Dynamic coordination of the perirhinal cortical neurons supports

coherent representations between task epochs

Tomoya Ohnuki *, Yuma Osako, Hiroyuki Manabe, Yoshio Sakurai & Junya

Hirokawa *

Laboratory of Neural Information, Graduate School of Brain Science,

Doshisha University, 1-3 Tatara Miyakodani, Kyotanabe, Kyoto, 610-0394,

Japan.

*Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.O.

(kdp1003@mail4.doshisha.ac.jp) and J.H. (jhirokaw@mail.doshisha.ac.jp).

Abstract

Cortical neurons show distinct firing patterns across multiple task-epochs

characterized by distinct computational aspects. Recent studies suggest that

such distinct patterns underly dynamic population code achieving

computational flexibility, whereas neurons in some cortical areas often show

coherent firing patterns across epochs. To understand how such coherent

single-neuron code contribute to dynamic population code, we analyzed

neural responses in the perirhinal cortex (PRC) during cue and reward

epochs of a two-alternative forced-choice task. We found that the PRC

neurons often encoded the opposite choice-directions between those epochs.

By using principal component analysis as population-level analysis, we

identified neural subspaces associated with each epoch, which reflected

coordinated patterns across the neurons. The cue and reward epochs shared

neural dimensions where the choice directions were consistently

discriminated. Interestingly, those dimensions were supported by

dynamically changing contributions of individual neurons. These results

indicated heterogeneity of coherent single-neuron responses in their

contribution to population code.

Introduction

Individual neurons across cortical areas show temporally flexible responses

to multiple task-epochs characterized by different computational aspects,

such as cue, action, and reward[1–3]. Recent studies have indicated that such

diverse single-neuron responses are only interpretable in terms of their

contribution to population dynamics which flexibly realize different

computations, particularly in association and motor cortices[4–9]. These

studies highlight the complex changes occurring in neural responses across

epochs of a given task, which can provide orthogonal neural subspaces for

independent computations. In contrast, individual neurons in many cortical

areas have been shown to often encode relevant information across multiple

epochs (Anterior cingulate cortex[10], Insular cortex[11], Motor cortex[12],

Orbitofrontal cortex[13–15], and Perirhinal cortex[16]), suggesting their ability to

support coherent representations through different task-epochs. Because of

the differences in the forms of explanation in these studies (that is,

population or single-neuron level), how coherent representations carried by

individual neurons can be reconciled with dynamically changing population

structure has not been well investigated.

In the present study, we explored the neural responses in the perirhinal

cortex (PRC), which has been implicated in associative memory [17–22]. This

region receives sensory inputs from almost all modalities, reward-related

signals from the amygdala and contextual information from the prefrontal

cortex, entorhinal cortex and hippocampus[23–25]. Recent studies have shown

that the PRC neurons modulate their sustained responses to visual cues as

a function of time contexts[26–27]. It has also been shown that many neurons

in the PRC change their tuning profiles between cue and choice-response

epochs in a complex manner[14]. These results strongly suggest the capacity of

the PRC neural population to employ both population dynamics and

coherent representations through multiple task-epochs.

To investigate how the PRC shows coherent single-neuron code and

dynamic population code across different epochs, we employed a standard

two-alternative forced-choice task and analyzed neural responses in two

epochs, where different computations are demanded: making predictions

about the outcome of choices (cue epoch) and reinforcing the choices (reward

epoch). By taking advantage of the interleaved visual and olfactory cue

stimuli, which allowed us to evaluate modality-independent encodings, we

analyzed dynamic population encodings related to different choices during

those epochs in relation to single-neuron level selectivity.

Results

Neurons in the PRC encode choice directions during a two-alternative

forced-choice task. We trained rats to perform a two-alternative forced-

choice task where they chose a target port (left/right) associated with a

presented cue to obtain reward (**Fig. 1a–b**). The task performance was of a

similar level regardless of the cue modality (mean correct rate in visual

trials = 95.6 ± 5.5%; olfactory trials = 92.3 ± 4.3%). We recorded spiking

activities from the left PRC (n = 207 neurons) during the task performance

(37 sessions in five rats).

As shown in **Fig. 1c**, the PRC neurons typically showed distinct temporal

firing patterns in left and right trials. To characterize how the PRC was

activated by different trial conditions, we compared firing pattens among

different cue-modalities and choices across all the recorded neurons. The

neurons were sorted by their peak firing rates in visually-cued left choice

trials (top left in **Fig. 1d**). As consistent with previous studies in other brain

regions[28–33], the peak responses of the PRC neurons tiled the duration of a

trial. The response patterns across the neurons were well preserved

between the cue modalities but much less so between the choice directions

(comparison between the top and bottom in **Fig. 1d**). Conversely, the

neurons frequently showed different response pattens between the choice

directions (comparison between the left and right in **Fig. 1d**). We found that

the majority of the neurons showed more strongly correlated response

patterns between different modalities than between different choice

directions (two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test; $P = 1.1864 \times 10^{-24}$; **Fig. 1e**).

These results suggest that firings of the PRC neurons are more sensitive to

the animals' choice behavior than cue information.

We thus quantified selective responses of the individual neurons to the

different choice-directions by using ROC analysis. We defined "choice-

direction selectivity" broadly as signals reflecting a chosen direction in each

trial. The individual PRC neurons encoded the choice directions at different

time points in a trial (**Fig. 2a** for visual trials; **Supplementary Fig.1** for

olfactory trials). In addition, each neuron often showed such encodings at

the time points other than its peak selectivity, suggesting that the

individual neurons flexibly respond to multiple epochs of the task. We found

two epochs where the choice-direction selectivity in both modalities reaches

its peak (**Fig. 2b**), the cue epoch (−400 to 0 ms before withdrawal from the

central port) and reward epoch (200 to 600 ms after choice). Many neurons

encoded the choice-direction information during these epochs (**Fig. 2c**;

51.21% and 70.53% of the neurons showed significant selectivity in the cue

and reward epochs, respectively). We observed a slight bias toward

ipsilateral choice in the cue epoch (two-sided sign test; mean visual choice-

direction selectivity = 0.006 ± 0.124, P = 0.8894; mean olfactory choice-

direction selectivity = 0.0250 ± 0.102, P = 5.104×10^{-4}) but no bias in the

reward epoch (two-sided sign test; mean visual choice-direction selectivity =

−0.026 ± 0.154, P = 0.2109; mean olfactory choice-direction selectivity =

−0.021 ± 0.154, $P = 0.3305$). The choice-direction selectivity was highly

consistent across the cue modalities during both epochs ($r = 0.593$, $P =$

5.1803×10^{-21} for the cue epoch; $r = 0.858$, $P = 2.8367 \times 10^{-61}$ for the reward

epoch; **Fig. 2c**), and the PRC neurons were not tightly clustered as a choice-

direction selective subpopulation and the others but rather showed graded

selectivity as reported in other cortical areas[7, 34]. The neurons which showed

significant selectivity across both modalities were sparser in the cue epoch

(26% of selective neurons) than the reward epoch (48% of selective neurons),

but we found that the neurons classified as non-selective during the cue

epoch (48.79%, 101 of 207 neurons) showed moderate correlation between

the cue modalities ($r = 0.357$, $P = 2.5054 \times 10^{-4}$; inset of **Fig. 2c**). This

suggested even such neurons might convey a fraction of the choice-direction

information. Altogether, these results suggest that sensory inputs from

different modalities evoke similar response patterns across the PRC

neurons according to learned cue-target associative relationships.

A potential caveat in this conclusion, however, is that the choice-direction

selectivity in the PRC can be attributed to some fundamental behavioral or

contextual variables such as body posture, non-orienting movements, and

spatial view[30, 35–39]. To evaluate the possible influence of those fundamental

variables, we performed two control experiments. First, we monitored head

angles of the animals ($n = 2$) during neural recordings (**Supplementary Fig.**

2a–c) using a head-mounted accelerometer[40]. To quantify the influence of

body posture and view angle on the PRC neural responses ($n = 105$), we

compared prediction performance between linear-regression models with

choice and x-axis (interaural axis) head angle by computing correlation

between the neural responses and the model prediction across trials

(**Supplementary Fig. 2d**). The performance of the model with choice was

higher than the model with x-axis head angle in both of the cue and reward

epochs by 4.28% ± 10.98% (m.a.d) and by 32.07% ± 34.8% (m.a.d),

respectively. We found that responses of the majority of the PRC neurons

was better explained by the choice than the x-axis head angle (two-sided

sign test; cue epoch, $P = 0.0192$; reward epoch, $P = 1.3653 \times 10^{-7}$). Second, a

delay period was inserted between the choice onset and the reward onset to

dissociate the influence of anticipatory licking behavior[41] and spatial view

(position) from the choice-direction selectivity in the reward epoch. We found

that many of the neurons (69.52%, 73 of 105 neurons) encoded choice-

direction after the onset of the reward (0 to 400 ms after reward onset) in

accordance with the reward-epoch responses shown in **Fig. 2c**. The majority

of these selective neurons (71.23%, 52 of 73 neurons) showed stronger

selectivity after the onset of the reward than during the reward delay period

(two-sided sign test; median difference in the magnitude of selectivity =

0.08, $P = 3.7134 \times 10^{-4}$; **Supplementary Fig. 2e**). Although the other neurons

(28.77%; 21 of 73 neurons) showed stronger selectivity during the reward-

delay period than after the reward onset, the size of such bias (that is,

difference in magnitude between these periods) was relatively small (0.058 ±

0.0609; $n = 21$ neurons) as compared to the bias to the reward onset (0.1586

± 0.1217; $n = 52$ neurons). Taken together, these results suggest that

fundamental variables such as body posture, non-orienting movements, and

spatial view themselves are not major factors for the choice-direction

encodings in the PRC.

