Actin assembly and non-muscle myosin activity drive dendrite retraction in an UNC-

6/Netrin dependent self-avoidance response.

Lakshmi Sundararajan,[1] Cody J. Smith,[1,2] Joseph D. Watson[3,4], Bryan A. Millis[1,5,6], Matthew J.

Tyska,[1] David M. Miller, III[1,4,7]

[1]Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN

[2]Current address: Department of Biological Sciences, Notre Dame University, South Bend, IN

[3]Current address: Rho, Chapel Hill, NC

[4]Neuroscience Graduate Program, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN

[5]Cell Imaging Shared Resource, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN

10 [6]Vanderbilt Biophotonics Center, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN

11 [7]Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

Dendrite growth is constrained by a self-avoidance response that induces retraction but the downstream pathways that balance these opposing mechanisms are unknown. We have proposed that the diffusible cue UNC-6(Netrin) is captured by UNC-40(DCC) for a short-range interaction with UNC-5 to trigger self-avoidance in the *C. elegans* PVD neuron. Here we report that the actin-polymerizing proteins UNC-34(Ena/VASP), WSP-1(WASP), UNC-73(Trio), MIG-10(Lamellipodin) and the Arp2/3 complex effect dendrite retraction in the self-avoidance response mediated by UNC-6(Netrin). The paradoxical idea that actin polymerization results in shorter rather than longer dendrites is explained by our finding that NMY-1 (non-muscle myosin II) is necessary for retraction and could therefore mediate this effect in a contractile mechanism. Our results also show that dendrite length is determined by the antagonistic effects on the actin cytoskeleton of separate sets of effectors for retraction mediated by UNC-6(Netrin) versus outgrowth promoted by the DMA-1 receptor. Thus, our findings suggest that the dendrite length depends on an intrinsic mechanism that balances distinct modes of actin assembly for growth versus retraction.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

Neurons may extend highly branched dendrites to detect input over a broad receptive field. The

formation of actin filaments may drive dendrite elongation. The architecture of the dendritic arbor

also depends on mechanisms that limit expansion. For example, sister dendrites from a single

neuron usually do not overlap due to self-avoidance. Although cell surface proteins are known to

mediate self-avoidance, the downstream pathways that drive dendrite retraction in this

phenomenon are largely unknown. Studies of the highly branched PVD sensory neuron in *C.*

elegans have suggested a model of self-avoidance in which the UNC-40/DCC receptor captures

the diffusible cue UNC-6/Netrin at the tips of PVD dendrites where it interacts with the UNC-5

receptor on an opposing sister dendrite to induce retraction. Here we report genetic evidence that

UNC-5-dependent retraction requires downstream actin polymerization. This finding evokes a

paradox: How might actin polymerization drive both dendrite growth and retraction? We propose

two answers: (1) Distinct sets of effectors are involved in actin assembly for growth vs retraction;

(2) Non-muscle myosin interacts with a nascent actin assemblage to trigger retraction. Our results

show that dendrite length depends on the balanced effects of specific molecular components that

induce growth vs retraction.

INTRODUCTION

Dendritic arbors are defined by the balanced effects of outgrowth which expands the structure versus retraction which constrains the size of the receptive field. Microtubules and filamentous actin (F-actin) are prominent dendritic components and have been implicated as key drivers of dendritic growth and maintenance by the finding that treatments that perturb cytoskeletal dynamics may also disrupt dendritic structure [1-3]. For many neurons, dendritic growth is highly exuberant with multiple tiers of branches projecting outward from the cell soma. Ultimately, growth may be terminated by external cues. For example, neurons with similar functions are typically limited to separate domains by tiling mechanisms in which mutual contact induces dendrite retraction. The related phenomenon of self-avoidance is widely employed to prevent overlaps among sister dendrites arising from a single neuron [4]. Homotypic interactions between the membrane components Dscam and protocaderins can mediate the self-avoidance response [5-7]. Surprisingly, in some instances, soluble axon guidance cues and their canonical receptors are also required. For example, self-avoidance for the highly-branched Purkinje neuron depends on both protocadherins and also repulsive interactions between sister dendrites decorated with the Robo receptor and its diffusible ligand, Slit [8]. In another example, we have shown that UNC-6/Netrin and its cognate receptors, UNC-5 and UNC-40/DCC, mediate self-avoidance for PVD nociceptive neurons in *C. elegans* [9]. Although multiple cell-surface interactions are now known to trigger self-avoidance, little is known of the downstream effectors that drive dendrite retraction in this mechanism.

The PVD neurons, one on each side of the body, build complex dendritic arbors through a series of successive 1°, 2°, 3° and 4° orthogonal branching events [10] [11-13]. Self-avoidance ensures that adjacent 3° branches do not overgrow one another [9,11] (Figure S1). Our previous results

suggested a novel mechanism of self-avoidance in which UNC-40/DCC captures UNC-6/Netrin

at the PVD cell surface and then triggers retraction by interacting with UNC-5 on the neighboring

3° branch. UNC-40/DCC may also effect self-avoidance by acting in a separate pathway that does

not involve UNC-6/Netrin [9]. Here we describe a cell biological model of dendrite retraction in

the PVD self-avoidance response that depends on actin polymerization.

The structure of the actin cytoskeleton is controlled by a wide array of effector proteins that

regulate specific modes of actin polymerization. For example, Ena/VASP enhances F-actin

elongation at the plus-end [12] and the Arp2/3 complex functions with WASP (Wiskott-Aldrich

syndrome protein) and the Wave Regulatory Complex (WRC) to promote F-actin branching [13].

Upstream regulators of WASP and the WRC include Rho family GTPases [14] and their activators,

the GEFs (Guanine nucleotide Exchange Factors) UNC-73/Trio [15,16] and TIAM (T-cell

Lymphoma Invasion and Metastasis Factor) [17,18]. Members of the Lamellipodin/Lpd family

recruit Ena/VASP to localize F-actin assembly at the leading edge of migrating cells [19]. The fact

that all of these components (UNC-34/Ena/VASP, Arp2/3 complex, WSP-1/WASP, WRC, UNC-

73/Trio, TIAM/TIAM-1, MIG-10/lamellipodin) have been previously shown to function in *C.*

elegans to mediate axon guidance underscores the key role of the actin cytoskeleton in growth

cone steering [15,18,20-23].

Our results show that UNC-34/Ena/VASP functions downstream of UNC-5 to mediate PVD self-

avoidance. Genetic evidence detecting roles for the Arp2/3 complex and its upstream regulator,

WASP/WSP-1, indicates that the formation of branched actin networks may contribute to dendrite

retraction. A necessary role for actin polymerization is also indicated by the defective PVD self-

avoidance response of mutants with disabled UNC-73/Trio or MIG-10/lamellipodin. We show that

PVD self-avoidance also requires NMY-1/non-muscle myosin II which we propose effects

dendrite retraction in a contractile mechanism that drives the reorganization of the nascent actin

cytoskeleton. The necessary role for myosin could explain how actin polymerization can result in

shorter rather than longer dendrites in the self-avoidance response. Thus, we propose that UNC-

6/Netrin triggers self-avoidance by simultaneously stimulating actin assembly and non-muscle

myosin activity in 3° dendrites.

Because dendrite growth depends on actin polymerization [24,25], our finding that the actin

cytoskeleton is also necessary for dendrite self-avoidance points to different modes of actin

polymerization for growth *vs* retraction. Recent work has shown that a multicomponent complex

involving the PVD membrane proteins DMA-1 and HPO-30 drives dendritic growth by linking

the PVD actin cytoskeleton to adhesive cues on the adjacent epidermis [26,27]. Dendrite

elongation, in this case, depends on the WRC and TIAM-1, both of which are known to promote

actin polymerization. In contrast, our work has revealed that effectors of actin polymerization that

are required for 3° branch self-avoidance (e.g., UNC-34/Ena/VASP, MIG-10/lamellipodin, UNC-

73/Trio, Arp2/3 complex, WSP-1/WASP) are not necessary for 3° dendrite outgrowth.

Additionally, we show that UNC-6/Netrin signaling antagonizes the DMA-1-dependent

mechanism of dendritic growth. These findings are significant because they suggest that overall

dendrite length is defined by the relative strengths of opposing pathways that utilize separate sets

of effectors to differentially regulate actin polymerization for either growth or retraction.

RESULTS

UNC-6/Netrin mediates PVD sister dendrite self-avoidance.

To visualize PVD morphology, we utilized a previously characterized GFP marker driven by a

PVD-specific promoter (*PVD*::GFP) (Figure S1A-B) [11]. Each PVD neuron adopts a

stereotypical morphology characterized by a series of orthogonal junctions between adjacent

branches: 1° dendrites extend laterally from the PVD cell soma; each 2° dendrite projects along

the dorsal-ventral axis to generate a T-shaped junction comprised of two 3° dendrites each with

either an anterior or posterior trajectory; terminal 4° dendrites occupy interstitial locations between

the epidermis and body muscles. The net result of this branching pattern is a series of tree-like

structures or menorahs distributed along the 1° dendrite. In contrast to the highly branched

dendritic architecture, a single axon projects from the PVD cell soma to join the ventral nerve cord

[10,11,26]. Despite the complexity of this network, dendrites rarely overlap (< 5%) in the mature

PVD neuron (Figure S1A) [9]. Self-avoidance depends on an active process in which sister PVD

dendrites retract upon physical contact with one another (Figure S1C). In the wild type, 3° branches

arising from adjacent menorahs initially grow outward toward one another but then retract after

mutual contact. The net result is a characteristic gap between the tips of 3° dendrites in neighboring

menorahs [11]. We have previously shown that 3° dendrite self-avoidance depends in part on the

diffusible cue UNC-6/Netrin and its canonical receptors, UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5 [9]. Mutations

that disable *unc-6*, for example, result in a significant increase in the fraction of 3° dendrites that

fail to retract and remain in contact (Figure S1B, Figure 3D). Similar self-avoidance defects were

observed for mutants of either *unc-40* or *unc-5*. Our results are consistent with a model in which

UNC-40 captures UNC-6 for contact with UNC-5 at the tips of adjacent 3° dendrites (Figure

S1D)[9]. Here we address the question of how the activated UNC-5 receptor then modifies the

actin cytoskeleton to drive dendrite retraction.

Constitutively active UNC-5 delays dendrite outgrowth:

We have proposed that UNC-6 initiates dendrite retraction by activating UNC-5 [9]. This model

predicts that a constitutively active form of UNC-5 should retard net outgrowth of 3° dendrites by

triggering an opposing retraction mechanism. To test this idea, we attached a myristoylation

sequence to the cytoplasmic domain of UNC-5 [27]. The resultant myristyolated UNC-5

intracellular domain (MYR::UNC-5) is effectively tethered to the cytoplasmic membrane which

is sufficient to activate UNC-5 dependent downstream signaling [27]. Expression of MYR::UNC-

5 in PVD results in substantially shorter 3° dendrites in comparison to wild type at the L3 stage

(Figure 1A-C). This phenotype suggests that constitutive activation of UNC-5 impairs normal

outgrowth of 3° dendrites. We tested this idea by scoring the dynamic status of 3° dendrites. To

quantify movement, we measured the distance from a fiduciary point to the tip of a 3° dendrite at

intervals over time (delta t = 240 sec) (Figure 1E) (Movies S1-S2). Examples of these

measurements are shown in Figure 1E. We observed that wild-type 3° dendrites typically display

saltatory movement with periodic bouts of extension and retraction that result in net elongation. In

contrast, 3° dendrites of PVD neurons expressing MYR::UNC-5 grow more slowly and rarely

show periods of active extension and retraction (Figures 1E and 1F). The higher overall motility

of 3° dendrites in the wild-type vs PVD::MYR::UNC-5 strain is evident from a comparison of the

standard deviation of the net movement of 3° dendrites from a fiduciary point in each strain (Figure

1F). Despite slower outgrowth, 3° dendrites expressing MYR::UNC-5 eventually produce a

normal-appearing PVD architecture by the late L4 stage (Figure 2A). MYR::UNC-5 lacks the

extracellular UNC-6-binding domain (Figure 1D) and thus should function independently of UNC-

6. We confirmed this prediction by demonstrating that MYR::UNC-5 prevents the self-avoidance

defect observed in an *unc-6* mutant in L4 stage animals (Figure 2B, D). We attribute this effect to

the constitutive activation of dendrite retraction by MYR::UNC-5 that effectively prevents

overgrowth of adjacent sister 3° dendrites in an *unc-6/Netrin* mutant. Together, these results are

consistent with the hypothesis that UNC-5 functions downstream of UNC-6/Netrin to trigger

dendrite retraction in the self-avoidance response.

