Positive linkage between bacterial social traits reveals that homogeneous

rather than specialized behavioral repertoires prevail in natural *Pseudomonas*

communities

Jos Kramer[1,2]*, Miguel Ángel López Carrasco[1,3] and Rolf Kümmerli[1,2]

[1] Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of Zürich, Switzerland

[2] Department of Quantitative Biomedicine, University of Zürich, Switzerland

[3] Departamento de Biología Celular, Genética y Fisiología, University of Málaga, Spain

* Corresponding author: Jos Kramer, phone: +41 (0)44 63 52902; e-mail: JosKramer@gmx.de

Running title: Positive linkage between social traits

ABSTRACT

Bacteria frequently cooperate by sharing secreted metabolites such as enzymes and siderophores. The expression of different 'public good' traits can be interdependent, and studies on laboratory systems have shown that such trait linkage affects eco-evolutionary dynamics within bacterial communities. Here, we examine whether linkage among social traits occurs in natural *Pseudomonas* communities by examining investment levels and correlations between five public goods: biosurfactants, biofilm components, proteases, pyoverdines, and toxic compounds. Our phenotypic assays involving 315 isolates from soil and freshwater communities revealed that their social trait expression profiles varied dramatically, and that correlations between traits were frequent, exclusively positive, and sometimes habitat-specific. Our results indicate that *Pseudomonas* communities are dominated by isolates lying on a continuum between a 'social' type producing multiple public goods, and an 'asocial' type showing low investment into social traits. This segregation into different social types could reflect local adaptation to different microhabitats, or emerge from competition between different (social) strategies. Moreover, our results show that isolates with specialized trait repertoires are rare, suggesting limited scope for the mutual exchange of different public goods between isolates. Overall, our work indicates that complex interdependencies among social traits influence the evolution of microbial lifestyles in nature.

Keywords: public goods; bacterial communities; pleiotropy; competition; mutualism; regulatory linkage

INTRODUCTION

Bacteria are social organisms. They form multicellular structures such as biofilms, communicate with each other via chemical signals, and engage in group-coordinated motility, resource acquisition and 'chemical warfare' against predators or competitors (West *et al.* 2007; Foster 2010). Many of these social behaviours involve the cooperative release of costly secondary metabolites. For instance, biofilm formation requires the production of structural polysaccharides, group-coordinated motility often involves the production of biosurfactants, and resource acquisition is based on the production of exo-enzymes and metal-chelating siderophores (Griffin, West and Buckling 2004; Nadell, Xavier and Foster 2009; Kearns 2010; Jousset *et al.* 2013). Once released, these compounds are – in principle – publicly accessible to cells in the vicinity. Such 'public goods' (*sensu* Kümmerli and Ross-Gillespie 2014) do therefore not only benefit (clonemates of) the producer, but can also affect other community members. The resulting interactions cover all aspects of bacterial life, ranging from 'cheating', where strains deficient for the production of a public good free-ride on the common pool of this resource (West *et al.* 2006; Özkaya *et al.* 2017) over inter-strain competition (Cordero *et al.* 2012; Bruce *et al.* 2017; Leinweber, Weigert and Kümmerli 2018) to division of labour between bacterial strains exchanging different types of public goods (van Gestel, Vlamakis and Kolter 2015; Dragoš *et al.* 2018). There is increasing evidence that such social interactions play a crucial role during host infections (Rumbaugh *et al.* 2009; Pollitt *et al.* 2014; Andersen *et al.* 2015; Granato *et al.* 2018), in various biotechnological and environmental processes (Bachmann *et al.* 2016; Hesse *et al.* 2018) and within the microbiome (Rakoff-Nahoum, Foster and Comstock 2016).

While many aspects of bacterial social interactions are well understood for individual traits, it is important to consider that the expression of different traits is often interdependent. Such dependencies can give rise to an additional level of complexity, as selection on one trait can have pleiotropic consequences for other traits (Jousset *et al.* 2009; Wilder, Diggle and Schuster 2011; Dandekar, Chugani and Greenberg 2012; Wang *et al.* 2015; Allen *et al.* 2016). For example, for *Pseudomonas* bacteria it was shown that a reduction of exo-protease production can increase sensitivity to predation (Jousset *et al.* 2009) and reduce

metabolic abilities and toxin resistance (Dandekar, Chugani and Greenberg 2012; Wang *et al.* 2015),

thereby compromising the spread of the non-producers. Especially when such linkage occurs between two

or more public-good traits, the potentially resulting pleiotropic effects can have far-reaching consequences.

For instance, positive linkage – where mutations reducing the production of one public good might also

reduce the production of a second public good – could accelerate the loss of cooperation, and could hence

favour the emergence of 'supercheaters' that simultaneously free-ride on multiple public goods (Granato *et*

al. 2018). Conversely, negative linkage – where a reduced production of one public good might lead to an

increase in the production of another public good – could promote the emergence of 'mosaic producers'

with a limited public goods repertoire, which could potentially complement each other (Driscoll *et al.* 2011;

Ross-Gillespie, Dumas and Kümmerli 2015).