Dynamic temporal encoding patterns in the PRC. Thus far, we showed that

the PRC neurons encoded the choice directions across the different cue

modalities and that such signals were apparent at multiple epochs of the

task (**Fig. 2** and **Supplementary Fig.2**). Given the reduced correlation of

temporal response patterns between the different choices (**Fig. 1d–e**), it is

possible that the individual neurons flexibly tuned to different choices at

different time-points of the trial duration. To characterize how each neuron

represented the choice directions over the trial duration, we classified the

neurons into two groups based on their peak selectivity: left-selective and

right-selective neurons. As shown in **Fig. 3a**, we found similar numbers of

selective neurons for both choice-directions. As expected, individual neurons

showed selectivity to the opposite choice direction in time points other than

their peak responses. Remarkably, when we sorted these neurons by their

peak responses to the opposite choice (that is, left-selective neurons by right

peaks and right-selective neurons by left peaks), response patterns nearly

tiling the entire trial duration appeared (**Fig. 3b**). These results suggested

flexible engagements of individual neurons for different choice-directions

rather than recruitment of distinct subpopulations for each choice-direction.

We next sought to understand how such dynamic neural encoding

patterns in the PRC appear and evolve through the trial duration as a

population. A time-resolved pattern analysis[42] (**Methods**) was performed to

visualize temporal evolution of the choice-direction encoding patterns across

the PRC neurons ($n = 207$). Given the overall correlation of the choice-

direction selectivity between the cue-modalities during the epochs where

such encodings peak (**Fig. 2 b–c**), we focused on modality-independent

encoding patterns (for comparison between the visual and olfactory trials,

see **Supplementary Fig. 3**). The population response pattern evolved with

two time-stable states (**Fig. 4a**). An encoding pattern was sustained during

the presentation of the cue and was followed by a transient pattern during

the movements towards the target ports. Soon after the rats chose a target

port, the encoding pattern settled again into a stable state. To test for

reliability of such encodings, we computed the mean performance of the

classifier during the cue and reward epochs and compared them with a

baseline epoch (−400 to 0 ms before the cue onset). As shown in **Fig. 4b**, the

choice directions were decoded during both epochs above chance level (cue

epoch: $P \approx 0.002$; reward epoch: $P < 0.001$). Importantly, the encoding

patterns were substantially inverted between the cue and reward epochs

(scatter plots in **Fig. 4a**). The mean classification performance across those

epochs revealed reliably inverted encoding patterns ($P < 0.001$; **Fig. 4c**). It is

noteworthy that the inverted state was time-stable (**Fig. 4a**). This indicates

that the time-varying encodings of the choice directions which we found in

the previous section (**Fig. 3b**) are explicitly aligned to between the cue and

reward epochs. In other word, the inverted encodings might be a form of

coherent representations across different epochs of a given task, which is

mediated by the individual PRC neurons.

However, it is still possible that the inverted encoding patterns were due

to the reversal of orienting movements between the cue and reward epochs.

To directly test this, we analyzed neural responses around the time when

the animals left the target ports in preparation to the next trials

(**Supplementary Fig. 4**): the pre-return (-400 to 0 ms before the choice offset)

and post-return epochs (0 to 400 ms after the choice offset). These epochs

did not show the equivalent level of inverted patterns from the cue epoch

(cue × pre-return epochs: $P \approx 0.016$; cue × post-return epochs: $P \approx 0.1858$;

comparison between cue×reward epochs and cue×pre-return epochs: $P \approx$

0.023; **Fig. 4c**) despite the fact that the choice-direction information was

robustly represented during both pre-return and post-return epochs ($P <$

0.001; **Fig. 4d**). These results indicated little influence of orienting

movements on the dynamic choice-direction encoding patterns.

We also asked whether the behavioral performance affect neural

responses by performing the pattern classification analysis with correct

visual and erroneous olfactory trials ($n = 119$ neurons with sufficient

number of erroneous trials; **Fig. 4e**). As shown in **Fig. 4f** the mean

classification performance during both epochs was chance level in erroneous

trials (error cue: $P \approx 0.1389$; error reward: $P \approx 0.1349$). Meanwhile, in correct

trials, the mean classification performance was above chance for the reward

epoch and marginally significant for the cue epoch (correct cue: $P \approx 0.0729$;

correct reward: $P < 0.001$). We tested whether the classification performance

during each epoch decreased from the correct trials to erroneous trials and

found significant reduction only in the reward epoch (cue: $P \approx 0.5385$;

reward: $P < 0.001$). These results indicate dynamic recruitment of the PRC

neurons during the different epochs, which might flexibly support different

computational demands in each epoch.

Analysis for population encoding structure in relation to single-neuron

representations. To investigate how such coherent response patterns

appeared and worked under the entire population structure, we identified

neural subspaces for the cue and reward epochs by performing principal

component analysis (PCA). Since our focus was to compare population

response patterns between the different epochs, PCA was applied to time-

averaged cue-epoch and reward-epoch responses across conditions (that is,

PCA on neurons × conditions matrix for each epoch) [43–44]. This allowed us to

clarify the population-encoding structure derived from the cue-epoch

responses and reward-epoch responses and directly investigate

interrelationship between them. We projected the cue-epoch and reward-

epoch responses onto the first two dimensions of the cue-epoch and reward-

epoch subspaces (**Fig. 5a** and **Supplementary Fig. 5a**). The different choices

and cue modalities were clearly separated when we projected the neural

responses onto the corresponding neural subspaces (**Fig. 5a,** cue epoch in

upper left; reward epoch in bottom right). Although we observed highly

correlated choice-direction selectivity between the visual and olfactory cues

(**Fig. 2c**), modality information was evident in these plots. We quantified

separation among the four different conditions in those plots by comparing

the average distance among responses under different conditions (across-

condition distance) with the average distance among responses under the

same conditions (within-condition distance). The former distance indicates

discriminability of the different conditions and the latter indicates

variability of the population responses within each condition. The results

revealed that the across-condition distance was significantly larger than

within-condition distance in both projections, indicating reliable encodings

of the different conditions during both epochs (P< 0.001; **Fig. 5b** and

Methods). We also determined whether these neural subspaces depended on

the entire neural population or on only a fraction of the neurons. We found

that neural weights were highly distributed across the neurons (**Fig. 5d** and

Supplementary Fig. 5b) with no neurons showing zero weight. This suggest

that these subspaces reliably reflect coordinated response patterns across

the PRC neurons. To directly investigate the relationship between the cue-

epoch and reward-epoch responses, we projected the population responses

onto interchanged neural subspaces (that is, the cue-epoch responses onto

the reward-epoch subspace and the reward-epoch responses onto the cue-

epoch subspace)[4,5,8]. The results showed that the choice directions but not

the cue modalities were separable through the epochs (**Fig. 5a**, cue-epoch

responses onto reward-epoch subspace in bottom left; reward-epoch

responses onto cue-epoch subspace in upper right). For those interchanged

projections, we also quantified separations among different conditions by

the same method as the corresponding projections. As shown in **Fig. 5c,** in

both subspaces, we found cluster separations above chance (reward-epoch

responses in cue-epoch subspace: $P < 0.001$; cue-epoch responses in reward-

epoch subspace: $P \approx 0.003$), revealing that the choice-direction information

was reliably sustained between those different epochs. We also projected the

neural responses during the baseline epoch onto the same neural subspaces

as negative controls (**Supplementary Fig. 5c**). This analysis revealed no

significant cluster separations ($P \approx 1$ for cue-epoch subspace; $P \approx 1$ for

reward-epoch subspace; **Fig. 5c**), indicating no reliable encodings of the task

information. We then tested whether the temporal response patterns of the

individual neurons contributed to the observed discriminability by

projecting randomly shuffled data where the temporal response patterns of

the individual neurons were collapsed (**Supplementary Fig. 5d**). The results

showed no significant separations ($P \approx 1$ for shuffled reward-epoch

responses in cue-epoch subspace; $P \approx 0.99$ for shuffled cue-epoch responses

in reward-epoch subspace; **Fig. 5c**), suggesting that the coherence between

the cue and reward epochs at the single-neuron level was critical for the

discriminability observed at the population level.

