Oxytocin effects on brain and behavior are influenced by dose-frequency and receptor genotype: therapeutic implications

Juan Kou[1], Yingying Zhang[1], Feng Zhou[1], Cornelia Sindermann[2], Christian Montag[2], Benjamin Becker[1], Keith M Kendrick[1*]

[1]The Clinical Hospital of Chengdu Brain Science Institute, MOE Key Laboratory for Neuroinformation, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 611731, China. [2]Department of Molecular Psychology, Institute of Psychology and Education, Ulm University, Ulm, Germany.

*Corresponding author:

Keith M. Kendrick

Address: The Clinical Hospital of Chengdu Brain Science, MOE Key Laboratory for NeuroInformation, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China,

No. 2006, Xiyuan Ave, West Hi-tech Zone, Chengdu 611731, China.

E-mail: kkendrick@uestc.edu.cn

Tel: 86-28-83201358.

Fax: 86-28-83201358.

Abstract

There is considerable interest in therapeutic application of intranasal oxytocin in
psychiatric disorders but while clinical trials typically use daily dosing protocols
it has not been established whether this is optimal or if there are moderating
influences of oxytocin receptor genotype. In a randomized, placebo-controlled
pre-registered trial on 138 adult male subjects we investigated effects of single
and repeated (24IU daily versus alternate days for 5 days) doses of oxytocin on
two neural biomarkers (attenuated amygdala fear reactivity and increased
intrinsic amygdala-prefrontal functional connectivity) and modulating effects of
oxytocin receptor polymorphisms rs53576 and rs2254298 strongly associated
with autism. Results confirmed that after a single dose, amygdala responses to
fear faces were reduced and its resting state connectivity with medial frontal
cortex increased. Suppression of amygdala responses to fear faces was restricted
to AA homozygotes of rs53576 and A+ carriers of rs2254298. Importantly,
amygdala responses to fear faces were absent after daily oxytocin treatment but
maintained after treatment every other day and included reduced ratings of
emotional intensity. In contrast, effects on intrinsic amygdala-prefrontal
coupling remained constant. Overall, results suggest infrequent rather than daily
doses of oxytocin may be more effective therapeutically and that its actions on
amygdala responses are strongly genotype-dependent.

Key words: amygdala; dose-frequency; fearful faces; oxytocin; oxytocin receptor
gene; resting-state; task-related

Significance statement

Despite increasing interest in therapeutic use intranasal oxytocin no studies have established whether the twice daily treatment protocol used is optimal, or if effects are influenced by receptor genotype. Here we report that giving intranasal oxytocin daily to healthy subjects blunts its ability to reduce amygdala responses to fearful faces after only 5 days, whereas administering it every other day does not and additionally reduces emotional intensity ratings. The amygdala effects of oxytocin are also only present in specific receptor genotypes. While resting-state effects of oxytocin were not influenced by repeated doses or genotype there was no advantage of daily administration. Overall, our results demonstrate that infrequent doses of oxytocin given to specific genotypes may be most efficacious therapeutically.

Intranasal oxytocin (OT) has been proposed as a potential therapy for social

dysfunction in psychiatric disorders particularly in relation to autism spectrum

disorder, schizophrenia and social anxiety (1-3). To date the functional effects of

intranasal OT administration have been based primarily on a single dose and there is

evidence that a 24IU dose may be optimal (4). However, initial clinical trials

reporting some therapeutic efficacy have typically used twice-daily treatment

protocols lasting a number of weeks (1, 5-8), even though no studies have

investigated if this is an optimal strategy. This is an important issue since clinical

trials to date have reported relatively modest or inconsistent effects of chronic daily

intranasal OT treatment and there is evidence from animal studies for rapid

desensitization of OT receptors in some brain regions (9), most notably in the

amygdala which mediates many of OTs functional effects on social cognition and

anxiety (1). Rodent models have reported that in contrast to single doses, chronic

administration of OT can actually produce social impairment (10-12) and reduced

receptor expression in the amygdala and nucleus accumbens (12). Furthermore,

chronic versus single administration of OT can produce differential neurochemical

changes in both the rodent and human frontal cortex (13).

Different OT receptor (OXTR) genotypes have been associated with social

behaviors and may also influence sensitivity of behavioral and neural responses to

intranasal OT. In particular, OXTR polymorphisms rs53576 and rs2254298 have been

associated with autism (14), deficits in social and emotional processing including

empathy (15-17) and individual variations in behavioral and neural responses to

84   intranasal OT (18, 19). Variants of rs2254298 may also be a more general biomarker

85   for social dysfunction across a number of psychiatric disorders (20).

