1

Colistin kills bacteria by targeting lipopolysaccharide in the cytoplasmic membrane

Akshay Sabnis[1], Anna Klöckner[1,2,3], Michele Becce[3], Katheryn L. H. Hagart[1], Lindsay E. Evans[1,4], R. Christopher D. Furniss[1], Despoina A. I. Mavridou[1,5], Gerald J. Larrouy-Maumus[1], Molly M. Stevens[2,3] and Andrew M. Edwards[1#]

[1] MRC Centre for Molecular Bacteriology and Infection, Imperial College London, Armstrong Rd., London, SW7 2AZ, UK.

[2] Department of Bioengineering, Imperial College London, Imperial College London Rd., London, SW7 2AZ, UK.

[3] Department of Materials, Imperial College London, Imperial College London Rd., London, SW7 2AZ, UK.

[4] Department of Chemistry, Imperial College London, Molecular Sciences Research Hub, White City Campus, Wood Lane, London, W12 OBZ, UK.

[5] Department of Molecular Biosciences, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, 78712, Texas, USA.

[#] For correspondence:

Tel: 0044 (0)207 594 2072

Fax: 0044 (0)207 594 3096

a.edwards@imperial.ac.uk

Keywords: Colistin / polymyxin / *Pseudomonas* / *E. coli* / *Klebsiella* / lipopolysaccharide / resistance / MCR-1

Running title: Colistin mechanism of action

Summary

Colistin is an antibiotic of last resort for infections caused by drug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Escherichia coli*. For this reason, high rates of treatment failure and increasing resistance to this antibiotic are very concerning and attempts to resolve these issues are hampered by a poor understanding of colistin's mode of action. Whilst it is well established that colistin binds to lipopolysaccharide in the bacterial outer membrane, it was unclear how this led to bacterial killing. Here, we show that colistin also targets lipopolysaccharide in the cytoplasmic membrane and that this interaction is essential for cytoplasmic membrane permeabilisation, cell lysis and the bactericidal activity of the antibiotic. We also found that MCR-1-mediated colistin resistance confers protection against the antibiotic via the presence of modified lipopolysaccharide within the cytoplasmic membrane, rather than the outer membrane. These findings reveal key details about the mechanism by which colistin kills bacteria, providing the foundations for the development of new approaches to enhance therapeutic outcomes.

Introduction

The emergence of multi-drug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* has necessitated the use of polymyxin antibiotics, which are often the only viable last-resort therapeutic option [1-4].

Two closely related polymyxin antibiotics are used clinically, colistin (polymyxin E) and polymyxin B, which share a high degree of structural similarity, consisting of a cationic peptide ring of 7 amino acids connected to a hydrophobic acyl tail by a linear chain of 3 amino acids [1,3]. Polymyxins target lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in the outer membrane (OM) of Gram-negative bacteria via a two-step process (Supplementary Fig. 1). Firstly, the positively-charged peptide ring binds to the anionic lipid A moiety of LPS, resulting in the disruption of cation bridges that stabilise the outer leaflet of the OM. Secondly, the acyl tail of the antibiotic associates with the fatty acid tails of lipid A, causing further OM disruption [1].

Polymyxins are rapidly bactericidal towards Gram-negative bacteria *in vitro* but are considerably less efficacious *in vivo*, with up to 70% of patients infected by Gram-negative pathogens failing to respond to colistin treatment [5-7]. This may be partly due to the nephrotoxicity associated with polymyxins, which severely limits the dose that can be used [8]. Whilst polymyxins have a clinical breakpoint of 2 µg ml^{-1}, the peak serum concentration of these drugs is typically only 1-4 µg ml^{-1}, which means that even small changes in a pathogen's susceptibility can confer resistance [8].

Colistin resistance is a growing threat and typically arises via chromosomal mutations or the acquisition of mobilized colistin resistance (*mcr*) genes [9]. Chromosomally mediated colistin resistance can occur via several different pathways, most of which result in the addition of cationic moieties to the normally negatively charged lipid A [9]. This is thought to result in electrostatic repulsion of the positively-charged polymyxins away from the bacterial surface [10]. By contrast, MCR-mediated resistance is acquired via a plasmid-borne copy of an *mcr* gene, of which 9 homologues have been described to date, following the first report of *mcr*-1 in late 2015 [11,12]. The presence of *mcr* genes on plasmids has become a major cause for concern, with reports of MCR-mediated resistance occurring in several important pathogens including *E. coli*, *Salmonella enterica* and *K. pneumoniae* [13,14]. MCR-1 is thought to be the most prevalent MCR enzyme, having been identified in bacteria isolated from humans, livestock and the environment in Asia, Africa, Europe and North America [14]. Colistin resistance is conferred by the phosphoethanolamine transferase activity of MCR-1, which adds a cationic phosphoethanolamine moiety to the anionic lipid A domain of LPS, reducing its net negative charge and thus decreasing its affinity for the polymyxin antibiotic [11,14].

Whilst most colistin resistance occurs via LPS modifications, an alternative mechanism has been observed in some strains of *A. baumannii*, which involves the total loss of LPS from the OM [15]. However, this phenomenon appears to be limited to certain species since other major pathogens such as *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* cannot tolerate the absence of LPS from the OM [16].

Despite the growing importance of polymyxin antibiotics, there are significant gaps in our understanding of their mode of action. Although it is established that the binding of polymyxins to LPS on the surface of Gram-negative bacteria leads to disruption of the OM, it is not known how this results in cell lysis and bacterial death (Supplementary Fig. 1) [3,17]. It is hypothesised that damage to the LPS monolayer enables polymyxins to traverse the OM via a process of 'self-directed uptake', although this has not been demonstrated experimentally [17,18]. Once they have crossed the OM, polymyxins permeabilise the cytoplasmic membrane (CM), which is required for bacterial lysis and killing [1-3]. However, the mechanism by which colistin damages the CM is unclear [17]. It has been proposed that the surfactant activity of polymyxins is sufficient to compromise the phospholipid bilayer of the CM via a detergent-like effect [1,3]. In support of this, polymyxins can interact with mammalian cell membranes, leading to changes in epithelial monolayer permeability and also have some activity against the Gram-positive bacterium *Streptococcus pyogenes*, where the CM is formed of a phospholipid bilayer [19,20].

However, several lines of evidence call into doubt the ability of physiologically relevant concentrations of polymyxins to disrupt phospholipid bilayers. Firstly, the concentration of polymyxin B required to disrupt mammalian epithelial cells is 30-fold greater than that needed to kill Gram-negative bacteria [19]. Additionally, the concentrations of colistin required to inhibit the growth of *S. pyogenes* (4-16 µg ml^{-1}) are above typical serum concentrations of the antibiotic, and across a range of clinically-relevant concentrations (0.25-4 µg ml^{-1}), colistin displayed no activity against a panel of Gram-positive organisms, including *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Lactococcus lactis* (Supplementary Fig. 2) [8,20]. Furthermore, as described above, polymyxins are 30-100-fold less active against colistin-resistant *A. baumanii* isolates that are LPS-deficient, with an OM composed of a phospholipid bilayer [15,21,22]. Together, these observations led us to question whether, at physiologically relevant concentrations, colistin disrupted the CM of Gram-negative bacteria via the engagement of the polymyxin with membrane phospholipids.

Studies with model membranes have found that the presence of LPS in a phospholipid bilayer, even at very low concentrations (3% of total membrane composition), significantly increased the susceptibility of membranes to colistin-mediated permeabilisation [23]. In Gram-negative bacteria, LPS is synthesised in the cytoplasm via the Raetz pathway, during which it is introduced into the inner leaflet of the CM [24,25]. It is then flipped to the outer leaflet of the CM by MsbA before transportation to the OM via the LptABCDEFG machinery [24-28]. Therefore, LPS is present in the CM in relatively large quantities, en route to the OM [24-30]. Given the high affinity of polymyxin antibiotics for LPS, and that the presence of LPS in membranes markedly sensitises them to polymyxins, we hypothesised that colistin targets LPS in the CM, enabling efficient bacterial lysis and death at clinically relevant concentrations of the drug.

Results

Colistin targets LPS in the cytoplasmic membrane

LPS biosynthesis and transport are essential processes for most Gram-negative bacteria [16]. Therefore, to investigate whether LPS present in the CM was a target for colistin, we attempted to reduce the amount of LPS in the CM by partially inhibiting LPS biosynthesis in the cytoplasm of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 using sub-lethal concentrations of the LpxC inhibitor CHIR090, or the fatty acid biosynthesis inhibitor cerulenin (Supplementary Tables 1, 2) [16,31,32]. By generating spheroplasts of *P. aeruginosa* PA14, LPS levels in both the CM and OM were quantified using the well-established and highly specific Limulus Amebocyte Lysate (LAL) assay (Supplementary Fig. 3, 4, 5) [33]. Additionally, the amount of LPS in the CM and OM of *P. aeruginosa* spheroplasts or whole cells was measured using fluorescent BoDipy-labelled polymyxin B nonapeptide (PMBN) as a non-toxic probe for surface LPS (Supplementary Fig. 6) [34].

Using the LAL assay, we found that approximately 2.5% of total cellular LPS was present in the CM of untreated *P. aeruginosa* cells, with the remaining 97.5% found in the OM (Fig. 1a,b). These findings are similar to that reported for *E. coli,* where 4.3% of total cellular LPS was present in the CM, and slightly lower than what was been found in *Salmonella typhimurium* (~10% total LPS present in the CM) [29,30]. When *P. aeruginosa* was exposed to sub-lethal concentrations of CHIR090 or cerulenin, the levels of LPS in the CM decreased ~2-fold, but there was no significant effect on LPS levels in the OM, and only a minimal effect on the growth profile of bacteria (Fig. 1a,b, Supplementary Fig. 5). We found very similar results using BoDipy-tagged PMBN, with a ~3-fold reduction in the LPS content of the CM in *P. aeruginosa* spheroplasts exposed to CHIR090 or cerulenin relative to untreated cells, but only a very minor reduction in LPS levels in the OM (Fig. 1c,d, Supplementary Fig. 6-9). To confirm these findings, we used MALDI-TOF-based lipidomics to quantify the amount of LPS in the CM of *P. aeruginosa* spheroplasts [35]. This demonstrated identical results to those obtained using the LAL assay, providing additional confidence that we were able to reduce LPS abundance in the CM by ~2-fold with CHIR090 or cerulenin (Fig. 1e, Supplementary Fig. 10).

