1

Colistin requires *de novo* lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis for activity

Akshay Sabnis[1], Anna Klöckner[1,2], Michele Becce[3], Lindsay E. Evans[1,4], Molly M. Stevens[2,3] and
Andrew M. Edwards[1#]

[1] MRC Centre for Molecular Bacteriology and Infection, Imperial College London, Armstrong Rd,
London, SW7 2AZ, UK.
[2] Department of Bioengineering, Imperial College London, Imperial College London Rd, London, SW7
2AZ, UK.
[3] Department of Materials, Imperial College London, Imperial College London Rd, London, SW7 2AZ,
UK.
[4] Department of Chemistry, Imperial College London, Molecular Sciences Research Hub, White City
Campus, Wood Lane, London, W12 OBZ, UK.

19
20
21
[#] For correspondence:
Tel: 0044 (0)207 594 2072
Fax: 0044 (0)207 594 3096
a.edwards@imperial.ac.uk

Keywords: *Pseudomonas* / *E. coli* / *Klebsiella* / Colistin / lipopolysaccharide / Phospholipid / LPS

Running title: Colistin mode of action

Colistin is a last resort antibiotic for infections caused by highly drug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [1,2]. Unfortunately, treatment failure is common despite low rates of resistance, and efforts to address this are compromised by our poor understanding of colistin's mode of action [3-6]. Here, we show that colistin causes dose-dependent membrane disruption and killing of *P. aeruginosa* via a process that requires *de novo* lipopolysaccharide (LPS) biosynthesis. Colistin binds to LPS in the outer membrane (OM), leading to disruption of the cation-bridges that stabilise the lipopolysaccharide molecules. Due to the weakening of these bridges, *de novo* synthesis of LPS results in the release of lipopolysaccharide from the OM. The subsequent loss of membrane integrity enables colistin to access the cytoplasmic membrane (CM), leading to permeabilisation and bacterial lysis. Inhibition of LPS biosynthesis in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* also inhibited the bactericidal activity of colistin, suggesting a conserved mechanism across clinically-relevant pathogens. Together, these findings reveal the mechanism by which colistin kills bacteria and provide the foundations for the development of new approaches to enhance treatment outcomes.

The emergence of multi-drug resistant Gram-negative pathogens has led to a dramatic increase in the use of cyclic lipopeptide antibiotics such as colistin (polymyxin E) and polymyxin B as therapeutics of last resort [7,8]. Despite this, our understanding of the mechanism by which these drugs kill bacteria is poor. The currently proposed mode of action of colistin begins with binding of the antibiotic to LPS, which leads to disruption of the OM via the displacement of cations that form stabilising bridges between LPS molecules (Fig. 1a) [9-11]. It is hypothesised that colistin then migrates across the OM via a process termed 'self-directed uptake', although this has never been demonstrated experimentally [11-13]. This crucial step enables colistin to access the CM target, which it disrupts, leading to bacterial lysis and death [11-13]. Furthermore, the existence of colistin-tolerant persister cells suggests that bacterial processes contribute to the lethality of the antibiotic, although none have yet been identified [14-16]. Given these gaps in our understanding of this increasingly important class of antibiotics, the aim of this work was to determine the mechanism by which polymyxins kill bacteria.

To test the current model of colistin activity, we employed a panel of assays to investigate

membrane damage, cell lysis and bacterial viability during exposure of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 to clinically-

relevant concentrations of the antibiotic [17,18]. Colistin caused immediate and dose-dependent

disruption of the OM, as determined by uptake of the normally membrane-impermeant dye *N*-phenyl-

1-naphthylamine (NPN) (Fig. 1b, Supplementary Fig. 1). Within 30 min of colistin exposure, *P.*

aeruginosa began to release periplasmic β-lactamase into the culture supernatant, indicative of severe

OM damage (Fig. 1c). This was followed by disruption of the CM by 60 min, as detected by staining of

cells with the membrane-impermeant DNA-reactive fluorophore propidium iodide (Fig. 1d,

Supplementary Fig. 2). Subsequently, bacterial lysis induced by colistin was observed with a decrease

in OD_{595nm} from 90 min, and there was a corresponding drop in c.f.u. counts by 2 h (Fig. 1ef). These

findings provide a timeline for the current model of polymyxin-mediated bacterial killing, whilst the

survival of a sub-population of bacteria exposed to the antibiotic confirmed the existence of colistin-

tolerant persister cells within *P. aeruginosa* populations (Fig. 1f, Supplementary Fig. 3).

To further investigate colistin-mediated membrane disruption, we measured the release of

LPS and phospholipids into the extracellular space. *P. aeruginosa* released large quantities of

phospholipids, most likely in the form of OMVs, in the absence of colistin, but this was almost

completely blocked by colistin at bactericidal concentrations (Fig. 1g) [19]. By contrast, colistin

exposure resulted in the time-dependent release of LPS into the culture supernatant (Fig. 1h,

Supplementary Fig. 4).

As reported previously, released LPS completely inhibited the antibacterial activity of colistin

at 2 μg ml^{-1} (Supplementary Fig. 5) [20]. However, we also considered the possibility that colistin-

induced LPS release contributed to the bactericidal activity of the antibiotic. This is because the loss

of LPS from the OM results in lipid asymmetry and a loss of integrity of the OM, which if uncorrected

culminates in cell death in most bacteria [21]. To counteract lipid asymmetry, bacteria increase the

production and trafficking of LPS to the OM [22]. Therefore, we hypothesised that colistin activity

would be enhanced by blocking LPS biosynthesis, thereby preventing *P. aeruginosa* from restoring the

composition of the outer leaflet of the OM. To test this, we reduced the ability of *P. aeruginosa* to

restore LPS to the OM of colistin-treated cells by exposing bacteria to the polymyxin antibiotic in the

presence of sub-lethal concentrations of the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors CHIR-090 or cerulenin

(Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary Fig. 6) [23-25].

As described above (Fig. 1f), colistin alone caused rapid killing of *P. aeruginosa* (Fig. 2a).

