1 **Unisexual reproduction promotes foraging for mating partners in the global**
2 **human fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus deneoformans***

6 **Ci Fu, Torin P. Thielhelm, and Joseph Heitman** [1]

7 **1** Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Duke University Medical
8 Center, Durham, NC, 27710, USA

Corresponding author:
Joseph Heitman, MD, PhD
Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology
Duke University Medical Center
322 CARL Building Box 3546
Durham, NC 27710, USA
Tel: 919-684-2824
Fax: 919-684-2790
Email: heitm001@duke.edu

Running title: Foraging for mating in *Cryptococcus deneoformans*

Summary

Courtship is an important step towards successful mating in sexual organisms. However, courtship is challenging for the *Cryptococcus neoformans* pathogenic species complex, comprised of opportunistic fungal pathogens, as 99% of isolates are of the α mating type [1, 2]. In the absence of mating partners of the opposite mating type, *C. deneoformans* can undergo unisexual reproduction, during which a yeast-to-hyphal morphological transition occurs [3]. Unisexual hyphal growth is a quantitative trait, which reflects a strain's relative ability to undergo unisexual reproduction [4]. In this study, we determined whether unisexual reproduction confers an ecological benefit by promoting foraging for mating partners. Through competitive mating assays using strains with different ability to undergo unisexual reproduction, this sexual cycle did not enhance competition for mating partners of the same mating type, but when cells of the opposite mating type were present, cells with enhanced unisexual reproduction were more competitive for mating partners of either the same or the opposite mating type. Enhanced mating competition was also observed in a strain with enhanced unisexual reproduction that lacks the mating repressor gene *GPA3*, which encodes a Gα subunit that contributes to pheromone response. We also established that hyphal growth during unisexual reproduction promotes foraging for mating partners through mating confrontation assays. We further showed that in unisexual cells the pheromone response pathway is induced in the presence of cells of the opposite mating type, thereby priming these cells to compete for mating partners. Mating competition also resulted in a fitness cost during growth. Taken

together, these findings suggest that in addition to pheromone sensing, *C. deneoformans* employs hyphal growth, a physiological tactic, during unisexual reproduction to forage for mating partners at a relatively long distance, which echoes the courtship strategies evolved in other eukaryotic organisms and makes this study the first to describe an intricate two-part courtship behavior in the fungal kingdom.

Introduction

Successful courtship is key to the evolutionary success of sexual eukaryotic organisms, and many species have evolved distinct strategies to locate and choose a mating partner. For example, primates and humans utilize aggression to secure a mating partner [5]; male hummingbirds apply acoustic control using tail feathers during high-speed dives to court females [6]; male *Drosophila* vibrate their wings to generate at least three different songs to trigger mating responses in females [7]; male tree-hole frogs also adopt acoustic strategies taking advantage of tree trunk cavities to attract females [8]; and female pipefish display a temporal striped pattern ornament to woo male partners [9]. These examples demonstrate that complex eukaryotic organisms can employ visual, vocal, or mechanical tactics to secure a mate and transmit their genetic traits to the next generation.

In eukaryotic fungal systems, mating often involves a morphological transition. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeast cells undergo polarized growth and form shmoo projections in preparation for cell fusion during mating [10]. In filamentous fungi, including both ascomycetes and basidiomycetes, sexual reproduction involves the formation of a fruiting body (perithecium and basidium, respectively) [11]. In the ascomycetes, *Candida albicans* yeast cells undergo a white-opaque switch to initiate mating [12]. Despite their divergent sexual strategies, these morphological transitions are all controlled by the pheromone response pathway [13]. During yeast mating, physical agglutination of yeast cells

does not promote courtship, but rather a gradient of pheromone signals is crucial

for successful cell-cell fusion during early mating [14, 15]. Similarly, in

Schizosaccharomyces pombe, local pheromone signals and a spatially focal

pheromone response dictate cell-cell pairing and fusion position during early

mating processes [16, 17]. In *C. albicans*, overexpression of pheromone

response MAP kinase pathway components can enhance mating efficiency [18].

These studies establish that the pheromone response pathway plays a critical

role in promoting fungal mating efficiency.

The opportunistic human fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus deneoformans*

undergoes a yeast-to-hyphal morphological transition upon mating induction [19].

This species has two modes of sexual reproduction: bisexual reproduction

between cells of opposite mating types and unisexual reproduction involving cells

of only one mating type [3, 19, 20]. Cell fusion between *MAT**a*** and *MAT*α cells

during bisexual reproduction, and between two *MAT*α cells during unisexual

reproduction, triggers hyphal development [21]. This morphological transition is

orchestrated by the pheromone response pathway [21, 22]. However, recent

studies have shown that hyphal growth during unisexual reproduction can also

occur independent of cell fusion and the pheromone response pathway [23-26],

and that pheromone-independent hyphal development is dependent upon the

calcineurin pathway [23, 27].

Because 99% of identified natural and clinical isolates are of the α mating

type, unisexual reproduction likely has significant ecological impacts on the

Cryptococcus species complex population structure and diversity [1, 2]. The

limited abundance of *MAT**a*** cells in natural environments restricts outcrossing

and in the absence of **a**-α mating, unisexual reproduction has been shown to

reverse Muller's rachet and offset the low abundance of *MAT**a*** cells to avoid an

evolutionary dead end [28]. Unisexual reproduction can also generate genotypic

and phenotypic diversity *de novo* [29]. Interestingly, population genetics studies

have revealed that genome recombination occurs frequently among

environmental isolates [30-32], even those that are exclusively α mating type,

providing evidence that unisexual reproduction involving fusion of *MAT*α cells of

distinct genotypes allows recombination in nature. Despite these evolutionary

benefits, cell fusion-independent solo-unisexual reproduction also occurs and

because this pathway involves genetically identical genomes, it does not

contribute to genome reshuffling or recombination. Similar to pseudohyphal

differentiation in *S. cerevisiae*, *C. deneoformans* unisexual hyphal growth has an

ecological benefit in promoting foraging for nutrients and habitat exploration in

the surrounding environments [33, 34]. In this study, we address whether

unisexual reproduction has an additional ecological benefit in promoting foraging

for mating partners, which may facilitate recombination in nature.

Results and discussion

**Strains with enhanced unisexual reproduction potential are more
competitive for mating partners of the opposite mating type**

In *C. deneoformans*, hyphal growth is a quantitative trait associated with
unisexual reproduction, which is influenced by the mating-type locus α allele [4].
To test whether unisexual reproduction impacts competition for mating partners,
we utilized hyphal growth as a determinant of a strain's ability to undergo
unisexual reproduction and performed mating competition experiments
employing three *MAT*α and three *MAT***a** *C. deneoformans* strains with different
degrees of hyphal growth potential (Figure 1A). Among these strains, several
were F2 progeny derived from crosses between the environmental *MAT***a** isolate
NIH433 and the clinical *MAT*α isolate NIH12 including a high hyphal (HH) strain
XL190α, two intermediate hyphal (MH) strains, XL280α and XL187**a**, and a low
hyphal (LH) strain JEC20**a** [3, 19, 35-37]. LH strain JEC21α and HH strain
XL280**a** are congenic strains of JEC20**a** and XL280α, respectively, derived
through 10 rounds of backcrossing (Figure S1) [35, 37, 38]. For each mating
competition experiment, cells of different hyphal growth backgrounds carrying
dominant, selectable drug resistance markers were mixed, spot-inoculated, and
incubated on V8 agar media for 4 days (Figure 1B). Cells were recovered on
YPD medium to obtain colony forming units (CFU), and on YPD medium
supplemented with different two-drug combinations to determine the cell fusion
frequencies. Cell fusion frequencies were compared between different pairs of

strains within the same mating mixture to determine whether unisexual

reproduction confers benefits in competition for mating partners (Figure 1B).

