Comparisons of dual isogenic human iPSC pairs identify functional

alterations directly caused by an epilepsy associated *SCN1A* mutation

Authors:

Yunyao Xie[1], Nathan N. Ng[1, #a], Olga S. Safrina[1], Carmen M. Ramos[1], Kevin C.

Ess[2], Philip H. Schwartz[3], Martin A. Smith[4], Diane K. O'Dowd[1]*

[1] Department of Developmental and Cell Biology, University of California, Irvine,

Irvine, CA

[2] Department of Pediatrics, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN

[3] Children's Hospital of Orange County Research Institute, Orange, CA.

[4] Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, University of California, Irvine,

Irvine, CA

[#a] Current Address: Stanford University, School of Medicine, Stanford, CA

94305

*Correspondence Address:

Diane K. O'Dowd

4221 McGaugh Hall, University of California, Irvine

Irvine, CA 92697

Phone: +1 (949) 824-8141

Email: dkodowd@uci.edu

Abstract:

Over 1250 mutations in *SCN1A,* the Nav1.1 voltage-gated sodium channel gene, are associated with seizure disorders including GEFS+. To evaluate how a specific mutation, independent of genetic background, causes seizure activity we generated two pairs of isogenic human iPSC lines by CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing. One pair is a control line from an unaffected sibling, and the mutated control carrying the GEFS+ K1270T *SCN1A* mutation. The second pair is a GEFS+ patient line with the K1270T mutation, and the corrected patient line. By comparing the electrophysiological properties in inhibitory and excitatory iPSC-derived neurons from these pairs, we found the K1270T mutation causes cell type-specific alterations in sodium current density and evoked firing, resulting in hyperactive neural networks. We also identified differences associated with genetic background and interaction between the mutation and genetic background. Comparisons within and between dual pairs of isogenic iPSC-derived neuronal cultures provide a novel platform for evaluating cellular mechanisms underlying a disease phenotype and for developing patient-specific anti-seizure therapies.

Keywords:
Isogenic, induced pluripotent stem cells, neurons, *SCN1A*, genetic epilepsy with febrile seizure plus, electrophysiology, disease modeling

1. Introduction

Genetic epilepsy with febrile seizure plus (GEFS+) is a form of epilepsy with febrile seizures that persists beyond six years of age (Scheffer and Berkovic, 1997). The clinical phenotype of GEFS+ is characterized by febrile seizures beginning in infancy that persist beyond 6 years of age and in some cases individuals also experience myoclonic, atonic and/or absence seizures (Miller and Sotero de Menezes, 2014). Mutations in a variety of genes that encode ion channels and neurotransmitter receptors have been identified in individuals with GEFS+ (Baulac et al., 2001; Dibbens et al., 2004; Escayg et al., 2001, 2000; Harkin et al., 2002; Sugawara et al., 2001; Wallace et al., 2002, 2001a, 2001b, 1998). One hot spot for mutations causing GEFS+ is the *SCN1A* gene which encodes the alpha subunit of the Nav1.1 voltage-gated sodium channel. Approximately 50 missense mutations at locations throughout *SCN1A* are associated with different individuals diagnosed with GEFS+ (Meng et al., 2015). There are also multigenerational families in which individuals with the same *SCN1A* mutation exhibit a broad range of seizure phenotypes (Abou-Khalil et al., 2001; Goldberg-Stern et al., 2014).

The heterogeneity of disease phenotypes arising from different mutations in the same gene, and from the same mutation between individuals complicates understanding of the underlying cellular mechanisms. Model systems that aid in mapping the links between individual *SCN1A* mutations and their cellular effects can facilitate development of more effective patient-specific drug therapies. Zebrafish, knock-in *Drosophila* and mouse models have all been important tools in this endeavor (Schutte et al., 2016) but evolutionary distances

leading to species-specific mechanisms may lead to failed therapies in patients

(Marian, 2011; Maxwell et al., 2014).

In recent years, patient-derived induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) that

can be differentiated into neurons have emerged as a new model to explore

how epilepsy-associated *SCN1A* mutations affect activity and sodium channel

properties in human neurons. These studies have focused on mutations

associated with Dravet syndrome (DS), a form of epilepsy that is typically more

severe than GEFS+. Previous studies in iPSC-derived neurons have shown

that nonsense R1645X, and missense S1328P and Q1923R mutations in

SCN1A associated with DS confer impaired excitability and reduced sodium

current density in inhibitory neurons, giving rise to hyperactivity in neural

networks (Higurashi et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2016). However,

examination of the DS-associated *SCN1A* missense mutations, Q1923R and

F1415I, revealed increased excitability and increased sodium current

amplitudes in excitatory neurons (Jiao et al., 2013). Further, the IVS14+3A>T

mutation which causes truncation of DIIS2 and S3 of Nav1.1 affects both

excitatory and inhibitory neurons (Liu et al., 2013).

In addition to alterations caused by discrete mutations, differences in

genetic background represent a major source of variability that complicates

interpretation of any experimental findings as they can influence phenotypic

differences (Liang and Zhang, 2013; Vitale et al., 2012). Evaluation of a large

cohort of control and patient iPSC lines can help assess the contribution of

mutations to disease phenotypes (Sandoe and Eggan, 2013). This is

problematic when evaluating potential changes in electrophysiological

properties that require examination at the single cell level in multiple cells from

a large number of cell lines. However, a more direct way to examine the effect

of a mutation independent of genetic background is to compare the cellular

changes caused by a single mutation in isogenic pairs of control and mutant

iPSC lines (Bassett, 2017; Chen et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016; Sandoe and

Eggan, 2013; Smith et al., 2018). A recent study of the DS-associated *SCN1A*

Q1923R mutation used transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs)

to generate an isogenic corrected line by removing the *SCN1A* mutation (Liu et

al., 2016). Comparison of the isogenic corrected versus the patient line

revealed a decrease in sodium current density in inhibitory neurons in the

patient line. Comparison of the isogenic corrected line with a control line from

a different genetic background revealed additional alterations in the voltage

dependence of sodium current activation and action potential (AP) threshold

suggesting these might be associated with the genetic background of the

patient. An isogenic mutant line in which the mutation had been introduced into

the control would have been able to distinguish whether this was due to genetic

background or interaction between the mutation and the genetic background.

The current study focuses on assessing the contribution of the autosomal

dominant *SCN1A* K1270T mutation identified in a GEFS+ family to the etiology

of the disease (Abou-Khalil et al., 2001). Two isogenic pairs of iPSC lines

generated by CRISPR/Cas 9 gene editing were used: 1a) control (unaffected

sibling), 1b) mutated control homozygous for the K1270T mutation, and 2a)

patient heterozygous for the K1270T *SCN1A* mutation (GEFS+ sibling), 2b)

corrected patient. All 4 iPSC lines in the two isogenic pairs can be differentiated

into functional mixed neuronal cultures containing both GABAergic and

glutamatergic neurons that expressed Nav1.1. Comparison of

electrophysiological properties of neurons within and between pairs identified

mutation-dependent alterations independent of genetic background. There

were also differences found to be associated with the genetic background and

others the result of interactions between the mutation and the background.

Such CRISPR/Cas9-based disease modeling strategy with dual isogenic pairs

of iPSC-derived neurons represents a promising platform for studying the

causality of mutations related to genetic diseases and developing patient-

specific therapies based on disease mechanisms.

2. Methods

2.1 Preparation and maintenance of hiPSCs

Skin fibroblasts were collected from two male siblings of the GEFS+ family

by Kevin Ess (Vanderbilt University Medical Center) after obtaining informed

consent using IRB approved protocol #080369. The control fibroblast donor had

no known clinical diagnoses; the patient sibling had four febrile seizures

beginning at 6 months of age and four generalized atypical absence seizures

since the age of two (Abou-Khalil et al., 2001). Age at time of biopsy the control

sibling was 23 years and the patient sibling was 21 years old.

Skin fibroblasts were reprogrammed into iPSCs using nonintegrating

Sendai virus by the Schwartz laboratory as published (Stover et al., 2013). Two

human iPSC lines were generated, SC210.12-SF6-2I3.M11S8 (control) and

SC215.19-SF4-2I8.M10S6 (patient). iPSCs were maintained in feeder-free

conditions following published protocols (Stover et al., 2013; Stover and

Schwartz, 2011).

2.2 CRISPR/Cas9 editing on iPSCs derived from two siblings

To generate a mutated control line, the K1270T mutation was knocked into

an iPSC line generated from the unaffected sibling (SC210.12-SF6-2I3-M11S8-

S4-S6-S2). The 20-nt guide RNA (sgRNA) 5'-CTTCTAAAATGGGTGGCATA-3'

was designed using the CRISPR design tool (http://crispr.mit.edu) from the

Zhang laboratory and cloned into plasmid pSpCas9(BB)-2A-Puro (Px459) V2.0

(Addgene, 62988). Plasmid constructs were purified with the Endo-free Plasmid

Maxiprep kit (Qiagen). The 140-nt repair template ssODN1 5'-

GATTAAGACGATGTTGGAATATGCTGACAAGGTTTTCACTTACATTTTCATT

CTGGAAATGCTTCTAACATGGGTGGCATATGGATATCAAACATATTTCACC

AATGCCTGGTGTTGGCTGGACTTCTTAATTGTTGATG-3' (Integrated DNA

Technologies) was designed with two homologous arms flanking the Cas9

cleavage site, the threonine codon (ACA) at the mutation site and an addition

of a silent EcoRV mutation.

To generate a corrected patient line, the mutation was removed from a

patient iPSC line (SC215.19-SF4-2I8.M10S6-S2-S2). sgRNA (5'-CTTCTAAC

ATGGGTGGCATA-3') complementary to the target sequence of mutated allele

was cloned into the same plasmid. The 140-nt repair template ssODN2 5'-

GATTAAGACGATGTTGGAATATGCTGACAAGGTTTTCACTTACATTTTCATT

CTGGAAATGCTTCTAAAATGGGTGGCATATGGCTATCAAACATATTTCACC

AATGCCTGGTGTTGGCTGGACTTCTTAATTGTTGATG-3' (Integrated DNA

Technologies) was similar to ssODN1 but with the lysine codon at the mutation

site (AAA) and without EcoRV silent mutation. 8×10^5 cells were co-transfected

with 3 μg plasmid-sgRNA construct and ssODN (0.6 μM final concentration)

with Amaxa Human Stem Cell Nucleofector Kit 1 (Lonza) and program A-023

for the Amaxa Nucleofector Device II. To obtain low seeding density, the

transfected cell suspension was diluted in a 1:6 - 1:8 ratio and plated into

Matrigel (BD Biosciences)-coated wells of 6-well plates. 24 hours post-

transfection, cells were treated with 2 μg/ml puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich) in

StemPro complete media (Stover and Schwartz, 2011) containing 0.58 μl/ml

SMC4 (Corning). 48 hours post-transfection, cells were switched to normal

StemPro complete media containing 0.58 μl/ml SMC4. Continued maintenance

required media that included 50% conditioned media from 70-90%-confluent

unedited iPSC cultures and 50% StemPro complete media with 55 μM β-

mercaptoethanol (Invitrogen), 1×StemPro (Invitrogen), 20 ng/ml bFGF

(Stemgent) and 0.58 μl/ml SMC4. 6-8 days post-nucleofection, isolated iPSC

colonies with 20-30 cells were formed, picked manually using customized glass

hooks, and transferred to single wells in 96- or 48-well plates with pre-wet 20 μl

barrier micropipette tips. StemPro complete media supplemented with 0.58

μl/ml SMC4 was used for daily feeding. At 100% confluence, clones were split

with pre-warmed 62 μl (96-well plate) or 125 μl (48-well plate) of Accutase

(Millipore) in a 1:2 ratio. Cells were eventually expanded into two wells in a 48-

well plate to be used for freezing as well as sequencing and/or restriction

digestion, respectively. All media for feeding and splitting were prepared

immediately prior to use and equilibrated at 37°C and 5% CO_2.

A protocol for freezing iPSCs in low density was modified from Stover *et al*

(Stover and Schwartz, 2011)*.* Cells at 100% confluence were cryopreserved

with pre-chilled freezing medium containing 40% conditioned medium from 70-

90% confluent culture, 40% StemPro complete medium, 10% DMSO (Sigma-

Aldrich), 10% bovine serum albumin (25% BSA, Gibco) with addition of 0.58

μl/ml SMC4. To increase viability of cells during defrosting, clones from 48-well

plates were frozen with 50-250 μl of freezing medium depending on the size of

pellets after centrifugation and were stored in 1.2 ml cryo-vials at -80°C.

Genomic DNA was extracted with 10-13 μl of QuickExtract DNA Extraction

Solution (Epicentre). Fragments around the intended mutation sites were

amplified by PCR in 50 μl reactions with Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen),

forward primer 5'- CTACCATAGATTCCATCCCCCAA -3' and reverse primer 5'-

TTCCACCAATAGTCTTTCCCCTG -3'. PCR products were confirmed by gel

electrophoresis and purified with MinElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) prior

to sequencing (Retrogen). MacVector software was used to analyze

sequencing data.

