 2013) and the supporting data from previous studies in hybrids species in the region and outside the Red Sea (DiBattista et al., 2015). It is important to note that the identified major and minor parents are not the "real" parental sequences, but sequences that are closely related to likely ancestral sequences, also that the recombinant region is related to the "minor" parent and the "non-recombinant" region related to the "major" parent (Martin et al., 2015).

Phylogenetic relationships among mitogenomes were inferred using maximum likelihood trees in PhylML (Guindon et al., 2010) available at the http://www.phylogeny.fr/index.cgi (Dereeper et al.,

2010, 2008). Branch support was evaluated using an Approximate Likelihood Ratio test (aLRT)

(Anisimova and Gascuel, 2006). Trees were built for: (a) the full alignments, including and excluding

the recombinant region and (b) genes within the recombinant region. The best model was selected as

suggested in MEGA v7 (Kumar et al., 2016) as the GTR+G+I (General Time Reversible mode, non-

uniformity of evolutionary rates among sites modeled using a discrete Gamma distribution with 5 rate

categories and a fraction invariable sites). Because ambiguously aligned regions or highly divergent

sequences can mislead recombination signals, we ran the analyses including and excluding the *mtORF*

and the *ATP8* gene, and also excluding ambiguously aligned regions within the mt*ORF*. Finally, to

discard the influence of highly divergent sequences, we repeated the recombination analyses excluding

the mitogenomes of *Madracis* and *Polyciatus* species. After analyses, polymorphic sites in hypervariable

genes within the recombinant region for each *Stylophora* were calculated using DNAsp version 5.1

(Librado and Rozas, 2009).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Gene variation and polymorphic sites

Our largest data set consisted of 827 sequences of the mt*ORF* locus, which includes a short region of the

adjacent *atp6* gene, from a large portion of the geographic distribution of *Stylophora* (Figure 1); it

included 716 samples from our collection of the Red Sea, 3 samples from the Arabian Gulf, plus 108

sequences of *S. pistillata* morphospecies from Stefani et al. (2011) and Flot et al. (2011): from the Gulf

of Aden (N=20), Pacific regions (N=60) and Madagascar (N=28). In addition, we produced a second

dataset for four other mitochondrial fragments, from CR (N=401), *cox1* (N=147), 12S (N=72) and 16S

(N=61) and four nuclear fragments, from *hsp70* (N=738), ITS1 (N=75), ITS2 (N=56) and *PMCA*

(N=86) genes. This data set includes sequences from other studies (see material and methods section 2).

While the *atp6*-mt*ORF* locus (N=827; 884-943bp) displayed a high level of polymorphism in

Stylophora samples, the two *cox1* fragments (303-545bp) were found monomorphic when comparing

sequences across all Red Sea specimens. Pairwise comparisons among all individuals uncovered

multiple synonymous and non-synonymous substitutions at the 5' end and 3' end of the mt*ORF* gene,

but noticeably, the core region was composed mainly by duplicated tandem repeats, which were of

variable lengths and dissimilar among *Stylophora* specimens from the Red Sea (in terms of nucleotide

composition and length of the repeat; data not shown). The CR (N=401; 757bp) was the most variable of

the non-coding markers, with polymorphism mainly caused by variation in the number of tandem

repeats, some of them being specific to *Stylophora* specimens inhabiting different geographic areas.

Polymorphic sites, indels and parsimony informative sites for mitochondrial and nuclear genes are

recorded in Supplementary Table *S3*.

3.2. Phylogenetic analyses

ML and Bayesian phylogenies inferred from the *atp6*-mt*ORF* locus including *Pocillopora* as an

outgroup (no shown) or excluding the outgroup (Figure 2a) showed a large phylogenetic subdivision

between two groups, each associated with both high Bayesian Posterior Probabilities (BPP > 1.0) and

high bootstrap values (> 99%). A first clade, (N=461; h=27) included some of the sequences from the

Red Sea and the Gulf of Aden and those from the Arabian Gulf (N=373; h=12), plus sequences from

Madagascar (N=28, h=6) and the Pacific (N=60; h=9) –sequences from the Red Sea within this clade are

referred as Red Sea Lineage A (*RS_LinA*)–; the second clade included the other specimens from the Red

Sea and Gulf of Aden (N=366; h=14) –we refer to this clade as the Red Sea Lineage B (*RS_LinB*)–

(Figure 2a). These two lineages were also evident in the mitogenome phylogenies of the family using the

full *atp6* (678bp) and *nd6* genes (564bp) (Figure 3a) and in the phylogenies derived from our

recombination analyses (Figure 3b) –See recombination results below–. In fact, the *atp6* gene revealed

50 and 45 polymorphic sites between *RS_LinB vs RS_LinA* and *RS_LinB* vs Indo-Pacific/Indian Ocean

lineage respectively, and only 8 polymorphic sites between *RS_LinA* and the Indo-Pacific/Indian Ocean

lineage.

In contrast, in the phylogenetic trees inferred from all other markers, whether mitochondrial (CR, 12S)

or nuclear (ITS1; ITS2*, hsp70; PMCA*) (Figures 2b and Supplementary Figure *S1*), *RS_LinA* and

RS_LinB sequences form a clade that exclude all sequences from Madagascar and from the Indo-Pacific

region. There is therefore a major incongruence between the phylogenetic signal of *atp6*, *nd6* and the

mt*ORF* vs the CR and 12S (Figure 2 and Figure 3a) and between mtDNA genes vs the nuclear genes.

The ITS2 showed a pattern similar to that of the CR, in which *RS_LinA* and *RS_LinB* are almost

completely separated; there is only one individual from *RS_LinB* placed inside the clade formed by

RS_LinA sequences, and vice versa, on the ITS2 tree (Supplementary Figure *S1*). The *cox1* and the 16S

trees provided only little phylogenetic information (not shown).

3.3. Comparative analyses between *RS_LinA* and *RS_LinB*

3.3.1. Intraspecific phylogenies and morphospecies-clade association

Bayesian and ML trees obtained based on the full-length sequences of the *atp6*-mt*ORF* locus, thus

analyzing members of each lineage (*RS_LinA,* 884bp, h=33; *RS_LinB*, 943bp, h=20) separately, are

shown in Figure 4. In general, we found no clear association between Red Sea morphospecies and

phylogenetic groups in the *RS_LinA* lineage. For example, some mitochondrial haplotypes were shared

among different morphotypes or/and individuals exhibiting the same morphotypes were placed into

different subclades (Figure 4a); the only exceptions were haplotypes belonging to morphotypes of *S.*

kuelhmanni, that were all associated with subclade *LA1*, and those from *S. mamillata* –from Arrigoni et

al., (2016)– that were exclusively found in subclade *LA3* (data not shown). Instead, in the *RS_LinB*

lineage, morphotypes attributed to *S. subseriata* (called *Stylophora* cf. *subseriata* thereafter) were

grouped in subclade *LB1* and displayed haplotypes found exclusively in the northern Red Sea –see

phylogeographic analyses below– and were differentiated from morphotypes belonging to *S. pistillata*

(mainly short and medium branched morphotypes, called as *Stylophora* cf. *pistillata* from now on),

whose haplotypes were distributed mainly in the central-southern Red Sea and were placed in subclade

LB2 (Figure 4b).

3.3.2. *Symbiodinium* distribution

As for zooxanthellae, *Symbiodinium* type A1 (*Symbiodinium microadriaticum*) was the most abundant

in members of *Stylophora* within the Red Sea (167 out of 281 colonies), followed by *Symbiodinium* type

C160 (N=49). The distribution of these types was somehow different between members of each

Stylophora mtDNA lineage (Figure 4). In colonies placed within the *RS_LinB* and inhabiting the

northern Red Sea (i.e. subclade *LB1*; *Stylophora* cf. *subseriata*; N=76) the most abundant type was C160

(N=41) followed by type A1 (N=22) and few colonies presented both types (A1-C160; N=9). In

contrast, colonies distributed in the central-southern areas (i.e. subclade *LB2*; i.e. *Stylophora* cf.

pistillata) were mainly associated with type A1 (40 out of 54 colonies) and the presence of C160 type,

or of this type in combination with A1 (A1-C160) were not detected. In southern regions, few colonies

exhibited the C1* type, which was not present in northern colonies and was always found in association

of type A1 (i.e. A1-C1*; N=10). Other C types or associations A1-C types were found in very low

abundance (i.e. in one colony). A high percentage of the colonies of the *Stylophora RS_LinA* (105

colonies out of 151) showed association with *Symbiodinium microadriaticum* in both northern and

southern regions. The few colonies carrying C160 or A1-C160 types were mainly found in Yanbu (7 out

of 11 colonies). The presence of C1* and A1-C1* types were detected mostly in colonies inhabiting the

southernmost area of the Red Sea (i.e. Farasan; 7 out of 8 colonies). Few C types (i.e. C161, C162,

C116) and combination of A1 with other types (i.e. A1-D, A1-C41, A1-B, A1-C1, A1-C19; A1-sp)

displayed low frequencies and some of them were exclusive of colonies belonging to this group (Figure

4).

