A microfluidic device for inferring metabolic landscapes in yeast monolayer colonies.

Running title : Emergence of metabolic landscapes in yeast monolayer colonies

Zoran S Marinkovic[1,2,3], Clément Vulin[1,4,5], Mislav Acman[1,3], Xiaohu Song[2], Jean Marc Di Meglio[1], Ariel B. Lindner[*,2,3], Pascal Hersen[*,1]

[1]*Laboratoire Matière et Systèmes Complexes, UMR 7057 CNRS & Université Paris Diderot, 10 rue Alice Domon et Léonie Duquet, 75013 Paris, France.*
[2]*U1001 INSERM, 24 Rue du Faubourg St Jacques, 75006, Paris, France.*
[3]*CRI, Université Paris Descartes, 8-10 Rue Charles V, 75004, Paris, France.*
[4]*Institute of Biogeochemistry and Pollutant Dynamics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland.*
[5]*Department of Environmental Microbiology, Eawag, Dübendorf, Switzerland.*

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Ariel Lindner (ariel.lindner@inserm.fr) or Pascal Hersen (pascal.hersen@univ-paris-diderot.fr).

Keywords*:* Yeast colony, Microfluidics, Gene expression, Spatial Organization, Metabolism.

Abstract

Microbial colonies are fascinating structures in which growth and internal organization reflect complex morphogenetic processes. Here, we generated a microfluidics device with arrays of long monolayer yeast colonies to further global understanding of how intercellular metabolic interactions affect the internal structure of colonies within defined boundary conditions. We observed the emergence of stable glucose gradients using fluorescently labelled hexose transporters and quantified the spatial correlations with intra-colony growth rates and expression of other genes regulated by glucose availability. These landscapes depended on the external glucose concentration as well as secondary gradients, e.g., amino acid availability. This work demonstrates the regulatory genetic networks governing cellular physiological adaptation are the key to internal structuration of cellular assemblies. This approach could be used in the future to decipher the interplay between long-range metabolic interactions, cellular development and morphogenesis in more complex systems.

Introduction

Structured cellular communities are complex, dynamic systems and their composition, expansion and internal structure are the result of interactions between the cells and their microenvironment. Cells absorb and metabolize nutrients and also produce and secrete metabolites, creating spatial gradients of nutrients and metabolites. Thus, cells at the outskirts of a multicellular assembly do not experience the same microenvironment as the cells deeply buried within. Reciprocally, cellular physiology is dependent on the cell's position within a colony. Such variations in cellular physiology are consistently observed in a variety of multicellular systems – from bacterial and yeast colonies[1,2] to biofilms[3] and tumors[4,5] – and are reflected by altered gene expression levels and cellular phenotypes as growth rates, nutrient uptake rates and metabolic activity. Such variations presumably emerge because of long-range metabolic interactions between cells, in that the cellular microenvironment at one position depends on the nutrient uptake rate at another position.

Notably, multicellular communities[6–8] exhibit various adaptive benefits, including higher cell proliferation, improved access to resources and niches[9], collective defence (*e.g.*, against antagonists, drugs, antibiotics)[3] resulting in optimization of population survival when confronted with averse physical, chemical, nutritional or biological challenges[10]. These examples indicate the importance of understanding the emergence and maintenance of complex spatial multicellular structures from ecological[11–13], medical[14–16] and evolutionary[17–19] perspectives. Yet, despite the obvious contrast between homogeneous environments and the pronounced environmental heterogeneity of microbial cellular assemblies, the majority of scientific research to date has either focused on single cells in homogeneous environments or populations of cells grown in batch or continuous liquid cultures. This is mostly due to the complexity of designing an experiment that would allow monitoring, over long time, the development of a spatially defined extended multicellular assembly. This is in particular the case for the widely used eukaryotic model organism yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, despite the numerous calls in recent reviews to study its nutrient sensing, signalling, and related growth and development control within the natural colony context. [20–22].

As microorganisms in nature tend to live in multicellular communities, devising an experimental approach

that captures this complexity while being easy to use and amenable to different experimental needs and

conditions should further our understanding of complex gene regulatory networks in the context of

microbial evolution and ecology.

Current direct observations of three-dimensional colonies and biofilms are cumbersome and often

constrained by existing technologies[3]. For example, two-photon microscopy of sliced agarose-encapsulated

yeast colonies was required to show that yeast cells may adopt different physiologies – and possibly

different cell types – depending on their position within a colony[2]. In another example, nanospray

desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (nanoDESI MS) was used to study growing bacterial

colonies on agar plates and showed a wide diversity and complexity of compounds that characterise

microbial chemical ecology[23,24]. Such complex methodologies are not amenable to time-lapse imaging, nor

to observation of the temporal variations in gene expression and growth rates of single cells over relevant

time and length scales. An alternative is to grow microbial cells in microfluidic devices to spatially constrain

the growth of the cells and to control the delivery of nutrients[25–29]. Microfluidic experimental research is

typically designed to ensure that the cells being studied experience a homogeneous environment. This can

be done at the single cell level, as it has been demonstrated in studies of aging of yeast[30] and bacteria[31]

where single cells had to be trapped and kept under constant nutrient flow for long term observations to

capture their death. Alternatively, a small cell assembly can be trapped in dead-end chambers under

assumption that a quick diffusion of nutrients will keep the environment in chambers homogeneous. With

that approach cell lineages were tracked for bacteria[32] (the widely used "mother machine") and yeast[33], cells

were subjected to fluctuating environments of different carbon sources to study non-genetic memory in

bacteria[34], and bacterial colonies were synchronised through quorum sensing and gas-phase redox

signalling over centimetre-length scales to produce oscillating colony "biopixels"[35]. Although fascinating

in their own right, unfortunately, such devices do not capture emerging properties at a colony level, *i.e.*

spatial variations in growth rates, microenvironments and phenotypes. Recently, there have been a few

attempts to use microfluidics to study collective properties of bacterial colonies grown in a microcolony.

Hornung *et al*. grew two-dimensional bacterial microcolonies in a 75 µm long device perfused with a very

low concentration (up to 195 µM) of protocatechuic acid as the only carbon source from both sides of the

cell chamber[36]. They observed heterogeneous growth which they confirmed through reaction-diffusion

model combined with particle-based simulations. In a similar setup, a 60 µm long device perfused with a

very low concentration of glucose (up to 800 µM) from one side was used to study the emergence of

microscale gradients that resulted in metabolic cross-feeding between glucose-fermenting and acetate-

respiring subpopulations of bacteria and antibiotic tolerance by slow growing subpopulation[37]. Wilmoth *et*

al. used microwells up to 100 µm in diameter to look at spatial patterns of H1-Type VI secretion system

(T6SS) mutants of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* accompanied with an agent-based model depicting the two

observed subpopulations[38]. They found that spatial constraints and local concentrations of growth substrates

affect the spatial organization of cells. Finally, Liu *et al*. grew *Bacillus subtilis* biofilms perfused with

glycerol and glutamate media. They discovered collective oscillations which emerge as a consequence of

long-range metabolic co-dependence between cells in the interior and cells at the periphery of a biofilm,

presumably to maximize the availability of nutrients and survival of interior cells[39]. While the use of

microfluidics gave rise to the discovery of interesting collective properties of microbial assemblies, such

attempts were too specific and had to deal with some of the limitations like small device dimensions (<100

µm), use of low nutrient concentrations (<1 mM), limited scope of nutrient types, inability to access single

cell level – and therefore cannot be transposed to the general case of the study of a large monolayer of cells

in standard range and scope of nutrients employed in biological research. Additionally, it is tempting to

reconstruct the emergence of gene expression landscapes on a global scale (*e.g.,* within structured

communities) from local (*e.g.,* single cell) properties, given the extensive knowledge accumulated on

single-cell gene regulatory networks. However, the variations in the microenvironment within a

multicellular assembly and their interconnections with gene expression and cell metabolism are poorly

known.

