Title: Trophic complexity alters the diversity-multifunctionality relationship in experimental grassland

mesocosms

Authors: Krishna Anujan*[1], Sebastian A. Heilpern[1], Case M. Prager[1,2], Brian C. Weeks[1,3] and Shahid

Naeem[1]

1. Columbia University, Department of Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Biology, 10th Floor

Schermerhorn Ext, 1200 Amsterdam Avenue, New York, NY 10027

2. University of Vermont, Rubenstein School of Envi, ement and Natural Resources, Aiken Center, 81

Carrigan Drive, Burlington, Vermont 05405

3. University of Michigan, Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, 1105 N University Ave, Biological

Sciences Building 2020C, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Content type: Research article

Keywords: Biodiversity loss, ecosystem function, trophic simplification, jack-of-all-trades effect

Abstract

Diversity within trophic levels influences the number of ecosystem functions maintained

simultaneously by a community, or multifunctionality. Depending on threshold cutoffs applied to

measuring these functions, the diversity-multifunctionality relationship changes from positive until

intermediate thresholds to negative effect at high function thresholds. Although the presence of

multiple trophic levels or trophic complexity affects levels of functions, its effect on the diversity-

multifunctionality relationship has not been experimentally tested. We simultaneously manipulated

plant diversity and trophic complexity in a multifactorial tall-grass prairie mesocosm experiment at

Cedar Creek, Minnesota, USA and measured multiple ecosystem functions. Trophic complexity altered

the diversity-multifunctionality relationship in two key ways: it lowered the maximum strength of the

diversity-multifunctionality effect and it resulted in a switch from positive to negative relationship

between increasing diversity and multifunctionality at lower function thresholds. Our findings suggest

that global declines in trophic complexity will exacerbate the reduction in ecosystem multifunctionality

as a result of widespread declines in biodiversity.

Introduction

Increasing biodiversity within a trophic level, both along experimental and naturally occurring

gradients, is associated with a positive and saturating increase in the magnitude of ecosystem functions

when considered individually and often a monotonic increase in the number of functions maintained

simultaneously[1–5]. Empirical support for this diversity-multifunctionality relationship, across taxa and

habitats, suggests that higher levels of biodiversity may be necessary to maintain ecosystem

functioning than previously assumed based on single-function studies[2,6,7].

Multifunctionality of ecosystems is sensitive to several factors, prominently: (1) the levels of

biodiversity within trophic levels[4,7] and (2) a desired "threshold" magnitude of each ecosystem

function scaled from zero to 100% (above this level, a community is considered to maintain that

particular function and contribute to multifunctionality)[7–9] . When low thresholds are considered (e.g.,

maintining at least 10% of every function measured) diversity generally increases the number of

functions maintained above that threshold; a positive diversity-multifunction relationship. But this

effect of diversity on multifunctionality, or slope of this relationship between number of ecosystem

functions maintained and diversity, is expected to increase with the selected threshold only until

moderate threshold values, then decreases and switches to a negative diversity-multifunction

relationship at high thresholds. This pattern of changes in the diversity-multifunctionality relationship

is referred to as the "jack-of-all-trades" effect[8] (Fig 1). In effect, community average values for

functions are expected to be low in diverse communities compared to high functioning monocultures,

allowing them to maintain most functions, but only at the average value contributed by each species.

Most diversity-function studies and tests of this pattern have focussed on a single trophic level, most

often the plant or producer community, but changes in diversity of non-producer trophic levels can also

have significant impacts on several ecosystem functions[10–16]. Plant diversity can affect diversity and

community composition of both aboveground and litter fauna which by removing biomass and through

decomposition, can then affect ecosystem functioning. However, non-producer trophic levels can either

enhance the effect of plant diversity on an ecosystem function[17] (e.g. nutrient uptake) or reduce it[18]

(e.g. primary productivity). The number of trophic levels, or trophic complexity, can thus potentially

impact the diversity-multifunctionality relationship, but this effect is poorly understood. Current

studies do not allow for a direct comparison of ecosystems that vary in trophic complexity because

such explorations would require simultaneously manipulating plant diversity as well as the number of

non-producer trophic groups.

