1 Title: Parent and offspring genotypes influence gene expression in early life

2

3 **Running Title**: Parental effects on offspring gene expression

4

- 5 Authors & Affiliations:
- 6 Daniel J. Newhouse^{1*}, Margarida Barcelo-Serra², Elaina M. Tuttle², Rusty A. Gonser²,
- 7 Christopher N. Balakrishnan¹

- 9 ¹East Carolina University, ²Indiana State University
- 10 ^{*}Corresponding Author

11 Abstract

12 Parents can have profound effects on offspring fitness. Little, however, is known about the 13 mechanisms through which parental care variation influences offspring physiology in natural 14 systems. White-throated sparrows Zonotrichia albicollis (WTSPs) exist in two genetic morphs, 15 tan and white, controlled by a large polymorphic supergene. Morphs mate disassortatively, 16 resulting in two pair types: tan male x white female (TxW) pairs, which provide biparental care 17 and white male x tan female (WxT) pairs, which provide female-biased care. To investigate the 18 effects of parental care variation, we performed RNA-seq on WTSP nestlings sampled from nests 19 of both pair types. Pair type had the largest effect on nestling gene expression, with 881 genes 20 differentially expressed (DE) and seven correlated gene co-expression modules. The DE genes 21 and modules up-regulated in nests with female-biased parental care primarily function in 22 metabolism and stress-related pathways resulting from the overrepresentation of stress response 23 and proteolysis genes. These results show that parental genotypes, a proxy for parental care in 24 this system, alter nestling physiology and highlight avenues of further research investigating the 25 ultimate implications of alternative parental care strategies. Nestlings also exhibited morph-26 specific gene expression, driven by innate immunity genes and co-expression of genes located in 27 the supergene. Remarkably, we identified the same regulatory hub genes in these blood-derived 28 expression networks as were previously identified in WTSP brains (EPM2A, BPNT1, TAF5L). 29 These hub genes were located within the supergene, highlighting the importance of this gene 30 complex in structuring regulatory networks across diverse tissues.

31

32 Keywords

33 Transcriptome, parental care, early life stress, nestling, RNAseq, ornithology

34

35 Introduction

36 Parents can have profound impacts on offspring development and fitness. Parental effects 37 can manifest throughout the developmental period, both pre- and postnatally (reviewed in 38 Meaney 2001, Lupien et al. 2009). Postnatal effects can be particularly critical in altricial species, 39 where offspring rely entirely on their parents for proper development and growth. Parental effects 40 in these species can be mediated through genetic and physiological aspects of the parent or result 41 from parental behaviors during early development (Trivers 1972). 42 A major component of these parental effects is mediated by the mother, termed maternal 43 effects. In altricial species, maternal effects are crucial in the prenatal stage, where the mother's 44 genotype and/or phenotype directly influence the offspring phenotype (reviewed in Gluckman et 45 al. 2008). In this case, offspring develop in a maternally created environment and are exposed 46 and influenced by maternal hormones (Wolf & Wade 2009). Maternal hormones can accumulate 47 in the prenatal environment (e.g. uterus, egg) and influence offspring physiology (reviewed in 48 Cottrell & Secki 2009).

Beyond hormone mediated maternal effects, parental behaviors (both maternal and
paternal) can also impact offspring. These parental effects can appear prenatally during nest
building. Nest location will determine susceptibility to impacts from temperature or sun
exposure, humidity, food availability, pollution, and predation (e.g. Lloyd & Martin 2004, Sofaer
et al. 2012, Mulholland et al. 2018). However, the magnitude of parental effects is likely largest
during the postnatal period, where offspring rely entirely on the parents for provisioning and
must compete with siblings during feeding bouts. Thus, this postnatal environment, mediated

through parental care, can be a potential source of early life stress (ELS) in offspring, which may
result in life-long fitness effects.

58 A prominent area of ELS research focuses on neural development and neuroendocrine 59 signaling (McEwen et al. 2007). The HPA axis is among the most well studied physiological 60 mechanisms of the stress response. ELS is associated with impaired neuroendocrine function and 61 corresponding impaired HPA response, which leads to lifetime consequences in behavior and 62 fitness (e.g. Heim et al. 2008, Crespi et al. 2012, Spencer 2017). Additionally, increased levels of 63 ELS can result in altered behaviors as organisms develop and mature including symptoms of 64 anxiety and depression in the postnatal environment (Noguera et al. 2017) and result in impaired 65 behavior as reproductive adults, with ultimate consequences in fitness. For example, ELS can 66 slow brain development in songbirds, leading to altered song learning and production, which 67 directly impacts the ability to produce and recognize songs as adults, a crucial aspect of songbird 68 reproduction (Spencer et al. 2003, MacDougall-Shackleton & Spencer 2012, Sewall et al. 2018) 69 Epigenetic impacts of ELS have also been extensively studied (Szyf et al. 2007, Szyf 70 2009). In particular, the quality of parental care can have profound impacts on offspring health. 71 Classic studies assessing maternal licking behavior in mice revealed strong epigenetic effects and 72 corresponding physiological consequences in offspring receiving poor parental care (Liu et al. 73 1997, Meaney et al. 2001, Weaver et al. 2004). While there are a variety of epigenetic marks, 74 including histone modification and chromatin accessibility, changes in DNA methylation are 75 often used as an indicator of ELS. Specifically, methylation of the exon 1_F promoter for the 76 glucocorticoid receptor, NR3C1, has received considerable attention. NR3C1 is often 77 hypermethylated in offspring experiencing ELS, which has been linked to physiological disorders 78 later in life (McGowan et al. 2009, Romens et al. 2014, Turecki & Meaney 2016). In general,

79 these epigenetic modifications are thought to alter transcriptional activity of genes in the 80 modified genomic region. However, very few studies assess genome-wide transcription under 81 ELS, particularly in the context of parental effects (but see: Weaver et al. 2006). 82 In this study, we studied the white-throated sparrow (WTSP, Zonotrichia albicollis) in order to assess the role of parents and ELS on offspring gene expression. WTSPs exist in two 83 84 plumage morphs, tan (T) and white (W), that are found in both sexes and in roughly equal 85 frequencies (Lowther 1961). These morphs are genetically determined by alternative alleles of a 86 supergene (Schwander et al. 2014), resulting from a complex chromosomal rearrangement 87 comprising multiple inversions (hereafter referred to as "inversion" or "inverted"). This inverted region contains ~1,100 genes on chromosome two, termed ZAL2^m (Throneycroft 1975, Thomas 88 89 et al. 2008, Romanov et al. 2009, Tuttle et al. 2016). W morphs are nearly always heterozygous 90 for the inversion (ZAL2/ZAL2^m) and T morphs are always homozygous (ZAL2/ZAL2) and do 91 not contain the inversion (Thorneycroft 1966, 1975). The morphs of both sexes differ 92 dramatically in behavior, where W morphs are highly territorial, sing frequently, and maintain 93 higher levels of hormones at different times of the breeding season. T morphs are far less 94 territorial and aggressive and maintain lower levels of circulating hormones (Lowther 1962, 95 Kopachna & Falls 1993, Tuttle 2003, Spinney et al. 2006, Swett & Breuner 2009. Horton & 96 Holbertson 2010, Horton et al. 2014). Importantly, males of each morph differ in paternal 97 investment (Knapton & Falls 1983). W morph males are promiscuous and provision nestlings 98 very little. T morph males defend their within-pair paternity through mate guarding and are 99 highly paternal. Additionally, morphs nearly always mate disassortatively, resulting in two stable 100 pair types: T male x W female (TxW) and W male x T female (WxT) (Lowther 1961, Tuttle 101 2003, Tuttle et al. 2016). Because males differ in paternal investment, this results in two distinct

parental care strategies. TxW pairs provide biparental care and WxT pairs provide female-biasedparental care.