Prior to the mating competition experiments, cell fusion frequencies were

compared between different hyphal strains. During unisexual reproduction, the

*MAT*α MH strain displayed a significantly higher cell fusion frequency (5 cell

fusion events per million CFU) compared to the HH and LH strains (0.013 and

0.019 cell fusion events per million CFU, respectively), in which cell fusion rarely

occurred (Figure 1C). This suggests that the ability to undergo more unisexual

hyphal growth is not strictly correlated with the α-α cell fusion efficiency. In

contrast, during bisexual reproduction, unisexual hyphal growth was well

correlated with **a**-α cell fusion efficiency. HH strains had a cell fusion frequency

(53 cell fusion events per thousand CFU) about 108 times higher than MH

strains, which in turn had a cell fusion frequency (0.49 cell fusion events per

thousand CFU) about 26 times higher than LH strains (0.019 cell fusion events

per thousand CFU) (Figure 1D). In all of the strains tested, **a**-α cell fusion during

bisexual reproduction occurred at a much higher level compared to α-α cell

fusion during unisexual reproduction, similar to previous findings [3, 26].

Cell fusion has been previously shown to be dispensable for solo-

unisexual reproduction [22, 26], which can account for the observed poor

correlation between hyphal growth and α-α cell fusion frequency. Thus, we

hypothesize that unisexual reproduction may not provide an advantage in

competing for mating partners of the same mating type. Indeed, when we

performed the unisexual mating competition assay using the HH, MH, and LH

strains, we observed that HH and LH cells yielded the most fusion products with

a cell fusion frequency of 1.3 cell fusion events per million CFU, while MH cells

that exhibited the highest cell fusion frequency (Figure 1C) failed to compete

against cells of other hyphal backgrounds (Figure 1E), indicating that neither α-α

cell fusion frequency nor unisexual hyphal growth can be used to predict mating

partner preference, which supports the hypothesis that unisexual reproduction

does not promote competition for mating partners during unisexual reproduction.

To test whether unisexual reproduction plays a role in competing for

mating during bisexual reproduction, mating competition assays were conducted

for a given *MAT***a** isolate between two *MAT*α strains of different hyphal growth

phenotypes. Interestingly, cells of the higher hyphal strain had a significantly

higher cell fusion frequency with *MAT***a** cells compared to cells of the lower

hyphal strain (Figure 2A-I). In the presence of HH *MAT***a** cells, HH *MAT*α cells

fused with *MAT***a** cells 24 times more efficiently than LH *MAT*α cells (Figure 2A)

and 8.1 times more efficiently than MH *MAT*α cells (Figure 2B), and MH *MAT*α

cells fused with *MAT***a** cells 5.8 times more efficiently than LH *MAT*α cells (Figure

2C). In the presence of MH *MAT***a** cells, HH *MAT*α cells fused with *MAT***a** cells

14.5 times more efficiently than LH *MAT*α cells (Figure 2D) and 6.5 times more

efficiently than MH *MAT*α cells (Figure 2E), and MH *MAT*α cells fused with *MAT***a**

cells 4.6 times more efficiently than LH *MAT*α cells (Figure 2F). In the presence

of LH *MAT***a** cells, HH *MAT*α cells fused with *MAT***a** cells 8.9 times more

efficiently than LH *MAT*α cells (Figure 2G) and 5.3 times more efficiently than MH

*MAT*α cells (Figure 2H), and MH *MAT*α cells fused with *MAT***a** cells 1.7 times

more efficiently than LH *MAT*α cells (Figure 2I). Taken together, these results

suggest that higher unisexual hyphal growth correlates with competition for

mating partners of the opposite mating type during bisexual reproduction. It was

also noted that the mating competition advantage decreased for each

competition pair (HH vs LH, HH vs MH, and MH vs LH) with the decreasing

hyphal phenotype of the *MAT***a** cells (Figure 2ADG, 2BEH, 2CFI), suggesting that

increased hyphal growth of *MAT***a** cells can also promote cell fusion.

In competition for the HH or MH *MAT***a** cells, the presence of higher

hyphal *MAT*α cells promoted **a**-α cell fusion (Figure 2A-F). HH *MAT***a** and HH

*MAT*α cells fused at a frequency of 52 cell fusion events per thousand CFU in the

presence of MH *MAT*α cells compared to 10 cell fusion events per thousand CFU

in the presence of LH *MAT*α cells (5.2-fold) (Figure 2A-B). HH *MAT***a** and MH

*MAT*α cells fused at a frequency of 6.4 cell fusion events per thousand CFU in

the presence of HH *MAT*α cells compared to 3.4 cell fusion events per thousand

CFU in the presence of LH *MAT*α cells (1.9-fold) (Figure 2B-C). Similar trends

were observed during competition for MH *MAT***a** cells in that the presence of MH

*MAT*α cells increased MH *MAT***a** and HH *MAT*α cell fusion frequency by 4.5-fold

compared to the presence of LH *MAT*α cells (Figure 2D-E), and the presence of

HH *MAT*α cells increased MH *MAT***a** and MH *MAT*α cell fusion frequency by 2.2-

fold compared to the presence of LH *MAT*α cells (Figure 2E-F). However, cell

fusion frequencies between *MAT***a** cells and LH *MAT*α cells were comparable in

the presence of HH or MH *MAT*α cells (0.41 or 0.59 cell fusion events per

thousand CFU for HH *MAT***a** cells, and 0.02 or 0.02 cell fusion events per

thousand CFU for MH *MAT***a** cells) (Figure 2A, C, D, F). Interestingly, no

enhancement of cell fusion frequency by high hyphal *MAT*α cells was observed

during competition for LH *MAT***a** cells (Figure 2G-I). Notably, the enhancement of

cell fusion frequency by higher hyphal *MAT*α cells did not occur when either

*MAT***a** or *MAT*α LH cells were involved in **a**-α cell fusion, suggesting that strains

with poor unisexual reproduction potential have a disadvantage in competing for

mating partners during bisexual reproduction.

Although our results suggest that unisexual reproduction does not

correlate with competition for mating partners of the same mating type, we found

that better unisexual hyphal growth correlated with higher α-α cell fusion

frequency in ménage à trois matings with three partners, including a *MAT***a**

partner. In the presence of HH or MH *MAT***a** cells, HH and MH *MAT*α cells fused

at higher frequencies (26 and 27 cell fusion events per million CFU, respectively)

compared to HH and LH *MAT*α cells (8.1 and 3.4 cell fusion events per million

CFU, respectively) (Figure 2J, K), and in the presence of HH, or MH, or LH *MAT***a**

cells, HH and LH *MAT*α cells fused at higher frequencies (8.1, 3.4, and 2.8 cell

fusion events per million CFU, respectively) compared to MH and LH *MAT*α cells

(0.71, 0.28, and 0.14 cell fusion events per million CFU, respectively) (Figure 2K,

L), suggesting that unisexual reproduction promotes competition for mating

partners of the same mating type in the presence of *MAT***a** cells. We also

observed a trend where α-α cell fusion frequencies (HH and MH, HH and LH,

and MH and LH) decreased with reduced hyphal *MAT***a** cells (Figure 2J, K, L),

suggesting that the unisexual hyphal growth of *MAT**a*** cells can further enhance

α-α cell fusion. In summary, strains with robust unisexual reproduction compete

for mating partners during bisexual reproduction, and during unisexual

reproduction in the presence of *MAT**a*** cells.

gpa3Δ mutation enhances competition for mating partners of the same or

the opposite mating type

The pheromone response pathway plays an important role in the yeast-to-

hyphal morphological transition during *C. deneoformans* sexual reproduction.

