Complete genome sequence of *Escherichia coli* C – an old model organism with a new

application in biofilm research

Jarosław E. Król[1-4#], Donald C. Hall Jr[1,3-5], Sergey Balashov[1-2,4], Steven Pastor[6], Justin Siebert[6],

Jennifer McCaffrey[6], Steven Lang[1-2,4], Rachel L. Ehrlich[1-2,4], Joshua Earl[1-2,4], Joshua C. Mell[1-2,4],

Ming Xiao[2,4], Garth D. Ehrlich[1-4,7#]

[1]Center for Advanced Microbial Processing and [2]Center for Genomic Sciences, [3]Center for

Surgical Infections and Biofilms, Institute of Molecular Medicine and Infectious Disease;

[4]Department of Microbiology & Immunology; [7]Department of Otolaryngology – Head and Neck

Surgery; Drexel University College of Medicine; [5]Department of Chemistry and [6]School of

Biomedical Engineering, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA

Running title: Biofilm model -*E. coli* strain C complete genome

#Address correspondence to Jarosław E. Król, jek322@drexel.edu and Garth D. Ehrlich,

ge33@drexel.edu.

Center for Advanced Microbial Processing, Department of Microbiology & Immunology, Drexel

University College of Medicine, 245 N. 15th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19102

Abstract

Escherichia coli strain C is the last of five *E. coli* strains (C, K12, B, W, Crooks) designated as

safe for laboratory purposes whose genome has not been sequenced. We found that *E. coli* C forms

more robust biofilms than the other four laboratory strains. Here we present the complete genomic

sequence of this strain in which we utilized both long-read PacBio-based sequencing and high

resolution optical mapping to confirm a large inversion in comparison to the other laboratory

strains. Notably, DNA sequence comparison revealed the absence of several genes thought to be

involved in biofilm formation, including antigen 43, *waaSBOJYZUL* for LPS synthesis, and *cpsB*

for curli synthesis. The first main difference we identified that likely affects biofilm formation is

the presence of an IS3-like insertion sequence in front of the carbon storage regulator *csrA* gene.

This insertion is located 86 bp upstream of the *csrA* start codon inside the -35 region of P4 promoter

and blocks the transcription from the sigma^{32} and sigma^{70} promoters P1-P3 located further

upstream. The second is the presence of an additional sigma^{70} subunit activated by the same IS3-

like insertion sequence and the *csgD* gene overexpressed by an IS5/IS1182 family transposase

promoter. Gene expression profiles using RNAseq analyses comparing planktonic and biofilm

envirovars provided insights into understanding this regulatory pathway in *E. coli*.

IMPORTANCE Biofilms are crucial for bacterial survival, adaptation, and dissemination in

natural, industrial, and medical environments. Most laboratory strains of *E. coli* grown for decades

in vitro have evolved and lost their ability to form biofilm, while environmental isolates that can

cause infections and diseases are not safe to work with. Here, we show that the historic laboratory

strain of *E. coli* C produces a robust biofilm and can be used as a model organism for multicellular

bacterial research. Furthermore, we ascertained the full genomic sequence as well as gene

expression profiles of both the biofilm and planktonic envirovars of this classic strain, which

provide for a base level of characterization and make it useful for many biofilm-based applications.

Introduction

Escherichia coli is a model prokaryote and a key organism for laboratory and industrial

applications. *E. coli strain* C was isolated at the Lister Institute and deposited into the National

Collection of Type Cultures, London, in 1920 (Strain No. 122). It was characterized as more

spherical than other *E. coli* strains and its nuclear matter was shown to be peripherally distributed

in the cell (1). *E. coli* C, called a restrictionless strain, is permissive for most coliphages and has

been used for such studies since the early 1950's (2). Genetic tests showed that *E. coli* C forms an

O rough R1-type lipopolysaccharide, which serves as a receptor for bacteriophages (3). Its genetic

map, which shows similarities to *E. coli* K12, was constructed in 1970 (4). It is the only *E. coli*

strain that can utilize the pentitol sugars, ribitol and D-arabitol, and the genes responsible for those

processes were acquired by horizontal gene transfer (5). Some research on genes involved in

biofilm formation in this strain has been attempted but hasn't been continued (Federica Briani,

Università degli Studi di Milano, personal communication) (6).

Biofilm is the most prevalent form of bacterial life in the natural environment (7-11).

However, in laboratory settings, for decades, bacteria have been grown in liquid media in shaking,

highly aerated conditions, which select for the planktonic lifestyle. While all laboratory strains of

E. coli, such as K12, B, W, and Crooks are poor biofilm formers, environmental isolates usually

form robust biofilms. These *E. coli* strains can cause diarrhea and kidney failure, while others

cause urinary tract infections, chronic sinusitis, respiratory illness and pneumonia, and other

illnesses (12-15). Many of these symptoms are correlated with biofilms. A few *E. coli* K12 mutant

strains have been described as good biofilm formers, such as the *csrA* mutant or AJW678 (16, 17),

but one can claim that these mutants cannot occur in natural conditions. Therefore, it is important

to find a safe laboratory strain that can serve as a model for biofilm studies.

We found that the *E. coli* C strain forms a robust biofilm under laboratory conditions. The

complete genome sequence of this strain was determined and bioinformatics analyses revealed the

molecular foundations underlying this phenotype. Further analyses of differences in gene

expression profiles in planktonic and biofilm cells provided an understanding of the regulatory

cascades involved in the biofilm process. A combination of experimental and *in silico* analysis

methods allowed us to unravel the two major mechanisms that draw the biofilm formation in his

strain.

Results

Biofilm formation

In our search for a good model biofilm strain, we screened our laboratory collection of *E.*

coli strains using the standard 96-well plate assay (18) and the glass slide assay (19) (**Fig. 1A**). We

found that the *E. coli* C strain formed robust biofilms on both microscope slides and in 96-well

plates. In minimal M9 with glycerol medium, the strain C produced 1.5- to 3-fold more biomass

than the other laboratory strains; and in Luria-Bertani (LB) rich medium, the strain C biofilm

biomass formation was as much as 7.4-fold higher (**Fig. 1B**).

During the overnight growth in LB medium at 30°C shaken at 250 rpm, we noticed an increased

precipitation of bacterial cells in the *E. coli* C culture (**Fig. 2A**). The ratio of planktonic cells to

total cells in the culture was 0.35 compared to 0.83 and 0.85 for Crooks and B and 0.98 or almost

1 for K12 and W, respectively (**Fig. 2B**).

Although the precipitation was specific to the *E. coli* C strain, we wanted to test if that precipitation

was an active process or just a gravity-driven process. In order to test this hypothesis, the overnight

cultures grown in a shaker at 30°C in LB Miller broth were vortexed and aliquoted into standard

spectrophotometer cuvettes. Cuvettes were incubated at 12°C, 24°C, and 37°C without shaking

and the cell densities (OD_{600}) were measured over time. The results showed that despite differences

in the initial cell density *E. coli* C strain precipitated less than *E coli* B, K12, and Crooks (**Fig. 3**).

The lowest precipitation was observed in the case of strain W (**Fig. 3**). These results showed that

the precipitation is an active process related to the bacterial growth under the tested conditions.

Precipitation at low temperature depends on salt concentration

Previously, we have described a regulatory loop affecting biofilm formation in a high

salt/high pH environment. This loop involved the *nhaR*, *sdiA*, *uvrY*, and *hns* genes, as well as the

csrABCD system (20). We were interested if the precipitation of *E. coli* C depends on NaCl

concentration. We grew the bacteria in three LB broth media containing different amounts of salts:

Miller broth (1% NaCl), Lennox broth (0.5% NaCl), and a modified Lennox broth with 0.75%

NaCl. After overnight growth at 30°C in culture tubes shaken at 250 rpm, we observed a lack of

precipitation in standard Lennox medium, while in the modified Lennox medium and Miller broth

the ratio of planktonic to total cells was similar (**Fig. 4**). The ratio of planktonic/total cells in the

Lennox medium was statistically different (p value < 0.05, Student t- test) from that in media with

a higher NaCl concentration and similar to other strains grown in LB Miller broth (**Fig. 2B**).

E. coli C genomic sequence

The genomes of *E. coli* K12, *E. coli* B, *E. coli* W, and *E. coli* Crooks (GenBank:CP000946)

have already been sequenced (21-23). To compare the genomic sequences of all five laboratory

strains, we sequenced the *E. coli* C genome. Using a Pacific-Biosciences RSII sequencer, we

obtained 215,075 subreads with an N50 of 6909 bases and 1,137,819,112 total bases, which we

assembled into a single contig. The chromosome consisted of 4,617,024 bp and encoded 4,581

CDSs (**Fig. 5**). No extrachromosomal DNA was detected. The mean G+C content was 51%. We

identified 7 rRNA operons, 89 tRNA genes, and 12 ncRNAs (total 121 RNA genes). Two

confirmed and 9 hypothetical CRISPR regions were identified (GenBank: CP020543.1).

Comparizon with other laboratory *E. coli* strains showed a high degree of synteny except for an

inverted 300 kb region between 107 and 407 kb (**Fig. 6**). That inverted region showed also an

inverted GC skew in comparizon to the flanking regions, indicating a recent inversion event or an

assembly error (**Fig. 5**). We were able to design PCR primers spanning the 3′ junction of the

inversion. The amplified PCR product showed a proper length and sequence (data not shown).

However, to prove that the inversion represented an actual event, we used an optical mapping

method (Lam et al. 2012). The order of obtained fluorescently labelled fragments was identical

with the *in sillico* constructed map of *E. coli* C chromosome (**Fig. 7A**), indicating the authenticithy

of the inversion.

A similar comparizon of *E. coli* K12 maps confirmed the stringency and precision of the optical

mapping results (**Fig. 6B**). Comparizon between the two optical maps confirmed that the PacBio-

predicted inversion of the 300-kb DNA fragment was indeed a real event (**Fig. 6B**).

