Molecular convergence and positive selection associated with the evolution of symbiont

transmission mode in stony corals

Groves B. Dixon[a,1] and Carly D. Kenkel[b,2]

[a]Department of Integrative Biology, The University of Texas at Austin, 1 University Station

C0990, Austin, TX 78712, USA

[b]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Southern California, 3616 Trousdale

Parkway, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA

[1]Email: grovesdixon@gmail.com

[2]Corresponding author, email: ckenkel@usc.edu; phone: 213-821-1705; fax: 213-740-8123

KEYWORDS: intracellular symbiosis, *Scleractinia*, transcriptomes, molecular evolution, dN/dS

DATA ARCHIVAL LOCATION: Raw sequencing data generated for this study have been

uploaded to NCBI's SRA: PRJNA395352. All bioinformatic scripts and input files can be found

at https://github.com/grovesdixon/convergent_evo_coral.

Abstract

Heritable symbioses are thought to be important for the maintenance of mutually

beneficial relationships (1), and for facilitating major transitions in individuality, such as the

evolution of the eukaryotic cell (2, 3). In stony corals, vertical transmission has evolved

repeatedly (4), providing a unique opportunity to investigate the genomic basis of this complex

trait. We conducted a comparative analysis of 25 coral transcriptomes to identify orthologous

genes exhibiting both signatures of positive selection and convergent amino acid substitutions in

vertically transmitting lineages. The frequency of convergence events tends to be higher among

vertically transmitting lineages, consistent with the proposed role of natural selection in driving

the evolution of convergent transmission mode phenotypes (5). Of the 10,774 total orthologous

genes identified, 403 exhibited at least one molecular convergence event and evidence of

positive selection in at least one vertically transmitting lineage. Functional enrichments among

these top candidate genes include processes previously implicated in mediating the coral-

Symbiodiniaceae symbiosis including endocytosis, immune response, cytoskeletal protein

binding and cytoplasmic membrane-bounded vesicles (6). We also identified 100 genes showing

evidence of positive selection at the particular convergence event. Among these, we identified

several novel candidate genes, highlighting the value of our approach for generating new insight

into the mechanistic basis of the coral symbiosis, in addition to uncovering host mechanisms

associated with the evolution of heritable symbioses.

Introduction

For organisms that engage in symbiosis, the mode in which symbionts are transmitted to

the next host generation is a major factor governing the ecological and evolutionary dynamics of

the relationship across multiple scales of biological organization. For example, transmission

mode is known to influence genome size and content, cooperative interactions between partners,

holobiont ecology, and the speciation rates of both partners (7–11). Two transmission modes

predominate in nature: offspring can either directly inherit symbionts, typically through the

maternal line in the process of vertical transmission, or they can horizontally acquire symbionts

from the environment, usually early in their development (reviewed in (12)); although it is

important to note that the mode of transmission can change over evolutionary time (13) and

mixed-mode transmission is also possible (12). In microbial symbioses, horizontal transmission

is the basal state and repeated transitions to vertical transmission may have arisen as a means to

further promote host-symbiont cooperation (13–15). Vertical transmission has been hypothesized

to play an important role in the maintenance of mutually beneficial symbioses (1), and likely

facilitated major evolutionary transitions in individuality, such as the evolution of the eukaryotic

cell (2, 3). From the perspective of the symbiont, the genomic consequences of evolving a

heritable symbiosis include a reduction in genome size and increased dependence on their hosts

due to the loss of functionally redundant genes (3, 10). However, the underlying genetic

architecture facilitating evolution of a heritable symbiosis from the perspective of the host

remains unresolved.

The evolution of vertical transmission is predicted to be correlated with the evolution of

host control mechanisms (16) and theory predicts a high rate of mutation in genes responsible for

the host-symbiont fitness interaction (17). Selection on mechanisms critical for the establishment

and maintenance of a horizontally transmitted symbiosis, such as cell surface molecules

mediating inter-partner recognition, is likely also relaxed (12). Among metazoan hosts, diverse

behavioral, developmental and physiological mechanisms are known to facilitate the vertical

transmission of microbial endosymbionts (13, 16), yet there is also some evidence for phenotypic

convergence. For example, plant-sucking stinkbugs and lice require microbial gut symbionts to

facilitate digestion of sap and blood, respectively, but both have evolved additional specialized

organs for housing bacteria along the female reproductive tract for the transmission of symbionts

to eggs (16, 18). Convergent evolution at the phenotypic level is often the result of similar

changes at the genomic level (19, 20) and comparative analyses have facilitated understanding of

the genetic basis of convergently evolved phenotypes in diverse taxa (19, 21, 22). Therefore, by

comparing vertically transmitting lineages with their closest horizontally transmitting relatives it

may be possible to identify candidate genes involved in the evolution of convergent transmission

mode phenotypes.

83   Reef-building corals exhibit both horizontal and vertical transmission of their obligate

intracellular *Symbiodiniaceae* symbionts, offering an ideal opportunity to utilize such a

comparative approach to identify candidate genes involved the evolution of symbiont

transmission mode. The majority of coral species acquire their symbionts from the environment

early in their development, but vertical transmission is exhibited by species in multiple different

lineages, indicating that transmission mode has evolved independently at least four times (4, 23).

Yet there is also significant morphological, physiological and ecological trait variation across the

coral phylogeny (24), which can confound a comparative approach. In corals, transmission mode

is often correlated with reproductive mode as coral species which broadcast spawn gametes tend

to exhibit horizontal transmission, while species that internally brood larvae largely transmit

symbionts vertically (4). However, the association is not perfect; some *Porites* spp. and all

known *Montipora* spp. have convergently evolved to broadcast spawn eggs which contain

Symbiodiniaceae (25, 26). We therefore sequenced the transcriptome of the vertically

transmitting broadcast spawner, *Montipora aequituberculata*, in addition to mining other

publicly available coral sequence resources (Table S1), to compile a set of transcriptomic

references in which vertical transmitters could be compared with their closest horizontally

transmitting relatives, while also accounting for variation in other life-history traits (Fig. 1).

From this dataset, we inferred orthologous groups and identified genes showing both signatures

of positive selection and convergent amino acid substitutions (overlapping amino acid changes

resulting from independent amino acid substitutions at the same position in two or more

lineages). We found that the frequency of molecular convergence tended to be higher among

vertically transmitting lineages and although top genes are enriched for biological processes

previously implicated in the coral-algal symbiosis, we also identify several novel candidates,

generating new insight into the mechanistic basis of this relationship.

