Reconciling the potentially irreconcilable? Genotypic and phenotypic amoxicillin-clavulanate

resistance in *Escherichia coli*

Authors: Timothy J. Davies[a,b](#), Nicole Stoesser[a,b,c], Anna E Sheppard[a,b], Manal Abuoun[d],

Philip Fowler[a], Jeremy Swann[a,b], T. Phuong Quan[a,b,e], David Griffiths[a,e], Alison Vaughan[a,e],

Marcus Morgan[c], Hang TT Phan[a]*, Katie J Jeffery[c], Monique Andersson[c], Matt J Ellington[f],

Oskar Ekelund[g], Neil Woodford[b,f], Amy J. Mathers[h], Robert A. Bonomo[i], Derrick W. Crook[a,b,c,e],

Tim E.A. Peto[a,b,c,e], Muna F Anjum[d], A. Sarah Walker[a,b,e]

Affiliations:

a) Nuffield Department of Medicine, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom

b) National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Protection Research Unit on

Healthcare Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance at University of Oxford, UK

c) Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK

d) Bacteriology, Animal and Plant Health Agency, Surrey UK

e) NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford, UK

f) Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare Associated Infections (AMRHAI) Reference Unit,

National Infection Service, Public Health England, London UK

g) Department of Clinical Microbiology and the EUCAST Development Laboratory, Kronoberg

Region, Central Hospital, Växjö, Sweden

h)   Division of Infectious Diseases and International Health, Department of Medicine,

University of Virginia Health System, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA

Clinical Microbiology, Department of Pathology, University of Virginia Health System,

Charlottesville, Virginia, USA

i)   Louis Stokes Cleveland Veterans Affairs Medical Centre, Research Service, Cleveland, OH;

Case Western Reserve University, Departments of Medicine, Biochemistry, Molecular

Biology and Microbiology, Pharmacology, and Proteomics and Bioinformatics; CWRU-

Cleveland VAMC Centre for Antimicrobial Resistance and Epidemiology (Case VA

CARES); and Geriatric Research Education and Clinical Centers (GRECC), Louis Stokes

Cleveland Department of Veterans Affairs, Cleveland, OH

Running Title: Amoxicillin-clavulanate Resistance Genetics in *E. coli*

#Address correspondence to Timothy J Davies, timothy.davies@ndm.ox.ac.uk

Abstract:

Resistance to amoxicillin-clavulanate, a widely used beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor

combination antibiotic, is rising globally, yet susceptibility testing remains challenging. To test

whether whole-genome sequencing (WGS) could provide a more reliable assessment of

susceptibility than traditional methods, we predicted resistance from WGS for 976 *E. coli*

bloodstream infection isolates from Oxfordshire, UK, comparing against phenotypes from the

BD Phoenix (calibrated against EUCAST guidelines). 339/976 (35%) isolates were amoxicillin-

clavulanate resistant. Predictions based solely on beta-lactamase presence/absence performed

poorly (sensitivity 23% (78/339)) but improved when genetic features associated with

penicillinase hyper-production (e.g. promoter mutations, copy number estimates) were

considered (sensitivity 82% (277/339); p<0.0001). Most discrepancies occurred in isolates with

peri-breakpoint MICs. We investigated two potential causes; the phenotypic reference and the

binary resistant/susceptible classification. We performed reference standard, replicated

phenotyping in a random stratified subsample of 261/976 (27%) isolates using agar dilution,

following both EUCAST and CLSI guidelines, which use different clavulanate concentrations.

As well as disagreeing with each other, neither agar dilution phenotype aligned perfectly with

genetic features. A random-effects model investigating associations between genetic features and

MICs showed that some genetic features had small, variable and additive effects, resulting in

variable resistance classification. Using model fixed-effects to predict MICs for the non-agar

dilution isolates, predicted MICs were in essential agreement (±1 doubling dilution) with

observed (BD Phoenix) MICs for 691/715 (97%) isolates. This suggests amoxicillin-clavulanate

resistance in *E. coli* is quantitative, rather than qualitative, explaining the poorly reproducible

binary (resistant/susceptible) phenotypes and suboptimal concordance between different

phenotypic methods and with WGS-based predictions.

Introduction

Rising amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance in *E. coli* is a major healthcare challenge, with increasing incidence of resistant bloodstream infections (BSI)[1] threatening its utility as the most commonly used antibiotic in Europe.[2] Consequently, many hospitals are considering broadening their first-line empiric antibiotics for common infections. However, significant uncertainty is created by observed differences between the two main assays for amoxicillin-clavulanate susceptibility in the classification of clinical samples.[3] These differences are so large that increasing amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance was suggested to be primarily due to laboratories switching from US Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) to European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) guidelines.[4] Recent work,[5] however, suggests that changes in laboratory protocols are unlikely to account for the majority of the increase in resistance. Only one study has investigated whether there are underlying genetic causes for the ongoing rise in amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance,[6] but found no evidence of clonal expansion of any specific amoxicillin-clavulanate-resistant strains. However, the genetic epidemiology of amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance mechanisms was not investigated.

In addition to its widespread clinical use, amoxicillin-clavulanate is a model for beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor (BL/BLI) combinations, which are the focus of renewed attention[7] due to the development of novel BL/BLIs with activity against highly drug-resistant organisms.[8] EUCAST has recently published guidelines on setting breakpoints for BL/BLIs,[9] but the inconsistencies seen in testing and clinically interpreting amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance likely extend to novel BL/BLIs.[10]

One solution is to instead identify the genetic determinants characterizing resistance (resistance

genotype) using whole-genome sequencing (WGS).[11] This approach may be particularly

helpful for BL/BLI, as recent studies have suggested that traditional phenotyping is less accurate

in isolates producing extended spectrum beta-lactamases.[12] Rather than resistance being

associated with the simple presence/absence of specific genes, previous studies have found much

amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance is likely attributable to mechanisms which increase the

effective concentration of beta-lactamases (e.g. additive effects of multiple beta-lactamases,[13]

increasing gene expression[14] or modifying cell permeability[15]). Given the added complexity

of both phenotype and genotype, studies using WGS to predict phenotypic resistance have either

not included amoxicillin-clavulanate,[16, 17] compared against only one set of breakpoints,[18]

or only tested small sets of pre-selected samples.[19] Similar studies investigating other

BL/BLIs, such as piperacillin-tazobactam, reported poor accuracy when predicting resistance

from genotype.[20]

We therefore investigated concordance between WGS-derived genotypes and amoxicillin-

clavulanate susceptibility phenotypes in a large, unselected set of Oxfordshire *E. coli* BSI

isolates from 2013-2015. We assessed whether extending the usual presence/absence genetic

approach to include features that might increase beta-lactamase expression (copy number,

promoter type) would improve concordance, and quantified the impact of particular genetic

variants and testing guidelines (EUCAST, CLSI) on minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs).

Results

Routine laboratory phenotypes and amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance genotypes

Of the 1039 *E. coli* BSI occurring between January 2013-August 2015 in Oxfordshire, UK, 1000

had at least one isolate stored by Oxford University Hospitals (OUH) NHS Foundation Trust

microbiology laboratory. In most (992/1000 (99%)) infections, only a single *E. coli* was isolated;

however, two different *E. coli* were grown from culture in 8 cases, giving a total of 1008 distinct

E. coli isolates. Each of these isolates had linked antimicrobial susceptibility test (AST) data

from the Oxford University Hospitals (OUH) NHS Foundation Trust microbiology laboratory

using the BD Phoenix (Beckton, Dickinson and Company). All obtained isolates were

sequenced, with 976/1008 (97%) having WGS data meeting pre-determined quality controls

designed to identify mixtures and poor-quality sequences. Overall, these 976 isolates represented

968/1039 [93%] *E. coli* BSI (Supplementary Figure S1). 339/976 (36%) had amoxicillin-

clavulanate MIC > 8/2 mg/L by EUCAST breakpoints (Supplementary Table S1).

