Toxoplasma **F-Box Protein 1 is Required for Daughter Cell Scaffold Function**

During Parasite Replication

Carlos Gustavo Baptista[a], Agnieszka Lis[a], Bowen Deng[b], Elisabet Gas-Pascual[b],

Ashley Dittmar[a*], Wade Sigurdson[c], Christopher M. West[b], and Ira J. Blader[a#]

[a]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University at Buffalo School of Medicine,

Buffalo, NY 14203

[b]Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA

30602 USA

[c]Department of Physiology and Biophysics, University at Buffalo School of Medicine,

Buffalo, NY 14203

[#] Address correspondence to: iblader@buffalo.edu

[*] Current Address: HarkerBIO, LLC, 700 Ellicott St, Buffalo, NY 14203

Running Title: *Toxoplasma* F-Box Protein Functions in Endodyogeny

Keywords: Cell Cycle, Parasitology, Ubiquitin, Membrane Trafficking, Cytoskeleton

ABSTRACT

By binding to the adaptor protein SKP1 and serving as substrate receptors for the Skp1,

Cullin, F-box E3 ubiquitin ligase complex, F-box proteins regulate critical cellular

processes including cell cycle progression and membrane trafficking. While F-box

proteins are conserved throughout eukaryotes and are well studied in yeast, plants, and

animals, studies in parasitic protozoa are lagging. We have identified eighteen putative

F-box proteins in the *Toxoplasma* genome of which four have predicted homologs in

Plasmodium. Two of the conserved F-box proteins were demonstrated to be important

for *Toxoplasma* fitness and here we focus on an F-box protein, named TgFBXO1,

because it is the most highly expressed by replicative tachyzoites and was also

identified in an interactome screen as a *Toxoplasma* SKP1 binding protein. TgFBXO1

interacts with *Toxoplasma* SKP1 confirming it as a bona fide F-box protein.   In

interphase parasites, TgFBXO1 is a component of the Inner Membrane Complex (IMC),

which is an organelle that underlies the plasma membrane. Early during replication,

TgFBXO1 localizes to the developing daughter cell scaffold, which is the site where the

daughter cell IMC and microtubules form and extend from. TgFBXO1 localization to the

daughter cell scaffold required centrosome duplication but occurred before segregation

of the centrocone, which connects the spindle pole to the centrosomes. Daughter cell

scaffold localization required TgFBXO1 N-myristoylation and was dependent on the

small molecular weight GTPase, TgRab11b. Finally, we demonstrate that TgFBXO1 is

required for parasite growth due to its function as a daughter cell scaffold effector.

TgFBXO1 is the first F-box protein to be studied in apicomplexan parasites and

represents the first protein demonstrated to be important for daughter cell scaffold

function.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

Toxoplasma gondii is a protozoan parasite that can cause devastating and life-

threatening disease in immunocompromised patients and in fetuses. Its replication is

important to study because parasite growth is responsible for the pathology that

develops in toxoplasmosis patients. The parasite replicates by a unique process named

endodyogeny in which two daughter parasites develop within the mother cell. Early

during this process the parasite creates a structure called the Daughter Cell Scaffold

whose function is to mark the site from which daughter parasites will emerge. Here, we

report the identification of one of the first proteins recruited to the Daughter Cell Scaffold

and its importance in executing its function.

INTRODUCTION

Toxoplasma gondii is an intracellular apicomplexan parasite responsible for one of the most common parasitic infections in humans and animals [1, 2]. Infections are initiated by digesting either bradyzoite-containing tissue cysts or sporozoite-laden oocysts that are disrupted in the stomach [3]. Released parasites then infect the small intestine and convert into tachyzoites, which triggers the recruitment of inflammatory cells, which are in turn infected and used to disseminate throughout the host where they convert into long-lived tissue cysts [4, 5]. Occasionally, cysts reactivate and the released parasites will revert to tachyzoites, which replicate by a unique process termed endodyogeny where the two daughter parasites develop within the mother [6]. Since parasite replication underlies onset of disease in toxoplasmosis patients, it is critical to study the mechanisms regulating endodyogeny.

Formation of the inner membrane complex (IMC) is a critical step in endodyogeny. The IMC is a unique organelle comprised of an intermediate-filament like cytoskeletal network and membranous sacs whose outer leaflet anchors the actin-myosin gliding machinery [7-9] whereas the cytoplasmic leaflet is associated with subpellicular microtubules that extend from the apical end of the parasite for most of its length [10, 11]. During daughter cell development, the IMC emerges from a structure named the daughter cell scaffold (DCS) that is located apically to the parasite's outer core of its bipartite centrosome [12, 13]. The DCS serves as the docking site for Rab11b-regulated vesicles containing the material needed to form the emerging IMC [14]. Despite its central role in endodyogeny, it is largely unknown what proteins localize to the DCS nor is it understood how the DCS regulates IMC assembly.

F-box proteins are a family of proteins defined by the presence of a F-box

domain and can be divided into three classes, FBXW, FBXL and FBXO [15] according

to the presence or absence of canonical substrate recognition domains [15, 16]. F-box

proteins are best known as subunits of the SKP1/Cullin/F-box containing E3 ubiquitin

ligase (SCF-E3) complex [17] in which the F-box domain binds SKP1 to recruit itself

and/or other substrates for polyubiquitination [17, 18]. Although well studied in fungi,

plants, and metazoans, the function of F-box proteins in apicomplexan parasites

remains unexplored. Previously, we reported that an O_2-regulated *Toxoplasma* prolyl

hydroxylase modifies a proline residue in TgSKP1, which can then be modified by a

series of glycosyltransferases [19-21]. TgSKP1 prolyl hydroxylation/glycosylation is

predicted to alter F-box protein binding leading to changes in substrate recognition [22].

Here, we identify 18 putative F-box proteins in the *Toxoplasma* genome that,

relative to other organisms, represents a significantly streamlined repertoire of F-box

proteins [23, 24]. We focused on *Toxoplasma* F-box protein 1 (TgFBXO1) and found

that it localizes to the DCS early during endodyogeny and is required for DCS function.

Thus, TgFBXO1 represents the first protein identified to be an executor of DCS function.

RESULTS

Identification of TgFBXO1 as an Apicomplexan Conserved F-box Protein

F-box proteins contain an F-box domain of ~50 amino acids that are organized

into a triple α-helical bundle that mediates binding to SKP1 [25]. We employed a series

of reciprocal BLASTp and hidden Markov searches seeded with 43 F-box sequences

from known F-box proteins including 7 from crystal structures (Figure S1A) and 37 from

two well studied yeast species (Figure S1B), to assemble a list of 18 predicted

Toxoplasma gondii F-box proteins (Table S1; Figure S1C). Five are of the FBXW and

FBXL classes, while the others possess C-terminal domains that are poorly if at all are

related to F-box proteins outside of protists (data not shown). All but TgFBXO10 have

clear homologs in *Neospora caninum* but only four had clear *Plasmodium* homologs,

suggesting rapid evolutionary specialization.

In a parallel study, we sought to identify candidate F-box proteins by investigating

the TgSKP1 interactome. To maximize coverage, TgSKP1 was captured i) from lysates

of extracellular parasites (80% extracellular) prepared at two different detergent

concentrations using bead-bound affinity purified polyclonal antiserum (UOK75)

generated against TgSKP1 [19], or ii) by using bead-bound anti-FLAG M2 monoclonal

antibody to recover TgSKP1 from detergent lysates of extracellular or intracellular

parasites of a strain named TgSKP1[SF] in which the TgSKP1 locus was modified by the

addition of a C-terminal Strep/Flag (SF) tag. To differentiate specific from adventitious

interactors, non-immune rabbit IgG was used as a control for the UOK75

immunoprecipitation, and the parental RHΔ*hxgprt*Δ*ku80* strain was used as a control for

mAb M2 immunoprecipitation. The immunoprecipitates were subjected to nLC-MS/MS

analysis and proteins were assigned from peptide identifications at 1% FDR using

Sequest, and quantified based on Abundance in Proteome Discoverer 2.2.   After

filtering to remove non-specific interactions and major organeller proteins, and relaxing

the protein-level FDR for predicted FBPs from the bioinformatics search (Figure. S1),

twenty-one proteins were identified as candidate SKP1-interacting proteins. Of these,

five were present in both the TgSKP1^{SF} and UOK75 immunoprecipitations (Figures 1A

& S2 and Table S2). These included the expected SCF complex proteins TgSKP1,

CUL-1 and RBX1, a Skp1 glycosyltransferase (GAT1), and TgFBXO1 (TgGT1_310930).

Three additional FBPs predicted from the bioinformatics approach were detected in the

TgSKP1^{SF} immunoprecipitates, but two were assigned only with medium confidence.

We focused efforts on TgFBXO1 because it is conserved in *Plasmodium*, is

important for *Toxoplasma* fitness according to a genome-wide CRISPR screen [26], and

is the most highly expressed *Toxoplasma* F-box protein throughout the tachyzoite cell

cycle   https://toxodb.org/toxo/app/record/gene/TGME49_310930.   To   confirm   that

TgFBXO1 interacts with TgSKP1, we cloned a C-terminal 3XHA epitope tag onto

TgFBXO1 (TgFBXO1^{HA}) via homologous recombination [27]. Western blotting lysates

from TgFBXO1^{HA} expressing parasites but not the parental RHΔhxgprtΔKu80 strain with

anti-HA antibodies revealed a single immunoreactive band at ~100 kDa, which is the

approximate expected molecular weight of TgFBXO1^{HA} (Figure 1B; Input 21% O_2).

Next, TgSKP1 was detected by Western blotting TgFBXO1^{HA} immunoprecipitates with

anti-TgSKP1 antisera (Figure 1B; IP 21% O_2).   Taken together, the FBP and

interactome discovery pipelines led to the identification of TgFBXO1 as an evolutionarily

conserved F-box protein that that stably associates with TgSKP1, and is potentially a

major component of the SCF-E3 complex in *Toxoplasma*.

Previously, we reported that [154]Pro of TgSKP1 is hydroxylated and glycosylated

and that these post-translational modifications are predicted to change the conformation

of the F-box protein-binding region of TgSKP1 as they do in the social amoeba

Dictyostelium discoideum when a conserved proline residue in DdSKP1 is similarly

modified [22]. An O_2-regulated prolyl hydroxylase modifies [154]Pro and under low O_2

conditions can be observed by Western blotting as a decrease in the apparent

molecular weight of TgSKP1 [19, 20, 28] (Figure 1B; TgSKP1 Input Fractions). To test

whether TgSKP1 and TgFBXO1 interactions were O_2-dependent, TgSKP1 levels were

compared in TgFBXO1[HA] immunoprecipitates from parasites grown at either 21% or

0.5% O_2. The data revealed modestly increased levels of TgSKP1/TgFBXO1

interactions at 0.5% O_2 (Figure 1B; compare 21% O_2 to 0.5% O_2).

