**Genetic selection for small molecule production in competitive microfluidic**

**droplets**

Larry J. Millet[1,2], Jessica M. Velez[1,2], Joshua K. Michener[1, *]

(1) Biosciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 37830; (2) The Joint

Research Activity Unit of The Bredesen Center for Interdisciplinary Research and Graduate

Education, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, 37996.

(*) Correspondence should be addressed to JKM (michenerjk@ornl.gov)

This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC05-

00OR22725 with the U.S. Department of Energy.  The United States Government retains and the

publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States

Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or

reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States

Government purposes.  The Department of Energy will provide public access to these results of

federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan

(http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan).

**Abstract**

Biosensors can be used to screen or select for small molecule production in engineered microbes.

However, mutations to the biosensor that interfere with accurate signal transduction are common,

producing an excess of false positives. Strategies have been developed to avoid this limitation by

physically separating the production pathway and biosensor, but these approaches have only

been applied to screens, not selections. We have developed a novel biosensor-mediated selection

strategy using competition between co-cultured bacteria. When applied to biosynthesis of *cis,cis*-

muconate, we show that this strategy yields a selective advantage to producer strains that

outweighs the costs of production. By encapsulating the competitive co-cultures into

microfluidic droplets, we successfully enriched for muconate-producing strains from a large

population of control non-producers. Facile selections for small molecule production will

increase testing throughput for engineered microbes and allow for the rapid optimization of

novel metabolic pathways.

## Introduction

Engineered microbes can produce a range of valuable bioproducts, providing the basis for a renewable bioeconomy[1]. However, initial strain construction efforts are often inefficient, and the cost and effort required to optimize a proof-of-concept pathway can preclude further development. New strategies for constructing libraries of mutant strains have accelerated the design and build phases of the engineering cycle[2–4], but these efforts are limited by the throughput of methods for identifying improved variants. Demonstrations of new library construction methods frequently use phenotypes that can be linked to microbial growth or to production of an easily-identifiable molecule, such as visibly-pigmented compounds. Using similar approaches to engineer strains that produce higher concentrations of an arbitrary small molecule is challenging.

One option for identifying rare variants from a mutant library is to include a biosensor, such as a metabolite-responsive transcription factor or regulatory RNA, that reports on production of the target small molecule[5,6]. These biosensors can be highly effective, but they also come with several limitations. Most notably, the biosensor is typically expressed from the same cell that is being engineered or evolved. As a result, mutations can occur in the sensor that disrupt the desired regulation, producing false positive signals that require significant additional screening[6]. An intracellular biosensor also reports on the intracellular small molecule concentration. A product that is rapidly exported may not show a differential signal as the production rate changes. Similarly, a product that is rapidly imported may produce crosstalk between neighboring cells. Finally, biosensors can be linked to selectable markers such as antibiotic resistance genes or screenable markers such as fluorescent proteins. Selections typically enable larger library sizes and require less infrastructure, but also amplify any concerns about false

positives during the selection.

Several strategies have been proposed to overcome these challenges using biosensors to

screen mutant libraries. Avoiding false positives requires separating the biosensor from the strain

being engineered in order to limit mutation accumulation in the biosensor. After the two

functions are separated, though, measurements of productivity then require either disruptive

methods to reintroduce the sensor into the producer strains[7] or some form of spatial structure to

prevent crosstalk between members of the library. Initial efforts often used colonies spread on

petri dishes, which limited the assay throughput[8]. More recent approaches rely on encapsulation

of cells inside microfluidic droplets[9–12]. By physically separating individual cells into distinct

droplets, interactions between cells can be eliminated. However, all of these approaches depend

on quantitative screens, limiting throughput and requiring fluorescence-activated cell sorters or

on-chip droplet sorters to isolate desired droplets. A biosensor-driven selection strategy would

simplify the process of isolating improved variants from a mutant library while expanding the

potential library size.

