

Title: Reproduction and longevity A Mendelian randomization study of gonadotropin-releasing hormone and ischemic heart disease

C M Schooling, PhD^{1,2}, Jack C M Ng, MPH¹

¹School of Public Health, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China

²Graduate School of Public Health and Health Policy, The City University of New York, New York, USA

Emails

C M Schooling: maryschooling@sph.cuny.edu; cms1@hku.hk

Jack Ng: jackcmng@connect.hku.hk

Corresponding author

C M Schooling, PhD

55 West 125th St, New York, NY 10027, USA

Phone: 646 364-9519

Fax: 212 396 7644

Word count: 1582

Abstract: 210

Figures: 1

Tables: 1

Background: According to well-established evolutionary biology theory reproduction trades-off against longevity, implying that upregulating the reproductive axis might drive major diseases. We assessed whether the central driver of reproduction gonadotropin-releasing hormone 1 (GnRH1) had a causal effect on the leading cause of global morbidity and mortality, i.e. ischemic heart disease (IHD). As a contrast we similarly examined the role of GnRH2 because it is more a driver of female sexual behavior.

Methods: We applied strong (p -value $< 5 \times 10^{-6}$) and independent genetic predictors of GnRH1 and GnRH2 to an extensively genotyped IHD case ($n=76,014$) - control ($n=264,785$) study using multiplicative random effects inverse variance weighted (IVW), weighted median, MR-Egger and MR-PRESSO estimates.

Results: GnRH1, predicted by 11 genetic variants, was positively associated with IHD (IVW odds ratio (OR) 1.04 per effect size, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.01 to 1.08), but GnRH2, predicted by 15 genetic variants, was not (IVW OR 0.98, 95% CI 0.95 to 1.02).

Conclusions: GnRH1 is a potential IHD genetic target. Apart from demonstrating a central tenet of evolutionary biology in humans, our study suggests that existing treatments and environmental factors targeting GnRH1, its drivers or consequences could be re-purposed to prevent and treat IHD. Given, the importance of reproduction to the human species, many such exposures likely exist.

Keywords: GnRH, IHD, Mendelian randomization

Abbreviations:

CVD: cardiovascular disease

GWAS: genome-wide association study

GnRH: Gonadotropin-releasing hormone

IHD: ischemic heart disease

SNP: single nucleotide polymorphism

Background

Despite substantial progress in prevention and control, cardiovascular disease (CVD) remains incompletely understood.¹ CVD reduction is vital to achieving the Sustainable Development Goals.² To address this gap, CVD is being re-conceptualized within the well-established evolutionary biology theory that growth and reproduction trade-off against longevity.^{3 4} Dietary restriction mimetics are being investigated in CVD.⁵ Genetic selection in favour of both fertility and ischemic heart disease (IHD) has been demonstrated.⁶ Reproduction is driven centrally by gonadotropin-releasing hormone 1 (GnRH1)⁷ likely driven by environmental factors to ensure optimal timing of reproduction. We used Mendelian randomization to estimate the causal effect of GnRH1 on IHD. Mendelian randomization uses genetic proxies of exposure. Genetic make-up is determined randomly at conception giving randomization akin to that in a randomized controlled trial,⁸ and thereby unconfounded estimates. We also considered GnRH2, because it is more a driver of female sexual behavior than reproduction,^{7 9 10} so GnRH1 would be expected to have more of an effect on IHD.

Methods

Gonadotropin-releasing hormone 1 and 2

Genetic associations with GnRH1 and GnRH2 protein were obtained from a genome-wide association study (GWAS) (n=3,301; mean age, 43.7 years; 1,615 women [49%]) of people of European descent.¹¹ Genetic associations with rank inverse normalized residuals were obtained from linear regression of natural log-transformed protein abundances adjusted for age and sex, duration between blood draw and processing and the first three principal components of ancestry from multidimensional scaling to account for population stratification.¹¹

We used all single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) which independently ($r^2 < 0.05$) and strongly (p -value $< 5 \times 10^{-6}$) predicted GnRH1 or GnRH2. We used the “clump_data” function of MRBase¹² to select between SNPs in linkage disequilibrium. We assessed the strength of genetic instruments from the F-statistic using an approximation.¹³ We discarded SNPs associated with potential confounders, including current smoking, age at completion of full-time education, Townsend index, alcohol intake frequency and number of days per week walked for 10+ minutes, at Bonferroni corrected significance in the UK Biobank GWAS summary statistics

(https://github.com/Nealelab/UK_Biobank_GWAS) of $\leq 361,194$ people of White British ancestry.

