Protein features for assembly of the RNA editing helicase 2 subcomplex

(REH2C) in Trypanosome holo-editosomes

Vikas Kumar[1#], Pawan K. Doharey[1#], Shelly Gulati[2#], Joshua Meehan[1], Mary G. Martinez[1],

Karrisa Hughes[2], Blaine H.M. Mooers[2*] and Jorge Cruz-Reyes[1*]

[1] Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas,

United States of America.

[2] Department of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences

Center, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, United States of America.

* Corresponding author:

e-mail: cruzrey@tamu.edu

* Correspondence may also be addressed to:

e-mail: blaine-mooers@ouhsc.edu

# These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Uridylate insertion/deletion RNA editing in *Trypanosoma brucei* is a complex system that is not found in humans, so there is interest in targeting this system for drug development. This system uses hundreds of small non-coding guide RNAs (gRNAs) to modify the mitochondrial mRNA transcriptome. This process occurs in holo-editosomes that assemble several macromolecular *trans* factors around mRNA including the RNA-free RNA editing core complex (RECC) and auxiliary ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes. Yet, the regulatory mechanisms of editing remain obscure. The enzymatic accessory RNP complex, termed the REH2C, includes mRNA substrates and products, the multi-domain 240 kDa RNA Editing Helicase 2 (REH2) and an intriguing 8-zinc finger protein termed REH2-Associated Factor 1 ([H2]F1). Both of these proteins are essential in editing. REH2 is a member of the DExH/RHA subfamily of RNA helicases with a conserved C-terminus that includes a regulatory OB-fold domain. In trypanosomes, [H2]F1 recruits REH2 to the editing apparatus, and [H2]F1 downregulation causes REH2 fragmentation. Our systematic mutagenesis dissected determinants in REH2 and [H2]F1 for the assembly of REH2C, the stability of REH2, and the RNA-mediated association of REH2C with other editing *trans* factors. We identified functional OB-fold amino acids in eukaryotic DExH/RHA helicases that are conserved in REH2 and that impact the assembly and interactions of REH2C. [H2]F1 upregulation stabilized REH2 *in vivo*. Mutation of the core cysteines or basic amino acids in individual zinc fingers affected the stabilizing property of [H2]F1 but not its interactions with other examined editing components. This result suggests that most, if not all, fingers may contribute to REH2 stabilization. Finally, a recombinant REH2 (240 kDa) established that the full-length protein is a *bona fide* RNA helicase with ATP-dependent unwinding activity. REH2 is the only DExH/RHA-type helicase in kinetoplastid holo-editosomes.

Introduction

Kinetoplastid protozoa including *Trypanosoma brucei* are early-branching eukaryotes that require extensive insertion and deletion of uridylates in most mitochondrial mRNAs (reviewed in 1). This RNA editing is protein-catalyzed and directed by small guide RNAs (gRNAs) with complementarity to fully-edited mRNA. Most editing progresses in blocks that typically overlap and each block is directed by a single gRNA (2). The editing machinery includes multiple subcomplexes: the ~20S catalytic RNA editing core complex (RECC, also called the 20S editosome) and auxiliary editing RNPs. The ~15S REH2-associated complex (REH2C) characterized here includes RNA editing helicase 2 (REH2), REH2-associated protein factor 1 (^{H2}F1), ^{H2}F2, and mRNA substrates and products (3, 4). However, only REH2 and ^{H2}F1 participate in editing. REH2C interacts with a larger accessory editing RNP complex termed the RNA editing substrate binding complex (RESC), which also contains mRNA (5, 6). The presence of mRNA in RESC was confirmed by others (7, 8).

RESC contains two modules that are intimately associated with each other: the gRNA-binding complex (GRBC) typified by the GAP1/GAP2 (alias GRBC2/GRBC1) heterotetramer that binds and stabilizes gRNA, and the more loosely defined RNA editing mediator complex (REMC) (6). REMC is typified by RGG2 and promotes editing progression (8-10). Other mRNA *trans* factors include a subcomplex containing MRB6070 and MRB1590, and RNA helicase REH1 that associate with holo-editosomes via stable or transient RNA contacts, respectively (11-13). The finding that the editing RNPs carry mRNA and gRNA implied that mRNA-gRNA hybrids form on multi-RNP platforms, and that transient addition of the RECC enzyme to the substrate-loaded platforms completes the assembly of the holo-editosome (3-8).

The editing apparatus is further complicated by the occurrence of variants of GRBC, REMC and RECC that may be regulatory (reviewed in 1) but the precise control mechanisms in editing remain unclear. However, the helicase REH2C subcomplex may play an important

regulatory role. REH2 is the largest characterized member of the DExH/RNA helicase A (RHA)

subfamily of proteins (14). These proteins are monomeric and contain a conserved C-terminal

domain cluster with a characteristic auxiliary oligonucleotide-binding (OB fold) domain (3, 14-

16). The OB fold in some RNA helicases is known to bind protein regulators or mediate RNA-

dependent activation of these enzymes (17). DExH/RHA proteins have a N-terminus with a

variable domain organization of less clear function. The N-terminal half of the REH2 carries two

predicted double-stranded RNA binding domains: dsRBD1 and dsRBD2 (14). Other

characterized DExH/RHA-type proteins that carry two N-terminal dsRBDs are the RNA helicase

RHA (aka DHX9) in vertebrates and its orthologous RNA helicase MLE (*maleless*) in flies (18).

Recombinant versions of the REH2 N-terminal half and full-size octa-zinc finger [H2]F1 formed a

stable complex *in vitro* (4). However, [H2]F1 is an unusual binding partner of DExH/RHA proteins

that typically associate with G-patch proteins (17). A genetic knockdown of [H2]F1 prevented

RNA-mediated association of REH2 with other editing components and caused fragmentation of

REH2 *in vivo*. Those results indicated that [H2]F1 is an adaptor protein and may stabilize REH2

(4).

The current study identified features in REH2 and [H2]F1 that affect the binding of these

proteins with each other, the stability of REH2, and RNA-mediated stable or transient

association of the REH2C subcomplex with other editing components *in vivo*. About 20 different

protein variants were examined *in vivo*. Comparisons of the REH2 with other eukaryotic

DExH/RHA helicases identified conserved OB fold residues that affect the assembly and

interactions of REH2C and are also important in distant processes, namely mRNA splicing in

yeast, and the assembly of the dosage compensation complex in fly. [H2]F1 upregulation

stabilized the large REH2 polypeptide *in vivo*. However, mutation of individual zinc fingers

compromised the stabilizing property of [H2]F1 but not its association with REH2 or other

examined components of the editing apparatus. Most if not all fingers may contribute to REH2

stabilization. Finally, we used a recombinant protein construct to establish that the isolated full-

length REH2 (240 kDa) is a *bona fide* RNA helicase enzyme with ATP-dependent unwinding

activity. REH2C is most likely an enzymatic editing RNP in kinetoplastid holo-editosomes.

Results

Previous studies revealed that the native REH2C exhibits RNA-mediated interactions

with at least two variants of RESC (3-5). These variants contain gRNA and comparable levels of

GAP1 and RGG2, that typify GRBC and REMC, respectively. However, these variants differ

minimally in their relative content of the canonical MRB3010 in GRBC. Additional variations in

protein composition have been reported in purifications of RESC components by different labs

(reviewed in 1). Interestingly, native and genetically-induced changes in MRB3010 stoichiometry

may be common. While the precise reasons for these changes are unclear, we reasoned that

they reflect relevant dynamic changes in the assembly and function of the editing apparatus. In

this study, we examined protein features that affect the formation of REH2C, its stable

association with RESC components, and its transient contacts with the RECC enzyme. Because

the examined helicase-associated RESC variant exhibits substoichiometric MRB3010, we refer

to its variant GRBC module as GRBC* (4). Using antibodies made available to us, we have

detected other proteins in pulldowns of REH2 that may be part of this RESC variant including

both GAP1/GAP2 paralogs and MRB8170. The REH2 pulldowns also contain MRB6070 that

was found in a MRB6070/MRB1590 subcomplex that associates via RNA with RESC (11)(S1

Fig.). However, further analysis of relative composition between variants is needed.

Analysis of REH2 mutants *in vivo*

We analyzed the importance of specific features of REH2, both in the formation of REH2C

and in the association of this subcomplex with other components in holo-editosomes (constructs

listed in Fig. 1A). To this end, we expressed a tetracycline (Tet)-inducible REH2 wild-type (WT)

construct with a Tandem Affinity Purification (TAP) tag (3) and examined its co-purification with

endogenous [H2]F1 and GAP1 proteins, of REH2C and GRBC, respectively. We found that ectopic

REH2 was able to reconstitute interactions of the native REH2 protein in trypanosomes (Fig. 1B-C,

lanes 1-2, respectively). With this system in hand, we tested the assembly of REH2 variants bearing

truncations or point mutations in an approach that has been used in other eukaryotic DExH/RHA

helicases (16, 19). All REH2 mutants examined were directly compared and normalized to a WT

construct used as control. Neither overexpression or depletion of REH2 affects the steady-state

level of its binding partner [H2]F1 or the examined GRBC proteins (3, 4).

Fig. 1. *In vivo* analyses of REH2 variants. (A) Scheme of REH2 WT (2167 residues) and

variants with the examined truncations or point mutations in the N- and C-terminal regions (NTR

and CTR). The mutations, affected domains, and predicted molecular weight of the constructs

are listed. Identified domains: dsRBD1 and dsRBD2 (double-stranded RNA binding domains 1

and 2), CORE (catalytic core RecA1 and RecA2 domains), HA2 (helicase associated helical

bundle domain), and OB (OB fold-like domain). **(B-C)** Western blots of IgG pulldowns from

extracts to examine the association of tagged REH2 WT or deletion mutants with endogenous

[H2]F1 and GAP1 (in GRBC*). An uninduced (-Tet) control lane is included. The data are

representative of at least three biological replicates for each mutant. A few non-specific species

cross-react with the antibodies in some assays. The position of IgG is marked. Sizing markers

(M) are in kDa. **(D)** Charts of the relative level of [H2]F1 and GAP1 in the pulldowns.

