1

Modeling enamel matrix secretion in mammalian teeth

Teemu J. Häkkinen[1,2,*], S. Susanna Sova[1,3], Ian J. Corfe[1], Leo Tjäderhane[4], Antti Hannukainen[2]
& Jukka Jernvall[1,*]

[1]Developmental Biology Program, Institute of Biotechnology, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box
56, FI-00014 Helsinki, Finland.

[2]Department of Mathematics and Systems Analysis, Aalto University, P.O. Box 11100, FI-
00076 Aalto, Finland.

[3]Department of Geosciences and Geography, University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 64, FI-00014
Helsinki, Finland.

[4]Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Diseases, Helsinki University Hospital, University of
Helsinki, P.O. Box 41, FI-00014, Helsinki, Finland.

[*]To whom correspondence should be addressed: Email: hakkinen@fastmail.com or
jernvall@fastmail.fm

Author Contributions: T.J.H., S.S.S. and J.J. conceived the study, T.J.H. constructed the model,
A. H. suggested the velocity extension scheme and provided input on the algorithms, S.S.S.,
I.J.C. and L.T. collected and processed the empirical data, T.J.H., S.S.S. and J.J. performed the
simulations, analyzed the results and wrote the paper. All authors discussed the results and
provided input and scientific interpretations on the manuscript.

Abstract

The most mineralized tissue of the mammalian body is tooth enamel. Especially in species with thick enamel, three-dimensional (3D) tomography data has shown that the distribution of enamel varies across the occlusal surface of the tooth crown. Differences in enamel thickness among species and within the tooth crown have been used to examine taxonomic affiliations, life history, and functional properties of teeth. Before becoming fully mineralized, enamel matrix is secreted on the top of a dentine template, and it remains to be explored how matrix thickness is spatially regulated. To provide a predictive framework to examine enamel distribution, we introduce a computational model of enamel matrix secretion that maps the dentine topography to the enamel surface topography. Starting from empirical enamel-dentine junctions, enamel matrix deposition is modeled as a diffusion-limited free boundary problem. Using laboratory microCT and synchrotron tomographic data of pig molars that have markedly different dentine and enamel surface topographies, we show how diffusion-limited matrix deposition accounts for both the process of matrix secretion and the final enamel distribution. Simulations reveal how concave and convex dentine features have distinct effects on enamel surface, thereby explaining why the enamel surface is not a straightforward extrapolation of the dentine template. Human molar simulations show that even subtle variation in dentine topography can be mapped to the enamel surface features. Mechanistic models of extracellular matrix deposition can be used to predict occlusal morphologies of teeth.

Introduction

Most mammalian species have their teeth covered by a layer of highly mineralized enamel. The thickness of the enamel layer relative to the tooth size ranges from thin to very thick. These differences among species, and also increasingly within the tooth crown, have been informative in studies focused on functional properties of teeth, taxonomy, and life history (1-9). Even though mutations in genes required for enamel matrix secretion and maturation are known to affect the enamel thickness in mammals (10), relatively little is known about the regulatory changes that might underlie the variation in enamel thickness among populations or species

(1,11,12). Even less is known about the regulation of enamel thickness variation within the

tooth crown, which contrasts with the increasing availability of 3D tomography data on various

species. Analyses of such tomography data show that even though the enamel surface

topography reflects the enamel-dentine junction (EDJ) topography, the enamel surface is not a

simple extrapolation of the EDJ shape (13-15). Because enamel distribution is not

developmentally remodeled after formation, and because the internal structure of mineralized

enamel retains developmental information, tomography data of fully formed teeth can be used to

examine mechanisms underlying variation in enamel thickness. To provide mechanistic insights

into the regulation of enamel thickness, here we combine tomography data on enamel

distribution with a computational approach and introduce a model to simulate enamel matrix

secretion.