To assess whether the SNPs might affect IHD other than via GnRH we searched two curated genotype to phenotype databases, Ensembl release 94 (<http://useast.ensembl.org/index.html>) and PhenoScanner,¹⁴ for paths by which the SNPs predicting GnRH1 or GnRH2 might affect IHD other than via GnRH. We searched PhenoScanner for diseases and traits associated with the SNPs (or their correlates $r^2 > 0.8$) at $p\text{-value} < 1 \times 10^{-5}$.

Ischemic heart disease

Genetic associations with IHD were obtained from the largest publicly available extensively genotyped IHD case (n=up to 76,014)-control (n=up to 264,785) study based on a meta-analysis of the CARDIoGRAMplusC4D 1000 Genomes case (n=60,801)-control (n=123,504) study/ MlGen/CARDIoGRAM Exome chip study, the UK Biobank SOFT CAD study (cases=10,801, controls=137,371), and two case (n=4120)-control (n=3910) studies from Germany and Greece.¹⁵ CARDIoGRAMplusC4D 1000 Genomes participants are largely of European descent (77%) with detailed phenotyping based on medical records, clinical diagnosis, procedures that indicate IHD, such as revascularization, and/or angiographic evidence of stenosis, and sometimes case status ascertained from medications or symptoms that indicate angina or from self-report.¹⁶ In the UK Biobank SOFT CAD GWAS the case phenotype included fatal or nonfatal myocardial infarction, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty or coronary artery bypass grafting, chronic IHD and angina. Controls were defined as individuals who were not a case after exclusions.¹⁵

Statistical analysis

SNP-specific Wald estimates were combined using inverse variance weighting (IVW) with multiplicative random effects. Wald estimates were calculated as the SNP on IHD estimate divided by the SNP on GnRH1 or GnRH2 estimate. As sensitivity analysis we used a weighted median, which may provide correct estimates if the SNPs are invalid instruments for <50% of the weight, MR-Egger, which is robust to pleiotropy assuming the instrument strength is independent of the direct effect,¹⁷ and Mendelian randomization pleiotropy residual sum and outlier (MR-PRESSO), which detects potentially pleiotropic SNPs from the residual sum of squares and removes statistically significant outliers.¹⁸ Finally, we repeated the analysis using SNPs predicting GnRH1 or GnRH2 at $p\text{-value} < 5 \times 10^{-8}$. We replaced palindromic SNPs by proxies. We used the R packages “MendelianRandomization” and “MRPRESSO” to obtain estimates. We used R (version 3.5.1, The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) for our analysis.

Ethics

We used publicly available summary data with no direct involvement of participants in the study. No ethical approval is required.

Results

Of the 225 SNPs predicting GnRH1 at 5×10^{-6} one of the 12 independent SNPs (rs145898851) was not available for IHD and had no proxy with $r^2 \geq 0.5$, giving 11 SNPs, of which two were replaced by proxies (rs28529564 by rs114767131 ($r^2=0.59$) and rs11039086 by rs57323109 ($r^2=0.95$)). Of the 123 SNPs predicting GnRH2 one invalid SNP (chromosome position, 20:2975929) was discarded. Of the 15 independent SNPs, three were replaced by proxies (rs34246308 by rs1941401 ($r^2=0.98$), rs79590491 by rs79238287 ($r^2=0.84$), and rs6999411 by rs3019086 ($r^2=0.50$)). The F-statistic for GnRH1 was 33 and for GnRH2 was 24. None of the SNPs were associated with potential confounders in the UK Biobank.

