Title: Parent and offspring genotypes influence gene expression in early life

Running Title: Parental effects on offspring gene expression

Authors & Affiliations:

Daniel J. Newhouse[1*], Margarida Barcelo-Serra[2], Elaina M. Tuttle[2], Rusty A. Gonser[2],

Christopher N. Balakrishnan[1]

[1]East Carolina University, [2]Indiana State University

[*]Corresponding Author

Abstract

Parents can have profound effects on offspring fitness. Little, however, is known about the

mechanisms through which parental care variation influences offspring physiology in natural

systems. White-throated sparrows *Zonotrichia albicollis* (WTSPs) exist in two genetic morphs,

tan and white, controlled by a large polymorphic supergene. Morphs mate disassortatively,

resulting in two pair types: tan male x white female (TxW) pairs, which provide biparental care

and white male x tan female (WxT) pairs, which provide female-biased care. To investigate the

effects of parental care variation, we performed RNA-seq on WTSP nestlings sampled from nests

of both pair types. Pair type had the largest effect on nestling gene expression, with 881 genes

differentially expressed (DE) and seven correlated gene co-expression modules. The DE genes

and modules up-regulated in nests with female-biased parental care primarily function in

metabolism and stress-related pathways resulting from the overrepresentation of stress response

and proteolysis genes. These results show that parental genotypes, a proxy for parental care in

this system, alter nestling physiology and highlight avenues of further research investigating the

ultimate implications of alternative parental care strategies. Nestlings also exhibited morph-

specific gene expression, driven by innate immunity genes and co-expression of genes located in

the supergene. Remarkably, we identified the same regulatory hub genes in these blood-derived

expression networks as were previously identified in WTSP brains (EPM2A, BPNT1, TAF5L).

These hub genes were located within the supergene, highlighting the importance of this gene

complex in structuring regulatory networks across diverse tissues.

Keywords

Transcriptome, parental care, early life stress, nestling, RNAseq, ornithology

Introduction

Parents can have profound impacts on offspring development and fitness. Parental effects can manifest throughout the developmental period, both pre- and postnatally (reviewed in Meaney 2001, Lupien et al. 2009). Postnatal effects can be particularly critical in altricial species, where offspring rely entirely on their parents for proper development and growth. Parental effects in these species can be mediated through genetic and physiological aspects of the parent or result from parental behaviors during early development (Trivers 1972).

A major component of these parental effects is mediated by the mother, termed maternal effects. In altricial species, maternal effects are crucial in the prenatal stage, where the mother's genotype and/or phenotype directly influence the offspring phenotype (reviewed in Gluckman et al. 2008). In this case, offspring develop in a maternally created environment and are exposed and influenced by maternal hormones (Wolf & Wade 2009). Maternal hormones can accumulate in the prenatal environment (e.g. uterus, egg) and influence offspring physiology (reviewed in Cottrell & Secki 2009).

Beyond hormone mediated maternal effects, parental behaviors (both maternal and paternal) can also impact offspring. These parental effects can appear prenatally during nest building. Nest location will determine susceptibility to impacts from temperature or sun exposure, humidity, food availability, pollution, and predation (e.g. Lloyd & Martin 2004, Sofaer et al. 2012, Mulholland et al. 2018). However, the magnitude of parental effects is likely largest during the postnatal period, where offspring rely entirely on the parents for provisioning and must compete with siblings during feeding bouts. Thus, this postnatal environment, mediated

through parental care, can be a potential source of early life stress (ELS) in offspring, which may

result in life-long fitness effects.

A prominent area of ELS research focuses on neural development and neuroendocrine

signaling (McEwen et al. 2007). The HPA axis is among the most well studied physiological

mechanisms of the stress response. ELS is associated with impaired neuroendocrine function and

corresponding impaired HPA response, which leads to lifetime consequences in behavior and

fitness (e.g. Heim et al. 2008, Crespi et al. 2012, Spencer 2017). Additionally, increased levels of

ELS can result in altered behaviors as organisms develop and mature including symptoms of

anxiety and depression in the postnatal environment (Noguera et al. 2017) and result in impaired

behavior as reproductive adults, with ultimate consequences in fitness. For example, ELS can

slow brain development in songbirds, leading to altered song learning and production, which

directly impacts the ability to produce and recognize songs as adults, a crucial aspect of songbird

reproduction (Spencer et al. 2003, MacDougall-Shackleton & Spencer 2012, Sewall et al. 2018)

Epigenetic impacts of ELS have also been extensively studied (Szyf et al. 2007, Szyf

2009). In particular, the quality of parental care can have profound impacts on offspring health.

Classic studies assessing maternal licking behavior in mice revealed strong epigenetic effects and

corresponding physiological consequences in offspring receiving poor parental care (Liu et al.

1997, Meaney et al. 2001, Weaver et al. 2004). While there are a variety of epigenetic marks,

including histone modification and chromatin accessibility, changes in DNA methylation are

often used as an indicator of ELS. Specifically, methylation of the exon 1_F promoter for the

glucocorticoid receptor, NR3C1, has received considerable attention. NR3C1 is often

hypermethylated in offspring experiencing ELS, which has been linked to physiological disorders

later in life (McGowan et al. 2009, Romens et al. 2014, Turecki & Meaney 2016). In general,

these epigenetic modifications are thought to alter transcriptional activity of genes in the

modified genomic region. However, very few studies assess genome-wide transcription under

ELS, particularly in the context of parental effects (but see: Weaver et al. 2006).

In this study, we studied the white-throated sparrow (WTSP, *Zonotrichia albicollis*) in

order to assess the role of parents and ELS on offspring gene expression. WTSPs exist in two

plumage morphs, tan (T) and white (W), that are found in both sexes and in roughly equal

frequencies (Lowther 1961). These morphs are genetically determined by alternative alleles of a

supergene (Schwander et al. 2014), resulting from a complex chromosomal rearrangement

comprising multiple inversions (hereafter referred to as "inversion" or "inverted"). This inverted

region contains ~1,100 genes on chromosome two, termed ZAL2^m (Throneycroft 1975, Thomas

et al. 2008, Romanov et al. 2009, Tuttle et al. 2016). W morphs are nearly always heterozygous

for the inversion (ZAL2/ZAL2^m) and T morphs are always homozygous (ZAL2/ZAL2) and do

not contain the inversion (Thorneycroft 1966, 1975). The morphs of both sexes differ

dramatically in behavior, where W morphs are highly territorial, sing frequently, and maintain

higher levels of hormones at different times of the breeding season. T morphs are far less

territorial and aggressive and maintain lower levels of circulating hormones (Lowther 1962,

Kopachna & Falls 1993, Tuttle 2003, Spinney et al. 2006, Swett & Breuner 2009, Horton &

Holbertson 2010, Horton et al. 2014). Importantly, males of each morph differ in paternal

investment (Knapton & Falls 1983). W morph males are promiscuous and provision nestlings

very little. T morph males defend their within-pair paternity through mate guarding and are

highly paternal. Additionally, morphs nearly always mate disassortatively, resulting in two stable

pair types: T male x W female (TxW) and W male x T female (WxT) (Lowther 1961, Tuttle

2003, Tuttle et al. 2016). Because males differ in paternal investment, this results in two distinct

parental care strategies. TxW pairs provide biparental care and WxT pairs provide female-biased

parental care.

Thus, WxT pairs may be a source of ELS (via reduced provisioning) for nestlings. We

expect nestlings in WxT nests to elicit a distinct transcriptional stress response relative to

nestlings in TxW nests. Alternatively, W morph females may produce higher levels of oestradiol

resulting from singing and territorial behavior (e.g. Horton et al. 2014), and this may act as a

source of hormone mediated maternal effects in TxW nests. In this case, we may expect to see

the opposite pattern, with a transcriptional stress response in nestlings from TxW nests as they

cope with the immunosuppressive effects of estrogens (e.g. al-Alfaleq & Homeida 1998).

