Letter

Ecological causes of uneven speciation and species richness in mammals

Short title: Ecology of speciation in mammals

Nathan S. Upham[1*], Jacob A. Esselstyn[2], and Walter Jetz[3]

Author affiliations:

[1]Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511 USA,

nathan.upham@yale.edu. [2]Department of Biological Sciences and Museum of Natural Science,

Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803 USA, esselstyn@lsu.edu. [3]Department of

Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511 USA,

walter.jetz@yale.edu.

*Corresponding: 165 Prospect St., OML 122, New Haven, CT 06511 nathan.upham@yale.edu.

Statement of authorship: NSU and WJ conceived the study; NSU and JAE collected and

curated the data; NSU performed all analyses and wrote the first draft of the manuscript, with

contributions to revisions from all co-authors.

Data and material availability: All data and code is available in the manuscript and after

publication on Dryad (also to be available at github.com/n8upham/).

Keywords: Phylogenetics, macroevolution, trait-associated diversification, dispersal, latitude,

diel activity; **Length:** abstract 172 words; main text 4,710 words; 110 references; 5 figures.

ABSTRACT

Biodiversity is distributed unevenly from the poles to the equator, and among branches of

the tree of life, yet how those enigmatic patterns are related is unclear. We investigated global

speciation-rate variation across crown Mammalia using a novel time-scaled phylogeny (N=5,911

species, ~70% with DNA), finding that trait- and latitude-associated speciation has caused

uneven species richness among groups. We identify 24 branch-specific shifts in net

diversification rates linked to ecological traits. Using time-slices to define clades, we show that

speciation rates are a stronger predictor of clade richness than age. Speciation is slower in

tropical than extra-tropical lineages, but only at the level of clades not species tips, consistent

with fossil evidence that the latitudinal diversity gradient may be a relatively young phenomenon

in mammals. In contrast, species tip rates are fastest in mammals that are low dispersal or

diurnal, consistent with models of ephemeral speciation and ecological opportunity, respectively.

These findings juxtapose nested levels of diversification, suggesting a central role of species

turnover gradients in generating uneven patterns of modern biodiversity.

INTRODUCTION

Biological diversity is concentrated at the equator more than the poles, and in some evolutionary lineages more than others. Yet whether these organic phenomena are causally connected is an open question. The latitudinal diversity gradient is generally attributed to tropical biomes being stable, productive, and old (1–5), but there is less consensus regarding why species richness is distributed unevenly across the tree of life. Phylogenetic tree shape was first characterized taxonomically (6) and later formalized under the concept of tree imbalance or unevenness (7). To arise, more speciose clades must have been derived from faster rates of net diversification (speciation – extinction), older ages (earlier divergences), or both. However, the relative contribution of clade rates and ages to species richness is widely disputed (e.g., (8–11)). Similarly controversial are the causes of diversification-rate variation in real phylogenies, whether due to stochasticity, determinism via ecological factors or time, or artifacts from how we reconstruct evolutionary history (12–23). Latitude might determine the rates at which new species originate and persist or go extinct (2, 3, 24–26), but so too might species' intrinsic traits (27), some of which are correlated with latitude (e.g., (28)). For mammals and other tetrapods, the Cretaceous-Paleogene (K-Pg) bolide impact is linked to the selective extinction of major lineages (29, 30), adding the wrinkle of historical contingency to how surviving lineages diversified in response (31–33). Thus, understanding the processes underpinning uneven species richness requires connecting levels of indirect (e.g., eco-geographic) and direct (e.g., rates, ages) causes to tease apart their joint influences upon different radiations.

The last ~180-million-years of crown mammalian evolution has resulted in ~6000 living species (34, 35), which collectively inhabit nearly all terrestrial biomes plus the open oceans, and thousands of preserved ancestors described as fossil taxa (36–38). Within this context, similarly

aged clades in the mammal tree range from mega-diverse rodents (~2500 living species) and bats

(~1300 species) to species-poor groups like treeshrews (20 species) and pangolins (8 species; all

four share stem ages of ~60-70 million years ago [Ma] (35, 39)). The early availability of a

species-level 'supertree' phylogeny of mammals (now cited over 1,800 times; (40)) encouraged

initial studies of macroevolutionary-rate covariates (e.g., (18, 22, 41, 42)). However, because that

pioneering supertree was assembled from hundreds of overlapping source trees, over 50% of its

nodes were initially unresolved and then simulated to obtain a bifurcating time-scaled phylogeny

(40, 43). Timings of diversification from this supertree, along with two other mammal supertrees

(11, 44), were consequently shown to have inflated precision relative to the large gaps in

available fossil age and DNA sequence data (35).

Here, we draw upon a new time-calibrated phylogeny for global Mammalia built from a

contiguous DNA supermatrix (31 genes by 4,098 species; completed to 5,911 modern species)

and consisting of credible sets of 10,000 trees (35). We used these phylogenies, which jointly

model uncertainty in topology and node ages, to better understand the temporal dynamics of

mammalian diversification relative to the potentially causal effects of historical, organismal, and

environmental factors. Our objectives were three-fold. First, we tested for tree-wide and branch-

specific rate variation in relation to the Cretaceous-Paleogene (K-Pg) mass extinction event to

explore whether predicted shifts in placental diversification rates (45) are recoverable across

extant clades. Second, we used neutrally defined (time-slice based) clades to explore the relative

roles of clade ages and speciation rates in explaining current-day species richness. Finally, we

linked observed variation in speciation rates to its putative ecological causes, testing whether

factors predicted to cause newly formed species to persist or go extinct are, in turn, causing the

observed patterns of uneven species richness. Investigating deep-time rate shifts (e.g., relative to

the K-Pg or other factors) alongside the drivers of modern clade richness is intended to test for

time-specific differences in the drivers of mammalian rate variation.

Among clades, we focus on three potential ecological causes of richness differences:

species vagility, latitude, and diurnality. First, we tested whether low-vagility species have faster

speciation than more dispersive species given their greater likelihood of forming peripheral

isolates (46, 47). For this test, we developed an allopatric index of organismal vagility for all

mammals (i.e., maximum natal dispersal distance; (48)). Vagility effects have never been

assessed across all mammals, although evidence in birds using the hand-wing index supports an

inverse vagility-to-speciation rate relationship (e.g., (49, 50)). Second, if unstable environments

increase ephemeral speciation via greater species turnover (extinction / speciation (51)), then we

expect the recent species-specific (i.e., 'tip') speciation rates of surviving lineages to be higher in

temperate latitudes with greater climatic instability (25, 52). To our knowledge, the effects of

latitude upon mammal tip rates have yet to be assessed, but clade-level comparisons have either

been negative (53) or supported greater temperate than tropical turnover in some clades (24, 42,

54). Lastly, we tested whether diurnality (daytime activity) has increased speciation rates relative

to nocturnal clades, following recent evidence that all mammals were nocturnal until daytime

niches evolved ~35 Ma (33, 55). A positive influence of diurnality on speciation rates has been

found across major tetrapod lineages (56), and in primates specifically (57, 58), but has yet to be

investigated at the species-level in all mammals (but see ancestral state reconstructions (55)). We

are thus using species-level trait proxies to investigate scenarios of geographic, ephemeral, and

adaptive modes of species diversification in mammals, respectively, and across the entire

mammal tree of life to avoid ascertainment bias from selecting smaller clades (59).

Overall, our approach ties together among-clade variation in rates, ages, richness, and

traits in a multivariate causal framework (phylogenetic path analysis (60)). By jointly assessing

the causal contributions of ecological factors to the inferred tempo of mammalian lineage

diversification, we shed new light on their relative importance to generating uneven species

richness patterns. We find that vagility and diurnality are greater causes of recent speciation-rate

variation than latitude, which effects rates deeper in the tree. Rate variation, in turn, contributes

more to uneven species richness than differences in clade age.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Tree-wide lineage diversification relative to the K-Pg event.

The Mammalia tree shows substantial unevenness in species richness across major named

clades (Fig 1a). We first evaluated evidence for whether early placentals diverged before, after,

or during the K-Pg event, known as the short fuse, long fuse, and explosive models, respectively

(45, 61). The first four placental divergences unambiguously preceded the K-Pg (Fig. 2a; filled

circles), followed by the next 21 divergences with CIs that overlap it (Fig. 2a–b). Therefore, we

detect a Cretaceous "fuse" of ~25-Ma between the radiation of crown Placentalia and nine of 18

crown orders (Fig. 2b), in line with some estimates (39, 62), but longer than others (e.g., (40)).

