The Elongator Complex is Required to Maintain Locomotor Healthspan in
Caenorhabditis elegans

Kazuto Kawamura[a1] and Ichiro N. Maruyama[a1]

[a]Information Processing Biology Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, 1919-1 Tancha, Onna-son, Kunigami-gun, Okinawa, Japan, 904-0495

[1]To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: kazuto.kawamura@oist.jp or ichi@oist.jp

Short Title: Elongator Complex Maintains Locomotor Healthspan

Keywords: *elpc-2*, age-related locomotor impairment, forward genetic screen

Abstract: An inherited mutation is not always immediately toxic. Some mutations cause symptoms during youth, while other mutations cause symptoms during adulthood. Mutant animals that show delayed onset of disease symptoms may provide insights into mechanisms that maintain functional capacities during adulthood. Here, we take advantage of the relatively short lifespan of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* and develop a novel screening procedure to collect mutants with locomotor deficits that become apparent in adulthood. After ethyl methanesulfonate mutagenesis, we isolated five *C. elegans* mutant strains that progressively lose adult locomotor activity. In one of the mutant strains, a nonsense mutation in Elongator Complex Protein Component 2 (*elpc-2)* causes a progressive decline in locomotor function. Other *C. elegans elpc* mutants were also unable to maintain locomotor function during adulthood, indicating that the Elongator complex plays a critical role in maintaining locomotor healthspan in *C. elegans*.

Significance Statement

Two people with the same lifespan do not necessarily have the same healthspan.
One person may retain locomotor and cognitive functions until the end of life, while
another person may lose them during adulthood. Currently, the network of genes that
regulate healthspan is largely unknown. Unbiased searches for genes that work to
maintain functional capacities during adulthood may uncover key regulators of
healthspan. Here we isolated five *Caenorhabditis elegans* mutant strains that
progressively lose their locomotor function in adulthood. Mutant analysis suggests that
the Elongator complex regulates locomotor healthspan. Mutants and mutations identified
in the present screen may provide insights into mechanisms of age-related locomotor
impairment and may provide clues for improving healthspan.

Introduction

Inherited mutations can exhibit delayed onset of toxic effects. In theory, three
types of mutations can do so: (1) Gain-of-function mutation that causes a slow,
cumulative form of toxicity; (2) Gain-of-function mutation in which toxicity is triggered
by changes related to aging or adulthood; and (3) Loss-of-function mutation of a gene
that is not required for development, but is required for maintenance of functions during
adulthood. These types of mutations can be underlying causes of familial cases of adult-
onset disease and of more prevalent age-related impairments.

Mutations that cause functional impairment in adulthood take a long time to
study in animals with long lifespans. *Caenorhabditis elegans* is a short-lived model
organism that has been used to identify key genes involved in complex biological
processes. A forward genetic screen for long-lived mutants led to identification of the
insulin-signaling pathway as a regulator of lifespan (1–3). Downregulation of the insulin-
signaling pathway also prolongs healthspan, or the organism's ability to maintain its
functional capacity during adulthood (4–6).

However, recent studies have suggested that the genetic bases of lifespan and
healthspan may not completely overlap (7, 8). From a candidate-based genetic screen,
Iwasa *et al*. found that activation of the epidermal growth factor signaling pathway
prolongs adult swimming ability in *C. elegans* without large effects on lifespan (8). More
examples of genetic pathways that work to maintain healthspan may be discovered by
carrying out unbiased searches for mutant animals that show progressive declines in
functional capacity.

Locomotor ability can serve as an indicator of animal healthspan across species
such as worms, flies, mice, and humans (6, 9–11). A forward genetic screen using *C.
elegans* has previously been employed to identify genes that affect locomotor function
during development (12). However, unbiased screens that focus on locomotor deficits
occurring later in life have not been carried out, in part due to the difficulty in

distinguishing whether symptoms observed during adulthood were already present during
development.

In the present study, we established the "Edge Assay" to measure locomotor
ability of hundreds of adult worms at once. Using the Edge Assay, we developed a
screening procedure to remove mutant worms with strong developmental locomotor
defects on the first day of adulthood, and then isolated mutant worms that progressively
lose their locomotor function on the third or fifth days of adulthood. After ethyl
methanesulfonate-mutagenesis, we isolated five mutant strains that progressively lose
their ability to complete the Edge Assay. In one mutant strain, we found that a mutation
in the *elpc-2* gene causes progressive loss of locomotor function. *elpc-2* works with
other Elongator complex genes, *elpc-1* and *elpc-3*, to maintain adult locomotor function
in *C. elegans*. Along with Elongator complex mutants, isolated mutants from our screen
can be used as tools to explore mechanisms that lead to a delayed onset of disease
symptoms in *C. elegans*.

Results

The "Edge Assay" can test locomotor function of hundreds of worms

Our forward genetic screen isolates mutant worms that progressively lose
locomotor function. We established the Edge Assay to measure locomotor activity of
hundreds of worms at once. The Edge Assay is carried out on a 9-cm agar plate with *E.*
coli bacterial feed spread only on the outer edge of the plate. Up to a few hundred adult
worms are placed on the center of the plate where there is no food (Fig. 1A; Fig. S1).
Motile worms reach the *E. coli* on the edge of the plate, while worms with defects in
locomotion or chemotaxis remain in the center of the plate.

On the first day of adulthood, 91.3% of wild-type worms reached the edge in 15
min and 99.6% reached the edge in 60 min (Fig. 1B; Fig. S1). *C. elegans* mutant strains
that are defective in the function of neurons (*unc-13(e51)*, *unc-43(e408)*) (13, 14) or
muscles (*unc-54(e190)*) (15) could not reach the edge in 15 min on the first day of
adulthood (Fig. 1C). After 60 min, 26% of *unc-54(e190)* mutants, 6.4% of *unc-43(e408)*
mutants, and 0% of *unc-13(e51)* mutants reached the edge (Fig. 1C). Therefore, carrying
out the Edge Assay for 15 min on the first day of adulthood can separate wild-type worms
from worms with strong developmental locomotor defects.

On average, over 90% of wild-type worms could complete the Edge Assay in 60
min during the first five days of adulthood (Fig. 1B). A *C. elegans* model of amyotrophic
lateral sclerosis (Hsa-sod-1(127X)) (16) showed a significant reduction in Edge Assay
completion rate compared to wild-type worms on the fifth day of adulthood (Fig. 1D).
Therefore, carrying out the Edge Assay for 60 min on the fifth day of adulthood can
separate wild-type worms from worms that progressively lose their locomotor activity.

Isolation of mutants that progressively lose locomotor activity during adulthood

We mutagenized wild-type N2 worms using ethyl methanesulfonate, and screened 3352 F2 offspring from 500 F1 worms (1000 genomes) (Table S1). We carried out the Edge Assay for the mutagenized F2 offspring on the first day of adulthood (Fig. 2A). To remove worms with developmental defects, worms that could not complete the Edge Assay in 15 min were aspirated away (Fig. 2A). Only worms that completed the Edge Assay on the first day of adulthood were kept for further screening. On the third and fifth days of adulthood, we tested the worms again with the Edge Assay and collected slow or uncoordinated mutants that remained near the center of the Edge Assay plate after 60 min (Fig. 2A). By removing worms with strong developmental defects on the first day of adulthood, we were able to isolate worms that progressively lost locomotor function during adulthood. We isolated 22 viable mutants, and created individual strains from those mutants (Table S1). Five of those mutant strains reproducibly showed progressive deficits in completing the Edge Assay during adulthood (Fig. 2B).