To test whether CHIR090 or cerulenin had any effect on the interaction of colistin or polymyxin B with LPS in the OM of *P. aeruginosa* cells, we used the *N*-phenyl-1-napthylamine (NPN) fluorophore to measure OM permeablisation caused by the polymyxin antibiotics [36]. This revealed that both colistin, across a range of concentrations, and polymyxin B caused immediate and equal disruption of the OM of untreated bacteria and those exposed to CHIR090 or cerulenin, further confirming that the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors did not modulate the levels of LPS in the OM (Fig. 1f,g, Supplementary Fig. 11).

To further investigate the interaction of polymyxin antibiotics with *P. aeruginosa*, we measured the binding of BoDipy-labelled colistin or BoDipy-polymyxin B to whole *P. aeruginosa* cells exposed, or not, to CHIR090 or cerulenin (Supplementary Fig. 6-9). In the absence of the inhibitors of LPS biosynthesis, there was a rapid and high level of binding of the fluorescently-labelled polymyxin antibiotics to bacteria (Fig. 1h,i). By contrast,

when LPS was depleted from the CM using CHIR090 or cerulenin, the binding of BoDipy-colistin or BoDipy-

polymyxin B was significantly reduced (Fig. 1h,i).

Together, these findings demonstrated that we could reduce LPS abundance in the CM without affecting LPS

levels in the OM, and that the amount of LPS in the CM influenced the degree to which the polymyxin

antibiotics targeted bacterial cells.

Figure 1. Depletion of LPS from the cytoplasmic membrane of *P. aeruginosa* reduces colistin binding to cells. a, b,

Quantification of LPS levels in the OM **(a)** and CM **(b)** of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 during conversion to spheroplasts from

whole cells pre-exposed, or not, to the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) or cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-1}) for

2 h, as determined using the Limulus Amebocyte Lysate assay (n=3; ns: p>0.05, *p<0.001 compared to Untreated

conditions). **c, d,** Quantification of amount of LPS in the OM of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 whole cells **(c)** or in the CM of *P.*

aeruginosa PA14 spheroplasts **(d)** exposed, or not, to CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) or cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-1}) for 2 h, as

determined by fluorescence of BoDipy-PMBN (7 µg ml^{-1}) bound to the cell surface (n=3; *p<0.0001 compared to

Untreated conditions). **e,** Quantification of the ratio of lipid A to the membrane phospholipid phosphatidylglycerol (34:2)

in the CM of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 spheroplasts exposed, or not, to CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) or cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-1}) for 2

h, as determined by MALDI-TOF-based lipidomics (n=3 in duplicate). **f, g,** OM disruption of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells

during 10 minutes of exposure to the indicated range of colistin concentrations **(f)** or polymyxin B (PMB, 4 µg ml^{-1}) **(g)**,

in the absence or presence of CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) or cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-1}), as assessed by uptake of the fluorescent

dye NPN (10 µM) (n=3, each data point represents the arithmetic mean of 20 replicate measurements; no significant

differences (p>0.05) between polymyxin treated bacteria). **h, i,** Binding of fluorescent BoDipy-labelled colistin (5 µg ml^{-1}) **(h)** or BoDipy-PMB (10 µg ml^{-1}) **(i)** to *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells in the absence or presence of CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1})

or cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-1}) (n=4; *p<0.0001 compared to BoDipy-labelled antibiotics alone). Data in **a-e** were analysed by

a one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test. Data in **f, h, i** were analysed by a two-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-

hoc test. Data in **g** were analysed by a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test. Data are presented as the arithmetic

mean, and error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. OM: outer membrane; CM: cytoplasmic membrane;

NPN: *N*-phenyl-1-napthylamine; r.f.u.: relative fluorescence units; PMB: polymyxin B; PMBN polymyxin B nonapeptide.

The bactericidal activity of colistin is dependent upon the abundance of LPS in the cytoplasmic membrane

Having demonstrated that colistin and polymyxin B target LPS in the CM, we wanted to understand whether

this interaction was needed for the bactericidal activity of the antibiotics. To do this, we reduced LPS levels

in the CM of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 using CHIR090 or cerulenin and measured bacterial survival over time during

exposure to the polymyxins. As expected, colistin alone caused a rapid and substantial drop in c.f.u. counts

of bacteria, with >10,000-fold reduction in survival within 4 h (Fig. 2a,b). By contrast, there was almost no

decrease in c.f.u. counts over 8 h when *P. aeruginosa* was exposed to colistin in the presence of CHIR090 or

cerulenin to reduce LPS abundance in the CM (Fig. 2a,b). These protective effects of CHIR090 and cerulenin

were apparent across multiple colistin concentrations and, whilst at the highest concentration tested (10 µg

ml^{-1}) a small decrease in cell viability was observed, this still represented a 1000-fold increased level of

survival (Supplementary Fig. 12). Furthermore, the ability to protect against killing by colistin was also

dependent on the dose of CHIR090 and cerulenin, with decreased survival of *P. aeruginosa* cells at lower

concentrations of the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors (Supplementary Fig. 13).

Reducing LPS in the CM with CHIR090 or cerulenin also prevented polymyxin B from exerting its bactericidal

effects, with >1000-fold increased survival of *P. aeruginosa* in the presence of either inhibitor compared to

bacteria exposed to polymyxin B alone (Fig. 2c). The protective effects of CHIR090 and cerulenin could also

be observed even after bacteria were first exposed to colistin. After 2 h incubation with colistin, c.f.u. counts

of *P. aeruginosa* dropped approximately 500-fold compared to the starting inoculum (Fig. 2d). In the absence

of CHIR090 or cerulenin, killing continued with an additional 1000-fold decrease in survival over the next 4 h

(Fig. 2d). However, the addition of cerulenin after 2 h completely halted bacterial killing, whilst the

introduction of CHIR090 reduced the rate of killing significantly (Fig. 2d). Therefore, reduction of LPS levels

in the CM significantly inhibited the bactericidal activity of colistin against *P. aeruginosa*, even after the

antibiotic had bound to cells.

Since perturbation of LPS biosynthesis by CHIR090 or cerulenin may have triggered stress responses

activating the PmrAB or PhoPQ two-component systems that modulate the susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* to

colistin, we repeated the killing experiments using transposon mutants with disrupted copies of *pmrA*, *pmrB*,

phoP or *phoQ* [37,38]. As expected from previous work, the *phoQ*-disrupted mutant was less susceptible to

colistin than the WT strain (Supplementary Fig. 14) [39]. However, the presence of CHIR090 or cerulenin

significantly reduced the killing of all four mutants by colistin, indicating that reduction of LPS levels in the

CM, rather than the induction of stress responses and modification of LPS in the OM, was responsible for the

protection conferred by the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors against colistin (Supplementary Fig. 14). Moreover, neither CHIR090 nor cerulenin had any effect on the ability of the bactericidal agents ciprofloxacin, gentamicin, imipenem, chlorhexidine or ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) to kill *P. aeruginosa*, providing further evidence that the effects of reducing LPS biosynthesis were specific to polymyxins, and not a consequence of general stress responses reducing antibiotic susceptibility (Supplementary Fig. 15).

Since growth rate can affect the bactericidal activity of antibiotics, it was possible that the protection provided by CHIR090 or cerulenin against colistin-mediated killing was due to their slight inhibitory effects on cell replication (Supplementary Fig. 5) [40,41]. However, a range of completely or partially growth-inhibitory concentrations of the bacteriostatic antibiotics tetracycline or chloramphenicol had almost no effect on colistin-mediated killing, confirming that alterations in growth rate due to the presence of CHIR090 or cerulenin were not the basis of their protective effects against polymyxins (Supplementary Fig. 16). Additionally, we confirmed using checkerboard broth microdilution assays that neither CHIR090 nor cerulenin displayed synergy with colistin, suggesting that CHIR090 and cerulenin did not have enhanced growth-inhibitory effects in combination with the polymyxin antibiotic (Supplementary Fig. 17). This also revealed that the inhibitors of LPS biosynthesis did not directly interfere with colistin, for example by binding to the polymyxin (Supplementary Fig. 17).

To confirm that the protective effects of CHIR090 were only due to its inhibition of LPS biosynthesis, we isolated spontaneous mutants that were resistant to the LpxC inhibitor. These mutants were fully sensitive to colistin but were not protected by CHIR090, demonstrating that the inhibitor was not blocking the bactericidal effects of the polymyxin antibiotic via off-target effects (Supplementary Fig. 18). Next, we tested whether either CHIR090 or cerulenin triggered *P. aeruginosa* to release outer membrane vesicles (OMVs) that could inactivate colistin extracellularly by measuring the concentration of membrane phospholipids and LPS in the culture supernatant [42]. Exposure of bacteria to the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors in combination with colistin resulted in a decrease in OMVs shed from the cell surface, with higher levels of colistin activity maintained in the supernatant in the presence of CHIR090 or cerulenin (Supplementary Fig. 19, 20). From these experiments, we concluded that the protection conferred by CHIR090 and cerulenin against killing of *P. aeruginosa* by polymyxins was specifically due to their effects on reducing LPS abundance in the CM.

To determine whether the protective effects of CHIR090 or cerulenin also applied to other Gram-negative pathogens, we exposed clinical isolates of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*, as well as *P. aeruginosa*, to colistin in the absence or presence of sub-lethal concentrations of the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors. As observed for *P. aeruginosa* PA14, there was a large and rapid drop in c.f.u. counts of all strains exposed to colistin alone, but the presence of either CHIR090 or cerulenin significantly reduced the rate of killing (Supplementary Fig. 21). Similar to what was observed for cerulenin, we also found that a sub-lethal concentration of the FabI inhibitor triclosan protected *K. pneumoniae* from the bactericidal effects of colistin (Supplementary Fig. 22).

Combined, these data suggested that colistin has a broadly conserved mechanism of action against Gram-
negative bacteria.