Here, we explore the effects of diversity and trophic complexity on ecosystem multifunctionality using

an experimental approach. In the absence of any effect by non-prodcuer trophic groups, we hypothesise

that plant diversity would increase multifunctionality until moderate thresholds and decrease

multifunctionality at high thresholds, resulting in a jack-of-all-trades relationship. We hypothesise that

trophic complexity could have impacts on multifunctionality at two different levels; (1) altered

diversity-function relationships for single functions – the number and identity of non-producer trophic

levels affects each ecosystem function distinctly, either strengthening or dampening the effect of plant

diversity which aggregates to strengthened or dampened multifunctionality effects and (2) non-

producer trophic level affect plant traits at the species level altering correlations between measured

functions. If trait trade-offs are reduced in the presence of multiple trophic levels, communities would

maintain multiple functions for higher than moderate thresholds and vice versa. This shift the threshold

at which the diversity-multifunctionality effect switches from positive to negative (Heilpern et al. in

review). Each of these effects can be measured on the jack-off-all-trades curve, or the relationship

between the strength (slope) of the diversity-multifunction effect (DME) and the selected threshold for

measuring multifunctionality. Based on the hypotheses, we expected two corresponding effects of

trophic complexity to this curve: (1) a change in the magnitude of the DME across thresholds, resulting

in either a taller or flatter curve (2) a change in the threshold at which DME shifts from positive to

negative effect measured along the horizontal location on the x-axis. Figure 1 provides a conceptual

framework for these predicted outcomes. We note that these predicted responses to trophic complexity

are not mutually exclusive; the curve flatness, shift location, both, or neither may respond to

differences in trophic complexity.

To test this framework, we simultaneously manipulated tall-grass prairie plant diversity and trophic

complexity in 94 tall-grass prairie mesocosms at Cedar Creek, Minnesota, USA. In these mesocosms,

we varied plant diversity from 1 to 16 species, following a standard, stratified $\log_2$ randomised design.

We simultaneously varied trophic complexity following a factorial design resulting in 4 trophic

treatments; above-ground insect-dominated mesofaunal communities only (INS), below-ground litter

mesofaunal communities only (LIT), both aboveground and litter mesofauna (BOTH) or no non-

producer trophic levels (NONE). For comparison, we pooled all the data (POOLED).

We measured four ecosystem functions; aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, soil water

retention and biomass recovery after harvest. We calculated the mean values of these functions across

the different plant diversity and trophic complexity treatments. We standardised the values of each of

the functions between 0 and 100 for the entire dataset and calculated a combined multifunctionality

metric, the number of functions maintained above a given threshold for each community across this

range of thresholds following the standard approach[4,8]. We then analysed the diversity-

multifunctionality effect (the DME) as the slope of the linear fit of the number of functions maintained

above the threshold against plant diversity. Finally, we tested whether this relationship was sensitive to

trophic complexity.

Results

For every function measured, communities on average, independent of diversity and trophic complexity

treatments, had low values; most communities failed to maintain functions at high values (Fig 2). The

average values of each function remained within a small range of values across different plant diversity

treatments (Fig S1). Trophic treatment did not alter the curves substantially for any single function,

although there were some differences at high threshold values for water retention and biomass recovery

(Fig 2) and at high diversity treatments for water retention and aboveground biomass (Fig S1).

Ecosystem multifunctionality showed the predicted pattern to changes in plant biodiversity, with

positive effects at low thresholds and negative effects at high thresholds (Fig. 3). The plant diversity-

multifunctionality relationship for the pooled dataset was positive for a threshold of 25% (slope=0.03,

p=0.36) and 50% (slope=0.007, p=0.81) but was negative for 75% (slope=-0.14, p=0.4) and 90%

thresholds (slope=-0.11, p=0.36) (Fig 3). At the 90% threshold, most plots had no functions above

cutoff, making a biodiversity or trophic effect less discernible, whereas at 25% threshold, most

communities mauntained functions even without the effect of plant diversity or trophic structure. The

biodiversity effect on multifunctionality was most observable at moderate thresholds. Similarly, the

effect of trophic complexity was significant only at 75% (-0.12, p<0.05) and marginally significant at

90% (-0.07, p=0.06) while at a 25% threshold (-0.05, p=0.59) and 50% threshold (-0.14, p=0.16), these

differences were not detectable.

The diversity-multifunctionality effect (DME) is sensitive to trophic complexity. In the pooled dataset,

where all treatments were taken together, the DME increased and peaked at moderate thresholds,

switching to negative effect at high thresholds, following predictions of the jack-of-all-trades effect

(Fig 4). Trophic complexity had an effect on both the height and location of the peak DME (Fig 4).