However, in contradiction to our hypothesis, the presence of either inhibitor of LPS biosynthesis

almost completely blocked killing of *P. aeruginosa* by colistin (Fig. 2ab). To ensure that the protective

effect of LPS biosynthesis inhibition wasn't due to reduced LPS on the bacterial cell surface, we

exposed *P. aeruginosa* to colistin for 2 h and then added CHIR-090 or cerulenin. The addition of either

LPS biosynthesis inhibitor paused or greatly slowed colistin-mediated killing of *P. aeruginosa*,

confirming that *de novo* LPS biosynthesis is needed for the continuous bactericidal activity of the

polymyxin antibiotic (Fig. 2c).

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) supported the data showing that colistin activity was

dependent on *de novo* biosynthesis of LPS. Exposure to the polymyxin antibiotic alone caused

significant morphological changes to *P. aeruginosa* consistent with a loss of membrane integrity (Fig.

2d). However, bacteria exposed to colistin in the presence of CHIR-090 or cerulenin had a similar

appearance to untreated cells (Fig. 2efghi). The protective effects of CHIR-090 and cerulenin against

colistin-mediated killing extended to clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli*,

suggesting the polymyxin antibiotic has a broadly conserved mechanism against Gram-negative

pathogens (Supplementary Fig. 7). By contrast to the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors, neither sub-

inhibitory nor bacteriostatic concentrations of the protein synthesis inhibitor tetracycline prevented

colistin-mediated killing of *P. aeruginosa* (Supplementary Fig. 8). Therefore, colistin requires *de novo*

LPS but not protein biosynthesis for activity, and functions in the absence of bacterial replication.

However, *de novo* LPS biosynthesis was not required for the bactericidal activity of EDTA, which also

disrupts cation bridges in the LPS monolayer, indicating that the requirement for *de novo* LPS

biosynthesis is specific to polymyxin-mediated killing (Supplementary Fig. 9).

Next, we determined which of the steps in the current model of action of colistin were

dependent on *de novo* LPS biosynthesis. Using NPN, we found that colistin rapidly permeabilised the

OM, and this was unaffected by the presence of CHIR-090 or cerulenin (Fig. 3a). Therefore, *de novo*

LPS biosynthesis is not required for the initial interactions of the polymyxin antibiotic with the bacterial

surface. However, colistin-mediated release of β-lactamase from *P. aeruginosa* was blocked by

cerulenin, indicating that *de novo* LPS biosynthesis is required for severe damage to the OM (Fig. 3b).

This assay could not be repeated using CHIR-090 as it reacted with the chromogenic substrate used to

detect and quantify β-lactamase. Nonetheless, the presence of cerulenin or CHIR-090 prevented

colistin-mediated CM damage and lysis of *P. aeruginosa* (Fig. 3cd). This demonstrated that *de novo*

LPS biosynthesis is required for colistin to cross the OM and provided a direct link between the degree

of OM damage and the ability of colistin to access the CM. Colistin-mediated disruption of the CM and

subsequent lysis of clinical *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* isolates were also reduced or

blocked when LPS biosynthesis was inhibited, showing that the dependency on LPS biosynthesis for

colistin activity is conserved (Supplementary Fig. 10, 11).

To determine how *de novo* LPS biosynthesis modulated the degree of colistin-mediated

damage to the OM, we tested whether CHIR-090 or cerulenin affected LPS release caused by the

polymyxin antibiotic. Both inhibitors blocked colistin-induced LPS release, demonstrating that this

process, which results in severe damage to the OM, was dependent upon *de novo* LPS biosynthesis

(Fig. 3e, Supplementary Fig. 12-14). Therefore, *de novo* LPS biosynthesis triggers the loss of LPS from

the cell surface, and thus enables colistin to cross the OM.

The data shown above confirmed that neither CHIR-090 nor cerulenin inhibited the initial

interactions of colistin with the surface of *P. aeruginosa* (Fig. 2c, 3a). However, we hypothesised that

the severe OM damage mediated by the combination of colistin and *de novo* LPS biosynthesis causing

LPS release would increase binding of the antibiotic to *P. aeruginosa* by exposing the CM target. To

test this, we measured the binding of colistin to bacterial cells in the absence or presence of CHIR-090

or cerulenin using colistin labelled with a BoDipy fluorophore (Supplementary Fig. 15, 16). Exposure

of *P. aeruginosa* to BoDipy-colistin resulted in strong binding of the antibiotic to the bacterial cells

during the first two hours, which was maintained over the rest of the incubation period (Fig. 3f). By

contrast, when the experiment was repeated in the presence of CHIR-090 or cerulenin, binding to

bacteria was significantly reduced, with a slow accumulation of BoDipy-colistin over the course of the

assay (Fig. 3f).

Taken together, the data described in Figure 3 demonstrate that *de novo* LPS biosynthesis

enables colistin to exert its bactericidal activity by causing LPS release and increasing the severity of

the OM damage induced by the antibiotic. This provides colistin with access to the CM, which it

disrupts, leading to lysis and bacterial killing.

Polymyxin antibiotics consist of a positively-charged peptide ring and a hydrophobic lipid tail

[1,11,26]. Previous work demonstrated that the polymyxin peptide ring displaces cations which act as

bridges between LPS molecules [27]. This leads to sufficient permeabilisation of the OM to allow the

passage of small molecules such as NPN [11,13]. However, there is evidence that the lipid tail is

required for CM damage and bacterial killing [28]. Therefore, we hypothesised that the lipid tail of

colistin and *de novo* LPS biosynthesis act in concert to cause the severe OM damage required to enable

the polymyxin antibiotic to access the CM.

