Research article

From root to tips: sporulation evolution and specialization in *Bacillus*

subtilis* and the intestinal pathogen *Clostridioides difficile

Paula Ramos-Silva[1*], Mónica Serrano[2], Adriano O. Henriques[2]

[1]Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, Oeiras, Portugal

[2]Instituto de Tecnologia Química e Biológica, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Oeiras, Portugal

*Corresponding author:

Present address: Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Marine Biodiversity, Leiden, The Netherlands

Phone: +31 717519283

Email: paula.srs@gmail.com

21 **Running title:** Sporulation from root to tips

23 **Keywords:** sporulation, bacterial genome evolution, horizontal gene transfer, taxon-

24 specific genes, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Clostridioides difficile*

Abstract

Bacteria of the Firmicutes phylum are able to enter a developmental pathway that culminates with the formation of a highly resistant, dormant spore. Spores allow environmental persistence, dissemination and for pathogens, are infection vehicles. In both the model *Bacillus subtilis*, an aerobic species, and in the intestinal pathogen *Clostridioides difficile*, an obligate anaerobe, sporulation mobilizes hundreds of genes. Their expression is coordinated between the forespore and the mother cell, the two cells that participate in the process, and is kept in close register with the course of morphogenesis. The evolutionary mechanisms by which sporulation emerged and evolved in these two species, and more broadly across Firmicutes, remain largely unknown. Here, we trace the origin and evolution of sporulation. Using the genes involved in the process in *B. subtilis* and *C. difficile*, and estimating their gain-loss dynamics in a comprehensive bacterial macro-evolutionary framework we show that sporulation evolution was driven by two major gene gain events, the first at the base of the Firmicutes and the second at the base of the *B. subtilis* group and within the Peptostreptococcaceae family, which includes *C. difficile*. We also show that early and late sporulation regulons have been co-evolving and that sporulation genes entail greater innovation in *B. subtilis* with many Bacilli-lineage restricted genes. In contrast, *C. difficile* more often recruits new sporulation genes by horizontal gene transfer, which reflects both its highly mobile genome, the complexity of the gut microbiota and an adjustment of sporulation to this particular ecosystem.

Introduction

Bacterial endospores (spores for simplicity) are among the most resilient cells known to us. Because of their resilience to extremes of several physical and chemical conditions (Setlow 2014), spores can spread and persist in the environment for long periods of time and in a variety of ecosystems (Nicholson et al. 2000), from the human gut (Hong et al. 2009; Browne et al. 2016) to the deep sea (Urios 2004; Dick et al. 2006; Fang et al. 2017). Spores can also have a profound impact on human health (Yano et al. 2015; Browne et al. 2016). Spore-formers can either be symbiotic (Angert and Losick 1998; Flint et al. 2005), pathogenic (Abt et al. 2016) or free-living (Ramos-Silva et al. 2015; Fang et al. 2017). Spores have a core compartment that encloses the DNA delimited by a membrane and surrounded by a thin layer of peptidoglycan that will serve as the cell wall of the new cell that emerges from spore germination. A second layer of modified peptidoglycan essential for dormancy, the cortex, is surrounded by several proteinaceous layers (Henriques and Moran 2007). In *Bacillus subtilis* a multilayered protein coat forms the spore surface (Lai et al. 2003; Kim et al. 2006); in other species, such as *Bacillus anthracis* and *Clostridioides difficile* the spore is further enclosed in a glycosylated exosporium (Redmond 2004; Permpoonpattana et al. 2011; Thompson et al. 2012).

Sporulation usually begins with the formation of a polar septum that leads to an asymmetric cell division resulting in two cells, the forespore (or future spore) and the mother cell, each with an identical copy of the chromosome but expressing different genetic programs. At an intermediate stage, the forespore is engulfed by the mother cell, and becomes isolated from the external medium. At later stages, while gene expression in the forespore prepares this cell for dormancy, the mother cell drives the assembly of the cortex, coat and exosporium, and eventually triggers its own lysis to release the mature spore (Higgins and Dworkin 2012). Entry in sporulation is triggered by the activation of the transcriptional regulator Spo0A (Fujita and Losick 2005). Spo0A is conserved among spore-formers and its presence is

often used as an indicator of sporulation ability (Galperin 2013; Filippidou et al.

2016). The activity of Spo0A is required for the division that takes place at the onset

of sporulation. Following division, gene expression in the forespore and the terminal

mother cell is sequentially activated by cell type-specific RNA polymerase sigma

factors (Losick and Stragier 1992; Stragier and Losick 1996; Kroos and Yu 2000;

Rudner and Losick 2001): prior to engulfment completion, gene expression is

governed by σ^F in the forespore and by σ^E in the mother cell; following engulfment

completion, σ^F is replaced by σ^G and σ^E is replaced by σ^K. These sigma factors are

also conserved among spore-formers (de Hoon et al. 2010; Galperin et al. 2012;

Abecasis et al. 2013). The identification of the genes whose transcription is directly

controlled by σ^F, σ^E, σ^G and σ^K was initially made in *Bacillus subtilis*, an aerobic

organism, representative of the class Bacilli, which is still the best characterized

spore-former (Fawcett et al. 2000; Eichenberger et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2006;

Meisner et al. 2008; Mäder et al. 2012).

Clostridium difficile, later re-classified as *Clostridioides difficile*, (Lawson et al.

2016), is a nosocomial pathogen and the main cause of intestinal diseases linked to

antibiotic therapy worldwide (Bauer et al. 2011; Lessa et al. 2015; Abt et al. 2016).

As an obligate anaerobe, *C. difficile* relies on its sporulation ability to spread

horizontally between hosts via fecal and oral transmission (Lawley et al. 2009; Janoir

et al. 2013). This has motivated a number of studies on the control of sporulation and

C. difficile has emerged in recent years as the anaerobic model for the process,

being currently the best characterized species within the Clostridia (Paredes-Sabja et

al. 2014). Importantly, several studies have been directed at the identification of the

genes under the control of the cell type-specific sigma factors (Fimlaid et al. 2013;

Saujet et al. 2013), and several genes have been characterized, highlighting

conservancy of function of the main regulatory proteins, but also major differences in

the control of sporulation relative to the model *B. subtilis* (Fimlaid et al. 2015; Fimlaid

and Shen 2015; Pishdadian et al. 2015; Serrano et al. 2016).

Based on the extensive knowledge of sporulation in *B. subtilis*, previous

comparative genomics studies have led to the identification of a core (*i.e.* genes

conserved in all spore-formers), a signature (present in all spore-formers but absent

in non-spore-forming lineages) and Bacilli-specific sporulation genes (Onyenwoke et

al. 2004; Galperin et al. 2012; Abecasis et al. 2013) as well as to elucidate how

evolutionary patterns are correlated with gene functional roles (de Hoon et al. 2010).

Here, we have manually compiled the sporulation genes of *B. subtilis* strain

168 together with the most recent set of sporulation genes of *C. difficile* strain 630

whose expression is dependent on the cell type-specific sigma factors σ^F, σ^E, σ^G and

σ^K. Using comparative genomics we show that the σ regulons are highly divergent

between the two species, which share only a small fraction of genes. We then

mapped the presence/absence of both sets of sporulation genes in a solid and

comprehensive bacterial macro-evolutionary framework in order to estimate the

origin and evolution of the sporulation gene families, from an ancient bacterial

ancestor to the contemporary *B. subtilis* and *C. difficile.* Our analyses show common

evolutionary patterns for the two species, with two major gene gain events having

expanded the cell type-specific regulons. Moreover, per each gain event, the cell

type-specific regulons appear to grow in the same size-proportions, suggesting that

sporulation stages have been co-evolving along the bacterial phylogeny, whereas

multiple independent gene losses emerge in some branches of the tree for both

Bacilli and Clostridia lineages. We also show that in the evolution of *B. subtilis*, gene

gains more often involve the emergence of taxonomically restricted genes (TRGs)

than in *C. difficile*, where more sporulation genes have orthologous in other bacteria

and were likely acquired by horizontal gene transfers (HGTs). Still, the two

mechanisms are expected to occur to a greater or lesser extent in both lineages and

are fully demonstrated here for the genes coding the exosporium protein CdeA in *C.*

difficile (Díaz-González et al. 2015) and SpoIIIAF, which is a component of the SpoIIIA-SpoIIQ sporulation-essential secretion system conserved across spore formers (Meisner et al. 2008; Fimlaid et al. 2015; Morlot and Rodrigues 2018). Overall, our analysis supports the hypothesis that sporulation emerged at the base of Firmicutes, 2.5 billion years ago, with a first major gene gain event in response to oxygen appearance. This major evolutionary step was followed by a series of intermediate gains that culminated with a second major gene gain event corresponding to the specialization of this developmental program and its adjustment to particular ecosystems.

Results

A small core and large diversity of sporulation genes between *Bacillus subtilis* and *Clostridioides difficile*

The species *Bacillus subtilis* is by far the best characterized spore-former in terms of sporulation genes and mechanisms (Fawcett et al. 2000; Eichenberger et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2006; Mäder et al. 2012). *Clostridioides difficile* is the second most-studied species and the best characterized within the class Clostridia (Fimlaid et al. 2013; Saujet et al. 2013; Paredes-Sabja et al. 2014; Pishdadian et al. 2015). For this study we have manually compiled 734 sporulation genes for *B. subtilis* strain 168 (Table S1, BSU) and 308 sporulation genes from the *C. difficile* strain 630 (Table S1, CD). Each gene is under the direct control of one or more sporulation-specific sigma factors and thus part of at least one sporulation regulon. The regulons have different sizes (Table 1): σ^E is the largest followed by σ^G and σ^K (of similar sizes) and σ^F. Genes involved in sporulation and whose expression depends on Spo0A have only been thoroughly identified for *B. subtilis* (Fawcett et al. 2000).