While these studies on laboratory systems show that interdependent trait expression and the

resulting constraints are key determinants of bacterial social interactions and strain co-existence, we know

little on whether such interdependencies occur in natural bacterial communities and whether they have

disruptive or stabilising effects on communities. Here, we tackle this question and investigate whether and

how the production of five different public goods is correlated across 315 *Pseudomonas* isolates from eight

soil and eight freshwater communities (18-20 isolates per community). In a first step, we screened each

isolate for the production of: (i) pyoverdines, a highly variable class of siderophores used to scavenge iron

(Meyer *et al.* 2008); (ii) proteases used to digest extracellular proteins (Diggle *et al.* 2007); (iii)

biosurfactants used during group-motility and hydrocarbon uptake (Koch *et al.* 1991; Xavier, Kim and

Foster 2011); (iv) structural components used to form biofilms (Nadell, Xavier and Foster 2009); and (v)

toxic compounds used to fight predators and competitors (Jousset 2012). We then used our phenotypic data

set to ask whether: (a) isolates vary in the extent to which they invest in the public goods; (b) there is a

phylogenetic signal for trait expression, where more closely related isolates produce similar amounts of a

particular public good; (c) production levels of different public goods correlate with one another, (d) isolates

invest to similar extents in multiple traits (homogeneous producers) or show variation in their investments

across traits (heterogeneous mosaic producers); and (e) trait association patterns differ between habitats.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling, isolation and identification of Pseudomonads. We sampled 16 *Pseudomonas* communities

from soil and pond habitats located on the campus of the University of Zürich (47.40° N, 8.54° E),

Switzerland. Specifically, we collected eight soil cores and eight water samples, and plated the bacteria

contained therein on a medium selective for fluorescent pseudomonads. In each case, we then picked 20

Pseudomonas isolates at random (320 isolates in total), and verified their affiliation to the group of

fluorescent pseudomonads by amplifying and sequencing part of the housekeeping gene *rpoD* (a commonly

used phylogenetic marker for pseudomonads) (Mulet *et al.* 2009). The 315 isolates that could be

unequivocally identified as pseudomonads were preserved as freezer stocks until their use in our

experiments (see Butaitė *et al.* 2017) for details on the sampling, isolation and identification procedure).

Phenotypic screening of natural isolates. We used standard phenotypic assays to screen all isolates for

the production of the five public goods (see Supplementary Material for details). Before each assay, we

grew our isolates from freezer stocks for 24h in rich medium, either in 50 ml Falcon tubes under shaking

conditions (190 rpm) (for the protease assay), or in 96-well plates under static conditions (for all other

assays). We quantified biosurfactant production as the extent of the biosurfactant-induced collapse of

droplets of culture supernatant on a hydrophobic surface (Bodour and Miller-Maier 1998). Specifically, we

grew isolates for 24h in a glucose-supplemented minimal medium, harvested the supernatant, spotted 5 µl

supernatant-droplets on an oil-coated lid of a 96-well plate, and then measured the droplet surface area after

one minute using the software ImageJ (Schneider, Rasband and Eliceiri 2012). We used the crystal violet

assay to quantify biofilm formation as a proxy for the production of biofilm components (Savoia and Zucca

2007). In particular, we first grew isolates in Lysogeny Broth (LB) for 24h in 96-well plates that allow for

the formation of surface-attached biofilms (round bottom plates; Sarstedt, Germany), and then measured

the amount of crystal violet retained by the newly formed biofilm at 570 nm using an Infinite M200 Pro

microplate reader (Tecan Trading AG, Switzerland). To quantify protease production, we spotted 1 µL

aliquots of bacterial culture onto skim milk agar in 24-well plates, and then used ImageJ to measure the

size of the proteolytic halo around colonies after 24h of growth (Jousset *et al.* 2013). To quantify pyoverdine

production, we grew isolates in iron-limited casamino acids medium for 24h, and measured the natural

fluorescence of pyoverdines in the culture supernatants (emission|excitation at 400|460 nm, respectively)

(Kümmerli *et al.* 2009). Finally, for the production of toxic compounds we developed a supernatant feeding

assay to assess to which extent isolates affect the growth of six reference strains (*P. aureofaciens*

ATCC13985, *P. entomophila*, *P. putida* IsoF, *P.syringae* B728a, *P.protegens* CHA0, and *P. aeruginosa*

PAO1). We first generated supernatant from each isolate after 24h growth in LB, then exposed the reference

strains to each supernatant, and finally measured their growth in LB during 24h. We used the mean of the

toxic effects on the six reference strains as measure of toxicity. For each assay, we grew isolates under

static conditions at 28°C in fourfold replication, and measured the growth of the public-goods producing

cultures (as final optical density at 600 nm, or – in the case of protease production – as colony size).

Phylogenetic signal in public goods production. To explore the relationship between the phylogeny of

our *Pseudomonas* isolates and their public goods production, we constructed maximum-likelihood

phylogenetic trees based on partial rpoD gene sequences. We constructed separate trees for soil and pond

habitats, based on, respectively, 149 and 148 (of the 158 and 157) isolates for which we obtained sequence

lengths ≥ 600 bp. We used *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 as an outgroup, and included 20 characterised fluorescent

pseudomonads as references to cover the broad phylogenetic diversity of our natural isolates (see (Butaitė

et al. 2017) for details on tree construction). Next, we mapped the production profiles of isolates onto the

trees (using the web tool iTOL; Letunic and Bork 2016), and then calculated Blomberg's K for each trait

and habitat, to quantify the extent to which phylogeny predicts public good production profiles. K-values

close to one reflect strong phylogenetic signals, suggesting that phylogenetic history is the main factor

driving the trait covariance among isolates). Conversely, K-values close to zero reflect weak signals,

suggesting that factors apart from phylogeny affect trait evolution (Blomberg, Garland and Ives 2003). Note

that we ignored branch lengths for the calculation of the phylogenetic signal (cf. Butaitė *et al.* 2017, 2018),

because the high variability of branch lengths observed among our isolates tends to lead to an

underestimation of phylogenetic signals.

