Long title	Using	EEG	to	characterise	drowsiness	during	short	duration
	exposu	re to e	leva	ited indoor Ca	rbon Dioxide	concent	rations	5

Short title	The effect of CO2	upon drowsiness
-------------	-------------------	-----------------

Author names Stephen Snow¹, Amy Boyson^{1,2}, Marco Felipe-King³, Obaid Malik¹, Louise Coutts¹, Catherine J Noakes³, Hannah Gough⁴, Janet Barlow⁴, m.c. schraefel¹

 Affiliations
 ¹ Electronics and Computer Science, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK,
 ² Psychology, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK
 ³ School of Civil Engineering, University of Leeds, Woodhouse lane, LS29JT, Leeds, UK
 ⁴ Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, Reading, UK, RG6 6AH

Corresponding Stephen Snow, s.snow@soton.ac.uk **author, email**

1	Abstract: Drowsiness which can affect work performance, is often elicited through self-
2	reporting. This paper demonstrates the potential to use EEG to objectively quantify changes to
3	drowsiness due to poor indoor air quality. Continuous EEG data was recorded from 23
4	treatment group participants subject to artificially raised indoor CO ₂ concentrations (average
5	$2,700 \pm 300$ ppm) for approximately 10 minutes and 13 control group participants subject to
6	the same protocol without additional CO ₂ (average 830 ± 70 ppm). EEG data were analysed
7	for markers of drowsiness according neurophysiological methods at three stages of the
8	experiment, Baseline, High CO2 and Post-Ventilation. Treatment group participants' EEG data
9	yielded a closer approximation to drowsiness than that of control group participants during the
10	High CO ₂ condition, despite no significant group differences in self-reported sleepiness. Future
11	work is required to determine the persistence of these changes to EEG over longer exposures
12	and to better isolate the specific effect of CO ₂ on drowsiness compared to other environmental
13	or physiological factors.
14 15 16 17	Keywords: <i>EEG</i> ; <i>drowsiness</i> ; <i>ventilation</i> ; <i>CO</i> ₂ ; <i>office</i> ; <i>air quality</i>
18	Practical implications:
19	• This study introduces EEG as a potential objective indicator of the effect of indoor
20	environmental conditions upon drowsiness
21	• Participants exposed to 2,700 ppm for 10 minutes showed greater evidence of a
22	progression towards drowsiness (as measured by EEG) than that of participants who
23	received the same protocol without additional CO ₂ (mean 830 \pm 70 ppm), despite
24	similar ratings of subjective sleepiness.
25	• Subjective and objectively measured indications of drowsiness were reduced following
26	ventilation of the room. Future work could explore the potential of regular ventilation
27	episodes in knowledge work spaces to retain alertness.

28

29 Introduction

30 Being a product of human respiration, carbon dioxide (CO_2) increases in indoor spaces when 31 ventilation of the space is insufficient to replace stale air [1,2]. CO₂ is thus a useful indicator 32 of ventilation and, by extension air quality indoors, in occupied spaces [3,4]. A large body of 33 literature exists relating poor ventilation to mild health symptoms [2,5-7] and lowered 34 cognitive performance [4,8–10]. Office-realistic levels of CO_2 are reported to be typically < 35 3,000 ppm, but whether CO₂ itself negatively impacts cognitive performance, or whether other 36 pollutants such as volatile organic compounds (VOCs), including human bio-effluents, are 37 responsible, is still unclear [11,12]. Human performance effects have been recorded in studies 38 both where CO_2 is accompanied by human bio-effluents (e.g. the CO_2 concentration is a 39 product of poor ventilation in occupied spaces) [4,13,14] and where pure CO₂ gas is added to 40 a room to achieve steady-state concentrations [12,13,15–18].

41 At a room concentration of 3,000 ppm, human bio-effluents are found to cause an increase in 42 respired -end-tidal- CO₂ (ETCO₂), increased blood pressure, and seemingly increased 43 stress/arousal, as well as reduced cognitive performance [19]. Zhang et al. proposes CO₂ with 44 bio-effluents affects cognitive performance through either (1) stress/arousal or (2) 45 physiological factors such as an increase in ETCO₂ and reduced nasal peak flow, triggering 46 symptoms such as subjective (self-reported) sleepiness, tiredness and headache [19]. One study 47 found that four hours of exposure to non-ventilated conditions, with average CO₂ 48 concentrations above 2,700 ppm, resulted in significantly increased blood-CO₂, heart rate variability, and increased peripheral blood flow, as well as increased prevalence of health 49 50 symptoms and self-reported sleepiness [14]. The study reports that the high CO₂ concentration 51 itself (separate to bio-effluents) may be a parameter affecting physiology which can lower 52 functional ability and increase (self-reported) sleepiness [14]. Given findings that 1,400 ppm

53 [15] and 2,500 ppm [16] of CO_2 achieved by introducing pure gas into a room correlates to 54 lower decision making capability, cumulatively, there is some evidence that CO_2 itself, 55 independent of other indoor pollutants, may play a role in detrimentally affecting aspects of 56 work performance [15–17].

57 Drowsiness and fatigue are recognised as important parameters affecting office work and 58 productivity [14,20]. In this study we focus on drowsiness, (i.e. lethargy or wish to sleep) [21– 59 23], rather than mental fatigue (i.e. exhaustion or lack of motivation for task(s) due to extended 60 work effort) [24]. Sub-optimal air quality (i.e. poor ventilation/high CO₂) is correlated to 61 increased self-reported sleepiness and fatigue [14]. Yet factors such as sleepiness, drowsiness 62 and fatigue, when reported in studies assessing the effect of indoor conditions on humans, are 63 often elicited subjectively through questionnaires only [10,14,18,25]. One study uses voice 64 analysis to as a means of objectively measuring fatigue [20], but this method has not been widely adopted. The lack of objective measurement of drowsiness or fatigue may be 65 66 problematic, given that self-reporting is identified as a less reliable measurement than objective 67 measurement [26,27]. On the other hand, fields such as Neurophysiology, have a long history 68 of objectively measuring sleep, wakeful sleepiness/drowsiness and using 69 electroencephalogram (EEG). EEG records electrical activity in the brain using electrodes 70 fitted to a cap, or placed on the scalp directly [28]. EEG data can be analysed to: (a) detect 71 specific events (event-related potential) or (b) time-averaged power in different frequency 72 bands [28]. A dominance of low frequency power is typically associated with lower neurological arousal (delta, theta) [22]. 73

The impact of office-realistic concentrations of CO_2 upon objectively measured drowsiness is a knowledge gap in the literature. Temperature effects on drowsiness using EEG find lower temperatures are correlated to reduced drowsiness [29]. EEG research to date concentrates on 77 neurological effects of much higher concentrations of CO₂ than is likely to occur in indoor 78 spaces, e.g. 5% CO₂/air mixture (50,000 ppm) [30-32], or 10% (100,000 ppm) [33] and the resultant hypercapnia (elevated blood CO₂) [30,31,33]. In these studies EEG results are 79 80 assessed according to arousal state (i.e. overall changes to low-frequency parameters), but not 81 drowsiness specifically. Xu et al.[31] found inhalation of a 5% CO₂/air mixture (50,000 ppm) 82 caused transition to a lower (brain) arousal state, characterised by a relative increase in delta 83 power and corresponding decrease in alpha power. Bloch-Salisbury [33] subjected participants 84 to 10% CO₂ (10,000 ppm) through direct inhalation, finding a significant decrease in both 85 overall power and a movement of the centroid frequency (i.e. the centrepoint of the mass of 86 frequencies observed) toward lower frequencies.