Modeling branch-specific rates across the tree shows a qualitative pulse in both

speciation and extinction near the K-Pg (Fig. 2c) that matches concurrent fossil evidence for

increases in origination and extinction (Fig. 2d; synthesized from the Paleobiology Database

(37)). However, we find mixed results in formal tests of tree-wide shifts in the 5-Ma following

the K-Pg, with TreePar (41) showing a clear signal of increased net diversification rates that is

absent in CoMET analyses (63) ((Fig. S5-S6); the latter model allows for time-varying

diversification rates while the former does not). Based on the patterns reported in fossil

eutherians (45, 64), a pulse of lineage turnover following the K-Pg is expected due to selective

extinction and recovery of surviving mammal lineages (29, 31). Thus, this fossil-calibrated

molecular phylogeny of mammals (35) is capable of recovering dynamics evident from the fossil

record alone, albeit as dependent upon assumptions of different tree-wide birth-death models.

Branch-specific rate shifts relative to time and traits.

We recover shifts in net diversification rates associated with 24 consistent nodes in the

mammal tree (Fig. 1a, 2c, e; shifts are present in ≥ 50% of maximum shift credibility trees using

BAMM (65), see *Supplementary Materials* Fig. S7, Table S1). The earliest rate shift occurs in

either crown Placentalia (mean of 1.1x higher over separate BAMM runs, as compared to the

median background rate of 0.138 species/lineage/Ma) or Boreoeutheria (1.6x, node C in Fig. 1a).

These shifts involve 18 different lineages, 15 of which are consistent increases. The only

consistent rate decrease was in the strepsirrhine primates (lemurs, lorises, and galagos; node O).

Two other shifts are alternately up or down, depending on the tree sampled (nodes H, P; Fig. 1a).

This result compares to 27 rate-shifted clades detected on the original mammal supertree using

an earlier method (15 increasing and 12 decreasing (18)—but note caveats about the

identifiability of downshifts (66)). Overall, rate increases nearer the present are higher, with a

2.2x mean in the Miocene versus 1.3x in each the Oligocene and Eocene (Fig. 2c; df=2, F=7.772,

P=0.003). This result is consistent with the expectation for extinctions deeper in the tree to have

reduced our ability to quantify more ancient shifts (65, 67), as well as fossil and molecular

evidence that younger clades tend to have faster rates of diversification (20).

At first glance, species in rate-shifted clades have dissimilar traits of vagility, diurnality,

and latitudinal extent (Fig. 1a-b). However, several consistent patterns emerge. On a visual basis,

related clades of rodents show a conspicuous latitudinal pattern of alternating south-north-south

endemism that may relate to biogeographic incumbency effects previously reported (e.g., (68)).

Similarly, the rate shifts present in Cetacea and Carnivora (nodes F and D; Fig. 1a) are associated

with high vagility and latitudinal extents, while simian primates (node N) are nearly exclusively

tropical and diurnal (Fig. 1b). Strikingly, the two largest rate increases (4.0x and 3.2x) occurred

in lineages with disparate life modes but similar propensities for geographic isolation: the

fossorial tuco-tucos of South America (*Ctenomys*, node Q), and the Indo-Pacific flying foxes

(*Pteropus*, node J; Fig. 1a). Thus, small burrowers and large flyers both show similar signatures

of recent and rapid speciation under conditions of insularity, although in subterranean and

oceanic realms, respectively. Ecologically selective processes appear to be involved in fostering

major mammalian radiations, but are these trait associations idiosyncratic or deterministic?

We next investigated trait-dependent speciation on a tree-wide basis, aiming to look for

common ecological causes of rate variation. These tests uncovered that high vagility is

marginally associated with novel rate regimes (STRAPP (69) one-tailed test, $P = 0.08$), which is

contrary to the inverse vagility-to-speciation relationship we expected (46, 47). However, these

tests are complicated by the fact that many high-vagility lineages are also diurnal, and diurnality

is clearly associated with shifts to higher speciation-rate regimes ($P = 0.027$; Fig. S8). For

example, we find diurnality-associated rate shifts in clades of primates, carnivorans, cows, and

whales (shifts N, D-F, and Q; Fig. 1a) that also contain a majority of species with >1 km natal

dispersal distance (Fig. 1b). Therefore, these findings highlight the need to jointly consider (i.e.,

in the same model) the relative contributions of vagility, latitude, and diurnality to understand

their effects upon rate heterogeneity in mammalian clades.

Named clade rate variation.

Beyond searching for rate-shifted clades, we also test the five most speciose placental

orders for signatures of diversification-rate variation (Fig. 2e-f). Comparing the fit of models of

rate-variable processes through time (RV, exponential or linear; (70)) versus rate-constant ones

(RC, single rates of birth or death), we find greater fits to RV models in five of the 12 named

subclades examined (Fig. 2f; Table S2). The mouse-related clade of rodents (clade 20 in Figs. 1,

2f) has a branching pattern best fit by RV processes in all 100 trees examined, as expected from

the seven branch-specific rate shifts already uncovered in that clade (nodes R-X in Figs. 1, 2).

Shrews, catarrhine primates, and the cow- and whale-related clades of artiodactyls join mice in

showing greater RV fits than expected from RC simulation (clades 6, 15, 10, and 11; Fig. 2f).

Overall, these named clade results are consistent with previous evidence (e.g., among mammal

families (21)) that lineage diversification rates have been non-constant through time.

In theory, considerably more fossil evidence might be required for the birth-death models

evaluated so far to be identifiable (71). This word of caution is particularly relevant for groups

like horses and pigs (Perissodactyla (72)), in which we know that periods of diversity decline

have been substantial (see (73) for general comparison of BAMM and RPANDA model

performance). Nevertheless, clade-specific fossil and molecular evidence supports our assertion

that ancestral whales and dolphins entered a novel macroevolutionary regime, including selection

toward larger body sizes (65, 69, 70, 74). Bats, on the other hand, display an inconsistent fit to

RV models of diversification (clades 12-13, Fig. 2f), and an inconsistent number of rate shifts

between our study (six, nodes G-L, Fig. 1a) and a previous one (two, nodes H and J; (75)). We

suggest that high levels of topological uncertainty, which is arguably greater in bats than other

orders (76), is contributing to the equivocal modeling of RC, RV, and branch-specific shift

processes across credible tree sets for bats (Table S2).

As an alternative, non-model-based test of within-clade rate variation, we use clade-wide

distributions of tip-level speciation rates as assessed using the tip DR metric (77) (Fig. 2f). Tip

rates carry the benefit of estimating diversification dynamics at the instantaneous present, and

thereby overcome the aforementioned concerns regarding the impact of past extinctions on

model identifiability (71, 73, 78, 79). Broadly, we recognize substantial heterogeneity in tip

speciation rates across the mammal tree, sometimes with a few high-tip-rate species nested

together with lower-rate species (Fig. 1a), resulting in long right-side tails in the tip-rate

distributions (positive skew, e.g., bat and rodent clades 12 and 18; Fig. 1a, 2f). We propose that

tip rate skew measures aspects of within-clade speciation-rate variation that is otherwise

uncaptured by fitting *a priori* models of the diversification process (Table S3), and thus offers a

distinct predictor of among-clade variation in species richness.

Time-slice clade richness relative to ages and rates.

The relative importance of clade ages (time) versus rates of speciation and extinction

(whether stochastic or ecologically deterministic) as an explanation of extant diversity levels is

widely debated (8–12, 16, 21, 80). Original claims that uneven trees are random outcomes of

constant-rate diversification (e.g., (81)) have been refuted (10, 13, 17). However, past efforts to

separate these hypotheses have focused on named clades (e.g., (10, 21)), which are biased by

subjective delineation and often vast age differences (mammal families range 3.8–59.0 Ma in

mean crown ages; (35)). To avoid problems associated with subjective clade definitions, we

sliced phylogenies at five-million-year intervals and took the tipward clades as objective units

for analysis (Fig. 3). Time-sliced clades thus account for the 'pull of the present' in modern trees

(67) by analyzing successive levels of rootward covariance among clade-level summaries of

crown age, species richness, tip rate harmonic mean and skew, and the arithmetic (or geometric)

mean of species ecological traits. If time-constant rates predominate (11, 12, 16), crown ages will

explain the most among-clade variation in species richness. In contrast, if rate variation is strong,

as we already recognized for some nodes and named clades (Fig. 2) and expect from varying

ecological regimes (18, 22, 23), diversification rates will have the greater explanatory power.

We find that the clade harmonic mean of tip speciation rates explains most of the

variation in species richness across time-sliced clades (Fig. 4, multivariate PGLS). Clade age and

richness are positively correlated (Fig. 4a)—yet clade tip rate mean has stronger effects on

richness than expected from simulated birth-death trees containing only stochastic rate variation

(Fig. 4b). Clade tip rate skew is also significant, especially so at deeper time slices (Fig. 4c),

confirming that single speed-ups in diversification within a clade (e.g., due to a rate shift in one

lineage) can drive much of its overall species richness today. These analyses are robust to the

influence of species that are missing DNA sequences and imputed (see Fig. S10, also for

univariate and taxon-based results). Our findings thus support arguments that 'ecology' (broadly

defined to include any non-temporal factor that alters macroevolutionary-rate processes,

including sexual selection and geographic factors) is a greater cause of species richness variation

than time (21–23). However, variation in both rate and age clearly contribute to observed

richness (adjusted-R^2: 0.88 full model versus 0.74 with tip rate mean only and 0.26 with crown

age only, means of 100-tree PGLS among 35-Ma clades). Jointly analyzing richness

determinants in time-sliced clades offers an objective way to assess age and rate effects that, in

turn, raises questions about which ecological factors are driving that rate variation.