Statistical analysis. All statistical analyses were conducted using R version 3.5.0 (www.r-project.org). We

used a six-step procedure to investigate the production of – and correlation among – different public goods

in our *Pseudomonas* communities. First, we scaled the measurements of public goods production relative

to the corresponding measurements of the laboratory reference strain (*P. aeruginosa* PAO1), grown

alongside the natural isolates. In the case of toxin production, values were scaled relative to the growth of

the reference strains in the absence of our isolates' supernatants. We then transformed the supernatant effect

(by calculating [supernatant effect - 1]*[-1]) so that values above and below zero indicate growth inhibition

(i.e. toxicity) and stimulation, respectively. In a second step, we checked for links between growth and

public goods production to preclude that differences in growth lead to spurious correlations among social

traits. As growth was positively correlated with the production levels of biofilm components (Spearman's

rank correlation: $\rho = 0.364$, $p < 0.001$), proteases ($\rho = 0.254$, $p < 0.001$) and pyoverdines ($\rho = 0.710$, $p <$

0.001), we calculated 'per capita' production levels of these traits by dividing each trait measurement by

the corresponding growth measurement. Conversely, growth was not correlated with biosurfactant ($\rho = -$

0.030, $p = 0.594$) and toxic compound ($\rho = 0.070$, $p = 0.215$) production.

In the third step of our analyses, we transformed the measurements of all five social traits using a

rank-based inverse normal (RIN) transformation (Bishara and Hittner 2012). This allowed us to investigate

the production of and correlations among public good traits using parametric analyses. The transformation

was necessary, as the untransformed data unequivocally yielded heavily-skewed residual distributions. In

the fourth step, we used a nested-design permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA)

to test whether the social profiles, including all five social traits, differed between soil and pond isolates. In

the fifth step, we used linear mixed models to test for (habitat-specific) links between the social traits. We

used the 'false discovery rate' (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995) to correct the p-values of correlations

between pairs of social traits for multiple testing. Where appropriate, we included 'community' as a random

effect to account for our nested sampling design. In the sixth and final step, we investigated to what extent

isolates showed heterogeneous as opposed to homogeneous production profiles. For any given trait pair,

we divided the area defined by the range of the observed traits values into two parts: a middle part enclosing

the diagonal and comprising 50% of the total area, and two outer parts in the lower right and upper left

corner, each comprising 25% of the total area (see Figure 3). Isolates falling outside or inside the middle

part were classified as heterogeneous (score = 1) or homogeneous (score = 0) producers, respectively. For

each isolate, we then averaged its scores for all trait pairs and tested whether the degree of heterogeneity

observed among our isolates deviated from the null expectation. To obtain this null expectation, we

simulated associations among five 'random social traits' with the same mean and standard deviation as the

actual five social traits. Simulations involved 1000 independent runs, across which we averaged the

simulated heterogeneity values. Further details on the statistical analyses and simulations are provided in

the Supplementary Material.

RESULTS

Natural Pseudomonas isolates greatly vary in their social profiles

The social profile of our 315 *Pseudomonas* isolates did not differ between habitats (PERMANOVA: n_{perm}

= 1000; habitat: $F_1 = 1.864$, p = 0.108, Fig. 1a), but varied substantially among communities ($F_{14} = 4.228$,

p = 0.001, Fig. 1b-f) due to community-level differences in the production of all five social traits (Table 1).

Within-community variation followed trait-specific patterns (Table S1). In particular, communities were

dominated by isolates producing no or low amounts of biosurfactants, while harbouring only few members

producing high amounts of this public good (Fig. 1b). A similar albeit less pronounced pattern governed

variation in biofilm formation, with most isolates producing low to intermediate amounts of biofilm

components, and only few isolates investing more substantially in this social trait (Fig. 1c). Within-

community variation in the production of proteases was characterized by a bimodal pattern, whereby 35.9

% of all isolates produced no proteases at all, while the remaining isolates produced considerable amounts

of this public good (Fig. 1d). Variation in pyoverdine production spanned the entire continuum from zero

to extremely high production levels (Fig. 1e). Similarly, the extent to which isolates had toxic effects on

our references strains covered the entire continuum from being atoxic to strongly inhibitory (Fig. 1f). In

summary, the high variation in the production of all five types of public goods leaves ample scope for the

occurrence of both negative and positive trait associations.

Table 1 | Among-community variation in the expression of public good traits. Given are the grand median as well as the minimal and maximal median of the production of biosurfactants, biofilm components, proteases, pyoverdines and toxic compounds in soil and freshwater communities, as well as the results of tests for habitat- and community-specific differences in the production levels of these traits.

	grand [min|max] median		Δ habitat		Δ community	
	soil	pond	F_1	p	F_{14}	p
biosurfactants	0.015 [-0.091|0.470]	-0.008 [-0.212|0.152]	2.231	0.167	6.779	**0.001**
biofilm	0.328 [0.149|0.792]	0.501 [0.257|0.841]	2.674	0.114	2.343	**0.002**
proteases	0.441 [0.000|0.523]	0.480 [0.000|0.581]	0.929	0.359	2.173	**0.014**
pyoverdines	0.559 [0.154|1. 550]	0.772 [0.578|1.335]	1.768	0.208	4.233	**0.001**
toxins	0.031 [-0.004|0.045]	0.039 [0.008|0.059]	1.505	0.248	5.855	**0.001**

Significant p-values in bold.

181 *Phylogenetic signal for public goods production is higher in soil compared to pond*

182 Our natural isolates covered an enormous phylogenetic diversity within the genus *Pseudomonas* (Fig. 2).

183 This diversity affected social trait expression, as we detected a phylogenetic signal in the production levels

184 of all five public goods (Table 2, Fig. 2a-b). Overall, phylogenetic signals were higher among soil than

among freshwater isolates (paired t-test; $t_4 = 3.425$, $p = 0.027$). In both habitats, the signals were significantly higher than expected from the null model for all traits, with the exception of biofilm formation among freshwater isolates (Table 2). Signals were generally of intermediate strength, indicating that both common ancestry and frequent changes in trait expression profiles within clades of related isolates shape public good production profiles.