Representative assays from panels A-B and other pulldowns in this study were used to plot +/-1

SD, *n*=3 for each mutant. The [H2]F1/REH2 and GAP1/REH2 ratios in each REH2 mutant were

normalized to the ratios in REH2 WT (= 1, in the plot). Assays with signals that were too low

were not determined (n.d.). REH2 was often fragmented, so only the full-length polypeptide was

scored. Some short deletion mutants were present at higher level than tagged REH2 WT in the

induced extracts.

N- and C-truncations. We examined N- and C-terminal regions (NTR and CTR) flanking the

catalytic and helicase associated domains RecA1/RecA2 and HA2, respectively, in REH2. We

removed sequences in the unique NTR (>1000 residues) in the REH2 variants ΔN and ΔNds. Next,

we removed the CTR after the OB fold (123 residues) or from the OB fold (260 residues) in the ΔAOB

and ΔOB variants, respectively. REH2 and its orthologs in kinetoplastids carry the longest N terminus

in the known DExH/RHA-type helicases (~1300 residues in REH2 from *T. brucei*) (14). Direct

comparisons with the REH2 WT construct showed that the examined N- and C-resections reduced

the association of the shortened REH2 polypeptides with [H2]F1 and GAP1 proteins (Figs. 1B-D). This

suggested that both termini of REH2 may contribute to the normal assembly of REH2C and its RNA-

mediated interactions with other editing components *in vivo*. The dsRBDs, the OB fold, and

undefined features in the N and C termini may be involved. It is also conceivable that the examined

sequence resections may impact the global conformation of REH2, thereby indirectly affecting its

protein interactions. In that case, the normal REH2 interactions in the editing apparatus may be

sensitive to overall changes in the integrity or conformation of REH2. A comparison of REH2 ΔN and

ΔNds in whole-cell and enriched-mitochondrial extracts indicated that these large truncations do not

prevent mitochondrial import (S2 Fig). Because the CTR includes a potentially regulatory OB fold in

DExH/RHA-type RNA helicases (16), we focused on this domain in the subsequent studies of REH2.

Point mutations. Our structural searches of the OB fold-like domain in REH2 using Phyre2

(20) found the best agreements of the REH2 OB fold with structures of yeast ADP-bound Prp43p

helicase and *Drosophila* RNA helicase MLE in a complex with RNA and ADP-Alf4 (4, 16, 18, 21).

Prp43p is a bi-functional helicase in mRNA splicing and ribosome biogenesis (15, 22). The helicase

MLE remodels roX RNA substrates to promote assembly of the dosage compensation complex in

Drosophila (18). We examined specific residues in the predicted OB fold of REH2 that seem to be

conserved in the DExH/RHA helicases mentioned above (Fig. 2 A-D). OB point mutations were

compared to two controls: REH2 WT and a mutation in dsRBD2 (dsR) that reduces the association of

REH2 with GAP1, gRNA and mRNA (Fig. 2A, lanes 1 and 2, respectively) (3). The $\beta1-\beta2$ and $\beta4-\beta5$

loops in the OB fold may include determinants in nucleic acid recognition (Fig. 2D) (16, 18). In

helicase Prp43p, mutation of K704 ($\beta4-\beta5$ loop), which is exposed to the solvent in the Prp43p

structure, decreased the RNA affinity and ATPase activity of the helicase *in vitro* (16). Sequence

alignments and a homology model derived from the structure of Prp43p matched K704 in Prp43p

with R2023 in the predicted $\beta4-\beta5$ loop in REH2 (S3 Fig)(4). We also examined R1979 in REH2 that

is not conserved in Prp43p and may serve as an internal control. Pulldowns of the R1979A and

R2023A mutants suggest that neither mutation alters the association of REH2 with [H2]F1 (Figs. 2A-C).

R1979A did not appear to affect the REH2 association with GAP1. However, R2023A caused a

moderate decrease in the association with GAP1, implying that at least R2023A could be disruptive

in trypanosomes.

In helicase MLE, several residues in the OB fold bind to specific uridylates in U-rich

sequences in roX transcripts. Mutation of some of these residues impaired RNA binding by MLE *in*

vitro or the proper localization of MLE in chromosomes (18). Sequence alignments and homology

models indicated that the residues H1032 and K1033 in MLE likely represent residues H1998 and

R1999 in the predicted $\beta3-\beta4$ loop in the OB fold in REH2 (Fig. 2D; S4 Fig)(21). The H1998E mutant

appeared to retain a normal association with [H2]F1 but exhibited a moderate decrease in association

with GAP1. In contrast, R1999E either alone or together with H1998E (98/99) exhibited a more

robust decrease (over 60%) in association with both [H2]F1 and GAP1 (Fig. 2A-C). This indicated that

H1998 and R1999 contribute to the assembly of the REH2 helicase with other editing components.

However, R1999 has a higher impact on such interactions than the adjoining H1998. As expected,

the dsR mutant used as a control exhibited a strong decrease in association with GAP1. However,

the dsR mutation did not seem to affect the association of REH2 with [H2]F1 (Figs. 2A, 2C). Pulldowns

of the mutants were normalized to the REH2 WT control as in Fig. 1.

Fig. 2. *In vivo* analyses of REH2 variants. Western blots of IgG pulldowns as in Fig. 1 to

examine the association of endogenous [H2]F1 and GAP1 (in GRBC*) with tagged REH2 WT or

variants with point mutations at: **(A)** the OB fold domain at R1979A (1979), H1998E (1998) and

R1999E (1999). The previously characterized dsR mutant with an inactivating double mutation

in the dsRBD2 was included for comparison (3). A few non-specific species crossreact with the

antibodies in some assays; and **(B)** the OB fold domain at double 1998/1999 (98/99) or single

mutation at 2023. **(C)** Charts of the relative levels of [H2]F1 and GAP1 in the pulldowns. Assays

from panels A-B and biological replicate pulldowns in this study were used to plot +/-1 SD, *n*=2

or *n*=3 for each mutant. The [H2]F1/REH2 and GAP1/REH2 ratios in each REH2 mutant were

normalized to the ratios in REH2 WT (= 1, in the plot). **(D)** Secondary structure prediction of the

OB fold with α-helix (cylinders) and β-strand (arrows) elements, and the position of point

mutations examined indicated by arrows.

Table 1 summarizes observed effects of the examined mutations in REH2 on its association

with [H2]F1 and GAP1. While large protein truncations in REH2 may alter the global conformation of

the polypeptide, relevant point mutations in REH2 are more likely to cause specific functional effects.

Our systematic mutagenesis of REH2 suggests an intricate interaction network including features

across both N- and C- terminal regions of REH2 that affect the assembly of REH2C, and the

association of this subcomplex with GAP1-associated RNPs *in vivo*. Remarkably, these observations

also indicate that equivalent residues in the auxiliary conserved OB fold domain in DExH/RHA

helicases function in disparate RNA processes in the taxonomically distant species of trypanosomes,

yeast, and fly.

Table 1. Association of REH2 constructs with ^{H2}F1 and GAP1

REH2-TAP variant	Association in pulldown		Reference
	^{H2}F1	GAP1	Construct described
WT	control*	control*	23
ΔN	decreased	decreased	This study
ΔNds	decreased	decreased	This study
ΔOB	decreased	decreased	This study
ΔAOB	decreased	decreased	This study
dsR	control-like †	decreased	3
1979	control-like	control-like	This study
1998	control-like	decreased	This study
1999	decreased	decreased	This study
98/99	decreased	decreased	This study
2023	control-like	decreased	This study

* The WT construct served as positive control to assess relative association of mutant constructs.

225   † Comparable to the level observed with the WT construct.
226

Analysis of ^{H2}F1 mutants *in vivo*

227
228
To analyze specific features of the ^{H2}F1 zinc finger protein in trypanosomes, we expressed a

Tet-inducible tagged ^{H2}F1 WT construct and examined its association with endogenous REH2, GAP1

and REL1 proteins. REL1 is a subunit of the editing enzyme RECC. We found that the tagged ^{H2}F1

WT was able to reconstitute interactions of the native ^{H2}F1 protein in trypanosomes (Fig. 3). Notably,

expression of the ectopic ^{H2}F1 WT increased the steady-state level of endogenous REH2 compared

to a control lane without tetracycline (Fig. 3A, lanes 1-2; Fig. 3B). As a control to mark the position of

REH2 we used a reported RNAi-based knockdown of ^{H2}F1 that decreases the level of endogenous

REH2 (Fig. 3A, lanes 5-7; Fig. 3B)(4). The contrasting effects of the upregulation and downregulation

of ^{H2}F1 on the endogenous REH2 are consistent with the idea that ^{H2}F1 stabilizes REH2 *in vivo*. We

examined the distribution of REH2 and ^{H2}F1, and canonical proteins in RESC and RECC, in

sedimentation analyses of mitochondrial extract (S5 Fig). REH2 is heterodispersed as shown before

(23) and overlaps with ^{H2}F1 in the gradient. However, the relative abundance of these proteins at

high and low densities differs substantially. It is possible that the association between REH2 and ^{H2}F1

or their stoichiometry in REH2C varies *in vivo*. Additional studies will be necessary to examine these

possibilities.

Fig 3. Effect of expressing ^{H2}F1 WT or Zn5 C2>A2 on the REH2 steady-state abundance.

Figure annotations use short acronyms for each mutant in the form: *ZX.* **(A)** Western blots of

extracts with tagged ^{H2}F1 WT (lanes 1-2) or Z5 C2>A2 (Z5; lanes 3-4). Control assays include

lanes without Tet-induction. Control lanes with a reported ^{H2}F1-RNAi construct mark the position

of the endogenous REH2 and ^{H2}F1. The RNAi lanes show the induced decrease of ^{H2}F1 and

concurrent fragmentation of REH2 full-length. Hash marks indicate full-length and two prominent

fragments of REH2 (4). GAP1 was used as a loading control in all assays in this panel.

