1

Optimal sparse olfactory representations persist in a plastic

network

Collins Assisi[1*], Mark Stopfer[2] and Maxim Bazhenov[3*]

[1]Division of Biology, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Pune, India
[2]NICHD, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA
[3]Department of Medicine, Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, University of California, San Diego

*Corresponding Author

Abstract

The neural representation of a stimulus is repeatedly transformed as it moves from the sensory periphery to deeper layers of the nervous system. Sparsening transformations are thought to increase the separation between similar representations, encode stimuli with great specificity, maximize storage capacity as associative memories, and provide an energy efficient instantiation of information in neural circuits. In the insect olfactory system, odors are initially represented in the periphery as a combinatorial code with simple temporal dynamics. Subsequently, in the antennal lobe this representation is transformed into a dense spatiotemporal activity pattern. Next, in the mushroom body Kenyon cells (KCs), the representation is dramatically sparsened. Then in mushroom body output neurons (MBONs), the representation takes on a new dense spatiotemporal format. Here, we develop a computational model to simulate this chain of olfactory processing from the receptor neurons to MBONs. We demonstrate that representations of similar odorants are maximally separated, measured by the distance between the corresponding MBON activity vectors, when KC responses are sparse and that the sparseness is maintained across variations in odor concentration by adjusting the feedback inhibition KCs receive. Different odor concentrations require different strength and timing of feedback inhibition for optimal processing. Further, *in vivo*, the KC–MBON synapse is highly plastic, and changes in synaptic strength after learning can change the balance of excitation and inhibition and may lead to a change in the distance between MBON activity vectors of two odorants for the same level of KC population sparseness. Thus, what is an optimal degree of sparseness before odor learning, could be rendered sub–optimal post learning. Here, we show, however, that synaptic

42 weight changes caused by spike timing dependent plasticity increase the distance

43 between the odor representations from the perspective of MBONs and do not lead to

44 a concomitant change in the optimal sparseness.

Author Summary

Kenyon cells (KCs) of the mushroom body represent odors as a sparse code. When

viewed from the perspective of follower neurons, mushroom body output neurons

(MBONs), an optimal level of KC sparseness maximally separates the

representations of odors. However, the KC–MBON synapse is highly plastic and may

be potentiated or depressed by odor–driven experience that could, in turn, perturb

the optimality formed by pre–synaptic circuits. Contrary to this expectation, we show

that synaptic plasticity based on spike timing of pre- and postsynaptic neurons

improves the ability of the system to distinguish between the representations of

similar odors while preserving the optimality determined by pre–synaptic circuits.

Introduction

The neural representation of an odor is transformed repeatedly as it traverses

different layers of the olfactory system (1). Some transformations separate the

representations of odorants to enable easy discrimination (2)(3). Other

transformations prepare an odor representation for eliciting behaviors by associating

it with other sensory inputs and providing the context necessary for action and

memory (4). In the American desert locust, *Schistocerca americana*, neural

networks upstream of the KC–MBON synapse appear to work best as pattern

decorrelators while downstream circuits appear to be specially structured to encode

associative memories and organize behaviors elicited by stimuli. The olfactory

network, from the receptor neurons through the antennal lobe and on to the

mushroom body, is largely feedforward, and odor representations are progressively

decorrelated and optimized in several ways as they traverse these layers. Odor

representations arrive at the MBONs via synapses that are highly plastic and may

change with the dynamic olfactory milieu of the animal (5). Here, we ask, how does

the olfactory network preserve an optimal odor representation despite activity–driven

changes in the synaptic weights of the networks?

In the locust, olfactory processing in the nervous system begins when odorant

molecules bind to receptors on neurons in the antennae. This leads to the opening of

ion channels and a cascade of events that can lead to spiking, the suppression of

spontaneous firing, or simple sequences of excitation and inhibition. Olfactory

receptor neurons can be tuned narrowly or broadly, firing vigorously for some odors

and less so or not at all for others (6,7); thus, the identity of responsive neurons

helps encode the stimulus. Temporal features of receptor neuron spiking, including

response latency and duration also contribute to encoding the identity of the odor (8).