86   While single doses of OT have been reported to produce numerous functional

effects two have emerged as promising candidate neural biomarkers: reduced

amygdala responses to fear faces and increased resting state functional connectivity

between the amygdala and medial prefrontal cortex (1, 4). Both biomarkers may be

particularly relevant in the context of anxiety in terms of reduced responses to social

threat and enhanced top-down control of emotion, although OT effects on the

amygdala are important for a range of social cognition functions (1-4). In the current

pre-registered neuroimaging study we therefore conducted a double-blind,

randomized between subject placebo (PLC)-controlled design experiment including

138 adult male subjects to investigate the effects of acute (day 1, single dose) and

repeated (5 days – group 1: once daily (OT_5), group 2 every other day with PLC

treatment on the intervening days (OT_3) versus group 3: PLC every day) 24IU

intranasal dose regimes on these two biomarkers and valence, arousal and intensity

ratings of fear faces. Additionally, we assessed the influence of OTR rs53576 and

rs2254298 polymorphisms on the acute and repeated dose effects of intranasal OT and

associations with trait autism as assessed by the Autism Spectrum Quotient (AQ) (21)

(see **SI Fig. S1** for protocol).

Acute effects of OT on neural responses to emotional faces

During fMRI subjects were shown emotional faces (fear, angry, happy, neutral;

balanced male-female stimuli) in a randomized event-related design. To ensure

attentive processing subjects were required to identify the gender of each face after it

was presented (see **SI Fig. S2**). In accordance with the primary outcome measure of

the trial analyses focused on the neural responses to fearful faces, however no

significant effects of OT were found for happy or angry faces (for a similar strategy

see also (4)). Comparison of single-dose OT- and PLC-treated subjects (1st day)

revealed significantly decreased right amygdala reactivity towards fearful faces on the

whole brain level (k = 78, $p_{FWE} = 0.043$, x=27, y=-4, z=-13) (**Fig.1A**).

Cytoarchitectonic probabilistic localization (Anatomy toolbox V1.8 (22)) mapped the

peak coordinate with >80% probability to the basolateral sub-region of the amygdala.

In addition, OT also decreased fear-reactivity in the right superior frontal gyrus and

bilateral primary visual cortex (see **SI Table S1**). Importantly, control analyses did

not reveal significant differences between the OT_3 and OT_5 groups on the 1st day.

Primary outcome measures: effects of repeated doses on OT-evoked changes

Mixed ANOVAs with treatment (PLC, OT_3, OT_5) and time point (1st day, acute

effects; 5th day, chronic effects) as factors and right amygdala fear reactivity as

dependent variable revealed a significant main effect of treatment ($F_{2, 135} = 7.854$, p =

0.001, $\eta^2_p = 0.104$) and a treatment x time point interaction ($F_{2, 135} = 5.737$, p = 0.004,

$\eta^2_p = 0.078$). Post-hoc Bonferroni corrected comparisons between groups on the 5th

day revealed that the OT_3 group exhibited a suppression of right amygdala fear

reactivity relative to both the PLC (p = 0.001, Cohen's $d = 0.70$) and OT_5 groups (p =

0.018, $d = 0.52$) but the OT_5 group did not (p = 0.266, d = 0.24, relative to the PLC

group). Within group comparisons revealed that whereas amygdala reactivity did not

change on day 1 relative to day 5 in the PLC group (p = 0.090, $d = 0.29$), its

suppression was significantly enhanced in the OT_3 group (p = 0.019, $d = 0.40$) and

attenuated in the OT_5 one (p = 0.044, $d = 0.38$) (**Fig. 1B**). Thus, OT administration

every other day actually resulted in an enhanced suppression of amygdala responses

after 5 days compared to day 1 whereas the opposite occurred with daily treatment.

Ratings of the valence, arousal and intensity of all emotional faces were made by

subjects immediately after their scan was completed. Mixed ANOVAs including

treatment (PLC, OT_3, OT_5), time point (1st day, acute effects; 5th day, chronic effects)

and emotional expression (fear, angry, neutral or happy) as within-subject factors

revealed a significant main effect of emotional expression ($F_{1, 135} = 214.83$, $p < 0.001$,

$\eta^2_p = 0.61$) and a three-way interaction ($F_{1, 135} = 2.98$ $p = 0.007$, $\eta^2_p = 0.042$) for

emotional intensity ratings. Post-hoc comparisons indicated no significant between-

group differences on the 1st day, but the OT_3 group showed a significant decrease in

emotional intensity ratings for fearful faces on the 5th day as compared to the PLC

group (p = 0.05, $d = 0.42$) (**Fig.2**). No significant treatment x emotional expression

interactions on valence or arousal ratings were found (ps > 0.05).