Additionally, a subset of mutated control clones was subject to restriction

digestion by EcoRV prior to sequencing analysis. As we observed the absence

of EcoRV mutation in some of the positive clones with K1270T mutation, direct

sequencing was used to screen the remaining clones.

The top five potential off-target sites from CRISPR/Cas9 editing as

identified by the CRISPR design tool (http://crispr.mit.edu) from the Zhang

laboratory, were *SCN7A*, *FLII*, *SCN2A*, *SCN3A* and *SCN9A*. To determine if

any of these were also affected by the editing in the mutated control or the

patient corrected line, primers were used to interrogate the relevant region of

homology in each gene. PCR conditions were 94 °C, 45 s, 60 °C, 33 s and 72 °C,

55 s for 35 cycles.

To evaluate the expression level of off targets, mRNA was extracted from

neuronal cultures of the mutated control line. cDNA was synthesized following

the instructions of TRIzol (Thermo Fisher) and SuperScript™ III First-Strand

Synthesis SuperMix for qRT-PCR kit (Thermo Fisher), followed by nested PCR.

Expression of the off-target gene was compared to *SCN1A* and normalized to

ACTB. Nested PCR conditions were 94 °C, 45 s, 60 °C, 33 s and 72 °C, 60 s

for 35 cycles for all primers. Primers for sequencing and nested PCR are in

Supplementary Table 1.

All 4 iPSC lines were karyotyped and cell lines at low passage were

expanded for cryopreservation. When samples were thawed for expansion and

use in differentiation studies, the *SCN1A* gene was sequenced every 20-30

passages. Research was approved by hSCRO (#2011-1023) and IBC protocols

(#2011-1377).

2.3 Differentiation of two pairs of isogenic iPSCs into neurons

Two pairs of isogenic iPSCs (4 lines total) within 40 passages of the original

generation were patterned into neurons as described (Xie et al., 2018). Neural

progenitor cells derived from iPSCs were plated on top of 50-70% confluent

mouse astroglial feeder layers that were prepared following a published

protocol (Schutte et al., 2018).

At D17 post-plating, excitatory and inhibitory neurons were labeled by

transfection with CaMKII-eGFP (Addgene, 50469) and GAD1-mCherry

(Genecopoeia, HPRM15652-PM02) plasmids in Opti-MEM (Gibco) and DNA-

in (MTI-GlobalStem) or ViaFect (Promega) reagents.

2.4 Immunostaining and imaging

To evaluate the expression of pluripotency markers, iPSCs were plated

onto glass coverslips coated with Matrigel diluted 1:30 in DMEM/F-12 +

Glutamax (Gibco). iPSCs were maintained using StemPro medium (section

2.2). When grown to ~70% confluence, coverslips were fixed and stained as

described (Xie et al., 2018). Primary antibodies OCT-3/4 (1:100; Santa Cruz

Biotechnology, sc-5279), SOX2 (1:100; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-365823)

and NANOG (1:50; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-293121) were used to

characterize the pluripotency of the iPSCs. 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole

(DAPI; Life Technologies) staining was added to visualize nuclei.

iPSC-derived neurons were stained with antibodies of βIII-tubulin (1:1000;

Sigma-Aldrich, T8660) and GABA (1:4000; Sigma-Aldrich, A2052) to assess

the proportion of GABAergic neurons. Neurons were identified by morphology,

expression of βIII-tubulin in the cell bodies and neuronal processes, and

nuclear localization of DAPI. Identities of neurons labeled by GAD1-mCherry

and CaMKII-eGFP plasmids were verified by staining with antibodies of GABA

and CaMKII (1:800; Cell Signaling Technology, 3362) respectively. Expression

of Nav1.1 was examined in GABA$^+$ (1:100; Aldrich-Sigma, A0310) and CaMKII-

eGFP$^+$ cells by staining with anti-Nav1.1 antibody (1:800; Alomone Labs, ASC-

001).

Images were taken by a Zeiss M2 Imager fluorescence microscope and

processed using the supplied Zen software.

2.5 Whole-cell recording

Whole-cell recordings were obtained from iPSC-derived inhibitory and

excitatory neurons at D21-23 post-plating at room temperature. This time period

was selected based on studies in two control cell lines demonstrating that a

high percentage of neurons were functionally active by this age (Xie et al.,

2018). Patch pipettes were pulled from unpolished borosilicate glass (VWR

International) by PC-10 pipette puller (Narishige). Open tip resistance was 6-8

MΩ. External solution contained (in mM): 120 NaCl, 5.4 KCl, 0.8 $MgCl_2$, 1.8

$CaCl_2$, 15 glucose, 20 HEPES, with pH at 7.2-7.4. Osmolality was adjusted to

290 - 295 mOsm. Internal solution contained (in mM): 120 potassium gluconate,

20 NaCl, 0.1 $CaCl_2$, 2 $MgCl_2$, 1.1 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 4.5 Na_2ATP with pH at 7.2.

Osmolality was around 280 - 283 mOsm. Voltages were corrected for a 5 mV

junction potential. To record evoked action potentials, membrane potential was

held at -75 mV by injecting sub-threshold currents and cells were depolarized

by a series of current injections.

Excitatory and inhibitory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs and IPSCs) were

separated at reversal potentials (-49 mV and -2 mV) with a gap-free protocol

under voltage clamp. Recordings of spontaneous PSCs (sPSCs) were

performed with the standard external solution and a cesium gluconate internal

solution containing (in mM): 130 Cs^+ gluconate, 0.2 EGTA, 2 $MgCl_2$, 6 CsCl, 10

HEPES, 2.5 adenosine 5' triphosphate sodium salt, 0.5 guanosine 5'

triphosphate sodium and 10 disodium phosphocreatine. Voltages were

corrected for a 7 mV junction potential. Miniature postsynaptic currents

(mPSCs) were recorded in external solution containing 1 μM TTX (tetrodotoxin;

Alomones Labs).

Isolated sodium currents were recorded with external solution containing

(in mM): 120 NaCl, 5.4 KCl, 0.8 $CoCl_2$, 15 glucose, 20 HEPES, 2.5

tetraethylammonium and 1.0 4-aminopyridine. The same cesium gluconate

internal solution for PSC recording was used for sodium current recording.

Voltages were corrected for a 10 mV junction potential. P/N leak subtraction

was turned on. The voltage dependence of activation was determined with a

step protocol. Cell membrane potential was increased from a holding potential

of -100 mV to a range of potentials between -100 mV and 30 mV for 45 s with

a step interval of 5 or 10 mV. Currents recorded at the shared voltage steps

were included for current density analysis and G-V graph plotting. Peak

transient currents were plotted against holding potentials and fit with the

following equation:

306
$$I = \frac{(V - Vrev) \times Gmax}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{V - Vhalf}{k}\right)} \quad (1)$$

307   where I is the peak current amplitude, V is the holding potential of each pulse,

308   V_{rev} is the reversal potential, G_{max} is the maximal conductance, V_{half} is the

309   voltage with 50% channels activated, and k is the slope. Current data in 5 mV

310   and 10 mV step intervals were used for fitting. Conductance was calculated

311   with the following equation:

312
$$G = \frac{I}{V - Vrev} \quad (2)$$

where G is conductance, and I, V, V_{rev} were as described in equation (1).

The voltage dependence of steady-state inactivation was analyzed with a

two-step protocol. It began with a holding potential of -100 mV. Next the

conditioning pulse was increased through a series of voltage steps from -100

mV to 30 mV for 90 ms with a step interval of 5 or 10 mV. Currents recorded at

the shared voltage steps were included for G-V graph plotting. A test pulse at -

10 mV for 90 ms was immediately applied and followed by a holding potential

of -100 mV. The peak current amplitude during test pulses were normalized to

that during the first test pulse and then plotted against the holding potential of

the conditioning pulse. The I-V curves were fitted to the following equation:

323
$$I = \frac{1}{1+exp\frac{V-Vhalf}{k}} \qquad (3)$$

where I is the normalized peak current amplitude during the test pulses, V_{half} is

the voltage with 50% channels inactivated, and k is the slope. Current data in

5 mV and 10 mV step intervals were used for fitting.

The recovery from steady-state inactivation was determined using a

second two-pulse protocol. Following a holding potential of -100 mV for 27 ms,

two test pulses at -10 mV for 90 ms were separated by a time interval with a

range of 1-10 ms with an increment of 1 ms in the first protocol, and 10-50 ms

with an increment of 5 ms in the subsequent protocol. Peak current amplitude

from the second pulse was normalized to the average current amplitude from

the first pulse to calculate the fractional recovery. The data of recovery were

fitted using the following equation:

335
$$I = A \times \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau}\right) + B \qquad (4)$$

where I is the normalized current amplitude, A is the relative percentage of

recovered currents, t is the time interval, and τ is the time constant of recovery.

Electrophysiological data were collected with a List EPC7 amplifier and a

Digidata 1320A D-A converter (Axon Instruments), or a MultiClamp 700B

amplifier and a Digidata 1440A D-A converter (Axon Instruments). Recordings

were obtained by pClamp8 or pClamp10 (Axon Instruments) software with a

Bessel filter at 2 kHz and a low-pass filter at 10 kHz.

2.6 Data Analysis and Statistics

Counting from fluorescent micrographs was conducted in a blinded fashion

with respect to cell line. For each coverslip, at least 3 fields with evenly

distributed cells observed via DIC were chosen for counting. 3 coverslips each

from individual plating of an iPSC cell line were included for counting the

number of cells expressing pluripotency markers.

Electrophysiological data were analyzed using Clampfit 10.6 (Molecular

Devices). Cells that were included in electrophysiological analyses had a leak

current amplitude smaller than 50 pA at a holding potential of -75 mV. Evoked

action potentials (APs) recorded under current clamp were included if they had

a stable baseline at -65 ~ -85 mV with sub-threshold current injection, a peak

amplitude ≥ -5 mV on the first AP and ≥ -15 mV in subsequent APs within the

train. AP events were excluded if the maximum firing frequency deviated from

mean by more than ± 2SD. Rheobase data were excluded if the resting

membrane potentials (RMP) were more depolarized than -35 mV. AP properties

were examined in the first AP at rheobase that first elicited AP firing. AP

threshold was identified as the first derivative of the membrane potential (dV/dt)

5% or higher than the peak rate of change. AP amplitude was defined as the

change of membrane potential from the threshold to the peak. AP amplitude

and half-width data were not included if the cell did not fire evoked AP. PSCs

recorded under voltage-clamp were analyzed using Mini Analysis 6.0.7

(Synaptosoft). PSCs were identified as events with an amplitude ≥ 5 pA ($2\times$

RMS noise of 2.5 pA) and were then manually verified individually. To calculate

the (E-I)/(E+I) index, the absolute values of amplitude or charge transfer of

EPSCs and IPSCs were used for E and I respectively. Cells were excluded from

sodium current analysis if their current density in the range of -10 - 40mV was

2SD beyond the mean.

Electrophysiological data are presented with n = number of recorded cells

and the number of individual platings shown in parentheses. All data were

analyzed with D'Agostino-Pearson normality test. Statistical tests were selected

based on the normality test results and performed in Prism 7.0.2 (GraphPad

Software). Figures were generated using DeltaGraph 7.1 (Red Rock Software).

3. Results

3.1 Two pairs of isogenic iPSCs generated by CRISPR/Cas9 editing

The autosomal dominant K1270T *SCN1A* mutation identified in a GEFS+

family results in a lysine-to-threonine conversion in the second transmembrane

segment of the third homologous domain in the α subunit of the human Nav1.1

protein (Abou-Khalil et al., 2001) (Figure 1A). Skin fibroblasts were collected

from two male siblings (an unaffected control and a GEFS+ patient) and both

were reprogrammed into iPSC lines using the nonintegrating Sendai virus

(Figure 1B). To examine the contribution of the K1270T mutation to disease

phenotypes, two pairs of isogenic lines were included in this study. In the first

pair, the GEFS+ K1270T mutation (c.4227A>C) was knocked into the

unaffected sibling derived control line using CRISPR/Cas9. For the second

isogenic pair, the K1270T mutation in the patient line was corrected

(c.4227C>A; Figure 1C). The mutated control clone with desired sequence was

homozygous, likely the result of the short distance (9 bp) between the Cas9 cut

site and the K1270T mutation locus (Paquet et al., 2016).

Sequencing of DNAs from the iPSC lines in the 2 isogenic pairs confirmed

that the control line did not have the mutation at site 1270 ($SCN1A^{+/+}$). The

mutated control was homozygous for the mutation ($SCN1A^{KT/KT}$) based on

sequencing and this was further confirmed by the presence of the silent EcoRV

mutation from the repair template in only one allele, demonstrating that the

editing process did not also result in loss of one allele and therefore, a

hemizygous clone. The patient line was confirmed to be heterozygous for the

mutation ($SCN1A^{KT/+}$) and the mutation was absent in the corrected patient

lines ($SCN1A^{+/+}$; Figure 1D). The engineered homozygous mutated control

provides a unique opportunity to evaluate its cellular defects since two copies

of $SCN1A$ mutations are usually lethal to organisms in $vivo$ (Martin et al., 2010;

Yu et al., 2006).

