A stress-response-related inter-compartmental signalling pathway regulates embryonic cuticle integrity in Arabidopsis

Audrey Creff[1], Lysiane Brocard[2], Jérôme Joubès[3,4], Ludivine Taconnat[5], Nicolas M. Doll[1], Stephanie Pascal[3,4], Roberta Galletti[1], Anne-Charlotte Marsollier[1], Steven Moussu[1], Thomas Widiez[1], Frédéric Domergue[3,4*], and Gwyneth Ingram[1*]

[1] Laboratoire Reproduction et Développement des Plantes, Univ Lyon, ENS de Lyon, UCB Lyon 1, CNRS, INRA, F-69342, Lyon, France.

[2] CNRS/ University of Bordeaux, Plant Imaging Platform of Bordeaux Imaging Center, UMS 3420, F-33000 Bordeaux, France

[3] Université de Bordeaux, Laboratoire de Biogenèse Membranaire, UMR5200, F-33000 Bordeaux, France.

[4] CNRS, Laboratoire de Biogenèse Membranaire, UMR5200, F-33000 Bordeaux, France.

[5] Institut of Plant Sciences Paris-Saclay (IPS2), UMR 9213/UMR1403, CNRS, INRA, Université Paris-Sud, Université d'Evry, Université Paris-Diderot, Sorbonne Paris-Cité, Bâtiment 630, 91405 0rsay, France

* Authors for correspondence (Gwyneth.Ingram@ens-lyon.fr; Frederic.Domergue@u-bordeaux.fr)

ABSTRACT

The embryonic cuticle is necessary for normal seed development and seedling establishment in Arabidopsis. Although mutants with defective embryonic cuticles have been identified, neither the deposition of cuticle material, nor its regulation, has been described during embryogenesis. Here we use electron microscopy, lipid staining and permeability assays to show that cuticle deposition initiates *de novo* in patches on globular embryos. By combining these techniques with genetics and gene expression analysis, we show that successful patch coalescence to form a continuous cuticle requires a signalling involving the endosperm-specific subtilisin protease ALE1 and the receptor kinases GSO1 and GSO2, which are expressed in the developing embryonic epidermis. Transcriptome analysis shows that this pathway regulates stress-related gene expression in seeds. Consistent with these findings we show genetically, and through activity analysis, that the stress-associated MPK6 protein acts downstream of GSO1 and GSO2 in the developing embryo. We propose that a stress-related signalling pathway has been hijacked in some angiosperm seeds through the recruitment of endosperm-specific components. Our work reveals the presence of an inter-compartmental dialogue between the endosperm and embryo that ensures the formation of an intact and functional cuticle around the developing embryo through an "auto-immune" type interaction.

INTRODUCTION

The Arabidopsis seed is a complex structure composed of three genetically distinct compartments, the maternally-derived seed coat, the embryo, and the endosperm. After fertilization the expansion of the endosperm drives the growth of the seed. However, during later developmental stages the

endosperm breaks down, leaving space for the growing embryo. By the end of seed development,

only a single endosperm cell layer envelops the embryonic tissues (reviewed in [1]).

The endosperm is an angiosperm innovation, thought to have arisen through the

sexualisation of the central cell of the female gametophyte [2]. The ancestors of angiosperms

probably had seeds more similar to those of gymnosperms, in which tissues of the female

gametophyte proliferate independently of egg cell fertilization to produce a nutrient rich storage

tissue. However, the endosperm plays not only a nutritional role, but also a role in regulating

embryo development. For example, the peptide CLAVATA3/EMBRYO SURROUNDING

REGION-RELATED8 (CLE8) may act non-cell autonomously to regulate early Arabidopsis

embryogenesis [3]. Recently, maternally-expressed peptides present in the central cell pre-

fertilization, and subsequently in the early EMBRYO SURROUNDING REGION (ESR), were

shown to regulate Arabidopsis suspensor development. Genetic analysis suggests that this

regulation could be mediated by a pathway involving the Receptor-Like Cytoplasmic Kinase

SHORT SUSPENSOR [4,5], although the receptor involved remains unidentified.

In previous works we showed genetically that the ESR-specific subtilisin protease

Abnormal LEaf-shape1 (ALE1) acts in the same genetic pathway as two embryonically-expressed

receptor kinases, GASSHO1 [(GSO1) also known as SCHENGEN3 [6]] and GASSHO2 (GSO2),

to control the formation of the embryonic cuticle in developing seeds [7-10]. Our results indicate

that a seed specific inter-tissue signalling event is necessary for the formation of a functional

embryonic cuticle [7]. The results of genetic studies have led us to speculate that the role of this

pathway is to ensure the robust elimination of apoplastic continuity between the developing

embryo and the surrounding endosperm thus gating molecular movement between the two

compartments [11,12].

The cuticle is the outermost layer of the aerial parts of the plant. It is a highly complex structure mainly composed of a lipid polymer (cutin) and waxes, either associated with the polymer (intracuticular waxes) or deposited on the top of it (epicuticular waxes) (recently reviewed in [13,14]). Cutin and waxes are composed of complex mixtures of hydroxylated and very long-chain fatty acid derivatives, respectively. Cuticle structure and composition are highly regulated not only at the tissue level, but also in response to environmental stimuli such as drought, radiation and pollution [13,14]. In addition, several reports have highlighted the important role played by the cuticle in biotic interactions, and particularly in protecting plants from attack by bacterial pathogens (reviewed in [13,15,16]).

In Arabidopsis although little, if any, evidence exists for the presence of cutin-like substances in the wall between the mature egg cell and the central cell, by the end of embryogenesis the hypocotyl and cotyledons of the embryo are covered with a continuous cuticle which renders the germinating seedling impermeable to hydrophilic dyes, and resistant to water loss [17]. Cuticle biogenesis is considered to be a unique property of epidermal cells [18]. During plant development, epidermal cells are generated by anticlinal divisions of pre-existing epidermal cells so that each cell inherits an intact external cuticularised cell wall. In this respect the embryonic cuticle is atypical as it is deposited *de novo* at the interface between the developing embryo and endosperm. Although mutants with defective embryonic cuticles have been described [7-10,17], only very fragmentary evidence about when the embryonic cuticle appears is present in the literature. Furthermore, the structure of the embryonic cuticle, its composition, the mechanisms via which it is deposited and its function during seed development remain unexplored. In this study we aimed to elucidate how the embryonic cuticle is formed, and to investigate how the ALE1 GSO1 GSO2 signalling pathway impacts its biosynthesis and deposition.

RESULTS

Expression of genes involved in cuticle deposition initiates during early embryogenesis.

An inspection of available *in silico* data [19-21] showed that many genes encoding enzymes thought to be involved in cutin biosynthesis are expressed during early embryogenesis (Supplementary Figure 1). *In situ* hybridisations confirmed that genes known to affect cuticle production (*LACS2* [22,23], *FIDDLEHEAD/KCS10* [24-27], *LACERATA* [28] and *BODYGUARD* [29]) or export (*LTPG1* [30] and *ABCG11* [31]) have a clear epidermis-specific expression from the mid globular stage onwards (Figure 1, Supplementary Figure 2, Supplementary Figure 3).

In agreement with published and *in silico* data [9] (Supplementary Figure 1) *GSO1* and *GSO2* were expressed in the embryo from early developmental stages (Figure 1, Supplementary Figure 3). In addition, their expression was mainly restricted to the embryonic epidermis. *GSO1* expression in the embryonic epidermis was further confirmed using plants expressing a functional genomic GSO1-mVENUS fusion under the control of the *GSO1* promoter (*pGSO1*:*GSO1-mVENUS*) [6] (Figure 2). This construction fully complemented the cuticle permeability phenotype of *gso1-1 gso2-1* double mutant seedlings, and strongly reduced the misshapen-seed phenotype of *gso1-1 gso2-1* mutant seeds when introduced into the *gso1-1 gso2-1* mutant background (Figure 2).

Since previous results showed that epidermal identity is not affected in *gso1-1 gso2-1* mutants [12], the expression of cuticle biosynthesis genes was analysed by *in situ* hybridization in *gso1-1 gso2-1* double mutant seeds (which show a stronger cuticle phenotype than *ale1-4* mutants [7]). As shown in Figure 1 (and Supplementary Figure 2, Supplementary Figure 3), no reduction in the expression of any of the cuticle biogenesis genes analysed was

detected in the embryonic epidermis of this background, whereas reduced expression of both

GSO1 and *GSO2* was clearly visible. For these results, we concluded that although many

genes involved in cuticle biosynthesis are co-expressed with GSO1 and GSO2 in the

embryonic epidermis, their expression is not dependent upon GSO1 and GSO2.

<u>Loss of GSO1/GSO2 and ALE1 function affects cuticle integrity</u>

The cutin content of seedling cotyledons was assessed by measuring the quantities of the main

cutin monomers released after cutin isolation followed by depolymerisation (mainly C16 and

C18 ωOH (omega-hydroxy acid) and DCA (α,ω-dicarboxylic acid)). As clearly illustrated by

the quantification of 18:2-DCA, the major component of Arabidopsis cutin, a slight loss in

cutin load was detected in *gso1-1 gso2-1*, but not in *ale1-4* cotyledons compared to wild-type.