It might be possible that only a handful of neurons had strongly

contributed to both cue-epoch and reward-epoch subspaces, thus resulting in

the shared neural dimensions (that is, the first dimensions which

consistently discriminated the choice directions) shown in **Fig. 5a**. However,

this was not the case. As shown in **Fig. 5e**, the plot of the values of neural

weights in the first dimensions of the cue-epoch and reward-epoch

subspaces showed neither explicit clusters nor a tight correlation but rather

exhibited a continuous distribution with a moderate correlation ($r = 0.33$, P

$= 1.51{\times}10^{-6}$). This suggests that many neurons dynamically changed their

contribution to the shared dimensions across the epochs, while a degree of

temporal coherence supporting those shared dimensions were maintained at

the population level. Diverse relationships of neural weights of the cue and

reward epochs were observed even among neurons with significant choice-

direction selectivity (computed using ROC analysis in **Fig. 2c**) across the

epochs (blue points in **Fig. 5e**). This result indicated heterogeneity of

temporally coherent single-neuron responses in their contribution to the

entire population structure. In other words, each of those neurons might

play unique role in population encodings under different computations.

To further establish population-level encoding[7, 34, 45–46] in the PRC, we

directly examined distribution of choice-direction information over

individual neurons. We asked what fraction of the neurons is necessary to

achieve choice-direction discriminability (that is, across-condition distance

for different choice directions on the first dimension of a given epoch) which

is equivalent to the entire population (**Methods**). The number of neurons

incorporated were gradually increased, beginning with ones with the

highest magnitude of the weighting values on the first dimension of the cue

or reward epoch (**Fig. 5f**). As expected from the shape of the distribution in

Fig. 5d, when the neurons were sorted by the cue-epoch weights, the choice-

direction discriminability in the cue subspace steeply increased with the

incorporation of neurons (left in **Fig. 5f**). However, the top 76.33% of the

neurons was necessary to achieve the discriminability as high as the entire

population. Similarly, when the neurons were sorted by the reward-epoch

weights, the discriminability in the reward subspace reached as high as the

full population after including 87.44% of the neurons (right in **Fig. 5f**). These

results support population-level representations in which information is

distributed across a number of individual neurons in the PRC. On the other

hand, the choice-direction discriminability more gradually increased for the

epochs to which the orders of neurons were not aligned (**Fig. 5f**),

highlighting the dynamic changes in the neural weights between the epochs

observed in **Fig. 5e**. We found that nearly all the neurons were necessary to

achieve the discriminability as high as the full population (neurons sorted

by cue-epoch weights, 100%; neurons sorted by reward-epoch weights,

98.55%), indicating that the choice-direction representations across the two

epochs were distributed widely over the entire population. However, the

mean discriminability in the epochs in which the neurons were indirectly

sorted more steeply increased than the linear increase which is expected

when the neurons were added in a random order (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test;

cue epoch, $P = 2.4985 \times 10^{-11}$; reward epoch $P = 0.0045$). As shown in **Fig. 5f**,

by incorporating a small subset of the neurons (~10%), those curves

deviated from the linear increase and the deviation was sustained through

the almost all the parts of the curves. Therefore, the choice-direction

information in the cue and reward epochs was highly distributed across the

neurons, but its coherence was reliably sustained at the population level.

Efficient encoding of choice directions and different task-epochs by the PRC

population. As shown in **Fig. 5a**, the population response patterns

representing the choice directions were substantially inverted when we

compared the cue-epoch responses and reward-epoch responses in the same

neural subspace (comparison between horizontally arranged plots in **Fig.**

5a). In contrast, when the population responses from one of these epochs

were projected onto the cue-epoch and reward-epoch subspaces (comparison

between vertically arranged plots in **Fig. 5a**), the relative positions of the

different choice-directions were preserved, indicating that the directions

(that is, signs) of the first dimensions were consistent. Therefore, these

results suggested that information of the different epochs was also

represented in the shared dimensions by flexible changes in the

representational geometry. The inverted geometry was consistent with the

dominant temporal response pattern observed in **Fig. 4a**. To test reliability

of the temporal inversions, in each subspace, we computed the average

distance between population responses under the same conditions in the

different epochs (for example, distance from cue-epoch responses to reward-

epoch responses in the cue subspace) (**Methods**). We compared those

distances with average distance from one of those epochs to the baseline

epoch (for example, distance from cue-epoch responses to baseline-epoch

responses in the cue subspace), which is equivalent to chance-level cluster

shifts caused by the absence of task representations (that is, overlapped

clusters at near zero in **Supplementary Fig. 5c**). Therefore, if the

representational patterns are reliably inverted between the cue and reward

epochs, then the temporal shift distances between those epochs should be

significantly larger than the chance-level shift distances. As shown in **Fig.**

5g, the results showed larger task-epoch dependent shifts of the

representational patterns than expected by chance ($P \approx 0.002$ for cue-epoch

subspace; $P \approx 0.009$ for reward-epoch subspace). The discriminability was

diminished when the temporal patterns of individual neural responses were

collapsed by data shuffling ($P \approx 1$ for shuffled reward-epoch responses in

cue-epoch subspace; $P \approx 0.9021$ for shuffled cue-epoch responses in reward-

epoch subspace). These results suggested that the coherent response

patterns at the individual neurons mediated flexible changes for task-epoch

representations. We next asked how these flexible encodings for the cue and

reward epochs (**Fig. 5g**) were related to the coherent choice-direction

encodings across these epochs (**Fig. 5c**). We projected the PRC population

responses onto the two axes capturing variances of those variables

(temporal coherence index and temporal shift index in **Fig. 5h; Methods**). We

found that variability of the population responses across subsets of trials

were clearly aligned to the line that maximized both indices (dashed line in

Fig. 5h), suggesting that the PRC population specifically tuned to support

both coherence and dynamics of neural representation between the epochs.

Transition of the population response between the cue and reward epoch

resulted in a high correlation between the two indices ($r = 0.629$, $P =$

2.8077×10^{-111}), but the correlation was absent in transition between the

baseline epoch and the cue or reward epochs ($r = -0.018$, $P = 0.5699$).

Importantly, the correlation depended on the temporal response patterns in

the individual neurons ($r = -0.088$, $P = 0.0055$ for shuffled responses),

suggesting that coherent single-neuron encodings were critical to efficiently

enhance coherence and dynamics of the neural representation. Taken

together, these findings indicated that the PRC population reconciles

coherent representations of choice directions with dynamic representations

for different epochs via temporally flexible but structured neural responses

(that is, inverted selectivity between epochs).

Discussion

We investigated how coherent single-neuron representations across different

epochs can be reconciled with temporal dynamics of population structure.

Individual neurons in the PRC modulated their firings according to choice

directions in each trial, and many neurons inverted such selectivity between

the cue and reward epochs. Despite the structured temporal response

patterns, we found dynamic reorganization of the population encoding

structure between the different epochs (that is, neural subspaces supported

by different coordination patterns across neurons). Yet, we also found shared

neural dimensions between the cue and reward epochs, where the choice-

direction information was consistently represented throughout the epochs.

Those dimensions depended on the coherent temporal patterns of the single-

neuron responses. These findings indicated that the temporally structured

single-neuron responses worked as a dynamic population structure,

supporting coherent representations throughout epochs of a given task.

It is recently suggested that choice-related encodings observed in higher-

order cortical areas are not necessarily abstract signals but rather

parsimoniously explained by combination of fundamental behavioral and

contextual variables such as a specific spatial position and head angle[36].

Additionally, a recent study in rats revealed that neurons in the lateral

entorhinal cortex are sensitive to subtle changes of egocentric view[37]. In

contrast to these results, our analysis revealed that the choice directions

were a better predictor for the individual PRC neural responses than other

major variables previously noted, namely, body posture, non-orienting

movements and spatial view (**Supplementary Fig. 2**). Although those

individual factors could influence on the PRC responses, they were not

unique to evoke the PRC responses. Thus, we conclude that the choice-

direction encodings in the PRC is not derived from these single behavioral

variables but rather an abstract signal integrating various computations

related to the choice at a given epoch.

How does the nature of the choice-direction encodings differ between the

cue and reward epochs? We further characterized those encodings by

comparing between the correct and erroneous trials for each epoch (**Fig. 4e–**

f). In the cue epoch, we did not find significant difference between choice-

direction encodings in the correct and erroneous trials. Given that those

neural responses are better explained by the choice directions than by head

angles (**Supplementary Fig. 2d**), the cue-epoch responses might reflect

internal signals such as decision or motor preparation driven by reward

expectation[16, 47–50]. Contrary, in the reward epoch, the choice-direction

encodings significantly decreased in erroneous trials. The reduction suggest

that the presence of reward was necessary to elicit those responses.

