***Toxoplasma* F-Box Protein 1 is Required for Daughter Cell Scaffold Function**

During Parasite Replication

Carlos G. Baptista[a], Agnieszka Lis[a], Bowen Deng[b], Ashley Dittmar[a*], Christopher M.

West[b], and Ira J. Blader[a#]

[a]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University at Buffalo School of Medicine,

Buffalo, NY 14203

[b]Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, University of Georgia, Athens, GA

30602 USA

[#] Address correspondence to: iblader@buffalo.edu

* Current Address: HarkerBIO, LLC, 700 Ellicott St, Buffalo, NY 14203

Running Title: *Toxoplasma* F-Box Protein Functions in Endodyogeny

Keywords: Cell Cycle, Parasitology, Ubiquitin, Membrane Trafficking, Cytoskeleton

ABSTRACT

F-box proteins bind to the adaptor protein Skp1 and serve as substrate receptors for the Skp1, Cullin, F-box E3 ubiquitin ligase complex. F-box proteins therefore regulate critical cellular processes including cell cycle progression and membrane trafficking. While F-box proteins are conserved throughout eukaryotes and are well studied in yeast and mammals, studies in parasitic protozoa are lagging. We have identified seventeen putative F-box proteins in the *Toxoplasma* genome of which four have predicted homologs in *Plasmodium*. Two of the conserved F-box proteins were demonstrated to be important for *Toxoplasma* fitness and here we focus on an F-box protein, named TgFBXO1, because it is the most highly expressed by replicative tachyzoites and was also identified in an interactome screen as a *Toxoplasma* SKP1 binding protein. TgFBXO1 interacts with *Toxoplasma* SKP1 confirming it as a bona fide F-box protein. In interphase parasites, TgFBXO1 is a component of the Inner Membrane Complex (IMC), which is an organelle that underlies the plasma membrane. Early during replication, TgFBXO1 relocalizes to the developing daughter cell scaffold, which is the site where the daughter cell IMC and microtubules form and extend from. TgFBXO1 relocalization required centrosome duplication but occurred before duplication of the centrocone, which is the nuclear envelope embedded spindle compartment. Daughter cell scaffold localization required N-myristoylation of TgFBXO1 and was dependent on the small molecular weight GTPase, TgRab11b. Finally, we demonstrate that TgFBXO1 is required for parasite growth due to its function as a daughter cell scaffold effector. TgFBXO1 is the first F-box protein to be studied in apicomplexan parasites and represents the first protein demonstrated to be important for daughter cell scaffold function.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

Toxoplasma gondii is a protozoan parasite that can cause devastating and life threatening disease in immunocompromised patients and in fetuses. Its replication is important to study because it is the primary reason that toxoplasmosis patients develop disease. The parasite replicates by a unique process named endodyogeny in which two daughter parasites develop within the mother cell. Early during this process the parasite creates a structure called the Daughter Cell Scaffold whose function is to serve as the site from which the new parasite will form from. Here, we report the identification of one of the first proteins recruited to the Daughter Cell Scaffold and its importance in executing its function.

INTRODUCTION

Toxoplasma gondii is an intracellular apicomplexan parasite responsible for one of the most common parasitic infections in humans and animals [1, 2]. Infections are initiated by digesting either bradyzoite-containing tissue cysts or sporozoite-laden oocysts that are disrupted in the stomach [3]. Released parasites then infect the small intestine and convert into tachyzoites, which triggers the recruitment of inflammatory cells, which are in turn infected and used to disseminate throughout the host where they convert into long-lived tissue cysts [4, 5]. Occasionally, cysts reactivate and the released parasites will revert to tachyzoites, which replicate by a unique process termed endodyogeny where the two daughter parasites develop within the mother [6]. Since parasite replication underlies onset of disease in toxoplasmosis patients, it is critical to study the mechanisms regulating endodyogeny.

Formation of the inner membrane complex (IMC) is a critical step in endodyogeny. The IMC is a unique organelle comprised of intermediate-filament like cytoskeletal network and membranous sacs whose outer leaflet anchors the actin-myosin gliding machinery [7-9] whereas the cytoplasmic leaflet is associated with subpellicular microtubules that extend from the apical end of the parasite for most of its length [10, 11]. During daughter cell development, the IMC emerges from a structure named the daughter cell scaffold (DCS) that is located apically to the parasite's outer core of its bipartite centrosome [12, 13]. The DCS serves as the docking site for Rab11b-regulated vesicles containing the material needed to form the emerging IMC [14]. Despite its central role in endodyogeny, it is largely unknown what proteins localize to the DCS nor is it understood how the DCS regulates IMC assembly.

F-box proteins are a family of proteins defined by the presence of a F-box domain

and can be divided into three classes, FbxW, FbxL and FbxO [15] according to the

presence or absence of canonical substrate recognition domains [15, 16]. F-box proteins

are best known as subunits of the Skp1/Cullin/F-box containing E3 ubiquitin ligase (SCF-

E3) complex [17] in which the F-box domain binds Skp1 to recruit itself and/or other

substrates for polyubiquitination [17, 18]. Although well studied in fungi, plants, and

metazoans, the function of F-box proteins in apicomplexan parasites remains unexplored.

Previously, we reported that an O_2-regulated *Toxoplasma* prolyl hydroxylase modifies a

proline residue in TgSKP1, which can then be modified by a series of glycosyltransferases

[19-21]. TgSKP1 prolyl hydroxylation/glycosylation is predicted to alter F-box protein

binding leading to changes in substrate recognition [22].

Here, we identify 17 putative F-box proteins in the *Toxoplasma* genome that

relative to other organisms represents a significantly streamlined repertoire of F-box

proteins [23, 24]. We focused on *Toxoplasma* F-box protein 1 (TgFBXO1) and found that

it localizes to the DCS early during endodyogeny and is required for DCS function. Thus,

TgFBXO1 represents the first protein identified to be an executor of DCS function.

RESULTS

Identification of TgFBXO1 as an Apicomplexan Conserved F-box Protein

F-box proteins contain an F-box domain of ~50 amino acids that are organized into a triple α-helical bundle that mediates binding to Skp1 [25]. The superfamily consists of 3 groups that are classified based on the presence of other protein domains: WD40 (FbxW), leucine rich (FbxL) repeats and (FbxO) (other domains). We employed a series of reciprocal BLASTp and hidden Markov searches seeded with 43 F-box sequences from known FBPs, including 7 from crystal structures (Figure S1A) and 37 from two well studied yeast species (Figure S1B), to assemble a list of 17 predicted *Toxoplasma gondii* FBPs (Table 1; Figure S1C). Five are of the FbxW and FbxL classes, while the remainder possess C-terminal domains that are poorly if at all are related to FBPs outside of protists (data not shown). All but TgFBXO10 have clear homologs in *Neospora caninum*, but no more than half of the predicted *Toxoplasma* FBPs are conserved in *Plasmodium* and *Eimeria*, indicative of rapid evolutionary specialization. We prioritized *Toxoplasma* F-box proteins for further study as those that are conserved amongst members of the Apicomplexan phylum, important for parasite fitness, and are abundantly expressed in tachyzoites. A genome-wide CRISPR screen revealed that two of four conserved putative F-box proteins, TgFBXO1 (TgGT1_310930) and TgFBXL3 (TgGT1_313200), are important for *Toxoplasma* fitness [26]. In addition, TgFBXO1 is the most strongly expressed *Toxoplasma* F-box protein throughout the tachyzoite cell cycle (http://toxodb.org/toxo/app/record/gene/TGME49_310930).

In a parallel study, we sought to identify TgSKP1 interacting proteins via interactome analysis. Thus, RHΔ tachyzoite extracts were incubated with affinity purified

anti-TgSKP1 antisera conjugated to Ssepharose beads under non-denaturing conditions.

In addition, anti-FLAG antibodies were used to immunoprecipitate TgSKP1 from a

Toxoplasma strain in which TgSKP1 contains C-terminal Strep/FLAG epitope tags

(TgSKP1^{SF}). The immunoprecipitates were subjected to MS/MS analysis and total

peptide counts from 3 technical replicates each of two independent trials were analyzed

to identify proteins that were immunoprecipated in both samples types and were not found

in immunoprecipates obtained using irrelevant antibodies, or in the untagged strain for

the anti-FLAG immunoprecipitation.. As expected, TgSKP1, Cullin-1, as well as E1-

ubiquitin activating and E2 ubiquitin conjugating enzymes were identified in the TgSKP1

immunoprecipitates (Figure 1A). Besides TgSKP1, the two most abundant in the

immunoprecipitates were TgGT1_259880, a novel protein with no known function, and

TgFBXO1.

