1 **PCIF1 catalyzes m6Am mRNA methylation to regulate gene expression**

3 Erdem Sendinc[1,2,3], David Valle-Garcia[1,2,3], Abhinav Dhall[1,2], Hao Chen[1,2], Telmo

4 Henriques[3], Wanqiang Sheng[1,2], Karen Adelman[3], Yang Shi[1,2,*]

5
6 [3] These authors contributed equally to this work
7
8
9 **Affiliations**
10
11 1 Division of Newborn Medicine and Epigenetics Program, Department of Medicine,
12 Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA.
13
14 2 Department of Cell Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115,
15 USA.
16
17 3 Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical
18 School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA.
19
20 * Correspondence: yang_shi@hms.harvard.edu (Y.S.)

21
22
23
**Highlights**

• PCIF1 is an evolutionarily conserved mRNA m6Am methyltransferase

• Loss of PCIF1 leads to a complete loss of m6Am, whereas m6A level and

distribution are not affected

• PCIF1 mediated m6Am does not affect RNA Pol II transcription or mRNA stability

• m6Am-Exo-Seq is a robust methodology that enables global m6Am mapping

• m6Am suppresses cap dependent translation

**Summary**

mRNA modifications play an important role in regulating gene expression. One of the

most abundant mRNA modifications is N6,2-O-dimethyladenosine (m6Am). Here, we

demonstrate that m6Am is an evolutionarily conserved mRNA modification mediated by

the Phosphorylated CTD Interacting Factor 1 (PCIF1), which catalyzes m6A methylation

on 2-O-methylated adenine located at the 5' ends of mRNAs. Furthermore, PCIF1

catalyzes only 5' m6Am methylation of capped mRNAs, but not internal m6A

methylation *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Our global mRNA methylation analysis revealed that

there is no crosstalk between m6Am and m6A mRNA methylation events, suggesting

that m6Am is functionally distinct from m6A. Importantly, our data indicate that m6Am

negatively impacts translation of methylated mRNAs by antagonizing cap binding

protein eIF4E. Together, we identify the first and only human mRNA m6Am

methyltransferase and demonstrate a novel mechanism of gene expression regulation

through PCIF1-mediated m6Am mRNA methylation in eukaryotes.

**Keywords:**

mRNA modifications, m6Am, PCIF1, translational regulation, transcriptional regulation,
epitranscriptomics, cap-dependent translation, eIF4E, gene expression, m6Am-Exo-Seq

**Introduction**

An important step in the regulation of gene expression is accomplished through chemical modifications of mRNAs. One of the best studied internal mRNA modifications is N6-methyladenosine (m6A), which is present on a diverse set of mRNAs, typically clustered around stop codons (Meyer et al., 2012). m6A is catalyzed by METTL3/METTL14 complex, and this modification regulates gene expression by influencing localization, stability, splicing or translation of mRNAs (Yang et al., 2018). Another abundant mRNA modification is N6,2-O-dimethyladenosine (m6Am), which occurs near the mRNA cap (Wei et al., 1975). 5' ends of eukaryotic mRNAs typically carry a 7-methylguanosine (m7G) cap linked to the rest of the mRNA by a triphosphate linkage. The first nucleotide after the m7G cap can be methylated on the ribose sugar. If this first nucleotide is 2-O-methyladeonisine (Am), it can be further methylated at its N6 position to generate m6Am. While the methylase mediating Am methylation is known, the methyltransferase responsible for generating m6Am has not been identified (Keith et al., 1978; Mauer et al., 2017). As a consequence, the impact of m6Am on gene regulation is still largely unexplored.

Using multiple approaches, we provide both *in vitro* and *in vivo* evidence supporting Phosphorylated CTD Interacting Factor 1 (PCIF1) as the one and only mammalian m6Am methyltransferase. In order to investigate the distribution of m6Am, we developed a novel transcription-wide mapping technique we name m6Am-Exo-Seq. PRO-Seq, RNA-seq and m6Am-Exo-Seq analyses carried out in wildtype and PCIF1

72  null cells show that mRNA stability of m6Am-enriched genes is largely unaffected by the

73  loss of PCIF1. In contrast, reporter assays carried out in PCIF1 null cells using mRNA

74  transcripts with and without m6Am suggest that m6Am may function to suppress protein

75  translation. Taken together, our findings identify a novel enzyme that mediates m6Am

76  methylation in the nucleus, which impacts translation in the cytoplasm.

## Results

### m6Am is an evolutionarily conserved RNA modification

To study the biological significance of m6Am, we developed a highly sensitive mass spectrometry approach (LC-MS/MS) to detect and quantify m6Am (Figure S1). The triphosphate bond between the m7G cap and the first nucleotide of the mRNA is not cleaved by the enzymes typically used to generate single nucleosides for LC-MS/MS analysis. Therefore, without the removal of the m7G cap, only the internal m6A and Am modifications are detectable in human mRNA (Figure 1B). On the other hand, m6Am is detectable only after treatment with a de-capping enzyme, indicating that m6Am is restricted to the first nucleotide adjacent to the m7G cap of the mRNA (Figure 1B) (Linder et al., 2015). Using this protocol, we analyzed mRNA isolated from various model organisms by LC-MS/MS. While m6Am was not detected in fission yeast, nematode or Drosophila samples, mRNA isolated from zebrafish, mouse and human cells yielded m6Am, indicating that m6Am is an evolutionary conserved mRNA methylation (Figure 1C).

### PCIF1 is required for mRNA m6Am methylation *in vivo*

A putative methyltransferase enzyme that is conserved in zebrafish, mouse and human, but absent in yeast and nematodes, is PCIF1 (Phosphorylated CTD Interacting Factor 1) (Figure S2) (Iyer et al., 2016). PCIF1 contains a N-terminal WW domain, which is believed to mediate interactions with RNA Polymerase II (Pol II) phospho-CTD, and also a putative C-terminal methyltransferase domain (Ebmeier et al., 2017; Fan et al., 2003).

To determine whether PCIF1 is a m6Am methyltransferase, we generated several

independent PCIF1 knockout (KO) clonal cell lines in human melanoma MEL624 cells

and determined its mRNA m6Am level by LC-MS/MS (Fig. 2A and 2B). mRNA isolated

from a control MEL264 cell line yielded around 0.04% m6Am/A ratio. Strikingly,

knocking out PCIF1 resulted in a complete loss of this modification in mRNA samples

(Figure 2B). We further verified the absence of m6Am in PCIF1 KO cells by performing

thin layer chromatography (TLC) (Figures 2C and S3A). Although PCIF1 KO resulted in

a complete loss of m6Am, global m6A levels did not change, suggesting that PCIF1 is

not required for m6A modification and that m6A is not dependent on m6Am levels

(Figure S3B).

To determine whether it is the intrinsic enzymatic activity of PCIF1 that is responsible for

m6Am methylation, we carried out rescue experiments by introducing either wild-type

(WT) or catalytically inactive PCIF1 (APPA) back into the KO cells. While the expression

of wild-type PCIF1 restored the global m6Am level in the KO cells, expression of the

catalytic mutant with the conserved methyltransferase NPPF motif altered to APPA did

not result in m6Am detectable by mass spectrometry or TLC (Figures 2C and 2D).