In contrast a very clear reduction in cutin load was observed in control plants lacking the

acyltransferases GPAT4 and GPAT8 required for cutin biosynthesis, as has previously been

reported in rosette leaves [32] (Figure 3a). We therefore investigated the cuticle permeability

of etiolated cotyledons by submerging them in the hydrophilic dye toluidine blue, which can

only penetrate internal tissues through defects in the cuticle [17]. Surprisingly, we found that

the cotyledons of etiolated *gpat4 gpat8* seedlings showed a rather similar toluidine blue

permeability to *ale1-4* seedlings and a considerably reduced permeability compared to *gso1-1*

gso1-2 double mutants, suggesting that the *gpat4 gpat8* cuticle, although quantitatively

strongly deficient in cutin monomers, remains partially functional (Figure 3b). Taken together

with gene expression analysis, these results suggest that the ALE1, GSO1 and GSO2-

mediated signalling pathway might impact cuticle organisation or integrity rather than the

quantity of cuticle components produced by epidermal cells.

The process of embryonic cuticle deposition was investigated in more detail in wild-

type (Col-0) seeds (Figure 4a-d). At the two-cell stage the embryo was surrounded by a thick

cell wall but no electron dense material was detected at the embryo surface. At the mid-late

globular stage, a cutin-like electron-dense material was detected in patches (Figure 4b and

Supplementary Figure 5a,b). From heart stage onwards, an apparently continuous layer of

electron-dense cutin-like material was detected at the surface of the outer epidermal cell wall.

Embryonic cuticle production therefore involves the *de novo* deposition and subsequent

coalescence of "patches" of cuticular material at the surface of epidermal cells. Toluidine blue

assays with wild-type embryos extruded at different developmental stages indicated that

permeability started to reduce noticeably at the early torpedo stage (slightly after apparent gap

closure), and that the embryo continued to become more and more impermeable during

embryo development (Supplementary Figure 4), suggesting that the coalescence of gaps in the

embryonic cuticle correlates well with a reduction in embryonic permeability.

In *gso1-1 gso2-1* mutants the cuticle still showed discontinuities at the heart and

walking stick stage (Figure 4e-f, Supplementary Figure 5c-f). In this background the cuticle

also appeared thicker, but less condensed than that of wild-type embryos. The outer epidermal

cell wall was also abnormally thick at later stages (compare embryonic cell wall thickness in

Figure 4d with that in 4f). Similar discontinuities were observed, although at a lower

frequency, in the *ale1-4* background at the heart stage as described previously [10], but were

less frequent at later stages, consistent with the less severe cuticle permeability phenotype

observed in the seedlings of this background (Figure 4g-h). These results are consistent with

our hypothesis that the ALE1 GSO1 GSO2 pathway is necessary for generating a continuous

cuticle layer and further suggest that it controls "gap closure" during embryonic cuticle

maturation.

GSO1 GSO2 and ALE1 regulate overlapping gene sets and promote the expression of defence

related genes during seed development.

Transcriptional analysis of intact siliques from *gso1-1 gso2-1* and *ale1-4* mutants and wild-

type plants was carried out at globular and heart stages. The results are provided in Figure 5a

and b, Supplementary Table 1, and Supplementary Figures 6 and 7. The number of

differentially down-regulated genes in the mutant backgrounds compared to wild-type was

higher than the number of up-regulated genes (Supplementary Table 1). A moderate overlap

between genes showing higher expression in *ale1-4* and *gso1-1 gso2-1* mutants than wild-type

controls was observed (Supplementary Figure 6, Supplementary Table 1). In contrast more

than three quarters of the genes showing reduced expression at both developmental stages in

the *gso1-1 gso2-1* background also showed reduced expression at both developmental stages

in *ale1-4* mutants (Figure 5a and Supplementary Table 1), corroborating previously published

genetic evidence that ALE1, GSO1 and GSO2 act in the same genetic pathway [7]. Because

ALE1 appears to be expressed exclusively in the ESR region of the endosperm [7,8,10], genes

mis-regulated in both mutant backgrounds likely comprise *bona fide* targets (direct and

indirect) of the ALE1 GSO1 GSO2 pathway, despite the fact that the expression of *GSO1* and

GSO2 is not restricted to the seed [6,9,20].

Genes up-regulated in both mutant backgrounds showed a moderate over-

representation in GO terms associated with responses to abiotic stress (Supplementary Figure

6). In contrast, genes down-regulated in both backgrounds, particularly at the heart stage,

showed a very striking overrepresentation for GO terms linked to abiotic and biotic stress

responses (Figure 5b, Supplementary Figure 7). Mis-regulation of 19 of these genes was

validated using additional independent biological samples by qRT-PCR (Supplementary

Figure 8). The expression levels of these genes in seeds were generally low, and attempts to

carry out *in situ* hybridization were inconclusive. However for one target, *SWI3A* [33],

expression in the developing embryo predicted from *in silico* data was confirmed, and shown

to be convincingly reduced in embryos of the *gso1-1 gso2-1* double mutant (Supplementary

Figure 9). Thus, consistent with the embryonic expression of *GSO1* and *GSO2,* some of the

transcriptional regulation downstream of ALE1 GSO1 GSO2 signalling occurs in the embryo.

Expression of *ALE1* was not reduced in *gso1-1 gso2-1* mutants (Supplementary Table 2 and

Supplementary Figure 8), suggesting that ALE1 is not a downstream target of GSO1 GSO2-

mediated signalling, and could therefore act upstream of GSO1 and GSO2 in mediating

embryonic responses necessary for the establishment of an intact embryonic cuticle.

<u>MPK6 acts in the ALE1 GSO1 GSO2 signalling pathway.</u>

The GSO1 and GSO2 receptor kinases belong to family XI of the Leucine-Rich Repeat

(LRR)-RLKs [34,35], and are closely related to the "danger" peptide receptors PEPR1 and

PEPR2 [36,37], which are involved in the amplification of defence responses triggered by

pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP) perception [38]. A previous study [39],

reported aberrantly shaped seeds, resembling those of *ale1-4* mutants, in Arabidopsis *mpk6*

mutants lacking the MITOGEN ACTIVATED PROTEIN KINASE6 (MPK6) protein, which

acts downstream of PEPR signalling. In addition a proportion of *mpk6* mutant seeds were

reported to rupture [39]. We confirmed these phenotypes in the *mpk6-2* mutant background

(Supplementary Figure 10). A recent article has suggested that some seed defects in *mpk6*

mutants may depend upon the genotype of the maternal tissues in the seed [40]. Reciprocal

crosses were therefore performed, and these confirmed that seed twisting phenotype is

dependent upon the genotype of the zygotic compartment and not the maternal compartment

(Supplementary Figure 11). We found that a proportion of *mpk6-2* seedlings showed

abnormal permeability to the hydrophilic dye toluidine blue, consistent with the presence of

cuticle defects (Figure 6). Nile red staining [32] of the cotyledons of etiolated seedlings was

used to confirm *mpk6* cuticle defects (Supplementary Figure 12 a,b). Using this technique,

wild-type cotyledons were found to be covered with a continuous lipid cuticle layer. As

previously reported, and consistent with our cutin analysis, *gpat4 gpat8* mutants showed

drastically reduced cuticle staining. In contrast *gso1-1 gso2-1* mutants showed a patchy

cuticle, similar to that seen using transmission electron microscopy on the embryo surface.

Both *ale1-4* and *mpk6-2* mutants showed a less well-defined cuticle than wild-type, which

although apparently continuous, showed uneven cutin deposition (Supplementary Figure 12

a,b).

Triple *mpk6-2 gso1-1 gso2-1* and double *ale1-4 mpk6-2* mutants were generated to

investigate further the genetic interactions of *ALE1, GSO1* and *GSO2* with *MPK6*. Fertility in

ale1-4 mpk6-2 double mutants was similar to that in *mpk6-2* mutants, while triple *mpk6-2*

gso1-1 gso2-1 mutant plants were viable but produced very few seeds. In terms of seed shape

and cotyledon cuticle permeability, triple *mpk6-2 gso1-1 gso2-1* mutants had phenotypes

identical to those observed in *gso1-1 gso2-1* double mutants (Figure 6, Supplementary Figure

10). Since all *gso1-1 gso2-1* mutant seeds are twisted, non-additivity cannot be concluded

from this phenotype. However, recent work has shown that additivity of toluidine blue

staining phenotypes can be detected in mutant combinations with *gso1-1 gso2-1 [41]*. The

frequency of "twisted" seeds (including ruptured seeds), and toluidine blue stained seedling

cotyledons was non-additive in *ale1-4 mpk6-2* double mutant plants, consistent with *ALE1,*

GSO1, GSO2 and *MPK6* acting in the same genetic pathway to control seedling cotyledon

permeability (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure 10).

MPK6 is involved in a plethora of reproductive and non-reproductive developmental

processes and shows functional redundancy with other MPK proteins [39,42-52] meaning that

global transcriptome analysis in the *mpk6-2* background would likely be uninformative for

this study. We therefore directly tested a subset of genes mis-regulated in *gso1-1 gso2-1* and

ale1-4 mutants for misregulation in *mpk6-2* mutants at three stages of embryo development.