We have developed a selection strategy that uses competition between bacterial strains within

microfluidic droplets to select for small molecule biosynthesis. Production of the target small

molecule inhibits growth of a sensitive competitor and provides a selective advantage to the

producer (Figure 1A). We show that competitor strains can be rationally engineered to be

inhibited by a target small molecule, that production of the target provides an advantage to the

producer, and that spatial structure is required to prevent interactions between producer cells. By

combining these elements, we enriched true producer strains from a background of faster-

growing non-producers. These high-through selections for small molecule production will enable

rapid optimization of engineered microbes.

## Results and Discussion

**Strain design and construction**

To establish a microbial competition mediated by small molecule production, two different

strains are inoculated into microfluidic droplets. The producer strain synthesizes a target small

molecule, while the competitor strain is inhibited by the synthesized target (Figure 1). We chose

muconate as our target small molecule, with *Pseudomonas putida* as the producer strain and

*Escherichia coli* as the competitor.

**Figure 1:** Competitive microfluidic droplets enable selections for small molecule production.

(A) A simplified population contains a mix of producer strains (blue) that synthesize the desired

small molecule and cheater strains (green) that do not. This population is then combined with the

competitor strain (brown) and encapsulated into microfluidic droplets where the competitor is

inhibited by the target molecule. The mixed cultures are grown to saturation, the emulsion is

broken, and the producer cells increase in frequency due to the fitness benefit of eliminating the

competitor strain. (B) The competitor strain is inhibited by the target molecule (red triangle) due

to regulation of the counterselectable marker *rpsL* by the biosensor. In the presence of the target

molecule, production of RpsL sensitizes the competitor strain to streptomycin. (C) In a droplet

containing a cheater, the competitor strain outcompetes the cheater and limits the yield.

Conversely, in a droplet containing a producer, production of the target molecule blocks

competitor growth and increases the yield of the producer strain.

The selection system consists of two strains, one engineered to produce muconate and the

other engineered to be inhibited by muconate. To build a suitable muconate-producing strain, we

started with *P. putida* CJ102, which was been previously engineered to synthesize muconate

from lignin-derived aromatic compounds[13]. The strain was further modified by deletion of the

adhesin *lapA*, to prevent biofilm formation[14]; deletion of a component of the type 6 secretion

system *tssA1*, to eliminate an alternative competitive factor[15]; deletion of the glucose

dehydrogenase *gcd*, prevent byproduct accumulation[16]; and introduction of a streptomycin-

resistance marker[17]. The resulting strain, JMP15, was then further modified by deletion of the

phenylpropanoid-CoA synthetase *fcs*[18] to produce a control strain, JMP26, that is incapable of

converting phenylpropanoids into muconate (Supplementary Figure 1A).

For the muconate-inhibited strain, we introduced a counterselection module into a

streptomycin-resistant strain of *E. coli*. The counterselection module consists of a constitutive

promoter driving expression of an operon containing a muconate transporter and a muconate-

responsive transcription factor, combined with a regulated promoter driving expression of a

counterselectable marker (Supplementary Figure 1B). We selected the *mucK* muconate

transporter from *Pseudomonas putida* and the muconate-responsive transcription factor *benM*

from *Acinetobacter baylyi*[19], and then expressed a streptomycin-sensitive allele of *rpsL* from the

BenM promoter. The counterselection module was synthesized *de novo* and cloned into pET-9a.

When the resulting plasmid was transformed into streptomycin-resistant *E. coli* REL606,

addition of muconate inhibited growth in a dose-dependent fashion, with growth completely

blocked at 10 mg/L muconate (Figure 2).

**Figure 2**: A muconate-responsive counterselection module demonstrates dose-dependent growth

inhibition. Streptomycin-resistant REL606 containing plasmid pJM242 was grown in minimal

medium containing ampicillin, streptomycin, and the indicated concentration of muconate. Three

biological replicates are shown.

**Muconate production is costly but provides a competitive advantage against sensitive *E.***

***coli***

The engineered producer strain, JMP15, can convert coumarate to muconate in a series of

reactions that conserve little usable energy. Consequently, the strain grows more slowly in

minimal media containing glucose when coumarate is also added (Figure 3A). The control strain,

JMP26, does not show a growth difference.

