

1 **Title:**

2 Mitogenomes illuminate the origin and migration patterns of the indigenous people of the
3 Canary Islands

4

5 **Authors:**

6 Rosa Fregel^{1,2}, Alejandra C. Ordóñez³, Jonathan Santana-Cabrera⁴, Vicente M. Cabrera²,
7 Javier Velasco-Vazquez⁵, Verónica Alberto⁶, Marco A. Moreno-Benítez⁶, Teresa
8 Delgado-Darias⁷, Amelia del Carmen Rodríguez-Rodríguez⁵, Juan Carlos Hernández⁸,
9 Jorge Pais⁹, Rafaela González-Montelongo¹⁰, José M. Lorenzo-Salazar¹⁰, Carlos
10 Flores^{10,11,12}, M. Carmen Cruz de Mercadal⁷, Nuria Álvarez-Rodríguez⁸, Beth
11 Shapiro^{13,14}, Matilde Arnay^{3*} and Carlos D. Bustamante^{1*}

12 *These authors contributed equally

13

14 **Affiliations:**

15

16 1. Department of Genetics, Stanford University, Stanford, California, United States of
17 America.

18 2. Department of Biochemistry, Microbiology, Cell Biology and Genetics, Universidad
19 de La Laguna, San Cristóbal de La Laguna, Spain.

20 3. Department of Prehistory, Anthropology and Ancient History, Universidad de La
21 Laguna, San Cristóbal de La Laguna, Spain.

22 4. Department of Archaeology, Durham University, Durham, United Kingdom.

23 5. Department of Historical Sciences, Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Las
24 Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain.

25 6. Tibicena Arqueología y Patrimonio, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain.

26 7. Museo Canario, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain.

27 8. Museo Arqueológico de La Gomera, San Sebastián de La Gomera, Spain.

28 9. Museo Arqueológico Benahoarita, Los Llanos de Aridane, Spain.

29 10. Genomics Division, Instituto Tecnológico y de Energías Renovables, Granadilla,
30 Spain.

31 11. Research Unit, Hospital Universitario N. S. de Candelaria, Santa Cruz de Tenerife,
32 Spain.

33 12. CIBER de Enfermedades Respiratorias, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain

34 13. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California Santa
35 Cruz, Santa Cruz, California, United States of America.

36 14. Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz,
37 California, United States of America

38

39 **Abstract**

40 The Canary Islands' indigenous people have been the subject of substantial
41 archaeological, anthropological, linguistic and genetic research pointing to a most
42 probable North African Berber source. However, neither agreement about the exact point
43 of origin nor a model for the indigenous colonization of the islands has been established.
44 To shed light on these questions, we analyzed 48 ancient mitogenomes from 25
45 archaeological sites from the seven main islands. Most lineages observed in the ancient
46 samples have a Mediterranean distribution, and belong to lineages associated with the
47 Neolithic expansion in the Near East and Europe (T2c, J2a, X3a...). This
48 phylogeographic analysis of Canarian indigenous mitogenomes, the first of its kind,
49 shows that some lineages are restricted to Central North Africa (H1cf, J2a2d and
50 T2c1d3), while others have a wider distribution, including both West and Central North
51 Africa, and, in some cases, Europe and the Near East (U6a1a1, U6a7a1, U6b, X3a,
52 U6c1). In addition, we identify four new Canarian-specific lineages (H1e1a9, H4a1e,
53 J2a2d1a and L3b1a12) whose coalescence dates correlate with the estimated time for the
54 colonization of the islands (1st millennia CE). Additionally, we observe an asymmetrical
55 distribution of mtDNA haplogroups in the ancient population, with certain haplogroups
56 appearing more frequently in the islands closer to the continent. This reinforces results
57 based on modern mtDNA and Y-chromosome data, and archaeological evidence
58 suggesting the existence of two distinct migrations. Comparisons between insular
59 populations show that some populations had high genetic diversity, while others were
60 probably affected by genetic drift and/or bottlenecks. In spite of observing interinsular
61 differences in the survival of indigenous lineages, modern populations, with the sole
62 exception of La Gomera, are homogenous across the islands, supporting the theory of
63 extensive human mobility after the European conquest.

64

65

66 **Introduction**

67 The Canaries archipelago is located off the southern coast of Morocco (Figure 1).
68 Due to their oceanic volcanic origin, they have probably never been connected to the
69 continent. Mediterranean sailors discovered several groups of islands in the Atlantic
70 Ocean in the 13th century, but only the Canary Islands were found to be inhabited by an
71 indigenous population [1]. European chroniclers recorded that different islands were
72 inhabited by populations exhibiting different ways of life and speaking distinct dialects of
73 what they believed to be a Berber language. Ethno-historical sources provided ethnonyms
74 for the native population of each island (e.g. Guanches for Tenerife, Benehaoritas for La
75 Palma, and Bimbapes for El Hierro). However, for clarity, we will refer to them in
76 general terms, as the Canarian indigenous or native population.

77 Chroniclers were amazed to discover that the Canarian natives were unaware of
78 navigational methods and had remained isolated from the African continent [2,3]. During
79 the 15th century, the Spanish kingdom of Castile gradually conquered all of the Canary
80 Islands, after previous European attempts. In most of the islands, the indigenous people
81 resisted the European conquest [4]. The crushing of the resistance, and subsequent
82 European colonization, had a great impact on the indigenous people [5]. In spite of the
83 abolishment of slavery on the Islands in 1498, a large number of natives were deported
84 during and after the conquest [6]. Those that survived and stayed progressively mixed
85 with the European colonizers, leading to the loss of indigenous culture and language.

86 The geographic origin of the Canarian indigenous people was initially inferred
87 from both the interpretation of historical written sources and the analysis of
88 archaeological evidence. Most archaeological and anthropological data support a North
89 African origin for the Canarian indigenous people, relating to the Berber populations
90 [7,8]. Key evidence supporting a Berber origin includes inscriptions belonging to the
91 Libyco-Berber and Lybico-Canarian alphabets [9,10], pottery [11], communal granaries
92 [12], and domestic species [13-15]. Non-metric dental traits [16-18] and morphological
93 analyses of cranial and long bones [19,20] also show similarities between current
94 inhabitants of Northwest Africa and the Canarian indigenous people.

95 In regards to the time of the arrival of the first population groups, some authors
96 have proposed the first millennium BCE as the upper bound for human presence in the

97 archipelago [21], based on radiocarbon dating of charcoal and sediment samples. In
98 addition, there is evidence of a Roman short-stay settlement in Lobos islet dated during or
99 before the first centuries of the present era [22], which did not, according to the
100 archaeological data, involve attempting to colonize the Canaries. Recently, there has been
101 an effort to review and contextualize radiocarbon dates in the Canary Islands to better
102 assess the time of the archipelago's indigenous colonization. Accelerator mass
103 spectrometry (AMS) analyses support a later colonisation of the Canary Islands dating to
104 the outset of the first millennium AD. If only AMS analyses performed on short-lived
105 samples are considered [23], the earliest dates from the eastern islands of Lanzarote and
106 Fuerteventura range between 100-300 cal AD [21,22], whereas those from the central
107 island of Gran Canaria range between 400-500 AD [3]. The oldest AMS dates from
108 Tenerife are around 660-880 cal AD [24], while the western islands of La Palma, El
109 Hierro and La Gomera yield AMS dates ranging respectively between 260-450 cal AD
110 [24], 420-610 cal AD [24], and 120-330 cal AD [25]. On the other hand, older
111 radiocarbon dates that place the arrival of human populations before the 1st century BCE
112 were obtained from sediment, wood and charcoal samples that could be older than the
113 archaeological site where they were excavated.

114 Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is a powerful tool for inferring the geographic
115 origin of populations [26]. MtDNA is maternally inherited, does not undergo
116 recombination and its different lineages are geographically structured in human
117 populations. For those reasons, mtDNA has been widely applied in phylogeographic
118 studies. The analysis of current Canary Islands samples using mtDNA has provided
119 support for a North African origin for the indigenous people, based on the presence of the
120 mtDNA U6 haplogroup [27], which has a clear Berber ascription [28,29]. Within the U6
121 lineages observed in the current Canary Islanders, it is worth mentioning U6b1a, a
122 haplogroup that is not present today in North Africa and which is considered a Canarian
123 autochthonous lineage [30]. Interestingly, U6b1a's coalescence age (3,600 years ago)
124 predates the proposed time of arrival of the first inhabitants of the islands, suggesting an
125 origin in North Africa [30]. Other haplogroups observed in the current Canarian people
126 have Eurasian (H, T, J...), sub-Saharan African (L1, L2 and L3) and Amerindian (A2 and
127 C1) affiliations [31]. These results highlighted the multiethnic nature of the modern

128 population of the Canary Islands, correlating with historical events, such as the
129 implementation of a slave workforce for the sugar cane plantations, or the commercial
130 connection with the Americas in the colonial period [32]. The detailed analysis of current
131 mtDNA of the modern Canary islanders has also suggested possible origins for the
132 indigenous population, including Morocco, Tunisia, Algeria or Sahara, but an overall
133 agreement has not yet been reached [31,33].

134 Regarding the colonization model, linguistic research has pointed to at least two
135 migration waves from North Africa [10,34]. Also, the observation of different cultural
136 backgrounds affecting the island of La Palma has been interpreted as evidence of
137 consecutive migrations. The specific timing for those migrations is still unclear, except
138 for La Palma, where the second wave of migration has been proposed to have taken place
139 around the 10th century [7]. This idea has also been supported by asymmetrical
140 distribution of both mtDNA [31] and Y-chromosome lineages [35] in the modern
141 Canarian population. The first colonization wave may have affected the entire
142 archipelago, creating the substrate population and bringing mtDNA and Y-chromosome
143 haplogroups observed today in most of the islands, including the mtDNA lineages U6b1a
144 or H1cf. The second colonization would have brought new migrants to certain islands and
145 created an asymmetrical distribution of haplogroups, such as T2c1 and U6c1.