Furthermore, the reward-epoch responses were temporally locked to the

onset of reward and were not elicited by spatial position, view angle, reward

expectation or combinations of them (**Supplementary Fig. 2d–e**). Therefore,

those responses might convey reward signals in conjunction with choice

directions. Those distinct choice-related representations were not expected

by previous studies, most of which highlights perceptual and mnemonic

representations in the PRC[18–22, 51–54]. However, a recent lesion study

suggests that the PRC is also involved in value-based decision making[55]. In

light of widespread anatomical connections of the PRC[23–25], it is possible

that this region carries diverse information besides perceptual and

mnemonic signals depending on neural computation required for the task[56].

The above results emphasize different neural computations reflected in

choice-direction selectivity in each of the cue and reward epochs. In spite of

the explicit difference, the PRC neurons often showed choice-direction

encodings in both epochs, suggesting that they support associative

representations of choice and its outcome. This agrees with a traditional

view, which holds that the PRC supports associative memory[14,18–22]. Several

studies showed that temporally sustained responses were prevalent in the

PRC[16, 22–27]. Such sustained responses were found in studies focusing on

neural responses during cue and memory epochs and were considered to

serve as stable representations of targeted information across time epochs,

which enable typical functions of the PRC including recognition memory[57].

Our finding of the inverted response patterns was not predicted by those

previous studies but suggested its advantage in supporting both temporal

coherence and flexibility of neural representations. By using the

dimensionality reduction technique, we showed that the inverted encoding

patterns of the PRC population mediated representations of the different

epochs as well as consistent discriminability of the different choice-

directions (**Fig. 5c, g**). Moreover, an important finding in our study is that

the discriminability of the choice directions and task epochs was highly

correlated (**Fig. 5h**). These results not only indicate dynamics of the PRC

responses, which was underestimated in previous studies, but suggest an

encoding strategy in the PRC efficiently enhanced discriminability of

information from multiple sources across task epochs.

It is worth mentioning that values of neural weights of the individual

neurons varied between the cue and reward epochs. In spite of the

heterogeneity in individual neurons, at the population-level, the neural

weights were moderately correlated between the epochs, resulting in the

coherent choice-direction representations (**Fig. 5e–f**). Heterogeneous

response properties across individual neurons are often observed in high-

order cortical areas[29, 31, 38, 58–60] and are considered to increase the number of

dimensions can be represented by a neural population[8, 61–64]. In some cases,

neurons exhibit a high degree of heterogeneity, in which multiple variables

were randomly mixed at the individual neurons[6–7]. This 'random mixed

selectivity' provide orthogonal neural subspaces where different neural

computations can be performed independently. In light of these ideas, the

moderately correlated neural subspaces between the cue and reward epochs

exhibit balanced coherence and dynamics of the neural representations in

the PRC, which might enable integrated processing of a targeted variable

and contextual information as reported by previous studies[26–27].

In conclusion, the PRC maintained choice-direction information while

representing different epochs of a task. These representations were achieved

via neural dimensions shared across the cue and reward epochs despite the

dynamic reorganization of the population encoding structure. The neurons

with coherent responses showed their heterogeneous contribution to those

dimensions, indicating reconciled coherence and dynamics of neural

representations across different levels.

Methods

Subjects

Seven male Long-Evans rats (Shimizu Laboratory Supplies, Kyoto, Japan)

weighting 278–375 g at the beginning of the training were individually

housed and maintained on a laboratory light/dark cycle (lights on 8:00 A.M.

to 9:00 P.M.). Rats were placed on water restriction with *ad libitum* access to

food. The animals were maintained at 80% of their baseline weight

throughout the experiments. All experiments were implemented in

accordance with the guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals

provided by the Animal Research Committee of the Doshisha University.

Behavioral apparatus

The behavioral apparatus (**Fig. 1a**) has been previously described[13,65]. An

operant chamber (O'Hara, Tokyo, Japan) with three ports in the front wall

for nose-poke responses was enclosed in a soundproof box (Brain Science

Idea, Osaka, Japan). Each port was equipped with an infrared sensor to

detect the animals' nose-poke responses. Visual cues were presented using

white light-emitting diodes (LEDs) (4000 mcd; RS Components, Yokohama,

Japan) placed on the left and right walls of the operant chamber, as in **Fig.**

1a. Cue odors were presented via the central port through a stainless tube.

The odors were mixed with pure air to produce a 1:10 dilution at a flow rate

of 60 ml/min using a custom-built olfactometer (AALBORG, Orangeburg,

NY). Water rewards were delivered from gravity-fed reservoirs regulated by

solenoid valves (The Lee Company, Westbrook, CT) through stainless tubes

placed inside of the left and right target-ports. We controlled stimulus and

reward deliveries and measured behavioral responses using Bpod and Pulse

Pal[66] (Sanworks, Stony Brook, NY).

Two-alternative forced-choice task

Each trial started when the rats poked their snout into the central port (**Fig.**

1b). After a variable delay (200–600ms, uniform distribution), a cue

randomly selected from four stimuli (left/right LED for visual modality,

S(+)/R(−)-2-octanol for olfactory modality) was delivered. If the rats

successfully maintained their nose in the central port during 1 s after the

cue onset, the "go" sound was delivered, and they were allowed to withdraw

from the central port and to choose either left or right target port based on

the task rule (bottom right in **Fig. 1a**). The presentations of the cue and the

go sound were terminated by the withdrawal from the central port. When

the rats left the central port without waiting for the go sound, the trial was

canceled and followed by a 5 s punish intertrial-interval. Only correct

choices were immediately rewarded by a drop of water (13 µl for five rats

and 16 µl for two rats), from the target port. Rats performed 861 ± 232 trials

in a daily recording session (seven rats, 50 sessions).

For two of seven rats (**Supplementary Fig. 2**), a 500 ms delay period was

inserted between the onset of the target choice and the reward to assess the

potential influence of non-orienting movements (licking) and spatial view on

neural responses.

Training

We trained the rats step-by-step to perform the task described above. The

training period typically lasted 4 to 8 weeks. First, rats were trained to

poke into the central port and then collect the water reward (20 µl) from the

left or right target-port. We gradually extended the duration of the central

poke by delaying the go sound up to 1 s after the poke onset. Next, the rats

were trained to discriminate the odor cues based on the same contingencies

as the recording sessions. A variable delay (200–600 ms) was inserted before

the cue onset. After the rats became able to successfully discriminate the

odor cues (> 80%), they were also trained to discriminate the visual cues

based on the same contingencies as the recording sessions (> 80%). Finally,

we interleaved visual and olfactory trials within a session and trained the

animals to perform the task according to a training performance criterion (>

80%).

For the two rats (**Supplementary Fig. 2**), a reward delay period was

introduced after they acquired the odor discrimination. The reward delay

was gradually extended from 100 to 500 ms.

Mixture of odors

The cue odors, S(+)/R(−)-2-octanol, were mixed together in a subset of

sessions in order to increase the difficulty of the olfactory discrimination

and thereby obtaining a sufficient number of erroneous trials. For instance,

we used a 60/40 ratio in a given session, delivering an odor mixture of 60%

S(+)-2-octanol and 40% R(−)-2-octanol. We maintained the odor

discrimination accuracy constant (> 80%) throughout the recording sessions

by adjusting the degree of odor mixing before each session.

Surgery

Rats were anesthetized with 2.5% isoflurane before surgery, and it was

maintained throughout surgical procedures. We monitored body

temperature, movements and hind leg reflex and adjusted the depth of the

anesthesia as needed. An eye ointment was used to keep the eyes moistened

throughout the surgery. Subcutaneous scalp injection of a lidocaine 1%

solution provided local anesthesia before the incision. The left temporalis

muscle was retracted to expose the skull during the surgery. A craniotomy

was performed over the anterior part of the left PRC (AP −3.5 to −3.24 mm,

ML 6.6 to 6.8 mm relative to the bregma, 3.5 to 4.0 mm below the brain

surface) and a custom-designed electrode was vertically implanted using a

stereotactic manipulator. A stainless-steel screw was placed over the

cerebellum and served as the ground during the recordings. We used the

mean response of the all electrodes as a reference. During a week of

postsurgical recovery, we gradually lowered the tetrodes to detect unit

activities in the PRC. Electrode placement was estimated based on the

depth and was histologically confirmed at the end of the experiments.

Histology

Once the experiments were completed, the rats were deeply anesthetized

with sodium pentobarbital and then transcardially perfused with

phosphate-buffered saline and 4% paraformaldehyde. The brains were

removed and post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and 100 µm coronal

sections of the brains were prepared to confirm the recording sites.

Electrophysiological recordings

A custom-designed electrode composed of eight tetrodes (tungsten wire, 12.5

µm, California Fine Wire, Grover Beach, CA) was used for the extracellular

recordings. The tetrodes were individually covered by a polyimide tube (A-M

Systems, Sequim, WA), were placed at a 100 µm separation and typically

had an impedance of 150–700 kΩ at 1 kHz. The signals were recorded with

Open Ephys (Cambridge, MA) at a sampling rate of 30 kHz and bandpass

filtered between 0.6 and 6 kHz. The tetrodes were lowered approximately 80

μm after each recording session, and thereby independent populations of

neurons were recorded across the sessions.