104 Thus, WxT pairs may be a source of ELS (via reduced provisioning) for nestlings. We 105 expect nestlings in WxT nests to elicit a distinct transcriptional stress response relative to 106 nestlings in TxW nests. Alternatively, W morph females may produce higher levels of oestradiol 107 resulting from singing and territorial behavior (e.g. Horton et al. 2014), and this may act as a 108 source of hormone mediated maternal effects in TxW nests. In this case, we may expect to see 109 the opposite pattern, with a transcriptional stress response in nestlings from TxW nests as they 110 cope with the immunosuppressive effects of estrogens (e.g. al-Alfaleq & Homeida 1998). 111 Furthermore, each nest will produce nestlings of both morphs, offering the unique opportunity to 112 investigate the interaction between offspring and parental morphs. As adults, W morphs must 113 cope with high levels of energy expenditure via singing and territorial behavior relative to T 114 morphs. Thus, we predicted W morph nestlings may be better suited to handle ELS mediated 115 through female-biased parental care in WxT nests, or maternal effects in TxW nests, and we 116 would see an elevated stress response in T morph nestlings in these nests. Lastly, we investigate 117 morph-specific gene expression, independent of the pair type of the nestling, to assess the role of nestling morph (i.e. presence or absence of ZAL2^m inversion) on nestling gene expression. 118

119

120 Methods

121 Field based sample collection

All nestling samples in this study came from a breeding population of WTSPs at the
Cranberry Lake Biological Station in northern New York, USA (SUNY-ESF, 44.15°N, 74.78°W)
and were collected during the 2015 breeding season. We only utilized samples collected during

125	the first clutch (June 6 - June 14, 2015), as WTSP males may increase paternal investment in
126	replacement broods (Horton et al. 2014). Nestlings were measured daily (tarsus length, mass)
127	from hatch date or upon locating a nest with nestlings. Nestlings were banded on days 5-7 post-
128	hatch and $\sim 80 \mu L$ blood was collected in capillary tubes via brachial venipuncture.
129	Approximately 60µL blood was preserved in Longmire's lysis buffer (Longmire et al. 1992) for
130	genotyping and $\sim 20 \mu L$ was immediately placed in RNAlater. Within six hours of collection,
131	samples were placed temporarily into liquid nitrogen, before being shipped overnight on dry ice
132	to -80°C storage until RNA extraction. All animal sampling protocols were approved by the
133	Indiana State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC 562158-
134	1:ET/RG, 562192-1:ET/RG).
135	
136	Molecular sexing & genotyping
137	Nestling DNA was extracted from erythrocytes using the DNA IQ® magnetic extraction
138	system (Promega Corp, Madison, WI USA). To determine sex and morph, we used PCR to
139	fluorescently label and amplify a region of the chromo-helicase-DNA-binding gene, and a region
140	of the vasoactive intestinal peptide following Griffiths (1998) and Michopolous et al. (2007). The
141	PCR products were run and analyzed on an ABI PRISM TM 310 genetic analyzer.
142	
143	RNA extraction, library preparation, & sequencing
144	We sampled a total of 32 nestlings for RNA extraction and sequencing. These samples
145	represent 23 nestlings from eight TxW pairs and nine nestlings from three WxT pairs.
146	Additionally, these data represent 18 females, 14 males, 15 T morph, and 17 W morph
147	individuals.

148	We removed RNAlater and homogenized whole blood tissue samples with Tri-Reagent
149	(Molecular Research Company). Total RNA was purified with a Qiagen RNeasy mini kit
150	(Valencia, CA, USA), followed by DNase treatment and further purification. We quality assessed
151	RNA with an Agilent Bioanalyzer (Wilmington, DE, USA). Both library preparation and
152	sequencing were performed at the University of Illinois Roy J. Carver Biotechnology Center. A
153	library was prepared for each RNA sample using the Illumina HT TruSeq (San Diego, CA, USA)
154	stranded RNA sample prep kit. Libraries were distributed into four pools with equimolar
155	concentrations and quantitated via qPCR. Each of the pools was sequenced on an individual lane
156	of an Illumina HiSeq 2500 using the Illumina TruSeq SBS sequencing kit v4 producing 100
157	nucleotide single-end reads.
158	
159	Creation of masked reference genome
160	The WTSP reference genome was generated from a male T morph individual (Tuttle et al.
161	2016). Thus, the reference genome does not contain any sequence data from the $ZAL2^m$
162	inversion. To avoid any potential bias in mapping reads derived from W morph individuals onto
163	a T morph genome, we generated a masked reference genome for this study. To do so, we used
164	previously published whole genome sequences from three W morph adults (Tuttle et al. 2016).
165	Reads were adapter trimmed with Trim Galore! v0.3.8
166	(https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore) and aligned to the WTSP reference genome with
167	bwa mem v 0.7.10-r789. We converted and sorted the resulting SAM alignment to BAM format
168	with samtools view and samtools sort, respectively (samtools v1.2, Li et al. 2009). We then
169	merged all genomic scaffolds corresponding to the ZAL2 ^m inversion with <i>samtools merge</i> . We
170	called SNPs within the inversion using samtools mpileup and bcftools call v 1.2 (Li 2009). We

only kept SNPs that were heterozygous in each of the three individuals with *SnpSift* v 4.3p
(Cingolani et al. 2012) and used these SNPs to mask the reference genome with *bedtools*

- 173 *maskfasta* v 2.21.0 (Quinlan & Hall 2010).
- 174

175 *Quality control, read mapping, differential expression, & gene ontology*

We trimmed Illumina sequencing adapters from each of the 32 libraries with *Trim Galore!* v 0.3.8 which uses *Cutadapt* v1.7.1 (Martin 2011). Trimmed reads were then mapped to the masked reference genome with *STAR* v2.5.3a (Dobin et al. 2013). The mapping results were then quantified and assigned gene IDs with *htseq-count* v0.6.0 (Anders et al. 2015) specifying '-s reverse' and '-i gene'. Genes with an average read count of \geq 5 were used for downstream

analyses.

182 All statistical analyses were performed with R v3.5.0 (R Core Team 2013). We identified 183 outlier samples as having a normalized connectivity below -2.5 (Horvath 2011). Two samples, 184 one T female and one T male representing an entire TxW nest, were identified as outliers and 185 removed from all future analyses (Figure S1). We normalized reads accounting for sequencing 186 depth and assessed differential expression (DE) with DEseq2 (Love et al. 2014). We performed 187 variance stabilizing transformation of reads in *DEseq2* and performed PCA and hierarchical 188 clustering of gene expression profiles with *pcaExplorer* v2.6.0 (Marini 2018). DE analyses 189 utilized pairwise comparisons between nestling morph and pair type (i.e. parental morphs). In 190 each case, we controlled for sex, morph, and/or nest ID. We did not include nestling age in 191 analyses, as most samples were 6 days old (n=21), limiting comparisons with nestlings aged Day 192 5 (n=3) or Day 7 (n=6). Network analysis (see below) did not reveal any effect of age on 193 variables of interest (morph, pair type; data not shown). We also tested for an interaction between

nestling morph and pair type utilizing a grouping variable as outlined in the *DEseq2* manual.

- 195 *DEseq2* determines DE with a Wald test followed by Benjamini & Hochberg (1995) FDR
- 196 correction. Genes were considered DE if the FDR corrected p-value was < 0.10. Details for each
- 197 model run, including the R code used, are in this project's GitHub repository.

198 We next grouped DE genes into gene ontology (GO) categories with *GOrilla* (Eden et al.

199 2007, 2009). For each *DEseq2* comparison, we ordered the list of genes based on ascending FDR

200 values, excluding any genes in which *DEseq2* did not assign a FDR value. The WTSP genome is

201 not completely annotated, so any loci without a gene symbol were excluded from GO analyses

202 (n=1,926). GOrilla places greater weight on genes located at the top of the list (i.e. DE genes),

while accounting for the contribution of each gene in the given comparison. GO categories were

204 considered significantly enriched if the FDR corrected p-value <0.05. *GOrilla* does not support

205 WTSP annotation; so, all analyses were based on homology to human gene symbols.

206

207 Weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA)

208 We used the WGCNA package in R (Zhang & Horvath 2005, Langfelder & Horvath 209 2008) to identify modules of co-expressed genes in our dataset. We first exported variance 210 stabilizing transformed (vst) read counts from DEseq2, removed genes with an average vst < 5211 across all 30 samples, and imported the subsequent list of 8,982 genes into WGCNA. To build the 212 co-expression matrix, we chose a soft thresholding power (β) value of 12, at which the network 213 reaches scale-free topology (Figure S2). We generated a signed network with minimum module 214 size of 30 genes and merged highly correlated modules (dissimilarity threshold = 0.20, which corresponds to $R^2 = 0.80$). We then correlated the eigengene, which is the first principal 215