Genetic content

The supragenome of the five laboratory strains, annotated using Prokka 1.11. (https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153), was computed using Roary 3.5.1 (https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv421). A neighbor joining tree based on gene possession showed that *E. coli* C was most similar to the K12 strain (**S1 Fig**). A comparison of chromosomal protein-coding orthologs among the laboratory strains showed that, out of the 5,686 predicted CDSs, 3,603 were shared among all five strains. Only 33 genes were present in all four of the other lab strains that were absent in E*. coli* C (S1 Tab) (**Fig. 8**). Out of 177 genes that were unique to *E. coli C,* 108 encoded transposases or unknown proteins and 69 CDSs showed homology to known proteins (**Tab. 1**).

Some of these unique genes, e.g., cell envelope integrity inner membrane protein TolA, cellulose synthase, wzzB_1- chain length determinant protein, colanic acid exporter, putative fimbrial-like adhesion protein, and outer membrane usher protein HtrE precursor, might play a role in biofilm formation.

Genes involved in biofilm formation

Several genes have been ascribed active roles in biofilm formation in *E. coli* (24-26). One of the most important is the *flu* gene encoding the antigen 43 protein (27). In liquid culture, Ag43 leads to autoaggregation and clump formation rapidly followed by bacterial sedimentation. As our observed phenotype in *E. coli* C was very similar, the *flu* gene homolog was the first candidate we searched for. Surprisingly, the *flu* gene was not present in the *E. coli* C genome. We identified a

few autotransporter encoding genes, which showed partial homology to Ag43, such as

B6N50_05815 (50% similarity over 381aa); however, the homology was too weak to suggest that

these genes could play a similar role.

Surface polysaccharides often play an important role in biofilm formation (25, 28). *E. coli*

C forms an O rough R1-type lipopolysaccharide, which serves as a receptor for bacteriophages

(Feige and Stirm 1976). Out of the 14 *waa* genes present in *E. coli* K12, we were able to find only

6 in *E. coli* C. Out of 5 genes *waaA*, *waaC*, *waaQ*, *waaP*, and *waaY*, which are highly conserved

and responsible for assembly and phosphorylation of the inner-core region (29), only the first 4

were present in *E. coli* C (**S2 Fig**). Two remaining genes in *E. coli* C were *waaG*, whose product

is an α-glucosyltransferase that adds the first residue (HexI) of the outer core, and *waaF,*

which encodes for a HepII transferase (29). Biofilm formation by a deep rough LPS *hldE* mutant

of *E. coli* BW25113 strain was strongly enhanced in comparison with the parental strain and other

LPS mutants. The *hldE* strain also showed a phenotype of increased autoaggregation and stronger

cell surface hydrophobicity compared to the wild-type (30). The gene *hldE,* which encodes for a

HepI transferase, was found in the *E. coli* C strain. Other mutants in LPS core biosynthesis, which

resulted in a deep rough LPS, have been described to decrease adhesion to the abiotic surfaces

(31); therefore, we assumed that other genes in this family would not be responsible for the

increased biofilm formation by *E. coli* C.

We noticed also that *wzzB,* a regulator of length of O-antigen component of lipopolysaccharide

chains was mutated by an IS3 insertion. Another IS insertion was located in UDP-glucose 6-

dehydrogenase (B6N50_08940). Both these genes were located at the end of a long 35 operon-

like gene stretch in *E. coli* C, including *wca* operon (32) consisting of 19 genes involved in colanic

acid synthesis.

We found that the region involved in biosynthesis of poly-β-1,6-N-acetyl-glucosamine

(PGA) was almost 100% identical in both K12 and C strains.

Other types of structures involved in biofilm formation are fimbriae, curli, and conjugative

pili (24, 25, 33). Type 1 pili can adhere to a variety of receptors on eukaryotic cell surfaces. They

are well-documented virulence factors in pathogenic *E. coli* and are critical for biofilm formation

on abiotic surfaces (34-38). Type 1 pili are encoded by a contiguous DNA segment, labeled the

fim operon, which contains 9 genes necessary for their synthesis, assembly, and regulation (39,

40). In *E. coli* C, almost the entire *fim* operon except the *fimH*, which codes for the mannose-

specific adhesin located at the tip of the pilus, was absent and replaced by a type II group integron

(**S3 Fig**). The entire *fin* operon is driven by a single promoter located upstream of the *fimA* gene;

therefore, it is possible that the *fimH* gene is not expressed in *E. coli* C. An *in silico* analysis of the

remaining fragment revealed the presence of a weak promoter (http://www.fruitfly.org/cgi-

bin/seq_tools/promoter.pl; score 0.81) 70 bp upstream of the *fimH* gene; therefore, its expression

could not be excluded. Although we cannot exclude the role of FimH in autoaggregation of *E.

coli* C, reports that the function of FimH was inhibited by growth at temperatures at or below 30°C

(41) make it highly unlikely.

Chaperone-usher (CU) fimbriae are adhesive surface organelles common to many gram-

negative bacteria. *E. coli* genomes contain a large variety of characterized and putative CU fimbrial

operons (42). Korea at al. characterized the *ycb*, *ybg*, *yfc*, *yad*, *yra*, *sfm,* and *yeh* operons of *E. coli*

K-12, which display sequence and organizational homologies to type 1 fimbriae exported by the

CU pathway (43). They showed that, although these operons were poorly expressed under

laboratory conditions, 6 of them were nevertheless functional when expressed and promote

adhesion to abiotic and/or epithelial cell surfaces (43). A total of 10 CU operons have been

identified in *E. coli* K12 MG1655 (42). We identified all 10 CU operons in the *E. coli* C genome.

Furthermore, we found that the IS5 insertion in the K12 *yhcE* gene was not present in *E. coli* C

(**S4A Fig**). We also noticed that two insertion sequences were inserted in the *yad* region (**S4B Fig**).

Curli are another proteinaceous extracellular fiber involved in surface and cell-cell contacts

that promote community behavior and host cell colonization (44). Curli synthesis and transport are

controlled by two operons, *csgBAC* and *csgDEFG*. The *csgBA* operon encodes the major structural

subunit CsgA and the nucleator protein CsgB (45). CsgC plays a role in the extracellular assembly

of CsgA. In the absence of CsgB, curli are not assembled and the major subunit protein, CsgA, is

secreted from the cell in an unpolymerized form (44). The *csgDEFG* operon encodes 4 accessory

proteins required for curli assembly. CsgD is a positive transcriptional regulator of the *csgBA*

operon (45). We found that the intergenic region between *csgBA* and *csgDEFG* has been modified

in *E. coli* C. An IS5/IS1182 family transposase was inserted between 106 bp upstream of the *csgD*

gene and 96 bp inside the *csgA* gene (**S5 Fig**). The entire *csgB* gene as well as the first 32aa of

CsgA have been deleted. The full CsgA protein in *E. coli* K12 contains 151aa while the truncated

version in strain C consisted of only 107aa and might not be expressed. Furthermore, *csgD*

expression is driven by a promoter located ~130 bp upstream (46, 47). The IS5/IS1182 family

transposase inserted between that promoter and the *csgD* gene was transcribed in the same

direction, so it might not cause a polar mutation but definitely would interfere with the

sophisticated regulation of *csgD* expression by multiple transcription factors (46, 47). As *E. coli*

C did not carry any extrachromosomal DNA, conjugative pili, which usually play an important

role in biofilm formation (48), were not analyzed.

Biofilm formation is a bacterial response to stressful environmental conditions (9). This

response requires an orchestra of sensors and regulators during each step of the biofilm formation

process. We analyzed a few of the most important mechanisms, such as CpxAR, RcsCD, and

EnvZ/OmpR (25). In all three cases, we observed the same gene structure and a high degree of

DNA sequence identity between *E. coli* C and K12 strains.

Another regulatory loop includes the carbon storage regulator *csrA* and its small RNAs

(49). Mutations within the *csrA* gene induced biofilm formation in many bacteria (17, 49).

Recently, the CsrA regulation has been connected with multiple other transcription factors,

including NhaR, UvrY, SdiA, RecA, LexA, Hns, and many more (20, 50). The regulatory loop

with NhaR protein drew our attention as it is responsible for integrating the stress associated with

high salt/high pH and low temperature (20). We found that the *nhaAR* and *sdiA*/*uvrY* regions of *E.*

coli C were almost identical with the corresponding regions in the K12 strain. We amplified and

sequenced the *csrA* gene from the *E. coli* C strain to verify its presence and integrity (**S6 Fig**).

Detailed analysis of the *csrA* region revealed the presence of an IS3-like insertion sequence 86 bp

upstream of the ATG codon (**Fig. 9**). The *csrA* gene is driven by 5 different promoters (51). The

distal (-227 bp) promoter P1 is recognized by sigma^{70} and sigma^{32} factors and enhanced by DskA.

The P2 (-224 bp) promoter depends on sigma^{70}. Both P1 and P2 promoters are relatively weak

promoters (51). The P3 promoter is located 127 bp upstream of *csrA* and it is recognized by the

stationary RpoS (sigma^{32}) polymerase. This promoter is the strongest promoter of *csrA* gene.

Promoters P4 and P5 are located 52 bp and 43 bp, respectively, upstream of the *csrA* gene. These

233 promoters are driven by the sigma^{70} polymerase and are active mainly during exponential growth

234 (51). The IS3 insertion was located within the -35 region of the P4 promoter. That location should

235 almost completely abolish expression of the *csrA* gene in the stationary phase of bacterial growth

236 and probably was the main reason for increased biofilm production by the *E. coli* C strain. Both

237 small RNAs, *csrB* and *csrC,* which regulate CsrA activity, were found unchanged in the *E. coli* C

238 genome.

239 Sequence homology search of GenBank available *E. coli* genomes revealed that identical

240 *csrA* promoter regions were present also in strains WG5 (CP024090.1) and NTCT122

241 (LT906474.1), while some other strains contained the IS3 sequence but missed the upstream region

242 (data not shown).

Confirmation of IS3 insertion and its complementation by overexpression of *csrA* gene

244 First we compared the biofilm formation ability of *E. coli* C and the K12 *csrA* mutant. The

245 72-hour-old biofilms of both strains formed on microscope slides were similar (**S7A Fig**). The 24-

246 hour 96-well plate biofilm assay showed that at 37°C the K12 *csrA* mutant formed 30% more

247 biofilm than *E. coli* C (p value = 0.001, Student t-test). At 30°C strain C produced more biofilm,

248 but the difference was not statistically significant (**S7B Fig**). To confirm the presence of the IS3

249 insertion in the *csrA* promoter region, we designed PCR primers specific for the *alaS-csrA*

250 intergenic region. Amplification results confirmed the presence of IS3 in the *E. coli* C promoter

251 region (**S8 Fig**).

