1

Colistin kills bacteria by targeting lipopolysaccharide in the cytoplasmic membrane

Akshay Sabnis[1], Anna Klöckner[1,2], Michele Becce[3], Lindsay E. Evans[1,4], R. Christopher D. Furniss[1], Despoina A. I. Mavridou[1], Molly M. Stevens[2,3] and Andrew M. Edwards[1#]

[1] MRC Centre for Molecular Bacteriology and Infection, Imperial College London, Armstrong Rd., London, SW7 2AZ, UK.

[2] Department of Bioengineering, Imperial College London, Imperial College London Rd., London, SW7 2AZ, UK.

[3] Department of Materials, Imperial College London, Imperial College London Rd., London, SW7 2AZ, UK.

[4] Department of Chemistry, Imperial College London, Molecular Sciences Research Hub, White City Campus, Wood Lane, London, W12 OBZ, UK.

16
17
18

[#] For correspondence:

Tel: 0044 (0)207 594 2072

Fax: 0044 (0)207 594 3096

a.edwards@imperial.ac.uk

Keywords: Colistin / polymyxin / *Pseudomonas* / *E. coli* / *Klebsiella* / lipopolysaccharide / resistance / MCR-1

Running title: Colistin mechanism of action

Summary

Colistin is an antibiotic of last resort for infections caused by drug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Escherichia coli*. For this reason, high rates of treatment failure and resistance to this antibiotic are very concerning, and attempts to resolve these issues are hampered by a poor understanding of colistin's mode of action. Whilst it is well established that colistin binds to lipopolysaccharide in the bacterial outer membrane, it was unclear how this led to bacterial killing. Here, we show that colistin also targets lipopolysaccharide in the cytoplasmic membrane and that this interaction is essential for cytoplasmic membrane permeabilisation, colistin-induced cell lysis and the bactericidal activity of the antibiotic. We also found that MCR-1-mediated colistin resistance confers protection against the antibiotic via the presence of modified lipopolysaccharide within the cytoplasmic membrane, rather than the outer membrane. These findings reveal key details about the mechanism by which colistin kills bacteria, providing the foundations for the development of new approaches to enhance therapeutic outcomes.

Introduction

The emergence of multi-drug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* has necessitated the use of polymyxin antibiotics, which are often the only viable last-resort therapeutic option [1-3].

Two closely related polymyxin antibiotics are used clinically, colistin (polymyxin E) and polymyxin B, which share a high degree of structural similarity, consisting of a cationic peptide ring of 7 amino acids connected to a hydrophobic acyl tail by a linear chain of 3 amino acids [1,4]. Polymyxins target lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in the outer membrane (OM) of Gram-negative bacteria via a two-step process (Supplementary Fig. 1). Firstly, the positively-charged peptide ring binds to the anionic lipid A moiety of LPS, resulting in the disruption of cation bridges that stabilise the outer leaflet of the OM. Secondly, the acyl tail of the antibiotic associates with the fatty acid tails of lipid A, causing further OM disruption [1].

Polymyxins are rapidly bactericidal towards Gram-negative bacteria *in vitro* but are considerably less efficacious *in vivo*, with up to 70% of patients infected by Gram-negative pathogens failing to respond to colistin treatment [5-8]. In addition to this high burden of treatment failure, a growing threat is the emergence of colistin resistance, which typically arises via chromosomal mutations or the acquisition of mobilized colistin resistance (*mcr*) genes [9]. Chromosomally-mediated colistin resistance can occur via several different pathways, most of which result in the addition of cationic moieties to the normally negatively-charged lipid A. This is thought to result in electrostatic repulsion of the positively-charged polymyxins away from the bacterial surface [10]. By contrast, MCR-mediated resistance is acquired via a plasmid-borne copy of an *mcr* gene, of which 9 homologues have been described to date, following the first report of *mcr*-1 in late 2015 [11,12]. The presence of *mcr* genes on plasmids has become a major cause for concern, with reports of MCR-mediated resistance occurring in several important pathogens including *E. coli*, *Salmonella enterica* and *K. pneumoniae* [13,14]. MCR-1 is thought to be the most prevalent MCR enzyme, having been identified in bacteria isolated from humans, livestock and the environment in Asia, Africa, Europe and North America [14]. Colistin resistance is conferred by the phosphoethanolamine transferase activity of MCR-1, which adds a cationic phosphoethanolamine moiety to the anionic lipid A domain of LPS, reducing its net negative charge and thus decreasing its affinity for the polymyxin antibiotic [11,14].

Whilst most colistin resistance occurs via LPS modifications, an alternative mechanism has been observed in *A. baumannii*, which involves the total loss of LPS from the OM [15]. However, this appears to be species specific since other major pathogens such as *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* cannot tolerate the absence of LPS from the OM [16].

Despite the growing importance of polymyxin antibiotics, there are still significant gaps in our understanding of their mode of action. Although it is established that the binding of polymyxins to LPS on the surface of Gram-negative bacteria leads to disruption of the OM, it is not known how this results in cell lysis and

bacterial death (Supplementary Fig. 1) [3,17]. It is hypothesised that damage to the LPS monolayer enables polymyxins to traverse the OM via a process of 'self-directed uptake', although this has not been demonstrated experimentally [17,18]. Once across the OM, polymyxins permeabilise the cytoplasmic membrane (CM), which is believed to culminate in bacterial lysis and killing [1-3]. However, the mechanism by which colistin damages the CM is unclear [17]. It has been proposed that the surfactant activity of polymyxins is sufficient to compromise the phospholipid bilayer of the CM via a detergent-like effect [1,2]. However, several lines of evidence call into question the ability of colistin to disrupt phospholipid bilayers at physiologically relevant concentrations of the antibiotic. Firstly, as described above, colistin is inactive against LPS-defective *A. baumannii* which has an OM composed of a phospholipid bilayer [15]. Secondly, polymyxins have no activity against Gram-positive bacteria with a CM that consists of a phospholipid bilayer [19]. Finally, studies with model membranes have reported very little disruption to phospholipid bilayers by colistin unless LPS is present [20]. Together, these observations indicate that colistin-mediated disruption of the CM is not due to the engagement of the antibiotic with phospholipids, suggesting that there is an alternative mechanism for how polymyxins damage the CM.

LPS is synthesised in the cytoplasm by the Lpx family of enzymes, before it is introduced into the inner leaflet of the CM [21]. It is then flipped to the outer leaflet of the CM by MsbA before transportation to the OM via the LptABCDEFG machinery [22-24]. Therefore, LPS is present in the CM in relatively large quantities, en route to the OM [22-24]. Given the high affinity of polymyxins for LPS, and the well characterised disruption to the LPS-containing OM mediated by these antibiotics, we hypothesised that the presence of LPS in the CM provides a target for polymyxin antibiotics, enabling them to trigger bacterial lysis and death.

Results

Colistin targets LPS in the cytoplasmic membrane

Using fluorescent BoDipy-labelled polymyxin B nonapeptide (PMBN) as a probe for LPS at the cell surface, we

were able to detect LPS in the OM of the model Gram-negative pathogen *P. aeruginosa* PA14 (Fig. 1a,

Supplementary Fig. 2) [25]. By producing spheroplasts of *P. aeruginosa* cells, we also found that BoDipy-

PMBN bound to the CM, demonstrating that LPS was present in the CM in relatively large quantities (Fig. 1b,

Supplementary Fig. 3). To investigate if LPS in the CM was a target for polymyxin antibiotics, we first

attempted to reduce the amount of LPS in the CM by blocking LPS biosynthesis with either the LpxC inhibitor

CHIR090, or the fatty acid biosynthesis inhibitor cerulenin [16,26]. Exposure of *P. aeruginosa* to sub-lethal

concentrations of CHIR090 or cerulenin resulted in almost complete depletion of LPS from the CM, with no

significant effects on LPS levels in the OM and only a minimal effect on the growth rate of bacteria (Fig. 1abcd,

Supplementary Fig. 4).