216 component of a module, of these merged modules with external traits (pair type, morph, sex, nest 217 ID). Modules with p < 0.05 were considered significantly correlated with a given trait. 218 To visualize the interaction of genes within a module, we generated the intramodular 219 connectivity (IM) score for each gene, which represents the interconnection of module genes. We 220 exported all IM scores for modules of interest and imported into VisAnt v5.51 (Hu et al. 2013) for 221 visualization. To maximize network clarity, we only plotted the top 300 interactions based on IM 222 scores. Thus, we only visualized the most connected genes. To identify hub genes, we visualized 223 the Degree Distribution (DD) for the network and selected the most connected genes above a 224 natural break in the distribution. This resulted in one to nine hub genes per module. 225 Lastly, to understand the biological function of modules correlated with traits of interest, 226 we performed a target vs background GO analysis in *GOrilla*. For each module, we tested the 227 assigned genes for each module against the entire list of 8,982 genes used for the WGCNA 228 analysis. GO categories were significant with a FDR corrected p-value < 0.05. 229 230 Results 231 Sequencing results 232 We sequenced each sample to an average depth of 29.4 million reads (range = 16.2-58.5233 million reads). The 32 libraries were distributed into four pools in equimolar concentration. One 234 pool contained only four samples, which corresponded to the four samples with lowest RNA 235 concentrations. This pool was sequenced to an average depth of 56.17 million reads per library. 236 The remaining three pools were sequenced to an average depth of 25.62 million reads per library. 237 Samples mapped to our masked genome at an average rate of 91.08% (range = 88.19%-92.87%)

238	(Table S1). A total of 8,982 genes had count values \geq 5 across all samples. Samples do not
239	segregate by pair type or morph in clustering analyses (Figures S3, S4).
240	
241	Differential Expression – Morph
242	Testing for morph-specific expression resulted in 92 genes DE. Sixty-five of these genes
243	were in the supergene (Table S2). Additionally, many of these 92 genes were up-regulated in W
244	morph nestlings and function in innate immunity (e.g. IFIT5, IL20RA, EIF2AK2, RSAD2).
245	There was GO enrichment of four categories, two of which are immunity related: "immune
246	response" ($p = 0.019$) and "defense response to virus" ($p = 0.049$) (Table S3).
247	
248	Differential Expression – Pair Type
249	Pair type had the largest effect on gene expression, with 881 genes differentially
250	expressed (DE) between offspring from the two different pair types (FDR < 0.10 , Table S2).
251	Many genes associated with stress responses were up-regulated in nestlings in WxT nests,
252	including the glucocorticoid receptor (NR3C1), superoxide dismutase (SOD)1 & SOD2, DEP
253	domain-containing mTOR-interacting protein (DEPTOR), and several ubiquitin-mediated
254	proteolysis pathway genes (e.g. UBE2D3, PSMD3, PSMD6). Additionally, several immune
255	system related genes were also up-regulated in WxT nests, including cytokines (e.g. IL2RA,
256	IL7R), suppressor of cytokine signaling 1 (SOCS1), and five putative major histocompatibility
257	complex (MHC) class I loci. No GO categories were significantly enriched, however.
258	We next tested for a morph-specific response to pair type. Within WxT nests, 40 genes
259	were DE (p <0.10) between T and W morph nestlings, 12 of which are in the supergene.
260	Additionally, 34/40 genes are uniquely DE between morphs in WxT nests and do not overlap

with the overall list of 92 genes DE between morphs described above, suggesting a prominent
role of the WxT pair type on nestling morph-specific gene expression. Only two genes (THSD7B
& CFAP44) were DE between morphs within TxW nests, both of which are uniquely DE
between morphs in TxW nests. No GO categories were enriched in either comparison.

265

266	WGCNA –	Morph
-----	---------	-------

267 WGCNA revealed 26 modules, five of which were correlated with morph (Table 1, Figure 1). The light cyan module (183 genes, $R^2=0.67$, $p=5x10^{-5}$) and white module (72 genes, 268 269 R^2 =-0.66, p=9x10⁻⁵) contained genes up-regulated and down-regulated respectively in W morph 270 nestlings. These genes are primarily located in the chromosomal inversion (light cyan = 70/183) 271 genes, white = 40/72) (Figure S5). The hubs of each of these modules are also located in the 272 chromosomal inversion (Table 1, Figure S5). Additionally, the sky blue module (58 genes, $R^{2}=0.53$, p=0.003) and dark red module (102 genes, $R^{2}=0.47$, p=0.009) (Figure S6) contained 273 274 genes up-regulated in W morph nestlings and many of these genes overlap with the immune 275 related genes described in the morph DE tests above. Additionally, the hubs of these networks 276 (e.g. sky blue: EIF2AK2, IFIT5, OASL; dark red: TRAF5) (Table 1) reflect a conserved innate 277 immunity network structure in avian blood (Kernbach et al., in review) (Figure S6). 278

279 WGCNA – Pair Type

We found seven modules correlated with pair type (Table 2, Figure 1). The blue module represented genes that are up-regulated in nestlings from WxT nests (1,142 genes, $R^2 = -0.45$, p=0.01). This module contained both the largest number of genes and correspondingly strongest functional enrichment. Many of these GO enrichments were related to protein function, resulting

284	from the presence of ribosomal genes. Interestingly, several GO categories for metabolism,
285	catabolism, and proteolysis were also enriched, driven by genes encoding ubiquitin-conjugating
286	enzymes and proteasome subunits (e.g. "proteasomal protein catabolic process", p=2.34x10 ⁻⁴ ;
287	"proteasome-mediated ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process", $p=5.32 \times 10^{-4}$) (Table S4).
288	Many of these (e.g. PSMF1, PSMD3, PSMD6, UBE2D2, UBE2D3, UBE3C) were also DE
289	between offspring of the two pair types (Figure 2). Lastly, the blue module contains one hub
290	gene, NDUFB3 (DD=42) (Figure 2), which is involved in the mitochondrial electron transport
291	chain.
292	The remaining modules were not enriched for any GO categories, but the tan and light
293	green modules represented candidate stress response networks. These modules showed
294	contrasting expression patterns in nestlings from WxT nests. Within the tan module (335 genes,
295	R^2 =-0.61, p=3x10 ⁻⁴), genes were up-regulated and DEPTOR was the single hub (DD=39), which
296	functions as an inhibitor of the mTOR pathway in response to stress (e.g. Desantis et al. 2015)
297	(Figure 3). The tan module also contained NR3C1, which is activated in response to increased
298	glucocorticoid secretion. Lastly, the light green module (116 genes, $R^2=0.60$, $p=4x10^{-4}$)
299	contained genes down-regulated in TxW nests. There were three hub genes (DD $>$ 28), CDK19,
300	CHD4, and EPG5, each with previously described roles in the stress response (Figure 4). We did
301	not observe modules correlated with pair type that were also correlated with nestling morph or
302	sex, suggesting there is no morph or sex-specific response to a given pair type at the network
303	level.
304	

305 **Discussion**

306 By assessing genome-wide transcription in nestlings raised by different WTSP pair types, 307 a proxy for parental investment, we have identified distinct transcriptomic signatures that suggest 308 WxT pairs (female-biased parental care) induce a stress response in developing nestlings relative 309 to TxW pairs. This is reflected both by differential expression of several genes involved in 310 protein degradation as well as networks of co-expressed genes with stress response hubs. 311 Additionally, we identified morph-specific gene expression driven by innate immunity genes and 312 genes located in the chromosome 2 supergene. As adults, the genes within the supergene strongly 313 influence the WTSP neural transcriptome (Balakrishnan et al. 2014, Zinzow-Kramer et al. 2015). 314 Our results here suggest that as nestlings, parental genotypes and associated behaviors, rather 315 than nestling genotype, have the strongest influence on the nestling transcriptome. 316 317 Gene expression differences resulting from pair type, a proxy for parental care 318 WTSP morphs differ in the amount of paternal provisioning; with W morph males 319 providing less than their T male counterparts. Therefore, we expected nestlings in WxT nests to 320 be stressed nutritionally or to suffer increased sibling competition due to uniparental feeding 321 visits (e.g. Yosef et al. 2012). Indeed, we find 881 genes DE between nestlings raised under the 322 two pair types. Many of these genes function in the proteasome or ubiquitin-mediated 323 proteolysis. Cells naturally use the proteasome for degradation of proteins targeted by the 324 ubiquitination process, but genes involved in proteasome formation (e.g. PSMD6, PSMD11) and 325 ubiquitination (e.g. UBE2B) are up-regulated in cells experiencing mild oxidative stress (Aiken 326 et al. 2011, Shang & Taylor 2011, Livneh et al. 2016) or organisms experiencing abiotic stress 327 (Dhanasiri et al. 2013, Tomalty et al. 2015). Thus, up-regulation of these genes in nestlings from 328 WxT nests suggests they are responding to oxidative stress. As a result, there is a physiological

329 cost to having a W morph father and T morph mother at the nestling stage, since W morph males330 invest more in reproduction and territory defense than provisioning.