Five out of eight genes tested showed reduced expression in *mpk6-2* either at all three stages

(*SWI3A, WRKY70* and *NIMIN1*), or in two out of three developmental stages tested (*SIB1* and

NIMIN2) (Supplementary Figure 13). Unsurprisingly given the relatively weak cuticle

phenotype of *mpk6* mutants compared with *gso1 gso2* mutants, some genes showing strong

down-regulation in the *gso1-1 gso2-1* mutants (*WRKY33, WRKY46 and WRKY53)* did not

show any significant reduction in expression in the *mpk6-2* mutant background

(Supplementary Figure 13) indicating that their transcriptional regulation downstream of

GSO1 and GSO2-mediated signalling could be dependent on signalling components acting

redundantly with MPK6. The expression of *ALE1, GSO1* and *GSO2* was not altered in *mpk6-*

2 mutants (Supplementary Figure 13), indicating that MPK6 most probably acts downstream

of GSO1 and GSO2-mediated signalling.

To further confirm this hypothesis, we analysed MPK phosphorylation in developing

seeds from Col-0 and *gso1-1 gso2-1* double mutants. In seedlings, phosphorylation of MPK6

(and additional MPKs) can only be detected after elicitation (for example with the flg22

peptide). The response to flg22 is not attenuated in *gso1/gso2* mutant seedlings

(Supplementary Figure 14 and 15). In contrast, MPK6 phosphorylation (but not

phosphorylation of other MPKs) could be detected in un-elicited seeds (Figure 6b,

Supplementary Figure 16). Following quantification, we found that the degree of

phosphorylation of MPK6 was reduced by approximately 50% in *gso1-1 gso2-1* double

mutant seeds compared to wild-type, suggesting that a significant proportion of MPK6

phosphorylation in seeds depends on the activity of GSO1 and GSO2 (Figure 6c,

Supplementary Figure 16). Intriguingly, in seeds, a band corresponding to a second

phosphorylated MPK was detected exclusively in *mpk6-2* mutants (Figure 6b), suggesting that

the relatively weak *mpk6* seedling cuticle phenotype could be due to compensation by an as

yet unidentified MPK [53].

MPK6 activity is required in the embryo, but not the endosperm, to maintain cuticle integrity.

The strong expression of GSO1 and GSO2 in the embryonic epidermis, suggests that

the activity of GSO1 and GSO2 in cuticle formation is required in the embryo. No promoters

confirmed as specifically being expressed only in the embryo or embryo epidermis, have been

published. To further confirm the spatial requirement for GSO1/GSO2-dependent signalling

in the seed, we therefore complemented the *mpk6-2* mutant either with the *MPK6* cDNA

expressed under the ubiquitously expressed *RPS5A* promoter, or under the endosperm specific

RGP3 promoter [54,55]. We were unable to complement either the misshapen seed/seed

bursting phenotypes or the toluidine blue permeability phenotypes of *mpk6-2* mutants by

expressing *MPK6* in the endosperm, but obtained full complementation of all phenotypes in

plants expressing *MPK6* under the *RPS5A* promoter (Figure 7, Supplementary Figures 17).

Together with the results of our reciprocal crosses, these findings indicate that the seedling

permeability phenotype of *mpk6-2* mutants is most likely due to signalling defects in the

embryo. Seed size and seed bursting defects could be caused by lack of MPK6 in the testa, as

suggested by reciprocal crosses, although this remains to be investigated in more detail. In

order to further confirm the function of MPK6 downstream of GSO1/GSO2 signalling we

attempted to express a constitutively active form of MPK6 under the *RPS5A* promoter in wild

type and double mutant plants, but were unable to generate any transformants, potentially due

to the critical roles played by MPK6 during early embryogenesis.

DISCUSSION

It this study, consistent with the similarity between GSO1/2 and PEPR1/2 proteins, we found

that stress-associated kinase MPK6, which has been shown to act downstream of PEPR

signalling [56], shows constitutive phosphorylation in developing seeds, and that this

phosphorylation is partially dependent upon GSO1 and GSO2. In addition, we showed that

GSO1/GSO2, are required for the expression of a set of stress-related genes during early seed

development. Our results suggest that GSO1/GSO2 dependent stress response-related

signalling pathways are active in developing seeds. Because of the conserved transcriptional

targets expressed downstream of GSO1/GSO2 dependent signalling, and in defence

responses, this scenario is distinct from previously reported situations in which single

pathway components, such as the co-receptor BAK1, play distinct roles in developmental and

defence-related signalling cascades through interaction with multiple receptors [57,58].

However, the role of the transcriptional targets of GSO1/GSO2 signalling in seeds remains to

be elucidated.

Our work also shows that GSO1/GSO2, ALE1 and MPK6 act in a genetic pathway

involved in ensuring embryonic cuticle integrity. We show for the first time that embryonic

cuticle biogenesis involves the coalescence of discontinuous patches of cutin-like material

that appear on the embryo surface at the globular stage, and that pathway mutants are either

incapable of completing, or retarded in the completion of "gap closure" during this process.

Interestingly, GSO1 (also known as SCHENGEN3 [6]) was recently shown to be involved in

ensuring the continuity of another apoplastic diffusion barrier, the Casparian strip, which

prevents the apoplastic movement of solutes from the cortex to the stele of the root [6]. GSO1

may therefore form part of a general mechanism employed by plants for monitoring the

"integrity" of apoplastic barriers formed during plant development.

The role of GSO1 and GSO2 in the closure of gaps in the nascent cuticle implies

spatial regulation of signalling outputs at the subcellular level. Cytoplasmic signalling

components which, like MPK6 might not be uncovered by transcriptome analysis but rather

are modified post-translationally, are therefore likely to be of critical importance in GSO1

GSO2 signalling in the embryonic epidermis. Indeed, although MPK6-mediated signalling

has most often been implicated in the control of transcription, particularly via the modulation

of the activity of WRKY transcription factors, evidence for potential roles in cytoplasmic

responses, for example during funicular guidance of pollen tubes [46] and control of cell

division planes [50], exist in the literature.

Cytoplasmic responses downstream of receptor-like kinases include the local

production of apoplastic Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) and/or calcium influxes, and indeed

localized ROS production has been implicated in Casparian strip formation [6,59-61].

However although a plausible model has proposed that ROS release could mediate Casparian

strip polymerisation though polymerisation of monolignols [59], it is less obvious how ROS

could directly affect the biosynthesis of an aliphatic cutin-based barrier, although a possible

role for ROS in linking the cuticle to the cell wall has been evoked [62]. ROS production has

been shown to directly modulate the activation of MAPK signalling, providing a mechanism

permitting the reinforcement of localised signalling events [63,64]. Another, potentially

linked, possibility is that GSO1/GSO2 activity in the embryo could spatially direct the

secretion of either cuticle components or enzymes and cell wall components necessary for

their integration into the cutin polymer, in a system analogous to the rapid and highly

localized deposition of callose observed upon hyphal penetration into epidermal cells

(reviewed in [65,66]). Interestingly MPK6 has also been shown to be involved in

phragmoplast formation during root cell division and therefore could be involved in the

localised production/secretion of apoplastic compounds [50]. However, observing these

processes *in situ,* within the living seeds, would require developments in microscopy which

are not yet available.

Our work highlights several questions which merit further discussion. A first

important question is whether the GSO1/GSO2 signalling pathway could play a role in

protecting seeds, or more generally plants, against pathogen attack. Cuticle integrity in adult

plants has been shown to be required for resistance to *Pseudomonas* pathovars [67,68]. The

action of the ALE1 GSO1/GSO2 signalling pathway in ensuring embryonic cuticle integrity is

therefore likely to have a significant influence on embryo and seedling susceptibility to

bacterial pathogens. However, we have also shown that GSO1/GSO2, ALE1 and MPK6 are

necessary for the expression of known defence marker genes in seeds. Cuticle permeability

phenotypes have neither been reported in the literature for mutants affected in the defence

markers identified in our transcriptome studies, nor found in our own studies (unpublished

results). This raises the question of whether the ALE1, GSO1/GSO2, MPK6 signalling

pathway, in addition to mediating localised apoplastic modifications, could act at a more

global level either to protect developing seeds from the ingress of bacterial pathogens (thus

affecting vertical pathogen transmission), or to "prime" embryos against pathogen attack upon

germination. Exploring this possibility would necessitate functionally separating

susceptibility caused by cuticle defects from lack of immune priming, and will be technically

very challenging, but could ultimately inform strategies aiming to reduce vertical transmission

of plant pathogens.