To test this, we exposed *P. aeruginosa* to polymyxin B (PMB), which is structurally and

functionally homologous to colistin, or a variant of PMB that consists of the cyclic peptide ring but not

the lipid tail, known as polymyxin B nonapeptide (PMBN) (Supplementary Fig. 17). Both PMB and

PMBN caused sufficient OM disruption to allow NPN to cross the LPS monolayer, albeit at a slower

rate and to a lesser degree for PMBN than for PMB (Fig. 4ab). As with colistin, inhibition of LPS

biosynthesis did not affect NPN staining in response to either PMB or PMBN (Fig. 4ab). This showed

that *de novo* LPS biosynthesis was not required for minor OM disruption caused by the initial

interaction of the polymyxin peptide ring with the bacterial surface. However, whilst PMB severely

damaged the OM of *P. aeruginosa* cells, as determined by the release of periplasmic β-lactamase into

the culture supernatant, this did not occur with PMBN (Fig. 4cd). Furthermore, in addition to the

requirement for the lipid tail of the polymyxin, the PMB-mediated release of β-lactamase was also

inhibited by cerulenin, confirming the synchronous role of *de novo* LPS biosynthesis in this process

(Fig. 4c). These findings are supported by previous work using scanning electron microscopy that

demonstrated PMB caused much greater OM damage than PMBN [29].

The severe nature of the OM damage mediated by the polymyxin lipid tail appeared crucial

for the bactericidal activity of the antibiotic, since PMB, but not PMBN caused permeabilisation of the

CM, cell lysis and bacterial death, via a mechanism that was dependent upon *de novo* LPS biosynthesis

(Fig. 4efghij). Therefore, whilst the peptide ring of the polymyxin antibiotics weakens the OM

sufficiently to allow the uptake of small molecules, the lipid tail is required, in conjunction with *de*

novo LPS biosynthesis, for the severe damage to the OM that enables PMB to exert its bactericidal

effects.

Combined, the findings described above, together with other studies, enable the construction

of a novel model for the mechanism of action of colistin (Fig. 4k). Firstly, colistin interacts with LPS on

the bacterial cell surface via the peptide ring of the antibiotic [11]. This displaces the cations that

stabilise LPS molecules in the monolayer, and thus results in minor disruption of the OM [27]. As LPS

is synthesised and transported to the cell surface, colistin-induced weakening of the lateral forces

between LPS molecules causes LPS to be pushed out and released from the outer leaflet of the OM.

The loss of LPS leads to severe OM damage, which is additionally dependent upon the lipid tail of the

polymyxin antibiotic. This perturbation to the OM enables the release of periplasmic macromolecules

and also facilitates access of the relatively large colistin molecules to the phospholipids in the CM,

which the antibiotic disrupts via its detergent-like properties [28]. Once the OM and CM are

compromised, the bacteria lyse and die.

This revised model addresses key gaps in our understanding of the mechanism of action of

polymyxin antibiotics by revealing how these antibiotics cross the OM, explaining why the polymyxin

acyl tail is required for bactericidal activity and providing a direct link between OM disruption and the

bactericidal activity of the antibiotic. Such insight is needed because colistin is an increasingly

187 important antibiotic for the treatment of infections caused by multi-drug resistant pathogens, but has

188 a treatment failure rate of >70% [3-6]. This study provides two explanations for the extremely high

189 rate of treatment failure. Firstly, bacteria release LPS in response to colistin, which sequesters and

190 inactivates the antibiotic [20]. Secondly, we identify LPS biosynthesis as a bacterial process that could,

191 when decreased, confer colistin tolerance to persister cells with reduced metabolic activity [14,15].

192  To address the high rate of polymyxin treatment failure, there is increasing interest in the use

193 of synergistic antibiotic combinations. For example, it has been shown that novobiocin enhances the

194 activity of polymyxin B by promoting the transport of LPS to the OM and causing LPS release [30].

195 However, the reason why enhanced LPS transport conferred this increased susceptibility to the

196 polymyxin antibiotic was unknown [30]. The data presented in this report provide a mechanistic

197 explanation for this finding by showing that *de novo* LPS production and release from the OM is

198 required for polymyxin activity. Thus, it is anticipated that a greater understanding of the mode of

199 action of polymyxin antibiotics including colistin, combined with our growing appreciation of the

200 processes of LPS biosynthesis and transport, will provide the necessary platform for the identification

201 and development of therapeutic approaches to improve treatment efficacy.

Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1. For each experiment, bacteria were grown for 18 h to stationary-phase at 37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.). All strains were grown in Luria broth (LB; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), with the exception of *P. aeruginosa* strain A23, which was cultured in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB; BD Biosciences, USA), and *K. pneumoniae* strain KPC, which was grown in Brain Heart Infusion Broth (BHI; VWR International, USA). For routine culture of bacteria on solid media, strains were grown on the relevant media as described above supplemented with 1.5% technical agar (BD Biosciences). Growth media were supplemented with chloramphenicol (25 µg ml^{-1}) where required. Enumeration of bacterial c.f.u. was done by plating 10-fold serial dilutions of bacterial cultures on to Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA; Thermo Fisher Scientific) plates. Inoculated agar plates were incubated statically for 18 h in air at 37°C.

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of colistin, polymyxin B, ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, cerulenin (all from Sigma-Aldrich, USA) and CHIR-090 (Axon Medchem, Netherlands) for bacterial strains was determined by the broth microdilution protocol [31]. A microtitre plate was used to prepare a range of antibiotic concentrations in 200 µl Mueller-Hinton broth (MHB) by two-fold serial dilutions. For certain experiments, the media were supplemented with purified LPS from *E. coli* (Sigma-Aldrich). Stationary-phase bacteria were diluted 1000-fold in fresh MHB, and seeded into each well of the microtitre plate to a final concentration of 5 x 10^5 c.f.u. ml^{-1}. The microtitre plates were then incubated statically at 37°C for 18 h in air, after which point the MIC was defined as the lowest antibiotic concentration at which there was no visible growth of bacteria.

OM disruption assay

To detect damage to the OM of bacteria, the well-established NPN uptake assay was used [32]. Stationary-phase bacterial cells were washed in fresh MHB and diluted to an optical density (OD$_{600nm}$) of 0.5 in 5 mM pH 7.2 HEPES buffer (Sigma-Aldrich). This bacterial suspension was added to wells containing the relevant antibiotics in HEPES buffer, as well as the fluorescent probe *N*-phenyl-1-naphthylamine (NPN; Acros Organics, USA) at a final concentration of 10 μM. Samples were placed in a black microtitre plate with clear-bottomed wells and fluorescence measured immediately in a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader (Tecan Group Ltd., Switzerland) using an excitation wavelength of 355 nm and an emission wavelength of 405 nm. Fluorescence measurements were obtained every 30 seconds for a total period of 60 min.