UNC-34/Ena/VASP mediates self-avoidance in actin-containing PVD dendrites.

To explore potential modifications of the PVD cytoskeleton as effectors of the UNC-6/Netrin-

dependent self-avoidance response, we used live cell markers to visualize actin (PVD::ACT-

1::GFP) and microtubules (*pdes-2*::TBA-1::mCherry) in PVD [28]. We observed a robust ACT-

1::GFP signal throughout the PVD neuron including the axon and all dendrites (Figure 3A). In

contrast, the TBA-1::mCherry signal was strongest in the PVD axon and 1° dendrite. This result

confirms an earlier report suggesting that dendritic microtubules are most abundant in the 1°

branch (Figure 3A) [28]. We thus considered the possibility that the self-avoidance response could

depend on the regulation of actin dynamics. To test this idea, we examined the PVD self-avoidance

phenotype for a mutation that disables the actin binding protein, Ena/VASP. We selected

Ena/VASP for this test because it has been shown to function as a cytoplasmic effector of actin

polymerization downstream of *unc-6/Netrin* signaling [12,29,30]. In addition, the *C. elegans*

Ena/VASP homolog, UNC-34 [31], is enriched in a gene expression profile of PVD neurons [11].

A strong loss-of-function allele of *unc-34*/Ena/VASP [32] alters overall PVD morphology (e.g.,

truncated or misplaced 1°dendrite, fewer 2° branches) (Figure 3B-C, Figure S2A-C). In contrast,

mutations in other regulators of actin polymerization (e.g. TIAM-1/Gef, Figure S2D) result in

severe truncation of lateral branches including 3° dendrites, a phenotype that points to a role for

actin polymerization in dendrite outgrowth [24,25]. PVD neurons in *unc-34* mutant animals show

the opposite effect of adjacent 3° dendrites that fail to self-avoid and overgrow one another (Figure

3B-C). PVD-specific expression of mCherry-tagged UNC-34 protein rescued this Unc-34 mutant

phenotype suggesting that *unc-34* function is cell-autonomous for the self-avoidance response

(Figure 3C). *unc-34* mutant 1° and 2° branch defects were not rescued by PVD expression of UNC-

34, however, which could be indicative of an additional UNC-34 function in nearby cell types that

influence PVD morphogenesis (Figure 3B, Figure S2) [33,34]. Thus, our results suggest that UNC-

34/Ena/VASP is selectively required in PVD neurons for dendrite retraction in the self-avoidance

response but not for dendrite outgrowth. The previously established role of UNC-34/Ena/VASP

as a downstream effector of UNC-5 [20] predicts that *unc-34* should be epistatic to the

MYR::UNC-5 gain-of-function phenotype. We confirmed this prediction by showing that the

delayed outgrowth PVD dendrites in the PVD::MYR::UNC-5 strain is fully suppressed in *unc-34*

mutant backgrounds which display the Unc-34 self-avoidance defect (Figure 3C). This result

stands in striking contrast to our finding above that PVD::MYR::UNC-5 is epistatic to the Unc-6

self-avoidance phenotype (Figure 2B, C). Thus, our genetic results are consistent with a model in

which UNC-6/Netrin acts through UNC-5 to activate UNC-34/Ena/VASP for dendrite retraction

in the self-avoidance response.

For visualizing UNC-34/Ena/VASP in developing dendrites, we labeled UNC-34 with mCherry

and co-expressed it in PVD (PVD::mCherry::UNC-34) with LifeAct::GFP to detect actin-

containing structures. mCherry::UNC-34 is functional because it rescues the *unc-34* self-

avoidance defect (Fig 3C). iSIM super resolution imaging detected punctate mCherry::UNC-34

throughout the PVD dendritic array (see Methods) Additional images were collected by AiryScan

and TIRF microscopy (Figure S3). Notably, mCherry::UNC-34 puncta are consistently detected

at the tips of 3° dendrites (Figure 4A-C, S3), a finding consistent with the observed distal location

of Ena/VASP in F-actin containing filopodial structures [35,36]. We used time-lapse imaging to

detect potential trafficking of mCherry::UNC-34 (Movie S5). Kymographs as well as particle

tracking analysis, detected both mobile and stationary mCherry::UNC-34 puncta in 3° dendrites

(Figure 4D-F, S3). These results are consistent with the idea that UNC-34/Ena/VASP is delivered

to the tips of 3° dendrites where it mediates actin assembly for branch retraction in the self-

avoidance response

Mutations that disable actin-polymerizing proteins disrupt dendrite self-avoidance.

Genetic tests of additional regulators of actin polymerization also revealed PVD self-avoidance

phenotypes. Lamellipodin (Lpd) interacts with ENA/VASP at the tips of filopodia and

lamellopodia to extend actin filaments [12,19]. Mutants of *mig-10*/Lpd display robust PVD self-

avoidance defects (Figure 3B, E). Similarly, self-avoidance is disrupted by a mutation that

eliminates the Rac GEF activity of UNC-73/Trio, a potent regulator of actin dynamics [15]. The

predicted downstream function of UNC-73/Trio in the UNC-5 signaling pathway [27] is confirmed

by our finding that the self-avoidance defects of *unc-73/Trio*, like *unc-34/Ena/VASP* mutants, are

fully epistatic to the delayed outgrowth phenotype of constitutively active PVD::MYR::UNC-5

(Figure 3). We note that both *mig-10*/Lpd and *unc-73*/Trio mutants also show other defects in PVD

morphology (e.g., displaced 1° dendrite, fewer 2° branches) (Figure S2) closely resembling those

of *unc-34*/Ena/VASP mutants which our results suggest are due to a non-cell autonomous function

for UNC-34 (Figure S2).

To test for potential roles for branched actin polymerization, we examined a null allele of *wsp-*

1/WASP (Wiskott-Aldrich Syndrome protein) and observed defective PVD self-avoidance. If *wsp-*

1/WASP functions in a common pathway with *unc-6*, then the self-avoidance defect of the double

mutant, *wsp-1; unc-6*, should be no more severe than that of either *wsp-1* or *unc-6* single mutants.

We confirmed this prediction by scoring PVD self-avoidance in *wsp-1; unc-6* mutant animals

(Figure 3D). An independent study confirmed the role of *wsp-1* in PVD self-avoidance. This work

also reported, however, that *wsp-1* does not enhance the self-avoidance defect of a parallel-acting

pathway involving MIG-14/Wntless and thus concluded that *wsp-1* functions downstream of MIG-

14/Wntless [37]. Additional experiments are needed to resolve the question of whether WSP-

1/WASP functions exclusively in the UNC-6/Netrin vs MIG-14/Wntless self-avoidance pathways.

Because WASP is known to activate the Arp2/3 complex [14,38], we also performed RNAi knock

down of the conserved Arp2/3 component ARX-5/p21 and detected significant PVD self-

avoidance defects (Figure 3E). The canonical roles of WASP and the Arp2/3 complex suggest that

self-avoidance depends on the formation of branched F-actin networks. Recent studies have shown

that actin and actin regulators like TIAM-1/GEF and components of the Wave Regulator Complex

(WRC) are required for PVD dendrite outgrowth [26,27] (Figure S2D). Here our genetic results

point to the paradoxical idea that elongation and branching of F-actin filaments are also necessary

for dendrite retraction and that this mechanism is activated by UNC-6/Netrin in the self-avoidance

response.

The actin cytoskeleton is highly dynamic in growing and retracting PVD dendrites.

To test the idea that the actin cytoskeleton is differentially modulated for both outgrowth and retraction we expressed LifeAct::GFP in PVD neurons to monitor actin dynamics during dendrite morphogenesis. Although LifeAct::GFP binds both filamentous actin (F-actin) and monomeric G-actin with high affinity, bright LifeAct::GFP-labeled foci typically correspond to F-actin-containing structures [39]. We observed that the LifeAct::GFP signal was consistently brightest nearest the tips of growing dendrites in comparison to internal dendritic regions (Fig. 5B, C) as also reported in other studies [26,27,40]. Time-lapse imaging of PVD::LifeAct::GFP by spinning disk confocal microscopy during the late L3/early L4 stage detected striking, dynamic fluctuations in the LifeAct::GFP signal (Movie S3). We quantified this effect by comparing time-dependent changes in LifeAct::GFP fluorescence versus that of a PVD::mCherry cytosolic marker. The relatively constant intensity of PVD::mCherry signal in these experiments favors the idea that the observed changes in LifeAct::GFP fluorescence could be due to actin dynamics in growing dendrites (Figure 5A, D-E).

Because genetic knockdown of actin polymerizing proteins (UNC-34/Ena/VASP, MIG-10/Lpd, UNC-73/Trio, WSP-1/WASP, ARX-5/P21) (Figure 3) disrupts self-avoidance and thus suggests that F-actin is required, we monitored the LifeACT::GFP signal at the tips of 3° dendrites during the self-avoidance response (Figure S4) (Movie S3). The cytoplasmic mCherry marker was used to detect contact events as instances in which the measured gap between left and right 3° dendrites approached zero. Although time-lapse imaging detected dynamic fluctuations in LifeAct::GFP fluorescence both before and after contact, we did not observe a quantitative increase in the LifeACT::GFP signal with retraction (Figure S4 and S5). Notably, for these experiments, we used

the marker strains (i.e., PVD::LifeAct::GFP and PVD::mcherry), imaging strategy (i.e., 40 X

objective, 35 s sampling interval) and method of quantitative analysis described in an earlier

publication that reported increased LifeAct::GFP fluorescence after contact (N = 14, p = 0.54, two-

way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction [37] (Figure S4A-C). In an additional approach, we used

a higher resolution objective (100X) and longer sampling interval (1 – 1.3 min) and also did not

detect elevated LifeAct::GFP fluorescence during retraction (N = 8, p = 0.74, two-way ANOVA

with Bonferroni correction) (Figure S4D and S5). The simplest explanation of our results is that

actin polymerization drives both outgrowth and retraction which are tightly coupled over time at

dendrite tips and thus difficult to resolve as separate events.

NMY-1/Non-muscle myosin II drives dendrite retraction in the self-avoidance mechanism.

Our imaging results indicate that F-actin is the dominant cytoskeletal structure in growing PVD

dendrites. In considering a mechanism to account for dendrite shortening in the self-avoidance

response, we hypothesized that a newly synthesized actin cytoskeleton could mediate dendrite

shortening by providing a substrate for non-muscle myosin II to drive contraction. To test this

idea, we examined loss-of-function alleles that disable the *C. elegans* non-muscle myosins, NMY-

1 and NMY-2. This experiment determined that mutations in *nmy-1* but not *nmy-2* [40] show PVD

self-avoidance defects (Figure 6). This effect is cell autonomous for *nmy-1* because PVD-specific

RNAi of *nmy-1* also results in overlapping 3° dendrites and PVD-specific expression of GFP-

tagged NMY-1 is sufficient to rescue the *nmy-1* self-avoidance defect (Figure 6 B-D). We conclude

that a specific non-muscle myosin, NMY-1, promotes dendrite retraction in the self-avoidance

mechanism and could act by driving the translocation of F-actin bundles at the tips of 3° dendrites.

Phosphomimetic activation of MLC-4 shortens PVD 3° dendrites.

Non-muscle myosin II motor proteins are composed of myosin heavy chain (MHC) and light

chains, the essential light chain (ELC) and regulatory light chain (RLC) [41,42]. Myosin motor

activity is triggered by RLC phosphorylation that induces a conformational change to allow

assembly of the myosin complex into active bipolar filaments (Figure 7A) [43]. Having shown

that NMY-1/non-muscle myosin is required for self-avoidance, we next performed an additional

experiment to ask if constitutive non-muscle myosin activity is sufficient to induce dendrite

retraction. For this test, we expressed a phosphomimetic mutant of MLC-4, the *C. elegans* RLC

homolog. MLC-4/myosin regulatory light chain contains serine/S and threonine/T phosphorylation

sites in a highly-conserved domain. Both S and T residues were mutated to Aspartate/D and the

resultant phosphomimetic construct MLC-4DD was fused to GFP for transgenic expression in

PVD (Figure 7B-C) [44]. PVD neurons that expressed MLC-4DD showed significantly shorter 3°

dendrites at the L3 stage in comparison to wild type as well as a concurrent increase in the width

of gaps between 3° dendrites from adjacent menorahs (Figure 7D-G). These phenotypic traits are

consistent with the idea that normal dendrite outgrowth is slowed by constitutive activation of non-

muscle myosin by MLC-4ADD. By the L4 stage, PVD architecture in the MLC-4DD strain

resembles that of the wild type with normal appearing menorah morphology and spacing (Figure

8A, B). Similar results were observed for PVD neurons that express constitutively active

MYR::UNC-5 which we have proposed functions downstream of UNC-6/Netrin to trigger the self-

avoidance response (Figure 2). Our finding that MLC-4DD rescues the self-avoidance defect of

unc-6 mutants suggests that NMY-1/non-muscle myosin II also functions downstream of *unc-6*

for dendrite retraction (Figure 8C).