Results & Discussion

Ortholog identification

To examine molecular convergence and positive selection, we compared homologous

coding sequences from transcriptomic data of 25 coral species. First, protein coding sequences

were predicted from the transcriptomic data based on open reading frames and sequence

homology to known proteins (27) and protein domains (28), and *FastOrtho* (29) was used to

assign sequences to preliminary orthologous groups (N = 106,300 groups). A subset of 1,196

single-copy orthologous groups with at least 20 of the 28 taxa represented was used to construct

a species tree (Fig. 1), which recapitulates known relationships reported in earlier studies using

single-gene (23, 30) and multi-gene phylogenies (31). We then identified putative single-copy

orthologs (groups with only a single representative sequence from each species) from the initial

set of 20,563 orthologous groups for which at least 7 (25%) of the species were represented. Of

these, 9,794 were truly single copy, whereas 10,769 had multiple sequences for one or more

species. Two biological explanations for this observation are gene duplication events subsequent

to the relevant speciation event, or transcript isoforms of the same gene (32). Transcript isoforms

are more likely given the nature of the dataset, but in either case, any sequence from these

monophyletic groupings can be appropriately compared to those from other species. Therefore,

rather than eliminate all orthologous groups with multiple sequences, we applied a filtering

approach similar to that described by (32) to retain an additional 3,298 of the 10,769 multiple

sequence orthologs. Specifically, we constructed gene trees from the protein alignments and

pruned away all but the longest of multiple sequences from single species that formed

monophyletic clades (Fig. S1; see Methods). In this way, we identified a total of 13,092 total

single copy orthologs. Orthologs were then aligned using MAFFT (33) and reverse translated

into codon sequences using Pal2Nal (34).

Orthologs were further quality filtered based on monophyly of known clades. Individual

gene trees were constructed from nucleotide alignments of each single-copy ortholog and

checked for monophyly of known clades (Fig. 1, 1-8 and Robusta/Complexa). All species fell

within their expected clades in 58% of the gene trees. If a single sequence fell outside of its

expected clade or clades, that sequence was removed and the ortholog was retained (27% of

orthologous groups). If more than one sequence fell outside its expected clade the ortholog was

removed (15% of orthologous groups). In total, this left 119,049 sequences (mean species per

orthologous group = 10.7) comprising 11,130 orthologous groups, hereafter referred to as genes,

which were used for the ancestral reconstruction and branch-site tests. Genes with fewer than 5

representative sequences were also removed, resulting in a final total of 10,774 genes.

Evidence of positive selection and molecular convergence

For each orthologous nucleotide alignment, PAML (35) was used to reconstruct the

ancestral amino acid at each node in the species tree and identify the amino acid changes that

occurred along the branches of the tree. We focused our analysis on eight clades (four with

vertical transmission and four with horizontal transmission), and identified all overlapping

substitutions, or independent substitutions occurring at the same position between the branches

leading directly to these clades' most recent common ancestors (Fig. 1). We classified

substitutions according to the type of change observed: parallel substitutions refer to the same

derived amino acid evolving from the same ancestral amino acid, convergent substitutions refer

to same derived amino acid evolving from different ancestral amino acids, divergent

substitutions refer to different derived amino acids evolving from the same ancestral amino acid

and 'all different' refer to different derived amino acids evolving from different ancestral amino

acids. Following (36), we consider both parallel and convergent substitutions to be indicative of

molecular convergence.

Among the vertical transmitters, we identified 8,952 amino acid positions exhibiting

either parallel (n=8,877) or convergent (n=75) substitutions in at least two lineages (ancestral

reconstruction posterior estimate > 0.8, Fig. 2A, Fig. S2). The convergence events occurred in

4,117 out of 10,774 total genes in the dataset, with an average of 0.71 convergent sites identified

per gene (median = 0; Fig. 2B). Of the four possible types of overlapping substitutions,

convergent substitutions were by far the least frequent (Fig. 2A; Fig. S2). The most common

type was divergent substitutions. The two remaining types, parallel and 'all different' occurred

with roughly similar frequency (Fig. 2A). Across the entire dataset, 11% of overlapping

substitutions were classified as molecular convergence (convergent or parallel).

In addition to quantifying molecular convergence, we also tested for evidence of positive

selection in each vertically transmitting lineage and for all vertically transmitting lineages at

once using the branch-site models in PAML (35). We found evidence of positive selection in

954 genes (LRT test FDR<0.1 in at least one branch-site test, Table S2) and many instances in

which molecular convergence and positive selection were detected in the same gene (Fig. 3A;

Fig. S3). In total, 403 genes showed at least one molecular convergence event between vertically

transmitting lineages as well as positive selection in at least one of the lineages (Table S3).

Finally, we took advantage of the fact that the branch site test identifies individual amino

acid positions that show evidence of positive selection (37), and identified a list of 100 genes for

which the particular convergence event also showed evidence of positive selection in one or both

lineages (branch site LRT p-value < 0.05 and BEB > 0.8; Table S4). No ontology enrichments

were detected for this reduced group, but annotations were recovered for 66 of the 100 genes.

The frequency of molecular convergence

The probability of parallel molecular evolution in response to selection is predicted to be

twice as high as that under neutrality (38). Enforcement of vertical transmission in a laboratory

manipulation of an anemone-*Symbiodiniaceae* symbiosis resulted in a host growth advantage,

suggesting that the evolution of vertical transmission in Cnidarian symbioses may be favored by

selection (39). However, an earlier analysis of genomic convergence among phenotypically

convergent marine mammal lineages revealed that convergence was actually highest in terrestrial

sister taxa in which no phenotypic convergence was evident, suggesting that the options for

adaptive evolution may be limited by pleiotropic constraints (22). To assess the relative

frequency of molecular convergence in our dataset we compared the proportion of molecular

convergence in overlapping substitutions among three sets of phenotype pairs (vertical

transmitters with other vertical transmitters, verticals with horizontals, and horizontals with other

horizontals). This helped to control for possible confounding factors such as differences in

mutation rate, and varying representation for each species based on data quality that may

influence the absolute levels of molecular convergence detected (40).

We found no significant differences among phenotypic pairings in the mean proportion of

molecular convergence (Fig. S4), molecular convergence and positive selection (Fig. S3), or

specific convergence events in which the sites were also identified as being positively selected

(Fig. S5). However, the proportion of convergence events is qualitatively different, and for each

of these three data subsets, is higher among vertically transmitting pairs (Figure S3-S5).

Although this pattern is tenuous, likely attributable to the small number of possible vertical-

vertical comparisons, it is consistent with a proposed role of natural selection in driving the

evolution of these convergent transmission mode phenotypes (5).

Functional enrichments among top candidate genes

Coral symbionts reside within host gastrodermal cells, surrounded by a host-derived

membrane known as the symbiosome (41). Although the specific genes mediating the

establishment and long-term maintenance of this relationship remain unresolved, a number of

biological processes are thought to be involved including host-microbe signaling, regulation of

the host innate immune response and cell cycle, phagocytosis, and cytoskeletal rearrangement

(6). To evaluate whether any of these previously highlighted processes were enriched among the

403 genes exhibiting both signatures of selection and convergent evolution, gene annotations

were obtained from comparisons against the UniProt Swiss-Prot database (27) and a categorical

functional enrichment analysis (FDR<0.1) was performed. Top functional enrichments

(FDR<0.01) among biological processes (BP) terms included regulation of developmental

growth and cell morphogenesis, and biological adhesion (GO:0048638; GO:0010769;

GO007155; GO0022610). Endocytosis (GO:0006897) and immune response (GO:0006955)

were also significant (FDR<0.1). Among molecular functions (MF), cytoskeletal protein binding

(GO:0008092) was the most significant enrichment (FDR=0.016, Fig. 3B). Extracellular region

(GO:0005576) was the most significantly enriched term among cellular components (CC),

however, this term was also highlighted in a comparison of horizontally transmitting sister clades

(Fig. S6), suggesting that it may be under selection in all corals and not necessarily specific to

the evolution of vertical transmission. Additional top CC enrichments (FDR<0.1) specific to

vertically transmitting lineages include cell junctions (GO:0030054) and cytoplasmic membrane-

bounded vesicles (GO:0016023).