The collection was highly diverse, representing 152 different sequence types (STs). The most

common was ST73 (161,17%) (Supplementary Figure S2), followed by ST131 (124,13%),

which had the highest percentage of phenotypically-resistant isolates (N=74,60%) and was the

only ST associated with amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance (chi-squared p<0.0001 compared with

p>0.16 for all other STs).

The most common beta-lactam resistance mechanisms identified (using ARIBA(21) (default

parameters) and tBLASTn/BLASTn (see Methods)) were acquired beta-lactamase genes, which

were identified in 515/976 (53%) isolates. Most of these (448/515 (87%)) harbored only a single

transmissible beta-lactamase gene. Among the 67 isolates with more than one beta-lactamase

gene, the most common combination was $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ and bla_{OXA-1} (N=27, Supplementary Table

S2B). Overall bla_{TEM} was by far the most common mechanism identified (occurring in 427/976

(44%) isolates), followed by bla_{CTX-M} (N=73 (7%)), bla_{OXA} (N=62 (6%)) and bla_{SHV} (N=23

(2%)) (Supplementary Figure S2, Supplementary Table S2). For the 594 transmissible beta-

lactamases identified, median DNA copy number from mapping coverage was 2.23 (IQR

1.73,3.31); 227 (38%) had >2.5-fold coverage (the threshold to predict resistance derived from

receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis of isolates with only one beta-lactamase

identified, Supplementary Methods, Supplementary Figure S3). Variant bla_{TEM} and *ampC*

promoters considered to be associated with increased expression were identified in 49 (5%) and

20 (2%) isolates respectively (Supplementary Table 3A, 3C). 31 (3%) isolates potentially had

one non-functional porin, of which 22 also contained a beta-lactamase gene; however, no isolate

had "functionally lost" both *ompC* and *ompF* (Supplementary Table S4).

WGS-derived resistance prediction compared with routine phenotyping

We compared two genetic resistance prediction algorithms for amoxicillin and amoxicillin-

clavulanate (see Methods). The first, denoted the "basic" prediction algorithm, was analogous to

common WGS-based resistance methods and only predicting resistance for isolates containing

inhibitor resistant beta-lactamase genes (e.g. bla_{OXA-1}, bla_{TEM-30}). The second denoted the

"extended" prediction algorithm, additionally evaluated bla_{TEM} and *ampC* promoter mutations,

estimates of beta-lactamase gene DNA copy number and porin loss-of-function mutations.

Including the additional features (i.e. the "extended" approach) had little impact on our ability to

identify ampicillin resistance but significantly improved amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance

prediction (Table 1~~Table 1~~, amoxicillin sensitivity 98% basic vs 96% extended; amoxicillin-

clavulanate sensitivity 23% basic vs 82% extended, McNemar's p<0.0001). However, the

increased sensitivity also came at the cost of modestly reduced specificity (Table 1). Overall

categorical agreement of WGS-derived with observed phenotype increased from 712 (73%) to

868 (89%) when these extended genetic features were included.

Investigating the cause of lower than optimal agreement, even using the extended algorithm,

showed that most false positive predictions were made on the basis of increased beta-lactamase

gene DNA copy-number (**Error! Reference source not found.**~~Table 2~~). Although there was a

clear association between increasing copy-number and MIC (p<0.0001), resistance prediction

based on increased DNA copy-number (>2.5) was less accurate than other extended algorithm

components (positive predictive value (PPV) = 0.77 compared to >0.97 for all other algorithm

components), with both resistant isolates with lower copy-number beta-lactamases and

susceptible isolates with higher copy beta-lactamases (Figure 1).

The distribution of MICs in isolates with concordant vs discordant predictions suggested an

alternative explanation (Figure 2), with the extended algorithm performing better at predicting

susceptibility/resistance in non-peri-breakpoint isolates. Overall the algorithm correctly

classified 463/469 (99%) isolates with MIC <=4/2 mg/L as susceptible and 230/250 (92%)

isolates with MIC >=32/2 mg/L as resistant. Notably, of 79 discordant isolates containing only

non-inhibitor-resistant beta-lactamases, 64 (81%) had peri-breakpoint (8/2-16/2mg/L) MICs.

Given these findings, we therefore investigated two other hypotheses that could explain the low

agreement in peri-breakpoint isolates: (*i*) variable accuracy of the different phenotypic methods,

and (*ii*) the binary resistant/susceptible classification being too simplistic.

Variability in reference standard agar dilution phenotypes (EUCAST and CLSI based)

291/976 (30%) isolates were selected for repeated agar dilution phenotyping using stratified

random subsampling to enrich for resistant isolates both with and without beta-lactamase genes

(see Methods, Supplementary Methods, Supplementary Figure S1). Of these 291 isolates, 261

(90%) passed the additional quality control steps designed to remove potential undetected

mixtures, and were included in the agar-dilution subsample (details in Supplementary Methods;

in brief, all colonies had to be of one morphology on blood-agar purity plates and MICs for each

of amoxicillin and both amoxicillin-clavulanate fixed (EUCAST)/ratio (CLSI) tests had to be in

essential agreement on 2 or more repeat tests). The stratified random sampling enriching for

resistant phenotypes meant that 160/261 (61%) subsample isolates were amoxicillin-clavulanate-

resistant by routine AST (Supplementary Table S1). All STs with >10 isolates in the main

sample were represented, with 52 (20%), 43 (16%) and 29 (11%) isolates being ST131, ST73

and ST69, respectively, as were all resistance gene families in the main sample (Supplementary

Figure S2).

As expected, phenotypes from different reference-standard AST methods were often discordant

(Figure 3). EUCAST-based agar dilution (using the fixed 2mg/L clavulanate concentration) only

agreed with CLSI-based agar dilution (using the 2:1 ratio of amoxicillin:clavulanate) for 143/261

(55%) isolates (27 agreed resistant, 116 agreed susceptible). For the remaining 118 isolates,

EUCAST-based agar dilution results were more conservative than CLSI-based agar dilution.

Major discrepancies occurred for 39 isolates, being classed resistant by EUCAST-based agar

dilution and susceptible by CLSI-based agar dilution. The remaining 79 isolates were EUCAST-

resistant CLSI-intermediate. Excluding isolates classified as intermediate by CLSI, categorical

agreement between the two reference-standard methods was 79%. Considering CLSI-

intermediate as resistant had little impact on the overall categorical agreement (85%). Each of

these test methods also often classified isolates differently to the BD Phoenix (Figure 3), but, as

expected, given the BD Phoenix used in the OUH routine laboratory is calibrated against

EUCAST guidelines, EUCAST-based agar dilution was in agreement more often. Of note, one

isolate which only contained a partial bla_{TEM} gene was repeatedly resistant on both EUCAST and

CLSI-based agar dilution testing but was identified susceptible by the BD Phoenix.

MIC results from both methods were variable on retesting (as part of triplicate repeats): more so

for EUCAST-based agar dilution MICs (Supplementary Figure S4*),* which were not constant

across repeats for 158/261 (61%) isolates versus only 73 (28%) for CLSI-based agar dilution

MICs. While differences across repeats were in essential agreement with one another (i.e. less

than (±1 doubling dilution) for all but 12 isolates for EUCAST-based agar dilution and all but 1

isolate for CLSI-based agar dilution, they did cause changes in resistance classification. For

EUCAST-based agar dilution, 40/261 (15%) isolates were identified as both resistant and

susceptible across repeats, suggesting that even within-method categorical agreement is far

poorer than the standards required for regulatory approval. Likewise for CLSI-based agar

dilution, MIC differences across repeats resulted in variation in resistance classification for 31

(12%) isolates; however because of the CLSI-intermediate category, 28/31 (90%) of these would

be classed as minor discrepancies.