Antagonistic pathways regulate dendrite outgrowth vs self-avoidance.

Our time lapse imaging studies detected dynamic actin polymerization in growing PVD dendrites (Movie S3). This observation and the recent finding that PVD dendrites are truncated and misdirected by mutations that disable either a specific actin structural gene, *act-4*, or specific components (WRC and TIAM/GEF) that promote actin polymerization, suggest that dendritic outgrowth depends on actin assembly [26,27] (Figure S2D). Actin assembly is also likely required for dendrite retraction given results showing that genetic knockdown of a separate set of actin-binding proteins (i.e., UNC-34/Ena/VASP, MIG-10/Lpd, UNC-73/Trio, WSP-1/WASP, ARX-5/p21) (**Fig. 3**), blocks PVD self-avoidance but not dendrite outgrowth [37]. A shared role for actin polymerization in both outgrowth and retraction argues that the net length of PVD dendrites must depend on an intrinsic mechanism that regulates actin assembly to balance these competing effects.

Our results suggest that UNC-6/Netrin signaling promotes dendrite retraction in a mechanism involving F-actin assembly. PVD dendritic outgrowth is driven by a separate pathway in which DMA-1, a membrane protein expressed in PVD, interacts with a multicomponent receptor anchored in the adjacent epidermis [13,45-49]. Dendritic growth depends on binding of DMA-1 and the claudin-like protein, HPO-30, to specific regulators of actin assembly (i.e., WAVE regulatory complex, TIAM-1/GEF) in the PVD cytoplasm [26,27,50]. These interactions may be modulated by the Furin KPC-1 which antagonizes DMA-1 surface expression in PVD [45-47]. *kpc-1* activity is proposed to temporally weaken adhesion with the epidermis to facilitate changes in trajectory at specific stages in dendritic outgrowth [47,48]. For example, the tips of 3° branches typically execute a right-angle turn after the self-avoidance response to produce a 4° dendrite [11].

This morphological transition largely fails in *kpc-1* mutants in which 3° dendrites elongate

abnormally and show severe self-avoidance defects due to over-expression of DMA-1 [47,48].

Thus, we considered the idea that self-avoidance is achieved by the combined effects of *kpc-1-*

dependent downregulation of DMA-1 and a separate mechanism that antagonizes outgrowth and

requires UNC-6/Netrin. We performed a series of genetic experiments to test this model.

The hypomorphic loss-of-function allele, *kpc-1(xr58),* results in over-expression of DMA-1 and a

consequent, robust self-avoidance defect with ~42% of sister 3° branches overlapping one another

(Figure 9A, D) [46,47]. This defect is not observed, however, in *kpc-1*/+ heterozygotes (Figure

9D). Similarly, self-avoidance in *unc-6*/+ heterozygous animals is indistinguishable from wild

type (Figure 9D). Thus, a 2-fold reduction in gene dosage for either *kpc-1* or *unc-6* does not perturb

self-avoidance. We reasoned, however, that if expression of UNC-6/Netrin and KPC-1 were

simultaneously reduced by half, then self-avoidance should be at least partially disabled since both

unc-6 and *kpc-1* normally function to antagonize dendrite outgrowth. To test this prediction, we

constructed double heterozygous mutants of *kpc-1* and *unc-6* (e.g., *kpc-1*/+*; unc-6*/+) and

quantified the self-avoidance phenotype. This experiment revealed that ~30% of adjacent sister 3°

dendrites fail to self-avoid in *kpc-1*/+*; unc-6*/+ animals which is significantly greater than that of

either *kpc-1*/+ or *unc-6*/+ single mutants (Figure 9B, D). This genetic "enhancer" effect is

consistent with the idea that both KPC-1 and UNC-6 function to limit growth of 3° dendrites. If

this effect is due to elevated levels of DMA-1 arising from reduced KPC-1 activity in the *kpc-1*/+*;*

unc-6/+ background, then a concomitant diminution of DMA-1 activity should restore normal self-

avoidance. We tested this idea by constructing the triple heterozygote, *kpc-1 +/+ dma-1; unc-6*/+,

and detected robust suppression of the self-avoidance defect observed in *kpc-1*/+*; unc-6*/+ animals

(Figure 9C, D). Thus, these results suggest that DMA-1-mediated adhesion to the epidermis

antagonizes UNC-6/Netrin-dependent dendrite retraction and that the relative strengths of these

opposing pathways must be finely tuned to achieve the self-avoidance effect (Figure 9E). The key

role of actin polymerization in both UNC-6/Netrin-mediated retraction and DMA-1-dependent

outgrowth argues that this balancing mechanism likely regulates both modes of actin assembly.

DISCUSSION

Dendrites arising from the same neuron typically do not overlap. This phenomenon of dendrite self-avoidance is universally observed and derives from an active mechanism in which contact between sister dendrites triggers mutual retraction [4,48]. As might be predicted for an event that depends on physical proximity, cell-surface associated proteins have been shown to mediate dendrite self-avoidance [37,49]. These include Dscam and protocadherins which are expressed in multiple alternative forms to distinguish self from non-self among contacting dendrites [5-7]. Self-avoidance for certain neurons can also depend on the interaction of diffusible cues with their cognate receptors [8,49]. For example, we have shown that the axon guidance signal, UNC-6/Netrin, and its receptors, UNC-5 and UNC-40/DCC, function together to mediate self-avoidance for PVD sensory neurons in *C. elegans*. We have proposed that UNC-40/DCC captures UNC-6/Netrin on the membrane surface to facilitate repulsion through physical interaction with UNC-5 on PVD dendrites [9] (Figure S1D). Little is known, however of the downstream effectors of dendrite retraction in the self-avoidance response. Here we report new results that are consistent with a model in which UNC-6/Netrin promotes actin-polymerization to propel dendrite retraction. Our finding that non-muscle myosin is also involved resolves the paradoxical idea that UNC-6/Netrin-dependent actin polymerization shortens rather than lengthens PVD 3° dendrites. We propose that non-muscle myosin engages the F-actin cytoskeleton at the tips of PVD dendrites to drive retraction.

Regulators of actin polymerization are required for dendrite self-avoidance.

The proposed role for actin polymerization in the self-avoidance response derives from our finding

that multiple regulators of F-actin assembly are necessary for efficient dendrite retraction. For

example, a mutation that disables UNC-34, the *C. elegans* homolog of Ena/VASP, disrupts PVD

self-avoidance. Ena/VASP promotes actin polymerization by preventing capping proteins from

blocking the addition of actin monomers to the plus-end of elongating actin filaments. In axon

growth cones, Ena/VASP localizes to the tips of actin bundles in growing filopodia [12,36]. We

observed punctate Ena/VASP localization at the tips of PVD dendrites and active trafficking from

the cell soma (Figures 4 and S3). Our results showing that an *unc-34* mutant suppresses the

retarded dendrite outgrowth phenotype arising from the constitutively active MYR::UNC-5

(Figure 3) argues that *unc-34* functions downstream to mediate UNC-6/Netrin-dependent self-

avoidance. Additional genetic evidence indicates that WSP-1/WASP also acts in a common

pathway with UNC-6/Netrin (Figure 3). The established role for WASP of activating the Arp2/3

complex [50] is consistent with our finding that RNAi knockdown of *arx-5/p21*, a conserved

Arp2/3 component, partially disables the self-avoidance mechanism (Figure 3). Dual roles for

UNC-34 and WSP-1 in actin polymerization, as suggested here, are consistent with previous work

indicating that Ena/VASP can activate Arp2/3 through direct interaction with WASP proteins

[31,51-53]. Thus, taken together, our results suggest that Ena/VASP and WSP-1 coordinate the

creation of branched actin networks at the tips of retracting PVD dendrites in the UNC-6/Netrin

dependent self-avoidance response (Figure 9E).

A role for Ena/VASP in dendrite retraction, as have we have proposed here, parallels earlier

findings of a necessary function for Ena/VASP in growth cone repulsion. For example, axon

guidance defects arising from ectopic expression of UNC-5 in *C. elegans* touch neurons requires

UNC-34/Ena/VASP [54], a finding confirmed by our observation that the dendritic shortening

phenotype induced by MYR::UNC-5 is prevented by a loss-of-function mutation in *unc-34*.

Ena/VASP is also required for axon repulsion mediated by Slit and its receptor Robo [20,55,56].

Although Ena/VASP function can be readily integrated into models of axonal attraction, the well-

established role of Ena/VASP in F-actin assembly has been more difficult to rationalize in

mechanisms of axonal repulsion which are presumed to involve actin depolymerization [12,57]. A

partial explanation for this paradox is provided by a recent study showing that Slit, acting through

Robo, induces transient filopodial outgrowth in migrating axonal growth cones and that this effect

requires Ena/VASP [56]. The functional necessity for filopodial growth in this mechanism is

unclear, but the central role of Ena/VASP-induced actin assembly in the overall repulsive response

to Slit mirrors our results suggesting that dendrite retraction in PVD neurons requires UNC-

34/Ena/VASP and F-actin assembly.

Our studies also detected necessary roles in self-avoidance for MIG-10/LPD which has been

previously shown to function with UNC-34/Ena/VASP to promote F-actin polymerization and

UNC-73/Trio, a Rho/Rac GEF that acts in UNC-6/Netrin pathways to regulate the actin

cytoskeleton [15,19,20,27]. Altogether, our genetic analysis identified five known effectors of

actin polymerization (UNC-34/Ena/VASP, WSP-1/WASP, Arp2/3 complex, MIG-10/Lpd, UNC-

73/Trio) that mediate the self-avoidance response but which are not required for 3° branch

extension during outgrowth (Figure 3, S2).

Non-muscle myosin II mediates dendrite retraction in the self-avoidance response.

Our genetic analysis detected a cell-autonomous role for NMY-1/non-muscle myosin II in dendrite

self-avoidance (Figure 6). Because our results revealed F-actin at the tips of PVD dendrites (Figure

5), we suggest that NMY-1 mediates retraction by interacting with F-actin to drive a contractile

mechanism. For example, NMY-1 could accelerate retrograde flow, a non-muscle myosin-

dependent effect that also drives filopodial retraction in growth cones and at the leading edge of

migrating cells [58,59]. Alternatively, NMY-1 could shorten PVD dendrites by inducing the

reorganization of the nascent actin cytoskeleton into a more compact structure [60].

Phosphorylation of myosin regulatory light chain (RLC) activates non-muscle myosin [43] and we

showed that MLC-4DD, a phosphomimetic, and, thus, constitutively active form of the *C. elegans*

homolog of RLC, results in delayed outgrowth of PVD 3° dendrites (Figure 7). The retarded

dendrite outgrowth resulting from chronic activation of MLC-4 suggests a model in which NMY-

1 function is triggered by contact between sister dendrites and subsequent UNC-6/Netrin signaling.

A downstream function for non-muscle myosin II in the UNC-6/Netrin pathway is consistent with

our finding that the Unc-6 self-avoidance defect is rescued by MLC- 4DD (Figure 8). Parallel

roles for these components in axon guidance are suggested by recent results showing that non-

muscle myosin II is required for Slit and Netrin-dependent midline avoidance in the developing

vertebrate spinal cord [61]. Similarly, non-muscle myosin II mediates Semaphorin-induced axonal

repulsion. The mechanism of Semaphorin action in this case also involves the assembly of actin

bundles in the axon shaft presumably to facilitate non-muscle myosin-driven retraction [62-64].

Thus, our findings suggest that key drivers of axonal repulsion including nascent actin assembly

and non-muscle myosin may also mediate dendrite retraction in the self-avoidance response.