Next, we used scanning electron microscopy (SEM) to examine the morphology of *P. aeruginosa* cells exposed
to colistin in the absence or presence of either CHIR090 or cerulenin. As expected, in the absence of
antibiotics, bacteria had a typical rod-like appearance, whilst cells exposed to colistin had a greatly altered
morphology, with a distorted and deflated appearance (Fig. 2e,f). However, cells exposed to colistin in the
presence of CHIR090 or cerulenin had an almost normal appearance, underlining the protective effects of
reducing LPS levels in the CM (Fig. 2g,h, Supplementary Fig. 23, 24). In summary, these findings revealed that
the bactericidal activity of polymyxin antibiotics was dependent upon the abundance of LPS in the CM of
clinically important pathogens.

Figure 2. Depletion of LPS from the cytoplasmic membrane protects *P. aeruginosa* from colistin. a, Survival of *P.*
aeruginosa PA14 cells exposed to colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}), the LPS biosynthesis inhibitor CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}), both
antibiotics, or neither (n=4; *p<0.01 compared to Colistin alone). Values for CHIR090 alone and Untreated overlap. **b,**
Survival of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells during exposure to colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}), the LPS biosynthesis inhibitor cerulenin (32
µg ml^{-1}), both antibiotics, or neither (n=4; *p<0.0001 compared to Colistin alone). **c,** Survival of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells
during exposure to polymyxin B (PMB, 4 µg ml^{-1}) alone, or in combination with CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) or cerulenin (32
µg ml^{-1}) (n=4; *p<0.05 compared to PMB alone). **d,** Survival of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells exposed to colistin (4 µg ml^{-1})
alone for 2 h, followed by no further treatment, or the addition of either CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) or cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-}
^{1}) (n=3; *p<0.05 compared to Colistin alone). **e-h,** Representative scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of *P.*
aeruginosa PA14 cells incubated in broth only **(e)**, broth containing colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}) alone **(f)**, colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}) and
CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml^{-1}) **(g)**, or colistin (4 µg ml^{-1}) and cerulenin (32 µg ml^{-1}) **(h)**, for 2 h in each case (Scale bars: 200 nm).
Data in **a-d** were analysed by a two-way ANOVA with Sidak's **(a, b)**, Dunnett's **(c)** or Holm-Sidak's **(d)** post-hoc tests.
Data are presented as the arithmetic mean, and error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean.

Colistin-mediated lysis is dependent on the presence of LPS in the cytoplasmic membrane

Having established that the bactericidal activity of colistin was reduced when the abundance of LPS in the CM was lowered, we wanted to determine whether this was due to colistin-mediated CM damage. To do this, we first examined how variations in the amount of LPS in the CM of whole *P. aeruginosa* cells affected permeabilisation of the CM by polymyxin antibiotics. As expected, exposure of bacteria to colistin or polymyxin B alone caused disruption of the CM, resulting in a significant signal from the DNA-binding fluorophore propidium iodide (PI) (Fig. 3a,b, Supplementary Fig. 25) [43]. By contrast, when LPS levels were reduced in the CM using CHIR090 or cerulenin, colistin exposure triggered only a weak PI signal, indicating that the CM remained intact (Fig. 3a,b). Similar results were seen with clinical isolates of *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli,* with CHIR090 significantly reducing colistin-mediated permeabilisation of the CM (Supplementary Fig. 26).

To confirm that these data arose from the reduced levels of LPS in the CM, we produced spheroplasts from *P. aeruginosa* cells that had been exposed, or not, to CHIR090 or cerulenin. In the absence of any antibiotics, there was a gradual loss of integrity of the spheroplast CM, as determined by the signal from PI staining (Fig. 3c). By contrast, challenging the spheroplasts with colistin resulted in a high level of fluorescence, indicative of severe CM permeabilisation (Fig. 3c). However, when spheroplasts from bacteria pre-treated with CHIR090 or cerulenin to lower the abundance of LPS in the CM were exposed to colistin, the signal from PI was similar to that seen in the absence of the polymyxin antibiotic (Fig. 3c). Therefore, the ability of colistin to disrupt the CM of *P. aeruginosa* was directly related to the abundance of LPS in the CM.

To test whether the relationship between LPS levels in the CM and polymyxin-mediated CM disruption extended to bacterial lysis, we measured the optical density (OD_{595nm}) of bacterial suspensions exposed to colistin or polymyxin B in the absence or presence of CHIR090 or cerulenin. Whilst colistin alone caused lysis of *P. aeruginosa*, with a steady decrease in OD_{595nm} readings observed, this was completely blocked in the presence of CHIR090 or cerulenin (Fig. 3d). Almost identical data were produced when bacteria were challenged with polymyxin B, or with *P. aeruginosa* spheroplasts exposed to colistin (Fig. 3e,f). In all cases, when LPS levels in the CM were reduced using CHIR090 or cerulenin, there was no detectable cell lysis triggered by the polymyxin antibiotics (Fig. 3e,f). These findings were then extended to clinical isolates of Gram-negative pathogens, with CHIR090 significantly reducing lysis induced by colistin in *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* strains (Supplementary Fig. 27). Taken together, these data demonstrate that polymyxin-mediated CM disruption and lysis were dependent on the abundance of LPS in the CM.

Figure 3. Depletion of LPS from the cytoplasmic membrane prevents colistin-mediated lysis of *P. aeruginosa*. a, Disruption of the CM of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells during incubation with colistin (4 µg ml⁻¹) in the absence or presence of CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml⁻¹) or cerulenin (32 µg ml⁻¹), or with a bactericidal concentration of ciprofloxacin (4 µg ml⁻¹) as a negative control, as determined using 2.5 µM propidium iodide (PI) (n=3 in duplicate; *p<0.0001 compared to Colistin alone for all populations below the asterisks). **b,** CM disruption of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells exposed to polymyxin B (PMB, 4 µg ml⁻¹) in the absence or presence of CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml⁻¹) or cerulenin (32 µg ml⁻¹), as determined using 2.5 µM PI (n=4; *p<0.0001 compared to PMB alone). **c,** Permeabilisation of the CM of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 spheroplasts by colistin (4 µg ml⁻¹) following exposure, or not, of bacteria to CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml⁻¹) or cerulenin (32 µg ml⁻¹) for 2 h prior to conversion to spheroplasts, as determined using 0.25 µM PI (n=3, experiment performed on 3 independent occasions; *p<0.05 for CHIR090 and cerulenin pre-treated spheroplasts compared to spheroplasts exposed only to colistin). **d, e,** Lysis of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells during exposure to colistin (4 µg ml⁻¹) **(d)** or PMB (4 µg ml⁻¹) **(e)** in the absence or presence of CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml⁻¹) or cerulenin (32 µg ml⁻¹), as measured using OD$_{595nm}$ readings (n=4; *p<0.05 compared to Colistin/PMB alone). **f,** Lysis of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 spheroplasts in response to colistin (4 µg ml⁻¹) following exposure, or not, of bacteria to CHIR090 (0.125 µg ml⁻¹) or cerulenin (32 µg ml⁻¹) for 2 h prior to conversion to spheroplasts, measured by OD$_{600nm}$ readings (n=3, experiment performed on 3 independent occasions; *p<0.05 for CHIR090 and cerulenin pre-treated spheroplasts compared to spheroplasts exposed only to colistin, error bars are omitted for clarity). Data in **a-f** were analysed by a two-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-hoc test. Data are presented as the arithmetic mean, and error bars, where shown, represent the standard deviation of the mean. CM: cytoplasmic membrane; r.f.u.: relative fluorescence units; OD: optical density; PMB: polymyxin B.

LPS accumulation in the cytoplasmic membrane sensitises bacteria to colistin

Having shown that reducing the LPS content of the CM reduced bacterial susceptibility to colistin, we hypothesised that an increase in LPS levels in the CM would increase susceptibility to the polymyxin antibiotic. Murepavadin is a peptide-based inhibitor of the LptD component of the LptABCDEFG complex in *P. aeruginosa* that transports LPS from the CM to the OM [44]. Previous work has found that inhibition of any one protein in the Lpt machinery leads to a total loss of function of the LPS transport bridge, preventing LPS from being exported out of the CM [45]. Thus, as expected, partial inhibition of the Lpt system in *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells using a sub-lethal concentration of murepavadin resulted in an approximately 30% increase in LPS levels in the CM, without significant changes to LPS abundance in the OM, as determined using the LAL assay, the BoDipy-PMBN fluorescent probe and MALDI-TOF-based lipidomics (Fig. 4a,b,c,d,e, Supplementary Fig. 28).

Next, we investigated how murepavadin exposure affected the ability of colistin to disrupt the OM and CM of *P. aeruginosa*. We found that murepavadin had no impact on colistin-mediated disruption of the OM using the NPN fluorophore (Fig. 4f). However, murepavadin significantly enhanced permeabilisation of the CM by colistin in both spheroplasts and whole cells of *P. aeruginosa*, as determined via PI uptake assays (Fig. 4g,h). Identical observations were made when measuring cell lysis with OD readings, with murepavadin exposure causing a marked increase in colistin-induced lysis of *P. aeruginosa* whole cells and spheroplasts (Fig. 4i,j).

Subsequently, we demonstrated that a sub-lethal concentration of murepavadin sensitised *P. aeruginosa* to a normally sub-lethal concentration of colistin (2 µg ml^{-1}), resulting in >10,000-fold reduction in c.f.u. counts relative to bacteria incubated with murepavadin or colistin alone after 8 h (Fig. 4k). We also found that murepavadin potentiated the activity of even lower concentrations of colistin (1 µg ml^{-1}), with exposure to the LPS transport inhibitor increasing the ability of the polymyxin antibiotic to damage the CM, triggering bacterial lysis and cell death (Supplementary Fig. 29). Synergy between murepavadin and colistin was similarly apparent in a checkerboard MIC assay (Fig. 4l). We then confirmed that these findings applied to clinical *P. aeruginosa* isolates, where in every case, sub-lethal murepavadin concentrations enhanced the bactericidal effects of colistin, with significantly more CM disruption, cell lysis and killing by the polymyxin (Supplementary Fig. 30).

Combined, these data provide additional evidence that LPS levels in the CM modulate the bactericidal and lytic activity of colistin by showing that an increase in the abundance of LPS in the CM sensitised *P. aeruginosa* PA14 to the polymyxin antibiotic. Our data also indicate that the simultaneous use of murepavadin and polymyxin may provide an effective novel combination therapy that limits the toxic side effects associated with both compounds [46].