Results

The Model Principles and Simulation of Artificial Shapes. The enamel matrix is secreted by

specialized epithelial cells, the ameloblasts, which depart from the EDJ. The EDJ is defined by

the mesenchymal dentine matrix, whose secretion begins first (Fig. 1A). For empirical tests, we

used EDJs of real teeth as the starting point to simulate matrix secretion (Fig. 1A). Matrix

deposition is modeled as a diffusion-limited free boundary problem, motivated by the classical

Stefan problem that models phase transition of undercooled liquid by assuming that the rate of

phase transition from liquid to solid is limited by a diffusion process (see Methods and

Supplementary Information) (16,17). Here we similarly assume that the growth of the matrix

front is a diffusion-limited process: The advancement of the ameloblast layer departing from the

EDJ is assumed to be limited by the diffusion of nutrition, by which we refer communally to all

the factors that ameloblasts require for the secretion of the matrix (Fig. 1B). The model

parameters adjust the nutrition diffusion rate, the amount of nutrition required for growth, and

the interfacial tension or stiffness of the advancing ameloblast layer (Methods). Model

equations are solved using the finite element method, and the matrix interface (the ameloblast

layer) is tracked using the level set method (Supplementary Information). The source code of

the Matlab implementation of the model is freely available (Methods). For computational

efficiency, the model is implemented in 2D and 3D reconstructions are obtained by simulating

multiple sections that are combined into volumes.

The fundamental component of the model is the assumption that the growth of the matrix

requires a net influx of a diffusing nutrient substance. At the initial stage nutrition is assumed to

be present exterior to the dentine, and the nutrient is also replenished by a constant background

source (Methods). By controlling the relative amount of background production, we examine

two hypothetical matrix secretion processes. The primary process tested is a diffusion-limited

secretion in which concave surfaces are progressively exaggerated as the features protruding

into the nutrition-rich domain receive more nutrition than the concavities (Fig. 1C). An

alternative process assumes excess availability of nutrition through strong background

production, leading to a moving boundary of uniform thickness (Fig. 1D). This latter process in

fact closely approximates a simple geometric extrapolation of matrix thickness from the EDJ,

which we use as a null hypothesis to demonstrate the non-linearity of the matrix deposition.

Simulations of matrix secretion using a synthetic EDJ shape show that whereas convex EDJ

surfaces result in relatively linear extrapolation of the enamel surface in both simulations (Fig.

1C, D), concave surfaces of diffusion-limited simulations behave nonlinearly (Fig. 1C).

Additionally, reducing interfacial tension in the simulations increases small undulations in the

moving front (Fig. 1E), suggesting that lowered stiffness of the ameloblast layer may underlie

crenulated enamel found in taxa such as *Chiropotes* (saki monkeys) with relatively smooth EDJ

(14).

Diffusion-Limited Simulations Predict Enamel Distribution on Pig Molar Teeth. To

simulate enamel matrix secretion in real teeth, first we focused on domestic pig molar teeth that

exhibit substantial variation in enamel thickness and EDJ topography (Figs 1A, 2A, ref. 18).

EDJ and enamel surface shapes were reconstructed from microCT scans of first lower molars

(Fig. 2A, Methods). From the data, horizontal slices of cusps were extracted (Fig. 2A) and the

EDJs were used as the starting point for the simulations. The horizontal plane represents a

relatively synchronous front of enamel matrix secretion and captures the complex EDJ

morphology of the pig molars (18). The simulations show that the diffusion-limited process

reproduces the deep narrow furrows or fissures present on the concave sides of the real cusps

(Fig. 2A, B). In contrast, these features are lost when the enamel matrix is geometrically

extrapolated from the EDJ, or when modeling with excess background nutrition (Fig. 2C).

These results support the role of a diffusion-limited-like process in the regulation of enamel

matrix secretion, and underscore the distinct effects that the convex and concave EDJ features

impose on the enamel distribution. Indeed, whereas cusps of individual pigs vary in their

detailed cusp morphology, the overall distribution of convex and concave features is conserved

(Fig. S1).

Next we simulated the matrix secretion in a whole cusp with both convex and concave

features (Methods). A 3D reconstruction of these simulations show that the diffusion-limited

model captures the overall enamel thickness patterns in which concavities show reduced enamel

thickness whereas ridges show increased enamel thickness (Fig. 3, Fig. S2). A small ridge

present in the middle of a dentine concavity results in a local thickening of the enamel within an

otherwise deep fissure (arrow heads in Fig. 3A-C), a feature completely lost in geometrically

extrapolated surfaces (Fig. 3D).

