ESUCA: a pipeline for genome-wide identification of upstream open reading frames with evolutionarily

conserved sequences and determination of the taxonomic range of their conservation

Hiro Takahashi[1,2#]*, Noriya Hayashi[3#], Yui Yamashita[3], Satoshi Naito[3,4], Anna Takahashi[5], Kazuyuki Fuse[6],

Toshinori Endo[7], Shoko Kojima[8], and Hitoshi Onouchi[3]*

[1]Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan

[2]Graduate School of Horticulture, Chiba University, Matsudo 271-8510, Japan

[3]Graduate School of Agriculture, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-8589, Japan

[4]Graduate School of Life Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan

[5]Faculty of Information Technologies and Control, Belarusian State University of Informatics and Radio

Electronics, Minsk 220013, Belarus

[6]New Business Development Office, Churitsu Electric Corporation, Toyoake 470-1112, Japan

[7] Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0814, Japan

[8] Graduate School of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Chubu University, Kasugai 487-8501 Japan

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +81-11-706-3887; Fax: +81-11-706-4932; Email:

onouchi@abs.agr.hokudai.ac.jp

Correspondence may also be addressed to Hiro Takahashi. Email: takahasi@p.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

[#]The authors wish it to be known that, in their opinion, the first two authors should be regarded as joint First

Authors.

[Key words: upstream open reading frame; translational regulation; bioinformatics; nascent peptide]

Abstract

Background: Some upstream open reading frames (uORFs) in the 5′ leaders of eukaryotic mRNAs encode evolutionarily conserved functional peptides, such as cis-acting regulatory peptides that control main ORF (mORF) translation. To comprehensively identify uORFs with conserved peptide sequences (CPuORFs), we previously developed the BAIUCAS pipeline, in which uORF sequences are compared between a certain species and any others with available transcript sequence databases. However, further selection is needed to identify CPuORFs encoding functional peptides. The purpose of this study is to develop a novel pipeline to efficiently identify CPuORFs likely to encode functional peptides.

Results: Here, we present the ESUCA pipeline. In addition to the function of BAIUCAS, ESUCA has the following new functions: 1) to identify CPuORFs likely to be conserved due to the functions of their encoded small peptides, not due to encoding parts of the mORF-encoded proteins; 2) to systematically calculate K_a/K_s ratios to assess whether uORF sequences are conserved at the nucleotide or amino acid level; 3) to determine the taxonomic range of uORF sequence conservation. We applied ESUCA to five plant genomes and identified 88 novel CPuORF families. Using a transient expression assay, we examined the effects of eight CPuORFs conserved in various taxonomic ranges on mORF translation. Three of seven CPuORFs conserved across diverse eudicots showed sequence-dependent regulatory effects.

Conclusions: This study demonstrates that ESUCA can efficiently identify many CPuORFs conserved in various taxonomic ranges by applying it to many species and is highly useful to select CPuORFs likely to encode functional peptides.

Background

The 5′-untranslated regions (5′-UTRs) of many eukaryotic mRNAs contain upstream open reading frames (uORFs) [1-4]. Although most uORFs are not thought to encode functional proteins or peptides, some uORFs encode regulatory peptides that have roles in post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression [5-8]. During translation of some of these regulatory uORFs, nascent peptides act inside the ribosomal exit tunnel to cause ribosome stalling [9]. Ribosome stalling on a uORF results in translational repression of the downstream main ORF (mORF) because stalled ribosomes block subsequent scanning of 43S preinitiation complexes and prevent them from reaching the start codon of the mORF [10]. Additionally, if ribosome stalling occurs at the stop codon of a uORF, nonsense-mediated mRNA decay may be induced [11, 12]. In some genes, uORF-encoded nascent peptides cause ribosome stalling in response to metabolites to down-regulate mORF translation under specific cellular conditions [10, 12-17]. In contrast to the uORFs encoding cis-acting regulatory nascent peptides, a uORF in the *Medicago truncatula MtHAP2-1* gene encodes a trans-acting regulatory peptide, which binds to the 5′-UTR of *MtHAP2-1* mRNA. This binding causes degradation of *MtHAP2-1* mRNA in the infection zone of plant root nodules during the development of nodules formed by symbiosis of legume plants and nitrogen-fixing bacteria (rhizobia) [18].

To comprehensively identify uORFs encoding functional peptides, genome-wide searches for uORFs with conserved peptide sequences (CPuORFs) have been conducted using comparative genomic approaches in various organisms [19-23]. In plants, approximately 40 CPuORF families have been identified by comparing the uORF-encoded amino acid sequences of orthologous genes in some of *Arabidopsis*, rice, cotton, orange, soybean, grape and tobacco or those of paralogous genes in *Arabidopsis* [20, 22, 23]. Recently, 29 additional CPuORF families, which include CPuORFs with non-canonical initiation codons, have been identified by comparing 5′-UTR sequences between *Arabidopsis* and 31 other plant species [24].

In conventional comparative genomic approaches, uORF sequences are compared between selected species. Therefore, homology detection depends on the selection of species for comparison. In the searches using this approach, if a uORF amino acid sequence is not conserved between the selected species, that uORF is not identified as a CPuORF, even if it is evolutionarily conserved between one of the selected species and other unselected species. To overcome this problem, we previously developed the BAIUCAS pipeline [25]. In

BAIUCAS, homology searches of uORF amino acid sequences are performed between a certain species and any

other species for which expressed sequence tag (EST) databases are available, and uORFs conserved beyond a

certain taxonomic range are selected. Using BAIUCAS, we searched for *Arabidopsis* CPuORFs conserved

beyond the order Brassicales, which *Arabidopsis* belongs to, and identified 13 novel CPuORF families [25]. We

examined the sequence-dependent effects of the CPuORFs identified by BAIUCAS on mORF translation using

a transient expression assay, and identified at least five regulatory uORFs that repress mORF translation in an

amino acid sequence-dependent manner [26, 27].

Here, to further efficiently identify CPuORFs likely to encode functional peptides, we developed a novel

pipeline and named it ESUCA (for evolutionary search for uORFs with conserved amino acid sequences) (Fig. 1).

In addition to the function of BAIUCAS, ESUCA has three new major functions. One newly added function is

the automatic determination of the taxonomic range within which each CPuORF is conserved. In our previous

study using BAIUCAS, CPuORFs conserved only among small taxonomic groups were extracted to

comprehensively identify CPuORFs if they were conserved beyond Brassicales [25]. However, CPuORFs

conserved among small taxonomic groups are thought to be less likely to encode functional peptides than are

those conserved across a wide taxonomic range. The automatic determination of the taxonomic range of

CPuORF conservation enables us to efficiently select CPuORFs likely to encode functional peptides. The second

new function is the automatic assessment of whether each transcript containing a uORF fused to the mORF is a

major or minor form among homologous transcripts containing sequences similar to that of the uORF. Among

previously identified CPuORF-containing genes, the presence of splice variants carrying a CPuORF-mORF

fusion has been reported in the At2g31280, At5g01710, and At5g03190 genes [20, 25, 28]. If uORF-mORF

fusion type transcripts related to a uORF-containing gene are found in only a few species and the uORF-mORF

fusion form is not representative of its orthologous transcripts, then the uORF-mORF fusion type transcripts are

considered minor forms. By contrast, if uORF-mORF fusion type transcripts related to a uORF-containing gene

are found in a majority of species with a transcript sequence similar to the uORF and its associated mORF, it is

likely that the amino acid sequence encoded within the uORF region is a part of the mORF-encoded protein.

Therefore, such a uORF should not be considered a CPuORF, even if its amino acid sequence is evolutionarily

conserved. In this study, we devised an algorithm to assess whether each transcript containing a uORF-mORF

fusion is a minor or major form. This algorithm uses the NCBI reference sequence (RefSeq) database, which

provides curated non-redundant transcript sequences [29], and calculates the ratio of RefSeq RNAs with a

uORF-mORF fusion to all RefSeq RNAs containing sequences similar to a uORF and its associated mORF (Fig.