To test whether CHIR090 or cerulenin had any effect on the interaction of colistin or polymyxin B with LPS in

the OM of *P. aeruginosa* cells, we used the *N*-phenyl-1-napthylamine (NPN) fluorophore to measure OM

permeablisation caused by the polymyxin antibiotics [27]. This revealed that both colistin and polymyxin B

caused immediate and equal disruption of the OM of untreated bacteria and those exposed to CHIR090 or

cerulenin, confirming that the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors did not modulate the levels of LPS in the OM (Fig.

1ef, Supplementary Fig. 5).

Having established that depletion of LPS from the CM using CHIR090 or cerulenin had no effect on the

interaction of colistin or polymyxin B with the OM, we turned our attention to the interaction of the

polymyxin antibiotics with the CM. To do this, we measured the binding of BoDipy-labelled colistin or BoDipy-

polymyxin B to *P. aeruginosa* cells exposed, or not, to CHIR090 or cerulenin (Supplementary Fig. 6, 7). In the

absence of the inhibitors of LPS biosynthesis, there was a rapid and high level of binding of the labelled

polymyxin antibiotics to bacteria (Fig. 1gh). By contrast, when LPS was depleted from the CM using CHIR090

or cerulenin, the binding of BoDipy-colistin or BoDipy-polymyxin B was significantly reduced, indicating that

LPS in the CM was targeted by the polymyxin antibiotics (Fig. 1gh).

Figure 1. Depletion of LPS from the cytoplasmic membrane of *P. aeruginosa* reduces colistin binding to cells. a, b, Representative fluorescence microscopy images visualising LPS in the OM of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 whole cells **(a)** or in the CM of spheroplasts of *P. aeruginosa* **(b)** in the absence of treatment, or after exposure to the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml⁻¹) or cerulenin (32 µg ml⁻¹) for 2 hours, using 7 µg ml⁻¹ BoDipy-polymyxin B nonapeptide (PMBN) (Scale bars: 5 µm). **c, d,** Quantification of amount of LPS in the OM of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 whole cells **(c)** or in the CM of *P. aeruginosa* spheroplasts **(d)** exposed, or not, to CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml⁻¹) or cerulenin (32 µg ml⁻¹) for 2 hours, as determined by fluorescence of BoDipy-PMBN (7 µg ml⁻¹) bound to the cell surface (n=3 in triplicate; ns: p>0.05, *p<0.001

compared to Untreated conditions). **e, f,** OM disruption of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells during 10 minutes of exposure to

colistin (4 µg ml⁻¹) **(e)** or polymyxin B (PMB, 4 µg ml⁻¹) **(f)**, in the absence or presence of CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml⁻¹) or

cerulenin (32 µg ml⁻¹), as assessed by uptake of the fluorescent dye NPN (10 µM) (n=3, each data point represents the

arithmetic mean of 20 replicate measurements; no significant differences ($p > 0.05$) between polymyxin treated

bacteria). **g, h,** Binding of fluorescent BoDipy-labelled colistin (5 µg ml⁻¹) **(g)** or BoDipy-PMB (10 µg ml⁻¹) **(h)** to *P.*

aeruginosa PA14 cells in the absence or presence of CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml⁻¹) or cerulenin (32 µg ml⁻¹) (n=4; *$p < 0.0001$

compared to BoDipy-labelled antibiotics alone). Data in **c, d** were analysed by a one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-

hoc test. Data in **e, f** were analysed by a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test. Data in **g, h** were analysed by a

two-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test. Data are presented as the arithmetic mean, and error bars represent the

standard deviation of the mean. OM: outer membrane; CM: cytoplasmic membrane; NPN: *N*-phenyl-1-napthylamine;

r.f.u.: relative fluorescence units.

The bactericidal activity of colistin requires the presence of LPS in the cytoplasmic membrane

Having demonstrated that colistin and polymyxin B target LPS in the CM, we wanted to understand whether

this interaction was needed for the bactericidal activity of the antibiotics. To do this, we depleted LPS from

the CM of *P. aeruginosa* using CHIR090 or cerulenin and measured bacterial survival over time during

exposure to the polymyxins. As expected, colistin alone caused a rapid and substantial drop in c.f.u. counts

of bacteria in the absence of inhibitors of LPS biosynthesis, with >10,000-fold reduction in survival within 4

hours (Fig. 2ab). By contrast, there was almost no decrease in c.f.u. counts over 8 hours when *P. aeruginosa*

was exposed to colistin in the presence of CHIR090 or cerulenin to deplete LPS from the CM (Fig. 2ab). This

effect was dose-dependent, with the protection conferred by CHIR090 and cerulenin against colistin-

mediated killing reduced as the concentration of the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors was decreased

(Supplementary Fig. 8). Removing LPS from the CM with CHIR090 or cerulenin also prevented polymyxin B

from exerting its bactericidal effects, with >1000-fold increased survival of *P. aeruginosa* in the presence of

the inhibitors of LPS biosynthesis compared to bacteria exposed to polymyxin B alone (Fig. 2c). Furthermore,

the protective effects of CHIR090 and cerulenin could also be observed even after bacteria were first exposed

to colistin. After 2 hours incubation with colistin, c.f.u. counts of *P. aeruginosa* dropped approximately 500-

fold compared to the starting inoculum (Fig. 2d). In the absence of CHIR090 or cerulenin, killing continued

with an additional 1000-fold decrease in survival over the next 4 hours (Fig. 2d). However, the addition of

cerulenin after 2 hours completely halted bacterial killing, whilst the introduction of CHIR090 reduced the

rate of killing significantly (Fig. 2d). Therefore, depletion of LPS from the CM significantly inhibited the

bactericidal activity of colistin against *P. aeruginosa*, even after the antibiotic had bound to cells.

Since perturbation of LPS biosynthesis by CHIR090 or cerulenin may have triggered stress responses

activating the PmrAB or PhoPQ two-component systems that modulate the susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* to

colistin, we repeated the killing experiments using transposon mutants with disrupted copies of *pmrA*, *pmrB*,

phoP or *phoQ* [28,29]. As expected from previous work, the *phoQ*-disrupted mutant was less susceptible to

colistin than the WT strain (Supplementary Fig. 9) [30]. However, the presence of CHIR090 or cerulenin

significantly reduced the killing of all four mutants by colistin, indicating that depletion of LPS from the CM,

rather than the induction of stress responses and modification of LPS in the OM, was responsible for the

protection conferred by the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors against colistin (Supplementary Fig. 9). Moreover,

neither CHIR090 nor cerulenin had any effect on the ability of the bactericidal agents ciprofloxacin,

gentamicin, imipenem or ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) to kill *P. aeruginosa*, further confirming

that the effects of blocking LPS biosynthesis were specific to polymyxins, and not a consequence of general

stress responses reducing antibiotic susceptibility (Supplementary Fig. 10).

Since growth rate can affect the bactericidal activity of antibiotics, it was possible that the protection

provided by CHIR090 or cerulenin against colistin-mediated killing was due to their slight inhibitory effects

on cell replication (Supplementary Fig. 4) [31,32]. However, a range of completely growth-inhibitory

concentrations of the bacteriostatic antibiotics tetracycline or chloramphenicol had almost no effect on

colistin-mediated killing, confirming that alterations in growth rate due to the presence of CHIR090 or

cerulenin were not the basis of their protective effects against polymyxins (Supplementary Fig. 11).

Additionally, we confirmed using checkerboard broth microdilution assays that neither LPS biosynthesis

inhibitor displayed synergy with colistin, suggesting that CHIR090 and cerulenin did not have enhanced

growth-inhibitory effects in combination with the polymyxin antibiotic (Supplementary Fig. 12). This also

revealed that the inhibitors of LPS biosynthesis did not directly interfere with colistin, for example by binding

to the polymyxin (Supplementary Fig. 12).

