

Title: Enteric Pathogen Diversity in Infant Foods in Low-income Neighborhoods Of Kisumu,
Kenya

By: Kevin Tsai¹, Sheillah Simiyu², Jane Mumma², Rose Evalyne Aseyo², Oliver Cumming³,
Robert Dreibelbis³, Kelly K. Baker¹

¹ Department of Occupational and Environmental Health, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa,
United States

² Center of Research, Great Lakes University of Kisumu, Kisumu, Kenya

³ Department of Disease Control, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London,
United Kingdom

Running Head: Enteric Pathogen Diversity in Infant Foods

On behalf of all co-authors and corresponding author:

Kelly K. Baker

Assistant Professor of Occupational and Environmental Health, and Epidemiology

University of Iowa College of Public Health

Phone: 001-319-384-4008

Email: Kelly-k-baker@uiowa.edu

Keywords: food, sanitation, infants, milk, pathogen presence, pathogen diversity, TaqMan Array

Card

Abstract.

Pediatric diarrheal disease remains the 2nd most common cause of preventable illness and death among children under the age of five, especially in Low and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs). However, there is limited information regarding the role of food in pathogen transmission due to measuring infant food contaminations in LMICs. For this study, we examined the frequency of enteric pathogen occurrence and co-occurrence in 127 weaning infant foods in Kisumu, Kenya using a multi-pathogen rt-PCR diagnostic tool, and assessed household food hygiene risk factors for contamination. Bacterial, viral, and protozoa enteric pathogen DNA and RNA were detected in 62% of the infant weaning food samples collected, with 37% of foods containing more than one pathogen type. Multivariable generalized linear mixed model analysis indicated type of infant food best explained the presence and diversity of enteric pathogens in infant food, while most household food hygiene risk factors considered in this study were not significantly associated with pathogen contamination. Specifically, cow's milk was significantly more likely to contain a pathogen (adjusted Risk Ratio=14.4; 95% Confidence Interval (CI) 1.78-116.1) and contained 2.35 more types of pathogens (adjusted Risk Ratio=2.35; 95% CI 1.67-3.29) than porridge. Our study demonstrates that infants in this low-income urban setting are frequently exposed to diarrhoeagenic pathogens in food and suggests that interventions are needed to prevent foodborne transmission of pathogens to infants.

Importance.

Food is acknowledged as an important pathway for enteric pathogen infection in young children. Yet, information on enteric pathogen contamination in food in low-and-middle income settings is lacking, especially with respect to weaning foods given to young infants. This study

assessed which food-related risk factors were associated with increased presence of and diversity in twenty-seven types of enteric pathogens in a variety of foods provided to infants between three and nine months of age in a low-income neighborhood of Kisumu, Kenya. Feeding infants cow milk emerged as the most important risk factor for food contamination by one or more enteric pathogens. The results indicate public health interventions should focus on improving cow milk safety to prevent foodborne pathogen transmission to infants. However, more research is needed to determine whether infant milk contamination was caused by caregiver hygiene practices versus food contamination passed from upstream sources.

Introduction.

Even though pediatric diarrheal diseases are declining worldwide, they remain the 2nd most common cause of preventable illness and death among children under the age of five [(1)]; responsible for approximately 800 million illnesses and 800,000 deaths in 2010. Approximately 90% of this disease burden is concentrated in children under the age of 5 in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) [(2, 3)]. Diarrheal infections are caused by a diverse range of enteric pathogens that infect children as early as birth [(4)]. Children infected with enteric pathogens can potentially suffer long-term adverse effects to their physical and cognitive development and future socio-economic status [(5, 6)].

There is increasing recognition that consumption of pathogen-contaminated food is an important exposure pathway for diarrheal disease in children in LMICs [(7, 8)]. An estimated 582 million cases of illness, death, or disability adjusted life years are attributed to contaminated food each year globally, with young children and Africans bearing most of the foodborne disease burden [(2)]. The risk of diarrheal disease typically increases as infants transition from exclusive

breastfeeding to consumption of weaning foods and water due to both decreases in passive protection from maternal breastmilk and more exposure to contaminated food [(9, 10)]. Many caregivers worldwide struggle to exclusively breastfeed up to six months of age, resulting in infants being provided weaning food instead of breast milk before 6 months of age [(11-13)]. Thus, premature transition from exclusive breast feeding to weaning foods may be especially important as one of the earliest causes of enteric infection [(14)]. Little is known about how often infants in LMICs are exposed to pathogens via food, and which risk factors should be targeted to reduce food-related exposure of children to enteric pathogens. In addition, infants' diets become more diversified as they develop, and each of these additional food types may pose different exposure risks for different enteric pathogens [(15)]. More evidence is needed to understand which risk factors should be targeted to reduce food-related exposure of children to enteric pathogens in LMIC settings [(16)].

While foodborne transmission of enteric pathogens into the food supply chain is rigorously monitored in high-income countries (HICs) via regulatory authorities [(17)], food safety is frequently not monitored and regulated well in LMICs [(7)]. Many common infant weaning foods, like cow's milk, are sourced from outside the household. Unsanitary and unregulated farm and market practices can result in contamination of milk by human or animal feces, well before entry to the household [(18)]. Reliance on unsanitary water to prepare weaning foods is common in LMICs [(10)]. In addition to sub-optimal water and market food supplies, insufficient hand washing and sterilization of food preparation areas, improper cooking temperature of infant food, storage of perishable foods at ambient temperatures, and storage of food in containers open to flies [(7, 19, 20)] can introduce additional microbial contamination in the household.

This study aimed (1) to describe the frequency and diversity in enteric pathogen contamination of infant weaning foods in low-income, neighborhoods of Kisumu, Kenya, and (2) to identify the leading environmental conditions and behaviors that contribute to pathogen presence and absence and higher pathogen diversity. The methodologies described and applied in this paper could be used in future research on foodborne illnesses in LMICs. Furthermore, our findings could be used to inform public health and healthcare professionals as a basis for prevention of pediatric diarrheal diseases in LMICs.

Results.

Demographics of Caregivers/Infants and Household Hygiene Conditions.

