**Soil microbial communities in diverse agroecosystems exposed to glyphosate**

Ryan M. Kepler[1], Dietrich J. Epp Schmidt[2], Stephanie A. Yarwood[2], Krishna N. Reddy[3], Stephen

O. Duke[4], Carl A. Bradley[5], Martin M. Williams II[6], Jeffery Buyer[1], Michel A. Cavigelli[1], Jude E.

Maul[1]

[1]Sustainable Agricultural Systems Laboratory, USDA-ARS

10300 Baltimore Ave, bldg. 001

Beltsville, MD 20705

[2]Environmental Science and Technology Department, University of Maryland,

1204 HJ Patterson Hall

College Park, MD 20742, USA

[3]Crop Production Systems Research Unit, USDA-ARS

P.O. Box 350, Stoneville, Mississippi 38776

[4]Natural Products Utilization Research Unit, USDA-ARS

P.O. Box 1848, University, Mississippi 38677

[5]Department of Plant Pathology, University of Kentucky Research and Education Center

Princeton, KY 42445

[6]Global Change and Photosynthesis Research, USDA-ARS

1102 S. Goodwin Avenue

Urbana, Illinois 61801

**Corresponding author**: Jude Maul. Jude.Maul@ARS.USDA.GOV. phone: 301-504-9068

**Conflict of interest**

The authors declare they have no conflict of interest.

**Abstract**

In spite of glyphosate's wide use in agriculture, questions remain about effects of the herbicide on soil microbial communities. Conflicting scientific literature reports divergent results; from no observable effect of glyphosate to the enrichment of common agricultural pathogens such as *Fusarium.* We conducted a comprehensive field-based study to compare treatments that did and did not receive foliar application of glyphosate spray. The study included two field sites, Maryland and Mississippi; two crops, soybean and corn; four site years, 2013 and 2014; and a variety of organic and conventional farming systems. Using amplicon sequencing, the prokaryotic (16S rRNA) and fungal (ITS) communities were described along with chemical and physical properties of the soil. Sections of corn and soy roots were plated to screen for the presence of plant pathogens. Geography, farming system, and seasonal progression were significant factors determining composition of fungal and bacterial communities. Plots treated with or without glyphosate did not differ in overall microbial community composition after controlling for these factors. No differential effect of glyphosate treatment was found in the relative abundance of organisms such as *Fusarium* spp. or putative growth-promoting bacteria *Pseudomonas* spp.

**Introduction**

Providing food for the exponentially growing global human population [1] requires agricultural productivity to double by the year 2050 [2]. Thirty six percent of the Earth's potential agricultural land is already under production, and much of the remaining land is considered marginal and susceptible to degradation when put under intensive management [3]. External inputs for nutritional supplementation and pest control are significant production costs and non-point sources of pollution that negatively impact human and environmental health. Thus, to continue feeding the world population, farmers need new approaches to increase agricultural productivity while simultaneously mitigating negative environmental impacts [2, 4].

Introduction of genetically modified glyphosate-resistant (GR) crops has transformed

agroecosystems across the globe by increasing adoption of no-till agriculture where weeds are

controlled chemically rather than by tillage [5]. Glyphosate interrupts the shikimate biosynthesis

pathway [6], which is responsible for the production of aromatic amino acids and other key

components of cell metabolism. The shikimate pathway is found in bacteria, fungi, algae, plants

and some protozoans, although not in animals. Glyphosate competitively binds to the enzyme 5-

enolpyruvylshikimate 3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS) and is known to be lethal to most species

of plants and a large proportion fungi. However, some microbes are resistant to glyphosate due

to rapid metabolism of glyphosate or to a GR form of EPSPS. Once this biosynthetic pathway is

blocked, plants die due to metabolic disruption. Even at sub lethal application rates [7, 8]

glyphosate can weaken a plant's hypersensitive response enough that a pathogen is able to

infect and kill the plant. In the absence of a pathogen the plant may have a stunted appearance

for a few weeks but then recover.

Plants have been shown to exude glyphosate from their roots within 24 hrs of foliar

application [9]. Glyphosate strongly binds to some soil components, making it rather immobile in

most soil types [10]. Its tight binding to soil contributes to its weak phytotoxicity to plants as a

soil applied herbicide. The episodic exudation of glyphosate may have indirect effects on the

soil microbial community, and these changes may be important to the long term sustainability of

agroecosystems, but patterns or changes in the microbial community are difficult to detect in the

context of seasonality, changing crop species, and geographic locations.

Concerns have been raised about increased pathogen loads and suppression of

beneficial organisms associated with glyphosate use [11, 12]. There are two mechanisms by

which glyphosate could enrich the soil for plant pathogens: 1) pathogens can attack glyphosate-

susceptible weeds that succumb to the herbicide, the dying biomass of which then acts as

refugia for subsequent crop infestation (green bridge) or 2) pathogens can gain a "foothold" in a

glyphosate-resistant plant due to reduced immune response from alterations in the shikimate

pathway, resulting in a non-lethal infection that allows the pathogen to propagate. A review of all

GR crops by Hammerschmidt [13] determined there is no conclusive evidence that glyphosate

increases the susceptibility of GR crops to disease. Another review [14] challenges this

conclusion. For example, several studies have observed that GR beets and soybean have

increased susceptibility to pathogens when glyphosate is applied at recommended rates [14–

16]. One study found no effect of glyphosate on disease induction in GR beets until rates

exceeded normal field application rates by one order of magnitude [17].  However, other studies

with GR crops have found no influence of glyphosate on disease [18], as well as instances of

fungicidal activity against plant pathogens, especially rusts (reviewed by Duke [19]).