Figure 1 | Social profile of *Pseudomonas* isolates. Depicted are (a) an overall representation of the social profile of 315 natural *Pseudomonas* isolates from eight soil and eight pond communities (in yellow and blue, respectively), as well as the variation in the production of (b) biosurfactants, (c) biofilm components, (d) proteases, (e) pyoverdines and (f) toxic compounds within and across communities. The depiction of the social profile in (a) is based on the first two components extracted from a principal component analysis based on the RIN-transformed values. Shaded areas are 95% confidence ellipses. The values of (b)-(e) are relative to the corresponding value of a laboratory control (*P. aeruginosa* PAO1, indicated by the dashed line). Values in (f) are the average toxic effect of the isolates' supernatant on the growth of six reference strains (the dashed line indicates a neutral effect on growth).

Table 2 | Phylogenetic signal in the production of public goods. Given are the phylogenetic signal (Blomberg's K) and the results of a randomization test (under the null hypothesis of the absence of a phylogenetic signal) for the production of biosurfactants, biofilm matrix components, proteases, pyoverdines, and toxic compounds among soil and freshwater isolates, respectively.

	soil		freshwater	
	Blomberg's K	p	Blomberg's K	p
biosurfactants	0.378	**0.001**	0.203	**0.024**
biofilm	0.342	**0.001**	0.166	0.073
proteases	0.539	**0.001**	0.350	**0.001**
pyoverdines	0.390	**0.001**	0.382	**0.001**
toxins	0.283	**0.001**	0.211	**0.005**

Significant p-values in bold.

Positive correlations between public good traits dominate in both habitats

The production levels of the five examined public goods were positively correlated in seven out of ten possible pairwise comparisons (Table 3, Fig. 3). In particular, we observed habitat-independent positive correlations between the production of proteases and, respectively, biofilm components, pyoverdines, and toxic compounds, as well as between the production of pyoverdines and toxic compounds (Table 3, Fig. 3). There were also habitat-specific patterns: the production of proteases and biosurfactants correlated only among freshwater (slope $\pm$ se 0.181 ± 0.073, $t_{124.5} = 2.474$, $p = 0.022$) but not soil isolates (-0.053 ± 0.080, $t_{149.2} = -0.654$, $p = 0.556$), whereas the production of pyoverdines and biofilm components was positively correlated among soil (0.306 ± 0.070, $t_{153.4} = 4.387$, $p < 0.001$) but not freshwater isolates (-0.075 ± 0.083, $t_{154.7} = -0.905$, $p = 0.432$). Moreover, the production of biofilm components increased with toxicity in both habitats, but this increase was more pronounced among soil (0.385 ± 0.076, $t_{153.8} = 5.104$, $p < 0.001$) as compared to freshwater isolates (0.178 ± 0.072, $t_{152.3} = 2.480$, $p = 0.022$). Finally, we found that biosurfactant production was neither correlated with biofilm formation, nor with the production of pyoverdines and toxic compounds (Table 3, Fig. 3).

 Mosaic producers with heterogeneous social profiles are rare

 The frequency of isolates with heterogeneous investment into pairs of social traits varied considerably,

 ranging from 15.9% (pyoverdines vs. toxic compounds) to 50.2% (proteases vs. biosurfactants), but was

 overall moderate, with heterogeneous producers representing 31.7 ± 12.1 % (mean $\pm$ SD) of all isolates.

 The average degree of heterogeneity across all five traits was lower than expected under the assumption of

 random trait expression (one-sample t-test; t_{314} = -2.192, p = 0.029, Fig. S1), indicating that mosaic

 producers with heterogeneous social profiles were rarer than isolates with homogeneous profiles.

Figure 2 | Maximum-likelihood cladograms for soil (a) and pond (b) isolates based on partial rpoD sequences. For both habitats, published *rpoD* sequences of 20 well-characterized fluorescent pseudomonads and *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 were integrated into the cladograms to demonstrate taxonomic affiliation and the high diversity of our environmental isolates. Yellow triangles and blue circles indicate bootstrap values (50-100%) for branches in the soil and the pond cladogram, respectively. From the inside outwards, bars depict the relative production levels of biosurfactants (red), biofilm components (grey), proteases (orange), pyoverdine (green), and toxic compounds (blue), respectively. Colour strips around cladograms represent the communities (in shades of grey) from which isolates originated.

Table 3 | Pairwise correlations among public good traits. Shown are the (habitat-specific) pairwise correlations of the production of biofilm matrix components, proteases, pyoverdine and toxic compounds with the respective other social traits.

	biofilm		proteases		pyoverdines		toxins	
	χ_1^2	p	χ_1^2	p	χ_1^2	p	χ_1^2	p
biosurfactants (bis)	0.140	0.708	7.438	**0.006**	2.108	0.191	2.109	0.191
habitat	2.833	0.092	1.195	0.274	1.976	0.160	1.746	0.186
bis : habitat	0.721[§]	0.396[§]	4.316	**0.038**	0.960[§]	0.327[§]	3.266[§]	0.071[§]
biofilm (bif)			6.690	**0.021**	0.577	0.447	6.372	**0.012**
habitat			0.487	0.485	1.324	0.250	0.921	0.337
bif : habitat			0.960[§]	0.327[§]	10.785	**0.001**	3.983	**0.046**
proteases (pro)					12.255	**0.001**	45.943	**< 0.001**
habitat					1.172	0.279	1.106	0.293
pro : habitat					3.689[§]	0.055[§]	0.112[§]	0.738[§]
pyoverdines (pvd)							21.689	**< 0.001**
habitat							0.735	0.391
pvd : habitat							3.109[§]	0.078[§]

[§] values prior to the removal of the interaction from the model. Significant p-values in bold. Note that we generally obtain the same results when the respective 'response' and the 'explanatory' social traits are swapped in the models testing for their correlation (swapping toxic compounds and biosurfactant production gives an additional positive correlation between these variables in the pond; see Table S2).