PCIF1 contains a nuclear localization signal and has been suggested to be a nuclear

protein (Ebmeier et al., 2017; Fan et al., 2003; Hirose et al., 2008). Consistently, we

confirmed that PCIF1 is predominantly nuclear localized in both rescue cell lines by

immunofluorescence (Figure S3C). These results indicate that PCIF1 is required for

mRNA m6Am modification, which likely takes place in the nucleus *in vivo*.

**Recombinant PCIF1 methylates capped mRNA *in vitro***

To determine whether this putative methyltransferase can directly catalyze m6Am, we purified full length recombinant PCIF1 from bacteria (Figure S4A) and performed *in vitro* methylation assays employing firefly luciferase mRNA with m7G-Am 5' cap as a substrate. Wild-type recombinant enzyme generated m6Am efficiently, whereas the APPA catalytic mutant did not show any activity (Figures 3A and S4B). Furthermore, PCIF1 did not generate m6A or Am modifications. On the other hand, generation of m6Am was accompanied by a decrease in the Am level, indicating that PCIF1 catalyzed conversion of Am to m6Am (Figure 3A). Consistent with previous findings (Kruse et al., 2011; Mauer et al., 2017), we failed to detect cap-adjacent m6A by TLC and PCIF1 KO cells show a greater accumulation of cap-adjacent Am (Figure S3A). These *in vitro* and *in vivo* data collectively suggest that PCIF1 specifically methylates Am-marked mRNAs.

We next investigated whether the m7G cap structure is required for the catalytic activity of PCIF1, using de-capped luciferase mRNA with 5' Am in *in vitro* methylation assays. The Am residue next to the intact m7G cap was readily converted to m6Am by recombinant PCIF1. In contrast, the Am residue on de-capped mRNA was not converted to m6Am, indicating that m7G cap is required for PCIF1 catalytic activity (Figure 3B). To determine whether there is a size requirement for capped mRNA to serve as a substrate, we used a capped RNA dinucleotide (m7G-AmG) in *in vitro* methylation assays. PCIF1 efficiently generated m6Am with the dinucleotide as a substrate with a $K_m$ of 208 nM and $K_{cat}$ at 0.34 min$^{-1}$ (Figure 3C). This indicates that

capped transcripts as short as 2 nucleotides can be efficiently methylated by PCIF1

(Figure 3D). Given that recombinant PCIF1 efficiently methylates capped mRNAs *in*

*vitro* and that deletion of PCIF1 results in a complete loss of mRNA m6Am methylation

*in vivo*, we conclude that PCIF1 is the only mRNA m6Am methyltransferase in human

cells.

**Loss of PCIF1 does not affect global mRNA m6A distribution *in vivo***

In order to identify the mRNAs that carry m6A and m6Am, we performed MeRIP-Seq

with an antibody against m6A in control and PCIF1 KO cell lines. Given that m6A and

m6Am are structurally similar, antibodies against m6A are expected to enrich for m6Am

at the 5' end of the mRNAs, as has been previously suggested (Linder et al., 2015).

However, although we were able to identify internal m6A loci enriched around stop

codons, as reported previously for other cell lines (Meyer et al., 2012), we observed a

very modest enrichment at the 5' end of mRNAs that was mostly maintained in the

PCIF1 KO cells (Figures 4 and 5A). Therefore, it appears that the regular m6A MeRIP-

Seq protocol does not efficiently capture m6Am-enriched transcripts. In agreement with

our *in vitro* and *in vivo* results (Figures 3A and S3B), global m6A distribution did not

change in two independent PCIF1 KO lines, compared to control cells (Figure 4).

Deletion of PCIF1, and hence complete loss of m6Am, altered neither global m6A level

(Figure S3B) nor genome-wide m6A distribution (Figure 4A), suggesting that m6A and

m6Am are independent mRNA modifications.

**Development of m6Am-Exo-Seq as a robust methodology that enables global m6Am mapping**

To be able to map m6Am modification transcriptome-wide, we developed a novel exonuclease assisted high-throughput sequencing methodology that we call m6Am-Exo-Seq (Figure S5). We performed m6Am-Exo-Seq on mRNA from control and PCIF1 KO cells using 8 different m6A antibodies. Our new technique allowed us to observe a clear enrichment of 5' ends immediate to transcription start sites only in mRNAs from control cells, but not in mRNAs from PCIF1 KO cells, suggesting that m6Am-Exo-Seq specifically pull-down m6Am-enriched transcripts (Figure 5A). Seven out of the eight m6A antibodies tested with m6Am-Exo-Seq resulted in a significant enrichment of m6Am only in control mRNA, demonstrating the robustness of this novel methodology (Figure S5).

To globally map m6Am, we applied m6Am-Exo-Seq with a monoclonal m6A antibody in MEL624 cell line using three biological replicates of control and PCIF1 KO cells (Figure 5). We identified 640 genes that are enriched for this modification (Table S1). These transcripts are among the highly expressed genes in MEL624 cell line (Figure 5B), and are in diverse pathways including splicing, vesicular transport and mitochondrial metabolism (Figures 5B and 5C). m6Am was also mapped in HEK293T cells previously (Linder et al., 2015). While most of the m6Am transcripts are methylated in both HEK293T and MEL624 cells, around 40% of m6Am transcripts are unique to MEL624

cells (Figure S6A), suggesting that m6Am is a dynamic epitranscriptomic mark with cell-

type specific patterns.

**PCIF1-mediated deposition of m6Am does not alter transcription or stability of**

**target genes.**

PCIF1 interacts with the phosphorylated C-terminal domain (CTD) of Pol II, and has

been implicated in negative regulation of gene expression (Ebmeier et al., 2017; Fan et

al., 2003; Hirose et al., 2008). However, recent studies suggest that deposition of m6Am

stabilizes mRNA or stimulates translation (Mauer et al., 2017), both of which would

increase the expression of target genes. We thus wished to investigate the mechanism

of m6Am activity in our system, where we can precisely abolish m6Am by PCIF1 KO,

without perturbing m6A levels. We first performed RNA-Seq in PCIF1 KO MEL624 cells

and a control cell line. We defined 236 mRNA species that were significantly more

abundant in both PCIF1 KO cell lines, and 304 mRNAs with reduced abundance (Figure

6A, Tables S2 and S3). To distinguish whether these changes in mRNA levels were due

to altered transcription mediated by m6Am, we next performed PRO-Seq in control and

PCIF1 KO cells. PRO-Seq specifically measures engaged Pol II across the genome,

providing definitive information on levels of active transcription (Kwak et al., 2013).

These assays were spike normalized to enable accurate quantification and comparisons

between samples. PRO-Seq signals across the 236 up-regulated genes from RNA-seq

revealed that these genes were more highly transcribed in PCIF1 KO cells (Figure 6B).

Likewise, down-regulated genes were less actively transcribed (Figure 6B). Importantly,

there was a strong correlation between the changes observed in RNA-Seq and PRO-

Seq, indicating that changes in RNA abundance could be explained fully by altered

transcription (r=0.67, Figure 6D).

