Guanine content of microRNAs is associated with their tumor suppressive and

oncogenic roles in lung and breast cancers

Amit Cohen[1], Mario Alberto Burgos-Aceves[2,*], Yoav Smith[1]

[1]Genomic Data Analysis Unit, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem-Hadassah

Medical School, P.O. Box 12272, Jerusalem 91120, Israel.

[2]Department of Chemistry and Biology, University of Salerno, via Giovanni Paolo II,

132, 84084 Fisciano, SA, Italy.

*Correspondence to:

Mario Alberto Burgo-Aceves, Laboratory of Biological Chemistry, Department of

Chemistry and Biology, University of Salerno, via Giovanni Paolo II, 132, 84084

Fisciano, SA, Italy. Tel.: +39 089969379 E-mail: mburgosaceves@unisa.it. ORCID

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5558-278X

Running Head

Precursor microRNA's guanine content in lung and breast cancers.

Contributions

(1) Conception and design: AC

(2) Administrative support: MAB-A

(3) Provision of study materials or patients: AC, MAB-A

(4) Collection and assembly of data: All authors

(5) Data analysis and interpretation: AC, YS

(6) Manuscript writing: All authors

(7) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Abstract

Background: microRNAs (miRNAs, miRs) are small noncoding RNAs that

negatively regulate gene expression at the post-transcriptional level and fine-tune

gene functions. A global repression of miRNAs expression in different types of

human tumors, after exposure to cigarette-smoke, or to the hormone estrogen, have

been shown to be associated with guanine (G) enrichment in the terminal loops (TLs)

of their precursors.

Methods: we integrated the G content of miRNA mature forms and precursor

miRNA TLs with their described function in the literature, using the PubMed

database. Gene Ontology term analysis was used to describe the pathways in which

the G-enriched miRNA targets are involved.

Results: herein we show an association between the relative G enrichment of

precursor miRNAs TLs and their tendency to act as tumor suppressor miRs in human

lung and breast cancers. Another association was observed between the high G

content of the miRNAs 5-mature forms and their tendency to act as oncomiRs.

Conclusions: the results support previous findings showing that the G sequence

content is an important feature determining miRNA expression and function, and

open the way for future cancer investigations in this direction.

Keywords: Guanine, microRNA, Lung cancer, Breast cancer, Tumor suppressor,

Oncogene.

Background

44 MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are endogenous ~22-nucleotides RNA molecules, that

45 negatively regulate gene expression at the post-transcriptional level and are

46 implicated in the pathogenesis of many human diseases, including cancer, where they

47 can act as tumor suppressive miRNAs (tumor suppressive miRs) or as oncogenic

48 miRNAs (oncomiRs) [1, 2]. Generally, oncomiRs are overexpressed in cancers while

49 tumor suppressive miRs are underexpressed, however, a comprehensive reduction in

50 miRNA was commonly observed in human cancers, where miRNAs showed lower

51 expression levels in tumors and cancer cell lines compared with normal tissues [3-6].

52 In addition, a widespread repression of miRNA expression has also been reported

53 after exposure to cigarette-smoke (CS) [7-9], treatment with the hormone estrogen

54 [10-12], and c-Myc activation [13]. These aforementioned alterations in miRNA

55 expression can occur as a result of affecting the transcription of miRNA genes [13],

56 miRNA export from the nucleus [14], or at any stage of the miRNA maturation

57 process by modulation of key regulators or components of the miRNA biogenesis

58 pathway, including Drosha and Dicer [15].

59  Findings suggest that the miRNA terminal loop (TL) is an important platform for

60 different RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) that act as activators or repressors of Drosha

61 and Dicer processing, and selectivity regulate miRNAs by binding to guanine (G)-

62 enriched motifs in the RNA TLs of their precursors [16]. It was shown that miRNAs

63 with the tetra-nucleotide sequence motif GGAG in their TLs were regulated through

64 binding of the RBP Lin28, which interferes with Dicer processing [17], and that the

65 sequence AGGGU in the TL mediates regulation of miRNA biogenesis by the KH-

66 type splicing regulatory protein (KSRP) RBP [18]. It was recently shown that

67 modification of KSRP resulted in the downregulation of a subset of TL-G-rich

68 miRNAs and promoted tumorigenesis [19].