A total of 127 households (caregivers/infant dyads) participated in this study. Seventy-seven households were enrolled in January following the initial census and recruitment of all children between 3 and 9 months of age, and another 30 and 20 households were enrolled in March and May, respectively (Table 2). The study population was comprised of 45% male and 54% female infants born between March 2016 to December 2016. Most infants of caregivers were over 6 months old (76%). Among the caregivers who provided the study samples, 83% were married. Half of caregivers (50%) had only a primary education, whereas 21 % had some secondary education and 30% completed secondary education. Almost half (47%) of the caregivers who reported their employment status were unemployed (Table 2). There was variability in number of households enrolled across the four CHV catchment areas due to differences in number of eligible infants for recruitment, inability to locate the caregivers after census, refusals to participate, ability to verify infant's age, or refusal to provide food samples after consent and participation in the survey.

The most common infant food types were porridge and cow's milk, followed by tea, and "other" food (example: flour bread, mashed potatoes, or beans) (Table 3). Food type did not vary for infants < 6 months of age versus those older than 6 months (chi-square, $p=0.12$) when food types were categorized as milk, porridge, and non-milk/porridge (tea, water, flour bread, mashed potatoes, or beans were grouped due to low frequencies per category). Most of the households did not have a handwashing station in their food preparation and/or feeding area. Flies were observed in one third of household food preparation and feeding areas, and animal feces were observed in 8% of household food preparation and feeding areas. Non-permeable floors in food preparation and feeding areas were most common.

Pathogen Distribution and Diversity in Infant Weaning Foods.

Assessment of the quality of DNA and RNA extracted from infant food is reported in Supplemental Table S2. DNA and RNA of 13 different types of bacterial, viral, and protozoa enteric pathogens was detected in 79 of the 127 (62%) infant weaning food samples collected over the three-month span (Table 4). The most commonly detected pathogens were *Aeromonas* (20%), *Enterohemorrhagic E. coli* 0157 (*EHEC*) (17%), *Enteropathogenic E. coli* (*EPEC*) (17%), *Enterotoxigenic E. coli* (*ETEC*) (13%), *Adenovirus 40/41* (12%), and non-*parvum*/non-*hominus Cryptosporidium spp.* (10%), with 8 other pathogens occurring in less than 10% of overall infant weaning food samples (Table 4). Infant food samples collected during March have higher raw contamination rate than food collected during January and May (90%, 52%, and 60%, respectively). *ETEC* and non-*parvum* or *hominus Cryptosporidium spp.* were detected frequently in January. In March, detection frequencies for *Adenovirus 40/41*, *EPEC*, *EHEC* 0157, *STEC*, *EIEC/Shigella spp.*, and *C. difficile* were highest. *Aeromonas* were detected frequently in May.

A median of 1 pathogen per sample (standard deviation of 1.58; range of 0 to 9 pathogen) was detected, with 37% of foods being co-contaminated by more than 1 pathogen type.

Risk Factors for Enteric Pathogens presence in Infant Weaning Food.

Food type, the infant sharing eating containers with other family members, and feces in preparation area were associated at $p < 0.3$ with presence of any pathogen in the bivariate analysis, and were included in the multivariable analysis (Table 5). Sharing an eating container did not improve model fit and was removed. In the final multivariable model, cow milk was significantly more likely to contain an enteric pathogen when compared with porridge, but non-milk/porridge foods were not statistically different from porridge. Pathogens were detected twice as often in milk (95%, $n=19/20$) as porridge (56%, $n=45/81$) and non-milk/porridge foods (56%, $n=15/26$). Observation of feces in preparation area was statistically associated with a lower risk of pathogen presence compared to feces not being observed.

Food type, handwashing station in preparation area, sharing eating containers with family members, and owning animals were associated with higher pathogen diversity at $p < 0.3$ in bivariate analysis and were considered in the multivariable model (Table 6). Food type was the only variable retained in the final model for explaining pathogen diversity. Pathogen diversity was 2.35 times higher in milk than in porridge, whereas non-milk/porridge foods trended towards lower levels of diversity.

Discussion.

Estimates of the importance of food as an enteric infection pathway for young children in LMICs are limited by the absence of primary data on food outbreaks and frequency of food

contamination by enteric pathogens, especially with respect to weaning foods provided to infants [(17)]. This study demonstrated that infants as young as three months of age in informal settlements of Kisumu ingest food contaminated by a variety of different types of enteric pathogens. Our qPCR-based enteric pathogen detection frequency of 62% is similar to what has been reported for frequency of fecal indicator bacteria in infant food in similar high disease burden settings, such as Bangladesh (40% - 58%), Indonesia (45%), South Africa (70%), India (56%), and Peru (48%) [(2, 23-27)]. We expand upon these studies to show that a substantial number of infants ingest food contaminated by multiple types of enteric pathogens. Studies vary in their conclusions as to which pathogens cause the most foodborne enteric disease in LMICs, e.g. Norovirus, *Campylobacter spp.*, *S. enterica*, *ETEC*, *EPEC*, *Giardia lamblia*, and *Shigella spp.* are all attributed with a substantial amount of foodborne illness or death [(2, 28)]. The etiology of foodborne disease may vary year to year, or month to month as suggested by our study, which has implications for ranking the priority foodborne pathogens in settings where outbreak or food monitoring information is limited. Many types of pathogens were detected in food during our 5-month study in Kisumu, with *Aeromonas* being the most common pathogen, followed by *EHEC O157*, *ETEC* and *EPEC*, and *human adenovirus 40/41*. *Aeromonas* is extremely common in the environment, including foods, but is not considered a priority foodborne pathogen [(29)]. However, *EHEC O157* is notorious as a deadly cause of foodborne epidemics, and the emergence of so many *O157*-positive food samples in March alone suggests there may have been a foodborne outbreak. March is the onset of the rainy season in Kisumu. The increased detection of multiple during this month may reflect an influence of seasonality on foodborne transmission risks in Kenya. This foodborne danger would have been missed had we sampled in a narrower timeframe.

We demonstrated that the risk of pathogen exposure for an infant can vary by type of weaning food, which has important implications for designing interventions. Cow's milk was significantly more likely to be contaminated by one or multiple types of enteric pathogens compared to other common infant foods, such as porridge. For many urban and rural Kenya, raw milk is more affordable and accessible than pasteurized milk [(30)]. However, raw milk can be easily contaminated during production at farms by animal urine and feces, dirt, flies, adulteration with untreated water, and improperly cleaned containers [(31)]. In addition, the packaging, storage, distribution, and marketing of milk are not rigorously regulated and monitored in Kenya, leading to additional points where unhygienic conditions can introduce contamination [(32)]. Urban populations often encounter milk adulterated by water [(33, 34)]. Therefore, pasteurization of milk at the point of sale or during food preparation in the household may be critical for rendering milk safe to drink.