2). The third new function is a systematic calculation of K_a/K_s ratios of CPuORF sequences. To confirm that each

CPuORF sequence is conserved at the amino acid level but not at the nucleotide level, ESUCA automatically

selects a uORF sequence from each order in which the CPuORF is conserved, and calculates the K_a/K_s ratio using

the selected sequences. We applied ESUCA to *Arabidopsis*, rice, tomato, poplar and grape and identified 88

novel CPuORF families. We examined the sequence-dependent effects of eight poplar CPuORFs on mORF

translation using transient expression assays, and identified three CPuORFs that repress mORF translation in a

sequence-dependent manner. These findings demonstrate that ESUCA is highly useful for comprehensive

identification of CPuORFs and for the selection of CPuORFs likely to encode functional peptides.

Results

ESUCA algorithm

ESUCA consists of a six-step procedure (Fig. 1). The first step is extraction of uORF sequences from a transcript

sequence dataset. uORFs are extracted by searching the 5′-UTR sequence of each transcript for an ATG codon

and its nearest downstream in-frame stop codon. When there are splice variants of a gene, uORFs in all splice

variants are extracted. The second step assesses whether transcripts containing a uORF-mORF fusion are major

or minor forms among homologous transcripts containing sequences similar to each of the uORFs. In this step,

the ratio of RefSeq RNAs with a uORF-mORF fusion to all RefSeq RNAs containing both sequences similar to

the uORF and the mORF of each uORF-containing transcript is calculated (Fig. 2). We define this ratio as the

uORF-mORF fusion ratio. If the uORF-mORF fusion ratio of a uORF is equal to or greater than 0.3, then the

uORF is discarded. The third step is uORF amino acid sequence homology searches. In this step, tBLASTn

searches are performed against a transcript sequence database, using the amino acid sequences of the uORFs as

queries (uORF-tBLASTn analysis). uORFs are selected that have tBLASTn hits derived from other orders. The

fourth step is selection of uORFs conserved between homologous genes. To confirm whether each of the

uORF-tBLASTn hits is derived from a homolog of the gene containing the corresponding original uORF, the

downstream sequences of the ORFs matching the original uORF are subjected to another tBLASTn analysis

using the amino acid sequence of the mORF associated with the original uORF as a query (mORF-tBLASTn

analysis) (Fig. 3). If a uORF-tBLASTn hit sequence has a partial or intact ORF containing a sequence similar to

the mORF amino acid sequence downstream of the ORF matching the original uORF, it is considered to be

derived from a homolog of the original uORF-containing gene. If uORF-tBLASTn hits are found in homologous

genes from at least two orders other than that of the original uORF, then the uORF is selected for further analysis.

The fifth step is K_a/K_s analysis. In this step, a ratio of nonsynonymous to synonymous nucleotide

substitutions (K_a/K_s) for each of the selected uORFs is calculated to assess whether the selected uORF sequences

are conserved at the nucleotide or amino acid level. A K_a/K_s ratio close to 1 indicates neutral evolution,

whereas a K_a/K_s ratio close to 0 suggests that purifying selection acted on the amino acid sequences. If the K_a/K_s

ratio of a uORF is less than 0.5 and significantly different from that of the negative control with q less than 0.05,

then the uORF is selected as a CPuORF. The final step is to determine the taxonomic range of uORF sequence

conservation. In this step, the uORF-tBLASTn and mORF-tBLASTn hits extracted in the fourth step are

classified into taxonomic categories (Fig. 4). The taxonomic range of sequence conservation is determined for

each CPuORF based on the presence of the uORF-tBLASTn and mORF-tBLASTn hits in each taxonomic

category.

Identification of angiosperm CPuORFs using ESUCA

We applied ESUCA to five angiosperm species for which entire genomic DNA and transcript sequence datasets

were available: *Arabidopsis*, rice, tomato, poplar and grape. In the first step, we extracted uORF sequences from

the 5′-UTR sequence of each transcript, using the transcript sequence datasets described in the Materials and

Methods. In these datasets, different transcript IDs are assigned to each splice variant of the same gene. To extract

sequences of uORFs and their associated mORFs from all splice variants, we extracted uORF and mORF

sequences from each of the transcripts with different transcript IDs. In the second step, we calculated the

uORF-mORF fusion ratio of each uORF-containing transcript, using the extracted uORF and mORF sequences,

and removed uORFs with uORF-mORF fusion ratios equal to or greater than 0.3 (Supplementary Table S1). We

also discarded uORFs whose numbers of RefSeq RNAs containing both sequences similar to the uORF and its

associated mORF were less than 10, because appropriate evaluations of uORF-mORF fusion ratios were difficult

with a few related RefSeq RNAs and such uORFs are unlikely to be evolutionarily conserved. In the third step,

using the amino acid sequences of the remaining uORFs as queries, we performed uORF-tBLASTn searches

against the plant transcript sequence database containing assembled EST/transcriptome shotgun assembly (TSA)

contigs, singleton EST/TSA sequences and RefSeq RNAs. We selected uORF-tBLASTn hits derived from

different orders from that of each query uORF sequence. Plant EST and TSA databases can include contaminant

sequences from other organisms, such as parasites, plant-feeding insects and infectious microorganisms. We

checked the possibility that the selected uORF-tBLASTn hits included contaminant EST/TSA sequences, using

BLASTn searches. The BLASTn searches were performed using each uORF-tBLASTn hit EST/TSA sequence

as a query against EST/TSA and RefSeq RNA sequences from all organisms, with an E-value cutoff of 10^{-100} and

an identity threshold of 95%. Contaminant EST/TSA sequences were identified by this analysis, as described in

Materials and Methods, and removed from the uORF-tBLASTn hits. In the fourth step, the remaining

uORF-tBLASTn hit sequences were subjected to mORF-tBLASTn analysis, and transcript sequences

homologous to the corresponding original uORF-containing transcripts were extracted. We selected uORFs

whose tBLASTn hit sequences were found in homologs from at least two orders other than that of the original

uORF. Then, amino acid sequence alignments of the selected uORFs and their homologs were generated, using a

putative homologous uORF sequence from each order in which uORF-tBLASTn and mORF-tBLASTn hits

were found. When multiple original uORFs derived from splice variants of the same gene partially or completely

shared amino acid sequences, the one with the longest conserved region was manually selected based on the

uORF amino acid sequence alignments. In the fifth step, the remaining uORFs were subjected to K_a/K_s analysis.

The uORFs with a K_a/K_s ratio less than 0.5 showing a significant difference from that of the negative control ($q <$

0.05) were selected as candidate CPuORFs (Supplementary Table S1). In *Arabidopsis*, rice, tomato, poplar and

grape, 104, 59, 42, 148, and 79 candidate CPuORFs were extracted, respectively. Of these, 87 *Arabidopsis*, 53

rice, 30 tomato, 77 poplar, and 44 grape uORFs belong to the previously identified CPuORF families, homology

groups (HGs) 1 to 53 [20, 22-25] (Supplementary Table S1). The amino acid sequences of the remaining

candidate CPuORFs are not similar to those of the known CPuORFs. Therefore, 17, 6, 12, 71, and 35 novel

candidate CPuORFs were extracted from *Arabidopsis*, rice, tomato, poplar, and grape, respectively.

Validation of the candidate CPuORFs

If the amino acid sequence of a uORF is evolutionarily conserved because of the function of the uORF-encoded

peptide, it is expected that the amino acid sequence in the functionally important region of the peptide is

conserved among the uORF and its orthologous uORF sequences. Therefore, we manually checked whether the

amino acid sequences in the same region are conserved among uORF sequences in the alignment of each of the

novel candidate CPuORFs. We found that the alignments of 13 novel candidate CPuORFs contain sequences that

do not share the consensus amino acid sequence in the conserved region, and removed these sequences from the

alignments. We also removed sequences derived from genes not related to the corresponding original

uORF-containing gene from the alignments of five novel candidate CPuORFs. When these changes resulted in

the number of orders containing the uORF-tBLASTn and mORF-tBLASTn hits becoming less than two, the

candidate CPuORFs were discarded. Eight novel candidate CPuORFs were discarded for this reason.