252 To see if extrachromosomal expression of the CsrA protein affects the precipitation

253 phenotype, we cloned the *csrA* gene downstream of a *plac* promoter in pBBR1MCS-5 (52),

resulting in plasmid pJEK718 or downstream of the constitutive *pcat* (chloramphenicol) promoter

in pJEK786. Plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* C strain and the resulting clones were grown

in LB Miller broth (30°C, 250 rpm). The results showed that the ratio of planktonic to total cells

in *E. coli* C carrying both constructs overexpressing the *csrA* gene was ~1.8 times higher (p value

> 0.0001, Student t-test) than in the control carrying the non-recombined vector (**Fig. 10**). We also

noticed that the control strain showed a slightly higher amount of planktonic cells than the

plasmidless control (0.46 vs. 0.36), although the difference was not statistically significant (p value

= 0.09, Student t-test). The difference could be caused by the plasmid itself or by the presence of

antibiotic selection in medium (Gm 10 µg/ml).

Differential gene expression in biofilm and planktonic cells

In order to identify the genes involved in biofilm formation, we investigated the global

transcriptional differences between mature *E. coli* C biofilms and planktonic cultures. Previously,

differences in the expression profiles between *E. coli* biofilm and planktonic cells were done using

microarrays, which were state of art at the time (53-55) (56). At that time, the true next-generation

sequencing technology was not available (57). Since that time, only one report has been published

on *E. coli* biofilm expression profiles in aerobic and anaerobic biofilms and planktonic cells using

a high-throughput RNAseq technology (58). We used RNAseq technology to analyze *E. coli* C

expression profiles. Total RNA was extracted from a 5-day-old slide biofilms which were

transferred to a fresh LB Miller broth daily. As planktonic cells we used cells detached from the

biofilm from the last (5th day) passage. Three biological replicates were analyzed. This project

generated 51.5 million reads, including 25.7 million experimental reads and 25.8 million control

reads. The individual read counts varied from 5.9 million (biofilm 1) to 13.6 million (planktonic

2).

In general, we found that the expression of 4,124 genes from the total number of 4,702 genes was

either not changed or not detected under either condition. Four hundred two genes were

overexpressed in biofilm and 177 genes showed an increased expression in planktonic cells (**Fig.**

11A, B).

Among the top 80 differentially expressed genes between biofilm and planktonic cells

(**Tab. 2**), we did not observe any obvious candidates for being biofilm determinant genes in *E. coli*

C. However, it was interesting that, even when biofilms were grown at 37°C, several of the most

highly differentially expressed genes were 5 different cold shock proteins, which did not belong

to the same transcription units. This was not surprising, as previously the CspD gene has also been

linked to biofilms and persister cell formation (59) and other Csp proteins were also involved in

biofilm formation (60).

Another highly expressed biofilm gene encoded for an *acrEF/envCD* operon transcriptional

regulator (B6N50_02560). One report suggested that the *acrEF/envCD* operon transcriptional

regulator interacts with one of the CU type putative fimbrial adhesin YraK (61). We noticed that

the expression of the *yraK* gene was slightly increased in biofilm (Diff.Expr.Log2 Ratio 1.6, p

value = 0.15) while other genes encoding YraCU were downregulated (**S2 Tab**).

An additional interesting observation made based on the expression profile was that, even though

the *fim* operon with its promoter region was deleted in *E. coli* C, the remaining *fimH* gene was

hugely overexpressed in biofilm (Diff.Expr.Log2 Ratio = 5.18, p value = 0.08) (**S2 Tab**),

indicating the presence of a previously uncharacterized promoter in front of this gene. A similar

situation was observed in the case of the *csgD* regulator. Although the entire *csgD* promoter has

been deleted in the *E. coli* C, its expression was still much higher in biofilm than in planktonic

cells (Diff.Expr.Log2 Ratio = 3.48, p value = 3.87×10^{-05}) (**S2 Tab, Fig. 11B**), suggesting that the

remaining ~100-bp upstream region of that gene was enough to drive its expression at a highly

elevated level or the existence of an additional promoter.

As we had speculated that the biofilm phenotype of the *E. coli* C strain depends on the *csrA* gene,

we were interested in its expression. We found that the *csrA* was upregulated in the biofilm

compared to the planktonic cells (Diff.Expr.Log2 Ratio = 1.79, p value = 0.01) (**S2 Tab**). The

observed *E.coli* C *csrA* expression was rather unexpected, as higher CsrA levels have been

associated with increased motility and decreased biofilm formation (49). The global effect of the

CsrA protein on *E. coli* gene expression has been studied in great detail by the Romeo and Babitzke

groups (49, 50, 62). However, all of their experiments were conducted using planktonic cells, and

so a direct comparison with our data would be irrelevant. We reached a similar conclusion when

we tried to compare expression profiles from microarray tests. Biofilm growth conditions were

different and most of the original data usually stored as supplementary materials were no longer

available on the servers. Unfortunately, the most recent biofilm RNAseq experiment was also

conducted using M9 minimal medium, also making data comparison irrelevant (58).

Expression of *csrA* promoter in *E. coli* K12 and *E. coli* C

To analyze activities of the *csrA* promoter from *E. coli* C, we cloned PCR products

containing sequences upstream of the *csrA* gene (**S8 Fig**) into a pAG136 plasmid vector carrying

promoterless EGFP-YFAST reporters (pJEKd1750) (63). The *E. coli* C *csrA* promoter was

overexpressed in both strains, however the promoter activity was much stronger in the native strain

then in K12 (**S9 Fig**). We notice that the highest differences (3.2 and 2.4, at 37°C and 30°C,

respectively) occurred at the late exponential-early stationary phase (~4.5h and ~10h) (**S9 Fig**).

We noticed that the presence of an additional copy of *pcsrA* in a high copy number plasmid induced

precipitation of *E. coli* C at 37°C. The ratio of planktonic/total cells was similar (**S10 Fig**) to that

obtained for the parental *E. coli* C strain at 30°C (**Fig. 2** and **Fig. 4**) (0.36 and 0.35, respectively).

The aggregation phenotype was correlated with the highest *pcsrA* activity at the entrance

to the stationary phase (data not shown). As the aggregation might affect the measurements we

decided to use a colony assay to measure the promoter activity over the long time. The LB agar

plates with spots of *E. coli* C and K12 carrying pJEKd1751 reporter plasmids with a short half-life

form of GFP[ASV] were incubated at 30°C and 37°C and the fluorescence activity was measured

by a Typhoon 9400 Variable Mode Imager every 24h (**Fig. 12**)**.** The data showed an increased

pcsrA activity over the 72h time period in both strains with much higher activity in the native *E.*

coli C strain (**Fig. 12**). The highest differences between both strains, 8.15 and 4.71 were observed

at 72h at 30°C and 37°C, respectively (**Fig. 12**). As the half-life of the GFP[ASV] is only 110

min.{Miller, 2000 #83}, we concluded that the in the K12 strain *pcsrA* promoter was active mostly

at the stationary phase while in the *E. coli* C its activity was more like constitutive, but also

enhanced at the stationary phase (**Fig. 12**). To test that hypothesis we analyze the spatial

expression of the pcsrA promoter in 72h old bacterial colonies using a Keyence BZ-X710 All-in

One Fluorescence microscope (**Fig. 13**). The pictures fully supported our premises. In the *E. coli*

C the entire colony showed an intensive fluorescence with the highest level in the center (**Fig.**

13A). In the K12 strain we noticed 5 discrete zones with different fluorescence activities (Fig. B).

The edge of the colony which should consist of the youngest, still dividing and metabolically active

cells showed the lowest, while the center of the colony with the oldest cells showed the highest

fluorescence (**Fig. 13B**).

Location of IS3-like insertions in *E. coli* C genome and role of ISs in biofilm gene expression

Based on the *E. coli* C *pcsrA* promoter structure in comparison to the *pcsrA*-K12 (51) and

its transcriptional activities, we concluded that the small 80-bp region containing the p4 and p5

promoters could not be solely responsible for the *csrA* transcription. Insertion sequences play a

huge role in bacterial genome evolution (64). They can also insert upstream of a gene and activate

its expression (65). Using BLAST, we found that the IS3-like sequence present in front of the *csrA*

gene was present in 19 other locations throughout the genome (data not shown). Analyzing these

locations, we found that in 12 cases the IS3 might drive the expression of genes located directly

downstream (**Tab. 3**). One of the most striking observation was that the IS3-like sequence was

located in front of an alternative sigma^{70} factor, which was not present in the K12 strain (**Tab. 3**).

Based on the *pcsrA* expression, we concluded that a promoter located inside the IS3 drives

permanent expression of the following genes. If that was the case, all those genes should have the

same expression profile in different conditions, like in biofilm and planktonic cells. Based on our

RNAseq results, we found that in fact 8 of 12 genes (regions) showed similar expression levels in

both conditions (**Tab. 3**). However, the general expression level of gene B6N50_12000 encoding

the alternative sigma^{70} factor was high, it was also highly overexpressed in biofilm

(Diff.Expr.Log2 Ratio = 2.4; p value = 0.016) (**Tab. 3**). The presence of the constitutively

expressed alternative sigma^{70} factor in the *E. coli* C can drive expression of the sigma^{70} promoters

in a growth phase independent manner. As the remaining *E. coli* C *csrA* promoters P4 and P5 are

sigma[70] dependent promoters (51), it might explain their strong activity along all the cell growth

phases.

As we described above, the *csgD* gene, which is involved in biofilm formation (60) despite

the deletion of its native promoter (**S5 Fig**) was highly expressed in biofilm (Diff.Expr.Log2 Ratio

= 3.48, p value = 3.87×10^{-05}) (**S2 Tab, Fig. 11B**), we therefore analyzed its preceding sequence.

We found another insertion sequence encoding the IS5/IS1182 family transposase directly above

the *csgD* gene (**S5 Fig**) and concluded that this IS might be responsible for overexpression of the

csgD gene. Further studies have to be conducted to analyze the role of *csgD* gene in *E. coli* C

strain.