Olfactory receptor neurons provide input to excitatory PNs and local inhibitory (and

likely some excitatory) interneurons in the antennal lobe. This dense network, with

recurrent connections between excitatory and inhibitory neurons, transforms the odor

representation arising in receptor neurons into a more elaborate spatiotemporal

pattern (1,9,10) where the identity, concentration, and timing of the odor are

represented by the identity of responsive PNs, the temporal structure of their spiking,

and correlations across the PN population. Most PNs respond in some way to most

odors (1), collectively providing a dense spatiotemporal representation of an odor.

KCs in the mushroom body receive inputs from PNs and transform this dense

representation into a sparse code (11) in which rare spikes occur with millisecond

precision and great specificity, together describing the attributes of the eliciting odor.

The sparseness of KC spiking is orchestrated by a combination of membrane

conductances that ensure a high spike threshold, and feedback inhibition from a

giant GABAergic neuron (GGN) proportional to the drive it receives from the full

population of KCs (12). Thus, GGN adaptively regulates the output of KCs,

maintaining its sparseness over a large range of odor concentrations. The

successive transformations that odor representations undergo, from dense

spatiotemporal to sparse activity patterns, are thought to progressively decorrelate

and distinguish odor representations and prepare them for valence and motor

decisions, and storage as memories (13). Convergent KC activity is read out by a

relatively small number of MBONs. The KC–MBON synapse undergoes experience

dependent plasticity (5) (see (14) for a similar circuit in Drosophila) in a form that can

be modified by associating an olfactory stimulus with a reward (5,14) mediated by

octapamine. Together these features mark the KC–MBON pathway as one where

sparse, decorrelated odor representations are combined with input from a reward

pathway (15).

Using a model network that simulates olfactory processing in the locust from

receptor neurons to MBONs, we show that the distance between the representations

of different odorants, measured as the distance between MBON activity vectors, is

maximized for a particular level of KC response sparseness. The degree of

sparseness is determined by transformations of the odor representation in circuits

before the KC–MBON synapse. However, what level of sparseness is optimal for

odor discrimination by MBONs is determined by the weights of KC–MBON synapses.

KC–MBON synaptic weight is, in turn, subject to the animal's experiences, mediated

by octopamine reward. This gives rise to a potential conundrum: the degree of

sparseness determined by the circuits prior to the KC–MBON synapse could be

rendered sub–optimal by modulations to the weight of that KC–MBON synapse by

associative learning of particular odors. Here, we explore how the olfactory system

guards against this loss of optimal sparseness. We show that the spike timing

dependent plasticity operating on the strength of KC–MBON synapse not only

retains the value of optimal sparseness despite learning-dependent changes in

synaptic strength, but further improves the ability of the olfactory system to

differentiate between odors.

128   Results

In this study we sought to address two questions. First, from the perspective of

MBONs, is there an optimal value of coding sparseness to maximally separate odor

representations? Second, if an optimal sparseness exists, does plasticity at the KC–

MBON synapse alter it, making post–learning odor representations sub–optimal? To

address these questions, we constructed a computational model of the locust

olfactory system consisting of the olfactory receptor neurons, the antennal lobe

network of PNs and local inhibitory interneurons, the KCs of the mushroom body,

and a layer of MBONs (Figure 1a). The model antennal lobe network generates

many of the key responses previously documented in the locust (16,17). The output

from the antennal lobe diverged widely to an array of 15,000 model KCs. This

pattern of connectivity has been hypothesized to help decrease the overlap between

odor representations (18,19). KC output then converged onto a small group of

MBONs. To establish whether an optimal value of sparseness exists, we

systematically varied the sparseness of KC responses and checked the ability of

MBONs to differentiate between two similar odorants. We then introduced spike

timing dependent plasticity (5) in the KC–MBON synapse and simulated the network

using multiple instances of randomly interleaved odorants to map the effect of

synaptic plasticity on the optimal sparseness of KC responses.

Figure 1 Caption

a) Schematic of the locust olfactory system. The first layer consists of olfactory

receptor neurons (OR) in the antenna that provide input to PNs and local inhibitory

interneurons (LNs) in the antennal lobe (AL). PNs project to KCs of the mushroom

body (MB). In addition to excitatory input from the PNs the KCs also receive

inhibitory input from the Giant GABAergic neuron (feed–back inhibition). b) Input to

the AL. Each PN (LN) indexed on the x--axis receives a dc input of intensity shown

along the y–axis. The concentration of the odor is characterized by the width of the

function describing the input intensities while the identity of the odor is characterized

by the position of its the peak.