This signaling cascade is controlled by G proteins and RGS proteins, including

the Gα protein Gpa3 which represses hyphal growth during mating [39-42]. To

further examine the impact of enhanced hyphal growth during mating

competition, we generated enhanced hyphal strains by deleting the *GPA3* gene

in the LH strain JEC21α. *gpa3Δ* mutants exhibited significantly increased hyphal

growth during both unisexual and bisexual reproduction compared to the parental

strain (Figure 3A). Next mating competition assays were conducted using the

enhanced hyphal strain JEC21α *gpa3Δ* (EH) to test its ability to compete for

mating partners.

Similar to the observation in HH, MH, and LH strains, enhanced unisexual

reproduction did not increase cell fusion between *MAT*α cells but did enhance

cell fusion frequency by 4-fold between *MAT**a*** and *MAT*α cells compared to the

parental LH strain (Figure 3B, C). Unisexual mating competition assays were

performed to compare the abilities of LH and EH *MAT*α cells to fuse with MH

*MAT*α cells. In the control assay, cell fusion frequencies were comparable

between cells of all three strain combinations (MH with LH-*NAT*, MH with LH-

NEO, and LH-*NAT* with LH-*NEO*) (Figure 3D). EH cells fused with MH cells at a

significantly higher frequency of 2.8 cell fusion events per million CFU compared

to LH cells (85-fold) (Figure 3E), suggesting that enhanced unisexual

reproduction increases competitiveness for mating partners of the same mating

type. In the mating competition during bisexual reproduction, no advantage was

observed in the fusion of LH *MAT***a** cells and either EH or the parental LH *MAT*α

cells (Figure 3G). However, a 2.9-fold increase was observed in total **a**-α cell

fusion events during mating competitions for LH *MAT***a** cells between EH and LH

*MAT*α cells compared to control mating competitions for the same *MAT***a** cells

between LH-*NAT* and LH-*NEO MAT*α cells, indicating that enhanced unisexual

reproduction allows both EH and LH *MAT*α cells to fuse with *MAT***a** mating

partners more efficiently during bisexual reproduction. A 2.9-fold increase was

observed in α-α cell fusion between EH and LH *MAT*α cells in the presence of LH

*MAT***a** cells compared to cell fusion between LH-*NAT* and LH-*NEO MAT*α cells

(Figure 3H), suggesting that in the presence of *MAT***a** cells, *GPA3* deletion-

enhanced unisexual reproduction also enhances competition for mating partners

of the same mating type. Overall, this analysis of the enhanced hyphal growth

strain JEC21α *gpa3*Δ provides additional support for models in which increased

hyphal growth enhance competition for mating partners.

Unisexual hyphal growth promotes foraging for mating partners

Unisexual reproduction provides evolutionary and ecological benefits for

C. deneoformans by generating aneuploid progeny with phenotypic diversity and

by promoting habitat exploration through unisexual hyphal growth [29, 34]. Here

we further show that unisexual cells have an advantage in competing for mating

partners within the same colony. We next further tested whether hyphal growth

during unisexual reproduction confers benefits in foraging for mating partners.

Both long-term and short-term foraging for mating partner experiments

suggested that unisexual hyphal growth promoted foraging for mating. In a six-

week mating confrontation experiment, hyphae of different *MAT*α unisexual

reproduction backgrounds marked with NEO grew towards the same *MAT***a** cells

marked with HYG that were at a distance of 4 mm apart (Figure 4A, B). When

competing for either the same *MAT***a** or *MAT*α cells (except LH *MAT*α cells), a

significant trend was observed that isolates with more hyphal growth yielded

more double drug resistant colonies than isolates with reduced hyphal growth

(Figure 4C and Table S4). In a seven-day mini-colony mating experiment,

colonies derived from single cells produced hyphae that grew towards adjacent

colonies of the opposite mating type (Figure S2A). Similar to the long-term

mating confrontation experiment, a significant trend was observed in which

isolates with more hyphal growth had an advantage in forming double drug

resistant colonies (Figure S2B and Table S5). These results suggest that hyphal

growth during unisexual reproduction provides an ecological benefit in promoting

foraging for mating partners in adjacent environments.

Pheromone response pathway activation is correlated with unisexual

hyphal growth phenotype during bisexual reproduction

Elevated pheromone response pathway activation and increased

response to pheromones are critical to successful courtship during mating in *S.*

cerevisiae and *C. albicans* [14, 15, 18]. *S. cerevisiae* utilizes the α-factor

protease Bar1 and **a**-factor barrier Afb1 to discriminate mating partners with

different pheromone levels and drive evolution towards higher pheromone

production for efficient mating [43-45]. Pheromones also stimulate mating and

the yeast-to-hyphal morphological transition during *C. neoformans* bisexual

reproduction [46]. To determine the role of the pheromone response pathway

during *C. deneoformans* mating competition, expression levels were examined

for the genes encoding the pheromones MFα and MF**a**, the pheromone receptors

Ste3α and Ste3**a**, the MAP kinase Cpk1, the transcription factors Mat2 and Znf2,

and the plasma membrane fusion protein Prm1 [26, 39, 47].

Pheromone response pathway activation did not correlate with the

unisexual hyphal growth phenotype in *MAT***a** or *MAT*α strains. In *MAT***a** strains,

all of the pheromone response pathway genes were significantly upregulated in

the HH strain XL280**a** compared to the MH and LH strains, but only *MF***a** and

PRM1 were significantly upregulated in the MH strain XL187**a** compared to the

LH strain JEC20**a** (Figure S3). In *MAT*α strains, all of the pheromone response

pathway genes were significantly upregulated in the HH and MH strains

compared to the LH strain JEC21α, but the MH strain XL280α had a significantly

higher pheromone pathway activation compared to the HH strain XL190α (Figure

S3). The pheromone pathway was significantly upregulated in the EH strain

JEC21α *gpa3Δ* compared to the parental LH strain JEC21α (Figure S3). These

expression analyses suggest that pheromone response pathway activation *per

se* is not sufficient to explain the ability to undergo unisexual reproduction and its

association with competition for mating partners. It was previously shown that the

cell fusion protein Prm1 is not required for unisexual reproduction [26], and

certain environmental factors, such as copper and glucosamine, can induce

hyphal growth independently of the pheromone response pathway [23, 24]. In a

recent study on the quorum sensing peptide Qsp1, deletion of pheromone and

pheromone receptor genes had little impact on hyphal growth during unisexual

reproduction [25], further indicating the polygenic nature of unisexual

reproduction.

    Despite the incongruent association of the pheromone response pathway

and the ability to undergo unisexual reproduction, pheromone response pathway

activation was correlated with the mating competition for partners of the opposite

mating type. The expression patterns of the pheromone response pathway genes

matched the hyphal growth phenotype during bisexual reproduction with the

exception of the *MF**a*** and *CPK1* genes (Figure 5A). Although *MF**a*** expression

was lower in HH strains compared to MH strains during bisexual reproduction,

the significant upregulation of the *MF*α and pheromone receptor genes *STE3*α

and *STE3**a*** may compensate for the overall pheromone response activation

(Figure 5A). The poor correlation between *CPK1* expression and hyphal growth

may be due to post-translational control of the MAP kinase through

phosphorylation, which can relieve a requirement for expression level

upregulation during pathway activation. The largely congruent association of

pheromone response pathway activation and unisexual hyphal growth phenotype

suggests that in the presence of cells of the opposite mating type, unisexual cells

have an advantage by priming the pheromone response pathway in both *MATa*

and *MATα* cells to compete for mating partners.