After sale, household food preparation, feeding, and storage conditions can contribute to new sources of infant food contamination [(19)] . In Kenya, milk is often consumed in liquid form, as well as is added to a variety of infant foods. Depending upon how the milk is provided to the infant, it may or may not receive proper treatment to eliminate microbial pathogens. If caregivers perceive milk to be safe due to prior pasteurization, they may not treat it further. If milk is added to infant foods, it may be reheated as a part of the cooking process or can be added to food after the preparation process without reheating. Milk is an optimal growth medium for bacteria and may be particularly sensitive to cross-contamination from unclean surface, hands, and flies or uncovered and unclean containers. Public health interventions targeting safety of milk products may be particularly effective for reducing foodborne diarrheal diseases in infants in LMICs.

Household water, sanitation, and hygiene (WASH) interventions have been suggested as keys in combating enteric pathogen transmission and infections [(16, 35, 36)]. While we found some domestic food hygiene risk factors were associated with enteric pathogen presence and diversity in bivariate analysis, most of these associations reduced in magnitude and did not improve model fit after adjustment for food type. Counterintuitively, observation of feces in the food preparation area – a rare situation to begin with - was associated with a lower likelihood of pathogen presence after model selection, rather than higher child food contamination as expected [(19)]. This association may be caused by unmeasured confounding factors or reactivity of some caregivers who were aware of the purpose of our visit. Timing household visits to coincide with food availability is logistically challenging unless caregivers store food for infants for prolonged periods of time. Thus, if food was not present during our first visit, we had to work carefully with households to time our follow-up visits to coincide with when they would have food for the child. Some caregivers may have reacted to the presence of feces in their preparation area before our visit and contaminated the infant's food in the process of removing it. The inability to determine causality is a limitation of our cross-sectional design. The lack of association between other well-known risk factors of bacterial contamination in infant food could be caused by lack of statistical power to detect smaller effect sizes, although does not detract from the dominant role of food type in explaining pathogen detection. Analysis with a larger sample size are underway to improve knowledge about foodborne pathogen transmission in Kisumu.

One of the strengths of our study is that we examined food for pathogens, rather than bacterial indicators, using rigorous microbiological protocols to ensure quality of data was preserved from field labs in Kisumu to molecular labs in Iowa. Fecal indicators, which are typically used as a proxy for determining risk from fecal pathogens, are nonspecific and often do

not correlate well with viral, bacterial, and protozoan pathogens [(37)]. Addressing the need for information on infant food contamination in LMICs required finding an effective microbial testing method that enabled quantitative and target-specific measuring of a broad array of the most common types of diarrhea pathogens in infant food. Even though qPCR is frequently applied for the quantitative detection of pathogen presence in foodborne outbreak analysis [(38-40)], it has not been widely applied in food samples in LMICs. Our methods are novel in their ability to detect a wide array of pathogens simultaneously. The qPCR approach is also a limitation, since qPCR-determined concentrations may detect non-infectious organisms that cannot cause disease. We are not certain what fraction of the PCR-detected pathogens are viable, viable-but-non-recoverable, or dead microbial organisms. However, the distinct variability in contamination patterns in infant food and the consistency with cow's milk came up as a risk factor for pathogen presence suggests qPCR was a valid approach for identifying infant food risk factors.

Several challenges had to be overcome for measuring and analyzing infant weaning food contamination in this study, challenges which apply to many LMIC settings. First, multi-target enteric pathogen detection capability is limited in LMICs due to limited laboratory facilities, requiring samples to be sent to specialized labs for precise analysis. We minimized sample degradation risks by using a ZymoBIOMICS™ DNA/RNA extraction kit that allowed us to preserve samples at ambient temperature for storage, transport, and extraction [(41)]. This makes the method more desirable for use in laboratory-limited LMICs or any field-based scenario, as samples can be shipped to an equipped laboratory for processing with ease. The high rates of recovery of MS2 virus spiked in to samples before storage and transport confirmed that we experienced no loss in nucleic acid using this process. Second, the wide variety of physical and

chemical properties of different food types makes optimization of microbial food testing protocols complex, especially if the goal is to measure multiple types of pathogens [(42)]. In addition, the presence of inhibitors can impact qPCR performance [(43)]. We pre-piloted all protocols to confirm that protocols for DNA and RNA recovery of spiked pathogens was not affected by food type, then rigorously evaluated each sample for signs of inhibition prior to qPCR analysis. Low inhibition rates and low variability in MS2 Ct values across all food types showed that Zymo extraction kit can produce high-quality nucleic acids free of inhibition from foods [(44-46)]. Third, pathogens may be present in food at concentrations that are lower than the methodological limit of detection, which results in misclassification of some pathogen-positive samples as uncontaminated. Pre-amplification increased the concentration of starting content before conducting the quantitative measurement step of PCR.

Conclusion.

Foodborne disease transmission of enteric pathogens may contribute substantially to the global diarrheal disease burden, yet receives limited attention. Our evidence highlights a need for more interventions targeting safe preparation and storage of infant foods, particularly high-risk foods such as milk. The ongoing Safe Start study in Kisumu is evaluating whether behavior improvements in caregiver food preparation, feeding, and storage behaviors can reduce enterococcus contamination in infant food and enteric pathogen infections in infants during weaning. Alongside interventions aiming to improve food hygiene practices of caregivers, interventions targeting hygienic milk handling and storage at the point of sale and among manufacturers may be needed to address upstream risks. The intersecting Market to Mouth study will contribute more information about the role of locally sold milk sources on pathogen

contamination of infant food and the ability of the Safe Start intervention to mitigate enteric pathogen contamination passed via the food system.

Materials and Methods.

Study Setting/Ethical Consideration.

This exposure assessment study was conducted as part of formative research aimed at developing and testing an infant hygiene intervention to inform the development and evaluation of an infant weaning food hygiene intervention in Kisumu, Kenya. Kisumu is a city in the western region of Kenya, with a projected population of approximately 490,000 people by 2017 (Kisumu county integrated development plan 2013-2017). The study site includes four villages of a low-income peri-urban neighborhood in Kisumu. This infant weaning food hygiene intervention will be evaluated as part of the Safe Start study, a cluster-randomized controlled trial (Clinical Trials identifier: NCT03468114) involving Great Lakes University of Kisumu, Kenya (GLUK), the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (LSHTM), and the University of Iowa (UI). The study was approved by the scientific and ethical review committees at the GLUK (Ref. No. GREC/010/248/2016), LSHTM (Ref. No. 14695), and UI (IRB ID 201804204).