146 The direct analysis of ancient remains from the Canary Islands, using mtDNA by
147 means of PCR techniques, confirmed the presence of North African markers in the
148 indigenous people, including the U6b1a haplogroup, as well as some of the Eurasian
149 lineages observed in the modern population [36]. Admixture analysis based on mtDNA
150 data, using the natives as parental population, determined that 42% of modern Canarian
151 mtDNA lineages have an indigenous origin [36]. Ancient mtDNA results from four of the
152 seven islands found high diversities for Tenerife and La Palma [33,36,37], and the partial
153 and complete fixation of certain haplogroups in La Gomera [38] and El Hierro [39],
154 suggesting that the colonization of the archipelago was a heterogeneous process and that
155 different islands could have had different evolutionary histories.

156 Although previous ancient DNA (aDNA) studies have been fundamental to
157 understanding the origin and evolution of the Canarian population, most of the ancient
158 mtDNA data produced so far has been obtained using PCR amplification. This classical

159 aDNA technique has provided valuable information, but results have always been
160 hindered by the risk of sample contamination. This is due to the fact that aDNA from
161 warm climates is often extremely degraded and the PCR technique is highly sensitive,
162 thus minute amounts of modern contaminant DNA can be preferentially amplified [40].
163 Additionally, because the molecules are short and degraded, aDNA analyses based on
164 PCR amplification have tended to isolate small, but informative, regions of the
165 mitochondrial genome, such as the hypervariable region (HVR). This partial information
166 does not allow for refined classification within haplogroups, which is needed to
167 discriminate between close geographical regions. This is especially true within
168 haplogroup H, which comprises ~40% of the ancient Canarian mtDNA lineages. The
169 advent of next-generation-sequencing (NGS) has greatly expanded the capacity of aDNA
170 research. NGS allows damage patterns that are unique to aDNA, such as short fragment
171 size and post-mortem damage, to be detected easily, thus authenticating mtDNA results.
172 NGS also has the advantage of providing complete mtDNA genomes to allow a better
173 geographic assignment, compared to those obtained from partial HVR sequences.

174 A recent NGS study of the Canarian indigenous people presented the first
175 complete mtDNA genomes and low-coverage full genomes from this population, and,
176 more specifically, from the central islands of Tenerife and Gran Canaria [41]. However,
177 previous aDNA data [36-39] suggested that the indigenous populations from different
178 islands might have experienced different demographic processes. The inclusion of data
179 from all seven islands is therefore of paramount importance to accurately characterizing
180 the archipelago's indigenous population. Additionally, to fully benefit from the potential
181 of ancient mtDNA data, a more detailed phylogeographic analysis is required.

182 In order to obtain a comprehensive mtDNA perspective on the origin of the
183 indigenous people of the Canary Islands, we have applied aDNA protocols and NGS to
184 assemble ancient mtDNA genomes from all seven sub-populations. Since human remains
185 from warm regions like the Canary Islands are expected to have low endogenous DNA
186 content, we applied an enrichment technique [42] to improve mtDNA coverage and
187 reduce sequencing costs.

188

189 **Methods**

190 **Sample collection**

191 Samples were collected in collaboration with both Canarian universities, La
192 Laguna (Tenerife) and Las Palmas de Gran Canaria (Gran Canaria), as well as the insular
193 museums of Gran Canaria (El Museo Canario), La Palma (Museo Arqueológico
194 Benahorita) and La Gomera (Museo Arqueológico de La Gomera). A total of 25
195 archaeological sites were selected for this project (Figure 1). Radiocarbon calibrated
196 dates are available for several sites (Figure S1): El Agujero (1030 - 1440 cal AD), La
197 Angostura (1318 - 1394 cal AD), Las Arenas (540 - 650 cal AD), El Capricho (400 - 480
198 cal AD), Cascajo (1640 - 1700 cal AD), Cuermeja (1270 - 1316 cal AD), La Fortaleza
199 (599 – 633 cal AD), Guayadeque (540 - 737 cal AD), El Hormiguero (1020 - 1160 cal
200 AD), Huriamen (1015 - 1050 cal AD; 1080 - 1150 cal AD), Lomo Galeón (1260 - 1290
201 cal AD), Montaña Mina (1313 - 1365 cal AD), El Pescante (150 - 350 cal AD), Portillo
202 (1500 - 1580 cal AD), Puente de La Calzada (1265 - 1312 cal AD; 1358 - 1388 cal AD),
203 Punta Azul (1015 - 1155 cal AD) and El Salitre (1060 - 1179 cal AD). For those sites
204 with no available calibrated dates (Antoncojo, Barranco Majona, El Cedro, Cueva del
205 Agua, El Espigón, Huerto de Los Morales, Los Pasitos and Salto del Casimiro), their
206 assignation to the indigenous population was based on general context, the archaeological
207 remains themselves and the presence of specific funerary practices. Sample CAN.005 is a
208 tooth sample that was taken from a private collection of ancient human remains donated
209 to El Museo Canario (Gran Canaria, Spain). Although this sample is not associated with
210 any specific archaeological site, its calibrated radiocarbon date (1265 - 1312 cal AD) is in
211 agreement with a pre-Hispanic origin. It is also worth mentioning that some
212 archaeological sites from Tenerife (Cascajo and Portillo) are from the post-conquest
213 period [43], but they are associated with the so-called “Alzados”, indigenous people that
214 rebelled against the European colonizers and retired to the mountains, leaving all contact
215 with the Europeans behind [44].

216

217 **DNA extraction and library preparation**

218 Best-conserved samples were selected for DNA extraction. Although the petrous
219 bone is considered the best source for aDNA [45], we used teeth and small bones (e.g.
220 phalanx) to avoid destroying valuable archaeological material.

221 Required precautions were taken during the handling of samples, and all
222 experiments that included aDNA were carried out in dedicated, clean lab facilities at the
223 Paleogenomics Lab, University of California Santa Cruz, to avoid contamination. DNA
224 extraction was performed following Dabney et al. [46]. Bone samples were sanded to
225 remove the external surface, and then one bone piece was cut with a Dremel tool and
226 pulverized using a bone mill. The surface of tooth samples was decontaminated using a
227 bleach solution, and then the teeth were cut down the midline and the cementum drilled
228 using a Dremel tool and a metallic bit. Pulverized bone and tooth samples were incubated
229 overnight, using a proteinase K/EDTA solution, and DNA extracted using a silica-based
230 and guanidine method. Ancient DNA was then built into double-stranded libraries, with
231 7-bp single-index barcoding to allow for multiplexing sequencing, following Meyer and
232 Kircher [47]. Libraries were sequenced for an initial screening on an Illumina NextSeq
233 500 apparatus for obtaining paired-end shotgun data (~1 M reads per library) with a
234 sequencing read length of 2 x 75 bp.

235

236 **Enrichment**

237 After the screening of shotgun libraries, those samples with an endogenous DNA
238 content lower than 10% were enriched using whole-genome in solution capture [42].
239 Briefly, aDNA libraries were captured in singleplex reactions using human genomic
240 RNA baits, with the aim of increasing endogenous DNA rates and reducing sequencing
241 costs. Although this method is directed at capturing the whole genome, multicopy regions
242 of the mtDNA become particularly enriched. Post-capture libraries were sequenced as
243 indicated before, to obtain at least ~5 M reads per post-capture library.

244

245 **HVR analysis**

246 In order to perform population-based analyses, we included in our study
247 previously published [36-39] and unpublished HVR data from the seven islands. Newly

248 reported HVR data from the islands of Gran Canaria (n = 75), Lanzarote (n = 8) and
249 Fuerteventura (n = 10) was obtained following the methodology described by Maca-
250 Meyer et al. [36] and Ordóñez et al. [39]. Briefly, after external decontamination, tooth
251 samples were extracted by means of a GuSCN-silica based protocol. MtDNA
252 quantification was performed on a 7500 Real Time PCR system (Applied Biosystem,
253 Foster City, CA, USA), using a human-specific mtDNA fluorescent probe [48], and
254 ~3,000 copies were submitted to PCR with the aim of reducing the effects of DNA
255 damage. The mtDNA HVRI (from positions 16,000 to 16,400) was amplified using seven
256 overlapping fragments, with sizes ranging from 82 to 124 bp, to improve the
257 amplification of endogenous DNA. All the sequencing reactions were prepared with the
258 BigDye v3.1 Terminator Cycle Sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems) and run on an ABI
259 PRISM 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Standard contamination
260 prevention and monitoring were conducted as described earlier [39].

261

262 **Modern mtDNA genomes**

263 We included in this study several current Canary Islands mtDNA genomes,
264 analyzed using both whole-genome and Sanger sequencing. Complete genomes were
265 obtained in Instituto Tecnológico y de Energías Renovables (ITER) by whole-genome
266 sequencing from a set of 18 unrelated Canarians. Briefly, DNA samples were processed
267 with a Nextera DNA Prep kit, with dual indexes following the manufacturer's
268 recommendations (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA). Library sizes were checked on a
269 TapeStation 4200 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) and their concentration
270 determined by the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA). Samples
271 were sequenced to a depth of 30X on a HiSeq 4000 instrument (Illumina) with paired-end
272 150-base reads. Sanger sequencing mtDNA genomes were obtained at University of La
273 Laguna following previously published methodologies [49], for samples classified as
274 T2c1 (determined by HVRI analysis). These samples were selected because of their
275 potential to define new sub-lineages within T2c1. Institutional review board approval for
276 the analysis of human subjects was obtained from Stanford University.

277

278 **Data analysis**

279 **Mapping and filtering of ancient mtDNA reads**

280 Shotgun sequencing reads were trimmed and adapters removed using
281 AdapterRemoval version 1.5.4 [50]. Specifically, the paired-end reads were merged, and
282 low-quality bases (BASEQ < 20) and short reads (< 30 bp) removed. Merged trimmed
283 reads were then mapped to the human reference genome (hg19) using BWA version
284 0.7.12 [51], while unmerged reads were discarded. Unmapped, low-quality (MAPQ<30)
285 and duplicate reads were removed using SAMtools version 0.1.19 [52]. The percentage
286 of endogenous DNA was calculated by dividing the number of reads remaining after
287 filtering by the total number of trimmed reads.