Three individual genes, ABL proto-oncogene 1 (ABL 1, ORTHOMCL8234), filamin C

(ORTHOMCL8658), and poly(rC) binding protein 2 (ORTHOMCL8545), warrant additional

discussion as they are classified among significantly enriched GO terms in all three ontology

categories (BP, CC and MF) and were also among the less than 1% of genes in which the

particular convergence event also showed evidence of positive selection (Fig. 4; Table S4).

Importantly, none of these candidates have been previously implicated in the host-symbiont

relationship in earlier analyses focusing on either coral bleaching, the breakdown of the

symbiosis (42–46), or on the establishment of symbiosis in horizontally-transmitting corals (47–

49), highlighting the value of the present approach for identifying novel candidate genes

potentially underpinning the coral symbiosis.

ABL 1 is a ubiquitously expressed nonreceptor tyrosine kinase known to be involved in

organismal responses to a multitude of signals, including cell adhesion, DNA damage, oxidative

stress and cytokines (50). This gene that has likely evolved to serve a variety of context-

dependent biological functions, but is known to regulate several immune response phenotypes in

mammals including antigen receptor signaling in lymphocytes, and bacterial adhesion to host

cells (51–53). Through its role in regulating actin polymerization, ABL 1 is also involved in

endocytosis (54), supporting the hypothesis that it may play a key role in mediating the heritable

transmission of symbionts. Filamins are another family of actin-binding proteins which also

exhibit great functional diversity in their interactions (55). While Filamin C was not identified in

earlier functional genomic studies, expression of Filamin A was recently reported to be modified

by temperature over the course of a monthly reproductive cycle in *Pocillopora damicornis,* a

vertically-transmitting brooding coral (56). Similarly, Filamin B was found to be differentially

expressed between symbiotic and aposymbiotic *Aiptasia* anemones (57). Combined, these results

suggest an important role for this gene family in the maintenance and transmission of symbionts.

Poly(C)-binding proteins also exhibit substantial functional diversity, but they are involved in

transcriptional and translational regulation in addition to acting as structural components in

DNA-protein complexes (58). Interestingly, poly(rC) binding protein 2 is a negative regulator of

mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein (MAVS), a critical component of innate antiviral

immunity, where overexpression has been shown to reduce, and knockdown to increase, cellular

responses to viral infection (59). MAVS interacts with RIG-I-like (RLR) pattern recognition

receptors, which are located in the cytoplasm, to identify foreign RNA (60). However, they have

also been shown to function in defense against some bacterial pathogens (60, 61), suggesting that

regulation of poly(rC) binding protein 2 could be involved in suppressing host innate immune

responses against intracellular symbionts.

255
Conclusions

Climate change and other anthropogenic processes threaten corals because of the

sensitivity of the coral-dinoflagellate symbiosis to environmental stress (62, 63). Significant

work has gone into investigating the breakdown of this relationship in the process known as

'coral bleaching' over the past three decades, yet fundamental questions remain unresolved,

including a complete understanding of the genomic architecture underpinning the host-symbiont

relationship (6, 64). Here, rather than asking about molecular mechanisms correlated with the

breakdown of the coral symbiosis, we investigated a factor predicted to reinforce it: the evolution

of vertical symbiont transmission. While the genes identified here represent promising

candidates for further study, it is important to note that they likely represent only a fraction of the

molecular changes involved in the evolution of symbiont transmission mode as there are

alternate pathways to achieve the same phenotypic outcome that do not require changes at the

level of the coding sequence (65). Increasing genomic resources will facilitate a deeper

understanding of such alternative mechanisms, and the concurrent development of more

advanced genetic tools for manipulating the coral (66) and other Cnidarian model symbioses (67,

68) will facilitate quantification of the precise phenotypic effects of these novel genes, as well as

of changes in their sequence, contributing to a greater understanding of the cellular and

molecular mechanisms underpinning this specific relationship, and necessary for the evolution of

a heritable symbiosis.

275
276

Methods

Sample preparation and sequencing for Montipora aequituberculata *reference transcriptome*

Samples of *Montipora aequituberculata* were collected under the Great Barrier Reef

Marine Park Authority permit G12/35236.1 and G14/37318.1. To generate a *M.*

aequituberculata reference transcriptome, five replicate fragments of a single coral colony were

subject to a two-week temperature stress experiment as described in (5) and snap frozen samples

from control (27°C, days 4 and 17) and heat (31°C, days 2, 4 and 17) treatments were crushed in

liquid nitrogen and total RNA was extracted using an Aurum Total RNA mini kit (Bio-Rad, CA).

RNA quality and quantity were assessed using the NanoDrop ND-200 UV-Vis

Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, MA) and gel electrophoresis. RNA samples from

replicate fragments were pooled in equal proportions and 1.8 µg was shipped on dry ice to the

Genome Sequencing and Analysis Facility (GSAF) at the University of Texas at Austin where

Illumina TruSeq Stranded libraries were prepared and sequenced on one lane of the Illumina

Hiseq 4000 to generate 2 x 150 PE reads.

Transcriptome assembly and annotation

Sequencing yielded 98 million raw PE reads. The *fastx_toolkit*

(http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit) was used to discard reads < 50 bp or having a

homopolymer run of 'A' ≥ 9 bases, retain reads with a PHRED quality of at least 20 over 80% of

the read and to trim TruSeq sequencing adaptors. PCR duplicates were then removed using a

custom perl script (https://github.com/z0on/annotatingTranscriptomes). Remaining high quality

filtered reads (37.7 million paired reads; 6.7 million unpaired reads) were assembled using

Trinity v 2.0.6 (69) using the default parameters and an *in silico* read normalization step at the

Texas Advanced Computing Center (TACC) at the University of Texas at Austin. Since corals

are 'holobionts' comprised of host, *Symbiodiniaceae* and other microbial components, resulting

assemblies were filtered to identify the host component following the protocol described in (70).

Additional transcriptomic resources

Transcriptomic data from 25 species of Scleractinia (stony corals) and 3 species of

Actiniaria (anemones) were downloaded from the web (Table S1; (71); (72); (73); (74); (75);

(42); (76); (77); (78); (79); (80); (81); (82); (83); (84); (70)).

Protein sequence prediction

To prepare sequences for protein sequence prediction, we first modified sequence

definition lines for each transcriptome to include the species name and an arbitrary sequence

number. To remove highly similar isoforms, we used cd-hit (85) to cluster sequences with a

sequence identity threshold of 0.98, alignment coverage for the longer sequence at least 0.3 and

alignment coverage of the shorter sequence at least 0.3. For each resulting cluster, we retained

only the longest sequence.

Protein coding sequences were predicted from the transcriptomic data based on open

reading frames and sequence homology to known proteins and protein domains. Protein

prediction steps were implemented with Transdecoder (86). First, the longest open reading

frames (ORFs) were identified using a minimum amino acid length of 100. Then protein

sequences were predicted from the longest ORFs based on blastp alignments against the

Swissprot database (27) and protein domains identified with scanHmm in HMMER version

3.1b2 (28). The resulting coding sequence predictions were used for all downstream analyses.