158   TgFBXO1 is Important for Parasite Growth

Consistent with the finding that it is important for parasite fitness [26], attempts to

generate TgFBXO1 knockout by either CRISPR or insertional mutagenesis were

unsuccessful (not shown). TgFBXO1 was also resistant to tagging with the relatively

large Destabilization Domain [29]. We therefore used a conditional knockdown system

where the TgFBXO1 native promoter was replaced with an anhydrotetracycline (ATC)-

repressible promoter and an amino-terminal 3XHA epitope tag was cloned in frame to

generate [HA(ATC)]TgFBXO1 (Figure 2A) [30]. [HA(ATC)]TgFBXO1 protein was undetectable

after 24 h treatment with 1 μg/ml ATC (Figure 2B). Next, [HA(ATC)]TgFBXO1 growth was

assessed by plaque assay and found that relative to the parental strain growing with or

without ATC that a ~60% decrease in numbers of plaques were present when

HA(ATC)TgFBXO1 expression was decreased (Figures 2C and S2). Moreover, the size of

the HA(ATC)TgFBXO1 plaques that did form were ~70% smaller than plaques formed by

HA(ATC)TgFBXO1 expressing parasites (Figures 2D and S2). Although

TgFBXO1/TgSKP1 interactions were enhanced at 0.5% O_2, this growth phenotype was

not enhanced at low O_2 levels. Therefore the rest of this study's experiments were

performed under normoxic (21% O_2) conditions. Taken together, these data indicate

that TgFBXO1 is important for optimal *Toxoplasma* growth.

177 TgFBXO1 Forms an Apical Structure Early During Endodyogeny

Although detectable by Western blotting, HA(ATC)TgFBXO1 could not be visualized

by immunofluorescence staining suggesting inaccessibility of the N-terminal tag in fixed

parasites. We therefore assessed TgFBXO1 localization using the C-terminal-tagged

TgFBXO1HA strain and found that in interphase parasites TgFBXO1 localized to the

apical end of the parasite and to the periphery where it appeared to colocalize with the

IMC protein IMC3, which is a cytoskeletal IMC protein (Figure 3A; G1) [31]. We next

examined TgFBXO1 localization during endodyogeny and found that early during

endodyogeny TgFBXO1 localized to a perinuclear location before IMC3 associated with

daughter IMCs (Figure 3A; S-phase). Later during M-phase (note lobe-shaped nuclei

that are migrating into nascent daughter cells), TgFBXO1 remained primarily at the

apical end of the growing daughter parasites while IMC3 extended posteriorly (Figure

3A; M-phase).

ISP1 is another IMC protein that is inserted into the membranous alveoli via fatty

acylation. Before IMC3 associates with the daughter IMC, ISP1 forms an apical cap

structure in the daughter parasites that remains throughout endodyogeny and into

interphase [32]. Comparing ISP1 and TgFBXO1 localization revealed that TgFBXO1

attained its perinuclear localization before ISP1 did (Figure 3B). As parasites

progressed through endodyogeny, the ISP1 cap was found near TgFBXO1 although

TgFBXO1 was distinct and apical to ISP1 suggesting that the two proteins were in

distinct structures. In interphase parasites, apical TgFBXO1 staining did not colocalize

with RNG1, which is a component of the parasite's microtubule organizing center, which

is apical to the ISP1 cap (Figure S4) [33], indicating that these two proteins also reside

in distinct structures.

We next used biochemical extraction to assess how TgFBXO1 associates with

the IMC [34]. Parasites were mechanically lysed under hypo-osmotic conditions and

cytoskeletal fractions were collected by low speed centrifugation. The pellets were

resuspended in isotonic buffer and IMC embedded proteins were differentially extracted

with either high salt (to disrupt electrostatic interactions), Triton X-100 (to extract

membrane-associated proteins) or SDS (to extract cytoskeletal proteins). TgFBXO1

was extracted with both Triton and SDS indicating that it is most likely associated with

the IMC as a membrane-associated protein in a manner similar to ISP1 (Figure 3C).

However, we noted that in contrast to ISP1 that was exclusively associated with the IMC

that a significant proportion of TgFBXO1 was soluble.

The first step in endodyogeny is duplication of the parasite's novel bipartite

centrosome followed by duplication of the centrocone (the parasite's unique spindle

compartment), assembly of subpellicular microtubules, formation of the IMC, and finally

daughter cell budding and emergence [6, 12, 35]. To more definitively determine when

during endodyogeny the TgFBXO1 apical structure forms, TgFBXO1[HA] parasites were

fixed and stained with anti-TgCentrin-1 antibodies to detect the outer centrosome core.

We were able to detect parasites where TgFBXO1 remained associated with the mother

IMC but containing duplicated outer centrosome cores (Figure 4A; S) indicating that

centrosome duplication precedes TgFBXO1 recruitment to the forming daughter

parasite.   As endodyogeny progressed, TgFBXO1 were positioned apically to the

duplicated outer centrosome cores (Figure 4A; EM) and as mitosis progressed (denoted

by segregating nuclei), TgCentrin-1 staining was associated with medial segments of

TgFBXO1 structures (Figure 4A; LM).

Toxoplasma genome duplication and daughter cell development are coordinated

by the parasite MAP kinase, TgMAPK-L1, and in the absence of TgMAPK-L1 signaling

centrosome duplication continues although IMC development cannot [30]. We therefore

tested whether the apical TgFBXO1 structures formed independently of centrosome

duplication by treating TgFBXO1[HA] parasites with either DMSO (vehicle control) or the

TgMAPK-L1 inhibitor, SB505124 [36]. In contrast to control parasites, TgFBXO1[HA]

staining remained peripheral in SB505124-treated parasites containing ≥2 outer

centrosome cores and numbers of apical TgFBXO1 structures in daughter parasites

were significantly reduced (Figure 4B&C). Although it is unclear how TgMAPKL1

signaling is linked to TgFBXO1 apical structure formation, these data indicate that

formation of this structure is dependent on the parasite signaling that genome

duplication is completed

The *Toxoplasma* centrocone duplicates and separates after centrosome

duplication but before ISP and IMC proteins form the daughter cell bud [30, 37]. We

therefore compared timing of TgFBXO1 apical structure formation and centrocone

duplication/migration by staining TgFBXO1[HA] cells with antibodies against the

centrocone protein TgNuf2 [38]. We identified parasites with distinct TgFBXO1 apical

structures that contained duplicated centrocones (note the lobed appearance of TgNuf2

structures) that had not yet separated (Figure 4D; S).

Subpellicular microtubules, which provide positional and structural cues for

daughter cell IMC development and organization [11], form after centrosome duplication

and centrocone separation [39, 40]. To assess the relationship between the TgFBXO1

apical structures and daughter cell microtubules, TgFBXO1[HA] cells were stained with

antibodies against acetylated tubulin, which denote stable microtubule filaments [41].

Parasites were identified that contained TgFBXO1 apical staining but before acetylated

tubulin could be detected in the daughter cells (Figure 5A). As cell cycle progressed,

acetylated tubulin colocalized with apical TgFBXO1 although F-box protein staining was

consistently more apical.

To test whether TgFBXO1 apical localization was dependent on microtubule

assembly, TgFBXO1[HA] parasites were treated with either DMSO or oryzalin (2.5 μM),

which blocks polymerization of parasite but not host microtubules [40]. Oryzalin

treatment, which caused the expected defects in microtubule assembly and cytokinesis

[42], led to an accumulation of apical TgFBXO1 staining with most parasites possessing

8 structures (Figure 5B&C), indicating that TgFBXO1 apical localization was

independent of microtubules.

TgFBXO1 Is a Component of the Daughter Cell Scaffold

Collectively, the localization data indicate that TgFBXO1 is recruited to a structure that lies apically to the outer centrosome core as well as the emerging IMC. The DCS, which serves as a nucleation site for the daughter IMC and microtubules to emerge from, has such a localization [35, 43]. The small molecular weight GTPase TgRab11b localizes early during endodyogeny to the DCS and regulates IMC protein trafficking to the nascent IMC [14]. To compare TgRab11b and TgFBXO1[HA] localization, TgFBXO1[HA] parasites were transfected with a Rab11b expression construct which contains a N-terminal myc-tagged ddFKBP domain to control protein levels by adding a synthetic ligand, Shield1 [14]. Parasites grown in the presence of low Shield1 concentrations (0.1 µM) express low levels of TgRab11b with no apparent effect on growth [14]. Under these conditions, examination of >50 parasites in early S-phase revealed thatTgFBXO1 and TgRab11b co-localized indicating that TgFBXO1 is a DCS component (Figure 6A; S). On the other hand, the two proteins displayed limited colocalization in interphase parasites (Figure 6A; G1).

To test whether DCS targeting of TgFBXO1 is TgRab11b dependent, TgRab11b-transfected TgFBXO1[HA] parasites were grown with 1 µM Shield1, which leads to TgRab11b dysfunction due to its overexpression [14]. Under these conditions, overexpressed TgRab11b was mislocalized from the DCS and Golgi to the periphery (Figure 6A 1 bottom panels), which is consistent with [14]. TgFBXO1 was also mislocalized but unlike TgRab11b appeared as cytoplasmic punctae.

The appearance of TgFBXO1 cytoplasmic punctae in TgRab11b overexpressing

parasites suggests that TgFBXO1 traffics to the DCS via a membrane trafficking

pathway.   In addition, TgFBXO1 detergent extractability from the IMC (Figure 3C)

suggested that it is membrane-associated.   The amino acid sequence of TgFBXO1

predicts neither a transmembrane domain nor a signal sequence but does have a

consensus N-myristoylation site at ^{2}Gly and this site is conserved amongst the

coccidian TgFBXO1 homologs (Figures S5 and S6).   To test whether this potential N-

myristoylation site is important for DCS and IMC targeting, RHΔhxgprtΔKu80 parasites

were transfected to express either wild-type TgFBXO1 (ptubTgFBXO1^{HA}) or a mutant

where ^{2}Gly is mutated to an alanine (ptubTgFBXO1^{HAG2A}).   In both interphase and

mitotic parasites, ptubTgFBXO1^{HA} was properly localized to the periphery (arrow) and

DCS (arrow heads), respectively (Figure 6B).   In contrast, ptubTgFBXO1^{HAG2A}

localization appeared to be largely cytoplasmic and was not localized to either the IMC

or DCS.