RLC phosphorylation activates non-muscle myosin II by releasing myosin monomers for assembly

into bipolar structures or myosin "stacks" that interact with actin filaments to induce translocation

[43,65]. Although our results are consistent with a necessary role for non-muscle myosin in

dendrite retraction, the tips of PVD 3° dendrites are likely too narrow (~50 nm) [10] to

accommodate myosin stacks (~300 nm) [65,66]. We suggest that the actin-branching function of

Arp2/3, which we have shown mediates PVD dendrite retraction, could induce transient expansion

of the dendritic tip to allow assembly of myosin stacks. In this model, actin-polymerization

involving Arp2/3 could effectively limit ectopic NMY-1 activation in the dendritic shaft by

restricting the assembly of myosin stacks to the tips of contacting sister dendrites. Alternatively,

recent evidence indicates that myosin can also induce contraction in its monomeric or

unpolymerized form [67] which should have ready access to the dendrite tip. This idea is consistent

would our observation that GFP-tagged NMY-1 is active (e.g., rescues the self-avoidance defect

of *nmy-1*) and did not display the punctate appearance characteristic of myosin stacks [65] but is

diffusely localized throughout the PVD cytoplasm (Figure 6B).

Parallel-acting pathways regulate dendrite self-avoidance.

Although self-avoidance is perturbed in UNC-6/Netrin pathway mutants, a significant fraction

(~70%) (Figure 3D) of PVD 3° dendrites show apparently normal self-avoidance behavior [9].

One explanation for this observation is provided by the recent discovery of an independent

pathway, mediated by MIG/14/Wntless, that is necessary for self-avoidance in an additional

fraction of PVD 3° dendrites [37]. Parallel acting pathways also mediate self-avoidance in

mammalian Purkinje neurons where slit-robo signaling acts in concert with protocadherins [6,8].

The likelihood of additional effectors of self-avoidance is also suggested by the incompletely

penetrant effects of Dscam mutants in Drosophila sensory neuron self-avoidance [68]. Thus, our

results in *C. elegans* mirror findings in other organisms which together suggest that multiple

mechanisms have evolved to insure dendrite self-avoidance.

The actin cytoskeleton is highly dynamic in growing and retracting PVD dendrites.

We used time-lapse imaging with LifeAct::GFP, a live-cell marker for actin {Riedl:2008gw}, to

monitor actin PVD dendrites. The LifeAct::GFP signal is typically brighter near the distal ends of

growing branches in comparison to interstitial regions (Figure 5) and is highly dynamic (Movie

S3). The distal localization and rapidly fluctuating LifeAct::GFP signal in PVD dendrites

resembles recently reported "actin blobs" that actively migrate in highly branched Drosophila

sensory neurons where they appear to presage points of branch initiation [69]. A strong

LifeAct::GFP signal at the tips of emerging PVD dendrites is also consistent with genetic evidence

that actin polymerization is required for dendrite outgrowth [24,25]. Although our genetic results

(Figure 3) suggest a model of dendrite retraction in which nascent actin polymerization is

necessary for the self-avoidance response, our measurements did not detect a quantitative increase

in LifeAct::GFP in retracting vs growing 3° dendrites as previously reported by others [37]. This

result suggests that the overall level of actin polymerization is high during both outgrowth and

retraction but may be incorporated into distinct structures, regulated by distinct groups of effectors

(see below) that our imaging methods do not resolve.

Antagonistic pathways regulate dendrite outgrowth vs retraction.

Dendritic architecture is defined by the combined effects of outgrowth which expands the arbor vs

retraction which limits the size of the receptive field. This interaction of positive and negative

effects is readily observed in the development of 3° PVD dendrites. Initially, 3° dendrites

emanating from adjacent menorahs grow out along the body axis until contacting one another and

then retract to avoid overlap [9,11]. Outgrowth in this case is promoted by a multicomponent

receptor-ligand complex that mediates adhesive interactions with the adjacent epidermis [70-73]

(Figure 9E). When this pathway is dysregulated by over-expression of the DMA-1 receptor in

PVD neurons, for example, 3° dendrites continue to adhere to the epidermis and overgrow one

another [47,74]. 3° branch self-avoidance also fails in mutants that disable UNC-6/Netrin signaling

which we have shown promotes dendrite retraction [9]. Thus, these results suggest that the net

length and placement of each 3° branch depends on the balanced effects of locally acting signals

that either extend (e.g., DMA-1) or shorten (e.g., UNC-6/Netrin) the dendrite. We confirmed this

idea in genetic experiments that detected strong dose-sensitive interactions between these opposing

pathways. For example, a 50% reduction of UNC-6/Netrin expression in a heterozygous *unc-6/+*

mutant does not perturb self-avoidance. Similarly, a genetic mutant (*kpc-1/+*) that partially

elevates DMA-1 also shows normal 3° branch outgrowth. The combination of both mutations in

the double heterozygote, *unc-6/+; kpc-1/+*, however, produces a strong self-avoidance defect

(Figure 9). Our genetic results identified multiple regulators of actin polymerization (UNC-

34/Ena/VASP, WSP-1/WASP, ARX-5/p21, MIG-10/Lamelipodin, UNC-73/Trio) that are

required for self-avoidance (Figure 3). We thus propose that UNC-6/Netrin promotes actin

polymerization to effect dendrite retraction and that non-muscle myosin II interacts with a nascent

actin cytoskeleton to translocate each 3° dendrite away from its neighbor. F-actin is also abundant

and highly dynamic in growing PVD dendrites. Notably, a distinct set of F-actin promoting factors

(WRC, TIAM/GEF) interacts with the DMA-1 receptor complex to drive PVD dendritic growth

(Figure 9E) [26,27]. Additional genetic evidence suggests that the WRC could also function in

self-avoidance [37]. Thus, the challenge for future studies is to elucidate the cell biological

machinery through which opposing pathways manipulate the actin cytoskeleton to effect either

dendrite growth or retraction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains and genetics

All the strains used were maintained at 20°C and cultured as previously described (Brenner, 1974). We used the N2 Bristol strain as wild-type.

Additional strains used in this study: NC1404 [*wdIs52* (*pF49H12.4*::GFP)], NW434 [*unc-6(ev400)X*;*wdIs52(pF49H12.4::GFP)*], TV17,200 [*kpc-1(xr58); wyIs592(pser2prom3::GFP)*] [47], TV9656 [*dma-1(wy686); unc-119(ed3)III; wyEx3355(pser2prom3::GFP+odr-1::RFP)*], CX1248 [*KyEx3482(pdes-2::TBA-1::mCherry+coel::RFP)*] {Maniar:2011gx}, CLP928 [*twnEx382(Pser2.3::LifeAct::EGFP, Pser2.3::mCherry, Pgcy-8::gfp)*] [37].

Additional strains generated for this study: NC2580 *unc-34(gm104)V*; *wdIs52*, NG324 [*wsp-1(gm324)*; *wdIs52*], +/*szT1 lon-2(e678) I;* HR1184 [*nmy-1(sb115) dpy-8(e130)/szT1 X*], NC2726 [*nmy-1(sb113)*;*wdIs52*], *unc-73(rh40)*]; *wdIs52*.

NC3284 [*wdEx1011* (*pF49H12.4*::myrUNC-5::GFP)], was produced by microinjection of plasmids pLSR18 (*pF49H12.4*::myrUNC-5::GFP), *pmyo-2*::mCherry and pCJS04 (*pF49H12.4*::mCherry).

NC3048 [*wdIs98* (*pF49H12.4*::ACT-1::GFP)] was produced by microinjection of plasmids pLSR03 (*pF49H12.4*::ACT-1::GFP) and *pceh-22*::GFP and integrated as previously described (Miller and Niemeyer, 1995).

NC3085 [*wdEx967* (*pF49H12.4*::mCherry::UNC-34)] was obtained by microinjection of plasmids pCJS78(*pF49H12.4*::mCherry::UNC-34) and *pmyo-2*::mCherry.

NC3090 [*wdEx972* (*pF49H12.4*::LifeAct::GFP)] was acquired by microinjecting plasmids

pLSR06 (*pF49H12.4*::LifeAct::GFP) and *pmyo-2*::mCherry.

NC3029 [(*pF49H12.4*::*nmy-1(+)* + *pF49H12.4*::*nmy-1(-)*)] was obtained by microinjection of

pLSR09 (*pF49H12.4*::*nmy-1(+)*), pLSR10 (*pF49H12.4*::*nmy-1(-)*), pmyo-2::mCherry and

pCJS04 (*pF49H12.4*::mCherry)

NC3087 [*wdEx969* (*pF49H12.4*::GFP::Linker::NMY-1)] was produced by microinjecting

plasmids pLSR11 (*pF49H12.4*::GFP::Linker::NMY-1), pCJS04 (*pF49H12.4*::mCherry) and

pmyo-2::mCherry.

wdEx1009 (*pF49H12.4*::GFP::Linker::MLC-4DD) [NC3283] was obtained by microinjection of

plasmids pLSR17 (*pF49H12.4*::GFP::Linker::MLC-4), pCJS04 (*pF49H12.4*::mCherry) and

pmyo-2::mCherry.

Molecular Biology:

pLSR11 (*pF49H12.4*::GFP::Linker::NMY-1::*unc-10* 3'UTR): We used the Clonetech in-

fusion HD cloning kit (Cat # 638910) to build this plasmid. The *nmy-1* genomic region was

amplified from pACP01 (*pF49H12.4::*NMY-1::mCherry) with overlapping regions

corresponding to pCJS95 (*pF49H12.4::*GFP::CED-10::*unc-10* 3'UTR). We also PCR amplified

pCJS95 with primers overlapping the *nmy-1* genomic sequences to swap CED-10 with NMY-1.

We then used the NEB site-directed mutagenesis kit to insert a 24-nucleotide glycine rich linker

(see Table 1) [65]. The resultant pLSR11 plasmid was sequenced to confirm that the linker was

inserted between the GFP sequence and *nmy-1*. See table 1 for primer sequences.

Constructing pLSR17 (*pF49H12.4*::GFP::Linker::MLC-4DD): We used the Clonetech in-

fusion HD cloning kit (Cat # 638910) to construct this plasmid. The *mlc-4* genomic region was

amplified from wild-type (N2) DNA using primers with overlapping adapters complementary to

pLSR11 (*pF49H12.4*::GFP::Linker::NMY-1). pLSR11 was amplified with primers containing

adapter sequences complementary to *mlc-4*. The amplified fragments were combined for the

infusion cloning to replace *nmy-1* with *mlc-4*. We then used the NEB site directed mutagenesis

kit to change MLC-4 codons for Serine 18 and Threonine 17 to nucleotide sequences that encode

aspartatic acid (D). The resultant pLSR17 plasmid was confirmed by sequencing.

Confocal microscopy and image analysis: Animals were immobilized with 15 mM levamisole/

0.05% tricaine and mounted on 2% agarose pads in M9 buffer as previously described [11]. Z

stack images were collected using a Nikon TiE inverted fluorescent microscope equipped with an

A1R point scanning confocal and a Plan Apo 40X, 1.3NA oil immersion objective or on a Leica

TCS SP5 confocal microscope with a 40X or 63X objective. Z stacks were collected at either 0.5

or 1μm depth from the position of ventral nerve cord to the top of PVD cell body. Maximum

projection images were collated with either LAS-AF (Leica) or with NIS elements software

(Nikon). Dendrite length was measured using the line tool in Fiji/image J. Co-localization images

were assembled using image J. Z stack images that were collected using the LAS-AF or NIS

elements software was imported into ImageJ/Fiji for further analysis.

iSIM super-resolution imaging and analysis. Animals were immobilized with 0.4 mM

levamisole and mounted on 10% agarose pads in M9 buffer. Z stack images were captured using

a Hamamatsu sCMOS camera on a iSIM microscope (VisiTech International) equipped with a

100X, 1.45 NA objective. Images were deconvolved and processed using Metamorph and

Fiji/ImageJ.

Imaris software image analysis. Time-lapse movies from the iSIM microscope were

deconvolved with metamorph. The spot tracking algorithm (Imaris) was used to track UNC-

34::mCherry particles of minimum diameter of 0.3 μm. Displacement refers to the distance (μm)

between the location of an UNC-34::mCherry particle at a given time versus its starting position

at t = 0.

Spinning disk confocal microscopy: Animals were immobilized with 0.4mM levamisole and

mounted on 10% agarose pads in M9 buffer. Volumes over time were captured using an automated

TiE inverted fluorescence microscope platform with an X1 spinning disk head (Yokogawa) and

DU-897 EM-CCD (Andor Technology), piezo-electric Z stage (Mad City Labs) and Apo TIRF

100x 1.49 NA oil immersion objective (Nikon Instruments, Inc.). Analysis of fluorescence

intensity was accomplished using NIS-Elements and Fiji/Image J software.