Furthermore, we noted no significant overlap between m6Am-enriched genes and

genes with significantly altered signals in RNA-Seq or PRO-Seq assays (Figure 6A,

Tables S1-S5). PCIF1 KO did not change RNA-seq or PRO-Seq signal within m6Am-

enriched genes as compared to controls (Figure 6C). Moreover, the loss of PCIF1 did

not alter Pol II levels or distribution at noncoding snRNA genes that are marked by

m6Am (Figure S6B) (Mauer et al., 2018; Wei et al., 2018). Taken together, our data

suggest that although PCIF1 has been reported to bind RNA Pol II, it does not play a

significant role in transcription at m6Am target genes. Moreover, steady-state transcript

levels of m6Am-marked genes, as determined by RNA-Seq in PCIF1 KO cells, are not

affected by the loss of this modification, suggesting that stability of m6Am-marked

mRNAs is not significantly affected by PCIF1-mediated methylation.

**m6Am suppresses cap-dependent translation**

Regulation of cap binding protein eIF4E during translation initiation is a key event in

protein translation control. eIF4E binds m7G cap of mRNAs and recruits other

translation initiation factors and ribosomes for translation initiation (Sonenberg and

Gingras, 1998). The C terminal loop of cap bound eIF4E is in close proximity to the first

nucleotide of the mRNA (Tomoo et al., 2002, 2005). The N6 residue of the cap-adjacent

adenine of mRNA forms a critical hydrogen bond with threonine 205 residue of human

eIF4E (Figure S7) (Tomoo et al., 2002, 2005). We thus hypothesized that modification

of this adenine by PCIF1 to form m6Am may affect this interaction and hence impact

translation at the initiation step. To test this, we used well established reporter assays to

analyze the effect of m6Am on translation efficiency. We first transfected PCIF1 KO

cells with EGFP mRNA with either m7G-Am or m7G-m6Am 5' ends and determined the

GFP signal by microscopy and flow cytometry (Figure 7A and S8). Although both

mRNAs are transfected with similar efficiencies, m6Am-EGFP transfected cells showed

diminished GFP signal (Figures 7A-D and S8), suggesting that m6Am-containing

transcripts are translated less efficiently that the unmethylated counterparts *in vivo*. To

further validate the effect of m6Am on translation and to investigate whether this effect

is independent of the mRNA sequence, we performed *in vitro* translation assays using

varying amounts of firefly luciferase mRNAs with cap adjacent Am or m6Am

modifications, followed by luminometric quantification. Similar to our *in vivo* reporter

assays, m6Am suppressed translation of luciferase mRNA *in vitro* (Figure 7E). Next, we

investigated whether m6Am-mediated suppression is specific to cap-dependent

translation by employing Am or m6Am methylated bi-cistronic mRNA transcripts in *in*

*vitro* translation assays. m6Am suppressed the translation of cap-dependent firefly

luciferase whereas IRES mediated translation of renilla luciferase was unaffected,

indicating that m6Am specifically suppresses cap-dependent translation (Figure 7F).

Given that m6Am is a cap proximal RNA modification that suppresses cap-dependent

translation we next determined whether m6Am affects the interaction between mRNA

and cap binding protein eIF4E. Specifically, we analyzed binding dynamics between

recombinant human eIF4E protein and capped Am and m6Am RNA oligos, as well as

de-capped RNA oligos, by microscale thermophoresis (MST). As expected, the affinity

of eIF4E to the RNA decreased significantly when the mRNA cap was removed.

Importantly, we found that m6Am also decreased the affinity of eIF4E to the capped

RNA oligo, in comparison to capped Am RNA (Figure S9). Taken together, these data

suggest that m6Am modification suppresses cap-dependent mRNA translation, possibly

by decreasing eIF4E binding.

**Discussion**

In this study, we uncovered a new regulatory mechanism mediated by PCIF1 m6Am deposition on mRNAs. Our *in vivo* and *in vitro* data demonstrate that PCIF1 is the only methyltransferase necessary and sufficient for the conversion of cap-Am mRNAs to cap-m6Am mRNAs in human cells. Moreover, we provide evidence that m6Am negatively regulates cap dependent translation by decreasing the binding of eIF4E to m6Am-containing transcripts. Our findings highlight a previously unknown translational regulatory pathway that begins with a modification in the nucleus, opening new avenues of investigation in the epitranscriptome and translation fields.

Given that m6Am marks diverse transcripts including noncoding snRNAs and histone mRNAs that are translated independently of eIF4E, we predict that this modification will play diverse functions, which include but are not limited to protein translation. m6Am has been suggested to play a role in regulating the stability of modified mRNAs in HEK293T cells (Mauer et al., 2017) . However, our analyses show that neither transcription nor abundance of m6Am-enriched transcripts are affected in PCIF1 KO MEL624 cells (Figure 6). However, we cannot rule out a potential role of m6Am in regulating mRNA stability under conditions that were not tested in this study.

Rather than altering mRNA stability, we found that m6Am suppresses cap dependent translation, possibly by reducing binding of eIF4E to the capped mRNAs. Our findings strongly suggest a role for PCIF1 in protein translation control, which will be validated by

284 investigation of translation of endogenous mRNAs by PCIF1. Collectively, the

285 identification of PCIF1 as the sole, evolutionarily conserved, mRNA m6Am

286 methyltransferase paves the way for investigating the impact of PCIF1 on gene

287 expression of m6Am-marked transcripts in diverse biological contexts.

**Acknowledgments**

We thank members of the Shi lab for helpful discussions. We are especially indebted to

the Tufts Genomic Core and Karla F Meza-Sosa for helpful advice and reagents. We

thank Synaptic Systems for kindly providing m6A antibodies. This work was supported

by a grant from the NIH to Y.S. (R01 GM117264), funds from Boston Children's Hospital

to Y.S. and Startup Funds provided by Harvard Medical School to K.A. Y.S. is an

American Cancer Society Research Professor. This work used the Extreme Science

and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), which is supported by NSF grant

number ACI-1548562. Specifically, it used the Bridges system, which is supported by

NSF award number ACI-1445606, at the Pittsburgh Supercomputing Center (PSC). D.V-

G. is supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from the Mexican Council of Science and

Technology (CONACYT, CVU: 257385) and is a member of the Mexican National

System of Researchers (SNI I).

**Author contributions:**

E.S., D.V-G. and Y.S. conceived and designed the study. E.S., D.V-G., A.D., H.C., T.H.

and W.S. performed the research. T.H. and K.A. performed and analyzed PRO-Seq.

E.S., D.V-G. and Y.S. wrote the manuscript and all authors contributed to the final

version of the manuscript.

**Declaration of interests**

Y.S. is a cofounder of Constellation Pharmaceuticals and Athelas Therapeutics, and a

consultant for Active Motif, Inc.

**Data availability**

Once the paper is published, all the genome-wide data will be accessible through GEO

with accession number GSE122803.

**References**

Ebmeier, C.C., Erickson, B., Allen, B.L., Allen, M.A., Kim, H., Fong, N., Jacobsen, J.R., Liang, K., Shilatifard, A., Dowell, R.D., et al. (2017). Human TFIIH Kinase CDK7 Regulates Transcription-Associated Chromatin Modifications. Cell Rep. *20*, 1173–1186.