Figure 4. Murepavadin sensitises *P. aeruginosa* to colistin by increasing LPS abundance in the cytoplasmic membrane.

a, b, Quantification of LPS levels in the OM **(a)** and CM **(b)** of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 during conversion to spheroplasts from whole cells pre-exposed, or not, to the LPS transport inhibitor murepavadin (0.05 μg ml⁻¹) for 2 h, as determined using the Limulus Amebocyte Lysate assay (n=3; ns: p>0.05, *p<0.05 compared to Untreated conditions). **c, d,** Quantification of amount of LPS in the OM of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 whole cells **(c)** or in the CM of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 spheroplasts **(d)** exposed, or not, to murepavadin (0.05 μg ml⁻¹) for 2 h, as determined by fluorescence of BoDipy-PMBN (7 μg ml⁻¹) bound to the cell surface (n=3; *p<0.001 compared to Untreated conditions). **e,** Quantification of the ratio of lipid A to the phospholipid phosphatidylglycerol (34:2) in the CM of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 spheroplasts exposed, or not, to murepavadin (0.05 μg ml⁻¹) for 2 h, as determined by MALDI-TOF-based lipidomics (n=3 in duplicate). **f,** OM disruption of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells during 10 minutes exposure to colistin (2 μg ml⁻¹) in the absence or presence of murepavadin (0.05 μg ml⁻¹), as assessed by uptake of the fluorescent dye NPN (10 μM) (n=4, each data point represents the arithmetic mean of 20 replicate measurements; ns: p>0.05 between colistin-treated bacteria). **g,** CM disruption of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells exposed to colistin (2 μg ml⁻¹) in the absence or presence of murepavadin (0.05 μg ml⁻¹), as determined using 2.5 μM PI (n=4; *p<0.0001 for Colistin and Murepavadin-exposed cells compared to Colistin alone). **h,** Permeabilisation of the CM of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 spheroplasts by colistin (2 μg ml⁻¹) following exposure, or not, of bacteria to murepavadin (0.05

μg ml^{-1}) for 2 h prior to conversion to spheroplasts, as determined using 2.5 μM PI (n=3, experiment performed on 3

independent occasions; *p<0.0001 for murepavadin-exposed cells compared to Colistin alone except at 0 h). **i,** Lysis of

P. aeruginosa PA14 cells exposed to colistin (2 μg ml^{-1}) in the absence or presence of murepavadin (0.05 μg ml^{-1}), as

measured by OD$_{595nm}$ readings (n=4; *p<0.01 for Colistin and Murepavadin-exposed cells compared to Colistin alone). **j,**

Lysis of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 spheroplasts by colistin (2 μg ml^{-1}) following exposure, or not, of bacteria to murepavadin

(0.05 μg ml^{-1}) for 2 h prior to conversion to spheroplasts, as determined with OD$_{600nm}$ readings (n=3, experiment

performed on 3 independent occasions; *p<0.01 for murepavadin-exposed cells compared to Colistin alone, error bars

are omitted for clarity). **k,** Survival of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells exposed to colistin (2 μg ml^{-1}) in the absence or presence

of murepavadin (0.05 μg ml^{-1}), as determined by c.f.u. counts (n=4; *p<0.05 for Colistin and Murepavadin-exposed cells

compared to Colistin alone). **l,** Checkerboard broth microdilution assays showing the synergistic growth-inhibitory

interaction between colistin and the LPS transport inhibitor murepavadin against *P. aeruginosa* PA14 cells, as

determined by measuring OD$_{595nm}$ after 18 h incubation (n=3; data are presented as the mean OD$_{595nm}$ value, dark green

regions represent increased bacterial growth). Data in **a-e** were analysed by a two-tailed paired Student's *t*-test. Data

in **f** were analysed by a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc test. Data in **g-k** were analysed by a two-way ANOVA

with Dunnett's post-hoc test. Data are presented as the arithmetic mean, and error bars, where shown, represent the

standard deviation of the mean. OM: outer membrane; CM: cytoplasmic membrane; NPN: *N*-phenyl-1-napthylamine;

r.f.u.: relative fluorescence units; OD: optical density. PMB: polymyxin B.

MCR-1 confers colistin resistance via modified LPS in the cytoplasmic membrane

The plasmid-borne mobilized colistin resistance (*mcr*) family of enzymes confer resistance to polymyxins by

adding a phosphoethanolamine group to the lipid A domain of LPS [10,11]. MCR-1 is located in the CM where

it modifies LPS before the molecules are transported to the OM [11]. As expected, *E. coli* expressing *mcr-1*

had a significantly greater colistin minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC, 2 μg ml^{-1}) compared to an

otherwise isogenic empty plasmid (pEmpty) control strain that did not express the resistance determinant

(0.25 μg ml^{-1}), confirming that the cells were producing functional MCR-1 (Supplementary Fig. 31). To

characterise the LPS-modifying activity of MCR-1 at the CM, we used MALDI-TOF-based lipidomics with whole

cells and spheroplasts of the *E. coli* strain expressing *mcr-1* [47]. This revealed that the ratio of LPS modified

with phosphoethanolamine to unmodified LPS was approximately 2:1 in whole cells producing MCR-1, but in

mcr-1 expressing spheroplasts, the ratio of modified LPS to unmodified LPS was ~4:1 (Fig. 5a) (Supplementary

Fig. 32,33). Therefore, there was a significantly higher proportion of unmodified LPS in the OM compared to

the CM of MCR-1-producing *E. coli*.

It has been proposed that the increased positive charge of LPS molecules conferred by the cationic

phosphoethanolamine moiety leads to electrostatic repulsion of colistin away from the surface of bacteria

[10,11]. However, previous work has shown that colistin permeabilises the OM of bacteria expressing *mcr*-1

almost as efficiently as strains that do not express *mcr*-1 [17]. This may reflect the presence of the relatively

large quantity of unmodified LPS in the OM as determined in our lipidomic analyses. Therefore, having

established that colistin kills Gram-negative pathogens by targeting LPS in the CM, we hypothesised that MCR-1, which prevents polymyxin-mediated bacterial lysis, confers colistin resistance via the presence of modified LPS in the CM rather than the OM.

We first verified previous findings by showing that colistin, across a range of antibiotic concentrations, disrupted the OM of the *E. coli* strain expressing *mcr-1* as well as *E. coli* pEmpty (Fig. 5b) [17]. Thus, it appeared that MCR-1 did not mediate colistin resistance at the level of the OM. By contrast, whilst colistin compromised the CM of both whole cells and spheroplasts of *E. coli* pEmpty, it had no effect on the CM of whole cells or spheroplasts expressing *mcr-1*, as determined by the entry of PI into the cytoplasm (Fig. 5c,d). In keeping with this, colistin induced lysis of whole cells and spheroplasts of *E. coli* pEmpty, whereas spheroplasts expressing *mcr-1* did not lyse in response to the polymyxin, and MCR-1 producing cells even grew in the presence of the antibiotic, despite permeabilisation of the OM (Fig. 5e,f).

Taken together, these data support our hypothesis that MCR-1-mediated colistin resistance occurs due to the presence of modified LPS in the CM, which prevented the antibiotic from permeabilising the CM and causing bacterial lysis and death. Furthermore, these data support our hypothesis that colistin targets LPS in the CM, because if phospholipids in the CM were the target of colistin then the modification of LPS would not prevent the polymyxin from disrupting the CM.

Figure 5. Colistin disrupts the outer membrane but not the cytoplasmic membrane of *E. coli* expressing *mcr-1*. a, Quantification of LPS modified with phosphoethanolamine, expressed as the ratio of modified lipid A to unmodified lipid A, in whole cells and spheroplasts of *E. coli* MC1000 expressing *mcr-1*, as determined by MALDI-TOF-based

lipidomics (n=3 in duplicate, *p<0.05 between Whole Cells and Spheroplasts). **b,** OM disruption of *E. coli* MC1000 cells

expressing *mcr-1* or an empty plasmid control strain (pEmpty) during 10 minutes of exposure to colistin at the indicated

antibiotic concentrations, as determined by uptake of the fluorescent dye NPN (10 μM) (n=5, each data point represents

the arithmetic mean of 20 replicate measurements; ns: p>0.05 between pEmpty and *mcr-1* strains, *p<0.05 between

the indicated concentrations of colistin). **c,** Permeabilisation of the CM of *E. coli* MC1000 cells expressing *mcr-1* or empty

plasmid-containing cells during incubation with colistin (4 μg ml^{-1}), as determined using 2.5 μM propidium iodide (PI)

(n=4, *p<0.0001 between pEmpty and *mcr-1* strains). **d,** Permeabilisation of the CM of *E. coli* MC1000 spheroplasts

generated from bacteria expressing *mcr-1* or empty plasmid control bacteria during incubation with colistin (4 μg ml^{-1}),

as determined using 0.25 μM PI (n=3, experiment performed on 4 independent occasions; *p<0.0001 compared to

pEmpty). **e,** Growth or lysis of *E. coli* MC1000 cells expressing *mcr-1* or empty plasmid control cells during exposure to

colistin (4 μg ml^{-1}), as measured using OD$_{595nm}$ readings (n=4; *p<0.05 compared to pEmpty). **f,** Lysis of *E. coli* MC1000

spheroplasts generated from bacteria expressing *mcr-1* or empty plasmid-containing bacteria (pEmpty) during exposure

to colistin (4 μg ml^{-1}), as measured using OD$_{595nm}$ readings (n=3, experiment performed on 4 independent occasions;

*p<0.01 compared to pEmpty, error bars are omitted for clarity). Data in **a** were analysed by a two-tailed paired

Student's *t*-test. Data in **c-f** were analysed by a two-way ANOVA with Sidak's **(c)** or Dunnett's **(d-f)** post-hoc tests. Data

are presented as the arithmetic mean, and error bars, where shown, represent the standard deviation of the mean.

OM: outer membrane; CM: cytoplasmic membrane; NPN: *N*-phenyl-1-napthylamine; r.f.u.: relative fluorescence units;

OD: optical density.