Comparing the curves of the four different treatments, we found that the addition of either the above-

ground (INS) or litter (LIT) trophic level led to higher peak DMEs than the plant-only or full-

complexity (BOTH, i.e., plants, litter, and above-ground fauna) communities, but all treatments peaked

at similar intermediate thresholds (~ 35- 40%). The transition from positive to negative DMEs occurred

between ~ 40 – 60% thresholds for all trophic treatments except the full complexity treatment. Finally,

the full trophic complexity treatment was the most distinct of the four treatments, having the lowest

peak (at ~10% threshold) with DME values that were consistently lower in magnitude. This treatment

also showed the earliest switch to negative values, at roughly 20% function threshold, remaining

largely negative across most threshold values.

Discussion

In agreement with recent literature[7,8] , our results show that biodiversity is necessary for maintaining

multiple functions from low to moderate function threshold values in grassland communities but at

higher thresholds, increases in diversity are inversely associated with multifunctionality (i.e., the jack-

of-all-trades effect) (Figs 3-4).

We advance understanding of biodiversity and multifunctionality, however, by also showing that this

jack-of-all-trades and master-of-none pattern is sensitive to trophic complexity. Although the bottom-

up effect of plant diversity on herbivore diversity, and potentially ecosystem functions, has been

observed in long-term experimental data[17,19–21], our study tests the top-down (aboveground fauna) and

donor-controlled (litter fauna) effects of trophic complexity on multifunctionality. The simultaneous

presence of aboveground and litter fauna trophic levels, the condition closest to natural systems where

trophic complexity is common, showed a switch to negative diversity-multifunctionality effects

(DMEs) at a very low threshold and maintained persistent negative DMEs throughout most thresholds

values (Fig. 4). Any reduction in trophic complexity altered the diversity-multifunctionality

relationship. Thus, both the location and height of peak DMEs are affected by trophic complexity, as

suggested in our conceptual framework (Fig. 1).

The nature and direction of the trophic impact on DME depends on the trophic component considered.

When examined across thresholds, both aboveground and litter fauna amplified the DME at low

thresholds but at high thresholds, only aboveground fauna amplified the effect. This suggests that the

presence of aboveground arthropods increases the contribution of biodiversity in providing ecosystem

multifunctionality at moderate thresholds. This could be because plant diversity is known to decrease

associational effects to herbivory damage[22, 23] leading to an additional advantage of diversity in the

presence of aboveground fauna.

In contrast to what we observed for multifunctionality, our analyses did not reveal impacts of trophic

complexity on any single ecosystem function when aggregated across plant diversity treatments (Figs.

2-3). Further we did not observe any impacts of the trophic complexity treatments on average

ecosystem function value at any level of plant diversity (Fig S1). Although the treatment with plants

alone performed better at water retention along all thresholds (Fig. 2) and intermediate diversities (Fig

S1), this observation alone cannot explain the differences between the treatments.

Despite the lack of significant effects on single ecosystem functions, we observe trophic complexity

effects at the multifunctionality level. Our results also show that the presence of multiple trophic

components decreases the magnitude of the DME across all thresholds, consistent with results from a

long-term experiment from the same region demonstrating that non-producer trophic components

obscure the diversity-productivity relationship due to a loss in complementarity effects with the

addition of herbivores[17]. As the plants we used were taxonomically and functionally quite distinct,

identity effects[24], or unique contributions of individual species to the ecosystem functions considered,

are also likely to be responsible for the nature of the biodiversity-multifunctionality relationship we

observed.

Our findings have important implications for understanding the relationship between biodiversity and

ecosystem functions. Plant diversity is currently understood to be critical to sustaining

multifunctionality at, or below, moderate function threshold values, but our results show that such

effects are influenced by trophic complexity. Given that declines in trophic complexity are widespread,

occurring, for example, where agriculture leads to habitat simplification through the use of biocides and

where trophic downgrading is occurring in terrestrial and marine habitats, most ecosystems are

experiencing declines in both producer diversity and trophic complexity. Sustaining a broad spectrum

of ecosystem functions and the services they provide will require more plant diversity in the face of

widespread trends in trophic simplification.

Methods

Experimental Methods

We used data from a year-long experiment on grassland mesocosms in a tall-grass prairie, part of the

Cedar Creek Ecosystem Science Reserve, Minnesota. The experimental design was factorial with 100

pots, 1m in diameter, grown inside netted insect exclosures. Each pot was maintained at one of 5 levels

of plant diversity: 1, 2, 4, 8 or 16 species. The species used in this experiment (Table S1) were native

perennial species used in other experimental studies from the site[17,25,26]. Pots with incomplete data on

species identity were excluded from this analysis, resulting in a sample size of 94. The plant diversity

treatments were crossed with trophic complexity treatments: plants and aboveground mesofauna

(primarily insects and other invertebrates, INS), plants and litter mesofauna (LIT), plants and both

aboveground and litter mesofauna (BOTH), or plants only (i.e., no aboveground or litter fauna,

NONE). These treatments were achieved by first applying a pesticide treatment on all the pots and

removing all aboveground and litter fauna. 25% of the pots in each treatment were allowed to be

recolonised by aboveground fauna only, 25% by liter fauna only, 25% by both aboveground and litter

fauna, and 25% were plants only, leading to the four community complexity treatments. The

experiment was run for a year from July 2000 to July 2001 when final biomass and soil water retention

were measured and biomass recovered in each pot after harvest was measured a year later.