331 To complement our differential expression approach, we also constructed co-expression 332 networks with WGCNA. WGCNA identifies modules of co-regulated genes blind to the 333 experimental design. These modules are then correlated with external traits, offering a systems 334 level view into how conditions impact transcriptional networks. Within these networks, we can 335 then perform GO analyses as described above and identify network hubs, which are the most 336 highly connected genes within that network. Using this approach, we identified 26 modules of 337 co-regulated genes in this dataset (Figure 1). Seven of these modules were significantly 338 correlated with parental pair type. The blue module contains genes that are up-regulated in 339 nestlings in WxT nests. The blue module hub gene was NDUFB3 (Module Membership 340 [MM]=0.938, DD=42) (Figure 2), which encodes a subunit of the mitochondrial membrane 341 respiratory chain. Interestingly, many of the same proteolysis-related genes highlighted in the 342 differential expression results are also present in this module, resulting in the enrichment of 343 several metabolism and stress-related GO categories (Table S4). 344 Two modules, light green and tan, contained stress responsive hub genes. The light green 345 module contains genes that are down-regulated in nestlings in WxT nests, with three hub genes: 346 CDK19, CHD4, and EPG5 (Figure 4). The absence of EPG5 expression (via knockout) and 347 reduction in CHD4 expression (via knockdown) has been associated with increased DNA

damage (Zhao et al. 2013, Larsen et al. 2010). Similarly, down-regulation of CDK19 following

349 knockdown is associated with an increased stress response (Audetat et al. 2017). Down-

350 regulation of these genes in these nestlings could be indicative of increased cellular damage. The

tan module contains genes up-regulated in nestlings from WxT nests and contains one hub gene,

DEPTOR, which is an inhibitor of mTOR signaling (Figure 3). The exact role of DEPTOR
remains unclear, but up-regulation likely inhibits the mTORC1 pathway to reduce endoplasmic
reticulum stress, promote cell survival, and avoid apoptosis (Peterson et al. 2009, Desantis et al.
2015, Catena et al. 2016).

356 Up-regulation in these nestlings and the high connectivity of DEPTOR to other co-357 expressed genes provides further support for a transcriptional stress response within WxT nests. 358 The tan module also contains two well-studied stress responsive genes, superoxide dismutase 2 359 (SOD2) and the glucocorticoid receptor (NR3C1). SOD2 mitigates the effects of exposure to 360 reactive oxygen species by scavenging free radicals (Zelko et al. 2002). NR3C1 binds 361 glucocorticoids and has primarily been studied in the context of ELS and methylation of an 362 upstream promoter. NRC3C1 methylation is often associated with down-regulation of NR3C1 363 (e.g. McGowan et al. 2009) and impairment of the HPA axis, but up-regulation following 364 methylation has also been observed as part of the stress response (Turner et al. 2006, Bockmühl 365 et al. 2015). Up-regulation observed here directly implicates the HPA axis and suggests these 366 nestlings may be activating SOD2 and NR3C1 to cope with elevated levels of reactive oxygen 367 species and corticosterone, respectively. However, further work is needed to investigate stress 368 physiology, corticosterone levels, and uncover the epigenetic state of NR3C1 in these nestlings 369 and how this may relate to ELS.

Importantly, we did not measure provisioning by the parents of these nestlings but instead
used pair type as a proxy for parental care. Reduced provisioning by W morph males appears to
be stable across populations resulting in female-biased parental care in WxT nests (Knapton &
Falls 1983, Horton et al. 2014). Therefore, reduced parental care is a likely a source of
behaviorally mediated maternal or paternal effect (see Crean & Bonduriansky 2014). We cannot.

375 however, ignore the possibility that provisioning rates did not differ between the nests we 376 sampled. Previous work revealed no effect of parental pair type on nestling mass (Knapton et al. 377 1984, Tuttle et al. 2017), and nestlings did not differ in mass at time of sampling between the 378 TxW and WxT nests used in this study (Smith et al. in review). Increased provisioning by 379 females to compensate for reduced care by males could explain this observation. In this scenario 380 reduced brooding and increased maternal separation; could also negatively impact nestling 381 physiology and act as a source of ELS (reviewed in Ledón-Rettig et al. 2013). Surprisingly, 382 given the gene expression findings described above, a recent study in the same study population 383 did not detect differences in reactive oxygen metabolites in plasma of offspring of the two 384 different pair types (Grunst et al. 2018b). Our finding of transcriptional differences in stress-385 responsive genes in the absence of significant phenotypic differences highlights the utility of 386 RNA-seq to uncover subtle changes in physiology.

Our study was carried out in the field as part of a long-term study and is limited by the fact that was a non-experimental study. We aimed to mitigate potential environmental confounds by restricting sampling of nestlings to a short time period of nine days. Certainly, the environment may influence gene expression in our samples, but consistent changes among the samples in the two pair types suggest the role of parents is a significant driver of nestling gene expression, rather than temporal or spatial environmental variation.

Hormone-mediated maternal effects provide another potential driver of the observed expression differences among pair types. In previous studies of WTSP, only oestradiol has been shown to differ between adult female morphs during the breeding season and is higher in W morph females during the pre-laying and laying stages (Horton et al. 2014). No baseline differences in any other hormone measured to date (corticosterone, testosterone, DHEA, DHT)

398	have been described during the breeding season (Spinney et al. 2006, Swett & Breuner 2009,
399	Horton & Holberton et al. 2010, Horton et al. 2014), which would suggest that hormone
400	deposition into eggs does not dramatically differ between the morphs. Additional work is needed
401	to investigate the potential role of maternal effects in the WTSP, including measuring hormone
402	levels in both the egg and as nestlings. Although we cannot rule out hormone-mediated maternal
403	effects as a source of expression differences observed in offspring, given the current knowledge
404	of the system the differences we observed are likely driven by differences in parental care.
405	
406	Morph-specific gene expression
407	We were also interested in morph-specific gene expression and how morphs may respond
408	to differences in pair type. We found 92 genes DE between morphs, including many innate
409	immune-related genes and genes located within the supergene (65/92 genes, Table S2). WGCNA
410	revealed five modules correlated with morph (Figure 1). These included two innate immunity-
411	related modules with up-regulation in W morphs (Dark Red & Sky Blue) and two modules
412	predominantly containing genes located in the supergene (White = $40/72$, Light Cyan = $70/183$)
413	(Figures S5, S6). The sky blue module contains nine hub genes and the dark red module contains
414	one hub gene, both of which include well-studied anti-viral genes (e.g. sky blue: OASL, RSAD2;
415	dark red: TRAF5). These genes also form a co-expression module in avian blood following West
416	Nile virus infection (Kernbach et al., in review). Adult WTSP morphs differ in their ability to
417	clear infection (Boyd et al. 2018), so the immune activation here may be indicative of an
418	increased parasite load in W morph nestlings, although further investigation is required. The light
419	cyan module contains genes up-regulated in W morph nestlings and contains eight hub genes,
420	each located in the supergene (Table 1). Three of these, EPM2A, BPNT1, and TAF5L, were also

identified as hub genes in neural tissues of adult W morph males (Zinzow-Kramer et al. 2015).
These nestlings thus exhibit transcriptional changes driven by the inversion prior to any
phenotypic or behavioral differences. Additionally, the conservation of network hub genes in a
different tissue and life stage highlights avenues for further investigation into WTSP
transcription.