288

289 **Authentication**

290 Damage patterns were assessed using MapDamage v2.0 [53]. Insert size of
291 libraries was obtained with SAMtools mpileup, and plotted using R software v.3.2.0 [54].
292 Contamination rates of libraries were calculated using contamMix v.1.0-10 [55] and
293 Schmutzi [56].

294

295 **Analysis of complete mtDNA genomes**

296 MtDNA reads were directly mapped to the revised Cambridge Reference
297 Sequence (rCRS) [57] and filtered as described before. MapDamage was used to rescale
298 the quality of bases likely affected by post-mortem damage. Indel Realigner from the
299 GATK pipeline version 2.5.2 was also used for improving alignment quality around
300 indels [58]. MtDNA consensus sequences were obtained using SAMtools and BCFtools
301 version 0.1.19 [52]. A list of variants was then obtained using SAMtools mpileup, with a
302 minimum depth of 5. Haplogroups were determined with HaploGrep version 2.0 [59],
303 using PhyloTree build 17 version (<http://www.phylotree.org>) [60]. MtDNA haplotypes
304 were manually curated by visual inspection, using Tablet v.1.17.08.17 [61]. Modern
305 DNA sequencing data was analyzed following the same protocol used for ancient
306 samples, except for the MapDamage rescaling step. After retrieving all available mtDNA
307 genomes belonging to the haplogroups of interest from NCBI
308 (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>), phylogenetic trees were built using median-joining
309 networks [62]. Indels around nucleotides 309, 522, 573 and 16193, and hotspot

310 mutations (e.g. 16519) were excluded from phylogenetic analysis. For estimating
311 coalescence ages for specific clades, we used the ρ statistic [63]. We established a
312 mutation rate for the complete mtDNA sequence of one substitution in every 3,624 years,
313 correcting for purifying selection as in Soares et al. [64]. Accompanying standard errors
314 were calculated as per Saillard et al. [65]. For highly frequent haplogroups, such as H1cf
315 and T2cd3, we only kept one sample per site, to avoid relatedness interfering with
316 coalescence age estimations.

317

318 **Analysis of HVRI data**

319 Newly reported HVR sequences were analyzed using BioEdit software v.7.0.9.0
320 [66], and haplotypes were obtained by means of HaploSearch software [67] and further
321 confirmed by manually inspecting the electropherograms. Haplogroup nomenclature was
322 assessed following the most updated mtDNA phylotree (Build 17) [60].

323 Genome-wide data was combined with previous HVRI sequencing data to
324 perform population-based analysis. Published samples used for comparisons are detailed
325 in Table S1. As we do not know if samples in the same burial can be related, when
326 several samples with the same haplogroup were observed from the same archaeological
327 site, only one was included in the analysis. Two-tailed Fisher's exact test was used to
328 assess differences in mtDNA haplogroup frequencies between eastern and western
329 islands. Gene diversity was calculated according to Nei [68]. Distances between
330 populations were estimated using haplogroup frequency-based linearized F_{ST} [69] as in
331 Arlequin v.3.5 [70]. Multidimensional Scaling (MDS) was performed using R software
332 and the "smacof" package [71]. Admixture estimates were calculated with the
333 WLSAdmix program [72], which was kindly provided by Dr Jeffrey Long.

334

335 **Results and Discussion**

336 The average endogenous DNA content for the Canarian indigenous samples is
337 7.92%, a relatively high value considering the warm and humid environmental conditions
338 of the archipelago (Table S2). However, endogenous DNA values varied within and
339 between archaeological sites, ranging between 0.02% and 39.0% (IQR= 0.67% - 11.5%).

340 All samples meet the standard aDNA authentication criteria, including observation of
341 DNA fragmentation and damage patterns at both ends of molecules, and low modern
342 DNA contamination rates (Figure 2). Those contamination rates calculated with
343 contamMix are larger than those produced with Schmutzi. One possible reason is that
344 contamMix estimations are more sensitive to low coverage values (Table S2). For
345 example, sample CAN.033, with a 7.9X mtDNA coverage, has a contamination rate of
346 10.2% based on contamMix and 1.0% on schmutzi. Schmutzi has been reported to be
347 able to obtain accurate contamination rates for coverage down to ~5X [56]. However, in
348 other cases, variable contamination estimations do not seem to be related to low
349 coverage, and other factors may be interfering.

350 After capture, we obtained complete mtDNA genomes from 48 ancient human
351 remains sampled in 25 different archaeological sites (Table S2). Our sample set covers
352 the entire archipelago and a time span of 1,200 years (Figure S1). The average mtDNA
353 depth is ~140X, with a minimum value of 8X (Table S2). Observed haplogroups agree
354 with previous studies [33,36-39,41], indicating the presence of North African (U6),
355 Eurasian (H, J2, T2 and X) and sub-Saharan African lineages (L1 and L3) in the Canarian
356 indigenous population (Figure S2). As delineated before [36], the majority of
357 haplogroups observed are of Eurasian origin, most with a Mediterranean distribution.
358 This result is expected, as recent aDNA data from North Africa has indicated the
359 presence of Neolithic European lineages as early as the Late Neolithic period (~5,000
360 BP) [73].

361 We also obtained complete mtDNA genomes from a set of 18 modern Canarians
362 (Figure S3). More than 50% of the samples belong to haplogroup H, with a higher
363 diversity of sub-haplogroups than the one observed in the indigenous population. In
364 addition to H1cf and H1e1a, we observe other H1 sub-lineages and other branches, such
365 as H6a1, H3c2 or H43, which are most likely of European origin. Other haplogroups
366 present in the indigenous people are also observed in the modern population, including
367 J2a2d, U6b1a and X3a. In line with previous analyses [27,31], a sub-Saharan African
368 (L3d1b3a) [74] and an Amerindian lineage (A2) [75] are observed in the current
369 population of the Canary Islands. Assuming that our set of 48 ancient genomes is
370 representative of the native population, we performed a rough admixture estimate of

371 27.8% of maternal lineages in members of the present-day population possessing
372 indigenous origins, while 61.1% would be of European ascription (Figure S3).

373

374 **Population-based analysis**

375 In order to compare our samples to previously published data, we combined the
376 newly generated mtDNA genomes with HVRI data from the Canarian indigenous
377 population (Table S1) [33,36-39]. Given that sample sizes for Lanzarote and
378 Fuerteventura are small and their indigenous populations are considered to be similar
379 based on archaeological data [76], these data sets were pooled together. It is worth
380 mentioning that those samples for which mitochondrial data were generated, using both
381 classical techniques and NGS sequencing, produced identical HVRI haplotypes, proving
382 our PCR-based approach generates authentic results.

383 As previously observed, the indigenous populations of the Canary Islands in the
384 past were not homogenous (Table 1; Figure 3). The islands of La Palma and Tenerife
385 show a relatively diverse mtDNA composition (>70%) [33,36,37], while the others show
386 signs of genetic drift and/or diversity reduction events, such as a bottleneck or a founder
387 effect. In La Gomera, mtDNA diversity was 54.2%, due to the high frequency of
388 haplogroup U6b1a [38], while in El Hierro, this value was 2.9%, with the almost
389 complete fixation of H1cf haplogroup in the Punta Azul site [39]. With new data on the
390 indigenous population of Gran Canaria, Lanzarote and Fuerteventura (Table S3), we
391 show that Gran Canaria had high mtDNA diversity, similar to Tenerife and La Palma,
392 while Lanzarote and Fuerteventura had low diversity (51.1%) because of the high
393 frequency of H*(xH1cf, H4a1a) lineages. These findings emphasize that results obtained
394 from the larger islands of Tenerife and Gran Canaria should not be extrapolated to the
395 entire archipelago. Estimations of population sizes during pre-colonial times based on
396 archaeological evidence agree with mtDNA results. Populations in Gran Canaria,
397 Tenerife and, to a lesser degree, La Palma, were large and able to sustain relatively high
398 diversity, while Lanzarote, Fuerteventura and El Hierro were almost depopulated at the
399 time of the conquest [77]. In the case of La Gomera, the population size was also reported
400 to be small [78].

401 By directly comparing the mtDNA types found in the indigenous population of
402 each island, we observe that H4a1e, L3b1a, U5 and U6c haplogroups are present only in
403 the eastern islands (Gran Canaria, Lanzarote and Fuerteventura). Differences between
404 eastern and western islands were shown to be significant for the four haplogroups, when
405 all the ancient samples were considered: H4a1 ($p=0.0127$), L3b1a ($p=0.0037$), U5
406 ($p=0.0114$) and U6c ($p=0.0012$). Though also present in the western islands, haplogroups
407 T2c1 ($p=0.0164$) and U6a ($p=0.0028$) appeared more frequently in the eastern islands.
408 However, these results can be artifacts caused by the high frequency of H1cf in El Hierro
409 and U6b1a in La Gomera. After removing these two populations from the western group,
410 only differences in the distribution of U6c remained significant ($p=0.0328$).

411 In contrast with the heterogeneity we observe in pre-Hispanic times, mtDNA
412 haplogroup frequencies in modern populations of the Canary Islands are homogenous
413 (Figure 3), with the sole exception of La Gomera [27]. The high frequency of haplogroup
414 U6b1a observed in the indigenous population of La Gomera is also detected in its
415 present-day population [38]. However, the same pattern is not observed for El Hierro. In
416 pre-colonial times, H1cf was almost fixated in El Hierro [39], while the frequency of this
417 haplogroup today is 4.6%, not significantly different from the average 2.4% observed in
418 the entire archipelago ($p=0.2364$).