In summary, (1) findings are mixed as to whether CO₂ is a pollutant affecting cognitive 87 88 performance in its own right, with some studies finding evidence that CO₂ affects cognitive 89 performance [15–17], while others find no evidence of this relationship [10,13,18]. (2) Poor 90 indoor air quality is correlated to increased subjective drowsiness [14], yet drowsiness is 91 typically elicited through self-reporting [10,14,18,25], which is less reliable than objective 92 measurement [26,27]. (3) the field of neurophysiology offer methods of objectively measuring 93 drowsiness (a precursor to sleepiness) using EEG [23,34], yet these methods have not yet been applied to office-realistic CO₂ concentrations. (4) Literature on the effect of CO₂ on resting 94 95 EEG is presently limited to the human effects of much higher levels of CO₂[31,33] than could 96 realistically be achieved indoors through human respiration. Comparable studies of officerealistic concentrations of CO₂ are not yet available, providing impetus for this present paper. 97

98 This paper details the novel application of using electroencephalogram (EEG) as a means of 99 objectively measuring the effect of CO_2 on drowsiness at office-realistic concentrations. 100 Resting EEG and other physiological and subjective parameters were recorded from

participants exposed to $2,700 \pm 300$ ppm of CO₂ in an office for 10 minutes, as a means of 101 102 determining the physiological changes of a short-duration exposure to elevated CO₂ 103 concentration and testing for EEG data indicative of a progression towards drowsiness. A key 104 aim of the paper is to explore the effect of CO_2 on drowsiness, given that drowsiness is a 105 determinant of human work performance [20,35] and compare results to both cognitive science 106 literature on the cognitive performance effects of office-realistic concentrations of CO₂ 107 [4,8,10,12,15,16] and neurophysiology literature on the neurological effects of much higher 108 concentrations of CO₂ [30–33].

- 109 Materials and methods
- 110
- 111 Rationale for study design

Our chosen target for CO₂ concentration (2,700ppm) reflects a high, but realistic level achieved 112 113 in occupied spaces when windows and doors are closed [2,14]. In a meta-review of classroom 114 ventilation, Fisk [2] found six studies of 20 or more classrooms recorded average or median CO_2 concentrations between 2,000 and 3,000 ppm. The target concentration is chosen to be 115 116 comparable with other studies assessing the human performance effects of indoor CO₂ 117 concentration, e.g. 2,260 ppm [4] 2,500 ppm [16] or 3,000 ppm [10,17,19]. The duration of 118 exposure to elevated CO_2 concentration in our study is shorter compared to others [4,14,16], 119 and relates to our aim to record and analyse EEG continuously throughout the experiment to 120 provide a novel focus on immediate-term physiological effects of CO₂. Continuous EEG 121 recording is less practicable over extended study durations due to the need for participants to 122 remain still during EEG recordings to ensure clean data [28]. The need to remain still over 123 extended durations, when combined with a lack of stimulation may produce a tendency to 124 fidget, which may in turn affect measured EEG parameters, or potentially cause boredom/ 125 drowsiness itself, which could confound determination of drowsiness as caused through 126 changing indoor environment parameters.

127

128 Participants

129 A total of 47 subjects were recruited and participated in the study between October 2016 and 130 February 2017. Usable EEG data was available from 36 of the 47 participants, reflective of the 131 sensitivity of EEG to movement artefacts and the researchers' wish for data reliability. The 132 study protocol and conditions of participation were approved by the University of Southampton 133 Ethical Research Governance Office (ERGO# 30443). Sampling was achieved by advertising 134 the study on billboards throughout the University, a local supermarket and a departmental 135 mailing list. Convenience sampling was used for contacts of the research team who were 136 unaware of the study protocol. The final sample was comprised mostly of students and staff 137 from the University. Written consent was gathered from each participant prior to their 138 participation in the study. Exclusion criteria for the study were adapted from those used by 139 Garner et al. [36], a study where participants were subjected to 7.5% CO₂ (75,000 ppm) level 140 of CO₂. Exclusion criteria included current or historic drug/alcohol abuse or panic attacks, 141 current treatment for migraine headaches, pregnant, current neurological conditions (e.g. 142 epilepsy), and recent severe illness. Participants were compensated £10 in vouchers for an 143 online retailer for their participation.

Participants were split into two groups. Of the participants with usable EEG data, this involved: 23 participants in the "treatment group" (TG) who received artificially raised CO_2 concentrations and 13 participants in the "control group" (CG) for whom CO_2 concentrations were not artificially raised (Table 1). The variance in the size of the groups is due to which of the participants had sufficiently clean EEG for inclusion and the difficulty in recruiting a larger sample.

150

151 Table 1- Participant attributes

Male	12	9
Female	11	4
Median age (years)	23.0	24.5

152

Statistical power analysis was calculated a-priori using G*Power software [37]. Effect size was estimated at 0.4 based on similar experiments [12], number of groups = 2 (treatment, control), number of measurements = 3 (Baseline, High-CO₂, Post ventilation- defined below), significance level 0.05. This gave a between factors recommendation for 58 participants, and recommendations for both within-factors and within-between factors of 18 participants. In this paper we concentrate on within-factors analysis.

159

160 Study room

A motivation for the study was to replicate office-realistic scenarios. All experiments took 161 162 place in a small, carpeted, naturally ventilated office of dimensions 4,000 mm by 3,400 mm 163 (floor area) by 3,050 mm (high) (Figure 1). The office was on the fourth floor, on the northern 164 end of a large building in the south of England. The office had two high windows on the north 165 and west corner of the room. Only the western window could be opened, and is visible behind 166 the participant in Figure 1. The CO₂ cylinder was positioned directly in front of the openable window. The door of the room led to a larger reception office which was occupied by one staff 167 168 member during some but not all of the experiments. The numbered arrows in Figure 1 point to 169 the location of the CO_2 loggers.

170

- 171 Figure 1- Study room showing participant with EEG cap, location of loggers, window and CO₂ cylinder
- 172

173 The infiltration rate of the study room with the windows closed was calculated according to174 Laussmann et al. [38] using a tracer-gas decay method overnight, with the researcher ensuring

the mixing of CO_2 in the room by observing the range of the readings from the three CO_2 monitors and ensuring all were within instrument error before leaving the room overnight. This method gave an infiltration rate of 0.078 ± 0.002 ($R^2 = 0.91$) air changes per hour, consistent with the rubber-sealed windows and minimal air gaps around the door. The value is approximate, given air exchange rates can differ over time due to differences in temperature, wind direction and wind speed [39].