We then grew the producer and control strains of *P. putida* in co-culture with the muconate-

sensitive *E. coli*. After growth, the *P. putida* concentration was measured by plating on selective

agar. When exogenous muconate was added, both *P. putida* strains showed large increases in

yield (Figure 3B). After addition of coumarate, the muconate-producing strain JMP15 showed a

small but significant fold increase in yield of 1.9±0.2 while the yield of the control strain was

unchanged. When grown in competition with a muconate-sensitive *E. coli,* muconate production

is costly to the cell, but the benefits of inhibiting *E. coli* growth offset the costs.

**Figure 3:** The benefits of muconate production outweigh the costs. (A) Addition of coumarate,

which can be converted into muconate, decreases the growth rate of JMP15. Deletion of the gene

encoding the first enzyme in coumarate metabolism, the CoA synthetase *fcs*, eliminates this

effect. (B) When grown in competition with *E. coli* that are sensitive to muconate, the addition of

coumarate provides a selective advantage only for the strain able to convert it into muconate. *: *p*

$< 0.05$, two-tailed t-test. Error bars show one standard deviation, calculated from three biological

replicates.

**Selection of muconate producers from mixed cultures requires spatial structure**

Muconate production provides an advantage when a pure culture of *P. putida* producers is

competed against *E. coli* (Figure 3B). However, when using a mixture of producer and control *P.*

*putida*, the costs are borne by the individual producers but the benefits, in terms of reduced *E.*

*coli* competition, are shared. Therefore, when a mixture of control and producer *P. putida* are

competed against *E. coli* in test tubes, we would expect the control strains to be more fit than the

producers. We tested this hypothesis by growing all three strains together, and then separating

out the *P. putida* and counting the fraction of JMP15 and JMP26 colonies. As predicted, the

population fraction of JMP15 was unchanged, averaging a 1.0-fold enrichment (Figure 4A).

Under these conditions, muconate production still increases the fitness of the *P. putida*, but the

control strains share equally in the benefits.

Successful selection for efficient producers, therefore, requires a method to limit the benefits

of muconate production to the producers. Microfluidic droplets can provide the necessary spatial

structure, by encapsulating each producer cell into a separate droplet. When a similar three-way

competition was conducted in droplets, the JMP15 population ratio increased significantly,

averaging a 6-fold increase from a single culture (Figure 4B and C).

**Figure 4:** Spatial structure enables muconate selection. (A) A mixture of control and producer

strains were competed against muconate-sensitive *E. coli* in test tubes. The fraction of JMP15 in

the population did not change significantly. (B) When the mixed cultures were encapsulated into

microfluidic droplets, a single night of growth enriched for muconate producers. One

representative population ratio is shown for each condition. (C) The fold increase in JMP15

relative to JMP26 was calculated for each competition culture. Each point represents a single

biological replicate, and each set of competitions was repeated on at least two days. White

circles: individual cultures. Black bars: Distribution median.

This selection strategy has several key advantages. By separating the counterselection

module into a separate cell, mutations that prevent proper biosensor function yield false

negatives rather than false positives, by preventing producers from gaining the expected benefits

of muconate production. When selecting for rare phenotypes, false negatives are much more

easily tolerated than false positives. Similarly, the competitor strain can be eliminated and

reintroduced after every round of growth, preventing the accumulation of strains with mutated

biosensors.

This system also streamlines the process of using biosensors in diverse bacteria. The

producer and competitor strains can be entirely different species, individually chosen for specific

purposes. Rather than engineering the muconate biosensor into *P. putida*, we were able to leave

it in the more-tractable *E. coli* host. This muconate-sensitive competitor can then be used with

any muconate producing strain that has compatible culture conditions. The producer strain,

meanwhile, has no remnant of the biosensor that needs to be removed later. We also note that the

biosensor is not strictly necessary, as long as a competitor strain can be identified or engineered

that is inhibited by the product of interest. Finally, the validation of a new biosensor is

simplified. Frequently, biosensors are validated through exogenous addition of small molecules

and then used to sense compounds produced intracellularly. Here, the competitor strain is

intended to respond to exogenous products, so testing and application are performed under

equivalent conditions.