Karyotypes of four iPSC lines were verified normal with 46, XY

chromosomes (Figure 1E). Pluripotency of each of the 4 cell lines was

confirmed by staining for expression of OCT-3/4, SOX2 and NANOG (Yu et al.,

2007) (Figure 1F). The top five potential off-target sites for mutations were

evaluated, including $SCN7A$, $FLII$, $SCN2A$, $SCN3A$ and $SCN9A$

(Supplementary Figure 1A). There were no off-target mutations in candidate

genes in the corrected patient line. In the homozygous mutated control line,

there was a mutation only in *SCN7A*, in addition to the K1270T knock-in

mutation. Since *SCN7A* encodes a non-voltage-gated, TTX-insensitive Na_x

sodium channel, it is unlikely to affect evaluation of sodium currents and firing

properties that are both completely eliminated by addition of TTX to the

recording solution (Supplementary Figure 1C). In addition, this gene is

expressed in astrocytes, and neurons in circumventricular organs (Gautron et

al., 1992; Watanabe et al., 2000) and there is no evidence that this gene is

expressed in our iPSC-derived neurons based on nested PCR reactions that

resulted in clear amplification of *SCN1A* transcripts with undetectable levels of

SCN7A (Supplemental Figure 1C).

Figure 1. Isogenic pairs of iPSCs generated by CRISPR/Cas9 editing. (A) The K1270T mutation is located in the second transmembrane segment of the third homologous domain of Nav1.1 alpha subunit. (B) CRISPR/Cas9 editing was used to generate two isogenic pairs from iPSCs derived from two siblings in the GEFS+ family (control and patient) followed by differentiation into functional neurons. (C) Scheme of CRISPR/Cas9 editing design. Additional silent mutation introduced by ssODN1 resulted in an EcoRV restriction site for clone screening when knocking in the K1270T mutation. (D) Sequencing of two isogenic iPSC pairs confirmed the absence and the presence of the mutation. (E) All four lines had normal karyotypes (46, XY). (F) iPSCs of two isogenic pairs were stained with nuclei marker DAPI and pluripotency markers OCT-3/4, SOX2 and NANOG individually. The percentage of cells positive for each of the pluripotency markers was not significantly different between the 4 lines (p = 0.1, 0.06 and 0.2 for OCT-3/4, SOX2 and NANOG respectively, one-way ANOVA). Scale bar represents 50 μm. Data represented as mean + s.e.m. Data represent counts from three fields in three coverslips from three platings for each genotype.

3.2 iPSC-derived neuronal cultures contain both inhibitory and excitatory neurons

We previously optimized a direct differentiation protocol that gives rise to

neuronal cultures containing excitatory and inhibitory neurons (Schutte et al.,

2018; Xie et al., 2018). With this protocol, iPSCs from both isogenic pairs were

differentiated into neural progenitors followed by plating onto astroglial feeder

layers for neuronal differentiation. To evaluate the morphology of neurons and

quantify the proportion of inhibitory GABAergic and excitatory glutamatergic

neurons in the cultures derived from the four iPSC lines, cultures were fixed at

D21-24 post plating and stained with anti-βIII-tubulin and anti-GABA or anti-

CaMKII antibodies. Cultures from all lines stained βIII-tubulin positive cells with

elongated branched neurites (Figure 2A). GABAergic and glutamatergic

neurons comprised 30-40% and ~50% of the total neuronal population

respectively, with no significance between genotypes (Figure 2B, $p = 0.1$, one-

way ANOVA for GABAergic neurons and $p = 0.6$, Kruskal-Wallis test for

glutamatergic neurons).

In order to identify inhibitory and excitatory neurons during live recording,

cells were transiently transfected with GAD1-mCherry and CaMKII-eGFP

plasmids at D17 post plating. Visualization of the cultures with fluorescent

illumination at D21-24 post plating was used to identify and record from

mCherry expressing GAD1-positive inhibitory neurons and eGFP expressing

CaMKII-positive excitatory neurons (white arrows, Figure 2C). The plasmid

labeling strategy accurately identified GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons

based on the colocalization of anti-GABA staining in 90.05% ± 3.26% of GAD1-

mCherry[+] and anti-CaMKII staining in 97.15% ± 2.47% of CaMKII-eGFP[+]

neurons examined. Further, *SCN1A* was expressed in both inhibitory and

excitatory neurons of the control and the mutated control lines based on anti-

Nav1.1 antibody staining in both GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons (Figure

2D).

Figure 2. Cultures from two isogenic pairs contain a similar proportion of GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons. (A) Representative micrographs from cultures of two isogenic pairs of iPSC-derived neurons stained with neuronal subtype markers GABA or CaMKII antibodies to identify GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons at D21-24 post plating. (B) The percentage of GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons (white arrows) in neuronal cultures at D21-24 post plating in each line. There was no significant difference between cell lines (p = 0.1, one-way ANOVA for GABAergic neurons and p = 0.6 Kruskal-Wallis test for glutamatergic neurons). (C) Identification of inhibitory (top) and excitatory (bottom) neurons at D21-24 post plating labeled with plasmids via transient transfection during live recording (white arrows). At least 3 coverslips of 2-3 individual platings were evaluated for each line. (D) Localization of Nav1.1 in GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons of the control line (white arrows). Data represented as mean + s.e.m. Ctrl = control, mut ctrl = mutated control, corr pt = corrected patient, and pt = patient. Scale bar represents 50 μm.

3.3. Inhibitory neurons: the K1270T mutation reduces evoked firing and sodium

current

To determine if the K1270T mutation causes cell type-specific alterations

in excitability, evoked action potential recordings were obtained at D21-D24

post plating from iPSC-derived inhibitory neurons in cultures from two isogenic

pairs: 1a) control ($SCN1A^{+/+}$), 1b) mutated control, homozygous ($SCN1A^{KT/KT}$);

2a) corrected patient ($SCN1A^{+/+}$), 2b) patient, heterozygous ($SCN1A^{KT/+}$). To

account for variability between platings, and within plating, data for all

electrophysiological properties represent recordings from multiple cells in at

least three independent platings, and these values are presented in each figure

or table.

Over 90% of inhibitory neurons in each genotype fired action potentials

(Figure 3A and 3D). Assessment of firing frequency vs current step curves

demonstrated a decrease in mean firing frequency in the homozygous mutated

control line compared to the control line (Figure 3B). Both the main effect

associated with genotype and an interaction effect for firing frequency were

significantly different (genotype: p = 0.0092, interaction: p < 0.0001, two-way

ANOVA with repeated measures; Figure 3B and Table 1). The AP amplitude

was also significantly smaller in the homozygous mutated control line compared

to the control (p = 0.0073, two-tailed unpaired *t* test; Figure 3C).

In the heterozygous patient line compared to the corrected patient line,

there was no significant decrease in firing frequency associated with the main

effect of genotype, but there was a significant interaction effect (genotype: p =

0.067, interaction: p = 0.007, two-way ANOVA with repeated measures; Figure

3E and Table 1). This indicates that the firing frequency differed between the

two lines depending on the injected currents. Specifically, the heterozygous

patient line had decreased firing frequency at small current steps compared to

the corrected patient line. However, at current steps of 50 pA and above, the

firing frequency of the patient and the corrected patient line were largely

overlapping (Figure 3E and Table 1). The AP amplitude in the heterozygous

patient line was smaller than that in the corrected patient line, but the difference

was not significant (Figure 3F). The reduction in firing frequency and AP firing

amplitude in the heterozygous patient line was approximately half that seen in

the homozygous mutated line compared to the control line at the low current

steps (Figure 3B and 3E and Table 1). These data suggest that the impairment

of action potential firing in inhibitory neurons is gene dose-dependent. There

were no differences in AP threshold, rheobase, the injected current that first

elicited AP firing, and half width within and between isogenic pairs (Table 2).

The control and the mutated control had similar input resistance (IR), whole

cell capacitance (C_m) and rest membrane potential (RMP; Table 3). Interestingly

while the patient line had comparable input resistance to the isogenic corrected

line, it had a significantly smaller whole cell capacitance and a more depolarized

RMP ($p = 0.024$, and < 0.0001 respectively, Mann-Whitney U test for C_m and

unpaired *t* test for RMP; Table 3).

To examine whether reduced firing frequency and AP amplitude is

associated with alterations in sodium current, isolated sodium currents were

recorded in inhibitory neurons at D21-24 post plating. All inhibitory neurons in

each genotype expressed sodium currents. Sodium currents were not well

space clamped at this age in culture in any of the lines (Figure 3G and 3I),

consistent with the localization of some sodium channels at sites electrically

distant from the neuronal cell bodies as is typical of neurons that fire multiple

APs. This is also consistent with many other studies in which iPSC-derived

neurons that are capable of firing a train of APs do not have well clamped

sodium currents (Jiao et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2016, 2013; Sun

et al., 2016). However, comparative analysis of sodium current properties

between control and patient lines in these studies, as in other published studies,

provide insights into the disease mechanism. Therefore, our study focuses on

comparing sodium current properties from a family of traces within and between

isogenic pairs. While the absolute values may not be reflective of the

biophysical properties of sodium currents measured from single channels or in

expression systems where there is good voltage control, they allow

identification of properties that are or are not associated with the mutation in

the native environment.

The mean sodium current density versus voltage curves, generated from

analysis of inhibitory neurons in each line of an isogenic pair, were compared.

The sodium current density in the homozygous mutated control line was

reduced compared to the control line. Both the main effect of genotype and an

interaction were significant (genotype: $p = 0.050$, interaction: $p = 0.016$, two-

way ANOVA with repeated measures; Figure 3G and 3H). In the patient line,

heterozygous for the K1270T mutation, there was a similar trend towards a

decrease in mean sodium current density at each voltage step above -30 mV

compared to the corrected line but the main effect associated with the genotype

and the interaction effect were not significantly different (genotype: $p = 0.27$,

interaction: p = 0.33, two-way ANOVA with repeated measures; Figure 3I and 3J).

No differences were observed in the voltage-dependence of activation, steady-state inactivation, or recovery from inactivation within the isogenic pairs (the mutated control line compared to the control; the patient compared to the corrected patient line; Figure S2). However, comparison between the isogenic pairs revealed a ~4 mV depolarized shift in voltage dependence of activation in the pair derived from the control compared to the pair derived from the patient (genotype: p = 0.0065, interaction: p < 0.0001, two-way ANOVA with repeated measures; Table 4 and Figure S2A and S2B). There was also a 3 mV depolarized shift in the voltage dependence of steady-state inactivation in the control vs the patient derived lines (genotype: p = 0.28, interaction: p < 0.0001, two-way ANOVA with repeated measures; Table 4 and Figure S2C and S2D). Shifts between pairs are likely associated with the genetic background of the GEFS+ patient which would not be found if using unrelated control and patient cell lines. Time constant of recovery from inactivation was similar within isogenic pairs (Table 4 and Figure S2E and S2F).

Overall the differences within the isogenic pairs demonstrate that the K1270T mutation causes reduction in evoked firing, action potential amplitude and sodium current density recorded at the cell body in inhibitory neurons. The alterations observed in voltage dependence of activation and steady-state inactivation of sodium currents between isogenic pairs suggest these changes are associated with the genetic background, independent of the mutation.

Figure 3. In inhibitory neurons, the K1270T mutation is associated with impaired AP firing and reduced sodium current density. (A) Traces of action potential firing with 30 pA injected in inhibitory neurons of the first isogenic pair of the control (ctrl) and the homozygous mutated control (mut ctrl). (B) The input-output curves of action potentials in inhibitory neurons of the first isogenic pair. (C) AP amplitude of the first AP at rheobase in inhibitory neurons of the first isogenic pair. ** denotes p < 0.001, two-tailed unpaired t-test. (D-F) Same as A and B, but in inhibitory neurons of the second isogenic pair of the lines from the corrected patient (corr pt) and the heterozygous patient (pt). (G) Traces of voltage-gated sodium currents in inhibitory neurons of the first isogenic pair. The activation protocol started with a prepulse at -100 mV followed by a series of steps ranging from -100 to 30 mV in 10 mV intervals. (H) Current-voltage relationship in inhibitory neurons of the first isogenic pair. Whole cell currents were normalized to capacitance. (I-J) Same as G and H, but in inhibitory neurons of the second isogenic pair. Data presented as mean + s.e.m in C and F, and mean ± s.e.m with total number of neurons evaluated and the number of individual platings in parentheses for each line in B,E,H, and J. * and # represent significant differences in genotypes and interaction within an isogenic pair respectively, two-way ANOVA and *post hoc* Sidak's analysis. One to four symbols denote p values < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, 0.0001 respectively.