β-lactamase release assay

P. aeruginosa cells were grown overnight with imipenem (Fresenius Kabi, Germany; 2 μg ml^{-1}) to induce expression of the endogenous β-lactamase AmpC [33]. Stationary-phase cells were washed twice by centrifugation (12,300 x *g*, 3 min) followed by resuspension in MHB, and added to 3 ml MHB containing the relevant antibiotics to a final inoculum of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1}. Cultures were then incubated at 37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.) for 60 min. Every 15 min, aliquots were obtained and bacteria removed by centrifugation (12,300 x *g*, 3 min). The resulting supernatant was then recovered and 200 μl added to the wells of a microtitre plate. Nitrocefin (Abcam, UK) was added to each well to a final concentration of 250 μM and the mixture incubated for 2.5 h at room temperature. Absorbance was measured at 490 nm in a Bio-Rad iMark microplate absorbance reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA).

CM disruption assay

As described above for the β-lactamase release assay, stationary-phase bacterial cultures were washed and inoculated into 3 ml MHB containing relevant antibiotics. Cultures were incubated at 37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.) for 4 h, and every 30 min, aliquots (200 μl) were taken and bacteria isolated

by centrifugation (12,300 x g, 3 min). Cells were then washed in sterile phosphate-buffered saline

(PBS; VWR International), before being added to the wells of a black-walled microtitre plate, and

propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to each well at a final concentration of 2.5 µM.

Fluorescence was measured immediately in a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader (excitation

at 535 nm and emission at 617 nm). To account for differences in fluorescence values arising from

variations in cell number due to growth inhibition by antibiotics, relative fluorescence unit (r.f.u.)

measurements were corrected for OD at 600 nm.

Determination of bacterial lysis

Washed stationary-phase bacteria were inoculated into 3 ml MHB containing the relevant antibiotics,

as described above. Cultures were then placed in a shaking incubator (37°C, 180 r.p.m.) for 8 h, and

every 30 min, samples (200 µl) were transferred to a microtitre plate, where OD_{595nm} measurements

were obtained using a Bio-Rad iMark microplate absorbance reader.

Determination of bactericidal activity of antibiotics

Stationary-phase bacteria were washed and added to a final inoculum of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1} in 3 ml MHB

containing the relevant antibiotics. Cultures were incubated with shaking (37°C, 180 r.p.m.) for 8 h.

Bacterial survival was determined after 2, 4, 6 and 8 h through enumeration of c.f.u. counts by serial

dilution in 10-fold steps of cultures in 200 µl sterile PBS.

Emergence of colistin resistance assay

Bacteria in stationary-phase were washed and added to 3 ml MHB containing colistin (2 µg ml^{-1}), and

survival was determined every 2 h up to 8 h as described above. Following 8 h incubation, the MIC of

colistin against the recovered bacterial population was determined by the broth microdilution method

as stated above. The recovered bacteria were washed by centrifugation (12,300 x g, 3 min) and

resuspension in fresh LB, before being inoculated into 3 ml LB and grown for 18 h to stationary-phase

in a shaking incubator (37°C, 180 r.p.m.). This population was then exposed to colistin (2 µg ml^{-1}) for a

second time, with bacterial viability again measured over 8 h. After the second colistin exposure, the

MIC of colistin was again determined, before the bacteria were exposed to colistin for a third time,

with associated measurements of survival and the colistin MIC of the recovered population obtained.

Determination of membrane lipid release from bacteria

The supernatant from bacterial cultures exposed to colistin was recovered every 2 h by centrifugation

(12,300 x g, 3 min). Recovered supernatants were mixed with 5 µl of FM-4-64 styryl dye (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) at a final concentration of 5 µg ml^{-1} in the wells of a black-walled microtitre plate.

Fluorescence was measured using a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader (excitation at 565

nm, emission at 600 nm) to quantify the phospholipid released into the supernatant by bacteria

exposed, or not, to colistin.

Determination of LPS release from bacteria

The chromogenic Limulus Amebocyte Lysate (LAL) assay (all reagents from Thermo Fisher Scientific)

was used to detect and quantify the LPS released from bacteria into the culture supernatant as

described previously [34]. Samples of cell-free culture supernatant (50 µl) were equilibrated to 37°C

and loaded into the wells of a microtitre plate at the same temperature. Limulus amebocyte lysate

reagent (50 µl) was added to each well, and the mixture incubated at 37°C for 10 min. Chromogenic

substrate solution (100 µl, 2 mM) was subsequently added to each well and the microtitre plate was

incubated for a further 6 min at 37°C. The enzymatic reaction was stopped by adding 50 µl of 25%

acetic acid to each well, and the presence of LPS was determined by measuring absorbance at 405 nm

in a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader. A standard curve was generated using an *E. coli*

endotoxin standard stock solution, which enabled the conversion of A_{405nm} values into concentrations

of LPS in the supernatant.

Extraction of LPS and visualisation by silver staining

LPS was extracted from the supernatant of bacterial cultures as previously described, and the ultrafast silver staining method was used for visualising LPS on 12% SDS-PAGE gels [35,36]. Stationary-phase bacteria (1 ml) were washed and inoculated to a final concentration of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1} into 9 ml MHB containing the relevant antibiotics. Bacterial cultures were incubated with shaking (37°C, 180 r.p.m.) for up to 8 h, and 9 ml of supernatant was recovered following centrifugation (3270 x g, 30 min) and filter sterilisation (0.2 μm filter) to remove bacterial cells. Absolute ethanol (30 ml) was added to the supernatant and the samples were stored at -20°C for 30 min. The precipitate was pelleted by centrifugation at 3270 x g for 30 min and resuspended in 500 μl Laemmli buffer. Precipitated protein was removed by digesting with proteinase K (50 μg) overnight, before 25 μl was run on an acrylamide mini-gel system. For certain experiments, the concentration of LPS in these samples was determined using the LAL assay described above. Electrophoresis was carried out at 12 mA in the stacking gel and 25 mA in the separating gel.