Discussion

Colistin is an increasingly important last-resort antibiotic used to combat infections caused by multi-drug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens, including *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* [1-3]. However, treatment failure occurs frequently, and resistance is a growing concern [5-9]. Efforts to address these issues are compromised by a poor understanding of colistin's bactericidal mode of action. Whilst the initial interactions of colistin with LPS in the OM of Gram-negative bacteria were well-established, it was unclear how the antibiotic traversed the OM and damaged the CM to cause cell lysis (Supplementary Fig. 1). In this work, we demonstrate that colistin targets LPS in the CM, resulting in membrane permeabilisation, bacterial lysis and killing (Fig. 6).

Figure 6. Colistin kills bacteria by targeting LPS in both the outer and cytoplasmic membranes, leading to disruption of the cell envelope and bacterial lysis. Diagrammatic representation of the novel proposed mechanism of action of colistin: Colistin binds to LPS in the OM (1), displacing cations that form bridges between LPS molecules, which leads to destabilisation of the OM (2). As a consequence of the weakening of intermolecular bonds in the LPS monolayer, LPS is released from the bacterial surface (3), allowing colistin to further damage the OM via the action of the polymyxin lipid tail (4). This provides colistin with access to the periplasm, where colistin interacts with LPS in the CM (5) that is awaiting transport to the OM by the LptABCDEFG machinery after being synthesized in the cytoplasm and flipped to the outer leaflet of the CM by MsbA. As in the OM, colistin binding to LPS results in disruption of the CM (6), which it ultimately permeabilises (7), culminating in the loss of cytoplasmic contents, cell lysis and bacterial death (8).

It has been hypothesised that polymyxins damage the phospholipid bilayer in the CM via the detergent-like properties of the antibiotic [1,3]. However, our data showing that colistin requires a sufficient concentration of LPS to be present in the CM in order to kill bacteria explains how an antibiotic with high affinity and specificity for LPS causes disruption to both the OM and CM. Furthermore, these findings provide support for the observations that colistin does not damage the OM of resistant *A. baumannii* isolates where LPS has

been replaced by a phospholipid bilayer, and that polymyxins cause only minimal disruption to model phospholipid membranes unless LPS is present [15,23].

Whilst the interaction of colistin with LPS in the CM is likely to share similarities with the same process at the OM, there are also likely to be differences owing to the differing concentrations of LPS between the two membranes [23]. In the OM, LPS is a highly abundant component with molecules tightly packed and stabilised with cation bridges. By contrast, LPS is a minority component in the CM, which may affect the rate and degree to which the CM is disrupted by polymyxins. For example, previous work showed a direct correlation between the concentration of LPS in a model membrane and the extent to which the membrane was permeabilised by colistin [23]. Specifically, this study found that a model membrane was rapidly disrupted by colistin when LPS was present at ~3% of the total composition of the membrane, but the permeabilising activity of the polymyxin was greatly reduced when LPS was present at ~1% [23]. Whilst it is difficult to directly compare data from synthetic membranes with live whole bacteria, this work explains how a relatively small drop in LPS concentration in the CM caused by CHIR090 or cerulenin could make cells significantly less susceptible to colistin.

Colistin induced OM damage occurred within minutes of bacterial exposure to the antibiotic, but disruption of the CM took much longer. This delay could be due to the time taken for the polymyxin to traverse the OM, since polymyxins accumulate on the bacterial surface before migrating to the CM [48]. Nonetheless, even when spheroplasts, which lack an OM, were exposed to colistin, it still took more than 2 h for CM permeabilisation to occur. Therefore, it appears that colistin-mediated disruption of the CM is considerably less efficient than that of the OM, likely due to the much lower levels of LPS present in the CM.

Having shown that reducing the LPS content of the CM decreases susceptibility to colistin, we were then able to use the LptD inhibitor murepavadin to accumulate LPS in the CM, and thereby increase the sensitivity of bacteria to colistin. Combined, these complementary findings provide strong evidence that colistin targets LPS in the CM, in addition to the OM, and that this is required for bactericidal and lytic activity at clinically relevant concentrations of the antibiotic. At supra-physiological colistin concentrations, the protective effects of reducing the LPS levels in the CM could be partially overcome, potentially explaining why at concentrations above those achieved clinically, colistin displays partial activity against phospholipid bilayers lacking LPS [19,20]. However, at concentrations of colistin achieved in serum, the lethal effects of the antibiotic were directly proportional to the amount of LPS in the CM.

Our conclusion that colistin targets LPS in the CM is further supported by our studies with *E. coli* expressing the *mcr-1* colistin resistance determinant. MCR-1 modifies lipid A with a phosphoethanolamine moiety as it passes through the CM on its way to the OM [11]. However, recent work showed that MCR-1 did not prevent colistin-mediated OM permeabilisation, suggesting that resistance is not conferred by modification of LPS present in the OM [17]. Using lipidomics, we found that ~40% of the LPS in the OM of *E. coli* expressing *mcr-*

1 was not modified with phosphoethanolamine, which provides an explanation for the ability of colistin to

permeabilise this structure. By contrast, only ~20% of the LPS in the CM remained unmodified in the presence

of MCR-1. This observation, together with the fact that the abundance of LPS in the CM is very low, suggests

that very few targets (i.e. unmodified LPS molecules) exist for colistin in the CM of *mcr*-1 expressing *E. coli*.

This explains our data showing that the polymyxin antibiotic was unable to disrupt the CM of *E. coli* whole

cells and spheroplasts producing MCR-1, rendering the bacterium resistant to colistin.

Since all MCR homologues are present at the CM, it is likely that our findings are generalizable to this

mechanism of colistin resistance [11-14]. Polymyxin resistance can also occur via chromosomal mutations or

the induction of stress responses that lead to the addition of amino sugars and/or phosphoethanolamine to

the lipid A domain of LPS in the CM [37-39,49]. Therefore, our findings may also be applicable to non-MCR

mediated colistin resistance, although this remains to be tested.

In summary, this work contributes to our understanding of the mechanism of action of colistin by

demonstrating that polymyxin antibiotics target LPS in both the OM and the CM, and that this leads to the

disruption of both membranes, resulting in the bactericidal and lytic activities of the antibiotic. In addition,

our findings demonstrate that MCR-1 confers colistin resistance via modification of LPS in the CM, laying the

foundations for the development of new therapeutic strategies to overcome the growing threat posed by

globally disseminated colistin resistance.

Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1. For each experiment, all strains were grown for 18 h to stationary phase at 37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.), except *S. pyogenes* GAS, which was grown statically at 37°C in 5% CO_2, and *L. lactis* W2396231, which was grown statically at 30°C in air. All strains were grown in Luria broth (LB; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), with the exception of *P. aeruginosa* strain A23 and the Gram-positive organisms, which were cultured in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB; BD Biosciences, USA), and *K. pneumoniae* strain KPC, which was grown in Brain Heart Infusion Broth (BHI; VWR International, USA). For routine culture of bacteria on solid media, strains were grown on the relevant media as described above supplemented with 1.5% technical agar (BD Biosciences). Growth media were supplemented with chloramphenicol (25 µg ml^{-1}), gentamicin (15 µg ml^{-1}), tetracycline (12.5 µg ml^{-1}) or 0.5 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG, Melford Laboratories, UK) where required. Enumeration of bacterial c.f.u. was done by plating 10-fold serial dilutions of bacterial cultures on to Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA; Thermo Fisher Scientific) plates. Inoculated agar plates were incubated statically for 18 h in air at 37°C.

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of colistin, polymyxin B, cerulenin, ciprofloxacin, EDTA, tetracycline, chloramphenicol, triclosan (all from Sigma-Aldrich, USA), CHIR090 (Axon Medchem, Netherlands), murepavadin (DC Chemicals, China), gentamicin (Thermo Fisher Scientific), imipenem (Fresenius Kabi, Germany), and chlorhexidine (GlaxoSmithKline, UK) against bacterial strains was determined by the broth microdilution protocol (Supplementary Table 2, 3) [50]. A microtitre plate was used to prepare a range of antibiotic concentrations in 200 µl cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth (CA-MHB) by two-fold serial dilutions. For certain experiments, checkerboard analyses were performed by preparing two-fold serial dilutions of two antibiotics in different directions, generating an 8 x 8 matrix to assess the MICs of the antibiotics in combination [17]. Stationary-phase bacteria were diluted 1000-fold in fresh CA-MHB and seeded into each well of the microtitre plate to a final concentration of 5×10^5 c.f.u. ml^{-1}. The microtitre plates were then incubated statically at 37°C for 18 h in air, after which point the MIC was defined as the lowest antibiotic concentration at which there was no visible growth of bacteria. In some cases, the extent of bacterial growth after 18 h incubation was also determined by obtaining OD_{595nm} measurements using a Bio-Rad iMark microplate absorbance reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA).

Production of spheroplasts

Spheroplasts of *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* strains lacking an OM and cell wall were generated as previously described [51]. Briefly, stationary-phase bacteria grown overnight were washed twice by centrifugation (12,300 x *g*, 3 min) followed by resuspension in CA-MHB, and added at a final inoculum of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1} to 9

ml CA-MHB, containing for some experiments the LPS biosynthesis inhibitors CHIR090 or cerulenin, or the

LPS transport inhibitor murepavadin. Cultures were then incubated at 37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.) for 2 h.

After the incubation, bacteria were washed twice by centrifuging (3,273 x g, 20 min, 4°C) and resuspending

first in 10 ml Tris buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0, Sigma-Aldrich), and subsequently in Tris buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0)

containing 20% sucrose. EDTA (250 µl, 10 mg ml^{-1}) and lysozyme (1 ml, 10 mg ml^{-1}, Roche, Switzerland) were

added to remove the OM and cell wall respectively, and the cell suspension was incubated for 1 h in a water

bath shaker at 30°C. Trypsin (500 µl, 10 mg ml^{-1}, Sigma-Aldrich) was then added, and the culture again

incubated at 30°C in a water bath shaker for 15 min. The resulting spheroplasts produced were harvested by

mild centrifugation (2,000 x g, 20 min, 4°C), with the supernatant containing the removed OM extracted for

further analysis. Successful conversion of bacterial whole cells into spheroplasts was confirmed using phase-

contrast microscopy, as detailed below.

