The association of plasma lipids with white blood cell counts: Results from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis

Lai: lipids and leukocyte counts

Yong Chang Lai[1], Kevin J. Woollard[2], Robyn L. McClelland[3], Matthew A. Allison[4], Kerry-Anne Rye[1], Kwok Leung Ong[1*], Blake J. Cochran[1*]

[1]Lipid Research Group, School of Medical Sciences, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

[2]Division of Immunology and Inflammation, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

[3]Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States

[4]Department of Family Medicine and Public Health, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, United States

[*]Equal last authors

Corresponding author: Blake Cochran, School of Medical Sciences, Wallace Wurth Building 4 East, UNSW Sydney, NSW, 2052, Australia

Email: b.cochran@unsw.edu.au

Phone: +61 2 9385 1599

Subject terms: Epidemiology, Lipids and Cholesterol, Cell Biology

Abstract

Background and aims. Previous studies have demonstrated that elevated cholesterol results in increased white blood cell counts in mouse models. However, there is insufficient evidence to support this in humans. We, therefore, investigated the relationship of plasma lipids with white blood cell counts (basophils, eosinophils, monocytes, neutrophils and lymphocytes) in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA).

Methods. The analysis included 2873 MESA participants at visit 5 with a complete white blood count and differential analysis. The cross-sectional association of total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, HDL cholesterol and triglyceride levels with different white blood cell counts was analyzed by multivariable linear regression.

Results. After adjusting sociodemographic and confounding factors including red blood cells counts, platelet counts, use of lipid-lowering medication, CVD risk factors and other lipid measures, and multiple testing correction, a 1-SD increment in total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol was associated with 2.8% and 2.3% (both $p<0.001$) lower total white blood cell counts. The same increment in ln-transformed triglyceride levels was associated with 2.3% higher total white blood cell counts, 2.9% higher lymphocyte counts and 2.7% lower monocyte counts (all $p<0.001$). HDL cholesterol was not associated with any white blood cell counts. Similar results were obtained after excluding participants taking lipid-lowering medication.

Conclusions. Whilst significant associations were observed, the heterogenous and modest nature of the relationships between plasma lipid levels and white blood cell populations make it hard to support the hypothesis that lipids are in the causal pathway for leukogenesis.

Keywords: lipids and lipoproteins, cholesterol, triglycerides

Introduction

Leukocytes play important roles in immune regulation and tissue homeostasis[1]. Despite studies in animal models demonstrating a link between dyslipidaemia and increased leucocytosis, the impact of high plasma lipid levels on white blood cell counts in humans is poorly understood. Whilst a positive correlation between high plasma cholesterol levels and increased monocyte numbers has been demonstrated in humans[2], the correlation with neutrophil counts is not clear. Moreover, increased cellular cholesterol levels has been demonstrated to increase proliferation[3, 4] and inflammatory responses[5] in T lymphocytes.

With respect to animal models, Murphy, et al. [6] showed that accumulation of cholesterol in hematopoietic stem progenitor cells in apoE$^{-/-}$ mice increased responsiveness to IL-3 and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor. This resulted in progenitor cell proliferation, leading to neutrophilia and monocytosis. Hypercholesterolaemia can also increase circulating levels of C-X-C motif ligand 1 (CXCL1), and increase expression of C-X-C chemokine receptor type 2 (CXCR2) in neutrophils, thereby inducing neutrophil release from bone marrow[7]. While this is true in mice, such changes have yet to be reported in humans with hypercholesterolaemia. Indeed, a study of children with familial hypercholesterolaemia reported no difference in neutrophil counts[8].

While an association between serum cholesterol levels and platelet counts has been described in the United States National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey (NHANES)[9], data on the association of different plasma lipid levels with white blood cell counts is lacking. As both increased lipids and the number of myeloid cells can have an impact on cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk, it is important to determine and understand the interactions between these factors. We therefore investigated the relationship of different lipid measures with different types of white blood cell counts, and whether there were any sex or racial/ethnic differences in the relationship, using data from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA)[10].

Materials and Methods

Study participants

The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) was designed to investigate the prevalence, risk factors and progression of subclinical cardiovascular disease (CVD) in a multi-ethnic cohort. Details of the study have been described previously[10]. Briefly, the study recruited 6814 men and women aged 45-84 years from four different ethnic groups, non-Hispanic whites, African American, Hispanic American and Asian (predominantly of Chinese descent), between July 2000 and August 2002. These participants were recruited from six different US communities (Baltimore, MD; Chicago, IL; Forsyth County, NC; Los Angeles County, CA; New York, NY, and St. Paul, MN) and were all free of clinically apparent CVD at baseline (exam 1). The study was approved by the institutional review boards at all participating centres and undertaken in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice Guidelines. Informed written consent was obtained from all participants. This study was approved by the UNSW Human Research Advisory Panel (HC180331).

The participants underwent four follow up assessments (exams 2-5) over a period of 10 years. Total white blood cell and subfraction counts were measured only at exam 5 (2010-2012). Of the 6814 participants at baseline exam 1, 4716 participants attended exam 5, 2890 of whom had their white blood cell counts measured. After excluding 17 participants with missing data in one or more measures of plasma lipids (total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol and triglycerides) at exam 5, a total of 2873 participants were included in the analysis.

Lipid and white blood cell measurements

Venous blood samples were collected in the morning after a 12-hour overnight fast by certified technicians using standardised venepuncture procedures. Total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol and triglycerides, as well as basophil, eosinophil, lymphocyte,

monocyte, neutrophil and total white blood cell counts, were measured as described previously[11, 12]. Apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) genotype was estimated from single nucleotide polymorphisms rs429358 and rs7412 as described previously[13]. Serum glucose was measured by rate reflectance spectrophotometry using thin film adaptation of the glucose oxidase method. Diabetes was defined as fasting blood glucose ≥7.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL) or use of hypoglycemic medication[14]. Serum creatinine was measured via rate reflectance spectrophotometry and calibrated to the standardised creatinine measurements obtained at the Cleveland Clinic Research Laboratory (Cleveland, OH). Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated from serum creatinine using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) Creatinine Equation[15].

Other covariates of interest

At MESA exam 5, information about demographics, socioeconomic status, medication, dietary and alcohol intake, smoking status, pack-years of smoking, physical activity and medical history were collected via self-reported questionnaire. History of CVD was defined as the presence of an adjudicated hard CVD event, including myocardial infarction, resuscitated cardiac arrest, and stroke prior to, or at the time of, exam 5. History of coronary revascularization was defined as having percutaneous coronary intervention or coronary artery bypass grafting prior to, or at the time of, exam 5. Details of the CVD and coronary revascularization event ascertainment has been described previously[16, 17].

The height and weight of participants was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm and 0.5 kg, respectively, with participants wearing light clothing and no shoes. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing the height in metres squared by the weight in kilograms. Waist and hip circumference were measured in centimetres using a steel measuring tape. Resting blood pressure was measured in the right arm after 5 min in the seated position using an automated oscillometric method (Dinamap) and appropriate cuff size. Readings were taken three times, with the last two

values averaged to obtain the blood pressure reading that was used for analysis. Hypertension was defined as blood pressure ≥140/90 mmHg or taking antihypertensive medication[18].