The second question concerns how signalling via GSO1 and GSO2 is triggered in the

seed in the absence of pathogens. In this study we consolidate data supporting the function of

ALE1 in the same pathway as GSO1 and GSO2. We previously proposed that the function of

ALE1, GSO1 and GSO2 in ensuring the apoplastic separation of the embryo and endosperm

became necessary in angiosperms due to developmental constraints imposed by the

sexualisation of the female gametophyte, which led to the simultaneous development of the

embryo and surrounding nutritive tissues post-fertilization, rather than their sequential

development [11,12]. *ALE1* expression is endosperm specific and, as previously suggested

[69], the recruitment of *ALE1* to a function in reinforcing the embryonic cuticle may have

occurred during the emergence of the angiosperm lineage. Subtilases have been shown to be

involved in defence responses and immune priming in plants [70,71]. It is thus possible that

ALE1 acts to produce an as yet unidentified ligand for the GSO1 and GSO2 receptors. In such

a scenario the function of ALE1 in the seed could be analogous to the "immune priming"

function previously reported for the subtilase SBT3.3 [71].

Such a scenario naturally raises a third, and important question, around the identity of

the ligand of GSO1 and GSO2. Two sulfated peptides, CIF1 and CIF2, which can act as

ligands for GSO1 during Casparian strip formation, have recently been identified [72,73].

Testing the role of these molecules in developing seeds will be an obvious priority. However

Nakayama and colleagues specifically reported that no cuticle defects (as gauged by

cotyledon fusion phenotypes) were observed in *cif1 cif2* double mutants and the possibility

that other signalling molecules could be involved in ensuring embryonic cuticle integrity

therefore cannot be excluded.

In summary, we propose that endosperm-localised factors (like ALE1) may have been

recruited to hijack a defence-signalling pathway involving the ancestor(s) of GSO1 and

GSO2, and downstream signalling components including MPK6, and trigger an "auto-

immune" type response in the embryo to ensure cuticle integrity. The future identification of

further pathway components, and in particular the substrates of ALE1 and ligands of GSO1

and GSO2, will help to confirm this hypothesis.

Materials and Methods

Plant material. The *pGSO1*:GSO1-mVENUS line was kindly donated by Professor Niko

Geldner (Unil-Sorge, University of Lausanne). The *mpk6-2* (SALK_073907) mutant and the

mpk3-1 (SALK-151594) were kindly provided by Dr Roberta Galletti.

Growth conditions and plant treatments. Unless otherwise specified, plants were grown for

10 d in sterile conditions on Murashige and Skoog (MS) agar plates with 0.5% sucrose, 1

month under short-day conditions (19°C, 8h light / 17°C, 16h dark) and then transferred to

standard long-day conditions (21°C, 16h light/8h dark) for one more month. To stage

material, newly opened flowers were marked each day for two weeks. For bacterial growth

assays, plants were grown under controlled conditions in a growth chamber at 21°C, with a 9-

hours light period and a light intensity of 190 $\mu mol.m^{-2}.s^{-1}$. For MPK6 activation analysis,

seedlings were grown for 10 d in MS liquid medium supplemented with 0.5% sucrose in

100μm cell strainers submerged in 6-well plates (5ml of medium per well). Cell strainers

were transferred to new plates containing MS sucrose 0.5% supplemented with 100 nM or 1

nM flg22 or water and incubated for 15 and 60 minutes at room temperature without skaking.

Seedlings were then rapidly harvested in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until protein

extraction. For cutin analysis of seedlings, seeds were sterilized, plated on MS medium

supplemented with 0.7 % agar, 0.7 % sucrose and 2.5 mM MES-KOH, pH 5.7, and stratified

in the dark for 3 days at 4°C. Plates were then transferred to a controlled environment growth

chamber at 22°C and with continuous light, and seedlings were grown for 5 days before

harvesting the cotyledons. For toluidine blue staining and Nile-Red staining, sterilized seeds

were spread uniformly on 15 cm MS plates with 0.5% sucrose and 0.4% Phytagel (Sigma)

(pH 5.8) and stratified for 2 days in the dark at 4°C. After stratification seeds were transferred

to a growth chamber and incubated for 6h under continuous light followed by 4 days in the

dark.

In situ hybridization. DNA templates for the probes used in *in situ* hybridizations were

amplified using the primers listed in Supplementary Table 2. Digoxigenin-labelled RNA

probes were produced and hybridized to tissue sections following standard procedures. In

brief, siliques were opened, fixed overnight in ice-cold PBS containing 4% paraformaldehyde,

dehydrated through an ethanol series, embedded in Paraplast Plus (Mc Cormick Scientific)

and sectioned (8 μm). Immobilized sections were dewaxed and hydrated, treated with 2x

saline sodium citrate (20 min), digested for 15 min at 37°C with proteinase K (20 mg/ml) in

50mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 5mM EDTA), treated for 2 min with 0.2% glycine in PBS, rinsed, postfixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (10 min, 4°C), rinsed, treated with 0.25% w/v acetic anhydride in 100mM triethanolamine (pH 8.0 with HCl) for 10 min, rinsed and dehydrated. Sections were then hybridized under coverslips overnight at 50°C with RNA probes (produced using DIG RNA labelling kit (Roche)) diluted in DIG easy Hyb solution (Roche) following the manufacturer's instructions. Following hybridization, the slides were extensively washed in 0.1x saline sodium citrate and 0.5% SDS at 50 °C (3 h), blocked for 1 hour in 1% blocking solution (Roche) in TBS and for 30 minutes in BSA solution (1% BSA, 0.3% Triton-X-100, 100mM Tris-HCl, 100mM NaCl, 50mM $MgCl_2$), and then incubated in a 1/3000 dilution of in alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antidigoxigenin antibody (Roche) in BSA solution for 2 h at RT. Sections were extensively washed in BSA solution, rinsed and treated overnight in the dark with a buffered NBT/BCIP solution. Samples were rinsed in water before air drying and mounting in Entellan (Sigma).

Microscopy. Embryos were imaged by gently bursting seeds between slide and cover-slip in water and imaging using a dipping lens with a long working distance. Confocal imaging was carried out on a Zeiss LSM700 with a W N-Acroplan 40x/0.75 M27 objective. mVENUS was excited using a 488nm diode laser and fluorescence was collected using a 490-555 nm PMT. Light microscopy imaging was carried out using a Zeiss axioimager 2. Images were acquired using bright field illumination.

Histochemical staining with Nile-Red.

5-day-old etiolated seedlings were stained with Nile-Red (Sigma-Aldrich, stock solution at 1mg/mL in DMSO) at 2µg/mL in 50mM PIPES (Sigma-Aldrich) pH 7.0. After 20min of incubation in dark, seedlings were washing 3-times in water and placed between slide and lamella. Confocal imaging was performed using a Zeiss LSM700 with 488nm excitation and

>530nm emission filters. Images were then processed in the Zeiss LSM Image Browser

Program.

Cutin analysis. Cuticle composition and content was analyzed as previously described

[74,75].

TEM analysis. For transmission electron microscopy analysis, seeds were removed from

siliques by removal of the replum tissue with attached seeds. Seeds were high-pressure

frozen with a Leica EM-PACT-1 system. Three seeds were inserted into a flat copper carrier,

fast-frozen, and cryosubstituted into the Leica AFS1 device. The different freeze-substitution

steps were as follows: 54 h at −90°C in acetone solution containing 0.2% glutaraldehyde, 1%

osmium tetroxide, and 0.1% uranyl acetate. The temperature was then raised with a step of

2°C/h before remaining for 8 hours at -60°C. The temperature was raised again to -30°C for

8h00 before being increased to 4°C. Samples were washed three times for 10 min in 100%

acetone before embedding in Spurr's resin, which was performed progressively (8 h in 25%

Spurr's resin in acetone, 24 h in 50% Spurr's resin in acetone, 24 h in 75% Spurr's resin in

acetone, and two times for 12 h in 100% Spurr's resin). Polymerization was performed at

70°C for 18 h.

Samples were sectioned (65 nm sections) and imaged at 120 kV using an FEI TEM tecnai

Spirit with 4 k x 4 k eagle ccd.

Generation of micro-array data. Microarray analysis was carried out at a Transcriptomic

Platform, POPS, at the Institute of Plant Sciences Paris-Saclay (IPS2, Orsay, France), using a

CATMAv7 array based on AGILENT technology [76]. The CATMAv7 array for the

Arabidopsis thaliana genome was made using gene annotations included in FLAGdb++, an

integrative database of plant genomes (http://urgv.evry.inra.fr/FLAGdb, [77]). The single high

density CATMAv7 microarray slide contains four chambers, each containing 149 916

465 primers. Each 60 bp primer is present in triplicate in each chamber for robust analysis, and as

466 both strands. The array contains 35 754 probes (in triplicate) corresponding to genes

467 annotated in TAIRv8 (among which 476 probes correspond to mitochondrial and chloroplast

468 genes), 1289 probes corresponding to EUGENE software predictions, 658 probes to

469 miRNA/MIRs and 240 control probes.

470   3 independent biological replicates were produced. For each biological repetition and

471 each point, RNA samples were obtained by pooling RNAs from staged siliques containing

472 embryos at the pre-globular to globular, or the young to late heart stage. Total RNA was

473 extracted using the Spectrum^{TM} Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the

474 suppliers' instructions. For each comparison, one technical replicate with fluorochrome

475 reversal was performed for each biological replicate (i.e. four hybridizations per comparison).