The predicted protein and coding sequences are available on github:

https://github.com/grovesdixon/transcriptomes_convergent_evo_coral.git.

Ortholog assignment

Predicted coding sequences were assigned to orthologous groups using FastOrtho, an

implementation of OrthoMCL (29) available through Pathosystems Resource Integration Center

(PATRIC) web resources (87)(http://enews.patricbrc.org/fastortho/). We ran FastOrtho using

reciprocal blastp results with an e-value cutoff of 1e-10, excluding hits with alignment lengths

less than 75% of subject sequences.

Construction of species tree

To construct a species tree, we used a subset of 1,196 single-copy orthologous groups

with at least 20 of the 28 taxa represented. The codon sequence alignments were concatenated in

phylip format for input into RAxML (88). The species tree was generated with the rapid

bootstrapping algorithm (100 iterations) using the GTRGAMMA model and three anemone

species were used as an outgroup. Trees were visualized using Dendroscope (89) and Figtree

http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/.

Paralog pruning

When putative paralogs from the same taxon were monophyletic, all but the longest

sequences were removed. This was done for an initial set of 20,563 orthologous groups for

which at least 7 (25%) of the species were represented. Protein sequences for these orthologs

were aligned with MAFFT using localpair (33) and gene trees were constructed using FastTree

(90). At this point, sequences from the three anemone species were removed, and were not used

for any further analyses. We used the biopython module Phylo (91) to identify gene trees for

which multiple sequences from single species formed monophyletic groups. Removal of these

sequences allowed us to include many more orthologous groups as single-copy orthologs (9,794

single copy orthologs prior to pruning, 13,092 after pruning). After pruning, putative single-copy

orthologs were reverse translated into codon sequences using Pal2Nal (34).

Phylogenetic ortholog filtering

Orthologous groups were further quality filtered based on monophyly of known clades.

Here we constructed gene trees from nucleotide alignments of each single-copy ortholog. We

checked these trees for monophyly of known clades (Genus *Acropora*, Genus *Montipora*, Genus

Galaxia, Genus *Porites*, favid clade with *F. scutaria* as outgroup, pocilloporid clade with *M.*

auretenra as outgroup, complex corals, robust corals), which were corroborated in our species

tree (Fig. 1). For 58% of gene trees, all species fell within their expected clades. If a single

sequence fell outside of its expected clade or clades, that sequence was removed and the ortholog

was retained (27% of orthologous groups). If more than one sequence fell outside its expected

clade, the ortholog was removed (15% of orthologous groups).

Ancestral reconstruction and identification of convergent substitutions

We used ancestral reconstructions to infer molecular convergence for the remaining high-

quality orthologous groups. For each orthologous nucleotide alignment, the ancestral amino acid

was identified at each node in the species tree, as well as the amino acid changes that occurred

along the branches of the tree. This analysis was performed with PAML (35), using the species

tree as a the guide. Example control files are available on the Github repository

(https://github.com/grovesdixon/convergent_evo_coral).

From the ancestral reconstruction results, we identified all substitutions that occurred at

the same positions in two or more selected lineages (overlapping substitutions). The selected

lineages included the branches leading to the common ancestor of four vertical transmitting

clades, and their corresponding horizontally transmitting sister clade (eight clades total, Fig. 1).

The horizontally transmitting sister clades were included to serve as negative controls, and for

normalization of GO enrichment analyses (see below). In cases where a clade was represented by

a single species, the terminal branch was used as the lineage for that clade (e.g. the two *Galaxia*

species, Fig. 1).

371   Following (36), we considered both parallel and convergent substitutions as molecular

convergence. For a given amino acid position, parallel substitutions refer to independent changes

to the same amino acid from the same ancestral amino acid. Convergent substitutions refer to

independent changes to the same amino acid from different ancestral amino acids. We also

recorded all other types of independent changes at the same site (i.e. changes to different amino

acids from the same ancestral amino acid, and changes to different amino acids from different

ancestral amino acids).

Testing for evidence of positive selection

379   We tested for evidence of positive selection using the branch-site test in PAML (35).

Branch-site tests were performed on each ortholog using codeml with NSsites set to 2 and fix

omega set to 1 for the null model, and set to 0 for the alternative model. Example command files

and tree files are available on Github (https://github.com/grovesdixon/convergent_evo_coral).

When labeling branches tested for evidence of positive selection for a given clade, only the

branch leading to the most recent common ancestor of the clade was labeled (Fig. S7). In other

words, whenever a vertically transmitting clade had more than one species, we tested for

evidence of positive selection in the lineage leading to the common ancestor of the clade, rather

than the terminal branches leading to each individual species. We made this choice because it

seems likely that mutations enabling a vertical transmission phenotype occurred in the lineage

leading to the common ancestor of the clade, in which vertical transmission was presumed to

have already evolved. As with the convergence analysis, in cases where a clade was represented

by a single species, the terminal branch for that species was labeled as foreground. Branch-site

tests were performed for each individual clade, and for all vertically transmitting clades at once.

Significance was tested using likelihood ratio tests, and p-values were adjusted to control for

false discovery rate using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (92). As with our analysis of

molecular convergence, we repeated the tests for the horizontally transmitting sister clades to

serve as a negative controls and normalization of GO enrichment. It should be noted that a

significant result for the branch-site test does not prove that positive selection occurred, it merely

provides evidence that it may have occurred. For simplicity, we refer to genes significant for

these tests as "positively selected" as in (22).

Annotation of genes of interest

Genes of interest were selected based on an overlap in both evidence of positive selection

and convergent substitutions. Genes were annotated based on the SwissProt database and Pfam

hits used for protein prediction (e-value < 1e-5, and default parameters for hmmscan). Gene

Ontology (GO) associations were applied to each orthologous group based on all SwissProt

genes used for prediction of any of its constituent sequences. The GO annotations for these genes

were gathered from the Gene Ontology Annotation (GOA) Database (93)

ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/GO/goa/UNIPROT/). For cases when sequences in an

orthologous group were predicted with multiple different SwissProt hits, the orthologous group

was annotated with GO associations from all included SwissProt genes. Some orthologous

groups had only Pfam hits. These did not receive GO annotations.

GO enrichment

GO enrichment was performed using Fisher's exact tests on the final set of genes

exhibiting overlap in evidence of positive selection in at least one of the branch site tests and had

at least one molecular convergence event among the vertically transmitting lineages. A paired

control analysis was performed for genes with the same signatures among the horizontally

transmitting lineages (Fig. S6). To perform fewer total tests, and reduce the effect of false

discovery correction, only large GO terms, associated with at least 200 orthologs in our dataset,

were tested for enrichment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by an NSF International Postdoctoral Research Fellowship,

DBI-1401165 to CDK. Bioinformatic analyses were carried out using computational resources of

the Texas Advanced Computer Center (TACC).

STATEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP: CDK designed research and assembled new reference

transcriptome; GBD analyzed convergence and selection; CDK wrote the first draft of the

manuscript and both authors contributed to revisions.

REFERENCES

1. Frank SA (1996) Host-symbiont conflict over the mixing of symbiotic lineages. *Proc Biol Sci* 263(1368):339–344.

2. Kiers ET, West SA (2015) Evolving new organisms via symbiosis. *Science* 348(6233):392–394.

3. Fisher RM, Henry LM, Cornwallis CK, Kiers ET, West SA (2017) The evolution of host-symbiont dependence. *Nature Communications* 8:15973.