WGS-derived resistance prediction compared with reference-standard agar dilution phenotypes

Overall, using the extended algorithm above, WGS classified as resistant 23/27 (85%) isolates

agreed resistant by EUCAST and CLSI-based tests, 107/118 (91%) indeterminate isolates (76/79

EUCAST-resistant/CLSI-intermediate, 31/39 EUCAST-resistant/CLSI-susceptible) and 17/116

(15%) agreed susceptible isolates (Figure 4). Again predictions based on the presence of high

copy number (>2.5x) non-inhibitor beta-lactamases alone were the least congruent with the

reference-standard phenotypes. Specifically, 16/62 (26%) isolates with increased copy number

beta-lactamase genes were agreed susceptible (accounting for 16 of the 17 resistance predictions

in agreed susceptible isolates). Further, whilst 46/62 (74%) isolates with this mechanism were

resistant on EUCAST-based agar dilution, similar to the PPV with BD Phoenix on the whole

dataset, for CLSI-based agar dilution only 2/62 (3%) were CLSI resistant, 24/62 (39%) were

CLSI-intermediate and 36/62 (58%) were CLSI susceptible, suggesting this threshold performs

more poorly for predicting CLSI-based agar dilution phenotypes. However, as when selecting the

initial threshold against BD Phoenix results, there was no threshold which perfectly predicted the

CLSI-based phenotype (Supplementary Figure S2B). Only 8 (30%) of the 27 agreed resistant by

EUCAST and CLSI-based tests contained inhibitor-resistant beta-lactamases. Conversely, 24/79

(30%) CLSI-intermediate and 10/39 (26%) CLSI-susceptible isolates contained bla_{OXA-1},

showing that identification of inhibitor-resistant beta-lactamases was neither necessary nor

sufficient to predict resistance for the CLSI-based tests.

Similarly, assessment of the individual contribution of other genetic features to the phenotype

was challenging due to co-occurrence of features in the same isolate and the impact of some

features on susceptibility varying both between isolates and within isolate repeats

(Supplementary Figures S3, S4). For example, 4/9 isolates with ampC promoter mutations in the

agar dilution subsample were both resistant and intermediate on repeat testing using CLSI-based

agar dilution.

WGS-derived resistance prediction in peri-breakpoint and non-peri-breakpoint isolates

As with routine AST, WGS predictions of reference-standard phenotypes were more accurate for

non-peri-breakpoint MICs (EUCAST-based agar dilution: ($\leq$4/2 mg/ml, $\geq$32/2 mg/ml), CLSI-

based agar dilution: ($\leq$4/2 mg/ml, $\geq$32/16 mg/ml)). For EUCAST-based agar dilution, WGS

correctly identified resistance/susceptibility in 169/177 (95%) isolates with non-peri-breakpoint

MICs, versus only 60/84 (71%) with peri-breakpoint MICs. Similarly, for CLSI-based agar

dilution, excluding 79 intermediate isolates (16/8 mg/L), WGS correctly predicted 97/106 (92%)

non-peri-breakpoint isolates, but predicted 43/76 (57%) isolates with MIC 8/4 mg/L as resistant.

Interestingly, however, there were three consistently resistant (EUCAST-based MIC $\geq$

32/2mg/L, CLSI-based MIC $\geq$32/16 mg/L) and three consistently susceptible (EUCAST-based

MIC $\leq$4/2mg/L, CLSI-based MIC $\leq$4/2 mg/L) discrepants. All three resistant discrepants were

explained by complexities inferring phenotype from WGS. One had a novel *bla*CTX-M variant

(CTX-M-15-like, Ser130Gly mutation). Previous work on mechanisms of beta-lactamase

inhibition suggests mutations at Ambler position(22) 130 likely lead to inhibitor resistance(7),

and a similar mutation (Ser130Thr CTX-M-190) resulted in sulbactam and tazobactam

resistance.(23) The other two isolates had antibiograms consistent with *ampC* hyper-production

(cefoxitin resistant, ceftazidime resistant, cefepime susceptible), but we were unable to identify

complete promoter sequences matching our reference (CP009072.1) in the region upstream of

ampC. This may suggest insertion of alternative elements upstream of *ampC* could have led to

both fragmented assemblies and have driven increased expression; however from WGS data

alone it is difficult to distinguish if this has truly occurred or instead may be due to other

undetected beta-lactamase resistance mechanisms. All three susceptible discrepants had beta-

lactamases present at mildly elevated copy numbers (2.5-3.5x relative DNA coverage) leading to

WGS prediction of resistance, which may be due to inherent unavoidable difficulty selecting

cutoffs for predicting phenotype (Supplementary Figure S3B).

Impact of individual resistance features on a continuous measure of susceptibility

Random-effects models were used to investigate the impact of test method and WGS-identified

genetic elements on agar dilution $\log_2$ MICs simultaneously, and to create a WGS-based

resistance prediction for comparison with phenotype (Supplementary Methods). Elements were

categorised depending on frequency (Supplementary Table S5). The most predictive aspect of

each element (including presence/absence of genes and/or promoter mutations and/or gene

dosage) was selected using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Supplementary Methods).

Interaction terms between genetic elements (reflecting saturation effects) and with test

methodology (reflecting differential impact of the same genetic mechanism depending on the

amoxicillin:clavulanate ratio) were included where $p < 0.05$.

All beta-lactamases were associated with increased MICs in univariable models (Supplementary

Table S6) and these associations generally persisted in multivariable models, although their

magnitude decreased markedly (Figure 5, Supplementary Table S7). The largest effects of beta-

lactamase presence/absence were for bla_{OXA-1} (a class 2d beta-lactamase, denoted blaOXA:2d)

and members of the 'other' group of beta-lactamases, comprising either inhibitor-resistant beta-

lactamases (N=10), or those with unknown impact on beta-lactam susceptibility (N=4)

(Supplementary Table S5). These caused two-three fold and four-fold doubling dilution increases

in EUCAST-based MIC respectively. The effects of non-inhibitor resistant bla_{TEM} (denoted

blaTEM:2b) and bla_{SHV} (denoted blaSHV:2b) genes were more complex. For each, presence

alone in an isolate was only associated with a small, often non-significant increase in MIC by

either method (bla_{TEM}: impact on change in $\log_2$(MIC) CLSI-based=+0.36 [p=0.01], EUCAST-

based=+0.14 [p=0.51]; bla_{SHV}: change in $\log_2$(MIC) CLSI-based=+0.03 [p=0.93], EUCAST-

based=-0.61 [p=0.27]). However, for both, higher copy number (i.e. gene dosage) was associated

with higher MIC. These effects were small but additive (e.g. EUCAST-based MIC change in

$\log_2$(MIC) per doubling of copy number bla_{TEM}=+0.79 [p<0.0001], bla_{SHV}=+0.71 [p=0.004],

detail in Supplementary Table S7A). Like beta-lactamases, all "significant" promoter mutations

were associated with increased MICs (p<0.0001). In particular, "significant" $ampC$ promoter

mutations were independently associated with large increases in MIC (impact on change in

$\log_2$(MIC) CLSI-based=+2.60 [p<0.0001], EUCAST-based=+4.25 [p<0.0001]). Interestingly,

there was no clear change in MIC independently associated with suspected porin loss in our data

(p≥0.06), despite porin loss being associated with a large effect in unadjusted analysis

(Supplementary Table S6, change in $\log_2$(MIC) +2.28 (CLSI) and +4.17 (EUCAST))..

Of note, when increased copy number effects were included, EUCAST-based testing

methodology accentuated increases in MIC caused by genetic resistance features other than for

suspected porin loss and presence of bla_{CTX-M} genes (the blaCTX-M:2be

group)($p_{heterogeneity} \leq 0.05$). EUCAST-based methodology however was also associated with

increased between and within sample standard deviation (Supplementary Table S7B).

Predictions of MIC in an independent validation set

Final EUCAST-based agar-dilution model estimates were then used to predict MICs for the

715/976 non-subsample isolates, which were then compared with BD Phoenix MICs. MIC

predictions were in agreement for 557/715 (78%) isolates and in essential agreement (within ±1

doubling dilution) for 691/715 (97%). However, these 715 non-subsample isolates included

11isolates which contained resistance mechanisms not present among the agar-dilution

subsample isolates from which the model was derived (e.g. different beta-lactamase variants).