Fan, H., Sakuraba, K., Komuro, A., Kato, S., Harada, F., and Hirose, Y. (2003). PCIF1, a novel human WW domain-containing protein, interacts with the phosphorylated RNA polymerase II. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. *301*, 378–385.

Hirose, Y., Iwamoto, Y., Sakuraba, K., Yunokuchi, I., Harada, F., and Ohkuma, Y. (2008). Human phosphorylated CTD-interacting protein, PCIF1, negatively modulates gene expression by RNA polymerase II. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. *369*, 449–455.

Iyer, L.M., Zhang, D., and Aravind, L. (2016). Adenine methylation in eukaryotes: Apprehending the complex evolutionary history and functional potential of an epigenetic modification. Bioessays *38*, 27–40.

Keith, J.M., Ensinger, M.J., and Moss, B. (1978). HeLa Cell RNA(2'-0-methyladenosine-W-)-methyltransferase Specific for the Capped &End of Messenger RNA*.

Kruse, S., Zhong, S., Bodi, Z., Button, J., Alcocer, M.J.C., Hayes, C.J., and Fray, R. (2011). A novel synthesis and detection method for cap-associated adenosine modifications in mouse mRNA. Sci. Rep. *1*, 126.

Kwak, H., Fuda, N.J., Core, L.J., and Lis, J.T. (2013). Precise maps of RNA polymerase reveal how promoters direct initiation and pausing. Science *339*, 950–953.

Linder, B., Grozhik, A. V, Olarerin-George, A.O., Meydan, C., Mason, C.E., and Jaffrey,

341 S.R. (2015). Single-nucleotide-resolution mapping of m6A and m6Am throughout the

342 transcriptome. Nat. Methods *12*, 767–772.

343 Mauer, J., Luo, X., Blanjoie, A., Jiao, X., Grozhik, A. V., Patil, D.P., Linder, B., Pickering,

344 B.F., Vasseur, J.-J., Chen, Q., et al. (2017). Reversible methylation of m6Am in the 5′

345 cap controls mRNA stability. Nature *541*, 371–375.

346 Mauer, J., Sindelar, M., Guez, T., Vasseur, J.-J., Rentmeister, A., Gross, S., Pellizzoni,

347 L., Debart, F., Goodarzi, H., and Jaffrey, S.R. (2018). The RNA demethylase FTO

348 targets m 6 Am in snRNA to establish distinct methyl isoforms that influence splicing.

349 Meyer, K.D., Saletore, Y., Zumbo, P., Elemento, O., Mason, C.E., and Jaffrey, S.R.

350 (2012). Comprehensive analysis of mRNA methylation reveals enrichment in 3' UTRs

351 and near stop codons. Cell *149*, 1635–1646.

352 Sonenberg, N., and Gingras, A.C. (1998). The mRNA 5' cap-binding protein eIF4E and

353 control of cell growth. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. *10*, 268–275.

354 Tomoo, K., Shen, X., Okabe, K., Nozoe, Y., Fukuhara, S., Morino, S., Ishida, T.,

355 Taniguchi, T., Hasegawa, H., Terashima, A., et al. (2002). Crystal structures of 7-

356 methylguanosine 5'-triphosphate (m(7)GTP)- and P(1)-7-methylguanosine-P(3)-

357 adenosine-5',5'-triphosphate (m(7)GpppA)-bound human full-length eukaryotic initiation

358 factor 4E: biological importance of the C-terminal flexible region. Biochem. J. *362*, 539–

359 544.

360 Tomoo, K., Matsushita, Y., Fujisaki, H., Abiko, F., Shen, X., Taniguchi, T., Miyagawa,

361 H., Kitamura, K., Miura, K.-I., and Ishida, T. (2005). Structural basis for mRNA Cap-

362 Binding regulation of eukaryotic initiation factor 4E by 4E-binding protein, studied by

spectroscopic, X-ray crystal structural, and molecular dynamics simulation methods.

Biochim. Biophys. Acta *1753*, 191–208.

Wei, C.M., Gershowitz, A., and Moss, B. (1975). N6, O2'-dimethyladenosine a novel

methylated ribonucleoside next to the 5' terminal of animal cell and virus mRNAs.

Nature *257*, 251–253.

Wei, J., Liu, F., Lu, Z., Fei, Q., Ai, Y., He, P.C., Shi, H., Cui, X., Su, R., Klungland, A., et

al. (2018). Differential m6A, m6Am, and m1A Demethylation Mediated by FTO in the

Cell Nucleus and Cytoplasm. Mol. Cell *71*, 973–985.e5.

Yang, Y., Hsu, P.J., Chen, Y.-S., and Yang, Y.-G. (2018). Dynamic transcriptomic m6A

decoration: writers, erasers, readers and functions in RNA metabolism. Cell Res. *28*,

616–624.

**Figures**

377
378
379    **Figure 1. m6Am is an evolutionarily conserved RNA modification that is restricted to 5'**
380    **end of mRNAs**

381
382    1A. Chemical structure of 5' end of eukaryotic mRNA with m6Am methylation.
383    1B. MS spectra of mRNA from MEL624 human melanoma cell line with or without treatment by
384    de-capping enzyme to remove m7G cap prior to digestion for MS analysis. m6Am is detectable
385    only when mRNA is de-capped.
386    1C. MS spectra of de-capped mRNAs isolated from indicated organisms.
387

**Figure 2. PCIF1 is required for mRNA m6Am methylation *in vivo***

2A. Western blot analysis of control and three independent PCIF1 KO MEL624 cell lines with antibodies against endogenous PCIF1. Beta-actin is shown as a loading control.

2B. MS spectra of de-capped mRNA from control and three independent PCIF1 KO MEL624 cell lines.

2C. Thin-layer chromatography analysis of cap-adjacent nucleotides from mRNA isolated from control, PCIF1 KO and KO cells rescued with wild type or catalytic mutant PCIF1 (APPA).

2D. HPLC-MS/MS m6Am/A percentages of mRNAs from control, PCIF1 KO and KO cells rescued with wild type or catalytic mutant PCIF1 (APPA). (N.D., Not Detected). (n=3). Error bars depict standard error.

Figure 3. Recombinant PCIF1 methylates capped mRNA *in vitro*.

3A. Firefly luciferase mRNA with m7G-Am cap structure is methylated with wild-type or catalytic mutant recombinant PCIF1 *in vitro*. The methylation pattern of mRNA was analyzed by LC-MS/MS.

3B. In vitro methylation assays were performed with recombinant PCIF1 enzyme using de-capped firefly luciferase mRNA or mRNA with intact m7G-Am cap.

3C. Michaelis–Menten kinetics of recombinant PCIF1 was determined using m7G-Am capped dinucleotide RNA oligo by LC-MS/MS analysis.

3D. Chemical structures of PCIF1 substrate and product.