181 Carbon dioxide was introduced using a cylinder of ultrapure CO₂ (greater than 99.99% purity) 182 located in the corner of the room with the outlet attached to pedestal fan to achieve mixing. 183 The fan was pointed away from the participant and in operation only for the duration of 184 Condition 3 (see Table 2), when CO_2 was being released, in order to minimise any influence 185 of air movement on perception or produce possible thermal comfort effects during subsequent 186 conditions. The target CO₂ concentration once mixed was 2,700 ppm (mean: $2,700 \pm 300$ ppm for the duration of Condition 5). Participants were instructed to sit at the table in the middle of 187 188 the room while the researcher operated the computer and the gas cylinder behind the 189 participant. In this way participants were aware the air quality was going to be changed 190 somehow during the experiment, but were not aware how.

191

192 Experimental Procedure

The experimental protocol took place in the one study room (Figure 1). The study protocol is summarised below for TG participants (Table 2). CG participants experienced the same protocol to that of TG participants, except that the CO_2 concentration of the room was not modified using the cylinder. Instead a pre-recorded and equalized sound was used in place of the CO_2 gas being released throughout Condition 3 to mimic the sound of the gas release. When questioned, no CG participant identified the sound as audio playback and thus every participant assumed their air quality was being modified.

200

201 Table 2- Experimental protocol

Condition number	Description	Duration
Pre-start	Ethical consent gathered	
Pre-start	Ouestionnaire (Baseline)	
1	Eyes closed, window closed, door closed	2 minutes
2	Eyes open, windows closed, door closed	5 minutes
3	Eyes open, windows closed, door closed, CO_2 raised to 2,700ppm, desk fan operational (TG). OR sound played, no CO_2 released (CG)	2-3 minutes (dependent on CO_2 mixing)
4	Eyes closed, window closed, door closed, CO ₂ at 2,700ppm (TG) OR CO ₂ unchanged (CG)	2 minutes
5	Eyes open, window closed, door closed, CO ₂ at 2,700ppm (TG) OR CO ₂ unchanged (CG)	8 minutes
6	Eyes open, room ventilated by opening window and door. CO_2 level decreases (TG and CG)	5 minutes
7	As per Condition 6- CO ₂ continues to drop	5 minutes

202

203 For comparative data analysis, three two-minute segments were selected for comparison, (1) 204 Baseline - the first two minutes of Condition 2; before the environmental conditions were 205 changed, (2) High-CO₂ – The last two minutes of Condition 5; beginning when TG participants 206 had been exposed to the higher CO₂ concentration for 8 minutes; and (3) Post-Ventilation - last 207 two minutes of Condition 7, beginning after 8 minutes of room ventilation. The location of 208 these analysis segments within the context of the study protocol are shown in Figure 2. 209 210 Figure 2- Study protocol with indicative CO₂ level showing location of Baseline, High-CO₂ and Post-Ventilation segments 211 212 Measurement 213

Three factory calibrated Rotronic CL11 (BSRIA, Bracknell, UK) environmental loggers measured temperature, humidity and CO₂ concentration throughout each experiment. The

215 loggers were positioned approximately equidistant around the room and are labelled 1, 2 and 3 216 in Figure 1. The loggers were positioned so as to avoid influence from direct respiration. The 217 heights of the loggers from the floor were 720 mm (logger 1), 1,545 mm (logger 2) and 1,995 mm (logger 3). The distance from logger 2 to logger 3 was 2,100 mm and logger 1 was 218 219 approximately 1,300 mm perpendicular to the participant's heads (Figure 1). Instrument accuracies for the CL11 are ± 0.3 ° C (temperature), < 2.5% RH (humidity) and ± 30 ppm \pm 220 221 5% of the measured value. The logging frequency of the CL11 monitors was set to 10 seconds 222 throughout the experiments. The CL11's display updates approximately once per second, 223 enabling the researcher to monitor and control the release of CO₂ in the room to a reasonable 224 granularity. The length of Condition 3 (adding CO_2) was varied according to the time taken to 225 achieve mixing (Table 2), to enable confidence in the mixing of the room by the start of 226 Condition 4.

EEG data was gathered from each participant using a Neuroelectrics ENOBIO 20 dry electrode wearable wireless EEG cap (19 channel, 10-20 placement, 500 Hz sampling rate). Two reference electrodes (DLR, CRL) were positioned on the participants' mastoid muscle. EEG was gathered continuously throughout each of the experimental conditions (Table 2, Figure 2). In order to minimise movement artefacts in the EEG, participants were asked to sit quietly and remain still throughout the experiments except during the short break for the questionnaire following Condition 5 (refer Table 2, Figure 2).

Subjective responses were gathered in relation to experience of sick building symptoms (e.g. irritated eyes, sore throat, congested nose) [40], positive/negative affect (PANAS) [41], Stanford Sleepiness Scale [42] and thermal comfort (ASHRAE 7 point scale) [43] were gathered from participants at Baseline, High- CO₂ and Post-Ventilation segments.

As a proof-of-concept, this paper focuses specifically on EEG results and the StanfordSleepiness Scale.

- 240
- 241 Analysis
- 242
- 243 Environmental measurements

Data from the Rotronic CL11 environmental monitors was downloaded and condition timings entered retrospectively for analysis. Due to the difference in logging frequency of the CL11s (10 sec) compared to the EEG measurements (500 Hz), the error on the readings versus that of the condition timings is expected to be approximately \pm 20 seconds. This error was considered acceptable given the gradual changes in temperature/humidity and the mixing behaviour of the CO₂ in the room.

250

251 *EEG pre-processing*

EEG data were filtered using a Butterworth filter; low pass at 45 Hz and high pass at 0.15 Hz. 252 253 Artefact rejection was implemented in two stages. The first used the artefact rejection algorithm 254 WPT-EMD [44,45], which uses a sample of minimum variance EEG taken from Condition 2. 255 The second stage of artefact rejection involved an amplitude threshold cut-off of $\pm 100 \,\mu$ V, and 256 replacing outlying data with a 10-second moving median around the extreme value. Electrodes 257 showing consistent noise or flat-lined output were deleted from the dataset. As mentioned, of 258 the total 47 participants, 36 participants had sufficiently clean data throughout the experiment 259 and sufficient representation of clean electrodes in each brain region (frontal, central, temporal, 260 parietal, occipital) to warrant further analysis.