Excluding these, prediction performance was similar, with agreement for 554/704 (79%) isolates

(Figure 6) and essential agreement for 683/704 (97%) isolates. Similarly to comparisons between

the different antimicrobial susceptibility testing methods (Figure 3), agreement between

predicted and observed resistant/susceptible classifications was lower (90%) despite having high

essential agreement of MICs. While overall performance was good, three isolates had predicted

MICs three doubling dilutions lower than observed. One had an unusual yet reproducible

phenotype [ampicillin susceptible, amoxicillin-clavulanate resistant]. This rare phenotype has

generally been found in non-*E. coli* Enterobacteriaceae, and is thought to be due to either

mechanisms of *ampC* induction(24) or the differential activity of amoxicillin and ampicillin.(25)

We were unable to identify a clear causative mechanism in this isolate; however, of note, it was

the only isolate to contain a -11 C>T *ampC* promoter mutation.. The other two both had

observed MIC ≥32/2mg/L but only contained a low copy number bla_{TEM-1} and had predicted

MIC 8/2mg/L.

Discussion

Decisions about broadening recommended empiric antimicrobial regimens from amoxicillin-

clavulanate are currently being made based on unclear AST data which appears poorly

concordant with WGS-identified determinants of beta-lactamase resistance. Here, we have

demonstrated that this lack of concordance is not due to unknown genetic features or inherent

phenotyping problems as previously hypothesized.(26) Instead, it appears to arise from poor

interpretation of how known genetic mechanisms of resistance impact phenotype. Contrary to the

often assumed paradigm that beta-lactam resistance is generally due to the presence/absence of

specific beta-lactamases alone, mechanisms of resistance to amoxicillin-clavulanate seen

regularly in a large unselected clinical dataset were multifactorial, resulting from combinations

of multi-copy beta-lactamase genes, mutations in resistance gene-associated promoters, and

inhibitor resistance mechanisms. The individual effects of some of these features on MIC were

small, variable and additive, resulting in only minor shifts around clinical breakpoints. This

potentially explains inconsistencies on repeated phenotyping, and may be a consequence of the

genetic basis of resistance rather than an inherent test weakness. A further corollary is that

discrepancies between genotypic predictions and phenotype are inevitable when using

susceptible/resistant binary classifications. Finally, the phenotypic testing methodology

significantly affected the magnitude of the effect of these resistance features on the MIC. These

issues, when combined, resulted in inconsistent binary phenotypes despite reliable MICs, and

consequently led to inevitable suboptimal concordance both between different phenotypic testing

methodologies and also with WGS-based susceptibility/resistance predictions An alternative

approach would be to use WGS to predict MICs directly. We demonstrated this was possible by

predicting the MIC to within one doubling dilution (essential agreement) of the observed MIC

for 97% of isolates from a population-representative set of *E. coli* BSI.

Our study highlights the importance of isolate sampling frame, phenotyping method and

breakpoint selection. A previous study of 76 *E. coli* isolated from cattle (19), which reported

high sensitivity and specificity of WGS to predict amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance, contained

highly-resistant isolates (30% containing bla_{CMY-2}), and only attempted to predict CLSI-defined

resistance (>32/16 mg/L). In contrast, in our study, similar to other population representative

studies of human isolates,(6, 14) only a small proportion of amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance

was due to inhibitor-resistant beta-lactamases, with most of the resistance being due to hyper-

production of beta-lactamases. Further, while EUCAST argue that pharmacodynamic data

support choice of breakpoint and clavulanate concentration (9, 27), there is no definitive

evidence as to which method has stronger associations with either clinical outcome or genotype.

We therefore assessed WGS against both commonly used methods (EUCAST, CLSI).

Compared with other studies of BL/BLIs and *E. coli* causing human infections, we found less

BL/BLI resistance was accounted for by inhibitor-resistant beta-lactamases.(20) To identify

resistance in our population-representative set of isolates, we found it critical to consider genetic

features that alter expression of beta-lactamases. Although the individual effects of some of these

features on MICs were small, they were important, because MICs for many isolates were close to

the breakpoint. Further, given the small size of these effects and effects of testing methodology,

isolates could exhibit either susceptible or resistant phenotypes on repeat testing, supporting the

concept of an "intermediate" phenotype, which is not accounted for in the EUCAST guidelines.

The discrepancies between EUCAST and CLSI phenotypes we observed were similar to

previous studies,(3) suggesting that phenotypic interpretation for one of our most commonly

used clinical antibiotics remains open to question. The one mechanism for which we found no

evidence of effect was porin loss: this may reflect difficulty detecting these effects from WGS

alone or be a simple power issue given rarity of this mechanism in our population-based sample,

since porin loss has been associated with raised MICs to other BL/BLI combination antibiotics in

isolates containing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemases.(28)

The main study strengths are the large, population-representative sampling frame; detailed,

replicated, reference-grade phenotyping for a substantial subset of isolates on which prediction

models were developed ("training set") and a large number of additional isolates with single

phenotypes assessed by a commercial clinically accredited platform ("test set"); detailed and

complete genotyping; and the statistical modelling. While model performance on other datasets

with geographical differences in resistance mechanisms and prevalence is unknown, its good

performance in unselected clinical isolates with resistance mechanisms commonly seen in

practice (in contrast to many previous studies of WGS-based resistance prediction(29)) suggest it

may be generalizable. Further, the consistency of individual findings with previous literature

(including inconsistent phenotype(30), mechanisms of genetic resistance(31) and poor

performance of beta-lactamase presence/absence-only prediction for BL/BLI resistance (20, 32))

provide confidence that the combined results may apply across different settings. A further study

strength was our use of more complete representations of the mechanisms of beta-lactam

resistance. Compared to other studies of WGS-based resistance prediction which have either just

used presence/absence of beta-lactamases or machine learning methods directly on sequence

data, our models more easily align with traditional approaches of studying antimicrobial

resistance and provide interpretable estimates of the direct effects of different mechanisms. A

limitation is that we could only investigate proxies for some important genetic features, e.g.

increased DNA copy number leading to increased expression. WGS is unable to directly quantify

these effects, which thus require additional characterization by alternative methods, leading to

concern that resistance prediction from WGS alone would be highly challenging. In practice

however, the good predictive performance of our model using relatively simple proxies suggests

many of these features can indeed be approximated from WGS data. Modelling associations

between resistance features and MIC directly allowed us to avoid inferring the phenotype from

the genotype using pre-specified rules and account for the effects of multiple features existing in

individual isolates. The complexity of the underlying associations we discovered highlights the

challenges facing standardized methods for predicting resistance across multiple drugs and

species,(33) and the need for automated approaches based on machine learning to take into

account proxies for increased expression.

The main limitations of this study relate to its size. While we determined repeat agar dilution

phenotypes for a relatively large number of isolates (n=261) compared to other studies,(30) many

resistance elements were still rare. This had three important consequences: some infrequent

features had to be categorized together for modelling, interactions between all combinations

features (e.g. combinations of beta-lactamases) could not be definitively assessed, and some

mechanisms present in our testing set were not present in the training set (e.g. some rare known

beta-lactamase variants, many only in a single isolate, Supplementary Table S2) and so their

effect could not be estimated. Model results however suggested these had limited consequences.

Firstly, the features causing the greatest MIC increases were those traditionally associated with

amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance,(7) their specific impact being modelled here for the first time.

Secondly, only a small number of isolates had resistance mechanisms not seen in the training

dataset (N=11), meaning impact on performance was minimal (essentially their effect was

assumed to be 0). This issue is inevitable given the substantial diversity of *E. coli* and incomplete

knowledge of resistance mechanisms, but the excellent performance in the remaining 704/715

isolates suggests the vast majority of clinical isolates could be amenable to WGS-based MIC

prediction, leaving a much smaller, more tractable number of isolates needing additional

phenotypic investigation. Another potential limitation was the use of agar dilution as our

reference-standard phenotype, a method which, while previously endorsed by EUCAST,(34) is

no longer recommended, with broth microdilution now recommended instead. By contrast CLSI

still considers agar dilution as equivalent to broth microdilution.(35) Reassuringly, differences

we found between the BD Phoenix and agar-dilution were similar to a previous study comparing

BD Phoenix with reference-standard broth microdilution,(36) suggesting this would have

relatively little impact on our overall results.