415
416
417 **Figure 4. Loss of PCIF1 does not affect global mRNA m6A distribution *in vivo*.**
418
4A. Heat map of m6A enrichment over Input of genes overlapping with m6A peaks (n=4,460) in
MEL624 cell line determined by m6A MeRIP-Seq in control and two independent PCIF1 KO cell
lines.
4B. Metagene plots of m6A enrichment over Input of genes overlapping with m6A peaks
(n=4,460) in MEL624 cell line determined by m6A MeRIP-Seq in control and two independent
PCIF1 KO cell lines.
4C. Genome browser views of two examples genes with m6A enrichment near stop codon.
426

427
428
429 **Figure 5. m6Am-Exo-Seq as a robust methodology that enables global m6Am mapping.**
430
5A. Metagene plots of m6A(m) enrichment over Input near TSS of all expressed genes
(n=15,258) in MEL624 cells employing either m6A MeRIP-Seq or m6Am-Exo-Seq methodology
in control and PCIF1 KO cells.
5B. Boxplots of expression level (log2 TPM) of m6Am mRNAs (n=640) vs. all mRNAs
expressed in MEL624 cell line (n=15,258). The observed difference is significant (p-value <
2.2e-16) using a Mann-Whitney test. Box plots show the 25th–75th percentiles and error bars
depict the 10th–90th percentiles.
5C. Biological process Gene Ontology (GO) terms which have FDR<0.05 identified by DAVID
6.8
5D. Genome browser views of 2 example genes with m6Am enrichment using m6Am-Exo-Seq
441

442
443
444 **Figure 6. PCIF1-mediated deposition of m6Am does not alter transcription or stability of**
445 **target genes.**

446
6A. Venn diagrams displaying overlap between m6Am-enriched genes in MEL624 cells and
genes identified as significantly upregulated (left) or downregulated (right) in both PCIF1 KO
MEL624 cell lines, by RNA-Seq and PRO-Seq. P-values are calculated with a hypergeometric
test.
6B. Heatmaps of PRO-Seq signal at genes found to be up- or down-regulated using RNA-Seq in
PCIF1 KO cells. Shown are the fold changes in PRO-Seq signal across the bodies of genes up-
regulated in RNA-Seq (left) or down-regulated in RNA-Seq (right). Genes are ranked from most
upregulated to least (at left) and least down-regulated to most (at right), demonstrating that
PRO-Seq signal scales with results from RNA-seq.
6C. RNA-Seq signal (left) and normalized PRO-Seq signal (right) within 640 m6Am-enriched
genes in Control MELF624 cells, as compared to PCIF1 KO cells. Box plots show the 25th–75th
percentiles and error bars depict the 10th–90th percentiles. Differences are not significant (P >
0.01). P-values were calculated using the Mann-Whitney test.
6D. Scatter plots displaying the correlation of RNA-Seq vs PRO-Seq KO/control fold change
signal. Only genes that have a fold change > 1.2 according to RNA-Seq in KO1 or KO2 were
plotted. The total number of genes used in KO1 plot is 5,774 and KO2 is 5,580. Both KOs have
the same Pearson correlation value of 0.67.
464

Figure 7. m6Am suppresses cap-dependent translation.

7A. EGFP mRNA that starts with either m7G-Am or m7G-m6Am was transfected into PCIF1 KO MEL624 cells. GFP signal was determined by fluorescence microscopy 24 hours post-transfection.

7B. The percentage of GFP positive cells from the experiment in 7A determined by flow cytometry (n=3 replicates).

7C. EGFP mRNA level from the cells in experiment 7A as determined by RT-qPCR. (n=3 replicates).

7D. Median fluorescence intensity of the cells from the experiment in 7A determined by flow cytometry. P-values in 7B-D are calculated using unpaired t-tests. (n=3 replicates).

7E. *In vitro* translation assays were carried out with indicated amounts of luciferase mRNA starting with m7G-Am or m7G-m6Am using rabbit reticulocyte system. Resulting luciferase signal was quantified on a plate reader. (n=4 replicates)

7F. In vitro translation assays were carried out with bicistronic construct that starts with m7G-Am or m7G-m6Am using rabbit reticulocyte system. Resulting firefly and renilla luciferase signals were quantified on a plate reader. (n=4 replicates). The p-values were calculated with an unpaired t-test. All error bars in this figure depict standard error.

**Methods**

**Cell culture**

MEL624 (RRID: CVCL_8054) and HEK293T (RRID:CVCL_0063) cells are grown in

DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1x pen/strep solution (Gibco) at 37°C

in a $CO_2$ incubator. Cells are split once they reach ~90% confluence with a 1:8 ratio.

Cells are routinely checked for mycoplasma infection.

**mRNA purification**

Total RNA is isolated from MEL624 cells with TRIzol reagent following manufacturer's

instructions. 200 μg of total RNA are subjected to two rounds of Poly(A) mRNA

purification using the magnetic mRNA isolation kit from (NEB). 100 μL of oligo d(T)

magnetic beads are used per purification. mRNA is eluted in a final volume of 50 μL, in

elution buffer.

**Processing of RNA Samples for Mass Spectrometry**

250 or 500 ng of mRNA is de-capped with a decapping enzyme in 20 μL reaction

volume for an hour at 37°C. Then the RNA is digested with 0.5U of nuclease P1 (Sigma)

in P1 buffer in 50 uL reaction at 37°°C for 2 hours. To dephosphorylate the single

nucleotides, 1U of rSAP (NEB) is added to a final reaction volume of 100 μL with

CutSmart buffer (NEB) and incubated for an hour at 37°°C. The 100 μL samples are

filtered with Millex-GV 0.22u filters.

**HPLC-MS/MS Analysis**

10 uL from each sample is injected into Agilent 6470 Triple Quad LC/MS instrument.

The samples are run in mobile phase buffer A (water with 0.1% Formic Acid) and 2 to

20% gradient of buffer B (Methanol with 0.1% Formic Acid). MRM transitions are

measured for adenosine (268.1 to 136.1, retention time 1.03 min), guanosine (284.1 to

152.1, retention time 1.15 min), 2'-O-methyladenosine (Am) (282.1 to 136.1, retention

time 1.52 min), N6-methyladenosine (m6A) (282.1 to 150.1, retention time 1.79 min),

N6,2-O-dimethyladenosine (m6Am) (296.1 to 150.1, retention time 2.40 min). The

concentrations of each compound in the samples are calculated using calibration curves

constructed with standard compounds of adenosine (Abcam), N6-methyladenosine

(Abcam), N6,2'-O-dimethyladenosine (Toronto Research Chemicals). For LC/MS-MS

data collection and analysis, Agilent Mass Hunter LC/MS Data Acquisition Version

B.08.00 and Quantitative Analysis Version B.07.01 softwares are used.

**mRNA purification from different species**

Total RNA was extracted with TRIzol from the following sources:

Human: MEL624 melanoma cell line (RRID: CVCL_8054)

Mouse: C2C12 mice myoblast cell line (ATCC Cat# CRL-1772, RRID:CVCL_0188)

Zebrafish: WT adult whole fish (ZFIN Cat# ZDB-GENO-990623-3, RRID:ZFIN_ZDB-

GENO-990623-3)

Worm: Adult N2 (WT) *C. elegans* (WB Cat# N2, RRID:WB-STRAIN:N2)

Fly: KC drosophila cell line (RRID:CVCL_Z833)

Yeast: *S. pombe*, ED666 h+ ade6-M210 ura4-D18 leu1-32 from Bioneer Inc.

mRNA was extracted from 50-100 $\mu$g of total RNA as described above.

**Generation of PCIF1 KO cell lines**

Two complementary strategies are followed to generate clonal PCIF1 MEL624 cell lines.