3.4. Comparative phylogeography of *RS_LinA* and *RS_LinB* and patterns of genetic variation

along the Red Sea gradient

Median-joining networks were constructed for the markers for which we had the largest number of

sequences: *atp6*-mt*ORF* (N=827)*,* CR (N=401) and *hsp70* (N=738). Each network indicates a clear

phylogeographic signal (i.e., there was a visible association between genetic variation and geographic

location). The north-south genetic differentiation was more pronounced for *RS_LinB* than for *RS_LinA*,

a pattern supported by all three loci (Figure 5). Haplotype frequencies along the gradient were somehow

different among lineages. In *RS_LinB* the highest frequencies of the most common haplotypes were

found either in northern or southern areas, while haplotype frequencies in *RS_LinA* showed a clinal

variation (Supplementary Figure *S2*). For the *hsp70*, haplotypes showed different frequencies along the

gradient when evaluated per mtDNA lineage (Supplementary Figure *S2c*) and the pattern was similar to

that shown by the *atp6*-mt*ORF* locus.

3.5. mtDNA recombination analyses

The analyses of the mitogenomes for all members of the family Pocilloporidae including *RS_LinA* and

RS_LinB and the genus *Polycyathus*, resulted in the detection of several recombination events in

pocilloporid corals. Recombination signals were detected in *Stylophora, Seriatopora and Madracis.* The

signals for *Stylophora* and *Seriatopora* were confirmed when excluding the two divergent genomes of

*Madraci*s and *Polycyathus*. In *Stylophora* the strongest signal supported by all seven methods was found

in *RS_LinA*, with the major parent hypothesized as the *RS_LinB* and the minor parent *Stylophora*

pistillata (Taiwan). *P*-values were as follow: RDP = 1.175 x 10^{-10}; GENECONV = 8.96 x 10^{-11};

BOOTSCAN = 1.237 x 10^{-11}; MAXCHI = 7 x 10^{-16}; CHIMAERA = 4.019 x 10^{-03}; SISCAN = 1.090 x

10^{18} and 3SEQ = 2.969 x 10^{-29}. The boundaries of the breakpoints were placed at the end of the *nd2*

genes by all methods, extending across the *nd6*, *atp6*, mt*ORF* and ending at the beginning of the *nd4*

gene (Supplementary Figure *S3*). A second event, in which the recombinant region was transferred from

Pocillopora to the *RS_LinB* was hypothesized, in this case the recombinant region was restricted to the

atp6 gene. A third event was detected, by only 3 methods, in *Seriatopora hystrix and Seriatopora*

caliendrum.

Family phylogenies focused in *Stylophora* and including the region inherited from the minor parent (*S.*

pistillata, Taiwan; 2415bp) and the major parent (*RS_LinB*; 9555bp) (Figure 3b) corroborated the

phylogenetic patterns outlined for *RS_LinA* and *RS_LinB* in our interspecific phylogenies (Figure 2).

4. DISCUSSION

The results from our study show support for the hypothesis that mtDNA introgressive hybridization is

the most likely cause of topological mito-mito incongruences in *Stylophora*. Our recombination

analyses, using the full mitogenomes of pocilloporid corals (*Madracis, Pocillopora, Seriatopora* and

Stylophora, including the two Red Sea lineages), provides evidence of mtDNA introgression in this

group –particularly in *Stylophora*– strongly suggesting the existence of a hybrid lineage (*RS_LinA*) in

the Red Sea, Gulf of Aden and Arabian Gulf. The mitogenome of this lineage contains introgressed

genes from two divergent mitochondrial lineages: on mtDNA genes found in the recombinant region

(i.e. covering the complete *nd6*, *atp6* and mt*ORF* genes) which have been inherited from a parental

species included in a lineage distributed in the Indo-Pacific/Indian Ocean region, while all other mtDNA

genes can be traced back to the ancestor of the *RS_LinB*. In the Red Sea, the *RS_LinA* is found in

sympatric association with the descendants of its local parental species (*RS_LinB)*, and they harbour

different phylogeographic patterns and different *Symbiodinium* composition. In addition, we found that

morphospecies placed within the *RS_LinB* agreed with phylogenetic subclades, which accounts for the

presence of two divergent allopatric populations divided in northern and southern areas, consistent with

environmental and oceanographic discontinuities, a trend that was completely absent in the hybrid

RS_LinA.

The colonization of the extreme environments of Red Sea by the ancestral *RS_LinB* implied the

development of adaptations to demanding temperature and salinity conditions. This likely involved

selective pressures observed to multiple amino acid changes in mitochondrial proteins, evidenced by the

highest substitution rate in the *atp6* and in the mt*ORF* genes of the *RS_LinB*, when compared with other

Stylophora lineages, which suggest a role of such mtDNA genes in the adaptation to extreme

environments. These results have important implications for the conservation of Red Sea species and

corals.

4.1. Divergent mitochondrial lineages of *Stylophora* are present in the Red Sea

Our *atp6*-mt*ORF* phylogenetic analyses highlight the presence of two divergent sympatric *Stylophora*

lineages in the Red Sea/Gulf of Aden/Arabian Gulf areas: the *RS_LinA* sharing a common ancestry with

an Indo-Pacific/Indian Ocean/Madagascar lineage –placed in Clade 1– and the *RS_LinB* lineage, which

form a unique clade on its own –Clade 2– (Figure 2). These lineages were also supported by the family

phylogenies of the *atp6* and *nd6* genes (Figure 3a). Noticeably, these phylogenies showed topological

incongruence with those of the CR/12S loci (Figures 2b, 2c). Furthermore, the four nuclear genes used

in these analyses did not support the existence of *RS_LinA* and *RS_LinB* lineages (Supplementary Figure

S1). The only nuclear gene that showed a similar phylogenetic pattern of that shown by the CR and 12S

genes, was the ITS2, which suggest that the ITS2, subject to concerted evolution, tells a similar

evolutionary history to that of mitochondrial genes.

Mito-nuclear incongruence such as reported in this study have already been reported in the literature on

many metazoans taxa (Forsman et al., 2017; Pavlova et al., 2013; Salvi et al., 2017; Toews and

Brelsford, 2012; Willis et al., 2006) and they are frequently invoked as one of the main signatures of

selection (Morales et al., 2015), the result of incomplete lineage sorting (DeBiasse et al., 2014; Richards

and Hobbs, 2015; Van Oppen et al., 2001) or introgressive hybridization (Forsman et al., 2017b;

Gompert et al., 2008). The latter is often considered to be rampant in corals, with reticulate evolution

having been proposed as one of the main processes that contributed, in great extent, to their successful

adaptation after the geographical range expansions induced by several catastrophic events, such as the

Cretaceous mass extinctions and the glacial events of the Plio-Pleistocene (Veron, 1995). Our finding of

incongruity in the phylogenetic patterns among mitochondrial genes versus nuclear genes in *Stylophora*,

are therefore consistent with multiples studies in reef building corals.