Supplementary Figure S1 shows the uORF amino acid sequence alignments without the removed sequences.

K_a/K_s ratios were recalculated after the removal of the sequences (Supplementary Table S1), and six additional

novel candidate CPuORFs were discarded because their K_a/K_s ratios were greater than 0.5.

Using genomic position information from Ensembl Plants (http://plants.ensembl.org/index.html) [30] and

Phytozome v12.1 (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html) [31], we manually checked whether the

positions of the remaining novel candidate CPuORFs overlap with those of the mORFs of other genes or the

mORFs of splice variants of the same genes. We found that the genomic position of the candidate CPuORF of the

Arabidopsis ROA1 (AT1G60200) gene overlaps with that of an intron in the mORF region of a splice variant.

Protein sequences with an N-terminal region similar to the amino acid sequence encoded by the 5′-extended region

of the mORF in this splice variant are found in most of orders from which the uORF-tBALASTn and

mORF-tBLASTn hits of this candidate CPuORF were extracted, suggesting that the splice variant carrying the

5′-extended mORF is not a minor transcript form among the homologs. Therefore, this candidate CPuORF was

discarded.

In the second ESUCA step, we excluded uORF sequences likely to encode parts of the mORF-encoded

proteins, by removing uORFs with high uORF-mORF fusion ratios. To confirm that the novel candidate

CPuORFs do not encode parts of the mORF-encoded proteins, each of the putative uORF sequences used for the

alignment and K_a/K_s analysis of each novel candidate CPuORF was queried against the UniProt protein database

(https://www.uniprot.org/), using BLASTx. When putative uORF sequences related to a candidate CPuORF

matched protein sequences with low *E*-values, we manually checked whether amino acid sequences similar to

those encoded by the putative uORFs were included within mORF-encoded protein sequences. In this analysis,

mORF-encoded proteins containing N-terminal sequences similar to the amino acid sequences encoded by the

candidate CPuORFs of the rice *OsUAM2* gene and its poplar ortholog, POPTR_0019s07850, were identified in

many orders. This suggests that the sequences encoded by these candidate CPuORFs are likely to function as

parts of the mORF-encoded proteins. Therefore, we excluded these candidate CPuORFs. For some other novel

candidate CPuORFs, mORF-encoded proteins containing sequences similar to those encoded by the candidate

CPuORF and/or its homologous putative uORFs were also found. However, we did not exclude these candidate

CPuORFs, because such uORF-mORF fusion type proteins were only found in a few species for each candidate.

After manual validation, 12, 4, 11, 70, and 34 uORFs were identified as novel CPuORFs in *Arabidopsis*, rice,

tomato, poplar and grape, respectively. Among these novel CPuORFs, those with both similar uORF amino acid

sequences and homologous mORF sequences were classified into the same HGs. Also, using OrthoFinder ver.

1.1.4 [32], an algorithm for ortholog group inference, we classified the genes containing novel CPuORFs and

those containing previously identified CPuORFs into orthologous groups. The same HG number with a different

sub-number was assigned to CPuORFs in genes of the same orthologous group with dissimilar uORF sequences

(e.g. HG56.1 and HG56.2). Of the newly identified CPuORF genes, six were classified into the same orthologous

groups as genes containing previously identified CPuORFs, but the amino acid sequences of these six CPuORFs

are dissimilar to those of the known CPuORFs. Including this type of CPuORF, we identified 131 novel

CPuORFs belonging to 88 novel HGs, HG2.2, HG9.2, HG16.2, HG43.2, HG50.2, HG52.2 and HG54-HG130

(Supplementary Table S1).

Determination of the taxonomic range of CPuORF sequence conservation

As the final ESUCA step, we determined the taxonomic range of the sequence conservation of each CPuORF

identified, including previously identified CPuORFs. For this purpose, the uORF-tBLASTn and

mORF-tBLASTn hit sequences selected for the K_a/K_s analysis and alignment generation and retained after

manual validation were classified into 13 plant taxonomic categories (See Materials and Methods for details.),

based on taxonomic lineage information of EST, TSA, and RefSeq RNA sequences. Supplementary Table S2

shows the taxonomic range of sequence conservation for each CPuORF. In this table, the presence of the

classified transcript sequences in each of the 13 categories is indicated by highlighting cells in red, and the

numbers of orders from which the classified transcript sequences are derived are indicated in cells of each

category. Figure 5 shows the taxonomic range of the sequence conservation of each HG. In this figure, the

conservation range of CPuORFs belonging to each HG is indicated as lines with colors showing species from

which the original uORF sequences are derived. In general, CPuORFs belonging to previously identified HGs

tend to be conserved in a wider range of taxonomic categories than those belonging to the newly identified HGs.

In 69 of the novel HGs, CPuORF sequences are conserved only among eudicots. In 12 of these, CPuORF

sequences are conserved in even narrower taxonomic ranges, only among rosids or asterids. In 44 of the 69

eudicot-conserved novel HGs, the CPuORF sequences are conserved both in rosids and asterids, indicating that

these CPuORFs are conserved across diverse eudicots. These results indicate that the taxonomic range of

CPuORF sequence conservation varies, and that ESUCA can identify CPuORFs conserved in a relatively narrow

taxonomic range.

Sequence-dependent effects of CPuORFs on mORF translation

To address the relationship between the taxonomic range of CPuORF sequence conservation and the

sequence-dependent effects of CPuORFs on mORF translation, we selected eight poplar CPuORFs and

examined their sequence-dependent effects on expression of the downstream reporter gene using a transient

expression assay. Of the selected CPuORFs, those belonging to HG46, HG55, HG57 and HG66 are conserved

across diverse angiosperms (Fig. 5, Supplementary Table S2). HG66 is even conserved in gymnosperm and

Polypodiopsida. The CPuORFs belonging to HG80, HG81 and HG87 are conserved in a wide range of eudicots,

whereas the CPuORF belonging to HG88 is conserved only among rosids (Fig. 5, Supplementary Table S2). The

5´-UTR sequences containing the selected CPuORFs were fused to the Fluc coding sequence and placed under

the control of the 35S promoter to generate the wild-type (WT) reporter constructs (Fig. 6a, Supplementary

Figure S2). To assess the importance of the amino acid sequences for the effects of these CPuORFs on mORF

translaion, frameshift mutations were introduced into the CPuORFs so that the amino acid sequences of their

conserved regions could be altered. A + 1 or − 1 frameshift was introduced upstream or within the conserved

region of each CPuORF, and another frameshift was introduced before the stop codon to shift the reading frame

back to the original frame (Supplementary Figure S2). These reporter constructs were each transfected into

protoplasts from *A. thaliana* MM2d suspension-cultured cells. After 24 h of incubation, cells were harvested and

disrupted to analyze luciferase activity. In three of the eight CPuORFs, the introduced frameshift mutations

significantly upregulated the expression of the reporter gene, indicating that these CPuORFs repress mORF

translation in a sequence-dependent manner (Fig. 6b). This result suggests that CPuORFs conserved only among

eudicots can have a sequence-dependent regulatory effect.

Discussion

In this study, we developed ESUCA, a pipeline for genome-wide identification of CPuORFs and determination

of the taxonomic range of CPuORF sequence conservation. By applying ESUCA to five plant genomes, we

identified 131 CPuORFs belonging to 88 novel HGs. Furthermore, we showed that three of seven novel

CPuORFs conserved in a wide range of eudicots have a sequence-dependent regulatory effect on mORF

translation. Therefore, this study demonstrates that by applying ESUCA to many species it can be used to identify

many CPuORFs and efficiently select those likely to encode regulatory peptides.

Identification of CPuORFs and determination of the taxonomic range of CPuORF sequence conservation

by ESUCA

Of the 88 novel CPuORF HGs identified in this study, 70 were identified in ESUCA analysis of only one of

Arabidopsis, rice, tomato, poplar or grape (Fig. 5). This means that CPuORFs belonging to these HGs cannot be

identified by comparing uORF sequences of orthologous genes between two of these five species. This result

highlights that ESUCA can identify CPuORFs that are difficult to find by conventional comparative genomic

approaches in which uORF sequences are compared between selected species. In 41 of 70 HGs identified by

ESUCA analysis of only one of the five plant species, CPuORF sequences are conserved in both rosids and

asterids, two major groups of eudicots, indicating that these CPuORFs are conserved across diverse eudicots (Fig.