Study Design.

A total of eight community health volunteers (CHVs) who served the four neighborhoods in our study area facilitated the recruitment of participants. First, CHVs conducted a household census with the research team in December 2016 to generate a list of all infants less than nine months of age that were living in each CHV's catchment area. Then, the list of households was

randomly sorted, and in January CHV's and enumerators approached each house to verify infant eligibility, obtain consent to participate in the study, and perform data collection, and food sampling. CHVs maintained surveillance of their respective catchment area through May 2017 to identify new infants as they became age-eligible, and to approach their caregivers about participation in the study.

Eligibility of a household was defined as having an infant between three and nine months of age, verified by reviewing the infant's birth identity card, who was being fed supplemental food in addition to or in replacement of breastfeeding. Exclusion criteria included refusal to participate, inability to produce infant health card for verification of age, or caregiver reporting that the infant is exclusively breastfed and does not eat other food or liquid. Upon verification of eligibility and availability of food for sampling, consent to participate in the study was obtained from the child's primary caregiver in the presence of the CHVs. The study was described in the caregiver's natural language, and a signed copy of the consent form was left for her records.

Data and Sample Collection.

After agreeing to participate in the study, caregivers were interviewed about household status, their level of education; access to water, sanitation, and hygiene resources; and key infant weaning food preparation, storage, and feeding practices. Caregivers were then asked to provide approximately five grams of already-prepared infant food of any type fed to the child that day. The timing of food preparation for infants varied throughout the day, so the field team scheduled follow-up visits with households at times when food would be available. Food was placed into a sterile, labeled WhirlPak bag (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) by the caregivers, using whatever means (fingers, utensil) that the caregiver normally used for handling the child's food. Food was

placed on ice packs in a cooler and was transported to the laboratory for processing within six hours of collection.

Nucleic Acid Extraction.

All food samples were processed by following the manufacturer's instructions for the ZymoBIOMICS™ DNA/RNA extraction mini-kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA) for DNA & RNA parallel purification. A 250 mg food sample was measured into the Zymo-Shield tube, vortexed until blended, and stored at 4°C. Samples were then transported in a cooler at ambient temperature to the University of Iowa for the remainder of the extraction. A subset of samples (n=77) were spiked with 5 µL of 1.8×10^9 CFU/mL of live bacteriophage MS2 to serve as an extrinsic process control to assess for RNA degradation as a function of storage and transport conditions. Once purified DNA/RNA was obtained, it was stored at -80°C until further analysis.

Inhibition Screening/Preamplification.

DNA and RNA extracts from the samples (6 µL each) were screened for evidence of inhibition with the QuantiFast Pathogen PCR +IC Kit and QuantiFast Pathogen qRT-PCR+ IC kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) on a QuantStudio real-time PCR system (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA). A total of 77 samples were screened for inhibition. Inhibition was defined as having amplification of the RNA internal control over cycle threshold value (CT value) of 34 in a sample according to the manufacturer's protocol. QuantiFast Pathogen qRT-PCR +IC Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was used for pre-amplification PCR. For each sample, a total volume of 12 µL of DNA and RNA extract (6 µL each) was mixed with a master-mix containing 5 µL of 5x Quantifast Pathogen MM, 0.25 µL of 100x Quantifast Pathogen RT Mix, 0.5 µL of 50x high

ROX dye solution, 0.15 μ L of ultrapure 50 mg/mL BSA, 2.5 μ L of 0.2x custom TaqMan pre-amplification primer and probe pool (Appendix 1), 2.5 μ L of internal control assay, and 2.0 μ L of internal control RNA. If extracts were determined to be inhibited during the inhibition screening, the inhibited extracts would undergo 1:10 dilution before mixing with the pre-amplification master-mix. The cycling conditions for the pre-amplification PCR were: holding stage of 50°C for 20 minutes and 95°C for 5 minutes, followed by 44 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 30 seconds [(21)]. Preamplification PCR was completed through an Eppendorf Thermocycler (Hamburg, Germany). All the completed pre-amplified samples would undergo a 1:10 dilution with nucleic acid-free water before proceeding to TaqMan quantitative PCR card analysis.

TaqMan Array Card Analysis.

Primers and probes for a total number of 37 gene targets of pathogen of interest in the TaqMan assays are listed in Supplemental Table S1. The Ag-Path-ID One-Step RT-PCR kit (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA) was used for the TaqMan card analysis. For each sample, 40 μ L of re-amplified DNA/RNA extract (in 1 to 10 dilutions with nucleic acid-free water) was mixed with 50 μ L of 2X RT-buffer, 4 μ L of 25X AgPath enzyme, and 6 μ L of nucleic acid-free water. All the TaqMan runs were completed in a ViiA7 instrument (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA), and the cycling conditions were: 45°C for 20 minutes and 95°C for 10 minutes, followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 1 minute. Amplification of a pathogen-specific gene target was used to define a sample as positive for the presence of that pathogen; if multiple gene targets were used to detect different one type of pathogen (norovirus, *EAEC*, *EPEC*, *ETEC*, *STEC*) amplification of either gene resulted in a sample being considered positive. Two virulence

gene indicators were used to detect pathogenic bacteria on the card, so in this manuscript samples were considered positive for the overall species of bacterial pathogen if either gene was detected.

Data Analysis.

There were two primary outcomes assessed during analysis. First, a binary indicator was defined based on the presence of one or more target pathogens detected in the sample (*any-path*). Second, pathogen diversity was calculated by summing the total number of target pathogens types detected in the sample (*sum-path*). Caregiver education level and sampling month were selected *a priori* as potential confounders of infant health and caregiver food preparation practices [(22)] and included in all analyses. Proposed risk factors for food contamination by enteric pathogens included general household conditions that could lead to the introduction (e.g. animals near food) or sustained presence (e.g. floor type) of feces with pathogens in the food preparation and feeding area (Table 1). Due to infrequent (<5%) detection rates for tea, water, and other foods, these types were combined into one “Other” group for the single and multivariable analysis to ensure model convergence.