3 sgRNAs were cloned into the lentiCRISPR V2 vector (RRID:Addgene_52961):

PCIF1 sgRNA-1: TAGCGGTAAAGGAGCCACTG

PCIF1 sgRNA-2: CGGTTGAAAGACTCCCGTGG

PCIF1 sgRNA-3: ATTCACCAACCAGTCCCTGT

Additionally, a random non-targeting sgRNA was cloned into lentiCRISPR V2 as a

control:

Random sgRNA-1: ATCGTTTCCGCTTAACGGCG

For the first strategy, 1 $\mu$g of lentiCRISPR V2 plus 0.5 $\mu$g of psPAX2

(RRID:Addgene_12260) and 0.2 $\mu$g of pMD2.G (RRID:Addgene_12259) packaging

plasmids were mixed with 3 $\mu$g of polyethylenimine (PEI) in 100 ul of OptiMEM (Gibco)

and added into 6-well plates of 30% confluent HEK293T cells (RRID:CVCL_0063). 4

hours post-transfection, the transfection media was replaced with 1.5 mL of regular

media (DMEM, 10% FBS, 1X pen/strep). 48 hours post-transfection the media

containing viral particles was passed through a 0.45 um filter and the viral particles from

the 3 PCIF1 sgRNAs were combined. 200 $\mu$L of the pooled viral particles were used to

infect a 6-well plate of ~30% confluent MEL624. At the same time, another 6-well plate

was infected with 200 $\mu$l from the non-targeting sgRNA. Under these conditions we

reached an infection rate of ~50%. 12 hours post-infection, cells were washed with PBS

and media with 1 μg/mL of puromicyn was added to select infected cells. 48 hours post-

selection, cells were grown with regular media for additional 48 hours and single-cell

sorted in a 96-well plate in a FACS Aria cell sorter. Individual clones were validated as

true KOs by western blot with a PCIF1 specific antibody (Bethyl A304-711A). This

strategy generated PCIF1 KO1 and PCIF1 KO2 cell lines, as well as the control cell line

from the non-targeting sgRNA clones.

In the second strategy, and to avoid integration of cas9 into the genome, 2 μg of

lentiCRISPRv2 from the PCIF1 sgRNA-2 were transfected into a ~30% confluent 6-well

plate of MEL624 cells using 4 μl of lipofectamine 2000 according to manufacturer's

instructions. 24 hours post-transfection, cells were selected with 1 μg/mL of puromycin

for 48 hours and growth for additional 48 hours without selection. Cells were single-cell

sorted and evaluated as described above. This strategy generated the PCIF1 KO used

for the rescues and m6Am mapping experiments.

**PCIF1 KO cell line rescue**

WT and catalytic-deficient versions of PCIF1 coding sequence were cloned into the

PHAGE-puro lentiviral plasmid with a C-terminal HA-FLAG tag. Lentiviruses were

prepared as described above. 500 μL of lentiviral particles were used to infect ~30%

confluent MEL624 KO cells. 12 hours post-infection, viruses were washed out with PBS

and cells were selected with media containing 1 μg/mL of puromycin for 48 hours. After

selection, rescue cell lines were continuously growth in media containing 0.5 μg/mL of

puromycin to avoid the silencing of PCIF1 transgene.

**PCIF1 immunofluorescence**

PCIF1 KO and rescue cell lines were seeded in a 96-well plate to a ~70% confluence.

Cells are fixed for 10 mins in 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS at room temperature. Cells are

washed twice with PBS and permeabilized for 10 mins with 0.1% saponin in PBS. Cells

are blocked at room temperature for 1 hour with IF blocking buffer (10% BSA, 0.3%

triton in PBS) and then are incubated overnight at 4°C with a monoclonal anti-FLAG

antibody (Sigma-Aldrich Cat #F1804, RRID:AB_262044) diluted 1:500 in IF buffer (10%

FBS, 1% BSA, 0.3% triton in PBS). Primary antibody is washed three times with 0.05%

PBS-Tween. Cells are incubated with Alexa 488 goat anti-Mouse (Thermo Fisher

Scientific Cat #A-11029, RRID:AB_2534088) 1:1000 in IF buffer for 1 h at room

temperature in the dark. DAPI is added to a final concentration of 0.2 μg/mL and

incubated for additional 10 mins. Cells are washed three times with 0.05% PBS-Tween,

once with PBS and then imaged in a Nikon Eclipse Ti fluorescent microscope. Pictures

of representative fields are taken with a S Plan Fluor 20X/0.45 objective with the NIS

Elements v4.20 software (DAPI channel exposure: 500 msec. GFP channel exposure:

600 msec.).

**Thin Layer Chromatography**

1 μg of mRNA is cleaned, labeled and digested as previously described (Kruse et al., 2011; Mauer et al., 2017). 10% of the starting material is loaded into a TLC cellulose glass plate and first and second dimensions are run for 14 and 19 hours, respectively. Plates are exposed to a phosphor imager screen for 24 hours and read in a Typhoon scanner. Pictures are analyzed and quantified using Fiji v2.0.0 (RRID:SCR_002285).

**Recombinant Protein Purification**

Full-length human PCIF1 gene is cloned into pGEX-4T1 vector. It is expressed overnight in 500 mL Rosetta bacteria (Novagen) culture induced with 0.1 mM IPTG at $18^{o}$C. The cells are lysed in 15 mL cold lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% NP-40, 1mM PMSF) with 0.25 mg/mL chicken lysozyme on ice for 30 min. The cell lysate is sonicated on ice and cleared with centrifugation for 20 min at 10000 rpm at $4^{o}$C. The GST tagged recombinant protein is bound to Glutathione Sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare) at $4^{o}$C with rotation for 3 hours. The beads are washed with lysis buffer containing 500 mM NaCl. The untagged recombinant protein is eluted off the beads with overnight rotation at room temperature with 5U of thrombin in thrombin cleavage buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 5mM $CaCl_2$). The protein preparation is supplemented with 10% glycerol and stored at $-80^{o}$C.

Full-length human EIF4E gene with N terminal 6xHis tag is cloned into pGEX-4T1 vector. The same purification protocol for recombinant PCIF1 is followed with the

following exceptions. The initial lysis buffer is supplemented with 10U RNaseA/T1 mix.

The cleared lysate is first bound to Ni-NTA beads at 4°C for 3 hours to purify using the

6xHis tag, washed in lysis buffer with 750 mM NaCl and eluted in His elution buffer (50

mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 150 mM imidazole). The eluted protein is bound to

Glutathione Sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare) at 4°C with rotation for 1 hour and

eluted off the beads with overnight rotation at room temperature with 5U of thrombin in

thrombin cleavage buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 5mM CaCl$_2$). The protein

preparation is supplemented with 10% glycerol and stored at -80°C.