4. Hartmann AC, Baird AH, Knowlton N, Huang D (2017) The Paradox of Environmental Symbiont Acquisition in Obligate Mutualisms. *Current Biology* 0(0). doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.10.036.

5. Kenkel CD, Bay LK (2018) Exploring mechanisms that affect coral cooperation: symbiont transmission mode, cell density and community composition. *PeerJ*:in press.

6. Davy SK, Allemand D, Weis VM (2012) Cell Biology of Cnidarian-Dinoflagellate Symbiosis. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 76(2):229–261.

7. Herre EA, Knowlton N, Mueller UG, Rehner SA (1999) The evolution of mutualisms: exploring the paths between conflict and cooperation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 14(2):49–53.

8. Sauer C, Stackebrandt E, Gadau J, Hölldobler B, Gross R (2000) Systematic relationships and cospeciation of bacterial endosymbionts and their carpenter ant host species: proposal of the new taxon Candidatus Blochmannia gen. nov. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* 50(5):1877–1886.

9. Moran NA, Bennett GM (2014) The Tiniest Tiny Genomes. *Annual Review of Microbiology* 68(1):195–215.

10. Bennett GM, Moran NA (2015) Heritable symbiosis: The advantages and perils of an evolutionary rabbit hole. *PNAS* 112(33):10169–10176.

11. Moran NA, McCutcheon JP, Nakabachi A (2008) Genomics and evolution of heritable bacterial symbionts. *Annu Rev Genet* 42:165–190.

12. Bright M, Bulgheresi S (2010) A complex journey: transmission of microbial symbionts. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 8(3):218–230.

13. Sachs JL, Skophammer RG, Regus JU (2011) Evolutionary transitions in bacterial symbiosis. *PNAS* 108(Supplement 2):10800–10807.

14. Sachs JL, Mueller UG, Wilcox TP, Bull JJ (2004) The Evolution of Cooperation. *The Quarterly*
Review of Biology 79(2):135–160.

15. West SA, Fisher RM, Gardner A, Kiers ET (2015) Major evolutionary transitions in
individuality. *PNAS* 112(33):10112–10119.

16. Frank SA (1996) Host Control of Symbiont Transmission: The Separation of Symbionts Into
Germ and Soma. *The American Naturalist* 148(6):1113–1124.

17. Drown DM, Zee PC, Brandvain Y, Wade MJ (2013) Evolution of transmission mode in
obligate symbionts. *Evol Ecol Res* 15(1):43–59.

18. Kikuchi Y, et al. (2009) Host-symbiont co-speciation and reductive genome evolution in gut
symbiotic bacteria of acanthosomatid stinkbugs. *BMC Biology* 7:2.

19. Stern DL (2013) The genetic causes of convergent evolution. *Nature Reviews Genetics*
14(11):751.

20. Conte GL, Arnegard ME, Peichel CL, Schluter D (2012) The probability of genetic parallelism
and convergence in natural populations. *Proc R Soc B* 279(1749):5039–5047.

21. Jones FC, et al. (2012) The genomic basis of adaptive evolution in threespine sticklebacks.
Nature 484(7392):55.

22. Foote AD, et al. (2015) Convergent evolution of the genomes of marine mammals. *Nature*
Genetics 47(3):272–275.

23. Kerr AM (2005) Molecular and morphological supertree of stony corals (Anthozoa:
Scleractinia) using matrix representation parsimony. *Biological reviews of the Cambridge*
Philosophical Society 80(4):543–558.

24. Madin JS, et al. (2016) The Coral Trait Database, a curated database of trait information for
coral species from the global oceans. *Scientific Data* 3:160017.

25. Fadlallah YH (1983) Sexual reproduction, development and larval biology in scleractinian
corals. *Coral Reefs* 2(3):129–150.

26. Richmond RH, Hunter CL (1990) Reproduction and recruitment of corals: comparisons
among the Caribbean, the Tropical Pacific, and the Red Sea. *Marine Ecology Progress*
Series 60(1/2):185–203.

27. Uniprot CT (2016) UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. *Nucleic Acids Research*
45(Database issue). doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1152.

28. Eddy SR (2011) Accelerated profile HMM searches. *PLoS Computational Biology* 7(10).
doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002195.

29. Li L, Stoeckert CJJ, Roos DS (2003) OrthoMCL: Identification of Ortholog Groups for
Eukaryotic Genomes. *Genome Research* 13(9):2178–2189.

30. Kitahara M V, Cairns SD, Stolarski J, Blair D, Miller DJ (2010) A comprehensive phylogenetic
analysis of the Scleractinia (Cnidaria, Anthozoa) based on mitochondrial CO1 sequence
data. *PloS one* 5(7):e11490.

31. Bhattacharya D, et al. (2016) Comparative genomics explains the evolutionary success of
reef-forming corals. *eLife Sciences* 5:e13288.

32. Kocot KM, Citarella MR, Moroz LL, Halanych KM (2013) PhyloTreePruner: A phylogenetic
tree-based approach for selection of orthologous sequences for phylogenomics.
Evolutionary Bioinformatics 2013(9):429–435.

33. Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30(4):772–
780.

34. Suyama M, Torrents D, Bork P (2006) PAL2NAL: Robust conversion of protein sequence
alignments into the corresponding codon alignments. *Nucleic Acids Research* 34:609–612.

35. Yang Z (2007) PAML 4: Phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Molecular Biology
and Evolution* 24(Ml):1586–1591.

36. Zou Z, Zhang J (2015) Are convergent and parallel amino acid substitutions in protein
evolution more prevalent than neutral expectations? *Molecular Biology and Evolution*
32(8):2085–2096.

37. Yang Z, Wong WSW, Nielsen R (2005) Bayes empirical bayes inference of amino acid sites
under positive selection. *Mol Biol Evol* 22(4):1107–1118.

38. Orr HA (2005) The Probability of Parallel Evolution. *Evolution* 59(1):216–220.

39. Sachs JL, Wilcox TP (2006) A shift to parasitism in the jellyfish symbiont Symbiodinium
microadriaticum. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*
273(1585):425–429.

40. Thomas GWC, Hahn MW (2015) Determining the Null Model for Detecting Adaptive
Convergence from Genomic Data: A Case Study using Echolocating Mammals. *Mol Biol
Evol* 32(5):1232–1236.

41. Wakefield T, Farmer M, Kempf S (2000) Revised description of the fine structure of in situ
"zooxanthellae" genus Symbiodinium. *The Biological Bulletin* 199(1):76–84.

42. Barshis DJ, et al. (2013) Genomic basis for coral resilience to climate change. *Proceedings
of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 110(4):1387–92.

43. Desalvo MK, et al. (2008) Differential gene expression during thermal stress and bleaching
in the Caribbean coral Montastraea faveolata. *Molecular Ecology* 17(17):3952–3971.

44. DeSalvo MK, Sunagawa S, Voolstra CR, Medina M (2010) Transcriptomic responses to heat
stress and bleaching in the elkhorn coral Acropora palmata. *Marine Ecology Progress*
Series 402:97–113.

45. Maor-Landaw K, et al. (2014) Gene expression profiles during short-term heat stress in the
red sea coral Stylophora pistillata. *Global Change Biology* 20(10):3026–3035.