The selective pressures that result from this approach are complex. An increase in either

growth rate or muconate production would be expected to provide a selective advantage. In

general, we expect this complex selective pressure to be a benefit of this approach, since the

parallel selection for growth rate prevents the accumulation of mutations with strong fitness costs

and will yield robust strains. The selective pressure can also be tuned in multiple ways, including

modifying the sensitivity of the biosensor to its target, changing the initial population ratio of

producers and competitors, or engineering the competitor to grow more or less quickly.

While the initial selective advantage for a single round of growth in droplets was modest,

$5.8 \pm 1.5$-fold, this represents a clear selection against nonproductive mutations. Mutant strains

with increased productivity will likely gain an additional selective advantage and produce a

larger fold enrichment.

New methods for strain and library construction have expanded the opportunities for large-

scale biological design. However, testing the resulting strains currently limits throughput. To

address this bottleneck, we have developed a flexible biosensor-driven selection strategy using

bacterial competition in microfluidic droplets. Using this approach, libraries generated through a

variety of methods can be rapidly tested to identify rare variants that improve productivity. By

increasing testing throughput, we can accelerate the development of new production strains and

shorten the time to market.

**Materials and Methods**

**Media and chemicals**

All chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich unless otherwise noted. Strains were routinely propagated in LB or M9 minimal medium containing 2 g/L glucose. Antibiotics were 50 µg/mL kanamycin, 100 µg/mL ampicillin, and 50 µg/mL streptomycin. Stock solutions of muconate and coumarate at 100 mg/mL were made fresh in DMSO and diluted to the appropriate concentrations as needed. Microfluidic droplets used HFE-7500 (3M, St. Paul, MN) as the bulk phase and 008-FluoroSurfactant (RAN Biotechnologies, Beverly, MA) as the surfactant.

**Genetic manipulation**

Strains JMP15 and JMP26 contain multiple mutations relative to the parental strain CJ102. For gene deletions, the appropriate deletion construct, containing approximately 500 bp of homology on both sides of the gene to be deleted, were synthesized *de novo* (IDT, Skokie, IL) and assembled into pK18mobsacB[21] using the HiFi Assembly Master Mix (NEB, Ipswich, MA). The resulting plasmids were transformed into *P. putida* by electroporation, followed by selection on LB + kanamycin and counterselection on YT + 25% sucrose[22]. Gene deletions were verified by colony PCR and Sanger sequencing (Eurofins Genomics, Louisville, KY). To introduce the streptomycin resistance marker, plasmids pUX-BF13 and pBK-miniTn7-gfp3 were cotransformed into the recipient *P. putida* strain by electroporation, followed by selection on LB + kanamycin.

**Growth curves**

Strains for growth curve experiments were grown to saturation overnight at 30 °C in M9 + 2 g/L glucose + ampicillin. The cultures were then diluted 100-fold into fresh medium and grown

in 48-well plates in an Epoch 2 plate reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT) at 30 °C. Growth rates were

calculated using CurveFitter software[23].

**Bulk competition assays**

Strains JMP15, JMP26, and REL606+pJM242 were grown to saturation overnight at 30 °C

in M9 + 2 g/L glucose + ampicillin. The cultures were washed once and then diluted into 5 mL

of fresh M9 + 4 g/L glucose + ampicillin + streptomycin containing the relevant substrate as

indicated. Inoculation densities were OD 0.01 for JMP15 or JMP26, and 0.3 for

REL606+pJM242. For mixed *P. putida* cultures, the inoculation densities for JMP15 and JMP26

were 0.005, and the inoculum cultures were plated on LB + kanamycin as described below to

determine the initial population ratio. Cultures were grown overnight at 30 °C, then diluted and

plated on LB + kanamycin. For pure culture experiments, colonies were counted and adjusted for

the dilution factor. For mixed culture experiments, individual colonies were isolated and

genotyped by colony PCR of the *fcs* locus.