Two key studies have substantiated the glyphosate-pathogen-enrichment hypothesis,

finding over long study periods that glyphosate repeatedly increases the rate of colonization of

crops by *Fusarium* (presumed to be a pathogenic strain), while decreasing the abundance of

fluorescent *Pseudomonas* bacteria (taken as putative beneficial organisms) in the soil [11, 16].

These studies are often cited as conclusive evidence that long-term use of glyphosate increases

the pathogen load and decreases the abundance of growth promoting bacteria in soils. Both

studies applied culture-based methodology to quantify these microbial groups, with molecular

analysis of the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer region (ITS) for fungi; however, the

identification techniques employed were not sufficiently discriminatory to distinguish pathogenic

and beneficial genotypes for either group. Studies using culture-free methodology to

characterize microbial communities have failed to detect substantial glyphosate effects on

pathogen abundance [20, 21]. The key to conclusive determination of glyphosate effect on

microbial communities of GR crops is to carefully compare glyphosate sprayed and non-sprayed

treatments within an agronomic context. Farming systems, soil factors, crop varieties,

glyphosate legacy and application rates can all impact the behavior of glyphosate and its

interaction with the crop and soil microbiome [22].

We conducted a field-scale study to observe the effects of glyphosate on the soil

microbiome and plant health for corn and soybean GR varieties. Specifically, we tested the

hypothesis glyphosate changes the composition of the soil microbiome when controlling for

differences in soils, seasonal time points, and farming systems. Furthermore, we tested the

hypothesis that *Fusarium* spp. sequence abundance or culturable numbers would increase due

to glyphosate treatment. Our study includes six farming systems and a total of 12 site years,

representing agricultural practices as implemented on working farms. Our study targeted both

naïve soil microbiomes that have not been exposed to glyphosate and those exposed to

glyphosate annually. High throughput sequencing was used to generate bacterial and archaeal

16S rRNA profiles and fungal ITS profiles.

**Materials and Methods**

**Description of field sites and experimental design:** The study was conducted for two years

at two United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS)

sites: the Sustainable Agricultural Systems Laboratory, Beltsville, MD and the Crop Production

Systems Research Unit, Stoneville, MS.

The Beltsville site is managed as part of a USDA-Long Term Agricultural Research site

typical of the mid-Atlantic region and described previously [23, 24]. We conducted the study in

two conventional farming systems include one using a chisel plow for primary tillage (CT) and

one under no-tillage management (NT). These two systems rely on mineral fertilizers,

herbicides, and other pesticides as needed to manage a corn-rye cover crop-soybean-

wheat/soybean rotation. One organic farming system is a three-year corn (*Zea maize*) -rye

(*Cereale secale*) cover crop -soybean (*Glycine max*) -wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)/legume (*Vicia*

*villosa*) rotation (Org3). The second organic farming system is a six-year crop rotation (Org6) in

which alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*), a perennial crop in place for three years of the rotation,

replaces the vetch present in Org3.  The organic systems rely on legumes, poultry litter and

$K_2SO_4$ to supply crop nutrients in accordance to soil test results and local regulations. A

moldboard plow and/or a chisel plow is used for primary tillage in the organic systems. Weed

control in the organic systems included use of a rotary hoe and between row cultivation after

crops were planted.

In Stoneville the experiment was conducted in two adjacent fields, one with a legacy of

glyphosate use, the other with no glyphosate history. The field with a history of glyphosate use

had GR soybean and cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) grown in rotation for the last 15 years prior

to the experiment. The field without glyphosate history had been maintained for weed biology

studies in a cogongrass [*Imperata cylindrica* (L.) Beauv.] monoculture with no herbicides applied

for 12 years prior to the experiment. Field preparation included killing the cogongrass with

repeated tillage, planting non-GR soybean and non-GR corn for one season prior to the current

field experiment, and flail mowing at maturity.

The experiment was conducted during both the corn and soybean phases of crop

rotations at both sites. At each site the following glyphosate treatments were established: a GR

cultivar with no glyphosate applied and the same GR cultivar with glyphosate applied at 0.87 kg

ha$^{-1}$ twice at 5 and 7 weeks after planting.  Each plot was four rows (4.6 m) wide and 6.1 m

long. Soybean cultivar USG Allen (GR) was planted at 350,000 seeds ha$^{-1}$ and the corn cultivar

DKC 65-17 RR2 (GR) was planted at 30,000 seeds ha$^{-1}$.  In Beltsville the corn or soybean plots

are each a phase of the main plot rotation which is farming system (NT-18yr, CT-18yr, Org3-

none or Org6-none) in this experimental design each phase of the rotation is considered a split-

plot of the main plot which is cropping system. At both sites four replicates of each factor and

level were established.  All plots were hoed by hand periodically throughout the season to keep

them weed-free.

In October of each year, corn was harvested with an Almaco small plot combine

(Almaco, Nevada, IA); grain yield was estimated at 15.5% moisture from the two center rows of

the 6.1 m plots. In 2013 the soybean was harvested with a Almaco small plot combine and in

2014 the soybean were hand harvested and threshed from 3.05 m of the two center rows. Dry

weights were calculated at 13.5% moisture.

**Soil Baseline Characteristics**

Beltsville soils are Coastal Plain silty loam Ultisols, consisting primarily of Christiana,

Keyport, Matapeake and Mattapex soil map units. The soils at the Stoneville site were a silt

loam typic Endoqualfs dominated by Dundee soil map units. At planting, soil samples from the

top 15-cm depth were collected from each plot by combining soil from six or more cores (7.5 cm

diameter and 15 cm depth) sampled in a semi-random pattern in a given plot. Samples were air-

dried and sieved to 2 mm. The cores were collected on a diagonal line between the second and

third crop rows, 3 m from each end of a given plot. Soil samples were analyzed by the

Agricultural Analytical Services Laboratory at Pennsylvania State University for: pH, organic

matter (OM), CEC, P, K, Mg, Ca, S, B, Zn, Mn, Fe, Cu, As, Al, Ba, Cd, Co, Cr, Ni, Pb, Se, and

Sr. pH was determined in a 1:1 water dilution, OM was determined by mass loss on combustion

and CEC was determined using the methods of Ross, D. and Q. Ketterings [25].  Mehlich 3

extractions were used to quantify Ca, Mg, and K; all other metals are expressed as total sorbed

using the EPA 3050 method [26].