Quantification of fluorescence intensity in growing vs. non-growing dendrites: L2-L3 larvae

were immobilized with 0.4mM levamisole and mounted on 10% agarose pads in M9 buffer for

imaging with the spinning disk confocal microscope. Z-stacks of PVD dendrites were imaged at

either 1 min or 1.30 minute intervals with the 100X 1.49 NA oil immersion objective. PVD

dendrites (2º, 3º and 4º) undergoing outgrowth with a succession of rapid extensions and

retractions during the length of the time lapse videos were analyzed. NIS elements was used for

measurements of LifeAct::GFP from a 1μm ROI at the tips of growing dendrites. In the same

videos, fluorescence intensity was measured for an identical $1\mu m$ ROI of PVD branches (1º, 2º

and 3º) that were not undergoing outgrowth. Fluorescence intensity measurements for the

outgrowth and no-outgrowth datasets were normalized against the maximum overall LifeAct::GFP

value (Figure 5).

Statistics: Student's t test was used for comparisons between 2 groups and ANOVA for

comparisons between three or more groups with either Tukey posthoc or Bonferroni correction for

multiple comparisons. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed for comparisons between

frequency distributions of 3° dendrite length and gaps between 3° dendrites (Figures 2C and 8F,

G) .

Table 1.

Primer name	Primer sequences
myrunc-5 Vec. For.	CGGCCGCGGATAACAAATTTCA
myrunc-5 Vec. Rev.	GGATCCCATCCCGGGGAT
myrunc-5 Frag. For.	CCCGGGATGGGATCCATGggatcttctaagtctgctagcAAAC
myrunc-5 Frag. Rev.	TGTTATCCGCGGCCGCTATTTGTATAGTTCATCCATGCCATGTG
nmy-1 linker F1	GGATGCCCCTCCgtacagctcgtccatg
nmy-1 linker R1	GCAGGGGGAGGTGATGGGTGACCTGCAGTAC
mlc-4DD F1	CATGTTCGATCAGGCTCAAATTCAAG
mlc-4DD R1	GCGAACACATTGTCATCGGCTCTTTG
mlc-4 Vec. For.	GGATAACAAATTTCTATGTTTTTGTTTGTTTTTGTCTTAATTACCA

mlc-4 Vec. Rev.	ACCTCCCCCTGCGGATG
mlc-4 Frag. For.	TCCGCAGGGGGAGGTATGGCCTCCCGCAAAACC
mlc-4 Frag. Rev.	agaaatttgttatccTTAAGCCTCATCCTTGTCCTTGGTTCC

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank C. Bargmann, K. Shen, J. Plastino, Dr. T.J. Kubiseski, E. Lundquist, P. Mains and H.

Buelow for strains and reagents. We thank Chun-Liang Pan and Chien-Po Liao for sharing their

work, reagents and advice prior to publication, Dylan Burnette and members of his laboratory,

Aidan Fenix and Nilay Taneja, for helpful suggestions and members of the Miller lab for comments

on the manuscript. Spinning disk images were obtained in the Nikon Center of Excellence at

Vanderbilt University. We thank Fernando Delaville and Ralph Bauer from BioVision

Technologies for their help in acquiring time-lapse movies and images on the iSIM microscope.

This work was supported by NIH Grants R01 NS079611 to D. M. M, F31 NS071801 to C.J.S and

F31 NS49743 to J. D. W. Some of the strains used in this work were provided by the *C.

elegans* Genetics Center, which is supported by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH)

National Center for Research Resources. L.S., C.J.S and J.D.W. performed experiments with

advice from D.M.M., M.J.T. provided imaging expertise and advice; B.A.M helped with spinning

disk imaging and edited videos, L.S. and D.M.M. wrote the paper with input from coauthors.

REFERENCES

1. Delandre C, Amikura R, Moore AW. Microtubule nucleation and organization in dendrites. cc. 2016;15: 1685–1692. doi:10.1080/15384101.2016.1172158

2. Jan YN, Jan LY. Branching out: mechanisms of dendritic arborization. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2010;11: 316–328. doi:10.1038/nrn2836

3. Konietzny A, Bär J, Mikhaylova M. Dendritic Actin Cytoskeleton: Structure, Functions, and Regulations. Front Cell Neurosci. 2017;11: 147. doi:10.3389/fncel.2017.00147

4. Grueber WB, Sagasti A. Self-avoidance and tiling: Mechanisms of dendrite and axon spacing. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2010;2: a001750–a001750. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a001750

5. Fuerst PG, Bruce F, Tian M, Wei W, Elstrott J, Feller MB, et al. DSCAM and DSCAML1 function in self-avoidance in multiple cell types in the developing mouse retina. Neuron. 2009;64: 484–497. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.09.027

6. Lefebvre JL, Kostadinov D, Chen WV, Maniatis T, Sanes JR. Protocadherins mediate dendritic self-avoidance in the mammalian nervous system. Nature. Nature Publishing Group; 2012;488: 517–521. doi:10.1038/nature11305

7. Matthews BJ, Kim ME, Flanagan JJ, Hattori D, Clemens JC, Zipursky SL, et al. Dendrite self-avoidance is controlled by Dscam. Cell. 2007;129: 593–604. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.04.013

8. Gibson DA, Tymanskyj S, Yuan RC, Leung HC, Lefebvre JL, Sanes JR, et al. Dendrite Self-Avoidance Requires Cell-Autonomous Slit/Robo Signaling in Cerebellar Purkinje Cells. Neuron. Elsevier Inc; 2014;81: 1040–1056. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.01.009

9. Smith CJ, Watson JD, Vanhoven MK, Colón-Ramos DA, Miller DM III. Netrin (UNC-6) mediates dendritic self-avoidance. Nat Neurosci. 2012;15: 731–737. doi:10.1038/nn.3065

10. Albeg A, Smith CJ, Chatzigeorgiou M, Feitelson DG, Hall DH, Schafer WR, et al. C. elegans multi-dendritic sensory neurons: morphology and function. Mol Cell Neurosci. 2011;46: 308–317. doi:10.1016/j.mcn.2010.10.001

11. Smith CJ, O'Brien T, Treinin M, Miller DM III. Time-lapse imaging and cell-specific expression profiling reveal dynamic branching and molecular determinants of a multi-dendritic nociceptor in C. elegans. Developmental Biology. 2010;345: 18–33. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.05.502

12. Drees F, Gertler FB. Ena/VASP: proteins at the tip of the nervous system. Current Opinion in Neurobiology. 2008;18: 53–59. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2008.05.007

13. Goley ED, Welch MD. The ARP2/3 complex: an actin nucleator comes of age. Nat
Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2006;7: 713–726. doi:10.1038/nrm2026

14. Takenawa T, Suetsugu S. The WASP-WAVE protein network: connecting the
membrane to the cytoskeleton. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2007;8: 37–48.
doi:10.1038/nrm2069

15. Steven R, Kubiseski TJ, Zheng H, Kulkarni S, Mancillas J, Ruiz Morales A, et al.
UNC-73 activates the Rac GTPase and is required for cell and growth cone
migrations in C. elegans. Cell. 1998;92: 785–795.

16. Hu S, Pawson T, Steven RM. UNC-73/Trio RhoGEF-2 Activity Modulates
Caenorhabditis elegans Motility Through Changes in Neurotransmitter Signaling
Upstream of the GSA-1/G s Pathway. Genetics. 2011;189: 137–151.
doi:10.1534/genetics.111.131227

17. Matsuo N, Hoshino M, Yoshizawa M, Nabeshima Y-I. Characterization of STEF, a
guanine nucleotide exchange factor for Rac1, required for neurite growth. J Biol
Chem. 2002;277: 2860–2868. doi:10.1074/jbc.M106186200

18. Demarco RS, Struckhoff EC, Lundquist EA. The Rac GTP exchange factor TIAM-1
acts with CDC-42 and the guidance receptor UNC-40/DCC in neuronal protrusion
and axon guidance. PLoS Genetics. Public Library of Science; 2012;8: e1002665.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002665

19. Krause M, Leslie JD, Stewart M, Lafuente EM, Valderrama F, Jagannathan R, et al.
Lamellipodin, an Ena/VASP ligand, is implicated in the regulation of lamellipodial
dynamics. Developmental Cell. 2004;7: 571–583. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2004.07.024

20. Chang C, Adler CE, Krause M, Clark SG, Gertler FB, Tessier-Lavigne M, et al.
MIG-10/lamellipodin and AGE-1/PI3K promote axon guidance and outgrowth in
response to slit and netrin. Curr Biol. 2006;16: 854–862.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2006.03.083

21. Demarco RS, Lundquist EA. RACK-1 Acts with Rac GTPase Signaling and UNC-
115/

abLIM in Caenorhabditiselegans Axon Pathfinding and

Cell Migration. PLoS Genetics. 2010;6: 1–17. doi:doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.100121

22. Shakir MA, Jiang K, Struckhoff EC, Demarco RS, Patel FB, Soto MC, et al. The
Arp2/3 activators WAVE and WASP have distinct genetic interactions with Rac
GTPases in Caenorhabditis elegans axon guidance. Genetics. 2008;179: 1957–1971.
doi:10.1534/genetics.108.088963

23. Levy-Strumpf N, Culotti JG. VAB-8, UNC-73 and MIG-2 regulate axon polarity
and cell migration functions of UNC-40 in C. elegans. Nature Publishing Group.
2007;10: 161–168. doi:10.1038/nn1835

24. Tang LT, Díaz-Balzac CA, Rahman M, Ramirez-Suarez NJ, Salzberg Y, Lazaro-
Pena MI, et al. TIAM-1/GEF can shape somatosensory dendrites independently of
its GEF activity by regulating F-actin localization. eLife. 2019;8: 507.
doi:10.7554/eLife.38949

25. Zou W, Dong X, Broederdorf TR, Shen A, Kramer DA, Shi R, et al. A Dendritic
Guidance Receptor Complex Brings Together Distinct Actin Regulators to Drive
Efficient F-Actin Assembly and Branching. Developmental Cell. 2018;45: 362–
375.e3. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2018.04.008

26. Oren-Suissa M, Hall DH, Treinin M, Shemer G, Podbilewicz B. The fusogen EFF-1
controls sculpting of mechanosensory dendrites. Science. 2010;328: 1285–1288.
doi:10.1126/science.1189095

27. Norris AD, Sundararajan L, Morgan DE, Roberts ZJ, Lundquist EA. The UNC-
6/Netrin receptors UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5 inhibit growth cone filopodial
protrusion via UNC-73/Trio, Rac-like GTPases and UNC-33/CRMP. Development.
2014;141: 4395–4405. doi:10.1242/dev.110437

28. Maniar TA, Kaplan M, Wang GJ, Shen K, Wei L, Shaw JE, et al. UNC-33 (CRMP)
and ankyrin organize microtubules and localize kinesin to polarize axon-dendrite
sorting. Nat Neurosci. Nature Publishing Group; 2011;15: 48–56.
doi:10.1038/nn.2970

29. Chang C, Yu TW, Bargmann CI, Tessier-Lavigne M. Inhibition of netrin-mediated
axon attraction by a receptor protein tyrosine phosphatase. Science. American
Association for the Advancement of Science; 2004;305: 103–106.
doi:10.1126/science.1096983

30. Gitai Z, Yu TW, Lundquist EA, Tessier-Lavigne M, Bargmann CI. The netrin
receptor UNC-40/DCC stimulates axon attraction and outgrowth through enabled
and, in parallel, Rac and UNC-115/AbLIM. Neuron. 2003;37: 53–65.