Statistical analysis

SPSS 24 (IBM, Armonk, NY) and STATA 14.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX) were used for statistical analysis. For variables with a skewed distribution (including triglycerides and different types of white blood cell counts), the data was presented as median (interquartile range) and subjected to natural logarithmic (ln) transformation before analysis to improve normality. For cell counts with a zero value, such as basophils and eosinophils, a constant of 1 was added to the values before ln-transformation.

Multivariable linear regression analysis was performed using robust standard error estimation with each lipid measure (total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol and triglycerides) as independent predictor variables, and basophil, eosinophil, lymphocyte, monocyte, neutrophil and total white blood cell counts as the dependent variables. The regression coefficient (B) was estimated as the ln-transformed cell counts per one SD unit increase in lipid levels. In model 1, data were adjusted for demographic factors including age, sex, and race/ethnicity. In model 2, data were further adjusted for cardiovascular risk factors including BMI, family income, smoking, pack-years of smoking, current alcohol use, physical activity, hypertension, diabetes, use of any lipid-lowering medication, eGFR, *APOE* genotype, history of CVD and history of coronary revascularization. In model 3, data were further adjusted for total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, and triglycerides, where appropriate. In model 4, data were further adjusted for other blood cell count related variables, including red blood cell and platelet counts, where appropriate. In all of the analyses, no multi-collinearity issue was detected (all variance inflation factors <3.0). Multiple testing correction was performed using false discovery rate (FDR) with the study-wide FDR at 0.05.

Analysis of diagnostic residual plots suggested the normality of the model residuals was improved after ln-transformation of total white blood cell, monocyte and lymphocyte counts, but not for basophil, eosinophil and neutrophil counts. For these three blood cell types, ln-transformation was used in the main analysis to be consistent with other blood cell types and a previous MESA study[12]. Therefore, a sensitivity analysis was performed without ln-transformation for basophil, eosinophil and neutrophil counts. In another sensitivity analysis, the above analyses were repeated after further excluding 1158 participants taking lipid-lowering medication.

In a separate analysis, we assessed the non-linear relationship of lipid measures with different types of white blood cell counts using the STATA command "mvrs" which uses regression splines to model potentially nonlinear relationships. We allowed the relationship for the lipid exposure of interest to be nonlinear while assuming that the relationships of other confounding variables with different white blood cell counts were linear. In two situations where nonlinearity was present the approximate knot positions were used to fit linear regression analyses within strata defined by these thresholds.

Interactions with sex and race/ethnicity was estimated by including the interaction term in the regression model in the full sample after adjustment for the main effects of the covariates. However, as the number of Chinese Americans was too small to make inferences about interaction, Chinese Americans were excluded from analysis of race/ethnicity interaction. Subgroup analysis was performed if there was a significant interaction. A two-tailed $p<0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Baseline Characteristics

As shown in Supplementary Table 1, participants included in this analysis were younger, less likely to be Chinese Americans, and more likely to be Hispanic Americans, compared to those

participants not included in this study. Table 1 shows baseline characteristics of the 2873 participants. The cohort consisted of 42.8% non-Hispanic white Americans, 0.9% Chinese-Americans, 27.9% African-American and 28.3% Hispanic-Americans. The mean age was 69.5 years and 52.9% were women. Supplementary Figure 1 shows the distribution of different blood counts with and without ln-transformation. The mean total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol levels were 180.8, 55.0 and 104.4 mg/dL respectively, whereas the median triglyceride levels were 93 mg/dL (Table 1). The median total white blood cell counts levels were $5.8\times10^3/\mu L$ (Table 1).

Association of total cholesterol levels with cell counts

In the fully adjusted model, a higher total cholesterol level was associated with a lower ln-transformed total white blood cell count after adjusting for all confounding factors and multiple testing correction (B=-0.028, $p<0.001$, Model 4) with a 2.8% lower cell count per one SD increase in total cholesterol level (Table 2). When assessing different white blood cell types, a higher total cholesterol level was also significantly associated with a lower ln-transformed monocyte count (B=-0.017, $p=0.034$, Model 4, Table 2), with a 1.7% lower cell count per one SD increase in total cholesterol level. However, this association did not remain significant after multiple testing correction. In the sensitivity analysis, whereby participants taking lipid-lowering medications were excluded, a higher total cholesterol level was also significantly associated with lower ln-transformed total white blood cell counts ($p=0.004$, Table 3). In all the above analyses, no significant interaction with sex and race/ethnicity was observed.

In a separate analysis, we assessed the non-linear association of total cholesterol levels with total white blood cell counts. The regression spline analysis suggested that the association may be somewhat steeper at lower total cholesterol levels, and flatter at higher total cholesterol levels, with a threshold at approximately 155 mg/dL (Table 4). However, such non-linear association was not

found after excluding participants taking lipid-lowering medications. No non-linear association was found for the different types of white blood cell counts.

In all above analyses, similar non-significant results after multiple testing correction were obtained when assessing basophil, eosinophil and neutrophil counts without ln-transformation (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3).

Association of HDL cholesterol levels with cell counts

Whilst a higher HDL cholesterol level was significantly associated with a lower ln-transformed total white blood cell count in the full adjustment model (B=-0.016, p=0.018), with a 1.5% lower count per one SD increase in HDL cholesterol level (Table 2), this result did not remain significant after correcting for multiple comparisons. When analysing different white blood cell types, no significant association was found after full adjustment. Similar results were obtained in the sensitivity analysis after excluding participants taking lipid-lowering medications.

In all the above analyses, no significant interaction with sex and race/ethnicity was found. Similar non-significant results were obtained when assessing basophil, eosinophil and neutrophil counts without ln-transformation (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). No non-linear association was found with total white blood cell counts and different types of white blood cell counts.

Association of LDL cholesterol levels with cell counts

A higher LDL cholesterol level was significantly associated with a lower ln-transformed total white blood cell count in the full adjustment model (B=-0.023, p<0.001), with a 2.3% lower cell count per one SD increase in LDL cholesterol level after multiple testing correction (Table 2). When assessing different white blood cell types, a higher LDL cholesterol level was also significantly associated with a lower ln-transformed monocyte count in the full adjustment model (B=-0.014, p=0.047), with a 1.4% lower cell count per one SD increase in LDL cholesterol level.

However, this association was not significant after multiple testing correction. In a sensitivity analysis after excluding participants taking lipid-lowering drugs, a higher LDL cholesterol level was still significantly associated with a lower ln-transformed total white blood cell count (p=0.004, Table 3). In all the above analyses, no significant interaction with sex and race/ethnicity was found.

In a separate analysis, we assessed the non-linear association of LDL cholesterol levels with total white blood cell counts. The regression spline analysis suggested that the association may be somewhat steeper at lower LDL cholesterol levels, and flatter at higher LDL cholesterol levels, with a threshold at approximately 125 mg/dL (Table 4). However, such non-linear association was not found after excluding participants taking lipid-lowering medications. No non-linear association was found for the different types of white blood cell counts.

In all above analyses, similar non-significant results were obtained after multiple testing correction when assessing basophil, eosinophil and neutrophil counts without ln-transformation. (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3).