Given adult W morphs are highly territorial, aggressive, sing frequently, and maintain 426 427 higher levels of stress hormones, we predicted W morph nestlings might be primed to handle 428 stress and fare better in WxT nests than their T morph siblings. Despite broad gene expression 429 differences between the morphs, within pair types morph-specific expression was limited. In part 430 due to small sample size, nestlings in TxW nests only have two genes DE between morphs. There 431 is a larger effect of morph within WxT nests, where the number of DE genes increased to 40. 432 These genes encompassed a wide range of gene functions without any obvious stress-related 433 candidate genes. Of these 40 genes, 34 are uniquely DE within WxT nests and do not overlap 434 with the overall list of 92 genes DE between morphs using all samples. Interestingly, 435 glucocorticoid-induced transcript 1 (GLCCI1) is up-regulated in W morph nestlings in WxT 436 nests. The function of GLCCI1 remains unclear (Kim et al. 2016), but expression differences 437 between morphs observed here implicates the role of glucocorticoids in response to pair type. 438 This suggests that nestling morphs may respond differently to the parental pair type though larger 439 sample sizes will be needed to explore this further. 440

441 Conclusions

442 Using the WTSP, a system with alternative parental care strategies, we show that
443 nestlings in WxT nests (female-biased parental care) have increased expression of stress-related

444 genes, and parental genotypes may act as a source of ELS in the species. Nestling morph also 445 influences transcription, but pair type appears to have the greatest effect on their transcriptome. 446 Combined, this supports the parental effects hypothesis (Wade 1998, Schrader et al. 2018), where 447 offspring phenotypes are primarily a result of the nest environment and care received, rather than 448 from offspring genotypes (i.e. T vs W). Nearly 54% of observed pairs have been WxT (Tuttle et 449 al. 2016). Thus, roughly half of the nestlings in every population will experience reduced 450 parental care. Our results suggest that these differences in parental care have at least short-term 451 consequences on offspring physiology. While we have identified impacts at the level of 452 transcription, an integrative approach assessing nestling WTSP physiology, for example by 453 combining epigenetic and neuroendocrine approaches, will further elucidate the consequences of 454 variation in parental pair type. Importantly, it remains unclear whether female-biased parental 455 care or differences in maternal effects translate into long-term fitness consequences for offspring. 456 WTSPs have been studied extensively as adults, but very rarely in other life stages. W morph 457 males and T morph females exhibit earlier reproductive and actuarial senescence, potentially 458 resulting from the high energy expenditure lifestyle of W morph males and biased parental care 459 given by T morph females (Grunst et al. 2018a, Grunst et al. 2018c). There also appears to be 460 seasonal variation in fitness between the morphs as adults. Following cold, wet winters, W 461 morph males exhibit lower recruitment in the breeding grounds, leading to an overproduction of 462 W morph male nestlings, potentially to stabilize morph frequencies in the population (Tuttle et al. 463 2017). Thus, there is a cost associated with parental genotype, as this less cooperative 464 reproductive strategy accelerates senescence. We show here that this cost is also translated into 465 nestlings within WxT nests via increased stress-related gene expression. This work sets the stage

- to further explore morph-specific fitness consequences in nestlings experiencing alternative
- 467 parental care strategies.
- 468

469 Acknowledgements

- 470 We acknowledge Lindsay Forrette, Andrea Grunst, and Melissa Grunst for assistance in the field,
- 471 Sarah Ford for assistance with molecular work, Rachel Wright for WGCNA code, and Cranberry
- 472 Lake Biological Station. Funding provided by East Carolina University, Indiana State University,
- 473 The National Science Foundation (grant no. DUE-0934648) and the National Institutes of Health
- 474 (grant no. 1R01Gm084229 to E.M.T and R.A.G.) and a Sigma Xi Grants in Aid of Research
- 475 award to DJN. Birds were banded with color bands and a Fish and Wildlife band (Master
- 476 Banding Permit 22296 to EMT and permit 24105 to RAG). Dr. Alvaro Hernandez and Chris
- 477 Wright provided guidance and oversight on sequencing carried out at the University of Illinois.
- 478 All methods were conducted in accordance with legal and ethical standards and were approved
- 479 by Indiana State University's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocols 562158-
- 480 1:ET/RG and 562192-1:ET/RG).
- 481

482 **References**

- Aiken, C. T., Kaake, R. M., Wang, X., & Huang, L. (2011). Oxidative Stress-Mediated Regulation of Proteasome
 Complexes. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics*, 10(5).
- al-afaleq, A. I., & Homeida, A. M. (1998). Effects of Low Doses of Oestradiol, Testosterone and
 Dihydrotestosterone on the Immune Response of Broiler Chicks. *Immunopharmacology and Immunotoxicology*, 20(2), 315–327. doi:10.3109/08923979809038547
- Alvarez, M., Schrey, A. W., & Richards, C. L. (2015). Ten years of transcriptomics in wild populations: what have
 we learned about their ecology and evolution? *Molecular Ecology*, 24(4), 710–725. doi:10.1111/mec.13055
- Anders, S., Pyl, P. T., & Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq-A Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*, 31(2), 166–169. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638
- Audetat, K. A., Galbraith, M. D., Odell, A. T., Lee, T., Pandey, A., Espinosa, J. M., ... Taatjes, D. J. (2017). A kinase-independent role for CDK19 in p53 response. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*. Retrieved from http://mcb.asm.org/content/early/2017/04/12/MCB.00626-16.abstract
- Balakrishnan, C. N., Mukai, M., Gonser, R. A, Wingfield, J. C., London, S. E., Tuttle, E. M., & Clayton, D. F.
 (2014). Brain transcriptome sequencing and assembly of three songbird model systems for the study of social behavior. *PeerJ*, 2, e396–e396. doi:10.7717/peerj.396

- Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Statistical Methodology: Series B.*, 57.
- Bockmühl, Y., Patchev, A. V., Madejska, A., Hoffmann, A., Sousa, J. C., Sousa, N., ... Spengler, D. (2015).
 Methylation at the CpG island shore region upregulates Nr3c1 promoter activity after early-life stress.
 Epigenetics, 10(3), 247–257. doi:10.1080/15592294.2015.1017199
- Boyd, R. J., Kelly, T. R., MacDougall-Shackleton, S. A., & MacDougall-Shackleton, E. A. (2018). Alternative
 reproductive strategies in white-throated sparrows are associated with differences in parasite load following
 experimental infection. *Biology Letters*, 14(7). Retrieved from
 http://rsbl.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/14/7/20180194.abstract
- 507 Catena, V., Bruno, T., De Nicola, F., Goeman, F., Pallocca, M., Iezzi, S., ... Fanciulli, M. (2016). Deptor
 508 transcriptionally regulates endoplasmic reticulum homeostasis in multiple myeloma cells. *Oncotarget*, 7(43), 70546–70558. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.12060
- Cingolani, P., Patel, V., Coon, M., Nguyen, T., Land, S., Ruden, D., & Lu, X. (2012). Using *Drosophila melanogaster* as a Model for Genotoxic Chemical Mutational Studies with a New Program, SnpSift.
 Frontiers in Genetics, 3, 35–35.
- 513 Cottrell, E. C., & Secki, J. R. (2009). Prenatal stress, glucocorticoids and the programming of adult disease.
 514 *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*, 3. doi:10.3389/neuro.08.019.2009
- 515 Crean, A. J., & Bonduriansky, R. (2014). What is a paternal effect? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 29(10), 554–559. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2014.07.009
- 517 Crespi, E. J., Williams, T. D., Jessop, T. S., & Delehanty, B. (2012). Life history and the ecology of stress: how do
 518 glucocorticoid hormones influence life-history variation in animals? *Functional Ecology*, 27(1), 93–106.
 519 doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12009
- Desantis, A., Bruno, T., Catena, V., De Nicola, F., Goeman, F., Iezzi, S., ... Fanciulli, M. (2015). Che-1-induced inhibition of mTOR pathway enables stress-induced autophagy. *The EMBO Journal*, 34(9), 1214 LP 1230.
- 523 Dhanasiri, A. K. S., Fernandes, J. M. O., & Kiron, V. (2013). Liver Transcriptome Changes in Zebrafish during
 524 Acclimation to Transport-Associated Stress. *PLOS ONE*, 8(6), e65028–e65028.
- Dobin, A., Davis, C. A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., ... Gingeras, T. R. (2013). STAR:
 ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics*, 29(1), 15–21.
- Eden, E., Lipson, D., Yogev, S., & Yakhini, Z. (2007). Discovering Motifs in Ranked Lists of DNA Sequences.
 PLOS Computational Biology, 3(3), e39–e39.
- Eden, E., Navon, R., Steinfeld, I., Lipson, D., & Yakhini, Z. (2009). GOrilla: a tool for discovery and visualization of enriched GO terms in ranked gene lists. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 10(1), 48–48. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-48
 Gluckman, P. D., Hanson, M. A., Cooper, C., & Thornburg, K. L. (2008). Effect of In Utero and Early-Life
- Gluckman, P. D., Hanson, M. A., Cooper, C., & Thornburg, K. L. (2008). Effect of In Utero and Early-Life
 Conditions on Adult Health and Disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *359*(1), 61–73.
 doi:<u>10.1056/NEJMra0708473</u>
- 535 Griffiths, R., Double, M. C., Orr, K., & Dawson, R. J. G. (1998). A DNA test to sex most birds. *Molecular Ecology*, 7(8), 1071–1075. doi:10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00389.x
- Grunst, A. S., Grunst, M. L., Formica, V. A., Korody, M. L., Betuel, A. M., Barcelo-Serra, M., ... Tuttle, E. M.
 (2018a). Morph-Specific Patterns of Reproductive Senescence: Connections to Discrete Reproductive Strategies. *The American Naturalist*, 191(6), 744–755. doi:10.1086/697377
- Grunst, A. S., Grunst, M. L., Gonser, R. A., & Tuttle, E. M. (2018b). Developmental stress and telomere dynamics
 in a genetically polymorphic species. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*. doi:10.1111/jeb.13400
- 542 Grunst, M.L., Grunst, A.S., Formica, V.A., Korody, M.L., Betuel, A.M., Barcelo-Serra, M., Gonser, R.A., Tuttle,
 543 E.M. (2018c). Actuarial senescence in a dimorphic bird: different rates of ageing in morphs with discrete
 544 reproductive strategies. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 2018205. In Press.*
- Heim, C., Newport, D. J., Mletzko, T., Miller, A. H., & Nemeroff, C. B. (2008). The link between childhood trauma and depression: Insights from HPA axis studies in humans. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, *33*(6), 693–710. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2008.03.008
- Horton, B. M., & Holberton, R. L. (2010). Morph-Specific Variation in Baseline Corticosterone and the
 Adrenocortical Response in Breeding White-Throated Sparrows (*Zonotrichia albicollis*). *The Auk*, 127(3),
 540–548. doi:10.1525/auk.2010.09096