5). Nevertheless, CPuORFs belonging to 30 of these 41 HGs were extracted by ESUCA analysis of only one of

Arabidopsis, tomato or poplar, which belong to rosids or asterids (Fig. 5). In addition, 11 of 20 novel HGs

identified by only ESUCA analysis of grape, which belongs to eudicots other than rosids and asterids, are

conserved across diverse eudicots (Fig. 5). Also, among novel HGs identified by ESUCA analysis of only rice or

tomato, HG75 and HG77 are conserved in a wide range of eudicots (Fig. 5). One possible explanation of these

observations is that sequence conservation of uORFs is often lost in small taxonomic groups such as orders or

families. For example, while the CPuORF of the tomato LOC101264451 gene, which belongs to HG43.1, exerts

a sequence-dependent repressive effect on mORF translation, the CPuORF of its *Arabidopsis* ortholog,

ANAC096, lacks the C-terminal half of the amino acid sequence in the highly conserved region and does not have

a sequence-dependent regulatory effect, although it was extracted as a CPuORF due to its partially conserved

sequence [25-27]. In contrast to the *Arabidopsis ANAC096* CPuORF, the HG43.1 CPuORF of *Tarenaya*

hassleriana, which belongs to the same order as *Arabidopsis*, Brassicales, but a different family, has all the critical

amino acid residues in the highly conserved region. Another example is found in the CPuORFs belonging to

HG32. HG32 CPuORFs are widely conserved across Spermatophyta, including papaya (*Caryca papaya*) and *T.*

hassleriana, which belong to the Caricaceae and Cleomaceae families of the Brassicales order, respectively.

However, *Arabidopsis* orthologs of HG32 CPuORF-containing genes have no uORF with an amino acid

sequence similar to those of HG32 CPuORFs, although their 5′-UTR sequences contain nucleotide sequences

resembling parts of the papaya and *T. hassleriana* HG32 CPuORFs [25]. CPuORFs involved in preferable but

not essential post-transcriptional regulations may be lost in some taxonomic clades during evolution. Such

CPuORFs would be difficult to be identified by comparing uORF sequences between a few selected species if a

selected species is included in the clades where the CPuORF sequences were lost. Therefore, the ESUCA method

is advantageous for comprehensive identification of CPuORFs compared with conventional comparative

genomic approaches.

We examined effects of eight poplar CPuORFs on mORF translation using transient expression assays. Two

of four CPuORFs conserved beyond eudicots showed a sequence-dependent repressive effect, whereas one of

three CPuORFs conserved among diverse eudicots showed a sequence-dependent repressive effect (Fig. 6b).

Previously, we examined the effects of 16 CPuORFs, which belong to HG27-HG29, HG33-HG43.1, HG44, and

HG45, on mORF translation and identified six sequence-dependent regulatory uORFs. In this analysis, five of

eleven CPuORFs conserved beyond eudicots showed sequence-dependent repressive effects, whereas one of five

CPuORFs conserved among diverse eudicots showed a sequence-dependent repressive effect [26, 27]. These

results suggest that CPuORFs conserved among diverse eudicots are likely to encode functional peptides, and

that CPuORFs conserved beyond eudicots are even more likely to encode functional peptides. These studies

demonstrate that the automatic determination of CPuORF sequence conservation taxonomic range by ESUCA is

useful for the efficient selection of CPuORFs likely to encode functional peptides.

Of the CPuORFs analyzed for their sequence-dependent regulatory effects in the present study, the CPuORFs

belonging to HG55 and HG66 showed no significant sequence-dependent effect on mORF translation, despite

their widespread sequence conservation beyond eudicots (Figs. 5 and 6b). These CPuORFs might encode

peptides that have functions other than the control of mORF translation, or they might exert sequence-dependent

regulatory effects only under certain conditions. In fact, many known sequence-dependent regulatory uORFs

repress mORF translation in response to metabolites, such as polyamine, arginine, and sucrose (15-18,45).

Likewise, it is possible that the CPuORFs belonging to HG80 and HG81, which showed no significant

sequence-dependent regulatory effect despite their conservation across diverse eudicots (Figs. 5 and 6b), might

encode peptides that have other functions or might exert a sequence-dependent regulatory effect only under

specific conditions.

Filtering using the uORF-mORF fusion ratio

In many genes, there are splice variants in which the protein-coding mORF is split into two or more ORFs. The

ORF encoding the N-terminal region of the protein in each of such splice variants appears like a uORF and can

be extracted as a CPuORF if the amino acid sequence in the N-terminal region of the protein is evolutionarily

conserved. It is difficult to distinguish between this type of 'spurious' CPuORFs and 'true' CPuORFs because

even 'true' CPuORF-containing genes produce splice variants in which a CPuORF is fused to the mORF, as seen

in the At2g31280, At5g01710, and At5g03190 genes [20, 25, 28]. To automatically distinguish between

'spurious' and 'true' CPuORFs, we employed the criterion of the uORF-mORF fusion ratio in ESUCA and

discarded uORFs with uORF-mORF fusion ratios equal to or greater than 0.3. We checked how efficiently the

'spurious' CPuORFs were removed with this criterion, using a protein sequence database. Although

uORF-mORF fusion type protein sequences were found in OsUAM2 homologs from widespread angiosperm

species, no other candidate CPuORFs were extracted in which uORF-mORF fusion type protein sequences were

found in many of species with sequences related to the candidate CPuORFs. This indicates that the uORF-mORF

fusion ratio filtering worked efficiently to exclude 'spurious' CPuORFs that encode parts of the mORF-encoded

proteins.

Of the CPuORFs with previously demonstrated sequence-dependent regulatory effects, the HG3 CPuORFs,

associated with an mORF encoding an *S*-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase (AdoMetDC; EC 4.1.1.50) [20, 33],

tended to show relatively high uORF-mORF fusion ratios, although still below 0.3 (Supplementary Table S1). In

this study, eleven CPuORFs belonging to this HG were extracted using ESUCA and the uORF-mORF fusion

ratios of these CPuORFs were in the range of 0.17 to 0.27, with a median value of 0.26 (Supplementary Table

S1). Consistent with these relatively high uORF-mORF fusion ratios, uORF-mORF fusion type protein

sequences containing amino acid sequences resembling HG3 CPuORFs are found in widespread angiosperm

species. The uORF-mORF fusion ratios of the candidate CPuORFs of rice *OsUAM2* and its poplar ortholog are

0.28 (Supplementary Table S1). The rice *OsUAM2* gene encodes a protein similar to UDP-arabinopyranose

mutases (EC 5.4.99.30) [34]. Two protein isoforms, a uORF-mORF fusion type and one lacking the

uORF-encoded region, produced from splice variants of a *OsUAM2* orthologous gene are found in diverse

angiosperm species, but the functions of both of isoforms are not yet known. Considering the example of HG3

CPuORFs, we cannot rule out the possibility that a candidate CPuORF functions as a regulatory uORF even if

uORF-mORF fusion type protein sequences are found in widespread species. However, to avoid including

potential 'spurious' CPuORFs whose amino acid sequences are likely to be evolutionarily conserved due to their

function as N-terminal regions of the mORF-encoded proteins, we excluded the candidate CPuORFs of the rice

OsUAM2 gene and its poplar ortholog. In conclusion, the criterion of the uORF-mORF fusion ratio used in this

study appears appropriate because all previously identified sequence-dependent regulatory CPuORFs were

extracted and most 'spurious' CPuORFs were removed.

Conclusion

The present study demonstrates that ESUCA enabled the identification of CPuORFs conserved in various

taxonomic ranges and allowed for the efficient selection of CPuORFs likely to function as regulatory uORFs.