To confirm TgFBXO1 interacts with SKP1, we tested whether it interacts with

TgSKP1 by placing a C-terminal 3XHA epitope tag onto TgFBXO1 (TgFBXO1^{HA}) via

homologous recombination [27]. Western blotting lysates from TgFBXO1^{HA} expressing

parasites but not the parental RHΔΔ strain with anti-HA antibodies revealed a single

immunoreactive band at ~100 kDa, which is the approximate expected molecular weight

of TgFBXO1^{HA} (Figure 1; Input 21% O_2). Next, TgSKP1 was detected by Western blotting

TgFBXO1^{HA} immunoprecipitates anti-SKP1 antisera (Figure 1B; IP 21% O_2).

Previously, we reported that ^{154}Pro of TgSKP1 is hydroxylated and glycosylated

and that these post-translational modifications are predicted to change the conformation

of the F-box protein-binding region of TgSKP1 as they do in the social amoeba

Dictyostelium discoideum when a conserved proline residue in DdSKP1 is similarly

modified [22].   An O_2-regulated prolyl hydroxylase modifies [154]Pro and under low O_2

conditions can be observed by Western blotting as a decrease in the apparent molecular

weight of TgSKP1 [19, 20, 28] (Figure 1B; TgSKP1 Input Fractions).   To test whether

TgSKP1 and TgFBXO1 interactions were O_2-dependent, TgSKP1 levels were compared

in TgFBXO1[HA] immunoprecipitates from parasites grown at either 21% or 0.5% O_2. The

data revealed modestly increased levels of TgSKP1/TgFBXO1 interactions at 0.5% O_2

(Figure 1B; compare 21% O_2 to 0.5% O_2). Together, these data indicate that TgFBXO1

is an evolutionarily conserved F-Box protein.

TgFBXO1 is Important for Parasite Growth

Attempts to knockout TgFBXO1 by either CRISPR or insertional mutagenesis were

unsuccessful suggesting its essentiality (not shown), which is consistent with the finding

that it is important for parasite fitness [26]. Similarly, TgFBXO1 was resistant to tagging

with the relatively large Destabilization Domain [29]. We therefore used a conditional

knockdown system where the TgFBXO1 native promoter was replaced with an

anhydrotetracycline (ATC)-repressible promoter and an amino-terminal HA epitope tag

was cloned in frame to generate [HA(ATC)]TgFBXO1 (Figure 2A) [30].   [HA(ATC)]TgFBXO1

protein was undetectable after 24 h treatment with 1 μg/ml ATC (Figure 2B).   Next,

[HA(ATC)]TgFBXO1 growth was assessed by plaque assay and significantly fewer plaques

were formed by [HA(ATC)]TgFBXO1-depleted parasites (Figure 2C). Moreover, the size of

the [HA(ATC)]TgFBXO1 plaques that did form were significantly smaller than the non-

depleted plaques (Figure 2D). Because TgFBXO1/TgSKP1 interactions were enhanced

at 0.5% O_2, we assessed growth of [HA(ATC)]TgFBXO1 depleted parasites at low O_2 levels.

We found that growth of TgFBXO1-depleted parasites was not impacted by O_2 levels

(data not shown) and therefore the rest of this study's experiments were performed under

normoxic (21% O_2) conditions. Taken together, these data indicate that TgFBXO1 is

important for *Toxoplasma* growth.

169 TgFBXO1 Forms an Apical Ring Structure Early During Endodyogeny

While detectable by Western blotting, $^{HA(ATC)}$TgFBXO1 could not be visualized by

immunofluorescence staining suggesting inaccessibility of the N-terminal tag in fixed

parasites. We therefore assessed TgFBXO1 localization using the C-terminal tagged

TgFBXO1^{HA} strain and found that in interphase parasites TgFBXO1 localized to the apical

end of the parasite and to the periphery where it colocalized with the IMC protein IMC3,

which is a cytoskeletal IMC protein (Figure 3A; G1). We next examined TgFBXO1

localization during endodyogeny and found that early during endodyogeny TgFBXO1

localized as a ring structure to a perinuclear location before IMC3 formed daughter IMCs

(Figure 3A; S-phase). Later during M-phase (note lobe-shaped nuclei that are migrating

into nascent daughter cells), TgFBXO1 remained primarily at the apical end of the

growing daughter parasites while IMC3 extended posteriorly (Figure 3A; M-phase).

ISP1 is another IMC protein that is inserted into the membranous alveoli via fatty

acylation. Before IMC3 associates with the daughter IMC, ISP1 forms an apical cap

structure in the daughter parasites that remains throughout endodyogeny and into

interphase [31]. Comparing ISP1 and TgFBXO1 localization revealed that TgFBXO1

associated with its perinuclear localization before ISP1 did (Figure 3B). As parasites

progressed through endodyogeny, the ISP1 cap was found near TgFBXO1 although

TgFBXO1 was distinct and apical to ISP1 suggesting that the two proteins were distinctly

localized within the IMC.

We next used a biochemical extraction approach to assess how TgFBXO1

associates with the IMC [32]. Parasites were mechanically lysed under hypoosmotic

conditions and cytoskeletal fractions were collected by low speed centrifugation. The

pellets were resuspended in isotonic buffer and IMC embedded proteins were

differentially extracted with salt (electrostatic interaction), Triton X-100 (membrane

associated) and SDS (cytoskeletal). TgFBXO1 was extracted with both Triton and SDS

indicating that it is most likely associated with the IMC in a manner similar to ISP1 (Figure

3C).

The first step in endodyogeny is duplication of the parasite's novel bipartite

centrosome followed by duplication of the centrocone (a unique nuclear envelop

embedded kinetochore), subpellicular microtubule assembly, IMC formation, and finally

daughter cell budding and emergence [6, 12, 33]. To more definitively determine when

TgFBXO1 apical rings form during endodyogeny, TgFBXO1[HA] parasites were fixed and

stained with anti-Centrin-1 antibodies to detect the centrosomal outer core. We identified

parasites where TgFBXO1 remained associated with the mother IMC but containing

duplicated centrosomes (Figure 4A; S) indicating that centrosome duplication precedes

TgFBXO1 migration. As endodyogeny progressed, TgFBXO1 rings were positioned

apically to the duplicated centrosomes (Figure 4A; EM) and as mitosis progressed

(denoted by segregating nuclei), centrosomes were associated with medial segments of

TgFBXO1 rings (Figure 4A; LM).

Toxoplasma genome duplication and daughter cell development are coordinated

by the parasite MAP kinase, TgMAPK-L1, and in the absence of TgMAPK-L1 signaling

centrosome duplication continues although IMC development cannot [30]. We therefore

tested whether TgFBXO1 rings formed independently of centrosome duplication by

treating TgFBXO1^HA parasites with either DMSO (vehicle control) or the TgMAPK-L1

inhibitor, SB505124 [34]. In contrast to control parasites, TgFBXO1 staining remained

peripheral and daughter apical rings were undetected in SB505124-treated parasites with

≥2 centrosomes (Figure 4B).

The *Toxoplasma* centrocone duplicates and separates after centrosome

duplication but before ISP and IMC proteins form the daughter cell bud [30, 35]. We

therefore compared TgFBXO1 ring formation and centrocone duplication/migration by

staining TgFBXO1^HA cells with antibodies against the centrocone protein TgNuf2 [36].

We identified parasites with distinct TgFBXO1 apical rings that contained duplicated

centrocones (note the lobed appearance of TgNuf2 structures) that had not yet separated

(Figure 4C; S).

Subpellicular microtubules, which provide positional and structural cues for

daughter cell IMC development and organization [11], form after centrosome duplication

and centrocone separation [37, 38]. To assess the relationship between the TgFBXO1

apical rings and daughter cell microtubules, TgFBXO1^HA cells were stained with

antibodies against acetylated tubulin, which is present stable microtubule filaments [39].

Parasites were identified that contained TgFBXO1 apical rings but before acetylated

tubulin could be detected in the daughter cells (Figure 5A). As cell cycle progressed,

acetylated tubulin colocalized with TgFBXO1 apical rings although F-box protein staining

was consistently more apical.