As the bacterial genome undergoes a constant evolution and adaptation (66) and bacterial

mobile elements are the most common mechanism of those processes (67, 68), one may ask why

in this particular strain, unlike the other laboratory strains, the selection toward planktonic cells

did not took place. There is no simple answer; however, we can speculate that as this strain was

used for a proliferation of bacteriophages the fact that phages kill planktonic cells might reduce

the selection toward free floating cells. The second hypothesis is that for bacteriophage research

using the *E. coli* C, the ATCC recommends low-salt (0.5% NaCl) or no salt Nutrient broth medium.

As we showed, the low-salt medium reduced bacterial stress and most likely reduced the level of

genome rearrangements, keeping the natural properties for biofilm formation characteristic for the

wild-type strains in this laboratory *E. coli* C strain.

Conclusions

Biofilms are the most prevalent form of bacterial life (9, 28) and as such have drawn

significant attention from the scientific community over the past quarter century. However, only

in 2018 did the number of biofilm related articles reach 24,000, based on a Google Scholar search.

As in all other fields, biofilm research needs to develop and follow standard protocols and methods

that can be used in different laboratories and give comparable results. Unfortunately, a

standardized methodological approach to biofilm models has not been adopted, leading to a large

disparity among testing conditions. This has made it almost impossible to compare data across

multiple laboratories, leaving large gaps in the evidence (69). In our work, we described and

characterized biofilm formation in the classic laboratory strain, *E. coli* C (2, 70). We have used

that strain in our biofilm-related research for almost a decade and we would like to share it with

the biofilm community and propose to use it as a model organism in *E. coli*-based biofilm-related

research.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Bacterial strains are listed in Table 4. Strains were grown in M9 with glycerol medium or LB

Miller, LB Lennox, or modified Lennox with 0.75% NaCl broth with appropriate antibiotics,

kanamycin (50 µg/ml), gentamycin (10 µg/ml), and chloramphenicol (30 µg/ml).

Biofilm assays

Biofilms on microscope slide were grown as described previously (Krol et al., 2011). Briefly, a

sterile microscope slide was submerged in 25 mL of medium in a 50-mL conical tube. This

bioreactor was then inoculated with 50 µL of an overnight grown culture (approx. 10^9 CFU) of *E.*

coli strains and incubated at 37°C on an orbital shaker at 50 rpm. The slides were transferred daily

to tubes with fresh medium. For biofilm formation on a polystyrene surface, flat-bottom 96-well

microtiter plates (Corning Inc.) were used (18). *E. coli* overnight cultures were diluted 1:40 in

fresh medium, and 150-µL aliquots were dispensed into wells. After 24 hours of incubation (37°C),

cell density was measured (OD_{600}) using a plate reader, and 30 µL of Gram Crystal Violet (Remel)

was applied for staining for 1 hour. Plates were washed with water and air dried, and crystal violet

was solubilized with an ethanol-acetone (4:1) solution. The OD_{570} was determined from this

solution, and the biofilm amount was calculated as the ratio of OD_{570} to OD_{600} (Krol et al. 2014).

Student T-test was used to compare results and check statistical significance.

Construction of CsrA overexpressing strain

A 277-bp DNA fragment containing the csrA gene was amplified using csrAF-aaa

GAATTCGTAATACGACTCACTATAGGGTTTC   csrAR   –

aaaGAATTCTTTGAGGGTGCGTCTCACCGATAAAG primers. This fragment was cloned

directly into the *Eco*RI site of the pBBR1MCS-5 vector (52). Sequence orientation was verified

by DNA sequencing and the correct clone with *csrA* gene downstream of the *plac* promoter was

named pJEK718. To express the *csrA* gene with a constitutive *pcat* (chloramphenicol) promoter,

a PCR amplified *cat* gene (870 bp, catF-aaaGATCCTGGTGTCCCTGTTGATACCGGGAA; cat-

R-aaa GGATCCCCCAGGCGTTTAAGGGCACCAATAAC) was cloned in the *Bam*HI site of

one of the clones which carried the *csrA* gene in the orientation opposite to the *plac* promoter in

the pBBR1MCS-5 vector. Selection for Cm-resistant clones ensured the promoter activity and the

correct orientation was verified by PCR with catF/csrAR primers and DNA sequencing. The

correct plasmid was named pJEK786. Plasmids were introduced into the *E. coli* C strain by TSS

transformation (71).

Confirmation of IS3 insertion and construction of GFP reporter fusions

PCR fragments containing *csrA* promoter were amplified using pcsrA aaaagatctCTGATTGCAGGCGTATCTAAGG and

pcsrAR aaatctagaAAAGATTAAAAGAGTCGGGTCTCTCTGTATCC primer pair from both *E. coli* K12 and C strains and cloned into the BglII/XbaI site of the pAG136 plasmid (63).or the *Sma*I site of the pPROBE-GFP[LVA] promoter probe vector (72). All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing. Plasmids were introduced into both the *E. coli* K12 and C strains by a TSS transformation {Chung, 1989 #84}. GFP activity ($OD_{480-520}$) was measured using Bio-Tek Synergy HT (BioTek) or Tecan InfiniteM200 Pro (Tecan) plate readers and normalized to the optical density of the culture (OD_{600}), yielding relative fluorescence units (RFU; $OD_{480-520}/OD_{600}$). For quantification of promoter activities in late stationary phase, single colonies were inoculated into 5 mL of LB broth, vortexed and 5 µL of cell suspension was spotted on LB Miller agar plates. Plates were incubated at 30°C or 37°C. At the specific time points, plates were scanned with a Typhoon 9400 Variable Mode Imager using 532/526-nm excitation/emission wavelengths (GE Healthcare). Scans were analyzed using the ImageQuant TL software (GE Healthcare). Student T-test was used to compare results and check statistical significance. Fluorescence microscopy was done with a Keyence BZ-X710 All-in One Fluorescence microscope (Keyence).

Cell aggregation and precipitation experiments

E. coli strains were grown in LB Miller broth at 30°C in shaking conditions (250 rpm). One milliliter of the culture was transferred to standard polypropylene spectrophotometer cuvettes to measure planktonic cells densities (OD_{600}). Remaining cultures were vortexed ~1 minute and 1 mL was aliquoted into cuvettes to measure the total cell densities (OD_{600}). Aggregation was

448 calculated as a ratio of planktonic to total cell density. For the precipitation experiment, overnight

449 cultures were vortexed ~1 minute and 1 mL was aliquoted into standard polypropylene

450 spectrophotometer cuvettes and capped. Cuvettes were incubated statically at 12°C, 24°C (room

451 temperature), and 37°C. Cell densities were measured every hour by measuring OD_{600}. Student T-

452 test was used to compare results and check statistical significance.

DNA sequencing and sequence analyses

DNA for sequencing was isolated using the Qiagen Blood and Tissue DNA Isolation Kit. Genomic

DNA was mechanically sheared using a Covaris g-TUBE. The SMRTbell template preparation kit

1.0 (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA, USA) was used according to the PacBio standard

protocol (10-kb template preparation using the BluePippin size-selection system Sage Science).

After SMRTbell preparation and polymerase binding, the libraries were loaded on SMRTcells via

magbead loading and run on a PacBio RS II instrument (Pacific Biosciences) using a C4 chemistry.

DNA sequence data were assembled by the HGAP Assembly 2 and annotated by Prokka or NCBI's

Prokaryotic Genome Automatic Annotation Pipeline (PGAAP)(73). *E. coli* C, K12, B, W, and

Crook genomes were analyzed by Roary, Mauve, Artemis, DNAPlotter, and Geneious R11.

Optical mapping - high molecular weight DNA extraction

Cells from overnight culture were washed with PBS, resuspended in cell resuspension buffer, and

embedded into low-melting-point agarose gel plugs (BioRad #170-3592, Hercules, CA, USA).

Plugs were incubated with lysis buffer and proteinase K for 4h at 50°C. Plugs were washed, melted,

and solubilized with GELase (Epicentre, Madison, WI, USA). Purified DNA was subjected to 4h

of drop-dialysis and DNA concentration was determined using Quant-iTdsDNA Assay Kit

(Invitrogen/Molecular Probes, Carlsbad, CA, USA). DNA quality was assessed with pulsed-field

gel electrophoresis. High molecular weight DNA was labeled according to commercial protocols

with the IrysPrep Reagent Kit (Bionano Genomics). Roughly 300 ng of purified genomic DNA

was nicked with 7 U of nicking endonuclease Nt.BspQI (NEB) at 37°C for 2h in NEB Buffer 3.

Nicked DNA was labeled with a fluorescent-dUTP nucleotide analog using Taq polymerase (NEB)

for 1h at 72°C. Nicks were repaired with Taq ligase (NEB) in the presence of dNTPs. The

backbone of fluorescently labeled DNA was stained with YOYO-1 (Invitrogen). Labeled DNA

molecules entered nanochannel arrays of an IrysChip (Bionano Genomics) via automated

electrophoresis. Molecules were linearized in the nanochannel arrays and imaged. An in-house

image detection software detected the stained DNA backbone and locations of fluorescent labels

across each molecule. The set of label locations within each molecule defined the single-molecule

maps. The *E. coli strain C* reference sequence was *in silico* nicked with Nt.BspQI. Raw single-

molecule maps were filtered by minimum length of 150 kbp. Molecule maps were aligned to the

E. coli reference map with OMBlast. OMBlast is an optical mapping alignment tool using a seed-

and-extend approach and allows split-mapping (74). Alignments were performed with the

OMBlastMapper module (version 1.4a) using the following parameters: --writeunmap false --

optresoutformat 2 --falselimit 8 --maxalignitem 2 --minconf 0. Molecule maps with partial

alignments to regions flanking the putative insertion breakpoint coordinates were extracted from

the alignment output file. Molecule maps were manually inspected for label matches in segments

5′ and 3′ to the putative inverted region and into the inversion. The non-aligned segments of these

maps, which extended into the inverted region with label matches to the opposing side in a reverse

fashion, were retained.