Ecosystem function measurements

Four candidate ecosystem functions were analysed: (i) Aboveground biomass: the total aboveground

biomass in each pot was measured in 2001, after one year of experiment. (ii) Belowground biomass:

the total belowground biomass in each pot was measured after one year of experiment (iii) Water

retention: the time taken for a fixed volume of water to flow into a collection flask at the bottom of the

pot was measured at the end of one year of experiment (iv) Biomass recovery: the biomass recovered

one year post harvest was measured to quantify biotic control over ecosystem resilience. Recovery was

calculated as the ratio of the total recovered biomass to the biomass prior to disturbance (aboveground

and belowground biomass). A principal component analysis of functions to test correlations resulted in

water retention close to PC1 and aboveground biomass close to PC2 and the vector of biomass post-

harvest was in a similar direction as the biomass in the previous year.

For each function, the decay curves for each trophic treatment was plotted along a standardised range

of threshold values. These were visually examined for differences.

Multifunctionality

To assess multifunctionality across diversity treatments, measurements of four ecosystem functions –
aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, water retention and biomass recovery after harvest - were
chosen and analysed using published methodology of the threshold approach[18]. To this end, the
maximum value for each ecosystem function was calculated as the mean of the five highest function
values in the entire experiment. Each ecosystem function in a pot was then standardised between this
maximum and the minimum value in the experiment. For every 5% between 0 and 100, each pot was
scored for the number of functions maintained above that threshold. For each threshold, the slope of
linear model between the number of functions maintained above threshold and the manipulated plant
diversity in the community was defined as the diversity effect on multifunctionality (DME). DME was
analysed for the pooled dataset as well as the dataset split into the four trophic complexity treatments.
The magnitude of the peak and the point at which the curve of biodiversity effect vs. threshold crosses
the x-axis for each of the treatments were examined in comparison with the pooled data for reasons
described in the introduction.

References

1.   Liang, J. *et al.* Positive biodiversity–productivity relationship predominant in global forests.
      Science (80-.). (2016). doi:10.1126/science.aaf8957

2.   Hooper, D. U. *et al.* Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: a consensus of current
      knowledge. *Ecol. Monogr.* **75,** 3–35 (2005).

3.   Emmett Duffy, J., Godwin, C. M. & Cardinale, B. J. Biodiversity effects in the wild are common
      and as strong as key drivers of productivity. *Nature* **549,** 261–264 (2017).

4.   Hector, A. & Bagchi, R. Biodiversity and ecosystem multifunctionality. *Nature* **448,** 188–190
      (2007).

5.   Zavaleta, E. S., Pasari, J. R., Hulvey, K. B. & Tilman, G. D. Sustaining multiple ecosystem
      functions in grassland communities requires higher biodiversity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107,**
      1443–1446 (2010).

6.   Cardinale, B. J. *et al.* Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. *Nature* **489,** 326–326 (2012).

7. Lefcheck, J. S. *et al.* Biodiversity enhances ecosystem multifunctionality across trophic levels
and habitats. *Nat. Commun.* **6,** 6936 (2015).

8. van der Plas, F. *et al.* Jack-of-all-trades effects drive biodiversity–ecosystem multifunctionality
relationships in European forests. *Nat. Commun.* **7,** 11109 (2016).

9. Manning, P. *et al.* Redefining ecosystem multifunctionality. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **2,** 427–436 (2018).

10. Naeem, S., Thompson, L., Lawler, S. P., Hawton, J. H. & Woodfin, R. M. Declining biodiversity
can alter the performance of ecosystems. *Nature* **368,** (1994).

11. Naeem, S., Thompson, L., Lawton, J. H., Woodfin, R. M. & Lawler, P. Empirical evidence that
declining species diversity may alter the performance of terrestrial ecosystems. *Philos. Trans. R.*
Soc. B **347,** (1995).

12. Strickland, M. S., Hawlena, D., Reese, A., Bradford, M. a & Schmitz, O. J. Trophic cascade
alters ecosystem carbon exchange. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110,** 11035–8 (2013).