To test whether TgFBXO1 apical ring formation was dependent on microtubule

assembly, TgFBXO1[HA] parasites were treated with either DMSO or oryzalin (2.5 μM),

which blocks polymerization of parasite but not host microtubules [38].   Oryzalin

treatment, which caused the expected defects in microtubule assembly and cytokinesis

[40] also led to an accumulation of TgFBXO1 rings with most parasites possessing 8 rings

(Figure 5B&C), indicating that the rings formed independently of microtubules.

TgFBXO1 Is a Component of the Daughter Cell Scaffold

Collectively, the localization data indicate that TgFBXO1 is recruited to a structure

that lies apically to the centrosome outer core and the emerging IMC. The DCS, which

serves as a nucleation site for the IMC and microtubules to emerge from, has such a

localization [33, 41].   The small molecular weight GTPase TgRab11b localizes early

during endodyogeny to the DCS and regulates IMC protein trafficking to the nascent IMC

[14]. To compare TgRab11b and TgFBXO1 localization, TgFBXO1[HA] parasites were

transfected with a Rab11b expression construct which contains a C-terminal myc-tagged

ddFKBP domain to control protein levels by adding a synthetic ligand, Shield1 [14].

Parasites grown in the presence of low Shield1 concentrations (0.1 μM) express low

levels of TgRab11b with no apparent affect on growth [14].   Under these conditions,

examination of >50 parasites in early S-phase revealed thatTgFBXO1 and TgRab11b co-

localized indicating that TgFBXO1 is a DCS component (Figure 6A; top panel). On the

other hand, the two proteins did not colocalize in interphase parasites (not shown).

To test whether DCS targeting of TgFBXO1 is TgRab11b dependent, TgRab11b-

transfected TgFBXO1^{HA} parasites were grown with 1 μM Shield1, which leads to Rab11b

dysfunction due to its overexpression [14]. As reported [14], TgRab11b overexpression

led to its mislocalization from the DCS and Golgi to the periphery. TgFBXO1 was also

mislocalized but unlike TgRab11b appeared as cytoplasmic punctae (Figure 6A).

The appearance of TgFBXO1 cytoplasmic punctae in TgRab11b overexpressing

parasites suggests that TgFBXO1 traffics to the DCS via membrane trafficking. In

addition, TgFBXO1 extractability from the IMC by Triton X-100 (Figure 3C) suggested that

like ISP1 it is membrane-associated. TgFBXO1 encodes neither a transmembrane

domain nor a signal sequence but contains a consensus N-myristoylation site at ^{2}Gly,

which is conserved amongst the coccidian TgFBXO1 homologs (Figure S2). To test

whether this potential N-myristoylation site is important for DCS and IMC targeting,

parasites were transfected to express either wild-type TgFBXO1 (ptubTgFBXO1^{HA}) or a

mutant where ^{2}Gly is mutated to an alanine (ptubTgFBXO1^{HAG2A}). In both interphase and

mitotic parasites, ptubTgFBXO1^{HA} was properly localized to the periphery (arrow) and

DCS (arrow heads), respectively (Figure 6B). Although ptubTgFBXO1^{HA} overexpression

led to enhanced cytoplasmic accumulation of TgFBXO1, this did not impact ISP1

localization.   In contrast, ptubTgFBXO1^{HAG2A} localization appeared to be largely

cytoplasmic and was not localized to either the IMC or DCS.   ptubTgFBXO1^{HAG2A}

mislocalization had no effect on ISP1 localization indicating that the myristoylation mutant

had no dominant negative effect on TgFBXO1 function.

TgFBXO1 is Important for DCS Function

To test the importance of TgFBXO1 in DCS function, [HA(ATC)]TgFBXO1 (or parental

TATiΔKU80) parasites were grown in the absence or presence of ATC, fixed 24 h later

(when TgFBXO1 is undetectable), and stained to detect centrin-1 or ISP1 by

immunofluorescence. ATC treatment for 24 h had no effect on ISP1 localization or

numbers of parasites (not shown). Since significant growth defects were observed after

5 days growth with ATC (Figure 2), these data suggest that low but undetectable amounts

of TgFBXO1 protein may be sufficient to support parasite growth.

Thus, ISP1 localization was assessed in parasites 5 days after adding ATC.

Although ISP1 was localized near centrin-1 in TgFBXO1 depleted parasites, it was

disorganized and lacking the typical apical caps found in untreated parasites (Figure 7A).

Moreover, the ISP1 cap normally found at the mother cell's apical end was absent in

TgFBXO1-depleted parasites.

Next, we assessed IMC3 localization and observed that while IMC3 daughter cell

buds formed in TgFBXO1-depleted parasites they were often malformed relative to the

centrosomes, which presented as several different phenotypes: i) IMC3-labelled daughter

buds were associated with nuclei but centrosomes were absent (Figures 7B and S2

arrows). ii) Dividing parasites were identified in which only a single IMC was present

(Figures 7B and S2 triangles). iii) Nuclei that were devoid of centrosomes and IMC3

labeling (Figures 7B and S2 asterisks). Since we cannot determine which specific

phenotype is the primary defect, we quantified numbers of parasites with centrosome

and/or IMC protein defects and found that ~ 50% of [HA(ATC)]TgFBXO1-depleted parasites

displayed a mutant phenotype (Figure 7C). Finally, we also noted some parasites

contained IMC3 whirls in mature interphase parasites (Supplemental Figure 2 and Figure

7B; **X**), which was reminiscent of the effect of TgRab11b overexpression on IMC3

localization [14].

Besides development of the IMC, the DCS also promotes assembly of the

daughter cell subpellicular microtubules. To examine whether microtubule assembly is

affected in TgFBXO1-depleted parasites, HA(ATC)TgFBXO1 parasites were grown in the

absence or presence of ATC for 5 d and then newly assembled microtubules were imaged

using antibodies that recognize acetylated tubulin. In contrast to parasites grown in the

absence of ATC, microtubules in the TgFBXO1-depleted parasites were often missing

and in others they were not properly organized (Figure 7D). Taken together, these data

indicate that TgFBXO1 is a DCS component and is important for IMC maturation and

organization during endodyogeny.

DISCUSSION

Comprising a ubiquitous eukaryotic protein family, F-box proteins play important roles in diverse biological functions [15, 16]. Although extensively studied in metazoans, plants, and fungi, little is known about their function(s) in protists and in particular Apicomplexans. Here, we identified 17 putative *Toxoplasma* F-box proteins, which approximates the number found in two well studied yeast systems but is considerably less than the number in social amoebae, in humans and other organisms [23, 24]. TgFBXO1 was reported to be the most important for parasite fitness [26]; a finding we corroborate using a conditional expression system. We also demonstrated by reciprocal co-immunoprecipitation assays that TgSKP1 and TgFBXO1 interact with each other supporting TgFBXO1 designation as a F-box protein. We further found that TgFBXO1 is a DCS component; a conclusion supported by multiple lines of data. First, it forms a ring-like structure that is positioned apically to the daughter cell centrosome and IMC. Second, TgFBXO1 rings form before ISP1 and IMC3 relocalize to developing daughter parasites. Third, TgFBXO1 relocalization occurs after centrosome duplication but before centrocone separation. Finally, during endodyogeny TgFBXO1 colocalized with Rab11b, the only other known DCS protein, and its DCS localization was Rab11b dependent. We cannot, however, exclude the possibility TgFBXO1 localizes to the recently described pericentriolar matrix [12], which surrounds the centrosome. However, we favor DCS localization since the pericentriolar matrix is thought to be more proximal to the centrosome than the localization reported here for TgFBXO1.

Sequence homology-based studies suggest that proteins related to TgFBXO1 consist of at least 3 domains (Figure S2). The C-terminal domain and features of the

middle predicted F-box domain are conserved in proteins throughout the alveolate clade

of protists (Figure S3), which includes ciliates and dinoflagellates as well as

apicomplexans. The protein acquired a 330-amino acid N-terminal domain only in

Toxoplasma and closely related cyst-forming coccidia (no trace of this sequence exists in

other extant proteins). Thus, a core function of the FbxO1 clade may have emerged early

in evolution to serve an alveolate specific function, such as organization of the

membranous villi that lie underneath the plasma membrane, which is the IMC in

apicomplexans [42-44].

Decreased TgFBXO1 expression had distinct effects on two types of IMC proteins.