Homology mapping between *B. subtilis* and *C. difficile* genomes was carried out using a bi-directional BLASTP approach, followed by selection of sporulation

gene clusters by regulon and presence/absence analysis of orthologous genes in

both species. In Figure 1 we show that only a small fraction of sporulation genes

have orthologous in the two species. Some of these genes are present in the same

regulon (Figure 1, orange, Table S2): 2 genes in σ^F, 29 in σ^E, 11 in σ^G, and 5 in σ^K

while less than 1 % belongs to different regulons (yellow, Figure 1, Table S3). A

larger proportion of genes corresponds to those present in both genomes but only

reported to be involved in sporulation by one species (Table S4). These proportions

vary depending on the regulon, in *B. subtilis* from 10 to 19% and in *C. difficile* from

12 to 35 %. Finally, the majority of sporulation genes have no candidate orthologous

in the two species (Table S5) (> 70% for BSU, > 50% for CD), highlighting the great

genetic diversity of the sporulation process. In order to elucidate the emergence of

this diversity in a larger context of bacterial evolution we extended the analysis of

presence/absence of sporulation genes to 258 bacterial genomes (Figure S1, Table

S6).

<u>Distribution of sporulation genes across bacteria reveals a small core of conserved</u>

<u>genes and lineage-specificity</u>

Presence/absence of sporulation genes was mapped across 258 bacterial

genomes (Figure S1, Table S6) using an optimized orthology mapping approach

(one *vs.* all and all *vs.* one) applied twice: first using *B. subtilis* 168 as reference,

second using *C. difficile* 630 (Figure S2, Figure S3). The results were represented in

super-matrices of presence (1 or more) and absence (0) of genes and used to

calculate the distribution of sporulation genes across bacterial genomes (Figure 2).

Both distributions show that only a small proportion of sporulation genes are

conserved in the bacterial genomes (Figure 2A). A unimodal distribution is observed

for sporulation genes from *B. subtilis* (D = 0.024757, p-value = 0.3179) with a peak

around the 20%, whereas for *C. difficile* sporulation genes the distribution is bimodal

(D = 0.05604, p-value = 7.262e-06) with high densies around 20% and a secondary

high-density peak at 42% (Figure 2B). These results suggest that *B. subtilis* has

more taxonomically restricted sporulation genes than *C. difficile*, whose genes

appear more frequently in the bacterial genomic dataset. To better elucidate the

causes underlying these differences we conducted an evolutionary analysis of

sporulation gene families by posterior probabilities in a phylogenetic birth-death

model.

<u>A bacterial evolutionary backbone</u>

Prior to the evolutionary analysis of sporulation gene families we started by

building a solid and comprehensive bacterial phylogeny based on 70 marker genes

conserved across the genomic dataset (Figure S1). Overall, the resulting tree

topology (Figure 3, Figure S4) is similar to recent macroevolutionary bacterial trees

(Hug et al. 2016; Marin et al. 2017). The phyla Dictyoglomi, Thermotogae, Aquificae,

Synergistetes, and Deinococcus-Thermus form an early diverging clade. The second

earliest split in the tree corresponds to the divergence of the taxon coined as

hydrobacteria (which includes Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Spirochaetes,

Fusobacteria) and terrabacteria (which includes Actinobacteria, Cyanobacteria and

the Firmicutes), estimated to have occurred between 2.83-3.54 billion years ago (Ga)

(Battistuzzi et al. 2004; Battistuzzi and Hedges 2009). Within terrabacteria,

Firmicutes (monoderms, low GC, mostly gram-positive) is an early diverging phylum

currently divided into seven classes: Bacilli, Clostridia, Negativicutes,

Erysipelotrichia, Limnochordia, Thermolitobacteria and Tissierellia (source: NCBI

taxonomy). In our study we included genomes from four of these classes. The major

split is between Bacilli (n=77) and Clostridia (n=95) but other groups elevated to the

class level emerge within Clostridia, namely, the Negativicutes (n= 11) coding for

diderm bacteria with a gram-negative-type cell envelope and Erysipelotrichia (n=1).

Other two spore-formers (Figure S1), *Symbiobacterium thermophilum* and

Thermaerobacter marianensis (gram-positive, GC > 60%) appear as a distinct

lineage from the Firmicutes and have been suggested to be an intermediate phylum

between the high-GC (Actinobacteria) and the low GC (Firmicutes) groups (Ueda et

al. 2004; Han et al. 2010). Here, however, they remain as Firmicutes.

<u>Two major gene gain events drive sporulation evolution in *Bacillus subtilis* and</u>

<u>*Clostridioides difficile*</u>

Super matrices of presence/absence of sporulation genes (0,1, >1) sized

726x258 and 307x258 from references *B. subtilis* 168 and *C. difficile* 630,

respectively, were used in an evolutionary analysis of sporulation genes across the

bacterial phylogeny (Tables S7-S8, Figure 3). We first focused on the gene gain

events, occurred from the root to the terminal branches of *B. subtilis* (Figure 3A) and

C. difficile (Figure 3B). Our approach estimates that throughout evolution of both

species, sporulation genes were acquired in two major evolutionary steps. The first

major gene gain event occurred at the base of the Firmicutes, comprising at least

166 genes (branch 2, Figure 3, Table S9), including those coding for Spo0A and the

four cell type-specific sigma factors, as well as other core sporulation genes,

conserved among members of the phylum (Tables S9-S10). The second major gain

event occurred at terminal branches, at the base of the *B. subtilis* group (Berkeley et

al. 2002) (Figure 3A, +90 genes, branch 7, Figure 3A) as well as within members of

the family Peptostreptococcaceae (Clostridium cluster XI) (Figure 3B, +73 genes,

branch 10), at the branch that predates the split between the genera Clostridioides,

Paeniclostridium, Paraclostridium and Terrisporobacter (Galperin et al. 2016).

Intermediate gains also occurred in the evolutionary path of *B. subtilis* (branches 1, 3

to 6, 8 and 9) and *C. difficile*, in this case involving two additional gain events on

branches 11 (+21 genes) and 12 (+39 genes) (Figure 3).

<u>Extensive gene loss in spore-forming and asporogenous lineages</u>

Besides gene gains, also significant losses have occurred in certain Bacilli

and Clostridia lineages. In Bacilli, extensive gene loss (Figure 3A, >=90, red

triangles) was predicted for the branch ancestral to the asporogenous groups *Listeria*

and Lactobacillales, *Solibacillus* and Staphylococcaceae, *Exiguobacterium* and

Erysipelotrichia. In each of these groups, succeeding gene losses have occurred for

Staphylococcaceae, *Exiguobaterium*, *Listeria* and Lactobacillales. In addition,

multiple gene losses arose independently in other groups, including spore-formers,

for *e.g.*, at the base of Alicyclobacillaceae, of the *Geobacillus* and *Anoxybacillus*

genera and in some other *Bacillus* species (Figure 3A, orange triangles). In

Clostridia, extensive gene losses have occurred independently for *Candidatus*

Arthromitus and at the branch preceding the split of the genus *Filifactor* and

Proteocatella (Figure 3B, red triangles). Other significant losses are predicted for the

branches preceding the lineages Peptococcaceae and Thermoanaerobacterales

groups III and IV, Thermoanaerobacterales, Lachnospiraceae, *Ruminiclostridium* and

Mageeibacillus, and *Ruminococcus* (Figure 3B, yellow triangles).

Significant gains and losses were also predicted for some terminal branches of Bacilli

and Clostridia species/strains (Table S7-S8). However, gain/loss expectations on

terminal branches are highly prone to error because false positives/negatives, or

lower quality genomes, have greater impact on the total estimates. Thus, only events

occurring on internal branches were considered for discussion and presented in

Figure 3.

<u>Co-evolution of early and late sporulation stages</u>

Sporulation genes belong to at least one cell type-specific regulon and thus it

is possible to explore the effect of gene gain events in the size of the regulons as a

function of the evolutionary steps. Here we have focused on the gain events

occurring throughout the evolutionary paths of *B. subtilis* and *C. difficile*, highlighted

in green in Figure 3 (branches numbered from 1 to 12). The general pattern for *B.*

subtilis (Figure 4A) and *C. difficile* (Figure 4B) is that regulons are growing in similar

proportions. Larger increases in the size of the regulons corresponded to the major

gene gain events highlighted in Figure 3: at the base of Firmicutes (branch 2), at the

base of the *B. subtilis* group (branch 7) and within the family Peptostreptococcaceae

(branch 10). In these branches we could also observe slightly larger increases for the

regulons controlling late sporulation stages, σ^G (branch 2 and 10) and σ^K (branch 7)

(Figure 4). Still these differences are small and do not affect the general pattern in

which sporulation regulons seem to be co-evolving with gene gain dynamics until

reaching their current size.

<u>Sporulation genes: a landmark of Firmicutes</u>

Evolutionary analyses of sporulation genes from *B. subtilis* and *C. difficile*

estimate that 28,2% and 23,8% of their machinery was already present at the last

bacterial ancestor (Figure 3, Tables S9-S10). These genes are conserved across

early diverging bacterial clades and may have been co-opted for sporulation.

Subsequent gene gains occurred later in the bacterial phylogeny, at different

taxonomic levels and included TRGs, HGTs and duplications as illustrated in Figure

5. In particular, the first major gain event of sporulation genes (Figure 3, branch 2),

which correlates with the emergence of the Firmicutes as a phylum, involved a gain

of at least 166 genes, from which the vast majority, 80-90%, are TRGs, *i.e.* only

found in Firmicutes members (Figure 5, Tables S9-S10). A much smaller amount of

gene gains are estimated to be derived by HGT, 10,4-14,3% (Tables S9-S10), and

having orthologous in other lineages outside the Firmicutes.

B. subtilis versus C. difficile: sporulation driven by rapid divergence and horizontal

gene transfer

Besides the base of the Firmicutes we also estimated the origin of gene gains

at lower taxonomic ranks. In particular we chose branches in the phylogenetic tree

for which we could strictly define descendants and outgroups in agreement with the

NCBI taxonomy (release from May 2018). These ranks, shown in Figure 5, included:

branch 3 (at the base of the class Bacilli), branch 5 (Bacilli families but excluding the

early diverging Alicyclobacillaceae and Paenibacillaceae), branch 7 (at the base of

the *B. subtilis* group), branch 10 (within the family Peptostreptococcaceae - a

subgroup containing the genera *Clostridioides*, *Paeniclostridium*, *Paraclostridium* and

Terrisporobacter), branch 11 (at the base of the genus *Clostridioides*) and branch 12

(at the base of the species *C. difficile*).