Molecular size markers (M). A typical C2H2 zinc finger domain fold is depicted with core

cysteine substitutions C2>A2 (arrows), other conserved residues, and variable residues (black

circles). **(B)** Charts of the relative levels of endogenous REH2 full-length in the extracts +/-1 SD,

n=3. Induced/uninduced ratios of REH2 for each construct were further normalized to GAP1. **(C-**

D) IgG pulldowns of WT and Z5 constructs showing association with endogenous REH2, GAP1,

REL1, and endogenous ^{H2}F1. REL1 is examined by radiolabeled self-adenylation. Other

proteins are examined as in panel A. Non-specific species (*) may represent fragments of the

tagged protein that react with the antibodies. **(E)** Charts of the relative levels of REH2, GAP1

and REL1 in the pulldowns +/-1 SD, *n*=3. The ratios (protein/tagged-bait) in the Z5 mutant were

normalized to ratios in the ^{H2}F1 WT construct.

262
To determine if the stabilizing effect of ^{H2}F1 requires one or more zinc finger (Znf) domains,

we introduced amino acid substitutions that either disrupt the canonical fold of the domain (by

replacing the canonical residues) or eliminate the positive charge (by replacing various basic

residues with neutral residues) in individual fingers of the ectopic construct. The examined ^{H2}F1

mutants are summarized in Table 2. The location of the fingers and the examined substitutions

(between 2 and 6 basic residues per finger) in the full sequence of [H2]F1 are shown in S6 Fig. All

[H2]F1 mutants examined were directly compared and normalized to a WT construct used as

control in all panels. This enabled the separation of mutants in multiple panels.

Table 2. [H2]F1 mutants with reduced ability to stabilize REH2 *in vivo*

[H2]F1-TAP variant	Endogenous REH2	Figures using this
	Steady-state level	construct
WT	**Increased***	Figs. 3, 4 and 5
Znf5 C2>A2	no change [†]	Fig. 3
Znf1 R/K>A	no change	Fig. 4
Znf2 R/K>A	no change	Fig. 4
Znf3 R/K>A	no change	Fig. 4
Znf4 R/K>A	no change	Fig. 5
Znf5 R/K>A	no change	Fig. 4
ΔN	no change	Fig. 5
ΔC	no change	Fig. 5

  * [H2]F1-WT ectopic expression increased the level of endogenous REH2

† No change (i.e., level within stardard deviation for at least two biological replicates)

Canonical cysteine residues. We changed two cysteines to two alanines (C2>A2) to disrupt

the native conformation of the predicted zinc fingers (Fig. 3A). We began by disrupting Znf5 because

of its significant homology to a reported dsRNA-bound C2H2 zinc finger domain structure (4, 14, 24).

Mutants are referred to in the text by the acronym in the general form Znf*X*. A shorter version of the

acronym is used in the figure annotations. The resulting mutant protein Znf5 C2>A2 did not induce an

evident increase in the steady-state level of endogenous REH2 as we found after expressing the

[H2]F1 WT construct. This was evident in direct comparisons of extracts that contain similar levels of

ectopic [H2]F1 protein, WT or Znf5 C2>A2 (Fig. 3A, lanes 1-4; Fig. 3B). To examine whether the lack of

Znf5 affected the association of [H2]F1 with other editing components, we performed IgG pulldown

assays of tagged [H2]F1. These assays showed that the [H2]F1 WT and Znf5 C2>A2 variants similarly

associated with endogenous helicase REH2, GAP1 and REL1 ligase (Figs. 3C, 3E). Notably,

pulldowns of both tagged [H2]F1 WT and Znf5 C2>A2, contain endogenous [H2]F1 (Fig. 3D). The above

data suggest that Znf5 is not essential for the normal association of ^{H2}F1 with other editing

components.

We also attempted to generate a ^{H2}F1 variant bearing a triple C2>A2 substitution in Znf6,

Znf7 and Znf8. However, expression of this construct was unstable and eventually lost in culture.

Together, the observations above suggest that ^{H2}F1 may require a full complement of zinc fingers in

their native conformation to stabilize REH2 but not to associate with the examined editing

components.

Variable basic residues. Because the native conformation of the zinc fingers seems to be

required for ^{H2}F1 to stabilize REH2 we introduced mutations that decrease the positive charge in the

zinc fingers yet likely to retain native conformation (25). We expressed ^{H2}F1 variants to test the role

of several basic residues around the core residues that are mostly located between the second

cysteine and the first histidine (Fig. 4A) (26). Specifically, we introduced R/K>A substitutions

(between 2 and 6 per finger) to decrease the positive charge in the zinc fingers and to remove

potential charge-charge interactions with REH2 or associated RNAs. As above, we use in the text the

acronym in the general form Znf*X* but a shorter version of the acronym in the figures. Our functional

analyses of Znf1, Znf2, Znf3 or Zn5 R/K>A variants showed that the loss of positive charge in any of

these zinc fingers reduced the ability of ^{H2}F1 to induce the accumulation of intact endogenous REH2

in extracts (Figs. 4B-C). However, these mutations did not prevent the association of ^{H2}F1 with

REH2, GAP1 and REL1 (Figs. 4D-F). These expressed ^{H2}F1 variants also co-purified with

endogenous ^{H2}F1 at a level comparable to the WT construct (Figs. 4D, 4F). The data above indicated

that the wildtype and R/K>A variant proteins associated similarly with the examined editing

components. Overall, ^{H2}F1 may require that most zinc fingers retain their native conformation and

positive charge to efficiently increase the stability of REH2. However, the loss of the native

conformation or positive charge in individual fingers does not preclude the assembly of ^{H2}F1 in the

REH2C, or the association of this subcomplex with the examined editing components. Detection of

endogenous ^{H2}F1 in our pulldowns suggest the presence of ^{H2}F1 multimers. Pulldowns treated with

an RNaseA/T1 mixture suggest that the association between tagged ^{H2}F1 and endogenous ^{H2}F1 is

RNase-resistant (S7 Fig.). However, further studies using isolated recombinant proteins are needed

to establish direct protein contacts between ^{H2}F1 monomers as was shown between REH2 and ^{H2}F1

(4).

Fig. 4. *In vivo* analyses of ^{H2}F1 variants with reduced positive charge in Znf1, Znf2, Znf3

or Znf5 (variable residues R/K>A). Figure annotations use a shorter acronym of the mutant in

the form: Z*X*. **(A)** Cartoon of ^{H2}F1 (524 residues). Multi-sequence alignment of the eight C2H2

zinc fingers, indicating the core cysteines and histidines (boxes) and R/K>A substitutions of

variable residues (between 2 and 6) in each finger (open circles). The C2H2 zinc finger domain

fold is depicted as in Fig. 3. In this case, the arrows illustrate three variable residue substitutions

R/K>A. **(B)** Western blots of extracts as in Fig. 3A of induced tagged ^{H2}F1 WT and Z1, Z2, Z3

and Z5 R/K>A mutants or uninduced control. GAP1 was used as a loading control (lanes 1-7).

(C) Chart of steady-state level of endogenous REH2 full-length in extracts with each construct

as in Fig. 3B. **(D-E)** Western blots and self-adenylation reactions of IgG pulldowns of ^{H2}F1 WT

and Z1, Z2, Z3 and Z5 R/K>A mutants showing association with endogenous REH2, ^{H2}F1,

REL1 and GAP1. Non-specific species in pulldowns (*) are indicated. **(F)** Charts of the relative

levels of associated endogenous proteins in the pulldowns +/-1 SD, *n=2* or *n*=3, as in Fig. 3.

The ratios (protein/tagged-bait) in the mutants were normalized to ratios in the ^{H2}F1 WT

construct.

N- and C-truncations. Because mutagenesis of the tested Znf domains did not prevent the

association of ^{H2}F1 with other editing components *in vivo*, we asked if fragments of ^{H2}F1 retain these

interactions. To test this question, we expressed N- or C-terminal fragments including Znf1-to-Znf3

(termed ΔC) or Znf4-to-Znf8 (termed ΔN) that span 49% and 51% of the ^{H2}F1 polypeptide chain,

respectively (Fig. 5A, bottom). These two fragments exhibited minimal or no association with the

examined endogenous REH2, ^{H2}F1, or REL1 ligase (Figs. 5A). These mutants were directly

compared with the ^{H2}F1 WT and Znf4 R/K>A constructs. We note that while Znf4 R/K>A was

examined separately from the R/K>A variants in Fig. 4, all R/K>A mutants are normalized to the ^{H2}F1

WT construct that was included in all gels. The Znf4 R/K>A and other Znf R/K>A mutations in the

current study retained all tested interactions that are observed with the ^{H2}F1 WT control. However,

the ΔN and ΔC constructs failed to establish basic interactions with the tested editing components.