In order to address the above limitations in current methodologies and observe emerging properties at a

colony level, we developed a microfluidic device to grow thin, extended arrays of yeast cell monolayers

that are perfused with nutrients from a single direction. We demonstrate a novel capacity to reproduce and

quantify spatial variation in cellular growth rate and the formation of gene expression landscapes for key

metabolic genes involved in glucose transport and utilization, across the nascent 2D microcolony.

Interestingly, the gene expression landscapes exhibited a high degree of spatial correlation over a range of

glucose concentrations. Notably, we show that an extended assembly of cells presents a spatial transition

between fermentative (high glucose environment, fast growth, rapid glucose utilization) and respiratory

(low glucose environment, slow growth, slow but efficient glucose utilization[40,41]) regimes, located close

to and far from the nutrient source, respectively. This spatial structure emerges from the interplay between

how cells individually adapt to the microenvironment and, at the same time, alter their surroundings as a

result of their metabolic activity. Said differently, cells collectively create, and experience, a spatially

structured micro-environment.

Results

Growing extended yeast monolayers. Microfluidic systems are usually designed to ensure a homogeneous

microenvironment for all cells[25]. In contrast, in this study, we designed a microfluidic device – dubbed the

"yeast machine" – to grow long, narrow yeast monolayers with the aim of observing the emergence of

nutrient gradients and spatial variations in cellular growth and gene expression landscapes. We used soft

lithography techniques to fabricate a multi-layered microfluidic device composed of a large channel (to

flow nutrients) and an array of perpendicular, extended (800 µm-long), narrow (50 µm-wide), flat (4.5 µm-

high) dead-end chambers in which yeast cells can grow as monolayers while the media is supplied by a

pressure pump-based system with flow control (Figure 1, Supplementary Figure 1). The length of the dead-

end chambers was optimized to induce significant variations in the nutrient concentrations within the

chambers due to cellular nutrient uptake. The chamber width was large enough to avoid jamming during

cell growth due to geometric constraints and small enough to avoid generation of complex, cell-

recirculating flows induced by cell growth[42]. The chamber height was comparable to – but slightly larger

136 than – the average size of a yeast cell, so the cells were vertically constrained to facilitate single-cell imaging

137 and time-lapse fluorescence microscopy (Supplementary Figure 2).

139 The cells were injected into the main channel of the "yeast machine" and then forced into the dead-end

140 chambers by centrifugation using a homemade 3D-printed holding device attached to a spin coater (see

141 Supplementary Figure 1c, d; Methods). The main channel was washed with yeast synthetic complete growth

142 medium to remove excess cells; cells that were trapped in the dead-end chambers by centrifugation were

143 not removed by the washing step. Nutrients were flowed through the main channel and could passively

144 diffuse into the array of dead-end chambers. The cells formed growing monolayers that extended from the

145 closed end of the chamber and collectively progressed towards the nutrient source (*i.e.* the open end of the

146 chamber) as the cells pushed each other while growing (Figure 2a, b; Supplementary Movie 1). Cells

147 eventually filled each chamber, forming an extended two-dimensional colony composed of about 2500 cells

148 (Figure 2b, Supplementary Figure 2), typically ~10 cells wide and ~200 cells long. Cells could be observed

149 locally at high magnification (100× objective), while the whole assembly could be seen at low

150 magnification (10× objective). We recorded the cellular expansion and subsequent internal dynamics of

151 these long monolayers, as well as the landscape of expression of key fluorescently tagged endogenous

152 genes, over time and over an almost 1000-fold range of glucose concentrations (from 0.01% to 8% *w/vol*).

Figure 1. Microfluidic device setup and design. **1a**. Media reservoirs are pressurized with the help of Fluigent MFCS pressure pump resulting in flow through the flow sensors, into the chip and then to waste. Flow sensors and pressure pump are connected to the flow-rate control module, which maintains a constant flow through the system. Nutrient supply and media conditions can be changed in real time. **1b**. Each single "yeast machine" has two sets of cell chambers of various widths (5 µm, 10µm, 25 µm and 50 µm). The Cell chambers are connected perpendicularly to a large flow channel (1 mm wide, 25 µm high).. This design facilitates adaptation for different model systems (*e.g.* bacteria, yeast, mammalian cells) and high-throughput depending on the of predefined flexible length, width and height flexible adapted dimensions.. **1c**. A close-up sketch of a set of cell chambers used in our experiments. They are 800 µm long, 50 µm wide, and 4.5 µm high. A single cell chamber fits a monolayer of up to 2500 yeast cells. The whole setup is mounted on a microscope for time-lapse fluorescent imaging.

Monolayer in expansion displays regions of fast and slow growth. Expansion of the monolayers of cells was observed by microscopy at low magnification (10× objective). Under standard glucose-rich conditions (2% *w/vol*; 111 mM) and excess amino acids (5× CSM, see *Methods*), the front velocity, V_F, increased during the first 2-4 h and eventually reached a steady-state close to 100 µm.h^{-1} (Figure 2c, d, Supplementary Movie 1). Front velocity is the sum of the contribution of every cell to colony expansion. Therefore, V_F depends on the quantities of glucose and other nutrients that penetrate inside the yeast monolayer, which impact both the number of cells that grow and their growth rates. Initially, the monolayer is sparsely

populated and sufficient glucose is expected to reach all cells. After growth and division, a larger number

of cells can participate in global expansion of the population. Thus, the front velocity is expected to quickly

increase over time. However, at some point, as the size of the monolayer increases, the cells close to the

dead end of the chamber will stop growing (due to absorption and metabolism of available nutrients by

cells closer to the nutrient source/chamber opening) and the front velocity will plateau. Hence, after the cell

chamber populates with cells completely, a steady-state is reached where a constant number of cells with

access to glucose continue to divide and move passively towards the nutrient source, while the number of

cells at the dead end of the chamber deprived of glucose (and other nutrients) remains unchanged. If we

consider the ideal case in which yeast cells are 4 µm-wide and divide every 90 min in the presence of

glucose, each cell layer leads to an expansion of 4 µm every 90 min, or 2.6 µm.hr^{-1}. The observed terminal

front velocity of 94 ± 8 µm.hr^{-1} (Figure 2) can be attributed to the first 36 ± 3 layers of cells, i.e. the first

140 µm of the colony. The glucose penetration distance can be approximated by assuming[1] that glucose –

of which the concentration is maintained at C_0 at the front of the monolayer – freely diffuses within the

assembly with a diffusion coefficient $D \sim 100$ µm^2.s^{-1} and is absorbed by cells at a constant rate, q_0, of ~ 1

mM.s^{-1}. Diffusion law dictates that the glucose concentration is expected to decrease significantly after a

typical distance, H, that scales with $\sqrt{\frac{DC_0}{q_0}} \sim 100$ µm. Our direct observation (Figure 2e) showed that for a

layer of growing cells, H is around 400 µm at 2% *w/vol* glucose. Notably, both estimations are in agreement,

albeit they underestimate the observed size of the growing layer. These discrepancies result from discarding

the decay in the cellular growth rate at decreasing glucose concentrations and the variation in the specific

cellular uptake rate, q, with glucose concentration. Indeed, the interplay between glucose diffusion and

uptake is central to structuration of the colony as it affects both the number of cells that have access to

glucose and the glucose concentration in the microenvironment of each region, and thus determines which

cells actually participate in colony expansion and by how much[1]. The true glucose penetration distance is

therefore likely to be larger than the '*guesstimate*' above. Yet, inferring the true penetration distance would

require a detailed model of the dependency of both cellular glucose absorption and the growth rate on the

glucose concentration, as well as experimental measurements of the glucose concentrations within the

monolayer. This outlines the difficulty of predicting the internal structure of a simple yeast monolayer due

to our limited understanding of how yeast cells interact with nutrients and the difficulty of obtaining

quantitative details of the microenvironment landscapes within a yeast monolayer. In the following text,

we quantify the expression of different glucose concentration-dependent transporters as a possible proxy

for intra-colony glucose concentration. We even ventured further, to study how landscapes of cellular

growth and expression of key genes involved in glucose transport self-emerge from long-range metabolic

interactions within the yeast colony.