Association of triglyceride levels with cell counts

A higher ln-transformed triglyceride level was significantly associated with a higher ln-transformed total white blood cell count in the full adjustment model after multiple testing correction (β=0.023, p<0.001, Table 2) with a 2.3% higher cell count per one SD increase in ln-transformed triglyceride level. A significant interaction with race/ethnicity was found (p=0.005), but not with sex. The association was significant only in African Americans, (p<0.001), but not in non-Hispanic white Americans and Hispanic Americans (Supplementary Table 4). When assessing different types of white blood cell counts, a higher ln-transformed triglyceride level was associated with a higher ln-transformed lymphocyte count (B=0.029, p<0.001) and a lower ln-transformed monocyte count (B=-0.028, p<0.001) in the full adjustment model after multiple testing correction (Table 2), with a 2.9% higher lymphocyte count and a 2.7% lower monocyte count per one SD

increase in ln-transformed triglyceride level. No significant interaction with sex and race/ethnicity was found. No significant association was found between triglyceride levels and other types of white blood cell counts.

In the sensitivity analysis after excluding participants taking lipid-lowering drugs, a higher ln-transformed triglyceride level was still significantly associated with a higher ln-transformed total white blood cell count, a higher lymphocyte count and a lower ln-transformed monocyte count after multiple testing correction (p=0.001, 0.008 and 0.008 respectively, Table 3). No significant interaction with sex or race/ethnicity was found.

In all above analyses, similar non-significant results were obtained when assessing basophil, eosinophil and neutrophil counts without ln-transformation (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). No non-linear association was found with total white blood cell counts and different types of white blood cell counts.

Inflammation and infection related conditions as potential confounders

Since white blood cell counts can be elevated in inflammatory and infection related conditions, a sensitivity analysis was performed after excluding participants with self-reported history of fever, cold/flu, urinary infection, seasonal allergy, bronchitis, sinus infection, pneumonia, tooth infection or liver problem over the past two weeks, or having in this information missing in the medical history questionnaires. Similar to the main analysis, higher total and LDL cholesterol levels were associated with lower ln-transformed total white blood cell counts, whereas higher triglyceride levels were associated with higher ln-transformed total white blood cell and lymphocyte counts, and lower monocyte counts (all $p < 0.01$, Supplementary Table 5). Interestingly, higher HDL cholesterol levels were found to be associated with a lower ln-transformed total white blood cell counts in this sensitivity analysis ($p = 0.007$, Supplementary Table 5), a relationship not

observed in the main cohort. Similar non-significant results were obtained when assessing basophil, eosinophil and neutrophil counts without ln-transformation (Supplementary Table 6).

Discussion.

Using data from MESA, this study examined the relationships between various plasma lipids and a range of white blood cell counts in a multi-ethnic cohort. After adjusting for relevant co-variates, higher total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol levels were all modestly associated with lower white blood cell counts among all participants, or after excluding participants on lipid-lowering medications. When analysing different types of white blood cell counts, higher triglyceride levels were also robustly and modestly associated with higher lymphocyte counts, but lower monocyte counts. The results of this study in the main analysis and different sensitivity analyses are summarised in Table 5.

Elevated cholesterol levels have been demonstrated to promote monocytosis in mice due to cholesterol accumulation and proliferation of hematopoietic stem progenitor cells (HSPCs)[6, 8, 19]. Recently, van der Valk, et al. [20] reported that cholesterol accumulation can occur in human HSPCs and results in a myeloid differentiation bias *in vitro*. This study also reported increased glucose uptake in the bone marrow of subjects with familial hypercholesterolemia, suggesting increased bone marrow progenitor proliferation, although a statistically significant increase in monocyte, lymphocyte or neutrophil counts was not observed. Increased bone marrow glucose uptake, together with monocytosis and leukocytosis has been described in patients with familial dysbetalipoproteinaemia[21].

The effect of triglycerides on CVD risk is well established[22, 23]. However, our analysis found that monocyte counts were lower in people with high plasma triglyceride levels. This reduced monocyte count could be due to increased migration of monocytes into peripheral tissues under condition of elevated triglycerides. Saja, et al. [24] demonstrated in a murine model of hypertriglyceridaemia, increased binding of $Gr1^{low}$ monocytes to the endothelium and extravasation

into the subendothelial space. This resulted in depletion of monocytes from the circulation and accumulation of macrophages in the heart, liver, and kidney. Our analysis also suggests a modest association of higher triglyceride levels with higher lymphocyte counts. We are not clear about the underlying mechanism. However, as lymphocytes comprise a heterogenous group of cells, further studies are needed to identify which type of lymphocytes are affected by elevated triglyceride levels and whether there is any causal relationship.

Neutrophils play an important role in atherosclerosis. They accumulate preferentially in the shoulder regions of atherosclerotic plaques in mice, where there is also a high density of monocytes[7, 25, 26]. This is consistent with atherosclerotic lesion size in mice being positively correlated with neutrophil counts, suggesting a potential role of neutrophils in atherosclerotic lesion development[7]. Indeed, several studies have shown that hypercholesterolaemia induces neutrophilia in mice[6, 27, 28]. Similarly, a cross-sectional analysis by Huang, et al. [29] looking at the effect of hyperlipidaemia, smoking and BMI on peripheral differential leukocyte counts in humans also showed a positive correlation between LDL cholesterol and neutrophil counts. However, we did not find a significant association between lipid levels and neutrophil numbers. Indeed, children with familial hypercholesterolaemia have normal neutrophil counts despite their high cholesterol levels[8].

Inflammation and infection result in the elevation of different white blood cell counts, and may therefore have confounding effect on the relationship between lipids and white blood cell counts. However, in the present analysis, similar results were obtained after excluding participants with inflammation and infection related diseases. Interestingly, increased HDL was associated with decreased white blood cell counts in this sensitivity analysis. HDL function is known to be impaired under inflammatory conditions[30], and persists for a significant period of time after the inflammatory event[31].

Whilst the present study takes the advantage of a large well-characterised sample of with a multi-ethnic study design, there are some limitations. There was an under-representation of Chinese

Americans in the present study, compared to the original parent cohort. Therefore, we could not assess ethnic differences for Chinese Americans. White blood cell profiles were only measured at exam 5 and not at baseline exam 1. As such, we could not assess the temporal relationship between changes in lipid measures and change in white blood cell counts. Additionally, a number of the positive associations found in this study were modest and did not remain significant after testing for multiple comparisons, and this may explain why the results were non-significant in some of the alterative or sensitivity analyses. The current analysis was not able to consider the potential confounding effect of medications that may affect white blood cell counts and inflammation status. As this was an observational study, further investigations are needed to determine the underlying mechanism of the associations and their clinical significance. Nevertheless, the current study is the largest study with multi-ethnic study design to assess the relationship between lipid measures and different white blood cell counts.

In conclusion, this study suggests modest associations between lipid levels and circulating leukocyte counts. Further studies in other clinical settings are needed to confirm our findings. Whilst significant relationships were observed, the relative contribution of lipids and inflammatory factors in driving atherosclerosis remains an open question.

Acknowledgements

Kwok Leung Ong was supported by an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council Career Development Fellowship (1122854). Blake J. Cochran was supported by an International Atherosclerosis Society Fellowship. The MESA study was supported by contracts HHSN268201500003I, N01-HC-95159, N01-HC-95160, N01-HC-95161, N01-HC-95162, N01-HC-95163, N01-HC-95164, N01-HC-95165, N01-HC-95166, N01-HC-95167, N01-HC-95168 and N01-HC-95169 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, by grants UL1-TR-000040,

UL1-TR-001079, and UL1-TR-001420 from National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences. The authors thank the other investigators, the staff, and the participants of the MESA study for their valuable contributions. A full list of participating MESA investigators and institutions can be found at http://www.mesa-nhlbi.org.