In addition, to our knowledge, the degree of incongruences in mtDNA genes found in our analyses has

not being identified in others coral genera so far. In *Stylophora*, incongruence between the mt*ORF* and

the *CR* topologies was reported by Arrigoni et al. (2016) and Stefani et al. (2011).These authors

suggested that the most plausible hypothesis for explaining this finding was the presence of pseudogenes

at the mitogenome of this group, but we found no evidence for stop codons or other signs of ORF

disruption, rather evidence that this mt*ORF* may code for a functional transmembrane protein

(Banguera-Hinestroza et al. unpublished results) as suggested by Flot and Tillier (2007). Therefore, we

believe that other hypotheses such as recombination resulting from introgressive hybridization at the

mtDNA genome of *Stylophora* are equally probable as the ones discussed in Stefani et al. (2011).

In species with a uniparental inheritance of mtDNA, genes are linked and are expected to show the same

phylogenetic patterns (Ladoukakis and Zouros, 2017). However, contrasting topologies in mtDNA genes

may arise as the result of mtDNA introgressive hybridization, which is known to have a clear impact in

genealogical and phylogenetic reconstructions (White et al., 2008). The presence of divergent

mitochondrial genomes in the germline of an organism (heteroplasmy) as the result of paternal leakage

(Barr et al., 2005; Kuijper et al., 2015; Passamonti et al., 2013; Rokas et al., 2003) may result in mtDNA

recombination (considering that heteroplasmy have remained without modifications in the oocyte

cytoplasm long enough for allowing recombination between paternal and maternal mtDNA; White et al.,

2008). In the case that the recombinant genome become fixed, viable, and passed to the following

generations it would result in viable hybrids carrying a mixed mtDNA genome (Rokas et al., 2003;

White et al., 2008; Wilton et al., 2018). Even though, heteroplasmy have not been recorded in reef

building corals, there is an increasing evidence that mtDNA recombination resulting from mtDNA

introgressive hybridization is not rare in nature (Barr et al., 2005; Levsen et al., 2016; Piganeau et al.,

2004; Rokas et al., 2003).

4.2. mtDNA recombination explains topological incongruence

Our recombination analyses hypothesized the *RS_LinA* as a recombinant sequence with high probabilities in all methods (*P*-values ranging between 4.0×10^{-03} and 2.96×10^{-29}) with the major parent being the sequence from *RS_LinB*, and only the minor parent the sequences from *S. pistillata* from Taiwan. For *RS_LinA* the recombinant region –inherited from the minor parent –spans the full *nd6*, *atp6* and mt*ORF* (Supplementary Figure *S3*), which explain that trees constructed with these genes showed a common ancestry between the *RS_LinA* and the Indo-Pacific/Indian ocean/Madagascar lineages, while the same trees show a strong diversification between these two lineages and the *RS_LinB* (Figure 2 and Figure 3). Moreover, the *RS_LinA*, inherited most of its mtDNA genes from the ancestor of *RS_LinB*. Therefore, it is expected that other mtDNA genes display a closest relationship between the *RS_LinA* and the *RS_LinB*, as shown in our phylogenies for the CR and 12S genes and in the mitogenome phylogenies excluding the recombinant region (Figure3b). Consequently, our data strongly supports mtDNA introgressive hybridization followed by recombination as the main cause of mito-mito topological incongruence in *Stylophora*.

We interpret these results as a strong evidence that *RS_LinA* likely arose from a hybridization event between two ancestral *Stylophora* lineages. The introgression pattern follow that recorded in species of hybrid zones, in which hybrids usually display an unequal mix of two mtDNA genetic backgrounds, with most mitochondrial genes or genetic polymorphism passed from the genetic pool of the local species to the genome of the "invader" species (asymmetric patterns of introgression; Harrison and Larson, 2014).

4.3. Interspecific phylogenies and comparative phylogeographic analyses

As our study focuses at the population level –based on the three most variable genes used here: the *hsp70*, the CR and the *mtORF* – markedly different phylogeographic patterns arises for *RS_LinA* and for *RS_LinB* along the latitudinal and environmental gradient in the Red Sea (Figure 5). These patterns suggest a strong structure for *RS_LinB*, in which the groups supported by the phylogenetic analyses (Figure 4) have restricted distribution either in the northern Red Sea or in the southern Red Sea, with the break between the two zones found around Yanbu, a trend that was supported by the distribution of the

haplotype frequencies along the gradient for each gene (Supplementary Figure *S2*). In contrast, a lack of

association with northern and southern environmental provinces was found in members of *RS_LinA*, and

rather a clinal variation in haplotype frequencies was found across the gradient (Supplementary Figure

S2).

Both lineages were also remarkably different in terms of morphology and *Symbiodinium* association: in

the northern Red Sea population of *RS_LinB* (i.e. subclade *LB1*; Figure 4b) most colonies were

associated with morphotypes of *Stylophora subseriata* (as described in Veron et al. 2018) and

Symbiodinium type C160; whereas the central-southern population (i.e. subclade LB2; Figure 4b)

grouped mostly individuals described in the literature as *Stylophora pistillata* and were associated

mainly with *Symbiodinium* type A1, but in contrast to the northern group, no colonies were found

carrying C160 or A1-C160 *Symbiodinium* types. Contrary to the trends detected in *RS_LinB*, colonies

belonging to the hybrid lineage (*RS_LinA*) showed high phenotypic plasticity and most colonies carried

a *Symbiodinium* type A1 in both northern and southern regions (Figure 4).

The strong differences found in both lineages, in terms of phylogeography, population subdivision, and

congruence/incongruence between morphology and phylogenies, reinforces the hypothesis of an early

colonization of the Red Sea by members of *RS_LinB* and a recent colonization of *RS_LinA* in agreement

with its hybrid origin. This evolutionary scenario could be explained on the light of the multiple

processes that have affected the region during the last glacial periods, as well as of the demographic

history of reef coral fauna in this region. Several studies targeting the geological dynamic of the Red Sea

and the patterns of diversity and endemism of its fauna (DiBattista et al., 2016a, 2016b; Fine et al.,

2013; Moustafa et al., 2014; Moustafa and Hallock, 2008; Rohling et al., 2008) suggest that early coral

reefs inhabiting this region (i.e. likely present in the region since the Miocene; Taviani 1998) were able

to survive extreme climatic variations in sea levels and periods of hyper salinity (Rohling et al., 2013;

Siddall et al., 2003; Righton et al., 1996; Rohling et al., 2013) thanks to the presence of refuge areas in

the northern Red Sea (i.e. the Gulf of Aqaba) and in the southern regions (i.e. Southern Red Sea and

Gulf of Aden) (Fine et al., 2013; Moustafa et al., 2014; Pellissier et al., 2014). Therefore, isolation in

these refuge zones leading to diversification and posterior recolonization may explain the differentiation

in allopatry of northern and central-southern populations of *RS_LinB*.

Furthermore, the phylogeographic patterns, such as the one presented here are also in accordance with

several biogeographic studies, suggesting that oceanographic conditions along the environmental

gradient of the Red Sea (Raitsos et al., 2013; Sawall et al., 2015) have originated barriers to genetic

flow, influenced strongly the population structure of several species, and shaped patterns of endemism in

this region (DiBattista et al., 2016a; Nanninga et al., 2014; Saenz-Agudelo et al., 2015), despite the fact

that they seem to have little impact on reef communities in terms of richness of species and species

diversity (Roberts et al., 2016). For example, Nanninga et al. (2013) found a good correlation between

the genetic differentiation among anemonefish (*Amphiprion bicinctus*) along the Saudi Arabian coast

and the environmental heterogeneity in this basin, with the steadiest genetic break found at

approximately 19°N (Southern Red Sea), which coincided with a sharp increase in turbidity in this zone.

Results of our study also concur with those of Froukh and Kochzius (2008, 2007) who also found high

genetic differentiation that were related to physical differences among fourline wrasse fish (*Larabicus*

quadrilineatus) from the northern and southern Red Sea. On a larger scale, research on butterfly and

angel fish by Roberts et al. (1992) and studies by DiBattista et al. (2013) have suggested that several reef

fish species diversified and remained restricted to the Red Sea.