Front velocity increases with glucose concentration. Increasing the glucose concentration (from 0.01%

to 8% *w/vol*) led to higher terminal front velocities (Figure 2d), in agreement with the fact that at higher

concentrations, glucose will penetrate further by diffusion in the colony (Figure 2a). Thus, increasing the

concentration allows a larger number of cells to access glucose and participate in the growth of the colony.

Yet, the front velocity does not increase linearly with glucose concentration, and plateaus at very high

glucose concentrations (> 4% *w/vol*). One interpretation is that at this concentration range, sufficient

glucose reaches the dead end of the chamber, allowing all cells to participate in the growth of the assembly.

However, based on $V_F \sim \mu L$, where L is the length of the dead-end chamber and μ is the average cell growth

rate, one would expect a saturating front velocity of 368 μm.h^{-1}, much larger than the measured value of

100 μm.h^{-1}.

Glucose is not the only nutrient required for cellular growth; amino acids can be a limiting factor for

auxotrophic strains such as the one employed in this study (S288C background). This is why we used an

excess of amino acids (5× CSM) compared to classic SC medium for yeast cell cultures. Indeed, using

standard amino acid concentrations in the media resulted in significantly lower terminal front velocities,

even at high glucose concentrations (Supplementary Figure 3). This suggests that amino acid availability

can limit cellular growth, which is especially visible in the presence of high glucose concentrations, where

no longer glucose is limiting but rather amino acids are. As all experiments were performed under 5-fold

higher amino acid concentrations than normal SC medium, other metabolites that are consumed are likely

to form gradients within colony and might become rate-limiting for growth. Taken together, we conclude

that the spatial variations in all metabolic components of the microenvironment need to be taken into

account in order to fully understand microbial colony growth. With that in mind, building a mathematical

model to account for the observed expansion of a spatially structured colony is barely achievable, and we

will not address this question here. Rather, we opted to further characterize the development of glucose

gradients as a specific and critical component of the emergence of the metabolic landscape of the colony.

Local expansion rate decreases with distance from the nutrient source. Once the dead-end chambers

were filled with cells, we found the growth pattern was highly reproducible across parallel chambers at

each glucose concentration. The cells closer to the open end of the chambers continued to divide, pushing

cells out that were washed away by the flow in the nutrient channel. Cells closer to the dead end (y ~ 800

μm) did not move, grow nor divide. At standard glucose conditions (2% *w/vol*) and a high amino acid

concentration (5× CSM), significant cell motion was not observed after $y \sim 400$ μm, indicating that very

limited glucose is available to the cells that are beyond this region. By tracking single cell trajectories, we

measured the velocity field within the yeast monolayers over a range of glucose concentrations. We

extracted > 100 single cell trajectories per concentration, resulting in thousands of velocity data points (see

Methods). As expected, increasing the glucose concentration in the nutrient channel (from 0.01% to 8%

w/vol) led to higher local velocities deeper in the colony (Figure 2f, Supplementary Figure 4).

Concomitantly, velocity also increased closer to the chamber opening when cells experienced a higher

glucose concentration.

To sum up, our setup captures the essence of structured colonies, with the emergence of a landscape of

growth divided into a non-growing area and actively growing area. This spatial separation is the result of

the formation of glucose (and other nutrient) gradients. These gradients emerge as a result of cellular

metabolic activity, which in turn affects the cellular growth rate and physiology at the local scale.

Figure 2. Expansion and dynamics of extended cellular monolayers. **2a.** The microfluidic device is perfused with nutrients using a pressure-driven system (see Figure 1). Yeast monolayers extend within the long chambers: front velocity (V_F) and local velocity (Vz) are determined by cellular growth and division. **2b.** Example of a time-lapse collage of yeast monolayer expansion along an 800 µm-long chamber (2% *w/vol.* glucose, 5× amino acid concentration). Front velocity increases and reaches a plateau (indicated by flattening of the slope of the green curve). When the front approaches close to the open end of the chamber (*i.e.,* 0 µm), the over-spilling cells are constantly washed away by the nutrient flow within the main channel. **2c.** Front velocity reaches a maximum when the position of the front becomes close to the open end of the chamber indicating that after expanding by a typical distance (~ 400 µm here for 2% *w/vol.* glucose), the maximal number of cells that receive glucose and can participate in expansion has been reached. 340 velocity data points binned into 10 equally spaced position points were extracted from n=12 colony front trajectories (2% *w/vol.* glucose). The error bars denote standard deviations of each bin (~15-30 velocity data points). **2d.** Front velocity as function of external glucose concentration. Data comes from the bin closest to the open end of the chamber as measured in Figure **2c** for each glucose concentration (n > 5). Error bars denote standard deviations. **2e.** Local cellular motion can be assessed by computing the standard deviation of pixel intensities across a stack of time-lapse images. Here, white areas indicate variations in movement across the time-lapse for cells below 400 µm, while the cells above do not move. Averaging over several channels (n=9), we obtained an indicator of cell motion and thus an estimate of the glucose penetration distance, H (~ 400 µm for 2% glucose). **2f.** Local velocity decreases for cells deeper within the chamber. Local velocity also increases with external glucose concentration. Velocity Data, that were binned into 16 equally spaced position, comes from the analysis of >100 cell trajectories. Error bars denote standard deviations.

Cellular metabolic activity creates gene expression landscapes. The emerging glucose (and other

nutrient) gradients are expected to both trigger and be governed by differential gene expression landscapes.