Disclosures

Yong Chang Lai: None

Kevin J. Woollard: None

Robyn L. McClelland: None

Matthew A. Allison: None

Kerry-Anne Rye: None

Kwok Leung Ong: None

Blake J. Cochran: None

References

1. Koltsova EK, Hedrick CC, Ley K. Myeloid cells in atherosclerosis: A delicate balance of anti-inflammatory and proinflammatory mechanisms. *Curr Opin Lipidol*. 2013;24:371-380
2. Rothe G, Gabriel H, Kovacs E, Klucken J, Stohr J, Kindermann W, Schmitz G. Peripheral blood mononuclear phagocyte subpopulations as cellular markers in hypercholesterolemia. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 1996;16:1437-1447
3. Armstrong AJ, Gebre AK, Parks JS, Hedrick CC. Atp-binding cassette transporter g1 negatively regulates thymocyte and peripheral lymphocyte proliferation. *J Immunol*. 2010;184:173-183
4. Bensinger SJ, Bradley MN, Joseph SB, Zelcer N, Janssen EM, Hausner MA, Shih R, Parks JS, Edwards PA, Jamieson BD, Tontonoz P. Lxr signaling couples sterol metabolism to proliferation in the acquired immune response. *Cell*. 2008;134:97-111
5. Surls J, Nazarov-Stoica C, Kehl M, Olsen C, Casares S, Brumeanu TD. Increased membrane cholesterol in lymphocytes diverts t-cells toward an inflammatory response. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:e38733
6. Murphy AJ, Akhtari M, Tolani S, Pagler T, Bijl N, Kuo C-L, Wang M, Sanson M, Abramowicz S, Welch C, Bochem AE, Kuivenhoven JA, Yvan-Charvet L, Tall AR. Apoe regulates hematopoietic stem cell proliferation, monocytosis, and monocyte accumulation in atherosclerotic lesions in mice. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 2011;121:4138-4149
7. Drechsler M, Megens RTA, van Zandvoort M, Weber C, Soehnlein O. Hyperlipidemia-triggered neutrophilia promotes early atherosclerosis. *Circulation*. 2010;122:1837
8. Tolani S, Pagler TA, Murphy AJ, Bochem AE, Abramowicz S, Welch C, Nagareddy PR, Holleran S, Hovingh GK, Kuivenhoven JA, Tall AR. Hypercholesterolemia and reduced hdl-c promote hematopoietic stem cell proliferation and monocytosis: Studies in mice and fh children. *Atherosclerosis*. 2013;229:79-85

9. Fessler MB, Rose K, Zhang Y, Jaramillo R, Zeldin DC. Relationship between serum cholesterol and indices of erythrocytes and platelets in the us population. *Journal of Lipid Research*. 2013;54:3177-3188

10. Bild DE, Bluemke DA, Burke GL, Detrano R, Diez Roux AV, Folsom AR, Greenland P, Jacob DR, Jr., Kronmal R, Liu K, Nelson JC, O'Leary D, Saad MF, Shea S, Szklo M, Tracy RP. Multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis: Objectives and design. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2002;156:871-881

11. Ong KL, Morris MJ, McClelland RL, Hughes TM, Maniam J, Fitzpatrick AL, Martin SS, Luchsinger JA, Rapp SR, Hayden KM, Sandfort V, Allison MA, Rye KA. Relationship of lipids and lipid-lowering medications with cognitive function: The multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2018;187:767-776

12. Geovanini GR, Wang R, Weng J, Tracy R, Jenny NS, Goldberger AL, Costa MD, Liu Y, Libby P, Redline S. Elevations in neutrophils with obstructive sleep apnea: The multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (mesa). *International Journal of Cardiology*. 2018;257:318-323

13. Fitzpatrick AL, Rapp SR, Luchsinger J, Hill-Briggs F, Alonso A, Gottesman R, Lee H, Carnethon M, Liu K, Williams K, Sharrett AR, Frazier-Wood A, Lyketsos C, Seeman T. Sociodemographic correlates of cognition in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (mesa). *The American journal of geriatric psychiatry : official journal of the American Association for Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2015;23:684-697

14. Genuth S, Alberti KG, Bennett P, Buse J, Defronzo R, Kahn R, Kitzmiller J, Knowler WC, Lebovitz H, Lernmark A, Nathan D, Palmer J, Rizza R, Saudek C, Shaw J, Steffes M, Stern M, Tuomilehto J, Zimmet P. Follow-up report on the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes care*. 2003;26:3160-3167

15. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF, 3rd, Feldman HI, Kusek JW, Eggers P, Van Lente F, Greene T, Coresh J, Ckd EPI. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med*. 2009;150:604-612

16. Hui TH, McClelland RL, Allison MA, Rodriguez CJ, Kronmal RA, Heckbert SR, Michos ED, Barter PJ, Rye KA, Ong KL. The relationship of circulating fibroblast growth factor 21 levels with incident atrial fibrillation: The multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *Atherosclerosis*. 2018;269:86-91

17. Silverman MG, Harkness JR, Blankstein R, Budoff MJ, Agatston AS, Carr JJ, Lima JA, Blumenthal RS, Nasir K, Blaha MJ. Baseline subclinical atherosclerosis burden and distribution are associated with frequency and mode of future coronary revascularization: Multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2014;7:476-486

18. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, Cushman WC, Green LA, Izzo JL, Jr., Jones DW, Materson BJ, Oparil S, Wright JT, Jr., Roccella EJ. The seventh report of the joint national committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure: The jnc 7 report. *Jama*. 2003;289:2560-2572

19. Swirski FK, Libby P, Aikawa E, Alcaide P, Luscinskas FW, Weissleder R, Pittet MJ. Ly-6chi monocytes dominate hypercholesterolemia-associated monocytosis and give rise to macrophages in atheromata. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 2007;117:195-205

20. van der Valk FM, Kuijk C, Verweij SL, Stiekema LCA, Kaiser Y, Zeerleder S, Nahrendorf M, Voermans C, Stroes ESG. Increased haematopoietic activity in patients with atherosclerosis. *European Heart Journal*. 2017;38:425-432

21. Bernelot Moens SJ, Verweij SL, Schnitzler JG, Stiekema LCA, Bos M, Langsted A, Kuijk C, Bekkering S, Voermans C, Verberne HJ, Nordestgaard BG, Stroes ESG, Kroon J. Remnant cholesterol elicits arterial wall inflammation and a multilevel cellular immune response in humans. *Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology*. 2017;37:969-975

22. Sarwar N, Danesh J, Eiriksdottir G, Sigurdsson G, Wareham N, Bingham S, Boekholdt SM, Khaw KT, Gudnason V. Triglycerides and the risk of coronary heart disease: 10,158 incident cases among 262,525 participants in 29 western prospective studies. *Circulation*. 2007;115:450-458

23. Varbo A, Benn M, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Nordestgaard BG. Elevated remnant cholesterol causes both low-grade inflammation and ischemic heart disease, whereas elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol causes ischemic heart disease without inflammation. *Circulation*. 2013;128:1298-1309