To determine whether isolated mutant strains have deficits in locomotor function and not sensory function or search behavior, we measured locomotor function of worms on an agar plate without food. We recorded one-minute videos of 15 worms freely moving on a plate, and measured the maximum velocities and total travel distances for each worm. For each strain, we recorded three plates of 15 worms on the first, third, and fifth days of adulthood. All isolated mutant strains showed significantly greater reductions in maximum velocity and travel distance from the first to fifth days of adulthood compared to wild type except for *ix240* worms (Fig. 3A, B, D; Fig. S2A–D; Fig. S3A–D). In the *ix240* worms, progressive deficits other than locomotor function, such as sensory function or search behavior, may cause the reduction in Edge Assay completion rate.

ix241 and ix243 mutant strains show progressive decline in locomotor function

ix241 and *ix243* worms were backcrossed with the parental N2 strain to reduce the number of mutation sites that do not affect locomotor function. After each backcross, we checked for individual lines that still showed a progressive decline in locomotor function. We measured the maximum velocity and travel distance of individual worms on an agar plate without food on the first, third, and fifth days of adulthood. *ix241* and *ix243* worms still showed significant reductions in both maximum velocity and travel distance after the fourth backcross (Fig. 3A, B, D; Fig. S3A–D).

To check whether *ix241* and *ix243* worms were simply aging faster than wild-type worms, we measured lifespans of the two strains. The lifespan of *ix241* worms was not significantly shortened compared to that of wild type (Fig. 3E; Table S2). The median lifespan of the *ix243* worms was shortened by two days (Fig. 3C; Table S2). To compare relative reductions in lifespan and locomotor healthspan, we measured the maximum velocities of wild type and *ix243* worms for 10 days (Fig. S4A). We quantified the percent decrease in lifespan by comparing the areas under the survival curves of wild type and

ix243 worms (Fig. S4B). We quantified the percent decrease in locomotor healthspan by
comparing the areas under the decline in maximum velocity curves of wild type and *ix243*
worms (Fig. S4C). For *ix243* worms, there is an average 11.5% reduction in lifespan,
while there is a significantly greater 18.5% reduction in locomotor healthspan (Fig. S4D).
ix243 worms take a 13.9% longer time to reach adulthood (Table S3). The
developmental delay was taken into account for locomotor and lifespan measurements by
allowing *ix243* worms an extra 10 h to develop, and starting locomotor and lifespan
measurements from the first day of adulthood. *ix243* worms show a 17.7% decrease in
maximum locomotor activity on the first day of adulthood compared to wild-type worms
(Fig. 3B). The deficit in locomotor capacity compared to wild-type worms increases to
54.8% on the fifth day of adulthood (Fig. 3B). These results suggest that the *ix243* mutant
allele has modest negative effects on development and lifespan, with relatively stronger
negative effects on locomotor healthspan.
ix241 worms take 4.0% longer to reach adulthood (Table S3) and show an 18.1%
decrease in maximum locomotor activity on the first day of adulthood compared to wild-
type worms (Fig. 3D). The deficit in locomotor function compared to wild-type worms
increases to 43.0% on the fifth day of adulthood (Fig. 3D). The *ix241* mutant allele has
no negative effect on lifespan, a modest negative effect on development, and a relatively
stronger negative effect on locomotor healthspan.
168
Nonsense mutation in *elpc-2* causes progressive loss of adult locomotor function in
***ix243* worms**
We used whole genome sequencing and a modified version of the sibling
subtraction method to identify the causative mutation site in the *ix243* strain (Fig. S5)
(17). Mutations were evenly induced on all chromosomes in the *ix243* mutant strain
before backcrossing (Fig. 4A). Many mutations remained on Chromosome III after
comparing mutations in backcrossed strains that show a progressive loss of adult
locomotor function and subtracting mutations in backcrossed strains that do not show
progressive loss of adult locomotor function (Fig. 4B; Table S4). A nonsense mutation
from TGG to TAG within the protein coding region of *elpc-2* was predicted to disrupt
protein function (Fig. 4C; Table S4). Presence of the *elpc-2* mutation site was confirmed
by Sanger sequencing (Fig. 4D).
To test whether loss of *elpc-2* causes a progressive decline in locomotor
function, we injected a genomic fragment of *elpc-2* including 2090-base pairs (bp)
upstream of the start codon and 851-bp downstream of the stop codon in the *ix243*
mutant strain. The wild-type *elpc-2* fragment rescued the progressive loss of adult
locomotor function (Fig. 4E, F; Fig. S6A, B). These results suggest that *elpc-2* is
required for maintenance of adult locomotor function in *C. elegans*. The *ix243* mutant

strain is the first reported mutant of the *elpc-2* gene in *C. elegans*.

189   The Elongator complex is required to maintain locomotor function

ELPC-2 is a component of the Elongator complex. In *C. elegans,* there are four

predicted components of the Elongator complex (ELPC1–4). To test whether functional

loss of *elpc-2* causes the locomotor defect independently or as part of the Elongator

complex, we measured locomotor activity of strains carrying deletions in *elpc-1* and

elpc-3. We found that *elpc-1(tm2149)* and *elpc-3(ok2452)* mutant strains also cannot

maintain locomotor function during adulthood (Fig. 5A, B). *elpc-1(tm2149);elpc-*

2(ix243) and *elpc-2(ix243);elpc-3(ok2452)* double mutants did not show additive

deficiencies in locomotor function (Fig. 5C; S7A–H). These results suggest that proper

functioning of the entire Elongator complex is necessary to maintain locomotor

healthspan. We assessed the expression pattern of *elpc-2* by creating an *elpc-2*p*::GFP*

transcriptional reporter that expresses GFP under control of the *elpc-2* promoter. The

transcriptional reporter was broadly expressed in many tissues including head and body

wall muscles, head neurons, pharynx, canal cell, coelomocytes, intestine, and tail (Fig.

S8A–C). The expression pattern of *elpc-2* overlaps with previously reported expression

of *elpc-1* in the pharynx, head neurons, and body wall muscles (19).

206   *hsf-1* and *elpc-2* mutations may cause a progressive decline in locomotor function

207   by overlapping mechanisms

We wondered whether *elpc-2* works together with other known pathways for

maintenance of locomotor healthspan in *C. elegans*. HSF-1 and DAF-16 are key

transcription factors that have been previously reported to control aging and locomotor

healthspan in *C. elegans* (2, 20–23). The *hsf-1(sy441)* mutant, but not the *daf-16(mu86)*

mutant, showed significantly greater reductions in maintenance of locomotor function

compared to wild type during the first five days of adulthood (Fig. 6A). Other studies

have also found that locomotor healthspan is not strongly affected in *daf-16* mutants

during early adulthood (6, 24). Therefore, DAF-16 may be involved in maintenance of

locomotor healthspan during later stages of adulthood compared to HSF-1.