Linking uneven rate variation to ecological factors.

We performed phylogenetic path analysis (60) to assess the hypothesized effects of

species vagility (46, 47), latitude (24, 25), and diurnality (33) upon the joint, yet unequal,

contributions of rates and ages to extant species richness variation (Fig. 5, *Methods*, Fig. S4).

Here, the time-sliced clades allow us to distinguish trait-rate dynamics that are localized near the

species level (if traits drive species turnover (51), or if they evolved very recently) from those

that occur among clades deeper in the tree (if traits evolved anciently and the lineages persisted).

Our assembly of species-level traits across Mammalia (Fig. 1b) enables us to directly pass

information to clade-level averages, thereby summarizing the ecological 'essence' of that clade

for a given trait. However, we note that other statistical moments (e.g., trait variance or skew)

may prove useful for future study.

At the species level, we find that low-vagility mammals have higher tip speciation rates,

especially in herbivores and carnivores (Fig. 5a; ecological trait ~ rate PGLS (82)). Effects of

vagility on clade tip rate mean in 10-Ma clades are weakened at deeper time slices, where they

are instead recorded on tip rate skew (Fig. 5b). We interpret these short-lived effects of vagility

on speciation rates as consistent with expectations that incipient species are produced at a high

rate, but are ephemeral (51), subject to high species turnover. Under this scenario, speciation

rates are roughly constant, but low-vagility lineages have gone extinct at a faster rate than high-

vagility forms, presumably due to the stochastic effects of small geographic range size in nascent

species (47, 83). In summary, we hypothesize that turnover-driven speciation—i.e., speciation

rates that are high because extinction rates are high, and a lineage is still observed—is causing

the inverse effects of vagility upon tip speciation rates we observe in the mammal tree.

Our interpretation argues for an approximately 10-million-year 'threshold' whereby low-

vagility lineages must lower their extinction risk (e.g., find an adaptive zone or evolve greater

vagility; (84, 85)) or else vanish. Alternatively, the influence of vagility on mammal

diversification might be non-linear as hypothesized in birds (e.g., humped (46) or sigmoidal

(50)), in which case our results among shallow clades and tip species may only be capturing one

side of the vagility-to-rate relationship. We concede that our allometric vagility index is a rough

proxy for dispersal ability, particularly given the potential for island effects in the nearly 20% of

living mammals that are endemic to islands (86). Similarly, the vagility index does not explicitly

account for the flying abilities of bats, which differ substantially by wing morphology (87).

Nevertheless, the described patterns are robust to multiple sensitivity tests (including the

exclusion of bats and island endemics; (Fig. S13-S14)), and thus are deemed to convey the

macroevolutionary outcome of historical gene flow or isolation among populations of mammals.

To test the causal role of environmental stability in the generation of mammalian tree

shape (3, 25, 52), we next evaluated how a climatic proxy—latitudinal centroid distance from the

equator—influences speciation rates. Contrary to the expectations of climatic instability driving

recent, high rates of speciation at temperate latitudes (24, 25, 52), we find no effect of absolute

latitude on tip-level speciation (Fig. 5a). Instead, strong positive associations with latitude only

arise among clades at deeper time slices, and without any corresponding effects on clade tip rate

skew (Fig. 5b). These results compare to similarly absent latitude-to-tip rate effects in the

species-level phylogeny of birds ((77, 88); but see suboscines (89)). For both birds and

mammals, New World sister species show higher turnover rates at temperate than tropical

latitudes (24, 52); however, reliance on the mitochondrial DNA clock renders these results less

conclusive for mammals than birds given their more pronounced life-history effects (90). Other

mammal studies have yielded inconsistent findings with a variety of methods, including: (i)

higher subspecies counts in harsher temperate environments ((54); but note the opposite pattern

in birds (91)); (ii) no latitude-to-rate effects at the genus level (53), using genus ages from the

Bininda-Emonds et al. (40) supertree of mammals; and (iii) greater rates of temperate extinction

and tropical speciation on a Mammalia-wide basis (42), using a modified version of the same

supertree. Thus, our finding that temperate clades of mammals have higher tip rates than tropical

clades (harmonic mean of species values at 10-, 30-, and 50-Ma time-sliced clades) sheds new

light on what, to date, has been a murky understanding of how macroevolutionary rates have

influenced the latitudinal diversity gradient.

We hypothesize that high rates of temperate extinction during the Plio-Pleistocene, a

period of harsh environmental changes starting ~5 Ma (92), may have erased the modern portion

of the latitudinal effect that otherwise would be recorded in species' present-day tip rates. Under

this scenario, finding clade-level signatures of faster temperate speciation (as we did) is still

expected as long as temperate lineages were not fully extirpated during these climatic

oscillations, perhaps as persisting in glacial refugia (93). Key to understanding this result is that

the latitude-to-rate signature among, e.g., 30-Ma clades reflects processes occurring *more*

recently than 30 Ma, since we are examining clade-level summaries of branching rates leading to

each species' instantaneous present. Thus, we are proposing that intensified Pliocene rates of

temperate extinction initiated two canonical patterns, at least in mammals if not other taxa: (i) the

inverse latitudinal gradient of clade-level speciation rates, as viewed retrospectively on an extant

phylogeny; and as a result, (ii) the latitudinal diversity gradient. This hypothesis is supported by

the North American fossil record (the most complete paleogeographic sampling of mammals), in

which richness and latitude are not strongly correlated until ~4 Ma (94), as well as evidence from

fossil bivalves that Pliocene extinctions strengthened the latitudinal diversity gradient (95).

Overall, we contend that the traditionally invoked tropical 'cradle' (higher speciation) and

'museum' (lower extinction (3)) should instead re-focus upon the *turnover ratio* of those

processes. Testing whether species lineages have been 'cycled' faster (i.e., shorter durations)

outside than inside the tropics is a prediction in need of greater paleo-to-neontological synthesis.

Lastly, we queried the effect of diurnal diel activity, a core behavioral trait thought of as a

temporal niche innovation (33). We find that apparently independent origins of diurnality since

the late Eocene (~35 Ma (33, 55)) are associated with faster speciation, both at the present (Fig.

5a) and among time-sliced clades at 10 Ma (Fig. 5b). These findings complement the signature

of greater diurnal activity on rate-shifted clades (Fig. S8), as well as place previous findings of

rapid diversification in diurnal lineages of primates (57, 58, 96) and whales (70) in a broader

context. We suggest that inverse effects of diurnality on tip rate skew at deeper time slices (Fig.

5b) are misleading given the evolution of daytime activity ~35 Ma, well after a 'nocturnal

bottleneck' among K-Pg-surviving mammals (33, 55). This bottleneck has been described at

broader phylogenetic scales across major extant lineages of tetrapods (family-level sampling for

mammals (56)), although fossil evidence suggests that daytime activity also evolved in the

extinct sister lineages to mammals (non-mammalian synapsids (97)). The coordinated eco-

physiological changes required to evolve diurnality (e.g., eye pigments and corneal size (33))

have presumably carried with them fitness benefits from access to novel resources in the daytime

niche. Thus, diurnality may rightly be viewed as an adaptative innovation in mammals, and one

that appears to have induced macroevolutionary rate changes.

To explain faster speciation in diurnal clades and species, we posit that greater daytime

activity is an example of a trait that has decreased extinction rates via competitive release (i.e.,

an 'ecological opportunity'; (84, 98)). In this scenario, evolving diurnality has led to differential

lineage persistence (i.e., low rates of species turnover = low extinction / high or moderate

speciation) relative to nocturnality because novel niche resources have presumably improved

organismal fitness (33, 84). This hypothesis implies that persistence-driven speciation—i.e.,

speciation rates that appear high because extinction rates are reduced—underlies the diurnal rate

signature, in contrast to the turnover-driven speciation we suggest is associated with low-vagility

and high-latitude lineages. Alternatively, the more classical narrative of 'key innovation'

spurring diversification (84) would suggest that diurnal lineages have increased speciation rates

(with no change in extinction) due to specializing on resources within the relatively 'open'

diurnal ecospace. While we cannot rule out this speciation-only hypothesis, we find it less

probable because the acquisition of diurnal behavior has likely evolved and persisted at least ten

times in crown mammals (55). From diurnal primates and squirrels to elephant shrews, there

seems to be no characteristic secondary axis of resource specialization that is common to these

groups (e.g., diet or locomotor diversity); rather, allopatric speciation—and persistence of those

lineages—is more likely the secondary driver of diurnal diversity (e.g., (99)) following the initial

adaptation. Overall, we suggest that faster diurnal than nocturnal speciation in mammals is a

signature of greater persistence (lower turnover) of lineages due to more ecological opportunity.