Because the native REH2C subcomplex carries mRNA (4), we wondered if the ^{H2}F1 ΔN and ΔC

constructs also fail to co-purify with mRNA. To this end, we quantitated the relative content of

mitochondrial transcripts in the pulldowns of the WT, ΔN, and ΔC constructs in biological replicate

experiments that were performed in triplicate (Fig. 5B). The examined mitochondrial transcripts

include examples of unedited, edited, and never-edited mRNA, the never-edited mRNA ND4, and

ribosomal RNA 9S. We also examined cytosolic transcripts (Tubulin, 1400 and 1390) to score non-

specific RNA interactions of editing subcomplexes as in previous pulldown studies (5). Relative to the

^{H2}F1 WT control used for normalization, both ΔN and ΔC pulldowns exhibited a dramatic loss in

bound mitochondrial mRNAs (Fig. 5B, upper and middle panels). Interestingly, the level of cytosolic

mRNAs was similar in the ΔN and WT proteins but dramatically less in the ΔC variant. The later

variant lost most examined RNA interactions: specific and non-specific. An exception may be

mitochondrial RNA 9S. We also compared the relative impact of the ΔN and ΔC deletions with a

more discrete alteration in Znf4 R/K>A (Fig. 5B, lower panel). The level of mitochondrial RNAs in the

Znf4 pulldown was similar to that of the ^{H2}F1 WT control. However, the level of cytosolic transcripts

decreased ~70 fold in Znf4 R/K>Ala. The independent pulldowns and RNA quantitation in three

equivalent experiments showed consistent results in the protein interactions and RNA content of

these ^{H2}F1 constructs. The above data indicated that the tested ^{H2}F1 fragments appear to have lost

the normal direct interaction of ^{H2}F1 WT with REH2 in REH2C, and the normal RNA-dependent

association of ^{H2}F1 WT with other examined proteins in the editing apparatus. Quantitation of the

examined transcripts in the input extracts (including those used in the pulldowns in Figs. 5A-B)

confirmed that the observed dramatic loss in RNA association with ΔN and ΔC constructs was not

due to large changes in the transcript level at steady state (S8 Fig.). The above observations indicate

that features in both termini of ^{H2}F1 are required for efficient association of mitochondrial transcripts

in the pulldowns of ^{H2}F1. The ^{H2}F1 ΔN construct used a heterologous mitochondrial leader sequence

(see methods and S1Table). A comparison of ^{H2}F1 ΔN and ΔC in whole-cell and enriched-

mitochondrial extracts suggest that ^{H2}F1 ΔN localizes to mitochondria efficiently. However, the import

or retention of ^{H2}F1 ΔC into mitochondria may be relatively compromised. At least some import of the

^{H2}F1 ΔC construct may occur in comparison with a control cytosolic marker in whole-cell and

enriched-mitochondrial extracts (S2 Fig.). This indicates that this C-terminal truncation affected both

the ^{H2}F1 association with all examined transcripts (including cytosolic) and somehow also its

mitochondrial import. Finally, the more discrete alteration in Znf4 R/K>A may have caused a relatively

mild decrease in RNA affinity that mostly affected weak, non-specific interactions, e.g., by cytosolic

RNA in the pulldowns of ^{H2}F1.

Figure 5. *In vivo* analyses of ^{H2}F1 terminal deletion and Znf4 mutants. (A) IgG pulldowns of

N and C truncation mutants (ΔN and ΔC) that removed fingers 1-to-3 and fingers 4-to-8,

respectively, and the Z4 R/K>A variant. Association of the constructs with endogenous REH2,

^{H2}F1 and REL1 was examined. Biological replicates of these assays suggest a similar quality

and loading of samples in the pulldowns. Cartoon of ^{H2}F1 with zinc fingers and a line marking

the N and C truncations. **(B)** qRT-PCR quantitation of transcripts in the pulldowns in panel A.

dCq of IgG pulldowns of tagged protein (induced extract) normalized to a mock pulldown

(uninduced extract) [dCq = $2^{(\text{test Cq} - \text{mock Cq})}$] for each construct. The mutant proteins were then

normalized to the tagged WT protein. All values took into account the recovery of tagged protein

in each pulldown in panel A. Three independent biological replicates with Cq average values

and one standard deviation (+/-1 SD, *n*=3) were plotted. Non-specifically associated cytosolic

transcripts differed substantially in pulldowns of different mutants, so these transcripts were not

used as reference. Mitochondrial unedited (UE) and edited (ED) and cytosolic RNA transcripts

are indicated. RPS, Murf, and 9S are abbreviations for RPS12, Murf2, and 9S rRNA,

respectively. 1390 and 1400 are abbreviations for the cytosolic HGPRT isoforms Tb927.10.1390

and Tb927.10.1400, respectively. Tub is tubulin. Other short names are standard.

Analysis of recombinant REH2 *in vitro*
397
398   The conserved predicted catalytic domains in REH2, the unwinding activity of pulldowns of

REH2 and [H2]F1 from extracts, and the loss of this activity in the pulldowns after mutating catalytic

amino acids in REH2, indicate that REH2 in the context of its native subcomplex functions as an RNA

helicase (3, 4, 23). However, the unwinding activity of the isolated REH2 has not been formally

established. We have now generated a his-tagged recombinant full-length rREH2 polypeptide (240

kDa) without its mitochondrial leader sequence (see the methods section) that supports robust ATP-

dependent unwinding of a synthetic dsRNA substrate *in vitro.* This polypeptide is largely intact in

most preparations (Fig. 6A). The dsRNA substrate in our assay is a mimic of the native A6 editing

system formed by pre-annealing of a 3' fragment of the pre-mRNA A6 with a cognate gRNA.

The synthetic A6 mRNA/gRNA pair supports a full round of U-deletion editing in an *in vitro*

assay with cell extracts and purified RECC enzyme (27, 28). The unwinding activity of the

recombinant enzyme increased in a titration with increasing concentrations of rREH2, and also in a

time course up to 30 min when most of the annealed radiolabeled RNA was unwound (Figs. 6B-C).

An upshift of radiolabeled RNA in a ribonucleoprotein complex at the highest tested concentrations of

rREH2 in the titration may be due to the known RNA binding activity of the native REH2 established

by UV crosslinking (5, 23). The radiolabeled strand alone (gRNA) and in heat-treated controls of the

dsRNA substrate exhibit the same gel mobility as the helicase-unwound gRNA (Figs. 6C-D). In

summary, the isolated 240 kDa recombinant REH2 is a *bona fide* RNA helicase enzyme *in vitro*, and

further supports the idea that REH2 may remodel RNA or its RNPs in editing.

Figure 6. Recombinant rREH2 is a catalytically active RNA helicase. (A) Purified rREH2[30-

2167] samples in a denaturing gel stained with Coomassie. NTA-Ni elution fractions 1-to-3 are

shown with molecular size markers (kDa). **(B)** Unwinding assays in 10 μL reaction mixtures with

increasing concentrations of rREH2[30-2167] +/- ATP. An RNP complex (RNP) forms at the

highest enzyme concentration tested; **(C)** Unwinding assays at increasing incubation times with

rREH2[30-2167] +/- ATP using the standard reaction mixture described in the material and

methods section. The standard reaction used a molar excess of enzyme over substrate. The

dsRNA (ds) was assembled by pre-annealing of synthetic transcript that mimics a 3' fragment of

the *T. brucei* A6 pre-edited mRNA and a radiolabeled cognate guide RNA (27). Radiolabeled

unwound ssRNA (ss) is indicated. Input dsRNA was heat denatured (Δ) as control. **(D)**

Additional controls showing that the starting radiolabeled ssRNA used to generate the dsRNA

substrate in the assay and the unwound radiolabeled ssRNA in the heat-denatured (Δ) dsRNA

(in panel B) have the same gel mobility.

Discussion

433
In the current study, we examined over 20 constructs of REH2 and [H2]F1 (Tables 1 and 2) to

identify features in these editing proteins that impact the stability of REH2, the assembly of the

REH2C RNP, and its RNA-mediated coupling with other components of the RNA editing holoenzyme

(or RNA holo-editosome). We also formally established that the isolated REH2 is a bona fide RNA

helicase enzyme.

The mRNA editing *trans* factors core enzyme RECC and accessory RESC and REH2C

exhibit transient and stable contacts with each other via RNA. Variants of RECC and the RESC

modules, GRBC and REMC, are also emerging in studies by different labs (reviewed in 1). These

variants imply a dynamic nature of holo-editosomes that may be important in editing control and

needs further characterization. The helicase complex REH2C exhibits transient and stable contacts

with variants of RESC that minimally differ in the relative content of MRB3010 (in the GRBC module).

This study focused on stable interactions by REH2C that can be readily examined. Prior studies *in*

vivo showed that inactivating mutations in the helicase ATP binding site or the dsRBD2 domain

dissociate REH2 from mRNA and RESC components, suggesting a general helicase detachment

from the editing apparatus (3). [H2]F1 RNAi dissociates the helicase from canonical proteins in the

RESC modules. RNAi knockdown of either [H2]F1 or REH2 also inhibited the editing associated with a

RESC variant that is particularly active and transiently interacts with REH2C (3, 4). These and other

observations indicate that transient and stable contacts in dynamic holo-editosomes including

those involving REH2C are important.

A systematic mutagenesis in the current study identified features affecting the assembly of

the REH2C RNP, or its association with other editing components *in vivo.*

REH2 mutants *in vivo*

The NTR truncations examined here (>1000 residues each), ΔN and ΔNds, caused a major

loss in [H2]F1 binding and RNA-mediated association with GAP1. The only difference between the ΔN

and ΔNds constructs is that the latter lacks the ~70 amino acid dsRBD2 domain. As mentioned

above, the dsRBD2 promotes the addition of REH2 into holo-editosomes (3). Also, the dsRBD2 in the

related helicase MLE in flies may provide a major RNA-binding surface (29, 30). The tested

truncations suggest that other N-terminal features besides dsRBD2, may contribute to normal

interactions by REH2 *in vivo*. These truncations may also introduce topological changes that alter the

normal REH2 interactions but did not prevent localization of the constructs in enriched-mitochondrial

extracts (S2 Fig.). Conformational changes were proposed to modulate interactions by other

eukayotic DExH/RHA helicases (18, 31). Overall, the tested NTR truncations may indicate the

presence of unidentified protein features or global changes in conformation that affect the REH2

interactions. Further studies will be needed to resolve these possibilities. However, relatively short

CTR truncations and point mutations that affected the REH2 interactions with other editing

components are less likely to cause major conformation changes in REH2.

We have speculated that the conserved OB fold in DExH/RHA subfamily helicases may

share functional residues in kinetoplastid RNA editing and other RNA processes (14). Our examined

C-terminal truncations included most of the CTR (260 residues in ΔOB) or elements beyond the

OB fold that may be specific of REH2 (123 residues in ΔAOB). We examined R2023 in REH2

that corresponds to R704 in helicase Prp43p in yeast spliceosomes (16) (S3 Fig.). R2023

should be located in a critical loop, β4-β5, in the REH2 OB fold. In yeast, R704 is poised to

interact with the RNA substrate entering the helicase cavity, and mutation of this residue inhibits

the RNA binding and RNA-mediated activation of Prp43p (16). We also examined R1979 in

REH2, which is not conserved in Prp43p. In trypanosomes, the R2023A substitution had a

moderate effect on the stable RNA-mediated association of REH2C with GAP1. However,

R1979A did not evidently affect this interaction. Neither R1979A or R2023A seem to

significantly affect the REH2 direct interaction with [H2]F1.