In summary, amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance in *E. coli* is quantitative, rather than qualitative;

in reality, resistance is a continuum built up by many individual features inevitably resulting in

poor reproducibility and suboptimal concordance with binary classifications. WGS can identify

the causes of amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance in *E. coli* provided the approach is extended to

consider the complicated, polygenic, and expression-related nature of this resistance. This

suggests a genetic approach could offer a less assay dependent way to assess amoxicillin-

clavulanate resistance. With renewed interest in using BL/BLIs to treat highly drug-resistant

infections, our study has implications for both clinical practice and research. Given susceptibility

phenotypes are highly dependent on the phenotypic method used, they must be interpreted with

caution. Genetic approaches have the potential to circumvent this issue. Importantly however,

the assumption that BL/BLI resistance is binary (susceptible/resistant) may be unhelpful as the

same underlying resistance feature can be associated with MICs just below or just above the

breakpoint. Given the variability and complexity in both the underlying mechanisms and

resulting phenotype, a more transparent approach considering background genetic features,

expression levels of beta-lactamases, MIC values and clinical syndrome, is likely needed to

guide management decisions.

Materials and Methods

Study population and routine microbiological processing

E. coli isolated from all monomicrobial or polymicrobial blood cultures at Oxford University

Hospitals (OUH) NHS Foundation Trust between 01/Jan/2013-31/Aug/2015 were included,

excluding repeat positive cultures within 90-days of an index positive. Automated AST was

performed in the routine laboratory (BD Phoenix; Beckton, Dickinson and Company) and MICs

interpreted using EUCAST breakpoints. Data were extracted from the Infectious Diseases in

Oxfordshire Research Database (IORD)(37) which has Research Ethics Committee and Health

Research Authority approvals (14/SC/1069, ECC5-017(A)/2009).

DNA extraction and sequencing

Isolates were re-cultured from frozen stocks stored in nutrient broth plus 10% glycerol at -80°C.

DNA was extracted using the QuickGene DNA Tissue Kit S (Kurabo Industries, Japan) as per

manufacturer's instructions, with an additional mechanical lysis step (FastPrep, MP Biomedicals,

USA) immediately following chemical lysis. A combination of standard Illumina and in-house

protocols were used to produce multiplexed paired-end libraries which were sequenced on the

Illumina HiSeq 2500, generating 151bp paired-end reads. High quality sequences

(Supplementary Methods) were de-novo assembled using Velvet[38] as previously

described.[39] *In silico* Achtman[40] multi-locus sequence types (MLST) types were defined

using ARIBA.[21]

Evaluating the importance of genetic features that modify effective beta-lactamase concentration

We identified components of two genetic resistance prediction algorithms for amoxicillin and

amoxicillin-clavulanate (Table 2, Supplementary Methods) using ARIBA[21] (default

parameters) and tBLASTn/BLASTn.[41] The "basic" prediction used only presence/absence of

relevant genes in the Resfinder[17] database, and the "extended" prediction additionally included

bla_{TEM} and *ampC* promoter mutations, estimates of DNA copy number and predicted porin loss-

of-function. High DNA copy number was used as an indicator of possible gene duplication or

high plasmid copy number, which are both known to cause increased beta-lactam resistance.[42,

43] We made no attempt to distinguish between these two causes due to the limitations of short-

read sequencing data. For bla_{TEM} and *ampC* promoters, sequences identified using

ARIBA/BLASTn were searched for variant sites and regions previously associated with

significantly increased expression.(44–46) For transmissible resistance genes, we estimated

DNA copy number by comparing mapping coverage with the mean coverage of MLST genes

and defined a relative coverage of >2.5 as increased copy number (based on receiver-operator-

curve (ROC) analysis, Supplementary Methods; Supplementary Figure S3A). Finally, sequences

found by ARIBA using reference *ompC* and *ompF* sequences (RefSeq: NC_000913.3) were

inspected for features such as indels and truncations suggesting functional porin loss.

Evaluating the impact of different phenotypic methods

A subset of 291 isolates were selected for replicate agar dilution phenotyping using random

sampling within strata defined by phenotype-genotype combinations (see Supplementary

Methods for full sub-sampling procedure, Supplementary Figure S1A,B). Replicate agar dilution

phenotyping used clavulanate concentration and MIC interpretation according to both EUCAST

and CLSI guidelines. The aim was to explore reasons for discordance between observed

phenotype and predictions made on the basis of beta-lactamase gene presence/absence alone.

The subsampling therefore aimed to enrich for several groups of isolates, including resistant (by

BD Phoenix) isolates both with and without beta-lactamases identified from WGS, isolates with

peri-breakpoint MICs and susceptible isolates containing beta-lactamases. For each method, sub-

cultures (from frozen stocks) were tested in triplicate using ISO-Sensitest agar plates containing

amoxicillin and clavulanate in a 2:1 ratio (CLSI) or a fixed concentration of clavulanate (2 mg/L)

(EUCAST), with *E. coli* controls ATCC25922 (wild type) and ATCC35218 (TEM-1 beta-

lactamase producer).(47) For additional quality control, bacterial isolates were plated on sheep

blood agar and incubated overnight at 37°C to check purity, with isolates excluded if multiple

colonial morphologies were seen. Isolates were included in analyses if two or more MICs for

each of amoxicillin, EUCAST-based amoxicillin-clavulanate and CLSI-based amoxicillin-

clavulanate were in essential agreement (i.e. a minimum of 2/3 for each drug; see Supplementary

Methods). Isolates with less than two MICs for each of the tests passing quality control were

tested an additional time to reduce the risk of selection bias against isolates with genetic

mechanisms causing variable expression and underestimating natural phenotypic variability. For

each included isolate, susceptibility classification for that isolate was defined using the "upper

median" MIC (choosing the higher MIC when the median lay between two MIC readings) of the

test repeats.

Modelling and predicting MICs

Random-effects models (Stata 14.2; StataCorp LP, 2015) were used to investigate the impact of

test method and WGS-identified genetic elements on agar dilution $\log_2$ MICs simultaneously

(additional details in Supplementary Methods). Elements were categorised depending on

frequency (Supplementary Table S4). Models included method-specific random-effects for each

isolate and testing batch, and method-specific (heteroskedastic) errors. All genetic element

categories were included *a priori*, but the most predictive effects of each (including

presence/absence of genes and/or promoter mutations and/or gene dosage) were selected using

the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Supplementary Methods). Lastly, interaction terms

between genetic elements (reflecting saturation effects) and with test methodology (reflecting

differential impact of the same genetic mechanism depending on the amoxicillin:clavulanate

ratio) were included where $p<0.05$. Final estimates were then used to predict MICs in all non-

subsample isolates and in non-subsample isolates which did not contain resistance features not

present in the agar dilution subsample. Predicted MICs were then compared to routine laboratory

phenotypes from the BD Phoenix.

Acknowledgments:

This work uses data provided by patients and collected by the NHS as part of their care and

support. We thank all the people of Oxfordshire who contribute to the Infections in Oxfordshire

Research Database. Research Database Team: R Alstead, C Bunch, DCW Crook, J Davies, J

Finney, J Gearing (community), L O'Connor, TEA Peto (PI), TP Quan, J Robinson

(community), B Shine, AS Walker, D Waller, D Wyllie. Patient and Public Panel: G Blower, C

Mancey, P McLoughlin, B Nichols. We would also like to thank the HPRU Steering Group (N

French, C Marwick, J Coia, M Sharland).

Funding:

The study was funded by the National Institute for Health Research Health Protection Research

Unit (NIHR HPRU) in Healthcare Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance at Oxford

University in partnership with Public Health England (PHE) [grant HPRU-2012-10041]. DWC,

TEAP and ASW are supported by the NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre. The report

presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research. The views

expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the

National Institute for Health Research, the Department of Health or Public Health England. NS is

funded by a PHE/University of Oxford Clinical Lectureship. DWC, TEAP and ASW are NIHR

Senior Investigators.