**Rhizosphere Soil and Root Sampling**

At the V3 to V4 crop growth stage (4 to 6 weeks after planting) and one day prior to

glyphosate application six plants and root-associated soil were excavated from each plot by

removing soil monoliths with 15 cm radius from stem and 15 cm deep using surface sterilized

sharpshooter shovels. This time point is referred to as "PRE-spray." Soil monoliths were placed

on a sieve and soil around the root ball was gently removed by shaking and passed through a 2

mm sieve; this soil was considered bulk soil. Soil adhering to roots after this procedure

(considered rhizosphere soil) was brushed onto a 2 mm sieve using a camel hair brush.  Roots

were brushed thoroughly, yet not so the integrity of the root surface was compromised. The

rhizosphere samples from the six plants were pooled and 5 g were added to a 15 ml falcon tube

containing 10 ml of MoBio LifeGuard nucleic acid preservation solution. The contents of the

tubes were mixed and frozen at -80 °C. Plants were placed at 4 °C until processed further.

Approximately twenty days after glyphosate was applied to the GR corn and soybean

plots (at growth stage R2 to R3) the soil monolith sampling was repeated in the same plots.

Samples were labeled "POST-spray."  Roots and adhering soils were collected and processed

the same as for PRE-spray samples. At each site, sampling was determined by the

developmental stage of the crop plants and was not constrained by Julian calendar dates.

**Identification of Endophytes from Roots**

Two-centimeter sections of root were cut at random sixteen times from each of six fresh

root systems for each treatment. The total wet weight of the 16 sections was recorded. Sections

were surface sterilized for 2 minutes in 1.25% sodium hypochlorite, followed by three rinses in

sterile distilled water. Sections were blotted dry on sterile paper towel and eight root sections

were placed on a plate containing Komada's Medium [27]. Plated roots were incubated in

ambient light at room temperature until colonies emerged. Fungal mycelium and spores from

emerging colonies were sampled and examined on a Nikon E60 microscope and identified to

genus, or to broader morphological group, based on taxonomic features. Colonies of typical

morphology were plated onto minimal media to induce sporulation for further identification.

Colonies not producing spores were characterized as "non-sporulating."  Polymerase chain

reaction (PCR) screens for ITS followed by cloning and sequencing were conducted on over

384 colonies of typical morphology to validate microscopic identification. The methods followed

those described in (Chung et al. 2008).  Sequences were quality checked and aligned using the

DNAStar suite of software (DNAStar, Madison, WI, USA), and identified using the basic local

alignment search tool and GenBank nucleotide data bank from the National Center for

Biotechnology Information, Bethesda, MD, USA (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/. Accessed fall,

2014).

**Illumina Sequencing Library Preparation from Rhizosphere Soils**

Rhizosphere and bulk soils preserved in LifeGuard at -80 °C were thawed  and 800 µl of

each slurry was processed using a PowerSoil-htp 96 Well Soil DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio

Laboratories Inc, Solana Beach, CA) according to the manufacturer's recommendations. DNA

was quantified and quality verified using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). 16S metagenome sequencing was conducted according to the

Illumina protocol Library Preparation Manual Part# 1504423 Rev. B, Illumina Inc.,

www.illumina.com. Five µl of cleaned adapter amplicon product for each sample were used for

index PCR using the Nextera XT Index Kit (Part# FC-131-1002; 16S Metagenomic Sequencing

Library Preparation Manual Part# 1504423 Rev. B, Illumina Inc., www.illumina.com). Index PCR

products were cleaned according to the Illumina protocol (16S Metagenomic Sequencing

Library Preparation Manual Part# 1504423 Rev. B, Illumina Inc., www.illumina.com), and 2 µl

aliquots per sample from each 96-well PCR plate were pooled for the final Illumina library. For

analysis, one-hundred µl of 10 nM solutions of each library pool were frozen and shipped on dry

ice for analysis on an Illumina MiSeq system at the Center for Genome Research and

Bioinformatics (CGRB), Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR. For the Beltsville site, where a

total of four cropping systems were also sampled, a total of 512 samples were sequenced. For

the Stoneville site 256 samples were sequenced.

**Bioinformatics and Statistical Analysis**

*Sequence filtering and trimming*. Reads were returned from CGRB after initial quality

control with standard Illumina workflows, including quality filtering and adapter trimming. Raw

data is available via the AgData commons NUMBER. Scripts used in subsequent steps can be

found at "https://github.com/rmkepler/FSP_script_repository". Prior to joining paired ends and

taxonomy assignment, forward and reverse primers were removed and sequences quality

trimmed (-q 22) at the 3-prime end using Cutadapt (version 1.8.3). Reads lacking primer

sequences or shorter than 75 bp before trimming were discarded.

*Assembly and taxonomy assignment.* The R package Dada2 [28] was used for paired

end assembly and taxonomy assignment. The command "filterandtrim" was used to remove

sequences with an expected error rate greater than two, and any sequences containing "N"

values (unreadable bases). Error rates were estimated for forward and reverse reads. Filtered

reads were then dereplicated with the "derepFastq" command. Dereplicated sequences were

denoised with the "dada" command and then paired ends were merged. Chimeric sequences

were removed with the command "removeBimeraDenovo". Taxonomy was assigned to the

chimera-free table of sequences with the dada2 implementation of the RDP classifier [29]. The

UNITE database (version 7.2) [30] was used as the reference for identification of fungal ITS

sequence variants, and silva (release 132) [31] was used for prokaryotes.