261 Bandpower was extracted from the pre-processed continuous EEG for delta (0.15-3 Hz), theta

262 (4-7 Hz), alpha (8-13 Hz), beta (14-35 Hz), and gamma (> 35 Hz) frequency bands, over one

second windows. Average bandpower was computed for frontal (F3, Fz, F4, FP1, FP2), central

264 (C3, Cz, C4), parietal (P7, P3, Pz, P4, P8), temporal (T7, T8), and occipital (O1, O2) electrodes

265 for each analysis segment (Baseline, High-CO₂, Post-Ventilation). Gamma was excluded from 266 further analysis owing to the focus of the study protocol on low frequency behaviour and 267 because gamma represented < 1% of total power at each analysis segment. Post-hoc analysis 268 found the lowest delta component (0.15-1.5 Hz) to be contaminated with eve movement 269 artefacts and was subsequently rejected from analysis. Rather than excluding delta from 270 analysis completely, and given eye movement artefacts typically occur at approximately 1 Hz 271 [46], we instead report on high-delta (2-3 Hz) and exclude only low-delta (i.e. all frequencies 272 < 2 Hz).

273 Mixed model ANOVAs were conducted with factors including electrode region, analysis 274 segment, group, and frequency to investigate electrophysiological markers of drowsiness 275 consistent with the literature (detailed below).

276

277 EEG- drowsiness characterisation

Our characterisation of drowsiness applied to the EEG results is grounded in relevant literature: 278 279 A meta-review of the psychophysiology of automobile driver fatigue finds changes in delta and 280 theta strongly linked to the transition towards fatigue [21]. Tired wakefulness among sleep 281 deprived participants produces an EEG with enhanced power in the low frequency range 1-8 282 Hz (delta and theta) [22,47]. Providing a greater topographical specificity than previous studies, 283 Gorgoni et al. finds sleep deprived participants exhibit an EEG involving global increases in 284 delta and theta (i.e. registered in multiple areas of the brain) [23]. Thus in this study, drowsiness 285 is characterised by post-hoc analysis of the cleaned EEG data according to an increase in delta 286 and theta, particularly if these increases are found at multiple brain electrode regions.

288 Results

- All statistical analyses conducted and reported in this section relate to data from the three
 analysis segments of Baseline, High-CO₂ and Post-Ventilation. Additionally, all analyses and
 data reported below relate to the 36 participants with usable EEG data.
 Indoor conditions by analysis segment
- Table 3 below summarises the measured indoor environment parameters at each of the two-
- 295 minute analysis segments: Baseline, High- CO₂ and Post-Ventilation (Figure 2), for TG and
- 296 CG participants:
- 297 298

298 Table 3: Indoor parameters by analysis segment, TG and CG participants

Treatment group participants	CO ₂ (ppm)	Temp (°C)	RH ¹ (%)
Baseline	670 ± 80	21.8 ± 2.3	44.1 ± 8.2
High-CO ₂	2750 ± 160	22.2 ± 2.5	44.6 ± 7.9
Post-Ventilation	850 ± 210	21.5 ± 2.4	43.9 ± 7.5
Control group participants	CO ₂ (ppm)	Temp (°C)	<i>RH</i> ¹ (%)
Baseline	660 ± 40	23.6 ± 1.8	37.7 ± 7.6
High-CO ₂	860 ± 50	24.3 ± 2.0	37.8 ± 7.3
Post-Ventilation	680 ± 80	23.4 ± 1.8	37.8 ± 7.8

299

The mean CO_2 values for the two minute segments of Baseline and High- CO_2 correspond closely to the mean CO_2 values for TG and CG participants for entire five minute duration of Condition 2 (650 ± 80 ppm TG, 640 ± 50 ppm CG) and eight minute duration of Condition 5 (2,700 ± 300 ppm in TG, 830 ± 70 in CG). With reference to Table 3, TG participants were exposed on average to an additional 1,898 ppm of pure CO_2 to that generated by human respiration alone.

306 To control for possible temperature effects, all participants were able to adjust clothing as they 307 wished prior to the experiment to ensure comfort. A 3 (analysis segment) by 2 (group) mixed 308 model ANOVA was run to assess temperature fluctuations. Results show that CG participants 309 were tested at a significantly higher temperature than TG participants (see Table 3 and Section 0; F(1, 34) = 6.30, p = .02, $\eta_p^2 = .16$). This was due to the majority of CG participants being 310 311 tested following the activation of the building's heating systems. Results also showed that 312 temperature varied significantly between each of the analysis segments irrespective of group $(F(1.46, 49.55) = 50.75, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .60;$ Sidak post-hoc p's < .02). Temperature was higher 313 314 on average for both groups at High CO₂ relative to the other conditions, due to the doors and 315 windows remaining closed; additionally, Post-Ventilation was colder than both High CO₂ and 316 Baseline for both groups due to the windows being open throughout the condition and the 317 cooler outside air due to the season. However, the difference in temperature between analysis 318 segments (i.e. Baseline vs High CO₂ vs Post-Vent) did not greatly exceed instrument accuracy 319 (0.3 °C).

The period of ventilation (including the Post-Ventilation analysis segment) was uncontrolled. During this period, CO_2 concentration (Table 3), as well as air change rate, indoor air velocity and external noise was variable between participants, depending on external factors such as wind direction, wind speed and traffic. We did not attempt to isolate, measure or control for these variables, and include the Post-Ventilation segment in our analysis simply as a reference period of increased fresh air and sensory disturbance.

326

327 EEG results

328 To test for the effect of elevated CO_2 concentration upon participants' EEG, a 4 (frequency) by

329 5 (electrode region) by 3 (analysis segment) by 2 (group) mixed model ANOVA was run.

Results found a main effect of frequency ($F(1.08, 36.58) = 89.62, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .73$), electrode region ($F(1.50, 51.13) = 50.52, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .60$), and analysis segment (F(2, 68) = 7.98, p $= .001, \eta_p^2 = .19$). In addition significant interactions were also found for frequency by region ($F(1.72, 58.56) = 34.57, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .50$), frequency by analysis segment (F(2.09, 70.95)) $= 9.16, p < .001, \eta_p^2 = .21$), region by analysis segment ($F(2.98, 101.29) = 7.61, p < .001, \eta_p^2$ = .18), and frequency by region by analysis segment ($F(3.73, 126.84) = 4.91, p = .001, \eta_p^2 = .33$). There was no main effect of group, and no significant group interactions.

337 Post-hoc analysis of the main effects (Sidak) showed that each frequency significantly differed 338 from the others (p's < .004) such that high-delta had the highest power, followed by theta, then alpha, then beta. Frontal electrodes had greater power than all other regions (p's < .001). 339 340 Central and temporal electrodes did not differ from each other and neither did parietal and 341 occipital electrodes. Frequency power during Baseline was significantly lower than during the 342 High-CO₂ (p = .001) analysis segment, but did not differ from Post-Ventilation. There was a 343 trend toward the Post-Ventilation analysis segment having a lower overall power than the High-344 CO_2 segment (p = .09).

To investigate the significant interactions, paired-sample *t*-tests were computed between the Baseline and High-CO₂ analysis segments and the High-CO₂ and Post-Ventilation analysis segments for each brain region and frequency, overall and for the TG and CG participants separately (Table 4).