This study also shows that CPuORF sequence conservation appears to be often lost during evolution, and that

ESUCA can identify CPuORFs conserved only among limited taxonomic groups. Therefore, it is expected that

additional novel angiosperm CPuORFs will be identified if ESUCA is applied to angiosperm species other than

those analyzed in this study. Moreover, applying ESUCA to other organisms will contribute to the

comprehensive identification of potential regulatory uORFs. Furthermore, in addition to CPuORFs, the

algorithms developed in this study can be applied to the identification of other sequences conserved in various

taxonomic ranges.

Materials and methods

Extraction of uORF sequences

We used genome sequence files in FASTA format and genomic coordinate files in GFF3 format obtained from

Ensemble Plants Release 33 (https://plants.ensembl.org/index.html) [30] to extract *Arabidopsis* (*Arabidopsis*

thaliana), tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*), poplar (*Populus trichocarpa*), and grape (*Vitis vinifera*) uORF

sequences. We used a genome sequence file in FASTA format and a genomic coordinate files in GFF3 format

obtained from Phytozome v11 (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html) [31] for rice (*Oryza sativa*). We

extracted exon sequences from genome sequences, based on genomic coordinate information, and constructed

transcript sequence datasets by combining exon sequences. Based on the transcription start site and the translation

initiation codon of each transcript in the genomic coordinate files, we extracted 5´-UTR sequences from the

transcript sequence datasets. Then, we searched the 5´-UTR sequences for an ATG codon and its nearest

downstream in-frame stop codon. Sequences starting with an ATG codon and ending with the nearest in-frame

stop codon were extracted as uORF sequences. When multiple uORFs in a transcript shared the same stop codon,

only the longest uORF sequence was used for further analyses.

Assembly of EST and TSA sequences

EST, TSA, and RefSeq RNA sequence datasets were obtained from the International Nucleotide Sequence

Database Collaboration databases (NCBI release of 2016-12-03 and DDBJ release 106.0 for EST and TSA

sequences, NCBI release 79 for RefSeq RNA sequences). Based on taxonomic lineage information provided by

NCBI Taxonomy (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/taxonomy), EST and TSA sequences derived from

Viridiplantae were extracted from the databases. EST and TSA sequences from the same species were assembled

using Velvet ver. 1.2.10 [35] with k-mer length of 99. The k-mer length was optimized using *A. thaliana* EST and

TSA sequences to minimize the total numbers of assembled contigs and unclustered singleton sequences.

Unclustered singleton EST/TSA sequences, derived from species for which RefSeq RNA sequences were

available, were mapped to the RefSeq RNA sequences using Bowtie2 ver. 2.2.9 [36] and the default parameters.

We discarded singleton EST/TSA sequences that matched any RefSeq RNA sequences from the same species.

We created a plant transcript sequence database for BLAST searches in our local computers by using

makeblastdb, a program contained in the NCBI-BLAST package, and the remaining singleton EST/TSA

sequences, assembled contigs, and Viridiplantae RefSeq RNA sequences.

419 Calculation of the uORF-mORF fusion ratio

The uORF-mORF fusion ratio of each of the extracted uORFs was assessed as follows. We performed tBLASTx

using each uORF sequence as a query against plant (Viridiplantae) RefSeq RNA sequences with an E-value

cutoff of 2000 (uORF-tBLASTx). We used standalone NCBI-BLAST + ver. 2.6.0 [37] for all BLAST analyses.

We next performed tBLASTx with an E-value threshold of 10^{-1} using the mORF sequence associated with each

uORF as a query against the uORF-tBLASTx hit sequences (mORF-tBLASTx). Using these two-step tBLASTx

searches, we selected RefSeq RNAs containing both sequences similar to the uORF and the mORF of each

uORF-containing transcript. Then, we examined whether the largest ORF of each of the selected RefSeq RNAs

included the region matching the original mORF in the same reading frame (Fig. 2). We also examined whether

the largest ORF included the region matching the original uORF, irrespective of the reading frame. The RefSeq

RNA was considered to have a uORF-mORF fusion if both regions matching the original uORF and mORF

were contained in the largest ORF (Fig. 2). The RefSeq RNA was considered to have a uORF separated from the

downstream mORF if the region matching the original mORF was contained in the largest ORF but the region

matching the original uORF was not (Fig. 2). RefSeq RNA numbers of the former and latter types were defined

as X and Y, respectively. We calculated a uORF-mORF fusion ratio as $X / (X + Y)$ for each of the original

uORF-containing transcript sequences.

436 BLAST-based search for uORFs conserved between homologous genes

To search for uORFs with amino acid sequences conserved between homologous genes, we first performed

tBLASTn searches against the assembled plant transcript sequence database, using the amino acid sequences of

the uORFs as queries. In these uORF-tBLASTn searches, we extracted transcript sequences matching a uORF

with an *E*-value less than 2000 and derived from orders other than that of the uORF. The downstream in-frame

stop codon closest to the 5′-end of the matching region of each uORF-tBLASTn hit sequence was selected (Fig.

3). Then, we looked for an in-frame ATG codon upstream of the selected stop codon, without any other in-frame

stop codon between them. uORF-tBLASTn hit sequences without such an ATG codon were discarded. If one or

more in-frame ATG codons were identified, the 5′-most ATG codon was selected. The ORF beginning with the

selected ATG codon and ending with the selected stop codon was extracted as a putative uORF (Fig. 3). The

downstream sequences of putative uORFs were subjected to another tBLASTn analysis to examine whether the

transcripts were derived from homologs of the gene containing the original uORF. In this analysis, the amino acid

sequence of the mORF associated with the original uORF was used as a query sequence, and transcript

sequences matching the mORF with an *E*-value less than 10^{-1} were extracted. For each of the uORF-tBLASTn

and mORF-tBLASTn hit sequences, the upstream in-frame stop codon closest to the 5′-end of the region

matching the original mORF was selected, and the 5′-most in-frame ATG codon located downstream of the

selected stop codon was identified as the putative mORF initiation codon (Fig. 3). uORF-tBLASTn and

mORF-tBLASTn hit sequences were discarded as uORF-mORF fusion type sequences if the putative mORF

overlapped with the putative uORF. The original uORF was selected as a candidate CPuORF if the remaining

uORF-tBLASTn and mORF-tBLASTn hits belonged to more than two orders, including that from which the

original uORF was derived.

Identification of contaminant ESTs and TSAs

In the uORF-tBLASTn searches described above, we excluded tBLASTn hit ESTs and TSAs derived from

contaminated organisms. To examine whether each uORF-tBLASTn hit sequence is derived from contaminated

organisms, we performed BLASTn searches against EST, TSA, and RefSeq RNA sequences from all organisms

except for those of metagenomes, using each uORF-tBLASTn hit EST/TSA sequence as a query. If a

uORF-tBLASTn hit EST/TSA sequence matched an EST, TSA, or RefSeq RNA sequence of a different order

from the species of the uORF-tBLASTn hit, with an *E*-value less than 10^{-100} and an identity equal to or greater

than 95%, it was considered a candidate contaminant sequence. In this case, either the uORF-tBLASTn hit or the

BLASTn hit may be a contaminant sequence. To distinguish these possibilities, we compared the ratio of the

BLASTn hit number to the total EST/TSA and RefSeq RNA sequence number between the species of each

uORF-tBLASTn hit and the species of its BLASTn hits. Appropriate comparisons are difficult unless species

used for this comparison have enough number of EST/TSA and RefSeq RNA sequences. Therefore, if the total

EST/TSA and RefSeq RNA sequence number of a species is less than 5000, BLASTn hits derived from the

species were not used for this analysis. If the ratio of the BLASTn hit number to the total EST/TSA and RefSeq

RNA sequence number of a uORF-tBLASTn hit species is less than that of any other BLASTn hit species, the

uORF-tBLAST hit sequence was identified as a contaminant sequence.