After isolating *P. aeruginosa* mutants that were resistant to CHIR090, we found that the LPS biosynthesis

inhibitor did not prevent colistin-mediated killing of these CHIR090-resistant strains, demonstrating that the

inhibitor was not blocking the bactericidal effects of the polymyxin antibiotic via off-target effects

(Supplementary Fig. 13). Furthermore, we tested whether either CHIR090 or cerulenin triggered *P.*

aeruginosa to release outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) that could inactivate colistin extracellularly by

measuring the concentration of membrane phospholipids and LPS in the culture supernatant [33]. Exposure

of bacteria to the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors in combination with colistin resulted in a decrease in the amount

of these key OMV components shed from the cell surface, with higher levels of colistin activity maintained in

the supernatant in the presence of CHIR090 or cerulenin (Supplementary Fig. 14, 15). From these

experiments, we concluded that the protection conferred by the inhibitors of LPS biosynthesis against killing

of *P. aeruginosa* by polymyxins was specifically due to their effects on depleting LPS from the CM.

To determine whether the protective effects of CHIR090 or cerulenin also applied to other Gram-negative

pathogens, we exposed clinical isolates of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*, as well as *P. aeruginosa*, to colistin in

the absence or presence of sub-lethal concentrations of the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors. As observed for *P.*

aeruginosa PA14, there was a large and rapid drop in c.f.u. counts of all strains exposed to colistin alone, but

the introduction of either CHIR090 or cerulenin significantly reduced the rate and magnitude of killing,

suggesting the polymyxin antibiotic had a broadly conserved mechanism against Gram-negative bacteria

(Supplementary Fig. 16). Next, we used scanning electron microscopy (SEM) to examine the morphology of

P. aeruginosa cells exposed to colistin alongside either CHIR090 or cerulenin. As expected, in the absence of

antibiotics, bacteria had a typical rod-like appearance, whilst cells exposed to colistin had a greatly altered

morphology, with a distorted and deflated appearance (Fig. 2ef). However, cells exposed to colistin in the

presence of CHIR090 or cerulenin had an almost normal appearance, underlining the protective effects of

LPS depletion from the CM (Fig. 2gh, Supplementary Fig. 17).

In summary, these findings revealed that the bactericidal activity of polymyxin antibiotics was dependent

upon the presence of LPS in the CM of clinically-important pathogens.

Figure 2. Depletion of LPS from the cytoplasmic membrane protects *P. aeruginosa* from colistin. a, Survival of *P.*

aeruginosa PA14 cells exposed to colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}), the LPS biosynthesis inhibitor CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}), both

antibiotics, or neither (n=4; *p<0.01 compared to Colistin alone). Values for CHIR090 alone and Untreated overlap. **b,**

Survival of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells during exposure to colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}), the LPS biosynthesis inhibitor cerulenin (32

µg ml^{-1}), both antibiotics, or neither (n=4; *p<0.0001 compared to Colistin alone). **c,** Survival of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells

during exposure to polymyxin B (PMB, 4 µg ml^{-1}) alone, or in combination with CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) or cerulenin (32

µg ml^{-1}) (n=4; *p<0.05 compared to PMB alone). **d,** Survival of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells exposed to colistin (4 µg ml^{-1})

alone for 2 hours, followed by no further treatment, or the addition of either CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) or cerulenin (32

µg ml^{-1}) (n=3; *p<0.05 compared to Colistin alone). **e-h,** Representative scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of

P. aeruginosa PA14 cells incubated in broth only **(e)**, broth containing colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}) alone **(f)**, colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}) and

CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) **(g)**, or colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}) and cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-1}) **(h)**, for 2 hours in each case (Scale bars: 200

nm). Data in **a-d** were analysed by a two-way ANOVA with Sidak's **(a, b)**, Dunnett's **(c)** or Holm-Sidak's **(d)** post-hoc tests.

Data are presented as the arithmetic mean, and error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean.

Colistin-mediated lysis is dependent on the presence of LPS in the cytoplasmic membrane

Having established that the bactericidal activity of colistin required the presence of LPS in the CM, we wanted

to determine whether this was due to colistin-mediated CM damage being dependent on interactions with

LPS in the CM. To do this, we first examined how variations in the amount of LPS in the CM of whole *P.*

aeruginosa cells affected permeabilisation of the CM by polymyxin antibiotics. As expected, exposure of

bacteria to colistin or polymyxin B alone caused disruption of the CM, resulting in a significant signal from

the DNA-reactive fluorophore propidium iodide (PI) (Fig. 3ab, Supplementary Fig. 18) [34]. By contrast, cells

exposed to colistin when LPS was depleted from the CM with the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors CHIR090 or

cerulenin had an almost absent PI signal, indicating that the CM remained intact (Fig. 3ab). Similar results

were seen with clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli,* with CHIR090 significantly

reducing colistin-mediated permeabilisation of the CM (Supplementary Fig. 19).

To confirm that these data arose from the lack of LPS in the CM, we produced spheroplasts enclosed by only

a CM from *P. aeruginosa* cells that had been exposed, or not, to CHIR090 or cerulenin. In the absence of any

antibiotics, there was a gradual loss of integrity of the spheroplast CM, as determined by the signal from PI

staining (Fig. 3c). By contrast, challenging the spheroplasts with colistin resulted in a high level of

fluorescence, indicative of severe CM permeabilisation (Fig. 3c). However, when spheroplasts from bacteria

pre-treated with CHIR090 or cerulenin to deplete LPS from the CM were exposed to colistin, the signal from

PI was similar to that seen in the absence of the polymyxin antibiotic (Fig. 3c). Therefore, the ability of colistin

to disrupt the CM of *P. aeruginosa* was dependent upon the presence of LPS in the CM.

To test whether this requirement of LPS in the CM for polymyxin-mediated CM disruption was also associated

with bacterial lysis, we measured the optical density (OD_{595nm}) of bacterial suspensions exposed to colistin or

polymyxin B in the absence or presence of the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors CHIR090 or cerulenin. Whilst

colistin alone caused lysis of *P. aeruginosa*, with a steady decrease in OD_{595nm} readings observed, this was

completely blocked in the presence of CHIR090 or cerulenin (Fig. 3d). Almost identical data were produced

when bacteria were challenged with polymyxin B, or with *P. aeruginosa* spheroplasts exposed to colistin (Fig.

3ef). In all cases, when the CM was depleted of LPS using the inhibitors of LPS biosynthesis, there was no

detectable cell lysis triggered by the polymyxin antibiotics (Fig. 3def). This finding was then extended to

clinical isolates of Gram-negative pathogens, with CHIR090 significantly reducing colistin-mediated lysis in *P.*

aeruginosa, *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* strains (Supplementary Fig. 20).

Taken together, these data demonstrate that polymyxins were dependent on the presence of LPS in the CM

in order to permeabilise the CM and cause bacterial lysis.

Figure 3. Depletion of LPS from the cytoplasmic membrane prevents colistin-mediated lysis of *P. aeruginosa*. a, Disruption of the CM of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells during incubation with colistin (4 μg ml⁻¹) in the absence or presence of CHIR090 (0.125 μg ml⁻¹) or cerulenin (32 μg ml⁻¹), or with a bactericidal concentration of ciprofloxacin (4 μg ml⁻¹) as a negative control, as determined using 2.5 μM propidium iodide (PI) (n=3 in duplicate; *p<0.0001 compared to Colistin alone for all populations below the asterisks). **b,** CM disruption of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells exposed to polymyxin B (PMB, 4 μg ml⁻¹) in the absence or presence of CHIR090 (0.125 μg ml⁻¹) or cerulenin (32 μg ml⁻¹), as determined using 2.5 μM PI (n=4; *p<0.0001 compared to PMB alone). **c,** Permeabilisation of the CM of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 spheroplasts by colistin (4 μg ml⁻¹) following exposure, or not, of bacteria to CHIR090 (0.125 μg ml⁻¹) or cerulenin (32 μg ml⁻¹) for 2 hours prior to conversion to spheroplasts, as determined using 0.25 μM PI (n=3, experiment performed on 3 independent occasions; *p<0.05 for CHIR090 and cerulenin pre-treated spheroplasts compared to spheroplasts exposed only to colistin). **d, e,** Lysis of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells during exposure to colistin (4 μg ml⁻¹) **(d)** or PMB (4 μg ml⁻¹) **(e)** in the absence or presence of CHIR090 (0.125 μg ml⁻¹) or cerulenin (32 μg ml⁻¹), as measured using OD₅₉₅ₙₘ readings (n=4; *p<0.05 compared to Colistin/PMB alone). **f,** Lysis of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 spheroplasts in response to colistin (4 μg ml⁻¹) following exposure, or not, of bacteria to CHIR090 (0.125 μg ml⁻¹) or cerulenin (32 μg ml⁻¹) for 2 hours prior to conversion to spheroplasts, measured by OD₆₀₀ₙₘ readings (n=3, experiment performed on 3 independent occasions; *p<0.05 for CHIR090 and cerulenin pre-treated spheroplasts compared to spheroplasts exposed only to colistin, error bars are omitted for clarity). Data in **a-f** were analysed by a two-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test. Data are presented as the arithmetic mean, and error bars, where shown, represent the standard deviation of the mean. CM: cytoplasmic membrane; r.f.u.: relative fluorescence units; OD: optical density.