In the *hsf-1(sy441)* and *elpc-2(ix243)* mutant strains, progressive decline in

locomotor function occurs during similar time frames from the first to fifth days of

adulthood (Fig. 3B; Fig. 6A). Therefore, we tested whether locomotor deficits caused by

loss of *elpc-2* and loss of *hsf-1* occur by the same or independent mechanisms. If the

two mutations cause locomotor deficits by independent mechanisms, we expect more

severe locomotor deficits in the *hsf-1(sy441);elpc-2(ix243)* double mutant than in single

mutants. The *hsf-1(sy441);elpc-2(ix243)* double mutants showed no additive

deficiencies in locomotor function compared to the *hsf-1(sy441)* mutant strain (Fig. 6B;

Fig. S9C, D). The percent change in locomotor activity between adult day 1 and 5 was

not significantly different between the single *hsf-1(sy441)* and *elpc-2(ix243)* mutant

worms (Fig. 6B; Fig. S9D). These findings suggest that *hsf-1* and *elpc-2* mutations may
cause locomotor deficits by overlapping mechanisms. There was however, a significant
difference between the locomotor activity of the *elpc-2(ix243)* mutant strain and the *hsf-*
1(sy441) mutant strain on adult days 1, 3, and 5 (Fig. 6B; Fig. S9B). These results
indicate that loss of *hsf-1* has greater effects in development of the neuromuscular
system compared to loss of *elpc-2,* while *hsf-1* and *elpc-2* have similar effects on the
progressive decline in locomotor activity.
234
The *elpc-2* mutation and the tRNA thiolation mutant *tut-1* have additive effects on
progressive locomotor decline
Mutants for *elpc-1* and *elpc-3* have previously been reported to modify the
wobble uridine of tRNA by adding carbamoylmethyl (ncm) and
methoxycarbonylmethyl (mcm) side chains (19, 25). In addition to ncm and mcm
modifications, the tRNA wobble uridine is thiolated by TUT-1 (19). We wondered
whether tRNA modifications may be involved in the progressive decline in locomotor
function observed in the *elpc-2(ix243)* mutant strain. *tut-1(tm1297)* mutant worms also
showed a significantly greater decline in locomotor function during adulthood
compared to wild-type worms, although the effect of *tut-1(tm1297)* was weaker than
that of *elpc-2(ix243)* (Fig. 6C; Fig. S9E, F). tRNA modifications may be involved in
maintenance of locomotor healthspan in *C. elegans.*
The *elpc-2(ix243);tut-1(tm1297)* double mutant showed synthetic, additive
effects for progressive locomotor decline. *elpc-2(ix243);tut-1(tm1297)* double mutant
worms showed a significantly greater reduction in maximum velocity and travel
distance from the first to fifth days of adulthood relative to either of the single mutants
(Fig. 6C; Fig. S9E, F). *elpc-2(ix243);tut-1(tm1297)* double mutant worms also took
almost twice as long to reach adulthood (145.4 h) compared to *elpc-2(ix243)* worms
(80.2 h) or the *tut-1(tm1297)* worms (82.0 h) (Table S3; Table S5). These results suggest
that *elpc-2*-dependent ncm and mcm modifications and *tut-1*-dependent thiolation of
tRNA may have non-overlapping roles during development and for the maintenance of
locomotor function.
257
Discussion
In this study, we established the Edge Assay to simultaneously measure
locomotor function of up to a few hundred worms. For our forward genetic screen, we
used the Edge Assay to remove worms with strong developmental locomotor defects, and
isolated worms with locomotor deficits that become apparent in adulthood. By carrying
out the Edge Assay on the first day of adulthood to remove worms with strong
developmental locomotor defects, we were able to overcome the difficulty of
distinguishing developmental and progressive locomotor deficit mutants. The Edge Assay
can also be used in suppressor screens to search for mutant worms that show

improvements in locomotor function of previously characterized *C. elegans* models of
neurodegenerative disease. It may also be possible to use the Edge Assay to screen for
other types of progressive declines in functional capacity such as sensory or cognitive
deficits by replacing the food ring with specific chemicals or learned cues.

The *ix241* and *ix243* mutant strains show similar declines in locomotor function,
but have different phenotypes in regard to lifespan. This suggests that genes that regulate
lifespan and locomotor healthspan may not completely overlap. In terms of improving
quality of life, genetic regulators of healthspan may be better therapeutic targets than
regulators of lifespan. Further studies and genetic screens that focus on healthspan-related
phenotypes may provide novel insights into mechanisms that regulate healthspan and
quality of life across many species.

In the *ix243* mutant strain, we found that *elpc-2* is required to maintain locomotor
healthspan, and works as part of the Elongator complex. The Elongator complex is an
evolutionarily conserved protein complex that consists of six subunits in *S. cerevisiae*, *A.*
thaliana, *M. musculus,* and humans (26, 27). ELP1–ELP3 form the core complex, and
ELP4–ELP6 form a sub-complex (26). In *C. elegans*, there are currently only four
predicted homologs of the Elongator complex (*elpc-1–4*). From the present study, loss-
of-function mutations in *elpc-1*, *elpc-2*, and *elpc-3* caused a shortened locomotor
healthspan. Proper functioning of the Elongator complex may require multiple or all
components of the complex (27). The present work suggests that the Elongator complex
is essential in maintenance of locomotor healthspan.

Allelic variants of ELP3, the catalytic subunit of the Elongator complex, were
found to be associated with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) in three human
populations (28). Risk-associated alleles have lower levels of ELP3 in the cerebellum and
motor cortex of ALS patients, and protection-associated alleles have higher levels of
ELP3 (28). Overexpression of ELP3 reduced levels of axonopathy in the SOD1^{A4V}
zebrafish model of ALS and SOD1^{G93A} mouse model of ALS (29). The present work
complements studies that have been performed in the context of ALS, and suggest that
loss of the Elongator complex alone can cause locomotor deficits during adulthood in *C.*
elegans. Future therapies that target multiple subunits of the Elongator complex may
provide more robust effects than therapies that target only the catalytic ELP3 subunit.

ELP2 mutations were reported as the causative mutations in a familial form of
neurodevelopmental disability (30). Patients who are compound heterozygotes for two
different ELP2 missense mutations demonstrate a lack of motor control starting in early
development, severe intellectual disability, and progressive loss of locomotor function
(30). Loss of ELP2 function may lead to more severe defects in humans compared to *C.*
elegans. In our newly isolated *elpc-2(ix243)* strain, we also see deficits in locomotor
function on the first day of adulthood and a delay in development (Fig. 3A, B; Fig. S3A,
B; Table S3). The amino acid sequences of *C. elegans* ELPC-2 and human ELP2 are
highly conserved (Fig. S10). This may indicate that some aspects of the

neurodevelopmental dysfunctions that result from the human ELP2 mutation may be
modeled in our *elpc-2(ix243)* mutant strain.