24. Saja Maha F, Baudino L, Jackson William D, Cook H T, Malik Talat H, Fossati-Jimack L, Ruseva M, Pickering Matthew C, Woollard Kevin J, Botto M. Triglyceride-rich lipoproteins modulate the distribution and extravasation of ly6c/gr1(low) monocytes. *Cell Reports*. 2015;12:1802-1815

25. van Leeuwen M, Gijbels MJJ, Duijvestijn A, Smook M, van de Gaar MJ, Heeringa P, de Winther MPJ, Tervaert JWC. Accumulation of myeloperoxidase-positive neutrophils in atherosclerotic lesions in ldlr−/− mice. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*. 2008;28:84-89

26. Rotzius P, Thams S, Soehnlein O, Kenne E, Tseng CN, Bjorkstrom NK, Malmberg KJ, Lindbom L, Eriksson EE. Distinct infiltration of neutrophils in lesion shoulders in apoe-/- mice. *The American journal of pathology*. 2010;177:493-500

27. Doring Y, Soehnlein O, Drechsler M, Shagdarsuren E, Chaudhari SM, Meiler S, Hartwig H, Hristov M, Koenen RR, Hieronymus T, Zenke M, Weber C, Zernecke A. Hematopoietic interferon regulatory factor 8-deficiency accelerates atherosclerosis in mice. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2012;32:1613-1623

28. Drechsler M, Megens RT, van Zandvoort M, Weber C, Soehnlein O. Hyperlipidemia-triggered neutrophilia promotes early atherosclerosis. *Circulation*. 2010;122:1837-1845

29. Huang Z-S, Chien K-L, Yang C-Y, Tsai K-S, Wang C-H. Peripheral differential leukocyte counts in humans vary with hyperlipidemia, smoking, and body mass index. *Lipids*. 2001;36:237-245

30. Smith JD. Dysfunctional hdl as a diagnostic and therapeutic target. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2010;30:151-155

31. Van Lenten BJ, Hama SY, de Beer FC, Stafforini DM, McIntyre TM, Prescott SM, La Du BN, Fogelman AM, Navab M. Anti-inflammatory hdl becomes pro-inflammatory during the acute phase response. Loss of protective effect of hdl against ldl oxidation in aortic wall cell cocultures. *J Clin Invest*. 1995;96:2758-2767

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of participants

Characteristics	n	Estimate
Age, year	2873	69.5 ± 9.3
Women	2873	1519 (52.9%)
Annual family income (US$)	2760	
<30,000		922 (33.4%)
30,000 - 74,999		1161 (42.1%)
>= 75,000		677 (24.5%)
BMI, kg/m^2	2870	29.3 ± 5.7
Smoking	2848	
Never		1157 (40.6%)
Former		1436 (50.4%)
Current		255 (9.0%)
Pack-years of smoking	2813	11.8 ± 20.5
Current alcohol use	2853	1266 (44.4%)
Physical activity, MET-hours/weeks	2847	90.8 ± 109.1
Hypertension	2871	1780 (62.0%)
Lipid-lowering medication	2873	1158 (40.3%)
One or more *APOE* E4 alleles	2719	720 (26.5%)
eGFR, mL/min/1.73 m^2	2873	79.3 ± 18.2
Diabetes	2873	596 (20.7%)
History of CVD	2854	105 (3.7%)
History of coronary revascularization	2871	123 (4.3%)
Lipid profile		
Total cholesterol, mg/dL	2873	180.8 ± 37.5
HDL cholesterol, mg/dL	2873	55.0 ± 16.5
LDL cholesterol, mg/dL	2873	104.4 ± 32.6
Triglycerides, mg/dL	2873	93 (70 – 130)
Blood cell counts		
Basophils, $\times 10^3/\mu L$	2873	0.00 (0.00 - 0.04)
ln (basophils, $\times 10^3/\mu L$)	2873	0.023 ± 0.040
Eosinophils, $\times 10^3/\mu L$	2873	0.1 (0.1 - 0.2)
ln (eosinophils, $\times 10^3/\mu L$)	2873	0.152 ± 0.106
Lymphocytes, $\times 10^3/\mu L$	2873	1.7 (1.3 - 2.1)
ln (lymphocytes, $\times 10^3/\mu L$)	2873	0.519 ± 0.355
Monocytes $\times 10^3/\mu L$	2873	0.4 (0.3 - 0.6)
ln (monocytes, $\times 10^3/\mu L$)	2872	-0.826 ± 0.377
Neutrophils, $\times 10^3/\mu L$	2873	3.4 (2.7 - 4.3)
ln (neutrophils, $\times 10^3/\mu L$)	2873	1.21 ± 0.39
Total white blood cells, $\times 10^3/\mu L$	2873	5.8 (4.8 – 7.0)
ln (total white blood cells, $\times 10^3/\mu L$)	2873	1.76 ± 0.29
Red blood cells, $\times 10^6/\mu L$	2873	4.48 ± 0.49
Platelets, $\times 10^3/\mu L$	2868	228.4 ± 62.5

Data are expressed as mean ± SD, n (%), or median (interquartile range).

APOE, apolipoprotein E; BMI, body mass index; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein, MET, metabolic equivalent.

Table 2. Association of lipid levels with different types of white blood cell counts

Lipid measures	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		Model 4	
	B (95% CI)	*p*-value	B (95% CI)	*p*-value	B (95% CI)	*p*-value	B (95% CI)	*p*-value
White blood cell count								
Total cholesterol	-0.024 (-0.035, -0.013)	<0.001	-0.007 (-0.020, 0.005)	0.255	-0.019 (-0.034, -0.004)	0.013[a]	-0.028 (-0.042, -0.014)	<0.001
HDL cholesterol	-0.051 (-0.063, -0.040)	<0.001	-0.027 (-0.039, -0.015)	<0.001	-0.015 (-0.028, -0.002)	0.029[a]	-0.016 (-0.028, -0.003)	0.018[a]
LDL cholesterol	-0.017 (-0.029, -0.006)	0.003	-0.004 (-0.016, 0.008)	0.516	-0.014 (-0.027, -0.001)	0.031[a]	-0.023 (-0.035, -0.011)	<0.001
Triglyceride	0.053 (0.043, 0.064)	<0.001	0.032 (0.021, 0.043)	<0.001	0.029 (0.017, 0.042)	<0.001	0.023 (0.011, 0.035)	<0.001
Basophil count								
Total cholesterol	0.001 (-0.001, 0.002)	0.222	0.001 (-0.001, 0.002)	0.506	0.000 (-0.005, 0.004)	0.950	-0.001 (-0.003, 0.001)	0.538
HDL cholesterol	-0.001 (-0.003, 0.001)	0.247	-0.001 (-0.003, 0.001)	0.167	0.000 (-0.002, 0.002)	0.712	-0.001 (-0.003, 0.002)	0.602
LDL cholesterol	0.001 (-0.001, 0.002)	0.283	0.000 (-0.001, 0.002)	0.645	0.000 (-0.002, 0.002)	0.809	-0.001 (-0.002, 0.001)	0.420
Triglyceride	0.002 (0.000, 0.003)	0.016	0.002 (0.000, 0.004)	0.011	0.002 (0.000, 0.004)	0.042[a]	0.002 (0.000, 0.004)	0.056
Eosinophil count								
Total cholesterol	-0.002 (-0.005, 0.002)	0.376	-0.001 (-0.005, 0.004)	0.695	-0.002 (-0.007, 0.004)	0.570	-0.002 (-0.007, 0.003)	0.415
HDL cholesterol	-0.003 (-0.008, -0.002)	0.253	0.000 (-0.005, 0.006)	0.886	0.001 (-0.005, 0.006)	0.861	0.000 (-0.005, 0.006)	0.933
LDL cholesterol	-0.001 (-0.005, 0.002)	0.461	-0.002 (-0.006, 0.003)	0.497	-0.002 (-0.006, 0.003)	0.483	-0.002 (-0.007, 0.002)	0.333
Triglyceride	0.002 (-0.002, 0.006)	0.352	0.000 (-0.004, 0.005)	0.972	0.001 (-0.004, 0.006)	0.789	0.001 (-0.004, 0.006)	0.814
Monocyte count								
Total cholesterol	-0.021 (-0.032, -0.010)	<0.001	-0.022 (-0.035, -0.009)	<0.001	-0.014 (-0.029, 0.002)	0.090	-0.017 (-0.033, -0.001)	0.034[a]
HDL cholesterol	0.003 (-0.010, 0.016)	0.695	0.006 (-0.009, 0.021)	0.433	-0.008 (-0.024, 0.009)	0.378	-0.008 (-0.024, 0.009)	0.371
LDL cholesterol	-0.017 (-0.028, -0.006)	0.002	-0.017 (-0.030, -0.004)	0.011	-0.010 (-0.024, 0.003)	0.129	-0.014 (-0.028, 0.000)	0.047[a]