LPS preparations subjected to SDS-PAGE were visualised by first oxidising the gel with 200 ml 40% ethanol-5% acetic acid containing 0.7% sodium periodate for 20 min at room temperature. The gel was washed three times with distilled water for 5 min each time. Silver staining solution was freshly prepared by first adding concentrated ammonium hydroxide (4 ml) to 0.1 M sodium hydroxide (56 ml) before adding 200 ml of distilled water. Silver nitrate (20% w/v, 10 ml) was added in drops whilst stirring, before the addition of 30 ml of distilled water. This solution was used to stain the gel for 10 min, which was subsequently washed with distilled water for 5 min three times. The silver stain was developed with 200 ml of water containing formaldehyde (100 μl of a 37% w/v solution) and 10 mg citric acid. Development was stopped using 10% acetic acid before the gel was washed in distilled water and then imaged using a Bio-Rad Gel Doc EZ Imager (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

Determination of colistin activity

The activity of colistin during incubation with *P. aeruginosa* was determined using an established zone of inhibition assay [37]. Bacterial cultures exposed to colistin were centrifuged (12,300 x *g*, 3 min), and the supernatant recovered. Stationary-phase *P. aeruginosa* was diluted in MHB to a concentration of 10^6 c.f.u. ml^{-1}, before 60 µl of this bacterial culture was spread across the surface of an MHA plate and allowed to air dry. Wells measuring 10 mm in diameter were made in the agar plate and filled with 130 µl of the culture supernatant recovered from colistin-treated bacterial populations. Agar plates were incubated in air statically at 37°C for 16 h and the zone of growth inhibition around each well was measured at four perpendicular points. A standard plot was generated showing a linear relationship between the size of the zone of inhibition and colistin concentration, which enabled inhibitory zone size to be converted to percentage colistin activity. Colistin activity was also measured after 4 h incubation (37°C, end-over-end rotation) in the presence of purified LPS, or in MHB alone over the course of 8 h in a shaking incubator (37°C, 180 r.p.m.).

Determination of colistin binding to bacterial cells

Colistin was labelled with the fluorophore BoDipy FL SE D2184 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) by incubating 100 µl of the BoDipy NHS ester compound (10 mg ml^{-1} in dimethyl sulfoxide, DMSO) with 250 µl colistin (10 mg ml^{-1} in water) and 650 µl sodium bicarbonate (0.2 M, pH 8.5) for 2 h at 37°C. BoDipy molecules that had not bound to colistin were removed by dialysis using a Float-A-Lyser G2 dialysis device (Spectrum Laboratories, USA) that had a molecular weight cut-off of 0.5 kDa. Dialysis was carried out at 4°C against sterile distilled water, which was changed four times during the course of the 24 h dialysis period. Time of flight mass spectrometry analysis confirmed successful labelling with the fluorophore, and the antibiotic activity of BoDipy-labelled colistin was assessed using MIC and bacterial survival assays, as described above.

Stationary-phase bacterial cultures were washed and added to 3 ml MHB containing the relevant antibiotics at an inoculum of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1}, as stated above. Cultures were incubated for 8 h (37°C,

180 r.p.m.), and every 2 h bacteria were recovered by centrifugation (12,300 x g, 3 min). The pelleted

cells were washed thoroughly by four rounds of centrifugation (12,300 x g, 3 min) and resuspension

in fresh MHB in order to remove any BoDipy-colistin that was not attached to the bacteria. BoDipy-

colistin bound to the bacterial cells was quantified by measuring fluorescence in a Tecan Infinite 200

Pro multiwell plate reader (excitation at 490 nm, emission at 525 nm) using a black-walled clear-

bottomed microtitre plate. The relative fluorescence values of samples containing cells were corrected

using OD_{600nm} measurements.

Bacterial growth assay

Stationary-phase bacteria were diluted 1000-fold in fresh MHB, and 4 µl was seeded into the wells of

a microtitre plate containing 200 µl MHB and the relevant antibiotics at a final density of 5×10^5 c.f.u.

ml^{-1}. The microtitre plate was incubated with shaking (180 r.p.m.) at 37°C in a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro

multiwell plate reader and optical density measurements were taken at 600 nm every 15 min over 14

h.

Scanning electron microscope (SEM) imaging

Stationary-phase bacterial cells were washed and inoculated into 3 ml MHB containing the relevant

antibiotics as described above. After 2 h incubation (37°C, 180 r.p.m.), 25 µl of the cultures was spotted

onto a silicon chip (University Wafer, USA) and allowed to air-dry. The sample was fixed using

glutaraldehyde (2.5% in 0.01 M PBS) for 30 min at room temperature, before the chip was washed

three times in PBS (0.01 M). The sample was dehydrated using increasing concentrations of ethanol

(10%, 30%, 50%, 70%, 90% and 100%) for 5 min each time, before a coating of 15 nm chromium

(Quorum Technologies, UK) was applied. Representative images of bacterial cells were obtained using

a LEO Gemini 1525 field emission gun scanning electron microscope (FEG SEM; Carl Zeiss Microscopy

GmbH, Germany).

Statistical analyses

Experiments were performed on at least three independent occasions, and resulting data are

presented as the arithmetic mean of these biological repeats, unless stated otherwise. Error bars

represent the standard deviation of the mean. For single comparisons, a two-tailed unpaired Student's

t-test was used to analyse the data. For multiple comparisons at a single time point or concentration,

data were analysed using either a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for parametric data, or a

Kruskal-Wallis test for non-parametric data. Where data were obtained at several different time points

or concentrations, a two-way ANOVA was used for statistical analysis. Appropriate post-hoc tests

(Dunnett's, Welch's, Sidak's, Holm-Sidak) were carried out to correct for multiple comparisons, with

details provided in the figure legends. Asterisks on graphs indicate significant differences between

data, and the corresponding p-values are reported in the figure legend. All statistical analyses were

performed using GraphPad Prism 7 software (GraphPad Software Inc., USA).