The Elongator complex was originally identified as a transcriptional regulator
associated with RNA polymerase II (31). However, follow-up studies have found that the
main functions of the Elongator complex may involve tRNA modification (19, 32), and
tubulin acetylation (33). The tRNA thiolation mutant, *tut-1(tm1297)*, also showed a
progressive decline in locomotor function. In yeast, tRNA modifications are important
for proper translation and folding of proteins (25). Modification of tRNA affects
translation efficiency and protein folding and may be important in maintenance of
locomotor healthspan. In support of this possibility, loss-of-function of both *elpc-2* and
hsf-1 did not cause additive effects in the progressive decline in locomotor function. *hsf-*
1 is a transcription factor, which induces expression of chaperone proteins that aid protein
folding (22). Overlapping effects on protein folding may explain why the *hsf-*
1(sy441);elpc-2(ix243) double mutant did not show any additive deficiencies in
locomotor function.

In *C. elegans,* mutations in the Elongator complex cause a progressive decline
in locomotor function during adulthood. Elongator complex mutations may represent
loss-of-function mutations that do not strongly affect development, but have strong
effects during maintenance of neuromuscular function. Future studies to characterize
genetic mutations and molecular mechanisms in our mutants may provide further insights
into how an inherited mutation can cause a delayed onset of disease symptoms.

Methods

Strains

C. elegans Bristol N2 strain was used as wild type. Worms were cultivated on
Nematode Growth Media (NGM) agar plates with *E. coli* strain OP50 at 20°C (12). See
Supplementary Information Appendix for strains used in the present study.

Edge Assay

Edge Assay plates were prepared by pouring 16 mL of NGM agar into a circular 9 cm
plate. NGM plates were dried overnight with the lid on at 25°C, then kept at 4°C until
use. On the day before the Edge Assay, a total of 100 µL of *E. coli* suspension was
spotted on four spots near the edge of the NGM plate. The tip of a 50 mL serological
pipette was briefly placed over a flame to smoothen the tip. The NGM plate was placed
on an inoculating turntable and the smoothened pipette tip was held against the *E.coli*
drop. The plate was slowly rotated while holding the pipette tip still. The plate was
rotated 360° to spread the *E. coli* around the edge of the whole plate. Plates were
incubated overnight at 25°C and used the next day. Synchronized worms were collected

and washed twice with M9 buffer containing 0.1% gelatin. Worms were placed on the
center of an Edge Assay plate and excess M9 buffer was removed with the edge of a
Kimwipe. The number of worms that reached or did not reach the edge were counted at
various time points to measure the Edge Assay completion rate.

Isolation of mutants manifesting a progressive decline in locomotor function

Wild-type N2 worms were mutagenized and cultured as previously described (12).
Larval stage 4 worms were mutagenized by incubation in a 50 mM ethyl
methanesulfonate solution for 4 h. EMS-mutagenized F2 adult day 1 worms were
collected and washed twice with M9 buffer containing 0.1% aqueous gelatin. Worms
were placed at the center of an Edge Assay plate and excess buffer was removed with
the edge of a Kimwipe. After 15 min, worms that did not reach the edge were removed
using an aspirator. Worms that reached the edge were maintained on the same plate
until adult day 3. On adult day 3, worms were collected and washed with M9 buffer
containing 0.1% gelatin and the Edge Assay was repeated on a new Edge Assay plate.
Worms that were unable to reach the edge were collected as adult day 3 progressive
locomotor deficit mutants. Worms that reached the edge were maintained on the same
plate until adult day 5. On adult day 5, worms were collected and washed with M9
buffer containing 0.1% gelatin and the Edge Assay was repeated on a new Edge Assay
plate. Worms that were unable to reach the edge were collected as adult day 5
progressive locomotor deficit mutants.

Measurements of maximum speed and travel distance

Worms were synchronized by placing five adult day 1 worms onto an NGM plate with
food, and allowed to lay eggs for 3 h. When the offspring reached adult day 1, 15
worms were picked randomly onto a 6 cm NGM plate without bacteria. After the worms
moved away from the initial location with residual food, worms were again moved onto
a different NGM plate without bacteria. Movement of worms was recorded for 1.0 min
with a charge-coupled device camera INFINITY3-6URM (Lumenera Corporation,
Ottawa, Canada). Images were analyzed using ImageJ and wrMTrck software
(www.phage.dk/plugins) to produce maximum speed and travel distance (34).
Measurements were made with the lid on in a temperature-controlled room set at 20 °C.
At least three biological replicate plates of 15 worms each were measured for each
strain. Worms that were lost during the video recording were not included in the
analysis.

Whole-genome DNA sequencing

C. elegans DNA was sequenced using the MiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA).

Libraries were prepared with an Illumina TruSeq Library Prep Kit. Mapping was

conducted with BWA software (35). Resulting files were converted to bam files, then to

pileup format with Samtools (36). Variant analysis was conducted using VarScan and

SnpEff available on the Galaxy platform (37–41). Mutation frequencies along the

chromosome were calculated and visualized using CloudMap (18).

Transcriptional reporter expression

A genomic fragment of 2090-bp immediately upstream of the start codon of the *elpc-2*

gene was PCR-amplified using "5' *elpc-2*p overlap ppd95.79 107-" and "3' *elpc-2*p

overlap ppd95.79 138-" primers, which have 15-bp overhangs that anneal immediately

upstream of the GFP sequence in the pPD95.79 vector (See Supplementary Information

for primers used). The pPD95.79 vector containing GFP was linearized by PCR using

the "5' ppd95.79 107-" and "3' ppd95.79 138-" primers. The template vector was

digested with restriction enzyme *Dpn*I (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA), and the

linearized vector was purified by Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega,

Madison, WI). The pure linearized vector and the *elpc-2* promoter were fused using an

In-Fusion HD Cloning Kit (Takara, Kusatsu, Japan) to make the *elpc-2*p*::GFP*

transcriptional reporter construct. The construct was microinjected into the gonads of

wild-type worms at a concentration of 50 ng/µL. Worms that expressed the reporter

construct were immobilized in 25 mM sodium azide and observed under a confocal

microscope LSM710 (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). A z-stack image was created

from images taken at 1 µm increments.

Creation of double mutants

407 Double mutant strains were created by crossing males of one strain with hermaphrodites

408 of another. Double mutants were checked by extracting their DNA, amplifying a genomic

409 fragment flanking the mutation site by PCR, and sequencing the PCR product by Sanger

410 sequencing. See Supplementary Information for primer details.

Statistics

413 All results are expressed as means with a 95% confidence interval. Student's *t* test was

414 used for pairwise comparisons with Excel 2010 (Microsoft). For multiple comparisons,

415 one-way ANOVA was followed with Dunnett's post hoc test or Tukey's Honest

416 Significant Difference test using R (42). Statistical significance was set at $*P < 0.05$; $**P$

417 <0.01; $***P < 0.001$.

419 **Acknowledgements**

420 We thank H. Goto, M. Kanda, M. Kawamitsu, S. Yamasaki and other DNA sequencing

421 section members for technical assistance with whole genome sequencing. We are grateful

422 to T. Murayama and E. Saita for helpful advice and support. We thank D. Van Vactor, B.