Author contributions:

TJD, NS, MJE, NW, DWC, TEAP, MFA and ASW designed the study. KJ, MA(OUH), MM,

TPQ obtained the automated susceptibility phenotypes from archived BD phoenix records. TJD,

and MA(APHA) performed agar dilution on samples. DG and AV sequenced isolates. TJD, HP

and JS ran resistance genotype prediction on samples. TJD, AS, NS, OE, RB and AM interpreted

the genetic results and established rules regarding the relationship with phenotype. TJD and

ASW fitted random-effects models to the data. TJD, NS, AS, PF, ASW and MFA prepared the

first draft. All authors commented on the data and its interpretation, revised the content critically

and approved the final version.

Competing interests:

None to declare. However, for NW and MJE, PHE's AMRHAI Reference Unit has received

financial support for conference attendance, lectures, research projects or contracted evaluations

from numerous sources, including: Accelerate Diagnostics, Achaogen Inc, Allecra Therapeutics,

Amplex, AstraZeneca UK Ltd, AusDiagnostics, Basilea Pharmaceutica, Becton Dickinson

Diagnostics, bioMérieux, Bio-Rad Laboratories, The BSAC, Cepheid, Check-Points B.V., Cubist

Pharmaceuticals, Department of Health, Enigma Diagnostics, European Centre for Disease

Prevention and Control, Food Standards Agency, GlaxoSmithKline Services Ltd, Helperby

Therapeutics, Henry Stewart Talks, IHMA Ltd, Innovate UK, Kalidex Pharmaceuticals, Melinta

Therapeutics, Merck Sharpe & Dohme Corp, Meiji Seika Pharma Co., Ltd, Mobidiag,

Momentum Biosciences Ltd, Neem Biotech, NIHR, Nordic Pharma Ltd, Norgine

Pharmaceuticals, Rempex Pharmaceuticals Ltd, Roche, Rokitan Ltd, Smith & Nephew UK Ltd,

Shionogi & Co. Ltd, Trius Therapeutics, VenatoRx Pharmaceuticals, Wockhardt Ltd., and the

World Health Organization.

Data and materials availability:

Sequences used in the study are made available at PRJNA540750. MIC data and code used for

this analysis are available at

https://github.com/TimothyJDavies/reconciling_the_potentially_irreconcilable

References:

1.   Public Health England. 2018. English Surveillance Programme for Antimicrobial

Utilisation and Resistance (ESPAUR).

2.   Plachouras D, Kärki T, Hansen S, Hopkins S, Lyytikäinen O, Moro ML, Reilly J, Zarb P,

Zingg W, Kinross P, Weist K, Monnet DL, Suetens C, Group the PPSS. 2018.

Antimicrobial use in European acute care hospitals: results from the second point

prevalence survey (PPS) of healthcare-associated infections and antimicrobial use, 2016 to

2017. Eurosurveillance 23:1800393.

3.   Diez-Aguilar M, Morosini M-I, Lopez-Cerero L, Pascual A, Calvo J, Martinez-Martinez

L, Marco F, Vila J, Ortega A, Oteo J, Canton R. 2015. Performance of EUCAST and

CLSI approaches for co-amoxiclav susceptibility testing conditions for clinical

categorization of a collection of Escherichia coli isolates with characterized resistance

phenotypes. J Antimicrob Chemother 70:2306–2310.

4.   Mouton J. 2017. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing with EUCAST breakpoints and

methods, p. . *In* Mouton, J (ed.), ECCMID. Vienna, Austria.

5.   Vihta K-D, Stoesser N, Llewelyn MJ, Quan TP, Davies T, Fawcett NJ, Dunn L, Jeffery K,

Butler CC, Hayward G, Andersson M, Morgan M, Oakley S, Mason A, Hopkins S, Wyllie

DH, Crook DW, Wilcox MH, Johnson AP, Peto TEA, Walker AS. 2018. Trends over time

in Escherichia coli bloodstream infections, urinary tract infections, and antibiotic

susceptibilities in Oxfordshire, UK, 1998-2016: a study of electronic health records.

Lancet Infect Dis 18:1138–1149.

6.   Kallonen T, Brodrick HJ, Harris SR, Corander J, Brown NM, Martin V, Peacock SJ,

Parkhill J. 2017. Systematic longitudinal survey of invasive Escherichia coli in England

demonstrates a stable population structure only transiently disturbed by the emergence of

ST131. Genome Res.

7.   Drawz SM, Bonomo RA. 2010. Three decades of beta-lactamase inhibitors. Clin

Microbiol Rev 23:160–201.

8.   Bush K. 2015. A resurgence of beta-lactamase inhibitor combinations effective against

multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens. Int J Antimicrob Agents 46:483–493.

9.   European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 2017. Guidance document

on beta-lactamase inhibitor combinations.

10. Humphries R. 2018. Mechanisms of resistance to ceftazidime- avibactamECCMID.

Madrid, Spain.

11. Ellington MJ, Ekelund O, Aarestrup FM, Canton R, Doumith M, Giske C, Grundman H,

Hasman H, Holden MTG, Hopkins KL, Iredell J, Kahlmeter G, Koser CU, MacGowan A,

Mevius D, Mulvey M, Naas T, Peto T, Rolain J-M, Samuelsen O, Woodford N. 2017. The

role of whole genome sequencing in antimicrobial susceptibility testing of bacteria: report

from the EUCAST Subcommittee. Clin Microbiol Infect 23:2–22.

12. Harris PNA, Tambyah PA, Lye DC, Mo Y, Lee TH, Yilmaz M, Alenazi TH, Arabi Y,

Falcone M, Bassetti M, Righi E, Rogers BA, Kanj S, Bhally H, Iredell J, Mendelson M,

Boyles TH, Looke D, Miyakis S, Walls G, Al Khamis M, Zikri A, Crowe A, Ingram P,

Daneman N, Griffin P, Athan E, Lorenc P, Baker P, Roberts L, Beatson SA, Peleg AY,

Harris-Brown T, Paterson DL, MERINO Trial Investigators and the Australasian Society

for Infectious Disease Clinical Research Network (ASID-CRN). 2018. Effect of

629 Piperacillin-Tazobactam vs Meropenem on 30-Day Mortality for Patients With *E coli* or

630 *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Bloodstream Infection and Ceftriaxone Resistance. JAMA

631 320:984.

632 13. Livermore DM, Day M, Cleary P, Hopkins KL, Toleman MA, Wareham DW, Wiuff C,

633 Doumith M, Woodford N. 2018. OXA-1 β-lactamase and non-susceptibility to

634 penicillin/β-lactamase inhibitor combinations among ESBL-producing Escherichia coli. J

635 Antimicrob Chemother dky453-dky453.

636 14. Ortega A, Oteo J, Aranzamendi-Zaldumbide M, Bartolome RM, Bou G, Cercenado E,

637 Conejo MC, Gonzalez-Lopez JJ, Marin M, Martinez-Martinez L, Merino M, Navarro F,

638 Oliver A, Pascual A, Rivera A, Rodriguez-Bano J, Weber I, Aracil B, Campos J. 2012.

639 Spanish multicenter study of the epidemiology and mechanisms of amoxicillin-

640 clavulanate resistance in Escherichia coli. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:3576–3581.

641 15. Livermore DM. 1997. beta-Lactamases: quantity and resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect 3

642 Suppl 4:S10–S19.

643 16. Stoesser N, Batty EM, Eyre DW, Morgan M, Wyllie DH, Del Ojo Elias C, Johnson JR,

644 Walker AS, Peto TEA, Crook DW. 2013. Predicting antimicrobial susceptibilities for

645 Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates using whole genomic sequence data. J

646 Antimicrob Chemother 68:2234–2244.

647 17. Zankari E, Hasman H, Kaas RS, Seyfarth AM, Agerso Y, Lund O, Larsen MV, Aarestrup

648 FM. 2013. Genotyping using whole-genome sequencing is a realistic alternative to

649 surveillance based on phenotypic antimicrobial susceptibility testing. J Antimicrob

650 Chemother 68:771–777.

18.   Feldgarden M, Brover V, Haft DH, Prasad AB, Slotta DJ, Tolstoy I, Tyson GH, Zhao S,

Hsu C-H, McDermott PF, Tadesse DA, Morales C, Simmons M, Tillman G, Wasilenko J,

Folster JP, Klimke W. 2019. Validating the NCBI AMRFinder Tool and Resistance Gene

Database Using Antimicrobial Resistance Genotype-Phenotype Correlations in a

Collection of NARMS Isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother AAC.00483-19.