K_a/K_s analysis

For K_a/K_s analysis of each candidate CPuORF, a putative uORF sequence was selected from each order in which

uORF-tBLASTn and mORF-tBLASTn hits were found, using the following criteria. First, we selected transcript

sequences matching the mORF associated with the candidate CPuORF with an *E*-value less than 10^{-20} in

mORF-tBLASTn analysis. Of these sequences, we then selected the one with the smallest geometric means of

mORF-tBLASTn and uORF-tBLASTn *E*-values. When mORF-tBLASTn *E*-values of all uORF-tBLASTn and

mORF-tBLASTn hits in an order were equal to or greater than 10^{-20}, we selected the transcript sequence with the

smallest geometric means of mORF-tBLASTn and uORF-tBLASTn *E*-values in the order. Putative uORF

sequences in the selected transcript sequences were used to generate uORF amino acid sequence alignments as

well as for K_a/K_s analysis. ClustalW ver. 2.1 [38], on the DDBJ server, was used to generate multiple alignments

in our previous study [25]. Here, we used standalone Clustal Omega (ClustalO) ver. 1.2.2 [39] because it is

superior to ClustalW in terms of calculation speed and alignment accuracy [39]. For each candidate CPuORF, a

median K_a/K_s ratio for all pairwise combinations of the original uORF and its homologous putative uORFs was

calculated using the LWL85 algorithm [40] in the seqinR package [41].

For statistical tests of K_a/K_s ratios, we calculated distribution of mutation rates between the original uORF and

its homologous putative uORFs and those between the original uORF and its artificially generated mutants, using

the observed mutation rate distribution. Then, observed empirical K_a/K_s ratio distributions were compared with

null distributions (negative controls) using the Mann-Whitney *U* test to validate statistical significance. The

one-sided *U* test was used to investigate whether the observed distributions were significantly lower than the null

distributions. Adjustment for multiple comparisons was achieved by controlling the false discovery rate using the

Benjamini and Hochberg procedure [42].

Determination of the taxonomic range of uORF sequence conservation

To automatically determine the taxonomic range of the sequence conservation of each CPuORF, we first defined

13 plant taxonomic categories. The 13 taxonomic defined categories are lamiids, asterids other than lamiids,

mavids, fabids, eudicots other than rosids and asterids, commelinids, monocots other than commelinids,

Angiospermae other than eudicots and monocots, Gymnospermae, Polypodiopsida, Embryophyta other than

Euphyllophyta, Streptophyta other than Embryophyta, and Viridiplantae other than Streptophyta. Based on

taxonomic lineage information of EST, TSA, and RefSeq RNA sequences, which were provided by NCBI

Taxonomy, the uORF-tBLASTn and mORF-tBLASTn hit sequences selected for K_a/K_s analysis were classified

into the 13 taxonomic categories (Fig. 4). It should be noted that, in NCBI taxonomy, eudicots, Angiospermae,

and Ggymnospermae are referred to as eudicotyledons, Magnoliophyta, and Acrogymnospermae, respectively.

For each CPuORF, the numbers of transcript sequences classified into each category were counted and shown in

Supplementary Table S2. These numbers represent the numbers of orders in which the amino acid sequence of

each CPuORF is conserved.

Statistical and informatic analyses

All programs, except for existing stand-alone programs, such as BLAST [37], ClustalO [39] and Jalview [43],

were written in R (www.r-project.org). We also used R libraries, GenomicRanges [44], exactRankTests,

Biostrings and seqinr [41].

Plasmid construction

Plasmid pNH006 harbors the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S RNA (35S) promoter, the firefly luciferase (Fluc)

coding sequence, and the polyadenylation signal of the *A. thaliana HSP18.2* gene in pUC19. To construct this

plasmid, pMT61 [26] was digested with *Sal*I and *Sac*I, and the *Sal*I-*Sac*I fragment containing the Fluc coding

sequence was ligated into the *Sal*I and *Sac*I sites between the 35S promoter and the *HSP18.2* polyadenylation

signal of plasmid pKM56 [45]. To generate reporter plasmids (pNH92-pNH99) for transient expression assays,

the 5′-UTR sequences of eight poplar genes were amplified by PCR from poplar (*Populus nigra*) full-length

cDNA clones pds25559, pds10965, pds14390, pds13862, pds15817, pds28294, pds26157 and pds14623

(Supplementary Table S3), obtained from RIKEN [46]. Primer sets used are shown in Supplementary Tables S4

and S5. To construct pNH92, pNH94-pNH97 and pNH99, amplified fragments containing the 5´-UTR

sequences were digested with *Xba*I and *Sal*I and ligated between the *Xba*I and *Sal*I sites of pNH006. To create

pNH93 and pNH98, amplified fragments containing the 5´-UTR sequences were inserted between the *Xba*I and

*Sal*I sites of pNH006 using the SLiCE method [47]. Frameshift mutations were introduced into each CPuORF

using overlap extension PCR [48], with primers listed in Supplementary Tables S4 and S5, to yield

pNH100-pNH107. Sequence analysis confirmed the integrity of the PCR-amplified regions of all constructs.

Transient expression assay

Transient expression assays were performed as described in Hayashi *et al*. 2017 [45]. Protoplasts from

Arabidopsis MM2d suspension cells [49] were used, as were the reporter plasmids described above and the

pKM5 [45] internal control plasmid. pKM5 contains the 35S promoter, the *Renilla* luciferase (Rluc) coding

sequence, and the *NOS* polyadenylation signal in pUC19. For each experiment, 5 μg each of a reporter plasmid

and pKM5 were transfected into protoplasts.

Declarations

Funding

This work was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI [Grant Nos.

JP16H05063 to S.N., JP16K07387 to H.O., JP18H03330 to H.T and H.O., JP18K06297 to H.T]; the Ministry of

Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) KAKENHI [Grant Nos. JP26113519 to S.K,

JP16H01246 to S.K, JP17H05658 to S.N., JP26114703 to H.T, JP17H05659 to H.T]; the Research Foundation

for the Electrotechnology of Chubu to H.T; and the Naito Foundation to H.O.

Authors' contributions

HT, HO, SK, and SN conceived and designed the experiments. NH and YY performed the experiments. HT, HO,

TE, and AT analyzed the data. HT, NH, YY, AT, and KF contributed to reagents/materials/analysis tools. HO

and HT wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Acknowledgements

We thank Ms. Kazuko Harada for general assistance. We thank, Rebecca Porter, PhD, from Edanz Group (www.edanzediting.com/ac) for editing a draft of this manuscript.

List of abbreviations

35S: cauliflower mosaic virus 35S RNA; 5′-UTR: 5′-untranslated region; CPuORF: conserved peptide upstream open reading frame; EST: expressed sequence tag; Fluc: firefly luciferase; HG: homology group; mORF: main open reading frame; RefSeq: NCBI reference sequence; Rluc: Renilla luciferase; TSA: transcriptome shotgun assembly; uORF: upstream open reading frame

References

References

1.   Churbanov A, Rogozin IB, Babenko VN, Ali H, Koonin EV. Evolutionary conservation suggests a

regulatory function of AUG triplets in 5'-UTRs of eukaryotic genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005;

33:5512-5520.

2.   Galagan JE, Calvo SE, Cuomo C, Ma LJ, Wortman JR, Batzoglou S, et al. Sequencing of *Aspergillus*

nidulans and comparative analysis with *A. fumigatus* and *A. oryzae*. Nature 2005; 438:1105-1115.

3.   Kawaguchi R, Bailey-Serres J. mRNA sequence features that contribute to translational regulation in

Arabidopsis. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005; 33:955-965.

4.   Rogozin IB, Kochetov AV, Kondrashov FA, Koonin EV, Milanesi L. Presence of ATG triplets in 5'

untranslated regions of eukaryotic cDNAs correlates with a 'weak' context of the start codon.

Bioinformatics 2001; 17:890-900.

5.   Morris DR, Geballe AP. Upstream open reading frames as regulators of mRNA translation. Mol. Cell

Biol. 2000; 20:8635-8642.

6.   Cruz-Vera LR, Sachs MS, Squires CL, Yanofsky C. Nascent polypeptide sequences that influence

ribosome function. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 2011; 14:160-166.

7.   Ito K, Chiba S. Arrest peptides: cis-acting modulators of translation. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2013;

82:171-202.

8.   Somers J, Poyry T, Willis AE. A perspective on mammalian upstream open reading frame function. Int.

J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 2013; 45:1690-1700.