Figure 3 | Correlation patterns between social traits. Shown are the pairwise correlations between social traits of 315 natural *Pseudomonas* isolates from eight soil (in yellow) and eight pond (in blue) communities. Solid and dashed lines depict significant and non-significant relationships, respectively. Bands in habitat-specific coloration as well as grey bands are 95 % confidence intervals derived from linear models and represent, respectively, habitat-specific correlations and correlations that are consistent across habitats. Isolates above the upper or below the lower dotted lines were classified as mosaic producers (in red).

Discussion

Although linkage between bacterial social traits occurs frequently and has important consequences for strain interactions, pathogenicity and community functioning in laboratory systems (Harrison and Buckling 2009; Driscoll *et al.* 2011; Ross-Gillespie, Dumas and Kümmerli 2015; Granato *et al.* 2018), we know little about the prevalence and direction of social trait associations in natural bacterial communities. Here we addressed this open issue by examining and comparing the production levels of five social traits across 315 *Pseudomonas* isolates originating from eight soil and eight freshwater communities. The social traits we focused on included the production of biosurfactants used during group motility; matrix components required for biofilm formation; proteases used for extra-cellular protein digestion; pyoverdines required for iron scavenging; and toxic compounds used to fight competitors and predators. We found that (i) natural isolates varied dramatically in the extent to which they invest in these social traits, and showed that (ii) correlations between social traits occurred frequently, (iii) were exclusively positive, and (iv) in some cases habitat-specific. A comparison across traits suggest that natural *Pseudomonas* communities are dominated by isolates that lie on a continuum between two main phenotypes – a 'social' type that is proficient in expressing multiple social traits, and an 'asocial' type that generally shows low investment levels into any of the social traits (see also Ghoul *et al.* 2014). Conversely, mosaic producers with a specialized trait repertoire characterized by high investment in only some (but low investment in other) social traits were relatively rare. In the sections below, we offer potential mechanistic and evolutionary explanations for the observed patterns and discuss their implications.

At the mechanistic level, correlated trait expression could arise because public goods are linked at the regulatory level. Well-known and wide-spread co-regulatory systems in pseudomonads include quorum sensing (QS) systems, the GacS/GacA two-component system, and systems where public goods themselves serve as signals to co-regulate other social traits (Lamont *et al.* 2002; Jimenez *et al.* 2012; Dumas, Ross-Gillespie and Kümmerli 2013). Mechanisms of positive regulatory trait linkage such as QS could explain both the observed high investments into multiple public goods among 'social' types, and the low investment

into public goods among 'asocial' types. The 'asocial' types could evolve through the acquisition of

mutations in their QS-regulon, where the loss of one trait spurs the concomitant loss of other traits (Jousset

et al. 2009; Dandekar, Chugani and Greenberg 2012; Wang *et al.* 2015). An alternative explanation is that

the growth and/or the QS-threshold differs between isolates, meaning that some of the 'asocial' types might

principally be able to express the social traits, but would only do so at cell densities higher than those

achieved in our experiments. One way to explore whether this alternative explanation applies for our

isolates is to test for non-linear relationships between trait investment and growth (as one would expect for

QS-induced traits; Allen *et al.* 2016). We indeed observed such non-linear relationships for biofilm

components, pyovedines, and toxic compounds, but not for the other traits (Fig. S2). To explore the

consequences of these non-linear effects, we performed a second statistical analysis where we first fitted

robust linear models with quadratic terms to test for trait-specific, non-linear relationships between

production levels and growth, and then re-calculated all pairwise correlations between social traits using

the rankit-transformed residuals extracted from these models (see the Supplementary Material for details).

These analyses generally yielded results that match those shown in Fig. 3 (Table S3) with a few exceptions:

we neither recovered the habitat-independent correlation between proteases and biofilm components, nor

the pond-specific correlations between proteases and biosurfactants, and between pyoverdines and toxins.

These findings suggest that certain correlations observed in Fig. 3 partly arise because of non-linear

relationships between trait investment and growth, suggesting that QS-regulation or other density-

dependent regulatory mechanism could be involved.

A further mechanistic explanation for correlated trait expression is environmental trait induction,

which would suggest that isolates classified as non-producers for a particular social trait might actually

express this trait under different environmental conditions. As discussed above, some isolates might grow

poorly in our artificial media and thereby remain below the QS threshold required for social trait expression.

Moreover, some isolates might only express certain social traits under specific nutrient conditions (Xavier,

Kim and Foster 2011) or in response to relevant cues (Nadell, Xavier and Foster 2009; Dumas, Ross-

Gillespie and Kümmerli 2013). Such environmental effects might explain the generally low levels of

biosurfactant production, and thus the scarcity of correlations between the production of biosurfactants and

the other public goods. However, it is unlikely that environmental trait induction explains all of our results,

because it should lead to the seemingly random expression of traits across different media, and thus mask

rather than yield correlations between traits.