Izzotti and Pulliero showed in their study that the G content of the TLs of

miRNAs, which are involved in stress response, is higher than the G content of the

other miRNAs [20]. We have recently found, using bioinformatic data analysis of

zebrafish, mouse, and human breast cancer cell line, an association between the

widespread miRNAs reduction that is observed after estrogen (17β-estradiol; E2)

exposure and a high TL-G content in their precursors [21]. In addition, we also

showed that similar G enrichment exists in TLs of downregulated miRNAs found in

different human cancers [22]. Here, we bioinformatically analyzed the sequences of

over 250 human miRNAs, and show the association between miRNAs G-content and

their known function as tumor suppressors and oncogenes in lung and breast cancers.

Materials and Methods

Literature-data mining.

Literature searches were performed in the PubMed literature database for original

articles written in the English language focusing on miRNAs and lung or breast

cancers. The searches included a specific miRNA term paired with the key words;

'lung cancer' or 'breast cancer'. No restriction was set for the publication date. Only

articles showing a role for miRNAs, by using functional studies, were selected. Where

applicable, the direct target genes of the investigated miRNAs were retrieved from the

above articles.

Bioinformatic tools.

All miRNA precursor sequences were obtained from the Sanger Institute miRBase

database version 21 (http://microrna.sanger.ac.uk/sequences/). DAVID v6 functional

annotation tool was used to identify enriched GO terms of genes.

Nucleotide composition analysis.

Calculation of nucleotide composition in miRNA precursors was determined using

the compseq algorithm (http://emboss.bioinformatics.nl/cgi-bin/emboss/compseq).

Input sequences included precursor miRNAs (pre-miRNAs) stem-loops (SLs), TLs, 5-

and 3-mature miRNAs of the tumor suppressive miRs and oncomiRs (miRNA lists

and their sequences are presented in Supplementary Table 1).

Statistical data analysis.

A one-way ANOVA, post-hoc Tukey HSD Test was performed for comparison

between G enrichment in SLs, TLs, and mature miRNAs of the tumor suppressive

miRs and oncomiRs. Statistical analysis was performed using the software XLSTAT

(Addinsoft Inc., Paris, France).

Results

Since miRNAs downregulation in cancer is associated with the relative G enrichment

of their TL sequences [22], we asked whether there is also a relation between the

relative G content of miRNAs and their known function in cancer. For this purpose,

255 human pre-miRNA sequences were retrieved from the miRBase database and

used for further analysis. Each sequence was divided into its different structural

constituents; the TL and the two mature miRNAs (5-mature and 3-mature forms). The

complete list of miRNA sequences is presented in Supplementary Table 1. For each

of these miRNAs the complete pre-miRNA, the TL, and the 5 and 3-mature miRNA

sequences were analyzed for evaluation of nucleotide composition (Supplementary

Table 1). Next, we filtered the miRNA list and selected those with relatively high G

content (more than 35%) or low G content (less than 15%) in their TL and 5-mature

sequences, and for the resulted 105 miRNAs, we searched for known functions in

lung and breast cancers, by mining publicly available data in the PubMed database.

The search resulted in 420 articles; 109 studied on oncomiRs and 311 on tumor

suppressive miRs.

The results show that when presenting the number of the articles respectively to

the G percentage of miRNAs TLs, tumor suppressive miRs are found to be more G-

enriched in their TLs, while oncomiRs show the opposite trend (Figure 1A). When

presenting the number of the articles respectively to the G percentage of 5-mature

miRNAs, the oncomiRs appear to be more G-enriched in their 5-mature forms (Figure

1B). From this list, we selected only those miRNAs that showed a tendency to act as

either tumor suppressive miRs or oncomiRs, in both lung and breast cancers. A list of

84 miRNAs was obtained and sub-grouped into oncomiRs (25 miRNAs) and tumor

suppressive miRs (59 miRNAs) (Supplementary Table 2).

The results show that the TLs of the tumor suppressive miRs group are more

enriched in G (1.33 fold) than the group of oncomiRs (Figure 2). No such enrichment

was observed when the same analysis was conducted with the complete pre-miRNA

SL sequences, or with the 5 and 3-mature miRNAs (Figure 2). Moreover, this

enrichment is even more prominent when analyzing the dual G (GG) content, where

the TLs of the tumor suppressive miRs group are more enriched in GG (2.1 fold) than

the group of oncomiRs (Figure 2). Also here, no such enrichment was observed when

the same analysis was conducted with the complete SLs, despite this time the GG

content of the 3-mature miRNAs was also slightly enriched (1.32 fold). Also in the

case of triple G (GGG), only the TLs of the tumor suppressive miRs group are more

enriched in G (1.34 fold) than the group of oncomiRs (Figure 2). Interestingly, the G

content of the 5-mature miRNAs has shown the opposite trend than the TLs, and was

slightly enriched in oncomiRs relative to tumor suppressive miRs (0.87 fold) (Figure

2), and this trend of enrichment in the 5-mature forms was even more pronounced

when looking at the GG (0.65 fold) and GGG (0.39 fold) content (Figure 2).