Coding sparseness determines the distance between odor representations

Odor input activates the ORNs that drive the neurons of the antennal lobe. We did

not explicitly model the ORNs; rather, we simulated simplified ORN activity as

depolarizing pulses to the PNs and interneurons (20–22). This input had an initial

rise time of 60ms and a decay time of 200ms (Figure 2c bottom trace). The

amplitude of the pulse was constant for 1000ms except for noise that was 5–10% of

the amplitude of the pulse. Each odorant was defined by the subset of antennal lobe

neurons it activated and the amplitude of the depolarizing input to each. In Figure 1b

the amplitude of input to the PNs of the network is shown for two odorants (solid

lines). The PNs were arranged such that the amplitude profile resembled a Gaussian

curve. The input curve was set to zero when the amplitude decreased below a

threshold value. Note that the arrangement of PN indices according to a Gaussian

activation profile does not imply any spatial structure; that is, the neuron with index i

need not be physically adjacent to neurons with index $i-1$ or $i+1$ since network

connections were chosen randomly. However, by defining an odor in this manner,

we could conveniently and continuously vary the identity and the concentration of

odorants. The identity of an odorant could be varied by moving the location of the

peak while the concentration could be increased by widening the Gaussian to recruit

more PNs and interneurons (Figure 1b, dashed line) (23). We measured the

responses of antennal lobe neurons to the odor input. As seen in earlier studies and

in accordance with experiments done *in vivo*, the local field potential (measured in

our model as the mean membrane potential of all the PNs) showed an odor-elicited

20Hz oscillation (Figure 2b). This global pattern was elicited by different odors and

concentrations. As *in vivo*, during each cycle of the oscillation different groups of

PNs were transiently activated. This spatiotemporal representation continuously

changed over the course of the odor presentation due to mutual and transient

inhibition between interneurons that, in turn, entrained the activity of PNs (20–22).

Each odor–concentration pair that we tested generated a different spatiotemporal

pattern. An example pattern of activity is shown in Figure 2a,c. The amplitude of the

local field potential increased with increasing concentration (not shown here)

indicating tighter synchrony between the projection neurons that spike during each

cycle, consistent with earlier studies (23,24).

Figure 2 caption

The top trace in (a) shows the response of a single PN to an external input (a,

bottom trace) while the middle trace shows the response of an LN. The trace in (b)

shows the summed projection neuron (PN) voltage response to an external input to

the network. The input (I_{ext}) (a, bottom trace) was scaled by intensity (shown in figure

1b) for each neuron. The PN raster plot for a reduced network with 300 PNs and 100

local neurons (LNs) is shown in c.

The PN responses were used as input to a group of 15,000 KCs. KCs are known to

respond sparsely (few neurons fire rarely) to odor stimuli (11). However, the

increased PN synchrony that accompanies increased odor concentrations (24) alone

would lead to more densely spiking responses, disrupting the sparse code. How do

KCs maintain sparseness over decadal variations in the concentration of the odor?

Earlier studies hypothesized that input from PNs to KCs arrives along two pathways,

a direct excitatory drive from the antennal lobe and slightly delayed feedforward

inhibition from lateral horn interneurons (LHIs). Thus, cyclic pairs of excitatory and

inhibitory input to KCs defined short windows of time during which KCs could

integrate input from the antennal lobe (11). Notably, the duration of this window was

dynamically modulated by changes in the concentration of the odor, which allowed

KCs to fire sparsely despite large changes in the concentration (23). Recent work

established that LHIs do not extend GABAergic projections to KCs, eliminating the

possibility of feedforward inhibition (25). However, the cyclic inhibition underlying the

dynamically modulated integration windows is generated by feedback from a single

inhibitory neuron, termed the Giant GABAergic Neuron (GGN), which provides input

to all KCs (12,16). Thus, cyclic inhibition regulates the sparseness of KC responses

in an adaptive, concentration dependent manner (25,26). Given these earlier

findings, we first sought to determine whether there exists an optimal value of

lifetime sparseness (measured as the total number of spikes generated by all KCs

during an odor presentation) to discriminate odors. To determine an optimal

sparseness, if one such value existed, we needed to quantify the distance between

odor representations from the perspective of downstream neurons that read KC

output. KCs converge onto MBONs that generate distinct responses to odorants

(27). Therefore, we used the MBONs as a read–out of KC responses. The Hamming

distances between odor representations generated by MBONs were plotted as a

function of different manipulations to the upstream network. To model the feedback