To this end, we studied the expression of seven key glucose transporters (HXT1-7) whose expressions are

regulated by the extracellular glucose concentration. We employed yeast strains in which these endogenous

glucose transporters were tagged with GFP (*Methods*), and recorded the fluorescence signals at the global

scale using a low-magnification objective (10×) and local cellular scale using a high-magnification

objective (100×). Cells were loaded into the chambers as described above and observed after the

establishment of a quasi-steady state (starting 10 h after the chamber was filled with cells, Supplementary

Figure 5). We observed the formation of different landscapes of gene expression for each of the seven

transporters, each with marked territories of low and high expression (Figure 3, 4; Methods). In particular,

HXT1 and HXT7 displayed inversely correlated landscapes of gene expression (*e.g.*, Figure 3a, 3g for 2%

w/vol glucose). Both patterns demonstrate the formation and maintenance of a glucose gradient that emerges

from cellular metabolic activity. HXT1 is a low-affinity glucose transporter mainly expressed under high-

glucose conditions, while HXT7 is a high-affinity glucose transporter expressed under low-glucose

conditions only (Figure 3b, 3f)[43–45]. Concomitantly, HXT1 was expressed at the highest levels in the cells

close to the chamber opening (*i.e.,* in the highest glucose concentration), while HXT7 expression peaked

further away in the chamber, indicating a transition to a low-glucose region. We examined the cells at higher

magnification (60×) to assess the localisation of HXT7 gene expression. As expected, in the cells expressing

the highest levels of this gene, the fluorescence was localized to the cell membrane, indicating HTX7 played

an active role in glucose transport in these cells. In contrast, deeper in the colony, we observed lower levels

of HTX7 fluorescence due to the long lifetime of GFP-fused proteins and absence of dilution through cell

division, though this fluorescence was localized in vacuoles, indicating the transporter had been targeted

for degradation by the cells[46] (Figure 3a). Assuming the observed peak of HXT7 fluorescence matches the

peak fluorescence observed in batch culture at a glucose concentration of 0.016% *w/vol*. (Figure 3b, c,

Supplementary Figure 6), we could locate the position in the yeast monolayer at which the glucose

concentration reached 0.016% *w/vol*. This position was around $H_f \sim 500$ µm from the front, in good

agreement with the transition in cell motion (Figure 2, $H_m \sim 400$ µm).

Figure 3. Landscapes of gene expression self-emerge in extended yeast monolayers. 3a. Expression profile of HXT7-GFP along the chamber (average fluorescence levels, n=9; standard deviation shown as the envelope) for an external concentration of 2% *w/vol* glucose. Membrane localization of HXT7 was only observed in the cells surrounding the area of peak HXT7 expression, localized at ~500 μm at 2% *w/vol*. glucose. **3b.** FACS measurements of HXT7-GFP expression in batch culture (average of three replicates) showing a single intensity peak at $C_0 = 0.016\%$. This peak value can be mapped back to the spatial landscape of **3a** to infer the glucose concentration in the region of peak HXT7-GFP fluorescence. n=3-6 per glucose concentration **3c.** On varying the glucose concentration in the nutrient channel, we observed a transition in peak HXT7-GFP fluorescence within the 2D colony. At a concentration of 4% *w/vol* and above, the peak was located close to the dead end of the chamber or not visible, indicating sufficient glucose was available throughout the chamber (color code normalized to maximal expression level). Data obtained from n=8-17 replicates per glucose concentrations (see also Supplementary Figure 6). **3d.** Compared with **3b,** it is possible to roughly define areas of glucose presence in the monolayer for a range of glucose concentrations (n=8-17, per glucose concentrations, error bars denote +/- one standard deviation). **3e.** Landscape of HXT1-GFP gene expression over a range of glucose concentrations (color code normalized to maximal expression); n=8-9 per glucose concentrations (see also Supplementary Figure 7). **3f.** FACS measurements of HXT1-GFP over a range of glucose concentrations; n=3 replicates. **3g.** Overlay of HXT1 (red) and HXT7 (green) gene expression landscapes at three external glucose concentrations, showing that the expression landscapes of these transporters were inversely correlated, in agreement with their different glucose-dependent expression patterns (compare **3b** and **3f**).

Gene expression landscapes depend on the glucose source concentration. Increasing the glucose concentration in the nutrient channel changed the gene expression landscape of all seven glucose

transporters (Figure 3, 4). In particular, at 1% *w/vol* glucose, HXT1 was only expressed at low levels at the

growing front of the colony ($y < 60$ µm). In contrast, at the highest glucose concentration (8% *w/vol*; Figure

3e, Supplementary Figure 7), HXT1 was expressed at high levels throughout the whole colony,

demonstrating glucose was available throughout the chamber. As HXT1 is mainly expressed under high-

glucose conditions (> 1% *w/vol* glucose) in batch culture[44], this observation indicated the glucose

penetration distance (within the chamber) increased with the external glucose concentration. This is in

agreement with the increase in local velocity with the external glucose concentration in Figure 2, with the

size of the growing area also increasing with the external glucose concentration.

In contrast, HXT7 exhibited a peak-like expression pattern, and was repressed under both high-glucose

conditions and when no glucose was present. At low-glucose concentrations (0.1% *w/vol*), a peak in HXT7

expression was observed at the very beginning of the colony ($y \sim 20$ µm), indicating glucose was quickly

absorbed by the cells closest to the chamber opening, thus these were the only cells with access to sufficient

carbon resources to grow and divide. The peak of HXT7 expression moved deeper into the colony as the

glucose concentration increased and disappeared completely at 8% *w/vol* glucose, again indicating

sufficient glucose could diffuse to the end of the chamber under high-glucose conditions (Figure 3, 4).

Reconstructing glucose concentration landscapes using glucose transporter gene expression levels.

We assessed the expression profiles of HXT1-7 in batch culture as a function of glucose concentration (see

Methods) to obtain a qualitative idea of the glucose concentrations within the microfluidic device. The data

for HXT7 was particularly revealing: its rather sharp, well-defined expression peak at 0.016% *w/vol*

allowed to define the distance in the microfluidic device at which the glucose concentration is close to that

value (Figure 3a, c). This concentration boundary separates the yeast monolayer into two regions with

different properties, *i.e.,* actively dividing and growth arrest. The position of this boundary moved deeper

into the colony as the external glucose concentration increased (Figure 3d).

We extended this idea further and used the complete HXT7 expression profile to infer the glucose

concentrations at all positions within the chambers. Assuming that the local level of HXT7

expression is only set by the local glucose concentration, we can use batch culture measurements

of HXT7 expression (based on flow cytometry) to determine the glucose concentration at a given

chamber position (Figure 4c, 4d). However, this only allows us to reconstruct the glucose

concentration gradient up to 0.016% *w/vol.*, *i.e.* in the domain where cells are actively dividing.

The idea is simply to linearly map the two sets of measurements (in batch culture and in the

microfluidic device) based on the fluorescence levels that correspond to the maxima F_{max} and F'_{max}

and HXT7-GFP fluorescence levels at the chamber entry F_0 and F'_0. Using the data for HXT7 in

Figure 3, we were able to reconstruct the glucose gradient for different initial glucose

concentrations (Figure 4e). When applied to HXT1, the same inference led to very similar results

(Figure 4f). In both cases, glucose concentrations decay very quickly moving away from the

chamber opening and then exhibit a relatively long tail moving deeper into the colony.

Figure 4. Using the fluorescence landscapes of glucose transporter gene expression to infer glucose concentration gradients. 4a. FACS measurements for HXT1-GFP to HXT7-GFP in batch culture over a range of glucose concentrations. The expression levels of each HXT show a specific dependence on glucose concentration (n=3-6 replicates per glucose concentration). **4b.** Landscapes of gene expression for all HXTs-GFP at an external glucose concentration of 2% *w/vol.* HXTs are ordered by their relative glucose specificity: HXT1 is expressed under high-glucose conditions, while HXT5 is only expressed at very low-glucose conditions. Assuming a progressive spatial decay in the glucose concentration away from the chamber opening, all maps of gene expression are in perfect agreement with the intensity profiles observed in batch culture (n=8-10 replicates per glucose concentration). **4c-d.** Method of glucose gradient reconstruction. The fluorescence landscape of HXT7 (*resp.* HXT1) shows a peak F_{max} (*resp.* a minimum, F_{min}) at a given location. The fluorescence intensity at the opening of the chamber, F_0, corresponds to the external glucose concentration, C_0. Using the FACS measurements of HXT7 (*resp.* HXT1) as a function of glucose concentration, one can define the concentration of glucose that matches the peak F_{max} (respective to the minimum F_{min}), and the fluorescence intensity that corresponds to C_0. This allows us to linearly map all other fluorescence intensities for a given glucose concentration from the batch culture to the fluorescence intensities inside the colony, allowing the glucose concentration across the entire cellular monolayer to be reconstructed. Data comes from previously mentioned HXT1 and HXT7 microfluidics and flow cytometry measurements. **4e-f.** Reconstruction of glucose concentration obtained from HXT7 (**4e, 4f**) and HXT1 (**4f**) fluorescence data and various external glucose concentrations.