423 Kuhn and members of the Maruyama unit for helpful discussions and comments. We are

424 grateful to H. Ohtaki for administrative support. We thank Steve Aird for technical editing

425 of this manuscript. We thank the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center, which is funded by

426 NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440), for providing worm

427 strains. We also thank the National Bioresource Project (Japan) for providing worm

428 strains. K. K. was supported by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI

429 (Grant 16J06404).

References

1.   Friedman DB, Johnson TE (1988) A Mutation in the *age-1* Gene in *Caenorhabditis elegans* Lengthens Life and Reduces Hermaphrodite Fertility. *Genetics* 118:75–86.

2.   Kimura KD, Tissenbaum HA, Liu Y, Ruvkun G (1997) *daf-2*, an insulin receptor-like gene that regulates longevity and diapause in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science* 277(5328):942–946.

3.   Klass MR (1983) A method for the isolation of longevity mutants in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* and initial results. *Mech Ageing Dev* 22(3–4):279–286.

4.   Podshivalova K, Kerr RA, Kenyon C (2017) How a Mutation that Slows Aging Can Also Disproportionately Extend End-of-Life Decrepitude. *Cell Rep* 19(3):441–450.

5.   Bansal A, Zhu LJ, Yen K, Tissenbaum HA (2015) Uncoupling lifespan and healthspan in *Caenorhabditis elegans* longevity mutants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112(3):E277-286.

6.   Hahm J-H, et al. (2015) *C. elegans* maximum velocity correlates with healthspan and is maintained in worms with an insulin receptor mutation. *Nat Commun* 6:8919.

7.   Tissenbaum HA (2012) Genetics, Life span, Health Span, and the Aging Process in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 67(5):503–10.

8.   Iwasa H, Yu S, Xue J, Driscoll M (2010) Novel EGF pathway regulators modulate *C. elegans* healthspan and lifespan via EGF receptor, PLC-γ, and IP3R activation. *Aging Cell* 9(4):490–505.

9.   Cesari M, et al. (2009) Skeletal muscle and mortality results from the InCHIANTI study. *Journals Gerontol - Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci* 64(3):377–384.

10.   Grotewiel MS, Martin I, Bhandari P, Cook-Wiens E (2005) Functional senescence in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Ageing Res Rev* 4(3):372–397.

11.   Justice JN, et al. (2014) Battery of behavioral tests in mice that models age-associated changes in human motor function. *Age (Omaha)* 36(2):583–595.

12.   Brenner S (1974) *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* 77:71–94.

13.   Maruyama IN, Brenner S (1991) A phorbol ester/diacylglycerol-binding protein encoded by the *unc-13* gene of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 88(July):5729–5733.

14.   Reiner DJ, Newton EM, Tian H, Thomas JH (1999) Diverse behavioural defects caused by mutations in *Caenorhabditis elegans unc-43* CaM kinase II. *Nature* 402(6758):199–203.

15.   MacLeod A, Karn J, Brenner S (1981) Molecular analysis of the *unc-54* myosin heavy-chain gene of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature* 291(5814):386–390.

16. Gidalevitz T, Krupinski T, Garcia S, Morimoto RI (2009) Destabilizing protein
polymorphisms in the genetic background direct phenotypic expression of mutant
SOD1 toxicity. *PLoS Genet* 5(3):e1000399.

17. Joseph BB, Blouin NA, Fay DS (2018) Use of a Sibling Subtraction Method for
Identifying Causal Mutations in *Caenorhabditis elegans* by Whole-Genome
Sequencing. *G3 (Bethesda)* 8(2):669–678.

18. Minevich G, Park DS, Blankenberg D, Poole RJ, Hobert O (2012) CloudMap: a
cloud-based pipeline for analysis of mutant genome sequences. *Genetics*
192(4):1249–69.

19. Chen C, Tuck S, Byström AS (2009) Defects in tRNA Modification Associated
with Neurological and Developmental Dysfunctions in *Caenorhabditis elegans*
Elongator Mutants. *PLoS Genet* 5(7):e1000561.

20. Satyal SH, et al. (2000) Polyglutamine aggregates alter protein folding homeostasis
in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 97(11):5750–5755.

21. Rollins JA, Howard AC, Dobbins SK, Washburn EH, Rogers AN (2017) Assessing
health Span in *Caenorhabditis elegans*: Lessons from short-lived mutants.
Journals Gerontol - Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci 72(4):473–480.

22. Ben-Zvi A, Miller EA, Morimoto RI (2009) Collapse of proteostasis represents an
early molecular event in *Caenorhabditis elegans* aging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
106(35):14914–9.

23. Hsu A-L, Murphy CT, Kenyon C (2003) Regulation of aging and age-related
disease by DAF-16 and heat-shock factor. *Science* 300(5622):1142–5.

24. Newell Stamper BL, et al. (2018) Movement decline across lifespan of
Caenorhabditis elegans mutants in the insulin/insulin-like signaling pathway.
Aging Cell 17(1):1–14.

25. Nedialkova DD, Leidel SA (2015) Optimization of Codon Translation Rates via
tRNA Modifications Maintains Proteome Integrity. *Cell* 161(7):1606–1618.

26. Creppe C, Buschbeck M (2011) Elongator: an ancestral complex driving
transcription and migration through protein acetylation. *J Biomed Biotechnol*
2011:924898.

27. Dauden MI, et al. (2017) Architecture of the yeast Elongator complex. *EMBO Rep*
18(2):264–279.

28. Simpson CL, et al. (2009) Variants of the elongator protein 3 (ELP3) gene are
associated with motor neuron degeneration. *Hum Mol Genet* 18(3):472–81.

29. Bento-Abreu A, et al. (2018) Elongator subunit 3 (ELP3) modifies ALS through
tRNA modification. *Hum Mol Genet* 27(7):1276–1289.

30. Cohen JS, et al. (2015) ELP2 is a novel gene implicated in neurodevelopmental
disabilities. *Am J Med Genet Part A* 167(6):1391–1395.

31. Otero G, et al. (1999) Elongator, a multisubunit component of a novel RNA
polymerase II holoenzyme for transcriptional elongation. *Mol Cell* 3(1):109–118.

32. Huang B, Johansson MJO, Byström AS (2005) An early step in wobble uridine
tRNA modification requires the Elongator complex. *RNA* 11(4):424–436.

33. Solinger JA, et al. (2010) The *Caenorhabditis elegans* Elongator Complex
Regulates Neuronal α-tubulin Acetylation. *PLoS Genet* 6(1):e1000820.

34. Nussbaum-Krammer CI, Neto MF, Brielmann RM, Pedersen JS, Morimoto RI
(2015) Investigating the Spreading and Toxicity of Prion-like Proteins Using the
Metazoan Model Organism *C. elegans*. *J Vis Exp* (95):1–15.

35. Li H, Durbin R (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-
Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25(14):1754–1760.

36. Li H, et al. (2009) The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.
Bioinformatics 25(16):2078–2079.

37. Koboldt DC, et al. (2009) VarScan: Variant detection in massively parallel
sequencing of individual and pooled samples. *Bioinformatics* 25(17):2283–2285.

38. Cingolani P, et al. (2012) A program for annotating and predicting the effects of
single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of *Drosophila*
melanogaster strain *w* 1118; *iso-2*; *iso-3*. *Fly (Austin)* 6(2):80–92.