inhibition from GGN that regulates the sparseness of KC responses using feedback

inhibition, we drew on results from numerous studies showing that feedback

inhibition in excitatory-inhibitory circuits mainly reduces later portions of the

excitatory responses in each cycle. As inhibition strengthens, its onset occurs faster,

thus reducing the excitatory response (23). This effect is self-limiting though,

because excitation is needed to drive inhibition (26). Thus, rather than explicitly

modeling GGN, we modeled the effect of feedback inhibition by selectively

eliminating PN spikes that occurred after a thresholded phase of the LFP (Figure

3a). To do so we first filtered the LFP (40Hz) (red trace in Figure 3a) and calculated

the instantaneous phase of the resulting 20 Hz oscillation using a Hilbert transform.

Then we removed those spikes occurring beyond a threshold phase, denoted by ϕ,

in each cycle of the LFP, shown by the shaded regions in Figure 3a. In this way we

could directly control this threshold phase, and therefore artificially vary the window

of integration and the sparseness of KC activity. As expected, as the window of

integration widened, KCs received more input from PNs and generated progressively

denser spiking activity. We plotted the density of KC spiking as a function of ϕ for

different values of odor concentration (σ) (Figure 3c). Increasing odor concentrations

led to denser KC responses for a given value of ϕ. To maintain a specific value of

sparseness that maximally separated odor representations across a range of odor

concentrations, the window of integration shifted to lower values as concentration

increased through a mechanism that arises naturally from concentration–dependent

changes in odor–response latencies in PNs (23,25)(Assisi et al., 2007; Gupta and

Stopfer, 2012). To determine the separation between odor representations from the

perspective of downstream targets, we used odor–elicited KC responses to drive a

group of 100 MBONs (Figure 3b). Here the MBONs were modeled using a two–

dimensional map that integrates pre–synaptic input and generates spikes in

response to it (see methods). We binned the output of these neurons into temporal

blocks, each block demarcated by the troughs of an LFP cycle. The response of

MBONs during each cycle of an LFP oscillation was assigned one or zero to indicate

whether it had spiked or not (Figure 3b).

Figure 3 caption

Individual KCs receive oscillatory input from the PNs in the AL. The sparseness of

the KC responses was modulated by choosing a window of time over which each KC

integrated input from PNs. That is, spikes occurring after a specified phase (ϕ), in

the shaded region, were ignored and did not affect the KC responses. The raster

plots in (b) show the responses of a subset of KCs to two different odors. These

spikes were then fed to a layer of 100 beta lobe neurons. The response of the beta

lobe neurons was converted into a binary spatiotemporal pattern. For each neuron, a

single cycle of the PN-LFP was marked either 1 (dark) or 0 (blank box), depending

on whether that neuron fired a spike during the cycle (Panels on the right in b). The

Euclidean distance between these binary spatiotemporal patterns was used to

calculate the distance between odors. The number of KCs that spike in response to

an external input is plotted in (c).

We then calculated the Hamming distance between the representations of two

odorants as a function of different windows of integration, as determined by ϕ

(Figure 4). Since the density of KC spikes was a monotonically increasing function of

ϕ, we used ϕ as a proxy for KC sparseness. We found that, for low odor

concentration values ($\sigma < 0.25$), the peak distance between odor representations

occurred when more PN spikes were allowed to affect KC responses throughout

each LFP cycle (Figure 4a). Indeed, for low odor concentrations, despite the lengthy

integration windows they evoked, the synchronization and thus density of input PN

spiking remained low and the responses of KCs remained sparse. With increasing

odor concentrations, we found that the peak shifted to lower values of ϕ.

Furthermore, we observed a decrease in discrimination performance beyond a

certain ϕ threshold. This occurred because KC responses became denser when the

integration window expanded. Thus, for higher odor concentrations, we found a

prominent single peak suggesting the existence of an optimal value of KC

sparseness to maximize the distance between odor representations from the

perspective of MBONs.

Figure 4 caption

a) Distance between odors plotted as a function of the window of integration for

different values of the concentration (). b) Distance between odors for two

different KC–MBON synaptic weights. The shift in phase of the peak distance

is marked $\Delta\phi$.