Furthermore, each nest will produce nestlings of both morphs, offering the unique opportunity to

investigate the interaction between offspring and parental morphs. As adults, W morphs must

cope with high levels of energy expenditure via singing and territorial behavior relative to T

morphs. Thus, we predicted W morph nestlings may be better suited to handle ELS mediated

through female-biased parental care in WxT nests, or maternal effects in TxW nests, and we

would see an elevated stress response in T morph nestlings in these nests. Lastly, we investigate

morph-specific gene expression, independent of the pair type of the nestling, to assess the role of

nestling morph (i.e. presence or absence of $ZAL2^m$ inversion) on nestling gene expression.

Methods

Field based sample collection

All nestling samples in this study came from a breeding population of WTSPs at the

Cranberry Lake Biological Station in northern New York, USA (SUNY-ESF, 44.15ºN, 74.78ºW)

and were collected during the 2015 breeding season. We only utilized samples collected during

the first clutch (June 6 - June 14, 2015), as WTSP males may increase paternal investment in

replacement broods (Horton et al. 2014). Nestlings were measured daily (tarsus length, mass)

from hatch date or upon locating a nest with nestlings. Nestlings were banded on days 5-7 post-

hatch and ~80μL blood was collected in capillary tubes via brachial venipuncture.

Approximately 60μL blood was preserved in Longmire's lysis buffer (Longmire et al. 1992) for

genotyping and ~20μL was immediately placed in RNAlater. Within six hours of collection,

samples were placed temporarily into liquid nitrogen, before being shipped overnight on dry ice

to -80ºC storage until RNA extraction. All animal sampling protocols were approved by the

Indiana State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC 562158-

1:ET/RG, 562192-1:ET/RG).

Molecular sexing & genotyping

Nestling DNA was extracted from erythrocytes using the DNA IQ® magnetic extraction

system (Promega Corp, Madison, WI USA). To determine sex and morph, we used PCR to

fluorescently label and amplify a region of the chromo-helicase-DNA-binding gene, and a region

of the vasoactive intestinal peptide following Griffiths (1998) and Michopolous et al. (2007). The

PCR products were run and analyzed on an ABI PRISM^{TM} 310 genetic analyzer.

RNA extraction, library preparation, & sequencing

We sampled a total of 32 nestlings for RNA extraction and sequencing. These samples

represent 23 nestlings from eight TxW pairs and nine nestlings from three WxT pairs.

Additionally, these data represent 18 females, 14 males, 15 T morph, and 17 W morph

individuals.

We removed RNAlater and homogenized whole blood tissue samples with Tri-Reagent

(Molecular Research Company). Total RNA was purified with a Qiagen RNeasy mini kit

(Valencia, CA, USA), followed by DNase treatment and further purification. We quality assessed

RNA with an Agilent Bioanalyzer (Wilmington, DE, USA). Both library preparation and

sequencing were performed at the University of Illinois Roy J. Carver Biotechnology Center. A

library was prepared for each RNA sample using the Illumina HT TruSeq (San Diego, CA, USA)

stranded RNA sample prep kit. Libraries were distributed into four pools with equimolar

concentrations and quantitated via qPCR. Each of the pools was sequenced on an individual lane

of an Illumina HiSeq 2500 using the Illumina TruSeq SBS sequencing kit v4 producing 100

nucleotide single-end reads.

Creation of masked reference genome

The WTSP reference genome was generated from a male T morph individual (Tuttle et al.

2016). Thus, the reference genome does not contain any sequence data from the ZAL2^m

inversion. To avoid any potential bias in mapping reads derived from W morph individuals onto

a T morph genome, we generated a masked reference genome for this study. To do so, we used

previously published whole genome sequences from three W morph adults (Tuttle et al. 2016).

Reads were adapter trimmed with *Trim Galore!* v0.3.8

(https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore) and aligned to the WTSP reference genome with

bwa mem v 0.7.10-r789. We converted and sorted the resulting SAM alignment to BAM format

with *samtools view* and *samtools sort*, respectively (*samtools* v1.2, Li et al. 2009). We then

merged all genomic scaffolds corresponding to the ZAL2^m inversion with *samtools merge*. We

called SNPs within the inversion using *samtools mpileup* and *bcftools call* v 1.2 (Li 2009). We

only kept SNPs that were heterozygous in each of the three individuals with *SnpSift* v 4.3p

(Cingolani et al. 2012) and used these SNPs to mask the reference genome with *bedtools*

maskfasta v 2.21.0 (Quinlan & Hall 2010).

Quality control, read mapping, differential expression, & gene ontology

We trimmed Illumina sequencing adapters from each of the 32 libraries with *Trim*

Galore! v 0.3.8 which uses *Cutadapt* v1.7.1 (Martin 2011). Trimmed reads were then mapped to

the masked reference genome with *STAR* v2.5.3a (Dobin et al. 2013). The mapping results were

then quantified and assigned gene IDs with *htseq-count* v0.6.0 (Anders et al. 2015) specifying '-s

reverse' and '-i gene'. Genes with an average read count of ≥ 5 were used for downstream

analyses.

All statistical analyses were performed with R v3.5.0 (R Core Team 2013). We identified

outlier samples as having a normalized connectivity below -2.5 (Horvath 2011). Two samples,

one T female and one T male representing an entire TxW nest, were identified as outliers and

removed from all future analyses (Figure S1). We normalized reads accounting for sequencing

depth and assessed differential expression (DE) with *DEseq2* (Love et al. 2014). We performed

variance stabilizing transformation of reads in *DEseq2* and performed PCA and hierarchical

clustering of gene expression profiles with *pcaExplorer* v2.6.0 (Marini 2018). DE analyses

utilized pairwise comparisons between nestling morph and pair type (i.e. parental morphs). In

each case, we controlled for sex, morph, and/or nest ID. We did not include nestling age in

analyses, as most samples were 6 days old (n=21), limiting comparisons with nestlings aged Day

5 (n=3) or Day 7 (n=6). Network analysis (see below) did not reveal any effect of age on

variables of interest (morph, pair type; data not shown). We also tested for an interaction between

nestling morph and pair type utilizing a grouping variable as outlined in the *DEseq2* manual.

DEseq2 determines DE with a Wald test followed by Benjamini & Hochberg (1995) FDR

correction. Genes were considered DE if the FDR corrected p-value was < 0.10. Details for each

model run, including the R code used, are in this project's GitHub repository.

We next grouped DE genes into gene ontology (GO) categories with *GOrilla* (Eden et al.

2007, 2009). For each *DEseq2* comparison, we ordered the list of genes based on ascending FDR

values, excluding any genes in which *DEseq2* did not assign a FDR value. The WTSP genome is

not completely annotated, so any loci without a gene symbol were excluded from GO analyses

(n=1,926). *GOrilla* places greater weight on genes located at the top of the list (i.e. DE genes),

while accounting for the contribution of each gene in the given comparison. GO categories were

considered significantly enriched if the FDR corrected p-value <0.05. *GOrilla* does not support

WTSP annotation; so, all analyses were based on homology to human gene symbols.

Weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA)

We used the *WGCNA* package in R (Zhang & Horvath 2005, Langfelder & Horvath

2008) to identify modules of co-expressed genes in our dataset. We first exported variance

stabilizing transformed (vst) read counts from *DEseq2*, removed genes with an average vst < 5

across all 30 samples, and imported the subsequent list of 8,982 genes into *WGCNA*. To build the

co-expression matrix, we chose a soft thresholding power (β) value of 12, at which the network

reaches scale-free topology (Figure S2). We generated a signed network with minimum module

size of 30 genes and merged highly correlated modules (dissimilarity threshold = 0.20, which

corresponds to $R^2 = 0.80$). We then correlated the eigengene, which is the first principal

component of a module, of these merged modules with external traits (pair type, morph, sex, nest

ID). Modules with $p < 0.05$ were considered significantly correlated with a given trait.