Similarly, H1998 and R1999 in REH2 were compared to the equivalent H1032 and

K1033 positions in the RNA helicase MLE in flies. The later residues in MLE bind specific

uridylates in U-rich roX boxes of the cognate roX RNA. H1032 and K1033 are also needed for

the chromosomal localization of MLE in the *Drosophila* dosage compensation complex (18). The

H1998E substitution had a moderate effect on the REH2 association with GAP1 but had little or

no effect on the interaction with [H2]F1. In contrast, the adjacent substitution R1999E affected

both the direct REH2 interaction with [H2]F1 and the RNA-based REH2 association with GAP1.

These results are consistent with the idea that [H2]F1 is an adaptor protein of REH2 (4), and that

structure-function correlations may be tractable to specific conserved amino acids in REH2 and

related helicases in highly-diverged species (14). This is also in line with the idea that the OB

fold in DExH/RHA helicases, including REH2, is a conserved regulatory domain.

[H2]F1 mutants *in vivo*
499
[H2]F1 has eight predicted C2H2 Znf domains that cover over ~45% of the polypeptide

sequence. Apart from the Znf domains, [H2]F1 has no evident sequence or structural conservation

outside of the kinetoplastids. [H2]F1is an adaptor protein that brings the REH2 to the editing machinery

(4) and it also stabilizes REH2. That is, the induced downregulation of [H2]F1 caused fragmentation of

REH2 (4), and we showed here that the converse upregulation of [H2]F1 increased the REH2 level *in*

vivo. [H2]F1 may require most of its zinc fingers to stabilize REH2 because loss of the core structure or

positive charge in individual fingers compromised this function. It is feasible that the stabilizing effect

of [H2]F1 involves substantial changes in the helicase conformation and coordination of its zinc fingers.

Notably, all examined [H2]F1 substitution-mutants were able to associate with REH2, GAP1, and REL1.

This suggested that the basic fold or positive charge of the fingers is not essential for the [H2]F1

association with other editing components. However, N- or C-terminal truncation of nearly half the

size of [H2]F1 hampered its normal interactions with the examined editing components. The [H2]F1 ΔN

accumulated in enriched-mitochondrial extracts but the [H2]F1 ΔC localization in mitochondria seemed

relatively compromised. Somehow the C-terminal truncation was particularly deleterious to [H2]F1.

Additional studies are needed to pinpoint specific determinants for [H2]F1 direct binding to REH2 or its

RNA-mediated contacts with other components.

Besides the REH2•^{H2}F1 system, other DExH/RHA helicase•Znf protein pairs have been

characterized (14). In the DXH30•ZAP system in antivirus protection in humans, the antiviral protein

ZAP has four Znf motifs that participate in viral RNA recognition (32, 33). All four fingers lie on a

positively charged surface in a crystal structure of ZAP (25) and mutation of basic residues in the

fingers inhibited the ZAP antiviral function (33). The ZAP studies imply that stabilization of REH2 by

^{H2}F1 could involve a precise topology of its zinc fingers, and that the ^{H2}F1 fingers may participate in

RNA binding. Notably, recombinant ZAP is multimeric (25). If ^{H2}F1 dimerizes, a single REH2C RNP

would include 16 zinc fingers. The co-purification of tagged and endogenous ^{H2}F1 from RNase-

treated extracts imply direct protein contacts between the ^{H2}F1 copies (S7 Fig.). However, analyses

of recombinant ^{H2}F1 WT and mutants are necessary to establish the potential of ^{H2}F1 to multimerize

and bind RNA, and to define the role of its fingers. The RNA content in pulldowns of the ^{H2}F1 Znf4

variant with four R/K>A substitutions suggested minimal changes in association with target RNAs in

mitochondria but a significance loss in non-specific contacts with unrelated transcripts. This suggests

that subtle changes in RNA affinity are more likely to affect weak non-specific RNA contacts. The

general loss of transcripts examined in the pulldowns of the tested ^{H2}F1 fragments also suggests that

efficient RNA association by the REH2C complex *in vivo* involves a full array of zinc fingers in ^{H2}F1.

We note that the transcripts examined may associate with either ^{H2}F1, REH2 or both proteins in the

native REH2C RNP.

REH2 may function as an RNA helicase in kinetoplastid RNA editing

535
536
Prior studies suggested that REH2 is a functional helicase in RNA editing. REH2 pulldowns

from GAP1-depleted extracts exhibit RNA unwinding activity (4), and the mutation of catalytic

residues in the predicted RecA1 domain inhibits the unwinding activity in pulldowns of REH2 (23).

We have now established that the isolated full-length recombinant REH2 (240 kda) is a *bona fide*

RNA helicase enzyme. This further indicates that REH2, the only DExH/RHA helicase in kinetoplastid

holo-editosomes provides an ATP-dependent unwinding activity in RNA editing. Additional studies

are needed to determine if ^{H2}F1 affects the activity of REH2. Besides REH2, the editing apparatus

also requires the DEAD-box RNA helicase REH1 (12, 13). REH1 is not part of the RNPs studied here

and seems to lack a direct binding partner. A transient RNA-mediate interaction of REH1 with

other editing proteins has been detected (23, 34). REH1 and REH2 are functionally different.

REH2 is needed for editing within single blocks, including the first "initiating" block (3). In

contrast, REH1 is needed for editing of two or more blocks (i.e., by overlapping gRNAs) but has

no effect on the first block, suggesting a role in gRNA exchange (13). Both RNA helicases may

promote critical remodeling of RNA or RNP structure. Our ongoing RNA-seq analyses may

provide additional functional insights concerning REH2C (Kumar et al., unpublished).

Possible roles of editing complex variants
554
The editing apparatus much like the splicing and transcription molecular machines may

exhibit dynamic phases of assembly and function. The RECC enzyme has multiple isoforms that

include several RNase III (RIII)-like protein subunits. These RIII proteins have the potential to

form a large number of binary combinatorial that could modulate substrate recognition (35-37).

Also, natural GRBC and REMC isoforms and genetically-induced changes in their protein/RNA

organization are emerging. A recurring theme is the variable interaction between the essential

GAP1/2 heterotetramer in all editing involving GRBC-bound gRNAs, and MRB3010 (GRBC6)

that participates in early editing (1, 6, 38). A controlled interaction of the GAP1/2 tetramer with

MRB3010 may modulate editing. For example, GRBC variants in pulldowns of MRB3010 or

REH2 differ in relative content of MRB3010 and editing level in associated mRNAs (3, 5).

However, RNAi of REH2 or ^{H2}F1 caused a reduced editing in mRNAs in the MRB3010 pulldowns

(3, 4). REH2 and ^{H2}F1 features, including those examined here, may affect specific steps in the

editing mechanism and we are currently addressing this.

Materials and methods

Cell Culture

T. brucei Lister strain 427 29-13 procyclic was grown axenically in log phase in SDM79

medium (39) and harvested at a cell density of $1–3x10^7$ cells/mL. All transgenic cell lines were

induced with tetracycline at 1μg/mL. The REH2 WT-TAP and [H2]F1 RNAi cell lines that were

used as controls were generated in our lab (3, 4). We have seen that the TAP tag in REH2,

including the WT construct, seems to slow the growth of trypanosomes after several days of

culture (23). This and presumably incomplete cloning after transfection may account for the

uneven expression levels in extracts from independent subcultures. To partially control for this

issue, most plasmid constructs used to generate the current data figures were made to use

puromycin selection. Most transfections used tetracycline-screened HyClone Fetal Bovine

Serum (FBS) (SH30070.03T; GE Healthcare. This enabled a faster cell recovery after

transfection than with constructs using phleomycin selection that were originally used in cell

lines appearing somewhat less stable during subculture. Regardless of this issue, independent

analyses of protein associations were consistent with either puromycin or phleomycin

constructs.

DNA constructs

All overexpression and RNAi studies used inducible plasmid constructs. The constructs

for [H2]F1 RNAi and the REH2 dsRBD2 mutant K1078A/A1086D were previously reported (3, 4).

N-terminal deletion constructs for REH2 (ΔN and ΔNds) and [H2]F1 (ΔN) were prepared using the

In-Fusion® HD Cloning Kit (639648; Clontech). Two PCR amplicons were prepared in each

case using CloneAmp™ HiFi PCR Premix (638500; Clontech) and were then gel-eluted using

NucleoSpin® Gel and PCR Clean-up (740609.10; Clontech). One amplicon encodes the first 34

amino acids of REH2 (primers: F-1503, R-1522), including the predicted REH2 mitochondrial

leader sequence (REH2-MLS) (23), that was used for all N-deletion constructs. The other

amplicon included the remaining relevant gene fragment sequence: 3070-6501 bases (REH2

ΔN), 3280-6501 bases (REH2 ΔNds), or 766-1572 bases (^{H2}F1 ΔN). Three-piece in-fusion

reactions were performed to join the two amplicons and pLEW79-ada-TAP plasmid linearized at

XhoI and *BamHI* (40). The PCR primers (S1 Table) included 5' and 3' terminal homology (15

bases) to enable the recombination-based fusion between the amplicons and the plasmid DNA.

The REH2 (ΔAOB and ΔOB) and ^{H2}F1 ΔC deletion constructs are also recombination-based

fusions of the amplified relevant gene fragment with linearized plasmid DNA. The REH2

constructs R2023A, H1998E, R1999E and H1998E/R1999E, and the ^{H2}F1 construct Znf5 C>A

were created by site-directed mutagenesis in inverse-PCR reactions of the pLEW79-ada-TAP

WT plasmid as the template. pLEW79-ada-TAP was modified from the original pLEW79-TAP

(40, 41). The resulting linearized mutant constructs were circularized using the In-Fusion HD

Cloning Kit. Other ^{H2}F1 constructs used synthetic gBlock gene fragments (IDT) with mutations.