GnRH1 was positively associated with IHD using IVW with little evidence of pleiotropy (Figure 1a). The weighted median, MR-Egger and MR-PRESSO gave similar estimates (Table 1), again with little evidence of pleiotropy. Some genetic predictors of GnRH1 were associated with potential consequences of GnRH1 and causes of IHD (rs141012986 with height and atrial fibrillation, rs2731674 with height, coagulation factors, metabolites, interleukins, lung function, body composition, and rs4241818 with thrombosis and metabolites). GnRH2 was not associated with IHD using IVW with little evidence of pleiotropy (Figure 1b). The weighted median, MR-Egger and MR-PRESSO gave similar estimates with little evidence of pleiotropy (Table 1). Rs34439826 predicting GnRH2 was associated with height and forced vital capacity, while rs79238287 was associated with estrogen treatment.

At genome-wide significance, 2 SNPs (rs4241818 and rs2731674) independently predicted GnRH1 and 1 SNP (rs3787480) predicted GnRH2. Based on these two SNPs GnRH1 was positively associated with IHD (IVW with fixed effects odds ratio, 1.08; 95% confidence interval, 1.02 to 1.14). Based on rs3787480 GnRH2 was not associated with IHD (Figure 1b).

Discussion

Consistent with theoretical predictions from evolutionary biology and empirical evidence,⁶ this study showed GnRH1, but not GnRH2, positively associated with IHD.

This first study assessing the role of GnRH in IHD makes cost-effective use of publicly available GWAS, nevertheless several considerations bear mention. First, although the F-statistics were >10 , weak instrument bias is possible, which likely biases towards the null. Second, confounding by population stratification is possible; however both samples mainly concern people of European descent with genomic control,^{11 15} and the SNPs were not associated with potential confounders. Third, some SNPs predicting GnRH1 were associated with factors relevant to cardiovascular disease, however GnRH1 is the master of reproduction^{7 9 10} suggesting vertical rather than horizontal pleiotropy. Fourth, Mendelian Randomization gives unconfounded estimates, but is open to the selection bias that may occur in observational studies of older adults,¹⁹ because of missing prior deaths from the same underlying cohort. However, IHD occurs at relatively young ages and generally precedes other types of cardiovascular disease, making it less open to such bias. Fifth, GnRH1 and GnRH2 were not measured in the sample with the outcome, however genetic predictors of proteins should not vary by sample. Sixth, effects of genetic variants on IHD could vary by age or sex, but sufficiently large unbiased sex-specific samples are not available to test this possibility. Seventh, we assumed linear associations. Eighth, canalization could generate a bias, however the reproductive axis is most active before birth, during the mini-puberty of infancy and from puberty. Ninth, reproduction trades off against other drivers of longevity, such as immunity,²⁰ and so might be expected to promote infections and protect against auto-immune conditions. However, these predications are difficult to test, because currently sufficiently large densely genotyped GWAS of relevant events are not available.

Physiologically GnRH1 drives reproduction,^{7 9 10} including sex hormones. In randomized controlled trials (conjugated equine) estrogen did not protect against CVD in men or women.²¹ A randomized controlled trial assessing the cardiovascular safety of testosterone in women has been completed²² but not published. The first trial designed to assess the effect of testosterone on major adverse cardiac events in men (NCT03518034) has just started. Regulators in North America have recently warned of cardiovascular risk of testosterone administration.^{23 24} Correspondingly, genetic validation of testosterone as a target of intervention in IHD has recently been demonstrated.²⁵ Regulation of the reproductive axis may be more relevant to IHD in men than women because estrogen levels are similar in men and post-menopausal women, but androgen levels are substantially higher in men than women.²⁶ As such, GnRH1 might be more likely to affect IHD via testosterone, and be particularly relevant for men. Higher rates of IHD in men than women are not explained by current risk factors.¹

From a practical perspective this study suggests that drivers and consequences of GnRH1 might be targets of intervention for IHD. Drivers of GnRH1 include energy balance indicated by insulin.²⁷ Insulin increases IHD risk.²⁸ Insulin raises testosterone^{29 30} providing a pathway from GnRH1 to IHD. Other potential drivers of GnRH1 include neurokinins,^{31 32} nitric oxide,³³ aspartate/glutamate,^{34 35} gamma-aminobutyric acid³⁶ and dynorphin.³⁷ Notably, a common feature of several successful treatments for IHD is suppression of the male reproductive axis, including spironolactone, a known anti-androgen, statins,³⁸ digoxin,³⁹ nitric oxide⁴⁰ and some hypertensives.⁴¹

Conclusion

This study confirms in humans the central tenet of evolutionary biology that reproduction trades-off against longevity, by showing that GnRH1, specifically, is positively associated with IHD. This study implies that GnRH1, its drivers and its consequences, are potential targets of intervention for the leading cause of global morbidity and mortality.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors. As such informed consent is not applicable for this study.