31. Withee J, Galligan B, Hawkins N, Garriga G. Caenorhabditis elegans WASP and
Ena/VASP proteins play compensatory roles in morphogenesis and neuronal cell
migration. Genetics. 2004;167: 1165–1176. doi:10.1534/genetics.103.025676

32. Fleming T, Chien S-C, Vanderzalm PJ, Dell M, Gavin MK, Forrester WC, et al. The
role of C. elegans Ena/VASP homolog UNC-34 in neuronal polarity and motility.
Developmental Biology. 2010;344: 94–106. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.04.025

33. Chen C-H, Hsu H-W, Chang Y-H, Pan C-L. Adhesive L1CAM-Robo Signaling
Aligns Growth Cone F-Actin Dynamics to Promote Axon-Dendrite Fasciculation in

779   C. elegans. Developmental Cell. 2019;48: 215–228.e5.
780   doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2018.10.028

781   34.   Ramirez-Suarez NJ, Belalcazar HM, Salazar CJ, Beyaz B, Raja B, Nguyen KCQ, et
782   al. Axon-Dependent Patterning and Maintenance of Somatosensory Dendritic
783   Arbors. Developmental Cell. 2019;48: 229–244.e4.
784   doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2018.12.015

785   35.   Applewhite DA, Barzik M, Kojima S-I, Svitkina TM, Gertler FB, Borisy GG.
786   Ena/VASP proteins have an anti-capping independent function in filopodia
787   formation. Molecular Biology of the Cell. 2007;18: 2579–2591.
788   doi:10.1091/mbc.E06-11-0990

789   36.   Gates J, Mahaffey JP, Rogers SL, Emerson M, Rogers EM, Sottile SL, et al.
790   Enabled plays key roles in embryonic epithelial morphogenesis in Drosophila.
791   Development. 2007;134: 2027–2039. doi:10.1242/dev.02849

792   37.   Liao C-P, Li H, Lee H-H, Chien C-T, Pan C-L. Cell-Autonomous Regulation of
793   Dendrite Self-Avoidance by the Wnt Secretory Factor MIG-14/Wntless. Neuron.
794   2018;98: 320–334.e6. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2018.03.031

795   38.   Zhang W, Wu Y, Du L, Tang DD, Gunst SJ. Activation of the Arp2/3 complex by
796   N-WASp is required for actin polymerization and contraction in smooth muscle. Am
797   J Physiol, Cell Physiol. 2005;288: C1145–60. doi:10.1152/ajpcell.00387.2004

798   39.   Riedl J, Crevenna AH, Kessenbrock K, Yu JH, Neukirchen D, Bista M, et al.
799   Lifeact: a versatile marker to visualize F-actin. Nat Meth. 2008;5: 605–607.
800   doi:10.1038/nmeth.1220

801   40.   Smith CJ. Morphological and molecular characterization of somatosensory
802   neurogenesis [Internet]. 2012. pp. 1–279. Available:
803   http://login.proxy.library.vanderbilt.edu/login?url=http://search.proquest.com/docvie
804   w/1627158315?accountid=14816

805   41.   Piekny AJ, Mains PE. Rho-binding kinase (LET-502) and myosin phosphatase
806   (MEL-11) regulate cytokinesis in the early Caenorhabditis elegans embryo. J Cell
807   Sci. 2002;115: 2271–2282.

808   42.   Vicente-Manzanares M, Ma X, Adelstein RS, Horwitz AR. Non-muscle myosin II
809   takes centre stage in cell adhesion and migration. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2009;10:
810   778–790. doi:10.1038/nrm2786

811   43.   Somlyo AP, Somlyo AV. Signal transduction and regulation in smooth muscle.
812   Nature. 1994;372: 231–236. doi:10.1038/372231a0

813   44.   Ono K, Ono S. Two distinct myosin II populations coordinate ovulatory contraction
814   of the myoepithelial sheath in the Caenorhabditis elegans somatic gonad. Molecular

Biology of the Cell. American Society for Cell Biology; 2016;27: 1131–1142.
doi:10.1091/mbc.E15-09-0648

45. Schroeder NE, Androwski RJ, Rashid A, Lee H, Lee J, Barr MM. Dauer-Specific
Dendrite Arborization in C. elegans Is Regulated by KPC-1/Furin. Current Biology.
Elsevier Ltd; 2013;23: 1527–1535. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.06.058

46. Salzberg Y, Ramirez-Suarez NJ, Bülow HE. The Proprotein Convertase KPC-
1/Furin Controls Branching and Self-avoidance of Sensory Dendrites in
Caenorhabditis elegans. Chisholm AD, editor. PLoS Genetics. 2014;10: e1004657–
13. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1004657

47. Dong X, Chiu H, Park YJ, Zou W, Zou Y, Özkan E, et al. Precise regulation of the
guidance receptor DMA-1 by KPC-1/Furin instructs dendritic branching decisions.
eLife. eLife Sciences Publications Limited; 2016;5: 308. doi:10.7554/eLife.11008

48. Liu OW, Shen K. The transmembrane LRR protein DMA-1 promotes dendrite
branching and growth in C. elegans. Nat Neurosci. 2011. doi:10.1038/nn.2978

49. Sun LO, Jiang Z, Rivlin-Etzion M, Hand R, Brady CM, Matsuoka RL, et al. On and
off retinal circuit assembly by divergent molecular mechanisms. Science. 2013;342:
1241974–1241974. doi:10.1126/science.1241974

50. Pollitt AY, Insall RH. WASP and SCAR/WAVE proteins: the drivers of actin
assembly. J Cell Sci. The Company of Biologists Ltd; 2009;122: 2575–2578.
doi:10.1242/jcs.023879

51. Castellano F, Le Clainche C, Patin D, Carlier MF, Chavrier P. A WASp-VASP
complex regulates actin polymerization at the plasma membrane. EMBO J. 2001;20:
5603–5614. doi:10.1093/emboj/20.20.5603

52. Chen XJ, Squarr AJ, Stephan R, Chen B, Higgins TE, Barry DJ, et al. Ena/VASP
proteins cooperate with the WAVE complex to regulate the actin cytoskeleton.
Developmental Cell. 2014;30: 569–584. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2014.08.001

53. Havrylenko S, Noguera P, Abou-Ghali M, Manzi J, Faqir F, Lamora A, et al.
WAVE binds Ena/VASP for enhanced Arp2/3 complex-based actin assembly.
Molecular Biology of the Cell. American Society for Cell Biology; 2015;26: 55–65.
doi:10.1091/mbc.E14-07-1200

54. Colavita A, Culotti JG. Suppressors of ectopic UNC-5 growth cone steering identify
eight genes involved in axon guidance in Caenorhabditis elegans. Developmental
Biology. 1998;194: 72–85. doi:10.1006/dbio.1997.8790

55. Bashaw GJ, Kidd T, Murray D, Pawson T, Goodman CS. Repulsive axon guidance:
Abelson and Enabled play opposing roles downstream of the roundabout receptor.
Cell. 2000;101: 703–715.

56. McConnell RE, Edward van Veen J, Vidaki M, Kwiatkowski AV, Meyer AS,
Gertler FB. A requirement for filopodia extension toward Slit during Robo-mediated
axon repulsion. The Journal of Cell Biology. 2016;213: 261–274.
doi:10.1083/jcb.201509062

57. Hung R-J, Terman JR. Extracellular inhibitors, repellents, and
semaphorin/plexin/MICAL-mediated actin filament disassembly. Cytoskeleton
(Hoboken). John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2011;68: 415–433. doi:10.1002/cm.20527

58. Lin C, Espreafico E, Mooseker M, Forscher P. Myosin drives retrograde F-actin
flow in neuronal growth cones. Neuron. 1996;16: 769–782.

59. Mitchison TJ, Cramer LP. Actin-based cell motility and cell locomotion. Cell.
1996;84: 371–379.

60. Medeiros NA, Burnette DT, Forscher P. Myosin II functions in actin-bundle
turnover in neuronal growth cones. Nat Cell Biol. 2006;8: 215–226.
doi:10.1038/ncb1367

61. Murray A, Naeem A, Barnes SH, Drescher U, Guthrie S. Slit and Netrin-1 guide
cranial motor axon pathfinding via Rho-kinase, myosin light chain kinase and
myosin II. Neural Dev. 2010;5: 16. doi:10.1186/1749-8104-5-16

62. Brown JA, Wysolmerski RB, Bridgman PC. Dorsal root ganglion neurons react to
semaphorin 3A application through a biphasic response that requires multiple
myosin II isoforms. Molecular Biology of the Cell. 2009;20: 1167–1179.
doi:10.1091/mbc.E08-01-0065

63. Brown JA, Bridgman PC. Disruption of the cytoskeleton during Semaphorin 3A
induced growth cone collapse correlates with differences in actin organization and
associated binding proteins. Devel Neurobio. 2009;69: 633–646.
doi:10.1002/dneu.20732

64. Gallo G. RhoA-kinase coordinates F-actin organization and myosin II activity
during semaphorin-3A-induced axon retraction. J Cell Sci. 2006;119: 3413–3423.
doi:10.1242/jcs.03084

65. Fenix AM, Taneja N, Buttler CA, Lewis J, Van Engelenburg SB, Ohi R, et al.
Expansion and concatenation of non-muscle myosin IIA filaments drive cellular
contractile system formation during interphase and mitosis. Molecular Biology of
the Cell. 2016;27: 1465–1478. doi:10.1091/mbc.E15-10-0725

66. Shutova MS, Spessott WA, Giraudo CG, Svitkina T. Endogenous species of
mammalian nonmuscle myosin IIA and IIB include activated monomers and
heteropolymers. Curr Biol. 2014;24: 1958–1968. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2014.07.070

67.   Shutova M, Yang C, Vasiliev JM, Svitkina T. Functions of nonmuscle myosin II in
887   assembly of the cellular contractile system. Parsons M, editor. PLoS ONE. Public
888   Library of Science; 2012;7: e40814. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040814

889   68.   Matthews BJ, Grueber WB. Dscam1-mediated self-avoidance counters netrin-
890   dependent targeting of dendrites in Drosophila. Curr Biol. 2011;21: 1480–1487.
891   doi:10.1016/j.cub.2011.07.040

892   69.   Nithianandam V, Chien C-T. Actin blobs prefigure dendrite branching sites. The
893   Journal of Cell Biology. 2018;217: 3731–3746. doi:10.1083/jcb.201711136

894   70.   Díaz-Balzac CA, Rahman M, Lazaro-Pena MI, Martin Hernandez LA, Salzberg Y,
895   Aguirre-Chen C, et al. Muscle- and Skin-Derived Cues Jointly Orchestrate
896   Patterning of Somatosensory Dendrites. Curr Biol. 2016;26: 2379–2387.
897   doi:10.1016/j.cub.2016.07.008

898   71.   Dong X, Liu OW, Howell AS, Shen K. An Extracellular Adhesion Molecule
899   Complex Patterns Dendritic Branching and Morphogenesis. Cell. 2013;155: 296–
900   307. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.08.059

901   72.   Salzberg Y, Díaz-Balzac CA, Ramirez-Suarez NJ, Attreed M, Tecle E, Desbois M,
902   et al. Skin-Derived Cues Control Arborization of Sensory Dendritesin
903   Caenorhabditis elegans. Cell. Elsevier Inc; 2013;155: 308–320.
904   doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.08.058

905   73.   Zou W, Shen A, Dong X, Tugizova M, Xiang YK, Shen K. A multi-protein
906   receptor-ligand complex underlies combinatorial dendrite guidance choices in C.
907   elegans. eLife. eLife Sciences Publications Limited; 2016;5: e18345.
908   doi:10.7554/eLife.18345

909   74.   Luo L, Wen Q, Ren J, Hendricks M, Gershow M, Qin Y, et al. Dynamic encoding of
910   perception, memory, and movement in a C. elegans chemotaxis circuit. Neuron.
911   2014;82: 1115–1128. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2014.05.010

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1: Constitutively active UNC-5 retards outgrowth of 3° PVD dendrites.

(A-D) Representative images and tracings of 3° dendrites anterior to PVD cell body in (A) wild

type (red) and (B) PVD::MYR::UNC-5 (blue) (Late L3-early L4 animals) (C) Gaussian fit to

distribution of lengths of PVD::mCherry-labeled 3° dendrites showing shorter average length for

MYR::UNC-5 (n = 156, 7 animals) *versus* wild type (n = 159, 8 animals), p = 0.03, Kolmogorov-

Smirnov statistical test. (D) Schematics of intact, wild-type UNC-5 receptor vs membrane-

associated, constitutively-activated myristylated-UNC-5 (MYR::MYR-5). (E-F) Dynamicity of 3°

dendrites in wild-type vs MYR::UNC-5-expressing PVD neurons labeled with PVD::GFP. (E)

Schematic (top) depicts displacement of the tip of a 3° dendrite relative to a fiduciary mark (vertical

dashed red line). Scale bar is 10 μm. Representative plots of displacement for wild-type (red) and

MYR::UNC-5 (blue) 3° dendrites over time. (F) The dynamic saltatory growth and retraction of

3° dendrites in the wild type vs the relative quiescence of MYR::UNC-5-expressing PVD neurons

is correlated with elevated standard deviation of tip movement from a fiduciary point in wild-type

vs MYR::UNC-5 expressing PVD neurons (p = 0.04 from Student's t-test. n = 4).