N-terminal fragments of ^{H2}F1 (Znf1, Znf2 and Znf3 R/K>A single finger mutants) replaced the

corresponding sequence in the ^{H2}F1 WT construct after digestion with *XhoI* and the internal

ApaI site in ^{H2}F1. A C-terminal fragment of ^{H2}F1 to generate Znf4R/K>A or Znf5 R/K>A replaced

a corresponding sequence in the ^{H2}F1 WT construct after digestion with *ApaI* and *BamH1*. The

^{H2}F1 WT construct was made by ligation of an amplicon with the full open reading frame into

pLEW79-ada-TAP plasmid linearized at *XhoI* and *BamHI.* All constructs were confirmed by DNA

sequencing, linearized with *NotI*, and transfected in trypanosomes (39).

Western Blots and Radioactivity Assays

Western blots of REH2, ^{H2}F1 and ^{H2}F2 (subunits of REH2C), GAP1 (alias GRBC2;

subunit of GRBC) and A2 (alias MP42; subunit of RECC) were performed as reported (4, 23).

Western blots of the GAP1/GAP2 (alias GRBC2/GRBC1) paralogs simultaneously, and of

MRB8170 and MRB6070 (subunits of REMC and MRB6070/1590 assemblies, respectively),

were performed as previously described (42, 43). GAP1 alone was examined in the main data

figures. Both GAP1/GAP2 were examined in S1 and S5 figures. Peroxidase Anti-peroxidase

antibody (P1291; Sigma) diluted to 1:200 (v/v) was used to detect the TAP-tag. EF-1 α1

antibody (sc-21758; Santa Cruz Biot) diluted to 1:200 (v/v) was used as a cytosolic marker.

Protein quantitative analyses using Amersham ECL^{TM} western blot detection reagents were

performed in an Amersham Imager 600. RNA ligases in the RECC enzyme were radiolabeled

by self-adenylation directly on the beads in IgG pulldowns (44). Unwinding assays of REH2

used reported conditions with a few modifications (4) and full-length recombinant His-REH2.

The dsRNA substrate in these assays used an A6 mRNA/gRNA pair (23). The A6 pair mimics

the endogenous ATPase subunit 6 substrate. The gRNA was transcribed with RNA T7

polymerase from an amplicon (S1 Table) after *DraI* restriction digestion and gel purification of

the product. The 61-nt gRNA was 5'-labelled with γ^{32}P-ATP using T4 Polynucleotide kinase. The

62-nt mRNA was synthetic (IDT). A mix with 3 pmoles of labelled gRNA and 15 pmoles of

mRNA was heated at 95° C for 3 min, and then immediately incubated for 30 min at room

temperature in the annealing buffer (10 mM MOPS pH 6.5, 1 mM EDTA, and 50 mM KCl). The

annealed RNA hybrids were isolated from native 12% PAGE run at 4 ˚C at 50 V for 120 min in

0.5x Tris-borate-EDTA buffer. Standard 10 μL reaction mixtures in unwinding buffer (40 mM

Tris-Cl pH 8.0, 0.5 mM MgCl^2, 0.01% Nonidet P-40, and 2 mM DTT) were incubated for 30 min

at 19 °C with 20 cps of hybrid (~20 fmoles) and 3 μg of recombinant REH2 (~12 pmoles).

Variations to the standard assay including in the concentration of REH2 or the reaction time are

indicated in the text. All assays contained 100 fmoles of unlabeled gRNA as competitor to

prevent re-annealing of unwound γ^{32}P-gRNA. The assays were stopped with an equal volume of

2x helicase reaction stop buffer (50 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 0.1% bromophenol blue, 0.1% xylene

cyanol, and 20% glycerol) and kept on ice for 5 min. The assays in Fig. 6B were also treated

with 0.4U of proteinase K (P8107S; NEB), 25 mM EDTA and 0.5% SDS at 22° C for 30 min.

The entire reaction was loaded onto a native 12% PAGE and resolved at 25 V for 120 min at

4°C.

Cell extracts and purification of protein and RNA from IgG pulldowns

652
653
Whole cell extracts as well as mitochondria-enriched (mini-mito) extracts were used in

this study. Whole-cell extracts were generated by incubation of cell pellets in MRB-Triton buffer

(25 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0, 10 mM MgOAC, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM KCl, 5% glycerol, 0.5% Triton X-

100) at 4 °C for 45 minutes followed by centrifugation at 15,000g for 30 minutes. Mitochondria-

enriched extracts were prepared using a simplified protocol similar to others reported earlier (2,

45). Namely, cell pellets were resuspended into hypotonic DTE buffer (1 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1

mM EDTA pH 8.0) supplemented with protease inhibitors and disrupted in a Dounce

homogenizer. The suspension was passed through a 26-gauge needle as originally described

(46). The enriched mitochondrial vesicles were spun down and the cytosolic content in the

supernatant discarded. This step improved the recovery of intact REH2 compared to whole-cell

extracts. REH2 is more prone to fragmentation than other proteins examined in this study. The

following steps were also as in (46). The pellet was resuspended into STM buffer (0.25M

Sucrose, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 2 mM $MgCl_2$) supplemented with DNase I, RNase-free

(EN0523; Fisher Scientific). The reaction was stopped with one volume of STE buffer (0.25 M

Sucrose, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 2 mM EDTA pH 8.0). The enriched mitochondria were spun

down and washed in STE buffer. The pellet was resuspended into MRB-Triton buffer and

incubated in ice for 15 min. The suspension was spun down at 15,000g at 4 °C for 30 min. The

supernatant was collected and stored at -80 °C. In IgG pulldowns of the extracts, ectopically

expressed TAP-REH2 and TAP-^{H2}F1 were recovered as reported (4, 23) with some

modifications. Approximately 2 mg of protein was mixed with 1x SUPERase·In^{TM} RNase

inhibitor (Invitrogen^{TM}) and incubated with Dynabeads IgG (11203D; Invitrogen^{TM}). The beads

were washed five times with 1ml of wash buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM MgAOc,

0.1% NP-40, and 25 mM Tris pH 8.0). Protein was eluted from the beads with 15 μL of 1x SDS

loading buffer at 95 °C for 2 min. RNA was extracted by treating the beads with 4U proteinase K

(NEB) for 2 hrs at 55 °C, followed by phenol extraction and ethanol precipitation. For some

pulldowns, RNase A/T1 mix (EN0551; Fisher Scientific) was applied at 20 U/mg of protein both

in the input extract and again while bound to the beads.

Quantitative RT-PCR

682
683
Isolated RNA from the pulldowns or directly from input extracts was treated with DNase

I, RNase-free (EN0523; Thermo Scientific^{TM}) prior to cDNA synthesis with the iScript™ Reverse

Transcription Supermix (Bio-Rad) as described elsewhere (5). The amplifications mixtures (10

μl) used SsoAdvanced™ Universal SYBR$^{®}$ Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) and reported

oligonucleotides for unedited mRNAs, fully edited mRNA, and reference transcripts (47). Diluted

samples of the examined cDNA produced a single amplicon during linear amplification under

our conditions. The end-point amplicons described here have been gel-isolated, cloned and

confirmed by sequencing as previously described (5). Fold-enrichment of mitochondrial and

cytosolic transcripts in IgG pulldowns of tagged proteins (induced extracts), relative to a mock

pulldown (uninduced extract), was calculated as follows: Fold = $2^{[-ddCq]}$, where ddCq = Cq test

IP – Cq mock IP, as in (5). The relative value for each mutant construct was adjusted to the

amount of recovered tagged protein, and subsequently normalized to the tagged WT construct

(= 1). The plot in Fig. 5B was constructed using data from 3 independent biological replicate

experiments, including a total of six amplicons per data point (i.e., two technical replicate

amplicons per assay). Two of the independent experiments (including cultures +/- Tet for each

mutant) were performed concurrently. The third independent experiment (one set of cultures per

mutant +/- Tet) was conducted on a subsequent date. The replicate experiments showed a

consistent sample loading in the assays used in our plots. The examined mutant constructs

differed in the amount of non-specific association with Tubulin and other cytosolic transcripts

that are normally used as internal reference in antibody pulldowns using a common protein bait.

The raw Cq values of examined editing transcripts in the pulldowns and the plotted values after

normalization indicated a substantial loss of these transcripts in the truncated mutants.

qRT-PCR assays of input extract in S8 Fig. were normalized using the following equation: Ratio

(reference/target) = $2^{[Cq(ref) - Cq(target)]}$. This scores the relative difference between the reference

and target Cq values. The current plots of input extract used 18S rRNA as reference (S8 Fig.).

We obtained similar results with any of these cytosolic transcripts used as a reference: tubulin,

1400 or 1390 (Tb927.10.1400 or Tb927.10.1390, respectively).

Homology modeling and bioinformatics analysis

The multiple-sequence alignment of REH2 and MLE, and that of the ^{H2}F1 zinc finger

domains, was done with Clustal Omega (48). Formatting of the fingers alignment was done with

BOXSHADE version 3.21 (http:/www.ch.embnet.org/software/BOX_form.html). Sequence

alignment of Prp43p, REH2, and other helicases was done using the CDD tool in NCBI (49).

The structure-based searches of *T. brucei* REH2 used the program Phyre2 (20). The homology

model of REH2 derived from the structure of yeast Prp43p. The inset is a closer view of R2023 and the

surround region in the OB-fold. The molecular surface is colored by residue conservation. The image was

made using PyMOL version 2.2.

Recombinant protein

6xHis-REH2 (amino acid residues 30-2167) was amplified by PCR (S1 Table). The gel-

purified product was cloned into the NcoI and BamHI sites in the expression vector pET15b by

using the NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix (E262, NEB) and the appropriate primers.