Biofilm gene expression profiling

Total RNA was extracted from 5-day-old slide biofilms, which were transferred to fresh LB Miller

broth daily. As planktonic cells, we used cells detached from the biofilm from the last, 5th-day

passage. TRIzol method (Invitrogen) extracted RNAs were processed with Illumina's Ribozero

bacteria kit to deplete rRNA; then Illumina's TruSeq stranded RNA LT kit was used for library

construction. The libraries were sequenced with the Illumina NextSeq 500 and a high output 75

cycle v2 flow cell. Reads were assembled to the *E. coli* C genome and analyzed by DEseq3 (75,

76) and Geneious R11 software. Volcano plot and its statistics were generated by the Geneious

R11 software.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out in the R computing environment and in Graphpad. Relevant

statistical information is included in the methods for each experiment. Error bars show standard

deviation from the mean. Asterisk representing statistical significance $p < 0.05$.

Data availability

The RNA-seq raw sequencing data have been deposited in the GEO repository with accession code

GSE125110. All other relevant data are available in this article and its Supplementary Information

files. The complete genome of *E. coli* C has been deposited in GenBank under accession no.

CP020543.1.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This research was supported by the Center for Genomic Sciences and

Center for Advanced Microbial Processing at Drexel University. Thanks to Drs. Eva M. Top, Holly

A. Wichman (University of Idaho), James Bull (University of Texas) for the *E. coli* C strain. Drs.

Lonnie Ingram, Tony Romeo (University of Florida), Steven E. Lindow (University of California),

and Arnaud Gautier (PASTEUR UMR8640 ENS-PSL University / Sorbonne Université / CNRS)

for their strains and plasmids. We thank Drs. Brian Wighdal and Michael Nonnemacher for using

the ImageQuant TL software.

References:

1.   Lieb M, Weigle JJ, Kellenberger E. 1955. A study of hybrids between two strains of

Escherichia coli. Journal of Bacteriology 69:468-471.

2.   Bertani G, Weigle JJ. 1953. Host controlled variation in bacterial viruses. Journal of

Bacteriology 65:113-121.

3.   Feige U, Stirm S. 1976. On the structure of the Escherichia coli C cell wall

lipopolysaccharide core and on its phiX174 receptor region. Biochem Biophys Res

Commun 71:566-573.

4.   Wiman M, Bertani G, Kelly B, Sasaki I. 1970. Genetic map of Escherichia coli strain C.

Molecular & General Genetics 107:1-31.

5.   Link C, Reiner A. 1983. Genotypic exclusion: a novel relationship between the ribitol-

arabitol and galactitol genes of E. coli. Mol Gen Genet 189:337-9.

6.   Carzaniga T, Antoniani D, Dehò G, Briani F, Landini P. 2012. The RNA processing

enzyme polynucleotide phosphorylase negatively controls biofilm formation by repressing

poly-N-acetylglucosamine (PNAG) production in Escherichia coli C. BMC Microbiology

12:270.

7.   Davey ME, toole GA. 2000. Microbial Biofilms: from Ecology to Molecular Genetics.

Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 64:847.

8.   Tolker-Nielsen T. 2015. Biofilm Development. Microbiology Spectrum 3.

9.   Costerton JW, Lewandowski Z, Caldwell DE, Korber DR, Lappin-Scott HM. 1995.

MICROBIAL BIOFILMS. Annual Review of Microbiology 49:711-745.

10.   Ehrlich GD, Stoodley P, Kathju S, Zhao Y, McLeod BR, Balaban N, Hu FZ, Sotereanos

NG, Costerton JW, Stewart PS, Post JC, Lin Q. 2005. Engineering approaches for the

detection and control of orthopaedic biofilm infections. Clinical orthopaedics and related

research:59-66.

11.   Visick KL, Schembri MA, Yildiz F, Ghigo J-M. 2016. Biofilms 2015: Multidisciplinary

Approaches Shed Light into Microbial Life on Surfaces. Journal of Bacteriology 198:2553.

12.   Rossi E, Cimdins A, Lüthje P, Brauner A, Sjöling Å, Landini P, Römling U. 2018. "It's a

gut feeling" – Escherichia coli biofilm formation in the gastrointestinal tract environment.

Critical Reviews in Microbiology 44:1-30.

13.   Michalik M, Samet A, Marszałek A, Krawczyk B, Kotłowski R, Nowicki A, Anyszek T,

Nowicki S, Kur J, Nowicki B. 2018. Intra-operative biopsy in chronic sinusitis detects

pathogenic Escherichia coli that carry fimG/H, fyuA and agn43 genes coding biofilm

formation. PLOS ONE 13:e0192899.

14.   Patel JK, Perez Oa Fau - Viera MH, Viera Mh Fau - Halem M, Halem M Fau - Berman B,

Berman B. 2009. Ecthyma gangrenosum caused by Escherichia coli bacteremia: a case

report and review of the literature. Cutis 84(5):261-7.

15.   Kim S-H, Kwon J-C, Choi S-M, Lee D-G, Park SH, Choi J-H, Yoo J-H, Cho B-S, Eom K-

S, Kim Y-J, Kim H-J, Lee S, Min C-K, Cho S-G, Kim D-W, Lee J-W, Min W-S. 2013.

Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae bacteremia in patients with neutropenic fever:

factors associated with extended-spectrum β-lactamase production and its impact on

outcome. Annals of Hematology 92:533-541.

16.   Yoshikawa A, Isono S Fau - Sheback A, Sheback A Fau - Isono K, Isono K. 1987. Cloning

and nucleotide sequencing of the genes rimI and rimJ which encode enzymes acetylating

ribosomal proteins S18 and S5 of Escherichia coli K12. Mol Gen Genet 209(3):481-488.

17.   Romeo T, Gong M, Liu MY, Brun-Zinkernagel AM. 1993. Identification and molecular

characterization of csrA, a pleiotropic gene from Escherichia coli that affects glycogen

biosynthesis, gluconeogenesis, cell size, and surface properties. Journal of bacteriology

175:4744-4755.

18.   O'Toole   GA.   2011.   Microtiter   Dish   Biofilm   Formation   Assay.   JoVE

doi:doi:10.3791/2437:e2437.

19.   Król JE, Wojtowicz AJ, Rogers LM, Heuer H, Smalla K, Krone SM, Top EM. 2013.

Invasion of E. coli biofilms by antibiotic resistance plasmids. Plasmid 70:110-119.

20.   Król JE. 2018. Regulatory loop between the CsrA system and NhaR, a high salt/high pH

regulator. PLOS ONE 13:e0209554.

21.   Blattner FR, Plunkett G, Bloch CA, Perna NT, Burland V, Riley M, Collado-Vides J,

Glasner JD, Rode CK, Mayhew GF, Gregor J, Davis NW, Kirkpatrick HA, Goeden MA,

Rose   DJ,   Mau   B,   Shao   Y.   1997.   The   Complete   Genome   Sequence   of

Escherichia coli K-12. Science 277:1453.

22.   Archer CT, Kim JF, Jeong H, Park JH, Vickers CE, Lee SY, Nielsen LK. 2011. The

genome sequence of E. coli W (ATCC 9637): comparative genome analysis and an

improved genome-scale reconstruction of E. coli. BMC Genomics 12:9.

23.   Jeong H, Barbe V, Lee CH, Vallenet D, Yu DS, Choi S-H, Couloux A, Lee S-W, Yoon

SH, Cattolico L, Hur C-G, Park H-S, Ségurens B, Kim SC, Oh TK, Lenski RE, Studier

FW, Daegelen P, Kim JF. 2009. Genome Sequences of Escherichia coli B strains REL606

and BL21(DE3). Journal of Molecular Biology 394:644-652.

24.   Pratt LA, Kolter R. 1998 Genetic analyses of bacterial biofilm formation. Mol Microbiol

30(2):285-93.

25.   Beloin C, Roux A, Ghigo JM. 2008. Escherichia coli biofilms. Current topics in

microbiology and immunology 322:249-289.

26.   Niba ETE, Naka Y, Nagase M, Mori H, Kitakawa M. 2007. A genome-wide approach to

identify the genes involved in biofilm formation in E. coli. DNA research : an international

journal for rapid publication of reports on genes and genomes 14:237-246.

27.   Diderichsen B. 1980. flu, a metastable gene controlling surface properties of Escherichia

coli. Journal of Bacteriology 141:858.

28.   O'Toole G, Kaplan HB, Kolter R. 2000. Biofilm Formation as Microbial Development.

Annual Review of Microbiology 54:49-79.

29.   Whitfield C, Heinrichs DE, Yethon JA, Amor KL, Monteiro MA, Perry MB. 1999.

Assembly of the R1-type core oligosaccharide of Escherichia coli lipopolysaccharide.

Journal of Endotoxin Research 5:151-156.

30.   Nakao R, Ramstedt M, Wai SN, Uhlin BE. 2012. Enhanced biofilm formation by

Escherichia coli LPS mutants defective in Hep biosynthesis. PloS one 7:e51241-e51241.

31.   Genevaux P, Bauda P Fau - DuBow MS, DuBow Ms Fau - Oudega B, Oudega B. 1999.

Identification of Tn10 insertions in the rfaG, rfaP, and galU genes involved in

lipopolysaccharide core biosynthesis that affect Escherichia coli adhesion. Arch Microbiol

172(1):1-8.

32. Stevenson G, Andrianopoulos K, Hobbs M, Reeves PR. 1996. Organization of the
Escherichia coli K-12 gene cluster responsible for production of the extracellular
polysaccharide colanic acid. Journal of bacteriology 178:4885-4893.

33. Van Houdt R, Michiels CW. 2005. Role of bacterial cell surface structures in Escherichia
coli biofilm formation. Research in Microbiology 156:626-633.

34. Sauer FG, Mulvey MA, Schilling JD, Martinez JJ, Hultgren SJ. 2000. Bacterial pili:
molecular mechanisms of pathogenesis. Current Opinion in Microbiology 3:65-72.

35. Kaper JB, Nataro JP, Mobley HLT. 2004. Pathogenic Escherichia coli. Nature Reviews
Microbiology 2:123.

36. Wright KJ, Seed PC, Hultgren SJ. 2007. Development of intracellular bacterial
communities of uropathogenic Escherichia coli depends on type 1 pili. Cellular
Microbiology 9:2230-2241.