**Droplet competition assays**

Droplet competition assays were performed in a similar fashion as bulk assays. The *P.*

*putida* inoculation densities were decreased to 0.002 for pure cultures or 0.001 for each mixed

culture, to produce an average of one *P. putida* per 10 droplets. The *E. coli* inoculation density

was maintained at 0.15, yielding an average of approximately 6 *E. coli* per droplet. Initial

population ratios were determined by plating dilutions on LB + kanamycin agar.

Droplet encapsulation was performed on a Dolomite µEncapsulator system using a 50 µm

fluorophilic droplet chip, according to the manufacturer's directions. The *P. putida* and *E. coli*

cell suspensions were placed in separate channels of the reservoir immediately before

encapsulation. Flow rates of the driving fluids for *P. putida* and *E. coli* were set to 7 µL/min and

the surfactant-in-oil solution was held constant at 22 µL/min.  Stability of the droplet generation

process was visually monitored to validate each run to completion. A typical run generated

approximately three million droplets with an average diameter of 60 µm. After one culture

reservoir was exhausted, the collection vial was removed, immediately capped, inspected for

quality (no visually distinguishable large droplets observed) and emulsion quantity (~500 µL

total, 200 µL emulsion layer), and incubated at 30 °C overnight.

After overnight growth, the emulsion was broken through the addition of 200 µL of

perfluorooctanol.  The mixture was gently mixed by flicking the tube 5 times, then allowed to

settle for 5 min.  The top aqueous layer (~120 µL) was removed into a new sterile microfuge

tube and serial dilutions ($10^{-1}$ to $10^{-3}$) plated onto LB + kanamycin agar. Following overnight

growth at 30 °C, densities were determined through colony counting, and population ratios by

colony PCR of the *fcs* locus as described above.

**Tables**

| Strain | Genotype | Source |
|--------|----------|--------|
| REL606 | F⁻ *tsx*467(Am) *araA*230 <u>*lon*</u> *rpsL*227(Sm$^R$) *hsdR* | 24 |
| CJ102 | *P. putida* KT2440 Δ*catRBC*::Ptac:*catA* Δ*pcaHG*::Ptac:*aroY* | 25 |
| JMP9 | CJ102 Δ*lapA* | This work |
| JMP10 | CJ102 Δ*lapA* Δ*tssA1* | This work |
| JMP14 | CJ102 Δ*lapA* Δ*tssA1* Δ*gcd* | This work |
| JMP15 | CJ102 Δ*lapA* Δ*tssA1* Δ*gcd* Km$^R$ Sm$^R$ GFP | This work |
| JMP25 | CJ102 Δ*lapA* Δ*tssA1* Δ*gcd* Δ*fcs* | This work |
| JMP26 | CJ102 Δ*lapA* Δ*tssA1* Δ*gcd* Δ*fcs* Km$^R$ Sm$^R$ GFP | This work |

277 Table 1: Strains used in this work.

| Plasmid | Genotype | Source |
|---|---|---|
| pUX-BF13 | $mob^+$ Tn7 transposase Ap$^R$ | [17] |
| pBK-miniTn7-gfp3 | Km$^R$ Cm$^R$ Sm$^R$ Ap$^R$ $mob^+$ $gfp$ | [17] |
| pJV1 | Km$^R$ $sacB$ $mob^+$ $\Delta tssA1$ | This work |
| pJE364 | Km$^R$ $sacB$ $mob^+$ $\Delta lapA$ | Unpublished |
| pJE365 | Km$^R$ $sacB$ $mob^+$ $\Delta gcd$ | Unpublished |
| pJM242 | pBR322 Ap$^R$ apFab61-$mucK$-$benM$ pbenM-$rpsL^*$ | This work |
| pJM384 | Km$^R$ $sacB$ $mob^+$ $\Delta fcs$ | This work |

Table 2: Plasmids used in this work

# Acknowledgements

Gregg Beckham and Chris Johnson graciously provided strain CJ102. Strains pUX-BF13 and pBK-mini-Tn7-gfp3 were provided by Ole Nybroe. Plasmids pJE364 and pJE365 were provided by Joshua Elmore and Adam Guss. Oak Ridge National Laboratory is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC, for the DOE under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725.