Table 1. Firing frequency of inhibitory neurons

Currents (pA)	Control	Mutated control	Mean change (pair 1)	Corrected patient	Patient	Mean change (pair 2)
-10	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0
0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0	0 ± 0	0 ± 0	0
10	1.3 ± 0.6	2.7 ± 0.9	1.4	2.7 ± 0.8	1.3 ± 0.4	1.4
20	6.6 ± 1.0	4.4 ± 1.0	2.2	6.1 ± 1.0	3.6 ± 0.7	2.5
30	7.6 ± 0.9	4.2 ± 0.9	3.4	6.6 ± 1.3	4.3 ± 0.8	2.3
40	7.7 ± 1.0	4.0 ± 0.8	3.7	5.6 ± 1.0	4.9 ± 0.9	0.7
50	7.4 ± 1.0	3.7 ± 0.8	3.7	4.2 ± 0.7	4.7 ± 1.0	-0.5
60	6.8 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 0.5	3.9	3.3 ± 0.5	4.4 ± 1.0	-1.1
70	4.8 ± 0.6	2.6 ± 0.4	2.2	3.0 ± 0.4	4.1 ± 0.9	-1.1
80	4.0 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 0.4	1.5	2.9 ± 0.3	3.6 ± 0.8	-0.7
90	3.4 ± 0.5	2.4 ± 0.3	1.0	2.6 ± 0.3	3.5 ± 0.8	-0.9
100	3.1 ± 0.3	2.1 ± 0.3	1.0	2.4 ± 0.3	2.7 ± 0.4	-0.3

a. Data reported as mean ± s.e.m.
b. Two-way ANOVA and *post hoc* Sidak's analysis

Table 2. Measurements of excitability in two isogenic pairs

Cell type	Genotype	Threshold (mV)	Rheobase (pA)	AP half-width (ms)
Inhibitory	Ctrl	-36.2 ± 1.0 n = 13 (3)	18.8 ± 1.7 n = 13 (3)	3.6 ± 0.2 n = 16 (3)
	Mut ctrl	-34.1 ± 1.5 n = 19 (5)	22.5 ± 3.8 n = 16 (5)	4.8 ± 0.6 n = 19 (5)
	Corr pt	-34.8 ± 0.8 n = 16 (4)	15.3 ± 1.7 n = 15 (4)	4.6 ± 0.7 n = 16 (4)
	Pt	-35.4 ± 0.8 n = 25 (6)	23.9 ± 3.3 n = 26 (6)	6.1 ± 0.8 n = 25 (6)
Excitatory	Ctrl	-37.5 ± 1.4 n = 9 (3)	23.3 ± 2.4 n = 9 (3)	3.9 ± 0.4 n = 15 (3)
	Mut ctrl	-36.3 ± 0.8 n = 17 (5)	21.3 ± 1.9 n = 15 (5)	3.9 ± 0.2 n = 29 (5)
	Corr pt	-35.9 ± 1.1 n = 16 (5)	17.5 ± 1.9 n = 16 (5)	4.0 ± 0.5 n = 16 (5)
	Pt	-35.7 ± 0.6 n = 31 (8)	18.7 ± 2.4 n = 32 (8)	4.2 ± 0.2 n = 33 (8)

a. Data reported as mean ± s.e.m with total number of neurons (number of individual platings) underneath.
b. Ctrl = control, mut ctrl = mutated control, corr pt = corrected patient, and pt = patient.
c. Unpaired *t* test for AP threshold, and rheobase in excitatory neurons of the control-derived pair; Mann-Whitney U test for rheobase in inhibitory neurons and excitatory neurons of the patient-derived pair, and AP half-width.

Table 3. Comparison of passive membrane properties in two isogenic pairs

Cell type	Genotype	IR (GΩ)	C_m (pF)	RMP (mV)
Inhibitory	Ctrl	1.6 ± 0.1 n = 16 (3)	27.3 ± 2.8 n = 15 (3)	-48.0 ± 2.7 n = 13 (3)
	Mut ctrl	1.6 ± 0.1 n = 21 (5)	27.8 ± 2.8 n = 22 (5)	-49.3 ± 2.7 n = 18 (5)
	Corr pt	1.6 ± 0.2 n = 22 (4)	32.1 ± 2.4* n = 22 (4)	-62.8 ± 1.3**** n = 17 (2)
	Pt	1.6 ± 0.1 n = 26 (6)	24.9 ± 2.3 n = 26 (6)	-50.8 ± 2.3 n = 13 (2)
Excitatory	Ctrl	1.0 ± 0.1 n = 16 (3)	30.5 ± 2.5 n = 15 (3)	-52.0 ± 3.2 n = 9 (3)
	Mut ctrl	1.3 ± 0.09* n = 30 (5)	32.6 ± 2.9 n = 28 (5)	-49.9 ± 2.3 n = 17 (5)
	Corr pt	1.3 ± 0.09 n = 24 (5)	31.3 ± 1.4 n = 24 (5)	-56.1 ± 2.0 n = 14 (2)
	Pt	1.5 ± 0.09 n = 48 (8)	36.7 ± 1.8 n = 48 (8)	-54.1 ± 2.4 n = 12 (2)

a. Data reported as mean ± s.e.m with total number of neurons and number of individual platings in parentheses.

b. Ctrl = control, mut ctrl = mutated control, corr pt = corrected patient, and pt = patient.

c. Statistically significant differences within isogenic pair: * $p < 0.05$ and **** $p < 0.0001$, two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test for IR and C_m and unpaired *t*-test for RMP.

Table 4. Comparison of Voltage dependence of Nav in isogenic pairs

Cell type	Line	Activation		Inactivation		Recovery
		$V_{1/2}$ (mV)	k (mV)	$V_{1/2}$ (mV)	k (mV)	τ (ms)
Inhibitory	Ctrl, n = 32 (4)	-38.2 ± 1.4	1.7 ± 0.3	-44.0 ± 1.0	5.5 ± 0.2	3.0 ± 0.2
	Mut ctrl, n = 29 (4)	-35.1 ± 1.4	2.1 ± 0.3	-43.3 ± 0.6	6.3 ± 0.3	3.2 ± 0.2
	Corr pt, n = 27 (5)	-32.0 ± 0.9	2.2 ± 0.3	-41.0 ± 0.4	6.3 ± 0.3	2.8 ± 0.1
	Pt, n =24 (4)	-31.3 ± 1.3	2.5 ± 0.4	-41.0 ± 0.8	6.1 ± 0.3	2.9 ± 0.2
Excitatory	Ctrl, n = 36 (4)	-35.4 ± 1.1	1.7 ± 0.2	-43.2 ± 0.5	5.7 ± 0.1	3.0 ± 0.1
	Mut ctrl, n = 27 (4)	-35.3 ± 1.3	2.2 ± 0.3	-44.0 ± 0.9	5.8 ± 0.2	3.4 ± 0.2
	Corr pt, n = 26 (5)	-31.5 ± 1.0*	2.3 ± 0.3	-42.5 ± 0.7*	7.2 ± 0.5**	2.9 ± 0.1
	Pt, n = 26 (4)	-34.7 ± 1.0	2.0 ± 0.3	-40.5 ± 0.4	5.4 ± 0.2	3.1 ± 0.1

a. Data reported as mean ± s.e.m with total number of neurons and number of individual platings in parentheses for each line.

b. Ctrl = control, mut ctrl = mutated control, corr pt = corrected patient, and pt = patient.

c. Statistically significant differences within isogenic pairs: * $p < 0.05$ and *** $p < 0.001$, two-tailed unpaired *t*-test for activation and inactivation $V_{1/2}$, and Mann-Whitney U test for inactivation k.

3.4 Excitatory neurons: the K1270T SCN1A mutation reduces sodium current density but does not reduce firing.

Previous studies in iPSC and mouse models have suggested that *SCN1A*

mutations reduce evoked firing and sodium currents specifically in inhibitory

neurons (Hedrich et al., 2014; Martin et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2016; Yu et al.,

2006). However, some studies in other *SCN1A* mutations showed excitability

and sodium currents of both excitatory and inhibitory neurons are affected by

SCN1A mutations (Jiao et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2013; Mistry et al., 2014).

Antibody staining demonstrated that both excitatory and inhibitory iPSC-derived

neurons in our cultures express Nav1.1 channels. Therefore, it was important

to evaluate evoked firing and sodium currents in iPSC-derived excitatory

neurons in the same two isogenic pairs examined in the previous section at

D21-24 post plating.

Over 93% of excitatory neurons in each genotype fired action potentials

with injection of depolarizing currents (Figure 4A and 4D). There was no

significant main effect difference or interaction effect in the firing frequency

versus injected current curves in the homozygous mutated control compared to

the control (genotype: $p = 0.91$, interaction: $p = 0.57$, two-way ANOVA with

repeated measures; Figure 4B). However, in the heterozygous patient line the

firing frequency was significantly increased compared to the corrected patient

line at current injections over 30 pA (genotype: $p = 0.041$, interaction: $p =$

0.0042, two-way ANOVA with repeated measures; Figure 4E). Since the

increased firing frequency in excitatory neurons is only in the patient-derived

line and not in the isogenic corrected line or in the control-derived pair (control

and mutated control lines), this suggests the phenotype is associated with an

interaction between the mutation and the patient genetic background. There

was no significant difference in the AP amplitude, threshold, rheobase and AP

half-width within or between the isogenic pairs (Figure 4C, 4F and Table 2).

The input resistance in the mutated control was slightly higher than that of

the control ($p = 0.025$, two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test; Table 3). However, there

was no difference in the input resistance between the patient vs the corrected

patient line (Table 3). The whole cell capacitance and RMP were similar within

the isogenic pairs (Table 3).

Based on the lack of decrease in firing in excitatory neurons, and the

increase in firing in the patient line, it seemed likely there would be no decrease

in sodium current density in the mutated control and possibly an increase in the

patient line. To evaluate this, isolated sodium currents were recorded at D21-

24 post plating and like inhibitory neurons, all excitatory cells expressed sodium

currents that were not well clamped (Figure 4G and 4I). Unexpectedly the

current density vs voltage curve demonstrated a significant reduction in sodium

current density recorded in the cell body in the homozygous mutated control

line compared to the control line (genotype: $p = 0.0025$, interaction: $p < 0.0001$,

two-way ANOVA with repeated measures; Figure 4H). The sodium current

density in the heterozygous patient line was also smaller compared to the

corrected patient line. While the main effect of genotype was not significant,

there was a significant interaction effect indicating that sodium current density

differed between the two lines depending on the voltage steps (genotype:

$p=0.056$, interaction: $p < 0.0001$, genotype, two-way ANOVA with repeated

measures; Figure 4J). The reduction of sodium current density in the

heterozygous patient line at the higher voltage steps was approximately half

the magnitude seen in the homozygous mutated control line (Figure 4H and

4J). This is consistent with a gene dose-dependent effect of the mutation.

Since there was no concomitant reduction in firing frequency in the

excitatory neurons in the homozygous mutant line, this suggests that the

properties of other channels, for example potassium channels, in excitatory

neurons are more influential in determining the firing phenotype. However, this

does not address how a decrease in sodium current in the patient line is

consistent with a small but significant increase in excitatory neuron firing

frequency. Comparison of other sodium current properties revealed two

additional changes in the heterozygous patient line that were not seen in any

of the other three lines. There was a hyperpolarized shift in the voltage-

dependence of activation and a depolarized shift in steady-state inactivation in

the heterozygous patient compared to the corrected patient line ($p = 0.028$ and

0.015 respectively, two-tailed unpaired t test; Table 4 and Figure S3B and S3D).

These alterations are both likely to lead to increased channel opening

probability over a larger voltage range that would result in an increased evoked

firing rate only in this line (Figure 3F). The differences in activation and steady-

state inactivation in only one of the four lines indicate that it is due to an

interaction between the K1270T mutation and the patient genetic background.

Taken together, similar to the inhibitory neurons, the K1270T mutation

causes reduced sodium current density in excitatory neurons. However, the

decreased sodium current density caused by the mutation in excitatory neurons

is not sufficient to reduce the evoked firing or AP waveforms in the mutated

control line. Further, interactions between the mutation and the patient

background appear to alter other properties of the sodium channel that increase

firing in excitatory neurons of the patient line which may contribute to increased

network activity.

Figure 4. In excitatory neurons, the K1270T mutation affects AP firing and decreases sodium current density. (A) Traces of action potential firing with 30 pA injected in excitatory neurons of the first isogenic pair of the control (ctrl) and the homozygous mutated control (mut ctrl). (B) The input-output curves of action potentials in excitatory neurons of the first isogenic pair. (C) AP amplitude of the first AP at rheobase in excitatory neurons of the first isogenic pair. (D-F) Same as A and B, but in excitatory neurons of the second isogenic pair of the lines of corrected patient (corr pt) and the heterozygous patient (pt). (G) Traces of voltage-gated sodium currents in excitatory neurons of the first isogenic pair. The activation protocol started with a prepulse at -100 mV followed by a series of steps ranging from -100 to 30 mV with a 10 mV interval. (H) Current-voltage relationship in excitatory neurons of the first isogenic pair. Whole cell currents were normalized to capacitance. (I-J) Same as G and H, but in excitatory neurons of the second isogenic pair. Data presented as mean + s.e.m in C and F, and mean ± s.e.m with total number of neurons evaluated and the number of individual platings in parentheses for each line in B,E,H, and J. * and # represent significant differences in genotypes and interaction within an isogenic pair respectively, two-way ANOVA and *post hoc* Sidak's analysis. One to four symbols denote p values < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, 0.0001 respectively.