Right-amygdala seed-to-whole brain fMRI resting-state analysis by mixed-effect

ANOVA revealed a main effect of treatment (OT_3, OT_5 and PLC) on amygdala

functional coupling with the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC, peak MNI x = -

3, y =53, z = -19, $F_{2, 135} = 16.55$, $p_{FWE} = 0.001$, k = 88) before subjects underwent the

face paradigm, whereas no brain regions showed a significant time point x treatment

interaction. Post-hoc analyses demonstrated that right amygdala intrinsic connectivity

with the vmPFC was stronger in both the OT_3 (k = 74, p_{FWE} = 0.014, x = -3, y = 53, z

= -19) and OT_5 groups (k = 127, p_{FWE} = 0.001, x = -3, y = 53, z = -19) relative to

PLC. Subsequent confirmatory analyses of resting state functional connectivity in this

pathway acquired after the face task paradigm confirmed a significant main effect of

treatment ($F_{2, 135}$ = 7.081, p = 0.001, η^2_p = 0.095). Post hoc comparisons showed both

groups exhibited increased connectivity in this pathway after a single OT dose on the

1st day (OT_3, p = 0.001, d = 0.71; OT_5, p = 0.063, d = 0.40; relative to PLC) as well as

after repeated doses on the 5th day (OT_3 p = 0.047, d = 0.46; OT_5, p = 0.001, d = 0.69;

relative to PLC). No significant differences between the OT_3 and OT_5 groups were

observed on the 1st (p = 0.140) or 5th (p = 0.208) day (see **Fig. 3B**). Thus, unlike the

amygdala responses to fearful faces, for resting state functional connectivity between

amygdala and vmPFC there was no reduction in OT-efficacy following daily repeated

doses, although after 5 days, doses given every other day equally effective.

Although not included in our primary outcome measures we additionally

analyzed the effects of single and repeated effects of OT on the right superior frontal

gyrus and the bilateral primary (calcarine) visual cortex responses to fear faces. The

visual cortex also showed the same reduced responsivity to daily OT as the amygdala,

although the superior frontal gyrus did not (see **SI**). An examination of brain

structural data using voxel based morphometry revealed no evidence for acute or

repeated dose effects of OT on gray matter volume and therefore observed functional

effects are unlikely to have been contributed to by structural changes (see **SI**).

Secondary outcome measures: associations with OXTR genotype and trait

autism

From the genotyping performed on the study subjects the number of G-carriers and G-

non-carriers of rs53576 as well as A-carriers and A-non-carriers of rs2254298 did not

differ between the three groups and both SNPs satisfied the Hardy Weinberg

Equilibrium (see **SI Tables S2 and S3**). With Bonferroni-correction for the multiple

SNPs and alleles (i.e. 2 x 2 = 4), $p < 0.0125$ was considered significant. For amygdala

responses there was a significant treatment x genotype interaction for rs53576 ($F_{2, 105}$

$= 6.01$, $p = 0.003$, $\eta^2_p = 0.10$) although for rs2254298 it did not reach significance ($F_{2,}$

$_{105} = 1.48$, $p = 0.232$, $\eta^2_p = 0.03$). For rs53576, OT-induced amygdala suppression on

day 1 was only significant in G-non-carriers (AA - $p < 0.001$, Cohen's $d = 1.00$) and

also on the 5th day in the OT_3 group (AA - $p < 0.001$, $d = 1.41$). An exploratory post

hoc analysis for rs2254298 revealed that OT-induced suppression on the 1st day across

treatment groups was only significant in A-carriers (AA and AG - $p = 0.001$, $d = 0.91$)

and also on the 5th day in the OT_3 group (AA and AG - $p = 0.004$, $d = 0.81$) (see **Fig.**

4). For emotional intensity ratings there was a significant treatment x genotype

interaction for rs2254298 ($F_{2, 105} = 6.25$, $p = 0.003$, $\eta^2_p = 0.11$). Post-hoc analyses

revealed no group differences on the 1st day, however on the 5th day the reduction in

intensity ratings in the OT_3 group was only significant in A-carriers (AA and AG - p

< 0.001, $d = 1.19$) (**Fig. 4**). Thus, OT-modulation of amygdala and behavioral

responses to fearful faces are strongly influence by receptor genotype. Associations

with trait autism scores (AQ) did not achieve significance. Similar patterns of

genotype association were found for the visual cortex but not superior frontal gyrus

responses to fear faces (see **SI**).