MCR-1 confers colistin resistance via modified LPS in the cytoplasmic membrane

Colistin resistance is a growing concern, particularly the plasmid-borne mobilized colistin resistance (*mcr*) family of resistance determinants that add a phosphoethanolamine group to the lipid A domain of LPS [10,11]. It has been proposed that the increased positive charge of LPS molecules conferred by the cationic phosphoethanolamine moiety leads to electrostatic repulsion of colistin away from the surface of bacteria [10,11]. However, previous work has shown that colistin permeabilises the OM of bacteria expressing *mcr*-1 almost as efficiently as strains that do not express *mcr*-1 [17]. Notably, it has also been demonstrated that MCR-1 is present in the CM, suggesting this is where modifications to LPS occur, before the molecules are transported to the OM [11,35]. Therefore, having established that colistin kills Gram-negative pathogens by targeting LPS in the CM, we hypothesised that MCR-1, which prevents polymyxin-mediated bacterial lysis, confers colistin resistance due to the presence of modified LPS in the CM rather than the OM [17].

To investigate this hypothesis, we used an *E. coli* strain, the most common host organism for these resistance genes, expressing *mcr-1* from a plasmid [36]. As expected, *E. coli* expressing *mcr-1* had a significantly greater colistin minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC, 2 μg ml^{-1}) compared to an otherwise isogenic empty plasmid control strain that did not express the resistance determinant (0.25 μg ml^{-1}) (Supplementary Fig. 21). This confirmed that MCR-1 was being produced by the *E. coli* cells and that it was functional, whilst expression of *mcr-1* did not result in a significant growth defect (Supplementary Fig. 21).

Next, we verified previous findings by showing that colistin, across a range of antibiotic concentrations, caused similar levels of OM disruption in the *E. coli* strain expressing *mcr-1* and in *E. coli* cells harbouring only an empty plasmid (Fig. 4a) [17]. Thus, it appeared that MCR-1 did not mediate colistin resistance at the level of the OM. By contrast, whilst colistin compromised the CM of control empty plasmid-containing *E. coli*, it had no effect on the CM of bacteria expressing *mcr*-1, as determined by the entry of PI into the cytoplasm (Fig. 4b). This suggested that MCR-1 protected bacteria from colistin-mediated permeabilisation of the CM, not the OM. In keeping with this, colistin induced lysis of *E. coli* with only the empty plasmid, but cells expressing *mcr-1* with an intact CM grew in the presence of the antibiotic (Fig. 4c).

To further test whether MCR-1 protected bacteria from colistin by modifying LPS in the CM, we examined the activity of the polymyxin antibiotic against spheroplasts generated from *E. coli* whole cells either expressing *mcr-1* or harbouring an empty plasmid (Supplementary Fig. 22). Colistin caused significant CM damage and triggered cell lysis in empty plasmid-containing *E. coli* spheroplasts (Fig. 4de). However, against spheroplasts of the *E. coli* strain producing MCR-1, the ability of colistin to disrupt the CM was minimal, and there was no observable lysis in response to the polymyxin (Fig. 4de). Therefore, we concluded that MCR-1 mediated colistin resistance by modifying LPS in the CM, which prevented the antibiotic from permeabilising the CM and causing bacterial lysis.

Figure 4. Colistin disrupts the outer membrane but not the cytoplasmic membrane of *E. coli* expressing *mcr*-1. a, OM disruption of *E. coli* MC1000 cells expressing *mcr-1* or an empty plasmid control strain (pEmpty) during 10 minutes of exposure to colistin at the indicated antibiotic concentrations, as determined by uptake of the fluorescent dye NPN (10 µM) (n=4; ns: p>0.05 between pEmpty and *mcr-1* strains). **b,** Permeabilisation of the CM of *E. coli* MC1000 cells expressing *mcr-1* or empty plasmid-containing cells during incubation with colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}), as determined using 2.5 µM propidium iodide (PI) (n=4, each data point represents the arithmetic mean of 20 replicate measurements; ns: p>0.05 between pEmpty and *mcr-1* strains). **c,** Growth or lysis of *E. coli* MC1000 cells expressing *mcr-1* or empty plasmid control cells during exposure to colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}), as measured using OD$_{595nm}$ readings (n=4; *p<0.05 compared to pEmpty). **d,** Permeabilisation of the CM of *E. coli* MC1000 spheroplasts generated from bacteria expressing *mcr-1* or empty plasmid control bacteria during incubation with colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}), as determined using 0.25 µM PI (µM) (n=3, experiment performed on 4 independent occasions; *p<0.0001 compared to pEmpty). **e,** Lysis of *E. coli* MC1000 spheroplasts generated from bacteria expressing *mcr-1* or empty plasmid-containing bacteria during exposure to colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}), as measured using OD$_{595nm}$ readings (n=3, experiment performed on 4 independent occasions; *p<0.01 compared to pEmpty, error bars are omitted for clarity). Data in **a-e** were analysed by a two-way ANOVA with Sidak's **(a)** or Dunnett's **(b-e)** post-hoc tests. Data are presented as the arithmetic mean, and error bars, where shown, represent the standard deviation of the mean. OM: outer membrane; CM: cytoplasmic membrane; NPN: *N*-phenyl-1-napthylamine; r.f.u.: relative fluorescence units; OD: optical density.

Discussion

Colistin is an increasingly important last-resort antibiotic used to combat infections caused by multi-drug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens, including *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* [1-3]. However, treatment failure occurs frequently, and resistance is a growing concern [5-9]. Efforts to address these issues are compromised by a poor understanding of colistin's bactericidal mode of action. Whilst the initial interactions of colistin with LPS in the OM of Gram-negative bacteria were well-established, it was unclear how the antibiotic traversed the OM and damaged the CM to cause cell lysis (Supplementary Fig. 1). In this work, we demonstrate that colistin targets LPS in the CM prior to transport to the OM, resulting in membrane permeabilization, bacterial lysis and killing (Fig. 5).

Figure 5. Colistin kills bacteria by targeting LPS in both the outer and cytoplasmic membranes, leading to disruption of the cell envelope and bacterial lysis. Diagrammatic representation of the novel proposed mechanism of action of colistin: Colistin binds to LPS in the OM (1), displacing cations that form bridges between LPS molecules, which leads to destabilisation of the OM (2). As a consequence of the weakening of intermolecular bonds in the LPS monolayer, LPS is released from the bacterial surface (3), allowing colistin to further damage the OM via the action of the polymyxin lipid tail (4). This provides colistin with access to the periplasm, where colistin interacts with LPS in the CM (5) that is awaiting transport to the OM by the LptABCDEFG machinery after being synthesized in the cytoplasm and flipped to the outer leaflet of the CM by MsbA. As in the OM, colistin binding to LPS results in disruption of the CM (6), which it ultimately permeabilises (7), culminating in the loss of cytoplasmic contents, cell lysis and bacterial death (8).