Confirmation of successful spheroplast formation

Whole cells of *P. aeruginosa* grown overnight were washed twice by centrifugation (12,300 x g, 3 min) and

resuspension in CA-MHB, added at a final inoculum of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1} to 9 ml CA-MHB, and incubated for 2 h

at 37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.). Outer membrane proteins of these bacteria were subsequently labelled

with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC, Sigma-Aldrich) as previously described [52]. Bacterial cells were

washed twice by centrifugation (3,273 x g, 20 min, 4°C) and resuspension in 10 ml Labelling Buffer (50mM

Na_2CO_3, 100 mM NaCl, pH 8.0), to which FITC was added at a final concentration of 0.5 mg ml^{-1}. Bacteria were

incubated for 30 mins at room temperature, before labelled cells were harvested by centrifuging (3,273 x g,

20 min, 4°C) and washed thrice by resuspending in 10 ml Tris buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0) containing 20% sucrose.

1 ml of FITC-labelled bacteria was extracted and centrifuged (12,300 x g, 3 min), and the cells were fixed in

4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The remaining 9 ml of FITC-labelled cells

were converted into spheroplasts, as described above. The spheroplasts produced were recovered by mild

centrifugation (2,000 x g, 20 min, 4°C) and resuspension in 9 ml Tris buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0) containing 20%

sucrose, before 1 ml of spheroplasts were fixed in the same way as with whole cells. The amount of FITC

fluorescence in the OM of whole cells and CM of spheroplasts was observed using fluorescence microscopy,

as described below. For quantification of FITC fluorescence, 200 µl samples of the fixed bacterial suspensions

were seeded into the wells of a black-walled microtitre plate, and fluorescence measured with a Tecan

Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader (Tecan Group Ltd., Switzerland), using an excitation wavelength of 490

nm and an emission wavelength of 525 nm.

Microscopic analysis

595   For phase-contrast and fluorescence microscopy, a 5 µl sample of fixed bacterial whole cells or spheroplasts

596   was spotted onto a thin 1.2% agarose gel patch prepared in distilled water on a microscope slide. Bacteria

597   were imaged using an Axio Imager.A2 Zeiss microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Germany) at 1000x

magnification with an oil immersion objective lens. The ZEN 2012 software was used for image acquisition, whilst analysis of cell length:width ratios was done using the FIJI/ImageJ software by measuring two perpendicular lines drawn through the centre of bacteria. For each experiment, all microscopy images were acquired and processed using identical settings throughout.

Determination of LPS concentration by Limulus Amebocyte Lysate assay

Stationary-phase bacteria grown overnight were washed and exposed to CHIR090, cerulenin or murepavadin for 2 h, before conversion to spheroplasts as described above. During formation of spheroplasts, the OM extracted from the bacterial cells was recovered, and the concentration of LPS in the OM, as well as the concentration of LPS in the CM of spheroplasts, was determined using the chromogenic Limulus Amebocyte Lysate (LAL) assay (all reagents from Thermo Fisher Scientific) as described previously [33]. OM samples and spheroplasts lysed by freeze-thaw were diluted in 10-fold steps, and 50 µl of each sample was equilibrated to 37°C and loaded into the wells of a microtitre plate at the same temperature. LAL reagent (50 µl) was added to each well, and the mixture incubated at 37°C for 10 min. Chromogenic substrate solution (100 µl, 2 mM) was subsequently added to each well and the microtitre plate was incubated for a further 6 min at 37°C. The enzymatic reaction was stopped by adding 50 µl of 25% acetic acid to each well, and the presence of LPS was determined by measuring absorbance at 405 nm in a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader. A standard curve was generated using an *E. coli* endotoxin standard stock solution, which enabled the conversion of A_{405nm} values into concentrations of LPS. The LAL assay was also used to quantify the amount of LPS released into the culture supernatants from bacteria exposed to the relevant antibiotics.

Bacterial growth assay

Stationary-phase bacteria were diluted 1000-fold in fresh CA-MHB, and 4 µl was seeded into the wells of a microtitre plate containing 200 µl CA-MHB, and for some experiments the inhibitors CHIR090, cerulenin or murepavadin, to give a final inoculum of 5 x 10^5 c.f.u. ml^{-1}. The microtitre plate was incubated with shaking (180 r.p.m.) at 37°C for up to 24 h in a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader and optical density measurements were taken at 600 nm every 15 min.

Fluorescent labelling of antibiotics

Colistin, polymyxin B and polymyxin B nonapeptide (PMBN) were labelled with the fluorophore BoDipy FL SE D2184 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) by incubating 100 µl of the BoDipy NHS ester compound (10 mg ml^{-1} in dimethyl sulfoxide, DMSO) with 250 µl of the antibiotics (10 mg ml^{-1}) and 650 µl sodium bicarbonate (0.2 M, pH 8.5) for 2 h at 37°C. BoDipy molecules that had not bound to the antibiotics were removed by dialysis using a Float-A-Lyser G2 dialysis device (Spectrum Laboratories, USA) that had a molecular weight cut-off of 0.5 kDa. Dialysis was carried out at 4°C against sterile distilled water, which was changed four times during the course of the 24 h dialysis period. Time of flight mass spectrometry analysis confirmed successful labelling

of the polymyxin compounds with the fluorophore, and the antibiotic activity of BoDipy-labelled colistin and BoDipy-polymyxin B was assessed using MIC and bacterial survival assays, as described in the relevant sections.

Determination of LPS levels on cell surface using labelled polymyxin B nonapeptide

To detect and quantify the amount of LPS present in the OM of whole cells and CM of spheroplasts, a fluorescently-labelled form of PMBN (BoDipy-PMBN) was used as a probe, as described previously [34]. For whole cells, stationary-phase bacterial cultures grown overnight were washed twice by centrifugation (12,300 x g, 3 min) and resuspension in CA-MHB, before being added at a final inoculum of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1} to 9 ml CA-MHB containing CHIR090, cerulenin or murepavadin where required. Bacteria were then incubated at 37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.) for 2 h, after which point cells were washed by centrifuging (3,273 x g, 20 min, 4°C) and resuspending in 9 ml Tris buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0). Washed bacteria were recovered by centrifugation (3,273 x g, 20 min, 4°C) and fixed by resuspending in 10 ml of 4% PFA in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Similarly, spheroplasts generated as detailed above were harvested by mild centrifugation (2,000 x g, 20 min, 4°C), and fixed in 10 ml of 4% PFA in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 20% sucrose. Following fixation for 1 h at room temperature, both whole cells and spheroplasts were washed twice by centrifuging (3,273 x g, 20 min, 4°C) and resuspending in 10 ml Tris buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0) containing 20% sucrose. Subsequently, BoDipy-PMBN (7 µg ml^{-1}) was added, and the fixed bacterial suspensions were incubated at 37°C for 30 min with shaking (180 r.p.m.). After the incubation, to remove any BoDipy-PMBN that was not bound to the cell surface, the whole cells and spheroplasts were again washed twice as stated above. For quantification of BoDipy-PMBN bound to the cell surface, 200 µl samples of the bacterial suspensions were seeded into the wells of a black-walled microtitre plate, and fluorescence measured with a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader, using an excitation wavelength of 490 nm and an emission wavelength of 525 nm. To account for differences in fluorescence values arising from variations in cell number, relative fluorescence unit (r.f.u.) measurements were corrected for OD at 600 nm.

Determination of antibiotic binding to bacterial cells

Stationary-phase bacterial cultures grown overnight were washed twice in CA-MHB by centrifugation (12,300 x g, 3 min) and resuspension, then added at an inoculum of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1} to 3 ml CA-MHB containing fluorescently-labelled BoDipy-Colistin, BoDipy-Polymyxin B or BoDipy-PMBN, either alone or in combination with CHIR090 or cerulenin. The cultures were incubated for 8 h at 37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.), and every 2 h bacteria were recovered by centrifugation (12,300 x g, 3 min). The pelleted cells were washed thoroughly by four rounds of centrifugation (12,300 x g, 3 min) and resuspension in fresh CA-MHB in order to remove any BoDipy-labelled antibiotic that was not attached to the bacteria. The amount of BoDipy-Colistin, BoDipy-Polymyxin B or BoDipy-PMBN bound to the bacterial cells was quantified by measuring the fluorescence of 200 µl samples in a black-walled clear-bottomed microtitre plate, using a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell

plate reader (excitation at 490 nm, emission at 525 nm). The relative fluorescence values of samples were corrected using OD_{600nm} measurements. Binding of BoDipy-Colistin, BoDipy-Polymyxin B or BoDipy-PMBN to bacterial cells was also observed by fluorescence microscopy, as described above.

Determination of LPS concentration and modification by mass spectroscopy

Spheroplasts from bacterial cells exposed to CHIR090, cerulenin or murepavadin were prepared as described above and then resuspended in ddH_2O (200 µl), before mild acid hydrolysis was performed via the addition of 2% (vol/vol) acetic acid in ddH_2O (200 µl) and incubation at 100°C for 30 min. For experiments with whole cells, bacteria grown overnight to stationary-phase were washed three times by centrifuging and resuspending in ddH_2O, and a mild acid hydrolysis was performed on these whole cells as described for spheroplasts. Acid-treated whole cells or spheroplasts were recovered by centrifugation ($17,000 \times g$, 2 min), and the resulting pellet was washed before being resuspended in 50 µl ultrapure water. The whole cell or spheroplast suspension (0.5 µl) was then loaded immediately onto the target and overlaid with 1.2 µl of a matrix consisting of 9H-Pyrido[3,4-B]indole (Norharmane) (Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved in 90:10 (vol/vol) chloroform/methanol to a final concentration of 10 mg ml^{-1}. The bacterial suspension and matrix were then mixed on the target before gentle drying under air at room temperature. MALDI-TOF mass spectroscopy analysis was undertaken with a MALDI Biotyper Sirius system (Bruker Daltonics, USA), using the linear negative-ion mode as described previously [35]. Manual peak picking at masses relevant to phospholipids or lipid A was performed on the mass spectra obtained, and the corresponding signal intensities at the defined masses were determined. Peaks were considered only if their signal/noise ratio was at least 5. To determine the relative abundance of LPS, the sum of the area under the lipid A peaks (m/z 1447-1700) was divided by the sum of the area under a representative phosphatidylglycerol phospholipid peak (34:2, m/z 717-747). To determine the ratio of modified lipid A to unmodified lipid A, the area under the pETN-modified lipid A peak (m/z 1,919.2) was divided by the area under the peak corresponding to native lipid A (m/z 1,796.2). All mass spectra were generated and analysed with three biological replicates and two technical replicates.