4.4. The diversification of *Stylophora* in the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden

Our phylogeographic analyses indicate a broad distribution of *RS_LinB* across the Red Sea but limited

distribution in the Gulf of Aden (only few 5 out of 37 samples carrying a single mtDNA haplotypes

were found in this region, see also Stephani et al. 2017) (Supplementary Figure *S2*), which suggest that

the ancestor of *RS_LinB* was likely endemic of these regions, with the border of its distribution in the

Gulf of Aden. This lineage likely interbred with a lineage of broader distribution in the Indo-

Pacific/Indian-Ocean at the periphery of its range, which is also a confluence between several marine

provinces: the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden, the western Indian Ocean, and Indo-Polynesian provinces, at

zone that have been shown to hold several hybrid species (DiBattista et al., 2015). Moreover, the

phylogenetic position of Arabian Gulf haplotypes, which were placed in the same subclades of the

RS_linA specimens, suggests that the hybrid expanded its range into the Red Sea –where it lives in

sympatry with the descendants of the parental lineage –and into the Arabian Gulf.

Our results concur with multiple studies suggesting a large number of hybrid species in the Red Sea

(Berumen et al., 2017; DiBattista et al., 2016a) and seem to fit in the model of hybrid zones and

marginal areas (Barton, 1979; Hewitt, 1988), in which species from a diverse range of taxonomic groups

(i.e. plants, yeasts and to a lesser extent animals) living at the edge of their geographic ranges produce

viable hybrids carrying recombinant mitogenomes (Barr et al., 2005; Rokas et al., 2003). These hybrids

are able to adapt to new climatic conditions, and diverge in sympatric association with their parental

species (Ballard and Whitlock, 2004; Barr et al., 2005; Fourie et al., 2018; Galtier, 2011; Leducq et al.,

2017; Mastrantonio et al., 2016; Peris et al., 2017; Rokas et al., 2003; Ujvari et al., 2007). Moreover, the

pattern found in our results in *Stylophora* were similar to those found in hybrid species of marine reef-

fishes, found at the intersection of three main biogeographical regions: the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden,

the western Indian Ocean and the Indo-Polynesian provinces (DiBattista et al., 2015). These authors

identify 14 hybrid species based in molecular markers and morphological characteristics, the gene flow

was unidirectional, and the parental species were found at the periphery of their distribution range,

where they showed the lowest abundance. Interestingly, as in our work with *Stylophora*, the reported

hybrids were found to be the result of intercrosses between endemic species, with restricted distribution

range in the Red Sea and Arabian Gulf, with species broadly distributed in the Indo-Pacific, and the

major parental species was at the limit of its distribution and almost absent from the Gulf of Aden area.

4.5. Implications for conservation in a climate change scenario

Under the current challenges that climatic change poses for coral reef ecosystems, particularly with the

rising of oceanic temperatures above the limit threshold of most species (1°C above mean summer

maximum) (Hughes et al., 2018; Pandolfi et al., 2011) evolutionary studies with a clear assessment of the

demographic history of species and populations are of great relevance, particularly to understand the main

mechanisms involved in adaptation and speciation in variable and extreme environments. Our study,

coupling recombination analyses, phylogenetic and phylogeographic patterns, suggest that mtDNA genes

may play a key role in adaptation to extreme environments in coral species.

Two genes, the *atp6* gene and the mt*ORF* gene showed high mutation rates and extreme variability in

the *RS_LinB* leading to amino acid and structural changes in their encoded proteins, particularly in the

mt*ORF* (Banguera-Hinestroza et al. unpublished data). Interestingly, the *atp6* gene is part of a group of

genes that are essential in releasing and controlling the proliferation of deleterious oxygen radicals

(ROS) in the mitochondria (Kühlbrandt., 2015; Wirth et al., 2016), which are known for causing

oxidative damage to symbionts and corals during exposition to high temperatures, with the subsequent

bleaching and dead of corals (Downs et al., 2002; Smith et al., 2005).

In the *RS_LinB*, the frequencies of the most common haplotypes of mtDNA genes were associated with

coldest (north) or hottest (south) areas in the Red Sea (Supplementary Figure *S2*), a tendency that was

also followed by the haplotype frequencies of the *hsp70* gene –a chaperone protein involved in heat

stress response (Kvitt et al., 2016; Mayer and Bukau, 2005)–, suggesting that both proteins may have

play a similar role in the adaptation to temperature of *RS_LinB* variants. This premise was supported by

the computational characterization of the mt*ORF* protein (Banguera-Hinestroza et al. unpublished), in

which searches against approx. 95 million protein domains classified in the CATH data base- using the

pDomTHREADER approach (Lobley et al., 2009) revealed the highest matches with annotated domains

involved in the structural integrity of a complex or its assembly within or outside a cell (CATH domain

code: 1s58A00) and domains that play a role in cell-to-cell and cell-to-matrix interactions and response

to stress (CATH domain code: 1ux6A01) with high levels of confidence ($0.001 < P < 0.01$).

These findings may suggest that selective pressures imposed by the extreme environmental variations in

the Red Sea along multiple geological periods, may had have an impact in the mitogenome of this

lineage, with consequences in adaptation and speciation, as have been suggested in other taxa evolving

in a broad range of environmental conditions (Hill, 2017, 2016; Lamb et al., 2018). Eventhough the

RS_LinB hybridized with an Indo-Pacific/Indian Ocean lineage, the resulting hybrid (*RS_LinA*) did not

inherited this variation, this open the question whether other mitochondrial genes or mtDNA

mechanisms may play a role in hybrid speciation and adaptation, or whether the hybrid may be more

vulnerable to strong temperature variation (i.e. nowadays the *RS_LinA* is very rare in the Arabian Gulf

Area; Banguera-Hinestroza et al. unpublished results).

Taken together, our data suggest that hybridization in corals could be more complex than commonly

expected, involving mechanisms such as mitochondrial metabolism and mitochondrial genome

evolution as have been previoulsy suggested by Dixon et al., (2015) that demonstrated a strong maternal

effect in heat stress tolerance. Assisted hybridization has been proposed as an alternative for

conservation of coral reef via genetic rescue (Chan et al., 2018); however, little is known about the

molecular mechanisms enhancing hybrid adaptation and speciation under extreme climatic conditions. A clear understanding of these mechanisms coupled with the demographic history of coral populations is therefore needed to pursue effective conservation plans.

Funding statement

This research was funded by King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Saudi Arabia (sample analysis) and by King Abdulaziz University (KAU) as part of the Jeddah Transect project (sample collection) and by a postdoctoral research fellowship from the Université libre de Bruxelles, Belgium.

Acknowledgments: The authors want to thank Dr. Veron for assistance with voucher identification, Dr. Saenz Agudelo for sample collections and recommendations, Dr. Bouwmeester for sample collection, Vanessa Robitzch for helping with the DNA extractions, Catalina Ramirez for her support in bioinformatics pipelines and Sebastien Santini - CNRS/AMU IGS UMR7256, for its effort in maintaining the excellence of the Phylogeny.fr site. We thanks to King Abdulaziz University (KAU) and the Bioscience Core Lab at KAUST for sharing their facilities.

5. REFERENCES

Acker, J., Leptoukh, G., Shen, S., Zhu, T., Kempler, S., 2008. Remotely-sensed chlorophyll a observations of the northern Red Sea indicate seasonal variability and influence of coastal reefs. J. Mar. Syst. 69, 191–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2005.12.006

Al Arab, M., Höner zu Siederdissen, C., Tout, K., Sahyoun, A.H., Stadler, P.F., Bernt, M., 2017. Accurate annotation of protein-coding genes in mitochondrial genomes. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 106, 209–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2016.09.024

Anisimova, M., Gascuel, O., 2006. Approximate Likelihood-Ratio Test for Branches: A Fast, Accurate, and Powerful Alternative. Syst. Biol. 55, 539–552. https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150600755453

Arrigoni, R., Benzoni, F., Terraneo, T.I., Caragnano, A., Berumen, M.L., 2016. Recent origin and semi-permeable species boundaries in the scleractinian coral genus *Stylophora* from the Red Sea. Sci. Rep. 6, 34612. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep34612

Ballard, J.W.O., Rand, D.M., 2005. The Population Biology of Mitochondrial DNA and Its Phylogenetic

Implications. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 36, 621–642.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.36.091704.175513

Ballard, J.W.O., Whitlock, M.C., 2004. The incomplete natural history of mitochondria. Mol. Ecol. 13,

729–744. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2003.02063.x

Barr, C.M., Neiman, M., Taylor, D.R., 2005. Inheritance and recombination of mitochondrial genomes

in plants, fungi and animals. New Phytol. 168, 39–50. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-

8137.2005.01492.x

Barton, N.H., 1979. The dynamics of hybrid zones. Heredity (Edinb). 43, 341–359.

https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.1979.87

Bernt, M., Donath, A., Jühling, F., Externbrink, F., Florentz, C., Fritzsch, G., Pütz, J., Middendorf, M.,

Stadler, P.F., 2013. MITOS: Improved de novo metazoan mitochondrial genome annotation. Mol.