The IMC alveoli meshwork embedded protein IMC3 formed daughter cell IMCs that were

not properly aligned with the centrosome. In contrast, ISP1, which is component of a

distinct IMC subcompartment, was unable to form its apical cap structure during

endodyogeny and this phenotype was maintained in interphase parasites. While these

data are consistent with an emerging model in which IMC components are recruited to

the daughter cell through distinct mechanisms [31], they also reveal that the DCS is

required for both to occur. It is also possible that only ISP1 or IMC3 require TgFBXO1

and that defects observed with the other protein is a downstream effect. Discrimination

between these two possibilities awaits characterization of TgFBXO1 interacting proteins.

IMC protein trafficking to the DCS and emerging bud is a poorly understood

process. The small molecular weight GTPase, Rab11b, is required for this process

although it remains unclear where it executes it function and what are its effectors [14].

Rab11b and TgFBXO1 both localize to the DCS but their effects on IMC proteins differed

– defects in IMC formation vs misaligned daughter buds, respectively. These differences

could be due to different approaches to achieving target protein loss of function

(overexpression of an inactive Rab11b mutant and TgFBXO1 knockdown) or could

represent distinct roles for TgRab11b and TgFBXO1 in IMC biogenesis and organization.

But given that TgFBXO1 requires TgRab11b for its DCS localization, we favor a model

where TgRab11b functions to recruit diverse types of cargo and membrane vesicles to

the DCS and that TgFBXO1 is important for executing DCS function in the development

of the new IMC.

Using a conditional expression system, TgFBXO1 was found to be required for

optimal parasite growth most likely due to its function in regulating IMC development and

organization. In initial studies, parasite growth and IMC phenotypes were not evident 24

h after adding ATC to [HA(ATC)]TgFBXO1 parasites despite not being able to detect the

protein by Western blotting. Rather, the phenotypes were detectable 5 days after adding

ATC. One reason for such a delay is that low but undetectable levels of TgFBXO1 are

sufficient to sustain replication, a finding previously reported for *Toxoplasma* actin [45].

Alternatively, a second F-box protein can compensate for the loss of TgFBXO1 albeit not

as efficiently.

We are currently unable to differentiate whether TgFBXO1 acts in a SCF-E3

ubiquitin ligase dependent or independent manner [15, 46, 47]. It is possible that

TgFBXO1 is important for IMC protein ubiquitylation to regulate their turnover and/or

targeting during endodyogeny. Such a scenario is supported by the finding that many

ubiquitylated *Toxoplasma* proteins are cell cycle regulated including ISP1 and a large

number of other IMC proteins [48]. It is also possible that TgFBXO1 is itself an SCF-E3

substrate and its ubiquitylation is required during endodyogeny for either trafficking of

TgFBXO1 from the IMC to the DCS or for its turnover from the mature IMC early during

endodyogeny. It is noteworthy that a parasite deubiquitinase, TgOTUD3 [15, 46, 47, 49,

50] was recently reported to coordinate mitosis and cytokinesis by properly matching the

correct numbers of centrosomes with numbers of daughter buds. However, we do not

believe that TgFBXO1 functions in this manner because in contrast to TgOTUD3, loss of

TgFBXO1 leads to unmatched numbers of centrosomes and daughter buds without an

increase in parasite ploidy.

TgFBXO1 may function in a SCF-E3 ubiquitin ligase independent manner ([51-53].

Such a mechanism could occur by the TgSKP1/TgFBXO1 heterodimer, which according

to precedent is expected to have high affinity [54-56], being incorporated into a

supramolecular complex where TgSKP1 is shielded from interacting with cullin-1 to form

a functional SCF complex. Recent cryo-EM studies of the yeast kinetochore provide an

example of how the Skp1/Ctf13 (FBP) complex contributes to kinetochore structure in a

way where Skp1 is inaccessible to Cul-1 interaction [52, 53]. Certainly, it is conceivable

that SCF-E3 dependent or independent mechanisms need not be mutually exclusive,

because association with the SCF-E3 could be a mechanism to turn over excess

TgFBXO1 that is not associated with the DCS or IMC.

In summary, this work represents the first investigation of F-box proteins in

Apicomplexan parasites and identify the first DCS-localized protein that is required for

DCS function. Identification of TgFBXO1-interacting proteins will allow us to determine

how TgFBXO1 executes this function. In addition, it remains to be determined whether

TgFBXO1/TgSkp1 interactions are regulated by O_2-dependent hydroxylation and

glycosylation of TgSKP1 and whether these impact DCS function or another process.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

F-box Homology Search Methods

Previously, 4 putative F-box-like motifs were identified in the *Toxoplasma* genome based on a hidden Markov algorithm [57]. Further BLASTp [58] studies using F-box-like motifs originating from known F-box domains from 7 crystal structures (Figure S1A), the entire collection of yeast F-box proteins (Figure S1B), and the entire list of F-box-like sequences from *Dictyostelium discoideum* (Figure S1D), and hidden Markov approaches, identified 13 additional putative F-box proteins in *Toxoplasma*. Databases searched included EupathD (https://eupathdb.org/eupathdb/) (*Toxoplasma*, *Neospora caninum*, *Plasmodium* spp, and *Eimeria* spp.), dictyBase (http://dictybase.org/) (*Dictyostelium*), *Saccharomyces* Genome Database (https://www.yeastgenome.org), and PomBase (https://www.pombase.org) (*Schizosaccharomyces*). Each candidate was used to re-search the genome until a comprehensive candidate list was created, which was trimmed of sequences that exceeded the range of variation at individual positions of known F-box sequences (see Figures S1A and S1B) with greatest emphasis given to the pattern of hydrophobic residues. Domain identities were searched using Conserved Domains and Protein Classification search (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/cdd.shtml) based on database CDDV3.05-42589 PSSMs with E<0.1 (ref. I). Searches were also performed at Uniprot (www.uniprot.org/).

Cell Lines and *Toxoplasma* Strains

All parasite strains, including parental RHΔ, TATi-RHΔ*ku80* [59] and RHΔ*ku80* (RHΔΔ) strains [60], were maintained in human foreskin fibroblasts (ATCC; Manassas,

VA) in Dulbecco's Modification of Eagle's Medium (DMEM) (VWR; Radnor, PA)

supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (VWR), 2 mM L-glutamine (VWR), and 100

IU/ml penicillin – 100 µg/ml streptomycin (VWR). Parasites were released from host cells

by passage through a 27-gauge needle [61]. All parasite strains and host cell lines were

routinely tested for Mycoplasma contamination with the MycoAlert Mycoplasma Detection

Kit (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) and found to be negative.

Endogenous Epitope Tagging.

The [HA(ATC)]TgFBXO1 conditional expression mutant containing an N-terminal 2x-

HA tag was generated by amplifying the 5' end of TgFBXO1 using the following primers

(regions   of   homology   to   TgFBXO1   are   underlined)   5`-

GCCAGATCTATGGGCAACACGGAATCC-3`                              and                              5`

GCCGCGGCCGCCGATTGATTTTCATGAACCAGTGTG 3`, digested with BglII/NotI and

ligated to replace the CEP250 cassette in ptetO7sag4-HA-CEP250-DHFR-TS [30]. The

resulting construct was linearized, transfected into the TATiΔku80 strain [30, 59], and

clones isolated by limiting dilution using pyrimethamine resistance.

TgFBXO1[HA], containing a C-terminal 3X-HA tag, was generating by PCR

amplifying   the   3'   region   of   TgFBXO1   using   primers   5'-

TACTTCCAATCCAATTTAGCTGAAGCAAGTCCCGAAAGAT   3`   AND   5'-

TCCTCCACTTCCAATTTTAGCCGCATTGGCTCCGCCCT 3` and incorporated into

plasmid pLIC-HA3X-HXGPRT via ligation independent cloning [60]. The construct was

linearized and transfected into RHΔku80 strain by electroporation and clones isolated by

limiting dilution using mycophenolic acid/xanthine resistance.

ptubTgFBXO1[HA] was generated by synthesizing TgFBXO1 coding sequence

(GenScript, Piscataway, NJ) ligating it into p5RT70DDmycRab11b-HXGPRT [14] to

replace the DDmycRab11b cassette. Mutation of glycine at position 2 to alanine was

accomplished using the Quick Change Mutagenesis kit (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) to

generate ptubTgFBXO1[HAG2A].