To visualize the interaction of genes within a module, we generated the intramodular

connectivity (IM) score for each gene, which represents the interconnection of module genes. We

exported all IM scores for modules of interest and imported into *VisAnt* v5.51 (Hu et al. 2013) for

visualization. To maximize network clarity, we only plotted the top 300 interactions based on IM

scores. Thus, we only visualized the most connected genes. To identify hub genes, we visualized

the Degree Distribution (DD) for the network and selected the most connected genes above a

natural break in the distribution. This resulted in one to nine hub genes per module.

Lastly, to understand the biological function of modules correlated with traits of interest,

we performed a target vs background GO analysis in *GOrilla*. For each module, we tested the

assigned genes for each module against the entire list of 8,982 genes used for the *WGCNA*

analysis. GO categories were significant with a FDR corrected p-value < 0.05.

Results

Sequencing results

We sequenced each sample to an average depth of 29.4 million reads (range = 16.2-58.5

million reads). The 32 libraries were distributed into four pools in equimolar concentration. One

pool contained only four samples, which corresponded to the four samples with lowest RNA

concentrations. This pool was sequenced to an average depth of 56.17 million reads per library.

The remaining three pools were sequenced to an average depth of 25.62 million reads per library.

Samples mapped to our masked genome at an average rate of 91.08% (range = 88.19%-92.87%)

(Table S1). A total of 8,982 genes had count values ≥ 5 across all samples. Samples do not

segregate by pair type or morph in clustering analyses (Figures S3, S4).

Differential Expression – Morph

Testing for morph-specific expression resulted in 92 genes DE. Sixty-five of these genes

were in the supergene (Table S2). Additionally, many of these 92 genes were up-regulated in W

morph nestlings and function in innate immunity (e.g. IFIT5, IL20RA, EIF2AK2, RSAD2).

There was GO enrichment of four categories, two of which are immunity related: "immune

response" ($p = 0.019$) and "defense response to virus" ($p = 0.049$) (Table S3).

Differential Expression – Pair Type

Pair type had the largest effect on gene expression, with 881 genes differentially

expressed (DE) between offspring from the two different pair types (FDR < 0.10, Table S2).

Many genes associated with stress responses were up-regulated in nestlings in WxT nests,

including the glucocorticoid receptor (NR3C1), superoxide dismutase (SOD)1 & SOD2, DEP

domain-containing mTOR-interacting protein (DEPTOR), and several ubiquitin-mediated

proteolysis pathway genes (e.g. UBE2D3, PSMD3, PSMD6). Additionally, several immune

system related genes were also up-regulated in WxT nests, including cytokines (e.g. IL2RA,

IL7R), suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (SOCS1), and five putative major histocompatibility

complex (MHC) class I loci. No GO categories were significantly enriched, however.

We next tested for a morph-specific response to pair type. Within WxT nests, 40 genes

were DE ($p < 0.10$) between T and W morph nestlings, 12 of which are in the supergene.

Additionally, 34/40 genes are uniquely DE between morphs in WxT nests and do not overlap

with the overall list of 92 genes DE between morphs described above, suggesting a prominent

role of the WxT pair type on nestling morph-specific gene expression. Only two genes (THSD7B

& CFAP44) were DE between morphs within TxW nests, both of which are uniquely DE

between morphs in TxW nests. No GO categories were enriched in either comparison.

WGCNA – Morph

WGCNA revealed 26 modules, five of which were correlated with morph (Table 1,

Figure 1). The light cyan module (183 genes, R^2=0.67, p=5x10^{-5}) and white module (72 genes,

R^2=-0.66, p=9x10^{-5}) contained genes up-regulated and down-regulated respectively in W morph

nestlings. These genes are primarily located in the chromosomal inversion (light cyan = 70/183

genes, white = 40/72) (Figure S5). The hubs of each of these modules are also located in the

chromosomal inversion (Table 1, Figure S5). Additionally, the sky blue module (58 genes,

R^2=0.53, p=0.003) and dark red module (102 genes, R^2=0.47, p=0.009) (Figure S6) contained

genes up-regulated in W morph nestlings and many of these genes overlap with the immune

related genes described in the morph DE tests above. Additionally, the hubs of these networks

(e.g. sky blue: EIF2AK2, IFIT5, OASL; dark red: TRAF5) (Table 1) reflect a conserved innate

immunity network structure in avian blood (Kernbach et al., in review) (Figure S6).

WGCNA – Pair Type

We found seven modules correlated with pair type (Table 2, Figure 1). The blue module

represented genes that are up-regulated in nestlings from WxT nests (1,142 genes, R^2 = -0.45,

p=0.01). This module contained both the largest number of genes and correspondingly strongest

functional enrichment. Many of these GO enrichments were related to protein function, resulting

from the presence of ribosomal genes. Interestingly, several GO categories for metabolism,

catabolism, and proteolysis were also enriched, driven by genes encoding ubiquitin-conjugating

enzymes and proteasome subunits (e.g. "proteasomal protein catabolic process", $p=2.34 \times 10^{-4}$;

"proteasome-mediated ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process", $p=5.32 \times 10^{-4}$) (Table S4).

Many of these (e.g. PSMF1, PSMD3, PSMD6, UBE2D2, UBE2D3, UBE3C) were also DE

between offspring of the two pair types (Figure 2). Lastly, the blue module contains one hub

gene, NDUFB3 (DD=42) (Figure 2), which is involved in the mitochondrial electron transport

chain.

The remaining modules were not enriched for any GO categories, but the tan and light

green modules represented candidate stress response networks. These modules showed

contrasting expression patterns in nestlings from WxT nests. Within the tan module (335 genes,

$R^2=-0.61$, $p=3 \times 10^{-4}$), genes were up-regulated and DEPTOR was the single hub (DD=39), which

functions as an inhibitor of the mTOR pathway in response to stress (e.g. Desantis et al. 2015)

(Figure 3). The tan module also contained NR3C1, which is activated in response to increased

glucocorticoid secretion. Lastly, the light green module (116 genes, $R^2=0.60$, $p=4 \times 10^{-4}$)

contained genes down-regulated in TxW nests. There were three hub genes (DD > 28), CDK19,

CHD4, and EPG5, each with previously described roles in the stress response (Figure 4). We did

not observe modules correlated with pair type that were also correlated with nestling morph or

sex, suggesting there is no morph or sex-specific response to a given pair type at the network

level.

Discussion

By assessing genome-wide transcription in nestlings raised by different WTSP pair types,

a proxy for parental investment, we have identified distinct transcriptomic signatures that suggest

WxT pairs (female-biased parental care) induce a stress response in developing nestlings relative

to TxW pairs. This is reflected both by differential expression of several genes involved in

protein degradation as well as networks of co-expressed genes with stress response hubs.

Additionally, we identified morph-specific gene expression driven by innate immunity genes and

genes located in the chromosome 2 supergene. As adults, the genes within the supergene strongly

influence the WTSP neural transcriptome (Balakrishnan et al. 2014, Zinzow-Kramer et al. 2015).

Our results here suggest that as nestlings, parental genotypes and associated behaviors, rather

than nestling genotype, have the strongest influence on the nestling transcriptome.

Gene expression differences resulting from pair type, a proxy for parental care

WTSP morphs differ in the amount of paternal provisioning; with W morph males

providing less than their T male counterparts. Therefore, we expected nestlings in WxT nests to

be stressed nutritionally or to suffer increased sibling competition due to uniparental feeding

visits (e.g. Yosef et al. 2012). Indeed, we find 881 genes DE between nestlings raised under the

two pair types. Many of these genes function in the proteasome or ubiquitin-mediated

proteolysis. Cells naturally use the proteasome for degradation of proteins targeted by the

ubiquitination process, but genes involved in proteasome formation (e.g. PSMD6, PSMD11) and

ubiquitination (e.g. UBE2B) are up-regulated in cells experiencing mild oxidative stress (Aiken

et al. 2011, Shang & Taylor 2011, Livneh et al. 2016) or organisms experiencing abiotic stress

(Dhanasiri et al. 2013, Tomalty et al. 2015). Thus, up-regulation of these genes in nestlings from

WxT nests suggests they are responding to oxidative stress. As a result, there is a physiological

cost to having a W morph father and T morph mother at the nestling stage, since W morph males

invest more in reproduction and territory defense than provisioning.