Phylogenet. Evol. 69, 313–319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2012.08.023

Berumen, M.L., DiBattista, J.D., Rocha, L.A., 2017. Introduction to virtual issue on Red Sea and

Western Indian Ocean biogeography. J. Biogeogr. 44, 1923–1926.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13036

Boni, M.F., Posada, D., Feldman, M.W., 2006. An Exact Nonparametric Method for Inferring Mosaic

Structure in Sequence Triplets. Genetics 176, 1035–1047.

https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.106.068874

Brennan, A.C., Woodward, G., Seehausen, O., Muñoz-Fuentes, V., Moritz, C., Guelmami, A., Abbott,

R.J., Edelaar, P., 2014. Hybridization due to changing species distributions: Adding problems or

solutions to conservation of biodiversity during global change? Evol. Ecol. Res. 16, 475–491.

Chan, W.Y., Peplow, L.M., Menéndez, P., Hoffmann, A.A., van Oppen, M.J.H., 2018. Interspecific

Hybridization May Provide Novel Opportunities for Coral Reef Restoration. Front. Mar. Sci. 5, 1–

15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00160

Chen, C., Chiou, C.-Y., Dai, C.-F., Chen, C.A., 2008. Unique Mitogenomic Features in the Scleractinian

Family Pocilloporidae (Scleractinia: Astrocoeniina). Mar. Biotechnol. 10, 538–553.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10126-008-9093-x

Chinnery, P.F., Hudson, G., 2013. Mitochondrial genetics. Br. Med. Bull. 106, 135–159.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldt017

Combosch, D.J., Vollmer, S. V, 2015. Trans-Pacific RAD-Seq population genomics confirms

introgressive hybridization in Eastern Pacific *Pocillopora* corals. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 88, 154–

162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2015.03.022

DeBiasse, M.B., Nelson, B.J., Hellberg, M.E., 2014. Evaluating summary statistics used to test for

incomplete lineage sorting: mito-nuclear discordance in the reef sponge Callyspongia vaginalis.

Mol. Ecol. 23, 225–238. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12584

Dereeper, A., Audic, S., Claverie, J.-M.M., Blanc, G., 2010. BLAST-EXPLORER helps you building

datasets for phylogenetic analysis. BMC Evol. Biol. 10, 8. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-8

Dereeper, A., Guignon, V., Blanc, G., Audic, S., Buffet, S., Chevenet, F., Dufayard, J.-F.J.-F.F.,

Guindon, S., Lefort, V., Lescot, M., Claverie, J.-M.J.-M.M., Gascuel, O., 2008. Phylogeny.fr:

robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. Nucleic Acids Res. 36, W465–W469.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn180

DiBattista, J.D., Berumen, M.L., Gaither, M.R., Rocha, L.A., Eble, J.A., Choat, J.H., Craig, M.T.,

Skillings, D.J., Bowen, B.W., 2013. After continents divide: comparative phylogeography of reef

fishes from the Red Sea and Indian Ocean. J. Biogeogr. 40, 1170–1181.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12068

DiBattista, J.D., Howard Choat, J., Gaither, M.R., Hobbs, J.-P.A., Lozano-Cortés, D.F., Myers, R.F.,

Paulay, G., Rocha, L.A., Toonen, R.J., Westneat, M.W., Berumen, M.L., 2016a. On the origin of

endemic species in the Red Sea. J. Biogeogr. 43, 13–30. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12631

DiBattista, J.D., Roberts, M.B., Bouwmeester, J., Bowen, B.W., Coker, D.J., Lozano-Cortés, D.F.,

Howard Choat, J., Gaither, M.R., Hobbs, J.-P.A., Khalil, M.T., Kochzius, M., Myers, R.F., Paulay,

G., Robitzch, V.S.N., Saenz-Agudelo, P., Salas, E., Sinclair-Taylor, T.H., Toonen, R.J., Westneat,

M.W., Williams, S.T., Berumen, M.L., 2016b. A review of contemporary patterns of endemism for

shallow water reef fauna in the Red Sea. J. Biogeogr. 43, 423–439.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12649

DiBattista, J.D., Rocha, L.A., Hobbs, J.-P.A., He, S., Priest, M.A., Sinclair-Taylor, T.H., Bowen, B.W.,

Berumen, M.L., 2015. When biogeographical provinces collide: hybridization of reef fishes at the

crossroads of marine biogeographical provinces in the Arabian Sea. J. Biogeogr. 42, 1601–1614.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12526

Dierckxsens, N., Mardulyn, P., Smits, G., 2016. NOVOPlasty: de novo assembly of organelle genomes

from whole genome data. Nucleic Acids Res. 45, gkw955. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw955

Dixon, G.B., Davies, S.W., Aglyamova, G. V., Meyer, E., Bay, L.K., Matz, M. V., 2015. Genomic

determinants of coral heat tolerance across latitudes. Science (80-.). 348, 1460–1462.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1261224

Dokianakis, E., Ladoukakis, E.D., 2014. Different degree of paternal mtDNA leakage between male and

female progeny in interspecific *Drosophila* crosses. Ecol. Evol. 4, 2633–2641.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1069

Downs, C.A., Fauth, J.E., Halas, J.C., Dustan, P., Bemiss, J., Woodley, C.M., 2002. Oxidative stress and

seasonl coral bleaching. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 33, 533–543.

Edgar, R.C., 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.

Nucleic Acids Res 32, 1792–1797. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340

Excoffier, L., Lischer, H.E.L., 2010. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: A new series of programs to perform

population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 10, 564–567.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02847.x

Fine, M., Gildor, H., Genin, A., 2013. A coral reef refuge in the Red Sea. Glob. Chang. Biol. 19, 3640–

3647. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12356

Flot, J.-F., 2010. seqphase: a web tool for interconverting phase input/output files and fasta sequence

alignments. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 10, 162–166. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009.02732.x

Flot, J.-F., Blanchot, J., Charpy, L., Cruaud, C., Licuanan, W.Y., Nakano, Y., Payri, C., Tillier, S., 2011.

Incongruence between morphotypes and genetically delimited species in the coral genus

Stylophora: phenotypic plasticity, morphological convergence, morphological stasis or interspecific

hybridization? BMC Ecol. 11, 22. https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6785-11-22

Flot, J.-F., Tillier, S., 2007. The mitochondrial genome of *Pocillopora* (Cnidaria: Scleractinia) contains

two variable regions: The putative D-loop and a novel ORF of unknown function. Gene 401, 80–

87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2007.07.006

Flot, J.F., Tillier, A., Samadi, S., Tillier, S., 2006. Phase determination from direct sequencing of length-

variable DNA regions. Mol. Ecol. Notes. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2006.01355.x

Forsman, Z.H., Knapp, I.S.S., Tisthammer, K., Eaton, D.A.R., Belcaid, M., Toonen, R.J., 2017. Coral

hybridization or phenotypic variation? Genomic data reveal gene flow between Porites lobata and

P. Compressa. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 111, 132–148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2017.03.023

Fourie, G., Van der Merwe, N.A., Wingfield, B.D., Bogale, M., Wingfield, M.J., Steenkamp, E.T., 2018.