Diffusion-Limited Simulations Reproduce the Progression of Matrix Secretion. In addition

to the distribution of enamel in fully formed tooth, the diffusion-limited simulations can be used

to examine the progression of the matrix secretion process itself. The successive positions of the

matrix-secreting front during development is recorded in teeth by incremental lines (laminations

or striae of Retzius, ref. 9) that are broadly analogous to growth rings in trees (19). These are

preserved in mature enamel and can be observed from thin sections or through phase contrast

synchrotron imaging (19,20). We obtained synchrotron data from a pig molar and compared the

positions of individual incremental lines in convex cusp ridges with the lines in cusp concavities

(Fig. 4A). Both the virtual incremental lines of diffusion-limited simulations and empirical

incremental lines show initially relatively uniform distances from the EDJ, but this uniformity

disappears and the differences between ridges and valleys become progressively larger as the

secretion accelerates in the ridges and slows down in the valleys (Fig. 4). These results are

suggestive that in addition to the final patterns of enamel distribution, the diffusion-limited

model captures aspects of the actual secretion process.

Subtle EDJ Concavities Are Sufficient to Produce Complex Enamel Surface Features. Pigs

are an example of species with relatively pointed molar cusps, allowing the simulation of matrix

secretion in the horizontal plane. In contrast, molars of primates with thick enamel, including

humans, typically have relatively low cusp relief. Therefore, to capture matrix secretion of

human molar morphology, we run the diffusion-limited simulations vertically. Because the

enamel becomes globally thinner towards the tooth neck, or lower portion of the tooth crown,

we implemented a nutrient sink at the base (Methods). The sink decreases the rate of matrix

formation towards the base of the crown, thereby approximating crown formation and the apical

decline in matrix secretion before the initiation of root development (ref. 9, Fig. 5A). The

simulations show the subtle waviness of the human EDJ, with manly concave ripple-like

features, is enough to produce the characteristic undulations of the enamel surface (Fig. 5B,C).

These features are further refined by simulations using lateral braces mimicking the presence of

adjacent teeth and alveolar bone (Fig. 5B), in agreement with the suggested role of the

surrounding tissues in the regulation of tooth shape and mineralization (21,22). Taken together,

these simulations indicate that even subtle EDJ features present in many hominids are important

for the functional surface morphology of the tooth.

Conclusions

Conclusions

Our diffusion-limited model suggests an objective basis for mapping the EDJ morphology to

enamel surface morphology. The simulations point to the critical role of convex and concave

EDJ surface features in the regulation of final tooth surface morphology. These results also

indicate that complex patterns of enamel thickness on a tooth crown can result from a single

developmental process, without the need to evoke specific control or explanations for individual

thickness features. Combined with in-depth analyses of enamel formation rates (4, 9, 18) and

isotope compositions (23, 24) obtainable from sections, our model should help to move studies

using enamel thickness towards more mechanistic and predictive science. This approach should

also be applicable to other systems with extracellular matrix secretion, or organs in which

directional tissue growth may be diffusion limited. Diffusion-limited free boundary problems

have a long history in mathematics (16, 17, 25), and as shown here, they can contribute to

solving biological problems.

Materials and Methods

Diffusion-limited model. We solve the model using a finite element method algorithm

presented in ref. 26. For implementation details and source code, see Supplementary

Information and https://github.com/tjhakkin/biomatrix.

Dental data and processing. Molars were extracted, stored and microCT imaged using the

protocols, and pig and human molar samples described in ref. 18. The voxel resolutions were 10

to 24 µm (pig molars) and 17 µm (human molars), and downsampled to 44 µm (pig molars) and

66 µm (human molars). Synchrotron data was collected at beamline ID19 of the European

Synchrotron Radiation Facility, with voxel resolution 2.24 µm, keV = 91, and 6000 projections

in 4x accumulation mode. Synchrotron data reconstruction used Paganin style single

propagation distance phase contrast. All the image processing steps after primary tomography

reconstructions were carried out with Fiji 2.0 (27). To digitize EDJs for simulations, EDJs in

each individual section were traced with a freehand selection, and the area was converted to a

line (Edit/Selection/Area to Line). The line was then interpolated with an interval of 10 pixels

(Edit/Selection/Interpolate), fitted to a spline (Edit/Selection/Fit spline), and adjusted manually

to follow the EDJ if needed. The splines were saved in ROI Manager (Analyze/Tools/ROI

manager/more/save). The splines were saved as XY coordinates (File/Save As/XY Coordinates)

that were converted to level sets using a Python script, included with the source code. During

the conversion the spatial node density and the relative size of the EDJ within the domain were

also defined. To scale different sized EDJs uniformly (e.g., when EDJs become smaller towards

the cusp tip), two small triangles placed in diagonally opposing corners were included in each

level set conversion. To enhance the visualization of the incremental lines in synchrotron

reconstructions, three adjacent slices were averaged.