46. Rodriguez-Lanetty M, Harii S, Hoegh-Guldberg O (2009) Early molecular responses of coral
larvae to hyperthermal stress. *Molecular Ecology* 18(24):5101–5114.

47. Voolstra CR, et al. (2009) The host transcriptome remains unaltered during the
establishment of coral–algal symbioses. *Molecular Ecology* 18(9):1823–1833.

48. Schnitzler CE, Weis VM (2010) Coral larvae exhibit few measurable transcriptional changes
during the onset of coral-dinoflagellate endosymbiosis. *Mar Genomics* 3(2):107–116.

49. Mohamed AR, et al. (2016) The transcriptomic response of the coral Acropora digitifera to
a competent Symbiodinium strain: the symbiosome as an arrested early phagosome. *Mol*
Ecol 25(13):3127–3141.

50. Wang JYJ (2014) The Capable ABL: What Is Its Biological Function? *Molecular and Cellular*
Biology 34(7):1188–1197.

51. Arce KP de, et al. (2010) Synaptic Clustering of PSD-95 Is Regulated by c-Abl through
Tyrosine Phosphorylation. *J Neurosci* 30(10):3728–3738.

52. Swimm A, et al. (2004) Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli Use Redundant Tyrosine Kinases
to Form Actin Pedestals. *MBoC* 15(8):3520–3529.

53. Huang Y, et al. (2008) The c-Abl tyrosine kinase regulates actin remodeling at the immune
synapse. *Blood* 112(1):111–119.

54. Tanos B, Pendergast AM (2006) Abl Tyrosine Kinase Regulates Endocytosis of the
Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor. *J Biol Chem* 281(43):32714–32723.

55. Feng Y, Walsh CA (2004) The many faces of filamin: A versatile molecular scaffold for cell
motility and signalling. *Nature Cell Biology* 6(11):1034–1038.

56. Crowder CM, Meyer E, Fan T-Y, Weis VM (2017) Impacts of temperature and lunar day on
gene expression profiles during a monthly reproductive cycle in the brooding coral
Pocillopora damicornis. *Molecular Ecology* 26(15):3913–3925.

57. Lehnert EM, et al. (2014) Extensive Differences in Gene Expression Between Symbiotic and
Aposymbiotic Cnidarians. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics* 4(2):277–295.

58. Makeyev AV, Liebhaber SA (2002) The poly(C)-binding proteins: a multiplicity of functions
and a search for mechanisms. *RNA* 8(3):265–278.

59. You F, et al. (2009) PCBP2 mediates degradation of the adaptor MAVS via the HECT
ubiquitin ligase AIP4. *Nature Immunology* 10(12):1300–1308.

60. Brubaker SW, Bonham KS, Zanoni I, Kagan JC (2015) Innate Immune Pattern Recognition: A
Cell Biological Perspective. *Annu Rev Immunol* 33:257–290.

61. Chiu Y-H, Macmillan JB, Chen ZJ (2009) RNA polymerase III detects cytosolic DNA and
induces type I interferons through the RIG-I pathway. *Cell* 138(3):576–591.

62. Hughes TP, et al. (2003) Climate Change, Human Impacts, and the Resilience of Coral
Reefs. *Science* 301(5635):929–933.

63. Hoegh-Guldberg O, et al. (2007) Coral Reefs Under Rapid Climate Change and Ocean
Acidification. *Science* 318(5857):1737–1742.

64. Edmunds PJ, Gates RD (2003) Has Coral Bleaching Delayed Our Understanding of
Fundamental Aspects of Coral–Dinoflagellate Symbioses? *BioScience* 53(10):976–980.

65. Prud'homme B, Gompel N, Carroll SB (2007) Emerging principles of regulatory evolution.
PNAS 104(suppl 1):8605–8612.

66. Cleves PA, Strader ME, Bay LK, Pringle JR, Matz MV (2018) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome
editing in a reef-building coral. *PNAS* 115(20):5235–5240.

67. Jones VAS, Bucher M, Hambleton EA, Guse A (2018) Microinjection to deliver protein,
mRNA, and DNA into zygotes of the cnidarian endosymbiosis model Aiptasia sp. *Scientific*
Reports 8(1):16437.

68. Ohdera AH, et al. (2018) Upside-Down but Headed in the Right Direction: Review of the
Highly Versatile Cassiopea xamachana System. *Front Ecol Evol* 6.
doi:10.3389/fevo.2018.00035.

69. Grabherr MG, et al. (2011) Trinity: reconstructing a full-length transcriptome without a
genome from RNA-Seq data. *Nat Biotechnol* 29(7):644–652.

70. Kenkel CD, Bay LK (2017) Novel transcriptome resources for three scleractinian coral
species from the Indo-Pacific. *GigaScience* 6(9):1–4.

71. Polato NR, Vera JC, Baums IB (2011) Gene discovery in the threatened elkhorn coral: 454
sequencing of the acropora palmata transcriptome. *PLoS ONE* 6(12):e28634.

72.　Shinzato C, et al. (2011) Using the Acropora digitifera genome to understand coral
responses to environmental change. *Nature* 476(7360):320–3.

73.　Traylor-Knowles N, et al. (2011) Production of a reference transcriptome and
transcriptomic database (PocilloporaBase) for the cauliflower coral, Pocillopora
damicornis. *BMC Genomics* 12(1):585.

74.　Lehnert EM, Burriesci MS, Pringle JR (2012) Developing the anemone Aiptasia as a
tractable model for cnidarian-dinoflagellate symbiosis: the transcriptome of aposymbiotic
A. pallida. *BMC genomics* 13:271.

75.　Moya A, et al. (2012) Whole transcriptome analysis of the coral Acropora millepora reveals
complex responses to CO_2-driven acidification during the initiation of calcification.
Molecular ecology 21(10):2440–54.

76.　Kenkel CD, Meyer E, Matz M V (2013) Gene expression under chronic heat stress in
populations of the mustard hill coral (Porites astreoides) from different thermal
environments. *Molecular ecology* 22(16):4322–34.

77.　Libro S, Kaluziak ST, Vollmer S V. (2013) RNA-seq profiles of immune related genes in the
staghorn coral Acropora cervicornis Infected with white band disease. *PLoS ONE* 8(11):1–
11.

78.　Sun J, Chen Q, Lun JCY, Xu J, Qiu JW (2013) PcarnBase: Development of a Transcriptomic
Database for the Brain Coral Platygyra carnosus. *Marine Biotechnology* 15:244–251.

79.　Maor-Landaw K, et al. (2014) Gene expression profiles during short-term heat stress in the
red sea coral Stylophora pistillata. *Global Change Biology* 20:3026–3035.

80.　Nordberg H, et al. (2014) The genome portal of the Department of Energy Joint Genome
Institute: 2014 updates. *Nucleic Acids Research* 42(November 2013):26–31.

81.　Shinzato C, Inoue M, Kusakabe M (2014) A snapshot of a coral "holobiont": A
transcriptome assembly of the scleractinian coral, Porites, captures a wide variety of genes
from both the host and symbiotic zooxanthellae. *PLoS ONE* 9(1).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0085182.

82.　Kitchen SA, Crowder CM, Poole AZ, Weis VM, Meyer E (2015) De novo assembly and
characterization of four anthozoan (phylum Cnidaria) transcriptomes. *G3 Genes Genomes
Genetics* 5(11):2441–2452.