Since comparable patterns of OT effects were observed on pre- and post-task

resting state data right amygdala-vmPFC functional connectivity values were pooled

to increase the power to determine associations with secondary outcome measures

(**Fig. 3B**). No significant main or interaction effects of genotype for either SNP (rs

2254298 all ps > 0.262; rs53576 all ps > 0.396) were found although a negative

association between total AQ scores and coupling strengths was observed in the PLC

group on the 1st day (r = -0.32, p = 0.029) which was significantly reduced by OT in

both groups on the 1st day (OT$_3$, r = 0.21 p = 0.170; Fisher's z = 2.51, p = 0.012; OT$_5$,

r = 0.10, p = 0.500; z = 2.07, p = 0.038). The same effect was also seen on the 5th day

(PLC: r = -0.33 p = 0.025; OT$_3$, r = -0.03 p = 0.837; z = 1.41, p = 0.16; OT$_5$ group, r =

0.10 p = 0.475; z = 2.11, p = 0.035) (**Figs. 3C-3D**). Thus, OT was particularly

effective in increasing resting-state functional connectivity in individuals with higher

trait autism scores and effects were independent of genotype.

Implications of findings for potential therapeutic use of oxytocin

Overall, our findings firstly validate the use of OT-reductions in amygdala responses

to fear-faces and associated emotional intensity ratings together with increased resting

state functional connectivity between the amygdala and vmPFC as robust markers for

its effects. Intriguingly, these task-dependent and resting-state effects of OT show a

markedly different sensitivity to repeated intranasal doses and OXTR genotype,

although our results indicate that to achieve both maximal task and resting-state

changes an optimal protocol using the standard 24IU dose is to administer it every

other day rather than daily. Both amygdala and behavioral responses to fearful faces

were actually enhanced after 5 days when OT was administered every other day and

even with the resting-state and superior frontal gyrus changes there was no advantage

of giving OT daily as opposed to every other day.

Our findings are therefore consistent with those in animal models demonstrating

OXTR desensitization following repeated doses of OT in some brain regions (9) and

that chronic administration can reduce brain OXTR expression (12) and altered

patterns of neurochemical release (13). While we did not use the twice daily

administration protocol employed to date in clinical trials we anticipate that this

would likely produce even stronger receptor-desensitization effects. However, at this

point we have only demonstrated repeated-dose effects on amygdala and visual cortex

fear reactivity in this respect and those on other behavioral and neural domains remain

to be established. The amygdala is however one of the main targets for the functional

effects of OT and is dysfunctional in many psychiatric disorders including social

impairments and anxiety (23, 24). Interestingly, chronic doses of OT in rodents failed

to produced anxiolytic effects normally seen with single doses (12) and thus daily

repeated doses in humans might also reduce its efficacy in decreasing social anxiety.

Our second major finding is that the effects of intranasal OT on reducing

amygdala and other neural responses to fear faces are highly dependent on OXTR

genotype. Thus, for the amygdala only G-non-carriers of rs53576 (i.e. AA) and A-

carriers of rs2254298 (i.e. AA and AG) showed significant responses and AA

homozygotes for rs53576 and A+ carriers of rs2254298 were the most sensitive to

OT. A+ carriers of these two genotypes have frequently, although not universally,

been associated with social dysfunction in autism as well as empathy (14, 15). A

recent haplotype-based analysis of OXTR SNPs including rs53576 and rs2254298

also indicated that individually they have some association with sensitivity to OT-

effects on face recognition (19). Again, while our current study clearly indicates that

OT modulation of amygdala and visual cortex responses to fear are strongly

associated with the AA genotype and with large effect sizes (Cohen's *ds* from 0.81-

1.41), it is entirely possible that effects of OT on other regions and behaviors might

show a different association. It should also be emphasized that subject numbers are

relatively low for establishing robust genetic associations. The AA allele of rs53576

occurs more frequently in Asian compared with Caucasian populations (25) amd so

there may be some cultural differences. Also rs53576 exhibits some sex-dependent

differences in social cooperation effects of OT (18) and our current study focused

only on males to avoid potential menstrual cycle effect issues.

Interestingly, task-related and intrinsic network changes produced by OT showed

a strikingly different sensitivity to repeated doses and OXTR genotype and only the

resting state changes were actually significantly associated with trait autism.

However, importantly there was no advantage of giving OT daily as opposed to every

other day. This might potentially suggest that intrinsic networks are less influenced by

repeated doses of OT or OXTR genotype than those activated by tasks, although this

remains to be more fully established. Clearly however it will be important in future

studies to measure OT effects on both task-related and intrinsic networks.

In conclusion, the current study provides strong evidence for an important

influence of dose frequency and receptor genotype on the neural and behavioral

actions of intranasal OT in healthy human subjects. These factors should therefore be

taken into consideration when designing future clinical trials using repeated doses of

intranasal OT as an intervention in psychiatric disorders.