At the base and within Bacilli, the estimated gains of TRGs were dominant

over HGTs, representing 61,3% (branch 3), 89,8% (branch 5) and 56,3 % (branch 7)

of the acquired genes (Figure 5A, in green). In contrast, genes acquired along the

clostridial lineages were more often present in other clades (Figure 5B, in blue) and

likely acquired by HGT, representing 55,6% in branch 10, 77,8% in branch 11 and

64,1% of the total gains in branch 12. Family expansions were significantly lower

when compared to other mechanisms of gene acquisition with a maximum of four

duplicated genes in branches 10 and 12. List of HGTs, TRGs and expansions by

gene duplication are summarized in Tables S9 for Bacilli and S10 for Clostridia.

Beyond the differences in rates of HGTs *vs.* TRGs and family expansion, we can find

evidence of both mechanisms occurring in Bacilli and Clostridia (Figure 5).

HGT and rapid divergence in Clostridia

In Clostridia we find CdeA, a protein involved in the exosporium assembly in

C. difficile (Díaz-González et al. 2015; Calderón-Romero et al. 2018) coded by a

gene gained in branch 10 (Entrez GI: 126699990, Table S10) which has orthologous

outside the Peptostreptococcaceae family, notably in species of the intestinal tract

(Figure S5). The orthologous sequences share in common two blocks of a four

cysteine conserved domain with unknown function (InterPro Ac. Nr.: IPR011437) and

together provide a clear example of HGT, likely to have occurred in the gut

ecosystem.

In turn *spoIIIAF* (Entrez GI: 126698793) has diverged to the point that no

longer clusters with other genes that code for Stage III sporulation protein AF,

forming two distinct orthologous clusters by our orthology mapping approach (Figure

6A) as well as in orthology databases EggNOG 4.5.1 and OMA: ENOG4105X2R and

TLCVVIV for *spoIIIAF*, ENOG410644B and IWEIGSE for *spoIIIAF* (Huerta-Cepas,

Szklarczyk, et al. 2016; Altenhoff et al. 2018). The gene is part of the *spoIIIA* locus,

conserved in all spore formers (Galperin et al. 2012; Morlot and Rodrigues 2018),

which codes for eight proteins, SpoIIIA to H, the components of a channel also

known as the "feeding tube" and whose main role is to connect the mother cell to the

forespore and transfer substrates required for σ^G activity in the forespore (Meisner et

al. 2008; Camp and Losick 2009). Because *spoIIIAF* (Figure 6B) is poorly conserved,

it is predicted here as an innovation 'gained' in branch 10, within the

Peptostreptococcaceae family (Table S10). The *spoIIIAF* cluster includes

orthologous from all strains of *C. difficile*, *C. magenotii, Paraclostridium bifermentans,*

Paeniclostridium sordellii and *Terrisporobacter glycolicus* (Figure 6A). An alignment

of SpoIIIAF orthologs from both clusters on Figure 6B shows two-conserved TM

domains (residues 1 - 57) and divergence in the remaining sequence, except for the

hydrophobic residues highlighted in blue. These residues are part of the hydrophobic

core of the protein which are associated with a conserved ring-building motif (RBM)

fold (Zeytuni et al. 2018). Since a structure for SpoIIIAF is available (*B. subtilis*, PDB:

6dcs) (Zeytuni et al. 2018), we simulated the 3D structure of SpoIIIAF from *C.*

difficile using UCSF Chimera (Pettersen et al. 2004) and Modeller (Eswar et al. 2006)

with 6dcs chain A as template. Simulations generated five reliable models (Figure

S6), which are structurally similar to SpoIIIAF and adopt $\alpha_1\beta_1\beta_2\alpha_2\beta_4$ topology (Figure

6C). Still, the high divergence rates between the two orthologs is reflected on the

distribution of charged and hydrophobic residues on the protein surface (Figure 6C,

Figure S6 B) and suggests that they have been under different evolutionary

constraints.

Discussion

In this work we have extended previous comparative genomics analyses

based on *B. subtilis* sporulation genes (Paredes et al. 2005; de Hoon et al. 2010;

Galperin et al. 2012; Abecasis et al. 2013; Galperin 2013) by including the

sporulation genes identified in the human pathogen *Clostridioides difficile*. Recent

advances in *C. difficile* genetics and the ability to construct sporulation mutants in

this pathogenic anaerobe have enabled the identification of over 300 genes, directly

regulated by the cell type-specific sigma factors of sporulation (Fimlaid et al. 2013;

Saujet et al. 2013; Pishdadian et al. 2015) (Table S1). By comparing the sporulation-

specific regulons between the two species we identified four main groups of genes

based on their orthology/paralogy relationships. The largest group, representing over

50% of each regulon in both species, consist of genes only present in one species

(Figure 1, Table S5). These genes highlight the existing diversity and complex origins

of the sporulation machinery, genes coding for the regulatory complex

SpoIVFA/SpoIVFB/BofA present in the forespore membrane of *B. subtilis* and

involved in the activation of σ^K (Rudner 2002), are absent in the *C. difficile* genome

(Pereira et al. 2013), as well as in other Clostridia species (Galperin et al. 2012). In

some cases, genes having the same function in both species (*i.e.* functional

equivalents) are annotated with the same name but have distinct evolutionary paths,

with different ancestors, as is the case of the forespore proteins SpoIIQ. These

proteins form a complex with SpoIIIAH through which the mother cell is thought to

convey to the forespore metabolites essential for maintaining its metabolic potential

and regulating engulfment in *B. subtilis* (Camp and Losick 2008; Meisner et al. 2008)

and *C. difficile* (Fimlaid et al. 2015; Serrano et al. 2016). Despite having the same

name and similar roles in both species, they are non-orthologous. Both SpoIIQ have

a catalytic peptidase M23 motif (InterPro Ac. Nr.: IPR016047) and a transmembrane

domain but distinct N-terminal sides, being a recognized case of non-orthologous

gene displacement (Galperin et al. 2012). The second largest group of genes

corresponds to those present in the two genomes but only described as part of the

sporulation regulons in one species (Figure 1, Table S4). These genes are involved

in other processes and may have been co-opted for roles in sporulation. For instance

the genes *dapA* and *dapB*, which are present in many bacterial phyla and involved in

the lysine biosynthesis pathway (Mukherjee et al. 2017), have been recruited to the

sporulation process in *B. subtilis*, with *dapA* being part of the spoVF operon,

expressed in the mother cell and involved in the synthesis of dipicolinic acid (DPA)

(Chen et al. 1993; Daniel and Errington 1993; Steil et al. 2005), a compound that is

characteristic of spores (Slieman and Nicholson 2001; Moeller et al. 2014). The

same genes *dapA* and *dapB* in *C. difficile* are located in the *lysA-asd-dapA-dapB*

operon (Mukherjee et al. 2017) and were shown to be dispensable for spore

formation (Donnelly et al. 2016) whereas a study on *C. perfringens* suggests a more

ancestral mechanism for DPA synthesis, involving the electron transfer flavoprotein

Etfa (Orsburn et al. 2010). In the same group, the gene *uppS* (undecaprenyl

pyrophosphate synthetase) is involved in polysaccharide biosynthesis and part of σ^G

regulon in *C. difficile* (Saujet et al. 2013) but a role in *B. subtilis* sporulation has not

been determined. Finally, there are two groups of orthologous genes in *B. subtilis*

and *C. difficile*. One group corresponds to genes present in the same regulon in both

species: 2 in σ^F, 29 in σ^E, 11 σ^G and 5 in σ^K (Table S2). For example the genes

spmA and *spmB*, under the control of σ^E and involved in spore core dehydration

(Popham et al. 1995; Steil et al. 2005; Pereira et al. 2013; Saujet et al. 2013). Other

examples include the genes of the *spoIIIA* locus, also controlled by σ^E whose

products are part of the SpoIIQ-SpoIIIAH channel (Illing and Errington 1991; Camp

and Losick 2008; Fimlaid et al. 2015), and *spoIID* (σ^E) required for septal

peptidoglycan hydrolysis at the onset of the engulfment process and for the proper

localization of SpoIIQ (Rodrigues et al. 2013; Serrano et al. 2016). In the σ^G regulon,

the *pdaA* gene, coding for a N-acetylmuramic acid deacetylase, is involved in spore

cortex peptidoglycan biosynthesis in both species (Fukushima et al. 2002; Saujet et

al. 2013; Diaz et al. 2018). Still, despite being orthologs and regulated by the same

sigma factors, these genes may show different modes of action in the two species

through the link to non-homologous intermediates (Fimlaid et al. 2015; Serrano et al.

2016). At last, there are also orthologous pairs that belong to different regulons in the

two organisms (Table S3). For example, the *seaA*, involved in the spore envelope

assembly (Steil et al. 2005), is expressed early in the forespore, under the control of

σ^F in *B. subtilis*, but is only activated following engulfment completion, under the

direction of σ^G in *C. difficile* (Saujet et al. 2013). Also, *yraF,* coding for an

uncharacterized protein similar to a spore coat protein, is transcribed in the forespore

under the control of σ^G in *B. subtilis* (Wang et al. 2006), while in *C. difficile* is

transcribed in the mother cell under the control of σ^E (Saujet et al. 2013).

We also find that some sporulation genes are conserved across several

bacterial phyla, or even extended to other domains of life. For *e.g.* spoVR

(orthologous group Nr.: COG2719) (Huerta-Cepas, Szklarczyk, et al. 2016) is

present in Proteobacteria and Archaea. The gene *cotR*, with a conserved hydrolase

domain (InterPro Ac. Nr.: IPR016035) and structure, has a broader taxonomic profile,

which includes eukaryotes (orthologous group Nr.: COG3621) (Huerta-Cepas,

Szklarczyk, et al. 2016). Still, genes of broader taxonomic range constitute less than

one third of the sporulation machinery (Figure 3, Tables S9-S10). The great majority

of sporulation genes were acquired in the first major gain event, coinciding with the

origin of the Firmicutes (Figure 3) and including the genes of the so-called

sporulation signatures based on *B. subtilis* genes (Abecasis et al. 2013) and spore-

formers from the gut microbiota (Browne et al. 2016). Since the divergence of

Firmicutes is estimated to have occurred between 2.5 to 3.0 billion years ago (Ga),

upon the emergence of terrestrial ecosystems (2.6 Ga) and after the Great Oxidation

Event (2.3 Ga) (Battistuzzi et al. 2004; Battistuzzi and Hedges 2009; Marin et al.