419 In order to determine the admixture pattern at an insular level, we compared
420 modern Canarian samples with their principal parental populations: indigenous people,
421 Iberians, and sub-Saharan Africans (Table S1). Global admixture estimations using the
422 new mtDNA dataset (Table 2) confirm previous results on the survival of native lineages
423 in the modern population (55.9%). However, we observed that results within islands are
424 variable. When the miscellaneous ancient sample is used as one of the parental
425 populations, indigenous contribution to the modern population ranges from 30.8% in
426 Gran Canaria to 71.4% in La Gomera. However, this approach is not correct, as we know
427 that the indigenous population of the archipelago was heterogeneous and mtDNA
428 frequencies were variable. With our new data, we were able to estimate admixture, using
429 aDNA sampled directly from each island. Indigenous mtDNA contribution estimates are
430 lower when a direct comparison is performed, with values ranging from 0% in El Hierro
431 to 55.5% in La Gomera (Table 2). The extreme result observed in El Hierro is evidently

432 due to the marked difference between the ancient and current people. It is interesting that,
433 when the miscellaneous sample is used, the indigenous contribution increases to 36.2%.
434 This result is reasonable, given that the present-day sample from El Hierro is not
435 significantly different from other islands. This can be explained if we consider that El
436 Hierro was almost depopulated at the time of the European conquest [79]. In fact, it was
437 recounted in the chronicles that the indigenous population of El Hierro was decimated
438 due to razzias (raids for the purpose of capturing slaves) at the time of the Spanish
439 conquest, and was later repopulated with indigenous populations from other islands and
440 European colonizers [80,81].

441 To determine if a more specific origin for the Canarian indigenous population
442 could be ascertained, the ancient mtDNA sample was combined with a reference modern
443 DNA database containing samples from the Canary Islands, Europe, North Africa, Sub-
444 Saharan Africa and the Near East (Table S1). In the MDS analysis (Figure 4), the
445 indigenous sample from El Hierro and the indigenous and modern samples from La
446 Gomera act as outliers, due to the high frequency of H1cf and U6b1a, respectively.
447 When the two outliers were removed and all the remaining ancient samples were pooled
448 together, the first dimension differentiates sub-Saharan populations from Eurasian
449 populations, including North Africa and the Canary Islands. The second dimension places
450 Canarian and European/Near Eastern populations on both ends, with North Africans in an
451 intermediate position. The closest North African sample to the Canarian indigenous
452 population in the second dimension is West Sahara. However, the ancient sample is
453 differentiated from all current North African populations and placed closer to modern
454 Canarians. This is due to the fact that haplogroups occurring frequently in the Canarian
455 indigenous and current samples (e.g. U6b1a) are not present or appear in low frequencies
456 within the reference populations. This result concurs with later demographic processes
457 reshaping the mtDNA landscape of North Africa, and/or founder effects and isolation in
458 the Canary Islands. It is interesting that, compared to the other islands, the modern
459 populations of Tenerife and Gran Canaria are closer to the European populations. This
460 result is expected, because they each have capital cities of the two Canarian provinces
461 and, thus, have received substantial historical migration from the mainland.

462

463 **Phylogeographic analysis of mitogenomes**

464 The HVRI has been proven to be of limited value in providing a clear picture of
465 the origin of the indigenous people of the Canary Islands. In order to conduct a better
466 assignment of the geographic origin of the maternal Canarian indigenous lineages, we
467 performed detailed phylogeographic analysis of all the lineages observed in the aDNA
468 dataset (Figure S2), including those from Rodriguez-Varela et al. [41]. For detailed
469 information on our phylogenetic analysis, see Supplementary Text.

470 We observe five different H sub-lineages in the indigenous people of the Canary
471 Islands: H1cf, H1e1a9, H2, H3 and H4a1e. H1cf (Figure S4) seems to be restricted to
472 both the Canary Islands and Central North Africa, and shows a coalescence age (~3,400
473 years ago) that is in agreement with a continental origin before the colonization of the
474 islands (Figure S5). Newly defined haplogroups H1e1a9 (Figure S6) and H4a1e (Figure
475 S7) are both restricted to the Canary Islands, with a distribution similar to that observed
476 for U6b1a. However, in this case, H1e1a9 and H4a1e coalescence ages overlap with the
477 human occupation period (Figure S5) and are compatible with an origin in the islands.
478 The presence of lineages derived of H1e1a and H4a1 in both European Neolithic and the
479 Canary Islands indigenous samples corresponds with Eurasian prehistoric intrusions in
480 North Africa (Fregel et al. 2018). Two samples were classified within basal H2 and H3
481 haplogroups, preventing further phylogenetic analysis.

482 Two sublineages of haplogroup J are observed in the indigenous population of the
483 Canary Islands: J1c3 and the newly defined J2a2d1a1. J1c3 is present in Europe, North
484 Africa and the Near East, and more interestingly, in ancient Neolithic samples from Spain
485 and Sardinia (Figure S8). Although J2a2d1a* has been spotted in Central North Africa,
486 subhaplogroup J2a2d1a1 is exclusive to the Canary Islands and Brazil, the latter
487 representing an area with known historical migrations from the islands (Figure S9).
488 Accordingly, this new autochthonous Canarian lineage has a coalescence age that
489 overlaps with the indigenous occupation of the islands (Figure S5).

490 Phylogenetic analysis of the Canarian T2 sequences places them within T2b and
491 T2c1d, two haplogroups thoroughly observed in Neolithic and Bronze Age sites from
492 Europe. The inclusion of ancient and modern Canarian samples allows us to define four
493 new T2c1d subhaplogroups (Figure S10). T2c1d3 haplogroup is present in both Tunisia

494 and the Canary Islands. T2c1d1c1 and its two subclades (T2c1d1c1a and T2c1d1c1b) are
495 present in both North Africa and the current population of the eastern Canary Islands.
496 This distribution could be explained by an asymmetrical migration pattern, or, given its
497 absence in the indigenous people, by a higher impact of Moorish slave trade in the
498 eastern islands (Supplementary Text).

499 We identify several indigenous samples within macrohaplogroup L, belonging to
500 L1b1a and the newly defined L3b1a12. Although Later Stone Age [82], and Early and
501 Late Neolithic [73] samples from North Africa did not show any mtDNA lineage of sub-
502 Saharan origin, our results imply the presence of L1b and L3b1a in North Africa at the
503 time of the colonization of the Canary Islands. Regarding L3b1a12 (Figure S11), this
504 lineage can also be considered autochthonous of the Canary Islands, with a coalescence
505 age posterior to the proposed colonization date (Figure S5). Interestingly, this lineage was
506 only present in the eastern islands in ancient times, but has a wider distribution at the
507 present time, suggesting extensive movement of native people after the conquest.

508 Canarian indigenous sequences belonging to X haplogroup are classified within
509 the X3a clade (Figure S12). This lineage is present both in Europe, the Near East and
510 northeast Africa, as well as in the ancient and current populations of the Canary Islands.

511 Finally, several U6 sublineages are observed in the indigenous population of the
512 Canary Islands, including U6a1a1 (Figure S13), U6a7a1 (Figure S14), U6b1a (Figure
513 S16) and U6c1 (Figure S16). U6a1a1, U6a7a1 and U6c1 are present in the Maghreb,
514 southern Europe and the Canary Islands, and are most probably related to prehistoric
515 Mediterranean expansions (Figure S13, Figure S14 and Figure S16). As reported before,
516 the Canarian autochthonous U6b1a is also present in regions with recent Canarian
517 migration, including mainland Spain and Cuba (Figure S15). Given its coalescence age
518 and the oldest calibrated radiocarbon dates from human remains from the Canary Islands
519 (Figure S5), U6b1a most probably originated in North Africa and later migrated to the
520 Canaries. However, to date, this lineage has not been observed in the continent,
521 indicating the migrations occurred after the colonization of the Canary Islands reshaped
522 the North African mtDNA landscape.

523

524

525 **Discussion**

526 Our mtDNA results on the indigenous people of the Canary Islands shed light on
527 the prehistory of North Africa. Our data are in agreement with recent aDNA data from
528 Morocco [73] and further evidence of a complex pattern of Mediterranean migrations in
529 North Africa. Archaeological records in the Maghreb support this result, and also suggest
530 further European intrusions during the Chalcolithic and Bronze Age eras [83,84].
531 Additionally, Phoenicians, Carthaginians and Romans arrived in the North African region
532 in historical times [85-88]. The presence of haplogroups of Mediterranean distribution in
533 the indigenous people of the Canaries demonstrates the impact of these prehistoric and
534 historical migrations in the Berbers and that they were already an admixed population at
535 the time of the indigenous colonization of the islands [89].

536 In our phylogeographic analysis of complete mtDNA sequences from the
537 Canarian indigenous population, we found lineages that are only observed in Central
538 North Africa and the Canary Islands (H1cf, J2a2d and T2c1d3), while others have a
539 wider distribution including both West and Central North Africa, and, in some cases,
540 Europe and the Near East (U6a1a1, U6a7a1, U6b, X3a, U6c1). These results point to a
541 complex scenario, where different migration waves from a dynamic and evolving North
542 African population reached the islands over time. Every island experienced their own
543 evolutionary path, determined by the environmental conditions and limitations of
544 insularity. Those islands with the capability of sustaining large populations retained
545 variability, while others with more restricted means (La Gomera and probably El Hierro)
546 had to develop cultural practices to avoid inbreeding, like mandatory exogamic practices
547 [78,90].

548 Although the North African Berber origin is the most widely accepted hypothesis,
549 other lines of research have proposed that certain funerary practices and religious beliefs
550 observed in the indigenous population of the Canary Islands could be linked to Punic-
551 Phoenician influence [91], thus proposing the colonization of the Canary Islands as the
552 result of Phoenicians expanding their control to the Atlantic Ocean. Based on the limits
553 of the territorial occupation of the Atlantic West Africa by Phoenicians, Carthaginians
554 and Romans, most researchers consider it unlikely that there was a political occupation or
555 economic exploitation of the archipelago [92-94]. However, the islands were not

556 unknown to Mediterranean cultures, and Romans possessed the seafaring skills needed to
557 travel to the islands [22]. Some authors think Phoenicians also had the navigational
558 technology required to reach the Canary Islands [95,96], although this idea has been
559 challenged [97]. The first Phoenician aDNA sample published was a complete mtDNA
560 sequence of a child from Carthage dated to the 6th century BC [98]. This Carthaginian
561 sample was classified within U5b2c1 haplogroup. This result is interesting, given that U5
562 was more frequent in the indigenous population of the eastern islands, including the
563 island of Lanzarote, where a Punic-Phoenician influence has been proposed. As U5
564 haplogroup was not uncommon in Neolithic European samples, and its presence in North
565 Africa might be due to prehistoric migrations, an alternative explanation would be that
566 haplogroup U5 was incorporated into the Berber mtDNA pool before the Carthaginians
567 were established in Tunisia. Recently, Matisoo-Smith et al. [99] published thirteen
568 complete mitogenomes from Punic-Phoenician samples from Lebanon and Sardinia. The
569 only haplogroups in common with the indigenous population of the Canary Islands are
570 H3 and H1e1a, although, in this case, the Phoenician H1e1a sample is classified within
571 the sub-lineage H1e1a10. The lack of overlap between the mtDNA composition of
572 Phoenicians and the Canarian indigenous people disagrees with either a Punic-Phoenician
573 origin for the ancient islanders or sustained contact between the two populations.