Methods

Participants. A total of $n = 147$ healthy, right-handed healthy adult male subjects

were enrolled according to common inclusion and exclusion criteria for human OT-

administration studies (details see **SI**). Subject numbers were chosen on the basis of

achieving >90% power for a medium effect size of 0.45. A total of 9 subjects had to

be excluded due to failure to complete the study, head movement (see Consort Flow

Diagram for details **SI Fig S3**). Subjects were randomly assigned to repeated

intranasal treatment (single daily dose on five consecutive days) of (1) placebo (PLC;

$n = 46$, M ± SD, 22.46± 2.3 years), (2) oxytocin (OT_5; $n = 49$, M ± SD, 21.78 ± 2.3

years), or interleaved OT and PLC (OT on days 1, 3, 5, PLC on days 2, 4; OT_3; $n =$

43, M ± SD, 21.02 ± 2.0 years). To ensure compliance all subjects were required to

come to the experimental laboratory every day and supervised during self-

administration of the nasal sprays. To control for between-group differences in

potential confounders for behavioral and neural effects of OT pre-treatment levels of

anxiety, depression, and empathy were assessed using validated scales. Additionally,

measures of trait autism were assessed using the AQ to compute associations with

neural and behavioral effects of OT. There were no significant differences between

the OT group and PLC groups (all ps > 0.05, see **SI and Table S4**). The study had

full approval by the local ethics committee (Institutional Review Board, University of

Electronic Science and Technology of China) and subjects provided written informed

consent and the study procedures were in accordance with the latest revision of the

Declaration of Helsinki. The study was pre-registered at Clinical Trials.gov

(NCT03610919). Subjects received monetary compensation for participation.

Experimental procedures. The study employed a double-blind, randomized,

placebo-controlled, between-subject design with repeated intranasal single daily dose

administration on five consecutive days. Participants were randomly assigned to 3

groups: group 1 OT (24 IU, single daily dose) for 5 days (OT_5 group); group 2 OT

every other day (24 IU, single dose on days 1, 3, 5) and PLC (days 2, 4) (OT_3 group);

group 3, PLC for 5 days (PLC group) (see **SI Fig S1**). The OT and PLC sprays were

both supplied by Sichuan Meike Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd, Sichuan, China in identical

dispenser bottles containing identical ingredients (e.g. glycerine and sodium chloride)

other than OT. In line with recommended guidelines experiments started 45 minutes

after intranasal administration (26). In post-treatment interviews collected on days 1

and 5 subjects were unable to identify better than chance whether they had received

OT or PLC ($\chi^2 < 0.1$, ps > 0.2, see **SI Table S5**) confirming successful blinding over

the entire study period. To explore the potential contribution of individual differences

in OXTR genotypes to treatment effects subjects provided buccal swaps on the 1st day

for analysis of OXTR rs2254298, rs53576 SNPs (see **SI** and (27)).

Stimuli and face emotion task. 208 grayscale facial stimuli displaying happy,

neutral, angry or fearful facial expressions (n = 26 per category, 50% female) were

used in the implicit emotional face processing task. Stimuli were initially rated with

respect to arousal and valence by an independent group of subjects (n = 24, 10

females, age, M ± SD, 21.3 ± 1.9 years). Based on these valence and arousal ratings

two matched independent sets of stimuli were produced for use on the 1st and 5th days

(all ps > 0.3, see **SI Table S6**) and the order of the two sets was counter balanced

across individuals in OT and PLC groups. Subjects were instructed to view the facial

stimuli attentively and were required to indicate by a button press the gender of the

face after each presentation to ensure attentive processing. Stimuli were presented for

3s followed by a jittered fixation (1.5-2.1s) followed by the gender discrimination task

(2s) (subjects achieved >80% accuracy), and finally a jittered inter-trial interval (4.5-

6s) that served as low level baseline. Order of gender and emotion was pseudo-

randomized (see **SI Fig. S2**). After each scanning session participants rated emotional

valence, arousal and intensity of the faces presented during fMRI. Stimuli were

presented for 10s and subjects provided ratings by 1-9 Self-Assessment-Manikin

scales (SAM) for the three different domains.

Primary outcomes and analysis plan. Face emotion-related amygdala responses

were assessed using the event-related implicit face processing fMRI paradigm on

treatment days 1 and 5. Intrinsic amygdala connectivity was assessed by means of two

resting state fMRI assessments (before and after the task-paradigm). Valence, arousal

and intensity ratings (scale: 1-9) for the facial stimuli were collected immediately

after MRI acquisition as additional behavioral outcomes (further details see **SI**). In

line with the main aim of the study changes in amygdala fear reactivity and amygdala

intrinsic connectivity between the 1st and 5th day served as primary outcome

measures. Changes in valence, arousal and intensity ratings for the fear faces

represented an associated behavioral outcome measure.