9.   Bhushan S, Meyer H, Starosta AL, Becker T, Mielke T, Berninghausen O, et al. Structural basis for

translational stalling by human cytomegalovirus and fungal arginine attenuator peptide. Mol. Cell 2010;

40:138-146.

10.   Wang Z, Sachs MS. Ribosome stalling is responsible for arginine-specific translational attenuation in

Neurospora crassa. Mol. Cell Biol. 1997; 17:4904-4913.

11.   Gaba A, Jacobson A, Sachs MS. Ribosome occupancy of the yeast *CPA1* upstream open reading frame

604   termination codon modulates nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. Mol. Cell 2005; 20:449-460.

605   12.   Uchiyama-Kadokura N, Murakami K, Takemoto M, Koyanagi N, Murota K, Naito S, et al.

606   Polyamine-responsive ribosomal arrest at the stop codon of an upstream open reading frame of the

607   AdoMetDC1 gene triggers nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell

608   Physiol. 2014; 55:1556-1567.

609   13.   Wang Z, Gaba A, Sachs MS. A highly conserved mechanism of regulated ribosome stalling mediated

610   by fungal arginine attenuator peptides that appears independent of the charging status of arginyl-tRNAs.

611   J. Biol. Chem. 1999; 274:37565-37574.

612   14.   Law GL, Raney A, Heusner C, Morris DR. Polyamine regulation of ribosome pausing at the upstream

613   open reading frame of S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase. J. Biol. Chem. 2001; 276:38036-38043.

614   15.   Hanfrey C, Elliott KA, Franceschetti M, Mayer MJ, Illingworth C, Michael AJ. A dual upstream open

615   reading frame-based autoregulatory circuit controlling polyamine-responsive translation. J. Biol. Chem.

616   2005; 280:39229-39237.

617   16.   Yamashita Y, Takamatsu S, Glasbrenner M, Becker T, Naito S, Beckmann R. Sucrose sensing through

618   nascent peptide-meditated ribosome stalling at the stop codon of Arabidopsis *bZIP11* uORF2. FEBS

619   Lett. 2017; 591:1266-1277.

620   17.   Rahmani F, Hummel M, Schuurmans J, Wiese-Klinkenberg A, Smeekens S, Hanson J. Sucrose control

621   of translation mediated by an upstream open reading frame-encoded peptide. Plant Physiol. 2009;

622   150:1356-1367.

623   18.   Combier JP, de Billy F, Gamas P, Niebel A, Rivas S. Trans-regulation of the expression of the

624   transcription factor MtHAP2-1 by a uORF controls root nodule development. Genes Dev. 2008;

625   22:1549-1559.

626   19.   Crowe ML, Wang XQ, Rothnagel JA. Evidence for conservation and selection of upstream open

627   reading frames suggests probable encoding of bioactive peptides. BMC genomics 2006; 7:16.

628   20.   Hayden CA, Jorgensen RA. Identification of novel conserved peptide uORF homology groups in

629   *Arabidopsis* and rice reveals ancient eukaryotic origin of select groups and preferential association with

630   transcription factor-encoding genes. BMC Biol. 2007; 5:32.

631   21.   Hayden CA, Bosco G. Comparative genomic analysis of novel conserved peptide upstream open

632   reading frames in *Drosophila melanogaster* and other dipteran species. BMC genomics 2008; 9:61.

633   22.   Tran MK, Schultz CJ, Baumann U. Conserved upstream open reading frames in higher plants. BMC

634   genomics 2008; 9:361.

635   23.   Vaughn JN, Ellingson SR, Mignone F, Arnim A. Known and novel post-transcriptional regulatory

636   sequences are conserved across plant families. Rna 2012; 18:368-384.

637   24.   van der Horst S, Snel B, Hanson J, Smeekens S. Novel pipeline identifies new upstream ORFs and

638   non-AUG initiating main ORFs with conserved amino acid sequences in the 5' leader of mRNAs in

639   *Arabidopsis thaliana*. RNA 2018:(in press).

640   25.   Takahashi H, Takahashi A, Naito S, Onouchi H. BAIUCAS: a novel BLAST-based algorithm for the

641   identification of upstream open reading frames with conserved amino acid sequences and its application

642   to the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome. Bioinformatics 2012; 28:2231-2241.

643   26.   Ebina I, Takemoto-Tsutsumi M, Watanabe S, Koyama H, Endo Y, Kimata K, et al. Identification of

644   novel *Arabidopsis thaliana* upstream open reading frames that control expression of the main coding

645   sequences in a peptide sequence-dependent manner. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015; 43:1562-1576.

646   27.   Noh AL, Watanabe S, Takahashi H, Naito S, Onouchi H. An upstream open reading frame represses

647   expression of a tomato homologue of Arabidopsis *ANAC096*, a NAC domain transcription factor gene,

648   in a peptide sequence-dependent manner. Plant Biotechnol. 2015; 32:157-163.

649   28.   Jorgensen RA, Dorantes-Acosta AE. Conserved Peptide Upstream Open Reading Frames are

650   Associated with Regulatory Genes in Angiosperms. Front. Plant Sci. 2012; 3:191.

651   29.   Pruitt KD, Tatusova T, Maglott DR. NCBI reference sequences (RefSeq): a curated non-redundant

652   sequence database of genomes, transcripts and proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007; 35:D61-D65.

30. Zerbino DR, Achuthan P, Akanni W, Amode MR, Barrell D, Bhai J, et al. Ensembl 2018. Nucleic

Acids Res. 2018; 46:D754-D761.

31. Goodstein DM, Shu S, Howson R, Neupane R, Hayes RD, Fazo J, et al. Phytozome: a comparative

platform for green plant genomics. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40:D1178-D1186.

32. Emms DM, Kelly S. OrthoFinder: solving fundamental biases in whole genome comparisons

dramatically improves orthogroup inference accuracy. Genome Biol. 2015; 16:157.

33. Franceschetti M, Hanfrey C, Scaramagli S, Torrigiani P, Bagni N, Burtin D, et al. Characterization of

monocot and dicot plant S-adenosyl-l-methionine decarboxylase gene families including identification

in the mRNA of a highly conserved pair of upstream overlapping open reading frames. Biochem. J.

2001; 353:403-409.

34. Konishi T, Takeda T, Miyazaki Y, Ohnishi-Kameyama M, Hayashi T, O'Neill MA, et al. A plant

mutase that interconverts UDP-arabinofuranose and UDP-arabinopyranose. Glycobiology 2007;

17:345-354.

35. Zerbino DR, Birney E. Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs.

Genome Res. 2008; 18:821-829.

36. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat. Methods 2012; 9:357-359.

37. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, et al. Gapped BLAST and

PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997;

25:3389-3402.

38. Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive

multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight

matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 1994; 22:4673-4680.

39. Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, et al. Fast, scalable generation of

high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol. Syst. Biol. 2011; 7:539.

40. Li WH. Unbiased estimation of the rates of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution. J. Mol. Evol.

1993; 36:96-99.

41.   Charif D, Lobry JR: SeqinR 1.0-2: a contributed package to the R project for statistical computing

devoted to biological sequences retrieval and analysis. In *Structural Approaches to Sequence Evolution:*

Molecules, Networks, Populations. Edited by Bastolla U, Porto M, Roman HE, Vendruscolo M. New

York: Springer Verlag; 2007: 207-232

42.   Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to

multiple testing. J. R. Statist. Soc. ser.B 1995; 57:298-300.

43.   Clamp M, Cuff J, Searle SM, Barton GJ. The Jalview Java alignment editor. Bioinformatics 2004;

20:426-427.

44.   Lawrence M, Huber W, Pages H, Aboyoun P, Carlson M, Gentleman R, et al. Software for computing

and annotating genomic ranges. PLoS Comput. Biol. 2013; 9:e1003118.

45.   Hayashi N, Sasaki S, Takahashi H, Yamashita Y, Naito S, Onouchi H. Identification of *Arabidopsis*

thaliana upstream open reading frames encoding peptide sequences that cause ribosomal arrest. Nucleic

Acids Res. 2017; 45:8844-8858.