CONCLUSION

By taking an uncommonly broad view on the evolutionary history of Mammalia, from

tree-wide to branch-specific to tip-level processes, the present study uncovers commonalities in

the ecological causes of uneven species diversification over geography as well as phylogeny.

These general processes might have remained hidden had this study been motivated by

publishable units and not global synthesis. Using an innovative time-slice approach to defining

clades, we demonstrate that clade rates explain more of the variation in mammal species richness

than do clade ages. Connecting those clades rates to both intrinsic (vagility, activity pattern) and

extrinsic (latitude) characteristics of the component species, we then detect consistent ecological

signatures at nested levels of the mammal phylogeny.

Overall, we hypothesize that two main processes are at work. First, we identify signatures

of turnover-driven speciation at shallow levels of the tree due to greater geographic isolation

among low-vagility species, and among deeper clades due to the survival of temperate lineages

in extratropical climates. We provide phylogenetic evidence supporting the notion that the

latitudinal diversity gradient is in fact a relatively young phenomenon in mammals, perhaps

originating or steepening during the Pliocene as the fossil record suggests (94). Second, we

hypothesize that persistence-driven speciation is occurring in diurnal lineages due to lower

extinction rates following access to new daytime niches and subsequent release from nocturnal

competitors. In this case, diurnality is an example of an adaptive innovation in mammals that is

presumably associated with greater ecological opportunity. Traversing from the first to second

macroevolutionary mode may be possible if otherwise ephemeral incipient species can enter

novel regimes of lower extinction risk, either via niche evolution or extrinsic opportunity (84,

98), to then differentially persist through time.

In summary, our study shows that coupling two ideas—that new species are formed

frequently but rarely persist (51), and extinction risk is related to species-level traits (27)—helps

to connect within-species dynamics of dispersal, gene flow, and niche evolution with

macroevolutionary rates. We logically reason that axes of low-to-high vagility and day-to-night

activity are affecting extinction rates in respectively opposite directions (i.e., high extinction and

turnover versus low extinction and turnover). However, the lack of direct extinction-rate

estimates is a clear shortcoming of this argument that can only be addressed by more fully

leveraging the mammal fossil record. Future tests that develop direct skeletal or remotely sensed

measurements of mammalian vagility (as opposed to the indirect index used here), along with

cranial correlates of diurnal vision (e.g., (97)), will be valuable for assessing whether the relative

frequency of turnover- and persistence-driven speciation has changed from fossil to modern

ecosystems. Efforts to connect evolutionary levels from individual organisms to speciose clades

appear the most promising for comprehending uneven species richness in the tree of life.

METHODS

  Mammalian phylogeny and species trait data. We leveraged the recently constructed

species-level mammal trees of Upham et al. (35) to conduct all analyses. Briefly, these

phylogenies include 5,804 extant and 107 recently extinct species in credible sets of 10,000 trees.

They are built using a 'backbone-and-patch' framework that used two stages of Bayesian

inference to integrate age and topological uncertainty, and incorporate 1,813 DNA-lacking

species using probabilistic constraints (available at vertlife.org/phylosubsets). We compared

credible sets of trees built using node-dated backbones (17 fossil calibrations) and tip-dated

backbones (matrix of modern and Mesozoic mammals), as well as taxonomically completed

trees (5,911 species) versus trees of DNA-only species ($N = 4,098$) without topology constraints.

  Our workflow for gathering trait data involved (i) unifying multiple trait taxonomies

(e.g., EltonTraits v1.0 (100), PanTHERIA (101)) to our phylogeny's master taxonomy; and (ii)

interpolating home range area and vagility to the species level using known allometric

relationships in mammals (Fig. S2). Vagility was calculated as the maximum natal dispersal

distance per individual (km) and interpolated for each species following our updated version of

Whitmee and Orme's (48) best-fit equation, which applies species means of body mass, home

range, and geographic range (Fig. S3). Note that our vagility index does not account for

locomotor abilities (e.g., flying or arboreality), but rather captures aspects of space use that scale

allometrically across mammals.

Tip-level speciation rates. We calculated per-species estimates of expected pure-birth

diversification rates for the instantaneous present moment (tips of the tree) using the inverse of

the equal splits measure (77, 102). This metric has been called 'tip-level diversification rate' (tip

DR) because it measures recent diversification processes among extant species (103). However,

to avoid confusion with 'net diversification', for which tip DR is misleading when extinction is

very high (relative extinction >0.8 (78)), we here refer to tip DR as a tip-level speciation rate

metric. At the tip level, we show that tip DR is tightly associated with model-based estimators of

speciation and net diversification rates in our trees (Fig. S1a). At the clade-level, we measure

'clade tip speciation mean' as the harmonic mean of tip DR among species, which is known to

converge to the maximum likelihood estimator of pure-birth diversification rate in clades with

>10 species (77, 102). We show that clade tip DR mean indeed best approximates pure-birth

clade rates for time-sliced clades in our mammal trees (R^2: ~0.7 versus ~0.5 for birth-death

speciation and net diversification rates; Fig. S1b).

Branch-specific and tree-wide rate shifts. We performed searches for

macroevolutionary shifts using BAMM v2.5 (65), a reversible-jump algorithm for sampling

birth-death rate regimes without a prior hypothesis. We evaluated the number and location of

rate shifts on 10 trees from the node-dated sample of complete mammal trees. We summarized

across the most likely shifts per tree—called maximum shift credibility (MSC) sets (Fig. S7)—

using the ratio of the mean net diversification rate of all branches inside the shifted clade (clade

rate) and outside that clade (background rate) to calculate the rate shift magnitude and direction

for each MSC set (Table S1). For tree-wide rate shifts, we compared results from TreePar (41)

and CoMET (63) (see details in Fig. S5-S6).

Comparisons with fossil genus diversification. To assess the congruence of our

molecular phylogeny-based rate estimates with rates estimated from the fossil record, we

analyzed Mammalia fossil occurrence data from the Paleobiology Database (37). Grouping by

genus after excluding ichnotaxa and uncertain genera, we recovered 71,928 occurrences of 5300

genera, which we then binned in 10-Ma intervals (taxa spanning boundaries go in both bins) and

used shareholder quorum subsampling (SQS (104); quorum size: 0.5) to maximize the

uniformity of coverage. We then calculated corresponding origination and extinction rates per

stage using the per-capita rate method (105).

Likelihood testing for models of diversification. We analyzed the branching times of

27 named subclades (11 orders and 16 suborders) that contained ≥ 25 species. For each subclade,

we tested 10 models developed by Morlon et al. (70): two rate-constant (RC) models, constant

pure-birth and birth-death; and eight rate-variable (RV) models, with exponentially and linearly

time-varying rates. We fit models for 100 trees of the empirical subclades and their matching

RC-simulated trees (null models, simulated under the empirical extinction fractions of ~ε=0.65

over 100 trees using the "pbtree" function in phytools (106)). Subtracting AICc scores of the

best-fitting RC and RV models provided the ΔAIC_{RC-RV} test statistic (107) per tree and subclade

for comparison to the simulated null distribution (alpha=0.05; see Table S2).

Time-sliced clades and clade-level tests of species richness variation. To objectively

define clades, we arbitrarily drew lines (referred to as "time slices") at 5-Ma intervals and took

the resulting *tipward* (all the way to the extant tip) monophyletic clades as non-nested units of

analysis. The *rootward* relationships of those clades (the "rootward backbone") was retained for

each interval, giving the expected covariance structure among clades when performing

phylogenetic generalized least squares (PGLS) analyses (see Fig. 3 for illustration). We used the

"treeSlice" function in phytools to construct clade sets across Mammalia trees and the three sets

of RC simulations, empirical (ε=0.65), low (ε=0.2), and high (ε=0.8), also comparing our results

to analyses on traditional taxon-based clades (genera, families, and orders; Fig. S10-S12). All

PGLS was performed excluding extinct species, using Pagel's "lambda" transformation in

phylolm (optimized for large trees (108)), and repeating the analysis across 100 or 1000 trees.

We also performed multivariate analyses including percent of DNA-sampled species per clade to

test whether our results are unaffected by imputing DNA-missing species (Fig. S10).

Tip-level tests of speciation-rate correlates. To examine correlative structures

underlying observed tip-rate variation, we performed tip-level PGLS analyses between species'

ecological traits and tip DR values across 1000 trees, focusing on a 5675-species data set that

excluded all extinct (n=107) and marine (n=129) species. We followed Freckleton et al. (82) in

using trait ~ rate models in our tip-level PGLS analyses to avoid identical residuals in the

dependent variable (i.e., sister species have identical tip DR values, which otherwise violates the

assumed within-variable data independence in bivariate normal distributions). The trait ~ rate

approach was previously applied using tip DR in univariate contexts (109) (see Fig. S13-S14 for

sensitivity tests).