19.   Tyson GH, McDermott PF, Li C, Chen Y, Tadesse DA, Mukherjee S, Bodeis-Jones S,

Kabera C, Gaines SA, Loneragan GH, Edrington TS, Torrence M, Harhay DM, Zhao S.

2015. WGS accurately predicts antimicrobial resistance in Escherichia coli. J Antimicrob

Chemother 70:2763–2769.

20.   Shelburne SA, Kim J, Munita JM, Sahasrabhojane P, Shields RK, Press EG, Li X, Arias

CA, Cantarel B, Jiang Y, Kim MS, Aitken SL, Greenberg DE. 2017. Whole-Genome

Sequencing Accurately Identifies Resistance to Extended-Spectrum beta-Lactams for

Major Gram-Negative Bacterial Pathogens. Clin Infect Dis 65:738–745.

21.   Hunt M, Mather AE, Sánchez-Busó L, Page AJ, Parkhill J, Keane JA, Harris SR. 2017.

ARIBA: rapid antimicrobial resistance genotyping directly from sequencing reads. Microb

genomics 3:e000131.

22.   Ambler RP, Coulson AF, Frère JM, Ghuysen JM, Joris B, Forsman M, Levesque RC,

Tiraby G, Waley SG. 1991. A standard numbering scheme for the class A beta-

lactamases. Biochem J 276 (Pt 1:269–70.

23.   Shen Z, Ding B, Bi Y, Wu S, Xu S, Xu X, Guo Q, Wang M. 2017. CTX-M-190, a Novel

beta-Lactamase Resistant to Tazobactam and Sulbactam, Identified in an Escherichia coli

Clinical Isolate. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61.

24.   Brumfitt W, Hamilton Miller JMT, Dixson S, Gargan RA, Gooding A. 1983. Phenomenon

674   of resistance to Augmentin associated with sensitivity to ampicillin: Occurrence and

675   explanation. J Clin Pathol 36:670–673.

676   25.   Brumfitt W, Hamilton-Miller JM. 1983. Differential sensitivities to

677   amoxycillin/clavulanate and amoxycillin in general practice urinary infections. Lancet

678   (London, England). England.

679   26.   Su M, Satola SW, Read TD. 2019. Genome-based prediction of bacterial antibiotic

680   resistance. J Clin Microbiol.

681   27.   2018. EUCAST Frequently Asked Questions EUCAST Frequently Asked Questions 1.

682   EUCAST Disk Diffusion Test-Medium.

683   28.   Haidar G, Clancy CJ, Chen L, Samanta P, Shields RK, Kreiswirth BN, Nguyen MH. 2017.

684   Identifying Spectra of Activity and Therapeutic Niches for Ceftazidime-Avibactam and

685   Imipenem-Relebactam against Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae. Antimicrob

686   Agents Chemother 61:e00642-17.

687   29.   Feldgarden M, Brover V, Haft DH, Prasad AB, Slotta DJ, Tolstoy I, Tyson GH, Zhao S,

688   Hsu C-H, McDermott PF, Tadesse DA, Morales C, Simmons M, Tillman G, Wasilenko J,

689   Folster JP, Klimke W. 2019. Using the NCBI AMRFinder Tool to Determine

690   Antimicrobial Resistance Genotype-Phenotype Correlations Within a Collection of

691   NARMS Isolates. bioRxiv 550707.

692   30.   Díez-Aguilar M, Morosini M-I, López-Cerero L, Pascual Á, Calvo J, Martínez-Martínez

693   L, Marco F, Vila J, Ortega A, Oteo J, Cantón R. 2015. Performance of EUCAST and

694   CLSI approaches for co-amoxiclav susceptibility testing conditions for clinical

categorization of a collection of *Escherichia coli* isolates with characterized resistance

phenotypes. J Antimicrob Chemother 70:2306–2310.

31. Ortega A, Oteo JJ, Aranzamendi-Zaldumbide M, Bartolome RM, Bou GG, Cercenado E,

Conejo MC, Gonzalez-Lopez JJ, Marin M, Martinez-Martinez L, Merino MM, Navarro F,

Oliver A, Pascual A, Rivera A, Rodriguez-Bano J, Weber I, Aracil BB, Campos JJ,

Bartolomé RM, Bou GG, Cercenado E, Conejo MC, José González-López J, Marín M,

Martínez-Martínez L, Merino MM, Navarro F, Oliver A, Pascual Á, Rivera A, Rodríguez-

Baño J, Weber I, Aracil BB, Campos JJ. 2012. Spanish multicenter study of the

epidemiology and mechanisms of amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance in Escherichia coli.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:3576–3581.

32. Spafford K, MacVane S, Humphries R. 2019. Evaluation of empiric beta-lactam

susceptibility prediction among Enterobacteriaceae by molecular beta-lactamase gene

testing. J Clin Microbiol JCM.00674-19.

33. Kim J, Greenberg DE, Pfifer R, Jiang S, Xiao G, Xie Y, Shelburne SA, Koh A, Zhan X.

2019. VAMPr: VAriant Mapping and Prediction of antibiotic resistance via explainable

features and machine learning. bioRxiv 537381.

34.   2000. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of antibacterial agents

by agar dilution. Clin Microbiol Infect 6:509–515.

35. CLSI. 2019. CLSI supplement M100,Performance Standards for Antimicrobial

Susceptibility Testing, 29th ed. Wayne, PA.

36. María D-A, María-Isabel M, María-Carmen C, Álvaro P, Jorge C, Luis M-M, Francesc M,

Jordi V, Adriana O, Jesús O, Rafael C. 2016. Establishing the validity of different

susceptibility testing methods to evaluate the in vitro activity of amoxicillin-clavulanate

against Escherichia coli. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 84:334–336.

37.   Finney JM, Walker AS, Peto TEA, Wyllie DH. 2011. An efficient record linkage scheme

720   using graphical analysis for identifier error detection. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak 11:7.

721   38.   Zerbino DR, Birney E. 2008. Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de

722   Bruijn graphs. Genome Res 18:821–829.

723   39.   Stoesser N, Sheppard AE, Peirano G, Anson LW, Pankhurst L, Sebra R, Phan HTT,

724   Kasarskis A, Mathers AJ, Peto TEA, Bradford P, Motyl MR, Walker AS, Crook DW,

725   Pitout JD. 2017. Genomic epidemiology of global Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase

726   (KPC)-producing Escherichia coli. Sci Rep 7:5917.

727   40.   Wirth T, Falush D, Lan R, Colles F, Mensa P, Wieler LH, Karch H, Reeves PR, Maiden

728   MCJ, Ochman H, Achtman M. 2006. Sex and virulence in Escherichia coli: an

729   evolutionary perspective. Mol Microbiol 60:1136–1151.

730   41.   Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment

731   search tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410.

732   42.   Wu P-J, Shannon K, Phillips I. 1995. Mechanisms of hyperproduction of TEM-1 β-

733   lactamase by clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli*. J Antimicrob Chemother 36:927–939.

734   43.   Shropshire WC, Aitken SL, Pifer R, Kim J, Bhatti MM, Li X, Kalia A, Galloway-Peña J,

735   Sahasrabhojane P, Arias CA, Greenberg DE, Hanson BM, Shelburne SA. Title: β-

736   lactamase Amplification and Porin Loss Drive Progressive β-lactam Resistance in

737   Recurrent ESBL Enterobacteriaceae Bacteremia One Sentence Summary: Amplification

738   of β-lactam genes with porin loss was associated with development of β-lactam resista.