TgFBXO1 is Important for DCS Function

To test the importance of TgFBXO1 in DCS function, $^{HA(ATC)}$TgFBXO1 (or

parental TATiΔKu80) parasites were grown in the absence or presence of ATC, fixed 1-

5 days later and TgCentrin-1/ISP1 were detected by immunofluorescence staining.   In

TgFBXO1-depleted daughter parasites, ISP1 was localized near TgCentrin-1 but it was

disorganized and the typical apical caps observed in untreated parasites were lacking

(Figures 7A and S7A).   Development of this phenotype was time dependent and by 5

days post-infection, was evident in ~76% parasites.   Similarly, the ISP1 cap normally

found at the mother cell's apical end was absent in ~80% of TgFBXO1-depleted

parasites by 5 days post-infection (not shown).

Next, we assessed IMC3 localization and observed a time-dependent increase in

IMC3-containing daughter cell buds that were malformed relative to TgCentrin-1. This

phenotype was displayed as either: i) IMC3-labelled daughter buds associated with

nuclei but centrin-1 staining was absent (Figures 7B (arrows) and S7B). ii) Dividing

parasites with only a single IMC (Figures 7B (triangles) and S7B). iii) Nuclei devoid of

both TgCentrin1 and IMC3 (Figures 7B (asterisks) and S7B). We quantified numbers of

$^{HA(ATC)}$TgFBXO1-depleted parasites with IMC3 defects and found that, like ISP1, they

progressively increased to ~55% of the parasites counted. Finally, we also noted

interphase parasites containing IMC3 whirls (Figures 7B (**X**) and S7B;), which was

reminiscent of the effect of TgRab11b overexpression on IMC3 localization [14].

Besides IMC development, the DCS also promotes assembly of the daughter cell

subpellicular microtubules. To examine whether microtubule assembly is affected in

TgFBXO1-depleted parasites, $^{HA(ATC)}$TgFBXO1 parasites were grown in the absence or

presence of ATC and then newly assembled microtubules were imaged using anti-

acetylated tubulin antibodies. In contrast to parasites grown in the absence of ATC,

microtubules in $^{HA(ATC)}$TgFBXO1 parasites with ATC were often missing or not properly

organized by five days after adding ATC (Figures 7C and S7C). However, these

cytoskeletal defects took longer to develop than either the ISP1 or IMC3 phenotypes

suggesting that TgFBXO1 likely regulates IMC development and that the microtubule

phenotypes are a secondary consequence.

Identification of DCS Microdomains

Having established TgFBXO1 as a DCS effector protein, we next used super

resolution microscopy to gain insight into the organization and structure of this complex.

First, we sought to define the spatial organization between the DCS and the developing

IMC by staining dividing TgFBXO1^{HA} parasites with anti-IMC3 and anti-HA antibodies.

At an early stage during endodyogeny (after IMC3 begins to accumulate at the daughter

cell bud but before it is cleared from the mother cell IMC), TgFBXO1 was found to

accumulate as a distinct cap-like structure that was positioned apically from IMC3

structure (Figure 8A; Video S1). However, we also noted that TgFBXO1 extended

posteriorly from the cap. In addition, TgFBXO1 was not homogenously distributed

throughout the DCS but rather appeared as radial spikes (Figure 8A). TgFBXO1^{HA}

expressing parasites were similarly stained to detect TgFBXO1 and ISP1. In contrast to

IMC3 whose localization was distinct from TgFBXO1, ISP1 was more proximal to

TgFBXO1 although TgFBXO1 was positioned more apical than ISP1 (Figure 8B and

Video S2).

As noted earlier, TgRab11b is another DCS-localized protein. Thus, we

assessed TgRab11b and TgFBXO1 localization in dividing parasites using super

resolution microscopy. Remarkably, TgFBXO1 and TgRab11b localization did not

overlap in the DCS but instead appeared to localize to distinct regions and in some

cases appeared to be interdigitated although we cannot exclude the possibility that

antigen accessibility limits detection of either protein (Figure 8C and Video S3).

However, we discount this possibility since examination of > 50 parasites revealed only

interdigitation but no colocalization between TgFBXO1 and TgRab11b. Taken together,

these data indicate the DCS is likely composed of at least different microdomains and

that TgFBXO1 and TgRab11b are distinct markers for each.

DISCUSSION

Comprising a ubiquitous eukaryotic protein family, F-box proteins play important roles in diverse biological functions [15, 16]. Although extensively studied in metazoans, plants, and fungi, little is known about their function(s) in protists and in particular apicomplexans. Here, we identified 18 putative *Toxoplasma* F-box proteins, which approximates the number found in two well studied yeast systems but is considerably less than the number in *Dictyostelium*, humans and other organisms [23, 24]. TgFBXO1 was reported to be important for parasite fitness [26]; a finding we corroborate using a conditional expression system. We also demonstrated by reciprocal co-immunoprecipitation assays that TgSKP1 and TgFBXO1 interact with each other supporting TgFBXO1 designation as a F-box protein. We further found that TgFBXO1 is a DCS component; a conclusion supported by multiple lines of data. First, it localizes to a structure positioned apically to the daughter cell's outer centrosome core and developing IMC. Second, daughter cell TgFBXO1 apical structures form before ISP1 and IMC3 recruitment to developing daughter parasites. Third, TgFBXO1 becomes localized to the DCS after centrosome duplication but before centrocone separation. Finally, TgFBXO1 colocalized with TgRab11b at the DCS, albeit in distinct microdomains, and TgFBXO1 recruitment to the DCS was TgRab11b-dependent.

Sequence homology-based studies suggest that proteins related to TgFBXO1 consist of at least 3 domains (Figure S5). The C-terminal domain and features of the middle predicted F-box domain are conserved in proteins throughout the alveolate clade of protists (Figure S5), which includes ciliates and dinoflagellates as well as apicomplexans. The protein acquired a 330-amino acid N-terminal domain only in

Toxoplasma and closely related cyst-forming coccidia (no trace of this sequence exists

in other extant proteins).   Thus, a core function of the TgFBXO1 clade may have

emerged early in evolution to serve a coccidia specific function, such as organization of

the membranous villi that lie underneath the plasma membrane, which is the IMC in

apicomplexans [44-46].

Decreased TgFBXO1 expression had distinct effects on two types of IMC

proteins. The IMC alveoli meshwork embedded protein IMC3 formed daughter cell

IMCs that were not properly aligned with the outer centrosome core. In contrast, ISP1,

which is component of a distinct IMC subcompartment, was unable to form its apical

cap structure during endodyogeny and this phenotype was maintained in interphase

parasites. It should be noted that ISP1 is not an essential gene thus indicating that it is

likely that the observed growth defects are not due to ISP1 [32] but rather ISP1 is

serving as a marker for the ISP compartment. While these data are consistent with an

emerging model in which IMC components are recruited to the daughter cell through

distinct mechanisms [32], they also reveal that the DCS is required for both to occur. It

is also possible that only ISP1 or IMC3 require TgFBXO1 and that defects observed

with the other protein is a downstream effect.   Discrimination between these two

possibilities awaits characterization of TgFBXO1-interacting proteins.

IMC protein trafficking to the DCS and emerging bud is a poorly understood

process. The small molecular weight GTPase, TgRab11b, is required for this process

although it remains unclear where it executes its function and what are its effectors [14].

TgRab11b and TgFBXO1 both localize to the DCS but their effects on IMC proteins

differed – defects in IMC formation vs misaligned daughter buds, respectively.   These

differences could be due to different approaches to achieving target protein loss of

function (overexpression of an inactive TgRab11b mutant and TgFBXO1 knockdown) or

could represent distinct roles for TgRab11b and TgFBXO1 in IMC biogenesis and

organization. But given that TgFBXO1 requires TgRab11b for its DCS localization, we

favor a model where TgRab11b functions to recruit diverse types of cargo and

membrane vesicles to the DCS and that TgFBXO1 is important for executing DCS

function in the development of the new IMC. This model is consistent with our finding

that the DCS is composed of distinct microdomains and that each likely has distinct

functions.

Fatty acylation is emerging as a critical regulator of targeting of IMC and IMC-

related proteins to an emerging apicomplexan daughter cells although different proteins

utilize different combinations of fatty acid moieties [32, 47-50]. Here, we report that N-

myristoylation is required for TgFBXO1 targeting to the DCS. Since N-myristoylation is

thought to mediate protein-membrane interactions and is a co-translational process [51,

52], it was surprising that ~50% of total TgFBXO1 protein was soluble. Explanations for

this could include a TgFBXO1-interacting protein that acts to sequester the N-terminal

myristoylated glycine or that N-myristoylation of TgFBXO1 occurs post-translationally.

While our future work will address these possible mechanisms, these data suggest that

TgFBXO1 fatty acylation is a dynamic process that regulates the proteins trafficking and

targeting.

Using a conditional expression system, TgFBXO1 was found to be required for

optimal parasite growth most likely due to its function in regulating IMC development

and organization. TgFBXO1 expression using this system leads to undetectable levels

of the tagged protein within 24 h after adding ATC. Yet, the IMC defects were only

minimally evident at this time point but rather accumulated with time over the 120 h time

course that we performed. One reason for such a delay is that low but undetectable

levels of TgFBXO1 are sufficient to sustain replication, a finding previously reported for

Toxoplasma actin [53]. Alternatively, a second F-box protein can compensate for the

loss of TgFBXO1 albeit not as efficiently.

We are currently unable to differentiate whether TgFBXO1 acts in a SCF-E3

ubiquitin ligase dependent or independent manner [15, 54, 55]. It is possible that

TgFBXO1 functions in IMC protein ubiquitylation to regulate their turnover and/or

targeting during endodyogeny. Such a scenario is supported by the finding that many

ubiquitylated *Toxoplasma* proteins are cell cycle regulated including ISP1 and a large

number of other IMC proteins [56]. It is also possible that TgFBXO1 is itself an SCF-E3

substrate and its ubiquitylation is required during endodyogeny for either trafficking of

TgFBXO1 from the IMC to the DCS or for its turnover from the mature IMC early during

endodyogeny. It is noteworthy that a parasite deubiquitinase, TgOTUD3 [15, 54, 55, 57,

58] was recently reported to coordinate mitosis and cytokinesis by properly matching

the correct numbers of centrosomes with numbers of daughter buds. However, we do

not believe that TgFBXO1 functions in this manner because in contrast to TgOTUD3,

loss of TgFBXO1 leads to unmatched numbers of outer centrosome cores and daughter

buds without an increase in parasite ploidy.