Enhanced unisexual reproduction resulted in a fitness cost

Upregulation of the pheromone response pathway enhances mating

efficiency; however, this upregulation can result in a fitness cost in *S. cerevisiae*

and *C. albicans* [18, 48]. In yeast, a short-term experimental evolution

experiment showed that mutations abrogating expression of 23 genes involved in

mating conferred a fitness benefit during yeast growth when functions of these

genes are not required [48]. In *C. albicans*, cells undergo a white-opaque switch

and upregulate the pheromone response pathway, which results in a fitness cost

for the opaque cells [18]. Considering that the pheromone response pathway is

activated at a higher level in *C. deneoformans* strains with more hyphal growth

during bisexual reproduction, we investigated whether unisexual reproduction

confers a fitness cost.

Growth curve analyses in YPD liquid medium were performed using an

automated Tecan Sunrise absorbance reader to determine the fitness of different

unisexual strains. The cultures were agitated with vigorous shaking for one

minute bihourly before each OD$_{600}$ measurement. The minimum agitation allows

for differentiation of growth curve kinetics among the strains tested, which would

otherwise be indistinguishable when grown on solid YPD medium. Compared to

the low hyphal growth strains JEC21α and JEC20**a**, *gpa3Δ* mutants exhibited a

growth defect in nutrient rich media, suggesting that enhanced unisexual

reproduction resulted in a fitness tradeoff (Figure S4). Interestingly, deletion of

the *GPA3* gene in the sister species *C. neoformans* did not enhance unisexual

reproduction or cause a growth defect compared to the non-unisexual strain

KN99**a** (Figure S4). It has been shown that the pheromone response pathway

activation by *GPA3* gene deletion is lower in KN99**a** than in JEC21 or JEC20,

indicating that deletion of *GPA3* is not sufficient to rewire cellular responses to

induce unisexual reproduction in *C. neoformans* [39].

We next performed fitness competition experiments. After 10 days of

incubation on YPD agar medium, the EH strain (92%) outcompeted the parental

LH strain (8%), whereas after 10 days of incubation on mating-inducing V8 agar

medium, the LH strain (85%) outcompeted EH strain (15%) (Figure 5B). This

suggested there was no tradeoff in fitness cost in EH cells when unisexual

reproduction was not induced, but upon induction of hyphal growth under mating-

inducing conditions, pheromone response pathway activation resulted in a fitness

cost. We performed an additional fitness competition and observed that, in the

presence of *MAT***a** cells, the fitness advantage of EH cells (92% without vs 36%

with *MAT***a** cells) on YPD agar medium was alleviated (Figure 5C), indicating that

a *MAT**a*** cell-induced pheromone response may confer a fitness cost upon EH

cells. In the presence of *MAT**a*** cells on V8 agar medium, enhanced unisexual

reproduction (12%) resulted in a similar fitness cost to the competition on V8

agar medium without *MAT**a*** cells (Figure 5). These fitness competition assays

demonstrate that unisexual reproduction results in a fitness cost under mating-

inducing conditions or in the presence of opposite mating type partners, which is

likely due to the energy cost in the expression of the pheromone response

pathway genes. It has been reported that long-term passage on rich media in the

lab often diminishes the hyphal growth phenotype of *MAT**a*** strains, which further

suggests that there is a fitness cost associated with unisexual reproduction [49].

Interestingly, in *S. cerevisiae*, the [*SWI*+] prion state promotes outcrossing

efficiency due to a defect in *HO* expression and mating type switching, which

also leads to a fitness cost [50]. Taken together, fungal species have evolved

different strategies in foraging for mating partners in nature accompanied with a

fitness tradeoff.

Conclusion

Sexual reproduction plays a pivotal role in shaping fungal population structure and diversity in nature. However, studies on how fungi secure a mating partner in nature for successful mating are limited. In this study, we aimed to characterize the ecological and evolutionary benefits of unisexual reproduction in *C. deneoformans*. Similar to the landmark study by Jackson and Hartwell showing that higher pheromone production promotes courtship in *S. cerevisiae* [15], we showed that strains with higher potential in unisexual reproduction do not compete for mating partners of the same mating type, but when cells of the opposite mating type are present, unisexual cells upregulate the pheromone response pathway in both *MAT***a** and *MAT*α cells and prime themselves to compete for mating partners of both the same and opposite mating types (Figure 6). More interestingly, in addition to pheromone response pathway activation, unisexual cells employ hyphal growth, a physiological tactic, to forage for mating partners at a relatively long distance, which echoes the courtship strategies evolved in other eukaryotic organisms and makes this study the first to describe an intricate two-part courtship behavior in the fungal kingdom. However, this courtship behavior results in a fitness cost for the unisexual cells during mitotic growth under mating inducing conditions.

The strains involved in this study were all derived from natural and clinical isolates, suggesting that the ability to undergo unisexual reproduction is likely to span a broad range in the environment. Over 99% of natural and clinical isolates of the *Cryptococcus* species complex are found to be of the α mating type [1, 2].

But there is genomic and genetic evidence indicating that recombination is

prevalent among these environmental isolates, which underscores that mating

occurs in nature [30-32]. We hypothesize that in the presence of *MAT***a** cells

sparsely distributed in the environment, unisexual *MAT*α cells are primed for

mating, and when rare contacts with *MAT***a** cells do occur, unisexual hyphal

growth enables foraging for mating partners. Overall unisexual reproduction can

enhance mating competition and promote outcrossing, which provides an

evolutionary advantage by promoting genome reshuffling via meiotic

recombination.

Materials and methods

Strains, media, and growth conditions

Strains used in this study are listed in Table S1. Strains with different hyphal growth phenotypes, XL190α, XL280α, JEC21α, XL280**a**, XL187**a**, and JEC20**a**, were selected to represent high, intermediate, and low hyphal strains [3, 4, 37, 38]. Yeast cells were grown at 30°C on Yeast extract Peptone Dextrose (YPD) medium. Strains harboring dominant selectable markers were grown on YPD medium supplemented with 100 µg/mL noureothricin (NAT), 200 µg/mL G418 (NEO), or 200 µg/mL hygromycin (HYG) for selection. Mating assays were performed on 5% V8 juice agar medium (pH = 7.0) or Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium minus sucrose (Sigma-Aldrich) in the dark at room temperature for the designated time period.

Drug resistant marker strain generation and gene deletion

NAT (pAI3) or NEO (pJAF1) resistant expression constructs were introduced into XL190α, XL280α, and JEC21α, and a HYG (pJAF15) resistant expression construct was introduced into XL280α, XL280**a**, XL187**a**, and JEC20**a** ectopically via biolistic transformation as previously described [51-53].

To generate deletion mutants for *GPA3*, a deletion construct consisting of the 5' upstream and 3' downstream regions of *GPA3* gene flanking the *NEO* cassette was generated by overlap PCR as previously described [54]. The *GPA3* deletion construct was introduced into the strain JEC21α via biolistic

transformation. Transformants were selected on YPD medium supplemented

with G418, and gene replacement by homologous recombination was confirmed

by PCR. Primers used to generate these deletion constructs are listed in Table

S2.