Figure 2: Constitutively active UNC-5 rescues self-avoidance in an *unc-6* mutant.

(A-C) Representative images (top) and tracings (bottom) of PVD dendritic architecture in late L4

stage larvae that are (A) PVD::MYR:UNC-5, (B) PVD::MYR::UNC-5; *unc-6(ev400),* (C) Self-

avoidance defects (percentage of overlapping 3° branches) are rare (< 5%) in wild type and

PVD::MYR::UNC-5. The Unc-6 self-avoidance defect is prevented by PVD expression of

MYR::UNC-5. Error bars denote mean and M. ***p<0.0001. n ≥ 15. from ANOVA with Tukey's

posthoc test. Scored in a PVD::mCherry background.

Figure 3. Mutations in genes that promote actin polymerization disrupt PVD dendrite self-

avoidance.

(A) Representative images (top) and schematics (bottom) of the actin cytoskeleton (green) labeled

with PVD::ACT-1::GFP (A) and microtubules (magenta) marked with *pdes-2*::TBA-1::mCherry.

PVD cell bodies (asterisks) and axons (arrowheads) are noted. (B) Representative images of

PVD::GFP for wild type, for *unc-34*, *mig-10*, *unc-73*, *wsp-1* mutants and for *arx-5RNAi*. Asterisks

denote PVD cell bodies and arrowheads point to overlaps between adjacent PVD 3° dendrites. (C-

E) Self-avoidance defects are plotted in a PVD::GFP background (mean and SEM) as the

percentage of overlapping 3° dendrites for each genotype. ***p<0.001, *p<0.05, ANOVA with

Tukey's posthoc test, n ≥ 15 animals for all backgrounds. (C) Self-avoidance defects for *unc-*

73/Trio, *mig-10/Lmpd* and *unc-34/Ena/VASP* mutants. Self-avoidance defects of *unc-*

34/Ena/VASP mutants are rescued by PVD expression of UNC-34 (PVD::mCherry::UNC-34).

Constitutively active UNC-5 (PVD::MYR::UNC-5) fails to rescue the self-avoidance defects of

an *unc-34* mutant. (D) PVD self-avoidance defects for *arx-5RNAi* compared to Empty Vector

RNAi controls., ***p<0.001 and self-avoidance defect of *unc-6*, *wsp-1* and *unc-6; wsp-1* mutants

compared to wildtype, NS = Not Significant, ANOVA with Tukey's posthoc test, n ≥ 15 animals.

Scale bar is 10 μm.

Figure 4. Localization and trafficking of PVD::UNC-34::mCherry puncta in PVD.

(A-B) iSIM images (see Methods) of PVD neurons (late L3 larvae) labeled with

PVD::LifeAct::GFP (green) and PVD::mCherry::UNC-34 (magenta). Merge shown at top right.

Insets depict punctate mCherry::UNC-34 in 1°, 2° and 3° PVD dendrites. (B) Note

mCherry::UNC-34 puncta at tips (white arrowheads) of 3° dendrites. Scale bars are 5 μm. (C)

Frequency (100%) at which tips of 3° dendrites show mCherry::UNC-34 puncta, ***p<0.001,

Fischer's exact test, N = 33 dendrite tips in 9 animals. Both iSIM and Airyscan images were used

in this analysis. (D-E) Kymographs of PVD::mCherry::UNC-34 in PVD 3° dendrite captured in

the iSIM microscope. Moving (green arrowheads) vs stationary (red arrowheads) mCherry::UNC-

34 puncta are denoted. The distal end of the 3° dendrite is indicated by an asterisk and a red dashed

arrow points toward the PVD cell soma. (F) Trafficking of mCherry::UNC-34 puncta in the PVD

3° dendrite (enclosed with rectangle of red dashed line) from 4E was analyzed using a spots

tracking algorithm (Imaris) (see Methods). The net displacement (μm) of mobile mCh::UNC-34

puncta (N = 8) from position at t = 0 in a 3° dendrite plotted against time with a sampling interval

of 2 s. Scale bar is 10 μm.

Figure 5. The actin cytoskeleton is highly dynamic in PVD dendrites.

(A) Time-lapse images of PVD::LifeAct::GFP and cytosolic PVD::mCherry labeled 2° dendrite

during outgrowth (late L3 larvae). (B) Fluorescent intensity was measured from regions of PVD

dendrites undergoing outgrowth vs no-outgrowth (see Materials and Methods). ***p<0.001, N =

118 measurements from 6 dendrites. (C) Representative image showing enriched LifeAct::GFP

signal in distal, growing PVD dendrites labeled with PVD::mCherry. (D) Rapid changes in the

normalized fluorescence intensity of PVD::LifeAct::GFP versus the PVD::mCherry cytoplasmic

marker were quantified as the standard deviation of the normalized fluorescent intensity measured

at the tips of growing dendrites. (See Movie S3 and Methods) ***p = 0.003, N = 22. (Unpaired t-

test) (E) Normalized fluorescence intensity measurements of PVD::LifeAct::GFP (green) vs

cytoplasmic PVD::mCherry (red) at the tip of a growing late L3 stage PVD 3°dendrite from a

representative time lapse movie.

Figure 6. NMY-1/non-muscle myosin II mediates dendrite self-avoidance.

(A) Representative images (left) and tracings (right) of wild type and *nmy-1(sb113)* labeled with

PVD::GFP. Arrowheads mark overlapping 3° dendrites. The PDE neuron (asterisk) is adjacent to

the PVD cell-body. (B) NMY-1 fused to GFP via a linker peptide (PVD::GFP::NMY-1) (top)

expressed in PVD with PVD::mCherry (bottom) rescues self-avoidance defects (C) of *nmy-*

1(sb113). Scale bars are 5μm. (D) Quantification of self-avoidance defects in wild type and *nmy-*

1. The percentage of overlapping 3° branches is elevated in *nmy-1* mutants and with *nmy-1* cell-

specific RNAi (csRNAi) vs wild type. PVD expression of GFP-labeled NMY-1

(PVD::GFP::NMY-1) rescues the *nmy-1(sb113)* self-avoidance defect. Scatter plots with mean

and SEM, ***p<0.01, One way ANOVA with Tukey's posthoc test. N = 15-20 animals per

genotype. All defects were quantified in a PVD::GFP background. Scale bar is 5 μm.

Figure 7. Phosphomimetic activation of myosin regulatory light chain, MLC-4, retards

outgrowth of 3° PVD dendrites.

(A) Phosphorylation of Regulatory Light Chain (RLC) induces a conformational change that

activates the myosin protein complex composed of myosin heavy chain (MHC), essential light

chain (ELC) and RLC. (B) Threonine and serine phosphorylation sites (red) in conserved regions

of *C. elegans*, *Drosophila* and human myosin RLCs. (C) Phosphomimetic construct,

PVD::GFP::MLC-4-DD, fused to GFP with a linker peptide for expression with PVD promoter.

(D-E) Representative images (top) and schematics (bottom) of PVD morphology anterior to cell

body (asterisk) denoting 3° dendrites for (D) wild type (red) and (E) MLC-4DD (blue) (L3 stage

larvae). Wild-type image also used in Figure 2A. Scale bars are 10 μm. 3° dendrite length (F) is

shorter and gaps between 3° dendrites (G) is wider for MLC-4DD versus wild type at the L3 stage.

Gaussian fit was plotted with bin center values (μm) on the X-axis and the number of values that

fall under each bin center on the Y axis, ***p<0.001, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for cumulative

distributions of PVD 3° dendrite lengths and length of gaps in MLC-4DD (n = 141, 6 animals)

versus wild type (n = 156, 8 animals). Scale bar is 10 μm.

1012

Figure 8. Phosphomimetic activation of myosin regulatory light chain, MLC-4, rescues the

unc-6 **dendritic self-avoidance defect.**

(A-B) Representative images and tracings of PVD dendritic architecture in late L4 stage larvae

expressing (A) PVD::MLC-4DD or (B) PVD::MLC-4DD; *unc-6(ev400)*. (C) PVD expression of

MLC-4DD rescues the *unc-6* mutant self-avoidance defect, ***p<0.0001, One way ANOVA with

Tukey's posthoc test. Mean and SEM are shown. n ≥ 9 animals per genotype. Quantified with

PVD::mCherry. Scale bar is 10 μm. wild-type and *unc-6(ev400)* results from Figure 2D.

1020

Figure 9. Opposing pathways regulate dendrite outgrowth versus retraction.

Representative images and schematics of PVD in (A) *kpc-1/kpc-1*, (B) *kpc-1/+; unc-6/+* and (C)

kpc-1 +/+ dma-1; unc-6/+. PVD soma (asterisks) and overlapping 3° dendrites (arrowheads) are

noted. (D) Quantification of self-avoidance defects for each genotype. Note that all mutant alleles

are recessive but that *kpc-1/+; unc-6/+* double heterozygotes show a strong self-avoidance defect

that is suppressed in *kpc-1* +/+ *dma-1; unc-6*/+ triple heterozygotes. ***p<0.0001, one way

ANOVA with Tukey's posthoc test. Mean and SEM are indicated. *** p <0.0001, N ≥7 animals

per genotype. PVD is labeled with *ser2prom3*::GFP for all images. Scale bar is 10 μm. Mutant

alleles were: *kpc-1(xr58), unc-6(ev400)* and *dma-1(wy686)*. (E) Model of opposing pathways that

promote actin polymerization to define dendrite length. KPC-1 antagonizes surface expression of

DMA-1 that interacts with an epidermal receptor composed of SAX-7/CAM, MNR-1 and LECT-

2 and functions with HPO-30 to activate TIAM-1/GEF and the Wave Regulatory Complex (WRC)

for actin polymerization and dendrite outgrowth. UNC-6/Netrin is captured by UNC-40/DCC for

interaction with UNC-5 to activate UNC-34/Ena/VASP which functions with WSP-1/WASP and

the Arp2/3 complex to drive F-actin assembly for NMY-1/myosin-dependent dendrite retraction

in the self-avoidance response. (UNC-73/Trio and MIG-10/Lamellipodin are not shown to

simplify the diagram, see Results). Homotypic interaction of MIG-14/Wntless in contacting 3°

dendrites also drives retraction possibly through WSP-1.

1039

1040

1041 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION.**

1042

Airy Scan imaging and analysis. Animals were immobilized with 15mM levamisole/0.05%

tricaine and mounted on 10% agarose pads in M9 buffer. Z stacks were captured using a Plan Apo

63x, 1.40 NA objective on a Zeiss LSM880 inverted fluorescence microscope outfitted with Airy

Scan technology (Carl Zeiss Microscopy). Images of PVD::LifeAct::GFP and

PVD::mCherry::UNC-34 (Figure S3 A-B) were processed to achieve Airy Scan (1.7x) super

resolution and cropped using Fiji/ImageJ.

1049

TIRF microscopy and analysis. Animals were immobilized with 15mM levamisole/ 0.05%

tricane and mounted on 2% agarose pads in M9 buffer. The coverslip placed on top of the agarose

pad encasing the immobilized worms is 1mm in thickness. Time-lapse datasets were acquired

using a Nikon TiE inverted fluorescence microscope outfitted with a TIRF illuminator, Apo TIRF

100x, 1.49NA oil immersion objective, and NIS-Elements software (Nikon Instruments, Inc.). The

images for time lapse videos of PVD::mCherry::UNC-34 (Figure S3C) were captured in a single

plane at 15 frames/sec and the TIRF angle was adjusted for oblique illumination of 3° PVD

dendrites. Datasets were imported into FIJI/ImageJ for further analysis.

1058

Imaging and quantification of self-avoiding 3° dendrites:

Condition 1: (See Figure S4A-C) L3 animals of strain

CLP928 *twnEx382[Pser2.3::LifeAct::EGFP, Pser2.3::mCherry, Pgcy-8::gfp]* [37] were

immobilized for time-lapse imaging with 1 μl of agarose beads (Polybead carboxylate

microspheres, Polysciences, Catalog # 15913-10, 0.05 μm) and mounted on a 10% agarose pad.