Statistical analysis revealed a significant difference between TLs and 5-mature

miRNAs G, GG and GGG content of the tumor suppressive miRs relative to

oncomiRs (one-way ANOVA, P=0.01). Together, the above results show an

association between high TLs and 5-mature miRNAs G content and their tendency to

act either as tumor suppressive miRs or oncomiRs, respectively.

In order to define the function of those tumor suppressive miRs, which have

relatively high G content in their TLs, we selected the 30 most TL-G-enriched

miRNAs, (over 35% G), retrieved their identified direct target genes from the

PubMed articles (Supplementary Table 2), and searched for biological processes

enrichment. Functional annotation was performed using the DAVID tool, and among

Gene Ontology (GO) biological processes; negative regulation of cell death,

regulation of signal transduction, and positive regulation of cell migration, were the

most significant ones in lung and breast cancers (Figure 3). The results show that a

large number of target genes of these tumor suppressive miRs are known proto-

oncogenes (MYC, MYCN, EGFR, ERBB2, ERBB3, ERBB4, AKT1, CCND1,

KRAS, SRC, BCL2, PIK3CA, MET, AXL, PDGFRB, JAK2, HMGA2, MYB,

AGR2, PRKCA, PIM1, RAF1, RHOA, MKL1, SALL4) (Supplementary Table 3). In

several cases, these oncogenes were common targets to multiple miRNAs, such as in

KRAS (miR-30c, -143, -193a, -200c, let-7a), CCND1 (miR-34b, -145a, -195, let-7e),

and EGFR (miR-34a, -143, -218) (Supplementary Table 3).

Discussion

Over the past two decades, it has become increasingly apparent that loss- or gain-of-

function of specific miRNAs contributes to cellular transformation and tumorigenesis

[23]. OncomiRs typically function to promote cell growth, inhibit apoptosis and

control the cell cycle, while tumor suppressive miRs function to inhibit cell growth

and induce apoptosis [24], and there are several examples in which a specific miRNA

can act either as a tumor suppressor or an oncomiR, depending on cellular context and

type of cancer [25]. However, a global miRNA downregulation in cancer was also

observed, and therefore, understanding the mechanisms driving miRNA

downregulation is important in uncovering the regulatory role of miRNAs in cancer

biology [26].

The results presented here support previous studies showing the potential

importance of TL-G content to the regulation of miRNAs expression and function

[19-22]. We have previously suggested that estrogens metabolites inside the lungs, as

a result of CS exposure, can potentially cause the observed widespread

downregulation of miRNA expression, and contribute to lung tumor development [27,

28]. Estrogen metabolism generates highly reactive metabolites, mostly catechol

estrogen-3,4-quinones, which form carcinogen depurinating G adducts [29].

Moreover, oxidative metabolites of estrogens can cause oxidative damage to G,

because of its lowest oxidation potential, by forming 8-oxo-dG (8-Oxo-2′-

deoxyguanosine), which eventually leads to carcinogenesis [30]. Repressed miRNAs

in lung and breast cancers were shown to be the most enriched in TLs G [22], and the

relation of estrogen to the development of these types of cancer is well documented

[27, 30, 31]. Furthermore, like in the current study, also in the aforementioned studies,

dual GG enrichment in miRNA TLs was even more pronounced [20-22]. Remarkably,

experimental studies have shown that sequences with repeated G bases (GG or GGG)

show higher reactivity toward oxidation than isolated G bases [32].

Despite analyzing during this study relatively small fraction (~14%) of the total

number of currently identified human miRNAs, our results indicate that the number of

tumor suppressive miRs is greater than oncomiRs. This is in agreement with the

finding that many of the known mutated genes are oncogenes [33], and with the

general consideration of miRNAs as safeguards of the genome [24]. Of note, a

remarkable redundancy was observed between the target oncogenes of the tumor

suppressive miRs (e.g. KRAS). Synergetic effects of functionally related tumor

suppressive miRs, that share common targets and control similar processes, were

shown before [34].