Clade-level tests of speciation-rate correlates. At the clade level, univariate PGLS was

performed typically (rate ~ trait models), since clade tip DR mean gave independent values to

sister clades. These analyses were conducted on 1000 trees by analogy with those previous,

except that per-clade trait summaries were standardized predictors (mean centered, standard

deviation scaled) using geometric means for vagility and arithmetic means otherwise. We also

performed tests for trait-dependent speciation using rate-shifted clades identified in BAMM runs

on 10 mammal trees (STRAPP (69) method), which corrects for phylogenetic pseudoreplication

similar to PGLS except instead via the covariance structure among rate regimes (see Fig. S8).

Phylogenetic path analyses. We performed path analysis aiming to fully resolve

correlational structures and thereby translate from the language of statistical association to

causality. For phylogenetic path analyses, we used PGLS to test statements of conditional

independence (60) across 27 pre-selected path models (Fig. S4). For each tree and clade set, we

used "phylopath" (110) to analyze models and perform conditional model averaging. Time-sliced

clades at 10-, 30-, and 50-Ma intervals were analyzed and compared to analogous results using

taxon-based clades (Fig. S12; see *Supplementary Information* for further details).

Acknowledgments: We thank I. Quintero, M. Landis, D. Schluter, A. Mooers, A. Pyron, G.

Thomas, D. Greenberg, S. Upham and E. Florsheim for conceptual discussions that improved

this study; B. Patterson, K. Rowe, J. Brown, T. Colston, T. Peterson, D. Field, T. Stewart, J.

Davies, and three anonymous reviewers for comments on earlier drafts; and M. Koo, A.

Ranipeta, and J. Hart for database help. Artwork from phylopic.org and open source fonts.

Funding: The NSF VertLife Terrestrial grant to W.J. and J.E. (DEB 1441737 and 1441634) and

NSF grant DBI-1262600 to W.J. supported this work. **Competing interests:** None.

References

1. P. V. A. Fine, R. H. Ree, Evidence for a Time-Integrated Species-Area Effect on the Latitudinal Gradient in Tree Diversity. *Am. Nat.* **168**, 796–804 (2006).
2. D. Jablonski, K. Roy, J. W. Valentine, Out of the Tropics: Evolutionary dynamics of the latitudinal diversity gradient. *Science* **314**, 102–106 (2006).
3. G. G. Mittelbach, *et al.*, Evolution and the latitudinal diversity gradient: speciation, extinction and biogeography. *Ecol. Lett.* **10**, 315–331 (2007).
4. W. Jetz, P. V. A. Fine, Global Gradients in Vertebrate Diversity Predicted by Historical Area-Productivity Dynamics and Contemporary Environment. *PLOS Biol.* **10**, e1001292 (2012).
5. R. Jansson, G. Rodríguez-Castañeda, L. E. Harding, What Can Multiple Phylogenies Say About the Latitudinal Diversity Gradient? A New Look at the Tropical Conservatism, Out of the Tropics, and Diversification Rate Hypotheses. *Evolution* **67**, 1741–1755 (2013).
6. J. C. Willis, *Age and Area* (Cambridge University Press, 1922).
7. A. O. Mooers, S. B. Heard, Inferring Evolutionary Process from Phylogenetic Tree Shape. *Q. Rev. Biol.* **72**, 31–54 (1997).
8. M. A. McPeek, J. M. Brown, Clade age and not diversification rate explains species richness among animal taxa. *Am. Nat.* **169** (2007).
9. J. J. Wiens, The causes of species richness patterns across space, time, and clades and the role of "ecological limits". *Q. Rev. Biol.* **86**, 75–96 (2011).
10. D. L. Rabosky, G. J. Slater, M. E. Alfaro, Clade age and species richness are decoupled across the eukaryotic tree of life. *PLoS Biol.* **10**, e1001381 (2012).
11. S. B. Hedges, J. Marin, M. Suleski, M. Paymer, S. Kumar, Tree of life reveals clock-like speciation and diversification. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, msv037 (2015).
12. R. E. Ricklefs, Global diversification rates of passerine birds. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B-Biol. Sci.* **270**, 2285–2291 (2003).
13. M. G. B. Blum, O. François, Which Random Processes Describe the Tree of Life? A Large-Scale Study of Phylogenetic Tree Imbalance. *Syst. Biol.* **55**, 685–691 (2006).
14. A. B. Phillimore, T. D. Price, Density-dependent cladogenesis in birds. *PLoS Biol.* **6**, e71 (2008).
15. D. L. Rabosky, Ecological limits and diversification rate: alternative paradigms to explain the variation in species richness among clades and regions. *Ecol. Lett.* **12**, 735–743 (2009).
16. C. Venditti, A. Meade, M. Pagel, Phylogenies reveal new interpretation of speciation and the Red Queen. *Nature* **463**, 349–352 (2010).
17. T. J. Davies, A. P. Allen, L. Borda-de-Água, J. Regetz, C. J. Melián, Neutral Biodiversity Theory Can Explain the Imbalance of Phylogenetic Trees but Not the Tempo of Their Diversification. *Evolution* **65**, 1841–1850 (2011).
18. A. Purvis, S. A. Fritz, J. Rodríguez, P. H. Harvey, R. Grenyer, The shape of mammalian phylogeny: patterns, processes and scales. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **366**, 2462–2477 (2011).
19. D. S. Moen, H. Morlon, Why does diversification slow down? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **29**, 190–197 (2014).
20. L. F. H. Diaz, L. J. Harmon, M. T. C. Sugawara, E. T. Miller, M. W. Pennell, Macroevolutionary diversification rates show time dependency. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 201818058 (2019).

21. A. Castro-Insua, C. Gómez-Rodríguez, J. J. Wiens, A. Baselga, Climatic niche divergence
drives patterns of diversification and richness among mammal families. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 8781
(2018).
22. S. A. Price, S. B. Hopkins, K. K. Smith, V. L. Roth, Tempo of trophic evolution and its
impact on mammalian diversification. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 7008–7012 (2012).
23. A. Machac, C. H. Graham, D. Storch, Ecological controls of mammalian diversification vary
with phylogenetic scale. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* **27**, 32–46 (2018).
24. J. T. Weir, D. Schluter, The Latitudinal Gradient in Recent Speciation and Extinction Rates
of Birds and Mammals. *Science* **315**, 1574–1576 (2007).
25. A. D. Cutter, J. C. Gray, Ephemeral ecological speciation and the latitudinal biodiversity
gradient. *Evolution* **70**, 2171–2185 (2016).
26. A. Machac, C. H. Graham, Regional Diversity and Diversification in Mammals. *Am. Nat.*
189, E1–E13 (2017).
27. D. Jablonski, Species Selection: Theory and Data. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **39**, 501–524
(2008).
28. J. Alroy, Small mammals have big tails in the tropics. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* **0** (2019).
29. D. M. Grossnickle, E. Newham, Therian mammals experience an ecomorphological radiation
during the Late Cretaceous and selective extinction at the K–Pg boundary. *Proc R Soc B*
283, 20160256 (2016).
30. D. J. Field, *et al.*, Early Evolution of Modern Birds Structured by Global Forest Collapse at
the End-Cretaceous Mass Extinction. *Curr. Biol.* **28**, 1825-1831.e2 (2018).
31. D. M. Grossnickle, S. M. Smith, G. P. Wilson, Untangling the Multiple Ecological
Radiations of Early Mammals. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* (2019)
https:/doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2019.05.008 (July 24, 2019).
32. J. S. Berv, D. J. Field, Genomic Signature of an Avian Lilliput Effect across the K-Pg
Extinction. *Syst. Biol.* (2017) https:/doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syx064 (December 7, 2017).
33. M. P. Gerkema, W. I. L. Davies, R. G. Foster, M. Menaker, R. A. Hut, The nocturnal
bottleneck and the evolution of activity patterns in mammals. *Proc R Soc B* **280**, 20130508
(2013).
34. C. J. Burgin, J. P. Colella, P. L. Kahn, N. S. Upham, How many species of mammals are
there? *J. Mammal.* **99**, 1–14 (2018).
35. N. S. Upham, J. A. Esselstyn, W. Jetz, Inferring the mammal tree: species-level sets of
phylogenies for questions in ecology, evolution, and conservation. *PLOS Biol.* (2019).
36. T. W. Davies, M. A. Bell, A. Goswami, T. J. D. Halliday, Completeness of the eutherian
mammal fossil record and implications for reconstructing mammal evolution through the
Cretaceous/Paleogene mass extinction. *Paleobiology* (2017)
https:/doi.org/10.1017/pab.2017.20 (October 7, 2018).
37. J. Alroy, *et al.*, Taxonomic occurrences of Mammalia recorded in Fossilworks, the Evolution
of Terrestrial Ecosystems database, and the Paleobiology Database. Fossilworks.
http://fossilworks.org. (2018).
38. C. V. Bennett, P. Upchurch, F. J. Goin, A. Goswami, Deep time diversity of metatherian
mammals: implications for evolutionary history and fossil-record quality. *Paleobiology* **44**,
171–198 (2018).
39. R. W. Meredith, *et al.*, Impacts of the Cretaceous Terrestrial Revolution and KPg Extinction
on Mammal Diversification. *Science* **334**, 521–524 (2011).