Outer membrane disruption assay

To detect damage to the OM of bacteria, the well-established NPN uptake assay was used [36]. Stationary-phase bacterial cells were washed in fresh CA-MHB and diluted to an optical density (OD_{600nm}) of 0.5 in 5 mM pH 7.2 HEPES buffer (Sigma-Aldrich). This bacterial suspension was added to wells containing the relevant antibiotics in HEPES buffer, as well as the fluorescent probe N-phenyl-1-naphthylamine (NPN; Acros Organics, USA) at a final concentration of 10 µM. Samples were placed in a black microtitre plate with clear-bottomed wells and fluorescence measured immediately in a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate using an excitation wavelength of 355 nm and an emission wavelength of 405 nm. Fluorescence measurements were obtained every 30 seconds for 10 min, and the degree of OM permeabilisation, referred to as the NPN Uptake Factor, was calculated using the following formula:

$$\frac{Fluorescence\ of\ sample\ with\ NPN - Fluorescence\ of\ sample\ without\ NPN}{Fluorescence\ of\ HEPES\ buffer\ with\ NPN - Fluorescence\ of\ HEPES\ buffer\ without\ NPN}$$

Determination of bactericidal activity of antibiotics

As described above, stationary-phase bacteria grown overnight were washed twice and added at a final inoculum of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1} to 3 ml CA-MHB containing the relevant antibiotics. Cultures were incubated with shaking (37°C, 180 r.p.m.) for up to 10 h. Bacterial survival was determined after 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 h by serially diluting cultures in 10-fold steps in 200 µl sterile PBS (VWR International), before enumeration of c.f.u. counts on MHA plates.

Isolation of CHIR090-resistant mutants

Cultures of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 grown overnight to stationary-phase were washed twice in CA-MHB as described above and diluted 10-fold to an inoculum of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1} in CA-MHB, before 100 µl of this inoculum was spread across the surface of an MHA plate. A well measuring 10 mm in diameter was made in the agar plate and filled with 130 µl of the LPS biosynthesis inhibitor CHIR090 (8 µg ml^{-1}). After static incubation of the agar plate at 37°C for 18 h in air, mutants with spontaneous resistance to CHIR090 were isolated by picking colonies that had grown within the zone of inhibition around the well. These resistant mutants were grown overnight to stationary-phase, and again exposed to CHIR090 in a well as described above to confirm that the strains had a reduced susceptibility to the antibiotic. Bacterial growth, survival and MIC assays were performed as detailed in the relevant sections to characterise the properties of the resistant *P. aeruginosa* isolates.

Determination of membrane lipid release from bacteria

Stationary-phase bacteria grown overnight were washed twice in CA-MHB and exposed to the relevant antibiotics in 3 ml CA-MHB at a final inoculum of 10^8 c.f.u. ml^{-1} as described above. Cultures were incubated for 8 h at 37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.), and every 2 h the supernatants were recovered by centrifugation (12,300 x *g*, 3 min). Recovered supernatants (200 µl) were mixed with 5 µl of FM-4-64 styryl dye (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a final concentration of 5 µg ml^{-1} in the wells of a black-walled microtitre plate. Fluorescence was measured using a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader (excitation at 565 nm, emission at 600 nm) to quantify the phospholipid released into the supernatant by bacteria [53].

Determination of colistin activity

As described above, spent culture supernatants from bacteria exposed to the relevant antibiotics were recovered and the activity of colistin in the supernatant was determined using an established zone of inhibition assay [53]. Stationary-phase *P. aeruginosa* was diluted in CA-MHB to a concentration of 10^6 c.f.u. ml^{-1} before 60 µl of this bacterial culture was spread across the surface of an MHA plate and allowed to air-dry. Wells measuring 10 mm in diameter were made in the agar plate and filled with 130 µl of the culture

supernatant recovered from colistin-treated bacterial populations. Agar plates were incubated in air statically at 37°C for 18 h and the zone of growth inhibition around each well was measured at four perpendicular points. A standard plot was generated showing a linear relationship between the size of the zone of inhibition and colistin concentration, which enabled inhibitory zone size to be converted to percentage colistin activity.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) imaging

Stationary-phase bacterial cells grown overnight were washed and inoculated into 3 ml CA-MHB containing the relevant antibiotics as described above. After 2 h incubation (37°C, 180 r.p.m.), 25 µl of the cultures was spotted onto a silicon chip (University Wafer, USA) and allowed to air-dry. The sample was fixed using glutaraldehyde (2.5% in 0.01 M PBS) for 30 min at room temperature, before the chip was washed three times in PBS (0.01 M). The sample was dehydrated using increasing concentrations of ethanol (10%, 30%, 50%, 70%, 90% and 100%) for 5 min each time, before a coating of 15 nm chromium (Quorum Technologies, UK) was applied. Representative images of bacterial cells were obtained using a LEO Gemini 1525 field emission gun scanning electron microscope (FEG SEM; Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH).

Cytoplasmic membrane disruption assay

To measure CM disruption of whole cells, bacteria grown to stationary-phase overnight were washed and inoculated into 3 ml MHB containing the relevant antibiotics. Cultures were incubated at 37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.) for up to 8 h, and every 30 min, aliquots (200 µl) were taken and bacteria isolated by centrifugation (12,300 x g, 3 min). Cells were then washed in sterile PBS before being added to the wells of a black-walled microtitre plate, and propidium iodide (PI, Sigma-Aldrich) was added to each well at a final concentration of 2.5 µM. Fluorescence was measured immediately in a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader (excitation at 535 nm, emission at 617 nm). To measure disruption of the CM in spheroplasts, spheroplasts of *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli* generated as detailed above were washed by centrifugation (4,000 x g, 5 min) and resuspension in Tris buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0) containing 20% sucrose. Spheroplast samples (20 µl) were then added in the wells of a black-walled microtitre plate to 180 µl of Tris buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0) containing 20% sucrose, the relevant antibiotics, and PI at a final concentration of 0.25 µM. The microtitre plate was incubated with shaking (180 r.p.m.) at 37°C for up to 12 h in a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader and fluorescence (excitation at 535 nm, emission at 617 nm) measured every 15 min. For both whole bacterial cells and spheroplasts, to account for differences in fluorescence values arising from variations in cell number, relative fluorescence unit (r.f.u.) measurements were corrected for OD at 600 nm.

Determination of bacterial lysis

In the case of whole bacterial cells, washed stationary-phase bacteria were inoculated into 3 ml CA-MHB

containing the relevant antibiotics, as described above. Cultures were then placed in a shaking incubator

(37°C, 180 r.p.m.) for 8 h, and every 30 min, samples (200 μl) were transferred to a microtitre plate, where

OD_{595nm} measurements were obtained using a Bio-Rad iMark microplate absorbance reader. For

spheroplasts, washed spheroplasts (20 μl) were added to 180 μl of Tris buffer (0.03 M, pH 8.0) containing

20% sucrose and the relevant antibiotics in a microtitre plate as detailed above. The microtitre plate was

incubated for up to 12 h at 37°C with shaking (180 r.p.m.) in a Tecan Infinite 200 Pro multiwell plate reader,

and readings of OD_{600nm} were made every 15 min.

Statistical analyses

Experiments were performed on at least three independent occasions, and the resulting data are presented

as the arithmetic mean of these biological repeats, unless stated otherwise. Error bars represent the standard

deviation of the mean. For single comparisons, a two-tailed Student's *t*-test was used to analyse the data.

For multiple comparisons at a single time point or concentration, data were analysed using a one-way

analysis of variance (ANOVA). Where data were obtained at several different time points or concentrations,

a two-way ANOVA was used for statistical analyses. Appropriate post-hoc tests (Dunnett's, Tukey's, Welch's,

Sidak's, Holm-Sidak's) were carried out to correct for multiple comparisons, with details provided in the figure

legends. Asterisks on graphs indicate significant differences between data, and the corresponding p-values

are reported in the figure legend. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 7 software

(GraphPad Software Inc., USA).

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable

request.

Acknowledgements

Aishwarya Krishna, Laura Nolan, Alain Filloux (all Imperial College London) and Alireza Abdolrasouli (Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust) are gratefully acknowledged for providing bacterial strains. Lisa Haigh (Imperial College London) is thanked for processing and analysing mass spectrometry samples. Vladimir Pelicic (Imperial College London) is thanked for providing access to the Axio Imager.A2 Zeiss microscope. A.S. is supported by a PhD studentship funded by a Medical Research Council Doctoral Training Award to Imperial College London (MR/N014103/1). A.K. is funded by the DFG German Research Foundation (KL3191/1-1). M.B. and M.M.S gratefully acknowledge support from the Rosetrees Trust (M300-CD1). D.A.I.M. and R.C.D.F. are supported by an MRC Career Development Award (MR/M009505/1). G.J.L-M. is funded by the MRC Confidence in Concept Fund and a ISSF Wellcome Trust Grant (105603/Z/14/Z). L.E.E. and A.M.E. acknowledge funding from the Wellcome Trust (204337/Z/16/Z). A.M.E. also acknowledges support from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Imperial Biomedical Research Centre (BRC), and declares funding from Shionogi & Co., Ltd for an unrelated project.

Author Contributions

A.S. and A.M.E. designed the experiments. A.S., A.K., M.B., K.L.H.H., L.E.E. and R.C.D.F. performed experiments or generated bacterial strains or reagents. All authors analysed data and contributed to writing of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Velkov, T., Thompson, P. E., Nation, R. L., Li, J. Structure--activity relationships of polymyxin antibiotics. *J.*
Med. Chem. **53,** 1898-1916 (2010).

2. Garg, S. K. *et al*. Resurgence of Polymyxin B for MDR/XDR Gram-Negative Infections: An Overview of Current
Evidence. *Crit. Care. Res. Pract*. 3635609 (2017).

3. Biswas, S., Brunel, J. M., Dubus, J. C., Reynaud-Gaubert, M., Rolain, J. M. Colistin: an update on the antibiotic
of the 21st century. *Expert Rev. Anti. Infect. Ther.* **10,** 917-934 (2012).

4. Thomas, R. *et al*. The use of polymyxins to treat carbapenem resistant infections in neonates and children.
Expert Opin. Pharmacother. **20,** 415-422 (2019).