As indicated above, tumor suppressive miRs tended to be more G-enriched in

their TLs. G enrichment in tumor suppressive miRs TLs could affect binding of RBPs

such as Lin28, KSRP, and the heteronuclear ribonucleoprotein A1 (hnRNP A1),

which compete with KSRP for their common G-rich target sequence [35]. Notably,

hnRNPA2B1, another splicing factor, binds exosomal miRNAs through the

recognition of G-enriched motifs to control their loading into exosomes [36]; small

membranous vesicles which enable genetic exchange between cells, can transfer

functional miRNAs to recipient cells and consequently downregulate the expression

of their target genes [37]. It is noteworthy that sumoylation modification controls the

binding of both KSRP and hnRNPA2B1 to miRNAs [19, 36].

OncomiRs, on the contrary, have lower G content in their TLs, and are relatively

more G-enriched in their 5-mature miRNA forms. The differences in G enrichment

shown here between the 5 and 3-mature miRNAs might be attributed to the

differences between the functional guide strand and the passenger strand of mature

miRNAs, as one of the characteristics of human miRNA guide strands is excess of

purines [38]. This observation could also be related to the process of sorting miRNAs

into the exosomes, as it was shown that G-rich sequence is a dominant feature of

exosome-dominant miRNAs, suggesting the possibility that RBP-mediated

translocation of cellular miRNAs into exosome cargos occurs by G-recognition [39].

Indeed, oncogenic exosomal miRNAs (miR-17, -21, -106a, -155, -191) were highly

induced in lung cancer [40, 41], and chemoresistance of breast cancer cells was

recently shown to occur through the G-enriched miR-155 oncomiR exosomes

delivery [42].

Conclusions

Taken together, the current and previously published results suggest that G content of

miRNAs is an important feature determining their expression and function. MiRNA

TL-G enrichment may have important role in the carcinogenic process by affecting

the observed global downregulation of tumor suppressive miRs, which cause

induction of their target oncogenes, and commit cells towards carcinogenesis. On the

other hand, high G content in the oncomiRs 5-mature sequences may function in

guide-strand selection and miRNA targeting into the exosomes. Elucidating the

molecular mechanisms that involve miRNAs G can have major implications for

cancer research, therapy and prevention [43, 44].

Acknowledgements

None

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,

commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Bartel DP. MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. Cell.

2009;116:215-233.

2. Giza DE, Vasilescu C, Calin GA. Key principles of miRNA involvement in

human diseases. Discoveries (Craiova). 2014;2:e34.

3. Lu J, Getz G, Miska EA, Alvarez-Saavedra E, Lamb J, Peck D, et al.

MicroRNA expression profiles classify human cancers. Nature.

2005;435:834-838.

4. Ozen M, Creighton CJ, Ozdemir M, Ittmann M. Widespread deregulation of

microRNA expression in human prostate cancer. Oncogene. 2008;**27**:1788-

1793.

5. Dvinge H, Git A, Gräf S, Curtis C, Sottoriva A, Zhao Y, et al. The shaping and

functional consequences of the microRNA landscape in breast cancer. Nature.

2013;**497**:378-382.

6. Cohen A, Burgos-Aceves MA, Smith Y. Estrogen repression of microRNA as

a potential cause of cancer. Biomed Pharmacother. 2016;**78**:234-238.

7. Izzotti A, Calin GA, Arrigo P, Steele VE, Croce CM, De Flora S.

Downregulation of microRNA expression in the lungs of rats exposed to

cigarette smoke. FASEB J. 2009;**23**:806-812.

8. Schembri F, Sridhar S, Perdomo C, Gustafson AM, Zhang X, Ergun A, et al.

MicroRNAs as modulators of smoking-induced gene expression changes in

human airway epithelium. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;**106**:2319-2324.

9. Graff JW, Powers LS, Dickson AM, Kim J, Reisetter AC, Hassan IH, et al.

Cigarette smoking decreases global microRNA expression in human alveolar

macrophages. PLoS One. 2012;**7**:e44066. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0044066.

10. Maillot G, Lacroix-Triki M, Pierredon S, Gratadou L, Schmidt S, Bénès V, et

al. Widespread estrogen-dependent repression of microRNAs involved in

breast tumor cell growth. Cancer Res. 2009;**69**:8332-8340.