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon

reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

Alain Filloux, Aishwarya Krishna (both Imperial College London) and Alireza Abdolrasouli (Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust) are gratefully acknowledged for providing bacterial strains. Lisa Haigh (Department of Chemistry Mass Spectrometry Facility, Imperial College London) is thanked for processing and analysing mass spectrometry samples. A.S. is supported by a PhD studentship funded by a Medical Research Council Doctoral Training Award to Imperial College London (MR/N014103/1). A.K. is funded by the DFG German Research Foundation (KL3191/1-1). M.B. and M.M.S gratefully acknowledge support from the Rosetrees Trust (M300-CD1). L.E.E. and A.M.E. acknowledge funding from the Wellcome Trust (204337/Z/16/Z). A.M.E. also acknowledges support from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Imperial Biomedical Research Centre (BRC), and declares funding from Shionogi & Co., Ltd for an unrelated project.

Author contributions

A.S. and A.M.E. designed the experiments. A.S., A.K. and M.B. performed experiments. All authors analysed data and contributed to writing of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

1. Biswas, S., Brunel, J. M., Dubus, J. C., Reynaud-Gaubert, M., Rolain, J. M. Colistin: an update on the antibiotic of the 21st century. *Expert Rev. Anti. Infect. Ther.* **10(8),** 917-934 (2012).

2. Nation, R. L. *et al.* Framework for optimisation of the clinical use of colistin and polymyxin B: the Prato polymyxin consensus. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **15(2),** 225-234 (2015).

3. Linden, P.K. *et al.* Use of parenteral colistin for the treatment of serious infection due to antimicrobial-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **37(11),** e154-160 (2003).

4. Falagas, M. E. *et al.* Colistin therapy for microbiologically documented multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacterial infections: a retrospective cohort study of 258 patients. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents.* **35(2),** 194-199 (2010).

5. Gales, A. C., Jones, R. N., Sader, H. S. Contemporary activity of colistin and polymyxin B against a worldwide collection of Gram-negative pathogens: results from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (2006-09). *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **66(9),** 2070-2074 (2011).

6. Paul, M. *et al.* Colistin alone versus colistin plus meropenem for treatment of severe infections caused by carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria: an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **18(4),** 391-400 (2018).

7. Falagas, M. E., Kasiakou, S. K. Colistin: the revival of polymyxins for the management of multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacterial infections. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **40(9),** 1333-1341 (2005).

8. Tamma, P. D. *et al.* The use of intravenous colistin among children in the United States: results from a multicenter, case series. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* **32(1),** 17-22 (2013).

9. Vaara, M., Vaara, T. Sensitization of Gram-negative bacteria to antibiotics and complement by a nontoxic oligopeptide. *Nature.* **303(5917),** 526-528 (1983).

10. Moore, R. A., Bates, N. C., Hancock, R. E. Interaction of polycationic antibiotics with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* lipopolysaccharide and lipid A studied by using dansyl-polymyxin. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **29(3),** 496-500 (1986).

11. Velkov, T., Thompson, P. E., Nation, R. L., Li, J. Structure--activity relationships of polymyxin

antibiotics. *J. Med. Chem.* **53(5),** 1898-916 (2010).

12. Hancock, R. E., Bell, A. Antibiotic uptake into gram-negative bacteria. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect.*

Dis. **7(6),** 713-720 (1988).

13. MacNair, C.R. *et al.* Overcoming mcr-1 mediated colistin resistance with colistin in combination with

other antibiotics. *Nat. Commun.* **9(1),** 458 (2018).

14. Mulcahy, L. R., Burns, J. L., Lory, S., Lewis, K. Emergence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains

producing high levels of persister cells in patients with cystic fibrosis. *J. Bacteriol.* **192(23),** 6191-

6199 (2010).

15. Chung, E. S., Wi, Y. M., Ko, K. S. Variation in formation of persister cells against colistin in

Acinetobacter baumannii isolates and its relationship with treatment failure. *J. Antimicrob.*

Chemother. **72(7),** 2133-2135 (2017).

16. Fisher, R. A., Gollan, B., Helaine, S. Persistent bacterial infections and persister cells. *Nat. Rev.*

Microbiol. **15(8),** 453-464 (2017).

17. Markou, N. *et al.* Colistin serum concentrations after intravenous administration in critically ill

patients with serious multidrug-resistant, gram-negative bacilli infections: a prospective, open-label,

uncontrolled study. *Clin. Ther.* **30(1),** 143-151 (2008).

18. Garonzik, S. M. *et al.* Population pharmacokinetics of colistin methanesulfonate and formed colistin

in critically ill patients from a multicenter study provide dosing suggestions for various categories of

patients. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **55(7),** 3284-3294 (2011).

19. Toyofuku, M., Nomura, N., Eberl, L. Types and origins of bacterial membrane vesicles. *Nat. Rev.*

Microbiol. (2018).

20. Yokota, S. I. *et al.* Release of large amounts of lipopolysaccharides from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

cells reduces their susceptibility to colistin. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents.* **51(6),** 888-896 (2018).

21. Henderson, J. C. *et al.* The Power of Asymmetry: Architecture and Assembly of the Gram-Negative

Outer Membrane Lipid Bilayer. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **70,** 255-278 (2016).

22. May, K.L., Silhavy, T. J. The *Escherichia coli* Phospholipase PldA Regulates Outer Membrane

Homeostasis via Lipid Signaling. *MBio.* **9(2),** (2018).

23. Ried, G., Hindennach, I., Henning, U. Role of lipopolysaccharide in assembly of *Escherichia coli* outer

membrane proteins OmpA, OmpC, and OmpF. *J. Bacteriol.* **172(10),** 6048–6053 (1990).

24. McClerren, A. L. *et al.* A slow, tight-binding inhibitor of the zinc-dependent deacetylase LpxC of lipid

A biosynthesis with antibiotic activity comparable to ciprofloxacin. *Biochemistry.* **44(50),** 16574-

16583 (2005).

25. Sutterlin, H. A. *et al.* Disruption of lipid homeostasis in the Gram-negative cell envelope activates a

novel cell death pathway. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113(11),** e1565-1574 (2016).