Imaging acquisition and analysis. MRI data was acquired using standard sequences

on a 3T GE MR750 system. In addition to the functional time series high resolution

T1-weighted structural MRI data was acquired at both time points to improve

normalization and control for acute and chronic effects of treatment on brain

structure. MRI data was preprocessed using validated procedures in SPM12 (28)

(Statistical Parametric Mapping; http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) and Data

Processing Assistant or Resting-State fMRI (29) (DPARSFA;

http://rfmri.org/DPARSF) (for details see **SI**). First level General Linear Models

(GLM) for the task-related fMRI data included separate regressors for the four

emotional conditions, gender identity rating period and 6 movement parameters and

appropriate contrasts were subjected to a second level random effects analyses.

Analysis strategy. To identify OT-sensitive regions and confirm previous findings on

suppression of amygdala reactivity following single-dose OT-administration (2) a

voxel-wise whole-brain two-sample t-test was conducted in SPM comparing neural

activity in OT- and PLC-treated subjects on the 1st day. In line with previous studies

and our a priori hypothesis single-dose OT suppressed amygdala fear reactivity (see

Results). For all subsequent analyses a 6-mm sphere centered at the maximum t-

values of the acute OT effects in the amygdala served as target region to determine

different trajectories of amygdala functioning following acute versus repeated

treatment administration (for a similar approach see (4)).

Primary outcome: amygdala fear-reactivity. Differences in stimulus-induced

amygdala fear reactivity were examined by means of mixed ANOVAs with treatment

(PLC, OT_3, OT_5) as between-subject factor, time point (1st day, acute effects; 5th day,

chronic effects) as within-subject factor and extracted target region amygdala-

reactivity towards fearful faces as dependent variable (parameter estimates were

extracted using Marsbar, http://marsbar.sourceforge.net). Effects were further

disentangled using appropriate Bonferroni-corrected post-hoc comparisons.

Primary outcome: intrinsic amygdala connectivity. Differences in amygdala

connectivity were determined using a voxel-wise seed-to-whole-brain ANOVA as

implemented in the SPM flexible factorial design with treatment (PLC, OT_3, OT_5) as

between-subject factor, time point (1st day, acute effects; 5th day, chronic effects) as

within-subject factor and amygdala connectivity maps as dependent variable. To

account for potential effects of task-engagement on intrinsic amygdala connectivity

the primary analysis focused on pre-task resting state maps. Based on findings from

the pre-task data, a subsequent ROI analyses on the post-task data (focusing on

extracted estimates from a 6mm sphere centered at vmPFC determined by the pre-task

data) served to replicate and further validate them.

Secondary outcome measures: contributions of OXTR genetics and associations

with trait autism. Subjects were genotyped (OXTR SNPs, rs2254298 and rs53576)

from buccal cells as described previously (27) (see **SI**). Only 120 of the study subjects

were successfully genotyped for both SNPs. For rs2254298 subjects were divided into

A+ carriers (AA and AG) and A- non-carriers (GG) and rs53576 into G+ carriers (GG

and GA) and G- non-carriers (AA). To explore effects of genotype as a secondary

outcome measure, OXTR group was included as additional between-subject factor in

the corresponding ANOVAs and amygdala fear reactivity, amygdala-vmPFC intrinsic

functional connectivity and behavior rating response served as dependent variables.

Associations between neural and behavioral effects of OT and trait autism were

carried out by analyzing correlations with AQ scores.

Control analysis: treatment effects on brain structure. To further control for

potential confounding effects of single- and repeated OT-administration brain

structure, a voxel-based morphometry (VBM) analysis was conducted on the T1-

weighted images acquired on both testing days using SPM12 standard procedures (30,

31). Effects of single- and repeated dose administration were explored using analyses

concordant with the fMRI analyses (see **SI**).

Thresholding and statistical implementation. Voxel-wise whole brain analyses in

SPM were thresholded at a cluster-based Family-wise error (FWE) corrected $p < .05$

with an initial cluster forming threshold of $p < .001$ (in line with recent

recommendations for the control of false positives in cluster-based control of multiple

comparisons (32, 33)). Behavioral and neural (extracted parameter estimates) indices

were analyzed using SPSS 22.0 and appropriate ANOVA models. Partial eta squared

(η^2_p) and Cohen's d were computed as measures of effect size. Sphericity was

assessed with Mauchly's test, Greenhouse-Geisser correction for non-sphericity when

applicable. Group differences in parameter estimates extracted from significant main

effect in SPM were further evaluated using two-sample t tests. Associations between

neural and behavioral changes and AQ scores were computed using Pearson

correlation. All reported p values were Bonferroni-corrected, two-tailed, and $p \leq 0.05$

considered significant.