To complement our differential expression approach, we also constructed co-expression

networks with *WGCNA*. *WGCNA* identifies modules of co-regulated genes blind to the

experimental design. These modules are then correlated with external traits, offering a systems

level view into how conditions impact transcriptional networks. Within these networks, we can

then perform GO analyses as described above and identify network hubs, which are the most

highly connected genes within that network. Using this approach, we identified 26 modules of

co-regulated genes in this dataset (Figure 1). Seven of these modules were significantly

correlated with parental pair type. The blue module contains genes that are up-regulated in

nestlings in WxT nests. The blue module hub gene was NDUFB3 (Module Membership

[MM]=0.938, DD=42) (Figure 2), which encodes a subunit of the mitochondrial membrane

respiratory chain. Interestingly, many of the same proteolysis-related genes highlighted in the

differential expression results are also present in this module, resulting in the enrichment of

several metabolism and stress-related GO categories (Table S4).

Two modules, light green and tan, contained stress responsive hub genes. The light green

module contains genes that are down-regulated in nestlings in WxT nests, with three hub genes:

CDK19, CHD4, and EPG5 (Figure 4). The absence of EPG5 expression (via knockout) and

reduction in CHD4 expression (via knockdown) has been associated with increased DNA

damage (Zhao et al. 2013, Larsen et al. 2010). Similarly, down-regulation of CDK19 following

knockdown is associated with an increased stress response (Audetat et al. 2017). Down-

regulation of these genes in these nestlings could be indicative of increased cellular damage. The

tan module contains genes up-regulated in nestlings from WxT nests and contains one hub gene,

DEPTOR, which is an inhibitor of mTOR signaling (Figure 3). The exact role of DEPTOR

remains unclear, but up-regulation likely inhibits the mTORC1 pathway to reduce endoplasmic

reticulum stress, promote cell survival, and avoid apoptosis (Peterson et al. 2009, Desantis et al.

2015, Catena et al. 2016).

Up-regulation in these nestlings and the high connectivity of DEPTOR to other co-

expressed genes provides further support for a transcriptional stress response within WxT nests.

The tan module also contains two well-studied stress responsive genes, superoxide dismutase 2

(SOD2) and the glucocorticoid receptor (NR3C1). SOD2 mitigates the effects of exposure to

reactive oxygen species by scavenging free radicals (Zelko et al. 2002). NR3C1 binds

glucocorticoids and has primarily been studied in the context of ELS and methylation of an

upstream promoter. NRC3C1 methylation is often associated with down-regulation of NR3C1

(e.g. McGowan et al. 2009) and impairment of the HPA axis, but up-regulation following

methylation has also been observed as part of the stress response (Turner et al. 2006, Bockmühl

et al. 2015). Up-regulation observed here directly implicates the HPA axis and suggests these

nestlings may be activating SOD2 and NR3C1 to cope with elevated levels of reactive oxygen

species and corticosterone, respectively. However, further work is needed to investigate stress

physiology, corticosterone levels, and uncover the epigenetic state of NR3C1 in these nestlings

and how this may relate to ELS.

Importantly, we did not measure provisioning by the parents of these nestlings but instead

used pair type as a proxy for parental care. Reduced provisioning by W morph males appears to

be stable across populations resulting in female-biased parental care in WxT nests (Knapton &

Falls 1983, Horton et al. 2014). Therefore, reduced parental care is a likely a source of

behaviorally mediated maternal or paternal effect (see Crean & Bonduriansky 2014). We cannot,

however, ignore the possibility that provisioning rates did not differ between the nests we

sampled. Previous work revealed no effect of parental pair type on nestling mass (Knapton et al.

1984, Tuttle et al. 2017), and nestlings did not differ in mass at time of sampling between the

TxW and WxT nests used in this study (Smith et al. in review). Increased provisioning by

females to compensate for reduced care by males could explain this observation. In this scenario

reduced brooding and increased maternal separation; could also negatively impact nestling

physiology and act as a source of ELS (reviewed in Ledón-Rettig et al. 2013). Surprisingly,

given the gene expression findings described above, a recent study in the same study population

did not detect differences in reactive oxygen metabolites in plasma of offspring of the two

different pair types (Grunst et al. 2018b). Our finding of transcriptional differences in stress-

responsive genes in the absence of significant phenotypic differences highlights the utility of

RNA-seq to uncover subtle changes in physiology.

387   Our study was carried out in the field as part of a long-term study and is limited by the

388   fact that was a non-experimental study. We aimed to mitigate potential environmental confounds

389   by restricting sampling of nestlings to a short time period of nine days. Certainly, the

390   environment may influence gene expression in our samples, but consistent changes among the

391   samples in the two pair types suggest the role of parents is a significant driver of nestling gene

392   expression, rather than temporal or spatial environmental variation.

393   Hormone-mediated maternal effects provide another potential driver of the observed

expression differences among pair types. In previous studies of WTSP, only oestradiol has been

shown to differ between adult female morphs during the breeding season and is higher in W

morph females during the pre-laying and laying stages (Horton et al. 2014). No baseline

differences in any other hormone measured to date (corticosterone, testosterone, DHEA, DHT)

have been described during the breeding season (Spinney et al. 2006, Swett & Breuner 2009,

Horton & Holberton et al. 2010, Horton et al. 2014), which would suggest that hormone

deposition into eggs does not dramatically differ between the morphs. Additional work is needed

to investigate the potential role of maternal effects in the WTSP, including measuring hormone

levels in both the egg and as nestlings. Although we cannot rule out hormone-mediated maternal

effects as a source of expression differences observed in offspring, given the current knowledge

of the system the differences we observed are likely driven by differences in parental care.

Morph-specific gene expression

We were also interested in morph-specific gene expression and how morphs may respond

to differences in pair type. We found 92 genes DE between morphs, including many innate

immune-related genes and genes located within the supergene (65/92 genes, Table S2). WGCNA

revealed five modules correlated with morph (Figure 1). These included two innate immunity-

related modules with up-regulation in W morphs (Dark Red & Sky Blue) and two modules

predominantly containing genes located in the supergene (White = 40/72, Light Cyan = 70/183)

(Figures S5, S6). The sky blue module contains nine hub genes and the dark red module contains

one hub gene, both of which include well-studied anti-viral genes (e.g. sky blue: OASL, RSAD2;

dark red: TRAF5). These genes also form a co-expression module in avian blood following West

Nile virus infection (Kernbach et al., in review). Adult WTSP morphs differ in their ability to

clear infection (Boyd et al. 2018), so the immune activation here may be indicative of an

increased parasite load in W morph nestlings, although further investigation is required. The light

cyan module contains genes up-regulated in W morph nestlings and contains eight hub genes,

each located in the supergene (Table 1). Three of these, EPM2A, BPNT1, and TAF5L, were also

identified as hub genes in neural tissues of adult W morph males (Zinzow-Kramer et al. 2015).

These nestlings thus exhibit transcriptional changes driven by the inversion prior to any

phenotypic or behavioral differences. Additionally, the conservation of network hub genes in a

different tissue and life stage highlights avenues for further investigation into WTSP

transcription.

Given adult W morphs are highly territorial, aggressive, sing frequently, and maintain

higher levels of stress hormones, we predicted W morph nestlings might be primed to handle

stress and fare better in WxT nests than their T morph siblings. Despite broad gene expression

differences between the morphs, within pair types morph-specific expression was limited. In part

due to small sample size, nestlings in TxW nests only have two genes DE between morphs. There

is a larger effect of morph within WxT nests, where the number of DE genes increased to 40.