It has previously been hypothesised that polymyxins damage the phospholipid bilayer in the CM via a detergent-like effect due to the surfactant properties of the antibiotic lipid tail [1,2]. However, our data showing that colistin requires LPS to be present in the CM in order to kill bacteria explains how an antibiotic with high affinity and specificity for LPS causes disruption to both the OM and CM. Furthermore, these findings provide support for the observations that colistin does not damage the OM of resistant *A. baumannii* isolates where LPS has been replaced by a phospholipid bilayer, and that polymyxins cause only minimal disruption to model phospholipid membranes unless LPS is present [15,20].

Whilst the interaction of colistin with LPS in the CM is likely to share similarities with the same process at the

OM, there are also likely to be differences owing to the differing concentrations of LPS between the two

membranes [21]. In the OM, LPS is a highly abundant component with molecules tightly packed and stabilised

with cation bridges. By contrast, LPS is a minority component in the CM, which may affect the rate and degree

to which the CM is disrupted by polymyxins. For example, previous work showed a direct correlation between

the concentration of LPS in a model membrane and the extent to which the membrane was permeabilised

by colistin [20].

In keeping with this, we observed that colistin induced OM damage within minutes of bacterial exposure to

the antibiotic, but disruption of the CM took much longer. Part of this delay may be due to the time taken

for the polymyxin to traverse the OM, since polymyxins accumulate on the bacterial surface before migrating

to the CM [37]. However, when spheroplasts lacking an OM were exposed to colistin, it still took more than

2 hours for CM permeabilisation to occur. Therefore, it appears that colistin-mediated disruption of the CM

is considerably less efficient than that of the OM.

Additional evidence for polymyxin targeting of LPS in the CM came from our studies with *E. coli* expressing

mcr-1, a plasmid-borne colistin resistance determinant that is the most prevalent member of the *mcr* family

of gene homologues and a growing cause for concern. MCR-1 functions by modifying the lipid A domain of

LPS with a phosphoethanolamine moiety, preventing colistin binding. However, recent work showed that

MCR-1 did not prevent colistin-mediated OM permeabilisation, calling into question how this enzyme confers

polymyxin resistance [17]. Phosphoethanolamine modifications of LPS have been shown to occur in the CM

before trafficking to the OM, and our data demonstrate that it is the presence of modified LPS in the CM that

confers protection from colistin on *E. coli* expressing *mcr-1*, since the CM of spheroplasts from MCR-1-

producing bacteria was not damaged by the polymyxin antibiotic [35].

All *mcr* homologues are thought to act at the CM, so it is likely that our findings are generalizable to this

mechanism of colistin resistance [11-14]. However, colistin resistance in other species that occurs via

chromosomal mutations or the induction of stress responses is more complex, and it remains to be seen

whether these diverse mechanisms confer colistin protection through modified LPS in the CM or OM [28-

30,38]. It is also not yet clear why colistin is able to disrupt the OM but not CM of bacteria expressing *mcr-1*.

However, as discussed above, there are likely to be important differences in antibiotic activity due to the

relative abundance of modified LPS in the CM versus OM.

In summary, this work contributes to our understanding of the mechanism of action of colistin by

demonstrating that LPS in the CM is targeted by the polymyxin and is required for the bactericidal and lytic

activities of the antibiotic. In addition, our findings provide insight into how *mcr*-1 confers colistin resistance,

laying the foundations for developing new therapeutic strategies to enhance the efficacy of colistin.

Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1. For each experiment, bacteria were grown for 18 h to stationary phase at 37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.). All strains were grown in Luria broth (LB; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), with the exception of *P. aeruginosa* strain A23, which was cultured in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB; BD Biosciences, USA), and *K. pneumoniae* strain KPC, which was grown in Brain Heart Infusion Broth (BHI; VWR International, USA). For routine culture of bacteria on solid media, strains were grown on the relevant media as described above supplemented with 1.5% technical agar (BD Biosciences). Growth media were supplemented with chloramphenicol (25 µg ml^{-1}), gentamicin (15 µg ml^{-1}), tetracycline (12.5 µg ml^{-1}) or 0.5 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG, Melford Laboratories, UK) where required. Enumeration of bacterial c.f.u. was done by plating 10-fold serial dilutions of bacterial cultures on to Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA; Thermo Fisher Scientific) plates. Inoculated agar plates were incubated statically for 18 h in air at 37°C.

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of colistin, polymyxin B, cerulenin, ciprofloxacin, EDTA, tetracycline, chloramphenicol (all from Sigma-Aldrich, USA), CHIR090 (Axon Medchem, Netherlands), gentamicin (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and imipenem (Fresenius Kabi, Germany) against bacterial strains was determined by the broth microdilution protocol (Supplementary Table 2, 3) [39]. A microtitre plate was used to prepare a range of antibiotic concentrations in 200 µl Mueller-Hinton broth (MHB) by two-fold serial dilutions. For certain experiments, checkerboard analyses were performed by preparing two-fold serial dilutions of two antibiotics in different directions, generating an 8 x 8 matrix to assess the MICs of the antibiotics in combination [17]. Stationary-phase bacteria were diluted 1000-fold in fresh MHB and seeded into each well of the microtitre plate to a final concentration of 5 x 10^5 c.f.u. ml^{-1}. The microtitre plates were then incubated statically at 37°C for 18 h in air, after which point the MIC was defined as the lowest antibiotic concentration at which there was no visible growth of bacteria. In some cases, the extent of bacterial growth after 18 h incubation was also determined by obtaining OD$_{595nm}$ measurements using a Bio-Rad iMark microplate absorbance reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA).

Production of spheroplasts

Spheroplasts of *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* strains lacking an OM and cell wall were generated as previously described [40-42]. Briefly, stationary-phase bacteria grown overnight were washed twice by centrifugation (12,300 x *g*, 3 min) followed by resuspension in MHB, and added at a final inoculum of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1} to 9 ml MHB, containing for some experiments the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors CHIR090 or cerulenin. Cultures were then incubated at 37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.) for 2 h. After the incubation, bacteria were washed twice

by centrifuging (3,273 x *g*, 20 min, 4°C) and resuspending first in 10 ml Tris buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0, Sigma-

Aldrich), and subsequently in Tris buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0) containing 20% sucrose. EDTA (250 µl, 10 mg ml^{-1})

and lysozyme (1 ml, 10 mg ml^{-1}, Roche, Switzerland) were added to remove the OM and cell wall respectively,

and the cell suspension was incubated for 1 h in a water bath shaker at 30°C. Trypsin (500 µl, 10 mg ml^{-1},

Sigma-Aldrich) was then added, and the culture again incubated at 30°C in a water bath shaker for 15 min.

The resulting spheroplasts produced were harvested by mild centrifugation (2,000 x *g*, 20 min, 4°C) and

resuspended in 1 ml Tris buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0) containing 20% sucrose. Successful conversion of bacterial

whole cells into spheroplasts was confirmed using phase-contrast microscopy, as detailed below.

Fluorescent labelling of antibiotics

Colistin, polymyxin B and PMBN were all labelled with the fluorophore BoDipy FL SE D2184 (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) by incubating 100 µl of the BoDipy NHS ester compound (10 mg ml^{-1} in dimethyl sulfoxide, DMSO)

with 250 µl of the antibiotics (10 mg ml^{-1}) and 650 µl sodium bicarbonate (0.2 M, pH 8.5) for 2 h at 37°C.

BoDipy molecules that had not bound to the antibiotics were removed by dialysis using a Float-A-Lyser G2

dialysis device (Spectrum Laboratories, USA) that had a molecular weight cut-off of 0.5 kDa. Dialysis was

carried out at 4°C against sterile distilled water, which was changed four times during the course of the 24 h

dialysis period. Time of flight mass spectrometry analysis confirmed successful labelling of the polymyxin

compounds with the fluorophore, and the antibiotic activity of BoDipy-labelled colistin and BoDipy-polymyxin

B was assessed using MIC and bacterial survival assays, as described in the relevant sections.