Analyses were completed through SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Separate Generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) were developed for the binary indicator of any pathogen detection (*any-path* model) and the pathogen diversity measures (*sum-path* models) to assess relationships between environmental and behavioral risk factors and primary outcomes. For the *any-path* model, the log link and binomial distribution specifications were used, and regression results were converted to risk ratios. For the *Sum-path* models, Poisson, zero-inflated Poisson, negative binomial, and zero-inflated negative binomial distributions were

evaluated, and the log link and negative binomial distribution family was ultimately determined to best fit the distribution of outcome data. Regression results were converted to risk ratios.

Both sets of models followed the same two-stage process. First, bivariate associations between environmental and behavioral risk factors were determined. Risk factors with p-values smaller than 0.30 in the bivariate testing were included in the multivariable analysis. We then followed a backwards selection process. The Akaike information criterion (AIC) score was noted for the model with all selected-in variables. Then variables were removed individually, and the AIC score was recorded. Variables retained in the final models were selected based upon the model with the lowest AIC score, adjusted for educational level of the caregiver and month of sampling.

Acknowledgement: This study is collaboration between University of Iowa, Great Lakes University of Kisumu, and the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine. The authors would like to acknowledge University of Iowa's Environmental Health Sciences Research Center (EHSRC) and the Sanitation and Hygiene for Applied Research Equity (SHARE) consortium for providing the funding. The authors would also like to acknowledge GLUK for helping us in the field implantation. Last, many thanks to the caregivers in the communities of Kisumu, Kenya for participating the study

Author Contributions: K.K.B, O.C, R.D, J.M and S.S were responsible for study concepts. K.K.B and J.M were responsible for study design. J.M, S.S, and K.T were responsible for carrying out the study. K.K.B and K.T analyzed the data. K.K.B and K.T wrote the manuscript. All authors are responsible for final manuscript editing.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declared no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the study concept/design/implementation and study analysis/interpretation.

Funding: This research received financial support from the University of Iowa Environmental Health Sciences Research Center, which was funded by the National Institutes of Health (P30 ES005605), and by the Sanitation and Hygiene Applied Research for Equity (SHARE) consortium (<http://www.sharereseach.org/>), which was funded by the UK Department for International Development (grant no. PO 6981).

References.

1. Nhampossa T, Mandomando I, Acacio S, Quinto L, Vubil D, Ruiz J, Nhalungo D, Saco C, Nhabanga A, Nhacolo A, Aide P, Machevo S, Sigauque B, Nhama A, Kotloff K, Farag T, Nasrin D, Bassat Q, Macete E, Levine MM, Alonso P. 2015. Diarrheal Disease in Rural Mozambique: Burden, Risk Factors and Etiology of Diarrheal Disease among Children Aged 0-59 Months Seeking Care at Health Facilities. PLoS One 10:e0119824.
2. Kirk MD, Pires SM, Black RE, Caipo M, Crump JA, Devleeschauwer B, Dopfer D, Fazil A, Fischer-Walker CL, Hald T, Hall AJ, Keddy KH, Lake RJ, Lanata CF, Torgerson PR, Havelaar AH, Angulo FJ. 2015. World Health Organization Estimates of the Global and Regional Disease Burden of 22 Foodborne Bacterial, Protozoal, and Viral Diseases, 2010: A Data Synthesis. PLoS Med 12:e1001921.

3. Ahs JW, Tao W, Löfgren J, Forsberg BC. 2010. Diarrheal diseases in low-and middle-income countries: incidence, prevention and management. *Open Infectious Diseases Journal* 4:113-24.
4. Platts-Mills JA, Babji S, Bodhidatta L, Gratz J, Haque R. 2015. Pathogen-specific burdens of community diarrhoea in developing countries: a multisite birth cohort study (MAL-ED). *Lancet Glob Health* 3.
5. Guerrant RL, Oriá RB, Moore SR, Oriá MO, Lima AA. 2008. Malnutrition as an enteric infectious disease with long-term effects on child development. *Nutrition Reviews* 66:487-505.
6. Black RE, Allen LH, Bhutta ZA, Caulfield LE, de Onis M, Ezzati M, Mathers C, Rivera J, Maternal, Child Undernutrition Study G. 2008. Maternal and child undernutrition: global and regional exposures and health consequences. *Lancet* (London, England) 371:243-60.
7. Motarjemi Y, Kaferstein F, Moy G, Quevedo F. 1993. Contaminated weaning food: a major risk factor for diarrhoea and associated malnutrition. *Bull World Health Organ* 71:79-92.
8. Kirk MD, Angulo FJ, Havelaar AH, Black RE. 2017. Diarrhoeal disease in children due to contaminated food. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 95:233.
9. Mediratta RP, Feleke A, Moulton LH, Yifru S, Sack RB. 2010. Risk factors and case management of acute diarrhoea in North Gondar Zone, Ethiopia. *J Health Popul Nutr* 28:253-63.
10. Marino DD. 2007. Water and food safety in the developing world: global implications for health and nutrition of infants and young children. *J Am Diet Assoc* 107:1930-4.

- 458 11. Bazzano AN, Kaji A, Felker-Kantor E, Bazzano LA, Potts KS. 2017. Qualitative Studies
459 of Infant and Young Child Feeding in Lower-Income Countries: A Systematic Review
460 and Synthesis of Dietary Patterns. *Nutrients* 9:1140.
- 461 12. Wyatt AJ, Yount KM, Null C, Ramakrishnan U, Webb Girard A. 2015. Dairy
462 intensification, mothers and children: an exploration of infant and young child feeding
463 practices among rural dairy farmers in Kenya. *Maternal & Child Nutrition* 11:88-103.
- 464 13. Mayuri M, Garg V, Mukherji C, Aggarwal D, Ganguly S. 2012. Bovine milk usage and
465 feeding practices for infants in India. *Indian Journal of Public Health* 56:75.
- 466 14. Turin CG, Ochoa TJ. 2014. The role of maternal breast milk in preventing infantile
467 diarrhea in the developing world. *Current Tropical Medicine Reports* 1:97-105.
- 468 15. Headey D, Nguyen P, Kim S, Rawat R, Ruel M, Menon P. 2017. Is Exposure to Animal
469 Feces Harmful to Child Nutrition and Health Outcomes? A Multicountry Observational
470 Analysis. *The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 96:961-969.
- 471 16. Darvesh N, Das JK, Vaivada T, Gaffey MF, Rasanathan K, Bhutta ZA. 2017. Water,
472 sanitation and hygiene interventions for acute childhood diarrhea: a systematic review to
473 provide estimates for the Lives Saved Tool. *BMC Public Health* 17:776.
- 474 17. Hoffmann S, Devleeschauwer B, Aspinall W, Cooke R, Corrigan T, Havelaar A, Angulo
475 F, Gibb H, Kirk M, Lake R. 2017. Attribution of global foodborne disease to specific
476 foods: Findings from a World Health Organization structured expert elicitation. *PloS One*
477 12:e0183641.
- 478 18. Grace D. 2015. Food safety in low and middle income countries. *International journal of*
479 *Environmental Research and Public Health* 12:10490-10507.