Simulations and analyses. The main simulation parameters are listed in Table S1. Simulation

output for each step is an image file. The pig trigonids and talonids were simulated separately

195 (Fig. 2B). To compensate for the isolated entoconid cusp being larger (Fig. 3) than when part of

196 the talonid (Fig. 2), interfacial tension and number of iterations were decreased in the individual

197 cusp simulations. For simulations of multiple sections (Figs 3, 5C), all the sections of the

198 analyzed step were merged into a stack in Fiji. For the pig molar cusp (Fig. 3), every second

199 microCT slice (20 µm interval, 51 slices), and for the human molar (Fig. 5C), every fifth slice

200 (66 µm interval, 27 slices) was simulated. We used the basal sink to approximate developmental

201 progression in the vertical simulations. Because intercuspal regions lack the sink, vertical

202 simulations slightly exaggerate enamel thickness in valleys relative to cusp tips. Geometric

203 extrapolation of enamel thickness was obtained in Fiji by fitting a fixed sized circle along the

204 EDJ (Process/Morphology/Gray Morphology/Dilate). To visualize enamel thickness in 3D, the

205 EDJ and enamel surfaces were exported from Fiji (surfaces exported as Wavefront .obj from 3D

206 Viewer plugin) and imported into Meshlab (http://meshlab.sourceforge.net/). In Meshlab,

207 Hausdorff distance was used to compare distances between two surfaces (28). The distances

208 were calculated after smoothing the meshes with Laplacian smooth (3 steps). All 3D

209 visualizations use orthographic projections.

Acknowledgements

212 We thank H. Suhonen for help with microCT imaging, and J. Laakkonen for help with material.

213 We acknowledge the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility for provision of synchrotron

214 radiation facilities and thank P. Tafforeau for assistance in using beamline ID19. We thank M.

215 Fortelius and the members of the Center of Excellence in Experimental and Computational

216 Developmental Biology Research for discussions or advise. Financial support was provided by

217 the Academy of Finland and Vilho, Yrjö and Kalle Väisälä Foundation.

References

1. Schwartz GT (2000) Taxonomic and functional aspects of the patterning of enamel
thickness distribution in extant large-bodied hominoids. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 111:221–244.

2. Grine FE (2005) Enamel Thickness of Deciduous and Permanent Molars in Modern *Homo*
sapiens. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 126:14–31.

3. Smith TM, Olejniczak AJ, Martin LB, Reid DJ (2005) Variation in hominoid molar enamel
thickness. *J Hum Evol* 48:575–592.

4. Dean MC (2006) Tooth microstructure tracks the pace of human life-history evolution.
Proc R Soc B 273:2799–2808.

5. Lucas P, Constantino P, Wood B, Lawn B (2008) Dental enamel as a dietary indicator in
mammals. *BioEssays* 30:374–385.

6. Olejniczak AJ, Smith TM, Skinner MM, Grine FE, Feeney RNM, Thackeray JF, Hublin J-J
(2008) Three-dimensional molar enamel distribution and thickness in Australopithecus and
Paranthropus. *Biol Lett* 4:406–410.

7. Skinner MM, Alemseged Z, Gaunitz C, Hublin J-J (2015) Enamel thickness trends in Plio-
Pleistocene hominin mandibular molars. *J Hum Evol* 85:35–45.

8 Pan L, Dumoncel J, de Beer F, Hoffman J, Thackeray JF, Duployer B, Tenailleau C, Braga
J (2016) Further morphological evidence on South African earliest Homo lower postcanine
dentition: Enamel thickness and enamel dentine junction. *J Hum Evol* 96:82–96.

9. Kierdorf H, Breuer F, Witzel C, Kierdorf U (2018) Pig enamel revisited – Incremental
markings in enamel of wild boars and domestic pigs. *J Struc Biol*. doi:
10.1016/j.jsb.2018.11.009.

10. Wright JT, Hart TC, Hart PS, Simmons D, Suggs C, Daley B, Simmer J, Hu J, Bartlett JD,
Li Y, Yuan Z A, Seow WK, Gibson CW (2009) Human and mouse enamel phenotypes
resulting from mutation or altered expression of AMEL, ENAM, MMP20 and KLK4. *Cells*
Tissues Organs 189:224–229.