2017) it has been suggested that sporulation is part of an adaptive process to cope

with O_2 exposure (de Hoon et al. 2010; Abecasis et al. 2013). Gain events occurring

after the origin of sporulation, and highlighted in Figure 3, correspond to lineage

specializations of the program. The fact that we only find two larger gain events (≥90)

along the evolutionary paths of *B. subtilis* and *C. difficile*, suggests that sporulation

has evolved in punctual moments for these two species, only tied to certain lineages.

Moreover, we find no evidence of these gains having greater influence in the size of

a specific regulon since they have all co-evolved proportionally (Figure 4). This and

the fact that the four sporulation-specific sigma factors were present in the last

common ancestor of Firmicutes (Table S9, Table S10) suggest that both early and

late sporulation stages were defined upon the emergence of the process.

Though the common macroevolutionary patterns in both lineages, our

analysis to detect possible origins of gene gains, suggests different modes of gene

acquisition. While in *B. subtilis* most of the genes gained in branches 3, 5 and 7 are

taxon-specific (Figure 5A, Table S9), in the evolutionary path of *C. difficile*, genes

were mostly acquired by horizontal gene transfer events, with a consistently smaller

proportion of taxonomically restricted genes (Figure 5B). This finding is in line with

the highly mobile genome of *C. difficile* 630, where 11% of mobile genetic elements

(mainly transposons) are the main cause for acquisition of foreign genes from other

species in the gut microbiota (Sebaihia et al. 2006; He et al. 2010). Genes acquired

by HGT are involved in antibiotic resistance, virulence and sporulation, conferring *C.*

difficile adaptive advantages in the gut ecosystem. A case-study emerging from our

analysis is the *cdeA* gene, coding for an exosporium protein (Díaz-González et al.

2015) only found in Clostridia, especially in species inhabiting gut ecosystems

(clusters ENOG410XVF3 and HESKKIA from EggNOG 4.5.1 and OMA databases).

A phylogenetic tree based on the alignment of 35 CdeA sequences (Figure S5) does

not reflect the species phylogeny suggesting multiple HGT events within the gut

environment and, to a lesser extent, from the interaction of clostridia species of

different niches, *i.e.* sludge/sediments and the gut.

In turn *B. subtilis* shows a stronger lineage-specific specialization of the

program, with genes that are only found in closely related species (Figure 5A, Table

S9). The appearance of a higher number of such genes in members of the *Bacillus*

subtilis group, may be due to the higher homologous recombination rates observed

for Bacilli species when compared to *C. difficile* (Vos and Didelot 2009; He et al.

2010). A key feature of *B. subtilis* and close relatives is their natural competence, *i.e.*

the ability to enter a physiological state in which cells are able to take up exogenous

DNA fragments (Dubnau 1991; Haijema et al. 2001; Smits et al. 2005; Chen et al.

2007). Although the consequences of natural competence on the genomic

composition and species diversity remain to be fully elucidated, this mechanism of

DNA uptake as been proposed as a main driver of genome diversity within the

Bacillus subtilis group (Brito et al. 2018) and to facilitate homologous recombination

(Vos 2009; Mell and Redfield 2014).

The other mechanism giving rise to TRGs are high mutation rates that

saturate the signal of homology. Rapid divergence between orthologs may occur

driven by exposure to particular lineage-specific environment/niches and to different

protein interactions/binding partners. This latter is illustrated here for the counterparts

of SpoIIIAF from members of the Peptostreptococcaceae family (SpoIIIAF) (Figure

6A). This protein is a component of the feeding tube, conserved in spore-formers,

connecting the mother cell to the forespore, which together with other proteins of the

spoIIIA operon: SpoIIIAB, SpoIIIAD, SpoIIIAE, SpoIIIAG form a multimeric membrane

complex with a ring shape located in the mother cell membrane and extending to the

intermembrane space between mother cell and forespore (Doan et al. 2009).

Although the role of SpoIIIAF in the complex has not yet been fully elucidated, the

protein contains a Ring-Building motif that may support ring formation in a multimeric

form, similar to SpoIIIAG and SpoIIIAH (Levdikov et al. 2012; Zeytuni et al. 2017;

Zeytuni et al. 2018). Like in *B. subtilis* and other spore-formers, SpoIIIAF in *C.*

difficile preserves the N-terminal transmembrane region and the RBM hydrophobic

motif (Figure 6B). Still, the sequence as diverged considerably, only sharing 16% of

sequence identity with its counterpart in *B. subtilis* (Serrano et al. 2016). This

divergence is reflected in changes in the overall electrostatic potential and

hydrophobicity on the proteins surface (Figure 6C, Figure S6). Since SpoIIIAF is

predicted to be located in the inner mother cell membrane towards the cytoplasm

(Doan et al. 2009; Zeytuni et al. 2017; Morlot and Rodrigues 2018), the high

sequence divergence between SpoIIIAF and SpoIIIAF suggests links with distinct

proteins or binding partners in the mother cell cytoplasm that need to be further

explored for Bacilli as well as for the members of the Peptostreptococcaceae family.

Besides gene-gains there were also extensive gene losses occurring

independently throughout the bacterial phylogeny (Figure 3). These losses have

resulted in the emergence of asporogenous lineages (*i.e.* non-spore-forming

Firmicutes, (Onyenwoke et al. 2004)) among spore-formers at different taxonomic

levels. Within Bacilli, we find the group including *Listeria*, Lactobacillales,

Staphylococcaceae, *Solibacillus silvestris* StLB046 and *Exiguobacterium*; in

Clostridia, the groups including the species *Proteocatella sphenisci* and *Filifactor*

alocis, members of the family Lachnospiraceae and the genus Caldicellulosiruptor

(within Thermoanaerobacterales group III) (Figure S1). Although the ability to form

endospores is often seen has an evolutionary advantage, the process is energetically

costly, involving the expression of hundreds of genes and taking up several hours to

complete (Fujita and Losick 2005; Paredes et al. 2005; Fimlaid et al. 2013). For

example, in nutrient-rich environments after 6,000 generations of *B. subtilis*, neutral

processes but also selection were found to facilitate loss of sporulation (Maughan et

al. 2007), occurring by indels or single-nucleotide substitutions (Maughan et al.

2009). In nature though, sporulation loss is mostly driven by consecutive gene losses

as suggested earlier (Galperin et al. 2012; Galperin 2013) and demonstrated in

Figure 3. Under relaxed environments for sporulation, emerging asporogenous

bacteria will have less genes, be more energy efficient and grow faster (Maughan

and Nicholson 2011; Nicholson 2012; Maitra and Dill 2015). Asporogenous

Firmicutes have developed alternative ways to persist in diverse (Sauders et al.

2012; Linke et al. 2014) and sometimes extreme environments, as is the case of the

extremophiles *Exiguobacterium* (Vishnivetskaya and Kathariou 2005; Vishnivetskaya

et al. 2009; Moreno-Letelier et al. 2012) and *Caldicellulosiruptor* (Van De Werken et

al. 2008; Hamilton-Brehm et al. 2010). The two *Exiguobacterium* species used here,

E. antarcticum and *E. sibiricum,* have only 21% of the sporulation signature

(Abecasis et al. 2013) while *Caldicellulosiruptor* species have between 62.5 and

73%, so there is still some speculation as to whether the latter form spores or not

(Abecasis et al. 2013). The same question has persisted for sometime for

Ruminococcus species (Galperin et al. 2012; Abecasis et al. 2013). *Ruminococcus*

sp. have lost some of the conserved sporulation genes (Figure 3B) and have

between 48 and 60% of the sporulation signature (Abecasis et al. 2013) (Figure S1,

Figure S3), in what appears to be an intermediary stage into becoming

asporogenous. Still, they were recently shown to sporulate (Browne et al. 2016;

Mukhopadhya et al. 2018), and are likely to spread as spore-like structures between

hosts (Schloss et al. 2014; Mukhopadhya et al. 2018), which plays as a counter

evolutionary force to the emergence of asporogenous phenotypes. In other cases,

notably *Epulopiscium* and *Candidatus Arthromitus*, sporulation has evolved as a

reproductive mechanism, involving some gene loss (Figure 3B) and eventually the

gain of new genes (Flint et al. 2005; Galperin 2013), under distinct evolutionary

forces.

Conclusions

In this study we were able to combine the comprehensive knowledge of sporulation genes in *B. subtilis* with the emerging model *C. difficile*. Our analysis validates earlier studies in that sporulation emerged once, at the base of Firmicutes. From this first major gene gain event, we have identified intermediate evolutionary steps combined with a second major lineage-specific gain event that underlies the current specialization and diversity observed among *B. subtilis* and *C. difficile* sporulation programs. Furthermore, predominant mechanisms of gene acquisition and innovation differ in the lineages of the two species: *B. subtilis* entails greater innovation with many TGRs while *C. difficile* has acquired new genes mostly through HGTs. We also show that extensive gene losses underlie the emergence of asporogenous lineages and the co-option of sporulation as a reproductive mechanism. Overall our comparative genomics approach provides a framework with which to elucidate the evolution and diversity observed in the sporulation program across Firmicutes and unveils new evolutionary case studies, such as those described for the genes *cdeA* and *spoIIIAF*.

Methods

Datasets construction

All known sporulation genes from *Bacillus subtilis* strain 168 and *Clostridioides difficile* strain 630, the two species that are better characterized in terms of their sporulation machinery, were collected from the literature (Fimlaid et al. 2013; Saujet et al. 2013; Pishdadian et al. 2015; Meeske et al. 2016) and SubtiWiki 2.0 (Mäder et al. 2012). These included the genes coding for the main regulators of sporulation: spo0A - controlling initiation; sigE and sigK - coding for the mother cell

early and late specific sigma-factors; sigF and sigG - coding for the early and late

forespore-specific sigma factors; and the genes under their control (Table S1).