TgSkp1 Interactome Studies

Freshly harvested RHΔΔ or TgSKP1[SF] [20] tachyzoites (6×10^8) were lysed in 1%

(v/v) NP-40 in 50 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 7.4) with protease inhibitors (1 mM PMSF, 10

µg/ml aprotinin and 10 µg/ml leupeptin) at 4°C for 10', and then centrifuged at 21,000 xg

for 15'. The supernatant was precleared with rabbit IgG beads for 60' at 4°C, and Skp1

was immunoprecipitated either from RHΔΔ using anti-Skp1 (affinity-purified pAb UOK75)-

bound beads (or rabbit IgG-bound beads), or from TgSKP1[SF] suing anti-FLAG (mAb M2)-

bound beads (untagged RHΔΔ lysates were used as a control), that were prepared and

used as described [28]. Material was eluted from UOK75 (or control rabbit IgG) beads

using 133 mM triethylamine (pH 11.5) for 10' and immediately neutralized with 200 mM

acetic acid. mAb M2-bound beads were eluted with 8 M urea in 25 mM NH_4HCO_3 for 15'

at 22°C. Eluted samples were denatured with urea, reduced with TCEP, alkylated with

iodoacetamide, and trypsinized, and the resulting peptides were analyzed on an Orbitrap

Mass Spectrometer (Therme Fisher) as previously described [28]. Only proteins that met

the following criteria were reported: i) detected in both types of lysates at a 1% false

discovery rate for both peptide and proteins, ii) detected in all 3 technical replicates of two

independent samples, iii) not detected in untagged RHΔΔ (TgSKP1[SF]) or rabbit IgG

(UOK75) samples, and iv) were not rhoptry proteins, are reported. Normalized spectral

counts and PSMs for protein IDs (1% false discovery rate at both peptide and protein

levels) were summed.

Plaque Assays

One hundred parasites were added to each well of a 6 well plate containing

confluent HFFs in the presence or absence of 1µg/ml ATC. After 5 days, monolayers

were methanol-fixed, stained with 0.1% crystal violet, and counted as described [62].

Immunoprecipitation

TgFBXO1^{HA} tachyzoites grown at 21% or 0.5% O_2 (in a Baker Hypoxia Chamber

(Sanford, ME) were harvested by syringe lysis and washed in ice-cold PBS. Parasites

($1*10^8$) were resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4 with 1% Triton X-100, 100 mM NaCl,

1 mM NaF, 0.5 mM EDTA, 0.2 mM Na_3VO_4, 1X protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher

Scientific), incubated on ice for 30 min and then subjected to sonication. Lysates were

clarified by centrifugation at 16,000 xg, incubated with mouse α-anti-HA clone 12CA5

conjugated with protein G beads (Sigma-Aldrich) for 16 hours at 4°C. Immune complexes

were separated with SDS-PAGE and then Western blotted with antibodies against rat

anti-HA clone 3F10 (Roche) or rabbit anti-TgSkp1 UOK75 [19].

IMC Fractionation

IMC fractionation was done essentially as described in [32]. Briefly, freshly

harvested tachyzoites were resuspended in hypotonic lysis buffer (10 mM Tris HCl pH

7.8, 5 mM NaCl) and lysed by repeated freeze thawing followed by centrifugation at 1,000

xg to generate fractions S1 (supernatant) and P1 (IMC). S1 was collected and centrifuged

at 100,000 xg and fractions S2 (cytosol) and P2 (non-IMC membrane) were collected.

Fraction P1 was resuspended in isotonic buffer (10 mM Tris HCl pH 7.8, 150 mM NaCl)

and centrifuged at 100,000 xg for 1 h to isolate proteins that interact with the IMC via

electrostatic interactions. Alternatively, SDS or Triton X-100 in isotonic buffer were added

to P1 to final concentration of 1% and then centrifuged at 16,000 xg. All manipulations

were performed at 4ºC and all buffers were supplemented with 1X Protease Inhibitor

cocktail.

SB505124 and oryzalin treatment

T. gondii tachyzoites were allowed to infect HFF monolayers on cover slides for 2

hours. Then, parasites were incubated either with 3 μM of SB505124 or equivalent

volume of DMSO as a control. After 24 hours, parasites were fixed and analyzed by

immunofluorescence. For microtubules disruption, HFF monolayers infected with

tachyzoites were incubated with media containing 2.5 µM oryzalin (Sigma). Parasites

were allowed to grow 24 hours and then fixed and examined by immunofluorescence.

Immunofluorescence Microscopy

Toxoplasma-infected HFFs grown on coverslips were fixed either with 4% w/v

paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 20 min at room temperature or

by ice-cold methanol for 15 min. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS

for 10 min, blocked in 5% w/v bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS for 60 min, incubated

overnight with primary antibodies at 4ºC, and then incubated for 60 min with Alexa Fluor

488- or Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated antibodies (1:2000, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Coverslips were then washed and mounted in DAPI-containing mounting medium (Vector

Labs; Burlingame, CA). Images were acquired using a 100X Plan Apo oil immersion 1.46

numerical aperture lens on a motorized Zeiss Axioimager M2 microscope equipped with

an Orca ER charge-coupled-device (CCD) camera (Hamamatsu, Bridgewater, NJ).

Images were collected as a 0.2-µm z-increment serial image stacks, processed using the

Volocity, version 6.1, Acquisition Module (Improvision Inc., Lexington, MA). Images were

deconvolved by a constrained iterative algorithm, pseudo colored, and merged using the

Volocity Restoration Module. All images presented here are maximal projection images

and were processed similarly.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Drs. Peter Bradley, Vern Carruthers, Marc Jan Gubbels, Markus

Meissner, and Elena Suvorova for providing reagents and/or valuable discussion, Kazi

Rahman for assistance in predicting F-box domains, and Peng Zhao, Lance Wells, and

Steve Hartson for assistance with the proteomic studies.

REFERENCES

1.   Guo M, Mishra A, Buchanan RL, Dubey JP, Hill DE, Gamble HR, et al. A Systematic Meta-Analysis of Toxoplasma gondii Prevalence in Food Animals in the United States. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2016;13(3):109-18. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2015.2070. PubMed PMID: 26854596;

2.   Pappas G, Roussos N, Falagas ME. Toxoplasmosis snapshots: global status of Toxoplasma gondii seroprevalence and implications for pregnancy and congenital toxoplasmosis. Int J Parasitol. 2009;39(12):1385-94. Epub 2009/05/13. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.04.003. PubMed PMID: 19433092;

3.   Dubey JP. History of the discovery of the life cycle of Toxoplasma gondii. Int J Parasitol. 2009;39(8):877-82. Epub 2009/07/25. PubMed PMID: 19630138;

4.   Bierly AL, Shufesky WJ, Sukhumavasi W, Morelli AE, Denkers EY. Dendritic cells expressing plasmacytoid marker PDCA-1 are Trojan horses during Toxoplasma gondii infection. J Immunol. 2008;181(12):8485-91. Epub 2008/12/04. doi: 181/12/8485 [pii]. PubMed PMID: 19050266;

5.   Weiss LM, Kim K. The development and biology of bradyzoites of Toxoplasma gondii. Front Biosci. 2000;5:D391-405. PubMed PMID: 10762601;

6.   Sheffield HG, Melton ML. The fine structure and reproduction of Toxoplasma gondii. J Parasitol. 1968;54(2):209-26. PubMed PMID: 5647101;

7.   Carruthers V, Boothroyd JC. Pulling together: an integrated model of Toxoplasma

cell invasion. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2007;10(1):83-9. Epub 2006/07/14. doi: S1369-

5274(06)00100-7 [pii] 10.1016/j.mib.2006.06.017. PubMed PMID: 16837236;

8.   Russell DG, Sinden RE. Three-dimensional study of the intact cytoskeleton of

coccidian sporozoites. Int J Parasitol. 1982;12(2-3):221-6. PubMed PMID: 7076393;

9.   Mann T, Beckers C. Characterization of the subpellicular network, a filamentous

membrane skeletal component in the parasite Toxoplasma gondii. Mol Biochem Parasitol.

2001;115(2):257-68. PubMed PMID: 11420112;

10.   Mann T, Gaskins E, Beckers C. Proteolytic processing of TgIMC1 during

maturation of the membrane skeleton of Toxoplasma gondii. J Biol Chem.