Mitochondrial introgression and interspecies recombination in the Fusarium fujikuroi species

complex. IMA Fungus 9, 37–63. https://doi.org/10.5598/imafungus.2018.09.01.04

Froukh, T., Kochzius, M., 2008. Species boundaries and evolutionary lineages in the blue green
damselfishes *Chromis viridis* and *Chromis atripectoralis* (Pomacentridae). J. Fish Biol. 72, 451–
457. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2007.01746.x
Froukh, T., Kochzius, M., 2007. Genetic population structure of the endemic fourline wrasse (*Larabicus*
quadrilineatus) suggests limited larval dispersal distances in the Red Sea. Mol. Ecol. 16, 1359–
1367. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03236.x
Gaither, M.R., Szabó, Z., Crepeau, M.W., Bird, C.E., Toonen, R.J., 2011. Preservation of corals in salt-
saturated DMSO buffer is superior to ethanol for PCR experiments. Coral Reefs 30, 329–333.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-010-0687-1
Galtier, N., 2011. The intriguing evolutionary dynamics of plant mitochondrial DNA. BMC Biol. 9, 61.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-9-61
Garzón-Ospina, D., López, C., Forero-Rodríguez, J., Patarroyo, M.A., 2012. Genetic Diversity and
Selection in Three *Plasmodium vivax* Merozoite Surface Protein 7 (Pvmsp-7) Genes in a
Colombian Population. PLoS One 7, e45962. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0045962
Gibbs, M.J., Armstrong, J.S., Gibbs, A.J., 2000. Sister-Scanning: a Monte Carlo procedure for assessing
signals in recombinant sequences. Bioinformatics 16, 573–582.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/16.7.573
Gompert, Z., Forister, M.L., Fordyce, J.A., Nice, C.C., 2008. Widespread mito-nuclear discordance with
evidence for introgressive hybridization and selective sweeps in Lycaeides. Mol. Ecol. 17, 5231–
5244. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03988.x
Guindon, S., Dufayard, J.-F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., Gascuel, O., 2010. New
Algorithms and Mehtods to Estimate Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies: Asessing the
Performance of PhyML 2.0. Syst. Biol. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syq010
Harrison, R.G., Larson, E.L., 2014. Hybridization, Introgression, and the Nature of Species Boundaries.
J. Hered. 105, 795–809. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esu033
Hellberg, M.E., Prada, C., Tan, M.H., Forsman, Z.H., Baums, I.B., 2016. Getting a grip at the edge:
recolonization and introgression in eastern Pacific *Porites* corals. J. Biogeogr. 43, 2147–2159.
https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12792
Hewitt, G.M., 1988. Hybrid zones-natural laboratories for evolutionary studies. Trends Ecol. Evol. 3,
158–167. https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(88)90033-X
Hill, G.E., 2017. The mitonuclear compatibility species concept. Auk 134, 393–409.

https://doi.org/10.1642/AUK-16-201.1

Hill, G.E., 2016. Mitonuclear coevolution as the genesis of speciation and the mitochondrial DNA

barcode gap. Ecol. Evol. 6, 5831–5842. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2338

Huelsenbeck, J.P., Ronquist, F., 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees.

Bioinformatics 17, 754–755. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/17.8.754

Hughes, T.P., Kerry, J.T., Baird, A.H., Connolly, S.R., Dietzel, A., Eakin, C.M., Heron, S.F., Hoey,

A.S., Hoogenboom, M.O., Liu, G., McWilliam, M.J., Pears, R.J., Pratchett, M.S., Skirving, W.J.,

Stella, J.S., Torda, G., 2018. Global warming transforms coral reef assemblages. Nature 556, 492–

496. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0041-2

Johannesson, K., Carl, A., 2006. Life on the margin: genetic isolation and diversity loss in a peripheral

marine ecosystem, the Baltic Sea. Mol. Ecol. 15, 2013–2029. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-

294X.2006.02919.x

Johnston, E.C., Forsman, Z.H., Flot, J.-F., Schmidt-Roach, S., Pinzón, J.H., Knapp, I.S.S., Toonen, R.J.,

2017. A genomic glance through the fog of plasticity and diversification in *Pocillopora*. Sci. Rep.

7, 5991. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-06085-3

Katoh, K., Standley, D.M., 2013. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7:

Improvements in Performance and Usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 772–780.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010

Keshavmurthy, S., Yang, S.-Y., Alamaru, A., Chuang, Y.-Y., Pichon, M., Obura, D., Fontana, S., De

Palmas, S., Stefani, F., Benzoni, F., MacDonald, A., Noreen, A.M.E., Chen, C., Wallace, C.C.,

Pillay, R.M., Denis, V., Amri, A.Y., Reimer, J.D., Mezaki, T., Sheppard, C., Loya, Y., Abelson, A.,

Mohammed, M.S., Baker, A.C., Mostafavi, P.G., Suharsono, B.A., Chen, C.A., 2013. DNA

barcoding reveals the coral "laboratory-rat", *Stylophora pistillata* encompasses multiple identities.

Sci. Rep. 3, 1520. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep01520

Kivisild, T., 2015. Maternal ancestry and population history from whole mitochondrial genomes.

Investig. Genet. 6, 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13323-015-0022-2

Klueter, A., Andreakis, N., 2013. Assessing genetic diversity in the scleractinian coral *Stylophora*

pistillata (Esper 1797) from the Central Great Barrier Reef and the Coral Sea. Syst. Biodivers. 11,

67–76. https://doi.org/10.1080/14772000.2013.770419

Kühlbrandt, W., 2015. Structure and function of mitochondrial membrane protein complexes. BMC

Biol. 13, 89. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-015-0201-x

878 Kuijper, B., Lane, N., Pomiankowski, A., 2015. Can paternal leakage maintain sexually antagonistic
879   polymorphism in the cytoplasm? J. Evol. Biol. 28, 468–480. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12582
880 Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Tamura, K., 2016. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version
881   7.0 for Bigger Datasets. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33, 1870–1874. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw054
882 Kvitt, H., Rosenfeld, H., Tchernov, D., 2016. The regulation of thermal stress induced apoptosis in
883   corals reveals high similarities in gene expression and function to higher animals. Sci. Rep. 6,
884   30359. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep30359
885 Ladoukakis, E.D., Zouros, E., 2017. Evolution and inheritance of animal mitochondrial DNA: Rules and
886   exceptions. J. Biol. Res. 24, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40709-017-0060-4
887 Lamb, A.M., Gan, H.M., Greening, C., Joseph, L., Lee, Y.P., Morán-Ordóñez, A., Sunnucks, P.,
888   Pavlova, A., 2018. Climate-driven mitochondrial selection: A test in Australian songbirds. Mol.
889   Ecol. 27, 898–918. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14488
890 Leducq, J.-B., Henault, M., Charron, G., Nielly-Thibault, L., Terrat, Y., Fiumera, H.L., Shapiro, B.J.,
891   Landry, C.R., 2017. Mitochondrial Recombination and Introgression during Speciation by
892   Hybridization. Mol. Biol. Evol. 34, 1947–1959. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx139
893 Levsen, N., Bergero, R., Charlesworth, D., Wolff, K., 2016. Frequent, geographically structured
894   heteroplasmy in the mitochondria of a flowering plant, ribwort plantain (Plantago lanceolata).
895   Heredity (Edinb). 117, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2016.15
896 Librado, P., Rozas, J., 2009. DnaSP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism
897   data. Bioinformatics 25, 1451–1452. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp187
898 Lobley, A., Sadowski, M.I., Jones, D.T., 2009. pGenTHREADER and pDomTHREADER: new
899   methods for improved protein fold recognition and superfamily discrimination. Bioinformatics 25,
900   1761–1767. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp302
901 Martin, D.P., Lemey, P., Lott, M., Moulton, V., Posada, D., Lefeuvre, P., 2010. RDP3: A flexible and
902   fast computer program for analyzing recombination. Bioinformatics 26, 2462–2463.
903   https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq467
904 Martin, D.P., Murrell, B., Golden, M., Khoosal, A., Muhire, B., 2015. RDP4: Detection and analysis of
905   recombination patterns in virus genomes. Virus Evol. 1, vev003. https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/vev003
906 Martin, D.P., Posada, D., Crandall, K.A., Williamson, C., 2005. A Modified Bootscan Algorithm for
907   Automated Identification of Recombinant Sequences and Recombination Breakpoints. AIDS Res.
908   Hum. Retroviruses 21, 98–102. https://doi.org/10.1089/aid.2005.21.98

Mastrantonio, V., Porretta, D., Urbanelli, S., Crasta, G., Nascetti, G., 2016. Dynamics of mtDNA
introgression during species range expansion: insights from an experimental longitudinal study.
Sci. Rep. 6, 30355. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep30355

Mayer, M.P., Bukau, B., 2005. Hsp70 chaperones: Cellular functions and molecular mechanism. Cell.
Mol. Life Sci. 62, 670–684. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-004-4464-6

Miller, M.A., Pfeiffer, W., Schwartz, T., 2010. Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of
large phylogenetic trees, in: 2010 Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE). IEEE, pp.
1–8. https://doi.org/10.1109/GCE.2010.5676129

Morales, H.E., Pavlova, A., Joseph, L., Sunnucks, P., 2015. Positive and purifying selection in
mitochondrial genomes of a bird with mitonuclear discordance. Mol. Ecol.
https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13203

Moustafa, M.Z., Hallock, P., 2008. Observations of a Red Sea Fringing Coral Reef under Extreme
Environmental Conditions. 11th Int. Coral Reef Symp. Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 7–11.