Microscopy

Cells were grown on V8 agar medium for seven days or three weeks in

the dark at room temperature to allow hyphal formation. Hyphal growth on the

edge of mating patches was imaged using a Nikon Eclipse E400 microscope

equipped with a Nikon DXM1200F camera.

Competitive mating assays

For each competitive mating assay, cells were grown overnight in YPD

liquid medium at 30°C and adjusted to OD_{600}=0.5 in sterile H_2O, and then equal

volumes of cells marked with different dominant drug resistant markers were

mixed and spot inoculated (50 µl) on V8 agar medium. The mating plates were

incubated for four days in the dark at room temperature. The cells were

harvested and plated in serial dilution on YPD medium and YPD medium

supplemented with different two drug combinations (NAT and NEO, NAT and

HYG, or NEO and HYG). The cells were incubated for three to five days at room

temperature and colony forming units were counted. Cell fusion frequencies were

determined as double drug resistant CFU/total CFU. The complete competitive

mating experimental design is listed in Table S3. Each mating competition was

performed in biological triplicate.

Foraging for mating assays

To investigate whether unisexual hyphal growth enables cells foraging for

mating partners, we performed long-term confrontation and short-term mini-

colony mating experiments. For the confrontation mating experiment, HYG

resistant XL280**a**, XL187**a**, and JEC20**a** were streaked and grown on V8 medium

to form a line of cells, and then NEO resistant XL190α, XL280α, and JEC21α,

and JEC21α *gpa3*Δ::*NEO* were spot inoculated 4 mm apart in parallel along the

MATa cells. Unisexual hyphae grew towards cells of the opposite mating type for

six weeks. Then the cells were collected and plated on YPD medium

supplemented with HYG and NEO. After incubation at room temperature for

three to five days, total double drug resistant colony forming units were counted

to determine whether unisexual hyphal growth confers an advantage in foraging

for mating partners. Each confrontation mating experiment was performed in

biological quintuplicate. For the mini-colony mating experiment, cells of above

mentioned HYG resistant *MATa* strains and NEO resistant *MAT*α strains were

grown overnight in YPD liquid medium and adjusted to OD_{600}=0.008. For each

mating pair, 100 μl of *MATa* cells and 100 μl of *MAT*α cells were mixed and

plated on V8 agar medium to form evenly spaced mini colonies. Unisexual

hyphae grew towards adjacent hyphae for seven days. Then the cells were

collected and plated on YPD medium and YPD medium supplemented with HYG

and NEO. The cells were incubated for three to five days at room temperature

and colony forming units were counted. Cell fusion frequencies were determined

as double drug resistant CFU/total CFU. Each mating was performed in

biological triplicate.

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR

To examine pheromone response pathway activation, qRT PCR

experiments were performed on RNA extracted from cells incubated on V8 agar

medium for 36 hours as previously described [26]. In brief, XL190α, XL280α,

JEC21α, JEC21α *gpa3Δ::NEO*, XL280**a**, XL187**a**, and JEC20**a** were grown

overnight in YPD liquid medium and adjusted to OD_{600}=2 in sterile H_2O. Then

cells of individual strains and an equal-volume mixtures of cells for crosses

between XL190α and XL280**a**, XL280α and XL187**a**, JEC21α and JEC20**a**, and

JEC21α *gpa3Δ::NEO* and JEC20**a** were spotted (250 µl) on V8 medium and

incubated for 36 hours. Cell patches were harvested and flash frozen in liquid

nitrogen. RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Thermo) following the

manufacturer's instructions. RNA was treated with Turbo DNAse (Ambion), and

single-stranded cDNA was synthesized by AffinityScript RT-RNAse (Stratagene).

cDNA synthesized without the RT/RNAse block enzyme mixture was used to

control for genomic DNA contamination. The relative expression levels of

targeted genes were measured by qRT PCR using Brilliant III ultra-fast SYBR

green QPCR mix (Stratagene) in an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time PCR

system. A "no template control" was used to analyze the resulting melting curves

to exclude primer artifacts for each target gene. Gene expression levels were

normalized to the endogenous reference gene *GPD1* using the comparative

ΔΔCt method. Primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in Table S2. For each target

gene and each sample, technical triplicate and biological triplicate were

performed.

Fitness competition and growth curve assays

Competition experiments were performed to compare fitness between low

and enhanced hyphal strains. Overnight cultures of JEC21α *NAT*, JEC21α

*gpa3*Δ::*NEO*, and XL280**a** were washed with H_2O twice and cell densities were

determined with a hemocytometer. For each competition experiment, 10 µl of

H_2O containing 100,000 cells of each strain was spotted on either YPD or V8

agar medium and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 10 days. Cells

were collected and plated on YPD medium supplemented with NAT or G418 to

determine colony forming units. Cell mixtures were plated before incubation to

control for equal mixing. Each competition was performed in triplicate. Fitness

was determined by calculating the percentile of the recovered CFU of each strain

out of the total recovered dominant drug resistant CFU.

To determine the growth fitness of different unisexual strains, KN99**a**,

KN99**a** *gpa3*Δ::*NEO*, JEC20**a**, JEC20**a** *gpa3*Δ::*NEO*, JEC21α, and JEC21α

*gpa3*Δ::*NEO* were grown overnight in YPD liquid medium and washed twice in

H_2O. 10,000 cells for each strain were resuspended in 200 µl YPD liquid medium

and incubated in a 96-well plate (Corning) at 30°C with vigorous shaking for 1

min bihourly. OD_{600} readings were measured bi-hourly after shaking using an

automated Tecan Sunrise absorbance reader. Each sample was tested in

quintuplicate.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the Graphpad Prism 7

program. Welch's t-test was performed for each pairwise comparison, and one-

way ANOVA was performed for each group analysis with a p value lower than

0.05 considered statistically significant (* indicates $0.01 < p \leq 0.05$, ** indicates

$0.001 < p \leq 0.01$, *** indicates $0.0001 < p \leq 0.001$, and **** indicates $p \leq 0.0001$).

Acknowledgements

566   We thank Daniel Lew, Sheng Sun, Zanetta Chang, and Shelby Priest for

567 critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by NIH/NIAID R37

568 grant AI39115-21 and R01 grant AI50113-15 to J.H.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, F.C., T.T.P., and H.J.; Formal analysis, F.C., T.T.P.,

and H.J.; Funding acquisition, H.J; Investigation, F.C. and T.T.P.; Methodology,

F.C., T.T.P., and H.J.; Resources, F.C. and H.J.; Supervision, H.J.; Writing –

original draft, F.C.; Writing – review & editing, F.C., T.T.P., and H.J.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Litvintseva, A.P., Kestenbaum, L., Vilgalys, R., and Mitchell, T.G. (2005). Comparative analysis of environmental and clinical populations of *Cryptococcus neoformans*. J. Clin. Microbiol. *43*, 556-564.

2. Kwon-Chung, K.J., and Bennett, J.E. (1978). Distribution of α and **a** mating types of *Cryptococcus neoformans* among natural and clinical isolates. Am. J. Epidemiol *108*, 337-340.

3. Lin, X., Hull, C.M., and Heitman, J. (2005). Sexual reproduction between partners of the same mating type in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Nature *434*, 1017-1021.

4. Lin, X., Huang, J.C., Mitchell, T.G., and Heitman, J. (2006). Virulence attributes and hyphal growth of *C. neoformans* are quantitative traits and the *MAT*α allele enhances filamentation. PLoS Genet. *2*, e187.

5. Muller, M.N., and Wrangham, R.W. (2009). Sexual coercion in primates and humans: an evolutionary perspective on male aggression against females, (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press).