References

1. Kendrick KM, Guastella AJ, Becker B (2018) Overview of Human Oxytocin

Research. *Curr Top Behav Neurosci* 35:321-348.

2. Young LJ, Barrett CE (2015) Can oxytocin treat autism? *Science*

347(6224):825-826.

3. Meyer-Lindenberg A, Domes G, Kirsch P, & Heinrichs M (2011) Oxytocin and

vasopressin in the human brain: social neuropeptides for translational medicine.

Nat Rev Neurosci. 12(9):524-538.

4. Spengler FB, *et al.* (2017) Kinetics and Dose Dependency of Intranasal

Oxytocin Effects on Amygdala Reactivity. *Biol Psychiatry* 82(12):885-894.

5. Halverson T, Jarskog LF, Pedersen C, & Penn D (2018) Effects of oxytocin on

empathy, introspective accuracy, and social symptoms in schizophrenia: A 12-

week twice-daily randomized controlled trial. *Schizophr Res.* doi：

10.1016/j.schres.2018.09.013

6. Jarskog LF, *et al.* (2017) A 12-week randomized controlled trial of twice-daily

intranasal oxytocin for social cognitive deficits in people with schizophrenia.

Schizophr Res 185:88-95.

7. Watanabe T, *et al.* (2015) Clinical and neural effects of six-week administration

of oxytocin on core symptoms of autism. *Brain* 138(11):3400-3412.

8. Guastella AJ, *et al.* (2015) The effects of a course of intranasal oxytocin on

social behaviors in youth diagnosed with autism spectrum disorders: a

randomized controlled trial. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 56(4):444-452.

9. Stoop R (2012) Neuromodulation by oxytocin and vasopressin. *Neuron*

76(1):142-159.

10. Bales KL, *et al.* (2013) Chronic intranasal oxytocin causes long-term

impairments in partner preference formation in male prairie voles. *Biol*

Psychiatry 74(3):180-188.

11. Huang H, *et al.* (2014) Chronic and acute intranasal oxytocin produce divergent

social effects in mice. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 39(5):1102-1114.

12. Du PR, *et al.* (2017) Chronic central oxytocin infusion impairs sociability in mandarin voles. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 161:38-46.

13. Benner S, *et al.* (2018) Neurochemical evidence for differential effects of acute and repeated oxytocin administration. *Mol Psychiatry.* doi: 10.1038/s41380-018-0249-4

14. Cataldo I, Azhari A, Esposito G (2018) A review of oxytocin and arginine-vasopressin receptors and their modulation of Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Front Mol Neurosci* 11:27.

15. Jurek B, Neumann ID (2018) The oxytocin receptor: From intracellular signaling to behavior. *Physiol Rev* 98(3):1805-1908.

16. Parker KJ, *et al.* (2014) Plasma oxytocin concentrations and OXTR polymorphisms predict social impairments in children with and without autism spectrum disorder. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111(33):12258-12263.

17. Yang S, *et al.* (2017) Serum oxytocin levels and an oxytocin receptor gene polymorphism (rs2254298) indicate social deficits in children and adolescents with Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Front Neurosci* 11:221.

18. Feng C, *et al.* (2015) A common oxytocin receptor gene (OXTR) polymorphism modulates intranasal oxytocin effects on the neural response to social cooperation in humans. *Genes Brain Behav* 14(7):516-525.

19. Chen FS, *et al.* (2015) Genetic modulation of oxytocin sensitivity: a pharmacogenetic approach. *Trans Psychiatry* 5:e664.

20. Brune M (2012) Does the oxytocin receptor (OXTR) polymorphism (rs2254298) confer 'vulnerability' for psychopathology or 'differential susceptibility'? Insights from evolution. *BMC Med* 10:38.

21. Baron-Cohen S, Wheelwright S, Skinner R, Martin J, & Clubley E (2001) The autism-spectrum quotient (AQ): evidence from Asperger syndrome/high-functioning autism, males and females, scientists and mathematicians. *J Autism Dev Disord* 31(1):5-17.

22.   Eickhoff SB, *et al.* (2005) A new SPM toolbox for combining probabilistic
457   cytoarchitectonic maps and functional imaging data. *Neuroimage* 25(4):1325-
458   1335.

459   23.   Hennessey T, Andari E, Rainnie DG (2018) RDoC-based categorization of
460   amygdala functions and its implications in autism. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*
461   90:115-129.

462   24.   Neumann ID, Slattery DA (2016) Oxytocin in general anxiety and social fear:
463   A translational approach. *Biol Psychiatry* 79(3):213-221.