These genes encompassed a wide range of gene functions without any obvious stress-related

candidate genes. Of these 40 genes, 34 are uniquely DE within WxT nests and do not overlap

with the overall list of 92 genes DE between morphs using all samples. Interestingly,

glucocorticoid-induced transcript 1 (GLCCI1) is up-regulated in W morph nestlings in WxT

nests. The function of GLCCI1 remains unclear (Kim et al. 2016), but expression differences

between morphs observed here implicates the role of glucocorticoids in response to pair type.

This suggests that nestling morphs may respond differently to the parental pair type though larger

sample sizes will be needed to explore this further.

Conclusions

Using the WTSP, a system with alternative parental care strategies, we show that

nestlings in WxT nests (female-biased parental care) have increased expression of stress-related

genes, and parental genotypes may act as a source of ELS in the species. Nestling morph also

influences transcription, but pair type appears to have the greatest effect on their transcriptome.

Combined, this supports the parental effects hypothesis (Wade 1998, Schrader et al. 2018), where

offspring phenotypes are primarily a result of the nest environment and care received, rather than

from offspring genotypes (i.e. T vs W). Nearly 54% of observed pairs have been WxT (Tuttle et

al. 2016). Thus, roughly half of the nestlings in every population will experience reduced

parental care. Our results suggest that these differences in parental care have at least short-term

consequences on offspring physiology. While we have identified impacts at the level of

transcription, an integrative approach assessing nestling WTSP physiology, for example by

combining epigenetic and neuroendocrine approaches, will further elucidate the consequences of

variation in parental pair type. Importantly, it remains unclear whether female-biased parental

care or differences in maternal effects translate into long-term fitness consequences for offspring.

WTSPs have been studied extensively as adults, but very rarely in other life stages. W morph

males and T morph females exhibit earlier reproductive and actuarial senescence, potentially

resulting from the high energy expenditure lifestyle of W morph males and biased parental care

given by T morph females (Grunst et al. 2018a, Grunst et al. 2018c). There also appears to be

seasonal variation in fitness between the morphs as adults. Following cold, wet winters, W

morph males exhibit lower recruitment in the breeding grounds, leading to an overproduction of

W morph male nestlings, potentially to stabilize morph frequencies in the population (Tuttle et al.

2017). Thus, there is a cost associated with parental genotype, as this less cooperative

reproductive strategy accelerates senescence. We show here that this cost is also translated into

nestlings within WxT nests via increased stress-related gene expression. This work sets the stage

to further explore morph-specific fitness consequences in nestlings experiencing alternative

parental care strategies.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Lindsay Forrette, Andrea Grunst, and Melissa Grunst for assistance in the field,

Sarah Ford for assistance with molecular work, Rachel Wright for WGCNA code, and Cranberry

Lake Biological Station. Funding provided by East Carolina University, Indiana State University,

The National Science Foundation (grant no. DUE-0934648) and the National Institutes of Health

(grant no. 1R01Gm084229 to E.M.T and R.A.G.) and a Sigma Xi Grants in Aid of Research

award to DJN. Birds were banded with color bands and a Fish and Wildlife band (Master

Banding Permit 22296 to EMT and permit 24105 to RAG). Dr. Alvaro Hernandez and Chris

Wright provided guidance and oversight on sequencing carried out at the University of Illinois.

All methods were conducted in accordance with legal and ethical standards and were approved

by Indiana State University's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocols 562158-

1:ET/RG and 562192-1:ET/RG).

References
Aiken, C. T., Kaake, R. M., Wang, X., & Huang, L. (2011). Oxidative Stress-Mediated Regulation of Proteasome
Complexes. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics*, *10*(5).
al-afaleq, A. I., & Homeida, A. M. (1998). Effects of Low Doses of Oestradiol, Testosterone and
Dihydrotestosterone on the Immune Response of Broiler Chicks. *Immunopharmacology and
Immunotoxicology*, *20*(2), 315–327. doi:10.3109/08923979809038547
Alvarez, M., Schrey, A. W., & Richards, C. L. (2015). Ten years of transcriptomics in wild populations: what have
we learned about their ecology and evolution? *Molecular Ecology*, *24*(4), 710–725. doi:10.1111/mec.13055
Anders, S., Pyl, P. T., & Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq-A Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing
data. *Bioinformatics*, *31*(2), 166–169. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638
Audetat, K. A., Galbraith, M. D., Odell, A. T., Lee, T., Pandey, A., Espinosa, J. M., … Taatjes, D. J. (2017). A
kinase-independent role for CDK19 in p53 response. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*. Retrieved from
http://mcb.asm.org/content/early/2017/04/12/MCB.00626-16.abstract
Balakrishnan, C. N., Mukai, M., Gonser, R. A, Wingfield, J. C., London, S. E., Tuttle, E. M., & Clayton, D. F.
(2014). Brain transcriptome sequencing and assembly of three songbird model systems for the study of
social behavior. *PeerJ*, *2*, e396–e396. doi:10.7717/peerj.396

Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate - a practical and powerful approach to
multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Statistical Methodology: Series B.*, *57*.
Bockmühl, Y., Patchev, A. V., Madejska, A., Hoffmann, A., Sousa, J. C., Sousa, N., … Spengler, D. (2015).
Methylation at the CpG island shore region upregulates Nr3c1 promoter activity after early-life stress.
Epigenetics, *10*(3), 247–257. doi:10.1080/15592294.2015.1017199
Boyd, R. J., Kelly, T. R., MacDougall-Shackleton, S. A., & MacDougall-Shackleton, E. A. (2018). Alternative
reproductive strategies in white-throated sparrows are associated with differences in parasite load following
experimental infection. *Biology Letters*, *14*(7). Retrieved from
http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/14/7/20180194.abstract
Catena, V., Bruno, T., De Nicola, F., Goeman, F., Pallocca, M., Iezzi, S., … Fanciulli, M. (2016). Deptor
transcriptionally regulates endoplasmic reticulum homeostasis in multiple myeloma cells. *Oncotarget*,
7(43), 70546–70558. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.12060
Cingolani, P., Patel, V., Coon, M., Nguyen, T., Land, S., Ruden, D., & Lu, X. (2012). Using *Drosophila*
melanogaster as a Model for Genotoxic Chemical Mutational Studies with a New Program, SnpSift.
Frontiers in Genetics, *3*, 35–35.
Cottrell, E. C., & Secki, J. R. (2009). Prenatal stress, glucocorticoids and the programming of adult disease.
Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, *3*. doi:10.3389/neuro.08.019.2009
Crean, A. J., & Bonduriansky, R. (2014). What is a paternal effect? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *29*(10), 554–
559. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2014.07.009
Crespi, E. J., Williams, T. D., Jessop, T. S., & Delehanty, B. (2012). Life history and the ecology of stress: how do
glucocorticoid hormones influence life-history variation in animals? *Functional Ecology*, *27*(1), 93–106.
doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12009
Desantis, A., Bruno, T., Catena, V., De Nicola, F., Goeman, F., Iezzi, S., … Fanciulli, M. (2015). Che-1-induced
inhibition of mTOR pathway enables stress-induced autophagy. *The EMBO Journal*, *34*(9), 1214 LP –
1230.
Dhanasiri, A. K. S., Fernandes, J. M. O., & Kiron, V. (2013). Liver Transcriptome Changes in Zebrafish during
Acclimation to Transport-Associated Stress. *PLOS ONE*, *8*(6), e65028–e65028.
Dobin, A., Davis, C. A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., … Gingeras, T. R. (2013). STAR:
ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*, *29*(1), 15–21.
Eden, E., Lipson, D., Yogev, S., & Yakhini, Z. (2007). Discovering Motifs in Ranked Lists of DNA Sequences.
PLOS Computational Biology, *3*(3), e39–e39.
Eden, E., Navon, R., Steinfeld, I., Lipson, D., & Yakhini, Z. (2009). GOrilla: a tool for discovery and visualization
of enriched GO terms in ranked gene lists. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *10*(1), 48–48. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-
48
Gluckman, P. D., Hanson, M. A., Cooper, C., & Thornburg, K. L. (2008). Effect of In Utero and Early-Life
Conditions on Adult Health and Disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *359*(1), 61–73.
doi:10.1056/NEJMra0708473
Griffiths, R., Double, M. C., Orr, K., & Dawson, R. J. G. (1998). A DNA test to sex most birds. *Molecular Ecology*,
7(8), 1071–1075. doi:10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00389.x
Grunst, A. S., Grunst, M. L., Formica, V. A., Korody, M. L., Betuel, A. M., Barcelo-Serra, M., … Tuttle, E. M.
(2018a). Morph-Specific Patterns of Reproductive Senescence: Connections to Discrete Reproductive
Strategies. *The American Naturalist*, *191*(6), 744–755. doi:10.1086/697377
Grunst, A. S., Grunst, M. L., Gonser, R. A., & Tuttle, E. M. (2018b). Developmental stress and telomere dynamics
in a genetically polymorphic species. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*. doi:10.1111/jeb.13400
Grunst, M.L., Grunst, A.S., Formica, V.A., Korody, M.L., Betuel, A.M., Barcelo-Serra, M., Gonser, R.A., Tuttle,
E.M. (2018c). Actuarial senescence in a dimorphic bird: different rates of ageing in morphs with discrete
reproductive strategies. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *2018205*. In Press.
Heim, C., Newport, D. J., Mletzko, T., Miller, A. H., & Nemeroff, C. B. (2008). The link between childhood trauma
and depression: Insights from HPA axis studies in humans. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *33*(6), 693–710.
doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2008.03.008
Horton, B. M., & Holberton, R. L. (2010). Morph-Specific Variation in Baseline Corticosterone and the
Adrenocortical Response in Breeding White-Throated Sparrows (*Zonotrichia albicollis*). *The Auk*, *127*(3),
540–548. doi:10.1525/auk.2010.09096