Alternatively, TgFBXO1 may function in a SCF-E3 ubiquitin ligase independent

manner [59-61].   Such a mechanism could occur by the TgSKP1/TgFBXO1

heterodimer, which according to precedent is expected to have high affinity [62-64],

being incorporated into a supramolecular complex where TgSKP1 is shielded from

interacting with cullin-1 to form a functional SCF complex. Recent cryo-EM studies of

the yeast kinetochore provide an example of how the Skp1/Ctf13 (a yeast F-box protein)

complex contributes to kinetochore structure in a way where Skp1 is inaccessible to

Cul-1 interaction [60, 61]. Certainly, it is conceivable that SCF-E3 dependent or

independent mechanisms need not be mutually exclusive, because association with the

SCF-E3 could be a mechanism to turn over excess TgFBXO1 that is not associated

with the DCS or IMC.

In summary, this work represents the first investigation of F-box proteins in

apicomplexan parasites and reveals the first DCS-localized protein that is required for

DCS function. Identification of TgFBXO1-interacting proteins will allow us to determine

how TgFBXO1 executes this function. In addition, it remains to be determined whether

TgFBXO1/TgSKP1 interactions are regulated by O_2-dependent hydroxylation and

glycosylation of TgSKP1 and whether these impact DCS function or another process.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

F-box Homology Search Methods

Previously, 4 putative F-box-like motifs were identified in the *Toxoplasma* genome based on a hidden Markov algorithm [65]. Further BLASTp [66] and hidden Markov approaches using F-box-like motifs originating from known F-box domains from 7 crystal structures (Figure S1A) and the entire collection of budding and fission yeast F-box proteins (Figure S1B) identified 14 additional putative F-box proteins in *Toxoplasma* (Figure S1C). Databases searched included EupathD (https://eupathdb.org/eupathdb/) (*Toxoplasma*, *Neospora caninum*, *Plasmodium* spp, and *Eimeria* spp.), *Saccharomyces* Genome Database (https://www.yeastgenome.org), and PomBase (https://www.pombase.org) (*Schizosaccharomyces*). Each candidate was used to re-search the genome until a comprehensive candidate list was created, which was trimmed of sequences that exceeded the range of variation at individual positions of known F-box sequences (see Figures S1A and S1B) with greatest emphasis given to the pattern of hydrophobic residues. Other domain identities were searched using Conserved Domains and Protein Classification search (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/cdd.shtml) based on database CDDV3.05-42589 PSSMs with E<0.1 [67]. Searches were also performed at Uniprot (www.uniprot.org/).

To identify TgFBXO1 homologues, the NCBI non-redundant database (November 2018) was searched using the standard BLASTp algorithm at e<100, initially with N-, F-, and C-domains (Figure S2) of TgFBXO1 as query sequences. Except for the F-domain, only sequences from alveolates were retrieved. Repeat searches with

non-apicomplexan alveolates failed to identify additional sequences outside of

alveolates. Top-scoring sequences representing the diversity of known alveolate

genomes were initially aligned in COBALT and manually curated for optimal alignment

of hydrophobic residues and minimal indels except adjacent to Gly and Pro residues.

Cell Lines and *Toxoplasma* Strains

All parasite strains, including parental RHΔ*hxgprt*, TgSKP1[SF] [20], TATiΔ*ku80*

[68] and RHΔ*hxgprt*Δ*ku80*) strains [69], were maintained in human foreskin fibroblasts

(ATCC; Manassas, VA) in Dulbecco's Modification of Eagle's Medium (DMEM) (VWR;

Radnor, PA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (VWR), 2 mM L-glutamine

(VWR), and 100 IU/ml penicillin – 100 µg/ml streptomycin (VWR). Parasites were

released from host cells by passage through a 27-gauge needle [70]. All parasite

strains and host cell lines were routinely tested for Mycoplasma contamination with the

MycoAlert Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) and found to be

negative.

Endogenous Epitope Tagging.

The [HA(ATC)]TgFBXO1 conditional expression mutant containing an N-terminal 3x-

HA tag was generated by amplifying the 5' end of TgFBXO1 using the following primers

(regions of homology to TgFBXO1 are underlined) 5`-

GCCAGATCTATGGGCAACACGGAATCC-3`                    and                    5`

GCCGCGGCCGCCGATTGATTTTCATGAACCAGTGTG 3`, digested with BglII/NotI

and ligated to replace the CEP250 cassette in ptetO7sag4-HA-CEP250-DHFR-TS [30].

The resulting construct was linearized, transfected into the TATiΔku80 strain [30, 68],

and clones isolated by limiting dilution using pyrimethamine resistance.

TgFBXO1[HA], containing a C-terminal 3X-HA tag, was generating by PCR

amplifying the 3' region of TgFBXO1 using primers 5'-

TACTTCCAATCCAA<u>TTTAGCTGAAGCAAGTCCCGAAAGAT</u> 3` AND 5'-

TCCTCCACTTCCAATTTTAGC<u>CGCATTGGCTCCGCCCT</u> 3` and incorporated into

plasmid pLIC-HA3X-HXGPRT via ligation independent cloning [69]. The construct was

linearized and transfected into RHΔ*hxgprt*Δ*ku80* strain by electroporation and clones

isolated by limiting dilution using mycophenolic acid/xanthine resistance.

ptubTgFBXO1[HA] was generated by synthesizing TgFBXO1 coding sequence

(GenScript, Piscataway, NJ) and ligating it into p5RT70DDmycRab11b-HXGPRT [14] to

replace the DDmycRab11b cassette. Mutation of glycine at position 2 to alanine was

accomplished using the Quick-Change Mutagenesis kit (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) to

generate ptubTgFBXO1[HAG2A].

TgSKP1 Interactome Studies

Anti-TgSKP1 (UOK75) was affinity purified using TgSkp1, as described before for

Dictyostelium Skp1A [28], bound to protein A/G magnetic agarose beads (Pierce,

78609) at 4 mg IgG protein/ml, and stably cross-linked with dimethyl pimelimidate as

described [71]. Non-immune rabbit IgG (Jackson ImmunoResearch; West Grove, PA)

was coupled in parallel in identical fashion. SDS-PAGE analysis indicated that >90% of

the bound IgG was covalently linked. TgSKP1[SF] was captured using anti-FLAG M2

agarose magnetic beads (Sigma) . The minimal volume of beads required to maximally

capture TgSKP1 (~60%) or TgSKP1^{SF} (~80%) was determined by titration and Western

blot analysis (data not shown).

RHΔ*hxgprt*Δ*ku80* or TgSKP1^{SF} (RHΔ*hxgprt*Δ*ku80*;*CAT*$^+$) tachyzoites were

harvested from infected HFF monolayers by scraping and passage through a 27-gauge

needle, centrifuged at 2000 × *g* for 8' at room temperature, resuspended in phosphate-

buffered saline, counted, pelleted, and frozen at -80°C. For TgSKP1^{SF} and control

untagged strain co-IPs with mAb M2, lysates were prepared from both intracellular (IC),

and extracellular parasites (EC). For the anti-TgSKP1 co-IPs with UOK75 and control

non-immune IgG, RHΔ*hxgprt*Δ*ku80* parasites (90% intracellular) were analyzed at two

detergent concentrations. Frozen parasite pellets (2-6 × 10^8) were resuspended on ice

in IP buffer (50 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.4 with 0.2, 0.5 or 1% Nonidet P-40), varied

(100 or 150 mM) NaCl concentration, and protease inhibitors (1 mM PMSF, 10 µg/ml

aprotinin, 10 µg/ml leupeptin). Lysates were centrifuged at 21,000 × *g* for 20 min at

4°C, and supernatants (1.2 ×10^8 cell equivalents) wer incubated with 10 µl of antibody-

conjugated beads under slow rotation for 1 h at 4°C. Beads were captured in a

DynaMag-2 magnet (Life Technologies) the unbound fraction removed according to the

manufacturer's directions.   Beads were then washed 3× with corresponding IP buffer,

3× with 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 50 mM NaCl, and once with 50 mM NaCl in water.

Bound proteins were eluted twice with 60 µl 133 mM triethylamine (TEA, Sequencing

Grade, Pierce, 25108) by incubating for 15' at 25°C and then immediate neutralization

with 40 µl of 0.2 M acetic acid. The eluted fractions were pooled, dried under vacuum

and reconstituted in 8 M urea in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4). The reconstituted eluates

were reduced in 10 mM DTT for 40 min at 25°C and alkylated in 50 mM 2-

chloroacetamide for 30' at 25°C. Samples were then diluted to 2 M urea with 10 mM

Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) and digested with 10 µg/ml Trypsin Gold (Mass Spectrometry Grade,

Promega; Madison, WI) overnight at 25°C. Trypsin activity was quenched in 1%

trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, Pierce) on ice for 15' and centrifuged at 1,800 × g for 15 min at

4°C to remove precipitate. Peptides were enriched by adsorption to C18 pipette tips

(Bond Elut OMIX C18, Agilent; Sunnyvale, CA) and eluted in 100 µl 50% (v/v)

acetonitrile (ACN, Optima^{TM} LC/MS Grade, Thermo Fisher; Waltham, MA), 0.1% (v/v)

formic acid (FA, LC-MS Grade, Pierce), followed by 100 µl 75% ACN, 0.1% formic acid.

Eluted material was vacuum dried and reconstituted in 40 µl 5% ACN, 0.05% TFA.

4 to 8 µl of the reconstituted peptides were loaded onto an Acclaim PepMap C18

trap column (300 µm, 100 Å) in 2% ACN, 0.05% TFA at 5 µl/min, eluted onto an

Acclaim PepMap C18 column (75 µm × 150 mm, 2 µm, 100 Å), and eluted with a linear

gradient consisting of 4-90% solvent B (solvent A: 0.1% FA; solvent B: 90% ACN,

0.08% FA) over 180 min at a flow rate of 300 nl/min on an Ultimate 3000 RSLCnano

UHPLC system, and eluted into the ion source of an Orbitrap QE+ mass spectrometer

(Thermo Fisher). The spray voltage was set to 1.9 kV and the temperature of the heated

capillary was 280°C. Full MS scans were acquired from m/z 350 to 2000 at 70k

resolution, and MS^2 scans following higher energy collision-induced dissociation (HCD,

30) were collected for the Top10 most intense ions, with a 30" dynamic exclusion.

Intervening blank runs ensured absence of carryover between replicates. The acquired

raw spectra were analyzed using Sequest HT (Proteome Discoverer 2.2, Thermo

Fisher) with a full MS peptide tolerance of 10 ppm and MS^2 peptide fragment tolerance

of 0.02 Da, and filtered to generate a 1% target decoy peptide-spectrum match (PSM)

false discovery rate for protein assignments, which were filtered at 1% FDR or relaxed

at the protein level to 5% FDR (as indicated). Variable modifications were Met oxidation,

Q and N deamidation, and N-terminal acetylation; static modification was Cys

carbamidomethylation.