Triglyceride	-0.020 (-0.031, -0.008)	<0.001	-0.026 (-0.038, -0.014)	<0.001	-0.026 (-0.040, -0.012)	<0.001	-0.028 (-0.042, -0.013)	<0.001
Neutrophil count								
Total cholesterol	-0.002 (-0.009, 0.005)	0.549	-0.005 (-0.013, 0.003)	0.224	-0.002 (-0.011, 0.007)	0.682	0.000 (-0.010, 0.010)	0.958
HDL cholesterol	0.011 (0.004, 0.019)	0.004	0.008 (-0.001, 0.017)	0.082	0.003 (-0.007, 0.012)	0.566	0.003 (-0.006, 0.013)	0.527
LDL cholesterol	-0.003 (-0.010, 0.003)	0.276	-0.005 (-0.012, 0.003)	0.201	-0.002 (-0.010, 0.006)	0.689	0.000 (-0.001, 0.008)	0.989
Triglyceride	-0.012 (-0.021, -0.003)	0.006	-0.012 (-0.021, -0.003)	0.011	-0.010 (-0.021, 0.000)	0.054	-0.010 (-0.020, 0.001)	0.069
Lymphocyte count								
Total cholesterol	0.012 (0.001, 0.023)	0.030[a]	0.021 (0.008. 0.034)	0.001	0.014 (-0.001, 0.029)	0.060	0.012 (-0.003, 0.027)	0.130
HDL cholesterol	-0.027 (-0.039, -0.015)	<0.001	-0.025 (-0.038, -0.011)	<0.001	-0.009 (-0.024, 0.006)	0.234	-0.009 (-0.024, 0.006)	0.239
LDL cholesterol	0.015 (0.004, 0.025)	0.007	0.022 (0.010, 0.035)	<0.001	0.013 (0.000, 0.026)	0.054	0.010 (-0.003, 0.024)	0.125
Triglyceride	0.037 (0.025, 0.048)	<0.001	0.037 (0.025, 0.049)	<0.001	0.030 (0.016, 0.045)	<0.001	0.029 (0.015, 0.043)	<0.001

Regression coefficient B (95% confidence interval) were expressed as ln-transformed cell counts ($\times 10^3/\mu L$) per one SD unit increase in lipid levels.

Data on different white blood cell counts and triglycerides were ln-transformed in the analysis.

Model 1: Adjusted for ln-transformed total white blood cell counts (except for the analysis of total white blood cell counts), age, sex and ethnicity.

Model 2: Further adjusted for body mass index, family income, smoking, pack-years of smoking, current alcohol use, physical activity, hypertension, diabetes, use of any lipid-lowering medication, estimated glomerular filtration rate, *APOE* genotype, history of cardiovascular disease, and history of coronary revascularization.

Model 3: Further adjusted for ln-transformed triglycerides and HDL cholesterol for the analysis of total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol; LDL cholesterol and ln-transformed triglycerides for analysis of HDL cholesterol; and HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol for analysis of ln-transformed triglycerides.

Model 4: Further adjusted for red blood cell and platelet counts.

[a] *p* values that did not remain significant after multiple testing correction.

HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

Table 3. Association of lipid levels with different types of white blood cell counts after excluding participants taking lipid-lowering drugs (n=1715)

Lipid measures	B (95% CI)	*p*-value
White blood cell count		
Total cholesterol	-0.025 (-0.043, -0.008)	0.004
HDL cholesterol	-0.018 (-0.034, -0.001)	0.033[a]
LDL cholesterol	-0.021 (-0.036, -0.006)	0.006
Triglyceride	0.025 (0.010, 0.041)	0.001
Basophil count		
Total cholesterol	0.000 (-0.002, 0.003)	0.804
HDL cholesterol	0.000 (-0.003, 0.003)	0.986
LDL cholesterol	0.000 (-0.002, 0.002)	0.943
Triglyceride	0.001 (-0.001, 0.003)	0.425
Eosinophil count		
Total cholesterol	-0.003 (-0.009, 0.004)	0.376
HDL cholesterol	0.001 (-0.007, 0.009)	0.748
LDL cholesterol	-0.003 (-0.009, 0.003)	0.283
Triglyceride	0.001 (-0.005, 0.008)	0.696
Monocyte count		
Total cholesterol	-0.014 (-0.034, 0.005)	0.153
HDL cholesterol	-0.014 (-0.037, 0.008)	0.214
LDL cholesterol	-0.012 (-0.029, 0.005)	0.153
Triglyceride	-0.025 (-0.044, -0.006)	0.008
Neutrophil count		
Total cholesterol	0.001 (-0.012, 0.011)	0.931
HDL cholesterol	0.006 (-0.006, 0.018)	0.353
LDL cholesterol	0.000 (-0.011, 0.010)	0.944
Triglyceride	-0.010 (-0.021, 0.002)	0.098
Lymphocyte count		
Total cholesterol	0.014 (-0.004, 0.033)	0.126
HDL cholesterol	-0.009 (-0.028, 0.010)	0.352
LDL cholesterol	0.012 (-0.004, 0.028)	0.130
Triglyceride	0.023 (0.006, 0.041)	0.008

Regression coefficient B (95% confidence interval) were expressed as ln-transformed cell counts ($\times 10^3/\mu L$) per one SD unit increase in lipid levels. Data on different white blood cell counts and triglycerides were ln-transformed in the analysis.

All data were adjusted for ln-transformed total white blood cell counts (except for analysis of total white blood cell counts), age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index, family income, smoking, pack-years of smoking, current alcohol use, physical activity, hypertension, diabetes, estimated glomerular filtration rate, *APOE* genotype, history of cardiovascular disease, history of coronary revascularization, red blood cell counts and platelet counts. Data were also adjusted for ln-transformed triglycerides and HDL cholesterol for analysis of total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol;

LDL cholesterol and ln-transformed triglycerides for analysis of HDL cholesterol; and HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol for analysis of ln-transformed triglycerides.