Microscopic analysis

For phase-contrast and fluorescence microscopy, a 5 µl sample of fixed bacterial whole cells or spheroplasts

was spotted onto a thin 1.2% agarose gel patch prepared in distilled water on a microscope slide. Bacteria

were imaged using an Axio Imager.A2 Zeiss microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Germany) at 1000x

magnification with an oil immersion objective lens. The ZEN 2012 software was used for image acquisition,

whilst analysis of cell length:width ratios was done using the FIJI/ImageJ software by measuring two

perpendicular lines drawn through the centre of bacteria. For each experiment, all microscopy images were

acquired and processed using identical settings throughout.

Determination of LPS levels on cell surface

To detect and quantify the amount of LPS present in the OM of whole cells and CM of spheroplasts, a

fluorescently-labelled form of PMBN (BoDipy-PMBN) was used as a probe, as described previously [25]. For

whole cells, stationary-phase bacterial cultures grown overnight were washed twice by centrifugation

(12,300 x *g*, 3 min) and resuspension in MHB, before being added at a final inoculum of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1} to 9 ml

MHB containing CHIR090 or cerulenin where required. Bacteria were then incubated at 37°C with shaking

(180 r.p.m.) for 2 h, after which point cells were washed by centrifuging (3,273 x *g*, 20 min, 4°C) and

resuspending in 1 ml Tris buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0). Washed bacteria were recovered by centrifugation (12,300

x g, 3 min) and fixed by resuspending in 1 ml of 4% PFA in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Similarly,

spheroplasts generated as detailed above were harvested by mild centrifugation (4,000 x g, 5 min), and fixed

in the same way as for whole cells. Following fixation for 1 h at room temperature, both whole cells and

spheroplasts were washed twice by centrifuging (12,300 x g, 3 min for whole cells; 4,000 x g, 5 min for

spheroplasts) and resuspending in 1 ml Tris buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0) containing 20% sucrose in the case of

spheroplasts. Subsequently, BoDipy-PMBN (7 µg ml^{-1}) was added, and the fixed bacterial suspensions were

incubated with end-over-end rotation at 37°C for 30 min. After the incubation, to remove any BoDipy-PMBN

that was not bound to the cell surface, the whole cells and spheroplasts were again washed twice as stated

above. The amount of BoDipy-PMBN attached to the OM of whole cells and CM of spheroplasts was observed

using fluorescence microscopy, as described above. For quantification of BoDipy-PMBN bound to the cell

surface, 200 µl samples of the bacterial suspensions were seeded into the wells of a black-walled microtitre

plate, and fluorescence measured with a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader (Tecan Group Ltd.,

Switzerland), using an excitation wavelength of 490 nm and an emission wavelength of 525 nm. To account

for differences in fluorescence values arising from variations in cell number, relative fluorescence unit (r.f.u.)

measurements were corrected for OD at 600 nm.

Bacterial growth assay

Stationary-phase bacteria were diluted 1000-fold in fresh MHB, and 4 µl was seeded into the wells of a

microtitre plate containing 200 µl MHB, and for some experiments the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors CHIR090

or cerulenin, to give a final inoculum of 5 x 10^5 c.f.u. ml^{-1}. The microtitre plate was incubated with shaking

(180 r.p.m.) at 37°C for up to 24 h in a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader and optical density

measurements were taken at 600 nm every 15 min.

Outer membrane disruption assay

To detect damage to the OM of bacteria, the well-established NPN uptake assay was used [27]. Stationary-

phase bacterial cells were washed in fresh MHB and diluted to an optical density (OD$_{600nm}$) of 0.5 in 5 mM pH

7.2 HEPES buffer (Sigma-Aldrich). This bacterial suspension was added to wells containing the relevant

antibiotics in HEPES buffer, as well as the fluorescent probe *N*-phenyl-1-naphthylamine (NPN; Acros Organics,

USA) at a final concentration of 10 µM. Samples were placed in a black microtitre plate with clear-bottomed

wells and fluorescence measured immediately in a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate using an excitation

wavelength of 355 nm and an emission wavelength of 405 nm. Fluorescence measurements were obtained

every 30 seconds for 10 min, and the degree of OM permeabilisation, referred to as the NPN uptake factor,

was calculated using the following formula:

$$\frac{Fluorescence\ of\ sample\ with\ NPN - Fluorescence\ of\ sample\ without\ NPN}{Fluorescence\ of\ HEPES\ buffer\ with\ NPN - Fluorescence\ of\ HEPES\ buffer\ without\ NPN}$$

Determination of antibiotic binding to bacterial cells

Stationary-phase bacterial cultures grown overnight were washed twice in MHB by centrifugation (12,300 x g, 3 min) and resuspension, then added at an inoculum of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1} to 3 ml MHB containing fluorescently-labelled BoDipy-Colistin or BoDipy-Polymyxin B, either alone or in combination with CHIR090 or cerulenin. The cultures were incubated for 8 h at 37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.), and every 2 h bacteria were recovered by centrifugation (12,300 x g, 3 min). The pelleted cells were washed thoroughly by four rounds of centrifugation (12,300 x g, 3 min) and resuspension in fresh MHB in order to remove any BoDipy-labelled antibiotic that was not attached to the bacteria. The amount of BoDipy-Colistin or BoDipy-Polymyxin B bound to the bacterial cells was quantified by measuring the fluorescence of 200 µl samples in a black-walled clear-bottomed microtitre plate, using a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader (excitation at 490 nm, emission at 525 nm). The relative fluorescence values of samples were corrected using OD_{600nm} measurements.

Determination of bactericidal activity of antibiotics

As described above, stationary-phase bacteria grown overnight were washed twice and added at a final inoculum of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1} to 3 ml MHB containing the relevant antibiotics. Cultures were incubated with shaking (37°C, 180 r.p.m.) for up to 10 h. Bacterial survival was determined after 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 h by serially diluting cultures in 10-fold steps in 200 µl sterile PBS (VWR International), before enumeration of c.f.u. counts on MHA plates.

Isolation of CHIR090-resistant mutants

Cultures of *P. aeruginosa* grown overnight to stationary-phase were washed twice in MHB as described above and diluted 10-fold to an inoculum of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1} in MHB, before 100 µl of this inoculum was spread across the surface of an MHA plate. A well measuring 10 mm in diameter was made in the agar plate and filled with 130 µl of the LPS biosynthesis inhibitor CHIR090 (8 µg ml^{-1}). After static incubation of the agar plate at 37°C for 18 h in air, mutants with spontaneous resistance to CHIR090 were isolated by picking colonies that had grown within the zone of inhibition around the well. These resistant mutants were grown overnight to stationary-phase, and again exposed to CHIR090 in a well as described above to confirm that the strains had a reduced susceptibility to the antibiotic. Bacterial growth, survival and MIC assays were performed as detailed in the relevant sections to characterise the properties of the resistant *P. aeruginosa* isolates.

Determination of membrane lipid release from bacteria

Stationary-phase bacteria grown overnight were washed twice in MHB and exposed to the relevant antibiotics in 3 ml MHB at a final inoculum of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1} as described above. Cultures were incubated for 8 h at 37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.), and every 2 h the supernatants were recovered by centrifugation (12,300 x g, 3 min). Recovered supernatants (200 µl) were mixed with 5 µl of FM-4-64 styryl dye (Thermo

Fisher Scientific) at a final concentration of 5 µg ml^{-1} in the wells of a black-walled microtitre plate.

Fluorescence was measured using a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader (excitation at 565 nm,

emission at 600 nm) to quantify the phospholipid released into the supernatant by bacteria.

Determination of LPS release from bacteria

Culture supernatants from bacteria exposed to the relevant antibiotics were recovered as detailed above,

and the chromogenic Limulus Amebocyte Lysate (LAL) assay (all reagents from Thermo Fisher Scientific) was

used to quantify LPS released from bacteria into the culture supernatant as described previously [43].