574 Previous genetic analyses of the modern Canarian population detected an
575 asymmetrical distribution of maternal and paternal lineages in the archipelago [31,35].
576 Our aDNA results confirm the existence of asymmetrical distribution of mtDNA
577 haplogroups in pre-colonial times, with the presence of haplogroups H1e1a9, H4a1e,
578 L3b1a12 and U6c1 only in the eastern islands. However, it is worth mentioning that La
579 Palma, the island with the most anthropological evidence of two migrations waves, does
580 not show any of these lineages. If we consider the presence of H1e1a9, H4a1e, L3b1a12
581 and U6c1 haplogroups to be the result of further population movements from North
582 Africa to the eastern islands, we could approximate the date based on radiocarbon dates
583 of the sites where the sample was taken. Most sites where these lineages have been
584 observed have radiocarbon dates placed around the 13th century, and all except one are
585 from after the 10th century. The only site with an older date is Guayadeque; however, we
586 have to take into account that this is a large site, with evidence of human occupation

587 extending until the 14th centuries AD [100], and the dating was not performed directly on
588 the analyzed sample.

589 Archaeological data has evidenced significant changes in the productive strategies
590 of some islands around the 11th - 12th centuries [12,76,101-103]. In fact, recent data
591 indicates probable population growth in Gran Canaria at that time, suggesting the
592 appearance of new settlements associated with an exploitation model that intensified the
593 use of marine resources, the increase in the size of settlements linked to agricultural
594 nuclei, and changes in the production of some craftsmanships [12,104,105]. These
595 changes have been interpreted as part of an endogenous process, as it has been
596 determined that this population growth involved neither significant changes in the
597 structure of human settlements or burials, nor introduced differences in land management
598 or the types of domestic species that were exploited. However, it is also possible to
599 explain those changes as the result of the arrival of new migrants to the island of Gran
600 Canaria. Although it is still under study, there is evidence for transformations in the
601 configuration of some settlements in Lanzarote, between the 8th and 13th centuries [106].
602 Again, these modifications could be reflecting changes in the conception of domestic
603 space due to an endogenous process, or associated with the arrival of new colonizers.
604 Archaeological information from Fuerteventura is not abundant enough to determine
605 population size changes that could be related to the arrival of new migrants. Nevertheless,
606 it is clear from the archaeological record that Fuerteventura and Lanzarote maintained
607 frequent contact and shared both cultural and economic elements [76,107]. Future
608 paleogenomic efforts to obtain high-coverage genomes from all seven islands, in
609 combination with proper archaeological contextualization of the genetic data and detailed
610 radiocarbon dating, will be essential for improving our knowledge of the origins and
611 evolution of the indigenous population of the Canary Islands.

612

613 **DATA AVAILABILITY:**

614

615 Mitochondrial DNA sequence data are available through the European Nucleotide
616 Archive (PRJEB29569). Consensus mtDNA sequences are available at the National
617 Center of Biotechnology Information (Accession Numbers MK139577 - MK139649).

618 Requests for additional materials should be addressed to R.F. (e-mail:
619 rfregel@ull.edu.es).

620

621 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:**

622

623 C.D.B. and R.F. were funded by a grant from the National Science Foundation (1201234)
624 and the Chan Zuckerberg Biohub Investigator Award; R.F. was funded by a Fundación
625 Canaria Dr. Manuel Morales Fellowship and by a grant from Dirección General de
626 Patrimonio Cultural del Gobierno de Canarias (MITOCAN); B.S. was funded by a grant
627 from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (GBMF-3804); R.G.M., J.M.L.S and C.F.
628 were funded by grants from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation (RTC-2017-
629 6471-1) and Área Tenerife 2030 from Cabildo de Tenerife (CGIEU0000219140), and
630 from the agreement OA17/008 with Instituto Tecnológico y de Energías Renovables
631 (ITER). Finally, C.F. wants to acknowledge technical assistance from Ana Díaz-de-
632 Usera.

633 **REFERENCES**

634

- 635 1. Farrujia de la Rosa J (2014) An archaeology of the margins: colonialism, amazighity
636 and heritage management in the Canary Islands. New York: Springer.
- 637 2. Navarro JF (2005) Todo sobre Canarias: Los aborígenes. Santa Cruz de Tenerife:
638 Centro de la Cultura Popular Canaria.
- 639 3. Velasco-Vázquez J (2015) Más allá del horizonte: Una “perspectiva humana” del
640 poblamiento de Canarias. In: Farrujía de la Rosa J, editor. Orígenes Enfoques
641 interdisciplinarios sobre el poblamiento indígena de Canarias. Santa Cruz de
642 Tenerife: Thesaurus Arqueología, Ediciones Idea. pp. 23-89.
- 643 4. Rumeu de Armas A (2006) La conquista de Tenerife (1494-1496). La Laguna:
644 Instituto de Estudios Canarios.
- 645 5. Crosby AW (1988) Imperialismo ecológico. La expansión biológica de Europa 900-
646 1900. Barcelona: Ed. Crítica.
- 647 6. Suarez JJ, Rodríguez F, Quintero CL (1988) Historia popular de Canarias. Vol. 2.
648 Conquista y Colonización: Centro de la Cultura Popular Canaria.
- 649 7. Navarro JF (1997) Arqueología de las Islas Canarias. Espacio, tiempo y forma Serie I,
650 Prehistoria y Arqueología 10: 447-478.
- 651 8. Tejera-Gaspar A (2006) Canarias y el África Antigua. San Cristóbal de La Laguna:
652 Centro de la Cultura Popular de Canarias.
- 653 9. Springer R (2014) Die libysch-berberischen Inschriften der Kanarischen Inseln in
654 ihrem Felsbildkontext; Stroomer H, editor. Köln: Rudiger Koppe Verlag.
- 655 10. Tejera-Gaspar A, Perera-Betancort MA (2011) Las supuestas inscripciones púnicas y
656 neopúnicas de las Islas Canarias. Spal Revista de Prehistoria y Arqueología 20:
657 175-184.
- 658 11. Navarro-Mederos J (1999) «El viaje de las loceras»: la transmisión de tradiciones
659 cerámicas prehistóricas e históricas de África a Canarias y su reproducción en las
660 islas. Anuario de Estudios Atlánticos 45: 61-118.
- 661 12. Morales J, Rodríguez-Rodríguez A, González-Marrero MC, Martín-Rodríguez E,
662 Henríquez-Valido P, del Pino M (2014) The archaeobotany of long-term crop
663 storage in northwest African communal granaries: a case study from pre-Hispanic

- 664 Gran Canaria (cal. ad 1000–1500). *Vegetation history and archaeobotany* 23: 789-
665 804.
- 666 13. Hagenblad J, Morales J, Leino M, Rodriguez-Rodriguez A (2017) Farmer fidelity in
667 the Canary Islands revealed by ancient DNA from prehistoric seeds. *Journal of*
668 *Archaeological Science* 78: 78 - 87.
- 669 14. Ferrando A, Manunza A, Jordana J, Capote J, Pons A, Pais J, et al. (2015) A
670 mitochondrial analysis reveals distinct founder effect signatures in Canarian and
671 Balearic goats. *Anim Genet* 46: 452-456.
- 672 15. Olalde I, Capote J, Del-Arco MC, Atoche P, Delgado T, Gonzalez-Anton R, et al.
673 (2015) Ancient DNA sheds light on the ancestry of pre-hispanic Canarian pigs.
674 *Genet Sel Evol* 47: 40.
- 675 16. Guatelli-Steinberg D, Irish JD, Lukacs JR (2001) Canary islands-north African
676 population affinities: measures of divergence based on dental morphology. *Homo*
677 52: 173-188.
- 678 17. Bermudez De Castro JM (1989) The Carabelli trait in human prehistoric populations
679 of the Canary Islands. *Hum Biol* 61: 117-131.
- 680 18. Bermudez de Castro JM (1989) Third molar agenesis in human prehistoric
681 populations of the Canary Islands. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 79: 207-215.
- 682 19. Billy G (1982) Le peuplement prehistorique de l'Archipel Canarien. *El Museo*
683 *Canario* 41: 59-74.
- 684 20. Santana-Cabrera J, Velasco-Vázquez J, Rodríguez-Rodríguez A (2012) Patrón
685 cotidiano de actividad física y organización social del trabajo en la Gran Canaria
686 prehistórica (siglos XI-XV): la aportación de los marcadores óseos de actividad
687 física. *Tabona: Revista de Prehistoria y de Arqueología* 19: 125-163.
- 688 21. Atoche P, Ramirez-Rodriguez MA (2011) Nuevas dataciones radiocarbonicas para la
689 Protohistoria canaria: el yacimiento de Buenavista (Lanzarote). *Anuario de*
690 *Estudios Atlanticos* 57: 139-170.
- 691 22. Arco-Aguilar MC, Arco-Aguilar MM, Benito-Mateo C, Rosario-Adrian MC (2017)
692 Un taller romano de púrpura en los limites de la Ecumene: Lobos 1. Santa Cruz de
693 Tenerife: Cabildo de Tenerife.