Consent for publication: Not applicable

Availability of data and materials: This study is an analysis of publicly available data. The program used are available on request

Competing interests: None of the authors have any conflicts of interest.

Funding: This study was partially funded by The University of Hong Kong Public Health Strategic Research Theme large grant seed funding (no grant number).

Author Contributions: CMS had the idea. JCMN and CMS conducted the analysis independently and cross-checked. CMS drafted the manuscript. JCMN reviewed the manuscript for intellectual content and approved the final version.

Acknowledgements: None.

References

1. Ezzati M, Obermeyer Z, Tzoulaki I, Mayosi BM, Elliott P, Leon DA. Contributions of risk factors and medical care to cardiovascular mortality trends. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2015;**12**:508-30.
2. Nugent R, Bertram MY, Jan S, Niessen LW, Sassi F, Jamison DT, Pier EG, Beaglehole R. Investing in non-communicable disease prevention and management to advance the Sustainable Development Goals. *Lancet* 2018;**391**:2029-35.
3. Schooling CM. Tachykinin neurokinin 3 receptor antagonists: a new treatment for cardiovascular disease? *Lancet* 2017;**390**:709-11.
4. Wells JCK, Nesse RM, Sear R, Johnstone RA, Stearns SC. Evolutionary public health: introducing the concept. *Lancet* 2017;**390**:500-09.
5. Winnik S, Auwerx J, Sinclair DA, Matter CM. Protective effects of sirtuins in cardiovascular diseases: from bench to bedside. *Eur Heart J* 2015;**36**:3404-12.
6. Byars SG, Huang QQ, Gray LA, Bakshi A, Ripatti S, Abraham G, Stearns SC, Inouye M. Genetic loci associated with coronary artery disease harbor evidence of selection and antagonistic pleiotropy. *PLoS Genet* 2017;**13**:e1006328.
7. Desaulniers AT, Cederberg RA, Lents CA, White BR. Expression and Role of Gonadotropin-Releasing Hormone 2 and Its Receptor in Mammals. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 2017;**8**:269.
8. Smith GD, Ebrahim S. 'Mendelian randomization': can genetic epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of disease? *Int J Epidemiol* 2003;**32**:1-22.
9. Urbanski HF. Differential roles of GnRH-I and GnRH-ii neurons in the control of the primate reproductive axis. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 2012;**3**:20.
10. Okubo K, Nagahama Y. Structural and functional evolution of gonadotropin-releasing hormone in vertebrates. *Acta Physiol (Oxf)* 2008;**193**:3-15.
11. Sun BB, Maranville JC, Peters JE, Stacey D, Staley JR, Blackshaw J, Burgess S, Jiang T, Paige E, Surendran P, Oliver-Williams C, Kamat MA, Prins BP, Wilcox SK, Zimmerman ES, et al. Genomic atlas of the human plasma proteome. *Nature* 2018;**558**:73-79.
12. Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, Baird D, Laurin C, Burgess S, Bowden J, Langdon R, Tan VY, Yarmolinsky J, Shihab HA, Timpson NJ, Evans DM, et al. The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human genome. *Elife* 2018;**7**
13. Bowden J, Del Greco MF, Minelli C, Davey Smith G, Sheehan NA, Thompson JR. Assessing the suitability of summary data for two-sample Mendelian randomization analyses using MR-Egger regression: the role of the I² statistic. *Int J Epidemiol* 2016;**45**:1961-74.
14. Staley JR, Blackshaw J, Kamat MA, Ellis S, Surendran P, Sun BB, Paul DS, Freitag D, Burgess S, Danesh J, Young R, Butterworth AS. PhenoScanner: a database of human genotype-phenotype associations. *Bioinformatics* 2016;**32**:3207-09.
15. Nelson CP, Goel A, Butterworth AS, Kanoni S, Webb TR, Marouli E, Zeng L, Ntalla I, Lai FY, Hopewell JC, Giannakopoulou O, Jiang T, Hamby SE, Di Angelantonio E, Assimes TL, et al. Association analyses based on false discovery rate implicate new loci for coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet* 2017;**49**:1385-91.
16. Nikpay M, Goel A, Won HH, Hall LM, Willenborg C, Kanoni S, Saleheen D, Kyriakou T, Nelson CP, Hopewell JC, Webb TR, Zeng L, Dehghan A, Alver M, Armasu SM, et al. A comprehensive 1,000 Genomes-based genome-wide association meta-analysis of coronary artery disease. *Nat Genet* 2015;**47**:1121-30.
17. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-Egger method. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2017;**32**:377-89.
18. Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet* 2018;**50**:693-98.