*Community analysis.* We transformed community count data into relative abundance,

then calculated Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. Principal components analysis (PCA) was applied to

the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix using the ordinate function of the vegan package v. 2.4 [32]

as implemented in phyloseq v. 1.22.2 [33] for both fungal and prokaryotic barcodes.

After subsetting by crop and site, richness and evenness were estimated from rarefied

datasets of the raw sequence counts using vegan. DESeq2 v. 1.18.1 [34] was used to produce

variance stabilized datasets [35]. Bray-Curtis dissimilarity for each sample was used for PCA.

We used PERMANOVA to determine significance of main effects and interactions between the

following factors: farming system, soil zone, glyphosate treatment, sampling date, and year. The

farming system factor had 4 categories for Beltsville (CT, NT, Org3 and Org6) and 2 for

Stoneville (NT_none, NT_15yr). All other factors had two categories at both sites: soil zone

(bulk and rhizosphere); year (2013, 2014); glyphosate treatment (spray, no spray); sampling

date (PRE glyphosate application, and POST glyphosate application). A repeated measures

model based on the plot ID was used.

The effect of glyphosate treatment on microbial communities was tested with the

Wilcoxon signed-rank test of differences between dates as implemented in the longitudinal plug-

in for Qiime2 [36]. The test was applied separately for three measures of richness: observed,

Shannon's and Simpson's.

*Differentially abundant taxa.* Tests for differentially abundant taxa in response to

glyphosate treatment were conducted in DESeq2 using likelihood ratio tests after subsetting

fungal and prokaryotic data by site, crop, and farming system. The test compared a full model

including group, sampling date terms, as well as an interaction term, where group is defined as

the combination of farming system and glyphosate treatment (e.g. Org3_spray) and sampling

date corresponds to PRE-spray and POST-spray sampling events. The full model was

compared to a reduced model lacking the interaction term. Thus, significant interaction terms

would indicate sampling date and glyphosate application interacted to be important predictors of

microbial abundance. This was tested for every fungal and bacterial taxon identified.  Datasets

with untransformed counts were used as the starting data, which were then variance-stabilized

during testing.

**Results**

From sequencing analysis a total of 68,964 unique fungal and 72,454 unique prokaryotic

sequence variants were identified across all samples. Beltsville and Stoneville shared 13,964

bacterial and 5,740 fungal taxa. Stoneville featured 62,985 and 29,780 bacterial and fungal

taxa, respectively. Beltsville featured 41,538 and 44,924 unique bacterial and fungal taxa,

respectively. Fungal richness was higher for all Beltsville farming systems, compared with

Stoneville, with the exception of the Shannon's and Simpson's diversity metrics for Org_3

(Figure 1A). Conversely, prokaryotic diversity was greater for Stoneville in all measures (Figure

1B).

Principal component analysis showed that Beltsville and Stoneville communities were

distinct (Figure 1C & D). Permanova analysis of relative abundance for fungi and prokaryotes

revealed that site was the most significant factor accounting for Bray-Curtis dissimilarity

distances in fungi and prokaryotes ($p$ = 0.001 in both cases. Fungal $R^2$ = 0.19, Prokaryote $R^2$ =

0.16  ; supplemental data). Differences between the Stoneville and Beltsville microbial

communities were driven by differences in edaphic factors. Soil chemical characteristics differed

between the two sites (Canonical Discrimination Analysis, p<0.001, $R^2$ = 0.99), and between

cropping systems (Canonical Discrimination Analysis p<0.001, $R^2$ = 0.99). Soil in Stoneville was

significantly higher in pH and the cations Arsenic (As), Barium (Ba) and Strontium (Anova,

p<0.001), whereas Beltsville soil contained significantly more Phosphorous (P), Lead (Pb),

Sulfur (S), Cromium (Cr), Iron (Fe) and OM (Anova, p<0.001)  (Figure 1E). In order to increase

power to detect local effects of glyphosate treatment, we analyzed sites and crop treatments

separately.

Farming system was the largest driver of fungal community structure regardless of crop

(Figure 2 & 3) in both Beltsville (Permanova; corn: $p$ = 0.001, $R^2$ = 0.16; soybean; $p$ = 0.001, $R^2$

= 0.16) and Stoneville (Permanova; corn: $p$ = 0.001, $R^2$ = 0.24; soybean; $p$ = 0.001, $R^2$ = 0.23).

Year of sampling was also significant but explained less variance in both Beltsville (corn: $p$ =

0.001, $R^2$ = 0.046; soybean; $p$ = 0.001, $R^2$ = 0.043) and Stoneville (corn: $p$ = 0.001, $R^2$ = 0.051;

soybean; $p$ = 0.001, $R^2$ = 0.052). No significant interaction was noted between sampling date

and glyphosate treatment ($p$ = 0.488 and 0.296 for corn and soybean, respectively).

Rhizosphere and bulk soil samples were also not significantly different  (Supplemental data) for

any crop or site. Likelihood ratio tests of taxon abundance in DESeq2 also confirmed no

glyphosate treatment; the sampling date-glyphosate treatment interaction did not significantly

increase the explanatory power of the model for any taxon (supplementary data), regardless of

crop or farming system.