476 The labelling of cRNAs with Cy3-dUTP or Cy5-dUTP was performed as described in the

477 Two-Color Microarray-Based Gene Expression Analysis Low Input Quick Amp Labeling

478 manual (© Agilent Technologies, Inc.). The hybridization and washing steps were performed

479 according to the Agilent Microarray Hybridization Chamber User Guide instructions ((©

480 Agilent Technologies, Inc.). Two micron scanning was performed with InnoScan900 scanner

481 (Innopsys[R], Carbonne, FRANCE) and raw data were extracted using Mapix[R] software

482 (Innopsys[R], Carbonne, FRANCE).

484 **Statistical Analysis of Microarray Data.** Experiments were designed with the statistics

485 group of the Unité de Recherche en Génomique Végétale. For each array, the raw data

486 comprised the logarithm of median feature pixel intensity at wavelengths 635 nm (red) and

487 532 nm (green). For each array, a global intensity-dependent normalization using the loess

488 procedure [78] was performed to correct the dye bias. The differential analysis was based on

489 log-ratio averaging over the duplicate probes and over the technical replicates. Hence the

number of available data points for each gene equals the number of biological replicates and

is used to calculate the moderated t-test [79]. Analysis was carried out using the R software

(http://www.R-project.org). Under the null hypothesis, no evidence that the specific variances

vary between probes was highlighted by Limma and consequently the moderated t-statistic

was assumed to follow a standard normal distribution. To control the false discovery rate,

adjusted p-values found using the optimized FDR approach [80] were calculated. We

considered as being differentially expressed, the probes with an adjusted p-value ≤ 0.05. The

function SqueezeVar of the library Limma was used to smooth the specific variances by

computing empirical Bayes posterior means. The library kerfdr was used to calculate the

adjusted p-values.

Data Deposition. Microarray data from this article were deposited at Gene Expression

Omnibus (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/), accession no. GSE68048) and at CATdb

(http://urgv.evry.inra.fr/CATdb/; Project: AU14-04_INASEED) according to the "Minimum

Information About a Microarray Experiment" standards.

Quantitative gene expression analysis in seeds. Intact siliques were frozen in liquid

nitrogen and total RNA was extracted using the Spectrum Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma). Total

RNAs were digested with Turbo DNA-free DNase I (Ambion) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. RNA was reverse transcribed using the SuperScript VILO cDNA

Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. PCR reactions were

performed in an optical 384-well plate in the QuantStudio 6 Flex System (Applied

Biosystems), using FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master (Rox) (Roche), in a final volume

of 10 μl, according to the manufacturer's instructions. The following standard thermal profile

was used for all PCR reactions: 95 °C for 10 min, 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s, and 60 °C for

30 s. Data were analysed using the QuantStudio 6 Flex Real-Time PCR System Software

(Applied Biosystems). As a reference, primers for the EIF4A cDNA were used. PCR

efficiency (E) was estimated from the data obtained from standard curve amplification using

the equation $E=10^{-1/slope}$. Expression levels are presented as $E^{-\Delta Ct}$, where $\Delta Ct=Ct_{GOI}-Ct_{EIF4A}$.

Primers are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

Toluidine blue staining. The lids of plates containing etiolated seedlings were removed and

plates were immediately flooded with staining solution [0.05% (w/v) Toluidine Blue + 0.4%

(v/v) Tween-20] for 2 minutes. The staining solution was poured off and plates were

immediately rinsed gently by flooding under a running tap until the water stream was no

longer visibly blue (1-2 minutes). Seedlings were photographed under a Leica MZ12

stereomicroscope.

Protein extraction and MPK6 activation analysis. Seedlings or seeds were quickly frozen

in liquid nitrogen and proteins were extracted in buffer containing 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 200

mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA pH 8, 10% glycerol, 0.1% tween 20, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl

fluoride, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1x protease inhibitor cocktail P9599 (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1x

MS-Safe protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich). Equal amounts of

proteins (20 μg for seedlings and 10 μg for seeds) were resolved on 10% polyacrylamide gels

and transferred onto a nylon membrane (Schleicher & Schuell). For seedlings primary

antibodies against phospho p44/42 MAP kinase (1:2000 dilution) (Cell Signaling

Technologies) and then against MPK6 (1:10000 dilution) (Sigma-Aldrich) were used with

horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit as secondary antibody. Signal detection was

performed using the SuperSignal™ West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate kit (Pierce).

For seeds primary antibodies against phospho p44/42 MAP kinase and then against MPK6

were used with IRDye® 800CW Donkey anti-Rabbit IgG (H + LI-COR, 1:10000 dilution),

and the bound complex was detected using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Li-Cor;

Lincoln, NE). The images were analysed and quantified with ImageJ. Background was

subtracted for each band. To test the linearity of the detection, 5-15 µg protein from heart

stage developing seeds were treated as previously. To detect the antibody against phospho

p44/42 MAP kinase an anti-Rabbit IgG, HRP conjugate (Amersham, 1:30000) was used.

Anti-alpha-tubulin (Sigma, 1:2000) was used with an anti-mouse IgG, HRP conjugate (GE

HealthCare, 1:10000). Signal detection was performed using Clarity Max™ Western ECL

Substrate (Biorad) with a ChemiDoc Touch (Biorad) instrument. The images were quantified

with ImageJ. Background was subtracted for each band.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Professor N. Geldner for providing seeds, and A. Lacroix, J. Berger,

P, Bolland, H. Leyral and I. Desbouchages for assistance with plant growth and logistics. AC

was funded by a grant from the French National Research Agency (ANR-13-BSV2-0002,

INASEED). SM was supported by a doctoral grant from the Rhône-Alpes region. RG was

supported by a European Research Council Starting Grant (Phymorph #307387). NMD is

funded by a PhD fellowship from the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la

Recherche. Microscopy and lipid analyses were respectively performed at the Bordeaux

Imaging Center (which is a member of the national infrastructure France BioImaging), and

the Metabolome Facility of the Functional Genomic Center of Bordeaux (which is supported

by the grant MetaboHUB-ANR-11-INBS-0010).

Author contributions

Experiments were carried out by AC, LB, JJ, LT, NMD, SP, SM, ACM and FD. Results were

analyzed by all authors. GI, FD, JJ, TW and RG designed experiments and supervised the

work. GI wrote the paper with contributions from all authors.

The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.

Figure Legends

Figure 1

Genes involved in cuticle biosynthesis are co-expressed with *GSO1* and *GSO2* during

embryogenesis, but their expression is not dependent upon GSO1 and GSO2. Analysis of

the expression of genes involved in cuticle biosynthesis in wild-type (Col-0) and *gso1-1 gso2-*

1 seeds containing late globular/triangle, heart and early torpedo stage embryos (left to right).

Figure 2

Localisation and functionality of the *pGSO1:GSO1-mVENUS transgene* in developing

embryos. Confocal images of GSO1-mVENUS at early heart, mid heart, late heart and early

torpedo stages of development (a-d). (e) The *pGSO1:GSO1-mVENUS* transgene complements

seedling cuticle defects in *gso1-1 gso2-1* double mutants. Quantification of seedling toluidine

blue permeability was carried out as described in Moussu et al., 2017. Error bars represent

standard errors from three biological replicates. (f-h) The *pGSO1-GSO1:mVENUS* transgene

complements seed shape defects in *gso1-1 gso2-1* double mutants. Seed populations from

wild-type (f), *gso1-1 gso2-1* double mutants (g) and *gso1-1 gso2-1* double mutants carrying

the *pGSO1:GSO1-mVENUS* transgene (h). Occasional misshapen shaped seeds are observed

in the complemented line (white arrows), compared with nearly 100% misshapen seeds in the

un-complemented double mutant. Scale bar = 1mm.

Figure 3

Cuticle permeability defects in *ale1-4* and *gso1-1 gso2-1* seedlings do not correlate with

changes in cutin load. (a) Cotyledons grown *in vitro* for 5 days under continuous light were

collected, delipidated and their cutin content and composition was analyzed as described in

the Material and Method section. ωOH and DCA stand respectively for omega-hydroxy acid

and α,ω-dicarboxylic acid. Mean values are shown in μg/mg of delipidated dry residue (DR)

± SD of three replicates. Statistical differences were determined according to a Student's *t* test

: *** denotes p<0.0001, ** denotes p<0.001 and * denotes p<0.01. (b) Cuticle permeability to

toluidine blue in etiolated seedlings from the genotypes tested in (a).

Figure 4

Embryonic cuticle biogenesis involves a process of patch coalescence that is defective in
***ale1-4* and *gso1-1 gso2-1* mutants.** Analysis of embryonic cuticle deposition in wild-type (a-
d)*, gso1-1 gso2-1* (e-f) and *ale1-4* (g,h) embryos at 2 cell (a), mid globular (b), mid heart
(c,e,g) and walking stick (d,f,h) stages of embryogenesis. White arrows show the external face
of the embryonic cuticle. Scale bar = 500nm.

Figure 5

ALE1, GSO1 and GSO2 positively regulate the expression of stress-related genes in
seeds. (a) Summary of overlaps between gene sets showing reduced expression in *ale1-4* and
gso1-1 gso2-1 mutants at the globular and heart stages of development. (b) GO term analysis
of genes down-regulated in both *ale1-4* and *gso1-1 gso2-2* mutants at the heart stage.