Bacterial genomes (n=258) were collected from the NCBI Reference Sequence

Database (release from April 2017) including species from the classes Actinobacteria

(15), Aquificae (2), Bacteroidetes (3), Cyanobacteria (3), Dictyogiomi (2), Firmicutes

(186), Fusobacteria (4), Proteobacteria (30), Spirochaetes (6), Synergistetes (1),

Thermotogae (5), Deinococcus-Thermus (1) (Figure S1, Table S6).

Orthology/paralogy mapping and selection of homologous clusters

The predicted proteins from each genome were categorized into homologous

clusters using the bidirectional best hits (BDBH) algorithm implemented in

GET_HOMOLOGUES (Contreras-Moreira and Vinuesa 2013) (Figure S2). The

software is built on top of BLAST+ (Camacho et al. 2009). First, inparalogues,

defined as intraspecific BDBHs, are identified in each genome. Second, new

genomes are incrementally compared to the reference genome and their BDBHs

annotated. The main script ran with BLASTP default options and a minimum

coverage fixed at 65% for the pairwise alignments (-C), option -s to save memory,

and reporting at least clusters with two sequences (-t set at 2). The choice of the

BDBH algorithm and corresponding cutoffs resulted from prior validation with sets

and subsets of the bacterial genomes used in this study (Figure S3). The method

was applied twice, the first time with *Bacillus subtilis* strain 168 (BSU) genome fixed

as reference (-r) and the second time with *Clostridioides difficile* strain 630 (CD)

(Figure S2). Homology mapping resulted in over 3500 clusters of homologous genes

for references BSU and CD. Clusters corresponding to sporulation genes were

selected making a total of 726 and 307 clusters for BSU and CD, respectively. The

results were represented in two large-scale profile matrices of presence/absence of

genes (0 for absence, 1 presence or >1 for multiple presence). The two reference

genomes were also compared between each other using the orthoMCL algorithm

implemented in GET_HOMOLOGUES. The main script ran with BLASTP default

options, -C set at 65 % and reporting all clusters (-t 0).

<u>Bacterial phylogenies</u>

Seventy gene clusters of single-copy orthologous conserved across the

genomic dataset (adk, alaS, aspS, coaD, cysS, efp, engA, fabG, fmt, frr, gcp, gltX,

guaA, infA, infC, ksgA, miaA, mraA, mraW, mraY, murC, murD, murE, murG, obgE,

pheS, pheT, prfA, pth, pyrH, rluD, rpe, rplA-F, rplI-L, rplN-P, rplR-X, rpmA, rpoA,

rpsB-E, rpsH, rpsJ, rpsK, rpsM, rpsQ, rpsS, ruvB, uppS, uvrB, yabC, yabD, ylbH,

yyaF) were assembled with good occupancy; allowing for a maximum of 5

sequences missing per cluster. Clusters were aligned independently using MAFFT

(Katoh and Standley 2013) or MUSCLE (Edgar 2004) under the default options. The

best alignment per cluster was selected and trimmed using trimAl (Capella-Gutiérrez

et al. 2009) with the -automated1 option. The 70 alignments were concatenated into

a single super-alignment comprising 258 species/strains and 14401 amino-acid

positions. The alignment was used to construct phylogenetic trees by maximum

likelihood and Bayesian methods (Figure S4). Calculations and tree construction

were performed with the RaxML 8 (Stamatakis 2014) (-N 100) and Mr. Bayes 3.2.5

(Ronquist et al. 2012) using the best protein evolution model (LG+G) selected with

ProtTest version 3 [9]. Tree topologies generated with both methods were compared

using ete-compare (Huerta-Cepas, Serra, et al. 2016) based on the normalized

Robinson-Foulds distance (nRF). The RF scores are the total number of leaf

bipartitions occurring in one but not in both trees. Since non-normalized measures of

phylogenetic distance typically increase with the number of leaves in the tree, we

used the normalized version, nRF, which divides RF by the maximum possible RF

value for *n* leaves (maxRF). For topologically identical trees nRF will be 0. Our nRF

of 0.03 (RF/maxRF = 16.0/512.0) indicates similar tree topologies.

<u>Phylogenetic profiles and evolutionary analysis of sporulation genes</u>

Sporulation gene clusters were represented in two large-scale profile

matrices of presence/absence, sized 726x258 and 307x258, for references BSU and

CD. The profiles were combined with the bacterial phylogeny to estimate gain (0 ->

1), loss (1 -> 0) and duplication events (1 -> 2 or more) in every tree branch with the

software Count v 10.04 (Csurös 2010). First, rates were optimized using the gain–

loss–duplication model implemented in Count, allowing different gain–loss and

duplication–loss rates in all lineages and rate variation across gene families (1 to 4

gamma categories). One hundred rounds of optimization were computed. Next, a

gene family history analysis was computed by posterior probabilities based on a

phylogenetic birth-and-death model. Tables with the posterior probabilities based on

the two profile matrices (Tables S7-S8) were extracted for further analysis with

custom Python scripts to estimate total number of gene gains, losses and

duplications (per branch), and gene presences (per node).

<u>Estimating origins of gene gains</u>

To estimate the source of gene gains only events with a posterior probability

of ≥ 0.5 by Count (Csurös 2010) were considered. Gene gains were classified as

'taxon-specific' (TRGs) when present at a given branch, present in its descendants

but not present in other clades. Alternatively, they were considered 'non-specific'

when gained at a certain branch, present in its descendants but also present in other

clades of the phylogeny. The later included genes gained by HGT in both directions

(from and to the branch/clade), since it is often challenging to identify the donor

(Koonin et al. 2001), specially when dealing with large genomic sets. At last, a small

fraction of sporulation genes was also gained by gene duplication and classified as
'family expansions'.

Since our ancestral reconstruction was limited to 258 bacterial genomes we
needed to validate genes classified as 'taxon-specific' in a broader taxonomic
context. To do so, we performed searches against the NCBI NR database (released
in January 2018, Cut-offs: E-value 10E-10, 75% query coverage with 50% identity)
using BLASTP and checked for their best hits outside the clade and corresponding
taxonomic distribution. While using this approach we were confronted with several
false positives in the NCBI nr database that were removed from the BLASTP reports
either because of misidentified species, for *e.g.* a genome assigned to the species
Varibaculum timonense, from Actinobacteria (Guilhot et al. 2017), that is in fact a
Clostridia, or because of recurring genomic contaminations of spore-formers in
genome assemblies of other species as was shown for *Mycobacterium* (Ghosh et al.
2009; Traag et al. 2010). Genes gained in the braches 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 11 and 12 and
classified as 'taxon-specific', 'non-specific' or expansions are listed in Tables S9 and
S10 with corresponding cluster names and accession numbers.

Acknowledgements

We thank José Pereira Leal for advice with the bioinformatics methods and analyses.
This work was supported by FCT, "Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia",
Portugal through grants SFRH/BPD/103171/2014 to PRS, PEst-
OE/EQB/LA0004/2011 to AOH and by program IF (IF/00268/2013/CP1173/CT0006)
to MS. This work was also financially supported by project LISBOA-01-0145-FEDER-
007660 ("Microbiologia Molecular, Estrutural e Celular") funded by FEDER funds
through COMPETE2020 – "Programa Operacional Competitividade e
Internacionalização".

 Authors' Contributions

PRS designed the study, collected datasets, implemented the bioinformatics

methods, performed analyses, interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript. MS

provided the manually curated sets of sporulation genes and contributed with

scientific input for the manuscript. AOH designed the study, interpreted the data and

co-wrote the manuscript.

Tables

Table 1 – Sporulation genes collected in the literature by regulon.

Sporulation stage	Expression	Regulon	# Genes *B. subtilis* 168	# Genes *C. dificille* 630
Initiation	Mother cell	Spo0A	65	-
Engulfment	Forespore	σ^F	81	39
Engulfment	Mother cell	σ^E	335	204
Maturation	Forespore	σ^G	156	60
Maturation	Mother cell	σ^K	151	56

Figure Legends

Fig.1. Orthology mapping between *B. subtilis* and *C. difficile* for genes under the

control of sporulation sigma factors. The fraction of genes with orthologous in the

same regulon is marked in orange. The fraction of genes with orthologous in different

sporulation regulona is in yellow. The fraction of genes without orthologous in the

regulons but with orthologous in the genome is in light purple. Fraction of genes

without orthologous is in dark purple.

Fig.2. Distribution of sporulation genes from *B. subtilis* and *C. difficile* across the 258 bacterial genomes sampled from NCBI. Distributions are represented in (A) Absolute number of genes and (B) Relative percentages. Distributions were tested for unimodality using the Hartigan's Dip test in R (D = 0.024757, p-value = 0.3179 for *B. subtilis*; D = 0.05604, p-value = 7.262e-06 for *C. difficile*).

Fig.3. Sporulation gene gain and loss events across the bacterial phylogeny for (A) Bacilli and (B) Clostridia. The total number of sporulation genes present at the root and at the referential tips is highlighted in grey. Gain events are numbered from 1 to 12. Multigene maximum likelihood (RAxML) tree inferred from an alignment of 70 orthologs and corresponding bootstraps measures in %. The PROTGAMMALG evolutionary model was used to infer the tree with branch support estimated with 100 bootstrap replicates.

Fig.4. Sporulation regulons increase in size represented from root to tips for (A) *B. subtilis* and (B) *C. difficile*. Sporulation regulons have diverse sizes being σ^E the largest regulon and also the one acquiring the largest number of genes in every evolutionary step, to suppress this effect the gains per regulon were normalized by size.

Fig.5. Predicted categories of sporulation gene gains in four branches for (A) *B. subtilis* and (B) *C. difficile.* Gene gains were considered to be taxon-specific (green) when gained at the branch, present in its descendants but not present or gained in other clades. Alternatively, gene gains were considered non-taxon specific (dark blue) when gained along a certain branch but also present in other non-descendent clades. The later included genes gained by HGT in both directions (from and to the branch/clade). Family expansions (light blue) were originated by duplication events.