Farming system was also a driver of prokaryote community structure in Beltsville

(Permanova; corn: $p$ = 0.001, $R^2$ = 0.096; soybean; $p$ = 0.001, $R^2$ = 0.09) and Stoneville

(Permanova; corn: $p$ = 0.001, $R^2$ = 0.21; soybean; $p$ = 0.001, $R^2$ = 0.16).Farming explained less

variation in Beltsville prokaryotic communities (Figure 2), than in Stoneville (Figure 3). The year

term explained a lesser amount of variance for Beltsville (corn: $p$ = 0.001, $R^2$ = 0.096; soybean;

$p = 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.086$) and Stoneville (corn: $p = 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.051$; soybean; $p = 0.001$, $R^2 =$

0.069). The interaction between glyphosate with sampling date was not significant for either

crop (Supplemental data). Likelihood ratio tests of taxon abundance in DESeq2 also confirmed

no glyphosate treatment; the sampling date-glyphosate treatment interaction did not significantly

increase the explanatory power of the model for any taxon (supplementary data), regardless of

crop or farming system (supplementary data).

Wilcoxon rank sum tests showed several instances where species richness differed

significantly between the PRE and POST sampling dates (Figure 4, Supplemental Data);

however, differences were observed in both glyphosate and no-glyphosate treatments,

indicating this is a seasonality effect, and not due to glyphosate exposure. In Beltsville, corn and

soybean differed in their response over the two dates. Prokaryote richness for corn in every

Beltsville farming system was significantly different between the two dates. This trend was also

observed, but to a lesser degree in fungal communities, with half of the treatments differing

significantly for both spray and no spray treatments. Fungal communities did not differ

seasonally in the Beltsville soybean plots, and fungal species richness was unaffected by

sampling date for both corn and soybean in the Stoneville samples.

The root endophyte screening required analysis of over 6100 root segments and

identified over 2400 fungal colonies. Significantly more colony forming units (CFU)s were

observed in 2013 than in 2014 at the Beltsville site (p<0.0003), but no differences in the number

of CFUs were observed between years at the Stoneville site. A total of 384 of the typical

morphotypes were ITS amplicon sequenced, resulting in 11 identified dominant taxa: *Fusarium*

*sp.*, *Macrophomina sp.*, *Alternaria sp.*, *Cladosporium sp.*, *Penicillium sp.*, *Zygomycota sp.*,

*Trichoderma sp.*, and *Epicoccum sp.*  There was no significant difference in abundance of

*Fusarium sp.* or the other taxa in the glyphosate sprayed and unsprayed plots, regardless of

site, crop, or year (p>0.07) (Figure 4b).

There was no significant difference in corn yield among systems or among glyphosate

application treatments for either 2013 or 2014 (Table 1).  Corn yields were not significantly

different from the county averages for all systems with a mean among systems of 9339.4 kg/ha.

In 2013 an error occurred while using the small plot combine and beans harvested from different

replicates were mixed, rendering the data unusable.  In 2014 soybean yields were similar to the

county averages with a mean of 2326.5 kg/ha. There was no significant difference in yield

across farming systems, and no effect of glyphosate treatment on yield (Table 1)

**Discussion**

The structure of prokaryote and fungal communities among farming systems and

between sampling dates were not driven by glyphosate use. Instead, tillage and carbon inputs

appear to be the primary drivers of soil microbiome structure. For instance, even though the

Beltsville site had a common history of no-till management prior to 1996, microbial communities

today are easily differentiated by farming system. Differences in management have effects that

extend beyond microbial taxa to include nematodes [37], as well as soil organic matter and

phosphorous concentrations, greenhouse gas emissions, and total energetic costs of the

farming system [24, 38, 39].

The absence of glyphosate effects in naïve soil communities suggests that typical

application rates of glyphosate do not alter the overall microbial community. Existing literature

suggests most microbial communities are susceptible to disturbance, although bias against

reporting of no treatment could affect this view [40]. Understanding the factors contributing to

resistance of microbes in agroecosystems remains an important goal [41]. In the current study

resilience to glyphosate spray could be linked to several factors. Some bacterial and fungal

species are known to metabolize glyphosate, and the presence of these organisms may protect

susceptible species [42, 43]. Studies reporting effects of glyphosate on soil microbes often use

higher concentrations of the herbicide than the approved rate, which may overwhelm buffering

by resistant members. Concentration-dependent effects of glyphosate on soil microbial

respiration and biomass have been reported and are consistent with reports on other

agrochemicals, showing only transient effects at recommended application rates [44].

Greenhouse studies with GR wheat conducted in the Pacific Northwest found only minor

effects of glyphosate on microbial communities, and determined site was a major driver of soil

microbial community structure [20, 21]. While these studies did detect effects of glyphosate on

the prevalence of a few microbial taxa, they applied glyphosate at twice the recommended rate,

increasing the likelihood that the microbial community experienced a significant effect. These

methodological differences may account for the detection of an effect on the abundance of

some taxa after glyphosate exposure where none was detected here, and ultimately increase

confidence in our finding that glyphosate has minimal effect on the microbial community when

applied at the recommended rate.

The Beltsville and Stoneville sites differ in soil chemistry and physical characteristics

(OM, pH). Soil microbial communities in these soils also differ considerably between sites

(Figure 1), with Beltsville having higher overall fungal richness and Stoneville having higher

prokaryotic richness (Figure 1). The higher richness of fungi and prokaryotes in Beltsville NT

plots relative to the other Beltsville management types receiving tillage is consistent with

previous studies, and may be due to the spatial heterogeneity that develops over time in the

absence of tillage [45].  However, in spite of differences in microbial communities between sites

and among management histories, fungal and prokaryotic richness were unaffected by

applications of glyphosate in all management and crop treatments.

Community richness changed across the growing season regardless of glyphosate

concentration (Figure 4).  These results are similar to those of Hart et al. [46] in which the GR

corn and its genetically close isoline were grown for one season in Canada and the microbial

community compared by TRFLP with and without glyphosate application, although this study

could not have tested the long term legacy of glyphosate application. This study also found that

seasonality was a significant controlling factor in microbial community structure with and without

glyphosate under field conditions.