Monitoring and processing of body posture during task performance

We used a head-mounted accelerometer (Intan Technologies, Los Angeles,

CA) to obtain postural signals from the animals ($n = 2$) during the

electrophysiological recordings (**Supplementary Fig. 2**). The accelerometer

signals (x-, y-, z-axis) were recorded at a sampling rate of 30 kHz and then

downsampled to 100Hz. To precisely detect the body posture of the animals,

gravity components of the accelerometer signals were estimated by using a

low-pass filter with a cut-off frequency of 2 Hz[40]. To reduce the influence

from the head angle that each animal typically preferred[40], for each axis, the

above processed signals were normalized to the mean and standard

deviation in the baseline epoch (−400 to 0 ms before the cue onset).

Spike sorting and screening criteria of units

All analyses were performed using MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA). To

detect single-neuron responses, the spikes were manually clustered with

MClust (A.D. Redish) for MATLAB. Only neurons met the following criteria

were included for further analyses: (1) units with sufficient isolation quality

(isolation distance $\geqq$ 15); (2) units with reliable refractory periods

(violations were less than 1% of all spikes); and (3) units with sufficient

mean firing rates in the 1 s after the cue onset (> 0.5 Hz). On average, we

detected 10.9 ± 7.4 neurons in a single recording session, and 6.2 ± 3.4

neurons survived these quality criteria (total 312 neurons from seven rats).

Selective responses to choice directions

In order to evaluate the selective responses to different direction of choices,

we computed a choice-direction selectivity[67]. We first grouped correct trials

into four types based on the cue modality (visual or olfactory) and target

choice (left or right). For each modality, we independently calculated the

choice-direction selectivity by using ROC analysis[68]. The choice-direction

selectivity was obtained from the area under ROC curve (AUC) and defined

as $2 \times (AUC-0.5)$ ranging from -1 to 1. In our analysis, a positive value

indicated a neuron selectively fired to the left target-choice, and a negative

value indicated the opposite. A value of zero indicated the absence of choice-

direction selective responses. To determine statistical significance ($P < 0.05$),

we used permutation tests (1,000 interactions). For visualization of the

temporal patterns of the choice-direction selectivity in individual neurons

(Fig. 2a, Fig.3, Supplementary Fig. 1, and Supplementary Fig. 2b), mean

firing rates were computed in 10 ms time windows (smoothed with a

Gaussian, σ = 30 ms), and we then computed the choice-direction selectivity

at each time point from those data.

Statistics

We evaluated the statistical significance of the decoding analysis and the

state space analysis with a bootstrapping procedure[8]. We estimated the P

value for the bootstrapping procedure by computing the ratio $(1 + X) / (N+1)$,

where the number X indicates overlapping data points between the two

distributions and the number N indicates interactions. Since we used 1,000

bootstraps, two distributions with no overlap resulted in $P < 0.001$, and two

distributions with x% overlap resulted in $P \approx x/100$.

All the data are presented as mean ± standard deviation, unless otherwise

stated.

Decoding analysis

We employed a cross-temporal pattern analysis[42, 59, 69] to investigate the

temporal changes in the choice-direction selective responses in the PRC.

Neural responses were pooled across the recording sessions to maximize the

number of neural responses included in the decoding analysis. Here, we

refer to the pooled pseudo-population of the PRC neurons ($n = 207$ from five

rats) as the full population. The instantaneous firing rate of each neuron

was estimated by the spike counts in a 150 ms sliding window (10 ms

increment). We computed the above described choice-direction selectivity

from the instantaneous firing rates for each neuron independently for visual

and olfactory trials. In this manner, we generated two independent

population vectors for the full population (cells × time matrix each for the

cue modalities). We obtained a pattern similarity index by calculating the

Fisher-transformed Pearson correlation (r) between these two population

vectors. This index provided the pattern similarity for both equivalent and

different time points (for example, **Fig. 4a**). A positive value was interpreted

as evidence for a reliable choice-direction encoding irrespective of the cue

modality.

To estimate the mean performance values for the pattern classification

analysis, we randomly resampled the neurons (the same number of neurons

as neural population analyzed) and computed the choice-direction selectivity

in visual and olfactory trials. Neural responses were aligned to withdrawal

onset from the central port (for a cue epoch), target-choice onset (for a

reward epoch) and target-choice offset (for two return-epochs). For return-

epoch responses, we analyzed neural responses before and after the animals

left the target ports in preparation for the next trials (pre-return epoch:

−400 to 0 ms before leaving a target port: post-return epoch: 0 to 400 ms

after leaving a target port). Only trials where the animals directly returned

from the target port to the central port for the next trial were included in

the analysis. These data allowed us to compute the pattern similarity

indices within an epoch or across two different epochs. To investigate choice-

direction encoding during the epochs, we averaged the performance within

each of the epochs (the cue, reward, pre-return and post-return epochs). To

obtain a baseline performance, we averaged the classification performance

during the baseline epoch, −400 to 0 ms before the cue onset. We also

quantified the pattern similarity of the neural responses between two

epochs (for simplicity, we here refer to these epochs as A-epoch and B-epoch)

by averaging the following two pattern classifications: pattern classification

for A-epoch responses in visual trials and B-epoch responses in olfactory

trials, and A-epoch responses in olfactory trials and B-epoch responses in

visual trials (for example, **Fig. 4c**). We repeated the above processes 1,000

times to obtain a distribution of 1,000 different measurements of each

pattern classification. To determine statistical significance, we compared a

distribution obtained from 1,000 different pattern classification

measurements within an epoch with a baseline distribution using the above

described estimated P value. We considered zero to be chance level instead

of the baseline distribution when we verified statistical significance of the

classification across the epochs.

Decoding analysis of erroneous trials

We included 119 neurons recorded in sessions with a sufficient number of

erroneous target-choices (at least 11 trials for both directions of target-

choice) in all the analyses for erroneous trials. Due to the similarity of the

choice-direction selectivity between the different cue-modalities in correct

trials (**Fig. 2c** and **4a**), we computed the pattern similarity index using

correct visual trials and erroneous olfactory trials to evaluate the influence

of erroneous behavioral performance on the choice-direction encoding (**Fig.**

4e). In the erroneous trials, the animals chose the same target-port as the

correct trials. To determine statistical significance of pattern classification

performance in correct and erroneous trials, we downsampled the correct

olfactory trials to match the number of trials for the erroneous olfactory

trials (**Fig. 4f**). To test whether the classification performance during each

epoch decreased from the correct trials to erroneous trials, we subtracted

the classification performance in the erroneous trials from that in the

correct trials for each resampling. The distribution of the residual

performance was compared with zero by using the estimated P value.

State space analysis

To understand the population structure and its temporal change between

the cue and reward epochs, we performed principal component analysis

(PCA). For each of the epochs, we constructed a 207 neurons × 4 conditions

matrix[43–44], in which columns contained trial-averaged z-scored firing rates

of each neuron. The instantaneous firing rate of each neuron (estimated by

spike counts in a 150 ms sliding window with 10 ms increment) was

converted to a z-score by normalizing to the mean and standard deviation of

its own instantaneous firing rates during the epoch. We then obtained time-

averaged firing rates of the neurons each for the cue and reward epochs.

By performing PCA on these datasets, we reduced the dimensionality of the

PRC population from 207 neurons to two principal components

(**Supplementary Fig. 5a**). We independently performed this analysis for

population responses during the cue and reward epochs to obtain the cue-

epoch and reward-epoch subspaces.

For data projections onto the above two-dimensional neural subspaces, we

randomly selected 25 trials for each of the four conditions. z-scored firing

rates of each neuron were then obtained by normalizing the data to the

mean and standard deviation of its own firing rates during the epoch

corresponding to the neural subspaces in which the projections were

performed. We averaged the z-scored firing rates of each neuron during each

of three epochs (the cue, reward and baseline epochs) to obtain a 207 cells ×

4 conditions matrix for each epoch. To visualize the population responses,

we projected these data onto the two-dimensional PCA space. This allowed

us to obtain a single point reflecting the entire population response for each

of the four conditions. We repeated this procedure five times with different

subsets of 25 trials, and this allowed us to reduce some degree of the

variability among individual trials. To obtain the within-condition distance,

we computed the mean of pairwise Euclidean distances for five points in

each of the four conditions and then averaged those distances across the

conditions to obtain a single value for the within-condition distance. To

obtain the across-condition distance, we computed pairwise distances

between two sets of five points obtained from two different conditions in the

same subspace and then averaged them. This procedure was repeated for all

possible combinations of two different conditions, and we then averaged

those distances to obtain a single value for the across-condition distance. We

also investigated how the representational geometry changed between

different epochs (that is, temporal shift of population responses in a neural

subspace). This was achieved by computing the mean of pairwise distances

between two sets of five points obtained from neural responses under a

single condition in two different epochs. The mean distance was obtained for

all trial conditions and then averaged to produce a single value for the

across-condition distance for the temporal shifts.