46.   Nanjo T, Futamura N, Nishiguchi M, Igasaki T, Shinozaki K, Shinohara K. Characterization of

full-length enriched expressed sequence tags of stress-treated poplar leaves. Plant Cell Physiol. 2004;

45:1738-1748.

47.   Motohashi K. Seamless Ligation Cloning Extract (SLiCE) Method Using Cell Lysates from Laboratory

Escherichia coli Strains and its Application to SLiP Site-Directed Mutagenesis. Methods Mol. Biol.

2017; 1498:349-357.

48.   Ho SN, Hunt HD, Horton RM, Pullen JK, Pease LR. Site-directed mutagenesis by overlap extension

using the polymerase chain reaction. Gene 1989; 77:51-59.

49.   Menges M, Murray JA. Synchronous *Arabidopsis* suspension cultures for analysis of cell-cycle gene

activity. Plant J. 2002; 30:203-212.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Outline of the ESUCA pipeline.

Figure 2. Schematic representation of the algorithm to calculate uORF-mORF fusion ratios. For each original uORF-containing transcript sequence, RefSeq RNAs are selected that match an original uORF sequence, irrespective of the reading frame, and the associated original mORF sequence in the same reading frame as the largest ORF of the RefSeq RNA, using tBLASTx. The shaded regions in the open boxes represent the matching regions from the tBLASTx searches. If the original uORF-matching region is within the largest ORF, the RefSeq RNA is considered a uORF-mORF fusion type, the number of which is defined as 'X'. If the original uORF-matching region is not within the largest ORF, the RefSeq RNA is considered a uORF-mORF separate type, the number of which is defined as 'Y'. For each of the original uORF-containing transcripts, the uORF-mORF fusion ratio is calculated as $X / (X + Y)$.

Figure 3. Schematic representation of BLAST-based search for uORFs conserved between homologous genes. In the third ESUCA step, tBLASTn searches are conducted against a transcript sequence database consisting of assembled EST/TSA contigs, unclustered singleton EST/TSA sequences and RefSeq RNAs, using original uORF sequences as queries (uORF-tBLASTn). The shaded regions in the open boxes show the matching regions from the tBLASTn searches. Asterisks represent stop codons. (i) The downstream in-frame stop codon closest to the 5′-end of the matching region of each uORF-tBLASTn hit sequence is selected. (ii) The 5′-most in-frame ATG codon located upstream of the stop codon is selected. The ORF beginning with the selected ATG codon and ending with the selected stop codon is extracted as a putative uORF. In the fourth ESUCA step, the downstream sequences of putative uORFs in the transcript sequences are subjected to mORF-tBLASTn analysis. Transcript sequences matching the mORF associated with the original uORF with an E-value less than 10^{-1} are extracted. (iii) For each of the uORF-tBLASTn and mORF-tBLASTn hit sequences, the upstream in-frame stop codon closest to the 5′-end of the matching region is selected. (iv) The 5′-most in-frame ATG codon located downstream

of the selected stop codon is identified as the initiation codon of the putative partial or intact mORF. If the putative

mORF overlaps with the putative uORF, the uORF-tBLASTn and mORF-tBLASTn hit sequence is discarded as

a uORF-mORF fusion type.

Figure 4. Schematic representation of the algorithm to determine the taxonomic range of uORF sequence

conservation. In the fifth ESUCA step, for K_a/K_s analysis of each of the remaining original uORF-containing

transcripts, a uORF-tBLASTn and mORF-tBLASTn hit sequence is selected from each order that has

uORF-tBLASTn and mORF-tBLASTn hits (See Materials and Methods for the criteria for the selection). In the

sixth ESUCA step, the selected transcript sequences are classified into the 13 plant taxonomic categories to

determine the taxonomic range of uORF sequence conservation, using taxonomic lineage information of EST,

TSA, and RefSeq RNA sequences.

Figure 5. Taxonomic range of the sequence conservation of the CPuORF families. The blue, yellow, red, green,

and purple lines show the conservation range of CPuORF HGs determined by applying ESUCA to *Arabidopsis*

(*Arabidopsis thaliana*), rice (*Oryza sativa*), tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*), poplar (*Populus trichocarpa*), and

grape (*Vitis vinifera*), respectively. The presence of a line within a cell in each taxonomic category indicates the

presence of uORF-tBLASTn and mORF-tBLASTn hits for any of the CPuORFs belonging to each HG. In

taxonomic categories with a category name with an asterisk, uORF-tBLASTn and mORF-tBLASTn hits found

in lower taxonomic categories were excluded. In the case where no uORF-tBLASTn and mORF-tBLASTn hit is

found in the taxonomic category containing a species from which an original uORF sequence is derived, the line

showing the species was still drawn in the cell of the taxonomic category because the CPuORF sequence is

conserved in the species with the original uORF. *Arabidopsis*, rice, tomato, poplar and grape belong to malvids,

commelinids, lamiids, fabids and eudicots*, respectively. HG1-HG53 are previously identified HGs, except for

HG2.2, HG9.2, HG16.2, HG43.2, HG50.2 and HG52.2, whereas HG2.2, HG9.2, HG16.2, HG43.2, HG50.2,

HG52.2 and HG54-HG130 are newly identified HGs.

Figure 6. Sequence-dependent effects of novel CPuORFs on main ORF translation. (A) Schematic

representation of the WT (*35S::UTR(WT):Fluc*) and frameshift mutant (*35S::UTR(fs):Fluc*) reporter constructs.

The 5′-UTR sequence containing each of the eight poplar CPuORFs was inserted between the 35S promoter

(*35Spro*) and the *Fluc* coding sequence. The hatched box in the frameshift (fs) mutant CPuORF indicates the

frame-shifted region. The dotted boxes represent the first five nucleotides of the mORF associated with each of

the eight poplar CPuORFs. See Supplementary Figure S2 for the exact position and length of each CPuORF and

the exact frame-shifted region. The polyadenylation signal of the *A. thaliana HSP18.2* gene is designated as 'ter'.

(B) Transient expression assay. Each reporter plasmid containing a WT or fs mutant CPuORF, belonging to an

indicated HG, was co-transfected into MM2d protoplasts with the *35S::Rluc* internal control plasmid by PEG

treatment. After incubation for 24 h, cells were collected and disrupted for dual luciferase assay. Fluc activity was

normalized to Rluc activity, and the activity relative to that of the corresponding WT reporter construct was

calculated. Means ± SD of three biological replicates are shown. Single and double asterisks indicate significant

differences between the WT and fs constructs at $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$, respectively, as determined by Student's

t-test. Representative results of two experiments using independently prepared protoplasts are shown.

Figure 1

Step 1: Extraction of uORF sequences from a transcript sequence dataset

Step 2: Calculation of uORF-mORF fusion ratios

uORF-mORF fusion ratio < 0.3

uORF-mORF fusion ratio ≥ 0.3

Discarded

Step 3: Homology searches of the uORF amino acid sequences against a transcript sequence database (uORF-tBLASTn)

uORFs with tBLASTn hits from the other orders

uORFs with no tBLASTn hits from the other orders

Discarded

Step 4: Selection of uORFs conserved between homologous genes (mORF-tBLASTn)

uORFs conserved between homologs from more than two orders

uORFs not conserved between homologs from more than two orders

Discarded

Step 5: Calculation of K_a/K_s ratios

K_a/K_s ratio < 0.5 and q < 0.05

K_a/K_s ratio ≥ 0.5 or q ≥ 0.05

Discarded

Step 6: Determination of the taxonomic range of uORF sequence conservation

Figure 2

Original uORF-containing transcript sequence

Original uORF-containing transcript sequence

$$\text{uORF-mORF fusion ratio} = \frac{X}{X + Y}$$

Figure 3

Original uORF-containing
transcript sequence

uORF-tBLASTn and mORF-tBLASTn
hit sequence (Assembled EST/TSA
and RefSeq RNA)

Figure 4

uORF-tBLASTn and mORF-tBLASTn hits selected for K_a/K_s analysis

Figure 5

Figure 5 (continued)

Figure 6

A

35S::UTR(WT):Fluc

35S::UTR(fs):Fluc

B