[a]*p* values that did not remain significant after multiple testing correction.

HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

Table 4. Non-linear association of different lipid levels with total white blood cell counts

Lipid measures	n	B (95% CI)	*p*-value
Total cholesterol			
<155 mg/dL	708	-0.053 (-0.120, 0.015)	0.124
≥155 mg/dL	2165	-0.019 (-0.036, -0.002)	0.031
LDL cholesterol			
<125 mg/dL	2137	-0.038 (-0.059, -0.017)	<0.001
≥125 mg/dL	736	0.029 (-0.004, -0.061)	0.086

Regression coefficient B (95% confidence interval) were expressed as ln-transformed cell counts ($\times 10^3/\mu L$). Data on total white blood cell counts were ln-transformed in the analysis.

All data were adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index, family income, smoking, pack-years of smoking, current alcohol use, physical activity, hypertension, diabetes, use of any lipid-lowering medication, estimated glomerular filtration rate, *APOE* genotype, history of cardiovascular disease, history of coronary revascularization, red blood cell counts and platelet counts. Data were also adjusted for ln-transformed triglycerides and HDL cholesterol for analysis of total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol; LDL cholesterol and ln-transformed triglycerides for analysis of HDL cholesterol; and HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol for analysis of ln-transformed triglycerides.

HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

Table 5. Summary of significant associations between different lipid measures and different white blood cell counts

Lipid measures	Using ln-transformed cell counts			Using un-transformed cell counts[b]		
	All	**Excluding participants taking lipid-lowering drugs**	**Excluding participants with self-reported confounding disease**	**All**	**Excluding participants taking lipid-lowering drugs**	**Excluding participants with self-reported confounding disease**
White blood cell count						
Total cholesterol	-	-	-			
HDL cholesterol	ns[a]	ns[a]	-			
LDL cholesterol	-	-	-			
Triglyceride	+	+	+			
Basophil count						
Total cholesterol	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
HDL cholesterol	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
LDL cholesterol	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Triglyceride	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Eosinophil count						
Total cholesterol	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
HDL cholesterol	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
LDL cholesterol	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Triglyceride	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Monocyte count						
Total cholesterol	ns[a]	ns	ns[a]			
HDL cholesterol	ns	ns	ns			
LDL cholesterol	ns[a]	ns	ns			
Triglyceride	-	-	-			
Neutrophil count						
Total cholesterol	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns[a]	ns
HDL cholesterol	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
LDL cholesterol	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns[a]	ns
Triglyceride	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Lymphocyte count						
Total cholesterol	ns	ns	ns			
HDL cholesterol	ns	ns	ns			
LDL cholesterol	ns	ns	ns			
Triglyceride	+	+	+			

"+" and "-" indicate significant positive and negative associations, respectively, in the final full adjustment model with $p \leq 0.05$. ns = not significant.

[a]*p* values significant prior to multiple testing correction

[b]Analysis was performed for basophil, eosinophil, and neutrophil counts only.

HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

Supplementary Table 1. Clinical characteristics of participants included and not included in this study.

Characteristics	Not included	Included	*p*-value
n	1843	2873	
Age, years	70.5 ± 9.7	69.5 ± 9.3	<0.001
Women	995 (54.0%)	1519 (52.9%)	0.453
Race/ethnicity			<0.001
Non-Hispanic White	695 (37.7%)	1231 (42.8%)	
African American	447 (24.3%)	803 (27.9%)	
Hispanic American	187 (10.1%)	812 (28.3%)	
Chinese American	514 (27.9%)	27 (0.9%)	
Recruitment site			<0.001
Forsyth County, North Carolina	215 (11.7%)	598 (20.8%)	
New York, New York	148 (8.0%)	662 (23.0%)	
Baltimore, Maryland	154 (8.4%)	504 (17.5%)	
St. Paul, Minnesota	77 (4.2%)	694 (24.2%)	
Chicago, Illinois	833 (45.2%)	43 (1.5%)	
Los Angeles County, California	416 (22.6%)	372 (12.9%)	

Data are expressed as mean ± SD or n (%). Baseline characteristics at randomization were determined using a chi-square test for categorical variables, and an ANOVA test for continuous variables.

Supplementary Table 2. Association of lipid levels with un-transformed basophil, eosinophil and neutrophils counts using multivariable linear regression analysis (n=2873)

Lipid measures	B (95% CI)	p-value
Basophil count		
Total cholesterol	-0.001 (-0.003, 0.001)	0.532
HDL cholesterol	-0.001 (-0.003, 0.002)	0.592
LDL cholesterol	-0.001 (-0.003, 0.001)	0.416
Triglyceride	0.002 (0.000, 0.004)	0.055
Eosinophil count		
Total cholesterol	-0.003 (-0.010, 0.004)	0.365
HDL cholesterol	0.002 (-0.006, 0.009)	0.659
LDL cholesterol	-0.003 (-0.009, 0.003)	0.287
Triglyceride	0.001 (-0.006, 0.008)	0.831
Neutrophil count		
Total cholesterol	-0.028 (-0.069, 0.013)	0.175
HDL cholesterol	0.025 (-0.010, 0.060)	0.156
LDL cholesterol	-0.023 (-0.058, 0.012)	0.193
Triglyceride	-0.045 (-0.090, 0.000)	0.050

Regression coefficient B (95% confidence interval) were expressed as cell counts ($\times 10^3/\mu L$) per one SD unit increase in lipid levels. Data on triglycerides were ln-transformed in the analysis.

All data were adjusted for ln-transformed total white blood cell counts, age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index, family income, smoking, pack-years of smoking, current alcohol use, physical activity, hypertension, diabetes, use of any lipid-lowering medication, estimated glomerular filtration rate, *APOE* genotype, history of cardiovascular disease, history of coronary revascularization, red blood cell and platelet counts. Data were also adjusted for ln-transformed triglycerides and HDL cholesterol for the analysis of total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol; LDL cholesterol and ln-transformed triglycerides for analysis of HDL cholesterol; and HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol for analysis of ln-transformed triglycerides.

HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

Supplementary Table 3. Association of lipid levels with un-transformed basophil, eosinophil and neutrophils counts using multivariable linear regression analysis after excluding participants taking lipid-lowering drugs (n=1715)

Lipid measures	B (95% CI)	*p*-value
Basophil count		
Total cholesterol	0.000 (-0.002, 0.003)	0.796
HDL cholesterol	0.000 (-0.003, 0.003)	0.989
LDL cholesterol	0.000 (-0.002, 0.002)	0.934
Triglyceride	0.001 (-0.002, 0.004)	0.425
Eosinophil count		
Total cholesterol	-0.004 (-0.013, 0.004)	0.322
HDL cholesterol	0.003 (-0.007, 0.014)	0.526
LDL cholesterol	-0.004 (-0.012, 0.003)	0.239
Triglyceride	0.002 (-0.006, 0.011)	0.580
Neutrophil count		
Total cholesterol	-0.046 (-0.091, -0.001)	0.043[a]
HDL cholesterol	0.011 (-0.033, 0.055)	0.633
LDL cholesterol	-0.039 (-0.078, 0.000)	0.049[a]
Triglyceride	-0.029 (-0.074, 0.016)	0.208

Regression coefficient B (95% confidence interval) were expressed as cell counts ($\times 10^3/\mu L$) per one SD unit increase in lipid levels. Data on triglycerides were ln-transformed in the analysis.