To visualize variability across different subsets of trials (**Fig. 5a**) and test

statistical significance, the above analysis was repeated 1,000 times with

different subsets of resampled trials. The across-condition distances of the

projections on corresponding subspaces (that is, cue-epoch responses onto

cue-epoch subspace and reward-epoch responses onto reward-epoch

subspace; **Fig.5a**) were compared with a distribution of the within-condition

distance using the above described estimated P value (**Fig. 5b**). Across-

condition distances larger than within-condition distance indicated that

different trial conditions were discriminated at the population level.

Similarly, the across-condition distances of projections onto the

interchanged subspaces (that is, cue-epoch responses onto reward-epoch

subspace and reward-epoch responses onto cue-epoch subspace; **Fig. 5a**)

were compared with a distribution of within-condition distance by using the

estimated P value (**Fig. 5c**). For the projections onto the interchanged

subspaces, we also projected baseline-epoch responses as negative controls

(Fig. 5c and Supplementary Fig. 5c). To investigate the importance of the

temporal response patterns of individual neurons, we projected randomly

shuffled data (**Fig. 5c and g**), where the correspondence between the cue-

epoch responses and reward-epoch responses were shuffled among the

neurons (**Supplementary Fig. 5d**). We considered across-condition distances

of the baseline-epoch responses to be chance when we evaluated the

temporal shifts in representational geometry between the cue and reward

epoch in a neural subspace (**Fig. 5g**).

To directly evaluate contribution of individual neurons to the choice-

direction encodings (**Fig. 5f**), we performed the following analysis. We first

sorted all the neurons from ones with the highest magnitude of the

weighting values on the first dimension of the cue or reward epoch. For

these differently sorted populations, we performed cluster projections onto

the corresponding subspaces with increasing numbers of neurons. For

precise evaluation of each neuron's contribution, rather than performing

PCA on each of differently sized populations independently, we used the

same projection data in **Fig. 5a** (that is, the full population data) and

replaced the weighting values of excluded neurons with zero. In each size of

population, across-condition distance for different choice-directions on the

first dimension was computed for each modality and then averaged. Those

distances were then normalized to the distance obtained from the full

population. We compared the distances from differently sized populations

with those from the full population by the estimated P value. When the

estimated P exceeded 0.05, we considered that number of neurons was

sufficient to achieve discriminability of the choice directions equivalent to

the full population.

Relationship between choice-direction and task-epoch discriminability

To understand how the PRC neural population reconciled coherent

representations of the choice directions (**Fig. 5c**) with dynamic changes for

different task-epochs (**Fig. 5g**), we performed the following analyses. First,

to quantify the degree of representational coherence in the PRC neural

responses between the cue and reward epochs, the 1,000 across-condition

distances of interchanged projections (for example, the reward-epoch

responses onto the cue-epoch subspace shown in **Fig. 5c**) were divided by the

1,000 across-condition distances of the corresponding projections (for

841 example, the cue-epoch responses onto the cue-epoch subspace shown in **Fig.**

842 **5b**). We repeated this procedure for projections of each of the cue and reward

843 subspaces and then averaged between them to obtain the 1,000 normalized

844 distances. Those normalized distances reflected the degree of

845 discriminability of the different trial conditions preserved across the

846 different epochs, and we referred those values as a temporal coherence

847 index (x-axis in **Fig. 5h**). As negative controls, for each of the cue and reward

848 subspace, the across-condition distances from the interchanged projections

849 of the baseline-epoch responses and the randomly shuffled responses (**Fig.**

850 **5c**) were also divided by the across-condition distances of the corresponding

851 projections (**Fig. 5b**), and we then averaged them between the epochs (**Fig.**

852 **5h**). Second, to quantify the degree of dynamic changes in the PRC neural

853 responses between the cue and reward epochs, the 1,000 cluster distances

854 obtained from temporal shifts of the population responses in a neural

855 subspace (for example, distances from the cue-epoch responses to the

856 reward-epoch responses in the cue-epoch subspace, which was computed for

857 **Fig. 5g**) were divided by the 1,000 cluster distances obtained from simulated

858 maximum temporal shifts of population responses in the same neural

subspace (in this case, distances from the cue-epoch responses to the

completely inverted cue-epoch responses in the cue-epoch subspace). The

simulated neural responses were produced by inverting signs of the original

projection data in the first and second dimensions. We repeated this

procedure for projection data on each of the cue and reward subspaces and

then averaged between them to obtain the 1,000 normalized distances.

Those normalized distances reflected the degree of discriminability of the

different epochs (that is, the cue and reward epochs), we therefore referred

those values as a temporal shift index (y-axis in **Fig. 5h**). Given the

procedures for identifying neural subspaces by PCA, in which the neural

responses were mean-centered in each epoch, we did not suppose any types

of representational geometry that were not centralized or had temporal

changes with deviation from the range of across-condition distances in the

corresponding projections. Therefore, the completely inverted response

pattens provided the maximum level of temporal shifts (temporal shift index

= 1). This also indicates that the temporal shift index equals 0.5 when

projected clusters overlap on the center of neural subspaces. As negative

controls, for each of the cue and reward subspace, the temporal shift

distances from the interchanged projections of the baseline-epoch responses

and randomly shuffled responses (**Fig. 5g**) were also divided by the cluster

distances obtained from the above described simulated temporal shifts of

population responses and then averaged between the epochs.

Data availability

The data sets analyzed in the present study are available from the

corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Code availability

The code used for analysis in the present study are available from the

corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

References

1. Sakurai,Y. et al. Multipotentiality of the brain to be revisited repeatedly. *The Physics*

of the Mind and Brain Disorders: pp.513–525. (Springer, Cham, 2017)

2. Sakurai, Y. Cells in the rat auditory system have sensory-delay correlates during the

performance of an auditory working memory task. *Behav. Neurosci.* **104**, 856–868

(1990).

3. Sakurai, Y. Hippocampal cells have behavioral correlates during the performance of

an auditory working memory task in the rat. *Behav. Neurosci.* **104**, 253–263 (1990).

4. Elsayed, G. F., Lara, A. H., Kaufman, M. T., Churchland, M. M. & Cunningham, J. P.

Reorganization between preparatory and movement population responses in motor

cortex. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 13239 (2016).

5. Lara, A. H., Cunningham, J. P. & Churchland, M. M. Different population dynamics

in the supplementary motor area and motor cortex during reaching. *Nat. Commun.*

9, (2018).

6. Mante, V., Sussillo, D., Shenoy, K. V & Newsome, W. T. Context-dependent

computation by recurrent dynamics in prefrontal cortex. *Nature.* **503**, 78–84 (2013).

7. Raposo, D., Kaufman, M. T. & Churchland, A. K. A category-free neural population

supports evolving demands during decision-making. *Nat. Neurosci.* **17**, 1784–1792

(2014).

8. Parthasarathy, A. et al. Mixed selectivity morphs population codes in prefrontal

cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* **20**, 1770–1779 (2017).

9. Mendoza-Halliday, D. & Martinez-Trujillo, J. C. Neuronal population coding of

perceived and memorized visual features in the lateral prefrontal cortex. *Nat.*

Commun. **8**, 15471 (2017).

10. Kennerley, S. W. & Wallis, J. D. Evaluating choices by single neurons in the frontal

lobe: outcome value encoded across multiple decision variables. *Eur. J. Neurosci.*

29, 2061–2073 (2009).

11. Gardner, M. P. H. & Fontanini, A. Encoding and tracking of outcome-specific

expectancy in the gustatory cortex of alert rats. *J. Neurosci.* **34**, 13000–13017

(2014).

12. Guo, Z. V. et al. Maintenance of persistent activity in a frontal thalamocortical loop.

Nature. **545**, 181–186 (2017).

13. Hirokawa, J., Vaughan, A., Masset, P., Ott, T. & Kepecs, A. Frontal cortex neuron

types categorically encode single decision variables. *Nature* **576**, 446–451 (2019).

14. Furuyashiki, T., Holland, P. C. & Gallagher, M. Rat orbitofrontal cortex separately

encodes response and outcome information during performance of goal-directed

behavior. *J. Neurosci.* **28**, 5127–5138 (2008).

15. Hosokawa, T., Kato, K., Inoue, M. & Mikami, A. Correspondence of cue activity to

reward activity in the macaque orbitofrontal cortex. *Neurosci. Lett.* **389**, 146–151

(2005).

16. Eradath, M. K., Mogami, T., Wang, G. & Tanaka, K. Time context of cue-outcome

associations represented by neurons in perirhinal cortex. *J. Neurosci.* **35**, 4350–4365

(2015).

17. Ahn, J.R. & Lee, I. Neural correlates of both perception and memory for objects in

the rodent perirhinal cortex. *Cereb. Cortex.* **27**, 3856–3868 (2017).