Horton, B. M., Moore, I. T., & Maney, D. L. (2014). New insights into the hormonal and behavioural correlates of polymorphism in white-throated sparrows, *Zonotrichia albicollis*. *Animal Behaviour*, *93*, 207–219. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.04.015

Horvath, S. (2011). *Weighted network analysis: application in genomics and systems biology*. New York, NY: Springer.

Hu, Z., Chang, Y.-C., Wang, Y., Huang, C.-L., Liu, Y., Tian, F., … DeLisi, C. (2013). VisANT 4.0: Integrative network platform to connect genes, drugs, diseases and therapies. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *41*(W1), W225–W231.

Kernbach, M.E., Newhouse, D.J., Miller, J.M., Hall, R.J., Gibbons, J., Oberstaller, J., Selechnik, D., Jiang, R., Unnasch, T.R., Balakrishnan, C.N., & L.B. Martin. Light pollution increases West Nile virus competence of a ubiquitous passerine reservoir species. *In review*.

Kim, S.-H., Kim, H.-J., & Kim, C.-W. (2016). GLCCI1 is a novel component associated with the PI3K signaling pathway in podocyte foot processes. *Experimental & Molecular Medicine*, *48*(5), e233–e233. doi:10.1038/emm.2016.28

Knapton, R. W., & Falls, J. B. (1983). Differences in parental contribution among pair types in the polymorphic White-throated Sparrow. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, *61*(6), 1288–1292. doi:10.1139/z83-173

Knapton, R. W., Cartar, R. V., & Falls, J. B. (1984). A Comparison of Breeding Ecology and Reproductive Success between Morphs of the White-Throated Sparrow. *The Wilson Bulletin*, *96*(1), 60–71.

Kopachena, J. G., & Falls, J. B. (1993). Aggressive Performance as a Behavioral Correlate of Plumage Polymorphism in the White-Throated Sparrow (*Zonotrichia albicollis*). *Behaviour*, *124*(3/4), 249–266.

Langfelder, P., & Horvath, S. (2008). WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *9*(1), 559–559. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-559

Larsen, D. H., Poinsignon, C., Gudjonsson, T., Dinant, C., Payne, M. R., Hari, F. J., … Lukas, J. (2010). The chromatin-remodeling factor CHD4 coordinates signaling and repair after DNA damage. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *190*(5), 731 LP – 740.

Ledon-Rettig, C. C., Richards, C. L., & Martin, L. B. (2013). Epigenetics for behavioral ecologists. *Behavioral Ecology*, *24*(2), 311–324. doi:10.1093/beheco/ars145

Li, H. (2011). A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*, *27*(21), 2987–2993.

Li, H. (2013). Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. *ArXiv:1303.3997 [q-Bio]*. Retrieved from http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., … Subgroup, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(16), 2078–2079.

Liu, D., Diorio, J., Tannenbaum, B., Caldji, C., Francis, D., Freedman, A., … Meaney, M. J. (1997). Maternal Care, Hippocampal Glucocorticoid Receptors, and Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Responses to Stress. *Science*, *277*(5332), 1659 LP – 1662.

Livneh, I., Cohen-Kaplan, V., Cohen-Rosenzweig, C., Avni, N., & Ciechanover, A. (2016). The life cycle of the 26S proteasome: from birth, through regulation and function, and onto its death. *Cell Research*, *26*, 869–869.

Lloyd, J. D., & Martin, T. E. (2004). Nest-site preference and maternal effects on offspring growth. *Behavioral Ecology*, *15*(5), 816–823. doi:10.1093/beheco/arh085

Love, M. I., Huber, W., & Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology*, *15*(12), 550–550. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Lowther, J. K. (1961). Polymorphism in the white-throated sparrow, *Zonotrichia albicollis* (Gmelin). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, *39*(3), 281–292. doi:10.1139/z61-031

Lupien, S. J., McEwen, B. S., Gunnar, M. R., & Heim, C. (2009). Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *10*(6), 434–445. doi:10.1038/nrn2639

MacDougall-Shackleton, S. A., & Spencer, K. A. (2012). Developmental stress and birdsong: current evidence and future directions. *Journal of Ornithology*, *153*(1), 105–117. doi:10.1007/s10336-011-0807-x

Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet.Journal*, *17*(1), 10–10. doi:10.14806/ej.17.1.200

McEwen, B. S. (2007). Physiology and Neurobiology of Stress and Adaptation: Central Role of the Brain. *Physiological Reviews*, *87*(3), 873–904. doi:10.1152/physrev.00041.2006

McGowan, P. O., Sasaki, A., D'Alessio, A. C., Dymov, S., Labonté, B., Szyf, M., … Meaney, M. J. (2009). Epigenetic regulation of the glucocorticoid receptor in human brain associates with childhood abuse. *Nature Neuroscience*, *12*, 342–342.

Meaney, M. J. (2001). Maternal Care, Gene Expression, and the Transmission of Individual Differences in Stress Reactivity Across Generations. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, *24*(1), 1161–1192. doi:10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.1161

Michopoulos, V., Maney, D. L., Morehouse, C. B., & Thomas, J. W. (2007). A genotyping assay to determine plumage morph in the white-throated sparrow (*Zonotrichia albicollis*). *The Auk*, *124*(4), 1330. doi:10.1642/0004-8038(2007)124[1330:AGATDP]2.0.CO;2

Mulholland, T. I., Ferraro, D. M., Boland, K. C., Ivey, K. N., Le, M.-L., LaRiccia, C. A., … Francis, C. D. (2018). Effects of Experimental Anthropogenic Noise Exposure on the Reproductive Success of Secondary Cavity Nesting Birds. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*. doi:10.1093/icb/icy079

Noguera, J. C., Kim, S.-Y., & Velando, A. (2017). Family-transmitted stress in a wild bird. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. Retrieved from http://www.pnas.org/content/early/2017/06/06/1706164114.abstract

Peterson, T. R., Laplante, M., Thoreen, C. C., Sancak, Y., Kang, S. A., Kuehl, W. M., … Sabatini, D. M. (2009). DEPTOR Is an mTOR Inhibitor Frequently Overexpressed in Multiple Myeloma Cells and Required for Their Survival. *Cell*, *137*(5), 873–886. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.03.046

Price, L. H., Kao, H.-T., Burgers, D. E., Carpenter, L. L., & Tyrka, A. R. (2013). Telomeres and Early-Life Stress: An Overview. *Biological Psychiatry*, *73*(1), 15–23. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.06.025

Quinlan, A. R., & Hall, I. M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics*, *26*(6), 841–842.