# Funding Information

This work was supported by the Laboratory Directed Research and Development program at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

# References

(1)   Department of Energy, U. S. *2016 Billion-Ton Report: Advancing Domestic Resources for a Thriving Bioeconomy, Volume 1: Economic Availability of Feedstocks.*; Langholtz, M. H., Stokes, B. J., Eaton, L. M., Eds.; Oak Ridge, TN, 2016.

(2)   Garst, A. D.; Bassalo, M. C.; Pines, G.; Lynch, S. A.; Halweg-Edwards, A. L.; Liu, R.; Liang, L.; Wang, Z.; Zeitoun, R.; Alexander, W. G.; et al. Genome-Wide Mapping of Mutations at Single-Nucleotide Resolution for Protein, Metabolic and Genome

Engineering. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2016**, *35* (1), 48–55.

(3)  Halperin, S. O.; Tou, C. J.; Wong, E. B.; Modavi, C.; Schaffer, D. V.; Dueber, J. E.

CRISPR-Guided DNA Polymerases Enable Diversification of All Nucleotides in a

Tunable Window. *Nature* **2018**, *560* (7717), 248–252.

(4)  Wang, H. H.; Isaacs, F. J.; Carr, P. A.; Sun, Z. Z.; Xu, G.; Forest, C. R.; Church, G. M.

Programming Cells by Multiplex Genome Engineering and Accelerated Evolution. *Nature*

**2009**, *460* (7257), 894–898.

(5)  Tang, S.-Y.; Cirino, P. C. Design and Application of a Mevalonate-Responsive

Regulatory Protein. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50* (5), 1084–1086.

(6)  Michener, J. K.; Smolke, C. D. High-Throughput Enzyme Evolution in Saccharomyces

Cerevisiae Using a Synthetic RNA Switch. *Metab. Eng.* **2012**, *14* (4), 306–316.

(7)  Esvelt, K. M.; Carlson, J. C.; Liu, D. R. A System for the Continuous Directed Evolution

of Biomolecules. *Nature* **2011**, *472* (7344), 499–503.

(8)  Pfleger, B. F.; Pitera, D. J.; Newman, J. D.; Martin, V. J. J.; Keasling, J. D. Microbial

Sensors for Small Molecules: Development of a Mevalonate Biosensor. *Metab. Eng.*

**2007**, *9* (1), 30–38.

(9)  Agresti, J. J.; Antipov, E.; Abate, A. R.; Ahn, K.; Rowat, A. C.; Baret, J.-C.; Marquez, M.;

Klibanov, A. M.; Griffiths, A. D.; Weitz, D. A. Ultrahigh-Throughput Screening in Drop-

Based Microfluidics for Directed Evolution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2010**, *107* (9),

4004–4009.

(10)  Wang, B. L.; Ghaderi, A.; Zhou, H.; Agresti, J.; Weitz, D. A.; Fink, G. R.;

Stephanopoulos, G. Microfluidic High-Throughput Culturing of Single Cells for Selection

Based on Extracellular Metabolite Production or Consumption. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *32*

(5), 473–478.

(11)   Siedler, S.; Khatri, N. K.; Zsohár, A.; Kjærbølling, I.; Vogt, M.; Hammar, P.; Nielsen, C.

F.; Marienhagen, J.; Sommer, M. O. A. O. A.; Joensson, H. N. Development of a Bacterial

Biosensor for Rapid Screening of Yeast *p* -Coumaric Acid Production. *ACS Synth. Biol.*

**2017**, acssynbio.7b00009.

(12)   Abatemarco, J.; Sarhan, M. F.; Wagner, J. M.; Lin, J.-L.; Liu, L.; Hassouneh, W.; Yuan,

S.-F.; Alper, H. S.; Abate, A. R. RNA-Aptamers-in-Droplets (RAPID) High-Throughput

Screening for Secretory Phenotypes. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8* (1), 332.