739   44.   Lartigue MF, Leflon-Guibout V, Poirel L, Nordmann P, Nicolas-Chanoine M-H. 2002.

740   Promoters P3, Pa/Pb, P4, and P5 upstream from bla(TEM) genes and their relationship to

741   beta-lactam resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 46:4035–4037.

742   45.   Peter-Getzlaff S, Polsfuss S, Poledica M, Hombach M, Giger J, Bottger EC, Zbinden R,

743   Bloemberg G V. 2011. Detection of AmpC beta-lactamase in Escherichia coli:

744   comparison of three phenotypic confirmation assays and genetic analysis. J Clin Microbiol

745   49:2924–2932.

746   46.   Caroff N, Espaze E, Bérard I, Richet H, Reynaud A. 1999. Mutations in the ampC

747   promoter of Escherichia coli isolates resistant to oxyiminocephalosporins without

748   extended spectrum β-lactamase production. FEMS Microbiol Lett 173:459–465.

749   47.   Andrews JM. 2001. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations. J Antimicrob

750   Chemother 48:5–16.

Figure 1: Association between transmissible beta-lactamase gene DNA copy number and amoxicillin-clavulanate MIC in isolates with no alternative resistance features.

Note: Evidence for association between MIC and log2(DNA copy number) $p<0.0001$, estimated using quantile regression. Grey line indicates 2.5 threshold used to define resistance in the extended algorithm based on ROC analysis (Supplementary Figure S3A). Of these 328 isolates, 294 had bla_{TEM} genes (290 with bla_{TEM-1}, 4 with other non-inhibitor resistant bla_{TEM} genes), 19 had non-inhibitor resistant bla_{SHV} genes and 15 had bla_{CTX-M} genes.

Figure 2: Proportion WGS predicted resistant (extended algorithm) by routine laboratory MIC

Figure 3: Comparison of the three different phenotyping methods on the agar-dilution subsample isolates (N=261)

Note: Comparison of MICs obtained using the three different phenotyping methods; EUCAST-based agar-dilution, CLSI-based agar dilution and BD Phoenix (performed in the OUH microbiology laboratory and using panels calibrated against EUCAST guidelines). *: Isolates are in categorical agreement if they are reported as either resistant by both methods (i.e. BD Phoenix/EUCAST-based agar dilution MIC >8/2 mg/L and CLSI-based agar dilution > 16/8 mg/L) or susceptible by both methods (i.e. BD Phoenix/EUCAST-based agar dilution MIC <=8/2 mg/L and CLSI-based agar dilution MIC <= 8/4 mg/L). Intermediate isolates were excluded from these comparisons (but are shown above) as BD phoenix/EUCAST-based agar dilution have no intermediate category. Blue: full agreement of MICs, light orange: essential agreement, dark orange: within two doubling dilutions (theoretically feasible believing both tests having an error of +/- 1 dilution) and red: disagreement.

Figure 4 Proportion WGS predicted resistant (extended algorithm) by MICs from EUCAST and CLSI-based methods

Note: Main panel, each (x,y) coordinate represents (EUCAST-based MIC,CLSI-based MIC) combination. At each coordinate, circle size represents the number of isolates with this combination of fixed and ratio MICs, and color denotes proportion identified as resistant by WGS as indicated by the color bar to the right of the figure. The two sub-panels (bar charts to the left and bottom of the main panel) show the number of isolates with each MIC (in line with the main panel). Yellow/blue coloring indicate which of these were predicted resistant/susceptible respectively, and black lines indicate cut-offs used to determine resistance classification (susceptible/resistant for EUCAST-based agar dilution, susceptible/intermediate/resistant for CLSI-based agar dilution).

Figure 5: Changes in doubling dilution MIC independently associated with each feature/testing method (multivariable random-effects model).

Note: Purple represents testing using 2:1 CLSI-based agar dilution (CLSI), and green using EUCAST-based agar diltuion. All elements except those denoted by * and shaded in orange are modelled as binary presence vs absence effects (see Supplementary Methods).), Other_bla (grouped other bla genes, includes bla_{TEM-40} (N=2), bla_{TEM-30}(N=3), bla_{CMY-2} (N=3), bla_{OXA-48} (N=1), $bla_{TEM-190}$ (N=1), bla_{TEM-33} (N=1), Supplementary Table 2) blaOXA:2d (Bush-Jacoby 2d, bla_{OXA}), blaCTXM:2be (Bush-Jacoby 2be, CTXM), blaTEM:2b (Bush-Jacoby 2b, bla_{TEM}), blaSHV:2b, (Bush-Jacoby 2b, SHV), ampCpr (ampC promoter mutation suggesting increased

expression), blaTEMpr(bla$_{TEM}$ hyper-producing promoter), NFOMP(non-functional ompF/ompC), blaTEM:2b:cpn (copy number) effect modelled as effect of doubling copy number, blaSHV:2b:cpn (copy number) effect modelled as effect of doubling copy number

Figure 6 Model based MIC prediction for non-subsample isolates (N=704)

BD Phoenix Reference MIC (mg/L)	≤4/2	8/2	16/2	32/2	>32/2
>32/2		2	6	17	49
32/2	1	3	8	14	7
16/2	3	19	36	6	3
8/2	10	64	41	1	
≤4/2	391	21	2		

Predicted MIC (mg/L)

Essential agreement	683/704 (97%)
Categorical agreement	632/704 (90%)
Major Error	44/530 (8%)
Very Major Error	28/174(16%)

Note: blue shading indicates correct correctly predicted observed AST MIC (554/704 (79%) isolates), light pink predicted within one doubling dilution (total 683/704 (97%) isolates, essential agreement), orange within two doubling dilutions (total 701/704 (100%)) and red greater than 2 doubling dilutions. Excluding 11 isolates with resistance mechanisms not included in the agar-dilution subsample on which the prediction model was derived (similar overall performance including these).

Table 1: Performance of WGS-based prediction using both basic and extended algorithms

WGS-predicted phenotype (No. isolates)	AST Phenotype (No. isolates)		Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)
Ampicillin: basic	S	R		
S	441	20	96	99
R	4	511		
Ampicillin: extended prediction	S	R		
S	439	8	98	99
R	6	523		
Amoxicillin-clavulanate: basic	S	R		
S	634	261	23	100
R	3	78		
Amoxicillin-clavulanate: extended	S	R		
S	591	62	82	93
R	46	277		

Table 2: Resistance prediction feature performance

Feature	Prediction	Number of isolates resistant by routine AST/total isolates with this feature (%)	
		PPV	PPV when in isolation[+]
Beta-lactamases			
1 Any class C or D serine beta-lactamase	Basic	66/69 (96%)	32/34 (94%)
2 Any inhibitor resistant class A beta-lactamase	Basic	12/12 (100%)	2/2 (100%)
Promoter mutations			
3 Non-P3 bla_{TEM} promoter associated with bla_{TEM} hyper-production	Extended	48/49 (98%)	29/30 (97%)
4 - $ampC$ promoter mutation associated with ampC hyper-production	Extended	20/20 (100%)	13/13 (100%)
Increased DNA copy number			
5 Relative coverage of any transmissible beta-lactamase > 2.5*	Extended	184/227 (81%)	128/167 (77%)
Decreased permeability[o]			
6 Features suggesting disruption of either $ompC$ or $ompF$ in an isolate containing an additional beta-lactamase (see supplementary methods)	Extended	19/22 (86%)	2/2 (100%)

+: PPV restricted to isolates not predicted as amoxicillin-clavulanate resistant by any other feature

*: Cut-off chosen following a receiver operating curve (ROC) analysis (Supplementary Methods, Supplementary Figure 3A)

o: Beta-lactam resistance features in isolates shown in Supplementary Tables S2, S3, S4

Note: PPV=positive predictive value

Supplementary material for: Reconciling the potentially irreconcilable? Genotypic and phenotypic amoxicillin-clavulanate resistance in *Escherichia coli*

List of contents