- 694 23. Bronk-Ramsay C (2009) Bayesian analysis of radiocarbon dates. *Radiocarbon* 51:
695 337-360.
- 696 24. Morales-Mateos J, Rodríguez-Rodríguez A, Herbig A (2017) Agricultura y
697 recolección vegetal en la arqueología prehistórica de las Islas Canarias (siglos
698 XI-XV dC): la contribución de los estudios carpológicos. In: Fernández-Eraso J,
699 Mujika-Alustiza JA, Arrizabalaga-Valbuena A, editors. *Miscelánea en homenaje*
700 *a Lydia Zapata Peña (1965-2015)*. Vitoria: Universidad del País Vasco/Euskal
701 *Herriko Unibertsitatea*. pp. 189-218.
- 702 25. Hernández-Marrero J-C, Navarro-Mederos J-F, Trujillo-Mora J-M, Cancel S,
703 Machado-Yanes C, Pais J, et al. (2016) An approach to prehistoric shepherding in
704 La Gomera (Canary Islands) through the study of domestic spaces. *Quaternary*
705 *International* 414: 337-349.
- 706 26. Kivisild T (2015) Maternal ancestry and population history from whole mitochondrial
707 genomes. *Investig Genet* 6: 3.
- 708 27. Rando JC, Cabrera VM, Larruga JM, Hernandez M, Gonzalez AM, Pinto F, et al.
709 (1999) Phylogeographic patterns of mtDNA reflecting the colonization of the
710 Canary Islands. *Ann Hum Genet* 63: 413-428.
- 711 28. Bosch E, Calafell F, Comas D, Oefner PJ, Underhill PA, Bertranpetit J (2001) High-
712 resolution analysis of human Y-chromosome variation shows a sharp
713 discontinuity and limited gene flow between northwestern Africa and the Iberian
714 Peninsula. *Am J Hum Genet* 68: 1019-1029.
- 715 29. Rando JC, Pinto F, Gonzalez AM, Hernandez M, Larruga JM, Cabrera VM, et al.
716 (1998) Mitochondrial DNA analysis of northwest African populations reveals
717 genetic exchanges with European, near-eastern, and sub-Saharan populations.
718 *Ann Hum Genet* 62: 531-550.
- 719 30. Secher B, Fregel R, Larruga JM, Cabrera VM, Endicott P, Pestano JJ, et al. (2014)
720 The history of the North African mitochondrial DNA haplogroup U6 gene flow
721 into the African, Eurasian and American continents. *BMC evolutionary biology*
722 14: 109.

- 723 31. Santos C, Fregel R, Cabrera VM, Gonzalez AM, Larruga JM, Lima M (2010)
724 Mitochondrial DNA patterns in the Macaronesia islands: Variation within and
725 among archipelagos. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 141: 610-619.
- 726 32. Santana J, Fregel R, Lightfoot E, Morales J, Alamon M, Guillen J, et al. (2016) The
727 early colonial atlantic world: New insights on the African Diaspora from isotopic
728 and ancient DNA analyses of a multiethnic 15th-17th century burial population
729 from the Canary Islands, Spain. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 159: 300-312.
- 730 33. Fregel R (2010) La evolucion genetica de las poblaciones humanas canarias:
731 determinacion mediante marcadores polimorficos y uniparentales. La Laguna:
732 Servicio de Publicaciones de la Universidad de La Laguna.
- 733 34. Farrujía de la Rosa AJ, Pichler W, Rodrigue A, Garcia-Marin S (2010) The Libyco-
734 Berber and Latino-Canarian Scripts and the Colonization of the Canary Islands.
735 *African Archaeology Review* 27: 13-41.
- 736 35. Flores C, Maca-Meyer N, Perez JA, Gonzalez AM, Larruga JM, Cabrera VM (2003)
737 A predominant European ancestry of paternal lineages from Canary Islanders.
738 *Ann Hum Genet* 67: 138-152.
- 739 36. Maca-Meyer N, Arnay M, Rando JC, Flores C, Gonzalez AM, Cabrera VM, et al.
740 (2004) Ancient mtDNA analysis and the origin of the Guanches. *Eur J Hum*
741 *Genet* 12: 155-162.
- 742 37. Fregel R, Pestano J, Arnay M, Cabrera VM, Larruga JM, Gonzalez AM (2009) The
743 maternal aborigine colonization of La Palma (Canary Islands). *Eur J Hum Genet*
744 17: 1314-1324.
- 745 38. Fregel R, Cabrera VM, Larruga JM, Hernandez JC, Gamez A, Pestano JJ, et al.
746 (2015) Isolation and prominent aboriginal maternal legacy in the present-day
747 population of La Gomera (Canary Islands). *Eur J Hum Genet* 23: 1236-1243.
- 748 39. Ordóñez AC, Fregel R, Trujillo-Mederos A, Hervella M, de-la-Rúa C, Arnay-de-la-
749 Rosa M (2017) Genetic studies on the prehispanic population buried in Punta
750 Azul cave (El Hierro, Canary Islands). *Journal of Archaeological Science* 78: 20-
751 28.
- 752 40. O'Rourke DH, Hayes MG, Carlyle SW (2000) Ancient DNA studies in physical
753 anthropology. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 29: 217-242.

- 754 41. Rodriguez-Varela R, Gunther T, Krzewinska M, Stora J, Gillingwater TH,
755 MacCallum M, et al. (2017) Genomic Analyses of Pre-European Conquest
756 Human Remains from the Canary Islands Reveal Close Affinity to Modern North
757 Africans. *Curr Biol* 27: 3396-3402 e3395.
- 758 42. Carpenter ML, Buenrostro JD, Valdiosera C, Schroeder H, Allentoft ME, Sikora M,
759 et al. (2013) Pulling out the 1%: Whole-Genome Capture for the Targeted
760 Enrichment of Ancient DNA Sequencing Libraries. *American Journal of Human*
761 *Genetics* 93: 852-864.
- 762 43. Arnay-De-La-Rosa M, González-Reimers E, Yanes Y, Romanek CS, Noakes JE,
763 Galindo-Martín L (2011) Paleonutritional and paleodietary survey on prehistoric
764 humans from Las Cañadas del Teide (Tenerife, Canary Islands) based on
765 chemical and histological analysis of bone. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 38:
766 884-895.
- 767 44. Espinosa A (1980) *Del origen y milagros de la Santa Imagen de Nuestra Señora de*
768 *Candelaria que apareció en la Isla de Tenerife con la descripción de esta Isla.*
769 *Santa Cruz de Tenerife: Ediciones Goya.*
- 770 45. Pinhasi R, Fernandes D, Sirak K, Novak M, Connell S, Alpaslan-Roodenberg S, et al.
771 (2015) Optimal Ancient DNA Yields from the Inner Ear Part of the Human
772 Petrous Bone. *PLoS One* 10: e0129102.
- 773 46. Dabney J, Knapp M, Glocke I, Gansauge MT, Weihmann A, Nickel B, et al. (2013)
774 Complete mitochondrial genome sequence of a Middle Pleistocene cave bear
775 reconstructed from ultrashort DNA fragments. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110:
776 15758-15763.
- 777 47. Meyer M, Kircher M (2010) Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly
778 multiplexed target capture and sequencing. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc* 2010: pdb
779 prot5448.
- 780 48. Fregel R, Almeida M, Betancor E, Suarez NM, Pestano J (2011) Reliable nuclear and
781 mitochondrial DNA quantification for low copy number and degraded forensic
782 samples. *Forensic Science International: Genetics Supplement Series* 3: e303-
783 e304.

- 784 49. Gonzalez AM, Cabrera VM, Larruga JM, Tounkara A, Noumsi G, Thomas BN, et al.
785 (2006) Mitochondrial DNA variation in Mauritania and Mali and their genetic
786 relationship to other Western Africa populations. *Ann Hum Genet* 70: 631-657.
- 787 50. Lindgreen S (2012) AdapterRemoval: easy cleaning of next-generation sequencing
788 reads. *BMC Res Notes* 5: 337.
- 789 51. Li H, Durbin R (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
790 transform. *Bioinformatics* 25: 1754-1760.
- 791 52. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. (2009) The
792 Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25: 2078-2079.
- 793 53. Ginolhac A, Rasmussen M, Gilbert MT, Willerslev E, Orlando L (2011)
794 mapDamage: testing for damage patterns in ancient DNA sequences.
795 *Bioinformatics* 27: 2153-2155.
- 796 54. R_Development_Core_Team (2008) A language and environment for statistical
797 computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-
798 900051-07-0, URL <http://www.R-project.org>.
- 799 55. Fu Q, Mittnik A, Johnson PL, Bos K, Lari M, Bollongino R, et al. (2013) A revised
800 timescale for human evolution based on ancient mitochondrial genomes. *Current*
801 *biology* : CB 23: 553-559.
- 802 56. Renaud G, Slon V, Duggan AT, Kelso J (2015) Schmutzi: estimation of
803 contamination and endogenous mitochondrial consensus calling for ancient DNA.
804 *Genome Biol* 16: 224.
- 805 57. Andrews RM, Kubacka I, Chinnery PF, Lightowlers RN, Turnbull DM, Howell N
806 (1999) Reanalysis and revision of the Cambridge reference sequence for human
807 mitochondrial DNA. *Nat Genet* 23: 147.
- 808 58. DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella KV, Maguire JR, Hartl C, et al. (2011) A
809 framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA
810 sequencing data. *Nat Genet* 43: 491-498.
- 811 59. Kloss-Brandstatter A, Pacher D, Schonherr S, Weissensteiner H, Binna R, Specht G,
812 et al. (2011) HaploGrep: a fast and reliable algorithm for automatic classification
813 of mitochondrial DNA haplogroups. *Hum Mutat* 32: 25-32.