83.　Anderson DA, Walz ME, Weil E, Tonellato P, Smith MC (2016) RNA-Seq of the Caribbean
reef-building coral *Orbicella faveolata* (Scleractinia-Merulinidae) under bleaching and
disease stress expands models of coral innate immunity. *PeerJ* 4:e1616.

84. Davies SW, Marchetti A, Ries JB, Castillo KD (2016) Thermal and pCO2 stress elicit
divergent transcriptomic responses in a resilient coral. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 3:Article
122.

85. Li W, Godzik A (2006) Cd-hit: A fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of
protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 22(13):1658–1659.

86. Haas BJ, et al. (2013) De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-seq using the
Trinity platform for reference generation and analysis. *Nature Protocols* 8(8):1494–1512.

87. Wattam AR, et al. (2014) PATRIC, the bacterial bioinformatics database and analysis
resource. *Nucleic Acids Research* 42(Database issue):581–591.

88. Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML version 8: A tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30:1312–1313.

89. Huson DH, Scornavacca C (2012) Dendroscope 3: An interactive tool for rooted
phylogenetic trees and networks. *Systematic Biology* 61(6):1061–1067.

90. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP (2009) Fasttree: Computing large minimum evolution trees
with profiles instead of a distance matrix. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 26(7):1641–
1650.

91. Talevich E, Invergo BM, Cock PJ, Chapman B a (2012) Bio.Phylo: A unified toolkit for
processing, analyzing and visualizing phylogenetic trees in Biopython. *BMC Bioinformatics*
13:209.

92. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the False Discovery Rate: a Practical and
Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society* 57(1):289–
300.

93. Huntley RP, et al. (2015) The GOA database: Gene Ontology annotation updates for 2015.
Nucleic Acids Research 43(D1):D1057–D1063.

94. Kerr AM, Baird AH, Hughes TP (2011) Correlated evolution of sex and reproductive mode
in corals (Anthozoa: Scleractinia). *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological*
Sciences 278(1702):75–81.

 FIGURES & FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Species tree with phenotypic labels indicating transmission mode, reproductive mode
and sexual system (4, 26, 94). Vertically transmitting species are indicated by filled circles at
their terminal nodes, horizontally transmitting species with open circles at their terminal nodes.
For each clade (1-8), the particular branch examined for convergent substitutions and positive
selection is indicated by a green highlight. In each case, this is the branch leading the common
ancestor of the clade. Shaded clades were considered when describing overlapping convergence
events, referred to as (1) Sister *Montipora*, (2) *Montipora*, (3) Sister *Galaxia*, (4) *Galaxia*, (5)
Porites, (6) Sister *Porites*, (7) Sister Pocilloporid, (8) Pocilloporid.

Figure 2. Frequency of convergence events. (A) An overlapping substitution is defined as an
inferred amino acid change that occurred at the same position independently in the lineages
leading to the common ancestor of the two indicated vertically transmitting clades. Each
overlapping substitution was classified into one of four categories: convergent substitutions
(least frequent; salmon) are changes from different amino acids to the same amino acid; parallel
substitutions (second most frequent; green) are changes from the same amino acid to the same
new amino acid; divergent substitutions (most common; teal) are changes from the same amino
acid to a different one; 'all different' substitutions (third most common; purple) are changes from
different amino acids to different new amino acids. (B) Histogram of the number of sites
showing molecular convergence (convergent or parallel substitutions) per tested gene (mean =
0.71; median=0).
694

695
696
Figure 3. Frequency of genes exhibiting overlap in convergence and positive selection, and
results of a categorical functional enrichment analysis of these candidates. (A) Frequency of
genes exhibiting both signatures of convergence and positive selection per pair of vertically
transmitting clades. Black shading indicates the set of genes with at least one convergence event
and evidence of positive selection (FDR < 0.1) in at least one of the indicated lineages. (B) Gene
ontology enrichment across all convergent and positively selected genes identified for any pair of
vertically transmitting clades relative to the global gene list. Significance level is indicated by
bolded text. (BP) Biological Processes, (CC) Cellular Component, (MF) Molecular Function.
705

706
707

Figure 4. Select genes showing molecular convergence and positive selection at the same site.
Left panels show gene trees constructed from nucleotides for each gene. Molecular convergence
events that also showed evidence of positive selection are indicated with vertical bars. Tables
show details of the molecular convergence events and evidence of positive selection: (Pos)
amino acid position of convergence event; (Vert.1) first vertical lineage; (Vert. 2) second vertical
lineage; (Anc.1) Ancestral amino acid for first vertical lineage; (Anc.2) Ancestral amino acid for
second vertical lineage; (Sub.1) derived amino acid for first vertical lineage; (Sub.2) derived
amino acid for second vertical lineage; (BEB all) Bayes Empirical Bayes posterior probability
for positive selection at the position for the branch site test including all vertical transmitting
lineages as foreground. Derived amino acids with BEB posteriors > 0.8 for tests using individual
lineages as foreground are indicated with asterisks.

ABL1
ORTHOMCL8234

Pos	Vert.1	Vert.2	Anc.1	Anc.2	Sub.1	Sub.2	BEB all
479	Galaxia	Pocilloporid	P	P	S	S	0.98
500	Galaxia	Porites	L	L	V	V	0.84

filamin C
ORTHOMCL8658

Pos	Vert.1	Vert.2	Anc.1	Anc.2	Sub.1	Sub.2	BEB all
1518	Porites	Pocilloporid	D	D	P*	P*	0.99
1973	Montipora	Pocilloporid	K	K	S	S*	0.96

poly(rC) binding
ORTHOMCL8545

Pos	Vert.1	Vert.2	Anc.1	Anc.2	Sub.1	Sub.2	BEB all
193	Porites	Pocilloporid	K	K	S	S	0.94