Previous culture-based work has found that *Fusarium* abundance increased and

*Pseudomonas* abundance decreased with glyphosate use [11]. In those studies, *Fusarium* were

presumed to be pathogenic while *Pseudomonas* were presumed to be symbionts. However, our

metabarcoding and culture data failed to detect an effect of glyphosate on the abundance of any

*Fusarium* or *Pseudomonas* spp. And while both barcoding and culture surveys detected other

pathogens, none responded to glyphosate (Supplementary Data). Our results are consistent

with previous metabarcoding studies [20, 21].

It is important to note that the ITS and 16s gene loci fail to resolve diversity at an

adequate level to differentiate pathogenic genotypes from closely related non-pathogenic

genotypes [47, 48]. For example, several species of *Metarhizium* known to occur at this site [49]

were not represented in the samples from this study. Most likely pathogenic strains were not

detected in this study. However, even if they are not identified to the strain level, pathogenic

species contribute to the relative abundance of their constituent OTU, and we did not detect any

change in total numbers of *Fusarium* spp. OTUs associating with crops due to glyphosate

application. This holds true for other genera of pathogenic fungi such as *Alterneria* spp. and

*Macrophomina* spp. (supplementary data). It should also be noted that while *Pseudomonas* spp.

are often taken to be inherently beneficial, there are at least a few confirmed pathogens [48];

and the type of beneficial function may differ substantially across strains. Regardless, as with

fungi, no *Pseudomonas* spp. changed in prevalence as a result of glyphosate treatment.

We also found no reductions in yield by glyphosate application on GR corn or GR

soybean in fields with a long history of glyphosate use or with no history of glyphosate use [50,

51]. In a similar study with GR sweet corn, there was even a slight increase in yield associated

with glyphosate application [52], which could have been due to hormesis, a phenomenon with

non-phytotoxic doses of glyphosate [53].  Lack of effects on yields are consistent with no

substantial detrimental effects on rhizosphere microbes.

Although glyphosate is widely used across the globe, relatively few studies have

investigated the effect of this herbicide on soil microbial communities in cropping systems with

and without a legacy of glyphosate application. This work provides an important contribution into

determining the effect of glyphosate on bacterial and fungal communities found in soils. No

changes due to glyphosate, coupled with a trend towards higher species richness in no-till plots,

suggests this widely employed management practice is not at risk of altering soil microbial

communities in a negative manner. However, increased glyphosate application rates in

response to evolution of resistant weeds could alter this conclusion. Whether the species

richness of no-till systems translates to increases in ecosystem function supportive of crop

productivity remains to be fully elucidated.

**Acknowledgments**

Sarah Emche assisted with DNA extraction and sequencing preparation, root plating and isolate

identification. Other people helped and they are…

**Conflict of interest**

The authors declare they have no conflict of interest.

**References**

1.    Cohen JE. Human Population: The Next Half Century. *Science* 2003; **302**: 1172–1175.

2.    Tilman D, Balzer C, Hill J, Befort BL. Global food demand and the sustainable

intensification of agriculture. *PNAS* 2011; **108**: 20260–20264.

3.    Bruinsma J, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (eds). World

agriculture: towards 2015/2030: an FAO perspective. 2003. Earthscan Publications,

London.

4.    Foley JA, DeFries R, Asner GP, Barford C, Bonan G, Carpenter SR, et al. Global

Consequences of Land Use. *Science* 2005; **309**: 570–574.

5.    Benbrook CM. Trends in glyphosate herbicide use in the United States and globally.

*Environmental Sciences Europe* 2016; **28**: 3.

6.    Steinrücken HC, Amrhein N. The herbicide glyphosate is a potent inhibitor of 5-

enolpyruvylshikimic acid-3-phosphate synthase. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research*

*Communications* 1980; **94**: 1207–1212.

7.    Johal GS, Rahe JE. Effect of soilborne plant-pathogenic fungi on the herbicidal action of

glyphosate on bean seedlings. *Phytopathology (USA)* 1984.

8.    Johal GS, Huber DM. Glyphosate effects on diseases of plants. *European Journal of*

*Agronomy* 2009; **31**: 144–152.

9.    Coupland D, Caseley JC. Presence of 14c Activity in Root Exudates and Guttation Fluid

from *Agropyron repens* Treated with 14c-Labelled Glyphosate. *New Phytologist* 1979; **83**:

17–22.

10.    Torstensson L. Behaviour of glyphosate in soils and its degradation. In: Grossbard E,

Atkinson D (eds). *The herbicide glyphosate / edited by E. Grossbard, D. Atkinson*. 1985.

Butterworths, London.

11. Kremer RJ, Means NE. Glyphosate and glyphosate-resistant crop interactions with

rhizosphere microorganisms. *European Journal of Agronomy* 2009; **31**: 153–161.

12. Van Bruggen AHC, He MM, Shin K, Mai V, Jeong KC, Finckh MR, et al. Environmental and

health effects of the herbicide glyphosate. *Science of The Total Environment* 2018; **616**–

**617**: 255–268.

13. Hammerschmidt R. How glyphosate affects plant disease development: it is more than

enhanced susceptibility. *Pest Management Science* 2018; **74**: 1054–1063.

14. Martinez DA, Loening UE, Graham MC. Impacts of glyphosate-based herbicides on

disease resistance and health of crops: a review. *Environ Sci Eur* 2018; **30**: 2.

15. Larson RL, Hill AL, Fenwick A, Kniss AR, Hanson LE, Miller SD. Influence of glyphosate on

*Rhizoctonia* and *Fusarium* root rot in sugar beet. *Pest Management Science* 2006; **62**:

1182–1192.