Figure 6

MPK6 acts downstream of ALE1, GSO1 and GSO2 mediated signalling. (a) Seedling
cuticle permeability phenotypes of *mpk6-2* and *ale1-4* and *gso1-1 gso2-1* mutants and in
double and triple mutant combinations. Scale bar = 2mm (b) Analysis of proteins extracted
from developing seeds at the globular-early torpedo stage. The mutants *mpk3-1* and *mpk6-2*
were included to confirm band identification. No phosphorylation of MPKs other than MPK6
is observed in Col-0*, gso1-1 gso2-1* or *mpk3-1* seeds, but an additional band (**) is

systematically observed in the *mpk6-2* mutant background. * Indicates a non specific band

detected by the anti-MPK6 antibody. This experiment was repeated 7 times on independent

biological samples, with similar results. (c) Degree of phosphorylation of MPK6 in Col-0 and

gso1-1 gso2-1 mutant seeds. Error bars represent SD of 3 biological replicates (see

Supplementary Figure 16 for linearity testing).

Figure 7

MPK6 activity is required in the embryo and testa, but not the endosperm, for normal

seedling development. (a) Representative phenotypes of toluidine blue-stained seedlings

from wild-type (Col-0), *mpk6-2,* and these backgrounds transformed with *pRGP3-MPK6* or

pRPS5A-MPK6. Lines correspond to those described in Supplementary Figure 17. Scale bar =

2mm

References

1. Ingram GC (2010) Family life at close quarters: communication and constraint in angiosperm seed development. Protoplasma 247: 195-214.
2. Baroux C, Spillane C, Grossniklaus U (2002) Evolutionary origins of the endosperm in flowering plants. Genome Biol 3: reviews1026.
3. Fiume E, Fletcher JC (2012) Regulation of Arabidopsis embryo and endosperm development by the polypeptide signaling molecule CLE8. Plant Cell 24: 1000-1012.
4. Costa LM, Marshall E, Tesfaye M, Silverstein KA, Mori M, et al. (2014) Central cell-derived peptides regulate early embryo patterning in flowering plants. Science 344: 168-172.
5. Bayer M, Nawy T, Giglione C, Galli M, Meinnel T, et al. (2009) Paternal control of embryonic patterning in Arabidopsis thaliana. Science 323: 1485-1488.
6. Pfister A, Barberon M, Alassimone J, Kalmbach L, Lee Y, et al. (2014) A receptor-like kinase mutant with absent endodermal diffusion barrier displays selective nutrient homeostasis defects. Elife 3: e03115.
7. Xing Q, Creff A, Waters A, Tanaka H, Goodrich J, et al. (2013) ZHOUPI controls embryonic cuticle formation via a signalling pathway involving the subtilisin protease ABNORMAL LEAF-SHAPE1 and the receptor kinases GASSHO1 and GASSHO2. Development 140: 770-779.
8. Yang S, Johnston N, Talideh E, Mitchell S, Jeffree C, et al. (2008) The endosperm-specific ZHOUPI gene of Arabidopsis thaliana regulates endosperm breakdown and embryonic epidermal development. Development 135: 3501-3509.
9. Tsuwamoto R, Fukuoka H, Takahata Y (2008) GASSHO1 and GASSHO2 encoding a putative leucine-rich repeat transmembrane-type receptor kinase are essential for the normal development of the epidermal surface in Arabidopsis embryos. Plant J 54: 30-42.

10. Tanaka H, Onouchi H, Kondo M, Hara-Nishimura I, Nishimura M, et al. (2001) A subtilisin-like
serine protease is required for epidermal surface formation in Arabidopsis embryos and
juvenile plants. Development 128: 4681-4689.
11. Moussu S, San-Bento R, Galletti R, Creff A, Farcot E, et al. (2013) Embryonic cuticle establishment:
the great (apoplastic) divide. Plant Signal Behav 8: e27491.
12. San-Bento R, Farcot E, Galletti R, Creff A, Ingram G (2014) Epidermal identity is maintained by
cell-cell communication via a universally active feedback loop in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J
77: 46-58.
13. Bernard A, Joubes J (2012) Arabidopsis cuticular waxes: Advances in synthesis, export and
regulation. Prog Lipid Res 52: 110-129.
14. Fich EA, Segerson NA, Rose JK (2016) The Plant Polyester Cutin: Biosynthesis, Structure, and
Biological Roles. Annu Rev Plant Biol 10.1146/annurev-arplant-043015-111929.
15. Javelle M, Vernoud V, Rogowsky PM, Ingram GC (2011) Epidermis: the formation and functions of
a fundamental plant tissue. New Phytol 189: 17-39.
16. Serrano M, Coluccia F, Torres M, L'Haridon F, Metraux JP (2014) The cuticle and plant defense to
pathogens. Front Plant Sci 5: 274.
17. Tanaka T, Tanaka H, Machida C, Watanabe M, Machida Y (2004) A new method for rapid
visualization of defects in leaf cuticle reveals five intrinsic patterns of surface defects in
Arabidopsis. Plant J 37: 139-146.
18. Delude C, Moussu S, Joubes J, Ingram G, Domergue F (2016) Plant Surface Lipids and Epidermis
Development. Sub-cellular biochemistry 86: 287-313.
19. Le BH, Cheng C, Bui AQ, Wagmaister JA, Henry KF, et al. (2010) Global analysis of gene activity
during Arabidopsis seed development and identification of seed-specific transcription
factors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 8063-8070.
20. Winter D, Vinegar B, Nahal H, Ammar R, Wilson GV, et al. (2007) An "Electronic Fluorescent
Pictograph" browser for exploring and analyzing large-scale biological data sets. PLoS One 2:
e718.
21. Belmonte MF, Kirkbride RC, Stone SL, Pelletier JM, Bui AQ, et al. (2013) Comprehensive
developmental profiles of gene activity in regions and subregions of the Arabidopsis seed.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110: E435-444.
22. Lu S, Song T, Kosma DK, Parsons EP, Rowland O, et al. (2009) Arabidopsis CER8 encodes LONG-
CHAIN ACYL-COA SYNTHETASE 1 (LACS1) that has overlapping functions with LACS2 in plant
wax and cutin synthesis. Plant J 59: 553-564.
23. Schnurr J, Shockey J, Browse J (2004) The acyl-CoA synthetase encoded by LACS2 is essential for
normal cuticle development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 16: 629-642.
24. Li-Beisson Y, Shorrosh B, Beisson F, Andersson MX, Arondel V, et al. (2013) Acyl-lipid metabolism.
Arabidopsis Book 11: e0161.
25. Beisson F, Li-Beisson Y, Pollard M (2012) Solving the puzzles of cutin and suberin polymer
biosynthesis. Curr Opin Plant Biol 15: 329-337.
26. Pruitt RE, Vielle-Calzada JP, Ploense SE, Grossniklaus U, Lolle SJ (2000) FIDDLEHEAD, a gene
required to suppress epidermal cell interactions in Arabidopsis, encodes a putative lipid
biosynthetic enzyme. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97: 1311-1316.
27. Yephremov A, Wisman E, Huijser P, Huijser C, Wellesen K, et al. (1999) Characterization of the
FIDDLEHEAD gene of Arabidopsis reveals a link between adhesion response and cell
differentiation in the epidermis. The Plant Cell 11: 2187-2201.
28. Wellesen K, Durst F, Pinot F, Benveniste I, Nettesheim K, et al. (2001) Functional analysis of the
LACERATA gene of Arabidopsis provides evidence for different roles of fatty acid omega -
hydroxylation in development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 9694-9699.
29. Kurdyukov S, Faust A, Nawrath C, Bar S, Voisin D, et al. (2006) The epidermis-specific extracellular
BODYGUARD controls cuticle development and morphogenesis in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 18:
321-339.