At the evolutionary level, correlated trait expression could arise because high and low public good

producers occupy – and are locally adapted to – different niches. In this scenario, the 'asocial' type would

occur in microhabitats where social traits are not required or where coordinated actions among cells are

difficult due to low bacterial densities (Kümmerli *et al.* 2014; Butaitė *et al.* 2018). Conversely, the 'social'

type should mainly occur in microhabitats supporting higher bacterial densities and/or featuring conditions

under which cooperation is beneficial. This would indicate that social traits are readily lost if not needed,

and that conditions favouring the production of public goods typically lead to the evolution of generalists

that invest homogeneously into the production of multiple public goods. Alternatively, 'social' and 'asocial'

types might co-occur in the same microhabitats. In this case, that small differences in initial trait values

could affect the complex feedback between multiple social traits, and thereby foster co-existence of

different stable strategies at the population level (Brown and Taylor 2010). Similarly, spatio-temporal

fluctuations in environmental conditions could alter the relative selective advantage of the two types and

promote their co-existence (Or *et al.* 2007). Finally, social interactions involving the exploitation and cross-

use of public goods among isolates could also explain the positive correlations between traits, whereby

isolates investing nothing or little in public goods could act as 'super-cheaters' freeriding on the public

goods produced by others (Diggle *et al.* 2007; Nadell, Xavier and Foster 2009; Van Gestel *et al.* 2014;

Butaitė *et al.* 2017). At least for siderophores, cheater-cooperator interactions have been reported to occur

in terrestrial and aquatic natural systems (Cordero *et al.* 2012; Bruce *et al.* 2017; Butaitė *et al.* 2017).

If social interactions drive the evolution of the 'social' and 'asocial' types, their co-existence can

be explained in multiple ways. In the case of competitive interactions, where 'asocial' types exploit the

'social' types, public goods cooperation and isolate co-occurrence could be maintained by negative

frequency-dependent selection (Ross-Gillespie *et al.* 2007). The concept of frequency-dependent selection

predicts that cheaters only experience high relative fitness benefits when rare, but not when common,

leading to an equilibrium frequency at which the two isolate types can co-exist. Antagonistic co-evolution

between the two types could also explain the co-existence of the asocial and social types (Kümmerli *et al.*

2015; O'Brien *et al.* 2017). For instance, increased biofilm formation in isolates that invest highly in

proteases and pyoverdine could be a direct response to cheating, because biofilm formation induces spatial

segregation between cell types (Van Gestel *et al.* 2014; Irie *et al.* 2017) and can thus exclude cheaters from

the efficient exploitation of these public goods (Drescher *et al.* 2014). In the case of commensalistic

interactions, isolate co-existence could be favoured if a certain amount of public goods is required to support

the community as predicted by the Black Queen Hypothesis (Morris, Lenski and Zinser 2012). This

hypothesis posits that the utilization of public goods by the 'asocial' type does not affect the fitness of the

'social' type (Morris, Lenski and Zinser 2012) – an assumption that might not be met in our communities,

where isolates are generally closely related and therefore presumably have overlapping resource

requirements. In the case of mutualistic interactions, isolate co-existence could arise through specialization,

where the different public goods are exchanged between isolates (Dragoš *et al.* 2018). However, the relative

rarity of mosaic producers with specialised trait repertoires suggest that cross-feeding scenarios, like those

described in laboratory systems (Driscoll *et al.* 2011; Pande *et al.* 2014; Kim, Levy and Foster 2016; Dragoš

et al. 2018), might be relatively rare among our natural isolates.

Our observation that there are habitat-specific correlations suggest that trait linkage and the

underlying mechanisms can change in response to different environmental selection pressures. Changes in

the local environment, for instance when a soil isolate is washed into a pond, could thus rapidly promote

the breaking of existing but superfluous linkage patterns or select for new ones that are beneficial in the

new habitat (Dos Santos, Ghoul and West 2018). The moderate phylogenetic signals we detected are in line

with this notion, as they suggest that the expression levels of social traits can evolve relatively flexibly. In

this context, the overall higher phylogenetic signal in soil as compared to freshwater communities could

indicate faster and more flexible evolution of public good repertoires in ponds.

In conclusion, our findings indicate that social trait linkage (i) is common in natural *Pseudomonas*

communities, (ii) has more likely evolved as a result of competitive rather than commensalistic or

mutualistic interactions (Foster and Bell 2012; Oliveira, Niehus and Foster 2014), and (iii) underlies the

frequent occurrence of isolates with homogeneous behavioral repertoires lying on a continuum between

two main isolate types – a 'social' type that is proficient in expressing multiple social traits, and an 'asocial'

type that generally shows low investment levels into most of the social traits. While our study solely focuses

on pseudomonads, it would be interesting to see whether the same patterns of trait linkage occur beyond

the genus boundary, especially for traits such as proteases and toxins, which have more broad-spectrum

effects than pyoverdine, which is a characteristic trait of the fluorescent pseudomonads. Moreover, while

our use of standardized laboratory assays in a 'common garden' laboratory environment allowed us to

assess the isolates' genetic potential to produce specific public goods, we do not know to which extent they

would do so under natural conditions, and whether isolates can plastically adjust public goods production

in response to changes in environmental or social conditions. We also know little about the dynamics and

change of isolate frequency over time since we sampled only a single snapshot in time. These points

highlight that our work only provides a first glimpse of the complexity of microbial life in natural

communities. This glimpse, however, suggests that social trait linkage could play an important role in

explaining community composition and functioning.

Competing interests. We have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions. JK and RK designed the experiments; JK and MALC conducted the experiments;

JK performed the statistical analyses; JK, MALC and RK wrote the paper. All authors gave final approval

for publication.