3.5. The K1270T mutation results in a hyperexcitable network.

A previous study from our laboratory found that the large majority of neurons in iPSC-derived cultures receive spontaneous glutamatergic and GABAergic synaptic input at D21-24 post plating (Xie et al., 2018). To determine if the K1270T mutation, that specifically reduces firing of inhibitory neurons or increases firing of excitatory neurons in the patient line, causes an increase in excitability of the neuronal network, spontaneous excitatory and inhibitory post-synaptic currents (sEPSCs and sIPSCs) were recorded from excitatory neurons in each genotype.

All neurons that received both sEPSCs and sIPSCs were included for analysis. Recordings were obtained at two different holding potentials, -2 mV and -49 mV in each neuron corresponding to the reversal potential for EPSCs and IPSCs, respectively (Figure 5A and 5D). To determine whether there was any change in the balance of excitation vs inhibition, an index, (E-I)/(E+I) was used, where E and I represented amplitude or charge transfer of sEPSC and sIPSC respectively in excitatory neurons. This symmetric index ensures that E and I have the same scale, in contrast to an E/I ratio where the range is asymmetrical. An increase in the (E-I)/(E+I) index indicates an increase in excitatory drive or a decrease in inhibitory drive, and vice versa.

The (E-I)/(E+I) index based both on synaptic current amplitude and charge transfer, was significantly larger in the homozygous mutated control line compared to the control and these differences were significant ($p = 0.0002$ and 0.046 respectively, two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test; Figure 5B and 5C). There was a similar trend of larger (E-I)/(E+I) index in the heterozygous patient line

compared to the corrected patient line but these differences were not significant

(Figure 5E and 5F). This indicates the mutation results in increased excitability

in the neural networks formed in these cultures, consistent with the effects of

mutation on sodium currents and evoked firing in inhibitory neurons. It also

suggests that the increased firing frequency in the excitatory neurons in the

patient line does not significantly affect network activity.

To test whether the increased excitatory drive in the network was also

influenced by alterations in synaptic vesicle release, miniature excitatory and

inhibitory PSCs (mEPSCs and mIPSCs) were recorded in excitatory neurons in

the presence of 1 μM tetrodotoxin to block action potentials. The frequency,

amplitude, and charge transfer of mEPSCs were similar between genotypes

with the same genetic background (Figure S4A-C). There were also no changes

in the mIPSCs (Figure S4D-F). This suggests that the K1270T mutation does

not affect the synaptic vesicle release.

Together these data indicate that the K1270T mutation results in an

imbalance in excitation and inhibition that leads to hyperactivity in the neural

network.

Figure 5. The K1270T mutation gives rise to a hyperactive neural network. (A) Spontaneous excitatory and inhibitory post-synaptic currents received by excitatory neurons in the first isogenic pair of the control (ctrl) and the mutated control (mut ctrl) line. (B) The ratio of spontaneous (E-I)/(E+I) amplitude in excitatory neurons of the first isogenic pair. (C) The ratio of spontaneous (E-I)/(E+I) of charge transfer in excitatory neurons of the first isogenic pair. (D-F) Same as A-C, but in excitatory neurons of the second isogenic pair of the corrected patient (corr pt) and the patient (pt) lines. Data reported as mean ± s.e.m. The total number of neurons and number of platings in parentheses for all four lines are 29 (7), 17 (4), 13 (3), and 18 (3) respectively. *p < 0.05 and ***p < 0.0001, two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test.

4. Discussion

4.1 Advantages of dual isogenic pair approach

Previous studies have used pairs of isogenic human iPSCs to explore

relationship between gene mutations and phenotypes (Ananiev et al., 2011;

Bellin et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2018). To our knowledge, ours is the first study

to use two pairs of isogenic iPSCs (control sibling vs mutated control, and

corrected patient vs patient sibling) to examine the direct relationship,

independent of genetic background, between a single ion channel mutation and

cellular mechanisms of genetic epilepsy. This approach is an efficient strategy

to evaluate the causality of single gene mutations that require analysis at the

single cell level to understand cellular mechanisms as is the case for the many

different ion channel mutations linked to epilepsy.

717   Mutations that are not only necessary but sufficient to cause the functional

change are identified as alterations that occur in both mutant lines, the patient

line that carries the endogenous mutation and the mutated control line where

K1270T was introduced by CRISPR, and not in the control or corrected patient

lines that both lack the mutation but the corrected line has been subjected to

CRISPR. In the present study, decreases in firing frequency in inhibitory

neurons, and sodium currents in excitatory neurons, in both mutant lines and

not in the isogenic controls indicate these phenotypes are due to the K1270T

mutation, independent of genetic background. Other changes, AP amplitude

and sodium current density in inhibitory neurons and excitatory synaptic drive

in excitatory neurons were significant only in the homozygous mutant line

compared to its isogenic control. However, changes in the same direction for

these properties that were smaller magnitude in the heterozygous line

compared to its isogenic control suggest a dose-dependent effect of the

K1270T mutation (Table 5). A limitation of this interpretation is that the

homozygous and heterozygous mutations are in different genetic backgrounds.

To determine how the zygosity of the mutation affects the neuronal activity in

the same genetic background, future studies will include generation of a

heterozygous mutated control line and a homozygous patient line using

CRISPR/Cas9 editing to compare with the existing lines.

737   This comparative strategy also allows for identification of alterations due to

the genetic background based on changes that are found in both lines of one

isogenic pair but not in the other isogenic pair (Smith et al., 2018), as is seen in

the voltage dependence of Nav activation and inactivation in inhibitory neurons

of the patient-derived pair only (Table 5). Further, a change in one mutant line

that is not seen in the other mutant line or the controls, identifies alterations

associated with an interaction between the mutation and the genetic

background, as is observed in the voltage dependence of Nav activation and

inactivation and increased firing frequency in excitatory neurons of the patient

line (Table 5).

The GEFS+ patient from whom we collected skin fibroblast had prolonged

febrile seizures and generalized afebrile absence seizures that are more severe

than other members with the same *SCN1A* mutation in the pedigree (Abou-

Khalil et al., 2001). It is likely that genetic background-dependent functional

alterations that were observed in the patient line in the current study contribute

to the differences in seizure phenotypes between patients in the GEFS+ family.

It will be interesting to compare the genetic background of this individual to

other GEFS+ family members to identify specific genetic modifiers that

exacerbate or ameliorate the cellular defects. For instance, a missense

mutation in *SCN9A* that encodes Nav1.7 has been identified in other *SCN1A*-

associated epilepsy patients (Singh et al., 2009). To identify genetic modifiers

that are altered in the *SCN1A* GEFS+ mutants, single cell RNA sequencing or

exome sequencing will be useful for screening candidates in a high-throughput

manner (Hawkins et al., 2016; Landis et al., 2017).

Table 5. Origins of effects

Origin	Mutation independent of background						Genetic background		Interaction between mutation and background		
Cell types	In			Ex			In		Ex		
Properties	AP freq	AP amp	I_{Na^+}	I_{Na^+}	E/I index (amp)	E/I index (Q)	Nav act	Nav inact	AP freq	Nav act	Nav inact
Ctrl	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mut Ctrl (*SCN1A*$^{KT/KT}$)	↓↓	↓↓	↓↓	↓↓	↑↑	↑↑	-	-	-	-	-
Corr pt	-	-	-	-	-	-	↓	↓	-	-	-
Pt (*SCN1A*$^{KT/+}$)	↓	↓	↓	↓	↑	↑	↓	↓	↑	↓	↑

a. Ctrl = control, mut ctrl = mutated control, corr pt = corrected patient, and pt = patient.
b. In = inhibitory neurons, ex = excitatory neurons.
c. Amp = amplitude of synaptic currents, Q = charge transfer of synaptic currents.
d. Nav act = voltage dependence of activation of Nav, Nav inact = voltage dependence of steady-state inactivation of Nav.
e. Number of arrows represent fold changes. In properties related to voltage, ↑ and ↓ represent more depolarized and hyperpolarized respectively.

Transcriptomic analysis in multiple iPSC lines generated from different individuals reveals genetic background variation accounts for differences between lines (Burrows et al., 2016; Rouhani et al., 2014). Therefore, it will be interesting to generate additional cell lines and multiple clones from each line via genome editing to robustly interrogate the interaction between the mutation and genetic background in determining cellular phenotypes.

4.2 Alterations in excitability and sodium current density in inhibitory neurons

To examine the cell type-specific effect of the mutation in the electrophysiological properties, inhibitory and excitatory neurons are labeled by transfection of the GAD1-mCherry and CaMKII-eGFP plasmids respectively. Specificity of the labeling was verified by immunostaining with their own

markers (Figure 2C). It is unlikely that the majority of the iPSC-derived neurons

are of an intermediate phenotype, expressing both GABA and CAMKII, for the

following reasons. (1) GABAergic and glutamatergic neurons account for ~35%

and ~55% of total neuronal population. If there was a large percentage of

neurons that co-express both markers, we would expect to see their additive

percentages exceed 100%. (2) The proportion of different neuronal subtypes in

cultures is consistent with data from the pharmacology experiment in our

previous study in which we found the majority of synaptic connections in neural

networks are GABAergic and glutamatergic, and a small population is

glycinergic (Xie et al., 2018). (3) Exposure of cultures to a mixture of anti-GABA

and anti-CaMKII antibodies resulted in co-labeling with both antibodies in

17.8% of GABA+ neurons and 6.7% of CaMKII+ neurons. These were unlikely

to be represented in the neuronal population targeted for recording based on

their size being less than half of the minimum size targeted for whole cell

recordings. Together these data indicate the plasmids label neuronal subtypes

with high specificity.

Our results demonstrate that in inhibitory neurons, the K1270T *SCN1A*

mutation causes decrease in evoked firing frequency and AP amplitude. The

reduced excitability and sodium currents in inhibitory neurons is consistent with

a number of studies in mouse and iPSC model systems (Martin et al., 2010;

Sun et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2006). In particular, previous studies have shown

impaired excitability in two subtypes of GABAergic neurons, parvalbumin (PV)-

and somatostatin (SST)-expressing interneurons in mouse models of *SCN1A*

epilepsy (Favero et al., 2018; Tai et al., 2014). Selective deletion of *Scn1a* in

PV and SST interneurons causes hyperactivity in neural networks and seizure

behaviors, suggesting the importance of Nav1.1 in regulating inhibitory neuron

activity and the E/I balance (Cheah et al., 2012; Dutton et al., 2013; Rubinstein

et al., 2015). Our preliminary data reveal that GABAergic neurons in our

cultures can be divided into at least two groups staining iPSC-derived neurons

with anti-βIII-tubulin and PV or SST antibodies (data not shown). However, we

did not observe fast spiking, bursting or adapting firing patterns characteristic

of PV and SST interneurons in recorded GAD1-mCherry+ neurons. This

suggests that functional maturation of interneuron subtypes require a longer

time in culture. Future studies will be directed to determine the effect of *SCN1A*

mutation in the electrophysiological properties of identified PV and SST

interneurons.

iPSC-derived inhibitory neurons in the present study had large sodium

currents, but they were not well space clamped. This is typical in neurons that

are capable of firing multiple APs (Jiao et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2018; Liu et al.,

2016, 2013; Sun et al., 2016). While evaluation of sodium currents that are not

well space clamped does not resolve absolute biophysical properties,

comparisons of sodium current properties within and between isogenic pairs

are still informative in identifying alterations caused by the mutation. For

example, pair wise comparisons demonstrate that the K1270T mutation causes

a decrease in sodium current density in inhibitory neurons. Further studies will

be necessary to determine if the reduction in sodium current density recorded

at the cell bodies is due to a decrease in total number of sodium channels

expressed or a change in localization of channels as channels distant from the

cell soma might reduce their contribution to the recorded currents. This could

be addressed by immune-electron microscopy to evaluate voltage-gated

sodium channel localization in neurons from the different genotypes.

4.3 Alterations in excitability and sodium current density in excitatory neurons

Previous studies have reported mutation of *SCN1A* has little effect on

sodium currents in excitatory neurons (Martin et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2016; Yu

et al., 2006). In contrast we report that the K1270T mutation results in a similar

decrease in sodium current density in excitatory and inhibitory neurons. This is

consistent with Nav1.1 staining not only in GABAergic neurons but in our

CaMKII-eGFP^{+} neurons. While previous studies suggest that Nav1.1 is strongly

expressed in inhibitory neurons (Ogiwara et al., 2007), other studies report that

Nav1.1 is present in a subset of excitatory neurons (Dutton et al., 2013;

Ogiwara et al., 2013).