(13)   Vardon, D. R.; Rorrer, N. A.; Salvachúa, D.; Settle, A. E.; Johnson, C. W.; Menart, M. J.;

Cleveland, N. S.; Ciesielski, P. N.; Steirer, K. X.; Dorgan, J. R.; et al. Cis,Cis-Muconic

Acid: Separation and Catalysis to Bio-Adipic Acid for Nylon-6,6 Polymerization. *Green*

*Chem.* **2016**, *18* (11), 3397–3413.

(14)   Espinosa-Urgel, M.; Salido, A.; Ramos, J. L. Genetic Analysis of Functions Involved in

Adhesion of Pseudomonas Putida to Seeds. *J. Bacteriol.* **2000**, *182* (9), 2363–2369.

(15)   Bernal, P.; Allsopp, L. P.; Filloux, A.; Llamas, M. A. The Pseudomonas Putida T6SS Is a

Plant Warden against Phytopathogens. *ISME J.* **2017**, *11* (4), 972–987.

(16)   del Castillo, T.; Ramos, J. L.; Rodríguez-Herva, J. J.; Fuhrer, T.; Sauer, U.; Duque, E.

Convergent Peripheral Pathways Catalyze Initial Glucose Catabolism in Pseudomonas

Putida: Genomic and Flux Analysis. *J. Bacteriol.* **2007**, *189* (14), 5142–5152.

(17)   Koch, B.; Jensen, L. E.; Nybroe, O. A Panel of Tn7-Based Vectors for Insertion of the

Gfp Marker Gene or for Delivery of Cloned DNA into Gram-Negative Bacteria at a

Neutral Chromosomal Site. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **2001**, *45* (3), 187–195.

(18)   Nelson, K. E.; Weinel, C.; Paulsen, I. T.; Dodson, R. J.; Hilbert, H.; Martins dos Santos,

V. A. P.; Fouts, D. E.; Gill, S. R.; Pop, M.; Holmes, M.; et al. Complete Genome

Sequence and Comparative Analysis of the Metabolically Versatile Pseudomonas Putida

KT2440. *Environ. Microbiol.* **2002**, *4* (12), 799–808.

(19)  Rogers, J. K.; Church, G. M. Genetically Encoded Sensors Enable Real-Time Observation

of Metabolite Production. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2016**, *113* (9), 2388–2393.

(20)  Bachmann, H.; Fischlechner, M.; Rabbers, I.; Barfa, N.; Branco dos Santos, F.; Molenaar,

D.; Teusink, B. Availability of Public Goods Shapes the Evolution of Competing

Metabolic Strategies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2013**, *110* (35), 14302–14307.

(21)  Schäfer, A.; Tauch, A.; Jäger, W.; Kalinowski, J.; Thierbach, G.; Pühler, A. Small

Mobilizable Multi-Purpose Cloning Vectors Derived from the Escherichia Coli Plasmids

PK18 and PK19: Selection of Defined Deletions in the Chromosome of Corynebacterium

Glutamicum. *Gene* **1994**, *145* (1), 69–73.

(22)  Johnson, C. W.; Beckham, G. T. Aromatic Catabolic Pathway Selection for Optimal

Production of Pyruvate and Lactate from Lignin. *Metab. Eng.* **2015**, *28*, 240–247.

(23)  Delaney, N. F.; Kaczmarek, M. E.; Ward, L. M.; Swanson, P. K.; Lee, M.-C.; Marx, C. J.

Development of an Optimized Medium, Strain and High-Throughput Culturing Methods

for Methylobacterium Extorquens. *PLoS One* **2013**, *8* (4), e62957.

(24)  Sniegowski, P. D.; Gerrish, P. J.; Lenski, R. E. Evolution of High Mutation Rates in

Experimental Populations of E. Coli. *Nature* **1997**, *387* (6634), 703–705.

(25)  Johnson, C. W.; Salvachúa, D.; Khanna, P.; Smith, H.; Peterson, D. J.; Beckham, G. T.

Enhancing Muconic Acid Production from Glucose and Lignin-Derived Aromatic

Compounds via Increased Protocatechuate Decarboxylase Activity. *Metab. Eng. Commun.*

**2016**, *3*, 111–119.