Funding. This work was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant no. 165835 to RK), the

European Research Council (grant no. 681295 to RK), the Forschungskredit of the University of Zürich

(FK-17-111 to JK) and the German Science Foundation (DFG; KR 5017/2-1 to JK).

Acknowledgements. We thank Elena Butaitė for collecting the natural isolates, and Stefan Wyder for help

with the phylogenetic analysis.

REFERENCES

Allen RC, McNally L, Popat R *et al.* Quorum sensing protects bacterial co-operation from exploitation by

cheats. *ISME J* 2016;**10**:1706–16.

Andersen SB, Marvig RL, Molin S *et al.* Long-term social dynamics drive loss of function in pathogenic

bacteria. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2015;**112**:201508324.

Bachmann H, Bruggeman FJ, Molenaar D *et al.* Public goods and metabolic strategies. *Curr Opin*

Microbiol 2016;**31**:109–15.

Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to

Multiple Testing. *J R Stat Soc Ser B* 1995;**57**:289–300.

Bishara AJ, Hittner JB. Testing the significance of a correlation with nonnormal data: Comparison of

Pearson, Spearman, transformation, and resampling approaches. *Psychol Methods* 2012;**17**:399–417.

Blomberg SP, Garland T, Ives AR. Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data: Behavioral traits

are more labile. *Evolution (N Y)* 2003;**57**:717–45.

Bodour AA, Miller-Maier RM. Application of a modified drop-collapse technique for surfactant

quantitation and screening of biosurfactant-producing microorganisms. *J Microbiol Methods*

1998;**32**:273–80.

Brown SP, Taylor PD. Joint evolution of multiple social traits: a kin selection analysis. *Proc R Soc B Biol*

Sci 2010;**277**:415–22.

Bruce JB, Cooper GA, Chabas H *et al.* Cheating and resistance to cheating in natural populations of the

bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. *Evolution (N Y)* 2017;**71**:2484–95.

Butaitė E, Baumgartner M, Wyder S *et al.* Siderophore cheating and cheating resistance shape

competition for iron in soil and freshwater *Pseudomonas* communities. *Nat Commun* 2017;**8**:414.

Butaitė E, Kramer J, Wyder S *et al.* Environmental determinants of pyoverdine production, exploitation

and competition in natural *Pseudomonas* communities. *Environ Microbiol* 2018:263004.

Cordero OX, Ventouras L-A, DeLong EF *et al.* Public good dynamics drive evolution of iron acquisition

strategies in natural bacterioplankton populations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2012;**109**:20059–64.

Dandekar AA, Chugani S, Greenberg EP. Bacterial Quorum Sensing and Metabolic Incentives to

Cooperate. *Science* 2012;**338**:264–6.

Diggle SP, Griffin AS, Campbell GS *et al.* Cooperation and conflict in quorum-sensing bacterial

populations. *Nature* 2007;**450**:411–4.

Dragoš A, Kiesewalter H, Martin M *et al.* Division of labor during biofilm matrix production. *Curr Biol*

2018:1–11.

Drescher K, Nadell CD, Stone HA *et al.* Solutions to the Public Goods Dilemma in Bacterial Biofilms.

Curr Biol 2014;**24**:50–5.

Driscoll WW, Pepper JW, Pierson LS *et al.* Spontaneous Gac Mutants of *Pseudomonas* Biological

Control Strains: Cheaters or Mutualists? *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2011;**77**:7227–35.

Dumas Z, Ross-Gillespie A, Kümmerli R. Switching between apparently redundant iron-uptake

mechanisms benefits bacteria in changeable environments. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci*

2013;**280**:20131055.

Foster KR. Social behaviour in microorganisms. In: Székely T, Moore AJ, Komdeur J (eds.). *Social*

Behaviour: Genes, Ecology and Evolution. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010, 331–57.

Foster KR, Bell T. Competition, not cooperation, dominates interactions among culturable microbial

species. *Curr Biol* 2012;**22**:1845–50.

van Gestel J, Vlamakis H, Kolter R. From Cell Differentiation to Cell Collectives: *Bacillus subtilis* Uses

Division of Labor to Migrate. *PLoS Biol* 2015;**13**:1–29.

Van Gestel J, Weissing FJ, Kuipers OP *et al.* Density of founder cells affects spatial pattern formation and

cooperation in *Bacillus subtilis* biofilms. *ISME J* 2014;**8**:2069–79.

Ghoul M, West SA, Diggle SP *et al.* An experimental test of whether cheating is context dependent. *J*

Evol Biol 2014;**27**:551–6.

Granato ET, Ziegenhain C, Marvig RL *et al.* Low spatial structure and selection against secreted

virulence factors attenuates pathogenicity in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *ISME J* 2018;**12**:2907−18.

Griffin AS, West SA, Buckling A. Cooperation and competition in pathogenic bacteria. *Nature*

2004;**430**:1024–7.

Harrison F, Buckling A. Siderophore production and biofilm formation as linked social traits. *ISME J*

2009;**3**:632–4.

Hesse E, O'Brien S, Tromas N *et al.* Ecological selection of siderophore-producing microbial taxa in

response to heavy metal contamination. *Ecol Lett* 2018;**21**:117–27.

Irie Y, Roberts AEL, Kragh KN *et al.* The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PSL polysaccharide is a social but

noncheatable trait in biofilms. *MBio* 2017;**8**:1–13.

Jimenez PN, Koch G, Thompson JA *et al.* The multiple signaling systems regulating virulence in

Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2012;**76**:46–65.

Jousset A. Ecological and evolutive implications of bacterial defences against predators. *Environ*

Microbiol 2012;**14**:1830–43.

Jousset A, Eisenhauer N, Materne E *et al.* Evolutionary history predicts the stability of cooperation in

microbial communities. *Nat Commun* 2013;**4**:2573.