6. Clark, C.J., and Mistick, E.A. (2018). Strategic acoustic control of a hummingbird courtship dive. Curr Biol *28*, 1257-1264.

7. Clemens, J., Coen, P., Roemschied, F.A., Pereira, T.D., Mazumder, D., Aldarondo, D.E., Pacheco, D.A., and Murthy, M. (2018). Discovery of a new song mode in *Drosophila* reveals hidden structure in the sensory and neural drivers of behavior. Curr Biol *28*, 2400-2412 e2406.

8. Lardner, B., and bin Lakim, M. (2002). Animal communication: tree-hole frogs exploit resonance effects. Nature *420*, 475.

9. Berglund, A., and Rosenqvist, G. (2001). Male pipefish prefer ornamented females. Anim Behav *61*, 345-350.

10. Ydenberg, C.A., and Rose, M.D. (2008). Yeast mating: a model system for studying cell and nuclear fusion. Methods Mol. Biol. *475*, 3-20.

11. Dyer, P.S., Ingram, D.S., and Johnstone, K. (1992). The control of sexual morphogenesis in the ascomycotina. Biol Rev *67*, 421-458.

12. Miller, M.G., and Johnson, A.D. (2002). White-opaque switching in *Candida albicans* is controlled by mating-type locus homeodomain proteins and allows efficient mating. Cell *110*, 293-302.

13. Jones, S.K., Jr., and Bennett, R.J. (2011). Fungal mating pheromones: choreographing the dating game. Fungal Genet. Biol. *48*, 668-676.

14. Jackson, C.L., and Hartwell, L.H. (1990). Courtship in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: an early cell-cell interaction during mating. Mol. Cell Biol. *10*, 2202-2213.

15. Jackson, C.L., and Hartwell, L.H. (1990). Courtship in *S. cerevisiae*: both cell types choose mating partners by responding to the strongest pheromone signal. Cell *63*, 1039-1051.

16. Dudin, O., Merlini, L., and Martin, S.G. (2016). Spatial focalization of pheromone/MAPK signaling triggers commitment to cell-cell fusion. Genes Dev. *30*, 2226-2239.

17. Merlini, L., Khalili, B., Bendezu, F.O., Hurwitz, D., Vincenzetti, V., Vavylonis, D., and Martin, S.G. (2016). Local pheromone release from dynamic polarity sites underlies cell-cell pairing during yeast mating. Curr Biol. *26*, 1117-1125.

18. Scaduto, C.M., Kabrawala, S., Thomson, G.J., Scheving, W., Ly, A., Anderson, M.Z.,
Whiteway, M., and Bennett, R.J. (2017). Epigenetic control of pheromone MAPK
signaling determines sexual fecundity in *Candida albicans*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA
114, 13780-13785.
19. Kwon-Chung, K.J. (1976). Morphogenesis of *Filobasidiella neoformans*, the sexual state
of *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Mycologia *68*, 821-833.
20. Wickes, B.L., Mayorga, M.E., Edman, U., and Edman, J.C. (1996). Dimorphism and
haploid fruiting in *Cryptococcus neoformans*: association with the α-mating type. Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *93*, 7327-7331.
21. Fu, C., Sun, S., Billmyre, R.B., Roach, K.C., and Heitman, J. (2015). Unisexual versus
bisexual mating in *Cryptococcus neoformans*: Consequences and biological impacts.
Fungal Genet. Biol. *78*, 65-75.
22. Wang, L., and Lin, X. (2011). Mechanisms of unisexual mating in *Cryptococcus
neoformans*. Fungal Genet. Biol. *48*, 651-660.
23. Gyawali, R., Zhao, Y., Lin, J., Fan, Y., Xu, X., Upadhyay, S., and Lin, X. (2017). Pheromone
independent unisexual development in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. PLoS Genet. *13*,
e1006772.
24. Xu, X., Lin, J., Zhao, Y., Kirkman, E., So, Y.S., Bahn, Y.S., and Lin, X. (2017). Glucosamine
stimulates pheromone-independent dimorphic transition in *Cryptococcus neoformans*
by promoting Crz1 nuclear translocation. PLoS Genet *13*, e1006982.
25. Tian, X., He, G.-J., Hu, P., Chen, L., Tao, C., Cui, Y.-L., Shen, L., Ke, W., Xu, H., Zhao, Y., et
al. (2018). *Cryptococcus neoformans* sexual reproduction is controlled by a quorum
sensing peptide. Nat. Microbiol. *3*, 698-707.
26. Fu, C., and Heitman, J. (2017). *PRM1* and *KAR5* function in cell-cell fusion and karyogamy
to drive distinct bisexual and unisexual cycles in the *Cryptococcus* pathogenic species
complex. PLoS Genet. *13*, e1007113.
27. Fu, C., Donadio, N., Cardenas, M.E., and Heitman, J. (2018). Dissecting the roles of the
calcineurin pathway in unisexual reproduction, stress responses, and virulence in
Cryptococcus deneoformans. Genetics *208*, 639-653.
28. Roach, K.C., and Heitman, J. (2014). Unisexual reproduction reverses Muller's ratchet.
Genetics *198*, 1059-1069.
29. Ni, M., Feretzaki, M., Li, W., Floyd-Averette, A., Mieczkowski, P., Dietrich, F.S., and
Heitman, J. (2013). Unisexual and heterosexual meiotic reproduction generate
aneuploidy and phenotypic diversity *de novo* in the yeast *Cryptococcus neoformans*.
PLoS Biol. *11*, e1001653.
30. Saul, N., Krockenberger, M., and Carter, D. (2008). Evidence of recombination in mixed-
mating-type and alpha-only populations of *Cryptococcus gattii* sourced from single
eucalyptus tree hollows. Eukaryot. Cell *7*, 727-734.
31. Litvintseva, A.P., Marra, R.E., Nielsen, K., Heitman, J., Vilgalys, R., and Mitchell, T.G.
(2003). Evidence of sexual recombination among *Cryptococcus neoformans* serotype A
isolates in Sub-Saharan Africa. Eukaryot. Cell *2*, 1162-1168.
32. Campbell, L.T., Currie, B.J., Krockenberger, M., Malik, R., Meyer, W., Heitman, J., and
Carter, D. (2005). Clonality and recombination in genetically differentiated subgroups of
Cryptococcus gattii. Eukaryot. Cell *4*, 1403-1409.
33. Cullen, P.J., and Sprague, G.F., Jr. (2012). The regulation of filamentous growth in yeast.
Genetics *190*, 23-49.

34.   Phadke, S.S., Feretzaki, M., and Heitman, J. (2013). Unisexual reproduction enhances
671   fungal competitiveness by promoting habitat exploration via hyphal growth and
672   sporulation. Eukaryot. Cell *12*, 1155-1159.

673   35.   Heitman, J., Allen, B., Alspaugh, J.A., and Kwon-Chung, K.J. (1999). On the origins of
674   congenic MATalpha and MAT**a** strains of the pathogenic yeast *Cryptococcus*
675   *neoformans*. Fungal Genet Biol *28*, 1-5.

676   36.   Kwon-Chung, K.J. (1975). A new genus, *Filobasidiella*, the perfect state of *Cryptococcus*
677   *neoformans*. Mycologia *67*, 1197-1200.

678   37.   Kwon-Chung, K.J., Edman, J.C., and Wickes, B.L. (1992). Genetic association of mating
679   types and virulence in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Infect. Immun. *60*, 602-605.