39. Goecks J, Nekrutenko A, Taylor J (2010) Galaxy: a comprehensive approach for
supporting accessible, reproducible, and transparent computational research in the
life sciences. *Genome Biol* 11(8):R86.

40. Blankenberg D, et al. (2010) Galaxy: A web-based genome analysis tool for
experimentalists. *Curr Protoc Mol Biol* (SUPPL. 89):1–21.

41. Giardine B, et al. (2005) Galaxy: A platform for interactive large-scale genome
analysis. *Genome Res* 15(10):1451–1455.

42. Team RC (2015) R: A language and environment for statistical computing
[Internet]. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2015.

43. Sievers F, et al. (2011) Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple
sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol Syst Biol* 7(539).
doi:10.1038/msb.2011.75.

Figure 1. "Edge Assay" can measure locomotor ability of worms

(A) (*Left*) Schematic diagram of an Edge Assay plate immediately after placing worms at the center of the plate. (*Right*) Schematic diagram of Edge Assay plate after most worms reached the edge. (B) Edge Assay completion rates of wild-type worms from adult day 1 to 7 after 5, 10, 15, 30, and 60 min. (C) Completion rates for WT and developmental mutants deficient in locomotor function, *unc-54(e190)*, *unc-43(e408)*, and *(unc-13(e51)*. (D) Completion rates of WT and a previously reported *C. elegans* model of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Hsa-sod-1(127X)). For Edge Assay experiments, n = 3 biological replicate plates with each plate starting with approximately 100 worms per plate on adult day 1. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. *$P < 0.05$; Unpaired Student's *t* test for D.

Figure 2. Isolation of mutant strains that progressively lose locomotor ability

(A) Schematic description of a forward genetic screen to isolate mutants that progressively lose locomotor ability. (B) Edge Assay completion rates of mutants identified from the screen. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. n = 3 biological replicate plates, with each plate starting with approximately one hundred worms per plate on adult day 1. *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ns, not significant; Paired Student's t test vs. adult day 1 completion rate.

Figure 3. *ix241* and *ix243* worms show progressive locomotor decline after four backcrosses

(A) Representative locomotor tracks from 1-min video recordings of wild-type (WT), *ix243*(backcrossed four times (4x BC)), and *ix241*(4x BC) worms on adult days 1, 3, and 5 on plates with no food. n = 10–15 tracks per plate (some worms were unable to be tracked for a full minute, and were removed from analysis) (B) (*Left*) Maximum velocities of WT and *ix243*(4x BC) worms. (*Right*) Percent change in maximum velocity of WT and *ix243*(4x BC) worms on adult day 5 compared to adult day 1. (C) Survival curve of WT (n = 56 worms) and *ix243*(4x BC) (n = 89) worms.(D) (*Left*) Maximum velocities of WT and *ix241*(4x BC) worms. (*Right*) Change in maximum velocity of WT and *ix241*(4x BC) worms. (E) Survival curve of WT (n = 94) and *ix241*(4x BC) (n = 77) worms. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. For maximum velocity experiments, n = 30–45 worms per strain for each day (10–15 worms from 3 biological replicate plates). For percent change in maximum veloity graphs, n = 3 biological replicate plates. ***$P < 0.001$; ns, not significant; Unpaired Student's *t* test for maximum velocity comparisons; Log-rank test for lifespan comparisons.

Figure 4. *elpc-2* mutation causes locomotor deficits in the *ix243* mutant strain

(A) Mutation frequency along each chromosome of *ix243* mutant strain before backcrossing. Red bars indicate 0.5-Mb bins and grey bars indicate 1.0-Mb bins. (B) Mutation frequency along each chromosome for pooled *ix243*(4x BC) worms. (C) Schematic diagram of ELPC-2 protein and location of mutation site in *ix243* allele. (D) *ix243* mutation site on ELPC-2 amino acid (AA) sequence and *elpc-2* DNA sequence. (E) Representative locomotor tracks of WT, *ix243*(4x BC), and *ix243*(4x BC);*Ex[elpc-2(+)]*

#1 worms. (F) (*Left*) Maximum velocities of WT, *ix243*(4x BC), *ix243*(4x BC);*Ex[elpc-*
2(+)] #1, and *ix243*(4x BC);*Ex[elpc-2(+)] #2* worms. n = 30–45 worms per strain for
each day (10–15 worms from 3 biological replicate plates). (*Right*) Percent change in
maximum velocity of worms from left panel. n = 3 biological replicate plates. Error bars
indicate 95% confidence intervals. **$P < 0.01$; ns, not significant ; One-way ANOVA
with Dunnett's post hoc test vs. WT.
589

Figure 5. The Elongator complex is required to maintain locomotor function

(A) Representative locomotor tracks of WT, *elpc-1(tm2149)* and *elpc-3(ok2452)* worms. n = 10–15 tracks per plate. (B) (*Left*) Maximum velocities of WT *elpc-1(tm2149)* and *elpc-3(ok2452)* worms. (*Right*) Percent change in maximum velocity of worms from left panel. (C) (*Left*) Maximum velocities of WT, *elpc-2(ix243)*, *elpc-3(ok2452)*, and *elpc-2(ix243);elpc-3(ok2452)* worms. (*Right*) Percent change in maximum velocity of worms from left panel. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. For maximum velocity experiments, n = 30–45 worms per strain for each day (10–15 worms from 3 biological replicate plates). For percent change in maximum veloity graphs, n = 3 biological replicate plates. ***$P < 0.001$; ns, not significant; One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test vs. WT for B; One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test for C.

Figure 6. The Elongator complex may work with Heat Shock Factor -1

(A) (*Left*) Maximum velocities of WT, *daf-16(mu86)*, and *hsf-1(sy441)* worms. (*Right*) Percent change in maximum velocity of worms from left panel. (B) (*Left*) Maximum velocities of WT, *elpc-2(ix243)*, *hsf-1(sy441)* and *elpc-2(ix243);hsf-1(sy441)* worms. (*Right*) Percent change in maximum velocity of worms from left panel. (C) (*Left*) Maximum velocities of WT, *elpc-2(ix243)*, *tut-1(tm1297)*, and *elpc-2(ix243);tut-1(tm1297)* worms. (*Right*) Percent change in maximum velocity of worms from left panel. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. For maximum velocity experiments, n = 30–45 worms per strain for each day (10–15 worms from 3 biological replicate plates). For percent change in maximum velocity graphs, n = 3 biological replicate plates. *$P < 0.05$; ***$P < 0.001$; ns, not significant; One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test vs. WT for A; One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test for B, C.