Plaque Assays

One hundred parasites were added to each well of a 6 well plate containing

confluent HFFs in the presence or absence of 1µg/ml ATC. After 5 days, monolayers

were methanol-fixed, stained with 0.1% crystal violet, and counted as described [72].

Briefly, plaques imaged using an Olympus SZ61 stereomicroscope equipped with a

video camera. Plaque areas were measured using ImageJ software

(https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/).

Immunoprecipitation

TgFBXO1[HA] tachyzoites grown at 21% or 0.5% O_2 (in a Baker Hypoxia Chamber

(Sanford, ME) were harvested by syringe lysis and washed in ice-cold PBS. Parasites

($1x10^8$) were resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4 with 1% Triton X-100, 100 mM

NaCl, 1 mM NaF, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.2 mM Na_3VO_4, 1X protease inhibitor cocktail

(Thermo Fisher Scientific), incubated on ice for 30 min and then subjected to sonication.

Lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 16,000 xg, incubated with mouse α-anti-HA

clone 12CA5 conjugated with protein G beads (Sigma-Aldrich) for 16 hours at 4°C.

Immune complexes were separated with SDS-PAGE and then Western blotted with

antibodies against rat anti-HA clone 3F10 (Roche) or rabbit anti-TgSKP1 UOK75 [19]

IMC Fractionation

IMC fractionation was done essentially as described in [34]. Briefly, freshly harvested tachyzoites were resuspended in hypotonic lysis buffer (10 mM Tris HCl pH 7.8, 5 mM NaCl) and lysed by repeated freeze thawing followed by centrifugation at 1,000 x*g* to generate fractions S1 (supernatant) and P1 (IMC). S1 was collected and centrifuged at 100,000 x*g* and fractions S2 (cytosol) and P2 (non-IMC membrane) were collected. Fraction P1 was resuspended in isotonic buffer (10 mM Tris HCl pH 7.8, 150 mM NaCl). SDS or Triton X-100 in isotonic buffer were added to P1 to final concentration of 1% and then centrifuged at 16,000 x*g*. Alternatively, P1 fraction in the presence of 500 mM NaCl were centrifuged at 100,000 x*g* for 1 h to isolate proteins that interact with the IMC via electrostatic interactions. All manipulations were performed at 4ºC and all buffers were supplemented with 1X Protease Inhibitor cocktail.

Immunofluorescence Microscopy

Toxoplasma-infected HFFs grown on coverslips were fixed either with 4% w/v paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 20 min at room temperature or by ice-cold methanol for 15 min. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min, blocked in 5% w/v bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS for 60 min, incubated overnight with primary antibodies (Supplemental Table S2) at 4ºC. Coverslips were washed with PBS and then incubated for 60 'with Alexa Fluor 488- or Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:2000, Thermo Fisher Scientific). DNA was stained either by incubation with 1 µg/ml DAPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 5

minutes followed by mounting in ProLong Glass Antifade Mountant (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) or with DAPI-containing VECTASHIELD mounting medium (Vector Labs;

Burlingame, CA). Images were acquired using a 100X Plan Apo oil immersion 1.46

numerical aperture lens on a motorized Zeiss Axioimager M2 microscope equipped with

an Orca ER charge-coupled-device (CCD) camera (Hamamatsu, Bridgewater, NJ).

Images were collected as a 0.2-µm z-increment serial image stacks, processed using

Volocity (version 6.1, Acquisition Module (Improvision Inc., Lexington, MA)).   Images

were deconvolved by a constrained iterative algorithm, pseudocolored, and merged

using the Volocity Restoration Module.

For super resolution microscopy, 20 images were acquired as 0.15 µM z-

increment serial images using Leica Hyvolution Acquisition Software (Leica; Buffalo

Grove, IL) with a Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope equipped with a 100x/1.47 TIRF

oil immersion objective lens and both white light laser (470nm-670nm) and 405 nm

diode laser.   Image datasets were then deconvolved using Huygens deconvolution

software (Hilversum, Netherlands) and 3D volumes generated Leica visualization

software. Images from same experiments were processed using identical settings.

Unless otherwise noted, data were quantified from at least 50 randomly selected

images for each condition from three independently performed experiments.

Statistical Analyses

When appropriate, one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test or Student's t test

was performed with GraphPad Prism (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA).

<u>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</u>

We would like to thank Drs. Peter Bradley, Vern Carruthers, Marc Jan Gubbels, Markus

Meissner, Naomi Morrissette, and Elena Suvorova for providing reagents and/or

valuable discussion, Kazi Rahman for assistance in predicting F-box domains, and

Peng Zhao, Lance Wells, and Steve Hartson for advice on the proteomic studies.

REFERENCES

1.   Guo M, Mishra A, Buchanan RL, Dubey JP, Hill DE, Gamble HR, et al. A

Systematic Meta-Analysis of *Toxoplasma gondii* Prevalence in Food Animals in the

United States. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2016;13(3):109-18. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2015.2070.

PubMed PMID: 26854596.

2.   Pappas G, Roussos N, Falagas ME. Toxoplasmosis snapshots: global status of

Toxoplasma gondii seroprevalence and implications for pregnancy and congenital

toxoplasmosis. Int J Parasitol. 2009;39(12):1385-94. Epub 2009/05/13. doi:

10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.04.003. PubMed PMID: 19433092.

3.   Dubey JP. History of the discovery of the life cycle of *Toxoplasma gondii*. Int J

Parasitol. 2009;39(8):877-82. Epub 2009/07/25. PubMed PMID: 19630138.

4.   Bierly AL, Shufesky WJ, Sukhumavasi W, Morelli AE, Denkers EY. Dendritic cells

expressing plasmacytoid marker PDCA-1 are Trojan horses during *Toxoplasma gondii*

infection. J Immunol. 2008;181(12):8485-91. Epub 2008/12/04. doi: 181/12/8485 [pii].

PubMed PMID: 19050266.

5.   Weiss LM, Kim K. The development and biology of bradyzoites of *Toxoplasma*

gondii. Front Biosci. 2000;5:D391-405. PubMed PMID: 10762601.

6.   Sheffield HG, Melton ML. The fine structure and reproduction of *Toxoplasma*

gondii. J Parasitol. 1968;54(2):209-26. PubMed PMID: 5647101.

7.   Carruthers V, Boothroyd JC. Pulling together: an integrated model of

Toxoplasma cell invasion. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2007;10(1):83-9. Epub 2006/07/14. doi:

S1369-5274(06)00100-7 [pii] 10.1016/j.mib.2006.06.017. PubMed PMID: 16837236.

8.   Russell DG, Sinden RE. Three-dimensional study of the intact cytoskeleton of

coccidian sporozoites. Int J Parasitol. 1982;12(2-3):221-6. PubMed PMID: 7076393.

9.   Mann T, Beckers C. Characterization of the subpellicular network, a filamentous

membrane skeletal component in the parasite *Toxoplasma gondii*. Mol Biochem

Parasitol. 2001;115(2):257-68. PubMed PMID: 11420112.

10.   Mann T, Gaskins E, Beckers C. Proteolytic processing of TgIMC1 during

maturation of the membrane skeleton of *Toxoplasma gondii*. J Biol Chem.

2002;277(43):41240-6. PubMed PMID: 12177058.

11.   Morrissette NS, Murray JM, Roos DS. Subpellicular microtubules associate with

an intramembranous particle lattice in the protozoan parasite *Toxoplasma gondii*. J Cell

Sci. 1997;110(Pt 1):35-42. PubMed PMID: 9010782.

12.   Suvorova ES, Francia M, Striepen B, White MW. A novel bipartite centrosome

coordinates the apicomplexan cell cycle. PLoS Biol. 2015;13(3):e1002093. doi:

10.1371/journal.pbio.1002093. PubMed PMID: 25734885; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC4348508.

13.   Francia ME, Striepen B. Cell division in apicomplexan parasites. Nat Rev

Microbiol. 2014;12(2):125-36. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3184. PubMed PMID: 24384598.

14.   Agop-Nersesian C, Egarter S, Langsley G, Foth BJ, Ferguson DJ, Meissner M.

Biogenesis of the inner membrane complex is dependent on vesicular transport by the

alveolate specific GTPase Rab11B. PLoS Pathog. 2010;6(7):e1001029. doi:

10.1371/journal.ppat.1001029. PubMed PMID: 20686666; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC2912401.

15.   Nelson DE, Randle SJ, Laman H. Beyond ubiquitination: the atypical functions of

Fbxo7   and   other   F-box   proteins.   Open   Biol.   2013;3(10):130131.   doi:

10.1098/rsob.130131.   PubMed   PMID: 24107298;   PubMed   Central   PMCID:

PMCPMC3814724.

16.   Wang Z, Liu P, Inuzuka H, Wei W. Roles of F-box proteins in cancer. Nat Rev

Cancer. 2014;14(4):233-47. doi: 10.1038/nrc3700. PubMed PMID: 24658274; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC4306233.

17.   Bai C, Sen P, Hofmann K, Ma L, Goebl M, Harper JW, et al. SKP1 connects cell

cycle regulators to the ubiquitin proteolysis machinery through a novel motif, the F-box.

Cell. 1996;86(2):263-74. PubMed PMID: 8706131.

18.   Skowyra D, Craig KL, Tyers M, Elledge SJ, Harper JW. F-box proteins are

receptors that recruit phosphorylated substrates to the SCF ubiquitin-ligase complex.

Cell. 1997;91(2):209-19. PubMed PMID: 9346238.

19.   Xu Y, Brown KM, Wang ZA, van der Wel H, Teygong C, Zhang D, et al. The

Skp1 protein from *Toxoplasma* is modified by a cytoplasmic prolyl 4-hydroxylase

associated with oxygen sensing in the social amoeba Dictyostelium. J Biol Chem.

2012;287(30):25098-110. Epub 2012/06/01. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.355446. PubMed

PMID: 22648409; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3408163.

20.   Rahman K, Mandalasi M, Zhao P, Sheikh MO, Taujale R, Kim HW, et al.

Characterization of a cytoplasmic glucosyltransferase that extends the core

trisaccharide of the *Toxoplasma* Skp1 E3 ubiquitin ligase subunit. J Biol Chem.

2017;292(45):18644-59. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M117.809301. PubMed PMID: 28928220;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5682971.