Interestingly, the decrease in sodium current density does not result in

reduced firing in the excitatory neurons as it does in inhibitory neurons. This

indicates that the changes in the sodium current density were not sufficient to

reduce excitability in this cell type. This could be due to compensation from

potassium currents, as a voltage-gated potassium channel Kv8.2 has been

shown to function as a genetic modifier increasing the susceptibility of an *Scn2a*

mutant mouse to epilepsy (Bergren et al., 2009; Jorge et al., 2011). Altered

currents of Kv1 and Kv4 are associated with increased excitability in a subset

of pyramidal neurons in a mouse model of fragile X syndrome (Kalmbach et al.,

2015). *CACNB4* and *CACNA1A* that encode Cav have also been identified as

genetic modifiers that result in a gain of function in families with *SCN1A* Dravet

syndrome (Ohmori et al., 2013, 2008).

Further, the AP firing frequency is actually increased in the excitatory

neurons in the patient line resulting from an interaction between the mutation

and the patient genetic background. This is consistent with changes in the

voltage-dependence of sodium current activation and inactivation only in the

patient excitatory neurons. These changes predict that the channel is open over

a wider voltage range and is thus consistent with the increase in AP firing seen

in patient excitatory neurons. To explore the biophysical changes in finer detail

it would be possible to lower the external sodium concentration to reduce the

size of the sodium currents and increase potential for good voltage control

(Colatsky, 1980). One could also examine the biophysical properties of single

sodium channels in excised or cell attached patches from the cell body

(Franciolini, 1986; Stühmer et al., 1987). Both of these would provide additional

insight, but they also have the limitation of restricting analysis to a small

population of channels in a restricted location (on or near cell body) that may

not represent the full population of channels that define the firing properties of

the neurons.

4.4 Hyperactive neural network

Our previous study demonstrates that iPSC-derived neurons form

functionally active neural networks that contain GABAergic and glutamatergic

synaptic connections (Xie et al., 2018), allowing us to evaluate the effect the

K1270T mutation on the network activity of the neuronal cultures. Our results

reveal an increase in excitatory drive relative to inhibitory drive in excitatory

neurons.

There are no significant differences in the (E-I)/(E+I) index on the basis of

sPSC frequency between the two lines within the same isogenic pairs.

Therefore, it is likely that alteration in the index based on charge transfer is

associate with that on PSC amplitude. However, how a mutation in Nav leads

to increased PSC amplitude is still unclear. It is likely that homeostatic

regulation in genes that encode post-synaptic receptors is involved. Future

studies will include examination of expression of glutamate receptors such as

NMDA and AMPA receptors and GABA receptors such as GABA$_A$ receptors etc.

Hyperexcitable neural networks are implicated in epileptic disorders (Shao

et al., 2019). Therefore, our iPSC-derived neuronal cultures provide insights

into the underlying mechanism of how an epilepsy-associated *SCN1A* mutation

gives rise to increased probability of seizures in patients. To understand the

effect of the mutation on the spontaneous network activity and network

synchrony more broadly, our future studies will use multi-electrode arrays that

allow for mechanistic study and anti-epileptic drug screening in a high-

throughput manner (Tidball and Parent, 2016).

4.5 Developmental maturation

Using our neuronal differentiation protocol that includes plating iPSC-

derived neural progenitors onto astroglial feeder layers, the large majority of

neurons fire multiple action potentials (Schutte et al., 2018; Xie et al., 2018).

The derived neurons not only have sodium currents larger than 1 nA in average

and fire multiple action potentials at 7-8 Hz comparable to other iPSC studies

(Liu et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2016), but they also receive glutamatergic and

GABAergic synaptic input within 3 weeks of plating. However, their

electrophysiological properties are less mature than neurons expected in an

adult brain, based on lower firing frequency, larger input resistance, and

depolarized RMP. Single-cell transcriptome analysis reveals development of

interneurons at D54 *in vitro* recapitulates those in human fetal cortex at 100 dpc

(Close et al., 2017). While we cannot rule out the possibility that the K1270T

mutation causes a developmental delay in neuronal development in culture, it

seems unlikely to explain our results of reduced firing frequency and action

potential AP in inhibitory neurons associated with the mutation because 1) there

was no consistent change in RMP or input resistance and 2) the excitatory

neurons of the mutated control and the patient lines were able to fire APs in

similar or higher frequency compared to their controls. However future studies

could evaluate effects of the mutation on further maturation in long-term

cultures.

4.6 Off-target mutation

Sequences of the top five off-target sites from CRISPR/Cas9 editing,

including *SCN7A*, *FLII*, *SCN2A*, *SCN3A* and *SCN9A* were examined. The

corrected patient line did not have off-target mutations in the candidate genes

(Supplementary Figure 1A). While the mutated control line did not have off-

target mutations in the other genes, it harbored one in *SCN7A*. It is very unlikely

that this mutation affected the results reported based on the following

considerations. First, *SCN7A* encodes the Na_x sodium channel in heart, uterus,

skeletal muscle, and peripheral nervous system (Goldin et al., 2000) and RT-

PCR analysis demonstrated that it is not expressed in our iPSC-derived

neurons of the mutated control line (Supplementary Figure 1B). Secondly, the

channel is insensitive to changes in membrane potential and TTX, a voltage-

gated sodium channel blocker (Hiyama et al., 2002). Isolated sodium currents

in iPSC-derived neurons at D21-24 post plating were completely eliminated in

the presence of 1 μM TTX (Supplementary Figure 1C). Third, while it is possible

that the off-target mutation in *SCN7A* could trigger homeostatic regulation by

up- or down-regulating *SCN1A* expression, this is unlikely as the alterations in

sodium currents/evoked firing in the homozygous mutated control line were in

the same direction and twice as large as the heterozygous patient line that did

not undergo editing process.

4.7 Comparison with the previous knock-in fly model

The reduced excitability observed specifically in iPSC-derived inhibitory

neurons is consistent with the decreased excitability specifically in inhibitory

neurons in the brains of K1270T GEFS+ knock-in *Drosophila* (Sun et al., 2012).

Since both flies and patients exhibit heat-induced seizures, this provides

additional support to the hypothesis that reduced firing specifically in inhibitory

neurons is critical to the disease phenotype in humans. However, the cellular

defect associated with reduced excitability is distinct between the models

demonstrating two independent mechanisms that result in a similar phenotype.

In the iPSC-derived neurons there is a significant decrease in the amplitude of

the transient currents that are the dominant form of sodium current in these

neurons. In flies the reduced firing is associated with a hyperpolarized shift in

the deactivation threshold of the large persistent sodium currents characteristic

of inhibitory neurons in the adult fly brain. It is possible that modifying the

persistent currents in iPSCs by shifting their voltage dependence could

moderate the deficits associated with a reduced transient sodium current.

In addition, an increase in excitability was observed in excitatory neurons

of the patient line, consistent with the higher firing rate in excitatory neurons in

the GEFS+ flies (Schutte et al., 2016). The alterations in fly are seen

predominantly at high temperature, so it is possible that elevated temperatures

will reveal additional or more severe alterations in sodium currents in iPSC-

derived neurons, as the patient sibling from whom the skin fibroblast was

collected showed more severe seizure phenotypes of febrile seizures

compared to afebrile seizures (Abou-Khalil et al., 2001).

4.8 Summary

Overall the CRISPR/Cas9-based disease modeling strategy with iPSC-

derived neurons can validate clinically relevant phenotypes and reveal the

sufficiency and necessity of *SCN1A* mutations for specific phenotypes in the

human genetic background. Furthermore, a library of patient iPSCs with a

variety of *SCN1A* mutations built using this approach, in combination with a

high-throughput drug screening method such as multi-electrode array (MEA),

will facilitate the development of personalized anti-epileptic medicine (Du and

Parent, 2015; Odawara et al., 2016; Parent and Anderson, 2015).

5. Supplemental information

Supplementary Table 1. Primers for off-target gene sequencing and expression

Gene	Reference	Direction	Sequence
Off-target gene sequencing			
SCN7A	NG_031928.1	Fwd	5' ACAAGCATAGTGAACCAGCCCT 3'
		Rev	5' GATCAGCCCTGCACTTGTGAAC 3'
FLII	NG_023243.1	Fwd	5' GGCTGCAGACAAGAGCAAGAAA 3'
		Rev	5' CACAGCTGTTCTTTCTGCCCAG 3'
SCN9A	NG_012798.1	Fwd	5' CAGCACTGGTGAGAAGGCTAGA 3'
		Rev	5' ACTTGATGTGCCCCTCATCCTC 3'
SCN2A	NG_008143.1	Fwd	5' CCCTTGGTTGACTGAAATGCCC 3'
		Rev	5' TGCGAAATGTGAGTGTGCAAAA 3'
SCN5A	NG_008934.1	Fwd	5' GGAGAATCACTTGAACCCGGGA 3'
		Rev	5' GGCAGGACCCTGTCTCCTATTT 3'
SCN3A	NG_042289.1	Fwd	5' TTCCCTGCCAGCATTTTCACAC 3'
		Rev	5' ACAATTCTCCCTGTGTTTGCTCT 3'
Off-target gene expression			
SCN1A	NM_001165963.2	Fwd (ext)	5' TCCTTCGGGATCCATGGAACTG 3'
		Rev (ext)	5' CGTTTTCCCAGCAGCACGTAAT 3'
		Fwd (int)	5' TGTCTCGGCATTGAGAACATTC 3'
		Rev (int)	5' ATTGGTGGGAGGCCATTGTAT 3'
SCN7A	NM_002976.3	Fwd (ext)	5' AGCTGAGGGAAAACTAGTGCCC 3'
		Rev (ext)	5' GTGGATCAGGACCCCTACAAGG 3'
		Fwd (int)	5' AGAACCTAAGGGCCTTGTTCCC 3'
		Rev (int)	5' ACACAAGATGGAAGCCGCATTG 3'
ACTB	NM_001101.5	Fwd	5' CCACCATGTACCCTGGCATT 3'
		Rev	5' ACTCCTGCTTGCTGATCCAC 3'

a. Off-target gene expression was examined by nested PCR that uses two sets of primers.
b. Fwd, rev, ext and int are abbreviated for forward, reverse, external set and internal set of primers.

Supplementary Figure 1 related to Figure 1. (A) Sequences of the top five predicted off-target sites in *SCN7A*, *FLII, SCN2A*, *SCN3A* and *SCN9A*. Off-target mutation was identified in the *SCN7A* gene of the mutated control line. Regions highlighted in blue are the sites predicted to be modified by non-specific CRISPR/Cas9 editing. (B) Expression of *SCN1A*, *SCN7A* and the housekeeping gene *ACTB* in the derived neurons of the mutated control line at D21 post plating. Expected band sizes of *SCN1A*, *SCN7A* and *ACTB* are 220, 283 and 177 bp. (C) Isolated sodium current recording in the derived neurons of the control line at D54 post plating. Sodium currents were completely eliminated in the presence of 1 μM TTX.

Supplementary Figure 2 related to Figure 3. Properties of Nav in inhibitory neurons. (A-B) Conductance vs voltage curves of activation in inhibitory neurons of the control vs the mutated control lines, and the corrected patient vs the patient lines respectively. (C-D) Current vs voltage curves of steady-state inactivation in inhibitory neurons of isogenic pairs. (E-F) Recovery of inactivation in both isogenic pairs. Data presented as mean ± s.e.m with total number of neurons and number of individual platings in parentheses evaluated in each line. Two-way ANOVA and *post hoc* Sidak's analysis.

1002

1003

Supplementary Figure 3 related to Figure 4. Properties of Nav in excitatory neurons. (A-B) Conductance vs voltage curves of activation in excitatory neurons of the control vs the mutated control lines, and the corrected patient vs the patient lines respectively. (C-D) Current vs voltage curves of steady-state inactivation in excitatory neurons of isogenic pairs. (E-F) Recovery of inactivation in both isogenic pairs. Data presented as mean ± s.e.m with total number of neurons and number of individual platings in parentheses. * and # represent significant differences in genotypes and interaction within an isogenic pair respectively, two-way ANOVA and *post hoc* Sidak's analysis. One and four symbols denote p values < 0.05, and 0.0001 respectively.

1004

Supplementary Figure 4 related to Figure 5. Miniature EPSCs IPSCs received by excitatory neurons were unaltered by the K1270T mutation. (A-C) The frequency, amplitude and charge transfer of mEPSCs in the control (ctrl) vs the mutated control (mut ctrl), and the corrected patient (corr pt) vs the patient (pt) lines. (D-F) Same as A-C, but for mIPSCs. Data reported as mean + s.e.m. The total number of neurons and number of individual platings in parentheses for all four lines are ctrl: 9 (5), mut ctrl: 7 (3), corr pt:10 (4), and pt:10 (3).

1005

6. Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health grants R01

NS083009 (D.K.O.), R01 GHD059967 grant (P.H.S.), and R01 NS078289

(K.C.E.) and a California Institute for Regenerative Medicine Bridges to Stem

Cell Research grant CIRM-EDUC2-08383 (C.M.R.). We would also like to thank

Alexander E. Stover for generating the iPSCs, Priscilla Figueroa, Noor Osman

and Daniel R. Benavides for help on astroglial cultures, Sara E. Konopelski for

help on screening of clones, Karla Soto Sauza for help on immunostaining and

cell counting and Longwen Huang for input on the manuscript.