5. Falagas, M. E. *et al.* Colistin therapy for microbiologically documented multidrug-resistant Gram-negative
bacterial infections: a retrospective cohort study of 258 patients. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents.* **35,** 194-199
(2010).

6. Paul, M. *et al*. Colistin alone versus colistin plus meropenem for treatment of severe infections caused by
carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria: an open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Infect.*
Dis. **18,** 391-400 (2018).

7. Linden, P. K. *et al.* Use of parenteral colistin for the treatment of serious infection due to antimicrobial-
resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **37,** e154-160 (2003).

8. Tran, T. B. *et al.* Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics of colistin and polymyxin B: are we there yet? *Int. J.*
Antimicrob. Agents. **48,** 592-597 (2016).

9. Poirel, L., Jayol, A., Nordmann, P. Polymyxins: Antibacterial Activity, Susceptibility Testing, and Resistance
Mechanisms Encoded by Plasmids or Chromosomes. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev*. **30,** 557-596 (2017).

10. Simpson, B. W., Trent, M. S. Pushing the envelope: LPS modifications and their consequences. *Nat. Rev.*
Microbiol. **17,** 403-416 (2019).

11. Liu, Y. Y. *et al*. Emergence of plasmid-mediated colistin resistance mechanism MCR-1 in animals and human
beings in China: a microbiological and molecular biological study. *Lancet Infect. Dis*. **16,** 161-168 (2016).

12. Carroll, L. M. *et al.* Identification of Novel Mobilized Colistin Resistance Gene *mcr-9* in a Multidrug-Resistant,
Colistin-Susceptible *Salmonella enterica* Serotype Typhimurium Isolate. *MBio.* **10,** e00853-19 (2019).

13. Nang, S. C., Li, J., Velkov, T. The rise and spread of *mcr* plasmid-mediated polymyxin resistance. *Crit. Rev.*
Microbiol. **45,** 131-161 (2019).

14. Skov, R. L., Monnet, D. L. Plasmid-mediated colistin resistance (*mcr*-1 gene): three months later, the story
unfolds. *Euro. Surveill.* **21,** 30155 (2016).

15. Moffatt, J. H. *et al*. Colistin resistance in *Acinetobacter baumannii* is mediated by complete loss of
lipopolysaccharide production. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. **54,** 4971-4977 (2010).

16. McClerren, A. L. *et al*. A slow, tight-binding inhibitor of the zinc-dependent deacetylase LpxC of lipid A
biosynthesis with antibiotic activity comparable to ciprofloxacin. *Biochemistry*. **44,** 16574-16583 (2005).

17. MacNair, C. R. *et al.* Overcoming *mcr-1* mediated colistin resistance with colistin in combination with other

antibiotics. *Nat. Commun.* **9,** 458 (2018).

18. Powers, J. P. S., Hancock, R. E. W. The relationship between peptide structure and antibacterial activity.

Peptides **24,** 1681–1691 (2003).

19. Berg, J. R., Spilker, C. M., Lewis, S. A. Effects of polymyxin B on mammalian urinary bladder. *J. Membr. Biol.*

154, 119-130 (1996).

20. Betts, J. W., Sharili, A. S., La Ragione, R. M., Wareham, D. W. *In Vitro* Antibacterial Activity of Curcumin-

Polymyxin B Combinations against Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria Associated with Traumatic Wound

Infections. *J. Nat. Prod.* **79,** 1702-1706 (2016).

21. Zhang, G. *et al.*, Cell-based screen for discovering lipopolysaccharide biogenesis inhibitors. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

Sci. U.S.A. **115,** 6834-6839 (2018).

22. Boll, J. M. *et al.*, A penicillin-binding protein inhibits selection of colistin-resistant, lipooligosaccharide-

deficient *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113,** 6228-6237 (2016).

23. Khadka, N. K., Aryal, C. M., Pan, J. Lipopolysaccharide-Dependent Membrane Permeation and Lipid

Clustering Caused by Cyclic Lipopeptide Colistin. *ACS Omega*. **3,** 17828-17834 (2018).

24. Raetz, C. R., Reynolds, C. M., Trent, M. S., Bishop, R. E. Lipid A modification systems in gram-negative

bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Biochem*. **76,** 295-329 (2007).

25. Okuda, S., Sherman, D. J., Silhavy, T. J., Ruiz, N., Kahne, D. Lipopolysaccharide transport and assembly at the

outer membrane: the PEZ model. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol*. **14,** 337-345 (2016).

26. Zhou, Z., White, K. A., Polissi, A., Georgopoulos, C., Raetz, C. R. Function of *Escherichia coli* MsbA, an

essential ABC family transporter, in lipid A and phospholipid biosynthesis. *J. Biol. Chem*. **273,** 12466-12475

(1998).

27. Owens, T. W. *et al*. Structural basis of unidirectional export of lipopolysaccharide to the cell surface. *Nature*.

567, 550-553 (2019).

28. Li, Y., Orlando, B. J., Liao, M. Structural basis of lipopolysaccharide extraction by the LptB$_2$FGC complex.

Nature. **567,** 486-490 (2019).

29. Osborn, M. J., Gander, J. E., Parisi, E., Carson, J. Mechanism of assembly of the outer membrane of

Salmonella typhimurium. Isolation and characterization of cytoplasmic and outer membrane. *J. Biol. Chem.*

247, 3962-3972 (1972).

30. Yamato, I., Anraku, Y., Hirosawa, K. Cytoplasmic membrane vesicles of *Escherichia coli*. A simple method for

preparing the cytoplasmic and outer membranes. *J. Biochem*. **77,** 705-18 (1975).

31. Goldberg, I., Walker, J. R., Bloch, K. Inhibition of lipid synthesis in *Escherichia coli* cells by the antibiotic

cerulenin. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. **3,** 549-554 (1973).

32. Ried, G., Hindennach, I., Henning, U. Role of lipopolysaccharide in assembly of *Escherichia coli* outer

membrane proteins OmpA, OmpC, and OmpF. *J. Bacteriol*. **172,** 6048-6053 (1990).

33. Lam, J. S., Anderson, E. M., Hao, Y. LPS quantitation procedures. *Methods Mol. Biol*. **1149,** 375-402 (2014).

34. Abdelraouf, K., Chang, K. T., Yin, T., Hu, M., Tam, V. H. Uptake of polymyxin B into renal cells. *Antimicrob.*
Agents Chemother. **58,** 4200–4202 (2014).

35. Furniss, R. C. D. *et al.* Detection of Colistin Resistance in *Escherichia coli* by Use of the MALDI Biotyper Sirius
Mass Spectrometry System. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **57,** e01427-19 (2019).

36. Helander, I. M., Mattila-Sandholm, T. Fluorometric assessment of gram-negative bacterial permeabilization.
J. Appl. Microbiol. **88,** 213-219 (2000).

37. Macfarlane, E. L., Kwasnicka, A., Ochs, M. M., Hancock, R. E. PhoP-PhoQ homologues in *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa regulate expression of the outer-membrane protein OprH and polymyxin B resistance. *Mol.*
Microbiol. **34,** 305-316 (1999).

38. Schurek, K. N. *et al.* Involvement of pmrAB and phoPQ in polymyxin B adaptation and inducible resistance
in non-cystic fibrosis clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **53,** 4345-
4351 (2009).

39. Miller, A. K. *et al*. PhoQ mutations promote lipid A modification and polymyxin resistance of *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa found in colistin-treated cystic fibrosis patients. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. **55,** 5761-5769
(2011).

40. Evans, D. J., Brown, M. R., Allison, D. G., Gilbert, P. Susceptibility of bacterial biofilms to tobramycin: role of
specific growth rate and phase in the division cycle. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **25,** 585-591 (1990).

41. Lee, A. J. *et al.* Robust, linear correlations between growth rates and β-lactam-mediated lysis rates. *Proc.*
Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **115,** 4069-4074 (2018).

42. Manning, A. J., Kuehn, M. J. Contribution of bacterial outer membrane vesicles to innate bacterial defense.
BMC Microbiol. **11,** 258 (2011).

43. Pietschmann, S., Hoffmann, K., Voget, M., Pison, U. Synergistic effects of miconazole and polymyxin B on
microbial pathogens. *Vet. Res. Commun*. **33,** 489-505 (2009).

44. Andolina, G. *et al*., A Peptidomimetic Antibiotic Interacts with the Periplasmic Domain of LptD from
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *ACS Chem. Biol*. **13,** 666-675 (2018).

45. Sperandeo, P. *et al.* Functional Analysis of the Protein Machinery Required for Transport of
Lipopolysaccharide to the Outer Membrane of *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **190,** 4460-4469 (2008).

46. Lehman, K. M., Grabowicz, M. Countering Gram-Negative Antibiotic Resistance: Recent Progress in
Disrupting the Outer Membrane with Novel Therapeutics. *Antibiotics*. **8,** E163 (2019).

47. Dortet, L. *et al.* Rapid detection and discrimination of chromosome- and MCR-plasmid-mediated resistance
to polymyxins by MALDI-TOF MS in *Escherichia coli*: the MALDIxin test. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **73,** 3359-
3367 (2018).

48. Deris, Z. Z. *et al*. Probing the penetration of antimicrobial polymyxin lipopeptides into gram-negative
bacteria. *Bioconjug. Chem*. **25,** 750-760 (2014).

49. Han, M. L. *et al.,* Polymyxin-Induced Lipid A Deacylation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Perturbs Polymyxin
Penetration and Confers High-Level Resistance. *ACS Chem. Biol*. **13,** 121-130 (2018).

50. Wiegand, I., Hilpert, K., Hancock, R. E. Agar and broth dilution methods to determine the minimal inhibitory
concentration (MIC) of antimicrobial substances. *Nat. Protoc.* **3,** 163-175 (2008).

51. Weiss, R. L., Fraser, D. Surface Structure of Intact Cells and Spheroplasts of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J.*
Bacteriol. **113,** 963-968 (1973).

52. Loh, L. N., Ward, T. H. *Escherichia coli* K1 invasion of human brain microvascular endothelial cells. *Methods*
Enzymol. **506,** 93-113 (2012).

53. Pader, V. *et al. Staphylococcus aureus* inactivates daptomycin by releasing membrane phospholipids. *Nat.*
Microbiol. **2,** 16194 (2016).