- 551 Horton, B. M., Moore, I. T., & Maney, D. L. (2014). New insights into the hormonal and behavioural correlates 552 of polymorphism in white-throated sparrows, Zonotrichia albicollis. Animal Behaviour, 93, 207–219. 553 doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2014.04.015
- 554 Horvath, S. (2011). Weighted network analysis: application in genomics and systems biology. New York, NY: 555 Springer.
- 556 Hu, Z., Chang, Y.-C., Wang, Y., Huang, C.-L., Liu, Y., Tian, F., ... DeLisi, C. (2013). VisANT 4.0: Integrative 557 network platform to connect genes, drugs, diseases and therapies. Nucleic Acids Research, 41(W1), W225-558 W231.
- 559 Kernbach, M.E., Newhouse, D.J., Miller, J.M., Hall, R.J., Gibbons, J., Oberstaller, J., Selechnik, D., Jiang, R., 560 Unnasch, T.R., Balakrishnan, C.N., & L.B. Martin. Light pollution increases West Nile virus competence of a ubiquitous passerine reservoir species. In review.
- Kim, S.-H., Kim, H.-J., & Kim, C.-W. (2016). GLCCI1 is a novel component associated with the PI3K signaling pathway in podocyte foot processes. Experimental & Molecular Medicine, 48(5), e233-e233. 564 doi:10.1038/emm.2016.28

561

562

- 565 Knapton, R. W., & Falls, J. B. (1983). Differences in parental contribution among pair types in the polymorphic 566 White-throated Sparrow. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 61(6), 1288-1292. doi:10.1139/z83-173
- 567 Knapton, R. W., Cartar, R. V., & Falls, J. B. (1984). A Comparison of Breeding Ecology and Reproductive Success 568 between Morphs of the White-Throated Sparrow. The Wilson Bulletin, 96(1), 60-71.
- 569 Kopachena, J. G., & Falls, J. B. (1993). Aggressive Performance as a Behavioral Correlate of Plumage 570 Polymorphism in the White-Throated Sparrow (Zonotrichia albicollis). Behaviour, 124(3/4), 249–266.
- 571 Langfelder, P., & Horvath, S. (2008). WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC 572 Bioinformatics, 9(1), 559-559. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-559
- 573 Larsen, D. H., Poinsignon, C., Gudjonsson, T., Dinant, C., Payne, M. R., Hari, F. J., ... Lukas, J. (2010). The 574 chromatin-remodeling factor CHD4 coordinates signaling and repair after DNA damage. The Journal of 575 Cell Biology, 190(5), 731 LP - 740.
- 576 Ledon-Rettig, C. C., Richards, C. L., & Martin, L. B. (2013). Epigenetics for behavioral ecologists. Behavioral 577 Ecology, 24(2), 311-324. doi:10.1093/beheco/ars145
- 578 Li, H. (2011). A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and population 579 genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. Bioinformatics, 27(21), 2987-2993.
- 580 Li, H. (2013). Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. ArXiv:1303.3997 581 [*q-Bio*]. Retrieved from http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997
- 582 Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., ... Subgroup, 1000 Genome Project Data 583 Processing, (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics, 25(16), 2078-584 2079.
- 585 Liu, D., Diorio, J., Tannenbaum, B., Caldji, C., Francis, D., Freedman, A., ... Meaney, M. J. (1997). Maternal Care, 586 Hippocampal Glucocorticoid Receptors, and Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Responses to Stress. Science, 587 277(5332), 1659 LP - 1662.
- 588 Livneh, I., Cohen-Kaplan, V., Cohen-Rosenzweig, C., Avni, N., & Ciechanover, A. (2016). The life cycle of the 26S 589 proteasome: from birth, through regulation and function, and onto its death. Cell Research, 26, 869-869.
- 590 Lloyd, J. D., & Martin, T. E. (2004). Nest-site preference and maternal effects on offspring growth. Behavioral 591 Ecology, 15(5), 816-823. doi:10.1093/beheco/arh085
- 592 Love, M. I., Huber, W., & Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data 593 with DESeq2. Genome Biology, 15(12), 550-550. doi:10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8
- 594 Lowther, J. K. (1961). Polymorphism in the white-throated sparrow, Zonotrichia albicollis (Gmelin). Canadian 595 Journal of Zoology, 39(3), 281-292. doi:10.1139/z61-031
- 596 Lupien, S. J., McEwen, B. S., Gunnar, M. R., & Heim, C. (2009). Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the 597 brain, behaviour and cognition. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 10(6), 434-445. doi:10.1038/nrn2639
- 598 MacDougall-Shackleton, S. A., & Spencer, K. A. (2012). Developmental stress and birdsong: current evidence and 599 future directions. Journal of Ornithology, 153(1), 105-117. doi:10.1007/s10336-011-0807-x
- 600 Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet. Journal, 601 17(1), 10-10. doi:10.14806/ej.17.1.200
- 602 McEwen, B. S. (2007). Physiology and Neurobiology of Stress and Adaptation: Central Role of the Brain. 603 Physiological Reviews, 87(3), 873-904. doi:10.1152/physrev.00041.2006