Fig.6. Comparative analysis between stage III sporulation proteins AF: SpoIIIAF from *B. subtilis* and SpoIIIAF from *C. difficile*. (A) Orthologous clusters of SpoIIIAF and SpoIIIAF (n=64) were merged and aligned using MAFFT (Katoh and Standley 2013) followed by a principal component analysis as implemented in Jalview (Waterhouse et al. 2009) to access sequence divergence between clusters. (B) Selected sequences clustering with SpoIIIAF (black square) and SpoIIIAF (purple square) were aligned with T-coffee (Notredame et al. 2000). (C) Aligned structures of the monomeric forms of SpoIIIAF$_{85-206}$ (grey) and SpoIIIAF$_{84-205}$ (orange) were determined using Modeller homology-based modeling (Eswar et al. 2006) with PDB:6dcs as template and MUSCLE (Edgar 2004) as sequence aligner. Structures and electrostatic charge distributions were visualized with Chimera (Pettersen et al. 2004).

References

Abecasis AB, Serrano M, Alves R, Quintais L, Pereira-Leal JB, Henriques AO. 2013. A Genomic Signature and the Identification of New Sporulation Genes. J. Bacteriol. 195:2101–2115.

Abt MC, McKenney PT, Pamer EG. 2016. Clostridium difficile colitis: pathogenesis and host defence. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 14:609–620.

Altenhoff AM, Glover NM, Train C-M, Kaleb K, Warwick Vesztrocy A, Dylus D, de Farias TM, Zile K, Stevenson C, Long J, et al. 2018. The OMA orthology database in 2018: retrieving evolutionary relationships among all domains of life through richer web and programmatic interfaces. Nucleic Acids Res. 46:D477–D485.

Angert ER, Losick RM. 1998. Propagation by sporulation in the guinea pig symbiont Metabacterium polyspora. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 95:10218–10223.

Battistuzzi FU, Feijao A, Hedges SB. 2004. A genomic timescale of prokaryote

evolution: insights into the origin of methanogenesis, phototrophy, and the

colonization of land. BMC Evol. Biol. 4:44.

Battistuzzi FU, Hedges SB. 2009. A major clade of prokaryotes with ancient

adaptations to life on land. Mol. Biol. Evol. 26:335–343.

Bauer MP, Notermans DW, van Benthem BH, Brazier JS, Wilcox MH, Rupnik M,

Monnet DL, van Dissel JT, Kuijper EJ. 2011. Clostridium difficile infection in

Europe: a hospital-based survey. Lancet 377:63–73.

Berkeley R, Heyndrickx M, Logan N, De Vos P. 2002. Applications and Systematics

of Bacillus and Relatives. (Berkeley R, Heyndrickx M, Logan N, De Vos P,

editors.). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Science Ltd.

Brito PH, Chevreux B, Serra CR, Schyns G, Henriques AO, Pereira-Leal JB. 2018.

Genetic Competence Drives Genome Diversity in Bacillus subtilis. Genome Biol.

Evol. 10:108–124.

Browne HP, Forster SC, Anonye BO, Kumar N, Neville BA, Stares MD, Goulding D,

Lawley TD. 2016. Culturing of 'unculturable' human microbiota reveals novel

taxa and extensive sporulation. Nature 533:543–546.

Calderón-Romero P, Castro-Córdova P, Reyes-Ramírez R, Milano-Céspedes M,

Guerrero-Araya E, Pizarro-Guajardo M, Olguín-Araneda V, Gil F, Paredes-

Sabja D. 2018. Clostridium difficile exosporium cysteine-rich proteins are

essential for the morphogenesis of the exosporium layer, spore resistance, and

affect C. difficile pathogenesis. PLoS Pathog. 14:e1007199.

Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL.

2009. BLAST plus: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10:1.

Camp AH, Losick R. 2008. A novel pathway of intercellular signalling in Bacillus

subtilis involves a protein with similarity to a component of type III secretion

channels. Mol. Microbiol. 69:402–417.

Camp AH, Losick R. 2009. A feeding tube model for activation of a cell-specific

transcription factor during sporulation in Bacillus subtilis. Genes Dev. 23:1014–

1024.

Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez JM, Gabaldón T. 2009. trimAl: A tool for
automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses.
Bioinformatics 25:1972–1973.

Chen NY, Jiang SQ, Klein DA, Paulus H. 1993. Organization and nucleotide
sequence of the Bacillus subtilis diaminopimelate operon, a cluster of genes
encoding the first three enzymes of diaminopimelate synthesis and dipicolinate
synthase. J. Biol. Chem. 268:9448–9465.

Chen XH, Koumoutsi A, Scholz R, Eisenreich A, Schneider K, Heinemeyer I,
Morgenstern B, Voss B, Hess WR, Reva O, et al. 2007. Comparative analysis of
the complete genome sequence of the plant growth-promoting bacterium
Bacillus amyloliquefaciens FZB42. Nat. Biotechnol. 25:1007–1014.

Contreras-Moreira B, Vinuesa P. 2013. GET_HOMOLOGUES, a versatile software
package for scalable and robust microbial pangenome analysis. Appl. Environ.
Microbiol. 79:7696–7701.

Csurös M. 2010. Count: Evolutionary analysis of phylogenetic profiles with parsimony
and likelihood. Bioinformatics 26:1910–1912.

Daniel RA, Errington J. 1993. Cloning, DNA sequence, functional analysis and
transcriptional regulation of the genes encoding dipicolinic acid synthetase
required for sporulation in Bacillus subtilis. J. Mol. Biol. 232:468–483.

Díaz-González F, Milano M, Olguin-Araneda V, Pizarro-Cerda J, Castro-Córdova P,
Tzeng SC, Maier CS, Sarker MR, Paredes-Sabja D. 2015. Protein composition
of the outermost exosporium-like layer of Clostridium difficile 630 spores. J.
Proteomics 123:1–13.

Diaz OR, Sayer C V., Popham DL, Shen A. 2018. Clostridium difficile Lipoprotein
GerS Is Required for Cortex Modification and Thus Spore Germination.
mSphere 3:e00205-18.

Dick GJ, Lee YE, Tebo BM. 2006. Manganese(II)-oxidizing Bacillus spores in

Guaymas Basin hydrothermal sediments and plumes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.

72:3184–3190.

Doan T, Morlot C, Meisner J, Serrano M, Henriques AO, Moran CP, Rudner DZ.

2009. Novel Secretion Apparatus Maintains Spore Integrity and Developmental

Gene Expression in Bacillus subtilis. PLoS Genet. 5:e1000566.

Donnelly ML, Fimlaid KA, Shen A. 2016. Characterization of Clostridium difficile

Spores Lacking Either SpoVAC or Dipicolinic Acid Synthetase. J. Bacteriol.

198:1694–1707.

Dubnau D. 1991. Genetic competence in Bacillus subtilis. Microbiol. Rev. 55:395–

424.

Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and

high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32:1792–1797.

Eichenberger P, Jensen ST, Conlon EM, van Ooij C, Silvaggi J, González-Pastor J-

E, Fujita M, Ben-Yehuda S, Stragier P, Liu JS, et al. 2003. The σE Regulon and

the Identification of Additional Sporulation Genes in Bacillus subtilis. J. Mol. Biol.

327:945–972.

Eswar N, Webb B, Marti-Renom MA, Madhusudhan MS, Eramian D, Shen M-Y,

Pieper U, Sali A. 2006. Comparative protein structure modeling using Modeller.

Curr. Protoc. Bioinforma. Chapter 5:Unit-5.6.

Fang J, Kato C, Runko GM, Nogi Y, Hori T, Li J, Morono Y, Inagaki F. 2017.

Predominance of Viable Spore-Forming Piezophilic Bacteria in High-Pressure

Enrichment Cultures from ~1.5 to 2.4 km-Deep Coal-Bearing Sediments below

the Ocean Floor. Front. Microbiol. 8.

Fawcett P, Eichenberger P, Losick R, Youngman P. 2000. The transcriptional profile

of early to middle sporulation in Bacillus subtilis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 97:8063–

8068.

Filippidou S, Wunderlin T, Junier T, Jeanneret N, Dorador C, Molina V, Johnson DR,

Junier P. 2016. A Combination of Extreme Environmental Conditions Favor the

Prevalence of Endospore-Forming Firmicutes. Front. Microbiol. 7:1–11.

Fimlaid K a, Shen A. 2015. Diverse mechanisms regulate sporulation sigma factor

activity in the Firmicutes. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 24:88–95.

Fimlaid KA, Bond JP, Schutz KC, Putnam EE, Leung JM, Lawley TD, Shen A. 2013.

Global Analysis of the Sporulation Pathway of Clostridium difficile. PLoS Genet.

9:e1003660.

Fimlaid KA, Jensen O, Donnelly ML, Siegrist MS, Shen A. 2015. Regulation of

Clostridium difficile Spore Formation by the SpoIIQ and SpoIIIA Proteins. PLoS

Genet. 11:1–35.

Flint JF, Drzymalski D, Montgomery WL, Southam G, Angert ER. 2005. Nocturnal

production of endospores in natural populations of epulopiscium-like

surgeonfish symbionts. J. Bacteriol. 187:7460–7470.

Fujita M, Losick R. 2005. Evidence that entry into sporulation in Bacillus subtilis is

governed by a gradual increase in the level and activity of the master regulator

Spo0A. Genes Dev. 19:2236–2244.

Fukushima T, Yamamoto H, Atrih A, Foster SJ, Sekiguchi J. 2002. A polysaccharide

deacetylase gene (pdaA) is required for germination and for production of

muramic delta-lactam residues in the spore cortex of Bacillus subtilis. J.

Bacteriol. 184:6007–6015.

Galperin MY. 2013. Genome Diversity of Spore-Forming Firmicutes. Microbiol.

Spectr. 1:1–15.

Galperin MY, Brover V, Tolstoy I, Yutin N. 2016. Phylogenomic analysis of the family

Peptostreptococcaceae (Clostridium cluster XI) and proposal for reclassification

of Clostridium litorale (Fendrich et al. 1991) and Eubacterium acidaminophilum

(Zindel et al. 1989) as Peptoclostridium litorale gen. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol.