2002;277(43):41240-6. PubMed PMID: 12177058;

11.   Morrissette NS, Murray JM, Roos DS. Subpellicular microtubules associate with

an intramembranous particle lattice in the protozoan parasite Toxoplasma gondii. J Cell

Sci. 1997;110(Pt 1):35-42. PubMed PMID: 9010782;

12.   Suvorova ES, Francia M, Striepen B, White MW. A novel bipartite centrosome

coordinates the apicomplexan cell cycle. PLoS Biol. 2015;13(3):e1002093. doi:

10.1371/journal.pbio.1002093. PubMed PMID: 25734885;

13.   Francia ME, Striepen B. Cell division in apicomplexan parasites. Nat Rev

Microbiol. 2014;12(2):125-36. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3184. PubMed PMID: 24384598;

14.   Agop-Nersesian C, Egarter S, Langsley G, Foth BJ, Ferguson DJ, Meissner M.

Biogenesis of the inner membrane complex is dependent on vesicular transport by the

alveolate specific GTPase Rab11B. PLoS Pathog. 2010;6(7):e1001029. doi:

10.1371/journal.ppat.1001029. PubMed PMID: 20686666;

15.   Nelson DE, Randle SJ, Laman H. Beyond ubiquitination: the atypical functions of

Fbxo7 and other F-box proteins. Open Biol. 2013;3(10):130131. doi:

10.1098/rsob.130131. PubMed PMID: 24107298;

16.   Wang Z, Liu P, Inuzuka H, Wei W. Roles of F-box proteins in cancer. Nat Rev

Cancer. 2014;14(4):233-47. doi: 10.1038/nrc3700. PubMed PMID: 24658274;

17.   Bai C, Sen P, Hofmann K, Ma L, Goebl M, Harper JW, et al. SKP1 connects cell

cycle regulators to the ubiquitin proteolysis machinery through a novel motif, the F-box.

Cell. 1996;86(2):263-74. PubMed PMID: 8706131;

18.   Skowyra D, Craig KL, Tyers M, Elledge SJ, Harper JW. F-box proteins are

receptors that recruit phosphorylated substrates to the SCF ubiquitin-ligase complex.

Cell. 1997;91(2):209-19. PubMed PMID: 9346238;

19.   Xu Y, Brown KM, Wang ZA, van der Wel H, Teygong C, Zhang D, et al. The Skp1

protein from Toxoplasma is modified by a cytoplasmic prolyl 4-hydroxylase associated

with oxygen sensing in the social amoeba Dictyostelium. J Biol Chem.

2012;287(30):25098-110. Epub 2012/06/01. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.355446. PubMed

PMID: 22648409;

20.   Rahman K, Mandalasi M, Zhao P, Sheikh MO, Taujale R, Kim HW, et al.

Characterization of a cytoplasmic glucosyltransferase that extends the core trisaccharide

of the Toxoplasma Skp1 E3 ubiquitin ligase subunit. J Biol Chem. 2017;292(45):18644-

59. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M117.809301. PubMed PMID: 28928220;

21.   Rahman K, Zhao P, Mandalasi M, van der Wel H, Wells L, Blader IJ, et al. The E3-

ubiquitin ligase adaptor protein Skp1 is glycosylated by an evolutionarily conserved

pathway that regulates protist growth and development. J Biol Chem. 2015;291(9):4268-

80. Epub December, 30, 2015. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M115.703751. PubMed PMID:

26719340;

22.   Sheikh MO, Thieker D, Chalmers G, Schafer CM, Ishihara M, Azadi P, et al. O2

sensing-associated glycosylation exposes the F-box-combining site of the Dictyostelium

Skp1 subunit in E3 ubiquitin ligases. J Biol Chem. 2017;292(46):18897-915. doi:

10.1074/jbc.M117.809160. PubMed PMID: 28928219;

23.   Kipreos ET, Pagano M. The F-box protein family. Genome Biol.

2000;1(5):REVIEWS3002. doi: 10.1186/gb-2000-1-5-reviews3002. PubMed PMID:

11178263;

24.   Lechner E, Achard P, Vansiri A, Potuschak T, Genschik P. F-box proteins

everywhere. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2006;9(6):631-8. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2006.09.003.

PubMed PMID: 17005440;

25.   Schulman BA, Carrano AC, Jeffrey PD, Bowen Z, Kinnucan ERE, Finnin MS, et al.

Insights into SCF ubiquitin ligases from the structure of the Skp1–Skp2 complex. Nature.

2000;408:381. doi: 10.1038/35042620. PubMed PMID: 11099048;

26.   Sidik SM, Huet D, Ganesan SM, Huynh MH, Wang T, Nasamu AS, et al. A

Genome-wide CRISPR Screen in Toxoplasma Identifies Essential Apicomplexan Genes.

Cell. 2016;166(6):1423-35 e12. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.019. PubMed PMID:

27594426;

27.   Fox BA, Ristuccia JG, Gigley JP, Bzik DJ. Efficient gene replacements in

Toxoplasma gondii strains deficient for nonhomologous end joining. Eukaryot Cell.

2009;8(4):520-9. PubMed PMID: 19218423;

28.   Sheikh MO, Xu Y, van der Wel H, Walden P, Hartson SD, West CM. Glycosylation

of Skp1 promotes formation of Skp1-cullin-1-F-box protein complexes in dictyostelium.

Mol Cell Proteomics. 2015;14(1):66-80. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M114.044560. PubMed PMID:

25341530;

29.   Armstrong CM, Goldberg DE. An FKBP destabilization domain modulates protein

levels in Plasmodium falciparum. Nat Methods. 2007;4(12):1007-9. PubMed PMID:

17994030;

30.   Alvarez CA, Suvorova ES. Checkpoints of apicomplexan cell division identified in

Toxoplasma   gondii.   PLoS   Pathog.   2017;13(7):e1006483.   doi:

10.1371/journal.ppat.1006483. PubMed PMID: 28671988;

31.   Beck JR, Rodriguez-Fernandez IA, Cruz de Leon J, Huynh MH, Carruthers VB,

Morrissette NS, et al. A novel family of Toxoplasma IMC proteins displays a hierarchical

organization and functions in coordinating parasite division. PLoS Pathog.

2010;6(9):e1001094. Epub 2010/09/17. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1001094. PubMed

PMID: 20844581;

32.   Dubey R, Staker BL, Foe IT, Bogyo M, Myler PJ, Ngo HM, et al. Membrane skeletal

association and post-translational allosteric regulation of Toxoplasma gondii GAPDH1.

Mol Microbiol. 2017;103(4):618-34. Epub 2016/11/20. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13577. PubMed

PMID: 27859784;

33.   Nishi M, Hu K, Murray JM, Roos DS. Organellar dynamics during the cell cycle of

Toxoplasma gondii. J Cell Sci. 2008;121(Pt 9):1559-68. Epub 2008/04/16. doi:

10.1242/jcs.021089. PubMed PMID: 18411248;

34.   Brown KM, Suvorova E, Farrell A, McLain A, Dittmar A, Wiley GB, et al. Forward

genetic screening identifies a small molecule that blocks Toxoplasma gondii growth by

inhibiting both host- and parasite-encoded kinases. PLoS Pathog. 2014;10(6):e1004180.

Epub 2014/06/20. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004180. PubMed PMID: 24945800;

35.   Gubbels MJ, Vaishnava S, Boot N, Dubremetz JF, Striepen B. A MORN-repeat

protein is a dynamic component of the Toxoplasma gondii cell division apparatus. J Cell

Sci. 2006;119(Pt 11):2236-45. Epub 2006/05/11. doi: jcs.02949 [pii] 10.1242/jcs.02949.

PubMed PMID: 16684814;

36.   Farrell M, Gubbels M-J. The Toxoplasma gondii kinetochore is required for

centrosome association with the centrocone (spindle pole). Cellular Microbiology.