680   38.   Zhai, B., Zhu, P., Foyle, D., Upadhyay, S., Idnurm, A., and Lin, X. (2013). Congenic strains
681   of the filamentous form of *Cryptococcus neoformans* for studies of fungal
682   morphogenesis and virulence. Infect. Immun. *81*, 2626-2637.

683   39.   Hsueh, Y.P., Xue, C., and Heitman, J. (2007). G protein signaling governing cell fate
684   decisions involves opposing Gα subunits in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Mol. Biol. Cell *18*,
685   3237-3249.

686   40.   Gong, J., Grodsky, J.D., Zhang, Z., and Wang, P. (2014). A Ric8/synembryn homolog
687   promotes Gpa1 and Gpa2 activation to respectively regulate cyclic AMP and pheromone
688   signaling in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. Eukaryot Cell *13*, 1290-1299.

689   41.   Feretzaki, M., and Heitman, J. (2013). Genetic circuits that govern bisexual and unisexual
690   reproduction in *Cryptococcus neoformans*. PLoS Genet. *9*, e1003688.

691   42.   Nielsen, K., Cox, G.M., Wang, P., Toffaletti, D.L., Perfect, J.R., and Heitman, J. (2003).
692   Sexual cycle of *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *grubii* and virulence of congenic **a** and α
693   isolates. Infect. Immun. *71*, 4831-4841.

694   43.   Huberman, L.B., and Murray, A.W. (2013). Genetically engineered transvestites reveal
695   novel mating genes in budding yeast. Genetics *195*, 1277-1290.

696   44.   Sprague, G.F., Jr., and Herskowitz, I. (1981). Control of yeast cell type by the mating type
697   locus. I. Identification and control of expression of the **a**-specific gene *BAR1*. J. Mol. Biol.
698   *153*, 305-321.

699   45.   Banderas, A., Koltai, M., Anders, A., and Sourjik, V. (2016). Sensory input attenuation
700   allows predictive sexual response in yeast. Nat Commun *7*, 12590.

701   46.   Shen, W.C., Davidson, R.C., Cox, G.M., and Heitman, J. (2002). Pheromones stimulate
702   mating and differentiation via paracrine and autocrine signaling in *Cryptococcus*
703   *neoformans*. Eukaryot. Cell *1*, 366-377.

704   47.   Lin, X., Jackson, J.C., Feretzaki, M., Xue, C., and Heitman, J. (2010). Transcription factors
705   Mat2 and Znf2 operate cellular circuits orchestrating opposite- and same-sex mating in
706   *Cryptococcus neoformans*. PLoS Genet. *6*, e1000953.

707   48.   Lang, G.I., Murray, A.W., and Botstein, D. (2009). The cost of gene expression underlies a
708   fitness trade-off in yeast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *106*, 5755-5760.

709   49.   Tscharke, R.L., Lazera, M., Chang, Y.C., Wickes, B.L., and Kwon-Chung, K.J. (2003).
710   Haploid fruiting in *Cryptococcus neoformans* is not mating type α-specific. Fungal Genet.
711   Biol. *39*, 230-237.

712   50.   Newby, G.A., and Lindquist, S. (2017). Pioneer cells established by the [*SWI*[+]] prion can
713   promote dispersal and out-crossing in yeast. PLoS Biol *15*, e2003476.

714   51.   Fraser, J.A., Subaran, R.L., Nichols, C.B., and Heitman, J. (2003). Recapitulation of the
715   sexual cycle of the primary fungal pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans* var. *gattii*:
716   implications for an outbreak on Vancouver Island, Canada. Eukaryot. Cell *2*, 1036-1045.

717   52.   Idnurm, A., Reedy, J.L., Nussbaum, J.C., and Heitman, J. (2004). *Cryptococcus*
718   *neoformans* virulence gene discovery through insertional mutagenesis. Eukaryot. Cell *3*,
719   420-429.
720   53.   Davidson, R.C., Cruz, M.C., Sia, R.A., Allen, B., Alspaugh, J.A., and Heitman, J. (2000).
721   Gene disruption by biolistic transformation in serotype D strains of *Cryptococcus*
722   *neoformans*. Fungal Genet. Biol. *29*, 38-48.
723   54.   Davidson, R.C., Blankenship, J.R., Kraus, P.R., de Jesus Berrios, M., Hull, C.M., D'Souza,
724   C., Wang, P., and Heitman, J. (2002). A PCR-based strategy to generate integrative
725   targeting alleles with large regions of homology. Microbiology *148*, 2607-2615.

 Figure legends

Figure 1. Unisexual reproduction does not enhance competition for mating

partners of the same mating type.

(A) Hyphal growth on V8 agar medium for three weeks of high (HH), intermediate

(MH), and low (LH) hyphal *MAT*α and *MAT***a** strains. The scale bar represents

500 μm. (B) Experimental design of the unisexual and bisexual mating

competition. (C-E) Average cell fusion frequencies. Error bars indicate standard

deviation. (C) Unisexual α-α cell fusion frequencies of high, intermediate, and low

hyphal strains. (D) Bisexual **a**-α cell fusion frequencies of high, intermediate, and

low hyphal strains. (* indicates $0.01 < p \leq 0.05$ and ** indicates $0.001 < p \leq 0.01$ for

each pairwise comparison) (E) Cell fusion frequencies among three *MAT*α strains

of different hyphal growth phenotypes during unisexual mating competition are

shown.

Figure 2. Unisexual reproduction enhances competition for mating partners

of both mating types in a and α mixed populations.

(A-C) Cell fusion frequencies are shown during mating competition for a high

hyphal *MAT***a** strain between high and low hyphal *MAT*α strains (A), high and

intermediate hyphal *MAT*α strains (B), and intermediate and low hyphal *MAT*α

strains (C). (D-F) Cell fusion frequencies are depicted during mating competition

for an intermediate hyphal *MAT***a** strain between high and low hyphal *MAT*α

strains (D), high and intermediate hyphal *MAT*α strains (E), and intermediate and

low hyphal *MAT*α strains (F). (G-I) Cell fusion frequencies during mating

competition for a low hyphal *MAT***a** strain between high and low hyphal *MAT*α

strains (G), high and intermediate hyphal *MAT*α strains (H), and intermediate and

low hyphal *MAT*α strains (I). (A-I) * indicates $0.01 < p \leq 0.05$, ** indicates

$0.001 < p \leq 0.01$, and *** indicates $0.0001 < p \leq 0.001$ for each pairwise comparison.

(J-L) Unisexual α-α cell fusion frequencies between high and intermediate hyphal

*MAT*α strains (J), high and low hyphal *MAT*α strains (K), and intermediate and

low hyphal *MAT*α strains (L) in presence of *MAT***a** strains of different hyphal

growth phenotypes. ** indicates $0.001 < p \leq 0.01$, *** indicates $0.0001 < p \leq 0.001$,

and **** indicates $p \leq 0.0001$ for each group analysis by one-way ANOVA.

Figure 3. *gpa3*Δ mutation-enhances unisexual reproduction and

competition for mating partners of both the same and opposite mating

types.

(A) Hyphal growth on V8 agar medium for one week for enhanced hyphal strain

(JEC21α *gpa3*Δ::*NEO*) during unisexual (top) and unilateral bisexual (bottom)

development compared to low hyphal strain (JEC21α). The scale bar represents

500 μm. (B-C) Unisexual α-α (B) and bisexual **a**-α (C) cell fusion frequencies

between two low hyphal strains and between low and enhanced hyphal strains.