- McGowan, P. O., Sasaki, A., D'Alessio, A. C., Dymov, S., Labonté, B., Szyf, M., ... Meaney, M. J. (2009).
 Epigenetic regulation of the glucocorticoid receptor in human brain associates with childhood abuse. *Nature Neuroscience*, *12*, 342–342.
- Meaney, M. J. (2001). Maternal Care, Gene Expression, and the Transmission of Individual Differences in Stress
 Reactivity Across Generations. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 24(1), 1161–1192.
 doi:10.1146/annurev.neuro.24.1.1161
- Michopoulos, V., Maney, D. L., Morehouse, C. B., & Thomas, J. W. (2007). A genotyping assay to determine
 plumage morph in the white-throated sparrow (*Zonotrichia albicollis*). *The Auk*, *124*(4), 1330.
 doi:10.1642/0004-8038(2007)124[1330:AGATDP]2.0.CO;2
- Mulholland, T. I., Ferraro, D. M., Boland, K. C., Ivey, K. N., Le, M.-L., LaRiccia, C. A., ... Francis, C. D. (2018).
 Effects of Experimental Anthropogenic Noise Exposure on the Reproductive Success of Secondary Cavity
 Nesting Birds. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*. doi:10.1093/icb/icy079
- Noguera, J. C., Kim, S.-Y., & Velando, A. (2017). Family-transmitted stress in a wild bird. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. Retrieved from http://www.pnas.org/content/early/2017/06/06/1706164114.abstract
- Peterson, T. R., Laplante, M., Thoreen, C. C., Sancak, Y., Kang, S. A., Kuehl, W. M., ... Sabatini, D. M. (2009).
 DEPTOR Is an mTOR Inhibitor Frequently Overexpressed in Multiple Myeloma Cells and Required for Their Survival. *Cell*, 137(5), 873–886. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.03.046
- Price, L. H., Kao, H.-T., Burgers, D. E., Carpenter, L. L., & Tyrka, A. R. (2013). Telomeres and Early-Life Stress:
 An Overview. *Biological Psychiatry*, 73(1), 15–23. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.06.025
- Quinlan, A. R., & Hall, I. M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features.
 Bioinformatics, 26(6), 841–842.
- Romanov, M. N., Tuttle, E. M., Houck, M. L., Modi, W. S., Chemnick, L. G., Korody, M. L., ... Ryder, O. A.
 (2009). The value of avian genomics to the conservation of wildlife. *BMC Genomics*, 10(Suppl 2), S10.
 doi:10.1186/1471-2164-10-S2-S10
- Romens, S. E., McDonald, J., Svaren, J., & Pollak, S. D. (2014). Associations Between Early Life Stress and Gene
 Methylation in Children. *Child Development*, 86(1), 303–309. doi:10.1111/cdev.12270
- 631 Schrader, M., Jarrett, B. J. M., & Kilner, R. M. (2018). Parental care and sibling competition independently increase
 632 phenotypic variation among burying beetle siblings. *Evolution*, 0(ja). doi:10.1111/evo.13607
- 633 Schwander, T., Libbrecht, R., & Keller, L. (2014). Supergenes and Complex Phenotypes. *Current Biology*, 24(7),
 634 R288–R294. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.01.056
- 635 Sewall, K. B., Anderson, R. C., Soha, J. A., Peters, S., & Nowicki, S. (2018). Early life conditions that impact song
 636 learning in male zebra finches also impact neural and behavioral responses to song in females.
 637 Developmental Neurobiology, 78(8), 785–798. doi:10.1002/dneu.22600
- Shang, F., & Taylor, A. (2011). Ubiquitin–proteasome pathway and cellular responses to oxidative stress. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 51(1), 5–16. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2011.03.031
- Smith, J.N., Newhouse, D.J., Balakrishnan, C.N., Tuttle, E.M., R.A. Gonser. Differential morph gene expression in chromosome three of the white-throated sparrow (*Zonotrichia albicollis*). *In review*.
- Sofaer, H. R., Sillett, T. S., Peluc, S. I., Morrison, S. A., & Ghalambor, C. K. (2013). Differential effects of food
 availability and nest predation risk on avian reproductive strategies. *Behavioral Ecology*, 24(3), 698–707.
 doi:10.1093/beheco/ars212
- Spencer, K. A., Buchanan, K. L., Goldsmith, A. R., & Catchpole, C. K. (2003). Song as an honest signal of
 developmental stress in the zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). *Hormones and Behavior*, 44(2), 132–139.
 doi:10.1016/S0018-506X(03)00124-7
- 648 Spencer, Karen A. (2017). Developmental stress and social phenotypes: integrating neuroendocrine, behavioural and
 649 evolutionary perspectives. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,
 650 372(1727). Retrieved from http://rstb.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/372/1727/20160242.abstract
- Spinney, L. H., Bentley, G. E., & Hau, M. (2006). Endocrine correlates of alternative phenotypes in the whitethroated sparrow (*Zonotrichia albicollis*). *Hormones and Behavior*, 50(5), 762–771.
 doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2006.06.034
- Swett, M. B., & Breuner, C. W. (2009). Plasma Testosterone Correlates with Morph Type across Breeding Substages
 in Male White-Throated Sparrows. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 82(5), 572–579.
 doi:10.1086/605392

- Szyf, M. (2009). The early life environment and the epigenome. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) General Subjects*, 1790(9), 878–885. doi:10.1016/j.bbagen.2009.01.009
- Szyf, M., Weaver, I., & Meaney, M. (2007). Maternal care, the epigenome and phenotypic differences in behavior.
 Reproductive Toxicology, 24(1), 9–19. doi:10.1016/j.reprotox.2007.05.001
- Thomas, J. W., Cáceres, M., Lowman, J. J., Morehouse, C. B., Short, M. E., Baldwin, E. L., ... Martin, C. L. (2008).
 The Chromosomal Polymorphism Linked to Variation in Social Behavior in the White-Throated Sparrow *(Zonotrichia albicollis)* Is a Complex Rearrangement and Suppressor of Recombination. *Genetics*, 179(3), 1455-1468.
- Thorneycroft, H B. (1966). Chromosomal Polymorphism in the White-Throated Sparrow, *Zonotrichia albicollis* (Gmelin). *Science*, *154*(3756), 1571-1572.
- Thorneycroft, H Bruce. (1975). A cytogenetic study of the white-throated sparrow, Zonotrichia albicollis (Gmelin).
 Evolution, 29(4), 611–621. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1975.tb00855.x
- Tomalty, K. M. H., Meek, M. H., Stephens, M. R., Rincón, G., Fangue, N. A., May, B. P., & Baerwald, M. R.
 (2015). Transcriptional Response to Acute Thermal Exposure in Juvenile Chinook Salmon Determined by RNAseq. *G3: Genes*|*Genomes*|*Genetics*, 5(7), 1335-1349.
- Trivers, R.L. 1972. Parental investment and sexual selection. In: Sexual Selection and the Descent of Man (B. Campbell, ed), pp. 136–179. Aldine, Chicago, IL.
- Turecki, G., & Meaney, M. J. (2016). Effects of the Social Environment and Stress on Glucocorticoid Receptor Gene
 Methylation: A Systematic Review. *Biological Psychiatry*, 79(2), 87–96.
 doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.11.022
- Turner, J. D., Schote, A. B., Macedo, J. A., Pelascini, L. P. L., & Muller, C. P. (2006). Tissue specific glucocorticoid
 receptor expression, a role for alternative first exon usage? *Biochemical Pharmacology*, *72*(11), 1529–1537.
 doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2006.07.005
- Tuttle, E. M. (2003). Alternative reproductive strategies in the white-throated sparrow: behavioral and genetic
 evidence. *Behavioral Ecology*, 14(3), 425–432.
- Tuttle, E. M., Grunst, A. S., Grunst, M. L., Korody, M. L., Betuel, A. M., Barcelo-Serra, M., ... Gonser, R. A. (2017). Climatically driven changes in population composition and offspring sex-morph ratio in a polymorphic species. *Ecosphere*, 8(4), e01762–e01762. doi:10.1002/ecs2.1762
- Tuttle, E. M., Bergland, A. O., Korody, M. L., Brewer, M. S., Newhouse, D. J., Minx, P., ... Balakrishnan, C. N.
 (2016). Divergence and Functional Degradation of a Sex Chromosome-like Supergene. *Current Biology*, 26(3), 344–350. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2015.11.069
- Weaver, I. C. G., Cervoni, N., Champagne, F. A., D'Alessio, A. C., Sharma, S., Seckl, J. R., ... Meaney, M. J.
 (2004). Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior. *Nature Neuroscience*, *7*, 847–847.
- Weaver, I. C. G., Meaney, M. J., & Szyf, M. (2006). Maternal care effects on the hippocampal transcriptome and
 anxiety-mediated behaviors in the offspring that are reversible in adulthood. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 103(9), 3480-3485.
- Wolf, J. B., & Wade, M. J. (2009). What are maternal effects (and what are they not)? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 364(1520), 1107–1115. doi:10.1098/rstb.2008.0238
- Yosef, R., Gombobaatar, S., & Bortolotti, G. R. (2013). Sibling Competition Induces Stress Independent of Nutritional Status in Broods of Upland Buzzards. *Journal of Raptor Research*, 47(2), 127–132. doi:10.3356/JRR-12-14.1
- Zelko, I. N., Mariani, T. J., & Folz, R. J. (2002). Superoxide dismutase multigene family: a comparison of the CuZn-SOD (SOD1), Mn-SOD (SOD2), and EC-SOD (SOD3) gene structures, evolution, and expression. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 33(3), 337–349. doi:10.1016/S0891-5849(02)00905-X
- 701 Zhang, B., & Steve, H. (2005). A General Framework for Weighted Gene Co-Expression Network Analysis.
 702 Statistical Applications in Genetics and Molecular Biology, 4. doi:10.2202/1544-6115.1128
- Zhao, H., Zhao, Y. G., Wang, X., Xu, L., Miao, L., Feng, D., ... Zhang, H. (2013). Mice deficient in *Epg5* exhibit selective neuronal vulnerability to degeneration. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, 200(6), 731 LP 741.
- Zinzow-Kramer, W. M., Horton, B. M., McKee, C. D., Michaud, J. M., Tharp, G. K., Thomas, J. W., ... Maney, D. L. (2015). Genes located in a chromosomal inversion are correlated with territorial song in white-throated sparrows. *Genes, Brain and Behavior*, 14(8), 641–654. doi:10.1111/gbb.12252
- 708
- 709