11. Yamagata K, Fujiyama S, Ito S, Ueda T, Murata T, Naitou M, et al.

Maturation of microRNA is hormonally regulated by a nuclear receptor. Mol

Cell. 2009;36:340-347.

12. Cohen A, Smith Y. Estrogen regulation of microRNAs, target genes, and

microRNA expression associated with vitellogenesis in the zebrafish

Zebrafish. 2014;11:462-748.

13. Chang TC, Yu D, Lee YS, Wentzel EA, Arking DE, West KM, et al.

Widespread microRNA repression by Myc contributes to tumorigenesis. Nat

Genet. 2008;40:43-50.

14. Sun HL, Cui R, Zhou J, Teng KY, Hsiao YH, Nakanishi K, et al. ERK

Activation Globally Downregulates miRNAs through Phosphorylating

Exportin-5. Cancer Cell 2016;30:723-736.

15. Lin S, Gregory RI. MicroRNA biogenesis pathways in cancer. Nat Rev

Cancer. 2015;15:321-333.

16. Libri V, Miesen P, van Rij RP, Buck AH. Regulation of microRNA biogenesis

and turnover by animals and their viruses. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2013;70:3525-

3544.

17. Heo I, Joo C, Kim YK, Ha M, Yoon MJ, Cho J, et al. TUT4 in concert with

Lin28 suppresses microRNA biogenesis through pre-microRNA uridylation.

Cell. 2009;138:696-708.

18. Trabucchi M, Briata P, Garcia-Mayoral M, Haase AD, Filipowicz W, Ramos

A, Gherzi R, et al. The RNA-binding protein KSRP promotes the biogenesis

of a subset of microRNAs. Nature 2009;459:1010-1014.

19. Yuan H, Deng R, Zhao X, Chen R, Hou G, Zhang H, et al. SUMO1

modification of KHSRP regulates tumorigenesis by preventing the TL-G-

Rich miRNA biogenesis. Mol Cancer 2017;16:157.

20. Izzotti A, Pulliero A. The effects of environmental chemical carcinogens on

the microRNA machinery. Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2014;217:601-627.

21. Cohen A, Burgos-Aceves MA, Kahan T, Smith Y. Estrogen repression of

microRNAs is associated with high guanine content in the terminal loop

sequences of their precursors. Biomedicines 2017;5:3.

22. Cohen A, Burgos-Aceves MA, Smith Y. microRNAs downregulation in

cancer is associated with guanine enrichment in the terminal loop sequences

of their precursors. MicroRNA 2018;7:1-8.

23. Kent OA, Mendell JT. A small piece in the cancer puzzle: microRNAs as

tumor suppressors and oncogenes. Oncogene. 2006;25:6188-6196.

24. Wang D, Qiu C, Zhang H, Wang J, Cui Q, Yin Y. Human microRNA

oncogenes and tumor suppressors show significantly different biological

patterns: from functions to targets. PLoS One. 2010;5(9):e13067. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0013067.

25. Svoronos AA, Engelman DM, Slack FJ. OncomiR or tumor suppressor? The

duplicity of microRNAs in cancer Cancer Res. 2016;76:3666-3670.

26. Williams M, Cheng YY, Blenkiron C, Reid G. Exploring mechanisms of

microRNA downregulation in cancer. MicroRNA. 2017;**6**:2-16.

27. Cohen A, Burgos-Aceves MA, Smith Y. A potential role for estrogen in

cigarette smoke-induced microRNA alterations and lung cancer. Transl Lung

Cancer Res 2016;5:322-330.

28. Cohen A, Burgos-Aceves MA, Smith Y. Global microRNA downregulation:

all roads lead to estrogen. J Xiangya Med. 2017;2:59.

29. Cavalieri EL, Rogan EG. Depurinating estrogen-DNA adducts, generators of

cancer initiation: their minimization leads to cancer prevention. Clin Transl

Med. 2016;5:12.

30. Słowikowski BK, Lianeri M, Jagodziński PP. Exploring estrogenic activity in

lung cancer. Mol Biol Rep. 2017;44:35-50.

31. Yager JD, Davidson NE. Estrogen carcinogenesis in breast cancer. N Engl J

Med. 2006;354:270-282.

32. Senthilkumar K, Grozema FC, Guerra CF, Bickelhaupt FM, Siebbeles LD.

Mapping the sites for selective oxidation of guanines in DNA. J Am Chem

Soc. 2003;125:13658-13659.

33. Cui Q, Ma Y, Jaramillo M, Bari H, Awan A, Yang S, et al. A map of human

cancer signaling. Mol Syst Biol. 2007;3:152.