(D-E) Cell fusion frequencies during unisexual mating competition among two low

and one intermediate hyphal *MAT*α strains (D), and one low, one enhanced, and

one intermediate hyphal *MAT*α strains (E). (F-G) Cell fusion frequencies during

bisexual mating competition for a low hyphal *MAT***a** strain between two low

hyphal *MAT*α strains (F), and between one low and one enhanced hyphal *MAT*α

strain (G). (H) Unisexual α-α cell fusion frequencies between two low hyphal

*MAT*α strains, and between one low and one enhanced hyphal *MAT*α strain in

the presence of a low hyphal *MAT***a** strain. * indicates 0.01<*p*≤0.05 for the

pairwise comparison.

Figure 4. Unisexual development promotes foraging for mating partners in

a mating confrontation assay.

(A) LH, MH, HH, and EH *MAT*α hyphal cells were grown towards LH, MH, and

HH *MAT***a** cells for six weeks. The scale bar represents 500 μm. (B) Schematic

diagram for the confrontation mating experiment setup. *MAT***a** cells were grown

to form a line of cells, and *MAT*α cells were spot inoculated 4 mm apart in parallel

along the *MAT***a** cells. (C) Total G418/HYG resistant colonies produced by each

confrontation mating pair. LH, MH, HH, and EH *MAT*α hyphal cells yielded an

average of 0.4, 10, 44, and 1.8 double drug resistant colonies with LF *MAT***a**

cells, 0.4, 26, 81, and 0.4 double drug resistant colonies with MF *MAT***a** cells, 17,

239, 613, and 422 double drug resistant colonies with HF *MAT***a** cells,

respectively. * indicates 0.01<*p*≤0.05, ** indicates 0.001<p≤0.01, and ****

indicates p≤0.0001 for each group analysis by one-way ANOVA.

Figure 5. Pheromone response pathway activation associated with

unisexual reproduction confers a fitness cost under mating inducing

conditions.

(A) Pheromone response pathway activation during bisexual reproduction is

correlated with unisexual hyphal growth phenotype. Gene expression patterns for

*MF*α, *MF***a**, *STE3*α, *STE3***a**, *CPK1*, *MAT2*, *ZNF2*, and *PRM1* were examined by

qRT PCR (* indicates 0.01<p≤0.05, ** indicates 0.001<p≤0.01, *** indicates

0.0001<p≤0.001, and **** indicates p≤0.0001 for each pairwise comparison.).

Crosses between high hyphal *MAT***a** (XL280**a**) and *MAT*α (XL190α) strains,

between intermediate hyphal *MAT***a** (XL187**a**) and *MAT*α (XL280α) strains,

between low hyphal *MAT***a** (JEC20**a**) and *MAT*α (JEC21α) strains, and between

low hyphal *MAT***a** (JEC20**a**) and enhanced hyphal *MAT*α (JEC21α *gpa3*Δ::*NEO*)

strains were grown on V8 agar medium for 36 hours. The expression levels of

genes from the cross between low hyphal strains JEC20**a** and JEC21α were set

to 1, and the remaining values were normalized to this. The error bars represent

the standard deviation of the mean for three biological replicates. (B-C)

Enhanced unisexual reproduction confers a fitness cost under mating-inducing

conditions. (B) Equal numbers of cells of a low hyphal strain (JEC21α *NEO*) and

an enhanced hyphal strain (JEC21α *gpa3*Δ::*NEO*), and (C) equal numbers of

cells of a low hyphal strain (JEC21α *NEO*), an enhanced hyphal strain (JEC21α

*gpa3*Δ::*NEO*), and a high hyphal **a** strain (XL280**a**) were co-cultured on YPD and

V8 agar medium. CFUs of each strain were counted before plating and after 10

days of incubation to determine competition fitness.

Figure 6. Model for the role of unisexual reproduction in foraging for mating partners.

In the absence of the opposite mating type, unisexual reproduction does not promote foraging for mating partners of the same mating type (left). In the presence of the opposite mating type, unisexual reproduction promotes foraging for mating partners of both the same and the opposite mating type (right). NH: no hyphal growth; LH: low hyphal growth; MH: medium hyphal growth; HH: high hyphal growth.

 Supporting information

 Figure S1. Derivation of the strains used in this study.

B4478 *MAT*α, JEC20**a**, XL187**a**, XL190α, and XL280α are F2 progeny from the

cross between F1 progeny B3502 *MAT***a** and B3501 *MAT*α, which were derived

from a cross between the environmental isolate NIH433 *MAT***a** and the clinical

isolate NIH12 *MAT*α. JEC20**a** was then crossed with B4478 *MAT*α, and an α

progeny was backcrossed with JEC20**a**. This process was repeated 10 times to

yield the congenic partner B4500 JEC21α of B4476 JEC20**a**. XL280α was

crossed with JEC20**a**, and an **a** progeny was backcrossed with XL280α. This

process was repeated 10 times to yield the congenic partner XL280**a**.

Figure S2. Unisexual hyphal growth promotes foraging for mating partners

in a mini-colony mating assay.

(A) LH, MH, HH, and EH *MAT*α colonies derived from single cells were grown for

seven days and in some cases, hyphae were produced towards the LH, MH, and

HH *MAT***a** colonies. The scale bar represents 500 μm. (B) Cell fusion frequencies

between *MAT***a** and *MAT*α mating partners for each mating pair are shown. *

indicates $0.01 < p \leq 0.05$ and ** indicates $0.001 < p \leq 0.01$ for each group analysis by

one-way ANOVA.

Figure S3. Pheromone response pathway activation is not correlated with unisexual hyphal growth phenotype.

Gene expression patterns for *MF*α, *MF***a**, *STE3*α, *STE3***a**, *CPK1, MAT2, ZNF2,* and *PRM1* were examined by qRT PCR (* indicates $0.01 < p \leq 0.05$, ** indicates $0.001 < p \leq 0.01$, *** indicates $0.0001 < p \leq 0.001$, and **** indicates $p \leq 0.0001$ for each pairwise comparison.). High hyphal *MAT***a** (XL280**a**) and *MAT*α (XL190α) strains, intermediate hyphal *MAT***a** (XL187**a**) and *MAT*α (XL280α) strains, low hyphal *MAT***a** (JEC20**a**) and *MAT*α (JEC21α) strains, and an enhanced hyphal *MAT*α (JEC21α *gpa3*Δ::*NEO*) strain were grown on V8 agar medium for 36 hours. The expression levels of low hyphal strain JEC20**a** or JEC21α were set to 1, and the remaining values of the same mating type strains were normalized to this. The error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean for three biological replicates.

Figure S4. Enhanced unisexual reproduction results in a fitness cost during vegetative growth.

Hyphal growth on MS medium for two weeks for *C. neoformans* strains KN99**a**, KN99**a** *gpa3*Δ::*NEO* #1, and KN99**a** *gpa3*Δ::*NEO* #2, and low unisexual and enhanced unisexual *C. deneoformans* strains JEC20**a**, JEC21α, JEC20**a** *gpa3*Δ::*ADE2 ade2*, and JEC21α *gpa3*Δ::*NEO*. The scale bar represents 500 μm. Growth curves were generated using an automated Tecan Sunrise absorbance reader bi-hourly for 72 hours.

Table S1. Strains and plasmids used in this study.

Table S2. Primers used in this study.

Table S3. Mating competition experimental design.

Table S4. p-Values of one-way ANOVA analyses and Welch's t-test for each

pairwise comparison for the foraging for mating assay during mating

confrontation.

Table S5. p-Values of one-way ANOVA analyses and Welch's t-test for each

pairwise comparison for the foraging for mating assay during mating

among mini-colonies.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

 Figure 4

887   **Figure 5**

 Figure 6