16. Zobiole LHS, Kremer RJ, Oliveira RS, Constantin J. Glyphosate affects micro-organisms in

rhizospheres of glyphosate-resistant soybeans. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 2011; **110**:

118–127.

17. Barnett KA, Sprague CL, Kirk WW, Hanson LE. Influence of Glyphosate on Rhizoctonia

Crown and Root Rot (*Rhizoctonia solani*) in Glyphosate-Resistant Sugarbeet. *Weed*

*Science* 2012; **60**: 113–120.

18. Kandel YR, Bradley CA, Wise KA, Chilvers MI, Tenuta AU, Davis VM, et al. Effect of

Glyphosate Application on Sudden Death Syndrome of Glyphosate-Resistant Soybean

Under Field Conditions. *Plant Disease* 2014; **99**: 347–354.

19. Duke SO. Interaction of Chemical Pesticides and Their Formulation Ingredients with

Microbes Associated with Plants and Plant Pests. *J Agric Food Chem* 2018; **66**: 7553–

7561.

20.  Schlatter DC, Yin C, Burke I, Hulbert S, Paulitz T. Location, Root Proximity, and

Glyphosate-Use History Modulate the Effects of Glyphosate on Fungal Community

Networks of Wheat. *Microb Ecol* 2017; 1–18.

21.  Schlatter DC, Yin C, Hulbert S, Burke I, Paulitz T. Impacts of Repeated Glyphosate Use on

Wheat-Associated Bacteria Are Small and Depend on Glyphosate Use History. *Appl*

*Environ Microbiol* 2017; **83**: e01354-17.

22.  Nguyen DB, Rose MT, Rose TJ, Morris SG, van Zwieten L. Impact of glyphosate on soil

microbial biomass and respiration: A meta-analysis. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 2016;

**92**: 50–57.

23.  Cavigelli MA, Lengnick LL, Buyer JS, Fravel D, Handoo Z, McCarty G, et al. Landscape

level variation in soil resources and microbial properties in a no-till corn field. *Applied Soil*

*Ecology* 2005; **29**.

24.  Spargo JT, Cavigelli MA, Mirsky SB, Maul JE, Meisinger JJ. Mineralizable soil nitrogen and

labile soil organic matter in diverse long-term cropping systems. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst*

2011; **90**: 253–266.

25.  Ross DS, Ketterings Q. Recommended methods for determining soil cation exchange

capacity. *Recommended soil testing procedures for the northeastern United States* 1995;

**2**: 62–70.

26.  USEPA. Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste. Volume IA: 3rd Edition. EPA/SW-846.

1986. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va.

27.  Komada H. Development of a selective medium for quantitative isolation of *Fusarium*

*oxysporum* from natural soil. *Review of Plant Protection Research* 1975; **8**: 114–124.

28.  Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. DADA2: High-

resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat Meth* 2016; **13**: 581–583.

29.  Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. Naïve Bayesian Classifier for Rapid Assignment

of rRNA Sequences into the New Bacterial Taxonomy. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2007; **73**:

5261–5267.

30.  Nilsson RH, Larsson K-H, Taylor AFS, Bengtsson-Palme J, Jeppesen TS, Schigel D, et al.

The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi: handling dark taxa and parallel

taxonomic classifications. *Nucleic Acids Res* .

31.  Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal

RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucl Acids*

*Res* 2013; **41**: D590–D596.

32.  Dixon P. VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. *Journal of Vegetation*

*Science* 2003; **14**: 927–930.

33.  McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis

and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. *PLOS ONE* 2013; **8**: e61217.

34.  Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology* 2014; **15**: 550.

35.  McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. Waste Not, Want Not: Why Rarefying Microbiome Data Is

Inadmissible. *PLOS Computational Biology* 2014; **10**: e1003531.

36.  Bokulich NA, Dillon MR, Zhang Y, Rideout JR, Bolyen E, Li H, et al. q2-longitudinal:

Longitudinal and Paired-Sample Analyses of Microbiome Data. *mSystems* 2018; **3**:

e00219-18.

37.  Treonis AM, Unangst SK, Kepler RM, Buyer JS, Cavigelli MA, Mirsky SB, et al.

Characterization of soil nematode communities in three cropping systems through

morphological and DNA metabarcoding approaches. *Scientific Reports* 2018; **8**: 2004.

38.  Cavigelli MA. Long-Term Agronomic Performance of Organic and Conventional Field

Crops in the Mid-Atlantic Region. *Agronomy Journal* 2008; **100**.

39.  Hoffman E, Cavigelli MA, Camargo G, Ryan M, Ackroyd VJ, Richard TL, et al. Energy use

and greenhouse gas emissions in organic and conventional grain crop production:

Accounting for nutrient inflows. *Agricultural Systems* 2018; **162**: 89–96.

40.  Shade A, Peter H, Allison SD, Baho D, Berga M, Buergmann H, et al. Fundamentals of

Microbial Community Resistance and Resilience. *Front Microbiol* 2012; **3**.

41.  Busby PE, Soman C, Wagner MR, Friesen ML, Kremer J, Bennett A, et al. Research

priorities for harnessing plant microbiomes in sustainable agriculture. *PLOS Biology* 2017;

**15**: e2001793.

42.  Shinabarger DL, Braymer HD. Glyphosate catabolism by *Pseudomonas sp.* strain

PG2982. *Journal of Bacteriology* 1986; **168**: 702–707.

43.  Dick RE, Quinn JP. Glyphosate-degrading isolates from environmental samples:

occurrence and pathways of degradation. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 1995; **43**: 545–550.

44.  Imfeld G, Vuilleumier S. Measuring the effects of pesticides on bacterial communities in

soil: A critical review. *European Journal of Soil Biology* 2012; **49**: 22–30.