11. Horvath JE, Ramachandran GL, Fedrigo O, Nielsen WJ, Babbitt CC, St. Clair EM,
Pfefferle LW, Jernvall J, Wray GA, Wall CE (2014) Genetic comparisons yield insight into

the evolution of enamel thickness during human evolution. *J Hum Evol* 73:75–87.

12. Daubert DM, Kelley JL, Udod YG, Habor C, Kleist CG, Furman IK, Tikonov IN, Swanson
WJ, Roberts FA (2016) Human enamel thickness and ENAM polymorphism. *Int J Oral Sci*
8:93–97.

13. Skinner MM, Wood BA, Hublin J-J (2009) Protostylid expression at the enamel-dentine
junction and enamel surface of mandibular molars of *Paranthropus robustus* and
Australopithecus africanus. J Hum Evol 56:76–85.

14. Skinner MM, Evans A, Smith T, Jernvall J, Tafforeau P, Kupczik K, Olejniczak AJ, Rosas
A, Radovcic J, Thakeray JF, Toussaint M, Hublin J-J (2010) Contributions of enamel-
dentine junction shape and enamel deposition to primate molar crown complexity. *Am J*
Phys Anthropol 142:157–163.

15. Guy F, Lazzari V, Gilissen E, Thiery G (2015) To what extent is primate second molar
enamel occlusal morphology shaped by the enamel-dentine junction? *PLoS ONE*
10:e0138802. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0138802.

16. Chen S, Merriman B, Osher S, Smereka PA (1997) A simple level set method for solving
Stefan problems. *J Comp Physics* 135:8–29.

17. Chen H, Min C, Gibou F (2009) A numerical scheme for the Stefan problem on adaptive
Cartesian grids with supralinear convergence rate. *J Com. Physics* 228:5803–5818.

18. Sova SS, Tjäderhane L, Heikkilä PA, Jernvall J (2018) A microCT study of three-
dimensional patterns of biomineralization in pig molars. *Front Physiol* 9:71 doi:
10.3389/fphys.2018.00071.

19. Beynon AD, Dean MC, Reid DJ (1991) On thick and thin enamel in Hominoids. *Am J Phys*
Anthropol 86:295–309.

20. Tafforeau P, Smith TM. (2008) Nondestructive imaging of hominoid dental microstructure
using phase contrast X-ray synchrotron microtomography. *J Hum Evol* 54:272–278.

21. Marchiori DF, Packota GV, Boughner JC (2016) Third-molar mineralization as a function
of available retromolar space. *Acta Odont Scan* 74:509–517.

22. Renvoisé E, Kavanagh, KD, Lazzari V, Häkkinen TJ, Rice R, Pantalacci S, Salazar-Ciudad

I, Jernvall J (2017) Mechanical constraint from growing jaw facilitates mammalian dental
diversity. *Proc Nat Acad Sci, USA* 114:9403–9408.

23. Green DR, Oack G, Colman AS (2018) Determinants of blood water δ^{18}O variation in a
population of experimental sheep: Implications for paleoclimate reconstruction. *Chem Geol*
485:32–43.

24. Smith TM, Austin C, Green DR Joannes-Boyau R, Bailey S, Dumitriu D Fallon S, Grün R,
James HF, Moncel MH, Williams IS, Wood R, Arora M (2018) Wintertime stress, nursing,
and lead exposure in Neanderthal children. *Sci Adv* 4:10:eaau9483.

25. Vuik C (1993) Some historical notes about the Stefan problem, *Nieuw Archief voor*
Wiskunde 11:157–167.

26. Häkkinen T (2016) On Free Boundary Problems. MSc thesis, Aalto University (2016).

27. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S,
Rueden C, Saalfeld, S, Schmid B., Tinevez, JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K,
Tomancak P, Cardona, A (2012) Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image
analysis. *Nat methods* 9:676–682.

28. Cignoni P, Rocchini C, Scopigno R (1998) Metro: measuring error on simplified surfaces
Comput Graph Forum 17:167–174.