Jousset A, Rochat L, Péchy-Tarr M *et al.* Predators promote defence of rhizosphere bacterial populations

by selective feeding on non-toxic cheaters. *ISME J* 2009;**3**:666–74.

Kearns DB. A field guide to bacterial swarming motility. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2010;**8**:634–44.

Kim W, Levy SB, Foster KR. Rapid radiation in bacteria leads to a division of labour. *Nat Commun*

2016;**7**:1–10.

Koch AK, Kappeli O, Fiechter A *et al.* Hydrocarbon assimilation and biosurfactant production in

Pseudomonas aeruginosa mutants. *J Bacteriol* 1991;**173**:4212–9.

Kümmerli R, Jiricny N, Clarke LS *et al.* Phenotypic plasticity of a cooperative behaviour in bacteria. *J*

Evol Biol 2009;**22**:589–98.

Kümmerli R, Ross-Gillespie A. Explaining the sociobiology of pyoverdin producing *Pseudomonas*: A

comment on Zhang and Rainey (2013). *Evolution (N Y)* 2014;**68**:3337–43.

Kümmerli R, Santorelli LA, Granato ET *et al.* Co-evolutionary dynamics between public good producers

and cheats in the bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Evol Biol* 2015;**28**:2264–74.

Kümmerli R, Schiessl KT, Waldvogel T *et al.* Habitat structure and the evolution of diffusible

siderophores in bacteria. *Ecol Lett* 2014;**17**:1536–44.

Lamont IL, Beare PA, Ochsner U *et al.* Siderophore-mediated signaling regulates virulence factor

production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;**99**:7072–7.

Leinweber A, Weigert M, Kümmerli R. The bacterium *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* senses and gradually

responds to inter-specific competition for iron. *Evolution (N Y)* 2018:1–27.

Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display and annotation of

phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016;**44**:W242–5.

Meyer JM, Gruffaz C, Raharinosy V *et al.* Siderotyping of fluorescent *Pseudomonas*: Molecular mass

determination by mass spectrometry as a powerful pyoverdine siderotyping method. *BioMetals*

2008;**21**:259–71.

Morris JJ, Lenski RE, Zinser ER. The Black Queen Hypothesis : Evolution of Dependencies through

Adaptative Gene Loss. *MBio* 2012;**3**:1–7.

Mulet M, Bennasar A, Lalucat J *et al.* An rpoD-based PCR procedure for the identification of

Pseudomonas species and for their detection in environmental samples. *Mol Cell Probes*

2009;**23**:140–7.

Nadell CD, Xavier JB, Foster KR. The sociobiology of biofilms. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2009;**33**:206–24.

O'Brien S, Luján AM, Paterson S *et al.* Adaptation to public goods cheats in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 2017;**284**, DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2017.1089.

Oliveira NM, Niehus R, Foster KR. Evolutionary limits to cooperation in microbial communities. *Proc*

Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014;**111**:201412673.

Or D, Smets BF, Wraith JM *et al.* Physical constraints affecting bacterial habitats and activity in

unsaturated porous media - a review. *Adv Water Resour* 2007;**30**:1505–27.

Özkaya Ö, Xavier KB, Dionisio F *et al.* Maintenance of microbial cooperation mediated by public goods

in single- and multiple-trait scenarios. *J Bacteriol* 2017;**199**:1–14.

Pande S, Merker H, Bohl K *et al.* Fitness and stability of obligate cross-feeding interactions that emerge

upon gene loss in bacteria. *ISME J* 2014;**8**:953–62.

Pollitt EJG, West SA, Crusz SA *et al.* Cooperation, quorum sensing, and evolution of virulence in

Staphylococcus aureus. *Infect Immun* 2014;**82**:1045–51.

Rakoff-Nahoum S, Foster KR, Comstock LE. The evolution of cooperation within the gut microbiota.

Nature 2016;**533**:255–9.

Ross-Gillespie A, Dumas Z, Kümmerli R. Evolutionary dynamics of interlinked public goods traits: An

experimental study of siderophore production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Evol Biol* 2015;**28**:29–

39.

Ross-Gillespie A, Gardner A, West SA *et al.* Frequency dependence and cooperation: theory and a test

with bacteria. *Am Nat* 2007;**170**:331–42.

Rumbaugh KP, Diggle SP, Watters CM *et al.* Quorum Sensing and the Social Evolution of Bacterial

Virulence. *Curr Biol* 2009;**19**:341–5.

Dos Santos M, Ghoul M, West SA. Pleiotropy, cooperation, and the social evolution of genetic

architecture. *PLoS Biol* 2018;**16**:e2006671.

Savoia D, Zucca M. Clinical and Environmental *Burkholderia* Strains: Biofilm Production and

Intracellular Survival. *Curr Microbiol* 2007;**54**:440–4.

Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat*

Methods 2012;**9**:671–5.

Wang M, Schaefer AL, Dandekar AA *et al.* Quorum sensing and policing of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

social cheaters. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2015;**112**:2187–91.

West SA, Diggle SP, Buckling A *et al.* The Social Lives of Microbes. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst*

2007;**38**:53–77.

West SA, Griffin AS, Gardner A *et al.* Social evolution theory for microorganisms. *Nat Rev Microbiol*

2006;**4**:597–607.

Wilder CN, Diggle SP, Schuster M. Cooperation and cheating in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: the roles of

the las, rhl and pqs quorum-sensing systems. *ISME J* 2011;**5**:1332–43.

Xavier JB, Kim W, Foster KR. A molecular mechanism that stabilizes cooperative secretions in

Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Mol Microbiol* 2011;**79**:166–79.