Z-stacks of PVD dendrites (0.5 um depth, 5 slices) were imaged at both 35 second intervals with

a 40X objective in a Nikon spinning disk confocal microscope. Contact between the 3° dendrites

was established as a function of max feret (maximum distance between 2 points). Max feret (in

pixels) was measured in the mCherry channel (cystosolic marker) with NIS elements and contact

defined as the time-point at which the max-feret approaches zero. LifeAct::GFP and mCherry

signals were measured for a ROI of 2 μm at the tips of the left and right dendrites in a single Z

plane. Left and right fluorescence intensities were summed for comparison to a control ROI (2

μm) in an adjacent non-contacting region. The change in fluorescence intensity (ΔF) for each

contact and control region was calculated as the difference between the signal at contact (F_t) and

the fluorescence value at two time points prior to contact (F_{t-2}) normalized to F_{t-2} or $\Delta F = (F_t - F_{t-2})/F_{t-2}$

$_2)/F_{t-2}$ [37]. These values were computed for contact and control ROIs for LifeAct::GFP and

mCherry. Statistical tests used for comparison between the datasets (LifeAct::GFP contact vs

control, mCherry contact vs control, LifeAct::GFP contact vs. mCherry contact) were 2-way

ANOVA with Bonferroni correction and multiple t-tests with a False Discovery Rate of 1%. Both

these tests yielded similar results. No significant differences were detected in the LifeAct::GFP

signal in contacting vs non-contacting regions of 3° dendrites.

Condition 2: Late L3 larvae of *PF49H12.4::LifeAct::GFP; PF49H12.4::mCherry* were

immobilized as above for imaging in the spinning disk confocal microscope. Z-stacks of PVD

dendrites were imaged at either 1 or 1.30 minute intervals with a 100X objective (See Figure S4D).

Contact is defined by the point at which the max feret (maximum distance between 2 points)

between adjacent PVD 3° dendrites in the mCherry channel approached zero. For comparisons of

LifeAct::GFP signals at the tips of 3° dendrites before and during contact, fluorescence intensities

were determined from a 5 μm ROI centered at the point of contact and from a contiguous control

(non-contact) 5 μm ROI positioned either to the left or right of the contact region (Figure 6F). The

change in fluorescence intensity (ΔF) for each contact and control region was calculated as the

difference between the signal at contact (F_t) and the fluorescence value at two time points prior to

contact (F_{t-2}) normalized to F_{t-2} or $\Delta F = (F_t - F_{t-2})/F_{t-2}$ [37]. For all measurements, the cytoplasmic

mCherry marker was monitored to measure the Max Feret (in this case, maximum distance

between two points) between the tips of adjacent 3º dendrites and the point of contact determined

when the Max Feret approaches zero. Statistical comparisons (N = 8) were performed with a 2-

way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction (Figure S4D). For representative traces shown in Figure

S4, Nikon NIS Elements was used to obtain LifeAct::GFP and mCherry fluorescence

measurements from a 1 μm ROI at the tips of the left and right 3º dendrites undergoing contact.

Fluorescence intensity measurements of LifeAct::GFP and mCherry for the opposing dendrites

were normalized against the maximum value for each fluorophore and plotted vs time.

1099

Supplemental Figure 1: UNC-6/Netrin signaling mediates sister dendrite self-avoidance.

(A-B) Representative images and tracings of PVD sensory neurons in wild-type (A) and *unc-6* (B)

L4-stage larvae. PVD morphology was visualized with PVD::GFP or PVD::mCherry markers.

PVD cell body marked with an asterisk. (A) 1°, 2°, 3° and 4° dendritic branches and single axon

(blue arrowhead) are denoted. (B) Red arrowheads point to overlaps between adjacent 3° dendrites

that failed to self-avoid in *unc-6* mutants. Scale bars are 5 μm. C) Summary of self-avoidance in

3° PVD dendrites denoting growth, contact and retraction. (D) Model of self-avoidance

mechanism involving reciprocal contact-dependent repulsion mediated by UNC-6/Netrin and its

receptors, UNC-40/DCC and UNC-5 [9].

1109

Supplemental Figure 2. Mutations in *unc-34/Ena/VASP* and *unc-73/Trio* disrupt PVD

dendrite morphology.

Quantification of 1° dendrite outgrowth and (B) number of 2° dendrites in wild-type, *unc-34*, *unc-*

73, *wsp-1* and PVD::mCherry::UNC-34;*unc-34*. Defective 1° dendrites show altered alignment

and/or extension defects. Mutations in *unc-34* and *unc-73* but not *wsp-1* result in defective 1°

dendrite outgrowth and fewer 2° dendrites. Note that expression of UNC-34::mCherry in PVD

restores 3° self-avoidance (Figure 3C) but does not rescue 1° and 2° dendritic defects which

suggests that UNC-34 function could be required in other cell types for normal PVD 1° and 2°

outgrowth , *p=0.02, **p=0.003, ***p<0.001, Fisher's exact and 2-way ANOVA with Tukey's

correction for multiple comparison. (C) Representative images of PVD morphological defects in

an *unc-34* mutant. Note premature termination of 1° dendrites (red arrowheads). (D)

Representative image and drawing of PVD branching defects in a *tiam-1/GEF* mutant at the L4

stage. Scale bars are 10 μm. Mutant alleles are *unc-34(gm104)*, *unc-73(rh40)*, *wsp-1 (gm324)* and

tiam-1(tm1556).

1124

Supplemental figure 3. Localization and trafficking of PVD:: mCherry ::UNC-34 puncta in

PVD using Airy Scan and TIRF microscopy.

(A) Zeiss Airyscan images of PVD simultaneously labeled with PVD::LifeAct::GFP (green) and

PVD::mCherry::UNC-34 (Magenta). Merge shown on right. Insets denote PVD dendrites (B).

White arrows indicate 2°, 3°, and 4° dendrites. Scale bar is 10 μm. (C) Kymographs of

PVD::mCherry::UNC-34 in PVD 1° dendrite captured in the Nikon TIRF microscope.

Anterograde (white) and retrograde (red) movements of mCherry::UNC-34 puncta are denoted.

1132

Supplemental figure 4. Actin dynamics during dendrite self-avoidance

Schematic (left) of adjacent 3° dendrites and representative time lapse series (35 sec intervals) of

PVD::mCherry and PVD::LifeAct::GFP in 3° dendrites showing self-avoidance response

(Condition 1). Point of contact is indicated by an asterisk and period of contact denoted with

dashed blue outline. Scale bar is 10 μm. (B) Schematic depicting ROIs for fluorescence intensity

measurements at the tips of adjacent (left vs right) 3° dendrites that undergo contact and at an

adjacent non-contact (control) region. (C) Graphical representation of the change in fluorescence

intensity at each time point vs that of 2 time intervals (t-2) before contact (t = 0); Measurements

were normalized to fluorescence intensity at t-2 or (F_{t-2}) [37] . Normalized fluorescence intensity

values were plotted against time (min) for LifeAct::GFP contacting 3° dendrites vs non-contact,

and were compared by 2-way Anova with Bonferonni correction, $p = 0.54$ (N=14). (D) Time lapse

series collected with 100X objective at 1-1.3 minute intervals (N = 8) (Condition 2). The values

for LifeAct::GFP at contact vs non-contacting region of 3° dendrites at each time-point were

compared by 2-way Anova with Bonferonni correction, $p = 0.74$, NS (Not Significant).

1147

Supplemental Figure 5: **Actin dynamics during dendrite self-avoidance**

(A-D) Fluorescent intensity traces wild-type PVD dendrites during 3° dendrite self-avoidance.

Fluorescent intensity measurements of PVD::LifeAct::GFP and PVD::mCherry were acquired at

either 1 min (A-B) or 1.3 min (C-D) intervals from a 1μm region at the tips of the growing and

contacting left and right 3° dendrites (Late L3-L4 animals). Measurements were normalized

against the maximum intensity during a ~10 minute interval that includes at least one contact event.

The gap between the left and right 3° dendrites undergoing contact was determined from the

cytoplasmic mCherry signal and plotted against time. The period of contact (vertical shading)

corresponds to a minimum value for the gap (0-0.14μm) between contacting left and right 3°

dendrites. (E) Representation of 3° dendrites with color schemes to indicate left and right 3°

dendrites and 1μm regions quantified.

1159

1160 **Movie S1: Time-lapse video representing the dynamic movement of 3° dendrites in a wild-**

1161 **type background.** Images of PVD::GFP were recorded (late L3-early L4) at 15 sec intervals with

1162 a 100X objective in a Nikon spinning disk microscope (see Materials and Methods). The length of

1163 the video is 240 seconds. The movie was edited using NIS elements software with Bayes advanced

1164 denoising. Green arrowheads denote the tips of 3° dendrites. Scale bar is 25 μm.

1165

1166 **Movie S2: Time-lapse video of 3° dendrites in a PVD::MYR::UNC-5.** Images of PVD::GFP

1167 in the PVD::MYR::UNC-5 strain were recorded (late L3-early L4) at 15 sec intervals with a 100X

1168 objective in a Nikon spinning disk microscope (see Materials and Methods). The entire length of

1169 the video is 12 minutes. Boxes denote tips of 3° dendrites showing limited outgrowth**.** The movie

1170 was edited using NIS elements software with Bayes advanced denoising. Scale bar is 10μm.

1171

1172 **Movie S3: Time-lapse video showing the dynamicity of LifeAct::GFP during in 3° PVD**

1173 **dendrites**. Images of PVD::mCherry (left) and PVD::LifeAct::GFP (right) and were collected at

1174 1.3 min intervals with a 100 X objective in a Nikon spinning disk microscope (see Materials and

1175   Methods). The entire length of the video is 60 minutes. The movie was edited using NIS elements

1176   with automatic deconvolution. Scale bar is 5 μm. Spherical objects are autofluorescent granules.

1177

1178   **Movie S4: Time-lapse video showing dynamic LifeAct::GFP signal in 3° sister dendrites**.

1179   Images of PVD::mCherry (left) and PVD::LifeAct::GFP (right) and were collected at 1.3 min

1180   intervals with a 100 X objective in a Nikon spinning disk microscope (see Materials and Methods).

1181   The length of the video is 60 minutes. The movie was edited using NIS elements with automatic

1182   deconvolution. Arrows track 3º dendrites undergoing contact and retraction. Scale bar is 5 μm.

1183

1184   **Movie S5: Time-Lapse video demonstrating the trafficking of UNC-34::mCherry puncta in**

1185   **PVD 3° dendrites.** Images of PVD::mCherry::UNC-34 were captured at 2 minute intervals in a

1186   single Z plane with a 100X objective in a iSIM microscope for 3 minutes (See Materials and

1187   Methods). Tips of 3° dendrites are indicated by a magenta arrowhead. The movie was edited using

1188   a Metamorph and deconvolved using Fiji software. Scale bar is 5 μm.

Figure 1: Constitutively active UNC-5 drives slows outgrowth of 3º PVD dendrites.

A. Wild-type

B. MYR::UNC-5

C. Length of 3° dendrites (2μm bins)

D.

E.

F.

Figure 2: Constitutively active UNC-5 drives decelerated outgrowth of 3° PVD dendrites.

A.

PVD::MYR::UNC-5

B.

PVD::MYR::UNC-5; unc-6

C. *tiam-1(tm1556)*

D.

Figure 3: Mutations in genes that promote actin polymerization disrupt PVD dendrite self-avoidance.

Figure 4: Localization and trafficking of PVD::UNC-34::mCherry puncta in PVD.

Figure 5: The actin cytoskeleton is highly dynamic in PVD dendrites

Figure 6: NMY-1/non-muscle myosin II mediates dendrite self-avoidance.

Figure 7: Phosphomimetic activation of myosin regulatory light chain, MLC-4, slows out-growth of 3º PVD dendrites.

Figure 8: Phosphomimetic activation of myosin regulatory light chain, MLC-4, rescues the *unc-6* dendritic self-avoidance defect.

A.

PVD::MLC-4DD

B.

PVD::MLC-4DD; unc-6

C.

Figure 9: Opposing pathways regulate dendrite outgrowth and retraction.

A. *kpc-1/kpc-1*

B. *kpc-1 +/+ dma-1; unc-6/+*

C. *kpc-1/+; unc-6/+*

D.

% overlap

NS

***

***

***

***

wild-type, kpc-1/kpc-1, kpc-1/+, dma-1/+, unc-6/+, kpc-1/+; unc-6/+, kpc-1 +/+ dma-1; unc-6/+

E.

3⁰ Dendrites

OUTGROWTH — RETRACTION

MIG-14

F-Actin

WSP-1

UNC-5

Arp2/3

UNC-40

KPC-1

TIAM-1

F-Actin

UNC-34

WRC

NMY-1/Myosin

DMA-1 — HPO-30

MNR-1 — LECT-2

UNC-6/Netrin

SAX-7/L1CAM

epidermis