2013;16(1):78-94. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12185. PubMed PMID: 24015880;

37.   Shaw MK, Compton HL, Roos DS, Tilney LG. Microtubules, but not actin filaments,

drive daughter cell budding and cell division in Toxoplasma gondii. J Cell Sci. 2000;113 (

Pt 7):1241-54. Epub 2000/03/08. PubMed PMID: 10704375;

38.   Morrissette NS, Sibley LD. Disruption of microtubules uncouples budding and

nuclear division in Toxoplasma gondii. J Cell Sci. 2002;115(Pt 5):1017-25. Epub

2002/03/01. PubMed PMID: 11870220;

39.   Westermann S, Weber K. Post-translational modifications regulate microtubule

function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2003;4(12):938-47. doi: 10.1038/nrm1260. PubMed

PMID: 14685172;

40.   Morrissette NS, Mitra A, Sept D, Sibley LD. Dinitroanilines bind alpha-tubulin to

disrupt microtubules. Mol Biol Cell. 2004;15(4):1960-8. Epub 2004/01/27. doi:

10.1091/mbc.E03-07-0530 PubMed PMID: 14742718;

41.   Blader IJ, Coleman BI, Chen CT, Gubbels MJ. Lytic Cycle of Toxoplasma gondii:

15 Years Later. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2015;69:463-85. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-

091014-104100. PubMed PMID: 26332089;

42.   Kono M, Herrmann S, Loughran NB, Cabrera A, Engelberg K, Lehmann C, et al.

Evolution and architecture of the inner membrane complex in asexual and sexual stages

of the malaria parasite. Mol Biol Evol. 2012;29(9):2113-32. Epub 2012/03/06. doi:

10.1093/molbev/mss081. PubMed PMID: 22389454;

43.   Morrissette NS, Sibley LD. Cytoskeleton of apicomplexan parasites. Microbiol Mol

Biol Rev. 2002;66(1):21-38; table of contents. Epub 2002/03/05. PubMed PMID:

11875126;

44.   Lee RE, Kugrens P. Relationship between the flagellates and the ciliates. Microbiol

Rev. 1992;56(4):529-42. Epub 1992/12/01. PubMed PMID: 1480107;

45.   Drewry LL, Sibley LD. Toxoplasma Actin Is Required for Efficient Host Cell

Invasion. MBio. 2015;6(3):e00557. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00557-15. PubMed PMID:

26081631;

46.   Peng D, Wang Z, Huang A, Zhao Y, Qin FX. A Novel Function of F-Box Protein

FBXO17 in Negative Regulation of Type I IFN Signaling by Recruiting PP2A for IFN

Regulatory Factor 3 Deactivation. J Immunol. 2017;198(2):808-19. doi:

10.4049/jimmunol.1601009. PubMed PMID: 27956528;

47.   Smaldone S, Laub F, Else C, Dragomir C, Ramirez F. Identification of MoKA, a

novel F-box protein that modulates Kruppel-like transcription factor 7 activity. Mol Cell

Biol. 2004;24(3):1058-69. PubMed PMID: 14729953;

48.   Silmon de Monerri NC, Yakubu RR, Chen AL, Bradley PJ, Nieves E, Weiss LM, et

al. The Ubiquitin Proteome of Toxoplasma gondii Reveals Roles for Protein Ubiquitination

in Cell-Cycle Transitions. Cell Host Microbe. 2015;18(5):621-33. doi:

10.1016/j.chom.2015.10.014. PubMed PMID: 26567513;

49.   Dhara A, de Paula Baptista R, Kissinger JC, Snow EC, Sinai AP. Ablation of an

Ovarian Tumor Family Deubiquitinase Exposes the Underlying Regulation Governing the

Plasticity of Cell Cycle Progression in Toxoplasma gondii. MBio. 2017;8(6). doi:

10.1128/mBio.01846-17. PubMed PMID: 29162714;

50.   Liu Y, Nakatsukasa K, Kotera M, Kanada A, Nishimura T, Kishi T, et al. Non-SCF-

type F-box protein Roy1/Ymr258c interacts with a Rab5-like GTPase Ypt52 and inhibits

Ypt52   function.   Mol   Biol   Cell.   2011;22(9):1575-84.   Epub   2011/03/11.   doi:

10.1091/mbc.E10-08-0716. PubMed PMID: 21389113;

51.   Hermand D. F-box proteins: more than baits for the SCF? Cell Div. 2006;1:30.

Epub 2006/12/15. doi: 10.1186/1747-1028-1-30. PubMed PMID: 17166256;

52.   Zhang W, Lukoynova N, Miah S, Lucas J, Vaughan CK. Insights into Centromere

DNA Bending Revealed by the Cryo-EM Structure of the Core Centromere Binding Factor

3   with   Ndc10.   Cell   Rep.   2018;24(3):744-54.   Epub   2018/07/19.   doi:

10.1016/j.celrep.2018.06.068. PubMed PMID: 30021170;

53.   Leber V, Nans A, Singleton MR. Structural basis for assembly of the CBF3

kinetochore   complex.   EMBO   J.   2018;37(2):269-81.   Epub   2017/12/08.   doi:

10.15252/embj.201798134. PubMed PMID: 29212814;

54.   Li T, Pavletich NP, Schulman BA, Zheng N. High-level expression and purification

of recombinant SCF ubiquitin ligases. Methods Enzymol. 2005;398:125-42. Epub

2005/11/09. doi: 10.1016/S0076-6879(05)98012-9. PubMed PMID: 16275325;

55. van der Wel H, Johnson JM, Xu Y, Karunaratne CV, Wilson KD, Vohra Y, et al.

Requirements for Skp1 processing by cytosolic prolyl 4(trans)-hydroxylase and alpha-N-

acetylglucosaminyltransferase enzymes involved in O(2) signaling in dictyostelium.

Biochemistry. 2011;50(10):1700-13. Epub 2011/01/21. doi: 10.1021/bi101977w. PubMed

PMID: 21247092;

56. Tan A, Tanner JJ, Henzl MT. Energetics of OCP1-OCP2 complex formation.

Biophys Chem. 2008;134(1-2):64-71. Epub 2008/02/21. doi: 10.1016/j.bpc.2008.01.005.

PubMed PMID: 18284940;

57. Ponts N, Yang J, Chung DW, Prudhomme J, Girke T, Horrocks P, et al.

Deciphering the ubiquitin-mediated pathway in apicomplexan parasites: a potential

strategy to interfere with parasite virulence. PLoS One. 2008;3(6):e2386. Epub

2008/06/12. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0002386. PubMed PMID: 18545708;

58. Altschul SF, Wootton JC, Gertz EM, Agarwala R, Morgulis A, Schaffer AA, et al.

Protein database searches using compositionally adjusted substitution matrices. FEBS J.

2005;272(20):5101-9. Epub 2005/10/13. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2005.04945.x.

PubMed PMID: 16218944;

59. Sheiner L, Demerly JL, Poulsen N, Beatty WL, Lucas O, Behnke MS, et al. A

systematic screen to discover and analyze apicoplast proteins identifies a conserved and

essential protein import factor. PLoS Pathog. 2011;7(12):e1002392. Epub 2011/12/07.

doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002392. PubMed PMID: 22144892;

60.   Huynh MH, Carruthers VB. Tagging of endogenous genes in a Toxoplasma gondii

strain lacking Ku80. Eukaryot Cell. 2009;8(4):530-9. PubMed PMID: 19218426;

61.   Wiley M, Sweeney KR, Chan DA, Brown KM, McMurtrey C, Howard EW, et al.

Toxoplasma gondii activates hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) by stabilizing the HIF-1alpha

subunit via type I activin-like receptor kinase receptor signaling. J Biol Chem.

2010;285(35):26852-60. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.147041. PubMed PMID: 20581113;

62.   Spear W, Chan D, Coppens I, Johnson RS, Giaccia A, Blader IJ. The host cell

transcription factor hypoxia-inducible factor 1 is required for Toxoplasma gondii growth

and survival at physiological oxygen levels. Cell Microbiol. 2006;8(2):339-52. doi:

10.1111/j.1462-5822.2005.00628.x. PubMed PMID: 16441443;

 FIGURE LEGENDS

Fig 1. TgFBXO1 Interacts with TgSKP1. (A). RHΔ and TgSKP1^{SF} tachyzoites were

solubilized and immunoprecipitated with affinity purified anti-Tg Skp1 (UOK75) or anti-

FLAG (M2) respectively. The immunoprecipitates were trypsin digested and subjected to

proteomic analysis. Protein hits are reported as those proteins identified in both samples

and for which no spectral counts were recovered in immunoprecipitates obtained with

irrelevant antibodies (for UOK75) or the untagged strain (for M2). An additional filter was

applied to exclude abundant rhoptry proteins. **(B).** Lysates prepared from TgFBXO1^{HA}

and parental RHΔKU80 grown at 21% or 0.5% O_2 were either Western blotted (Input) or

incubated with anti-HA antibodies (IP). The immunoprecipitates were captured by Protein

G Sepharose and the immune complexes were Western blotted to detect TgFBXO1^{HA},

TgSkp1, and SAG1.