619 **Figure S1. Photos of Edge Assay**

620 (*Left*) Photo of Edge Assay after 5 min with worms moving away from the center. (*Right*)

621 Photo of Edge Assay after 60 min with worms reaching and remaining in the edge.

623 **Table S1. Number of screened genomes and isolated mutants**

	EMS-mutagenesis I			EMS-mutagenesis II			Total
	Day 1	Day 3	Day 5	Day 1	Day 3	Day 5	
Genomes Screened	400			600			
Isolated mutants		13	23		17	17	70
Viable isolated mutants		3	8		9	2	22
Isolated mutants with reproducible deficits		0	3		2	0	5

Figure S2. Maximum velocity and travel distance of isolated mutants

(A) Maximum velocities of WT, *ix239, ix240,* and *ix242* worms. (B) Percent change in

maximum velocity of worms from A. (C) Travel distances of WT, *ix239, ix240,* and *ix242*

worms. (D) Percent change in travel distance of worms from C. Error bars indicate 95%

confidence intervals. For maximum velocity and travel distance experiments, n = 30–45

worms per strain for each day (10–15 worms from 3 biological replicate plates). For

percent change in maximum velocity graphs, n = 3 biological replicate plates. *$P < 0.05$;

**$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$; ns, not significant; One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc

test vs. WT.

Figure S3. *ix241* and *ix243* worms show progressive locomotor decline after four backcrosses

(A) Travel distances of WT and *ix243* worms (backcrossed four times (4x BC)). (B) Percent change in travel distance of WT and *ix243*(4x BC) worms. (C) Travel distances of WT and *ix241*(4x BC) worms. (D) Percent change in travel distance of WT and *ix241*(4x BC) worms. For travel distance experiments, n = 30–45 worms per strain for each day (10–15 worms from 3 biological replicate plates). For percent change in travel distance graphs, n = 3 biological replicate plates. ***$P < 0.001$; Unpaired Student's *t* test vs. WT.

648 **Table S2. Lifespan Analysis**

Strain	Median Lifespan (adult days)	*P* value vs WT (Log-rank test)	Worms (Counted/Total)	FUDR
N2	18		56/90	25 µg/ml
ix243(4x backcrossed)	16	*P* = 0.00078	89/90	25 µg/ml
N2	18		82/90	25 µg/ml
ix243(4x backcrossed)	16	*P* < 0.0001	84/90	25 µg/ml
N2	18		87/90	25 µg/ml
ix243(4x backcrossed)	16	*P* < 0.0001	87/90	25 µg/ml
N2	17		94/120	0
ix241(4x backcrossed)	16	*P* = 0.095	77/120	0
N2	14		66/90	0
ix241(4x backcrossed)	15	*P* = 0.12	64/90	0
N2	12		67/90	0
ix241(4x backcrossed)	14	*P* = 0.024	74/90	0

Figure S4. Greater reduction in total locomotor healthspan compared to lifespan in
***ix243* worms**

(A) Maximum velocities of WT and *ix243* (4x backcrossed (4x BC)) worms. n = 30–45
worms per strain for each day (10–15 worms from 3 biological replicate plates). (B)
(Top) Representative survival curve of WT (n = 56 worms) and *ix243*(4x BC) worms (n
= 89 worms). (Bottom) Calculation method of percent change in lifespan. (C) (Top)
Representative decline in maximum velocity curve of WT and *ix243*(4x BC) worms. n =
30–45 worms per strain for each day (10–15 worms from 3 biological replicate plates).
(Bottom) Calculation method of percent change in locomotor healthspan. (D) Percent
change in lifespan (n = 3 biological replicate plates for WT and *ix243*(4xBC)) and
locomotor healthspan (n = 3 biological replicate plates for WT and *ix243*(4xBC)) of
ix243(4xBC) worms compared to WT. *$P < 0.05$; Unpaired Student's *t* test.

664 **Table S3. Development times of isolated mutant strains**

665 Development time from egg to first egg-lay (n=5 worms per strain).

Strain	Development Time (h)	% of WT
WT	70.4	100.0%
ix239	74.4	105.7%
ix240	71.2	101.1%
ix241 (4x backcrossed)	73.2	104.0%
ix242	71.8	102.0%
ix243 (4x backcrossed)	80.2	113.9%

669   **Figure S5. Strategy to identify causative mutation site**

671 **Table S4. Remaining mutations in *ix243* mutant strains**

Chrom.	Pos.	Ref.	Alt.	Gene	Mutation Type	Effect
I	3428548	A	AGGCAGACCTAGCCCACCCTGGGCAGACCTAGCCCACCCTG	K03E5.2	downstream gene variant	modifier
I	14448378	G	GCGTCCGGTTTTGGGGTAGGTTTCCACGGCGGCCGACAATTTCCGAGTTTCGCCACTCACTATACTTAATCAGCAATTTTATAGTGAGTTGCGAAACTCGGAAATTGTCGGCCGTCGTGGATAACTACCCCAAAACCGGACGACCGGA	Y105E8A.27	downstream gene variant	modifier
II	1465622	C	T	Y51H7C.13	missense variant	moderate
II	1602489	C	T	bath-47	downstream gene variant	modifier
II	1717193	C	T	btb-7	downstream gene variant	modifier
II	1915528	C	T	math-31	upstream gene variant	modifier
II	2339259	G	T	F43C11.6	upstream gene variant	modifier
II	2428896	C	T	F42G2.2	downstream gene variant	modifier
II	2477652	T	A	tsr-1	upstream gene variant	modifier
II	8975684	G	T	tomm-40	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	577387	TAAAAATTTAACAAAA	T	Y55B1AL.1	downstream gene variant	modifier
III	1704981	A	T	Y22D7AR.10	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	7406703	A	T	linc-165	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	7439448	C	T	linc-165-alh-12	intergenic region	modifier
III	11024784	G	A	Y48A6B.16	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	11416475	G	A	Y47D3B.13	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	11549303	G	A	Y66D12A.14	downstream gene variant	modifier
III	11816202	G	A	C18D11.1	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	11895783	G	A	faah-5	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	12134837	G	A	Y75B8A.6	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	12156042	G	A	linc-87	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	12190456	G	A	Y75B8A.54	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	12200963	G	A	Y75B8A.44	downstream gene variant	modifier
III	12223827	G	A	Y75B8A.16	missense variant	moderate
III	12407309	G	A	tat-1	missense variant	moderate
III	12427755	G	A	Y49E10.16	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	12476529	G	A	Y49E10.33	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	12498064	C	T	Y111B2A.3	synonymous variant	low
III	12678743	G	A	elpc-2	stop gained	high
III	12701690	G	A	spin-4	downstream gene variant	modifier
III	12750669	A	T	irld-60	missense variant	moderate
III	12810002	G	A	BE10.5	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	12838123	G	A	Y37D8A.4	synonymous variant	low
III	12892115	G	A	unc-71	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	12923518	G	A	Y37D8A.16	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	12924071	G	A	mrps-10	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	13352002	G	A	F53A2.9	downstream gene variant	modifier
III	13453318	G	A	cua-1	upstream gene variant	modifier
III	13578898	G	A	T05D4.5	upstream gene variant	modifier
IV	6722231	C	T	Y73B6A.6-Y73B6A.2	intergenic region	modifier
IV	7597770	G	A	tag-80	synonymous variant	low
IV	7597783	T	A	tag-80	missense variant	moderate
IV	17276360	A	AT	gln-5	upstream gene variant	modifier

Figure S6. Wild-type *elpc-2* gene rescues progressive decline in locomotor function of the *ix243* mutant strain

(A) Travel distance of WT, *ix243*(4x BC), *ix243*(4x BC);*Ex[elpc-2(+)] #1, and ix243*(4x BC);*Ex[elpc-2(+)] #2* worms. n = 30–45 worms per strain for each day (10–15 worms from 3 biological replicate plates). (B) Percent change in travel distance of worms from A. n = 3 biological replicate plates. **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ns, not significant; One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test vs. WT.