34. Bandi N, Vassella E. miR-34a and miR-15a/16 are co-regulated in non-small

cell lung cancer and control cell cycle progression in a synergistic and Rb-

dependent manner. Mol Cancer. 2011;10:55.

35. Michlewski G, Cáceres JF. Antagonistic role of hnRNP A1 and KSRP in the

regulation of let-7a biogenesis. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2010;17:1011-1018.

36. Villarroya-Beltri C, Gutiérrez-Vázquez C, Sánchez-Cabo F, Pérez-Hernández

D, Vázquez J, Martin-Cofreces N, et al. Sumoylated hnRNPA2B1 controls

the sorting of miRNAs into exosomes through binding to specific motifs. Nat

Commun. 2013;4:2980.

37. Valadi H, Ekström K, Bossios A, Sjöstrand M, Lee JJ, Lötvall JO. Exosome-

mediated transfer of mRNAs and microRNAs is a novel mechanism of

genetic exchange between cells. Nat Cell Biol. 2007;9:654-659.

38. Meijer HA, Smith EM, Bushell M. Regulation of miRNA strand selection:

follow the leader? Biochem Soc Trans. 2014;42:1135-1140.

39. Momose F, Seo N, Akahori Y, Sawada S, Harada N, Ogura T, et al. Guanine-

Rich sequences are a dominant feature of exosomal microRNAs across the

mammalian species and cell types. PLoS One. 2016;11(4):e0154134. doi:

10.1371/journal.pone.0154134.

40. Rabinowits G, Gerçel-Taylor C, Day JM, Taylor DD, Kloecker GH. Exosomal

microRNA: a diagnostic marker for lung cancer. Clin Lung Cancer.

2009;10:42-46.

41. Thind A, Wilson C. Exosomal miRNAs as cancer biomarkers and therapeutic

targets. J Extracell Vesicles. 2016;5:31292.

42. Santos JC, Lima NDS, Sarian LO, Matheu A, Ribeiro ML, Derchain SFM.

Exosome-mediated breast cancer chemoresistance via miR-155 transfer. Sci

Rep. 2018;8:829.

43. Cohen A, Burgos-Aceves MA, Smith Y. Guanine content of precursor

microRNA's terminal loop and its association with cancer. J Xiangya Med.

2018;3:33.

44. Cohen A, Burgos-Aceves MA, Smith Y. Guanine residues of precursor

microRNA's terminal loop as a potential target for cancer therapy and

prevention. Precis Cancer Med. 2019;2:2.

    Figure legends

    Figure 1. Number of PubMed articles describing the function of tumor

    suppressive miRs and oncomiRs relative to (a). TL G enrichment. (b). 5-mature G

    enrichment.

    Figure 2. G enrichment of tumor suppressive miRs relative to oncomiRs. Single,

    dual and triple G were calculated in SLs, TLs, 5 and 3-mature miRNAs involved

    in lung and breast cancers.

    Figure 3. Enriched GO terms of the target genes of G-enriched tumor suppressive

    miRs. Shown are significant –log2 Benjamini p-values of the biological process

    terms (level 5) of the 30 most TL-G-enriched tumor suppressive miRs target

    genes, as were identified using the DAVID functional annotation tool.

    Supplementary Material

    Supplementary Table 1: SLs, TLs, 5 and 3-mature miRNA sequences, and their

    G enrichment. Shown are 255 miRNA sequences retrieved from the miRBase

    database. G enrichment of sequences represents the ratio of G number relative to

    total nucleotide number.

    Supplementary Table 2: Tumor suppressive miRs, oncomiRs, and the references

    from PubMed Database describing their function in lung and breast cancers.

    When search in PubMed had no results it appears as unknown function.

    Supplementary Table 3: G-enriched tumor suppressive miRs target genes.

    Shown are 30 most TL-G-enriched tumor suppressive miRs and their direct target

381   genes in lung and breast cancers, as were described in the references from

382   PubMed Database (Supplementary Table 2).

Breast cancer

Lung cancer

- Negative regulation of cell death
- Regulation of signal transduction
- Immune system development
- Positive regulation of cell migration
- Reproductive structure development
- Regulation of angiogenesis
- Mesenchyme development
- Response to steroid hormone
- Positive regulation of smooth muscle proliferation
- Respiratory system development
- Regulation of mitotic cell cycle
- Regulation of DNA repair