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

725   Table S1. Sources of reference transcriptomes used for each species.

order	family	Genus	species	Citation	URL
Actiniaria	Actiniidae	*Anthopleura*	*elegantissima*	Kitchen et al. 2015	http://people.oregonstate.edu/~meyere/data.html
Actiniaria	Aiptasiidae	*Aiptasia*	*pallida*	Lehnert et al. 2012	http://pringlelab.stanford.edu/project%20files/AposymbioticAiptasiaTranscriptomeGoodLoci.fa.gz
Actiniaria	Edwardsiidae	*Nematostella*	*vectensis*	Nordberg et al. 2014	http://genome.jgi-psf.org/Nemve1/Nemve1.download.ftp.html
Scleractinia	Acroporidae	*Acropora*	*cervicornis*	Libro et al. 2013	http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore?LinkName=bioproject_nuccore&from_uid=222758
Scleractinia	Acroporidae	*Acropora*	*palmata*	Polato et al. 2011	http://www.personal.psu.edu/ibb3/Research.htm#Data
Scleractinia	Acroporidae	*Acropora*	*hyacinthus*	Barshis et al. 2013	http://palumbi.stanford.edu/data/
Scleractinia	Acroporidae	*Acropora*	*tenuis*	none	http://www.bio.utexas.edu/research/matz_lab/matzlab/Data_files/aten_july2014.zip
Scleractinia	Acroporidae	*Acropora*	*millepora*	Moya et al. 2012	http://www.bio.utexas.edu/research/matz_lab/matzlab/Data_files/amil_july2014.zip
Scleractinia	Acroporidae	*Acropora*	*digitifera*	Shinzato et al. 2011	http://marinegenomics.oist.jp/genomes/downloads?project_id=3
Scleractinia	Astocoeniidae	*Madracis*	*auretenra*	none	http://people.oregonstate.edu/~meyere/data.html
Scleractinia	Faviidae	*Platygyra*	*carnosus*	Sun et al. 2013	http://www.comp.hkbu.edu.hk/~db/PcarnBase/
Scleractinia	Faviidae	*Platygyra*	*daedalea*	none	http://people.oregonstate.edu/~meyere/data.html
Scleractinia	Fungiidae	*Fungia*	*scutaria*	Kitchen et al. 2015	http://people.oregonstate.edu/~meyere/data.html
Scleractinia	Merulinidae	*Orbicella*	*faveolata*	Anderson et al. 2016	https://peerj.com/articles/1616/#supplemental-information
Scleractinia	Montastraeidae	*Montastraea*	*cavernosa*	Kitchen et al. 2015	http://people.oregonstate.edu/~meyere/data.html
Scleractinia	Mussidae	*Pseudodiploria*	*strigosa*	none	http://people.oregonstate.edu/~meyere/data.html
Scleractinia	Pocilloporidae	*Pocillopora*	*damicornis*	Traylor-Knowles et al. 2011	http://cnidarians.bu.edu/PocilloporaBase/cgi-bin/pdamdata.cgi
Scleractinia	Pocilloporidae	*Seriatopora*	*hystrix*	Kitchen et al. 2015	http://people.oregonstate.edu/~meyere/data.html
Scleractinia	Pocilloporidae	*Stylophora*	*pistillata*	Maor-Landaw et al. 2014	http://data.centrescientifique.mc/Data/
Scleractinia	Poritidae	*Porites*	*astreoides*	Kenkel et al. 2013	http://www.bio.utexas.edu/research/matz_lab/matzlab/Data_files/pastreoides_may2014.zip
Scleractinia	Poritidae	*Porites*	*lobata*	none	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/356802
Scleractinia	Poritidae	*Porites*	*australiensis*	Shinzato et al. 2014	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore?term=236717%5BBioProject%5D
Scleractinia	Acroporidae	*Montipora*	*aequituberculata*	none	https://www.dropbox.com/s/qvq3kus89aflyxf/Maqe.tar.gz?dl=0
Scleractinia	Acroporidae	*Montipora*	*capitata*	Frazier et al. 2017	ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/series/GSE97nnn/GSE97888/suppl/GSE97888_Montiporacapitata_transcriptome.fasta.gz
Scleractinia	Oculinidae	*Galaxea*	*acrhelia*	Kenkel and Bay 2017	http://dornsife.usc.edu/labs/carlslab/data/
Scleractinia	Oculinidae	*Galaxea*	*astreata*	Kenkel and Bay 2017	http://dornsife.usc.edu/labs/carlslab/data/
Scleractinia	Poritidae	*Goniopora*	*columna*	Kenkel and Bay 2017	http://dornsife.usc.edu/labs/carlslab/data/
Scleractinia	Siderastreidae	*Siderastrea*	*siderea*	Davies et al. 2016	https://sarahwdavies.wordpress.com/data/

Figure S1: Examples of gene trees constructed for orthologous groups before and after paralog
pruning. Paralog pruning was performed to remove duplicate sequences from orthologous groups
if they came from a single species and formed a monophyletic clade. The figure shows gene trees
for two different orthologous groups before and after pruning. Duplicated sequences from single
species are shown in red. In the left orthologous group (ORTHMCL7233) a single duplicated
sequence from *Galaxia acrhelia* was removed. The longer of the two sequences
(Gacrhelia_16231.p1) was retained. In the right orthologous group, duplicate sequences formed
monophyletic clades six species. In each of these cases, only the longest sequence was retained.
735

Figure S2: Categorization of all overlapping amino acid substitutions observed between all tested
lineage pairs. An overlapping substitution is defined as an inferred amino acid change that
occurred at the same position independently in the lineages leading to the common ancestor of
the two indicated clades. To simplify comparisons, horizontal clades are labeled based on
sisterhood to clades with vertical transmission (Fig. 1). Each overlapping substitution was
classified into one of four categories: convergent substitutions (least frequent; salmon) are
changes from different amino acids to the same amino acid; parallel substitutions (second most
frequent; green) are changes from the same amino acid to the same new amino acid; divergent
substitutions (most common; teal) are changes from the same amino acid to a different one; 'all
different' substitutions (third most common; purple) are changes from different amino acids to
different new amino acids. Examples of each type of overlapping substitution are show in in the
legend.

Figure S3: Comparison of frequency of convergent events among genes showing evidence of
positive selection. (A) Counts of convergence events in genes showing evidence of positive
selection in one or more of the indicated lineages. (B) Percentage of overlapping substitutions
that were convergence events in genes also showing evidence of positive selection one or more
of the indicated lineages. (C) Boxplot of the percentages in (B) split by phenotype pair, VV:
vertical-vertical pairs, VH: vertical-horizontal pairs, HH: horizontal-horizontal pairs.
767

768
769
770 Figure S4: Comparison of the frequency of convergence events among overlapping substitutions.
771 (A) Absolute counts of overlapping substitutions and convergence events for each species pair.
772 (B) Percentage of overlapping substitutions that were convergence events. (C) Boxplot of the
773 percentages in (B) split by phenotype pair, VV: vertical-vertical pairs, VH: vertical-horizontal
774 pairs, HH: horizontal-horizontal pairs.
775

Figure S5: Comparison of the frequency of specific convergence events that were also identified as being positively selected. (A) Counts of convergence events which were also the sites exhibiting positive selection in one or more of the indicated lineages (Branch site test FDR < 0.1 for gene). (B) Percentage of overlapping substitutions that were convergence events in which the specific change was also the site of positive selection in one or more of the indicated lineages. Note that eight pairs have values of zero. (C) Boxplot of the percentages in (B) split by phenotype pair, VV: vertical-vertical pairs, VH: vertical-horizontal pairs, HH: horizontal-horizontal pairs.

Figure S6: Functional enrichment for genes with convergence events and evidence of positive selection among horizontally transmitting sister clades. (A) Frequency of tested genes showing convergence and positive selection per pair of horizontally transmitting clades. Teal shading indicates the set of genes with at least one convergence event and evidence of positive selection (FDR < 0.1) in at least one of the indicated lineages. (B) Gene ontology enrichment across all convergent and positively selected genes identified for any pair of horizontally transmitting clades relative to the global gene list. Significance level is indicated by bolded text. Fractions preceding ontology terms indicate … (BP) Biological Processes, (CC) Cellular Component, (MF) Molecular Function. No ontology terms for Biological Process were significant.

807   Figure S7: Labeling of branches for branch site tests. When performing the branch site test, the
808   branch or branches being tested for evidence of positive selection are labeled with "#1". When
809   testing for evidence of positive selection in a clade, we labeled only the branch leading to the
810   common ancestor of that clade. In cases when a clade had only a single species, for example
811   *Galaxia acrhelia*, the branch for that species was labeled.

814
815
816