37. Reisner A, Maierl M, Jörger M, Krause R, Berger D, Haid A, Tesic D, Zechner EL. 2014.
Type 1 Fimbriae Contribute to Catheter-Associated Urinary Tract Infections Caused by
<span class="named-content genus-species" id="named-content-
1">Escherichia coli. Journal of Bacteriology 196:931.

38. Schilling JD, Mulvey MA, Hultgren SJ. 2001. Structure and Function of Escherichia coli
Type 1 Pili: New Insight into the Pathogenesis of Urinary Tract Infections. The Journal of
Infectious Diseases 183:S36-S40.

39. Orndorff PE, Falkow S. 1984. Organization and expression of genes responsible for type
1 piliation in Escherichia coli. Journal of Bacteriology 159:736.

40. Schwan WR. 2011. Regulation of fim genes in uropathogenic Escherichia coli. World
journal of clinical infectious diseases 1:17-25.

41.   Schembri MA, Christiansen G, Klemm P. 2001. FimH-mediated autoaggregation of

Escherichia coli. Molecular Microbiology 41:1419-1430.

42.   Wurpel DJ, Beatson SA, Totsika M, Petty NK, Schembri MA. 2013. Chaperone-Usher

Fimbriae of Escherichia coli. PLOS ONE 8:e52835.

43.   Korea C-G, Badouraly R, Prevost M-C, Ghigo J-M, Beloin C. 2010. Escherichia coli K-12

possesses multiple cryptic but functional chaperone–usher fimbriae with distinct surface

specificities. Environmental Microbiology 12:1957-1977.

44.   Barnhart MM, Chapman MR. 2006. Curli biogenesis and function. Annual review of

microbiology 60:131-147.

45.   Hammar Mr, Arnqvist A, Bian Z, Olsén A, Normark S. 1995. Expression of two csg

operons is required for production of fibronectin- and Congo red-binding curli polymers in

Escherichia coli K-12. Molecular Microbiology 18:661-670.

46.   Gerstel U, Park C, Römling U. 2003. Complex regulation of csgD promoter activity by

global regulatory proteins. Molecular Microbiology 49:639-654.

47.   Ogasawara H, Yamada K, Kori A, Yamamoto K, Ishihama A. 2010. Regulation of the

Escherichia coli csgD promoter: interplay between five transcription factors. Microbiology

156:2470.

48.   Ghigo J-M. 2001. Natural conjugative plasmids induce bacterial biofilm development.

Nature 412:442.

49.   Romeo T, Babitzke P. 2018. Global Regulation by CsrA and Its RNA Antagonists.

Microbiology spectrum 6:10.1128/microbiolspec.RWR-0009-2017.

50. Potts AH, Vakulskas CA, Pannuri A, Yakhnin H, Babitzke P, Romeo T. 2017. Global role
of the bacterial post-transcriptional regulator CsrA revealed by integrated transcriptomics.
Nature communications 8:1596-1596.

51. Yakhnin H, Yakhnin AV, Baker CS, Sineva E, Berezin I, Romeo T, Babitzke P. 2011.
Complex regulation of the global regulatory gene csrA: CsrA-mediated translational
repression, transcription from five promoters by Eσ^{70} and Eσ(S), and indirect
transcriptional activation by CsrA. Molecular microbiology 81:689-704.

52. Kovach ME, Elzer PH, Steven Hill D, Robertson GT, Farris MA, Roop RM, Peterson KM.
1995. Four new derivatives of the broad-host-range cloning vector pBBR1MCS, carrying
different antibiotic-resistance cassettes. Gene 166:175-176.

53. Beloin C, Valle J, Latour-Lambert P, Faure P, Kzreminski M, Balestrino D, Haagensen
JAJ, Molin S, Prensier G, Arbeille B, Ghigo J-M. 2004. Global impact of mature biofilm
lifestyle on Escherichia coli K-12 gene expression. Molecular Microbiology 51:659-674.

54. Schembri MA, Kjærgaard K, Klemm P. 2003. Global gene expression in Escherichia coli
biofilms. Molecular Microbiology 48:253-267.

55. Ren D, Bedzyk LA, Thomas SM, Ye RW, Wood TK. 2004. Gene expression in Escherichia
coli biofilms. Applied microbiology and biotechnology 64:515-524.

56. Lazazzera BA. 2005. Lessons from DNA microarray analysis: the gene expression profile
of biofilms. Current Opinion in Microbiology 8:222-227.

57. Goodwin S, McPherson JD, McCombie WR. 2016. Coming of age: ten years of next-
generation sequencing technologies. Nature Reviews Genetics 17:333.

58.   Bayramoglu B, Toubiana D, Gillor O. 2017. Genome-wide transcription profiling of

668   aerobic and anaerobic Escherichia coli biofilm and planktonic cultures. FEMS

669   Microbiology Letters 364:fnx006-fnx006.

670   59.   Kim Y, Wood TK. 2010. Toxins Hha and CspD and small RNA regulator Hfq are involved

671   in persister cell formation through MqsR in Escherichia coli. Biochemical and biophysical

672   research communications 391:209-213.

673   60.   Domka J, Lee J, Bansal T, Wood TK. 2007. Temporal gene-expression in Escherichia coli

674   K-12 biofilms. Environmental Microbiology 9:332-346.

675   61.   Babu M, Arnold R, Bundalovic-Torma C, Gagarinova A, Wong KS, Kumar A, Stewart G,

676   Samanfar B, Aoki H, Wagih O, Vlasblom J, Phanse S, Lad K, Yeou Hsiung Yu A, Graham

677   C, Jin K, Brown E, Golshani A, Kim P, Moreno-Hagelsieb G, Greenblatt J, Houry WA,

678   Parkinson J, Emili A. 2014. Quantitative Genome-Wide Genetic Interaction Screens

679   Reveal Global Epistatic Relationships of Protein Complexes in Escherichia coli. PLOS

680   Genetics 10:e1004120.

681   62.   Esquerré T, Bouvier M, Turlan C, Carpousis AJ, Girbal L, Cocaign-Bousquet M. 2016.

682   The Csr system regulates genome-wide mRNA stability and transcription and thus gene

683   expression in Escherichia coli. Scientific Reports 6:25057.

684   63.   Plamont M-A, Billon-Denis E, Maurin S, Gauron C, Pimenta FM, Specht CG, Shi J,

685   Quérard J, Pan B, Rossignol J, Moncoq K, Morellet N, Volovitch M, Lescop E, Chen Y,

686   Triller A, Vriz S, Le Saux T, Jullien L, Gautier A. 2016. Small fluorescence-activating and

687   absorption-shifting tag for tunable protein imaging in vivo. Proceedings of the National

688   Academy of Sciences 113:497.

689   64.   Siguier P, Gourbeyre E, Chandler M. 2014. Bacterial insertion sequences: their genomic

690   impact and diversity. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 38:865-891.

691   65.   Glansdorff N, Charlier D, Zafarullah M. 1981. Activation of Gene Expression by IS2 and

692   IS3. Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology 45:153-156.

693   66.   Barrick JE, Yu DS, Yoon SH, Jeong H, Oh TK, Schneider D, Lenski RE, Kim JF. 2009.

694   Genome evolution and adaptation in a long-term experiment with Escherichia coli. Nature

695   461:1243.

696   67.   Rowe-Magnus DA, Mazel D. 2001. Integrons: natural tools for bacterial genome evolution.

697   Current Opinion in Microbiology 4:565-569.

698   68.   Kazazian HH. 2004. Mobile Elements: Drivers of Genome Evolution. Science 303:1626.

699   69.   Malone M, Goeres DM, Gosbell I, Vickery K, Jensen S, Stoodley P. 2017. Approaches to

700   biofilm-associated infections: the need for standardized and relevant biofilm methods for

701   clinical applications. Expert Review of Anti-infective Therapy 15:147-156.

702   70.   SASAKI I, BERTANI G. 1965. Growth abnormalities in Hfr Derivatives of Escherichia

703   coli Strain c. Microbiology 40:365-376.

704   71.   Chung CT, Niemela SL, Miller RH. 1989. One-step preparation of competent Escherichia

705   coli: transformation and storage of bacterial cells in the same solution. Proceedings of the

706   National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 86:2172-2175.

707   72.   Miller WG, Leveau JHJ, Lindow SE. 2000. Improved gfp and inaZ Broad-Host-Range

708   Promoter-Probe Vectors. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions 13:1243-1250.

709   73.   Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L,

710   Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation

711   pipeline.

74. Leung AK, Kwok TP, Wan R, Xiao M, Kwok PY, Yip KY, Chan TF. 2017. OMBlast:

alignment tool for optical mapping using a seed-and-extend approach. Bioinformatics

33::311-319.

75. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion

for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome biology 15:550-550.

76. Tang M, Sun J, Shimizu K, Kadota K. 2015. Evaluation of methods for differential

expression analysis on multi-group RNA-seq count data. BMC bioinformatics 16:361-361.

Fig. 1. Biofilm formation by *E. coli* strains on (A) microscope slides (LB medium) and (B) 96-

well plates (LB and M9 with glycerol).

Fig. 2. Cell aggregation in overnight culture grown at 30°C in LB Miller broth on shaker at

250 rpm. (A) From left: *E. coli* C, *E. coli* Crooks, *E. coli* B, *E. coli* K12 and *E. coli* W. (B) Ratio

of planktonic cells to total cells measured as OD_{600}. (C) Microscopic picture of the *E. coli* C

precipitate.

Fig. 3. Precipitation of bacterial overnight cultures at 12°C, 24°C, and 37°C without shaking.

Fig. 4. Effect of salt concentration on *E. coli* C precipitation at 30°C.

Fig. 5. Circular map of the *E. coli* C chromosome (position in bp). The inner circles show GC

skew and G+C content. The third circle shows rRNA (blue) and CRISPR (red) clusters. The fourth

circle shows hypothetical ORFs (green). Light blue circles represent ORFs on plus and minus

strands.

Fig. 6. Genome alignment of five *E. coli* strains using Mauve. Each chromosome has been laid

out horizontally and homologous blocks in each genome are shown as identically colored regions

linked across genomes. The inverted region in *E. coli* C is shifted below the genome's center axis.