Samples of cell-free culture supernatant (50 µl) were equilibrated to 37°C and loaded into the wells of a

microtitre plate at the same temperature. Limulus amebocyte lysate reagent (50 µl) was added to each well,

and the mixture incubated at 37°C for 10 min. Chromogenic substrate solution (100 µl, 2 mM) was

subsequently added to each well and the microtitre plate was incubated for a further 6 min at 37°C. The

enzymatic reaction was stopped by adding 50 µl of 25% acetic acid to each well, and the presence of LPS was

determined by measuring absorbance at 405 nm in a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader. A standard

curve was generated using an *E. coli* endotoxin standard stock solution, which enabled the conversion of

A_{405nm} values into concentrations of LPS in the supernatant.

Determination of colistin activity

As described above, spent culture supernatants from bacteria exposed to the relevant antibiotics were

recovered and the activity of colistin in the supernatant was determined using an established zone of

inhibition assay [44]. Stationary-phase *P. aeruginosa* was diluted in MHB to a concentration of 10^6 c.f.u. ml^{-1}

before 60 µl of this bacterial culture was spread across the surface of an MHA plate and allowed to air-dry.

Wells measuring 10 mm in diameter were made in the agar plate and filled with 130 µl of the culture

supernatant recovered from colistin-treated bacterial populations. Agar plates were incubated in air statically

at 37°C for 18 h and the zone of growth inhibition around each well was measured at four perpendicular

points. A standard plot was generated showing a linear relationship between the size of the zone of inhibition

and colistin concentration, which enabled inhibitory zone size to be converted to percentage colistin activity.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) imaging

Stationary-phase bacterial cells grown overnight were washed and inoculated into 3 ml MHB containing the

relevant antibiotics as described above. After 2 h incubation (37°C, 180 r.p.m.), 25 µl of the cultures was

spotted onto a silicon chip (University Wafer, USA) and allowed to air-dry. The sample was fixed using

glutaraldehyde (2.5% in 0.01 M PBS) for 30 min at room temperature, before the chip was washed three

times in PBS (0.01 M). The sample was dehydrated using increasing concentrations of ethanol (10%, 30%,

50%, 70%, 90% and 100%) for 5 min each time, before a coating of 15 nm chromium (Quorum Technologies,

UK) was applied. Representative images of bacterial cells were obtained using a LEO Gemini 1525 field

emission gun scanning electron microscope (FEG SEM; Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH).

Cytoplasmic membrane disruption assay

To measure CM disruption of whole cells, as described above bacteria grown to stationary-phase overnight

were washed and inoculated into 3 ml MHB containing the relevant antibiotics. Cultures were incubated at

37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.) for up to 8 h, and every 30 min, aliquots (200 µl) were taken and bacteria

isolated by centrifugation (12,300 x g, 3 min). Cells were then washed in sterile PBS before being added to

the wells of a black-walled microtitre plate, and propidium iodide (PI, Sigma-Aldrich) was added to each well

at a final concentration of 2.5 µM. Fluorescence was measured immediately in a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro

multiwell plate reader (excitation at 535 nm, emission at 617 nm). To measure disruption of the CM in

spheroplasts, spheroplasts of *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* generated as detailed above were washed by

centrifugation (4,000 x g, 5 min) and resuspension in Tris buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0) containing 20% sucrose.

Spheroplast samples (20 µl) were then added in the wells of a black-walled microtitre plate to 180 µl of Tris

buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0) containing 20% sucrose, the relevant antibiotics, and PI at a final concentration of

0.25 µM. The microtitre plate was incubated with shaking (180 r.p.m.) at 37°C for up to 12 h in a Tecan Infinite

200 Pro multiwell plate reader and fluorescence (excitation at 535 nm, emission at 617 nm) measured every

15 min. For both whole bacterial cells and spheroplasts, to account for differences in fluorescence values

arising from variations in cell number, relative fluorescence unit (r.f.u.) measurements were corrected for

OD at 600 nm.

Determination of bacterial lysis

In the case of whole bacterial cells, washed stationary-phase bacteria were inoculated into 3 ml MHB

containing the relevant antibiotics, as described above. Cultures were then placed in a shaking incubator

(37°C, 180 r.p.m.) for 8 h, and every 30 min, samples (200 µl) were transferred to a microtitre plate, where

OD_{595nm} measurements were obtained using a Bio-Rad iMark microplate absorbance reader. For

spheroplasts, washed spheroplasts (20 µl) were added to 180 µl of Tris buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0) containing

20% sucrose and the relevant antibiotics in a microtitre plate as detailed above. The microtitre plate was

incubated for up to 12 h at 37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.) in a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader,

and readings of OD_{600nm} were made every 15 min.

Statistical analyses

Experiments were performed on at least three independent occasions, and the resulting data are presented

as the arithmetic mean of these biological repeats, unless stated otherwise. Error bars represent the standard

deviation of the mean. For single comparisons, a two-tailed unpaired Student's *t*-test was used to analyse

the data. For multiple comparisons at a single time point or concentration, data were analysed using a one-

way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Where data were obtained at several different time points or
concentrations, a two-way ANOVA was used for statistical analyses. Appropriate post-hoc tests (Dunnett's,
Tukey's, Welch's, Sidak's, Holm-Sidak's) were carried out to correct for multiple comparisons, with details
provided in the figure legends. Asterisks on graphs indicate significant differences between data, and the
corresponding p-values are reported in the figure legend. All statistical analyses were performed using
GraphPad Prism 7 software (GraphPad Software Inc., USA).

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable
request.

Acknowledgements

Aishwarya Krishna, Laura Nolan, Alain Filloux (all Imperial College London) and Alireza Abdolrasouli (Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust) are gratefully acknowledged for providing bacterial strains. Lisa Haigh (Imperial College London) is thanked for processing and analysing mass spectrometry samples. Vladimir Pelicic (Imperial College London) is thanked for providing access to the Axio Imager.A2 Zeiss microscope. A.S. is supported by a PhD studentship funded by a Medical Research Council Doctoral Training Award to Imperial College London (MR/N014103/1). A.K. is funded by the DFG German Research Foundation (KL3191/1-1). M.B. and M.M.S gratefully acknowledge support from the Rosetrees Trust (M300-CD1). D.A.I.M. and R.C.F. are supported by an MRC Career Development Award (MR/M009505/1). L.E.E. and A.M.E. acknowledge funding from the Wellcome Trust (204337/Z/16/Z). A.M.E. also acknowledges support from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Imperial Biomedical Research Centre (BRC), and declares funding from Shionogi & Co., Ltd for an unrelated project.

Author Contributions

A.S. and A.M.E. designed the experiments. A.S., A.K., M.B., R.C.D.F. performed experiments or generated bacterial strains. All authors analysed data and contributed to writing of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1.   Velkov, T., Thompson, P. E., Nation, R. L., Li, J. Structure--activity relationships of polymyxin antibiotics. *J. Med. Chem.* **53(5),** 1898-1916 (2010).

2.   Garg, S. K. *et al*. Resurgence of Polymyxin B for MDR/XDR Gram-Negative Infections: An Overview of Current Evidence. *Crit. Care. Res. Pract*. 3635609 (2017).

3.   Biswas, S., Brunel, J. M., Dubus, J. C., Reynaud-Gaubert, M., Rolain, J. M. Colistin: an update on the antibiotic of the 21st century. *Expert Rev. Anti. Infect. Ther.* **10(8),** 917-934 (2012).

4.   Thomas, R. *et al*. The use of polymyxins to treat carbapenem resistant infections in neonates and children. *Expert Opin. Pharmacother*. **20(4),** 415-422. (2019).

5.   Falagas, M. E. *et al.* Colistin therapy for microbiologically documented multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacterial infections: a retrospective cohort study of 258 patients. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents.* **35(2),** 194-199 (2010).

6.   Paul, M. *et al*. Colistin alone versus colistin plus meropenem for treatment of severe infections caused by carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria: an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Infect. Dis*. **18(4),** 391-400 (2018).

7.   Linden, P. K. *et al.* Use of parenteral colistin for the treatment of serious infection due to antimicrobial-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **37(11),** e154-160 (2003).