464   25.   Butovskaya PR, *et al.* (2016) Polymorphisms of two loci at the oxytocin
465   receptor gene in populations of Africa, Asia and South Europe. *BMC Genet*
466   *17*(1):17.

467   26.   Guastella AJ, *et al.* (2013) Recommendations for the standardisation of
468   oxytocin nasal administration and guidelines for its reporting in human research.
469   *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 38(5):612-625.

470   27.   Montag C, *et al.* (2017) A functional polymorphism of the OXTR gene is
471   associated with autistic traits in Caucasian and Asian populations. *Am J Med*
472   *Genet B* 174(8):808-816.

473   28.   Friston KJ, *et al.* (1994) Statistical parametric maps in functional imaging: a
474   general linear approach. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2(4):189-210.

475   29.   Chao-Gan Y, Yu-Feng Z (2010) DPARSF: A MATLAB Toolbox for "Pipeline"
476   Data Analysis of Resting-State fMRI. *Front Syst Neurosci.* 4:13.

477   30.   Ashburner J, Friston KJ (2005) Unified segmentation. *Neuroimage* 26(3):839-
478   851.

479   31.   Ashburner J (2007) A fast diffeomorphic image registration algorithm.
480   *Neuroimage* 38(1):95-113.

481   32.   Eklund A, Nichols TE, Knutsson H (2016) Cluster failure: Why fMRI
482   inferences for spatial extent have inflated false-positive rates. *Proc Natl Acad*
483   *Sci USA* 113(33):7900–7905.

33.   Mueller K, Lepsien J, Moller HE, Lohmann G (2017) Commentary: Cluster

failure: Why fMRI inferences for spatial extent have inflated false-positive rates.

Front Hum Neurosci 11:345.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding: This project was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of Science (NSFC) grant
number 31530032. **Author contributions:** JK and KMK designed the experiment. JK, YZ and CS
carried out the experiment. JK, KMK, CM and BB analyzed the experiment and JK, KMK, CM and BB
wrote the paper. All authors contributed to the conception of the study and approved the paper.
Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Figures

(A)   (B)

Fig.1 The effect of intranasal oxytocin (OT) treatment on neural responses to fearful

faces on the 1st day. (A) The t-map of the treatment effect (FWE c = 78, t = 3.93, p =

0.043) showed an activated cluster peaking at the right amygdala (x = 27, y = −4, z =

−13). (B) Parameter estimates extracted using a 6-mm radius sphere centered at the

peak MNI coordinates at the right amygdala on the 1st and 5th day separately revealed

that OT treatment on alternate days (OT$_3$) decreased amygdala responses on both the

1st and 5th days whereas for the daily OT treatment group (OT$_5$) group the decrease

only occurred on the 1st day. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, two-tailed t-test. Bars indicate M

± SE.

Fig.2 Oxytocin decreased intensity ratings of fearful faces only on the 5th day of

treatment in the group receiving OT on alternate days (OT$_3$) compared with placebo

(PLC). *p = 0.05. Bars depict M ± SE.

Fig.3 The effects of oxytocin (OT) on resting state functional connectivity (A) schematic

showing functional connection between the right amygdala and ventromedial medial

prefrontal cortex (vmPFC). Whole brain functional connectivity used the right amygdala as a

region of interest (6mm sphere, x=27, y=-4, z=-13) and (B) revealed significant increased

functional connectivity between vmPFC and right amygdala (p_{FWE} <0.05, x=-3, y=53, z=-19)

in both OT treatment groups (every day – OT and every other day - OT on both days 1 and 5.

Data for the two resting state periods before and after the face emotion task were similar and

are therefore showed here combined. (C) Regression graphs showing correlations between

resting-state functional connectivity and autism spectrum quotient scores (AQ) in the three

treatment groups on day 1 and (D) day 5.   Bar graph illustrates the extraction of parameter

estimates from right amygdala connectivity with vmPFC (M ± SE). * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01,

two-tailed t-test. Bars indicate M ± SE.

524

Fig.4. Influence of oxytocin receptor genotype on right amygdala responses to fearful faces (n
= 111 subjects). Top: for rs 53576 only G-non carriers (i.e. AA) showed reduced amygdala
response to fearful faces and for rs2254298 only A-carriers (i.e. AA and AG) in the groups
with intranasal oxytocin treatment either daily (OT$_5$) or every other day (OT$_3$). Bottom: A
similar pattern was found for rs2254298, but not rs53576 for intensity ratings of fearful faces
although only on day 5 in the OT$_3$) group.   ** $p < 0.001$, * $p < 0.01$, # $p < 0.05$, two-tailed
t-test (Bonferroni corrected significance threshold of $p = 0.0125$). Bars indicate M ± SE.

532