From the top: *E. coli* C, K12, Crooks, W, and B.

Fig. 7. Optical mapping of *E. coli* C chromosome and comparison to K12 strain. (A) *In silico*

generated map (blue) and optical map (yellow/green) of *E. coli* C. (B) *In silico* generated map

(blue) and optical map (yellow/green) of *E. coli* K12.

Fig. 8. Comparison of orthologous CDSs among C, W, K-12, B, and Crooks strains. The

number of shared genes, the number of unique genes, and the genes shared between one, two,

three, and four strains are shown.

Fig. 9. Insertion of IS3 like sequence in the promoter region of *csrA* gene. (**A**) Structure of the

IS3 like sequence; (**B**) genome view of K12 (upper) *csrA* promoter region blast results with *E. coli*

C; (**C**) *csrA* promoter region (51) with the IS3 insertion site.

Fig. 10. Complementation of *E. coli* C aggregation phenotype by introduction of pJEK718

and pJEK786 plasmids overexpressing the CsrA protein.

Fig. 11. Overview of the differential gene expression among the *E. coli* C envirovars. (**A**)

Distribution of genes along the chromosome; only genes significantly altered in expression

(average fold change ≥ [2.0]) were indicated. (**B**) Volcano plot with differential expression and

statistical significance.

Fig. 12. Activity of *pcsrA* promoter (pJEKd1571) in 24h, 48h and 72h old colonies of *E. coli* C and K12 grown at 30°C and 37°C on LB Miller agar plates. Data represents the mean values from 3 biological replicates each containing 3 colonies. Differences between strains at all time points and conditions were statistically significant.

Fig. 13. Microscopic picture of the 72h old *E. coli* C (A) and K12 (B) colonies containing pJEKd1571 grown at 37°C on LB Miller agar plates. Pixel intensity plots for each colony are shown below. Yellow arrows showed the colony borders and distinct *pcsrA* expression intensities.

S1 Fig. Neighbor joining tree based on gene presence/absence within five *E. coli* strains.

S2 Fig. Genome view of (A) K12 LPS (*waa*) regions blast results with *E. coli* C genome and (B) colonic acid (*wca*) region in *E. coli* C. Red double-headed arrow shows deleted region in strain C. Green arrow indicates a long operon like stretch of 35 genes with IS3 insertions in *wzzB* and B6N50_08940 genes (black double-headed arrows).

S3 Fig. Genome view of K12 *fim* region blast results with *E. coli* C genome. Red double-headed arrow shows deleted region in strain C.

S4 Fig. Genome view of K12 *yhc* region blast results with *E. coli* C genome (A) and the *E. coli* C *yad* region with two IS insertions (black arrows). Deletion of IS5 in *E. coli* C *yhcE* gene is highlighted.

S5 Fig. Genome view of K12 (upper) and C strain (lower) *csg* region blast results with *E. coli* C genome. Red double-headed arrow shows region replaced by IS5 in strain C.

S6 Fig. PCR amplification of the *csrA* gene from *E. coli* C and K12 strains.

S7 Fig. Biofilm formation by *E. coli* C and K12 *csrA* mutant strains on (A) microscope slides

(LB medium- 72h) and (B) 96-well plates (LB Miller broth 37°C; 24h).

S8 Fig. PCR amplification of the *alaS-csrA* intergenic region from *E. coli* C and K12 strains.

S8 Fig. Differences in relative *pcsrA* promoter activity between *E. coli* C and K12 strains

grown in LB Miller broth at 24°C and 37°C (250 rpm). Cell densities (OD_{600}) and fluorescence

(480 nm Ex./520 nm Em.) were measured over the time course to show the relative promoter

activity in each strain and condition. The graph represents the ratios between these activities in *E.*

coli C and K12 strains at the specific time points.

S10 Fig. Cell aggregation of *E. coli* C and K12 carrying the pJEKd1750 plasmid in overnight

culture grown at 37°C in LB Miller broth on shaker at 250 rpm. Ratio of planktonic cells to

total cells measured as OD_{600}.

Table 1. Sixty-nine unique genes in *E. coli* C genome

Lp	Gene Name or Prokka group	Function - gene description
1	mhpB_2	2,3-Dihydroxyphenylpropionate/2,3-dihydroxicinnamic acid 1,2-dioxygenase
2	yniC_1	2-Deoxyglucose-6-phosphate phosphatase
3	mhpC_2	2-Hydroxy-6-oxononadienedioate/2-hydroxy-6-oxononatrienedioate hydrolase
4	mhpD_2	2-Keto-4-pentenoate hydratase
5	mhpA_2	3-(3-Hydroxy-phenyl)propionate/3-hydroxycinnamic acid hydroxylase
6	mhpE_2	4-Hydroxy-2-oxovalerate aldolase

7	pcaK_2	4-Hydroxybenzoate transporter PcaK
8	mhpF_2	Acetaldehyde dehydrogenase
9	group_2290	Acetyltransferase (GNAT) family protein
10	group_2332	Alpha/beta hydrolase family protein
11	csbX_1	Alpha-ketoglutarate permease
12	csbX_2	Alpha-ketoglutarate permease
13	group_2293	Ankyrin repeats (3 copies)
14	group_2295	Ankyrin repeats (3 copies)
15	group_2370	Ankyrin repeats (3 copies)
16	clpC	ATP-dependent Clp protease ATP-binding subunit ClpC
17	ftsH3	ATP-dependent zinc metalloprotease FtsH 3
18	ftsH4	ATP-dependent zinc metalloprotease FtsH 4
19	group_2325	Cell envelope integrity inner membrane protein TolA
20	bcsA_2	Cellulose synthase catalytic subunit [UDP-forming]
21	wzzB_1	Chain length determinant protein
22	group_2304	Colanic acid exporter
23	dtpD_2	Dipeptide permease D
24	group_337	DNA-binding transcriptional regulator AraC
25	group_258	Esterase YqiA
26	group_343	Fructosamine kinase
27	group_2307	GalNAc(5)-diNAcBac-PP-undecaprenol beta-1,3-glucosyltransferase
28	group_2365	Glutathione-regulated potassium-efflux system protein KefC
29	ltrA_1	Group II intron-encoded protein LtrA
30	ltrA_2	Group II intron-encoded protein LtrA
31	ltrA_3	Group II intron-encoded protein LtrA
32	ltrA_4	Group II intron-encoded protein LtrA
33	ltrA_6	Group II intron-encoded protein LtrA
34	dmlR_8	HTH-type transcriptional regulator DmlR
35	group_2333	HTH-type transcriptional regulator DmlR

36	hyfB_4	Hydrogenase-4 component B
37	lacR_2	Lactose phosphotransferase system repressor
38	tdh_2	L-Threonine 3-dehydrogenase
39	malI_1	Maltose regulon regulatory protein MalI
40	mtlK_2	Mannitol 2-dehydrogenase
41	pglA	N,N'-Diacetylbacillosaminyl-diphospho-undecaprenol alpha-1,3-N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase
42	group_190	Outer membrane usher protein HtrE precursor
43	group_240	Periplasmic dipeptide transport protein precursor
44	group_2366	Phosphate-starvation-inducible E
45	pduV_2	Propanediol utilization protein PduV
46	nepI_2	Purine ribonucleoside efflux pump NepI
47	group_2	Putative deoxyribonuclease RhsC
48	group_49	Putative fimbrial-like adhesin protein
49	argK_2	Putative GTPase ArgK
50	group_330	Putative HTH-type transcriptional regulator YbbH
51	group_168	Putative lysophospholipase
52	group_2341	Putative oxidoreductase
53	hhoB	Putative serine protease HhoB precursor
54	group_2281	Recombination protein F
55	rbtD	Ribitol 2-dehydrogenase
56	group_2319	Ribokinase
57	araB_1	Ribulokinase
58	hspA_1	Spore protein SP21
59	hspA_2	Spore protein SP21
60	trxC_2	Thioredoxin-2
61	lsrR_1	Transcriptional regulator LsrR
62	group_2327	Type I restriction enzyme EcoKI subunit R
63	hsdR_1	Type I restriction enzyme EcoR124II R protein
64	hsdR_2	Type-1 restriction enzyme R protein

65	group_2288	Tyrosine recombinase XerD	
66	group_2310	UDP-glucose 4-epimerase	
67	xylB_2	Xylulose kinase	
68	group_2360	YfdX protein	
69	group_2361	YfdX protein	

Table 2. Top 40 of *E. coli* C differentially expressed genes in biofilm and planktonic mode of growth ranked by p value.