8.   Gales, A. C., Jones, R. N., Sader, H. S. Contemporary activity of colistin and polymyxin B against a worldwide collection of Gram-negative pathogens: results from the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (2006-09). *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **66(9),** 2070-2074 (2011).

9.   Poirel, L., Jayol, A., Nordmann, P. Polymyxins: Antibacterial Activity, Susceptibility Testing, and Resistance Mechanisms Encoded by Plasmids or Chromosomes. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev*. **30(2),** 557-596 (2017).

10.   Simpson, B. W., Trent, M. S. Pushing the envelope: LPS modifications and their consequences. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **17(7),** 403-416 (2019).

11.   Liu, Y. Y. *et al*. Emergence of plasmid-mediated colistin resistance mechanism MCR-1 in animals and human beings in China: a microbiological and molecular biological study. *Lancet Infect. Dis*. **16(2),** 161-168 (2016).

12.   Carroll, L. M. *et al.* Identification of Novel Mobilized Colistin Resistance Gene *mcr-9* in a Multidrug-Resistant, Colistin-Susceptible *Salmonella enterica* Serotype Typhimurium Isolate. *MBio.* **10(3),** e00853-19 (2019).

13.   Nang, S. C., Li, J., Velkov, T. The rise and spread of *mcr* plasmid-mediated polymyxin resistance. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* **45 (2),** 131-161 (2019).

14.   Skov, R. L., Monnet, D. L. Plasmid-mediated colistin resistance (*mcr*-1 gene): three months later, the story unfolds. *Euro. Surveill.* **21(9),** 30155 (2016).

15.   Moffatt, J. H. *et al*. Colistin resistance in *Acinetobacter baumannii* is mediated by complete loss of lipopolysaccharide production. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. **54(12),** 4971-4977 (2010).

16. McClerren, A. L. *et al*. A slow, tight-binding inhibitor of the zinc-dependent deacetylase LpxC of lipid A
biosynthesis with antibiotic activity comparable to ciprofloxacin. *Biochemistry*. **44(50),** 16574-16583
(2005).

17. MacNair, C. R. *et al.* Overcoming *mcr-1* mediated colistin resistance with colistin in combination with other
antibiotics. *Nat. Commun.* **9(1),** 458 (2018).

18. Powers, J. P. S., Hancock, R. E. W. The relationship between peptide structure and antibacterial activity.
Peptides **24(11),** 1681–1691 (2003).

19. Halebian, S., Harris, B., Finegold, S. M., Rolfe, R. D. Rapid method that aids in distinguishing Gram-positive
from Gram-negative anaerobic bacteria. *J. Clin. Microbiol*. **13(3),** 444-448 (1981).

20. Khadka, N. K., Aryal, C. M., Pan, J. Lipopolysaccharide-Dependent Membrane Permeation and Lipid
Clustering Caused by Cyclic Lipopeptide Colistin. *ACS Omega*. **3(12),** 17828-17834 (2018).

21. Okuda, S., Sherman, D. J., Silhavy, T. J., Ruiz, N., Kahne, D. Lipopolysaccharide transport and assembly at
the outer membrane: the PEZ model. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol*. **14(6),** 337-345 (2016).

22. Zhou, Z., White, K. A., Polissi, A., Georgopoulos, C., Raetz, C. R. Function of *Escherichia coli* MsbA, an
essential ABC family transporter, in lipid A and phospholipid biosynthesis. *J. Biol. Chem*. **273(20),** 12466-
12475 (1998).

23. Owens, T. W. *et al*. Structural basis of unidirectional export of lipopolysaccharide to the cell surface. *Nature*.
567(7749), 550-553 (2019).

24. Li, Y., Orlando, B. J., Liao, M. Structural basis of lipopolysaccharide extraction by the LptB$_2$FGC complex.
Nature. **567(7749),** 486-490 (2019).

25. Moison, E. *et al.* A Fluorescent Probe Distinguishes between Inhibition of Early and Late Steps of
Lipopolysaccharide Biogenesis in Whole Cells. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **12(4),** 928-932 (2017).

26. Goldberg, I., Walker, J. R., Bloch, K. Inhibition of lipid synthesis in *Escherichia coli* cells by the antibiotic
cerulenin. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. **3(5),** 549-554 (1973).

27. Helander, I. M., Mattila-Sandholm, T. Fluorometric assessment of gram-negative bacterial
permeabilization. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **88(2),** 213-219 (2000).

28. Macfarlane, E. L., Kwasnicka, A., Ochs, M. M., Hancock, R. E. PhoP-PhoQ homologues in *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa regulate expression of the outer-membrane protein OprH and polymyxin B resistance. *Mol.*
Microbiol. **34(2),** 305-316 (1999).

29. Schurek, K. N. *et al.* Involvement of *pmrAB* and *phoPQ* in polymyxin B adaptation and inducible resistance
in non-cystic fibrosis clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. **53(10),**
4345-4351 (2009).

30. Miller, A. K. *et al*. PhoQ mutations promote lipid A modification and polymyxin resistance of *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa found in colistin-treated cystic fibrosis patients. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. **55(12),** 5761-
5769 (2011).

31. Evans, D. J., Brown, M. R., Allison, D. G., Gilbert, P. Susceptibility of bacterial biofilms to tobramycin: role of
specific growth rate and phase in the division cycle. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **25(4),** 585-591 (1990).

32. Lee, A. J. *et al.* Robust, linear correlations between growth rates and β-lactam-mediated lysis rates. *Proc.*
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **115(16),** 4069-4074 (2018).

33. Manning, A. J., Kuehn, M. J. Contribution of bacterial outer membrane vesicles to innate bacterial defense.
BMC Microbiol. **11,** 258 (2011).

34. Pietschmann, S., Hoffmann, K., Voget, M., Pison, U. Synergistic effects of miconazole and polymyxin B on
microbial pathogens. *Vet. Res. Commun*. **33(6),** 489-505 (2009).

35. Doerrler, W. T., Gibbons, H. S., Raetz, C. R. MsbA-dependent translocation of lipids across the inner
membrane of *Escherichia coli*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279(43),** 45102-45109 (2004).

36. Dortet, L. *et al.* Rapid detection and discrimination of chromosome- and MCR-plasmid-mediated resistance
to polymyxins by MALDI-TOF MS in *Escherichia coli*: the MALDIxin test. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **73(12),**
3359-3367 (2018).

37. Deris, Z. Z. *et al*. Probing the penetration of antimicrobial polymyxin lipopeptides into gram-negative
bacteria. *Bioconjug. Chem*. **25(4),** 750-760 (2014).

38. Han, M. L. *et al*., Polymyxin-Induced Lipid A Deacylation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Perturbs Polymyxin
Penetration and Confers High-Level Resistance. *ACS Chem. Biol*. **13(1),** 121-130 (2018).

39. Wiegand, I., Hilpert, K., Hancock, R. E. Agar and broth dilution methods to determine the minimal inhibitory
concentration (MIC) of antimicrobial substances. *Nat. Protoc.* **3(2),** 163-175 (2008).

40. Weiss, R. L., Fraser, D. Surface Structure of Intact Cells and Spheroplasts of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J.*
Bacteriol. **113(2),** 963-968 (1973).

41. Cheng, K. J., Costerton, J. W., Singh, A. P., Ingram, J. M., Idziak, E. S. Susceptibility of Whole Cells and
Spheroplasts of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to Actinomycin D. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **3(3),** 399-406
(1973).

42. Guérin-Méchin, L., Leveau J. Y., Dubois-Brissonnet F. Resistance of spheroplasts and whole cells of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa to bactericidal activity of various biocides: evidence of the membrane
implication. *Microbiol. Res.* **159(1)**, 51-57 (2004).

43. Roslansky, P. F., Novitsky, T. J. Sensitivity of Limulus amebocyte lysate (LAL) to LAL-reactive glucans. *J. Clin.*
Microbiol. **29(11),** 2477-2483 (1991).

44. Pader, V. *et al. Staphylococcus aureus* inactivates daptomycin by releasing membrane phospholipids. *Nat.*
Microbiol. **2,** 16194 (2016).