Romanov, M. N., Tuttle, E. M., Houck, M. L., Modi, W. S., Chemnick, L. G., Korody, M. L., … Ryder, O. A. (2009). The value of avian genomics to the conservation of wildlife. *BMC Genomics*, *10*(Suppl 2), S10. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-10-S2-S10

Romens, S. E., McDonald, J., Svaren, J., & Pollak, S. D. (2014). Associations Between Early Life Stress and Gene Methylation in Children. *Child Development*, *86*(1), 303–309. doi:10.1111/cdev.12270

Schrader, M., Jarrett, B. J. M., & Kilner, R. M. (2018). Parental care and sibling competition independently increase phenotypic variation among burying beetle siblings. *Evolution*, *0*(ja). doi:10.1111/evo.13607

Schwander, T., Libbrecht, R., & Keller, L. (2014). Supergenes and Complex Phenotypes. *Current Biology*, *24*(7), R288–R294. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.01.056

Sewall, K. B., Anderson, R. C., Soha, J. A., Peters, S., & Nowicki, S. (2018). Early life conditions that impact song learning in male zebra finches also impact neural and behavioral responses to song in females. *Developmental Neurobiology*, *78*(8), 785–798. doi:10.1002/dneu.22600

Shang, F., & Taylor, A. (2011). Ubiquitin–proteasome pathway and cellular responses to oxidative stress. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, *51*(1), 5–16. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2011.03.031

Smith, J.N., Newhouse, D.J., Balakrishnan, C.N., Tuttle, E.M., R.A. Gonser. Differential morph gene expression in chromosome three of the white-throated sparrow (*Zonotrichia albicollis*). *In review*.

Sofaer, H. R., Sillett, T. S., Peluc, S. I., Morrison, S. A., & Ghalambor, C. K. (2013). Differential effects of food availability and nest predation risk on avian reproductive strategies. *Behavioral Ecology*, *24*(3), 698–707. doi:10.1093/beheco/ars212

Spencer, K. A., Buchanan, K. L., Goldsmith, A. R., & Catchpole, C. K. (2003). Song as an honest signal of developmental stress in the zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). *Hormones and Behavior*, *44*(2), 132–139. doi:10.1016/S0018-506X(03)00124-7

Spencer, Karen A. (2017). Developmental stress and social phenotypes: integrating neuroendocrine, behavioural and evolutionary perspectives. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *372*(1727). Retrieved from http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/372/1727/20160242.abstract

Spinney, L. H., Bentley, G. E., & Hau, M. (2006). Endocrine correlates of alternative phenotypes in the white-throated sparrow (*Zonotrichia albicollis*). *Hormones and Behavior*, *50*(5), 762–771. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2006.06.034

Swett, M. B., & Breuner, C. W. (2009). Plasma Testosterone Correlates with Morph Type across Breeding Substages in Male White-Throated Sparrows. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, *82*(5), 572–579. doi:10.1086/605392

Szyf, M. (2009). The early life environment and the epigenome. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General*
Subjects, *1790*(9), 878–885. doi:10.1016/j.bbagen.2009.01.009
Szyf, M., Weaver, I., & Meaney, M. (2007). Maternal care, the epigenome and phenotypic differences in behavior.
Reproductive Toxicology, *24*(1), 9–19. doi:10.1016/j.reprotox.2007.05.001
Thomas, J. W., Cáceres, M., Lowman, J. J., Morehouse, C. B., Short, M. E., Baldwin, E. L., … Martin, C. L. (2008).
The Chromosomal Polymorphism Linked to Variation in Social Behavior in the White-Throated Sparrow
(*Zonotrichia albicollis*) Is a Complex Rearrangement and Suppressor of Recombination. *Genetics*, *179*(3),
1455-1468.
Thorneycroft, H B. (1966). Chromosomal Polymorphism in the White-Throated Sparrow, *Zonotrichia albicollis*
(Gmelin). *Science*, *154*(3756), 1571-1572.
Thorneycroft, H Bruce. (1975). A cytogenetic study of the white-throated sparrow, Zonotrichia albicollis (Gmelin).
Evolution, *29*(4), 611–621. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1975.tb00855.x
Tomalty, K. M. H., Meek, M. H., Stephens, M. R., Rincón, G., Fangue, N. A., May, B. P., & Baerwald, M. R.
(2015). Transcriptional Response to Acute Thermal Exposure in Juvenile Chinook Salmon Determined by
RNAseq. *G3: Genes|Genomes|Genetics*, *5*(7), 1335-1349.
Trivers, R.L. 1972. Parental investment and sexual selection. In: *Sexual Selection and the Descent of Man* (B.
Campbell, ed), pp. 136–179. Aldine, Chicago, IL.
Turecki, G., & Meaney, M. J. (2016). Effects of the Social Environment and Stress on Glucocorticoid Receptor Gene
Methylation: A Systematic Review. *Biological Psychiatry*, *79*(2), 87–96.
doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.11.022
Turner, J. D., Schote, A. B., Macedo, J. A., Pelascini, L. P. L., & Muller, C. P. (2006). Tissue specific glucocorticoid
receptor expression, a role for alternative first exon usage? *Biochemical Pharmacology*, *72*(11), 1529–1537.
doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2006.07.005
Tuttle, E. M. (2003). Alternative reproductive strategies in the white-throated sparrow: behavioral and genetic
evidence. *Behavioral Ecology*, *14*(3), 425–432.
Tuttle, E. M., Grunst, A. S., Grunst, M. L., Korody, M. L., Betuel, A. M., Barcelo-Serra, M., … Gonser, R. A.
(2017). Climatically driven changes in population composition and offspring sex-morph ratio in a
polymorphic species. *Ecosphere*, *8*(4), e01762–e01762. doi:10.1002/ecs2.1762
Tuttle, E. M., Bergland, A. O., Korody, M. L., Brewer, M. S., Newhouse, D. J., Minx, P., … Balakrishnan, C. N.
(2016). Divergence and Functional Degradation of a Sex Chromosome-like Supergene. *Current Biology*,
26(3), 344–350. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.11.069
Weaver, I. C. G., Cervoni, N., Champagne, F. A., D'Alessio, A. C., Sharma, S., Seckl, J. R., … Meaney, M. J.
(2004). Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior. *Nature Neuroscience*, *7*, 847–847.
Weaver, I. C. G., Meaney, M. J., & Szyf, M. (2006). Maternal care effects on the hippocampal transcriptome and
anxiety-mediated behaviors in the offspring that are reversible in adulthood. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, *103*(9), 3480-3485.
Wolf, J. B., & Wade, M. J. (2009). What are maternal effects (and what are they not)? *Philosophical Transactions of*
the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *364*(1520), 1107–1115. doi:10.1098/rstb.2008.0238
Yosef, R., Gombobaatar, S., & Bortolotti, G. R. (2013). Sibling Competition Induces Stress Independent of
Nutritional Status in Broods of Upland Buzzards. *Journal of Raptor Research*, *47*(2), 127–132.
doi:10.3356/JRR-12-14.1
Zelko, I. N., Mariani, T. J., & Folz, R. J. (2002). Superoxide dismutase multigene family: a comparison of the CuZn-
SOD (SOD1), Mn-SOD (SOD2), and EC-SOD (SOD3) gene structures, evolution, and expression. *Free*
Radical Biology and Medicine, *33*(3), 337–349. doi:10.1016/S0891-5849(02)00905-X
Zhang, B., & Steve, H. (2005). A General Framework for Weighted Gene Co-Expression Network Analysis.
Statistical Applications in Genetics and Molecular Biology, *4*. doi:10.2202/1544-6115.1128
Zhao, H., Zhao, Y. G., Wang, X., Xu, L., Miao, L., Feng, D., … Zhang, H. (2013). Mice deficient in *Epg5* exhibit
selective neuronal vulnerability to degeneration. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, *200*(6), 731 LP – 741.
Zinzow-Kramer, W. M., Horton, B. M., McKee, C. D., Michaud, J. M., Tharp, G. K., Thomas, J. W., … Maney, D.
L. (2015). Genes located in a chromosomal inversion are correlated with territorial song in white-throated
sparrows. *Genes, Brain and Behavior*, *14*(8), 641–654. doi:10.1111/gbb.12252
708
709