350 *Table 4- Overall power, within measures, comparison of changes in power by analysis segment for each group. p-values derived from paired sample post-hoc t-tests*

Overall power, within-		Hig	High-CO ₂ vs Baseline			Post-Vent vs High-CO ₂		
measures		Overall	Treatment group	Control group	Overall	Treatment group	Control group	
Frontal	h-delta	$\uparrow p < .001$	$\uparrow p = .01$	$\uparrow p = .003$	$\downarrow p = .004$	$\downarrow p = .07^{a}$	$\downarrow p = .02$	
	theta	$\uparrow p < .001$	$\uparrow p = .004$	$\uparrow p < .001$	$\downarrow p = .07^{a}$	↓ p = .77	↓ p = .53	

	- alpha	$\uparrow p = .07^{a}$	↑ $p = .31$	$\uparrow p = .11$	$\uparrow p = .73$	p = .83	\uparrow p = .53
	beta	$\uparrow p = .003$	$\uparrow p = .09^{a}$	$\uparrow p = .007$	p = .47	p = .79	p = .48
Central	h-delta	$\uparrow p = .002$	$\uparrow p = .02$	\uparrow p = .05 a	p = .04	p = .38	p = .04
	theta	$\uparrow p = .14$	$\uparrow p = .02$	$\uparrow p = .89$	p = .36	p = .52	p = .53
	alpha	$\uparrow p = .40$	$\uparrow p = .31$	p = .98	p = .32	p = .38	$\downarrow p = .65$
	beta	$\uparrow p = .36$	$\uparrow p = .43$	$\uparrow p = .64$	$\downarrow p = .35$	$\downarrow p = .57$	$\downarrow p = .45$
Parietal	h-delta	$\uparrow p = .02$	$\uparrow p = .04$	\uparrow p = .27	$\downarrow p = .35$	$\downarrow p = .55$	$\downarrow p = .48$
	theta	$\uparrow p = .01$	$\uparrow p = .006$	$\uparrow p = .55$	$\downarrow p = .16$	$\downarrow p = .37$	$\downarrow p = .26$
	alpha	$\uparrow p = .03$	$\uparrow p = .001$	$\uparrow p = .92$	$\downarrow p = .43$	$\downarrow p = .32$	$\downarrow p = .88$
	beta	$\uparrow p = .03$	$\uparrow p = .02$	↑ p = .60	↓ p = .46	↓ p = .29	↑ p = .93
Temporal	h-delta	↑ p = .13	↑ p = .33	↑ p = .22	↓ p = .34	$\downarrow p = .50$	$\downarrow p = .52$
	theta	↑ p = .38	↑ p = .62	↑ p = .38	↓ p = .67	$\downarrow p = .31$	↑ p = .70
	alpha	↑ p = .77	↑ p = .87	$\uparrow p = .80$	↑ p = .81	$\downarrow p = .58$	$\uparrow p = .36$
	beta	↑ p = .67	↑ p = .86	↑ p = .64	↓ p = .68	↓ p = .79	$\downarrow p = .75$
Occipital	h-delta	$\uparrow p = .009$	$\uparrow p = .03$	↑ p = .14	$\downarrow p = .07^{a}$	↓ p = .15	$\downarrow p = .31$
	theta	$\uparrow p = .008$	$\uparrow p = .03$	$\uparrow p = .16$	$\downarrow p = .16$	↓ p = .61	$\downarrow p = .08^{a}$
	alpha	↑ p = .20	↑ p = .18	↑ p = .53	$\downarrow p = .03$	↓ p = .26	$\downarrow p = .02$
	beta	$\uparrow p = .04$	↑ p = .14	↑ p=.16	$\downarrow p = .21$	↓ p = .73	$\downarrow p = .09^{a}$
Overall	h-delta	$\uparrow p < .001$	$\uparrow p = .007$	$\uparrow p = .009$	$\downarrow p = .01$	$\downarrow p = .11$	$\downarrow p = .04$
	theta	$\uparrow p < .001$	$\uparrow p = .003$	$\uparrow p = .006$	$\downarrow p = .08^{a}$	↓ p = .53	$\downarrow p = .03$
	alpha	$\uparrow p = .008$	↑ p = .11	$\uparrow p = .03$	↓ p = .20	$\downarrow p = .17$	$\downarrow p = .65$
	beta	$\uparrow p = .01$	↑ p = .12	$\uparrow p = .03$	↓ p = .35	$\downarrow p = .55$	$\downarrow p = .49$

^a Trend ($p < .10$). <i>Italics</i> denotes signific	cant <i>p</i> -values
---	-----------------------

353

3

354

355 Overall results, irrespective of group, show no changes in the temporal electrode region for any frequency. The strongest effects from Baseline to High-CO₂ are an increase of frontal high-356 357 delta, theta and beta, central high-delta, and occipital high-delta and theta, as well as global 358 increases in high-delta, theta, and alpha. Despite a lack of significant group effects in the overall 359 model, the data presented in Table 4 show a clear difference in the pattern of frequency power 360 changes across the brain in the two groups. According to the definition of drowsiness employed 361 (Section 0), the results show the EEG of the TG shows a closer approximation to drowsiness 362 compared to that of the CG, considering: (a) the increase in delta and theta is more global than 363 the CG and (b) CG also has a significant overall increase in alpha and beta, while TG increase 364 is theta and high-delta only.

366 Relationship between EEG and temperature

367	In order to assess whether any relationship existed between the temperature in the room and
368	the EEG, Pearson correlations were run for each analysis segment. The results show no
369	significant correlation between the average temperature during the segment and the global EEG
370	power of each frequency recorded during that time period. Correlations were also run for each
371	electrode region. This analysis found a significant negative relationship for alpha power in the
372	temporal region and temperature during Baseline only ($r =34$, $p = .04$).
373	
374 375 376	Self-reported sleepiness (Effect of analysis segment, treatment group, within measures)Analysis of questionnaire data on subjective sleepiness found a significant main effect of
377	analysis segment on self-reported sleepiness, $\chi^2(2) = 22.84$, $p < .001$ (Friedman's ANOVA).
378	Wilcoxon matched pairs post-hoc comparisons show that participants at High-CO ₂ had
379	significantly higher ratings of sleepiness than both Baseline ($p < .001$) and Post-Ventilation (p
380	= .01). The Post-Ventilation segment also showed significantly higher ratings of sleepiness
381	than Baseline $(p = .01)$ (Table 5). These p-values remained significant when analysed using
382	parametric statistics (3-way ANOVA).

383

384 Table 5- Self reported sleepiness, average rating with SD, within measures, TG and CG participants

Self-reported Sleepiness, Average Rating ± SD, within-measures					
	Treatment group	Control group			
Baseline	$2.2 \pm .7$	$2.2 \pm .8$			
High-CO ₂	3.2 ± 1.1	3.7 ± 1.0			
Post-Ventilation	2.7 ± 1.2	2.6 ± 1.0			

385 Stanford Sleepiness index: Likert scale from 1 (wide awake) to 7 (sleep onset soon).

386

387 The average sleepiness ratings are similar for both TG and CG participants; p > .05 for both

388 parametric and non-parametric comparisons (Table 5), indicating that subjective sleepiness

was not affected by the changes in CO_2 concentration. None of the group comparisons for sleepiness approach significance.