21.   Rahman K, Zhao P, Mandalasi M, van der Wel H, Wells L, Blader IJ, et al. The

E3-ubiquitin ligase adaptor protein Skp1 is glycosylated by an evolutionarily conserved

pathway that regulates protist growth and development. J Biol Chem.

2015;291(9):4268-80. Epub December, 30, 2015. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M115.703751.

PubMed PMID: 26719340.

22.   Sheikh MO, Thieker D, Chalmers G, Schafer CM, Ishihara M, Azadi P, et al. O2

sensing-associated glycosylation exposes the F-box-combining site of the Dictyostelium

Skp1 subunit in E3 ubiquitin ligases. J Biol Chem. 2017;292(46):18897-915. doi:

10.1074/jbc.M117.809160. PubMed PMID: 28928219; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC5704474.

23.   Kipreos ET, Pagano M. The F-box protein family. Genome Biol.

2000;1(5):REVIEWS3002. doi: 10.1186/gb-2000-1-5-reviews3002. PubMed PMID:

11178263; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC138887.

24.   Lechner E, Achard P, Vansiri A, Potuschak T, Genschik P. F-box proteins

everywhere. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2006;9(6):631-8. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2006.09.003.

PubMed PMID: 17005440.

25.   Schulman BA, Carrano AC, Jeffrey PD, Bowen Z, Kinnucan ERE, Finnin MS, et

al. Insights into SCF ubiquitin ligases from the structure of the Skp1–Skp2 complex.

Nature. 2000;408:381. doi: 10.1038/35042620. PubMed PMID: 11099048.

26.   Sidik SM, Huet D, Ganesan SM, Huynh MH, Wang T, Nasamu AS, et al. A

Genome-wide CRISPR Screen in *Toxoplasma* Identifies Essential Apicomplexan

Genes. Cell. 2016;166(6):1423-35 e12. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.019. PubMed PMID:

27594426; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5017925.

27.   Fox BA, Ristuccia JG, Gigley JP, Bzik DJ. Efficient gene replacements in

Toxoplasma gondii strains deficient for nonhomologous end joining. Eukaryot Cell.

2009;8(4):520-9. PubMed PMID: 19218423.

28.   Sheikh MO, Xu Y, van der Wel H, Walden P, Hartson SD, West CM.

Glycosylation of Skp1 promotes formation of Skp1-cullin-1-F-box protein complexes in

Dictyostelium. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2015;14(1):66-80. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M114.044560.

PubMed PMID: 25341530; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4288264.

29.   Armstrong CM, Goldberg DE. An FKBP destabilization domain modulates protein

levels in *Plasmodium falciparum*. Nat Methods. 2007;4(12):1007-9. PubMed PMID:

17994030.

30. Alvarez CA, Suvorova ES. Checkpoints of apicomplexan cell division identified in

Toxoplasma gondii. PLoS Pathog. 2017;13(7):e1006483. doi:

10.1371/journal.ppat.1006483. PubMed PMID: 28671988; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC5510908.

31. Gubbels MJ, Wieffer M, Striepen B. Fluorescent protein tagging in Toxoplasma

gondii: identification of a novel inner membrane complex component conserved among

Apicomplexa. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2004;137(1):99-110. doi:

10.1016/j.molbiopara.2004.05.007. PubMed PMID: 15279956.

32. Beck JR, Rodriguez-Fernandez IA, Cruz de Leon J, Huynh MH, Carruthers VB,

Morrissette NS, et al. A novel family of *Toxoplasma* IMC proteins displays a hierarchical

organization and functions in coordinating parasite division. PLoS Pathog.

2010;6(9):e1001094. Epub 2010/09/17. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1001094. PubMed

PMID: 20844581; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2936552.

33. Tran JQ, de Leon JC, Li C, Huynh MH, Beatty W, Morrissette NS. RNG1 is a late

marker of the apical polar ring in Toxoplasma gondii. Cytoskeleton (Hoboken).

2010;67(9):586-98. doi: 10.1002/cm.20469. PubMed PMID: 20658557; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC2998517.

34. Dubey R, Staker BL, Foe IT, Bogyo M, Myler PJ, Ngo HM, et al. Membrane

skeletal association and post-translational allosteric regulation of *Toxoplasma gondii*

GAPDH1. Mol Microbiol. 2017;103(4):618-34. Epub 2016/11/20. doi:

10.1111/mmi.13577. PubMed PMID: 27859784; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC5296235.

35.   Nishi M, Hu K, Murray JM, Roos DS. Organellar dynamics during the cell cycle of

Toxoplasma gondii. J Cell Sci. 2008;121(Pt 9):1559-68. Epub 2008/04/16. doi:

10.1242/jcs.021089. PubMed PMID: 18411248.

36.   Brown KM, Suvorova E, Farrell A, McLain A, Dittmar A, Wiley GB, et al. Forward

genetic screening identifies a small molecule that blocks *Toxoplasma gondii* growth by

inhibiting both host- and parasite-encoded kinases. PLoS Pathog.

2014;10(6):e1004180. Epub 2014/06/20. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004180. PubMed

PMID: 24945800; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4055737.

37.   Gubbels MJ, Vaishnava S, Boot N, Dubremetz JF, Striepen B. A MORN-repeat

protein is a dynamic component of the *Toxoplasma gondii* cell division apparatus. J Cell

Sci. 2006;119(Pt 11):2236-45. Epub 2006/05/11. doi: jcs.02949 [pii] 10.1242/jcs.02949.

PubMed PMID: 16684814.

38.   Farrell M, Gubbels M-J. The *Toxoplasma gondii* kinetochore is required for

centrosome association with the centrocone (spindle pole). Cellular Microbiology.

2013;16(1):78-94. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12185. PubMed PMID: 24015880.

39.   Shaw MK, Compton HL, Roos DS, Tilney LG. Microtubules, but not actin

filaments, drive daughter cell budding and cell division in *Toxoplasma gondii*. J Cell Sci.

2000;113 (Pt 7):1241-54. Epub 2000/03/08. PubMed PMID: 10704375.

40.   Morrissette NS, Sibley LD. Disruption of microtubules uncouples budding and

nuclear division in *Toxoplasma gondii*. J Cell Sci. 2002;115(Pt 5):1017-25. Epub

2002/03/01. PubMed PMID: 11870220.

41.   Westermann S, Weber K. Post-translational modifications regulate microtubule

function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2003;4(12):938-47. doi: 10.1038/nrm1260. PubMed

PMID: 14685172.

42.   Morrissette NS, Mitra A, Sept D, Sibley LD. Dinitroanilines bind alpha-tubulin to

disrupt microtubules. Mol Biol Cell. 2004;15(4):1960-8. Epub 2004/01/27. doi:

10.1091/mbc.E03-07-0530 PubMed PMID: 14742718; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMC379290.

43.   Blader IJ, Coleman BI, Chen CT, Gubbels MJ. Lytic Cycle of *Toxoplasma gondii*:

15 Years Later. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2015;69:463-85. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-

091014-104100.   PubMed   PMID: 26332089;   PubMed   Central   PMCID:

PMCPMC4659696.

44.   Kono M, Herrmann S, Loughran NB, Cabrera A, Engelberg K, Lehmann C, et al.

Evolution and architecture of the inner membrane complex in asexual and sexual

stages of the malaria parasite. Mol Biol Evol. 2012;29(9):2113-32. Epub 2012/03/06.

doi: 10.1093/molbev/mss081. PubMed PMID: 22389454.

45.   Morrissette NS, Sibley LD. Cytoskeleton of apicomplexan parasites. Microbiol

Mol Biol Rev. 2002;66(1):21-38; table of contents. Epub 2002/03/05. PubMed PMID:

11875126; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC120781.

46.   Lee RE, Kugrens P. Relationship between the flagellates and the ciliates.

Microbiol Rev. 1992;56(4):529-42. Epub 1992/12/01. PubMed PMID: 1480107; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC372886.

47. Wright MH, Clough B, Rackham MD, Rangachari K, Brannigan JA, Grainger M,

et al. Validation of N-myristoyltransferase as an antimalarial drug target using an

integrated chemical biology approach. Nat Chem. 2014;6(2):112-21. Epub 2014/01/24.

doi: 10.1038/nchem.1830. PubMed PMID: 24451586; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC4739506.

48. Wetzel J, Herrmann S, Swapna LS, Prusty D, John Peter AT, Kono M, et al. The

role of palmitoylation for protein recruitment to the inner membrane complex of the

malaria parasite. J Biol Chem. 2015;290(3):1712-28. Epub 2014/11/27. doi:

10.1074/jbc.M114.598094. PubMed PMID: 25425642; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC4340414.

49. Fung C, Beck JR, Robertson SD, Gubbels MJ, Bradley PJ. Toxoplasma ISP4 is a

central IMC sub-compartment protein whose localization depends on palmitoylation but

not myristoylation. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2012;184(2):99-108. Epub 2012/06/05. doi:

10.1016/j.molbiopara.2012.05.002. PubMed PMID: 22659420; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3383393.

50. De Napoli MG, de Miguel N, Lebrun M, Moreno SN, Angel SO, Corvi MM. N-

terminal palmitoylation is required for Toxoplasma gondii HSP20 inner membrane

complex localization. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2013;1833(6):1329-37. Epub 2013/03/15.

doi: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2013.02.022. PubMed PMID: 23485398; PubMed Central

PMCID: PMCPMC3628096.

51.   Wilcox C, Hu JS, Olson EN. Acylation of proteins with myristic acid occurs

cotranslationally. Science. 1987;238(4831):1275-8. Epub 1987/11/27. PubMed PMID:

3685978.

52.   Giglione C, Fieulaine S, Meinnel T. N-terminal protein modifications: Bringing

back into play the ribosome. Biochimie. 2015;114:134-46. Epub 2014/12/03. doi:

10.1016/j.biochi.2014.11.008. PubMed PMID: 25450248.

53.   Drewry LL, Sibley LD. *Toxoplasma* Actin Is Required for Efficient Host Cell

Invasion. MBio. 2015;6(3):e00557. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00557-15. PubMed PMID:

26081631; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4471557.

54.   Peng D, Wang Z, Huang A, Zhao Y, Qin FX. A Novel Function of F-Box Protein

FBXO17 in Negative Regulation of Type I IFN Signaling by Recruiting PP2A for IFN

Regulatory Factor 3 Deactivation. J Immunol. 2017;198(2):808-19. doi:

10.4049/jimmunol.1601009. PubMed PMID: 27956528; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC5220122.

55.   Smaldone S, Laub F, Else C, Dragomir C, Ramirez F. Identification of MoKA, a

novel F-box protein that modulates Kruppel-like transcription factor 7 activity. Mol Cell

Biol. 2004;24(3):1058-69. PubMed PMID: 14729953; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC321422.