30. Debono A, Yeats TH, Rose JK, Bird D, Jetter R, et al. (2009) Arabidopsis LTPG Is a
Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-Anchored Lipid Transfer Protein Required for Export of Lipids to
the Plant Surface. Plant Cell.
31. Bird D, Beisson F, Brigham A, Shin J, Greer S, et al. (2007) Characterization of Arabidopsis
ABCG11/WBC11, an ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporter that is required for cuticular lipid
secretion. Plant J 52: 485-498.
32. Li Y, Beisson F, Koo AJ, Molina I, Pollard M, et al. (2007) Identification of acyltransferases required
for cutin biosynthesis and production of cutin with suberin-like monomers. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A 104: 18339-18344.
33. Sarnowski TJ, Rios G, Jasik J, Swiezewski S, Kaczanowski S, et al. (2005) SWI3 subunits of putative
SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling complexes play distinct roles during Arabidopsis
development. Plant Cell 17: 2454-2472.
34. Shiu SH, Bleecker AB (2001) Receptor-like kinases from Arabidopsis form a monophyletic gene
family related to animal receptor kinases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 10763-10768.
35. Shiu SH, Bleecker AB (2003) Expansion of the receptor-like kinase/Pelle gene family and receptor-
like proteins in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 132: 530-543.
36. Yamaguchi Y, Huffaker A, Bryan AC, Tax FE, Ryan CA (2010) PEPR2 is a second receptor for the
Pep1 and Pep2 peptides and contributes to defense responses in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 22:
508-522.
37. Krol E, Mentzel T, Chinchilla D, Boller T, Felix G, et al. (2010) Perception of the Arabidopsis danger
signal peptide 1 involves the pattern recognition receptor AtPEPR1 and its close homologue
AtPEPR2. J Biol Chem 285: 13471-13479.
38. Yamaguchi Y, Huffaker A (2011) Endogenous peptide elicitors in higher plants. Curr Opin Plant
Biol 14: 351-357.
39. Lopez-Bucio JS, Dubrovsky JG, Raya-Gonzalez J, Ugartechea-Chirino Y, Lopez-Bucio J, et al. (2014)
Arabidopsis thaliana mitogen-activated protein kinase 6 is involved in seed formation and
modulation of primary and lateral root development. J Exp Bot 65: 169-183.
40. Zhang M, Wu H, Su J, Wang H, Zhu Q, et al. (2017) Maternal control of embryogenesis by MPK6
and its upstream MKK4/MKK5 in Arabidopsis. Plant J 92: 1005-1019.
41. Moussu S, Doll NM, Chamot S, Brocard L, Creff A, et al. (2017) ZHOUPI and KERBEROS Mediate
Embryo/Endosperm Separation by Promoting the Formation of an Extracuticular Sheath at
the Embryo Surface. Plant Cell 29: 1642-1656.
42. Zhang Y, Wang P, Shao W, Zhu JK, Dong J (2015) The BASL polarity protein controls a MAPK
signaling feedback loop in asymmetric cell division. Dev Cell 33: 136-149.
43. Sethi V, Raghuram B, Sinha AK, Chattopadhyay S (2014) A mitogen-activated protein kinase
cascade module, MKK3-MPK6 and MYC2, is involved in blue light-mediated seedling
development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 26: 3343-3357.
44. Smekalova V, Luptovciak I, Komis G, Samajova O, Ovecka M, et al. (2014) Involvement of YODA
and mitogen activated protein kinase 6 in Arabidopsis post-embryogenic root development
through auxin up-regulation and cell division plane orientation. New Phytol 203: 1175-1193.
45. Guan Y, Meng X, Khanna R, LaMontagne E, Liu Y, et al. (2014) Phosphorylation of a WRKY
transcription factor by MAPKs is required for pollen development and function in
Arabidopsis. PLoS Genet 10: e1004384.
46. Guan Y, Lu J, Xu J, McClure B, Zhang S (2014) Two Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases, MPK3 and
MPK6, Are Required for Funicular Guidance of Pollen Tubes in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 165:
528-533.
47. Jewaria PK, Hara T, Tanaka H, Kondo T, Betsuyaku S, et al. (2013) Differential effects of the
peptides Stomagen, EPF1 and EPF2 on activation of MAP kinase MPK6 and the SPCH protein
level. Plant Cell Physiol 54: 1253-1262.
48. Khan M, Rozhon W, Bigeard J, Pflieger D, Husar S, et al. (2013) Brassinosteroid-regulated
GSK3/Shaggy-like kinases phosphorylate mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase kinases,
which control stomata development in Arabidopsis thaliana. J Biol Chem 288: 7519-7527.

49. Meng X, Wang H, He Y, Liu Y, Walker JC, et al. (2012) A MAPK cascade downstream of ERECTA
receptor-like protein kinase regulates Arabidopsis inflorescence architecture by promoting
localized cell proliferation. Plant Cell 24: 4948-4960.
50. Muller J, Beck M, Mettbach U, Komis G, Hause G, et al. (2010) Arabidopsis MPK6 is involved in cell
division plane control during early root development, and localizes to the pre-prophase
band, phragmoplast, trans-Golgi network and plasma membrane. Plant J 61: 234-248.
51. Cho SK, Larue CT, Chevalier D, Wang H, Jinn TL, et al. (2008) Regulation of floral organ abscission
in Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105: 15629-15634.
52. Wang H, Liu Y, Bruffett K, Lee J, Hause G, et al. (2008) Haplo-insufficiency of MPK3 in MPK6
mutant background uncovers a novel function of these two MAPKs in Arabidopsis ovule
development. Plant Cell 20: 602-613.
53. Ren D, Liu Y, Yang KY, Han L, Mao G, et al. (2008) A fungal-responsive MAPK cascade regulates
phytoalexin biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105: 5638-5643.
54. Moussu SA, Doll NM, Chamot S, Brocard L, Creff A, et al. (2017) ZHOUPI and KERBEROS Mediate
Embryo/Endosperm Separation by Promoting the Formation of an Extra-Cuticular Sheath at
the Embryo Surface. Plant Cell 10.1105/tpc.17.00016.
55. Denay G, Creff A, Moussu S, Wagnon P, Thevenin J, et al. (2014) Endosperm breakdown in
Arabidopsis requires heterodimers of the basic helix-loop-helix proteins ZHOUPI and
INDUCER OF CBP EXPRESSION 1. Development 141: 1222-1227.
56. Bartels S, Lori M, Mbengue M, van Verk M, Klauser D, et al. (2013) The family of Peps and their
precursors in Arabidopsis: differential expression and localization but similar induction of
pattern-triggered immune responses. J Exp Bot 64: 5309-5321.
57. Postel S, Kufner I, Beuter C, Mazzotta S, Schwedt A, et al. (2010) The multifunctional leucine-rich
repeat receptor kinase BAK1 is implicated in Arabidopsis development and immunity. Eur J
Cell Biol 89: 169-174.
58. Kim BH, Kim SY, Nam KH (2013) Assessing the diverse functions of BAK1 and its homologs in
arabidopsis, beyond BR signaling and PTI responses. Molecules and cells 35: 7-16.
59. Lee Y, Rubio MC, Alassimone J, Geldner N (2013) A mechanism for localized lignin deposition in
the endodermis. Cell 153: 402-412.
60. Steinhorst L, Kudla J (2013) Calcium and reactive oxygen species rule the waves of signaling. Plant
Physiol 163: 471-485.
61. Kadota Y, Shirasu K, Zipfel C (2015) Regulation of the NADPH Oxidase RBOHD During Plant
Immunity. Plant Cell Physiol 56: 1472-1480.
62. Dominguez E, Heredia-Guerrero JA, Heredia A (2015) Plant cutin genesis: unanswered questions.
Trends Plant Sci 20: 551-558.
63. Liu Y, He C (2017) A review of redox signaling and the control of MAP kinase pathway in plants.
Redox Biol 11: 192-204.
64. Jalmi SK, Sinha AK (2015) ROS mediated MAPK signaling in abiotic and biotic stress- striking
similarities and differences. Front Plant Sci 6: 769.
65. Ellinger D, Voigt CA (2014) Callose biosynthesis in Arabidopsis with a focus on pathogen response:
what we have learned within the last decade. Annals of botany 114: 1349-1358.
66. Voigt CA (2014) Callose-mediated resistance to pathogenic intruders in plant defense-related
papillae. Front Plant Sci 5: 168.
67. Tang D, Simonich MT, Innes RW (2007) Mutations in LACS2, a long-chain acyl-coenzyme A
synthetase, enhance susceptibility to avirulent Pseudomonas syringae but confer resistance
to Botrytis cinerea in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiology 144: 1093-1103.
68. Xiao F, Goodwin SM, Xiao Y, Sun Z, Baker D, et al. (2004) Arabidopsis CYP86A2 represses
Pseudomonas syringae type III genes and is required for cuticle development. EMBO J 23:
2903-2913.
69. Waters A, Creff A, Goodrich J, Ingram G (2013) "What we've got here is failure to communicate":
Zou mutants and endosperm cell death in seed development. Plant Signal Behav 8.

70. Pearce G, Yamaguchi Y, Barona G, Ryan CA (2010) A subtilisin-like protein from soybean contains
an embedded, cryptic signal that activates defense-related genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
107: 14921-14925.
71. Ramirez V, Lopez A, Mauch-Mani B, Gil MJ, Vera P (2013) An extracellular subtilase switch for
immune priming in Arabidopsis. PLoS Pathog 9: e1003445.
72. Nakayama T, Shinohara H, Tanaka M, Baba K, Ogawa-Ohnishi M, et al. (2017) A peptide hormone
required for Casparian strip diffusion barrier formation in Arabidopsis roots. Science 355:
284-286.
73. Doblas VG, Smakowska-Luzan E, Fujita S, Alassimone J, Barberon M, et al. (2017) Root diffusion
barrier control by a vasculature-derived peptide binding to the SGN3 receptor. Science 355:
280-284.
74. Domergue F, Vishwanath SJ, Joubes J, Ono J, Lee JA, et al. (2010) Three Arabidopsis fatty acyl-
coenzyme A reductases, FAR1, FAR4, and FAR5, generate primary fatty alcohols associated
with suberin deposition. Plant Physiol 153: 1539-1554.
75. Bourdenx B, Bernard A, Domergue F, Pascal S, Leger A, et al. (2011) Overexpression of
Arabidopsis ECERIFERUM1 promotes wax very-long-chain alkane biosynthesis and influences
plant response to biotic and abiotic stresses. Plant Physiol 156: 29-45.
76. Gagnot S, Tamby JP, Martin-Magniette ML, Bitton F, Taconnat L, et al. (2008) CATdb: a public
access to Arabidopsis transcriptome data from the URGV-CATMA platform. Nucleic Acids Res
36: D986-990.
77. Derozier S, Samson F, Tamby JP, Guichard C, Brunaud V, et al. (2011) Exploration of plant
genomes in the FLAGdb++ environment. Plant Methods 7: 8.
78. Yang YH, Dudoit S, Luu P, Lin DM, Peng V, et al. (2002) Normalization for cDNA microarray data: a
robust composite method addressing single and multiple slide systematic variation. Nucleic
Acids Res 30: e15.
79. Smyth GK (2004) Linear models and empirical bayes methods for assessing differential expression
in microarray experiments. Statistical applications in genetics and molecular biology 3:
Article3.
80. Storey JD, Tibshirani R (2003) Statistical significance for genomewide studies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U
S A 100: 9440-9445.