Microbiol. 66:5506–5513.

Galperin MY, Mekhedov SL, Puigbo P, Smirnov S, Wolf YI, Rigden DJ. 2012.

Genomic determinants of sporulation in Bacilli and Clostridia: towards the

minimal set of sporulation-specific genes. Environ. Microbiol. 14:2870–2890.

Ghosh J, Larsson P, Singh B, Pettersson BMF, Islam NM, Sarkar SN, Dasgupta S,

Kirsebom LA. 2009. Sporulation in mycobacteria. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

106:10781–10786.

Guilhot E, Lagier JC, Raoult D, Khelaifia S. 2017. 'Prevotella ihumii' sp. nov. and

'Varibaculum timonense' sp. nov., two new bacterial species isolated from a

fresh human stool specimen. New Microbes New Infect. 18:3–5.

Haijema BJ, Hahn J, Haynes J, Dubnau D. 2001. A ComGA-dependent checkpoint

limits growth during the escape from competence. Mol. Microbiol. 40:52–64.

Hamilton-Brehm SD, Mosher JJ, Vishnivetskaya T, Podar M, Carroll S, Allman S,

Phelps TJ, Keller M, Elkins JG. 2010. Caldicellulosiruptor obsidiansis sp. nov.,

an anaerobic, extremely thermophilic, cellulolytic bacterium isolated from

obsidian pool, yellowstone National Park. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 76:1014–

1020.

Han C, Gu W, Zhang X, Lapidus A, Nolan M, Copeland A, Lucas S, del Rio TG, Tice

H, Cheng JF, et al. 2010. Complete genome sequence of Thermaerobacter

marianensis type strain (7p75a T). Stand. Genomic Sci. 3:337–345.

He M, Sebaihia M, Lawley TD, Stabler RA, Dawson LF, Martin MJ, Holt KE, Seth-

Smith HMB, Quail MA, Rance R, et al. 2010. Evolutionary dynamics of

Clostridium difficile over short and long time scales. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

107:7527–7532.

Henriques AO, Moran CP. 2007. Structure, assembly, and function of the spore

surface layers. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 61:555–588.

Higgins D, Dworkin J. 2012. Recent progress in Bacillus subtilis sporulation. FEMS

Microbiol. Rev. 36:131–148.

Hong HA, Khaneja R, Tam NMK, Cazzato A, Tan S, Urdaci M, Brisson A, Gasbarrini

A, Barnes I, Cutting SM. 2009. Bacillus subtilis isolated from the human

gastrointestinal tract. Res. Microbiol. 160:134–143.

de Hoon MJL, Eichenberger P, Vitkup D. 2010. Hierarchical Evolution of the Bacterial
Sporulation Network. Curr. Biol. 20:R735–R745.

Huerta-Cepas J, Serra F, Bork P. 2016. ETE 3: Reconstruction, Analysis, and
Visualization of Phylogenomic Data. Mol. Biol. Evol. 33:1635–1638.

Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Forslund K, Cook H, Heller D, Walter MC, Rattei T,
Mende DR, Sunagawa S, Kuhn M, et al. 2016. eggNOG 4.5: a hierarchical
orthology framework with improved functional annotations for eukaryotic,
prokaryotic and viral sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 44:D286–D293.

Hug LA, Baker BJ, Anantharaman K, Brown CT, Probst AJ, Castelle CJ, Butterfield
CN, Hernsdorf AW, Amano Y, Ise K, et al. 2016. A new view of the tree of life.
Nat. Microbiol. 1:16048.

Illing N, Errington J. 1991. The spoIIIA operon of Bacillus subtilis defines a new
temporal class of mother-cell-specific sporulation genes under the control of the
sigma E form of RNA polymerase. Mol. Microbiol. 5:1927–1940.

Janoir C, Denève C, Bouttier S, Barbut F, Hoys S, Caleechum L, Chapetón-Montes
D, Pereira FC, Henriques AO, Collignon A, et al. 2013. Adaptive Strategies and
Pathogenesis of Clostridium difficile from In Vivo Transcriptomics. Infect.
Immun. 81:3757–3769.

Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version
7: Improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30:772–780.

Kim H, Hahn M, Grabowski P, McPherson DC, Otte MM, Wang R, Ferguson CC,
Eichenberger P, Driks A. 2006. The Bacillus subtilis spore coat protein
interaction network. Mol. Microbiol. 59:487–502.

Koonin E V., Makarova KS, Aravind L. 2001. Horizontal Gene Transfer in
Prokaryotes: Quantification and Classification. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 55:709–
742.

Kroos L, Yu YT. 2000. Regulation of sigma factor activity during Bacillus subtilis
development. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 3:553–560.

Lai E-M, Phadke ND, Kachman MT, Giorno R, Vazquez S, Vazquez JA, Maddock
JR, Driks A. 2003. Proteomic Analysis of the Spore Coats of Bacillus subtilis
and Bacillus anthracis. J. Bacteriol. 185:1443–1454.

Lawley TD, Clare S, Walker AW, Goulding D, Stabler RA, Croucher N, Mastroeni P,
Scott P, Raisen C, Mottram L, et al. 2009. Antibiotic Treatment of Clostridium
difficile Carrier Mice Triggers a Supershedder State, Spore-Mediated
Transmission, and Severe Disease in Immunocompromised Hosts. Infect.
Immun. 77:3661–3669.

Lawson PA, Citron DM, Tyrrell KL, Finegold SM. 2016. Reclassification of
Clostridium difficile as Clostridioides difficile (Hall and O'Toole 1935) Prévot
1938. Anaerobe 40:95–99.

Lessa FC, Mu Y, Bamberg WM, Beldavs ZG, Dumyati GK, Dunn JR, Farley MM,
Holzbauer SM, Meek JI, Phipps EC, et al. 2015. Burden of Clostridium difficile
Infection in the United States. N. Engl. J. Med. 372:825–834.

Levdikov VM, Blagova E V., McFeat A, Fogg MJ, Wilson KS, Wilkinson AJ. 2012.
Structure of components of an intercellular channel complex in sporulating
Bacillus subtilis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109:5441–5445.

Linke K, Rückerl I, Brugger K, Karpiskova R, Walland J, Muri-Klinger S, Tichy A,
Wagner M, Stessl B. 2014. Reservoirs of Listeria species in three environmental
ecosystems. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 80:5583–5592.

Losick R, Stragier P. 1992. Crisscross regulation of cell-type-specific gene
expression during development in B. subtilis. Nature 355:601–604.

Mäder U, Schmeisky AG, Flórez LA, Stülke J. 2012. SubtiWiki--a comprehensive
community resource for the model organism Bacillus subtilis. Nucleic Acids Res.
40:D1278-87.

Maitra A, Dill KA. 2015. Bacterial growth laws reflect the evolutionary importance of
energy efficiency. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 112:406–411.

Marin J, Battistuzzi FU, Brown AC, Hedges SB. 2017. The Timetree of Prokaryotes:

New Insights into Their Evolution and Speciation. Mol. Biol. Evol. 34:437–446.

Maughan H, Birky CW, Nicholson WL. 2009. Transcriptome Divergence and the Loss

of Plasticity in Bacillus subtilis after 6,000 Generations of Evolution under

Relaxed Selection for Sporulation. J. Bacteriol. 191:428–433.

Maughan H, Masel J, Birky CW, Nicholson WL. 2007. The roles of mutation

accumulation and selection in loss of sporulation in experimental populations of

Bacillus subtilis. Genetics 177:937–948.

Maughan H, Nicholson WL. 2011. Increased fitness and alteration of metabolic

pathways during bacillus subtilis evolution in the laboratory. Appl. Environ.

Microbiol. 77:4105–4118.

Meeske AJ, Rodrigues CDA, Brady J, Lim HC, Bernhardt TG, Rudner DZ. 2016.

High-Throughput Genetic Screens Identify a Large and Diverse Collection of

New Sporulation Genes in Bacillus subtilis. PLoS Biol. 14:1–33.

Meisner J, Wang X, Serrano M, Henriques AO, Moran CP. 2008. A channel

connecting the mother cell and forespore during bacterial endospore formation.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 105:15100–15105.

Mell JC, Redfield RJ. 2014. Natural competence and the evolution of DNA uptake

specificity. J. Bacteriol. 196:1471–1483.

Moeller R, Raguse M, Reitz G, Okayasu R, Li Z, Klein S, Setlow P, Nicholson WL.

2014. Resistance of Bacillus subtilis spore DNA to lethal ionizing radiation

damage relies primarily on spore core components and DNA repair, with minor

effects of oxygen radical detoxification. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 80:104–109.

Moreno-Letelier A, Olmedo-Alvarez G, Eguiarte LE, Souza V. 2012. Divergence and

phylogeny of Firmicutes from the Cuatro Ciénegas Basin, Mexico: a window to

an ancient ocean. Astrobiology 12:674–684.

Morlot C, Rodrigues CDA. 2018. The New Kid on the Block: A Specialized Secretion

System during Bacterial Sporulation. Trends Microbiol. 26:663–676.

Mukherjee S, Barash D, Sengupta S. 2017. Comparative genomics and

phylogenomic analyses of lysine riboswitch distributions in bacteria. PLoS One

12:e0184314.

Mukhopadhya I, Moraïs S, Laverde-Gomez J, Sheridan PO, Walker AW, Kelly W,

Klieve A V., Ouwerkerk D, Duncan SH, Louis P, et al. 2018. Sporulation

capability and amylosome conservation among diverse human colonic and

rumen isolates of the keystone starch-degrader Ruminococcus bromii. Environ.

Microbiol. 20:324–336.

Nicholson WL. 2012. Increased competitive fitness of Bacillus subtilis under

nonsporulating conditions via inactivation of pleiotropic regulators AlsR, SigD,

and SigW. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 78:3500–3503.

Nicholson WL, Munakata N, Horneck G, Melosh HJ, Setlow P. 2000. Resistance of

Bacillus endospores to extreme terrestrial and extraterrestrial environments.

Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 64:548–572.

Notredame C, Higgins D, Heringa J. 2000. T-Coffee : A Novel Method for Fast and

Accurate Multiple Sequence Alignment. J. Mol. Biol. 302:205–217.