Figure 2. TgFBXO1 is Important for *Toxoplasma* growth. (A). Schematic illustration

of $^{HA(ATC)}$TgFBXO1 anhydrotetracycline (ATC) mediated gene expression. The TgFBXO1

endogenous promoter was replaced with a SAG4 promoter containing a tetracycline

transactivator (tTA) binding element. In the absence of ATC, the tetracycline regulated

promoter is active, while the addition of ATC reduces transcription by preventing tTA

binding to and activating the promoter. **(B).** Lysates from $^{HA(ATC)}$TgFBXO1 or parental

TATiΔKU80 parasites grown for 24 h in the absence or presence of 1µg/ml ATC were

Western blotted to detect $^{HA(ATC)}$TgFBXO1 or SAG1 as a loading control. **(C).**

$^{HA(ATC)}$TgFBXO1 or TATiΔKU80 parasites (100/well of a 6 well plate) were grown for 5 d

on HFF monolayers with or without 1µg/ml ATC. The cells were then fixed and numbers

of plaques formed counted.   Shown are the averages and standard deviations of 3

independent experiments performed in triplicate. **(D).** Representative images of plaques

from (C) showing decreased plaque size of TgFBXO1-depleted parasites. Plaque sizes

were quantified and plotted on the adjacent graph. *, p < 0.001, One-Way ANOVA.

Figure 3.   TgFBXO1 Forms Apical Rings Early During Endodyogeny.   (A).

TgFBXO1^{HA}-expressing parasites were fixed and stained to detect IMC3, TgFBXO1, and

DNA during G1, S, and M phases.   Arrows highlight TgFBXO1 apical rings. **(B).**

TgFBXO1^{HA}-expressing parasites were fixed and stained to detect ISP1, TgFBXO1, and

DNA during S, early M (before nuclear segregation), and late M (during nuclear

segregation) phases. Arrows highlight TgFBXO1 apical rings. Bars = 2µm. **(C).** TOP:

Fractionation scheme to characterize TgFBXO1^{HA} association with the IMC. BOTTOM:

Western blotting of equivalent volumes of each indicated fraction. Blots were probed with

antibodies to detect TgFBXO1 (α-HA), IMC3 (αIMC3), and ISP1 (αISP1).

Figure. 4. TgFBXO1 Rings are Localized Apically to the Parasite Centrosome and

Form After Centrosome Duplication. (A). TgFBXO1^{HA}-expressing parasites were fixed

and stained to detect TgCentrin1, TgFBXO1, and DNA during S, early M (EM), and late

M (LM) phases.   Arrows highlight TgFBXO1 apical rings. Bars = 2µm.   A schematic

depicting the cell cycle phases is shown. **(B).** Parasites were treated with 3 µM SB505124

(or DMSO as a vehicle control) for 24 h, fixed and then stained to detect TgCentrin1,

TgFBXO1, and DNA.   Note the appearance of SB505124-treated parasites with >2

centrosomes and disorganized TgFBXO1 staining. Bars = 5 µm. **(C).** TgFBXO1[HA]

parasites were stained to detect TgFBXO1 and the centrocone marker TgNuf2. Note

TgFBXO1[HA] apical rings form after centrocone duplication but before separation as

evidenced by the lobed TgNuf2 staining (Insert). Bars: 2µm.

Figure 5. TgFBXO1 Apical Rings form Independently of Daughter Cell Microtubule

Assembly. (A). TgFBXO1[HA]-expressing parasites were stained to detect TgFBXO1,

acetylated tubulin (to detect newly synthesized microtubules), and DNA during S, early

M, and late M (during nuclear segregation) phases. **(B).** Parasites were treated with

oryzalin (2.5 µM) or DMSO (vehicle control) for 24 h and then stained to detect TgFBXO1,

acetylated tubulin, and DNA. Arrowheads highlight TgFBXO1 apical rings. Bars = 2 µm.

(C). Quantification of numbers of TgFBXO1[HA] rings per parasite that form in the presence

of DMSO or oryzalin. Shown are the averages and standard deviations of 3 independent

experiments in which 50 randomly selected parasites per experiment were counted.

Figure 6. TgFBXO1 Is Recruited to the DCS in a Rab11b-Dependent Manner. (A).

TgFBXO1[HA]-expressing　　parasites　　were　　transiently　　transfected　　with

p5RT70DDmycRab11b-HXGPRT expression construct and then grown in the presence

of Shield-1 (0.1µM - low level expression or 1 µM – high level expression) reagent for 48

h. Cells were then fixed and stained to detect TgRab11b or TgFBXO1. Arrows highlight

apparent colocalization of Rab11b with the TgFBXO1 apical rings. Bars = 2µm. **(B).**

Parasites were transiently transfected with wild-type (ptubTgFBXO1[HA]) or myristoylation

mutant (ptubTgFBXO1[HAG2A]) TgFBXO1 expression constructs, fixed 48 h later, and

stained to detect ISP1 and TgFBXO1. Arrows highlight position of IMC1 apical caps.

Bars = 2 µm.

Figure 7. TgFBXO1 Is Required for IMC Organization and Maturation. (A). Parental

TATiΔku80 and ^{HA(ATC)}TgFBXO1 parasites were grown in the absence or presence of ATC

(1 µg/ml) for 5 days and stained to detect ISP1 and TgCentrin1. ***** denotes mislocalized

ISP1 in DCS of parasites with decreased TgFBXO1 expression. Bars = 1 µm. **(B).**

Parental TATiΔku80 and ^{HA(ATC)}TgFBXO1 parasites were grown in the absence or

presence of ATC (1 µg/ml) for 5 days and stained to detect IMC3 and TgCentrin1. ⊕

highlights IMC3 whirls, * highlights parasites lacking IMC3 staining, arrows highlight

misaligned IMC3 and centrosomes, and arrowheads highlight parasites lacking

centrosomes. Bars = 2 µm. **(C).** Quantification of IMC3/centrosome mislocalization in at

10 randomly selected fields per condition. Shown are averages and standard deviations

of 3 independent experiments.

 SUPPORTING INFORMATION LEGENDS

Figure S1. Known and Predicted F-box Proteins. F-box domain sequences were predicted as described in Methods. To facilitate visualization of relatedness, acidic residues are in blue, basic in dark red, small or prolines in red, and hydrophobic in green. Positions matching the consensus motif are highlighted in yellow (hydrophobic), gray (acidic), green (basic), or teal (small). F-box sequences from known structures and other validated studies, and functional and interactome studies in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, were aligned to characterize the known diversity of F-box domains. The family of consensus sequences was used to search the genomes of *Toxoplasma*, other apicomplexans, and the social amoeba *Dictyostelium discoideum*. **(A.)** Representative F-box motif sequences derived from crystal structures of F-box protein/Skp1 complexes and other well-known F-box proteins. **(B).** F-box motif sequences from the yeasts *S. pombe* and *S. cerevisiae*. **(C).** Candidate F-box motif sequences from *Toxoplasma gondii* (type 1 strain ME49). **(D).** Predicted F-box motif sequences from *D. discoideum*. FbxO1-like sequences were not detected in the *D. discoideum* genome.

Figure S2. FBXO1 Evolution. Sequences homologous to *T. gondii* FbxO1 were aligned in COBALT and subject to manual refinement. To facilitate visualization of relatedness, acidic residues are in blue, basic in dark red, small or prolines in red, and hydrophobic in green. Positions of similarity in one group are highlighted in yellow (hydrophobic), gray (acidic), green (basic), or teal (small). Underlines separate phylogenetic groups: the top two groups consist of different coccidian subclades, the next two groups represent other

apicomplexans, the next group contains a chromerid (apicomplexan predecessor), and

the bottom group contains non-apicomplexan alveolates including ciliates and

dinoflagellates.

Figure S3. FbxO1 Domain Prediction.   Sequence-based homology (Figure S2)

suggests that Tg-FbxO1 consists of at least 3 domains. **(A).** *T. gondii* FbxO1. Other

coccidians conserve this architecture. The N-domain is conserved in length and general

amino acid composition, but only the regions shaded in blue, including the N-terminal N-

myristylation motif (GxxxS), are conserved in sequence.   **(B).** Non-coccidian

apicomplexans and other alveolates. The ~370 C-terminal region is generally conserved

in all alveolate sequences. This region includes an N-terminally positioned predicted F-

box domain (F) and the C-domain. Some alveolate sequences consist of only F- and C-

domains, whereas others contain N-terminal extensions of variable length (dashed line)

that bear no detectable sequence similarity to the N-domain of TgFBXO1.

Supplemental Table S1. *Toxoplasma* F-box Proteins. Shown are the 17 predicted

Toxoplasma F-box proteins identified in this study. The ToxoDB or PlasmoDB IDs contain

hyperlinks to relevant web pages at the EUPATHDB Genome DatabaseS

(www.eupathDB.org). Sidik et al Fitness Scores are from [26].

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7