391 Discussion

The effect of office-realistic changes to CO_2 on resting EEG represent a knowledge gap in the literature to date. This study tests the effect of a 2,700 ppm concentration of CO_2 in an office on resting EEG, analysing EEG results for indicators of a progression towards drowsiness. Data was analysed at three segments of each experiment; Baseline, High-CO₂ and Post-Ventilation. This study supports the role of EEG as a means of objectively measuring drowsiness in humans when affected by changes to the indoor climate.

399

402

Evidence for the effect of CO₂ on drowsiness- Relationship between TG and CG participants' EEG

Results from this study provide an indication that the indoor CO₂ concentration of 2,700 ppm 403 404 had an effect on the EEG indicative of a progression towards drowsiness, when drowsiness is 405 characterised by a global increase in delta and theta [22,23]. Despite the lack of a significant 406 effect of group in the overall model, and both groups showing some evidence of a progression 407 towards drowsiness, the evidence of drowsiness is stronger for the TG (Table 4). A distinct 408 trend observed among TG participants is the global nature of the high-delta and theta increases 409 from Baseline to High-CO₂ among TG participants relative to the only frontal increase in these 410 parameters among CG participants. The findings of this paper reinforce calls for sufficient 411 ventilation in knowledge work spaces [2] and greater occupant awareness of indoor CO₂ 412 concentration in these spaces [48].

The Post-Ventilation findings show further differences between the TG and CG, where the CG participants appeared better able to overcome the increased (EEG-assessed) drowsiness experienced in the High-CO₂ analysis segment. This may imply that the increased CO_2

416 experienced by TG participants affected the return of the EEG signals to Baseline levels.417 However given the difference in sample size between the groups, caution must be taken when

418 looking at any potential group differences until further research is conducted with larger, more

419 equal group sizes.

- 420
- 421 Relationship between self-reported and EEG-measured drowsiness

422 The EEG of the TG more closely approximates drowsiness at High CO₂ compared to the CG. 423 Yet the difference between average self-reported sleepiness ratings at High CO₂ between CG 424 and TG is minimal (half the standard deviation), and is not significantly different between 425 groups (p > 0.5), (Table 5). Longer exposures to comparable concentrations of CO₂ with bioeffluents are found to affect (subjectively assessed) drowsiness: 255 minutes exposure to 3,000 426 427 ppm with bio effluents increased subjective sleepiness and difficulty in thinking clearly [10]; 428 235 minutes exposure to 2,260 ppm affected perceived fatigue and perceived lack of energy 429 [4] and four hours' exposure to CO_2 above 2,700 ppm resulted in increased subjective 430 sleepiness [14]. The duration of this present study is much shorter than other studies and 431 subjective sleepiness between groups was unaffected. Given the short duration of the study and 432 the similarity of subjective sleepiness between groups, a possible explanation here is that both 433 groups self-report higher feelings of sleepiness simply as a function of time (being sat still in 434 the same room with no stimulation).

Further work is required to determine whether the objectively measured drowsiness indicated in the EEG results persist over longer timescales, whether self-reported drowsiness is better correlated to EEG over time, and whether EEG may be used as something of an early warning system for drowsiness. Small changes in CO_2 can quickly affect blood pH [31], and owing to the short duration of the experiment, it is possible that EEG results may provide a more timely indication of physiological changes than subjective sleepiness, though this suggestion needs to

be corroborated. Additionally, because both subjectively and objectively measured indications of drowsiness were reduced following ventilation of the room future work could additionally explore the potential of regular ventilation episodes in knowledge work spaces to retain alertness.

- 445
- 446 Relationship between EEG and temperature

Results also show a significant effect of temperature with CG participants, completing the 447 448 experiment at a slightly higher temperature than TG participants. Temperature in both groups 449 increased from Baseline to High-CO₂ before dropping to below baseline levels as a result of 450 the ventilation of the room. Related literature finds lower temperatures (without increased CO₂) 451 are correlated to decreased drowsiness as measured by EEG [29], and increasing indoor 452 temperatures (i.e. warm discomfort) is correlated to difficulty concentrating [49]. These 453 findings might explain the higher subjective sleepiness experienced by the CG at High CO₂; 454 however, as mentioned, the subjective sleepiness ratings were small and not statistically 455 significant and all participants were invited to modify their clothing if required in order to 456 remain thermally comfortable throughout the experiment. Conversely, the TG had a higher 457 objective indication of drowsiness but were subject to cooler temperatures than the CG, potentially suggestive that (1) the effects on the EEG of the TG in this study may be attributable 458 459 to CO_2 rather than temperature and (2) that subjective and objective determinations of 460 drowsiness may not be correlated over short timescales. Future research could better control 461 the temperature of the environment to remove this variable as a potential confound. 462 Additionally, the correlation between objectively and subjectively measured drowsiness due to changed CO₂ conditions needs to be further explored, e.g. the potential for EEG to act as an 463 464 early warning system for drowsiness.

465

466 Limitations and confounding factors

467 The results of this study should be viewed in light of its limitations: (1) The duration of exposure in this study is much shorter than comparable studies of office-realistic CO₂ 468 469 concentrations on humans [8,10,14,16,50], and future work is required to determine whether 470 the changes in EEG with respect to drowsiness are momentary or sustained. (2) Accordingly, 471 changes in the EEG of the TG should be considered as indicative of a neurological progression 472 towards drowsiness, rather than definitive drowsiness. (2) While the CO_2 outlet was attached 473 to a fan, mixing may not have been as effective as is possible in a climate chamber. (3) All 474 participants assumed that gas was released into the room during the experiment, as the CG 475 participants were exposed to a pre-recorded and equalized sound to mimic the CO₂ gas being 476 released throughout Condition 3. Thus the participants were blind to the conditions, but were 477 not blinded to the fact that the air in the room was (supposedly) being modified. Thus it cannot 478 be ruled out that some CG may have experienced a placebo reaction. (4) The treatment and control groups differ in sample size and the study is underpowered with respect to between-479 480 groups analysis (a-priory power analysis N = 58, i.e. 29 per group), potentially explaining the 481 lack of group effects found in the overall ANOVA. However, even after discarding participants 482 with poor EEG data, the study is still well powered to make conclusions based on the within 483 subjects analysis (a-priori power analysis n = 18) of the whole sample, and for the TG. As such, 484 we are confident in our conclusion that the pattern of results found for this group more closely 485 approximates drowsiness. The study is only slightly under powered with regards to within 486 subjects analysis for the CG group only.