19. Parvez SM, Kwong L, Rahman MJ, Ercumen A, Pickering AJ, Ghosh PK, Rahman MZ, Das KK, Luby SP, Unicomb L. 2017. Escherichia coli contamination of child complementary foods and association with domestic hygiene in rural Bangladesh. *Tropical Medicine & International Health* 22:547-557.
20. Agustina R, Sari TP, Satroamidjojo S, Bovee-Oudenhoven IM, Feskens EJ, Kok FJ. 2013. Association of food-hygiene practices and diarrhea prevalence among Indonesian young children from low socioeconomic urban areas. *BMC Public Health* 13:977.
21. Ishii S, Kitamura G, Segawa T, Kobayashi A, Miura T, Sano D, Okabe S. 2014. Microfluidic quantitative PCR for simultaneous quantification of multiple viruses in environmental water samples. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 80:7505-11.
22. Arikpo D, Edet ES, Chibuzor MT, Odey F, Caldwell DM. 2018. Educational interventions for improving primary caregiver complementary feeding practices for children aged 24 months and under. *The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 5:CD011768-CD011768.
23. Gil AI, Lanata CF, Hartinger SM, Mäusezahl D, Padilla B, Ochoa TJ, Lozada M, Pineda I, Verastegui H. 2014. Fecal contamination of food, water, hands, and kitchen utensils at the household level in rural areas of Peru. *Journal of Environmental Health* 76:102-107.
24. Gibson S, Sahanggamu D, Fatmaningrum D, Curtis V, White S. 2017. 'Unfit for human consumption': a study of the contamination of formula milk fed to young children in East Java, Indonesia. *Tropical Medicine & International Health* 22:1275-1282.
25. Islam M, Ahmed T, Faruque A, Rahman S, Das S, Ahmed D, Fattori V, Clarke R, Endtz H, Cravioto A. 2012. Microbiological quality of complementary foods and its association

with diarrhoeal morbidity and nutritional status of Bangladeshi children. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 66:1242.

26. Potgieter N, Obi CL, Bessong PO, Igumbor EO, Samie A, Nengobela R. 2005. Bacterial contamination of Vhuswa—a local weaning food and stored drinking-water in impoverished households in the Venda region of South Africa. *Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition*:150-155.

27. Ghuliani A, Kaul M. 1995. Contamination of weaning foods and transmission of *E. coli* in causation of infantile diarrhea in low income group in Chandigarh. *Indian Pediatrics* 32:539-542.

28. Pires SM, Fischer-Walker CL, Lanata CF, Devleesschauwer B, Hall AJ, Kirk MD, Duarte AS, Black RE, Angulo FJ. 2015. Aetiology-specific estimates of the global and regional incidence and mortality of diarrhoeal diseases commonly transmitted through food. *PLoS One* 10:e0142927.

29. Stratev D, Odeyemi OA. 2016. Antimicrobial resistance of *Aeromonas hydrophila* isolated from different food sources: a mini-review. *Journal of Infection and Public Health* 9:535-544.

30. Njarui DM, Gatheru M, Wambua JM, Nguluu SN, Mwangi DM, Keya GA. 2011. Consumption patterns and preference of milk and milk products among rural and urban consumers in semi-arid Kenya. *Ecology of Food and Nutrition* 50:240-262.

31. Donkor E, Aning K, Omore A, Nurah G, Osafo E, Staal S. 2007. Risk factors in the hygienic quality of milk in Ghana. *Open Food Sci J* 1:6-9.

32. Addo K, Mensah G, Aning K, Nartey N, Nipah G, Bonsu C, Akyeh M, Smits H. 2011. Microbiological quality and antibiotic residues in informally marketed raw cow milk

within the coastal savannah zone of Ghana. *Tropical Medicine & International Health* 16:227-232.

33. Bhatt SR, Singh A, Bhatt S. 2008. Assessment of synthetic milk exposure to children of selected population in Uttar Pradesh, India. *Indian J Med Res* 7:22-34.
34. Omore A, Lore T, Staal S, Kutwa J, Ouma R, Arimi S, Kang'ethe E. 2005. Addressing the public health and quality concerns towards marketed milk in Kenya.
35. Brown J, Cairncross S, Ensink JH. 2013. Water, sanitation, hygiene and enteric infections in children. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*:archdischild-2011-301528.
36. Mara D, Lane J, Scott B, Trouba D. 2010. Sanitation and health. *PLoS Medicine* 7:e1000363.
37. Wu J, Long SC, Das D, Dorner SM. 2011. Are microbial indicators and pathogens correlated? A statistical analysis of 40 years of research. *J Water Health* 9:265-78.
38. Liu J, Platts-Mills JA, Juma J, Kabir F, Nkeze J, Okoi C, Operario DJ, Uddin J, Ahmed S, Alonso PL, Antonio M, Becker SM, Blackwelder WC, Breiman RF, Faruque AS, Fields B, Gratz J, Haque R, Hossain A, Hossain MJ, Jarju S, Qamar F, Iqbal NT, Kwambana B, Mandomando I, McMurtry TL, Ochieng C, Ochieng JB, Ochieng M, Onyango C, Panchalingam S, Kalam A, Aziz F, Qureshi S, Ramamurthy T, Roberts JH, Saha D, Sow SO, Stroup SE, Sur D, Tamboura B, Taniuchi M, Tennant SM, Toema D, Wu Y, Zaidi A, Nataro JP, Kotloff KL, Levine MM, Houpt ER. 2016. Use of quantitative molecular diagnostic methods to identify causes of diarrhoea in children: a reanalysis of the GEMS case-control study. *Lancet* 388:1291-301.
39. Liu J, Silapong S, Jeanwattanalert P, Lertsehtakarn P, Bodhidatta L, Swierczewski B, Mason C, McVeigh AL, Savarino SJ, Nshama R, Mduma E, Maro A, Zhang J, Gratz J,