19. Hernan MA, Alonso A, Logroscino G. Cigarette smoking and dementia: potential selection bias in the elderly. *Epidemiology* 2008;**19**:448-50.
20. Segner H, Verburg-van Kemenade BML, Chadzinska M. The immunomodulatory role of the hypothalamus-pituitary-gonad axis: Proximate mechanism for reproduction-immune trade offs? *Dev Comp Immunol* 2017;**66**:43-60.
21. Petitti D. Commentary: hormone replacement therapy and coronary heart disease: four lessons. *Int J Epidemiol* 2004;**33**:461-3.
22. White WB, Grady D, Giudice LC, Berry SM, Zborowski J, Snabes MC. A cardiovascular safety study of LibiGel (testosterone gel) in postmenopausal women with elevated cardiovascular risk and hypoactive sexual desire disorder. *Am Heart J* 2012;**163**:27-32.
23. Canada H. Information Update - Possible cardiovascular problems associated with testosterone products. <http://healthykanadians.gc.ca/recall-alert-rappel-avis/hc-sc/2014/40587a-eng.php2014> [
24. FDA. Drug Safety Communication: FDA cautions about using testosterone products for low testosterone due to aging; requires labeling change to inform of possible increased risk of heart attack and stroke with use. <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm436259.htm2015> [
25. Schooling CM, Luo S, Au Yeung SL, Thompson DJ, Karthikeyan S, Bolton TR, Mason AM, Ingelsson E, Burgess S. Genetic predictors of testosterone and their associations with cardiovascular disease and risk factors: A Mendelian randomization investigation. *Int J Cardiol* 2018;**267**:171-76.
26. Labrie F, Cusan L, Gomez JL, Martel C, Berube R, Belanger P, Belanger A, Vandeput L, Mellstrom D, Ohlsson C. Comparable amounts of sex steroids are made outside the gonads in men and women: strong lesson for hormone therapy of prostate and breast cancer. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2009;**113**:52-6.
27. Sliwowska JH, Fergani C, Gawalek M, Skowronska B, Fichna P, Lehman MN. Insulin: its role in the central control of reproduction. *Physiol Behav* 2014;**133**:197-206.
28. Tikkanen E, Pirinen M, Sarin AP, Havulinna AS, Mannisto S, Saltevo J, Lokki ML, Sinisalo J, Lundqvist A, Jula A, Salomaa V, Ripatti S. Genetic support for the causal role of insulin in coronary heart disease. *Diabetologia* 2016;**59**:2369-77.
29. Tong G, Hua X, Zhong Y, Zhang K, Gu G, Feng W, Huang H, Wang W, Li L, Sun Y, Zhang T, Hu Y. Intensive insulin therapy increases sex hormone-binding globulin in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetic patients. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2014;**170**:237-45.
30. Long W, Hong-Mei C, Shui-Qing L, Hua-Zhang Y, Jian K, Jian-Hao P. Effects of sulfonylurea as initial treatment on testosterone of middle-aged men with type 2 diabetes: A 16-week, pilot study. *Journal of Diabetes Investigation* 2015;**6**:454-59.
31. Skorupskaite K, George JT, Veldhuis JD, Millar RP, Anderson RA. Neurokinin 3 receptor antagonism decreases gonadotropin and testosterone secretion in healthy men. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2017;**87**:748-56.
32. Skorupskaite K, George JT, Veldhuis JD, Anderson RA. Neurokinin B Regulates Gonadotropin Secretion, Ovarian Follicle Growth, and the Timing of Ovulation in Healthy Women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2018;**103**:95-104.
33. Bellefontaine N, Hanchate NK, Parkash J, Campagne C, de Seranno S, Clasadonte J, d'Anglemont de Tassigny X, Prevot V. Nitric oxide as key mediator of neuron-to-neuron and endothelia-to-glia communication involved in the neuroendocrine control of reproduction. *Neuroendocrinology* 2011;**93**:74-89.
34. Dhandapani KM, Brann DW. The role of glutamate and nitric oxide in the reproductive neuroendocrine system. *Biochem Cell Biol* 2000;**78**:165-79.
35. Downing JA, Joss J, Scaramuzzi RJ. The effects of N-methyl-D,L-aspartic acid and aspartic acid on the plasma concentration of gonadotrophins, GH and prolactin in the ewe. *J Endocrinol* 1996;**149**:65-72.