1015

7. Author contributions

The laboratory of K.C.E. provided skin fibroblasts from the unaffected sibling

and the patient sibling from the GEFS+ family. The laboratory of P.H.S.

reprogrammed the fibroblasts into iPSCs. O.S.S. and M.A.S. designed the

CRISPR/Cas9, and O.S.S., Y.X., N.N.N. and C.M.R. performed screening of

positive clones. C.M.R., N.N.N., O.S.S. and Y.X. prepared astroglial cultures.

Y.X., N.N.N. and C.M.R differentiated iPSCs into neurons. Y.X. and N.N.N.

performed electrophysiological recording. Y.X., N.N.N. and C.M.R analyzed the

electrophysiology data. Y.X. and N.N.N. performed immunostaining, imaging

and cell counting. Y.X. wrote the manuscript, N.N.N., O.S.S., K.C.E, P.H.S.,

M.A.S. and D.K.O. edited the manuscript.

1027

References

Abou-Khalil, B., Ge, Q., Desai, R., Ryther, R., Bazyk, A., Bailey, R., Haines,

J.L., Sutcliffe, J.S., George, A.L.J., 2001. Partial and generalized epilepsy

with febrile seizures plus and a novel SCN1A mutation. Neurology 57,

2265–2272.

Ananiev, G., Williams, E.C., Li, H., Chang, Q., 2011. Isogenic pairs of wild type

and mutant induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) lines from Rett syndrome

patients as in vitro disease model. PLoS One 6, e25255–e25255.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025255

Bassett, A.R., 2017. Editing the genome of hiPSC with CRISPR/Cas9: disease

models. Mamm. Genome 28, 348–364. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00335-

017-9684-9

Baulac, S., Huberfeld, G., Gourfinkel-An, I., Mitropoulou, G., Beranger, A.,

Prud'homme, J.F., Baulac, M., Brice, A., Bruzzone, R., LeGuern, E., 2001.

First genetic evidence of GABA(A) receptor dysfunction in epilepsy: a mutation in the gamma2-subunit gene. Nat. Genet. 28, 46–48. https://doi.org/10.1038/88254

Bellin, M., Casini, S., Davis, R.P., D'Aniello, C., Haas, J., Ward-van Oostwaard, D., Tertoolen, L.G.J., Jung, C.B., Elliott, D.A., Welling, A., Laugwitz, K.-L., Moretti, A., Mummery, C.L., 2013. Isogenic human pluripotent stem cell pairs reveal the role of a KCNH2 mutation in long-QT syndrome. EMBO J. 32, 3161–3175. https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2013.240

Bergren, S.K., Rutter, E.D., Kearney, J.A., 2009. Fine mapping of an epilepsy modifier gene on mouse Chromosome 19. Mamm. Genome 20, 359–366. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00335-009-9193-6

Burrows, C.K., Banovich, N.E., Pavlovic, B.J., Patterson, K., Gallego Romero, I., Pritchard, J.K., Gilad, Y., 2016. Genetic Variation, Not Cell Type of Origin, Underlies the Majority of Identifiable Regulatory Differences in iPSCs. PLOS Genet. 12, e1005793.

Cheah, C.S., Yu, F.H., Westenbroek, R.E., Kalume, F.K., Oakley, J.C., Potter, G.B., Rubenstein, J.L., Catterall, W.A., 2012. Specific deletion of NaV1.1 sodium channels in inhibitory interneurons causes seizures and premature death in a mouse model of Dravet syndrome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109, 14646–14651. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1211591109

Chen, W., Liu, J., Zhang, L., Xu, H., Guo, X., Deng, S., Liu, L., Yu, D., Chen, Y., Li, Z., 2014. Generation of the SCN1A epilepsy mutation in hiPS cells using the TALEN technique. Sci. Rep. 4, 5404.

Close, J.L., Yao, Z., Levi, B.P., Miller, J.A., Bakken, T.E., Menon, V., Ting, J.T.,

Wall, A., Krostag, A.-R., Thomsen, E.R., Nelson, A.M., Mich, J.K., Hodge, R.D., Shehata, S.I., Glass, I.A., Bort, S., Shapovalova, N. V, Ngo, N.K., Grimley, J.S., Phillips, J.W., Thompson, C.L., Ramanathan, S., Lein, E., 2017. Single-Cell Profiling of an In Vitro Model of Human Interneuron Development Reveals Temporal Dynamics of Cell Type Production and Maturation. Neuron 93, 1035-1048.e5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2017.02.014

Colatsky, T.J., 1980. Voltage clamp measurements of sodium channel properties in rabbit cardiac Purkinje fibres. J. Physiol. 305, 215–234.

Dibbens, L.M., Feng, H.-J., Richards, M.C., Harkin, L.A., Hodgson, B.L., Scott, D., Jenkins, M., Petrou, S., Sutherland, G.R., Scheffer, I.E., Berkovic, S.F., Macdonald, R.L., Mulley, J.C., 2004. GABRD encoding a protein for extra- or peri-synaptic GABAA receptors is a susceptibility locus for generalized epilepsies. Hum. Mol. Genet. 13, 1315–1319. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddh146

Du, X., Parent, J.M., 2015. Using Patient-Derived Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells to Model and Treat Epilepsies. Curr. Neurol. Neurosci. Rep. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11910-015-0588-3

Dutton, S.B., Makinson, C.D., Papale, L.A., Shankar, A., Balakrishnan, B., Nakazawa, K., Escayg, A., 2013. Preferential inactivation of Scn1a in parvalbumin interneurons increases seizure susceptibility. Neurobiol. Dis. 49, 211–220. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2012.08.012

Escayg, A., Heils, A., MacDonald, B.T., Haug, K., Sander, T., Meisler, M.H., 2001. A novel SCN1A mutation associated with generalized epilepsy with

febrile seizures plus--and prevalence of variants in patients with epilepsy.

Am. J. Hum. Genet. 68, 866–873. https://doi.org/10.1086/319524

Escayg, A., MacDonald, B.T., Meisler, M.H., Baulac, S., Huberfeld, G., An-

Gourfinkel, I., Brice, A., LeGuern, E., Moulard, B., Chaigne, D., Buresi, C.,

Malafosse, A., 2000. Mutations of SCN1A, encoding a neuronal sodium

channel, in two families with GEFS+2. Nat. Genet. 24, 343–345.

https://doi.org/10.1038/74159

Favero, M., Sotuyo, N.P., Lopez, E., Kearney, J.A., Goldberg, E.M., 2018. A

Transient Developmental Window of Fast-Spiking Interneuron Dysfunction

in a Mouse Model of Dravet Syndrome. J. Neurosci. 38, 7912–7927.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0193-18.2018

Franciolini, F., 1986. Patch clamp technique and biophysical study of

membrane   channels.   Experientia   42,   589–594.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01955551

Gautron, S., Dos Santos, G., Pinto-Henrique, D., Koulakoff, A., Gros, F.,

Berwald-Netter, Y., 1992. The glial voltage-gated sodium channel: cell- and

tissue-specific mRNA expression. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 89, 7272–

7276. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.89.15.7272

Goldberg-Stern, H., Aharoni, S., Afawi, Z., Bennett, O., Appenzeller, S.,

Pendziwiat, M., Kuhlenbaumer, G., Basel-Vanagaite, L., Shuper, A.,

Korczyn, A.D., Helbig, I., 2014. Broad phenotypic heterogeneity due to a

novel SCN1A mutation in a family with genetic epilepsy with febrile

seizures   plus.   J.   Child   Neurol.   29,   221–226.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0883073813509016

Goldin, A.L., Barchi, R.L., Caldwell, J.H., Hofmann, F., Howe, J.R., Hunter, J.C.,

Kallen, R.G., Mandel, G., Meisler, M.H., Netter, Y.B., Noda, M., Tamkun,

M.M., Waxman, S.G., Wood, J.N., Catterall, W.A., 2000. Nomenclature of

Voltage-Gated Sodium Channels. Neuron 28, 365–368.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(00)00116-1

Harkin, L.A., Bowser, D.N., Dibbens, L.M., Singh, R., Phillips, F., Wallace, R.H.,

Richards, M.C., Williams, D.A., Mulley, J.C., Berkovic, S.F., Scheffer, I.E.,

Petrou, S., 2002. Truncation of the GABA(A)-receptor gamma2 subunit in

a family with generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures plus. Am. J. Hum.

Genet. 70, 530–536. https://doi.org/10.1086/338710

Hawkins, N.A., Zachwieja, N.J., Miller, A.R., Anderson, L.L., Kearney, J.A.,

2016. Fine Mapping of a Dravet Syndrome Modifier Locus on Mouse

Chromosome 5 and Candidate Gene Analysis by RNA-Seq. PLOS Genet.

12, e1006398.

Hedrich, U.B.S., Liautard, C., Kirschenbaum, D., Pofahl, M., Lavigne, J., Liu,

Y., Theiss, S., Slotta, J., Escayg, A., Dihne, M., Beck, H., Mantegazza, M.,

Lerche, H., 2014. Impaired action potential initiation in GABAergic

interneurons causes hyperexcitable networks in an epileptic mouse model

carrying a human Na(V)1.1 mutation. J. Neurosci. 34, 14874–14889.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0721-14.2014

Higurashi, N., Uchida, T., Lossin, C., Misumi, Y., Okada, Y., Akamatsu, W.,

Imaizumi, Y., Zhang, B., Nabeshima, K., Mori, M.X., Katsurabayashi, S.,

Shirasaka, Y., Okano, H., Hirose, S., 2013. A human Dravet syndrome

model from patient induced pluripotent stem cells. Mol. Brain 6, 19.

1138 https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-6606-6-19

1139 Hiyama, T.Y., Watanabe, E., Ono, K., Inenaga, K., Tamkun, M.M., Yoshida, S.,

1140 Noda, M., 2002. Nax channel involved in CNS sodium-level sensing. Nat.

1141 Neurosci. 5, 511–512. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn0602-856

1142 Jiao, J., Yang, Y., Shi, Y., Chen, J., Gao, R., Fan, Y., Yao, H., Liao, W., Sun, X.-

1143 F., Gao, S., 2013. Modeling Dravet syndrome using induced pluripotent

1144 stem cells (iPSCs) and directly converted neurons. Hum. Mol. Genet. 22,

1145 4241–4252. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddt275

1146 Jorge, B.S., Campbell, C.M., Miller, A.R., Rutter, E.D., Gurnett, C.A., Vanoye,

1147 C.G., George, A.L., Kearney, J.A., 2011. Voltage-gated potassium channel

1148 KCNV2 (Kv8.2) contributes to epilepsy susceptibility. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

1149 108, 5443 LP – 5448. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1017539108

1150 Kalmbach, B.E., Johnston, D., Brager, D.H., 2015. Cell-Type Specific

1151 Channelopathies in the Prefrontal Cortex of the fmr1-/y Mouse Model of

1152 Fragile X Syndrome. eNeuro 2, ENEURO.0114-15.2015.

1153 https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0114-15.2015

1154 Kim, H.W., Quan, Z., Kim, Y.-B., Cheong, E., Kim, H.D., Cho, M., Jang, J., Yoo,

1155 Y.R., Lee, J.S., Kim, J.H., Kim, Y.I., Kim, D.-S., Kang, H.-C., 2018.

1156 Differential effects on sodium current impairments by distinct SCN1A

1157 mutations in GABAergic neurons derived from Dravet syndrome patients.

1158 Brain Dev. 40, 287–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.braindev.2017.12.002

1159 Landis, B.J., Schubert, J.A., Lai, D., Jegga, A.G., Shikany, A.R., Foroud, T.,

1160 Ware, S.M., Hinton, R.B., 2017. Exome Sequencing Identifies Candidate

1161 Genetic Modifiers of Syndromic and Familial Thoracic Aortic Aneurysm

Severity. J. Cardiovasc. Transl. Res. 10, 423–432. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12265-017-9753-1

Liang, G., Zhang, Y., 2013. Genetic and epigenetic variations in iPSCs: potential causes and implications for application. Cell Stem Cell. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2013.07.001

Liu, J., Gao, C., Chen, W., Ma, W., Li, X., Shi, Y., Zhang, H., Zhang, L., Long, Y., Xu, H., Guo, X., Deng, S., Yan, X., Yu, D., Pan, G., Chen, Y., Lai, L., Liao, W., Li, Z., 2016. CRISPR/Cas9 facilitates investigation of neural circuit disease using human iPSCs: mechanism of epilepsy caused by an SCN1A loss-of-function mutation. Transl Psychiatry 6, e703.