Gene expression landscapes of other genes and transcription factor activity confirm the inferred

glucose gradients. The fact the seven glucose transporters exhibited varied, robust spatial expression

patterns under identical conditions (*e.g.*, Figure 4a), together with the observed growth rate landscapes

(Figure 2), suggests cellular metabolic state varies significantly across the longitudinal axis of the yeast

monolayers. This variation was further assessed by mapping the expression and localisation of additional

key genes involved in glucose metabolism.

MIG1 is a key transcription factor involved in glucose repression that localizes to the nucleus in the

presence of glucose, to repress genes that participate in parallel carbon metabolic pathways (*e.g.,*

galactose)[20,21]. Observing the cells at high magnification, we quantified the distance after which MIG1

fluorescence was not present in the nucleus of the cells (Figure 5b, Supplementary Figure 8). This distance,

around 400 µm at C_0 = 2% *w/vol* glucose, was in excellent agreement with the data obtained by HXT7

profiling. Interestingly, the spatial transition from nuclear MIG1 to cytoplasmic MIG1 localisation was very

sharp and occurred over just a few cells.

In agreement with the batch culture observations, we found HXT5 was only expressed in regions with very

low or no glucose concentrations where the cells did not seem to divide over several hours (Figure 5a).

Therefore, HXT5 appears to be an excellent marker of growth arrest in this context[47].

Figure 5: Other landscapes of genes involved in glucose metabolism. 5a. Landscape of HXT5 expression. HXT5 is expressed under very low and no glucose conditions and appears to be a good marker of growth arrest. At $C_0 = 2\%$ *w/vol*, HXT5 expression is in good agreement with the observed absence of cellular division (see Figure 2, Supplementary Figure 9). **5b.** Landscape of MIG1 activity. MIG1 fluorescence was located in the nucleus in the presence of glucose, with a sharp transition in nuclear localization observed (middle picture, at 2% *w/vol* glucose in the nutrient channel), confirming the existence of a glucose gradient (n=3 replicates). Total number of cells and cells with nuclear localization of fluorescence were annotated manually and binned into 25 µm bins (see also Supplementary Figure 8). **5c.** HXK1 and HXK2 are hexokinases involved in glucose metabolism. Their landscape of expression exhibited peaks that indicate a transition from high to very low glucose levels (n=8-9 replicates per glucose concentration). **5d.** FACS measurements of HXK1 and HXK2 expression over a range of glucose concentrations (n=3-6 replicates per glucose concentration).

The expression landscapes of two hexokinases involved in glucose metabolism, HXK1 and HXK2 (Figure

5c) that are expressed when cells are grown on non-glucose carbon sources, were also consistent with the

batch measurements (Figure 5d, Supplementary Figure 11) and further validated the existence of a glucose

gradient. For each profile, we extracted the position of maximal expression and inferred the glucose

concentration at this position from the FACS measurements of batch cultures. The batch measurements

indicated maximal HXK1 and HXK2 expression were observed at a glucose concentration of about 0.016%

w/vol. As expected, neither enzyme was expressed at very high glucose concentrations. The HXK1 and

HXK2 expression maxima were similar at the two other glucose concentrations studied, around 300 μm at

$C_0 = 1\%$ and 500 μm at 2% *w/vol.* Again, these data are in very good agreement with the positions of HXT7

peak expression at the same glucose concentrations.

Finally, we examined the expression of PDC1 and SDH2, which are overexpressed in fermenting and

respiring cells, respectively[48–50]. Their expression landscapes were inversely correlated (Figure 6a,

Supplementary Figure 10), indicating a transition from fermentative metabolic activity at the nutrient front

of the colony to respiratory metabolic activity towards the dead end of the chamber where glucose is scarce.

These expression maps are in good accordance with our previous results (Figure 2, 3, 5) and the levels of

PDC1 and SDH2 expression in batch culture (Figure 6b, 6c).

Figure 6. Impact of the glucose gradient on yeast physiology and the emergence of a landscape of phenotypes.
6a. Overlay of the landscapes of gene expression of PDC1 (blue) and SHD2 (pink). PDC1 is known to be expressed when yeast cells ferment, SDH2 is mainly expressed in respiring cells (see also Supplementary Figure 10). **6b.** FACS measurements of PDC1 expression over a range of glucose concentrations in batch culture (n=3). **6c.** FACS measurements of SDH2 expression over a range of glucose concentrations in batch culture. The inverse correlation between PDC1 and SDH2 expression observed in batch culture is in good agreement with the inversely correlated spatial expression patterns within yeast cell monolayers (n=3).

Multiple gene expression landscapes are spatially correlated. We decided to compare the landscapes of

gene expression for the entire set of reporter genes by aligning the different landscapes across varied

nutrient conditions (Figure 7a). Strikingly, all landscapes showed a high level of spatial correlation. Two

major landscapes emerged: peaking (*e.g.*, HXT7) and switching (*e.g.,* HXT1 or MIG1). We defined and

extracted the typical lengths of the peaking and switching landscapes (Figure 7b) and plotted them as

function of the external glucose concentration (Figure 7c). The typical lengths of all of these landscapes for

different reporter genes were remarkably close, despite the fact that we looked at different cellular

components: a transcription factor (MIG1), glucose transporters (HXTs), metabolic enzymes (HXKs) and

metabolic state reporters (SDH2, PDC1). Notably, we gained a global view of gene expression landscapes

and their interrelationships along a monolayer colony. All data showed the colonies were structured into

two regions with very different properties (Figure 7d): an actively growing region, where cells divide

abundantly and ferment glucose, and a quiescent area, where cells do not divide much and have switched

to respiratory metabolism to compensate for the very low glucose availability. While it is not surprising to

see the expression levels of metabolic genes vary with the glucose concentration, our approach

demonstrates genetic programs not only allow individual cells to adapt to changes in the nutrient

environment, but also enable multicellular assemblies to self-organize spatially through long-range

metabolic interactions. This sheds new light on the coordinated actions of these genes in a biologically

relevant multicellular context that has impact on ecology, evolution, development and emergence of

multicellularity.

Overall, we studied how cells within a monolayer colony collectively shape their microenvironment

through long-range metabolic interactions. This is a complex process, in which cells adapt locally,

and shape a spatial landscape of gene expression as a global phenotype. As a whole, the structure of

an assembly of cells and the microenvironment landscapes emerge as the result of local cellular

metabolic activity.

Figure 7: Global view of the emergence of landscapes of gene expression. 7a. The different landscapes of gene expression presented in this study are aligned, regrouped and displayed over a range of glucose concentrations. This simple view sheds light on the macroscopic spatial correlations between these different landscapes, which are both setting and traces of the establishment of glucose gradients. **7b.** For each gene expression landscape, we identified the fluorescence peak (HXT7, HXK1, HXK2) or the position of the transition between low and high expression (HXT1, HXT5, SDH2, PDC1) or activity of the transcription factor (MIG1). **7c.** Landscapes of gene expression delimit two regions in which cells are physiologically different. Phase I indicates active growth by fermentation in the presence of glucose; Phase II indicates growth arrest or very limited growth via respiratory metabolism at zero or close to zero glucose concentrations. The transition between the two phases typically takes place relatively sharply, over a hundred micrometers or ~ 20 cells.