- 814 60. van Oven M, Kayser M (2009) Updated comprehensive phylogenetic tree of global
815 human mitochondrial DNA variation. *Hum Mutat* 30: E386-394.
- 816 61. Milne I, Stephen G, Bayer M, Cock PJA, Pritchard L, Cardle L, et al. (2013) Using
817 Tablet for visual exploration of second-generation sequencing data. *Briefings in*
818 *Bioinformatics* 14: 193-202.
- 819 62. Bandelt HJ, Forster P, Rohl A (1999) Median-joining networks for inferring
820 intraspecific phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol* 16: 37-48.
- 821 63. Forster P, Harding R, Torroni A, Bandelt HJ (1996) Origin and evolution of Native
822 American mtDNA variation: a reappraisal. *Am J Hum Genet* 59: 935-945.
- 823 64. Soares P, Ermini L, Thomson N, Mormina M, Rito T, Rohl A, et al. (2009)
824 Correcting for purifying selection: an improved human mitochondrial molecular
825 clock. *Am J Hum Genet* 84: 740-759.
- 826 65. Saillard J, Forster P, Lynnerup N, Bandelt HJ, Norby S (2000) mtDNA variation
827 among Greenland Eskimos: the edge of the Beringian expansion. *Am J Hum*
828 *Genet* 67: 718-726.
- 829 66. Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and
830 analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic acids symposium series* 41: 95-
831 98.
- 832 67. Fregel R, Delgado S (2011) HaploSearch: a tool for haplotype-sequence two-way
833 transformation. *Mitochondrion* 11: 366-367.
- 834 68. Nei N (1987) *Molecular evolutionary genetics*. New York: Columbia University
835 Press.
- 836 69. Slatkin M (1995) A measure of population subdivision based on microsatellite allele
837 frequencies. *Genetics* 139: 457-462.
- 838 70. Excoffier L, Lischer HEL (2010) Arlequin suite ver 3.5: A new series of programs to
839 perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. *Mol Ecol*
840 *Resour* 10: 564-567.
- 841 71. de Leeuw J, Mair P (2009) Multidimensional Scaling Using Majorization: SMACOF
842 in R. *Journal of Statistical Software* 31: 1-30.
- 843 72. Long JC (1991) The genetic structure of admixed populations. *Genetics* 127: 417-
844 428.

- 845 73. Fregel R, Mendez FL, Bokbot Y, Martin-Socas D, Camalich-Massieu MD, Santana J,
846 et al. (2018) Ancient genomes from North Africa evidence prehistoric migrations
847 to the Maghreb from both the Levant and Europe. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A in
848 press.
- 849 74. Heinz T, Cardenas JM, Alvarez-Iglesias V, Pardo-Seco J, Gomez-Carballa A, Santos
850 C, et al. (2015) The Genomic Legacy of the Transatlantic Slave Trade in the
851 Yungas Valley of Bolivia. PLoS One 10: e0134129.
- 852 75. Bandelt HJ, Herrnstadt C, Yao YG, Kong QP, Kivisild T, Rengo C, et al. (2003)
853 Identification of Native American Founder mtDNAs Through the Analysis of
854 Complete mtDNA Sequences: Some Caveats. Annals of Human Genetics 67:
855 512--524.
- 856 76. Cabrera-Pérez J, Perera-Betancort MA, Tejera-Gaspar A (1999) Majos La primitiva
857 población de Lanzarote. Islas Canarias. Tegui: Fundación César Manrique.
- 858 77. Macias-Hernandez AM (1988) Fuentes y principales problemas metodológicos de la
859 demografía histórica de Canarias. Anuario de Estudios Atlánticos 34: 51-157.
- 860 78. Navarro JF (1992) La Prehistoria de Canarias. Vol. 5. La Gomera y Los Gomeros.
861 Santa Cruz de Tenerife: Centro de la Cultura Popular Canaria.
- 862 79. Ruiz-Gonzalez MT (2008) El territorio de los antiguos bimbaches / Algunas
863 cuestiones en torno al territorio de Esero. VI Congreso de Patrimonio Histórico:
864 'Arqueología en Canarias, Territorio y Sociedad'. Lanzarote: Cabildo Insular de
865 Lanzarote.
- 866 80. Jimenez JJ (1992) La Prehistoria de Canarias. Vol. 6. El Hierro y los Bimbaches.
867 Santa Cruz de Tenerife: Centro de la Cultura Popular Canaria.
- 868 81. Pico B, Aznar E, Corbella D (2003) Le Canarien. Manuscritos, transcripción y
869 traducción, La Laguna. Santa Cruz de Tenerife: Instituto de Estudios Canarios.
- 870 82. van de Loosdrecht M, Bouzouggar A, Humphrey L, Posth C, Barton N, Aximu-Petri
871 A, et al. (2018) Pleistocene North African genomes link Near Eastern and sub-
872 Saharan African human populations. Science.
- 873 83. Souville G (1998) Contacts et échanges entre la péninsule Ibérique et le Nord-Ouest
874 de l'Afrique durant les temps préhistoriques et protohistoriques. Comptes rendus
875 des séances de l'Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-Lettres. pp. 163-177.

- 876 84. Camps G (1974) La civilisations préhistoriques de l'Afrique du Nord et du Sahara.
877 Paris: Doin.
- 878 85. Clark JD (1997) The Cambridge History of Africa,1. From the earliest times to c.
879 500BC. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 880 86. Aubet ME (1994) Tiro y las colonias fenicias de Occidente. Barcelona: Crítica.
- 881 87. Lopez-Pardo F (1993) La colonización fenicio-púnica en el África noroccidental.
882 Hispania Antiqua 17: 435-449.
- 883 88. Le Bohec Y (2005) Histoire de l'Afrique romaine (146 avant J.C. - 439 après J. C.).
884 Paris: Picard.
- 885 89. Camps G (1980) Berberes: aux marges de l'histoire. Paris: Hesperides.
- 886 90. Tejera-Gaspar A (1989) Parentesco, familia y matrimonio en las culturas
887 prehistóricas de las Islas Canarias. In: Bermejo JC, editor. Parentesco, familia y
888 matrimonio en la historia de Galicia. Santiago de Compostela: Torculo Ediciones.
- 889 91. Atoche P, Paz JA, Ramirez MA, Ortiz ME (1995) Evidencias arqueológicas del
890 mundo romano en Lanzarote. Arrecife: Servicio de publicaciones del Excmo.
891 Cabildo Insular de Lanzarote.
- 892 92. Delgado-Delgado JA (2001) Las islas de Juno: ¿hitos de la navegación fenicia en el
893 Atlántico en época arcaica? The Ancient History Bulletin 15: 29-43.
- 894 93. Delgado-Delgado JA (2012) Canarias en la antigüedad como problema histórico.
895 Tabona: Revista de Prehistoria y de Arqueología 19: 9-13.
- 896 94. Raven S (2003) Rome in Africa. London: Routledge.
- 897 95. Gonzalez-Anton R, del Arco-Aguilar MC, Berhmann RB, Bueno-Ramírez P (1998)
898 El poblamiento de un archipiélago Atlántico: Canarias en el proceso colonizador
899 del primer milenio a. C. Eres 8: 43-100.
- 900 96. González-Antón R, Del Arco-Aguilar MC (2009) Navegaciones exploratorias en
901 Canarias a finales del II milenio AC e inicios del primero: El cordón litoral de La
902 Graciosa (Lanzarote). Canarias Arqueológica: Arqueología-Bioantropología 17:
903 9-80.
- 904 97. Guerrero-Ayuso VM (2008) Canarias en el contexto de las navegaciones atlánticas de
905 la Antigüedad. SAGVNTVM Papeles del Laboratorio de Arqueología 40: 37-62.

- 906 98. Matisoo-Smith EA, Gosling AL, Boocock J, Kardailsky O, Kurumilian Y, Roudesli-
907 Chebbi S, et al. (2016) A European Mitochondrial Haplotype Identified in
908 Ancient Phoenician Remains from Carthage, North Africa. PLoS One 11:
909 e0155046.
- 910 99. Matisoo-Smith E, Gosling AL, Platt D, Kardailsky O, Prost S, Cameron-Christie S, et
911 al. (2018) Ancient mitogenomes of Phoenicians from Sardinia and Lebanon: A
912 story of settlement, integration, and female mobility. PLoS One 13: e0190169.
- 913 100. Delgado-Darias T, Alberto-Barroso V, Velasco-Vazquez J (2018) Violence in
914 paradise: Cranial trauma in the prehispanic population of Gran Canaria (Canary
915 Islands). Am J Phys Anthropol.
- 916 101. Delgado T (2009) La Historia en los dientes. Una aproximación a la Prehistoria de
917 Gran Canaria desde la Antropología Dental. Las Palmas: Cabildo Insular de Gran
918 Canaria.
- 919 102. Rodriguez-Rodriguez A, Morales-Mateos J, Pino-Curbelo MD, Naranjo-Mayor Y,
920 Martín-Rodriguez E, González-Marrero MC (2012) Espacios de producción
921 especializada, excedentes y estratificación social en la Gran Canaria pre-europea.
922 Tabona: Revista de Prehistoria y de Arqueología 19: 101-123.
- 923 103. Morales J, Rodriguez-Rodriguez A, Marrero A (2013) Prehistoric Plant Use on La
924 Palma Island (Canary Islands, Spain): an example of disappearance of agriculture
925 in an isolated environment. In: Stevens GJ, Nixon S, Murray MA, Fuller D,
926 editors. Archaeology of African Plant Use. California: Institute of Archaeology
927 Publications, UCL. pp. 195-204.
- 928 104. Moreno-Benítez M (2014) Tiempo y espacio: La cronología de Gran Canaria y el
929 territorio. Boletín electrónico de Patrimonio Histórico 2.
- 930 105. del Pino-Curbelo M, Rodriguez-Rodriguez A, Buxeda-Garrigos J, Mangas-Viñuela
931 J, González-Quintero P, Moreno-Benítez MA (2016) Las cerámicas aborígenes de
932 Gran Canaria (Islas Canarias) a través del yacimiento de La Cerera: materias
933 primas, tecnología y función. Trabajos de Prehistoria 73: 90-114.
- 934 106. Santana-Cabrera J, Moreno-Benítez M, Suarez-Medina I, Mendoza-Medina F,
935 Alberto-Barroso V (2018) Zonzamas: un yacimiento singular en la isla de
936 Lanzarote. Nuevos datos arqueológicos. Actas del XXII Coloquio de Historia

- 937 Canario Americana. Las Palmas de Gran Canaria: Cabildo de Gran Canaria. pp.
938 1-14.
- 939 107. Cabrera-Pérez JC (1996) La Prehistoria de Fuerteventura. Un modelo insular de
940 adaptación. Las Palmas de Gran Canaria: Cabildo Insular de Gran Canaria y
941 Fuerteventura.
942
943

944 **TABLES:**

945 **Table 1 – MtDNA haplogroup absolute frequencies for the indigenous population of**
 946 **the Canary Islands.** Haplogroup frequencies and diversity were calculated using HVRI
 947 sequence data from this study and previously published data. 1: This study; 2: Ordoñez et
 948 al. 2017; 3: Fregel et al. 2009; 4: Maca-Meyer et al. 2004; 5: Fregel et al. 2014; 6:
 949 Rodríguez-Varela et al. 2017.