487

488 Future work

489

490 To corroborate our findings, future work using EEG as an objective indicator of the effects of 491 changes to indoor air quality would be helpful. To better isolate CO_2 as a variable in future 492 studies, we suggest a within subjects study design for future work in order to ensure equal 493 representation in the high and "sham" CO₂ groups. Such a design would control for any 494 individual differences between the groups. Fully blinding participants to experimental 495 conditions might also be beneficial. In addition, there are personal factors not controlled for in 496 this study which could feasibly influence drowsiness, such as number of hours sleep, amount 497 of time since their last meal, their previous activity before experiment. Future studies should 498 account for such factors. Given our finding that a 10 minute ventilation period appeared to 499 reverse the trend towards drowsiness (Post-Vent versus High CO₂), we suggest further work 500 investigates the acceptability of periodic drafts in naturally ventilated workplaces as a means 501 of maintaining vigilance and concentration.

502 Conclusion

503 Drowsiness represents an important factor affecting office work and productivity [14,20], yet 504 many studies assessing the effects of poor indoor environment quality on humans gather only 505 subjective data for factors potentially affecting work performance such as drowsiness or mood. 506 In this study we have demonstrated the potential for EEG to be used as an objective 507 measurement of drowsiness to determine the effect of elevated levels of indoor CO₂. Results 508 indicate that even short exposure to elevated levels of CO₂ indoors (TG) can produce EEG 509 indicative of a progression towards drowsiness. Further work is necessary to corroborate these 510 findings.

Priorities for further work have been outlined including: longer-duration studies using EEG, full blinding to test conditions, accounting for other potential physiological factors which may affect drowsiness (e.g. including time since last meal, hours of sleep), and the acceptability of periodic drafts in naturally ventilated workplaces as a means of maintaining vigilance and concentration.

516 Acknowledgements

517	This v	work was carried out as part of the Refresh project funded by the Engineering and Physical
518	Scien	ces Research Council (EPSRC) Grants: EP/K021907/1, EP/K021834/1 and
519	EP/K	021893/1. Thanks are required for John Lally for technical assistance. All data supporting
520	this st	tudy are openly available from the University of Southampton repository: (DOI TO BE
521	INSE	RTED ONCE PUBLISHED).
522		
523	Refe	erences
524 525	1.	Cui S, Cohen M, Stabat P, Marchio D. CO2 tracer gas concentration decay method for
526		measuring air change rate. Build Environ. 2015;84:162–9.
527	2.	Fisk WJ. The ventilation problem in schools: literature review. Vol. 27, Indoor Air.
528		2017. p. 1039–51.
529	3.	Etheridge D. A perspective on fifty years of natural ventilation research. Build Environ.
530		2015;91:51–60.
531	4.	Maula H, Hongisto V, Naatula V, Haapakangas A, Koskela H. The effect of low
532		ventilation rate with elevated bioeffluent concentration on work performance, perceived
533		indoor air quality, and health symptoms. Indoor Air. 2017;27(6):1141-53.
534	5.	Mendell MJ, Heath GA. Do indoor pollutants and thermal conditions in schools
535		influence student performance? A critical review of the literature. Indoor Air.
536		2005;15(1):27–52.
537	6.	Wargocki P, Sundell J, Bischof W, Brundrett G, Fanger PO, Gyntelberg F, et al.
538		Ventilation and health in non-industrial indoor environments: Report from a European
539		Multidisciplinary Scientific Consensus Meeting (EUROVEN). Vol. 12, Indoor Air.
540		2002. p. 113–28.
541	7.	Wyon DP. The effects of indoor air quality on performance and productivity. Indoor

542 Air. 2004;14 Suppl 7(Suppl 7):92–101.

- Maddalena R, Mendell MJ, Eliseeva K, Chan WR, Sullivan DP, Russell M, et al. Effects
 of ventilation rate per person and per floor area on perceived air quality, sick building
 syndrome symptoms, and decision-making. Indoor Air. 2015;25(4):362–70.
- 546 9. Fang L, Wyon DP, Clausen G, Fanger PO. Impact of indoor air temperature and
 547 humidity in an office on perceived air quality, SBS symptoms and performance. Indoor
 548 Air, Suppl. 2004;14(SUPPL. 7):74–81.
- 549 10. Zhang X, Wargocki P, Lian Z, Thyregod C. Effects of exposure to carbon dioxide and
 550 bioeffluents on perceived air quality, self-assessed acute health symptoms, and cognitive
 551 performance. Indoor Air. 2017;27(1):47–64.
- 552 11. Wargocki P, Wyon DP. Ten questions concerning thermal and indoor air quality effects
 553 on the performance of office work and schoolwork. Build Environ. 2017;112:359–66.
- 12. Zhang X, Wargocki P, Lian Z. Human responses to carbon dioxide, a follow-up study
 at recommended exposure limits in non-industrial environments. Build Environ.
 2016;100:162–71.
- 557 13. Zhang X, Wargocki P, Lian Z. Effects of Exposure to Carbon Dioxide and Human
 558 Bioeffluents on Cognitive Performance. Procedia Eng. 2015;121:138–42.
- Vehviläinen T, Lindholm H, Rintamäki H, Pääkkönen R, Hirvonen A, Niemi O, et al.
 High indoor CO 2 concentrations in an office environment increases the transcutaneous
 CO 2 level and sleepiness during cognitive work. J Occup Environ Hyg. 2016;13(1):19–
 29.
- Allen JG, MacNaughton P, Satish U, Santanam S, Vallarino J, Spengler JD.
 Associations of cognitive function scores with carbon dioxide, ventilation, and volatile
 organic compound exposures in office workers: A controlled exposure study of green
 and conventional office environments. Environ Health Perspect. 2016;124(6):805–12.

567 16. Satish U, Mendell MJ, Shekhar K, Hotchi T, Sullivan D, Streufert S, et al. Is CO2 an
568 indoor pollutant? Direct effects of low-to-moderate CO2 concentrations on human
569 decision-making performance. Environ Health Perspect. 2012 Sep 20;120(12):1671–7.