40. O. R. P. Bininda-Emonds, *et al.*, The delayed rise of present-day mammals. *Nature* **446**,
507–512 (2007).
41. T. Stadler, Mammalian phylogeny reveals recent diversification rate shifts. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
Sci. **108**, 6187–6192 (2011).
42. J. Rolland, F. L. Condamine, F. Jiguet, H. Morlon, Faster Speciation and Reduced Extinction
in the Tropics Contribute to the Mammalian Latitudinal Diversity Gradient. *PLOS Biol.* **12**,
e1001775 (2014).
43. T. S. Kuhn, A. Ø. Mooers, G. H. Thomas, A simple polytomy resolver for dated phylogenies.
Methods Ecol. Evol. **2**, 427–436 (2011).
44. S. Faurby, J.-C. Svenning, A species-level phylogeny of all extant and late Quaternary
extinct mammals using a novel heuristic-hierarchical Bayesian approach. *Mol. Phylogenet.*
Evol. **84**, 14–26 (2015).
45. J. D. Archibald, D. H. Deutschman, Quantitative Analysis of the Timing of the Origin and
Diversification of Extant Placental Orders. *J. Mamm. Evol.* **8**, 107–124 (2001).
46. E. Mayr, *Animal species and evolution* (Belknap, 1963).
47. Y. Kisel, T. G. Barraclough, Speciation Has a Spatial Scale That Depends on Levels of Gene
Flow. *Am. Nat.* **175**, 316–334 (2010).
48. S. Whitmee, C. D. L. Orme, Predicting dispersal distance in mammals: a trait-based
approach. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **82**, 211–221 (2013).
49. Belliure, Sorci, Møller, Clobert, Dispersal distances predict subspecies richness in birds. *J.*
Evol. Biol. **13**, 480–487 (2000).
50. S. Claramunt, E. P. Derryberry, J. V. Remsen, R. T. Brumfield, High dispersal ability
inhibits speciation in a continental radiation of passerine birds. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol.*
Sci. **279**, 1567–1574 (2012).
51. E. B. Rosenblum, *et al.*, Goldilocks Meets Santa Rosalia: An Ephemeral Speciation Model
Explains Patterns of Diversification Across Time Scales. *Evol. Biol.* **39**, 255–261 (2012).
52. D. Schluter, M. W. Pennell, Speciation gradients and the distribution of biodiversity. *Nature*
546, 48–55 (2017).
53. Soria-Carrasco Víctor, Castresana Jose, Diversification rates and the latitudinal gradient of
diversity in mammals. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **279**, 4148–4155 (2012).
54. C. A. Botero, R. Dor, C. M. McCain, R. J. Safran, Environmental harshness is positively
correlated with intraspecific divergence in mammals and birds. *Mol. Ecol.* **23**, 259–268
(2014).
55. R. Maor, T. Dayan, H. Ferguson-Gow, K. E. Jones, Temporal niche expansion in mammals
from a nocturnal ancestor after dinosaur extinction. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **1**, 1889 (2017).
56. S. R. Anderson, J. J. Wiens, Out of the dark: 350 million years of conservatism and evolution
in diel activity patterns in vertebrates: EVOLUTION OF DAY-NIGHT ACTIVITY
PATTERNS. *Evolution* **71**, 1944–1959 (2017).
57. K. Magnuson-Ford, S. P. Otto, Linking the Investigations of Character Evolution and
Species Diversification. *Am. Nat.* **180**, 225–245 (2012).
58. L. Santini, D. Rojas, G. Donati, Evolving through day and night: origin and diversification of
activity pattern in modern primates. *Behav. Ecol.* **26**, 789–796 (2015).
59. J. M. Beaulieu, B. C. O'Meara, Can we build it? Yes we can, but should we use it? Assessing
the quality and value of a very large phylogeny of campanulid angiosperms. *Am. J. Bot.*
105, 417–432 (2018).

60. A. von Hardenberg, A. Gonzalez-Voyer, Disentangling Evolutionary Cause-Effect
Relationships with Phylogenetic Confirmatory Path Analysis. *Evolution* **67**, 378–387
(2013).
61. M. S. Springer, N. M. Foley, P. L. Brady, J. Gatesy, W. J. Murphy, Evolutionary Models for
the Diversification of Placental Mammals Across the KPg Boundary. *Front. Genet.* **10**
(2019).
62. M. dos Reis, *et al.*, Phylogenomic datasets provide both precision and accuracy in estimating
the timescale of placental mammal phylogeny. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **279**, 3491–
3500 (2012).
63. M. R. May, S. Höhna, B. R. Moore, A Bayesian approach for detecting the impact of mass-
extinction events on molecular phylogenies when rates of lineage diversification may vary.
Methods Ecol. Evol. **7**, 947–959 (2016).
64. M. M. Pires, B. D. Rankin, D. Silvestro, T. B. Quental, Diversification dynamics of
mammalian clades during the K–Pg mass extinction. *Biol. Lett.* **14**, 20180458 (2018).
65. D. L. Rabosky, Automatic detection of key innovations, rate shifts, and diversity-dependence
on phylogenetic trees. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e89543 (2014).
66. B. R. Moore, K. M. A. Chan, M. J. Donoghue, "Detecting Diversification Rate Variation in
Supertrees" in *Phylogenetic Supertrees*, Computational Biology., O. R. P. Bininda-Emonds,
Ed. (Springer Netherlands, 2004), pp. 487–533.
67. S. Nee, E. C. Holmes, R. M. May, P. H. Harvey, Extinction rates can be estimated from
molecular phylogenies. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B-Biol. Sci.* **344**, 77–82 (1994).
68. J. J. Schenk, K. C. Rowe, S. J. Steppan, Ecological opportunity and incumbency in the
diversification of repeated continental colonizations by muroid rodents. *Syst. Biol.* **62**, 837–
864 (2013).
69. D. L. Rabosky, H. Huang, A Robust Semi-Parametric Test for Detecting Trait-Dependent
Diversification. *Syst. Biol.* **65**, 181–193 (2016).
70. H. Morlon, T. L. Parsons, J. B. Plotkin, Reconciling molecular phylogenies with the fossil
record. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **108**, 16327–16332 (2011).
71. S. Louca, M. W. Pennell, Phylogenies of extant species are consistent with an infinite array
of diversification histories. *bioRxiv*, 719435 (2019).
72. J. L. Cantalapiedra, J. L. Prado, M. H. Fernández, M. T. Alberdi, Decoupled
ecomorphological evolution and diversification in Neogene-Quaternary horses. *Science*
355, 627–630 (2017).
73. G. Burin, L. R. V. Alencar, J. Chang, M. E. Alfaro, T. B. Quental, How Well Can We
Estimate Diversity Dynamics for Clades in Diversity Decline? *Syst. Biol.* **68**, 47–62 (2019).
74. N. D. Pyenson, The Ecological Rise of Whales Chronicled by the Fossil Record. *Curr. Biol.*
27, R558–R564 (2017).
75. J. J. Shi, D. L. Rabosky, Speciation dynamics during the global radiation of extant bats.
Evolution **69**, 1528–1545 (2015).
76. L. I. Amador, R. L. M. Arévalo, F. C. Almeida, S. A. Catalano, N. P. Giannini, Bat
Systematics in the Light of Unconstrained Analyses of a Comprehensive Molecular
Supermatrix. *J. Mamm. Evol.* **25**, 37–70 (2018).
77. W. Jetz, G. H. Thomas, J. B. Joy, K. Hartmann, A. O. Mooers, The global diversity of birds
in space and time. *Nature* **491**, 444–448 (2012).
78. P. O. Title, D. L. Rabosky, Tip rates, phylogenies and diversification: What are we
estimating, and how good are the estimates? *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **10**, 821–834 (2019).

79. U. Kodandaramaiah, G. Murali, What affects power to estimate speciation rate shifts? *PeerJ*
6, e5495 (2018).

80. T. D. Price, The roles of time and ecology in the continental radiation of the Old World leaf
warblers (*Phylloscopus* and *Seicercus*). *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **365**, 1749–
1762 (2010).

81. S. Wright, The "Age and Area" Concept Extended. *Ecology* **22**, 345–347 (1941).

82. R. P. Freckleton, A. B. Phillimore, M. Pagel, Relating Traits to Diversification: A Simple
Test. *Am. Nat.* **172**, 102–115 (2008).