Moustafa, M.Z., Moustafa, M.S., Moustafa, Z.D., Moustafa, S.E., 2014. Survival of high latitude
fringing corals in extreme temperatures: Red Sea oceanography. J. Sea Res. 88, 144–151.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2014.01.012

Nanninga, G.B., Saenz-Agudelo, P., Manica, A., Berumen, M.L., 2014. Environmental gradients predict
the genetic population structure of a coral reef fish in the Red Sea. Mol. Ecol. 23, 591–602.
https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12623

Diekmann, O. E., Bak, R. P. M., Stam, W. T., Olsen, J. L., 2001. Molecular genetic evidence for
probable reticulate speciation in the coral genus *Madracis* from a Caribbean fringing reef slope.
Mar. Biol. 139, 221–233. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002270100584

Padidam, M., Sawyer, S., Fauquet, C.M., 1999. Possible Emergence of New Geminiviruses by Frequent
Recombination. Virology 265, 218–225. https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.1999.0056

Pandolfi, J.M., Connolly, S.R., Marshall, D.J., Cohen, A.L., 2011. Projecting Coral Reef Futures Under
Global Warming and Ocean Acidification. Science (80-.). 333, 418–422.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1204794

Passamonti, M., Ghiselli, F., Milani, L., 2013. Mitochondrial Inheritance, in: Brenner's Encyclopedia of
Genetics. Elsevier, pp. 443–445. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374984-0.00960-8

Pavlova, A., Amos, J.N., Joseph, L., Loynes, K., Austin, J.J., Keogh, J.S., Stone, G.N., Nicholls, J.A.,
Sunnucks, P., 2013. Perched at the mito-nuclear crossroads: divergent mitochondrial lineages

correlate with environment in the face of ongoing nuclear gene flow in an australian bird. Evolution

(N. Y). 67, 3412–3428. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.12107

Pellissier, L., Leprieur, F., Parravicini, V., Cowman, P.F., Kulbicki, M., Litsios, G., Olsen, S.M., Wisz,

M.S., Bellwood, D.R., Mouillot, D., 2014. Quaternary coral reef refugia preserved fish diversity.

Science (80-.). 344, 1016–1019. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1249853

Peris, D., Arias, A., Orlić, S., Belloch, C., Pérez-Través, L., Querol, A., Barrio, E., 2017. Mitochondrial

introgression suggests extensive ancestral hybridization events among Saccharomyces species.

Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 108, 49–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2017.02.008

Piganeau, G., Gardner, M., Eyre-Walker, A., 2004. A broad survey of recombination in animal

mitochondria. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21, 2319 2325.https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msh244

Posada, D., Crandall, K.A., 2001. Evaluation of methods for detecting recombination from DNA

sequences: Computer simulations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 98, 13757–13762.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.241370698

Raitsos, D.E., Pradhan, Y., Brewin, R.J.W., Stenchikov, G., Hoteit, I., 2013. Remote Sensing the

Phytoplankton Seasonal Succession of the Red Sea. PLoS One 8, e64909.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0064909

Rambaut, A., Drummond, A.J., 2009. Tracer v 1.5. http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer.

Richards, Z.T., Hobbs, J.P.A., 2015. Hybridisation on coral reefs and the conservation of evolutionary

novelty. Curr. Zool. 61, 132–145. https://doi.org/10.1093/czoolo/61.1.132

Righton, D., Kemp, J., Ormond, R., 1996. Biogeography community structure and diversity of Red Sea

and western Indian Ocean butterflyfishes. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. United Kingdom 76, 223–228.

Riginos, C., Cunningham, C.W., 2004. Invited Review: Local adaptation and species segregation in two

mussel (*Mytilus edulis* × *Mytilus trossulus*) hybrid zones. Mol. Ecol. 14, 381–400.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2004.02379.x

Roberts, M.B., Jones, G.P., McCormick, M.I., Munday, P.L., Neale, S., Thorrold, S., Robitzch, V.S.N.,

Berumen, M.L., 2016. Homogeneity of coral reef communities across 8 degrees of latitude in the

Saudi Arabian Red Sea. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 105, 558–565.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.11.024

Rohling, E.J., Grant, K., Hemleben, C., Siddall, M., Hoogakker, B.A.A., Bolshaw, M., Kucera, M.,

2008. High rates of sea-level rise during the last interglacial period. Nat. Geosci. 1, 38–42.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo.2007.28

Rohling, E.J., Grant, K.M., Roberts, A.P., Larrasoaña, J.-C., 2013. Paleoclimate Variability in the

Mediterranean and Red Sea Regions during the Last 500,000 Years. Curr. Anthropol. 54, S183–

S201. https://doi.org/10.1086/673882

Rokas, A., Ladoukakis, E., Zouros, E., 2003. Animal mitochondrial DNA recombination revisited.

Trends Ecol. Evol. 18, 411–417. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(03)00125-3

Saenz-Agudelo, P., Dibattista, J.D., Piatek, M.J., Gaither, M.R., Harrison, H.B., Nanninga, G.B.,

Berumen, M.L., 2015. Seascape genetics along environmental gradients in the Arabian Peninsula:

insights from ddRAD sequencing of anemonefishes. Mol. Ecol. 24, 6241–6255.

https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13471

Salvi, D., Pinho, C., Harris, D.J., 2017. Digging up the roots of an insular hotspot of genetic diversity:

Decoupled mito-nuclear histories in the evolution of the Corsican-Sardinian endemic lizard

Podarcis tiliguerta. BMC Evol. Biol. 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-017-0899-x

Sawall, Y., Al-Sofyani, A., Banguera-Hinestroza, E., Voolstra, C.R., 2014. Spatio-Temporal Analyses

of Symbiodinium Physiology of the Coral *Pocillopora verrucosa* along Large-Scale Nutrient and

Temperature Gradients in the Red Sea. PLoS One 9, e103179.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103179

Sawall, Y., Al-Sofyani, A., Hohn, S., Banguera-Hinestroza, E., Voolstra, C.R., Wahl, M., 2015.

Extensive phenotypic plasticity of a Red Sea coral over a strong latitudinal temperature gradient

suggests limited acclimatization potential to warming. Sci. Rep. 5, 8940.

https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08940

Scheer, G., Pillai, C.S.G., 1983. Report on the stony corals from the Red Sea. Zoologica 131, 1–198.

Seutin, G., White, B.N., Boag, P.., 1991. Preservation of avian blood and tissue samples for DNA

analyses. Can. J. Zool. 69, 89–90.

Shearer, T.L., Oppen, M.J.H. Van, Romano, S.L., G. Worheire, 2002. Slow mitochondria DNA

sequence evolution in the Anthozoa. Mol. Ecol. 11, 2475–2487.

Siddall, M., Rohling, E.J., Almogi-Labin, A., Hemleben, C., Meischner, D., Schmelzer, I., Smeed, D. a,

2003. Sea-level fluctuations during the last glacial cycle. Nature 423, 853–858.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01690

Siddall, M., Smeed, D.A., Hemleben, C., Rohling, E.J., Schmelzer, I., Peltier, W.R., 2004.