Discussion

Here, we took an alternative point of view compared to traditional systems and single-cell biology. Rather

than studying single-cell metabolic properties in a well-mixed, homogeneous environment, we designed a

microfluidic chip to force yeast cells to grow and shape their microenvironment, solely by fixing the

properties of the microenvironment at the boundary of the monolayer. This approach allowed us to measure

simultaneously properties at both the single-cell scale and structured population scale and holds potential

for establishing a quantitative link between these scales.

Specifically, we showed that cells self-generate nutrient landscapes that in turn influence cellular

metabolism and gene expression profiles. This behaviour, based on nutrient uptake adaptation, is generic

and feeds back on the behaviour of other cells through what we call non-specific long-range metabolic

interactions. Indeed, the microenvironment sensed by cells a few hundred micrometres inside a colony is

very different from the microenvironment experienced by the cells at periphery. Notably, gradients emerge

over relatively short distances, and this process may possibly affect studies of cellular populations within

microfluidics settings. More importantly, quantitative description of gene expression landscapes is critical

if one wants to understand the establishment and behaviour of cellular communities, whether these are as

simple as yeast colonies or more complex, such as biofilms and complex microbial ecosystems in which

several types of cells cohabit and interact. Indeed, in addition to the described long-range metabolic

interactions, many other environmental and genetic determinants such as intercellular communication, cell

surface properties, cell-cell adhesion strength and secretion of extracellular matrix components have been

shown to participate in the emergence of the complex morphology[3,51,52] and internal structure of microbial

colonies in such complex situations. The nature of many of these interactions could also be studied using

similar microfluidic devices to identify the relative contribution and relationship of environmental and

genetic determinants to the metabolically generated microenvironment.

We have made significant advances in the study of emerging properties of yeast colony growth,

microenvironment formation and gene expression compared to previously published studies [2,53,54]. These

studies have shown fascinating differentiation and diversity within yeast colonies grown on agar but their

relevance to study the dynamical emergence of complexity in microbial colonies is limited by their

methodology (*e.g.*, growth on a single specific medium with no dynamic control of environmental changes,

two-photon microscopy, unsuitable for live time-lapse microscopy, obligation to section colonies *etc.*)

which does not allow detailed spatiotemporal analysis of cellular growth, microenvironment and gene

expression landscapes at a relevant single-cell scale. Our approach is designed to access the dynamics of

large microbial colonies, and while we did not report it here, it is straightforward with microfluidics to

dynamically change in frequency and composition the external environment, and as such to analyse how

colonies adapt their internal organisation to such stresses.

Our results are in most part in line with the knowledge of glucose metabolism obtained in batch culture.

Yet, our methodology sheds quantitative description of the spatial expression of genes involved in the

glucose metabolism and its correlation with the cell local growth rates. Our results show that even in the

simple context studied here, reconstructing the microenvironment spatial structure from single-cell

measurement is not trivial. A proper model should take into account how the growth rate and specific

absorption rate vary with the glucose concentration and the microenvironment. Modelling the entire

complexity of the microenvironment is hardly possible, even with today's knowledge. Thus, we decided to

take a different approach and use key genes involved in glucose metabolism to infer the glucose

concentration gradient. We showed that different reporter genes consistently reported the same glucose

gradient. We envision that the data extracted from relevant fluorescent reporters could be fed into an agent-

based or mean-field models that take cell-cell interactions, mechanics and spatial diffusion of metabolites

into account to fill the gap between data generated from single cells to data that is relevant to evolution and

ecology, *i.e.* at the colony scale. We anticipate that linking local properties to macroscopic, global behaviour

will help to understand the architecture of microbial communities and how evolution shapes the

development of these architectures through long-range metabolic interactions. Of note, in another rare

attempt to study emergence of population level phenomena in yeast *S. cerevisiae* Campbell *et al.* looked

at the synthetic "self-establishing communities" that were able to cooperatively exchange metabolites[55].

They inoculated on agar plate auxotrophic *S. cerevisiae* strain that had different auxotrophic markers on

plasmids. As cells were dividing, some of the plasmids that complemented yeast auxotrophy and therefore

rescued their growth were lost, resulting in a colony which is composed of yeast that are auxotrophic for a

certain amino acid. However, they were able to grow because they used amino acids that were released in

the environment by other yeast that were producing it, effectively generating a very heterogeneous colony

that sustained growth through metabolite exchange. Interestingly, previous efforts to co-culture

complementary auxotrophs had limited effectiveness in supporting co-growth in liquid cultures, indicating

the importance of spatial structure in facilitating cooperation and makes our system very attractive for study

of such phenomena[56].

Furthermore, while the spatial microenvironment is not fully characterized, we have shown that the

emergence of gradients, and simultaneously gene expression landscapes, are robust and reproducible

features of the colony. Moreover, the landscapes can be compared to extract correlation patterns and infer

how gene regulatory networks act in synchronicity to establish the microenvironment within the colony.

This approach may provide a relatively simple, yet effective method of screening for "organismic"

properties that have been shaped by evolution and are only relevant in a multicellular context.

Our future efforts to extend the application of this setup will be dedicated to the study of how the

microenvironment dynamically changes when external conditions are altered, an uncharted territory at the

scale of a multicellular assembly that is central to the understanding of microbial ecosystem resistance to

stress, environmental fluctuations and adaptation. **We anticipate that similar approaches could be used**

to study aging, cooperation and competition, cell memory or evolutionary dynamics, as well as

quantitative characterization of (synthetic) ecological systems and mixtures of cells relevant to

ecology and chemical biology.

Materials and Methods

Yeast strains. All experiments were performed using haploid *S. cerevisiae* strains derived from the S288C

background - BY4741: *MAT*a *his3Δ1 leu2Δ0 met15Δ0 ura3Δ0*. See Supplementary Table **T1** for a detailed

list of the yeast strains used in this study.

Microscopy. We used an inverted fluorescence microscope (IX81, Olympus) equipped with an EMCCD

camera (Evolve 512, Photometrics) and X-Cite exacte fluorescence light source (Lumen Dynamics).

Optical filters from Chroma Technology Corporation ET-EGFP (U-N49002; Ex 470/40nm Di495 Em

525/50nm) and ET-DsRed (U-N49005; Ex 545/30nm Di570 Em620/60nm) were used to observe GFP and

RFP fluorescence. Cells were observed using Olympus 10× (Plan 10x / 0.25 NA), 60× (PlanApo N 60x /

1.42 NA Oil) and 100× (UPlanFL N 100x / 1.3 NA Oil) objectives. Open-source µManager[57] microscopy

software was used to control all of these components and setup multi-dimensional acquisition. The

temperature inside the microscope incubation chamber that contained the media and cells was maintained

at 30 °C (Life Imaging Services). Fluorescence intensity was set to 10% of maximum output, fluorescence

exposure was set to 1000 ms and camera gain was set at maximum. The time interval between each

acquisition cycle was 6 min.

Microfluidics and cell loading. Microfluidic devices were constructed using soft lithography techniques.