- 570 17. Kajtár L, Herczeg L. Influence of carbon-dioxide concentration on human well-being
 571 and intensity of mental work. Idojaras. 2012;116(2):145–69.
- 572 18. Liu W, Zhong W, Wargocki P. Performance, acute health symptoms and physiological
 573 responses during exposure to high air temperature and carbon dioxide concentration.
 574 Build Environ. 2017;114:96–105.
- 575 19. Zhang X, Wargocki P, Lian Z. Physiological responses during exposure to carbon
 576 dioxide and bioeffluents at levels typically occurring indoors. Indoor Air.
 577 2017;27(1):65–77.
- 578 20. Tanabe S, Nishihara N. Productivity and fatigue. Indoor Air, Suppl. 2004 Aug
 579 1;14(SUPPL. 7):126–33.
- 580 21. Lal SKL, Craig A. Driver fatigue: Electroencephalography and psychological
 581 assessment. Psychophysiology. 2002;39(3):313–21.
- 582 22. De Gennaro L, Marzano C, Veniero D, Moroni F, Fratello F, Curcio G, et al.
 583 Neurophysiological correlates of sleepiness: A combined TMS and EEG study.
 584 Neuroimage. 2007;39(3):313–21.
- Gorgoni M, Ferlazzo F, Ferrara M, Moroni F, D'Atri A, Fanelli S, et al. Topographic
 electroencephalogram changes associated with psychomotor vigilance task performance
 after sleep deprivation. Sleep Med. 2014;15(9):1132–9.
- 588 24. Trejo LJ, Kubitz K, Rosipal R, Kochavi RL, Montgomery LD, Rosepal R, et al. EEG589 Based Estimation and Classification of Mental Fatigue. Psychology. 2015;(April):1–44.
- 590 25. Wargocki P, Wyon DP, Sundell J, Clausen G, Fanger PO. The Effects of Outdoor Air
- 591 Supply Rate in an Office on Perceived Air Quality, Sick Building Syndrome (SBS)

- 592 Symptoms and Productivity. Indoor Air. 2000;10(4):222–36.
- 593 26. Donaldson SI, Grant-Vallone EJ. Understanding self-report bias in organizational
 594 behavior research. J Bus Psychol. 2002;17(2):245–60.
- Rasheed EO, Byrd H. Can self-evaluation measure the effect of IEQ on productivity? A
 review of literature. Facilities. 2017;35(11/12):601–21.
- 597 28. Niedermeyer E, Silva FHL Da. Electroencephalography: Basic Principles, Clinical
 598 Applications, and Related Fields. 1st ed. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins. Lippinciott
 599 Williams and Wilkins; 2004. 1309 p.
- Landstrom U, Englund K, Nordstrom B, Stenudd A. Laboratory studies on the effects
 of temperature variations on drowsiness. Percept Mot Skills. 1999;83(3):1217–29.
- 30. Wang D, Yee BJ, Wong KK, Kim JW, Dijk DJ, Duffin J, et al. Comparing the effect of
- hypercapnia and hypoxia on the electroencephalogram during wakefulness. Clin
 Neurophysiol. 2015;126(1):103–9.
- 31. Xu F, Uh J, Brier MR, Hart J, Yezhuvath US, Gu H, et al. The influence of carbon
 dioxide on brain activity and metabolism in conscious humans. J Cereb Blood Flow
 Metab. 2011;31(1):58–67.
- Thesen T, Leontiev O, Song T, Dehghani N, Hagler DJ, Huang M, et al. Depression of
 cortical activity in humans by mild hypercapnia. Hum Brain Mapp. 2012;33(3):715–26.
- Bloch-Salisbury E, Lansing R, Shea S a. Acute changes in carbon dioxide levels alter
 the electroencephalogram without affecting cognitive function. Psychophysiology.
 2000;37(4):418–26.
- 613 34. Iber C, Ancoli-Israel S, Chesson AL Jr. QS for the AA, Medicine. of S, Iber CC, Ancoli-
- 614 Israel S, Chesson AL, Quan SF, et al. The AASM Manual for the Scoring of Sleep and
- 615 Associated Events: Rules, Terminology and Technical Specifications. In: Berry, R.B.,
- 616 Brooks, R., Gamaldo, C.E., Harding, S.M., Marcus, C.L. and Vaughn BV, editor.

- 617 AASM Manual for Scoring Sleep. Westchester, IL; 2007.
- 618 35. Lamb S, Kwok KCS. A longitudinal investigation of work environment stressors on the
 619 performance and wellbeing of office workers. Appl Ergon. 2016;52:104–11.
- 620 36. Garner M, Attwood A, Baldwin DS, Munafò MR. Inhalation of 7.5% carbon dioxide
- 621 increases alerting and orienting attention network function. Psychopharmacology (Berl).
 622 2012;223(1):67–73.
- Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang A-G, Buchner A. G*Power 3: A flexible statistical power
 analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behav Res
 Methods. 2007;39(2):175–91.
- 626 38. Laussmann D, Helm D. Air Change Measurements Using Tracer Gases. Chem Emiss
 627 Control Radioact Pollut Indoor Air Qual. 2004;1:365–404.
- Gough HL, Luo Z, Halios CH, King MF, Noakes CJ, Grimmond CSB, et al. Field
 measurement of natural ventilation rate in an idealised full-scale building located in a
 staggered urban array: Comparison between tracer gas and pressure-based methods.
 Build Environ. 2018;137:246–56.
- 40. Hedge A, Erickson WA. A study of indoor environment and sick building syndrome
 complaints in air-conditioned offices: benchmarks for facility performance. Int J Facil
 Manag. 1997;1(4):185–92.
- Watson D, Clark LA, Tellegen A. Development and validation of brief measures of
 positive and negative affect: The PANAS scales. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1988;54(6):1063–
 70.
- 42. Quest S. Stanford Sleepiness Scale [Internet]. Stanford Sleepiness Scale. 2000 [cited
 2017 Jan 31]. p. 1. Available from: https://web.stanford.edu/~dement/sss.html
- 640 43. American Society of Heating Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE).
- 641 ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 55: Thermal Environmental Conditions for Human

- 642 Occupancy. [Internet]. ASHRAE. 2013. Available from:
- 643 https://www.ashrae.org/technical-resources/bookstore/thermal-environmental-

644 conditions-for-human-occupancy

- 44. Biswas D, Bono V, Scott-South M, Chatterjee S, Soska A, Snow S, et al. Analysing
 wireless EEG based functional connectivity measures with respect to change in
 environmental factors. In: 3rd IEEE EMBS International Conference on Biomedical and
 Health Informatics, BHI 2016. 2016. p. 599–602.
- 649 45. Bono V, Jamal W, Das S, Maharatna K. Artifact reduction in multichannel pervasive
- EEG using hybrid WPT-ICA and WPT-EMD signal decomposition techniques. In:
- 651 ICASSP, IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing -
- 652 Proceedings. 2014. p. 5864–8.
- 46. Tatum W, Husain A, Benbadis S, Kaplan P. Handbook of EEG Interpretation. Medicine.
 New York: Demos Medical Publishing; 2008.
- 47. Tinguely G, Finelli LA, Landolt HP, Borbély AA, Achermann P. Functional eeg
 topography in sleep and waking: State-dependent and state-independent features.
 Neuroimage. 2006;32(1):283–92.
- 48. Wargocki P, Da Silva NAF. Use of visual CO2 feedback as a retrofit solution for
 improving classroom air quality. Indoor Air. 2015 Feb 1;25(1):105–14.
- Mendell MJ, Mirer AG. Indoor thermal factors and symptoms in office workers:
 findings from the us epa base study. Indoor Air. 2009;19(4):291–302.
- Maula H, Hongisto V, Koskela H, Haapakangas A. The effect of cooling jet on work
 performance and comfort in warm office environment. Vol. 104, Building and
 Environment. 2016. p. 13–20.

665



Figure 1



Figure 2