13. Schmitz, O. J. Predators have large effects on ecosystem properties by changing plant diversity,
not plant biomass. *Ecology* **87,** 1432–1437 (2006).

14. Tiffin, P. & Ross-Ibarra, J. Multitrophic Functional Diversity Predicts Ecosystem Functioning in
Experimental. *PeerJ PrePints* **96,** 1–20 (2014).

15. Estes, J. A. *et al.* Trophic Downgrading of Planet Earth. *Science (80-.).* **333,** (2011).

16. Dyer, L. A. & Letourneau, D. Top-down and bottom-up diversity cascades in detrital vs . living
food webs. *Ecol. Lett.* **6,** 60–68 (2003).

17. Seabloom, E. W. *et al.* Food webs obscure the strength of plant diversity effects on primary
productivity. *Ecol. Lett.* **20,** 505–512 (2017).

18. Soliveres, S. *et al.* Biodiversity at multiple trophic levels is needed for ecosystem
multifunctionality. *Nature* **536,** 456–459 (2016).

19. Haddad, N. M. *et al.* Plant species loss decreases arthropod diversity and shifts trophic structure.
Ecol. Lett. **12,** 1029–1039 (2009).

20. Connor, M. I. O. *et al.* A general biodiversity – function relationship is mediated by trophic
level. *Oikos* 18–31 (2017). doi:10.1111/oik.03652

21. Scherber, C. *et al.* Bottom-up effects of plant diversity on multitrophic interactions in a
biodiversity experiment. *Nature* **468,** 553–556 (2010).

22. Baraza, E., Zamora, R. & Hódar, J. A. Conditional outcomes in plant-herbivore interactions:
Neighbours matter. *Oikos* **113,** 148–156 (2006).

23. Hambäck, P. A., Inouye, B. D., Andersson, P. & Underwood, N. Effects of plant neighborhoods
on plant-herbivore interactions: Resource dilution and associational effects. *Ecology* **95,** 1370–
1383 (2014).

24.   Meyer, S. T. *et al.* Biodiversity-multifunctionality relationships depend on identity and number
of measured functions. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **2,** 44–49 (2018).
290
291 25.   Tilman, D., *et al.* Diversity and productivity in a long-term grassland experiment. *Science* **294**,
292   843–845 (2001).
293
294 26.   Tilman, D., Reich, P.B. & Knops, J.M.H. Biodiversity and ecosystem stability in a   decade-
295 long grassland experiment. *Nature* **441**, 629–632 (2006).
296

Figure 1. Conceptual framework illustrating hypothesised effects of trophic complexity on the

diversity-multifunctionality effect (DME) curve. The DME is a measure of the slope of the relationship

between diversity and multifunctionality (e.g., number of functions gained through the addition of

species) whose value is dependent on the threshold (i.e., percent ecosystem function obtained) used in

estimating multifunctionality. Positive effects of diversity correspond to positive DME values, or a

curve above zero and vice versa. The continuous black curve represents a hypothetical relationship

between selected threshold value and DME for a plant community in the absence of trophic complexity

(i.e., the plant only curve). The red and blue lines represent possible deviations from the plant-only

curve with the addition of trophic complexity. The red curves represent trophic-induced changes to

diversity effects on single ecosystem funcions, which alter the flatness of the DME curve. The blue

curves represent trophic-induced changes to correlations between traits or altered "jack-of-all-trades"

effect, which shifts the horizontal location of the DME switch from positive to negative.

Figure 2: Proportion of communities maintaining single ecosystem functions along a range of percent

thresholds. Panels represent the four functions – aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, water

retention and biomass recovery . Coloured curves represent the four trophic treatments; Only plants

(NONE, grey), plants and aboveground mesofauna (INS, red), plants and litter mesofauna (LIT,

cream), plants and both aboveground and litter mesofauna (BOTH, orange).

322 Figure 3. Number of functions above four different thresholds, indicated in the top right corner of each

323 panel, against the number of species in the plot. Lines represent linear model fits for pooled data

324 (black) as well as each treatment (colours). Legend shows colour codes for treatments. Actual data

325 points for each plot represented as grey dots.

Figure 4. Effect of threshold on the biodiversity-multifunctionality effect (the DME). Each point

represents the slope of the linear model between plant species richness and number of functions above

the threshold. Each DME curve for each level of trophic complexity is plotted using a different colour,

as presented in the key (top right), with the curve for the pooled dataset presented in black. The curves

are smooth-spline interpolations. The grey polygon represents the bounds of the standard error of the

slope in the pooled dataset.

334