Onyenwoke RU, Brill JA, Farahi K, Wiegel J. 2004. Sporulation genes in members of

the low G+C Gram-type-positive phylogenetic branch (Firmicutes). Arch.

Microbiol. 182:182–192.

Orsburn BC, Melville SB, Popham DL. 2010. EtfA catalyses the formation of

dipicolinic acid in Clostridium perfringens. Mol. Microbiol. 75:178–186.

Paredes-Sabja D, Shen A, Sorg J a. 2014. Clostridium difficile spore biology:

sporulation, germination, and spore structural proteins. Trends Microbiol.

22:406–416.

Paredes CJ, Alsaker K V, Papoutsakis ET. 2005. A comparative genomic view of

clostridial sporulation and physiology. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 3:969–978.

Pereira FC, Saujet L, Tomé AR, Serrano M, Monot M, Couture-Tosi E, Martin-

Verstraete I, Dupuy B, Henriques AO. 2013. The spore differentiation pathway

in the enteric pathogen Clostridium difficile. PLoS Genet. 9:e1003782.

Permpoonpattana P, Tolls EH, Nadem R, Tan S, Brisson A, Cutting SM. 2011.

Surface Layers of Clostridium difficile Endospores. J. Bacteriol. 193:6461–6470.

Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, Ferrin

TE. 2004. UCSF Chimera - A visualization system for exploratory research and

analysis. J. Comput. Chem. 25:1605–1612.

Pishdadian K, Fimlaid KA, Shen A. 2015. SpoIIID-mediated regulation of σ K function

during C lostridium difficile sporulation. Mol. Microbiol. 95:189–208.

Popham DL, Illades-Aguiar B, Setlow P. 1995. The Bacillus subtilis dacB gene,

encoding penicillin-binding protein 5*, is part of a three-gene operon required for

proper spore cortex synthesis and spore core dehydration. J. Bacteriol.

177:4721–4729.

Ramos-Silva P, Brito PH, Serrano M, Henriques AO, Pereira-Leal JB. 2015.

Rethinking the Niche of Upper-Atmosphere Bacteria: Draft Genome Sequences

of Bacillus aryabhattai C765 and Bacillus aerophilus C772, Isolated from Rice

Fields. Genome Announc. 3:e00094-15.

Redmond C. 2004. Identification of proteins in the exosporium of Bacillus anthracis.

Microbiology 150:355–363.

Rodrigues CDA, Marquis KA, Meisner J, Rudner DZ. 2013. Peptidoglycan hydrolysis

is required for assembly and activity of the transenvelope secretion complex

during sporulation in Bacillus subtilis. Mol. Microbiol. 89:1039–1052.

Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Van Der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B,

Liu L, Suchard M a., Huelsenbeck JP. 2012. Mrbayes 3.2: Efficient bayesian

phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst.

Biol. 61:539–542.

Rudner DZ. 2002. A sporulation membrane protein tethers the pro-sigma K

processing enzyme to its inhibitor and dictates its subcellular localization. Genes

Dev. 16:1007–1018.

Rudner DZ, Losick R. 2001. Morphological coupling in development: lessons from

prokaryotes. Dev. Cell 1:733–742.

Sauders BD, Overdevest J, Fortes E, Windham K, Schukken Y, Lembo A, Wiedmann

M. 2012. Diversity of Listeria species in urban and natural environments. Appl.

Environ. Microbiol. 78:4420–4433.

Saujet L, Pereira FC, Serrano M, Soutourina O, Monot M, Shelyakin P V, Gelfand

MS, Dupuy B, Henriques AO, Martin-Verstraete I. 2013. Genome-wide analysis

of cell type-specific gene transcription during spore formation in Clostridium

difficile. PLoS Genet. 9:e1003756.

Schloss PD, Iverson KD, Petrosino JF, Schloss SJ. 2014. The dynamics of a family's

gut microbiota reveal variations on a theme. Microbiome 2:1–13.

Sebaihia M, Wren BW, Mullany P, Fairweather NF, Minton N, Stabler R, Thomson

NR, Roberts AP, Cerdeño-Tárraga AM, Wang H, et al. 2006. The multidrug-

resistant human pathogen Clostridium difficile has a highly mobile, mosaic

genome. Nat. Genet. 38:779–786.

Serrano M, Crawshaw AD, Dembek M, Monteiro JM, Pereira FC, Pinho MG,

Fairweather NF, Salgado PS, Henriques AO. 2016. The SpoIIQ-SpoIIIAH

complex of Clostridium difficile controls forespore engulfment and late stages of

gene expression and spore morphogenesis. Mol. Microbiol. 100:204–228.

Setlow P. 2014. Spore Resistance Properties. Microbiol. Spectr. 2.

Slieman TA, Nicholson WL. 2001. Role of Dipicolinic Acid in Survival of Bacillus

subtilis Spores Exposed to Artificial and Solar UV Radiation. Appl. Environ.

Microbiol. 67:1274–1279.

Smits WK, Eschevins CC, Susanna KA, Bron S, Kuipers OP, Hamoen LW. 2005.

Stripping Bacillus: ComK auto-stimulation is responsible for the bistable

response in competence development. Mol. Microbiol. 56:604–614.

Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-

analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30:1312–1313.

Steil L, Serrano M, Henriques AO, Völker U. 2005. Genome-wide analysis of

temporally regulated and compartment-specific gene expression in sporulating

cells of Bacillus subtilis. Microbiology 151:399–420.

Stragier P, Losick R. 1996. Molecular genetics of sporulation in Bacillus subtilis.

Annu. Rev. Genet. 30:297–41.

Thompson BM, Hoelscher BC, Driks A, Stewart GC. 2012. Assembly of the BclB

glycoprotein into the exosporium and evidence for its role in the formation of the

exosporium "cap" structure in Bacillus anthracis. Mol. Microbiol. 86:1073–1084.

Traag BA, Driks A, Stragier P, Bitter W, Broussard G, Hatfull G, Chu F, Adams KN,

Ramakrishnan L, Losick R. 2010. Do mycobacteria produce endospores? Proc.

Natl. Acad. Sci. 107:878–881.

Ueda K, Yamashita A, Ishikawa J, Shamada M, Watsuji TO, Morimura K, Ikeda H,

Hattori M, Beppu T. 2004. Genome sequence of Symbiobacterium

thermophilum, an uncultivable bacterium that depends on microbial

commensalism. Nucleic Acids Res. 32:4937–4944.

Urios L. 2004. Tepidibacter formicigenes sp. nov., a novel spore-forming bacterium

isolated from a Mid-Atlantic Ridge hydrothermal vent. Int. J. Syst. Evol.

Microbiol. 54:439–443.

Vishnivetskaya TA, Kathariou S. 2005. Putative transposases conserved in

Exiguobacterium isolates from ancient Siberian permafrost and from

contemporary surface habitats. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 71:6954–6962.

Vishnivetskaya TA, Kathariou S, Tiedje JM. 2009. The Exiguobacterium genus:

Biodiversity and biogeography. Extremophiles 13:541–555.

Vos M. 2009. Why do bacteria engage in homologous recombination? Trends

Microbiol. 17:226–232.

Vos M, Didelot X. 2009. A comparison of homologous recombination rates in bacteria

and archaea. ISME J. 3:199–208.

Wang ST, Setlow B, Conlon EM, Lyon JL, Imamura D, Sato T, Setlow P, Losick R,

Eichenberger P. 2006. The Forespore Line of Gene Expression in Bacillus

subtilis. J. Mol. Biol. 358:16–37.

Waterhouse AM, Procter JB, Martin DM a, Clamp M, Barton GJ. 2009. Jalview

Version 2--a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench.

Bioinformatics 25:1189–1191.

Van De Werken HJG, Verhaart MRA, VanFossen AL, Willquist K, Lewis DL, Nichols

JD, Goorissen HP, Mongodin EF, Nelson KE, Van Niel EWJ, et al. 2008.

Hydrogenomics of the extremely thermophilic bacterium Caldicellulosiruptor

saccharolyticus. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 74:6720–6729.

Yano JM, Yu K, Donaldson GP, Shastri GG, Ann P, Ma L, Nagler CR, Ismagilov RF,

Mazmanian SK, Hsiao EY. 2015. Indigenous Bacteria from the Gut Microbiota

Regulate Host Serotonin Biosynthesis. Cell 161:264–276.

Zeytuni N, Flanagan KA, Worrall LJ, Massoni SC, Camp AH, Strynadka NCJ. 2018.

Structural and biochemical characterization of SpoIIIAF, a component of a

sporulation-essential channel in Bacillus subtilis. J. Struct. Biol. 204:1–8.

Zeytuni N, Hong C, Flanagan KA, Worrall LJ, Theiltges KA, Vuckovic M, Huang RK,

Massoni SC, Camp AH, Yu Z, et al. 2017. Near-atomic resolution cryoelectron

microscopy structure of the 30-fold homooligomeric SpoIIIAG channel essential

to spore formation in Bacillus subtilis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 114:E7073–E7081.

1109

1110

B. subtilis vs *C. difficile*   *C. difficile* vs *B. subtilis*

Orthologs: ■ Same regulon ■ Different regulons ■ Both genomes but only in one regulon ■ No ortholog

A cluster SpoIIIAF (n=8)

dim2

dim1

cluster SpoIIIAF (n=54)

C

C

N

overlay between model and template

template SpoIIIAF
B. subtilis

model SpoIIIAF
C. difficile

positiive   negative

B

Transmembrane region

	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80

■ *Bacillus subtilis* 168
■ *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* DSM 7
■ *Bacillus cereus* ATCC 10987
■ *Bacillus megaterium* DSM 319
■ *Clostridium botulinum* A str ATCC 19397
■ *Clostridium tetani* 12124569
■ *Candidatus Arthromitus* sp SFB mouse Japan
■ *Acetonema longum* DSM 6540
■ *Paraclostridium bifermentans* ATCC 638
■ *Terrisporobacter glycolicus* ATCC 14880
■ *Clostridioides mangenotii* LM2
■ *Clostridioides difficile* 630

90   100   110   120   130   140   150   160

170   180   190   200   210   220   230   240