710 Data Accessibility

The 32 RNAseq libraries used in this study will be submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read
Archive (SRA). All files needed to produce these results, including code and counts files, will be
uploaded to this project's GitHub page: https://github.com/danielnewhouse/wtsp

715 Author Contributions

716 DJN designed and performed research, analyzed the data, and wrote the paper. MBS

performed research, contributed samples, and reviewed drafts of the paper. EMT designed and

performed research and contributed samples. RAG designed and performed research, contributed

samples, and reviewed drafts of the paper. CNB designed and performed research, contributed

reagents, and reviewed drafts of the paper.

721 Tables and Figures

- Table 1. WGCNA modules correlated with morph, strength of correlation (R^2) , p-value, hub
- 723 gene(s) of module, and the degree distribution of hub gene(s).

Module	R ²	p-	Hub genes	DD of hub
		value		gene(s)
Dark Red	0.47	0.009	TRAF5	32
Light Cyan	0.67	5x10 ⁻⁵	BPNT1, EPM2A, LOC102066536 (GST-	>27
			like), MAN1A1, MEI4, RNASET2,	
			SLC18B1, TTC32	
Salmon	-0.5	0.005	NSL1	39
Sky Blue	0.53	0.003	DTX3L, EIF2AK2, IFIT5, LOC102064521	>22
			(OASL), LOC102065196 (IFI27L2),	
			PARP9, PARP14, RSAD2, ZNFX1	
White	-0.66	9x10 ⁻⁵	GOPC, HDAC2, HINT3, TAF5L,	>29
			TRMT61B, MARC2	

724

Table 2. WGCNA modules correlated with pair type, strength of correlation (R^2) , p-value, hub

gene(s) of module, and the degree distribution of hub gene(s).

Module	R ²	p-value	Hub genes	DD of hub
				gene(s)
Blue	-0.45	0.01	NDUFB3	42
Cyan	0.46	0.01	HELZ	36

Dark Orange	0.7	1x10 ⁻⁵	NCOA6	45
Light Green	0.6	$4x10^{-4}$	CDK19, CHD4, EPG5	>28
Orange	-0.45	0.01	ZFX	31
Pink	0.37	0.04	LOC102060916	19
			(C12orf4)	
Tan	-0.61	3x10 ⁻⁴	DEPTOR	39

Module-trait relationships


•	-0.61	-0.077	-0.12	
MEtan	(3e-04)	(0.7)	(0.5)	
bioRxiv preprint doi: https: Metropolicied.log/back/revie	://doi.org/10.1101/488684; this version posted December 7, 2018, The copyright holder for t w) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetu under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International licer(9:3)	his preprint (which was ity. It is made available 0.051	-0.11	
MEgreenyellow			(0.6)	
MEpurple	-0.28 (0.1)	0.16 (0.4)	-0.11 (0.5)	
	-0.0094	0.29	-0.66	
MEwhite	(1)	(0.1)	(9e-05)	
MEturquoise	0.29	0.75	-0.18	
	(0.1)	(2e-06)	(0.3)	
MEpink	0.37 (0.04)	0.19 (0.3)	-0.063 (0.7)	
MEdarkturguning	0.18	0.053	-0.33	
MEdarkturquoise	(0.4)	(0.8)	(0.07)	
MEsalmon	0.035	0.18	-0.5	
	(0.9)	(0.3) -0.3	(0.005) 0.12	
MEblack	(0.06)	(0.1)	(0.5)	
MElightgreen	0.6	0.13	0.15	
MEngingreen	(4e-04)	(0.5)	(0.4)	
MEbrown	0.2 (0.3)	-0.32 (0.09)	-0.14 (0.5)	
	0.46	-0.18	-0.094	
MEcyan	(0.01)	(0.3)	(0.6)	
MEdarkorange	0.7	0.098	-0.11	
MEdantorango	(1e-05)	(0.6)	(0.5)	
MEblue	-0.45 (0.01)	0.028 (0.9)	0.11 (0.6)	
MC as idea is a late luce	-0.11	-0.58	0.16	
MEmidnightblue	(0.6)	(7e-04)	(0.4)	
MEorange	-0.45	-0.36	0.042	
-	(0.01) 0.15	(0.05) -0.17	(0.8) -0.018	
MEdarkgreen	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.9)	
MEgrey60	-0.017	-0.065	0.086	
WEGIegoo	(0.9)	(0.7)	(0.7)	
MEskyblue	-0.21 (0.3)	0.13 (0.5)	0.53 (0.003)	
	0.03	-0.12	0.35	
MElightyellow	(0.9)	(0.5)	(0.06)	
MEdarkred	0.32	-0.26	0.47	
	(0.08) 0.095	(0.2) -0.27	(0.009) 0.67	
MElightcyan	(0.6)	(0.2)	(5e-05)	
MEmogonto	-0.034	-0.34	-0.035	
MEmagenta	(0.9)	(0.07)	(0.9)	
MEyellow	-0.23 (0.2)	-0.41 (0.02)	0.11 (0.6)	
-	-0.11	-0.16	-0.021	
MEgreen	(0.6)	(0.4)	(0.9)	
MEred	0.14	-0.23	0.25	
	(0.5)	(0.2)	(0.2)	






Morph

0.51 (0.004)	
-0.012	
(1)	
-0.011	
(1)	
0.25	
(0.2) -0.11	
(0.6)	
-0.44	
(0.02)	
-0.076	
(0.7)	
0.075 (0.7)	
-0.32	
(0.09)	
-0.54	
(0.002)	
-0.033 (0.9)	
-0.47	
(0.009)	
-0.28	
(0.1)	
0.27 (0.1)	
-0.17	
(0.4)	
0.4	
(0.03)	
0.041	
(0.8)	
0.17 (0.4)	
0.27	
(0.1)	
-0.041	
(0.8)	
-0.25 (0.2)	
-0.44	
(0.02)	
0.16 (0.4)	
0.23	
(0.2)	
0.32	
(0.08)	
0.098 (0.6)	
(0.0)	





- Figure 1. WGCNA module-trait correlation matrix. Each box contains the R² correlation value
- followed by pvalue in parentheses of a given trait with the module. Correlation values range from
- -1 to 1, with orange colors representing positive correlation and blue colors representing negative
- 730 correlation.







Figure 2. (A) Network of blue module, highlighting hub gene NDUFB3, along with normalized
expression plots of (B) NDUFB3, as well as ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis-related genes (C)
PSMD6 and (D) UBE2D3. TxW = samples from nests sired by a T male and a W female. WxT =
samples from nests sired by a white male and a tan female. Each circle represents a gene and
diamonds represent hub genes described in Table 2.



739

Figure 3. (A) Network of tan module, highlighting hub gene DEPTOR, along with normalized
expression plots of hub gene (B) DEPTOR, as well as stress responsive genes (C) SOD2 and (D)
NR3C1. TxW = samples from nests sired by a tan male and a white female. WxT = samples from
nests sired by a white male and a tan female.






Figure 4. (A) Network of light green module and normalized expression plots of hub genes (B)
CDK19, (C) CHD4, and (D) EPG5. TxW represents samples from nests sired by a T male and a
W female. WxT represent samples from nests sired by a white male and a tan female. Each circle
represents a gene and diamonds represent hub genes described in Table 2.