56.   Silmon de Monerri NC, Yakubu RR, Chen AL, Bradley PJ, Nieves E, Weiss LM,

et al. The Ubiquitin Proteome of *Toxoplasma gondii* Reveals Roles for Protein

Ubiquitination in Cell-Cycle Transitions. Cell Host Microbe. 2015;18(5):621-33. doi:

10.1016/j.chom.2015.10.014. PubMed PMID: 26567513; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC4968887.

57.   Dhara A, de Paula Baptista R, Kissinger JC, Snow EC, Sinai AP. Ablation of an

Ovarian Tumor Family Deubiquitinase Exposes the Underlying Regulation Governing

the Plasticity of Cell Cycle Progression in *Toxoplasma gondii*. MBio. 2017;8(6). doi:

10.1128/mBio.01846-17. PubMed PMID: 29162714; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC5698556.

58.   Liu Y, Nakatsukasa K, Kotera M, Kanada A, Nishimura T, Kishi T, et al. Non-

SCF-type F-box protein Roy1/Ymr258c interacts with a Rab5-like GTPase Ypt52 and

inhibits Ypt52 function. Mol Biol Cell. 2011;22(9):1575-84. Epub 2011/03/11. doi:

10.1091/mbc.E10-08-0716. PubMed PMID: 21389113; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC3084679.

59.   Hermand D. F-box proteins: more than baits for the SCF? Cell Div. 2006;1:30.

Epub 2006/12/15. doi: 10.1186/1747-1028-1-30. PubMed PMID: 17166256; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC1712225.

60.   Zhang W, Lukoynova N, Miah S, Lucas J, Vaughan CK. Insights into Centromere

DNA Bending Revealed by the Cryo-EM Structure of the Core Centromere Binding

Factor 3 with Ndc10. Cell Rep. 2018;24(3):744-54. Epub 2018/07/19. doi:

10.1016/j.celrep.2018.06.068. PubMed PMID: 30021170; PubMed Central PMCID:

PMCPMC6077249.

61.   Leber V, Nans A, Singleton MR. Structural basis for assembly of the CBF3

kinetochore complex. EMBO J. 2018;37(2):269-81. doi: 10.15252/embj.201798134.

PubMed PMID: 29212814; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5771398.

62.   Li T, Pavletich NP, Schulman BA, Zheng N. High-level expression and

purification of recombinant SCF ubiquitin ligases. Methods Enzymol. 2005;398:125-42.

Epub 2005/11/09. doi: 10.1016/S0076-6879(05)98012-9. PubMed PMID: 16275325.

63.   van der Wel H, Johnson JM, Xu Y, Karunaratne CV, Wilson KD, Vohra Y, et al.

Requirements for Skp1 processing by cytosolic prolyl 4(trans)-hydroxylase and alpha-N-

acetylglucosaminyltransferase enzymes involved in O(2) signaling in dictyostelium.

Biochemistry.   2011;50(10):1700-13.   Epub   2011/01/21.   doi: 10.1021/bi101977w.

PubMed PMID: 21247092; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3192012.

64.   Tan A, Tanner JJ, Henzl MT. Energetics of OCP1-OCP2 complex formation.

Biophys Chem. 2008;134(1-2):64-71. Epub 2008/02/21. doi: 10.1016/j.bpc.2008.01.005.

PubMed PMID: 18284940.

65.   Ponts N, Yang J, Chung DW, Prudhomme J, Girke T, Horrocks P, et al.

Deciphering the ubiquitin-mediated pathway in apicomplexan parasites: a potential

strategy to interfere with parasite virulence. PLoS One. 2008;3(6):e2386. Epub

2008/06/12. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0002386. PubMed PMID: 18545708; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMCPMC2408969.

66.   Altschul SF, Wootton JC, Gertz EM, Agarwala R, Morgulis A, Schaffer AA, et al.

Protein database searches using compositionally adjusted substitution matrices. FEBS

J. 2005;272(20):5101-9. Epub 2005/10/13. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2005.04945.x.

PubMed PMID: 16218944; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC1343503.

67.   Marchler-Bauer A, Bo Y, Han L, He J, Lanczycki CJ, Lu S, et al. CDD/SPARCLE:

functional classification of proteins via subfamily domain architectures. Nucleic Acids

Res. 2017;45(D1):D200-D3. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1129. PubMed PMID: 27899674;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5210587.

68.   Sheiner L, Demerly JL, Poulsen N, Beatty WL, Lucas O, Behnke MS, et al. A

systematic screen to discover and analyze apicoplast proteins identifies a conserved

and essential protein import factor. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7(12):e1002392. Epub

2011/12/07. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002392. PubMed PMID: 22144892; PubMed

Central PMCID: PMC3228799.

69.   Huynh MH, Carruthers VB. Tagging of endogenous genes in a *Toxoplasma*

gondii strain lacking Ku80. Eukaryot Cell. 2009;8(4):530-9. PubMed PMID: 19218426.

70.   Wiley M, Sweeney KR, Chan DA, Brown KM, McMurtrey C, Howard EW, et al.

Toxoplasma gondii activates hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) by stabilizing the HIF-1alpha

subunit via type I activin-like receptor kinase receptor signaling. J Biol Chem.

2010;285(35):26852-60. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.147041. PubMed PMID: 20581113;

PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2930684.

71.   Harlow E, Lane D. Using Antibodies, A Laboratory Manual: Cold Spring Harbor

Laboratory Press; 1999. p. 321-5.

72.   Spear W, Chan D, Coppens I, Johnson RS, Giaccia A, Blader IJ. The host cell

transcription factor hypoxia-inducible factor 1 is required for *Toxoplasma gondii* growth

and survival at physiological oxygen levels. Cell Microbiol. 2006;8(2):339-52. doi:

10.1111/j.1462-5822.2005.00628.x. PubMed PMID: 16441443.

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig 1. TgFBXO1 Interacts with TgSKP1. (A). RHΔhxgprtΔKu80 and TgSKP1^{SF}

tachyzoites were solubilized and immunoprecipitated with affinity purified anti-TgSKP1

(UOK75) or anti-FLAG (M2), respectively. The immunoprecipitates were trypsin

digested and subjected to proteomic analysis. Protein candidates were selected from a

list of protein hits that were detected in multiple replicates and minimally recovered with

irrelevant antibodies (for UOK75) or the untagged strain (for M2), and are reported as

found in either both (red bars) or only individual immunoprecipitations with either high or

medium confidence. Proteins known or predicted to interact with TgSKP1 are

underlined. See Figure S2 and Table S2 for more information. **(B).** Lysates prepared

from TgFBXO1^{HA} and parental RHΔhxgprtΔKu80 grown at 21% or 0.5% O$_2$ were either

Western blotted (Input) or incubated with anti-HA antibodies (IP). The

immunoprecipitates were captured by Protein G Sepharose and the immune complexes

were Western blotted to detect TgFBXO1^{HA}, TgSkp1, and SAG1.

Figure 2. TgFBXO1 is Important for *Toxoplasma* growth. (A). Schematic illustration

of $^{HA(ATC)}$TgFBXO1 anhydrotetracycline (ATC)-regulated gene expression. The

TgFBXO1 endogenous promoter was replaced with a SAG4 promoter containing a

tetracycline transactivator (tTA) binding element. In the absence of ATC, the

tetracycline regulated promoter is active, while the addition of ATC reduces transcription

by preventing tTA from binding to and activating the promoter. **(B).** Lysates from

$^{HA(ATC)}$TgFBXO1 or parental RHΔhxgprtΔKu80 parasites grown for 24 h in the absence

or presence of 1µg/ml ATC were Western blotted to detect $^{HA(ATC)}$TgFBXO1 or SAG1 as

a loading control. **(C).** $^{HA(ATC)}$TgFBXO1 or RHΔhxgprtΔKu80 parasites (100/well of a 6

well plate) were grown for 5 d on HFF monolayers with or without 1µg/ml ATC. The

cells were then fixed and numbers of plaques formed counted. Shown are the averages

and standard deviations of 3 independent experiments performed in triplicate. **(D).**

Representative images of plaques from (C) showing decreased plaque size of

TgFBXO1-depleted parasites. Plaque sizes were quantified and plotted on the adjacent

graph. *, $p < 0.001$, One-Way ANOVA. Bars = 0.5mm.

Figure 3. TgFBXO1 Forms Apical Structures Early During Endodyogeny. (A).

TgFBXO1^{HA}-expressing parasites were fixed and stained to detect IMC3, TgFBXO1,

and DNA during G1, S, and M phases. Arrows highlight TgFBXO1 apical structures.

(B). TgFBXO1^{HA}-expressing parasites were fixed and stained to detect ISP1, TgFBXO1,

and DNA during S, early M (before nuclear segregation), and late M (during nuclear

segregation) phases. Arrows highlight TgFBXO1 apical structures. Bars = 2µm. **(C).**

TOP: Fractionation scheme to characterize TgFBXO1^{HA} association with the IMC.

BOTTOM: Western blotting of equivalent volumes of each indicated fraction. Blots were

probed with antibodies to detect TgFBXO1 (α-HA), IMC3 (αIMC3), and ISP1 (αISP1).

Figure. 4. TgFBXO1 Apical Structures Form After Centrosome Duplication and

are Localized Apically to the Parasite Centrosome. (A). TgFBXO1^{HA}-expressing

parasites were fixed and stained to detect Centrin1, TgFBXO1, and DNA during S, early

M (EM), and late M (LM) phases. Arrows highlight TgFBXO1 apical structures. Bars =

2µm. A schematic depicting the cell cycle phases is shown. **(B).** Parasites were treated

with 3 µM SB505124 (or DMSO as a vehicle control) for 24 h, fixed and then stained to

detect centrin1, TgFBXO1, and DNA. Note the appearance of SB505124-treated

parasites with >2 centrin1[+] foci and disorganized TgFBXO1 staining. Bars = 5 µm. **(C).**

Quantification of numbers of parasites with apical TgFBXO1 structures. Data

represents averages and standard deviations of three independent experiments with at

least 50 parasites examined/experiment **(D).** TgFBXO1[HA] parasites were stained to

detect TgFBXO1 and the centrocone marker TgNuf2. Note TgFBXO1[HA] apical

structures form after centrocone duplication but before separation as evidenced by the

lobed TgNuf2 staining (Insert). Bars: 2µm.