Photomasks were drawn using L-Edit software (Tanner) and printed on a high-resolution glass substrate

(Delta Mask). A master wafer was created using SU-8 2000 (MicroChem) epoxy-based photoresist that

was spin-coated to the appropriate thickness and exposed to UV light using an appropriate photomask to

create the desired pattern. Multi-layered patterns were aligned and exposed to UV light using a MJB4

manual mask aligner (SUSS MicroTec) and the dimensions of the master wafer were checked using a

Dektak 150 surface profiler (Veeco). The master wafer was treated with 95% (3-mercaptopropyl)-

trimethoxysilane (Sigma) for 1 h in the vapour phase. Microfluidic chips were created by casting a degassed

10:1 mix of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) and curing agent (Sylgard 184 kit; Dow Corning) on the master

wafer, followed by at least 2 h curing at 65 °C. Each chip was gently cut and peeled off the master wafer;

the entry/exit ports were punched out. The chip and a glass coverslip (24 x 50 mm #1; Menzel-Gläser) were

treated with O_2 plasma for 1 min in a plasma cleaner (Harrick Plasma), bonded together and incubated at

65 °C for 10 min. Before loading cells, the chips were coated with 1% Pluronic F-127 (Sigma) for 30 min.

Cells were precultured overnight in 5 mL of synthetic complete (SC) medium containing 2% *w/vol* glucose

in a shaking incubator at 30 °C, diluted 50-fold into 50 mL of SC + 2% *w/vol* glucose, cultured for 5-6 h in

a shaking incubator at 30 °C to an OD_{600} of 0.2-0.4, collected by centrifugation, and loaded into the

microfluidic system with a pipette. The microfluidic system was centrifuged for 2 min at 1000 rpm using

3D-printed adaptors (Laurell WS-650 spin coater) to force the cells into the dead-end cell chambers. Liquid

media was flowed rapidly through the flow channel to remove excess cells and the flow rate was set to 5

µL/min. A pressure-based microfluidic flow control system (MFCS; Fluigent) coupled with a flow rate

platform (Fluigent) and a flow rate control module (Fluigent) that measured the flow rate and kept it

constant by adjusting the pressure through a feedback loop was used to push liquid media through the flow

channel. The output was kept at a constant pressure of 100 mbar above atmospheric pressure to minimize

formation of air bubbles inside the flow channel.

Flow cytometry. Flow cytometry experiments were performed on a Gallios Flow Cytometer (Beckman

Coulter) using a 488 nm excitation laser and 530/30 nm FL1 emission filter to detect GFP fluorescence.

Data analysis was performed using Kaluza Flow Cytometry Analysis Software (Beckman Coulter).

Approximately 10^4 cells were inoculated in 10 mL of SC medium containing various glucose concentrations

($\log_2$ dilutions from 8% to 0.0078125%, and 0% *w/vol* glucose) and cultured in a shaking incubator at 30

°C to an OD_{600} of ~0.02-0.2 depending on the starting glucose concentration. Cells were then diluted 10-fold

into 10 mL of fresh SC media containing the same starting glucose concentration and grown for 4-5 h in a

shaking incubator at 30 °C, centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 10 min, re-suspended in 300 µL of PBS pH 7.4

buffer (Gibco) and fluorescence was measured using the flow cytometer. The supernatant of each sample

was collected, and the glucose concentration was measured using the Glucose (HK) Assay Kit (Sigma) to

confirm that the glucose concentration remained constant during the growth phase (Supplementary Figure

11a).

Image analysis. Image analysis was performed using open-source ImageJ 1.51p software[58]. To obtain front

velocity, we applied a threshold (Otsu) to detect the bottom frontier over time after flattening the

background using a FFT band-pass filter. The image signal is decomposed by FFT into a spectrum of its

constituent frequencies. Because some operations can be more easily performed on the spectrum than on

the original image, the FFT bandpass algorithm filters out large structures (shading correction) and small

structures (smoothing) of the specified size by gaussian filtering in Fourier space. The default parameters

are set at 40 pixels for large structure and 5 pixels for small ones. To compute the local speed of the cells

inside the cell assembly, we used the plugin TrackMate[59] v3.5.1 to track cell trajectories. TrackMate was

set to DoG detector with estimated blob diameter of 4 μm and threshold of 4, while tacking was set to linear

motion LAP.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Sébastien Léon (IJM, CNRS) and their respective team members for their

critical reading of this manuscript. This work was supported by the *Agence Nationale de la Recherche*

(ICEBERG-ANR-10-BINF-06-01; ANR-16-CE12-0025-01), the interdisciplinary program of the

University Sorbonne Paris Cité, the *Who am I?* Laboratory of Excellence (ANR-11-LABX-0071 and ANR-

11-IDEX-0005-01) and the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020

research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 724813).

Author Contributions

542   ZSM performed all experiments; ZSM, MA, ABL, PH analysed the data; ZSM, XS performed image

543   analysis; ZSM, ABL, PH designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript with contributions from CV

544   and JMdM.

Supplementary Information

546   Supplementary information contains eleven figures, one supplementary table and one supplementary

547   movie.

References

549   1.   Vulin, C. *et al.* Growing Yeast into Cylindrical Colonies. *Biophys. J.* **106**, 2214–2221 (2014).

550   2.   Čáp, M., Štěpánek, L., Harant, K., Váchová, L. & Palková, Z. Cell Differentiation within a

551   Yeast Colony: Metabolic and Regulatory Parallels with a Tumor-Affected Organism. *Mol.*

552   *Cell* **46**, 436–448 (2012).

3. Nadell, C. D., Drescher, K. & Foster, K. R. Spatial structure, cooperation and competition in biofilms. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **14**, 589–600 (2016).

4. Carmona-Fontaine, C. *et al.* Emergence of spatial structure in the tumor microenvironment due to the Warburg effect. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **110**, 19402–19407 (2013).

5. Delarue, M. *et al.* Compressive Stress Inhibits Proliferation in Tumor Spheroids through a Volume Limitation. *Biophys. J.* **107**, 1821–1828 (2014).

6. Shapiro, J. A. Thinking about bacterial populations as multicellular organisms. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **52**, 81–104 (1998).

7. Shou, W., Ram, S. & Vilar, J. M. G. Synthetic cooperation in engineered yeast populations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **104**, 1877–1882 (2007).

8. Xavier, J. B. & Foster, K. R. Cooperation and conflict in microbial biofilms. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **104**, 876–881 (2007).

9. H. Koschwanez, J., R. Foster, K. & W. Murray, A. Sucrose Utilization in Budding Yeast as a Model for the Origin of Undifferentiated Multicellularity. *PLoS Biol.* **9**, e1001122 (2011).

10. Palková, Z. & Váchová, L. Life within a community: benefit to yeast long-term survival. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **30**, 806–824 (2006).

11. Antwis, R. E. *et al.* Fifty important research questions in microbial ecology. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **93**, (2017).

12. Gonzalez, A. *et al.* Characterizing microbial communities through space and time. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **23**, 431–436 (2012).

13. Widder, S. *et al.* Challenges in microbial ecology: building predictive understanding of community function and dynamics. *ISME J.* **10**, 2557–2568 (2016).

14. Bryers, J. D. Medical Biofilms. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **100**, 1–18 (2008).

15. Gilbert, J. A. *et al.* Current understanding of the human microbiome. *Nat. Med.* **24**, 392–400 (2018).

16. Estrela, S. & Brown, S. P. Community interactions and spatial structure shape selection on

antibiotic resistant lineages. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* **14**, e1006179 (2018).

17. Ratcliff, W. C., Denison, R. F., Borrello, M. & Travisano, M. Experimental evolution of

multicellularity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **109**, 1595–600 (2012).