Supporting Information Legends

Supplementary Figure 1 (Related to Figure 1) : Genes involved in cuticle biosynthesis

are expressed early during embryo development and are co-expressed with *GSO1* and

GSO2. Expression data for *LACS2* **(a)**, *FDH* **(b)**, *BDG* **(c)**, *LCR* **(d)**, *LTPG1* **(e)**, *ABCG11* **(f)**,

GSO1 **(g)** and *GSO2* **(h)** downloaded from the Seed Gene Network resource

(http://seedgenenetwork.net/).

Supplementary Figure 2 (Related to Figure 1) : Genes involved in cuticle biosynthesis

are co-expressed with *GSO1* and *GSO2* in the embryonic epidermis during

embryogenesis, but their expression is not dependent upon GSO1 and GSO2. Analysis

of the expression of genes involved in cuticle biosynthesis in wild-type (Col-0) and *gso1-1*

gso2-1 seeds containing late globular/triangle, heart and early torpedo stage embryos (left to

right).

Supplementary Figure 3 (Related to Figure 1) : Hybridization of tissue sections to an

antisense *GFP* probe as negative control in Col-0 (a-c) and *gso1 gso2* (d-f) seeds

containing late globular (a,d), heart (b,e) and early torpedo (c,f) stage embryos.

Expression of *LTPG1* in wild type seed containing torpedo stage embryo is shown in (g) for

direct comparison.

Supplementary Figure 4 (Related to Figure 4) : Analysis of the permeability of

extruded Col-0 embryos at different developmental stages to toluidine blue treatment.

Three stained and one unstained embryo (below) are shown for each developmental stage.

Scale bar = 100mm

Supplementary Figure 5 (Related to Figure 4) : Cuticle discontinuities in early wild-

type (Col-0) embryos and later *gso1 gso2* embryos*. a,b) Cuticle the mid-late globular

stage (during gap closure) in Col-0 embryos showing disconuinuous cuticle*. gso1-1 gso2-1*

mutant embryos maintain a diffuse and discontinuous cuticle at later stages. Analysis of

embryonic cuticle deposition in *gso1-1 gso2-1* at the *mid heart* **(c-d)** and walking stick **(e-f)**

stages of embryogenesis, when wild-type cuticle is continuous. White arrows show external

face of embryonic cuticle. Scale bar = 500nm.

Supplementary Figure 6 (Related to Figure 5) : GO term analysis of genes showing

increased expression in siliques of   both *gso1-1 gso2-1* and *ale1-4* mutant

backgrounds at the globular (a) and heart (b) stages of embryo development. The

degree of overlap between these datasets is illustrated in **(c)**.

Supplementary Figure 7 (Related to Figure 5) : GO term analysis of genes showing

reduced expression in siliques of both *gso1-1 gso2-1* and *ale1-4* mutant backgrounds

at the globular (a) and heart (b) stages of embryo development.

Supplementary Figure 8 (Related to Figure 5) : Validation by qRT-PCR of microarray data. Experiments were carried out in 4 biological replicates. Values are expressed relative to the *EIF4A* gene. Significance values indicated were calculated using a Student's t-test. *** denotes $p < 0.01$, ** denotes $p < 0.05$ and * denotes $p < 0.1$. Bars indicate standard errors.

Supplementary Figure 9 (Related to Figure 5) : Analysis of the expression of *SWI3A* in wild-type (a-c), *gso1-1 gso2-1* (d-f) mutant embryos in seeds containing late globular/triangle (a,d), heart (b,e) and early torpedo (c,f) stage embryos. Expression data for *SWI3A* downloaded from the Seed Gene Network resource (http://seedgenenetwork.net/) is shown in **(g).**

Supplementary Figure 10 (Related to Figure 6) : Non additivity of seed twisting (a-b) and seedling cuticle permeability (c) phenotypes between *mpk6-2* and *ale1-4* mutants and between *mpk6-2* and *gso1-1 gso2-1* double mutants. Populations of seeds **(a)** from single double and triple mutants were photographed, and seed phenotypes were quantified **(b)**(Col-0 n= 196, *ale1-4* n=200, *mpk6-2* n=210, *gso1-1 gso2-1* n=111, *mpk6-2 ale1-4* (3 individuals) n = 211, 212 and 238, *mpk6-2 gso1-1 gso2-1* n=86). Etiolated seedlings were treated with toluidine blue and seedling and toluidine blue phenotypes were quantified **(c)**. Results are representative of three independent experiments. Col-0 n=389, *ale1-4* n=387 , *mpk6-2* n=383, *mpk6-2 ale1-4* n=398. (Quantifications were not possible for *mpk6-2 gso1-1 gso2-1* triple mutants due to low seed set).

Supplementary Figure 11 (Related to Figure 6) : Cuticle phenotypes using Nile-Red staining of etiolated cotyledons. Genotypes are indicated on left panels with zones magnified on the right highlighted by white boxes. Arrows indicate position of the cuticle and arrowheads indicate gaps in the cuticle. a) Biological replicate 1.

Cuticle phenotypes using Nile-Red staining of etiolated cotyledons. b) Biological replicate 2

Supplementary Figure 13 (Related to Figure 6) : qRT-PCR analysis of the expression of candidate target genes in *mpk6-2* mutant siliques. Experiments were carried out in biological triplicate. Values are expressed relative to *EIF4* gene expression. Significance values indicated were calculated using a Student's t-test. *** denotes $p < 0.01$, **denotes $p < 0.05$ and * denotes $p < 0.1$. Bars indicate standard errors.

Supplementary Figure 14 (Related to Figure 6) : GSO1 and GSO2 are not necessary for MPK6 phosphorylation in response to PAMP-elicitation in seedlings. Western blot analysis of phosphorylated MPK proteins (upper panel) and then total MPK6 protein (middle panel). Loading control (Ponceau S-stained Rubisco) is shown in lower panel. The same blot is shown in the upper middle and lower panel. * Indicates a non specific band detected by the anti-MPK6 antibody. Seedlings were treated with water or with 100nM flg22 for either 15 or 60 minutes before protein extraction. The mutants *mpk3-1* and *mpk6-2* were included to confirm band identities.

Supplementary Figure 15 (Related to Figure 6) : *gso1 gso2* mutant seedlings are not significantly affected in the MPK6 phosphorylation response to flg22. Western blot analysis of phosphorylated MPK proteins (upper panels) and then total MPK6 protein (middle panels). Loading control (Ponceau S-stained Rubisco) is shown in lower panel. The same blot is shown in the upper middle and lower panel. * Indicates a non specific band detected by the anti-MPK6 antibody. Seedlings were treated with water or with 1nM flg22 for either 15 or 60 minutes before protein extraction.

Supplementary Figure 16 (Related to Figure 6) : Developing *gso1 gso2* mutant seeds show reduced levels of MPK6 phosphorylation compared to wild-type seeds. a) Western blot analysis of phosphorylated MPK6 protein in developing seeds exposed at four consecutive one minute intervals (to confirm signal linearity). Loading control (α-tubulinA) is shown in lower panel. B) Degree of phosphorylation of MPK6 in Col-0 and *gso1-1 gso2-1* mutant seeds. Error bars represent SD of 3 biological replicates.

915 **Supplementary Figure 17 (Related to Figure 7) : MPK6 is required in the embryo and**

916 **testa, but not the endosperm (a)** Representative phenotypes of seeds from wild-type (Col-

917 0), *mpk6-2,* and these backgrounds transformed with *pRGP3-MPK6 or pRPS5A-MPK6.* **(b)**

918 Quantification of seed phenotypes in the above material. Seeds from at least two

919 independent transgenic lines have been quantified.

Figure 1

Figure 1
Genes involved in cuticle biosynthesis are co-expressed with *GSO1* and *GSO2* during embryogenesis, but their expression is not dependent upon GSO1 and GSO2. Analysis of the expression of genes involved in cuticle biosynthesis in wild-type (Col-0) and *gso1-1 gso2-1* seeds containing late globular/triangle, heart and early torpedo stage embryos (left to right).