18. Ahn, J.R. & Lee, I. Neural correlates of object-associated choice behavior in the

perirhinal cortex of rats. *J. Neurosci.* **35**, 1692–1705 (2015).

19. Naya, Y., Yoshida, M. & Miyashita, Y. Forward processing of long-term associative

memory in monkey inferotemporal cortex. *J. Neurosci.* **23**, 2861–2871 (2003).

20. Naya, Y., Yoshida, M., Takeda, M., Fujimichi, R. & Miyashita, Y. Delay-period

activities in two subdivisions of monkey inferotemporal cortex during pair

association memory task. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **18**, 2915–2918 (2003).

21. Naya, Y., Sakai, K. & Miyashita, Y. Activity of primate inferotemporal neurons

related to a sought target in pair-association task. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **93**,

967 2664–2669 (1996).

969 22. Sakai, K. & Miyashita, Y. Neural organization for the long-term memory of paired

970 associates. *Nature*. **354**, 152–155 (1991).

972 23. Burwell, R. D. Borders and cytoarchitecture of the perirhinal and postrhinal

973 cortices in the rat. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **437**, 17–41 (2001).

975 24. Furtak, S. C., Wei, S.M., Agster, K. L. & Burwell, R. D. Functional neuroanatomy of

976 the parahippocampal region in the rat: the perirhinal and postrhinal cortices.

977 *Hippocampus* **17**, 709–722 (2007).

979 25. Tomas Pereira, I. et al. Subcortical connections of the perirhinal, postrhinal, and

980 entorhinal cortices of the rat. I. afferents. *Hippocampus* **26**, 1189–1212 (2016).

982 26. Naya, Y., Chen, H., Yang, C. & Suzuki, W. A. Contributions of primate prefrontal

983 cortex and medial temporal lobe to temporal-order memory. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*

984 *S. A.* **114**, 13555–13560 (2017).

27. Naya, Y. & Suzuki, W. A. Integrating what and when across the primate medial

temporal lobe. *Science.* **333**, 773–776 (2011).

28. Shiotani, K. et al. Tuning of ventral tenia tecta neurons of the olfactory cortex to

distinct scenes of feeding behavior. Preprint at

https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/455089v1 (2018).

29. Harvey, C. D., Coen, P. & Tank, D. W. Choice-specific sequences in parietal

cortex during a virtual-navigation decision task. *Nature* 484, 62–68 (2012).

30. Akhlaghpour, H. et al. Dissociated sequential activity and stimulus encoding in the

dorsomedial striatum during spatial working memory. *Elife* **5**, e19507 (2016).

31. Hwang, E. J., Dahlen, J. E., Mukundan, M. & Komiyama, T. History-based action

selection bias in posterior parietal cortex. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1242 (2017).

1001

1002 32. Fujisawa, S., Amarasingham, A., Harrison, M. T. & Buzsáki, G. Behavior-dependent

short-term assembly dynamics in the medial prefrontal cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* **11**, 823 (2008).

33. Handa, T., Takekawa, T., Harukuni, R., Isomura, Y. & Fukai, T. Medial frontal circuit dynamics represents probabilistic choices for unfamiliar sensory experience. *Cereb. Cortex* **27**, 3818–3831 (2017).

34. Siniscalchi, M. J., Wang, H. & Kwan, A.C. Enhanced population coding for rewarded choices in the medial frontal cortex of the mouse. *Cereb. Cortex*, **29**, 4090–4106 (2019).

35. Musall, S., Kaufman, M.T., Juavinett, A.L., Gluf, S. & Churchland, A.K. Single-trial neural dynamics are dominated by richly varied movements. *Nat. Neurosci.* **22**, 1677–1686 (2019).

36. Krumin, M., Lee, J.J., Harris, K.D., & Carandini, M. Decision and navigation in mouse parietal cortex. *eLife* **7**, e42583 (2018).

1021   37. Wang, C. et al. Egocentric coding of external items in the lateral entorhinal cortex.

1022   *Science* **362**, 945–949 (2018).

1023

1024   38. Erlich, J. C., Bialek, M. & Brody, C. D. A cortical substrate for memory-guided

1025   orienting in the rat. *Neuron* **72**, 330–343 (2011).

1026

1027   39. Steinmetz, N.A., Zatka-Haas, P., Carandini, M. & Harris, K.D. Distributed coding

1028   of choice, action and engagement across the mouse brain. *Nature* **576,** 266–273

1029   (2019).

1030

1031   40. Meyer, A.F., Poort, J., O'Keefe, J., Sahani, M. & Linden, J.F. A Head-mounted

1032   camera system integrates detailed behavioral monitoring with multichannel

1033   electrophysiology in freely moving mice. *Neuron* **100**, 46–60 (2018).

1034

1035   41. Yoshizawa, T., Ito, M. & Doya, K. Reward-predictive neural activities in striatal

1036   striosome compartments. *eNeuro*, **5**, e0367-17. (2018).

1037

1038   42. Stokes, M. G. et al. Dynamic coding for cognitive control in prefrontal cortex.

1039   *Neuron.* **78**, 364–375 (2013).

1040

1041   43. Cavanagh, S. E., Towers, J. P., Wallis, J. D., Hunt, L. T. & Kennerley, S. W.

1042   Reconciling persistent and dynamic hypotheses of working memory coding in

1043   prefrontal cortex. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1–16 (2018).

1044

1045   44. Murray, J. D. et al. Stable population coding for working memory coexists with

1046   heterogeneous neural dynamics in prefrontal cortex. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* USA **114**,

1047   394–399 (2017).

1048

1049   45. Morcos, A. & Harvey, C. History-dependent variability in population dynamics

1050   during evidence accumulation in cortex. *Nat Neurosci* **19,** 1672–1681 (2016).

1051

1052   46. Mendoza-Halliday, D., Martinez-Trujillo, J. Neuronal population coding of perceived

1053   and memorized visual features in the lateral prefrontal cortex. *Nat Commun.* **8,**

1054   15471 (2017).

1055

1056   47. Liu, Z., Murray, E. A. & Richmond, B. J. Learning motivational significance of

1057   visual cues for reward schedules requires rhinal cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* **3**, 1307–1315

1058   (2000).

1059

1060   48. Clark, A. M., Bouret, S., Young, A. M. & Richmond B. J. Intersection of reward and

1061   memory in monkey rhinal cortex. *J. Neurosci.* **32**, 6869–6877 (2012).

1062

1063   49. Mogami, T. & Tanaka, K. Reward association affects neuronal responses to visual

1064   stimuli in macaque TE and perirhinal cortices. *J. Neurosci.* **26**, 6761–6770 (2006).

1065

1066   50. Ohyama, K., Sugase-Miyamoto, Y., Matsumoto, N., Shidara, M. & Sato, C.

1067   Stimulus-related activity during conditional associations in monkey perirhinal

1068   cortex neurons depends on upcoming reward outcome. *J. Neurosci.* **32**, 17407–17419

1069   (2012).

1070

1071   51. Ahn, J.R., Lee, H.W. & Lee, I. Rhythmic Pruning of Perceptual Noise for Object

1072   Representation in the Hippocampus and Perirhinal Cortex in Rats. *Cell Rep.* **26**,

1073   2362–2376 (2019).

1074

1075   52. Bartko, S. J., Winters, B. D., Cowell, R. A., Saksida, L. M. & Bussey, T. J.

1076   Perceptual functions of perirhinal cortex in rats: zero-delay object recognition and

1077   simultaneous oddity discriminations. *J Neurosci* **27**, 2548–2559 (2007).

1078

1079   53. Nelson, A.J., Olarte-Sánchez, C.M., Amin, E. & Aggleton, J.P. Perirhinal cortex

1080   lesions that impair object recognition memory spare landmark discriminations.

1081   *Behav. Brain Res.* **313**, 255–259 (2016).

1082

1083   54. Ho, J. W. et al. Bidirectional modulation of recognition memory. *J. Neurosci.* **35**,

1084   13323–13335 (2015).

1085

1086   55. Kreher, M.A. et al. The perirhinal cortex supports spatial intertemporal choice

1087   stability. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* **162**, 36–46 (2019).

1088

1089   56. Bos, J.J. et al. Perirhinal firing patterns are sustained across large spatial

1090   segments of the task environment. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 15602 (2017).

1091

1092   57. Meunier, M., Bachevalier, J., Mishkin, M. & Murray, E. A. Effects on visual

1093   recognition of combined and separate ablations of the entorhinal and perirhinal cortex

1094   in rhesus monkeys. *J. Neurosci.* **13**, 5418–5432 (1993).

1095

1096   58. Jun, J. K. et al. Heterogenous population coding of a short-term memory and

1097   decision task. *J. Neurosci.* **30**, 916–929 (2010).

1098

1099   59. Spaak, E., Watanabe, K., Funahashi, S. & Stokes, M. G. Stable and Dynamic

1100   Coding for Working Memory in Primate Prefrontal Cortex. *J. Neurosci.* **37**, 6503–

1101   6516 (2017).