Data Accessibility

The 32 RNAseq libraries used in this study will be submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read

Archive (SRA). All files needed to produce these results, including code and counts files, will be

uploaded to this project's GitHub page: https://github.com/danielnewhouse/wtsp

Author Contributions

DJN designed and performed research, analyzed the data, and wrote the paper. MBS

performed research, contributed samples, and reviewed drafts of the paper. EMT designed and

performed research and contributed samples. RAG designed and performed research, contributed

samples, and reviewed drafts of the paper. CNB designed and performed research, contributed

reagents, and reviewed drafts of the paper.

Tables and Figures

Table 1. WGCNA modules correlated with morph, strength of correlation (R^2), p-value, hub

gene(s) of module, and the degree distribution of hub gene(s).

Module	R^2	p-value	Hub genes	DD of hub gene(s)
Dark Red	0.47	0.009	TRAF5	32
Light Cyan	0.67	5×10^{-5}	BPNT1, EPM2A, LOC102066536 (GST-like), MAN1A1, MEI4, RNASET2, SLC18B1, TTC32	>27
Salmon	-0.5	0.005	NSL1	39
Sky Blue	0.53	0.003	DTX3L, EIF2AK2, IFIT5, LOC102064521 (OASL), LOC102065196 (IFI27L2), PARP9, PARP14, RSAD2, ZNFX1	>22
White	-0.66	9×10^{-5}	GOPC, HDAC2, HINT3, TAF5L, TRMT61B, MARC2	>29

725 Table 2. WGCNA modules correlated with pair type, strength of correlation (R^2), p-value, hub

726 gene(s) of module, and the degree distribution of hub gene(s).

Module	R^2	p-value	Hub genes	DD of hub gene(s)
Blue	-0.45	0.01	NDUFB3	42
Cyan	0.46	0.01	HELZ	36

Dark Orange	0.7	1×10^{-5}	NCOA6	45
Light Green	0.6	4×10^{-4}	CDK19, CHD4, EPG5	>28
Orange	-0.45	0.01	ZFX	31
Pink	0.37	0.04	LOC102060916 (C12orf4)	19
Tan	-0.61	3×10^{-4}	DEPTOR	39

Module-trait relationships

	Pair Type	Sex	Morph	Individual Nest
MEtan	-0.61 (3e-04)	-0.077 (0.7)	-0.12 (0.5)	0.51 (0.004)
MEgreenyellow	-0.19 (0.3)	0.051 (0.8)	-0.11 (0.6)	-0.012 (1)
MEpurple	-0.28 (0.1)	0.16 (0.4)	-0.11 (0.5)	-0.011 (1)
MEwhite	-0.0094 (1)	0.29 (0.1)	-0.66 (9e-05)	0.25 (0.2)
MEturquoise	0.29 (0.1)	0.75 (2e-06)	-0.18 (0.3)	-0.11 (0.6)
MEpink	0.37 (0.04)	0.19 (0.3)	-0.063 (0.7)	-0.44 (0.02)
MEdarkturquoise	0.18 (0.4)	0.053 (0.8)	-0.33 (0.07)	-0.076 (0.7)
MEsalmon	0.035 (0.9)	0.18 (0.3)	-0.5 (0.005)	0.075 (0.7)
MEblack	0.34 (0.06)	-0.3 (0.1)	0.12 (0.5)	-0.32 (0.09)
MElightgreen	0.6 (4e-04)	0.13 (0.5)	0.15 (0.4)	-0.54 (0.002)
MEbrown	0.2 (0.3)	-0.32 (0.09)	-0.14 (0.5)	-0.033 (0.9)
MEcyan	0.46 (0.01)	-0.18 (0.3)	-0.094 (0.6)	-0.47 (0.009)
MEdarkorange	0.7 (1e-05)	0.098 (0.6)	-0.11 (0.5)	-0.28 (0.1)
MEblue	-0.45 (0.01)	0.028 (0.9)	0.11 (0.6)	0.27 (0.1)
MEmidnightblue	-0.11 (0.6)	-0.58 (7e-04)	0.16 (0.4)	-0.17 (0.4)
MEorange	-0.45 (0.01)	-0.36 (0.05)	0.042 (0.8)	0.4 (0.03)
MEdarkgreen	0.15 (0.4)	-0.17 (0.4)	-0.018 (0.9)	0.041 (0.8)
MEgrey60	-0.017 (0.9)	-0.065 (0.7)	0.086 (0.7)	0.17 (0.4)
MEskyblue	-0.21 (0.3)	0.13 (0.5)	0.53 (0.003)	0.27 (0.1)
MElightyellow	0.03 (0.9)	-0.12 (0.5)	0.35 (0.06)	-0.041 (0.8)
MEdarkred	0.32 (0.08)	-0.26 (0.2)	0.47 (0.009)	-0.25 (0.2)
MElightcyan	0.095 (0.6)	-0.27 (0.2)	0.67 (5e-05)	-0.44 (0.02)
MEmagenta	-0.034 (0.9)	-0.34 (0.07)	-0.035 (0.9)	0.16 (0.4)
MEyellow	-0.23 (0.2)	-0.41 (0.02)	0.11 (0.6)	0.23 (0.2)
MEgreen	-0.11 (0.6)	-0.16 (0.4)	-0.021 (0.9)	0.32 (0.08)
MEred	0.14 (0.5)	-0.23 (0.2)	0.25 (0.2)	0.098 (0.6)

727   Figure 1. WGCNA module-trait correlation matrix. Each box contains the R^2 correlation value

728   followed by pvalue in parentheses of a given trait with the module. Correlation values range from

729   -1 to 1, with orange colors representing positive correlation and blue colors representing negative

730   correlation.

Figure 2. (A) Network of blue module, highlighting hub gene NDUFB3, along with normalized

expression plots of (B) NDUFB3, as well as ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis-related genes (C)

PSMD6 and (D) UBE2D3. TxW = samples from nests sired by a T male and a W female. WxT =

samples from nests sired by a white male and a tan female. Each circle represents a gene and

diamonds represent hub genes described in Table 2.

Figure 3. (A) Network of tan module, highlighting hub gene DEPTOR, along with normalized

expression plots of hub gene (B) DEPTOR, as well as stress responsive genes (C) SOD2 and (D)

NR3C1. TxW = samples from nests sired by a tan male and a white female. WxT = samples from

nests sired by a white male and a tan female.

Figure 4. (A) Network of light green module and normalized expression plots of hub genes (B)

CDK19, (C) CHD4, and (D) EPG5. TxW represents samples from nests sired by a T male and a

W female. WxT represent samples from nests sired by a white male and a tan female. Each circle

represents a gene and diamonds represent hub genes described in Table 2.