The ligation reaction mixtures were transformed into chemically competent Omnimax cells from

ThermoFisher Scientific. Colonies with the correct insert were identified by single-colony PCR

and then amplified for plasmid purification. The sequence of the flanking vector region and the

insert was checked by DNA sequencing. The plasmid DNA was transformed into Rosetta2 DE3

cells (Novagen Inc.) and overexpressed in 4 L of Terrific Broth media by supplementing 2% (v/v)

ethanol and 2 mM MgCl$_2$ at 37 °C. Protein induction with 0.5 mM IPTG was done at a OD$_{600}$ of

0.8, and the expression was continued for 22 hours at 16 °C. The cell pellet was stored at -80

°C overnight and was resuspended in lysis buffer (5 mL/g of cell pellet) of 50 mM Tris-HCl pH

8.0, 250 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT along with 10 µg of RNase-free DNase and 15

mg of hen egg white lysozyme. The cells were lysed in an Emulsiflex hydraulic press. The cell

debris was pelleted at 39,200 x g for 30 minutes at 4 °C. The supernatant with 6xHis-REH2 was

batch attached to equilibrated Qiagen Ni-NTA Agarose at 4 °C for 16 hrs. The helicase was

eluted with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM DDT, and 250 mM imidazole. The first

elution of the purification was dialyzed into unwinding assay buffer. This material was tested for

the presence of the recombinant protein in 6% SDS-PAGE stained with Commassie blue,

western blots and antibody pulldowns, and for activity in dsRNA unwinding activity assays *in*

vitro.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Read lab for their gift of antibodies against GAP1, TbRGG2 (alias RGG2),

MRB6070, MRB8170, and [H2]F2. The Afasizhev lab kindly provided antibodies that react against

the GAP1/GAP2 paralogs (alias GRBC2/GRBC1) simultaneously. We also thank the Stuart lab

for providing the antibodies against A2 (alias MP42). Amna Mushtaq provided detailed

comments on the manuscript. Jana Gomez, Elizabeth Alvarez and Melissa Yang helped with

the preparation of figures.

References

1.   Cruz-Reyes J, Mooers BHM, Doharey PK, Meehan J, Gulati S. Dynamic RNA
holoeditosomes with subcomplex variants: Insights into the control of trypanosome editing.
WIREs RNA. 2018;e1502.
2.   Koslowsky DJ, Sun Y, Hindenach J, Theisen T, Lucas J. The insect-phase gRNA
transcriptome in Trypanosoma brucei. Nucleic acids research. 2013.
3.   Madina BR, Kumar V, Mooers BH, Cruz-Reyes J. Native Variants of the MRB1 Complex
Exhibit Specialized Functions in Kinetoplastid RNA Editing. PloS one. 2015;10(4):e0123441.
4.   Kumar V, Madina BR, Gulati S, Vashisht AA, Kanyumbu C, Pieters B, et al. REH2C
Helicase and GRBC Subcomplexes May Base Pair through mRNA and Small Guide RNA in
Kinetoplastid Editosomes. J Biol Chem. 2016;291(11):5753-64.
5.   Madina BR, Kumar V, Metz R, Mooers BH, Bundschuh R, Cruz-Reyes J. Native
mitochondrial RNA-binding complexes in kinetoplastid RNA editing differ in guide RNA
composition. RNA. 2014;20(7):1142-52.
6.   Aphasizheva I, Zhang L, Wang X, Kaake RM, Huang L, Monti S, et al. RNA binding and
core complexes constitute the U-insertion/deletion editosome. Mol Cell Biol. 2014;34(23):4329-
42.
7.   Huang Z, Faktorova D, Krizova A, Kafkova L, Read LK, Lukes J, et al. Integrity of the
core mitochondrial RNA-binding complex 1 is vital for trypanosome RNA editing. RNA. 2015.
8.   McAdams NM, Simpson RM, Chen R, Sun Y, Read LK. MRB7260 is essential for
productive protein-RNA interactions within the RNA editing substrate binding complex during
trypanosome RNA editing. RNA. 2018;24(4):540-56.
9.   Simpson RM, Bruno AE, Bard JE, Buck MJ, Read LK. High-throughput sequencing of
partially edited trypanosome mRNAs reveals barriers to editing progression and evidence for
alternative editing. RNA. 2016;22(5):677-95.
10.   Simpson RM, Bruno AE, Chen R, Lott K, Tylec BL, Bard JE, et al. Trypanosome RNA
Editing Mediator Complex proteins have distinct functions in gRNA utilization. Nucleic acids
research. 2017;45(13):7965-83.
11.   Shaw PL, McAdams NM, Hast MA, Ammerman ML, Read LK, Schumacher MA.
Structures of the T. brucei kRNA editing factor MRB1590 reveal unique RNA-binding pore motif
contained within an ABC-ATPase fold. Nucleic acids research. 2015;43(14):7096-109.
12.   Missel A, Souza AE, Norskau G, Goringer HU. Disruption of a gene encoding a novel
mitochondrial DEAD-box protein in Trypanosoma brucei affects edited mRNAs. Mol Cell Biol.
1997;17(9):4895-903.

13.   Li F, Herrera J, Zhou S, Maslov DA, Simpson L. Trypanosome REH1 is an RNA helicase
involved with the 3'-5' polarity of multiple gRNA-guided uridine insertion/deletion RNA editing.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011;108(9):3542-7.
14.   Cruz-Reyes J, Mooers BH, Abu-Adas Z, Kumar V, Gulati S. DEAH-RHA helicase•Znf
cofactor systems in kinetoplastid RNA editing and evolutionarily distant RNA processes. RNA
Dis. 2016;3(2):e1336.
15.   Jarmoskaite I, Russell R. RNA helicase proteins as chaperones and remodelers. Annu
Rev Biochem. 2014;83:697-725.
16.   Walbott H, Mouffok S, Capeyrou R, Lebaron S, Humbert O, van Tilbeurgh H, et al.
Prp43p contains a processive helicase structural architecture with a specific regulatory domain.
EMBO J. 2010;29(13):2194-204.
17.   Robert-Paganin J, Rety S, Leulliot N. Regulation of DEAH/RHA helicases by G-patch
proteins. Biomed Res Int. 2015;2015:931857.
18.   Prabu JR, Muller M, Thomae AW, Schussler S, Bonneau F, Becker PB, et al. Structure
of the RNA Helicase MLE Reveals the Molecular Mechanisms for Uridine Specificity and RNA-
ATP Coupling. Mol Cell. 2015;60(3):487-99.
19.   Dhote V, Sweeney TR, Kim N, Hellen CU, Pestova TV. Roles of individual domains in
the function of DHX29, an essential factor required for translation of structured mammalian
mRNAs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109(46):E3150-9.
20.   Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJ. The Phyre2 web portal for
protein modeling, prediction and analysis. Nat Protoc. 2015;10(6):845-58.
21.   Cruz-Reyes J. MBHM, Kumar K., Doharey P.K., Meehan J., Chaparro L. Control
Mechanisms of the Holo-Editosome in Trypanosomes. In: J C-R, M G, editors. RNA Metabolism
in Mitochondria. Nucleic Acids and Molecular Biology. 34: Springer, Cham; 2018.
22.   Jankowsky E. RNA helicases at work: binding and rearranging. Trends in biochemical
sciences. 2011;36(1):19-29.
23.   Hernandez A, Madina BR, Ro K, Wohlschlegel JA, Willard B, Kinter MT, et al. REH2
RNA helicase in kinetoplastid mitochondria: ribonucleoprotein complexes and essential motifs
for unwinding and guide RNA (gRNA) binding. J Biol Chem. 2010;285(2):1220-8.
24.   Segal DJ, Crotty JW, Bhakta MS, Barbas CF, 3rd, Horton NC. Structure of Aart, a
designed six-finger zinc finger peptide, bound to DNA. J Mol Biol. 2006;363(2):405-21.
25.   Chen S, Xu Y, Zhang K, Wang X, Sun J, Gao G, et al. Structure of N-terminal domain of
ZAP indicates how a zinc-finger protein recognizes complex RNA. Nat Struct Mol Biol.
2012;19(4):430-5.
26.   Klug A. The discovery of zinc fingers and their development for practical applications in
gene regulation and genome manipulation. Q Rev Biophys. 2010;43(1):1-21.
27.   Seiwert SD, Heidmann S, Stuart K. Direct visualization of uridylate deletion in vitro
suggests a mechanism for kinetoplastid RNA editing. Cell. 1996;84(6):831-41.
28.   Rusche LN, Cruz-Reyes J, Piller KJ, Sollner-Webb B. Purification of a functional
enzymatic editing complex from Trypanosoma brucei mitochondria. EMBO J. 1997;16(13):4069-
81.
29.   Izzo A, Regnard C, Morales V, Kremmer E, Becker PB. Structure-function analysis of the
RNA helicase maleless. Nucleic acids research. 2008;36(3):950-62.
30.   Masliah G, Barraud P, Allain FH. RNA recognition by double-stranded RNA binding
domains: a matter of shape and sequence. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2013;70(11):1875-95.
31.   Christian H, Hofele RV, Urlaub H, Ficner R. Insights into the activation of the helicase
Prp43 by biochemical studies and structural mass spectrometry. Nucleic acids research.
2014;42(2):1162-79.
32.   Ye P, Liu S, Zhu Y, Chen G, Gao G. DEXH-Box protein DHX30 is required for optimal
function of the zinc-finger antiviral protein. Protein & cell. 2010;1(10):956-64.