83. D. Jablonski, Larval ecology and macroevolution in marine invertebrates. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* **39**,
565–587 (1986).

84. J. B. Yoder, *et al.*, Ecological opportunity and the origin of adaptive radiations. *J. Evol. Biol.*
23, 1581–1596 (2010).

85. A. D. Davidson, M. J. Hamilton, A. G. Boyer, J. H. Brown, G. Ceballos, Multiple ecological
pathways to extinction in mammals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **106**, 10702–10705 (2009).

86. S. Faurby, J.-C. Svenning, Resurrection of the Island Rule: Human-Driven Extinctions Have
Obscured a Basic Evolutionary Pattern. *Am. Nat.* **187**, 812–820 (2016).

87. U. M. L. Norberg, J. Rayner, Ecological morphology and flight in bats (Mammalia;
Chiroptera): wing adaptations, flight performance, foraging strategy and echolocation.
Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. **316**, 335–427 (1987).

88. D. L. Rabosky, P. O. Title, H. Huang, Minimal effects of latitude on present-day speciation
rates in New World birds. *Proc R Soc B* **282**, 20142889 (2015).

89. J. D. Kennedy, *et al.*, Into and out of the tropics: the generation of the latitudinal gradient
among New World passerine birds. *J. Biogeogr.* **41**, 1746–1757 (2014).

90. B. Nabholz, S. Glémin, N. Galtier, The erratic mitochondrial clock: variations of mutation
rate, not population size, affect mtDNA diversity across birds and mammals. *BMC Evol.*
Biol. **9**, 54 (2009).

91. P. R. Martin, J. J. Tewksbury, Latitudinal Variation in Subspecific Diversification of Birds.
Evolution **62**, 2775–2788 (2008).

92. M. Mudelsee, M. E. Raymo, Slow dynamics of the Northern Hemisphere glaciation.
Paleoceanography **20** (2005).

93. G. Hewitt, The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages. *Nature* **405**, 907–913 (2000).

94. J. D. Marcot, D. L. Fox, S. R. Niebuhr, Late Cenozoic onset of the latitudinal diversity
gradient of North American mammals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **113**, 7189–7194 (2016).

95. D. Jablonski, S. Huang, K. Roy, J. W. Valentine, Shaping the Latitudinal Diversity Gradient:
New Perspectives from a Synthesis of Paleobiology and Biogeography. *Am. Nat.* **189**, 1–12
(2016).

96. J. H. Arbour, S. E. Santana, A major shift in diversification rate helps explain
macroevolutionary patterns in primate species diversity. *Evolution* **71**, 1600–1613 (2017).

97. K. D. Angielczyk, L. Schmitz, Nocturnality in synapsids predates the origin of mammals by
over 100 million years. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **281**, 20141642 (2014).

98. G. G. Simpson, *The major features of evolution* (Columbia Univ Press, 1953).

99. M. L. Zelditch, J. Li, L. A. P. Tran, D. L. Swiderski, Relationships of diversity, disparity,
and their evolutionary rates in squirrels (Sciuridae). *Evolution* **69**, 1284–1300 (2015).

100. H. Wilman, *et al.*, EltonTraits 1.0: Species-level foraging attributes of the world's birds and
mammals. *Ecology* **95**, 2027–2027 (2014).

101. K. E. Jones, *et al.*, PanTHERIA: a species-level database of life history, ecology, and
geography of extant and recently extinct mammals. *Ecology* **90**, 2648–2648 (2009).
102. M. Steel, A. Mooers, The expected length of pendant and interior edges of a Yule tree.
Appl. Math. Lett. **23**, 1315–1319 (2010).
103. I. Quintero, W. Jetz, Global elevational diversity and diversification of birds. *Nature* (2018)
(February 21, 2018).
104. J. Alroy, Accurate and precise estimates of origination and extinction rates. *Paleobiology*
40, 374–397 (2014).
105. M. Foote, Origination and extinction components of taxonomic diversity: general problems.
Paleobiology **26**, 74–102 (2000).
106. L. J. Revell, phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other
things). *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **3**, 217–223 (2012).
107. D. L. Rabosky, Likelihood methods for detecting temporal shifts in diversification rates.
Evolution **60**, 1152–1164 (2006).
108. L. S. T. Ho, C. Ané, A Linear-Time Algorithm for Gaussian and Non-Gaussian Trait
Evolution Models. *Syst. Biol.* **63**, 397–408 (2014).
109. M. G. Harvey, *et al.*, Positive association between population genetic differentiation and
speciation rates in New World birds. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **114**, 6328–6333 (2017).
110. W. van der Bijl, phylopath: Easy phylogenetic path analysis in R. *PeerJ* **6**, e4718 (2018).
740

 Figure legends

 Fig. 1. Species-level relationships, rates, and traits for 5,911 species of mammals globally.

(**a**) The maximum clade credibility topology of 10,000 node-dated trees, with numbered clade

labels corresponding to orders and subclades listed in the plot periphery: Eulipoty., Eulipotyphla;

Carn., Carnivora; Artio., Artiodactyla. Scale in millions of years, Ma. Branches are colored with

tip-level speciation rates (tip DR metric) and marked with 24 inferred shifts in branch-specific

net diversification rates (nodes A-X; shifts with multiple circles occurred on either branch, not

both, over a sampling of 10 trees from the credible set). Tip-level rates are reconstructed to

interior branches using Brownian motion. (**b**) Per-species ecological traits: allometric index of

vagility (dispersal ability), diurnality (predominant daytime activity), and north-south latitudinal

extent (see *Methods* for details). Silhouettes are from phylopic.org and open source fonts.

Fig. 2. Diversification rate variation among mammal clades. Lineage-through-time plots and

estimated crown ages for (**a**) all superordinal divergences (y-axis does not apply to error bars),

and (**b**) placental orders with crown age estimates overlapping the Cretaceous-Paleogene

extinction event (K-Pg, dashed gray line; means and 95% CIs; filled circle if statistically

different). (**c**) Rate-through-time plots for speciation, extinction, and net diversification

(summarized from Fig. 1 rate shift analyses; medians from 10 trees, 95% CIs in light gray). (**d**)

Fossil genus diversity through time for all Mammalia, including subsampled genus richness

(quorum 0.5) and per-capita rates of genus origination and extinction. (**e**) Extant rates and

lineage-specific rate shifts for the five most speciose mammal orders (same symbols as in **c**). (**f**)

Rate variation within subclades of these five orders as numbered from Fig. 1; left: difference in

AIC between best-fit models of diversification for trees simulated under rate-constant birth-death

(gray) versus observed mammal trees (color; filled circle and * if ΔAIC on 100 trees is

statistically different); and, right: tip-level speciation rate (tip DR metric) distributions of the

same simulated and observed subclades (gray versus color, one tree), comparing variation in

clade tip rate mean and skew across 100 trees. The last 2 Ma are removed from parts **c-e** to focus

on pre-recent rate dynamics.

Fig. 3. Explanation of time-sliced clade delimitation and summarization for testing

hypotheses. (**a and b**) An example subclade (rodent family Heteromyidae; 64 species) is divided

into time-sliced clades in the same way as the Fig. 4 and 5 analyses of all Mammalia. Branch

colors in the subclade phylogeny correspond to tip-level speciation rates (tip DR metric)

calculated on the full tree, and red symbols are sized according to estimates of species vagility.

An example time slice for 5-Ma tipward clades is shown with summaries of tip rate mean and

vagility (harmonic and geometric means, respectively), which are then compared to clade crown

age and species richness. (**c**) Example of how time-sliced clades are analyzed across Mammalia,

here showing 35-Ma clades used to test for relationships among log clade richness and three

predictors in a multivariate PGLS analysis (phylogenetic generalized least squares). This PGLS

analysis was then repeated across a sample of 100 or 1000 trees from the credible set, and across

time-sliced clade delimitations every 5 Ma from 5-70 Ma, in each case comparing observed

mammal clades to clades from simulated rate-constant trees of the same crown age and species

richness (colors indicate different extinction fractions used). (**d**) Results for observed mammal

clades (grey) defined at time-slices every 5 Ma for log clade richness and its variance, as

compared to simulated trees (colors).

Fig. 4. Age and rate components of species richness variation across time-sliced clades. The

log species richness of time-sliced clades every 5 Ma, with clades defined tipward by dotted lines

as illustrated in Fig. 3, across a sample of 100 phylogenies is best predicted jointly by (**a**) clade

crown age, (**b**) clade tip-level speciation rate mean (harmonic mean of species' tip DR in clade),

and (**c**) clade tip-level speciation rate skew (asymmetry of species' tip DR in clade; multivariate

PGLS [phylogenetic generalized least squares] on standardized data with 95% confidence

intervals [CIs] on parameter estimates). Clade tip rate mean explains most of the variation in

species richness across time-sliced clades, given its consistently larger unique effects in observed

clades (grey symbols and black line) than either clade crown age or tip rate skew. By comparing

these observed effects on clade richness to simulated rate-constant effects (colored symbols and

dashed lines; different extinction fractions, ε), we find that tip rate mean has significantly

stronger effects on richness than expected from simulated birth-death trees containing only

stochastic rate variation. Clade tip rate skew also explains significantly more variation in clade

richness than expected at deeper time slices, while crown age matches the simulated predictions.