Figure S7. The Elongator complex is required to maintain adult locomotor function
(A) Travel distances of WT, *elpc-1(tm2149)* and *elpc-3(ok2452)* worms. (B) Percent
change in travel distance of worms from A. (C) Travel distances of WT, *elpc-2(ix243)*,
elpc-3(ok2452), and *elpc-2(ix243);elpc-3(ok2452)* worms. (D) Percent change in travel
distance of worms from C. (E) Maximum veolcities of WT, *elpc-2(ix243), elpc-1(tm2149),
and elpc-1(tm2149);elpc-2(ix243)* worms. (F) Percent change in maximum velocity of

worms from E. (G) Travel distances of WT, *elpc-2(ix243), elpc-1(tm2149), and elpc-*
1(tm2149);elpc-2(ix243) worms. (H) Percent change in travel distance of strains from G.
For maximum velocity and travel distance experiments, n = 30–45 worms per strain for
each day (10–15 worms from 3 biological replicate plates). For percent change in
maximum veloity graphs, n = 3 biological replicate plates. ***$P < 0.001$; ns, not
significant; One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test vs. WT for A, B; One-way
ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test for C–H.
697

Figure S8. Expression pattern of *elpc-2* transcriptional GFP fusion

(A) *elpc-2p::GFP* expression in pharynx, neurons, and head muscles. (B) *elpc-2p::GFP* expression in body wall muscles and canal cell. (C) *elpc-2p::GFP* expression in coelomocytes, intestine, and tail. Scale bars: 10 µm.

Figure S9. The Elongator complex may work with Heat Shock Factor -1

(A) Travel distances of WT, *daf-16(mu86)*, and *hsf-1(sy441)* worms. (B) Percent change in travel distance of worms from A. (C) Travel distances of WT, *elpc-2(ix243)*, *hsf-1(sy441)* and *elpc-2(ix243);hsf-1(sy441)* worms. (D) Percent change in travel distances of worms from C. (E) Travel distances of WT, *elpc-2(ix243)*, *tut-1(tm1297)*, and *elpc-2(ix243);tut-1(tm1297)* worms. (F) Percent change in travel distance of worms from E. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. For travel distance experiments, n = 30–45 worms per strain for each day (10–15 worms from 3 biological replicate plates). For percent change in travel distance graphs, n = 3 biological replicate plates. *$P < 0.05$; ***$P < 0.001$; ns, not significant; One-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post hoc test vs. WT for A, B; One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test for C–H.

717 **Table S5. Development times of *tut-1(tm1297)* and *elpc-2(ix243);tut-1(tm1297)***

718 **mutants**

719 Development time from egg to first egg-lay (n = 5 worms per strain).

Strain	Development Time (h)	% of WT
WT	70.4	100.0%
tut-1(tm1297)	82.0	116.5%
elpc-2(ix243);tut-1(tm1297)	145.4	206.5%

Figure S10. Amino acid alignment of *C. elegans* ELPC-2 and human ELP2

C. elegans ELPC-2 and human ELP2 were aligned using Clustal Omega (43). An asterisk
(*) indicates positions that are conserved; colon (:) indicates positions that are strongly
similar (> 0.5 in the Gonnet PAM 250 matrix); period (.) indicates positions that are
weakly similar (< 0.5 in the Gonnet PAM 250 matrix).

729 **Supplementary Information: Strain list**

Strain	Genotype	Obtained From
CB408	*unc-43(e408) IV*	CGC
CB190	*unc-54(e190) I*	CGC
MT7929	*unc-13(e51)*	CGC
AM725	rmIs290 [*unc-54p* ::Hsa-sod-1 (127X)::YFP]	CGC
VC1937	*elpc-3(ok2452) V*	CGC
PS3551	*hsf-1(sy441) I*	CGC
CF1038	*daf-16(mu86) I*	CGC
n/a	*elpc-1(tm2149) I*	National BioResource Project (Japan)
n/a	*tut-1(tm1297) IV*	National BioResource Project (Japan)
OF1260	*ix239*	This study
OF1261	*ix240*	This study
OF1262	*ix241*	This study
OF1263	*ix241* (4x backcrossed)	This study
OF1264	*ix242*	This study
OF1265	*elpc-2(ix243) III*	This study
OF1266	*elpc-2(ix243) III* (4x backcrossed)	This study
OF1267	*elpc-2(ix243) III;ixEx244[elpc-2(+);lin-44p::RFP]*	This study
OF1268	*elpc-2(ix243) III;ixEx245[elpc-2(+);lin-44p::RFP]*	This study
OF1269	*elpc-2(ix243) III;ixEx246[lin-44p::RFP]*	This study
OF1270	*ixEx247[lin-44p::RFP]*	This study
OF1271	*elpc-1(tm2149) I;elpc-2(ix243) III*	This study
OF1272	*elpc-2(ix243) III;elpc-3(ok2454) V* (#1)	This study
OF1273	*elpc-2(ix243) III;elpc-3(ok2454) V* (#2)	This study
OF1287	*hsf-1(sy441) I;elpc-2(ix243) III* (#1)	This study
OF1288	*hsf-1(sy441) I;elpc-2(ix243) III* (#2)	This study
OF1289	*elpc-2(ix243) III;tut-1(tm1297) IV*	This study

732   **Supplementary Information: Primer list**

Primer Name	5'-3' Sequence
5' *elpc-2p* (2090-bp upstream)	gataagtgacatgccgctgcgtccttac
3' *elpc-2UTR* (851-bp downstream)	aagagacagcgtctgattcttgaaacggta
5' *elpc-2* snp	aaatgaatttttcgccacaaaacccaaaaa
3' *elpc-2* snp	ttcgcgaaaactctcgtagtctgatcctg
5' *elpc-1* del	gaaaagcatcgagttgtccacttgaatcac
3' *elpc-1* del	ctttttcagttgaattctggcatctctccaa
5' *elpc-3* del	taatagaacccagatcgagtttggcagatg
3' *elpc-3* del	aatgcatcgtatgtggttaggcggtaaaac
5' *daf-16(e1368)* snp	ccttctcaccatttgtcccttctgattgat
3' *daf-16(e1368)* snp	aaaatgcgttggcaagtgtgagattgtgt
5' *hsf-1(sy441)* snp	agaaaattccgggaaaaacttttcaagaagc
3' *hsf-1(sy441)* snp	gcaatagaagatcagcatcatccaacgact
5' *elpc-2p* overlap ppd95.79 107-	gggtaccggtagaaaaaatgaaaatcgaagaagaatttatctc tgctag
3' *elpc-2p* overlap ppd95.79 138-	agttcttctcctttactcatctgggaaacattaaagattctcaattt cgc
5' ppd95.79 107-	atgagtaaaggagaagaacttttcactggag
3' ppd95.79 138-	ttttttctaccggtaccctccaagcaagggtc