33.   Guo X, Carroll JW, Macdonald MR, Goff SP, Gao G. The zinc finger antiviral protein
directly binds to specific viral mRNAs through the CCCH zinc finger motifs. J Virol.
2004;78(23):12781-7.
34.   Kruse E, Voigt C, Leeder WM, Goringer HU. RNA helicases involved in U-
insertion/deletion-type RNA editing. Biochimica et biophysica acta. 2013;1829(8):835-41.
35.   Carnes J, Soares CZ, Wickham C, Stuart K. Endonuclease associations with three
distinct editosomes in Trypanosoma brucei. J Biol Chem. 2011;286(22):19320-30.
36.   McDermott SM, Luo J, Carnes J, Ranish JA, Stuart K. The Architecture of Trypanosoma
brucei editosomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016;113(42):E6476-E85.
37.   Carnes J, McDermott S, Anupama A, Oliver BG, Sather DN, Stuart K. In vivo cleavage
specificity of Trypanosoma brucei editosome endonucleases. Nucleic acids research.
2017;45(8):4667-86.
38.   Ammerman ML, Hashimi H, Novotna L, Cicova Z, McEvoy SM, Lukes J, et al. MRB3010
is a core component of the MRB1 complex that facilitates an early step of the kinetoplastid RNA
editing process. RNA. 2011;17(5):865-77.
39.   Wirtz E, Leal S, Ochatt C, Cross GA. A tightly regulated inducible expression system for
conditional gene knock-outs and dominant-negative genetics in Trypanosoma brucei. Molecular
and biochemical parasitology. 1999;99(1):89-101.
40.   Hernandez A, Panigrahi A, Cifuentes-Rojas C, Sacharidou A, Stuart K, Cruz-Reyes J.
Determinants for association and guide RNA-directed endonuclease cleavage by purified RNA
editing complexes from Trypanosoma brucei. J Mol Biol. 2008;381(1):35-48.
41.   Panigrahi AK, Schnaufer A, Ernst NL, Wang B, Carmean N, Salavati R, et al.
Identification of novel components of Trypanosoma brucei editosomes. RNA. 2003;9(4):484-92.
42.   Ammerman ML, Downey KM, Hashimi H, Fisk JC, Tomasello DL, Faktorova D, et al.
Architecture of the trypanosome RNA editing accessory complex, MRB1. Nucleic acids
research. 2012;40(12):5637-50.
43.   Weng J, Aphasizheva I, Etheridge RD, Huang L, Wang X, Falick AM, et al. Guide RNA-
binding complex from mitochondria of trypanosomatids. Mol Cell. 2008;32(2):198-209.
44.   Sabatini R, Hajduk SL. RNA ligase and its involvement in guide RNA/mRNA chimera
formation. Evidence for a cleavage-ligation mechanism of Trypanosoma brucei mRNA editing. J
Biol Chem. 1995;270(13):7233-40.
45.   Hashimi H, Zikova A, Panigrahi AK, Stuart KD, Lukes J. TbRGG1, an essential protein
involved in kinetoplastid RNA metabolism that is associated with a novel multiprotein complex.
RNA. 2008;14(5):970-80.
46.   Harris ME, Hajduk SL. Kinetoplastid RNA editing: in vitro formation of cytochrome b
gRNA-mRNA chimeras from synthetic substrate RNAs. Cell. 1992;68(6):1091-9.
47.   Carnes J, Stuart KD. Uridine insertion/deletion editing activities. Methods in enzymology.
2007;424:25-54.
48.   Sievers F, Higgins DG. Clustal Omega, accurate alignment of very large numbers of
sequences. Methods Mol Biol. 2014;1079:105-16.
49.   Marchler-Bauer A, Derbyshire MK, Gonzales NR, Lu S, Chitsaz F, Geer LY, et al. CDD:
NCBI's conserved domain database. Nucleic acids research. 2015;43(Database issue):D222-6.
882

Supporting information

S1 Figure. Western blot analyses of RESC associated proteins in antibody pulldowns of

REH2 and MRB3010. Immunoprecipitations (IP) from extract with specific antibodies against

REH2, MRB3010 or CoxI (mock). **(A)** Western blot analyses examined for the presence of

REH2, MRB8170 and MRB6070 proteins. These are markers of REH2C, REMC and a

MRB6070/MRB1590-containing subcomplex that associates with RESC, respectively. Extract

(Ext) used in the IPs and size markers in kDa (M) are indicated. **(B)** Western blot analyses as in

A examining REH2, the GAP1/GAP2 paralogs, and MRB6070. We note that proteins in the IPs

are often upshifted relative to the control extract lane. The cause of this upshift is unclear to us

but it may be a slight effect on the proteins migration due to the presence of IgG in those

samples. IgG in the pulldown lanes is indicated.

S2 Figure. Western blot analyses of ectopically-expressed TAP constructs in whole-cell

and enriched-mitochondrial extracts. Large-truncation constructs were compared in whole-

cell (WC) and enriched-mitochondrial (aka Mini-Mito "MM" extract): (A) REH2-ΔN, (B) REH2-

ΔNds, (C) ^{H2}F1-ΔN, and (D) ^{H2}F1-ΔC. Western blots of the tag in these constructs (TAP) and the

cytosolic marker eEF-1 indicate a partial enrichment of the constructs in the mini-mito extract.

902
S3 Figure. The OB-fold in yeast helicase Prp43p (crystal structure pdb: 2XAU_B) and *T.*

***brucei* REH2**. (A) Sequence alignment of Prp43p, REH2, and other DExH/RHA helicases. The

sequences were aligned using the CDD tool in NCBI. The residue R1979 in REH2 is not

conserved in the aligned sequences but the basic amino acid at position 2023 in REH2 (K704 in

Prp43p) is conserved. Secondary structure elements are indicated: α-helix (cylinders) and β-

strand (arrows). (B) homology model of R2023 in REH2 using the OB fold in Prp43p as a

template.

910
S4 Figure. Multi-sequence alignment of a C-terminal segment in *T. brucei* REH2 and

***Drosophila* MLE DExH/RHA RNA helicases**. The alignment was generated with Clustal

Omega (48). Boxes were inserted manually to improve the match between the MLE and REH2

residues. Note that H1032, K1033 and T1034 (in red), which make U-specific contacts in MLE,

are aligned with H1998, R1999 and T2000 (in red) in REH2. Predicted α-helix (cylinders) and β-

strand (arrows) in REH2 are indicated.

S5 Figure. Sedimentation analysis of endogenous editing proteins. (A) 10-30% glycerol

gradients of freshly-made mitochondria-enriched extract from 29:13 procyclic trypanosomes.

Catalase and RECC complex were used as 11 S and 20 S markers, respectively (23).

Endogenous REH2, ^{H2}F1 and ^{H2}F2, GAP1 (GRBC2), GAP2 (GRBC1), and A2 (MP42) were

examined in western blots. All panels in this figure derived from the same extract fractions. The

data shown is representative of at least two panels for each protein in biological replicate

gradients.

S6 Figure. Location of the zinc-finger substitutions examined in this study. (A) Full ^{H2}F1

amino acid sequence including the location of eight C2H2 zinc-finger motifs (Znf1-to-8

highlighted in different colors). **(B)** Zinc-finger motifs starting with the N terminal finger at the

top, and the amino acid positions spanning each finger in panel A. The R/K>A substitutions in

each finger that were examined in this study are marked by a dot.

S7 Figure. RNase-resistant co-purification of tagged-^{H2}F1 and endogenous ^{H2}F1. Western

blots of IgG pulldowns from extracts with or without an RNaseA/T1 mix. All panels in this figure

derive from the same blot. The upper blot with the tagged-^{H2}F1 bait was cut below the 75 kDa

marker. The middle and lower panels were divided between the 50 kDa and 37 kDa marker.

The 34.4 kDa RGG2, a typical subunit of the REMC module in the RESC complex. As expected,

the RNA-mediated association of RGG2 decreased with the RNase treatment.

S8 Figure. Quantitation of steady-state RNA transcripts in the input mitochondrial

extracts used in the IgG pulldowns. Independent biological replicates (two independent

cultures used in Fig. 5) with Cq average values and one standard deviation (+/-1SD, *n*=2) were

plotted. dCq of steady-state RNA transcripts in lysates relative to background 18s rRNA used as

reference [dCq = $2^{(\text{target Cq} - \text{ref Cq})}$]. Shorter bars indicate a smaller differential versus 18s rRNA in

the sample. For example, ND7 is relatively abundant compared to other transcripts in the

sample (i.e., it has a lower Cq). The WT construct is induced or not (+/-). All mutants are

induced. All end-point amplicons were examined in gels to confirm that they were single

products during linear amplification. Abbreviation of the RNA names is as in Fig. 5B.

S1 Table. DNA oligonucleotides, gBlocks (IDT) and synthetic RNA.

A

NTR   CTR

WT
dsR
ΔN
ΔNds
ΔAOB
ΔOB
OB point

dsRBD1   dsRBD2   CORE   HA2   OB

NAME	MUTATION	DOMAINS AFFECTED	MW
dsR	K1078A/A1086D	dsRBD2	242
ΔN	Δ34-1023	dsRBD1	132
ΔNds	Δ34-1093	dsRBD1/dsRBD2	124
ΔAOB	Δ1908-2167		228
ΔOB	Δ2045-2167	OB fold	213
1979	R1979A	OB fold	242
1998	H1998E	OB fold	242
1999	R1999E	OB fold	242
98/99	H1998E/R1999E	OB fold	242
2023	R2023A	OB fold	242

B   **IgG-pulldowns**

NTR

Cells   WT   ΔN   ΔNds
Tet   −   +   +   +

TAG

^{H2}F1
IgG

GAP1

REH2C

GRBC*

M   1   2   3   4

C   **IgG-pulldowns**

CTR

Cells   WT   ΔAOB   ΔOB
Tet   −   +   +   +

TAG

^{H2}F1
IgG

GAP1

REH2C

GRBC*

M   1   2   3   4

D

^{H2}F1 relative

^{H2}F1/REH2 (TAG)

WT   ΔN (n.d.)   ΔNds (n.d.)   ΔAOB   ΔOB

GAP1 relative

GAP1/REH2 (TAG)

WT   ΔN   ΔNds   ΔAOB   ΔOB

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

IgG-pulldowns

Cells: WT ΔN ΔC Z4
Tet   −   +   +   +   +

REH2 —   *250*
    150

TAG   *   *100*
   75
   50

REH2C

^{H2}F1 —
ENDOG.   *75*
IgG —   *50*

REL1 —

RECC

M   1   2   3   4   5

C^2H^2 Znfs
1   524
^{H2}F1 — O O O OOO OOO
1 2   3 4 5 6 7 8
N   C

B

IgG-pulldowns

Mito   Cyto

UE   ED

A6 ND7 RPS Murf A6 ND7 RPS Murf ND4 9S 1400 1390 Tub

ΔN

Rel. level *vs* WT

16
8
4
2
WT → 1
0.5
0.25
0.125
0.062
0.031
0.015

ΔC

WT 2
→ 1
0.5
0.25
0.125
0.062
0.031
0.015
0.007
0.003
0.001

Rel. Level *vs* WT

Z4+>A

WT 4
2
→ 1
0.5
0.25
0.125
0.062
0.031

Rel. Level *vs* WT

Figure 5

Figure 6