Figure 1. Modeling tooth enamel matrix secretion. (A) A schematic illustration of a cross

section of enamel matrix being secreted on the top of dentine. In real teeth (a pig molar on the

right), the enamel surface is not a linear representation of the dentine template (EDJ). (B) In a

diffusion-limited model, differences in surface topography lead to ridges (black circle) receiving

more nutrition (dashed arrows) than valleys (white circle). (C) Starting from a synthetic EDJ

shape, diffusion-limited matrix deposition advances faster in convex than concave features. (D)

Excess production of nutrition overcomes diffusion-limited effects and produces a uniform

distribution of matrix. (E) Reducing surface tension of simulation in c results in crenulated

matrix surface. For details of the model, see Methods, and parameters used in simulation are in

Table S1.

Figure 2. Diffusion-limited simulations approximate complex patterns of enamel thickness in

the pig molar. (A) A heat map and a horizontal section of 3D tomography reconstruction of a

pig molar shows the variable enamel thickness. (B) Using a horizontal EDJ section of a pig

molar as an empirical template (A), diffusion-limited simulations of matrix secretion produce

deep fissures present in concave surfaces. (C) Geometric extrapolation shows how the fissures

are filled-in. In contrast, convex slopes are relatively similar between the simulations (B, C). All

images show or are based on occlusal views of the left lower first molar. Simulations run until

the lateral matrix thicknesses approximate the empirical enamel thicknesses, see Methods, and

for parameters Table S1. Scale bar, 5 mm.

Figure 3. 3D distribution of enamel is predicted by the diffusion-limited model. (A) The EDJ of

the pig entoconid cusp (arrowhead in Fig. 2B) has four ridges and only the buccal slope is

convex whereas the other slopes are concave. (B) The enamel surface is thickest around the

ridges and the EDJ concavities correspond to deep fissures with thinner enamel. The cusp tip

with no EDJ has been removed from the 3D data. (C) The diffusion-limited matrix simulation,

matched to have the enamel thickness of the convex lateral slope, captures the enamel

distribution patterns of the pig cusp. The small ridge present in the mesial slope of the cusp

(arrowhead in the oblique views) corresponds to a narrow ridge of matrix in the fissure both in

the diffusion-limited simulation and the empirical enamel surface. (D) Geometric extrapolation

from the EDJ results in relatively uniform 3D matrix thickness. The diffusion-limited

simulations were done from 51 individual EDJ slices using the same parameter values (Table

S1), and the geometric extrapolations from every slice. Enamel thickness measurements were

done in 3D. The thinner enamel in the lower parts of the lingual cusp ridge of the real cusp

(towards the bottom in occlusal view) is due to the vicinity of the adjacent hypoconid cusp, see

Fig 2A,B. Scale bar, 1 mm.

Figure 4. Incremental lines in pig molar tooth show comparable patterns to diffusion-limited

matrix lines. (A) Incremental lines (arrowheads) are visible in a synchrotron imaged fully

formed but unerupted cusp of the second lower molar. (B) Diffusion-limited simulation and (C)

geometric extrapolation of matrix show contrasting patterns. The different shades of grey

correspond to progressive steps in simulations (every fourth) and geometric extrapolation. (D)

The arrows (in A,B,C) show the lines that are used to measure the progression of matrix

secretion in the valleys (concave EDJ regions) and ridges (convex EDJ regions). Both the

empirical and diffusion-limited simulations produce progressively thicker matrix in the ridges

relative to the valleys (mean lines shown, error bars denote s.d.). The diffusion-limited

simulation uses the same parameter values as the cusp in Fig 3. The border size (0.79) was set to

produce the empirical enamel thickness using the same number of iterations as in Fig. 3. The

target enamel thickness for the simulations was measured from the left side of the cusp. Because

the cusp was physically trimmed for synchrotron imaging, the section is missing the lower side.

Scale bar, 10 mm.

Figure 5. The subtle EDJ topography of human molars is sufficient to produce enamel surface

features in diffusion-limited simulations. (A) To simulate the thick enamel of human molars that

also have a low cusp relief, we combined vertically oriented simulations with a basal nutritional

sink. The sink is used to simulate the shorter time of matrix secretion in the lower parts of the

crown. (B) Diffusion-limited simulations reproduce the surface features that are lost in excess

nutrition simulations and geometric extrapolations. Braces mimicking adjacent teeth and bone

constrain lateral expansion of enamel matrix. (C) Obliquely lingual views of empirical and

simulated enamel surfaces with a half of the EDJ (yellow) visible. The diffusion-limited

simulations were done from 27 individual EDJ slices using the same parameter values (Table

S1). The tooth shown is a human third lower molar. Scale bar, 5 mm.

369