45.  Carson JK, Gonzalez-Quiñones V, Murphy DV, Hinz C, Shaw JA, Gleeson DB. Low Pore

Connectivity Increases Bacterial Diversity in Soil. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2010; **76**: 3936–

3942.

46.  Hart MM, Powell JR, Gulden RH, Dunfield KE, Peter Pauls K, Swanton CJ, et al.

Separating the effect of crop from herbicide on soil microbial communities in glyphosate-

resistant corn. *Pedobiologia* 2009; **52**: 253–262.

47.  Laurence MH, Summerell BA, Burgess LW, Liew ECY. Genealogical concordance

phylogenetic species recognition in the *Fusarium oxysporum* species complex. *Fungal*

*Biology* 2014; **118**: 374–384.

48.  Curran B, Jonas D, Grundmann H, Pitt T, Dowson CG. Development of a Multilocus

Sequence Typing Scheme for the Opportunistic Pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

*Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2004; **42**: 5644–5649.

49.   Kepler RM, Ugine TA, Maul JE, Cavigelli MA, Rehner SA. Community composition and

population genetics of insect pathogenic fungi in the genus *Metarhizium* from soils of a

long-term agricultural research system. *Environ Microbiol* 2015; n/a-n/a.

50.   Reddy KN, Cizdziel JV, Williams MM, Maul JE, Rimando AM, Duke SO. Glyphosate

Resistance Technology Has Minimal or No Effect on Maize Mineral Content and Yield. *J*

*Agric Food Chem* 2018; **66**: 10139–10146.

51.   Duke SO, Rimando AM, Reddy KN, Cizdziel JV, Bellaloui N, Shaw DR, et al. Lack of

transgene and glyphosate effects on yield, and mineral and amino acid content of

glyphosate-resistant soybean. *Pest Management Science* 2018; **74**: 1166–1173.

52.   Williams MM, Bradley CA, Duke SO, Maul JE, Reddy KN. Goss's wilt incidence in sweet

corn is independent of transgenic traits and glyphosate. *HortScience* 2015; **50**.

53.   Brito IP, Tropaldi L, Carbonari CA, Velini ED. Hormetic effects of glyphosate on plants.

*Pest Management Science* 2018; **74**: 1064–1070.

Figure 1. Principal component analyses of site chemistry, bacterial and fungal communities for

sites in Beltsville, MD and Stoneville, MS. A) Chemical analysis of all plots in the first year of this

study. B) Bray-Curtis dissimilarity of prokaryotic communities from all samples after rarefaction

to a depth of 20,000 reads per sample. C) Bray-Curtis dissimilarity of fungal communities for all

samples after relative abundance transformation of total counts.

Figure 2.  Principal component analyses of microbial communities in Beltsville, MD, partitioned

by crop. Prokaryotic community data drawn from a dataset rarefied to 20,000 reads per sample.

Fungal data has been variance stabilized with negative binomial transformation in DESeq2.

Figure 3.  Principal component analyses of microbial communities in Stoneville, MS, partitioned

by crop. Prokaryotic community data drawn from a dataset rarefied to 20,000 per sample.

Fungal data has been variance stabilized with negative binomial transformation in DESeq2.

Figure 4. Change in Shannon's richness of rarefied data across sampling dates in no-spray and

spray treatments. Stars on each plot are for raw (*) and false discovery rate corrected (**) p-

values less than 0.05 from Wilcoxon signed-rank test of differences between dates. Years are

pooled, although graphed separately. Red points and line represent mean richness

Figure 5. Abundance of *Fusarium* isolates +/- standard deviation. A) corn. B) soy roots. Colors

follow those used in Figures 2 & 3.

**Corn yield at 15.5% H$_2$O (kg/ha)**

| System | 2013 DKC 65-17 RR2 LS Means | | DKC 65-17 RR2**+Gly** LS Means | | 2014 DKC 65-17 RR2 LS Means | | DKC 65-17 RR2**+Gly** LS Means | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CT | 9535.55 | n.s. | 8847.79 | n.s. | 9797.60 | n.s. | 8966.96 | n.s. |
| NT | 9780.09 | n.s. | 9140.77 | n.s. | 10756.83 | n.s. | 11122.74 | n.s. |
| ORG3 | 9209.83 | n.s. | 7634.06 | n.s. | 10225.41 | n.s. | 9496.73 | n.s. |
| ORG6 | 8832.09 | n.s. | 8509.80 | n.s. | 7627.45 | n.s. | 8184.97 | n.s. |

**Soybean Yield at 13.5% H$_2$0 (kg/ha)**

| System | 2013 Allen LS Means | | Allen+Gly LS Means | | 2014 Allen LS Means | | Allen+Gly LS Means | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CT | -- | | -- | | 2437.60 | n.s. | 2159.60 | n.s. |
| NT | -- | | -- | | 2264.00 | n.s. | 2016.00 | n.s. |
| ORG3 | -- | | -- | | 2314.50 | n.s. | 2906.50 | n.s. |
| ORG6 | -- | | -- | | 2290.00 | n.s. | 2733.00 | n.s. |

589 Table 1. Corn and Soybean yield (kg/ha) for glyphosate treated or untreated plots in chisel till
590 (CT), no-till (NT), Organic 3 yr. rotation (Org3) or Organic 6 yr. rotations (Org6). Comparison of
591 means was calculated within each system for the glyphosate resistant genotype either treated
592 with Glyphosate (Gly) or not. In 2013 an error in microplot harvesting resulted in mixing of
593 treated and untreated plots therefore making the yield data un-usable.
594

Figure 1

## Prokaryotes

## Fungi

598     Figure 2

# Bacteria

# Fungi

600    Figure 3

602     Figure 4

**A**

Corn *Fusarium* incidence

**B**

Soy *Fusarium* incidence

604　Figure 5