- Houpt ER. 2017. Multiplex real time PCR panels to identify fourteen colonization factors of enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC). PLoS One 12:e0176882.
40. Bhuyan GS, Hossain MA, Sarker SK, Rahat A, Islam MT, Haque TN, Begum N, Qadri SK, Muraduzzaman AK, Islam NN, Islam MS, Sultana N, Jony MH, Khanam F, Mowla G, Matin A, Begum F, Shirin T, Ahmed D, Saha N, Qadri F, Mannoor K. 2017. Bacterial and viral pathogen spectra of acute respiratory infections in under-5 children in hospital settings in Dhaka city. PLoS One 12:e0174488.
41. Sorensen JP, Lapworth DJ, Read DS, Nkhuwa DC, Bell RA, Chibesa M, Chirwa M, Kabika J, Liemisa M, Pedley S. 2015. Tracing enteric pathogen contamination in sub-Saharan African groundwater. Sci Total Environ 538:888-95.
42. Feng P. 2007. Rapid methods for the detection of foodborne pathogens: current and next-generation technologies, p 911-934, Food Microbiology: Fundamentals and Frontiers, Third Edition. American Society of Microbiology.
43. Di Pinto A, Forte V, Guastadisegni MC, Martino C, Schena FP, Tantillo G. 2007. A comparison of DNA extraction methods for food analysis. Food Control 18:76-80.
44. Lusk TS, Strain E, Kase JA. 2013. Comparison of six commercial DNA extraction kits for detection of Brucella neotomae in Mexican and Central American-style cheese and other milk products. Food Microbiology 34:100-105.
45. Demeke T, Ratnayaka I, Phan A. 2009. Effects of DNA extraction and purification methods on real-time quantitative PCR analysis of Roundup Ready soybean. Journal of AOAC International 92:1136-1144.
46. Shields JM, Joo J, Kim R, Murphy HR. 2013. Assessment of three commercial DNA extraction kits and a laboratory-developed method for detecting Cryptosporidium and

571 Cyclospora in raspberry wash, basil wash and pesto. Journal of Microbiological Methods
572 92:51-58.

573 **Tables:**

574 **Table 1: Variables representing Risk Factors for Food Contamination by Enteric**

575 **Pathogens**

Variable of Interest	Categories
Food Type	Milk
	Porridge
	Tea
	Water
	Other: Mashed potato, bread, beans
Container Type	Bottle/jug
	Covered Container
	Uncovered Container
	Fresh food
	Thermos
Owning Animals	Yes
	No
Keeping Animal Inside	Yes
	No
Sharing Eating Containers with family	Yes
	No

Food Preparation

Floor Type in Preparation Area	Permeable Floor
	Non-permeable Floor
Flies Present in Preparation Area	Yes
	No
Feces Present in Preparation Area	Yes
	No
Handwash station in Preparation Area	Yes
	No
Food Feeding	
Floor Type in Feeding Area	Permeable Floor
	Non-permeable Floor
Flies Present in Feeding Area	Yes
	No
Feces Present in Feeding Area	Yes
	No
Handwash Station in Feeding Area	Yes
	No

576

577 **Table 2. Socio-economic demographic statistics for 127 caregivers and infant dyads in**

578 **Kisumu**

	Category	Number of Samples	Percentage
Infant Gender	Male	58	45

	Female	69	54
<hr/>			
Marriage Status			
of Caregiver	Married	108	85
	Single	17	13
	Divorced	2	2
<hr/>			
Education			
Level of			
Caregiver	Some Primary	27	21
	Complete Primary	35	28
	Some Secondary	27	21
	Complete Secondary	38	30
<hr/>			
Occupation	Agriculture	1	1
	Domestic Service	8	6
	Not Employed	60	47
	Managerial	9	7
	Sales and Service	33	26
	Other	6	4
	Missing	10	8
<hr/>			
Village	A	34	27
	B	35	28
	C	24	19
	D	34	27
<hr/>			
Infant Age	3-6 Months	30	23

More than 6 Months 97 76

579

580 **Table 3: Food Contamination Risk Factor Statistics for households in Kisumu**

	Categories	Number of Samples	Percentage
Food Type	Milk	20	16
	Porridge	81	64
	Non-milk or porridge combined	26	20
	- Tea	7	6
	- Water	13	10
	- Other ^a	6	5
Container Type	Bottle/feeding Bottle/Jug	53	42
	covered	26	20
	Fresh Food	13	10
	Thermos	24	19
	Uncovered	11	9
Month of sampling	Jan	77	59
	March	30	23
	May	20	15
Owning Animals	Yes	43	34
	No	84	66
Keeping Animals Inside	Yes	78	61

	No	39	31
	Missing Data	10	8
Sharing Eating containers with Family Members	Yes	43	34
	No	84	66
Food Preparation Area			
Floor Type in Preparation Area	Permeable Floor	26	21
	Non-permeable Floor	101	80
Flies in Preparation Area	Yes	40	32
	No	77	61
	Missing Data	10	8
Animal Feces in Preparation Area	Yes	10	8
	No	117	92
Handwashing station in Preparation Area	Yes	26	21
	No	101	80
Feeding Area			
Floor Type in Feeding Area	Permeable Floor	22	17
	Non-permeable Floor	105	83
Flies Present in Feeding Area	Yes	40	31
	No	77	61
	Missing Data	10	8
Animal Feces Present in Feeding	Yes	10	8

Area

No

117

92

Handwashing Station in Feeding

Yes

Area

19

15

No

108

85

581 ^a includes tea, bread, mashed potatoes, and beans.

582 **Table 4: Pathogen Presence by Month.**

	Overall (Total=127)	January (Total=77)	March (Total=30)	May (n=20)
Number (Percentage) positive	79 (62)	40 (52)	27 (90)	12 (60)
Virus				
Adenovirus 40/41	15 (12)	3 (3)	10 (33)	2 (10)
Adenovirus Hexon	6 (5)	1 (1)	3 (10)	2 (10)
Norovirus	9 (7)	4 (5)	3 (10)	2 (10)
Sapovirus	1 (1)	1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0.0)
Bacteria				
EAEC	6 (5)	4 (5)	2 (7)	0 (0.0)
EPEC	21 (17)	3 (4)	15 (50)	3 (15)
ETEC	17 (13)	13 (17)	3 (10)	1 (5)
EHEC 0157	21 (17)	0 (0)	21 (70)	0 (0.0)
STEC	5 (4)	0 (0)	5 (17)	0 (0.0)
EIEC/Shigella	7 (6)	4 (5)	3 (10)	0 (0.0)