26. Pristovsek, P., Kidric, J. Solution structure of polymyxins B and E and effect of binding to

lipopolysaccharide: an NMR and molecular modeling study. *J. Med. Chem.* **42(22),** 4604-4613 (1999).

27. Schindler, M., Osborn, M. J. Interaction of divalent cations and polymyxin B with lipopolysaccharide.

Biochemistry. **18(20),** 4425-4430 (1979).

28. Naoki, S. *et al.* The Contribution of the N-Terminal Structure of Polymyxin B Peptides to Antimicrobial

and Lipopolysaccharide Binding Activity. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **77(10),** (2004).

29. Dixon, R. A., Chopra, I. Leakage of periplasmic proteins from *Escherichia coli* mediated by polymyxin

B nonapeptide. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **29(5),** 781-788 (1986).

30. Mandler, M. D. *et al.* Novobiocin Enhances Polymyxin Activity by Stimulating Lipopolysaccharide

Transport. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **140(22),** 6749-6753 (2018).

31. Wiegand, I., Hilpert, K., Hancock, R. E. Agar and broth dilution methods to determine the minimal

inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antimicrobial substances. *Nat. Protoc.* **3(2),** 163-175 (2008).

32. Helander, I. M., Mattila-Sandholm, T. Fluorometric assessment of gram-negative bacterial

permeabilization. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **88(2),** 213-219 (2000).

33. Bagge, N. *et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms exposed to imipenem exhibit changes in global

gene expression and beta-lactamase and alginate production. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **48(4),**

1175-1187 (2004).

34. Roslansky, P. F., Novitsky, T. J. Sensitivity of Limulus amebocyte lysate (LAL) to LAL-reactive glucans.

J. Clin. Microbiol. **29(11),** 2477-2483 (1991).

35. Crosby, H. A., Bion, J. F., Penn, C. W., Elliott, T. S. Antibiotic-induced release of endotoxin from

bacteria *in vitro*. *J. Med. Microbiol.* **40(1),** 23-30 (1994).

36. Fomsgaard, A., Freudenberg, M. A., Galanos, C. Modification of the silver staining technique to

detect lipopolysaccharide in polyacrylamide gels. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **28(12),** 2627-2631 (1990).

37. Pader, V. *et al. Staphylococcus aureus* inactivates daptomycin by releasing membrane

phospholipids. *Nat. Microbiol.* **2,** 16194 (2016).

Figure 1. Colistin-mediated membrane damage causes the release of LPS but not membrane lipid from the surface of *P. aeruginosa*.

a, Diagrammatic representation of the current hypothesised mechanism of action of colistin: colistin binds to LPS on the OM, causing displacement of cations that form bridges between LPS molecules and leading to membrane disruption (1). The antibiotic then crosses the OM via 'self-directed uptake' (2). Colistin subsequently disrupts the CM (3), resulting in cell lysis (4) and bacterial death (5). **b,** Relative fluorescence of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells incubated with the indicated concentrations of colistin and the phospholipid-reactive membrane impermeant dye NPN (10 µM). Disruption of the LPS monolayer enables NPN to access phospholipids in the inner leaflet of the OM, resulting in fluorescence (n=4; *p<0.05 compared to untreated bacteria, error bars are omitted for clarity). **c,** Release of periplasmic β-lactamase into the culture supernatant in response to colistin as determined using the chromogenic substrate nitrocefin (250 µM), which produces a product detectable at A_{490nm}

(n=4; *p<0.001 compared to untreated bacteria). **d,** Colistin-mediated permeabilisation of the CM of

P. aeruginosa PA14 as determined by the binding of the membrane impermeant dye propidium iodide

(2.5 µM) to DNA, which results in fluorescence (n=3 in duplicate; *p<0.01 compared to untreated cells

for all colistin-exposed populations). **e,** Lysis or growth of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 exposed, or not, to

colistin over time as determined by OD_{595mn} measurements (n=4; *p<0.05 compared to untreated

bacteria for all colistin-exposed populations). **f,** Killing or replication of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 exposed,

or not, to colistin over time, as determined by c.f.u. counts (n=4; *p<0.01 compared to untreated

cells). **g,** The concentration of phospholipids released from the surface of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 over

time in the absence or presence of colistin, as determined by reactivity with the fluorescent probe

FM-4-64 (n=4; *p<0.05 compared to values at 0 hours). **h,** The presence of LPS in the culture

supernatant following exposure of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 to colistin (2 µg ml^{-1}), as determined using the

Limulus Amebocyte Lysate assay (n=3; *p<0.05 compared to 0 hours). Data in **a-g** were analysed by a

two-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test. Data in **h** were analysed by a Kruskal-Wallis test with

Dunn's post-hoc test. Data are presented as the arithmetic mean, and error bars, where shown,

represent the standard deviation of the mean. r.f.u.: relative fluorescence units; OD: optical density;

EU: endotoxin units; OM: outer membrane; CM: cytoplasmic membrane; NPN: *N*-phenyl-1-

naphthylamine.

Figure 2. The bactericidal activity of colistin requires *de novo* LPS biosynthesis.

a, Survival over time of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 exposed to colistin (4 μg ml⁻¹), the LPS biosynthesis inhibitor CHIR-090 (0.125 μg ml⁻¹), both antibiotics or neither (n=4; *p<0.01 compared to colistin alone). Values for CHIR-090 alone and untreated overlap. **b,** Survival of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 during exposure to colistin (4 μg ml⁻¹), the LPS biosynthesis inhibitor cerulenin (32 μg ml⁻¹), both antibiotics or neither (n=4; *p<0.0001 compared to colistin alone). **c,** Survival of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 exposed to colistin (4 μg ml⁻¹) alone for 2 hours followed by no further treatment, or the addition of either CHIR-090 (0.125 μg ml⁻¹) or cerulenin (32 μg ml⁻¹) (n=3 in duplicate; *p<0.05 compared to colistin alone). **d-i,** Representative scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 exposed to colistin (4 μg ml⁻¹) only **(d)**, colistin (4 μg ml⁻¹) and CHIR-090 (0.125 μg ml⁻¹) **(e)**, colistin (4 μg ml⁻¹) and

541 cerulenin (32 μg ml^{-1}) **(f)**, in the absence of antibiotics **(g)**, exposed to CHIR-090 (0.125 μg ml^{-1}) only

542 **(h)**, or cerulenin (32 μg ml^{-1}) only **(i)**, for 2 hours in each case (Scale bars: 200 nm). Data in **a-c** were

543 analysed by a two-way ANOVA with Sidak's **(a, b)** or Holm-Sidak's **(c)** post-hoc tests. For panels **a, b,**

544 and **c,** data are presented as the arithmetic mean, and error bars represent the standard deviation of

545 the mean.