950

Haplogroup	El Hierro ^{1,2}	La Palma ^{1,3}	Tenerife ^{1,4,6}	La Gomera ^{1,5}	Gran Canaria ^{1,6}	Lanzarote & Fuerteventura ¹	Total
H*	12	10	15	2	33	13	85
H1cf	57	8	6	2	1	-	74
H4a1e	-	-	-	-	3	1	4
HV0	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
J	-	3	4	5	2	-	14
K	-	1	1	1	2	-	5
L1/L2	-	2	2	1	1	-	6
L3	-	-	2	4	1	-	7
L3b1a12	-	-	-	-	5	-	5
HV0	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
Other T	-	1	-	-	3	-	4
T2c1	-	3	12	-	15	2	32
U5	-	-	-	-	3	1	4
U6a	-	-	2	-	6	2	10
U6b	-	2	8	38	4	-	52
U6c	-	-	-	-	5	1	6
U7	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
W1e1	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
X3a	-	4	-	4	1	-	9
Sample size	70	35	53	57	86	20	321
Haplogroup diversity	2.86% ± 2.76%	72.10% ± 7.63%	77.43% ± 4.02%	54.20% ± 7.50%	77.10% ± 3.78%	51.05% ± 12.84%	69.69% ± 2.37%

951

952 **Table 2 – Admixture results based on mtDNA haplogroup frequencies.** Admixture
 953 results for the modern population of the Canary Islands using the three main parental
 954 populations: Iberian Peninsula (IBP), sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) and the Canarian
 955 indigenous population (CIP). Admixture calculations were performed using two
 956 approximations: A) we used the whole ancient dataset (combining the ancient samples
 957 from all the seven islands) as CIP for calculating admixture estimates for all islands; B)
 958 we used each indigenous sample to calculate the admixture of its respective island (e.g. to
 959 calculate admixture in the modern population of Gran Canaria we exclusively used the
 960 ancient samples from Gran Canaria as CIP). Results are shown for: the whole Canary
 961 Islands population (CAN) and the seven individual islands (FUE=Fuerteventura;
 962 GCA=Gran Canaria; GOM=La Gomera; HIE=El Hierro; LAN=Lanzarote; PAL=La
 963 Palma; TFE=Tenerife).
 964

A: Whole indigenous sample									
Component	IBP			SSA			CIP		
FUE	0.4108	±	0.0071	0.0199	±	0.0015	0.5692	±	0.0070
GCA	0.6486	±	0.0039	0.0438	±	0.0012	0.3076	±	0.0038
GOM	0.2170	±	0.0181	0.0691	±	0.0075	0.7139	±	0.0186
HIE	0.6379	±	0.0122	0.0000	±	0.0000	0.3621	±	0.0122
LAN	0.3303	±	0.0084	0.0448	±	0.0026	0.6248	±	0.0084
PAL	0.5599	±	0.0106	0.0000	±	0.0001	0.4401	±	0.0107
TFE	0.5989	±	0.0041	0.0452	±	0.0012	0.3559	±	0.0040
CAN	0.3978	±	0.0100	0.0432	±	0.0029	0.5589	±	0.0100
B: Indigenous sample from each island									
Component	IBP			SSA			CIP		
FUE	0.6458	±	0.0095	0.0203	±	0.0020	0.3339	±	0.0093
GCA	0.6944	±	0.0040	0.0593	±	0.0015	0.2463	±	0.0038
GOM	0.3768	±	0.0049	0.0682	±	0.0024	0.5550	±	0.0049
HIE	1.0000	±	0.0087	0.0000	±	0.0000	0.0000	±	0.0087
LAN	0.7202	±	0.0116	0.0239	±	0.0027	0.2559	±	0.0113
PAL	0.5896	±	0.0134	0.0000	±	0.0116	0.4104	±	0.0118
TFE	0.7306	±	0.0030	0.0495	±	0.0012	0.2199	±	0.0029

965
 966
 967

968 **TITLES AND LEGENDS TO FIGURES:**

969 **Figure 1 – Map of the Canary Islands showing the geographical location of the**
970 **archaeological sites included in this study.** Codes are as follows: 1 – Cueva del Agua; 2
971 – Huerto de los Morales; 3 – Salto del Casimiro; 4 – El Espigón; 5 – Los Pasitos; 6 –
972 Punta Azul; 7 – Barranco de Majona; 8 – El Pescante; 9 – Antoncojo; 10 – Las Arenas;
973 11 – El Cedro; 12 – El Salitre; 13 – El Portillo; 14 – La Angostura; 15 – El Cascajo; 16 –
974 El Capricho; 17 – El Agujero; 18 – El Hormiguero; 19 – Guayadeque; 20 – La Fortaleza;
975 21 – Cuermeja; 22 – Lomo Galeón; 23 – Puente de la Calzada; 24 – El Huriamen; 25 –
976 Montaña Mina.

977 **Figure 2 – DNA authentication results for all the samples included in this study.** A)
978 Insert size density plot. B) Contamination rates estimated using contamMix and
979 schmutzi. C) Damage patterns.

980 **Figure 3 – MtDNA haplogroup frequencies for ancient and current populations of**
981 **the Canary Islands.**

982 **Figure 4 – MDS plot based on haplogroup frequency distances.** A) MDS analysis
983 comparing the individual ancient populations (FUI=Fuerteventura; GCI=Gran Canaria;
984 GOI=La Gomera; HII=El Hierro; LAI=Lanzarote; PAI=La Palma; TFI=Tenerife), with
985 modern Canarian (codes as in Table 2), Caucasus (CAU), North African (codes as in
986 Table S1), Sub-Saharan African (SSA), European (codes as in Table S1) and Near
987 Eastern populations (codes as in Table S2). B) MDS analysis as in Figure 4A, but
988 removing outliers (HIE, HII and GOM) and pooling all the remaining indigenous samples
989 together (CIP).

990

991

992 **TITLES AND LEGENDS TO SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL:**

993 **Table S1 –Populations used for comparisons in this study.**

994 **Table S2 – Summary of mtDNA results for all aDNA samples.**

995 **Table S3 – HVRI data used for this study, including new results on the islands of**
996 **Gran Canaria, Lanzarote and Fuerteventura.**

997 **Figure S1 – Combined calibrated radiocarbon per archaeological site (A) and per**
998 **mtDNA lineage (B).**

999 **Figure S2 – Phylogenetic tree of complete ancient Canarian mtDNA sequences.**

1000 Number along links refers to nucleotide changes, whereas “@”, “d” and “i” indicates
1001 back mutations, deletions and insertions, respectively. Recurrent mutations, such as
1002 309iC, 315iC and 16519, have not been taken into account.

1003 **Figure S3 – Phylogenetic tree of complete modern Canary Islands sequences.** The
1004 most probable geographic origin of the sequences is indicated.

1005 **Figure S4 – Phylogenetic tree of complete haplogroup H1cf sequences.** GenBank
1006 accessions and geographic origin are indicated for each complete sequence taken from
1007 the bibliography.

1008 **Figure S5 – Coalescence ages for mtDNA haplogroups observed in the indigenous**
1009 **population of the Canary Islands.** All the coalescence ages have been calculated in this
1010 study, except for H2a, H3 and T2b, whose ages have been obtained from previous results
1011 (Behar et al. 2008).

1012 **Figure S6 – Phylogenetic tree of complete haplogroup H1e1a sequences.** GenBank
1013 accessions and geographic origin are indicated for each complete sequence taken from
1014 the bibliography. Sub-haplogroups in dark grey and white fonts indicate newly defined
1015 branches.

1016 **Figure S7 – Phylogenetic tree of complete haplogroup H4a1 sequences.** GenBank
1017 accessions and geographic origin are indicated for each complete sequence taken from
1018 the bibliography. Sub-haplogroups in dark grey and white fonts indicate newly defined
1019 branches.

1020 **Figure S8 – Phylogenetic tree of complete haplogroup J1c3 sequences.** GenBank
1021 accessions and geographic origin are indicated for each complete sequence taken from
1022 the bibliography.

1023 **Figure S9 – Phylogenetic tree of complete haplogroup J2a2d sequences.** GenBank
1024 accessions and geographic origin are indicated for each complete sequence taken from
1025 the bibliography. Sub-haplogroups in dark grey and white fonts indicate newly defined
1026 branches.

1027 **Figure S10 – Phylogenetic tree of complete haplogroup T2c1d sequences.** GenBank
1028 accessions and geographic origin are indicated for each complete sequence taken from
1029 the bibliography. Sub-haplogroups in dark grey and white fonts indicate newly defined
1030 branches.

1031 **Figure S11 – Phylogenetic tree of complete haplogroup L3b1a sequences.** GenBank
1032 accessions and geographic origin are indicated for each complete sequence taken from
1033 the bibliography. Sub-haplogroups in dark grey and white fonts indicate newly defined
1034 branches.

1035 **Figure S12 – Phylogenetic tree of complete haplogroup X3a sequences.** GenBank
1036 accessions and geographic origin are indicated for each complete sequence taken from
1037 the bibliography.

1038 **Figure S13 – Phylogenetic tree of complete haplogroup U6a1a1 sequences.** GenBank
1039 accessions and geographic origin are indicated for each complete sequence taken from
1040 the bibliography.

1041 **Figure S14 – Phylogenetic tree of complete haplogroup U6a7a1 sequences.** GenBank
1042 accessions and geographic origin are indicated for each complete sequence taken from
1043 the bibliography.

1044 **Figure S15 – Phylogenetic tree of complete haplogroup U6b1a sequences.** GenBank
1045 accessions and geographic origin are indicated for each complete sequence taken from
1046 the bibliography.

1047 **Figure S16 – Phylogenetic tree of complete haplogroup U6c sequences.** GenBank
1048 accessions and geographic origin are indicated for each complete sequence taken from
1049 the bibliography.

1050