Aeromonas	25 (20)	12 (16)	5 (17)	8 (40)
<i>B. Fragilis</i>	1 (1)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (5)
<i>C. difficile</i>	11 (9)	5 (7)	5 (17)	1 (5)
Protozoa				
<i>Cryptosporidium spp.</i>	13 (10)	10 (13)	2 (7)	1 (5)

^a. No detection for Astrovirus, Rotavirus, *Salmonella_enterica*, *H. pylori*, *Vibrio Cholerae*,
Vibrio parahaemolytic, *Giardia lamblia*, *Cryptosporidium hominus*, *Cryptosporidium parvum*,
E. histolytica, *A. Lumbricoides*, *N. americanus*, *S. Sterocoralis*, *T. trichiura*

Table 5: Bivariate and multivariable generalized linear mixed models of food

contamination risk factors and enteric pathogen presence in infant weaning foods

	% positive within category (Total N in the category)	Bivariate RR (95% CI)	P Value	Multivariable RR (95% CI)	P Value
Food					
Porridge	56 (81)	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Milk	95 (20)	14.4 (1.78-116.1)	0.01	18.0 (1.85-175.6)	0.01
Non-milk/porridge	58 (26)	0.79 (0.28-2.17)	0.65	1.00 (0.33-1.12)	1
Container Type					

Covered	77 (26)	3.36 (0.57-19.9)	0.18		
Thermos	75 (24)	6.51 (1.10-38.6)	0.04		
Bottle/Feeder/Jug	51 (53)	2.50 (0.47-13.4)	0.28		
Uncovered	55 (11)	Ref	Ref		
Fresh	62 (13)	2.21 (0.32-15.0)	0.42		
Owning Animals					
Yes	62 (84)	1.08 (0.47-2.49)	0.85		
No	63 (43)	Ref	Ref		
Keeping Animals Inside					
Yes	59 (78)	0.74 (0.30-1.84)	0.51		
No	67 (39)	Ref	Ref		
Missing	70 (10)	None	None		
Sharing Containers					
Yes	51 (43)	0.39 (0.16-0.92)	0.03		
No	68 (84)	Ref	Ref		
Floor Permeability in Preparation Area					
Permeable	73 (26)	1.45 (0.50-4.25)	0.5		
Nonpermeable	59 (101)	Ref	Ref		
Flies in Preparation Area					
Yes	60 (40)	0.90 (0.36-2.21)	0.81		
No	62 (77)	Ref	Ref		
Feces in Preparation Area					
Yes	30 (10)	0.21 (0.04-1.00)	0.05	0.14 (0.02-0.90)	0.04

No	65 (117)	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Handwash Station in Preparation Area					
Yes	69 (26)	1.58 (0.57-4.42)	0.38		
No	60 (101)	Ref	Ref		
Floor Permeability in Feeding Area					
Permeable	73 (22)	1.70 (0.55-5.25)	0.36		
Nonpermeable	60 (105)	Ref	Ref		
Flies in Feeding Area					
Yes	54 (11)	0.90 (0.41-1.98)	0.81		
No	62 (106)	Ref	Ref		
Missing	70 (10)				
Feces in Feeding Area					
Yes	60 (10)	1.23 (0.31-4.90)	0.76		
No	62 (117)	Ref	Ref		
Handwash Station in Feeding Area					
Yes	68 (19)	1.70 (0.54-5.28)	0.36		
No	61 (108)	Ref	Ref		

^a. Risk Ratio (RR); Confidence Interval (CI); Reference (Ref).

Table 6: Bivariate and multivariable generalized linear mixed models of food contamination risk factors and enteric pathogen diversity in infant weaning foods.

Median	Bivariate RR	P	Multivariable	P
(Range)	(95% CI)		RR (95% CI)	

pathogen					
types					
Food					
Porridge	1 (5)	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Milk	3 (9)	2.35 (1.67-3.29)	<0.001	2.35 (1.67-3.29)	<0.001
Non-milk/porridge	1 (5)	0.76 (0.50-1.12)	0.21	0.76 (0.50-1.12)	0.21
Container Type					
Covered	2.5 (9)	1.67 (0.92-3.00)	0.09		
Thermos	1 (5)	1.59 (0.82-3.07)	0.17		
Bottle/Feeder/Jug	1 (4)	1.41 (0.74-2.68)	0.29		
Fresh	1 (3)	0.93 (0.43-2.03)	0.86		
Uncovered	2 (5)	Ref	Ref		
Owning Animals					
Yes	1 (9)	1.29 (0.94-1.78)	0.12		
No	1 (5)	Ref	Ref		
Keeping Animals Inside					
Yes	1 (9)	1.09 (0.76-1.57)	0.62		
No	1 (5)	Ref	Ref		
Missing	Missing	Missing (Missing)			
Sharing Containers					
Yes	1 (9)	0.66 (0.46-0.96)	0.03		
No	1 (5)	Ref	Ref		

Floor Permeability in Preparation Area			
Permeable	2 (5)	0.95 (0.63-1.42)	0.8
Non-permeable	1 (9)	Ref	Ref
Flies in Preparation Area			
Yes	1 (5)	0.93 (0.64-1.35)	0.7
No	1 (9)	Ref	Ref
Missing	Missing	Missing (Missing)	
Feces in Preparation Area			
Yes	1 (4)	0.68 (0.33-1.41)	0.3
No	1 (9)	Ref	Ref
Handwash Station in Preparation Area			
Yes	1.5 (4)	1.29 (0.88-1.91)	0.19
No	1 (9)	Ref	Ref
Floor Permeability in Feeding Area			
Permeable	2 (5)	0.99 (0.64-1.51)	0.96
Non-permeable	1 (9)	Ref	Ref
Flies in Feeding Area			
Yes	1 (9)	1.13 (0.73-1.75)	0.58
No	1 (5)	Ref	Ref
Missing	Missing	Missing (Missing)	
Feces in Feeding Area			
Yes	1 (4)	1.31 (0.70-2.43)	0.39
No	1 (9)	Ref	Ref

Handwash Station in Feeding Area

Yes	1 (5)	1.27 (0.80-2.00)	0.32
------------	-------	------------------	------

No	1 (9)	Ref	Ref
-----------	-------	-----	-----

^a.Risk Ratio (RR); Confidence Interval (CI); Reference (Ref).

Supplementary Materials:

Table S1: Taqman Array Card Primer and Probes.

Table S2: MS2 Ct Values Across Food Type.