Figure 3. Colistin-mediated LPS release requires *de novo* LPS biosynthesis and enables the antibiotic to access the cytoplasmic membrane.

a, OM disruption of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells during exposure to colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}) in the absence or presence of CHIR-090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) or cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-1}) as assessed by fluorescence generated with NPN dye (10 µM) (n=4; no significant differences (p>0.05) between datasets, error bars are omitted for clarity). **b,** Release of β-lactamase from the periplasm of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells exposed to colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}) in the absence or presence of cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-1}) as detected using 250 µM nitrocefin (n=4; *p<0.01 compared to colistin alone). **c,** Permeabilisation of the CM of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells during incubation with colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}) in the absence or presence of CHIR-090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) or cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-1}), or with a bactericidal concentration of ciprofloxacin (4 µg ml^{-1}), as determined using 2.5 µM propidium iodide (n=3 in duplicate; *p<0.0001 compared to colistin alone for all populations below the asterisks). **d,** Lysis of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells during exposure to colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}) in the absence or presence of CHIR-090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) or cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-1}) as measured using OD$_{595nm}$ readings (n=4; *p<0.05 compared to colistin alone). **e,** Binding of BoDipy-labelled colistin (5 µg ml^{-1}) to *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells in the absence or presence of CHIR-090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) or

cerulenin (32 μg ml^{-1}) (n=4; *$p<0.0001$ compared to BoDipy-colistin alone). **f,** Concentration of LPS in

the supernatant of cultures of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 exposed for 4 hours to colistin (4 μg ml^{-1}) in the

absence or presence of CHIR-090 (0.125 μg ml^{-1}) or cerulenin (32 μg ml^{-1}) as determined with the

Limulus Amebocyte Lysate assay (n=3; *$p<0.0001$ compared to colistin alone). Data in **a-d, f** were

analysed by a two-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test. Data in **e** were analysed using a one-way

ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test. Data are presented as the arithmetic mean, and error bars,

where shown, represent the standard deviation of the mean. r.f.u.: relative fluorescence units; OD:

optical density; EU: endotoxin units; OM: outer membrane; NPN: *N*-phenyl-1-naphthylamine; CM:

cytoplasmic membrane.

Figure 4. The polymyxin acyl tail is required for damage of both the outer and cytoplasmic membranes.

a, b, Permeability of the OM of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 during exposure to 4 µg ml^{-1} PMB **(a)** or an equivalent molar concentration (2.8 µg ml^{-1}) of PMBN **(b)**, in the absence or presence of CHIR-090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) or cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-1}), as detected using 10 µM NPN dye (n=4; all data with LPS biosynthesis inhibitors not significantly different (p>0.05) compared to PMB or PMBN alone, error bars are omitted for clarity). **c, d,** Release of periplasmic β-lactamase from *P. aeruginosa* PA14 incubated with 4 µg ml^{-1} PMB **(c)** or 2.8 µg ml^{-1} PMBN, in the absence or presence of cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-1}), as determined with 250 µM nitrocefin (n=4; *p<0.0001 compared to PMB or PMBN alone). **e, f,** Disruption to the CM of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 caused by 4 µg ml^{-1} PMB **(e)** or 2.8 µg ml^{-1} of PMBN **(f)**, in

the absence or presence of CHIR-090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) or cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-1}), quantified using 2.5 µM

propidium iodide (n=4; *p<0.0001 compared to PMB or PMBN alone). **g, h,** Lysis or growth of *P.*

aeruginosa PA14 exposed to 4 µg ml^{-1} PMB **(g)** or 2.8 µg ml^{-1} PMBN **(h)**, in the absence or presence of

CHIR-090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) or cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-1}), as assessed by OD$_{595nm}$ readings (n=4; *p<0.001

compared to PMB or PMBN alone). **i, j,** Survival of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 exposed to 4 µg ml^{-1} PMB **(i)** or

2.8 µg ml^{-1} PMBN **(j)**, in the absence or presence of CHIR-090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) or cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-1})

(n=4; *p<0.05 compared to PMB or PMBN alone). **k,** Diagrammatic representation of the proposed

mechanism of action of colistin based on the findings described here: colistin binds to LPS on the OM,

leading to the displacement of cations that form bridges between LPS molecules and causing minor

membrane disruption (1). The weakening of the intermolecular bridges in the LPS monolayer means

that as *de novo* LPS is synthesised and transported to the cell surface, LPS molecules are forced out

and released by the bacteria (2). This released LPS sequesters and inactivates free colistin

extracellularly (3). Loss of LPS from the cell surface also results in significant damage to the OM via the

action of the polymyxin lipid tail (4), and thus facilitates the access of colistin to the periplasm. This

enables colistin to bind to the CM (5), which it disrupts, culminating in the loss of cytoplasmic contents,

cell lysis and bacterial death (6). Data in **a, b, e-j** were analysed by a two-way ANOVA with Dunnett's

post-hoc test. Data in **c, d** were analysed by a two-way ANOVA with Sidak's post-hoc test. Data are

presented as the arithmetic mean, and error bars, where shown, represent the standard deviation of

the mean. r.f.u.: relative fluorescence units; OD: optical density; OM: outer membrane; PMB:

polymyxin B; PMBN: polymyxin B nonapeptide; NPN: *N*-phenyl-1-naphthylamine; CM: cytoplasmic

membrane.