1102

1103   60. Meister, M. L., Hennig, J. A. & Huk, A. C. Signal multiplexing and single-neuron

1104   computations in lateral intraparietal area during decision-making. *J. Neurosci.* **33**,

1105   2254–2267 (2013).

1106

1107   61. Rigotti, M. et al. The importance of mixed selectivity in complex cognitive

1108   tasks. *Nature* **497**, 585–590 (2013).

1109

1110   62. Barak, O., Rigotti, M. & Fusi, S. The sparseness of mixed selectivity neurons

controls the generalization-discrimination trade-off. *J. Neurosci.* **33**, 3844–3856

(2013).

63. Miller, E. K. & Fusi, S. Limber neurons for a nimble mind. *Neuron* **78**, 211–213

(2013)

64. Churchland, M. M. & Shenoy, K. V. Temporal complexity and heterogeneity of

single-neuron activity in premotor and motor cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* **97**, 4235–

4257 (2007).

65. Osako, Y., Sakurai, Y. & Hirokawa, J. Subjective decision threshold for accurate

visual detection performance in rats. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 1–10 (2018).

66. Sanders, J. I. & Kepecs, A. A low-cost programmable pulse generator for physiology

and behavior. *Front. Neuroeng.* **7**, 1–8 (2014).

67. Hirokawa, J. et al. Multisensory information facilitates reaction speed by enlarging

activity difference between superior colliculus hemispheres in rats. *PLoS One* **6**,

1129   (2011).

1130

1131   68. Green D. M. & Swets J. A. Signal detection theory and psychophysics. (Wiley, New

1132   York, 1966)

1133

1134   69. Wasmuht, D. F., Spaak, E., Buschman, T. J., Miller, E. K. & Stokes, M. G. Intrinsic

1135   neuronal dynamics predict distinct functional roles during working memory. *Nat.*

1136   *Commun.* **9**, (2018).

1137

1138

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank members of the Laboratory of Neural Information at

Doshisha University for helpful discussion, and Y. Tanisumi for help with

visualization of the data. This research was supported by the JSPS

KAKENHI Grant Numbers 19J12634 (to T.O.), 16H02061, 18H05088 (to

Y.S.) and 16K18380 (to J.H.).

1145

1146

Author contributions

T.O., Y.S., and J.H. designed the experiments. T.O. performed the experiments and analyzed the data. Y.O. analyzed the data. H.M, Y.S. and J.H. supervised the project. All authors contributed to writing the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

1156

Figure 1 | Firing patterns of the PRC neurons in a two-alternative forced-

choice task. (a) Schematic drawing of the behavioral apparatus and the cue-

target associative relationships. **(b)** Schematic of the task timeline. **(c)**

Raster plot and peri-event time histogram showing response of a

representative neuron. Trial types are classified according to cue modality

and target choice as follows: blue, left target-choices; red, right target-

choices; solid line, visual trials; dashed line; olfactory trials. Neural

responses in correct trials were independently aligned to cue, withdrawal

and target-choice onset and then reconstructed because of variable time

between them. Lines and shaded areas indicate mean and s.e.m.,

respectively. (d) Firing patterns across all the neurons ($n = 207$) for the

different trial conditions. In each trial type, mean firing rate of each neuron

was normalized to its peak. For all trial types, the neurons were sorted by

their peak firing time in visually-cued left choice trials (upper left). (e)

Comparison of temporal firing patterns of individual neurons between the

different modalities and choice directions. For comparison between different

modalities, for each neuron, correlation coefficients were computed between

peak-normalized firing rates in visual left (upper left in d) and olfactory left

trials (bottom left in d). For comparison between different choices,

correlation coefficients were computed between peak-normalized firing rates

in visual left (upper left in d) and visual right trials (upper right in d).

Nearly identical results were achieved when correlations were computed

between the visual right and olfactory right trials and between the visual

right and olfactory right trials (data not shown).

1181

Figure 2 | Choice-direction selectivity of the individual PRC neurons in

different epochs of the task. (**a**) Temporal patterns of choice-direction

selective responses of the PRC neurons ($n = 207$) in correct visual trials.

Colors indicate selectivity to the left (blue) or right (red) choice. Neurons

were sorted according to the time of their peak selectivity. Only segments

with significant selectivity are shown ($P < 0.05$; 1,000 permutations). (**b**)

Time course of the choice-direction selectivity magnitude in visual (solid

line) and olfactory (dashed line) trials averaged across all the neurons.

Neural responses around the withdrawal onset (left) and target-choice onset

(right) are shown. Lines and shaded areas indicate mean and s.e.m.,

respectively. (**c**) Scatter plots showing the choice-direction selectivity of the

neural population during the cue (left) and reward (right) epochs ($n = 207$

neurons). Each point corresponds to values of a single neuron. Colors

indicate significance ($P < 0.05$; 1,000 permutations): light gray, no

selectivity; deep gray, significant in either cue modality; purple significant

in both cue modalities. Inset, the choice-direction selectivity of the non-

selective neurons ($n = 101$) in the cue epoch.

1199

Figure 3 | Time-varying choice-direction selectivity in individual neurons.

(**a**) Temporal response patterns of left-selective and right-selective

subpopulations. In each modality, neurons were classified by their peaks of

significant choice-direction selectivity (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig.1).

The selectivity of each neuron was normalized to its peak. The neurons were

sorted according to the time of their peak selectivity. To visualize the

entirety of time-varying selectivity, all segments with and without statistical

significance are shown. (b) Same as in a, except for that the neurons were

sorted by their peak selectivity to the opposite choice direction.

1209

Figure 4 | Time-resolved pattern analysis for temporal changes of choice-

direction selectivity. (a) Performance of the pattern classification analysis

(left). White lines correspond to the onset time of cue, withdrawal, target

choice, and return movement to the central port (target-choice offset). We

trained a classifier using neural responses in correct visual trials to

discriminate choice directions and tested them with neural responses in

correct olfactory trials ($n = 207$ neurons). Temporal inversions of choice-

direction selectivity between the cue and reward epochs are shown by

scatter plots (right). (**b**) Mean classification performance during the

baseline, cue and reward epochs. (**c**) Mean classification performance across

two different epochs. (**d**) Mean classification performance during the reward,

pre-return and post-return epochs. Dashed line indicates the 97.5th

percentile values of the baseline distribution shown in b. (**e**) A classifier

tested with erroneous olfactory trials ($n = 119$ neurons). (**f**) Mean

classification performance in erroneous trials compared with correct trials.

Dashed lines indicate the 97.5th percentile values of the baseline

distributions in the correct and erroneous trials. In box plots: orange line,

median; box limits, 25th and 75th quartiles; notch limits,

($1.57\times$interquartile range)/$\sqrt{n}$; whiskers, 95th percentile range (two-sided) of

the distribution. Asterisks indicate statistical significance based on

estimated P values ($P < 0.05$; Methods), and n.s. indicate insignificance.

1231

Figure 5 | Principal component analysis of neural population responses.

(a) Population responses during cue and reward epochs projected onto the

first two dimensions of cue-epoch and reward-epoch subspaces. Blue, left

target-choice in visual trials; pale blue, left target-choice in olfactory trials;

red, right target-choice in visual trials; orange, right target-choice in

olfactory trials. Each point corresponds to the population response in a

subset of trials. (**b–c**) Comparisons between across-condition distances and

within-condition distances. Dashed lines indicate the 97.5th percentile

ranges of within-condition distances.(**b**) Distances obtained from projections

onto corresponding subspaces. (**c**) Distances obtained from projections onto

interchanged subspaces. (**d**) Weights of individual neurons in the first

dimensions of the cue-epoch (left) and reward-epoch (right) subspaces shown

in a. The values were normalized by magnitude of the highest weighting

value and were ordered by magnitude. (**e**) Correlation between the neural

weights in the first dimensions of the cue and reward subspaces shown in d.

Blue points, neurons with significant choice-direction selectivity ($P < 0.05$)

across the cue and reward epochs. (**f**) Choice-direction discriminability by

neural populations with increasing numbers of neurons. Neurons were

arranged by the weighting value on the first dimension of the cue epoch

(left) or the reward epoch (right). Values were normalized to the

discriminability by the full population. Lines and shaded areas represent

mean and 95th percentile range, respectively. Dotted lines indicate increase

expected by random incorporation of the neurons. (**g**) Temporal shifts in

representational geometry. The same shuffled data as in d was shown here.

We considered the baseline-epoch responses to be chance level. (**h**)

Relationship between temporal coherence and dynamics of the PRC

population responses (Methods). Vertical axis indicates proportion of the

task representations sustained between different epochs, and horizontal

axis indicates the degree of temporal shifts. Each single point corresponds to

the population response in a subset of trials. The discriminability by the

cue-epoch and reward-epoch responses is shown as blue points. The same for

the baseline-epoch responses and shuffled responses are shown as deep gray

and light gray points, respectively. In box plots, the same convention as in

Fig. 4.