Liu, Y., Lopez-Santiago, L.F., Yuan, Y., Jones, J.M., Zhang, H., O'Malley, H.A., Patino, G.A., O'Brien, J.E., Rusconi, R., Gupta, A., Thompson, R.C., Natowicz, M.R., Meisler, M.H., Isom, L.L., Parent, J.M., 2013. Dravet syndrome patient-derived neurons suggest a novel epilepsy mechanism. Ann. Neurol. 74, 128–139. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.23897

Lorincz, A., Nusser, Z., 2008. Cell-type-dependent molecular composition of the axon initial segment. J. Neurosci. 28, 14329–14340. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4833-08.2008

Marian, A.J., 2011. Modeling human disease phenotype in model organisms: "It's only a model!" Circ. Res. 109, 356–359. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.111.249409

Martin, M.S., Dutt, K., Papale, L.A., Dube, C.M., Dutton, S.B., de Haan, G., Shankar, A., Tufik, S., Meisler, M.H., Baram, T.Z., Goldin, A.L., Escayg, A., 2010. Altered function of the SCN1A voltage-gated sodium channel leads

to gamma-aminobutyric acid-ergic (GABAergic) interneuron abnormalities. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 9823–9834. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.078568

Maxwell, E.K., Schnitzler, C.E., Havlak, P., Putnam, N.H., Nguyen, A.-D., Moreland, R.T., Baxevanis, A.D., 2014. Evolutionary profiling reveals the heterogeneous origins of classes of human disease genes: implications for modeling disease genetics in animals. BMC Evol. Biol. 14, 212. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-014-0212-1

Meng, H., Xu, H.-Q., Yu, L., Lin, G.-W., He, N., Su, T., Shi, Y.-W., Li, B., Wang, J., Liu, X.-R., Tang, B., Long, Y.-S., Yi, Y.-H., Liao, W.-P., 2015. The SCN1A mutation database: updating information and analysis of the relationships among genotype, functional alteration, and phenotype. Hum. Mutat. 36, 573–580. https://doi.org/10.1002/humu.22782

Miller, I., Sotero de Menezes, M., 2014. SCN1A-Related Seizure Disorders. University of Washington, Seattle, Seattle.

Mistry, A.M., Thompson, C.H., Miller, A.R., Vanoye, C.G., George, A.L.J., Kearney, J.A., 2014. Strain- and age-dependent hippocampal neuron sodium currents correlate with epilepsy severity in Dravet syndrome mice. Neurobiol. Dis. 65, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2014.01.006

Odawara, A., Katoh, H., Matsuda, N., Suzuki, I., 2016. Physiological maturation and drug responses of human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cortical neuronal networks in long-term culture. Sci. Rep. 6, 26181.

Ogiwara, I., Iwasato, T., Miyamoto, H., Iwata, R., Yamagata, T., Mazaki, E., Yanagawa, Y., Tamamaki, N., Hensch, T.K., Itohara, S., Yamakawa, K., 2013. Nav1.1 haploinsufficiency in excitatory neurons ameliorates seizure-

associated sudden death in a mouse model of Dravet syndrome. Hum.

Mol. Genet. 22, 4784–4804. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddt331

Ogiwara, I., Miyamoto, H., Morita, N., Atapour, N., Mazaki, E., Inoue, I.,

Takeuchi, T., Itohara, S., Yanagawa, Y., Obata, K., Furuichi, T., Hensch,

T.K., Yamakawa, K., 2007. Nav1.1 localizes to axons of parvalbumin-

positive inhibitory interneurons: a circuit basis for epileptic seizures in mice

carrying an Scn1a gene mutation. J. Neurosci. 27, 5903–5914.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5270-06.2007

Ohmori, I., Ouchida, M., Kobayashi, K., Jitsumori, Y., Mori, A., Michiue, H.,

Nishiki, T., Ohtsuka, Y., Matsui, H., 2013. CACNA1A variants may modify

the epileptic phenotype of Dravet syndrome. Neurobiol. Dis. 50, 209–217.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2012.10.016

Ohmori, I., Ouchida, M., Miki, T., Mimaki, N., Kiyonaka, S., Nishiki, T.,

Tomizawa, K., Mori, Y., Matsui, H., 2008. A CACNB4 mutation shows that

altered Ca(v)2.1 function may be a genetic modifier   of severe myoclonic

epilepsy   in   infancy.   Neurobiol.   Dis.   32,   349–354.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2008.07.017

Paquet, D., Kwart, D., Chen, A., Sproul, A., Jacob, S., Teo, S., Olsen, K.M.,

Gregg, A., Noggle, S., Tessier-Lavigne, M., 2016. Efficient introduction of

specific homozygous and heterozygous mutations using CRISPR/Cas9.

Nature 533, 125–129. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17664

Parent, J.M., Anderson, S.A., 2015. Reprogramming patient-derived cells to

study   the   epilepsies.   Nat.   Neurosci.   18,   360–366.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3944

Rouhani, F., Kumasaka, N., de Brito, M.C., Bradley, A., Vallier, L., Gaffney, D., 2014. Genetic Background Drives Transcriptional Variation in Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells. PLOS Genet. 10, e1004432.

Rubinstein, M., Han, S., Tai, C., Westenbroek, R.E., Hunker, A., Scheuer, T., Catterall, W.A., 2015. Dissecting the phenotypes of Dravet syndrome by gene deletion. Brain 138, 2219–2233. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awv142

Sandoe, J., Eggan, K., 2013. Opportunities and challenges of pluripotent stem cell neurodegenerative disease models. Nat Neurosci 16, 780–789.

Scheffer, I.E., Berkovic, S.F., 1997. Generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures plus. A genetic disorder with heterogeneous clinical phenotypes. Brain 120, 479–490.

Schutte, R.J., Xie, Y., Ng, N.N., Figueroa, P., Pham, A.T., O'Dowd, D.K., 2018. Astrocyte-enriched feeder layers from cryopreserved cells support differentiation of spontaneously active networks of human iPSC-derived neurons. J. Neurosci. Methods 294, 91–101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2017.07.019

Schutte, S.S., Schutte, R.J., Barragan, E. V, O'Dowd, D.K., 2016. Model systems for studying cellular mechanisms of SCN1A-related epilepsy. J. Neurophysiol. 115, 1755–1766. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00824.2015

Shao, L.-R., Habela, C.W., Stafstrom, C.E., 2019. Pediatric Epilepsy Mechanisms: Expanding the Paradigm of Excitation/Inhibition Imbalance. Child. (Basel, Switzerland) 6. https://doi.org/10.3390/children6020023

Singh, N.A., Pappas, C., Dahle, E.J., Claes, L.R.F., Pruess, T.H., De Jonghe,

P., Thompson, J., Dixon, M., Gurnett, C., Peiffer, A., White, H.S., Filloux,

F., Leppert, M.F., 2009. A role of SCN9A in human epilepsies, as a cause

of febrile seizures and as a potential modifier of Dravet syndrome. PLoS

Genet. 5, e1000649. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000649

Smith, J.G.W., Owen, T., Bhagwan, J.R., Mosqueira, D., Scott, E., Mannhardt,

I., Patel, A., Barriales-Villa, R., Monserrat, L., Hansen, A., Eschenhagen,

T., Harding, S.E., Marston, S., Denning, C., 2018. Isogenic Pairs of hiPSC-

CMs with Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy/LVNC-Associated ACTC1 E99K

Mutation Unveil Differential Functional Deficits. Stem cell reports 11, 1226–

1243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2018.10.006

Stover, A.E., Brick, D.J., Nethercott, H.E., Banuelos, M.G., Sun, L., O'Dowd,

D.K., Schwartz, P.H., 2013. Process-based expansion and neural

differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells for transplantation and

disease modeling. J. Neurosci. Res. 91, 1247–1262.

https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.23245

Stover, A.E., Schwartz, P.H., 2011. Adaptation of Human Pluripotent Stem Cells

to Feeder-Free Conditions in Chemically Defined Medium with Enzymatic

Single-Cell Passaging. Methods Mol. Biol. 767, 137–146.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-201-4_10

Stühmer, W., Methfessel, C., Sakmann, B., Noda, M., Numa, S., 1987. Patch

clamp characterization of sodium channels expressed from rat brain cDNA.

Eur. Biophys. J. 14, 131–138.

Sugawara, T., Tsurubuchi, Y., Agarwala, K.L., Ito, M., Fukuma, G., Mazaki-

Miyazaki, E., Nagafuji, H., Noda, M., Imoto, K., Wada, K., Mitsudome, A.,

Kaneko, S., Montal, M., Nagata, K., Hirose, S., Yamakawa, K., 2001. A

missense mutation of the Na+ channel alpha II subunit gene Na(v)1.2 in a

patient with febrile and afebrile seizures causes channel dysfunction. Proc.

Natl.   Acad.   Sci.   U.   S.   A.   98,   6384–6389.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.111065098

Sun, L., Gilligan, J., Staber, C., Schutte, R.J., Nguyen, V., O'Dowd, D.K.,

Reenan, R., 2012. A knock-in model of human epilepsy in Drosophila

reveals a novel cellular mechanism associated with heat-induced seizure.

J. Neurosci. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2932-12.2012

Sun, Y., Paşca, S.P., Portmann, T., Goold, C., Worringer, K.A., Guan, W., Chan,

K.C., Gai, H., Vogt, D., Chen, Y.-J.J., Mao, R., Chan, K., Rubenstein,

J.L.R., Madison, D. V, Hallmayer, J., Froehlich-Santino, W.M., Bernstein,

J.A., Dolmetsch, R.E., 2016. A deleterious Nav1.1 mutation selectively

impairs telencephalic inhibitory neurons derived from Dravet Syndrome

patients. Elife 5, e13073. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.13073

Tai, C., Abe, Y., Westenbroek, R.E., Scheuer, T., Catterall, W.A., 2014. Impaired

excitability of somatostatin- and parvalbumin-expressing cortical

interneurons in a mouse model of Dravet syndrome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.

U. S. A. 111, E3139-48. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1411131111

Tidball, A.M., Parent, J.M., 2016. Concise Review: Exciting Cells: Modeling

Genetic Epilepsies with Patient-Derived Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells.

Stem Cells 34, 27–33. https://doi.org/10.1002/stem.2203

Vitale, A.M., Matigian, N.A., Ravishankar, S., Bellette, B., Wood, S.A.,

Wolvetang, E.J., Mackay-Sim, A., 2012. Variability in the Generation of

Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells: Importance for Disease Modeling. Stem Cells Transl. Med. https://doi.org/10.5966/sctm.2012-0043

Wallace, R.H., Marini, C., Petrou, S., Harkin, L.A., Bowser, D.N., Panchal, R.G., Williams, D.A., Sutherland, G.R., Mulley, J.C., Scheffer, I.E., Berkovic, S.F., 2001a. Mutant GABA(A) receptor gamma2-subunit in childhood absence epilepsy and febrile seizures. Nat. Genet. 28, 49–52. https://doi.org/10.1038/88259

Wallace, R.H., Scheffer, I.E., Barnett, S., Richards, M., Dibbens, L., Desai, R.R., Lerman-Sagie, T., Lev, D., Mazarib, A., Brand, N., Ben-Zeev, B., Goikhman, I., Singh, R., Kremmidiotis, G., Gardner, A., Sutherland, G.R., George Jr, A.L., Mulley, J.C., Berkovic, S.F., 2001b. Neuronal sodium-channel alpha1-subunit mutations in generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures plus. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 68, 859–865. https://doi.org/10.1086/319516

Wallace, R.H., Scheffer, I.E., Parasivam, G., Barnett, S., Wallace, G.B., Sutherland, G.R., Berkovic, S.F., Mulley, J.C., 2002. Generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures plus: mutation of the sodium channel subunit SCN1B. Neurology 58, 1426–1429.

Wallace, R.H., Wang, D.W., Singh, R., Scheffer, I.E., George, A.L.J., Phillips, H.A., Saar, K., Reis, A., Johnson, E.W., Sutherland, G.R., Berkovic, S.F., Mulley, J.C., 1998. Febrile seizures and generalized epilepsy associated with a mutation in the Na+-channel beta1 subunit gene SCN1B. Nat. Genet. 19, 366–370. https://doi.org/10.1038/1252

Watanabe, E., Fujikawa, A., Matsunaga, H., Yasoshima, Y., Sako, N.,

Yamamoto, T., Saegusa, C., Noda, M., 2000. Nav2/NaG channel is

involved in control of salt-intake behavior in the CNS. J. Neurosci. 20,

7743–7751.

Xie, Y., Schutte, R.J., Ng, N.N., Ess, K.C., Schwartz, P.H., O'Dowd, D.K., 2018.

Reproducible and efficient generation of functionally active neurons from

human hiPSCs for preclinical disease modeling. Stem Cell Res. 26, 84–

94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scr.2017.12.003

Yu, F.H., Mantegazza, M., Westenbroek, R.E., Robbins, C.A., Kalume, F.,

Burton, K.A., Spain, W.J., McKnight, G.S., Scheuer, T., Catterall, W.A.,

2006. Reduced sodium current in GABAergic interneurons in a mouse

model of severe myoclonic epilepsy in infancy. Nat. Neurosci. 9, 1142–

1149. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1754

Yu, J., Vodyanik, M.A., Smuga-Otto, K., Antosiewicz-Bourget, J., Frane, J.L.,

Tian, S., Nie, J., Jonsdottir, G.A., Ruotti, V., Stewart, R., Slukvin, I.I.,

Thomson, J.A., 2007. Induced pluripotent stem cell lines derived from

human somatic cells. Science 318, 1917–1920.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1151526

1347

1348