18. Nadell, C. D., Foster, K. R. & Xavier, J. B. Emergence of spatial structure in cell groups and

the evolution of cooperation. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **6**, e1000716 (2010).

19. Kim, H. J., Boedicker, J. Q., Choi, J. W. & Ismagilov, R. F. Defined spatial structure stabilizes

a synthetic multispecies bacterial community. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **105**, 18188–18193

(2008).

20. Conrad, M. *et al.* Nutrient sensing and signaling in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *FEMS*

Microbiol. Rev. **38**, 254–299 (2014).

21. Broach, J. R. Nutritional control of growth and development in yeast. *Genetics* **192**, 73–105

(2012).

22. Horák, J. Regulations of sugar transporters: insights from yeast. *Curr. Genet.* **59**, 1–31 (2013).

23. Watrous, J. *et al.* Mass spectral molecular networking of living microbial colonies. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **109**, E1743–E1752 (2012).

24. Traxler, M. F. & Kolter, R. A massively spectacular view of the chemical lives of microbes.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. **109**, 10128–10129 (2012).

25. Bennett, M. R. & Hasty, J. Microfluidic devices for measuring gene network dynamics in

single cells. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **10**, 628–638 (2009).

26. Cookson, S., Ostroff, N., Pang, W. L., Volfson, D. & Hasty, J. Monitoring dynamics of single-

cell gene expression over multiple cell cycles. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **1**, E1–E6 (2005).

27. Robert, L. *et al.* Pre-dispositions and epigenetic inheritance in the Escherichia coli lactose

operon bistable switch. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **6**, (2010).

28. Ni, M. *et al.* Pre-Disposition and Epigenetics Govern Variation in Bacterial Survival upon

Stress. *PLoS Genet.* **8**, (2012).

29. Llamosi, A. *et al.* What Population Reveals about Individual Cell Identity: Single-Cell

Parameter Estimation of Models of Gene Expression in Yeast. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* **12**,

e1004706 (2016).

30. Jo, M. C., Liu, W., Gu, L., Dang, W. & Qin, L. High-throughput analysis of yeast replicative

aging using a microfluidic system. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **112**, 9364–9369 (2015).

31. Yang, Y. *et al.* Temporal scaling of ageing as an adaptive strategy of Escherichia coli. *bioRxiv*

376566 (2018). doi:10.1101/376566

32. Wang, P. *et al.* Robust growth of Escherichia coli. *Curr. Biol. CB* **20**, 1099–103 (2010).

33. Xu, Z. *et al.* Two routes to senescence revealed by real-time analysis of telomerase-negative

single lineages. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7680 (2015).

34. Lambert, G. & Kussel, E. Memory and Fitness Optimization of Bacteria under Fluctuating

Environments. *PLoS Genet.* **10**, (2014).

35. Prindle, A. *et al.* A sensing array of radically coupled genetic 'biopixels'. *Nature* **481**, 39–44

(2011).

36. Hornung Raphael *et al.* Quantitative modelling of nutrient-limited growth of bacterial colonies

in microfluidic cultivation. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **15**, 20170713 (2018).

37. Co, A. D., Vliet, S. van & Ackermann, M. Emergent microscale gradients give rise to

metabolic cross-feeding and antibiotic tolerance in clonal bacterial populations. *bioRxiv*

534149 (2019). doi:10.1101/534149

38. Wilmoth, J. L. *et al.* A Microfluidics and Agent-Based Modeling Framework for Investigating

Spatial Organization in Bacterial Colonies: The Case of Pseudomonas Aeruginosa and H1-

Type VI Secretion Interactions. *Front. Microbiol.* **9**, (2018).

39. Liu, J. *et al.* Metabolic co-dependence gives rise to collective oscillations within biofilms.

Nature **523**, 550–554 (2015).

40. Pfeiffer, T. & Morley, A. An evolutionary perspective on the Crabtree effect. *Front. Mol.*

Biosci. **1**, 17 (2014).

41. Hagman, A. & Piškur, J. A Study on the Fundamental Mechanism and the Evolutionary

Driving Forces behind Aerobic Fermentation in Yeast. *PLoS ONE* **10**, (2015).

42. Boyer, D. *et al.* Buckling instability in ordered bacterial colonies. *Phys. Biol.* **8**, 026008 (2011).

43. Reifenberger, E., Boles, E. & Ciriacy, M. Kinetic Characterization of Individual Hexose

Transporters of Saccharomyces Cerevisiae and their Relation to the Triggering Mechanisms

of Glucose Repression. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **245**, 324–333 (1997).

44. Diderich, J. A. *et al.* Glucose uptake kinetics and transcription of HXT genes in chemostat

cultures of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 15350–9 (1999).

45. Maier, A., Völker, B., Boles, E. & Fuhrmann, G. F. Characterisation of glucose transport in

Saccharomyces cerevisiae with plasma membrane vesicles (countertransport) and intact cells

(initial uptake) with single Hxt1, Hxt2, Hxt3, Hxt4, Hxt6, Hxt7 or Gal2 transporters. *FEMS*

Yeast Res. **2**, 539–550 (2002).

46. Hovsepian, J. *et al.* Multilevel regulation of an α-arrestin by glucose depletion controls hexose

transporter endocytosis. *J Cell Biol* **216**, 1811–1831 (2017).

47. Verwaal, R. *et al.* HXT5 expression is determined by growth rates inSaccharomyces

cerevisiae. *Yeast* **19**, 1029–1038 (2002).

48. Otterstedt, K. *et al.* Switching the mode of metabolism in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae.

EMBO Rep. **5**, 532–7 (2004).

49. Bonander, N. *et al.* Transcriptome analysis of a respiratory Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain

suggests the expression of its phenotype is glucose insensitive and predominantly controlled

by Hap4, Cat8 and Mig1. *BMC Genomics* **9**, 365 (2008).

50. Ohlmeier, S., Kastaniotis, A. J., Hiltunen, J. K. & Bergmann, U. The Yeast Mitochondrial

Proteome, a Study of Fermentative and Respiratory Growth. *J. Biol. Chem.* **279**, 3956–3979

(2004).

51. Granek, J. A. & Magwene, P. M. Environmental and Genetic Determinants of Colony

Morphology in Yeast. *PLOS Genet.* **6**, e1000823 (2010).

52. Flemming, H.-C. *et al.* Biofilms: an emergent form of bacterial life. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **14**,

563–575 (2016).

53. Maršíková, J. *et al.* Metabolic differentiation of surface and invasive cells of yeast colony

biofilms revealed by gene expression profiling. *BMC Genomics* **18**, 814 (2017).

54. Palková, Z., Wilkinson, D. & Váchová, L. Aging and differentiation in yeast populations:

Elders with different properties and functions. *FEMS Yeast Res.* **14**, 96–108 (2014).

55. Campbell, K. *et al.* Self-establishing communities enable cooperative metabolite exchange in

a eukaryote. *eLife* **4**, 1–23 (2015).

56. Wintermute, E. H. & Silver, P. A. Dynamics in the mixed microbial concourse. *Genes Dev.*

24, 2603–2614 (2010).

57. Edelstein, A. D. *et al.* Advanced methods of microscope control using µManager software. *J.*

Biol. Methods **1**, e10 (2014).

58. Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S. & Eliceiri, K. W. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image

analysis. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 671–675 (2012).

59. Tinevez, J.-Y. *et al.* TrackMate: An open and extensible platform for single-particle tracking.

Methods **115**, 80–90 (2017).