36. Camille Melon L, Maguire J. GABAergic regulation of the HPA and HPG axes and the impact of stress on reproductive function. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol* 2016;**160**:196-203.
37. Moore AM, Coolen LM, Porter DT, Goodman RL, Lehman MN. KNDy Cells Revisited. *Endocrinology* 2018;**159**:3219-34.
38. Schooling CM, Au Yeung SL, Freeman G, Cowling BJ. The effect of statins on testosterone in men and women, a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *BMC Med* 2013;**11**:57.
39. Stoffer SS, Hynes KM, Jiang NS, Ryan RJ. Digoxin and abnormal serum hormone levels. *Jama* 1973;**225**:1643-4.
40. Rosselli M, Keller PJ, Dubey RK. Role of nitric oxide in the biology, physiology and pathophysiology of reproduction. *Hum Reprod Update* 1998;**4**:3-24.
41. Suzuki H, Tominaga T, Kumagai H, Saruta T. Effects of first-line antihypertensive agents on sexual function and sex hormones. *J Hypertens Suppl* 1988;**6**:S649-51.

Table 1. Mendelian randomization estimates for associations of GnRH1 (based on 11 independent SNPs with p-value $<5 \times 10^{-6}$) and GnRH2 (based on 15 independent SNPs with p-value $<5 \times 10^{-6}$) with IHD, using a study largely based on CARDIoGRAMplusC4D 1000 Genomes and the UK Biobank SOFT CAD.

| Exposure | Mendelian randomization method | Odds ratio | 95% confidence interval | p-value | Cochran's Q statistic (p-value) | MR-Egger | |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | Intercept p-value | I ² |
| GnRH1 effect size | Inverse variance weighted | 1.04 | 1.01, 1.08 | 0.01 | 6.06 (0.81) | - | - |
| | Weighted median | 1.04 | 1.00, 1.09 | 0.08 | - | - | - |
| | MR-Egger | 1.02 | 0.93, 1.13 | 0.61 | 5.92 (0.75) | 0.70 | 75.5% |
| | MR-PRESSO (corrected) | 1.04 | 1.03, 1.05 | 0.01 | | - | - |
| GnRH2 effect size | Inverse variance weighted | 0.98 | 0.95, 1.02 | 0.29 | 13.3 (0.50) | - | - |
| | Weighted median | 0.99 | 0.94, 1.04 | 0.64 | - | - | - |
| | MR-Egger | 0.98 | 0.88, 1.10 | 0.75 | 13.3 (0.43) | 0.99 | 0.0% |
| | MR-PRESSO (corrected) | 0.98 | 0.95, 1.02 | 0.30 | | - | - |

Figure 1. Overall IVW (random effects) and SNP-specific estimates for the association of (a) GnRH1 and (b) GnRH2 with IHD.