Other predictors were also assessed, as were taxon-delimited clades (Fig. S10). Solid black lines

connect the observed best-fitting models across time slices and trees.

Fig. 5. Connecting clade ages, rates, richness, and traits in the mammal tree of life. (**a**, top

panel) Distribution of tip-level speciation rates (tip DR metric, harmonic mean of 10,000 trees)

relative to per-species estimates of vagility (allometric index of maximum natal dispersal

distance), diurnality (0=nocturnal or cathemeral, 1=diurnal), and absolute value of latitude

(centroid of expert maps) across 5,675 species, excluding extinct and marine species. Loess

smoothing lines visualize general trends without considering phylogeny (blue, span=0.33). (**a**,

bottom panel) Species-level effects considering phylogeny between tip speciation rates and

ecological traits, as subset across trophic levels of herbivores, omnivores, and carnivores ($N =$

1637, 1852, and 1565, respectively; univariate PGLS [phylogenetic generalized least squares]

conducted on standardized predictors across 1000 trees, showing 95% confidence intervals of

slopes; colored if effects are significant, red for negative, blue for positive, else gray). (**b**)

Phylogenetic path analysis conducted across time-sliced clades at 10-, 30-, and 50-Ma intervals,

delimited as illustrated in Fig. 3 (nested multivariate PGLS on standardized data). This causal

framework connects clade-level summaries of species' vagility (geometric mean), diurnality

(arithmetic mean), and latitude (arithmetic mean of centroid absolute values) to corresponding

clade rates, and those rates to clade species richness. Path thickness, color, and directionality

denote median coefficients of model-averaged analyses across 1000 trees (see legend: positive

paths in shades of blue, negative in shades of red; time-sliced clades of 10-, 30-, and 50-Ma

proceed from left to right as labeled). The bottom panels provide per-estimate uncertainty across

time slices (slope ± SE), with non-zero estimates totaled in the right margin. Paths present in

>500 trees are bolded and displayed in the upper path model diagram whereas other paths are

dashed lines.

Fig. 1. Species-level relationships, rates, and traits for 5,911 species of mammals globally. (a) The maximum clade credibility topology of 10,000 node-dated trees, with numbered clade labels corresponding to orders and subclades listed in the plot periphery: Eulipoty., Eulipotyphla; Carn., Carnivora; Artio., Artiodactyla. Scale in millions of years, Ma. Branches are colored with tip-level speciation rates (tip DR metric) and marked with 24 inferred shifts in branch-specific net diversification rates (nodes A-X; shifts with multiple circles occurred on either branch, not both, over a sampling of 10 trees from the credible set). Tip-level rates are reconstructed to interior branches using Brownian motion. **(b)** Per-species ecological traits: allometric index of vagility (dispersal ability), diurnality (predominant daytime activity), and north-south latitudinal extent (see *Methods* for details). Silhouettes are from phylopic.org and open source fonts.

Fig. 2. Diversification rate variation among mammal clades. Lineage-through-time plots and estimated crown ages for (**a**) all superordinal divergences (y-axis does not apply to error bars), and (**b**) placental orders with crown age estimates overlapping the Cretaceous-Paleogene extinction event (K-Pg, dashed grey line; means and 95% CIs; filled circle if statistically different). (**c**) Extant rates of speciation, extinction, and net diversification through time on the full Mammalia phylogeny relative to lineage-specific shifts in net diversification (median rates from 10 trees, 95% CIs in light grey). (**d**) Fossil genus diversity through time for all Mammalia, including subsampled genus richness (quorum 0.5) and per-capita rates of genus origination and extinction. (**e**) Extant rates and lineage-specific rate shifts for the five most speciose mammal orders (same symbols as in **c**). (**f**) Rate variation within subclades of these five orders (numbers correspond to Fig. 1); left: quantified as difference in AIC between best-fit models of diversification ($\Delta AIC_{RC\text{-}RV}$ median and 95% CIs on 100 trees) for trees simulated under rate-constant birth-death (RC, grey) versus observed mammal trees (colour; * and filled circle if statistically different); and, right: example tip DR distributions of the same simulated and observed subclades (grey versus colour, one tree), comparing variation in tip DR mean and skew relative to the median for all Mammalia (grey line, 100 trees). The last 2 Ma are removed from parts **c-e** to focus on pre-recent rate dynamics.

Fig. 3. Explanation of time-sliced clade delimitation and summarization for testing hypotheses. (a and b) An example subclade (rodent family Heteromyidae; 64 species) is divided into time-sliced clades in the same way as the Fig. 4 and 5 analyses of all Mammalia. Branch colors in the subclade phylogeny correspond to tip-level speciation rates (tip DR metric) calculated on the full tree, and red symbols are sized according to estimates of species vagility. An example time slice for 5-Ma tipward clades is shown with summaries of tip rate mean and vagility (harmonic and geometric means, respectively), which are then compared to clade crown age and species richness. **(c)** Example of how time-sliced clades are analyzed across Mammalia, here showing 35-Ma clades used to test for relationships among log clade richness and three predictors in a multivariate PGLS analysis (phylogenetic generalized least squares). This PGLS analysis was then repeated across a sample of 100 or 1000 trees from the credible set, and across time-sliced clade delimitations every 5 Ma from 5-70 Ma, in each case comparing observed mammal clades to clades from simulated rate-constant trees of the same crown age and species richness (colors indicate different extinction fractions used). **(d)** Results for observed mammal clades (grey) defined at time-slices every 5 Ma for log clade richness and its variance, as compared to simulated trees (colors).

Fig. 4. Age and rate components of species richness variation across time-sliced clades. The log species richness of time-sliced clades every 5 Ma, with clades defined tipward by dotted lines as illustrated in Fig. 3, across a sample of 100 phylogenies is best predicted jointly by **(a)** clade crown age, **(b)** clade tip-level speciation rate mean (harmonic mean of species' tip DR in clade), and **(c)** clade tip-level speciation rate skew (asymmetry of species' tip DR in clade; multivariate PGLS [phylogenetic generalized least squares] on standardized data with 95% confidence intervals [CIs] on parameter estimates). Clade tip rate mean explains most of the variation in species richness across time-sliced clades, given its consistently larger unique effects in observed clades (grey symbols and black line) than either clade crown age or tip rate skew. By comparing these observed effects on clade richness to simulated rate-constant effects (colored symbols and dashed lines; different extinction fractions, ε), we find that tip rate mean has significantly stronger effects on richness than expected from simulated birth-death trees containing only stochastic rate variation. Clade tip rate skew also explains significantly more variation in clade richness than expected at deeper time slices, while crown age matches the simulated predictions. Other predictors were also assessed, as were taxon-delimited clades (Fig. S10). Solid black lines connect the observed best-fitting models across time slices and trees.

Fig. 5. Connecting clade ages, rates, richness, and traits in the mammal tree of life. (**a**, top panel) Distribution of tip-level speciation rates (tip DR metric, harmonic mean of 10,000 trees) relative to per-species estimates of vagility (allometric index of maximum natal dispersal distance), diurnality (0=nocturnal or cathemeral, 1=diurnal), and absolute value of latitude (centroid of expert maps) across 5,675 species, excluding extinct and marine species. Loess smoothing lines visualize general trends without considering phylogeny (blue, span=0.33). (**a**, bottom panel) Species-level effects considering phylogeny between tip speciation rates and ecological traits, as subset across trophic levels of herbivores, omnivores, and carnivores (N = 1637, 1852, and 1565, respectively; univariate PGLS [phylogenetic generalized least squares] conducted on standardized predictors across 1000 trees, showing 95% confidence intervals of slopes; colored if effects are significant, red for negative, blue for positive, else gray). (**b**) Phylogenetic path analysis conducted across time-sliced clades at 10-, 30-, and 50-Ma intervals, delimited as illustrated in Fig. 3 (nested multivariate PGLS on standardized data). This causal framework connects clade-level summaries of species' vagility (geometric mean), diurnality (arithmetic mean), and latitude (arithmetic mean of centroid absolute values) to corresponding clade rates, and those rates to clade species richness. Path thickness, color, and directionality denote median coefficients of model-averaged analyses across 1000 trees (see legend: positive paths in shades of blue, negative in shades of red; time-sliced clades of 10-, 30-, and 50-Ma proceed from left to right as labeled). The bottom panels provide per-estimate uncertainty across time slices (slope ± SE), with non-zero estimates totaled in the right margin. Paths present in >500 trees are bolded and displayed in the upper path model diagram whereas other paths are dashed lines.