Name	Function	Diff.Expr.Log2 Ratio	Dif.Expr. p value	Name	Function	Diff.Expr.Log2 Ratio	Dif.Expr. p value
B6N50_09285	hypothetical protein CDS	4.850395771	1.69E-39	B6N50_13170	trp operon leader peptide CDS	-5.43943394	4.17E-31
B6N50_14580	cold-shock protein CspG CDS	3.557298531	4.41E-30	B6N50_06090	hypothetical protein CDS	-4.451200178	1.23E-16
B6N50_11655	cold-shock protein CspB CDS	2.669746081	4.11E-17	B6N50_03345	threonine/serine transporter TdcC CDS	-3.469115448	3.92E-14
B6N50_18720	hypothetical protein CDS	3.663331901	3.45E-15	B6N50_06085	thiol disulfide reductase thioredoxin CDS	-3.155139261	9.04E-14
B6N50_18870	hypothetical protein CDS	5.888797737	7.60E-15	B6N50_13175	anthranilate synthase component I CDS	-4.59448805	1.48E-10
B6N50_14575	cold-shock protein CDS	3.190816414	3.03E-14	B6N50_03110	tryptophan-specific transporter CDS	-3.207303792	3.06E-10
B6N50_07425	colicin V production protein CDS	3.972852208	1.77E-13	B6N50_18780	fadE CDS	-2.605414693	3.45E-09
B6N50_19625	hypothetical protein CDS	4.396004437	5.21E-13	B6N50_03360	reactive intermediate/imine deaminase CDS	-2.426031411	1.80E-08
B6N50_04460	5-formyltetrahydrofolate cyclo-ligase CDS	2.838038222	1.97E-12	B6N50_15640	inner membrane transport permease YbhR CDS	-2.420058315	2.65E-08
B6N50_11790	hypothetical protein CDS	2.233953837	1.18E-11	B6N50_01135	copper-binding protein CDS	-3.646639286	2.81E-08
B6N50_19130	hypothetical protein CDS	2.832250116	1.32E-11	B6N50_17040	cyclic amidohydrolase CDS	-4.009443561	3.10E-08
B6N50_16480	octanoyltransferase CDS	2.970256489	1.97E-11	B6N50_12480	hypothetical protein CDS	-2.319595956	4.66E-08
B6N50_18715	hypothetical protein CDS	3.010093216	5.09E-10	B6N50_01230	DUF305 domain-containing protein CDS	-2.471533306	9.48E-08
B6N50_11650	cold-shock protein CspF CDS	3.047189907	1.58E-09	B6N50_20670	PTS trehalose transporter subunit IIBC CDS	-2.460088041	2.34E-07
B6N50_21800	hypothetical protein CDS	3.244145509	2.86E-09	B6N50_15645	hypothetical protein CDS	-2.326096198	3.60E-07
B6N50_10140	hypothetical protein CDS	2.407561788	7.37E-09	B6N50_07515	hypothetical protein CDS	-2.066303195	5.93E-07
B6N50_16180	putrescine-ornithine antiporter CDS	2.814378464	7.54E-09	B6N50_03340	serine/threonine dehydratase CDS	-2.589176789	9.26E-07
B6N50_02560	acrEF/envCD operon transcriptional regulator CDS	2.99746876	7.78E-09	B6N50_22020	hypothetical protein CDS	-1.892112356	1.11E-06
B6N50_06220	ferredoxin CDS	3.430136055	9.64E-09	B6N50_11925	LsrR family transcriptional regulator CDS	-2.439904012	1.68E-06
B6N50_14570	cold-shock protein CDS	2.881590809	3.60E-08	B6N50_21220	anaerobic C4-dicarboxylate transporter DcuA CDS	-1.646350417	1.78E-06
B6N50_22715	transporter CDS	2.82399159	4.65E-08	B6N50_12095	glutamate decarboxylase CDS	-2.499993182	3.17E-06
B6N50_19920	30S ribosomal protein S20 CDS	1.941085309	6.11E-08	B6N50_18960	D-glycero-beta-D-manno-heptose 1,7-bisphosphate 7-phosphatase CDS	-2.011238834	3.58E-06
B6N50_11725	hypothetical protein CDS	2.042056006	1.08E-07	B6N50_20675	glucohydrolase CDS	-2.390652037	3.90E-06

B6N50_10135	PhoP regulon feedback inhibition membrane protein MgrB	2.818073792	1.41E-07	B6N50_02360	50S ribosomal protein L30 CDS	-1.994964005	4.51E-06
B6N50_20545	hypothetical protein CDS	2.123626973	2.36E-07	B6N50_09330	protein MtfA CDS	-2.19664491	4.91E-06
B6N50_23295	asparagine synthetase A CDS	1.799864168	4.93E-07	B6N50_21140	fumarate reductase subunit C CDS	-2.811473378	5.46E-06
B6N50_20610	DNA-binding protein CDS	2.193750313	4.99E-07	B6N50_03355	PFL-like enzyme TdcE CDS	-1.941660612	6.14E-06
B6N50_14300	transcriptional regulator CsgD CDS	2.938430574	1.47E-06	B6N50_01375	acid stress chaperone HdeA CDS	-2.394334187	9.39E-06
B6N50_04470	cell division protein ZapA CDS	1.635391947	1.64E-06	B6N50_04060	8-amino-7-oxononanoate synthase CDS	-3.586412225	9.66E-06
B6N50_05850	hypothetical protein CDS	2.359240446	1.64E-06	B6N50_03350	propionate kinase CDS	-2.252249192	1.15E-05
B6N50_11345	DNA-binding response regulator CDS	1.889775289	2.01E-06	B6N50_02275	50S ribosomal protein L4 CDS	-2.149717962	1.50E-05
B6N50_11785	sensor domain-containing diguanylate cyclase CDS	1.86896654	2.43E-06	B6N50_13195	tryptophan synthase subunit alpha CDS	-2.656912572	1.77E-05
B6N50_23245	hypothetical protein CDS	1.721769367	2.47E-06	B6N50_06710	hypothetical protein CDS	-1.739047636	1.95E-05
B6N50_08970	LPS O-antigen chain length determinant protein WzzB CDS	1.839986113	3.18E-06	B6N50_04225	L-asparaginase 2 CDS	-1.58905668	3.32E-05
B6N50_13505	4-diphosphocytidyl-2C-methyl-D-erythritol kinase CDS	1.858003639	3.21E-06	B6N50_22845	fatty acid oxidation complex subunit alpha FadB CDS	-1.890631947	3.59E-05
B6N50_08170	bifunctional murein DD-endopeptidase/murein LD-carboxypeptidase	1.72790582	4.36E-06	B6N50_07440	lysine/arginine/ornithine-binding periplasmic protein CDS	-2.2933939	3.64E-05
B6N50_11695	protein GnsB CDS	1.610685956	5.02E-06	B6N50_23470	low affinity tryptophan permease CDS	-2.158586386	3.67E-05
B6N50_16445	ribosome silencing factor CDS	1.691674447	6.54E-06	B6N50_15500	small protein MntS CDS	-1.558155635	3.80E-05
B6N50_02975	50S ribosomal protein L21 CDS	1.721186467	6.54E-06	B6N50_03365	L-serine dehydratase TdcG CDS	-1.680133634	3.90E-05
B6N50_01245	hypothetical protein CDS	3.05358375	6.58E-06	B6N50_17910	transcriptional regulator CDS	-2.0922916	3.99E-05

789 **Table 3. Genes located downstream of the IS3 like element and their differential**

790 **expression in RNA-seq experiment.**

	Gene name	Function	Diff.Expr.Log2 Ratio biofilm/planktonic
1.	B6N50_06210-15 (2 genes)	Unknown function and small toxic protein ShoB	2.66; p = 0.018
2	B6N50_07905-890 (4 genes)	Acetate CoA-transferase subunit alpha, acetate CoA-transferase subunit beta, short-chain fatty acids transporter and acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase	-2.55; p = 014

3	B6N50_12005-12000 (2 genes)	Restriction endonuclease (pseudogene- missing start), RNA polymerase subunit sigma-70 (pseudogene- missing stop)	2.4; p = 0.016
4	B6N50_11960-55 (2 genes)	Hypothetical protein, hypothetical protein	0.01; p = 0.9
5	B6N50_05830 (1 gene)	Hypothetical protein	-0.49; p = 0.38
6	B6N50_05870 (1 gene)	DEAD/DEAH box helicase	-0.05; p = 0.9
7	B6N50_10145 (1 gene)	Hypothetical protein	1.3; p = 0.19
8	B6N50_11585 (1 gene)	Hypothetical protein	0.48; p = 0.79
9	B6N50_11780-85 (2 genes)	TIGR00156 family protein, sensor domain-containing diguanylate cyclase	0.43; p = 0.54/2.07; p = 6.06E-05
10	B6N50_11960 (1 gene)	Hypothetical protein	0.01; p = 0.99
11	B6N50_12235-260 (6 genes)	NarK family nitrate/nitrite MFS transporter, nitrate reductase subunit alpha, nitrate reductase subunit beta, nitrate reductase molybdenum cofactor assembly chaperone NarW, respiratory nitrate reductase subunit gamma, hypothetical protein	0.11; p = 0.96

12	B6N50_19300-320 (5 genes)	Outer membrane usher protein, fimbrial protein, fimbrial protein StaE, fimbrial protein StaF, hypothetical protein	0.4; p = 0.54/ staE 1.5; p = 3.8

Table 4. Bacterial strains used in this work.

Strain	Genotype	Source
E. coli C	WT	Holly A. Wichman, James Bull
E. coli K12 MG1655	WT	Lab collection
E. coli Crooks	WT	Lonnie Ingram
E. coli B	WT	ATCC
E. coli W	WT	ATCC
E.coli K12 csrA	csrA::mini-Tn5 Km^R	Tony Romeo
E. coli K12 MG1655 EC100	F⁻*mcr*A Δ(*mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC*) φ80d*lac*ZΔM15 Δ*lac* X74 *recA*1 *endA*1 *araD*139 Δ(*ara, leu*)7697 *galU galK* λ– *rpsL nupG*.	Lucigen
Plasmids		
pBBR1MCS-5	Broad host range mobilizable plasmid, Gm^R	(52)
pAG136	pET28B –EGFP-YFAST, Km^R promoter probe vector	(63)

pProbe GFP[ASV]	Short-life GFP promoter probe vector, Km^R	(72)
pJEKd1750	1638-bp fragment with *E.coli* C IS3-*csrA* promoter in BglII/XbaI sites of pAG136, Km^R	This work
pJEKd1751	1638-bp fragment with *E. coli* C IS3-*csrA* promoter in SmaI site of pProbe GFP[ASV], Km^R	This work
pJEK718	*csrA* gene driven by the *plac* promoter in pBBR1MCS-5, Gm^R	This work
pJEK786	*csrA* gene driven by the *pcat* promoter in pBBR1MCS-5, Gm^R Cm^R	This work

Abbreviations: Km^R, Gm^R, Cm^R – kanamycin, gentamycin, and chloramphenicol resistance.

Fig. 1.

Fig. 2

Fig. 3.

Fig. 4.

Fig. 5.

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

Fig. 8

Fig. 9

Fig. 10.

Fig. 11.

Fig. 12.

Fig. 13.

Fig. S1

Fig. S2

Fig. S3

Fig. S4

Fig. S5

Fig. S6

PCR amplification of *csrA* gene.
1,6- 1kb Ladder Plus (Fermentas)
2 – negative control
3 – *E. coli* MG1655
4 – *E. coli* C
5 – MG1655 *csrA*-

834   Fig. S7.

836　Fig. S8

PCR amplification of *csrA* promoter.
1,6- 1kb Ladder Plus (Fermentas)
2,4 – *E. coli* MG1655
3,5 – *E. coli* C

838   Fig. S9

840   Fig. S10