All data were adjusted for ln-transformed total white blood cell counts, age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index, family income, smoking, pack-years of smoking, current alcohol use, physical activity, hypertension, diabetes, estimated glomerular filtration rate, *APOE* genotype, history of cardiovascular disease, history of coronary revascularization, red blood cell counts and platelet counts. Data were also adjusted for ln-transformed triglycerides and HDL cholesterol for analysis of total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol; LDL cholesterol and ln-transformed triglycerides for analysis of HDL cholesterol; and HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol for analysis of ln-transformed triglycerides.

[a]*p* values not significant after multiple testing correction.

HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

Supplementary Table 4. Association of triglycerides levels with total white blood cell counts according to race/ethnicity using multivariable linear regression analysis (n=2873)

Race/ethnicity	B (95% CI)	*p*-value
Non-Hispanic White	-0.015 (-0.003, 0.033)	0.107
African American	0.054 (0.028, 0.080)	<0.001
Hispanic American	0.003 (-0.020, 0.027)	0.779

Regression coefficient B (95% confidence interval) were expressed as ln-transformed cell counts ($\times 10^3/\mu L$) per one SD (0.451) unit increase in ln-transformed triglyceride level. All data were adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index, family income, smoking, pack-years of smoking, current alcohol use, physical activity, hypertension, diabetes, use of any lipid-lowering medication, estimated glomerular filtration rate, *APOE* genotype, history of cardiovascular disease, history of coronary revascularization, HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, red blood cell, and platelet counts. HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

Supplementary Table 5. Association of lipid levels with different types of white blood cell counts among participants without self-reported confounding disease (n=1804)

Lipid measures	B (95% CI)	*p*-value
White blood cell count		
Total cholesterol	-0.030 (-0.049, -0.013)	<0.001
HDL cholesterol	-0.021 (-0.037, -0.006)	0.007
LDL cholesterol	-0.025 (-0.041, -0.010)	0.001
Triglyceride	0.023 (0.008, 0.039)	0.003
Basophil count		
Total cholesterol	0.000 (-0.002, 0.003)	0.900
HDL cholesterol	-0.002 (-0.004, 0.001)	0.210
LDL cholesterol	0.000 (-0.002, 0.002)	0.912
Triglyceride	0.001 (-0.001, 0.003)	0.447
Eosinophil count		
Total cholesterol	-0.001 (-0.008, 0.006)	0.770
HDL cholesterol	0.002 (-0.005, 0.008)	0.593
LDL cholesterol	-0.001 (-0.007, 0.004)	0.646
Triglyceride	0.001 (-0.005, 0.007)	0.835
Monocyte count		
Total cholesterol	-0.021 (-0.042, -0.001)	0.041[a]
HDL cholesterol	-0.007 (-0.027, 0.014)	0.525
LDL cholesterol	-0.017 (-0.034, 0.000)	0.053
Triglyceride	-0.025 (-0.043, -0.007)	0.006
Neutrophil count		
Total cholesterol	0.001 (-0.012, 0.015)	0.845
HDL cholesterol	0.001 (-0.012, 0.014)	0.847
LDL cholesterol	0.002 (-0.010, 0.013)	0.782
Triglyceride	-0.006 (-0.020, 0.008)	0.406
Lymphocyte count		
Total cholesterol	0.012 (-0.008, 0.033)	0.235
HDL cholesterol	-0.010 (-0.030, 0.010)	0.315
LDL cholesterol	0.011 (-0.007, 0.028)	0.229
Triglyceride	0.024 (0.006, 0.042)	0.008

Participants were included in this analysis if they reported not having any fever, cold/flu, urinary infection, seasonal allergy, bronchitis, sinus infection, pneumonia, tooth infection or liver problem over the past two weeks in the medical history questionnaires.

Regression coefficient B (95% confidence interval) were expressed as ln-transformed cell counts ($\times 10^3/\mu L$) per one SD unit increase in lipid levels. Data on different white blood cell counts and triglycerides were ln-transformed in the analysis.

All data were adjusted for ln-transformed total white blood cell counts (except for analysis of total white blood cell counts), age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index, family income, smoking, pack-years of smoking, current alcohol use, physical activity, hypertension, diabetes, estimated glomerular

filtration rate, *APOE* genotype, history of cardiovascular disease, history of coronary revascularization, red blood cell counts and platelet counts. Data were also adjusted for ln-transformed triglycerides and HDL cholesterol for analysis of total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol; LDL cholesterol and ln-transformed triglycerides for analysis of HDL cholesterol; and HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol for analysis of ln-transformed triglycerides.

[a]*p* values that did not remain significant after multiple testing correction

HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

Supplementary Table 6. Association of lipid levels with un-transformed basophil, eosinophil and neutrophils counts using multivariable linear regression analysis among participants without self-reported confounding disease (n=1804)

Lipid measures	B (95% CI)	*p*-value
Basophil count		
Total cholesterol	0.000 (-0.003, 0.003)	0.918
HDL cholesterol	-0.002 (-0.004, 0.001)	0.203
LDL cholesterol	0.000 (-0.003, 0.002)	0.896
Triglyceride	0.001 (-0.002, 0.004)	0.440
Eosinophil count		
Total cholesterol	-0.002 (-0.010, 0.007)	0.734
HDL cholesterol	0.004 (-0.005, 0.013)	0.409
LDL cholesterol	-0.002 (-0.010, 0.006)	0.602
Triglyceride	0.001 (-0.007, 0.008)	0.899
Neutrophil count		
Total cholesterol	-0.029 (-0.084, 0.027)	0.310
HDL cholesterol	0.009 (-0.036, 0.053)	0.703
LDL cholesterol	-0.024 (-0.070, 0.023)	0.323
Triglyceride	-0.048 (-0.111, 0.015)	0.137

Participants were included in this analysis if they reported not having any fever, cold/flu, urinary infection, seasonal allergy, bronchitis, sinus infection, pneumonia, tooth infection or liver problem over the past two weeks in the medical history questionnaires.

Regression coefficient B (95% confidence interval) were expressed as cell counts ($\times 10^3/\mu L$) per one SD unit increase in lipid levels. Data on triglycerides were ln-transformed in the analysis.

All data were adjusted for ln-transformed total white blood cell counts (except for analysis of total white blood cell counts), age, sex, ethnicity, body mass index, family income, smoking, pack-years of smoking, current alcohol use, physical activity, hypertension, diabetes, estimated glomerular filtration rate, *APOE* genotype, history of cardiovascular disease, history of coronary revascularization, red blood cell counts and platelet counts. Data were also adjusted for ln-transformed triglycerides and HDL cholesterol for analysis of total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol; LDL cholesterol and ln-transformed triglycerides for analysis of HDL cholesterol; and HDL cholesterol and LDL cholesterol for analysis of ln-transformed triglycerides.

HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.



Supplementary Figure 1. Distribution of total white blood cell (A, B), lymphocyte (C, D), monocyte (E, F), neutrophil (G, H), basophil (I, J) and eosinophil (K, L) counts ($\times 10^3/\mu L$), before (A, C, E, G, I and K) and after (B, D, F, H, J and L) ln-transformation. Distribution of untransformed red blood cell (M) ($\times 10^6/\mu L$) and platelet (N) ($\times 10^3/\mu L$) counts.