Understanding the Red Sea response to sea level. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 225, 421–434.

https://doi.org/DOI 10.1016/j.epsl.2004.06.008

Smith, D.J., Suggett, D.J., Baker, N.R., 2005. Is photoinhibition of zooxanthellae photosynthesis the primary cause of thermal bleaching in corals? Glob. Chang. Biol. 11, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2003.00895.x

Smith, J., 1992. Analyzing the mosaic structure of genes. J. Mol. Evol. 34. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00182389

Stefani, F., Benzoni, F., Yang, S.-Y., Pichon, M., Galli, P., Chen, C.A., 2011. Comparison of morphological and genetic analyses reveals cryptic divergence and morphological plasticity in *Stylophora* (Cnidaria, Scleractinia). Coral Reefs 30, 1033–1049. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-011-0797-4

Stephens, M., Donnelly, P., 2003. A Comparison of Bayesian Methods for Haplotype Reconstruction from Population Genotype Data. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 73, 1162–1169. https://doi.org/10.1086/379378

Taviani, M., 1998. Post-Miocene reef faunas of the Red Sea: glacio-eustatic controls, in: Sedimentation and Tectonics in Rift Basins Red Sea:- Gulf of Aden. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, pp. 574–582. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-4930-3_30

Taylor, S.A., Larson, E.L., Harrison, R.G., 2015. Hybrid zones: windows on climate change. Trends Ecol. Evol. 30, 398–406. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2015.04.010

Toews, David P.L. Brelsford, A., 2012. The biogeography of mitochondrial and nuclear discordance in animals. Mol. Ecol. 21, 3907–3930. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05664.x

Tsaousis, A.D., Martin, D.P., Ladoukakis, E.D., Posada, D., Zouros, E., 2005. Widespread recombination in published animal mtDNA sequences. Mol. Biol. Evol. 22, 925–933. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msi084

Ujvari, B., Dowton, M., Madsen, T., 2007. Mitochondrial DNA recombination in a free-ranging Australian lizard. Biol. Lett. 3, 189–192. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2006.0587

Van der Lee, R., Buljan, M., Lang, B., Weatheritt, R.J., Daughdrill, G.W., Dunker, A.K., Fuxreiter, M., Gough, J., Gsponer, J., Jones, D.T., Kim, P.M., Kriwacki, R.W., Oldfield, C.J., Pappu, R. V., Tompa, P., Uversky, V.N., Wright, P.E., Babu, M.M., 2014. Classification of Intrinsically Disordered Regions and Proteins. Chem. Rev. 114, 6589–6631. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr400525m

Van Oppen, M.J.H., McDonald, B.J., Willis, B., Miller, D.J., 2001. The evolutionary history of the coral genus Acropora (Scleractinia, Cnidaria) based on a mitochondrial and a nuclear marker: reticulation, incomplete lineage sorting, or morphological convergence? Mol. Biol. Evol. 18, 1315–

1033   1329. https://doi.org/11420370

Veron, J.E.., 1995. J. E. N. Veron, 1995. Corals in space and time: the biogeography and evolution of
       the Scleractinia. Cornell Univ. Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016756800008050

Veron, J.E.. E.N., 2002. New Species Described in Corals of the World. Science (80-.). 11, 205.

Vollmer, S. V., Palumbi, S.R., 2002. Hybridization and the evolution of reef coral diversity. Science
       (80-.). 296, 2023–2025. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1069524

White, D.J., Wolff, J.N., Pierson, M., Gemmell, N.J., 2008. Revealing the hidden complexities of
       mtDNA inheritance. Mol. Ecol. 17, 4925–42. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03982.x

Willis, B.L., van Oppen, M.J.H., Miller, D.J., Vollmer, S. V., Ayre, D.J., 2006. The Role of
       Hybridization in the Evolution of Reef Corals. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 37, 489–517.
       https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.37.091305.110136

Wilton, P.R., Zaidi, A., Makova, K., Nielsen, R., 2018. A Population Phylogenetic View of
       Mitochondrial Heteroplasmy. Genetics 208, 1261–1274.
       https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.300711

Wirth, C., Brandt, U., Hunte, C., Zickermann, V., 2016. Structure and function of mitochondrial
       complex I. Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Bioenerg. 1857, 902–914.
       https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2016.02.013

Wolff, J.N., Pichaud, N., Camus, M.F., Côté, G., Blier, P.U., Dowling, D.K., 2016. Evolutionary
       implications of mitochondrial genetic variation: mitochondrial genetic effects on OXPHOS
       respiration and mitochondrial quantity change with age and sex in fruit flies. J. Evol. Biol. 29, 736–
       747. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12822

Yao, H., Song, J., Liu, C., Luo, K., Han, J., Li, Y., Pang, X., Xu, H., Zhu, Y., Xiao, P., Chen, S., 2010.
       Use of ITS2 Region as the Universal DNA Barcode for Plants and Animals. PLoS One 5, e13102.
       https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0013102

Figure 1.

Sampling sites and *Stylophora* morphotypes collected in this study. Red Lines are indicating the barriers in oceanographic provinces described by Raitsos et al., (2013). Yellow points are sampling localities visited during this study. Reefs sampled in each location are included in parenthesis. Red points are sequences from other studies. The map was modified from the original downloaded from https://www7320.nrlssc.navy.mil/global_ncom/glb8_3b/html/Links/red/temp_glb8_3b_2012060700_0000m.gif

Figure 2.

Mitochondrial phylogenies of the genus *Stylophora*. a). Bayesian tree of the *atp6*-mt*ORF* locus. b). Bayesian tree for the CR gene and c). Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree for the 12S gene. Node support are given in Bayesian Probabilities (BPP) for the mt*ORF*, BPP/ML for the mtCR and ML/NJ for the 12S. Colors are as follow: Red: Haplotypes from Arabian Gulf, Red Sea and Gulf of Aden placed in Clade 1 (*RS_LinA*). Yellow: haplotypes from Madagascar. Green: haplotypes from Indo-Pacific (Philippines, Okinawa, New Caledonia) and Blue: Red Sea and Gulf of Aden haplotypes placed in Clade 2 (*RS_LinB*). Haplotypes names are given in agreement to the region where the haplotype was found in higher frequency (MAQ=MAQNA, WAJ=ALWAJH, YAN=YANBU, KAUST = KAUST, JEDDAH=JED, DOG = DOGA, FARASAN = FAR).

Figure 3.

Mitochondrial phylogenies of the family Pocilloporidae and recombination analyses. a) Position of the *RS_LinA* and *RS_LinB* in a family phylogeny of the full *atp6* (above) and *nd6* genes (below). b). ML phylogenies from the recombination hypotheses: phylogeny derived from the recombinant region –minor parent–, including the *nd6*, *atp6*, and *mtORF* genes; 2415bp (above) and phylogeny from mtDNA genes outside the recombinant region –from the major parent – 9555bp (below).

Figure 4.

Extended *atp6*-mt*ORF* phylogenies for a). *RS_LinA* and b). *RS_LinB.* Morphotypes and *Symbiodinium* types associated with each lineage are shown.

Figure 5.

Network trees. a). *atp6*-mt*ORF* b). Control Region (CR) c). *hsp70.* Mutations are indicated as vertical lines.

Northern Red Sea
Central Red Sea
Southern Red Sea + Gulf of Aden
Madagascar
Pacific

Supplementary Figure *S1.*

Nuclear phylogenies of the genus *Stylophora*. a). ITS1 b). ITS2 c). *hsp70* d). *PMCA*. Colors and haplotypes names are in agreement with those in the *atp6*-mt*ORF* phylogenies (see legend in Figure 2). In the *hsp70* phylogeny, the number of specimens found per haplotype in each lineage are placed within parentheses (*RS_LinA, RS_linB).*

Supplementary Figure *S2a*.

Clinal variation of the most common haplotypes for the *atp6*-mt*ORF* locus along the gradient as per mtDNA lineages (axis x=relative frequencies)

Supplementary Figure *S2b*.

Clinal variation of the most common haplotypes of the CR fragment along the gradient as per mtDNA lineages (axis x=relative frequencies)

Clinal variation of the most common haplotypes of the *hsp70* along the gradient (axis x=relative frequencies) (above). Distribution of the same haplotypes in agreement with mtDNA lineages (below).

Supplementary Figure *S3*.

Recombination analyses. Identification of recombinant sequences and estimation of break points by different recombination methods. a). CHIMAERA b). MAXCHI and c) BURT.