Figure 5. TgFBXO1 Apical Structures form Independently of Daughter Cell

Microtubule Assembly. (A). TgFBXO1[HA]-expressing parasites were stained to detect

TgFBXO1, acetylated tubulin (to detect newly synthesized microtubules), and DNA

during S, early M, and late M (during nuclear segregation) phases. Bars = 2 µm. **(B).**

Parasites were treated with oryzalin (2.5 µM) or DMSO (vehicle control) for 24 h and

then stained to detect TgFBXO1, acetylated tubulin, and DNA. Arrowheads highlight

TgFBXO1 apical structures. Bars = 2 µm. **(C).** Quantification of numbers of

TgFBXO1[HA] structures per parasite that form in the presence of DMSO or oryzalin.

Shown are the averages and standard deviations of 3 independent experiments in

which a total of 50 randomly selected parasites containing TgFBXO1 apical structures

were counted.

Figure 6. TgFBXO1 Is Recruited to the DCS in a Rab11b-Dependent Manner. (A).

TgFBXO1[HA]-expressing parasites were transiently transfected with

p5RT70DDmycRab11b-HXGPRT expression construct and then grown in the presence

of Shield-1 (0.1µM - low level expression or 1 µM – high level expression) reagent for

48 h. Cells were then fixed and stained to detect TgRab11b or TgFBXO1. Arrows

highlight apparent colocalization of Rab11b with the TgFBXO1 apical structures. Note

peripheral TgRab11b and punctate TgFBXO1 localization in parasites treated with 1 µM

Shield-1. Bars = 2µm. **(B).** Parasites were transiently transfected with wild-type

(ptubTgFBXO1[HA]) or myristoylation mutant (ptubTgFBXO1[HAG2A]) TgFBXO1 expression

constructs, fixed 48 h later, and stained to detect ISP1 and TgFBXO1. Arrows highlight

position of IMC1 apical caps. Bars = 2 µm.

1002

Figure 7. TgFBXO1 Is Required for IMC Organization and Maturation.   (A-C).

Parental RHΔhxgprtΔKu80 and [HA(ATC)]TgFBXO1 parasites were grown for 1-5 days in

the absence or presence of ATC (1 µg/ml) and stained to detect DNA (blue), centrin

(green), and ISP1, IMC3, or acetylated tubulin (red). Images are of representative

parasites 5 days -/+ ATC. Graphs below each panel represent averages and standard

deviations from 3 independent experiments with at least 50 parasites counted per

condition. Bars = 2 µm. **(A).** * denotes mislocalized ISP1 in DCS of parasites with

decreased TgFBXO1 expression. Bars = 1 µm. **(B).** ⊕ highlights IMC3 whirls, *

highlights parasites lacking IMC3 staining, arrows highlight misaligned IMC3 and

centrin1, and arrowheads highlight parasites lacking centrin1 staining. Bars = 2 µm.

(C). Note disorganized appearance of acetylated microtubules in ATC-treated

$^{HA(ATC)}$TgFBXO1 parasites. Bars = 2 µm.

1015

1016 **Figure 8. Super Resolution Microscopy Imaging of TgFBXO1.** TgFBXO1^{HA}

1017 parasites were fixed and imaged using Hyvolution Acquisition Software to detect

1018 TgFBXO1 and IMC3 (A), ISP1 (B), and TgRab11b (C). Shown are still images from

1019 movies of three dimensional projections available as supplemental data.

1020

1021

 SUPPORTING INFORMATION LEGENDS

Figure S1. Known and Predicted F-box Proteins. Figure S1. Known and Predicted

F-box Proteins. F-box domain sequences were predicted as described in Methods. To

facilitate visualization of relatedness, acidic residues are in blue, basic in dark red, small

or prolines in red, and hydrophobic in green. Positions matching the consensus motif

are highlighted in yellow (hydrophobic), gray (acidic), green (basic), or teal (small). F-

box sequences from known structures and other validated studies, and functional and

interactome studies in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*,

were aligned to characterize the known diversity of F-box domains. The family of

consensus sequences was used to search the genomes of *Toxoplasma* and other

apicomplexans. **(A.)** Representative F-box motif sequences derived from crystal

structures of F-box protein/Skp1 complexes and other well-known F-box proteins. **(B).**

F-box motif sequences from the yeasts *S. pombe* and *S. cerevisiae*. **(C).** Candidate F-

box motif sequences identified in the type II ME49 *Toxoplasma* strain.

1036

Figure S2: Skp1 interactome summary. (A-C) Intracellular (IC) or extracellular (EC)

forms of RHΔhxgprtΔKu80 (RHΔΔ) or Skp1-SF/RHΔΔ tachyzoites were lysed in 0.5%

NP-40 and the supernatants were incubated with magnetic anti-FLAG (M2)-beads. The

captured material was eluted with 0.15 NH_4OH, reduced and alkylated, trypsinized, and

analyzed by nLC-MS^2 in an Orbitrap mass spectrometer. **(A)** Quantitation based on

abundance values calculated by the 'area under the peak' algorithm in Proteome

Discoverer 2.2 from 8 µl sample injections. All proteins that were identified based on 2

or more peptides at 1% FDR, and were not predicted to reside within organelles, and

were enriched ≥8-fold in Skp1-SF/RHΔΔ extracts relative to RHΔΔ extracts, are shown.

Additional proteins that were seen when criteria were reduced to one peptide and the

protein FDR increased to 5%, are included if they were predicted to be in the Skp1

interactome based on F-box sequence motifs or other information. These are labeled in

green, and in parentheses if seen only with the relaxed criteria. Proteins whose

interaction were supported by detection with a different antibody in panel D, or were

observed in a previous unpublished pilot study, are underlined in bold. **(B)** Same as in

panel A, from a 4 µl injection. Assignments with higher confidence and at greater

abundance were confirmed. **(C)** Comparison of protein abundance, as detected in

panel A (8 µl injections), in SF-tagged and untagged extracts. At the right are data from

arbitrarily selected examples that were present in both samples, indicating non-specific

interactions. The average total Abundance was calculated for this protein set for each

sample, and used to generate a normalization factor relative to the Skp1-SF IC values,

which was applied to each set of values in Panels A-C. **(D, E)** Similar analysis in which

RHΔΔ tachyzoites (80% EC) were lysed in 1% or 0.2% NP-40 and incubated with

affinity purified anti-Skp1 (UOK75) or non-specific IgG on magnetic beads. **(D)**

Abundance values are reported for all 4 conditions for proteins whose enrichment in

UOK75 relative to non-specific IgG was ≥4. **(E)** Values for non-specifically bound

proteins. No normalization was performed for this set. See Table S2 for the values and

data on the identification criteria.

1065

1066 **Figure S3: Loss of TgFBXO1 Leads to Decreased Plaque Sizes.** Shown are lower

1067 magnification images of representative plaques from Figure 2D.

1068

Figure S4: TgFBXO1 Is Not Associated with the Apical Microtubule Organizing Center in Interphase Parasites. TgFBXO1^{HA}-expressing parasites were transfected with a RNG1-YFP expression plasmid. The parasites were then fixed and TgFBXO1 (αHA; stained to detect TgFBXO1^{HA} (Red), IMC3 (αIMC3; magenta), RNG1 (green), and DNA (DAPI; blue) were detected by Super Resolution Microscopy. Note lack of apparent colocalization between RNG1 and TgFBXO1.

1075

Figure S5. TgFBXO1 Evolution. Sequences homologous to TgFBXO1 were aligned in COBALT and subject to manual refinement. To facilitate visualization of relatedness, acidic residues are in blue, basic in dark red, small or prolines in red, and hydrophobic in green. Positions of similarity in one group are highlighted in yellow (hydrophobic), gray (acidic), green (basic), or teal (small). Underlines separate phylogenetic groups: the top two groups consist of different coccidian subclades, the next two groups represent other apicomplexans, the next group contains a chromerid (apicomplexan predecessor), and the bottom group contains non-apicomplexan alveolates including ciliates and dinoflagellates.

1085

Figure S6. TgFBXO1 Domain Prediction. Sequence-based homology (Figure S2) suggests that TgFBXO1 consists of at least 3 domains. **(A).** The three domains are conserved in FBXO1 homologues in Coccidians. The N-domain is conserved in length and general amino acid composition, but only the regions shaded in blue, including the

N-terminal N-myristylation motif (GxxxS), are conserved in sequence. **(B).** In non-

coccidian apicomplexans and other alveolates, the ~370 C-terminal region is generally

conserved. This region includes an N-terminally positioned predicted F-box domain (F)

and the C-domain. Some alveolate sequences consist of only F- and C-domains,

whereas others contain N-terminal extensions of variable length (dashed line) that bear

no detectable sequence similarity to the N-domain of TgFBXO1.

1096

1097 **Figures S7. High Magnification Images of IMC3/ISP1/Acetylated Tubulin**

1098 **Localization in TgFBXO1-Depleted Parasites.** Shown are zoomed in images of each

1099 phenotype of the parasites shown in Figures 7.

1100 **Video S1. Animation of 3-D Reconstruction of IMC3/TgFBXO1 Localization.**

1101

1102 **Video S2. Animation of 3-D Reconstruction of ISP1/TgFBXO1 Localization.**

1103

1104 **Video S2. Animation of 3-D Reconstruction of TgRab11b/TgFBXO1 Localization.**

1105

1106 **Supplemental Table S1.** *Toxoplasma* **F-box Proteins**. Shown are the 18 predicted

1107 *Toxoplasma* F-box proteins identified in this study. The ToxoDB or PlasmoDB IDs

1108 contain hyperlinks to relevant web pages at the EUPATHDB Genome Database

1109 (www.eupathDB.org). Sidik et al Fitness Scores are from [26].

1110

1111 **Supplemental Table S2: List of Candidate TgSKP1 Proteins Identified in the**

1112 **TgSKP1^SF and UOK75 Immunoprecipitations**

1113

1114

1115   **Supplemental Table S3: List of Antibodies Used in this Study**

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 7

Figure S1

Click here to access/download
Supporting Information
Figure s1.docx

Figure S2

Click here to access/download
Supporting Information
Figure S2.pptx

Figure S3

Click here to access/download
Supporting Information
Figure S3.pptx

Figure S4

Click here to access/download
Supporting Information
Figure S4.pptx

Figure S5

Click here to access/download
Supporting Information
Figure S5.docx

Figure S6

Click here to access/download

Supporting Information
Figure S6.tif

Figure S7

Click here to access/download
Supporting Information
Figure S7.tif

Click here to access/download
Supporting Information
Table S1.xlsx

Table S2

Click here to access/download
Supporting Information
Table S2.xlsx

Table S3

Click here to access/download
Supporting Information
Table S3.xlsx

Click here to access/download
Supporting Information
Video S1.mov

Click here to access/download
Supporting Information
Video S2.mp4

Click here to access/download

Supporting Information

Video S3.mp4