**Enzyme Kinetics**

The enzymatic parameters for m7G-Am methylation were determined by incubating full-

length untagged recombinant PCIF1 enzyme (3 nM) with increasing concentrations of

RNA dinucleotide (10 – 500 nM) at 37 C in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5 @ 25 °C), 5 mM β-

ME, 10 mM EDTA and 80 µM S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) buffer. Aliquots were

withdrawn at t = 0, 2, 5, 10, 30 and 60 min and boiled for 3 min to stop all enzymatic

activity. The aliquots were further processed as described in Processing of RNA

samples for mass spectrometry methods section. The levels of the final product (m7G-

m6AmG) formed at each time point was determined using mass spectrometry by

monitoring the amount of m6Am in each aliquot. The observed rate of product formation

(kobs) was determined by plotting the concentration of m6Am against time for each

concentration of the substrate (10, 25, 50, 100, 250, 500 nM). The kobs vs substrate

concentration curve was fit to the Michaelis-Menten equation using the Graphpad Prism

software to obtain the final enzymatic parameters. The same protocol was followed for

kinetics using EGFP mRNA with indicated concentrations.

***In vivo* translation assays**

200 ng of either Am-, or m6Am-EGPF mRNA are transfected into a 6-well plate with

MEL624 at ~30% confluence. Transfection is done with 1 $\mu$l of lipofectamin 2000

according to manufacturer's instructions. Untransfected wells are used as negative

controls. 24 h post-transfections, cells are imaged in a Nikon Eclipse Ti fluorescent

microscope and pictures of representative fields are taken with a S Plan Fluor 20X/0.45

objective with the NIS Elements v4.20 software (GFP channel low exposure: 500 msec.

GFP channel high exposure: 3 sec. bright field exposure: 20-40 msec.). At the same

time, a second set of transfected wells is trypsinized, washed once with PBS and

resuspended in 500 $\mu$l of PBS for flow cytometry. Cells are analyzed in a FACS Canto II

and percentages of GFP positive cells as well as GFP intensity values are calculated

with FloJo 8.7. Finally, a third set of transfected wells is lysed with 200 $\mu$L of TRIzol and

total RNA is purified following manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative PCR is

performed with primers specific for GFP and GAPDH as internal control in order to

determine the level of mRNA that was transfected into the cells.

***In vitro* Translation**

In vitro translation reactions are carried out using Retic Lysate IVT kit (Invitrogen

Ambion) in 25 $\mu$L or 10 $\mu$L reactions with varying indicated mRNA concentrations (50,

100 or 200 ng). The translation is carried out at 30$^o$C for 1 hour. For the firefly luciferase

mRNA translation reactions, luciferase reagent supplied by Firefly Luc One-Step Glow

Assay Kit (Pierce Thermo Fisher Scientific) is used. For the in vitro transcribed

bicistronic firefly-IRES-renilla luciferase mRNA translation reactions, luciferase reagents

supplied by Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) are used and

luminescence is measured on a plate reader.

**m6A MeRIP-Seq**

m6A MeRIP experiments were performed following the recommendations from the

EpiMark N6-Methyladenosine Enrichment Kit (NEB #E1610). Briefly: 10 $\mu$g of mRNA

were incubated at 98$^o$C for 3 min in fragmenting buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.4, 10 mM

ZnCl$_2$) to generate fragments of ~100-150 bp. Fragmented RNA is incubated with

protein G magnetic beads conjugated to 1 $\mu$L (250 $\mu$g) of anti-m6A rabbit monoclonal

antibody from the EpiMark kit for 1 h at 4$^o$C. Beads were washed twice with 200 $\mu$L of

reaction buffer, twice with 200 $\mu$L of low salt buffer and twice with 200 $\mu$L of high salt

buffer. Immunoprecipitated RNA was eluted with TRIzol and purified. Illumina

sequencing libraries are prepared with the NEBNext Ultra II Directional Library Prep Kit

for Illumina from NEB (#E7760), following manufacture's instructions. RNA-Seq libraries

are generated from three biological replicates for Input (RNA-Seq) and m6A-

immunoprecipitated mRNA. Libraries are sequenced in a HiSeq 2000 with an average

coverage of 20 million reads per sample.

Reads are aligned against hg38 genome assembly with hisat 2.0.4 using the following

parameters: --no-unal --rna-strandness R. SAM files are sorted and converted to BAM

with samtools v1.7. Reads with QS < 10 are excluded, Normalized bigWig files are

generated from BAM files with bamCoverage from the deepTools suite v3.0.2 with the

following parameters: -bs 20 --normalizeUsing BPM --skipNAs. Reads that overlap with

the blacklisted regions from ENCODE project are excluded. Average bigWig files for the

biological replicates are generated using the bigwigCompare program from the

deepTools suite v3.0.2. m6A-enriched genes are obtained by calling significant peaks

form the BAM files with macs2 (v2.1.1) with a q-value < 1e-10 for each individual

biological replicate. A gene is considered to be enriched for m6A only if a peak was

called in all the replicates. Metagene and heatmap plots were generated with the

deepTools suite v3.0.2 and in-house scripts.

**PRO-Seq sequencing and analysis**

PRO-Seq libraries from 3 independent biological replicates were generated for Control

MEL624 cells, PCIF1-KO clone 1 and PCIF1-KO clone 2, using 1 million cells per

sample. Samples were spiked with 40,000 Drosophila S2 cells as a normalization spike-

in control. Samples were sequenced on the NextSeq using a High Output 75-cycle kit,

generating paired end 42 nt reads. Libraries were sequenced to an average read depth

of 50 million mappable reads per sample.

Paired-end reads were trimmed to 40 nt. To remove adapter sequence and low quality

3' ends we used cutadapt 1.14, discarding reads shorter than 20 nt (-m 20 -q 10), and

removing a single nucleotide from the 3' end of all trimmed reads to allow successful

alignment with Bowtie 1.2.2. Remaining read pairs were paired-end aligned to the

*Drosophila* dm3 genome index to determine spike-normalization ratios based on

uniquely mapped reads. Counts of pairs mapping uniquely to spike-in RNAs (drosophila

genome) were determined for each sample. In this case, the samples displayed highly

comparable recovery of spike-in reads, thus only depth normalization was used for each

bedGraph.

Reads mapped to dm3 were excluded from further analysis, and unmapped pairs were

aligned to the hg38 genome assembly. Identical parameters were utilized in each

alignment described above: up to 2 mismatches, maximum fragment length of 1000 nt,

and uniquely mappable, and unmappable pairs routed to separate output files (-m1, -v2,

-X1000, --un). Pairs mapping uniquely to hg38, representing biotin-labeled RNAs were

separated, and strand-specific counts of the 3'-end mapping positions determined at

single nucleotide resolution, genome-wide, and expressed in bedGraph format with

"plus" and "minus" strand labels, as appropriate. Combined bedGraphs were generated

after deduplication by summing counts per nucleotide of all 3 replicates for each

condition.

Read counts were calculated per gene (from transcription start site to transcription end

site), in a strand-specific manner, based on default annotations (ensembl hg38), using

featureCounts. Differentially expressed genes were identified using DESeq2 v1.18.1

under R 3.3.1. PRO-Seq size factors were determined based on DESeq2 (for Control:

0.9690449, 0.8752877, 0.9008983; PCIF1-KO1: 1.1669854, 0.9802904, 1.3105898;

PCIF1-KO2: 0.9866223, 1.0047620, 0.9516695). At an adjusted p-value threshold of

<0.01, 731 affected genes in KO clone 1 and 1406 in KO clone 2 were identified as

differentially expressed upon PCIF1-KO in MEL624 cells. After overlapping both lists

there are 506 genes that pass the padj < 0.01 threshold, from which 320 are

upregulated in both KO clone 1 and clone 2 (Table S4), 182 are downregulated in both

clones (Table S5) and 4 are downregulated in KO1 and upregulated in KO2. UCSC

Genome Browser tracks were generated from the combined replicates per condition,

normalized as in the differential expression analysis.

**RNA-Seq analysis**

Read counts for the RNAseq inputs from the m6A mapping experiment are calculated

from BAM files with featureCounts from the Rsubread package v1.22.3 in an R 3.3.3

environment. The read count table is analyzed with edgeR v3.12.1 and log2 TPM

measurements are generated. After calculating normalization factors and estimating

dispersion, DEG genes between KOs and Control cells were calculated using glm

modeling with a FDR < 1e-5. A final list of DEG shared between PCIF1 KO1 and PCIF1

KO2 is generated using in-house scripts, which gives a total of 236 upregulated genes

(Table S2) and 305 downregulated genes (Table S3). Boxplots of average expression

are generated with R 3.3.3 and in-house scripts from the log2 TPM values calculated in

edgeR. Mann-Whitney tests to compare average expression levels between different

samples and hyper geometric test to calculate p-values for overlaps between datasets

in Venn diagrams are performed in R 3.3.3.

**Microscale Thermophoresis (MST)**

RNA oligos used in MST analysis correspond to the first 219 nt 5' end of bicistronic

mRNAs used in Figure 7F. The 219 nucleotide m7G-Am capped RNA oligos are

transcribed using a PCR generated DNA template using the bicistronic construct

plasmid (pFR_CrPV_xb). Am methylated RNA oligo is further in vitro methylated to

m6Am using recombinant PCIF1. To generate decapped RNA control, m7G-Am

methylated RNA is decapped using a decapping enzyme. The RNA constructs are

column purified using Zymo RNA Clean & Concentrator kit after each transcription, in

vitro methylation step and decapping reaction.

The full-length human recombinant N terminal 6xHis tagged EIF4E protein is

fluorescently labelled in PBST with 0.1% PEG8000 using His-Tag Labelling Kit RED-

Tris-NTA (2nd Generation) (Nanotemper MO-L018). The RNA oligos are denatured at

$65^{o}C$ for 3 minutes followed by 1 minute immediate ice incubation. Then 2-fold serial

dilutions of RNA are carried out in PBST with 0.1% PEG800 at room temperature using

LoBind microfuge tubes. Labelled protein is added at 25 nM dye and 100 nM protein

final concentration to each RNA dilution. After 5 minute room temperature incubation,

premium capillaries are loaded and MST is carried out with 100% LED power and 40%

laser (20 seconds ON and 5 seconds OFF) using NanoTemper Control 1.1.9 software.

The binding constants are calculated using MO.Affinity Analysis V2.3 software using 10

dilution points carried out in triplicate independent binding assays for each RNA oligo.

## Supplemental Information

**Figure S1. Related to Figure 1.** Representative MS spectra of de-capped mRNA from Mel624 human melanoma cell line.

782
783
784 **Figure S2. Related to Figure 2.** Alignment of protein sequences of zebrafish, mouse
785 and human PCIF1 using ClustalW. The conserved methyltransferase motif is
786 highlighted.
787

788
789
**Figure S3. Related to Figure 2. PCIF1 is required for mRNA m6Am methylation in**
**vivo.**

3A. Thin-layer chromatography analysis of cap-adjacent nucleotides from mRNA
isolated from control and two independent PCIF1 KO cell lines.
3B. LC-MS/MS m6A/A percentages of mRNAs from control and three independent
PCIF1 KO cell lines
3C. Immunofluorescence images of PCIF1 KO and FLAG-PCIF1 rescue cell lines with
anti-FLAG antibody.

800
801
**Figure S4. Related to Figure 3. *In vitro* enzyme kinetics with recombinant PCIF1**

4A. GST tagged PCIF1 was expressed in bacteria and purified using Glutathine
Sepharose beads and eluted using overnight thrombin cleavage. Around 3 $\mu$g of purified
recombinant PCIF1 was run on PAGE gel and stained with Coomassie Blue.
4B. Michaelis–Menten kinetics of recombinant PCIF1 is determined using m7G-Am
capped EGFP mRNA.

810
811
812 **Figure S5. Related to Figure 5. Global mapping of m6Am through m6Am-Exo-Seq**
813
814 5A. Genome browser view of m6Am-Exo-Seq fold change over input employing 8
815 different antibodies against m6A in control and PCIF1 KO cells.
816 5B. Genome browser view of reads per million in inputs and corresponding m6Am-Exo-
817 Seq IPs from control and PCIF1 KO cells.
818

819
820
821 **Figure S6. Related to Figure 6. m6Am is cell type specific and does not affect**
822 **snRNA transcription**
823
6A. Venn diagram depicting overlap between 640 m6Am enriched genes in MEL624
and 3370 identified in HEK293T cells. P-values are calculated with a hypergeometric
test.
6B. PRO-Seq signal is shown at snRNA genes (N=667 snRNA genes expressed in
MEL624) in control and PCIF1 KO cells. Shown are a composite metagene distribution
(left) or boxplot of PRO-Seq signal within snRNA genes. Boxplots are shown as in 7D.
No significant differences were detected.
831

832
833
834 **Figure S7. Related to Figure 7.** N6 residue of cap adjacent adenosine of mRNA, which
835 gets m6A methylated by PCIF1, forms a hydrogen bond with threonine 205 residue of
836 human eIF4E.
837

838
839
840 **Figure S8. Related to Figure 7. FACS analysis of Am or m6Am EGFP transfected**
841 **MEL624 cell lines.**
842
843 8A. Gating strategy for selecting singlet cells. Cells are gated based on FCS-A and
844 SSC-A (gate 1). Singlets are selected from gate 1 by gating SSC-W vs SSC-A. Cells
845 from gate 2 are used for all quantifications shown in Figure 7.
846 8B. Representative fluorescent profile of MEL624 cells transfected with Am- or m6Am-
847 EGFP mRNA. Untransfected cells are used as negative control.
848

849
850
**Figure S9. Related to Figure 7.** Kd constants of fluorescently labelled N-terminal His
tagged recombinant human eIF4E with 217 nt RNA oligos with either m7G-Am or m7G-
m6Am 5' ends measured by microscale thermophoresis. De-capped m7G-Am RNA was
used as a control. The 217 nucleotide RNA oligo sequence corresponds to the first 217
nt of bicistronic construct that was used in in vitro translation assays in Fig.7F. Three
independent binding assays were performed with freshly labelled protein for each RNA
oligo.
858

859     **Table S1. Related to Figure 5.** List of m6Am-marked genes.
860
861     **Table S2. Related to Figure 6.** List of Upregulated genes according to RNA-Seq
862
863     **Table S3. Related to Figure 6.** List of Downregulated genes according to RNA-Seq
864
865     **Table S4. Related to Figure 6.** List of Upregulated genes according to PRO-Seq
866
867     **Table S5. Related to Figure 6.** List of Downregulated genes according to PRO-Seq
868