Within a given animal the impact of KC spiking on MBONs can vary over time

because the synapses linking them are plastic, changing in strength with experience

(5). By amplifying or decreasing the impact of KC spiking, this synaptic plasticity has

the potential to degrade the effective, optimized sparseness of the KC output,

potentially affecting the distance between odor representations from the perspective

of MBONs. To investigate this possibility, we systematically varied the weight of the

input synapses to MBONs to determine how plasticity affects the distance between

odor representations. We then simulated delivery of two similar odors of the same

concentration by shifting the peaks of the distributions that characterized the two

odors by 5 units with respect to each other, and for two different concentrations by

adjusting the widths of the distributions (Figure 1b). As before, patterns of antennal

lobe activity served as input to KCs that, in turn, drove MBONs. Here, we used the

output of MBONs to measure the distance between odor representations for different

values of KC sparseness. As before, here the MBONs were modeled as simple

map–based neurons, summing the input they received from KCs and generating a

spike in response to supra–threshold inputs. We then increased the weight of the

KC–MBON synapse by a factor of 2. This manipulation led to a shift of the peak

towards lower values of ϕ (Figure 4b). These simulations confirmed that the effective

sparseness of KC output could change when the animal is exposed to different sets

of odors that trigger plasticity in the KC–MBON pathway. This departure from optimal

sparseness could be detrimental to subsequent circuits that, to appropriately inform

behaviors, depend on an accurate distinction between odors.

Spike timing dependent plasticity increases the distance between odor

representations and preserves optimal KC sparseness

KC–MBON synapses appear to be powerful: a KC spike generates an EPSP in

MBONs that is, on average, nearly an order of magnitude larger than EPSPs

generated in KCs by PN spikes (5). Previous work established that the KC–MBON

synapse undergoes spike timing dependent plasticity (STDP): potentiation when the

presynaptic neuron fires before the post synaptic one, and depression when the

presynaptic neuron fires after the post synaptic one (figure(6b) (5). This plasticity has

been shown to maintain the oscillatory parcellation of information that begins at the

antennal lobe and cascades all the way down to the MBONs. How does this

plasticity affect the distance between odor representations when viewed from the

perspective of MBONs?

To address this question, we modeled STDP in the KC–MBON synapse using a

simple phenomenological model (Fig. 5b) (28,29). Following STDP rules, the model

effectively modified the weight of the synapse depending on the time of occurrence

of the presynaptic and the postsynaptic spikes such that each occurrence of a

presynaptic spike before the postsynaptic spike led to an increase in the synaptic

weight, and a presynaptic spike after the postsynaptic spike led to a decrease in the

synaptic weight (see Methods for implementation details). We modeled MBONs

parsimoniously as reduced spiking neuron models represented by two dimensional

maps (30,31) (see Methods for details of implementation). The increase/decrease in

weights with each pre–post pair of spikes is shown in Figure 5a. We specified a

minimum and a maximum value for the synaptic weights so that the response of

MBONs extended over a wide range of sparseness values. The change in synaptic

weights (dw) depended on how close the current weight of the synapse was to the

maximum allowed synaptic weight. The STDP equations were modeled so that for

large synaptic weights (w_{max}) synaptic depression dominates over potentiation and

vice versa for small synaptic weights (w_0) (32). Using this form of STDP, we then

wired the 15,000 KCs to a layer of 100 MBONs. Each MBON received input from a

randomly selected group consisting of 60% of the KCs. For simplicity, we did not

implement lateral inhibitory connections between MBONs that are thought to

enhance the contrast of input received from Kenyon cells (5).

To model odor stimulation, we randomly interleaved multiple instances of two similar

odors (peak shifted by 5 units) and an odor that was different from these odors (peak

shifted by 20 units) as input to the PNs. This simulated odor input evoked

spatiotemporal patterns of activity in the antennal lobe that drove the KCs and the

MBONs. Initially, the narrow distribution of synaptic weights of the KC–MBON

synapses was, in separate simulations, centered around two different values (Fig.

5c, left vs right). Over successive odor presentations these synaptic weights

changed. The median value of the synaptic weight is shown by the white lines in

figure 5c. Since KC responses are very sparse, most of the weights did not change

at all. Therefore, in subsequent analyses we chose a subset of weights that changed

during the course of multiple odor presentations. We found that, over odor

presentations, the distribution of synaptic weights evolved in a manner such that the

median synaptic weight changed monotonically toward new value (approximately 1

in Fig. 5c). In our simulations we used two different initial weights distributions.

Regardless of the specific initial weight distribution, we found that the median

synaptic weight evolved towards the same value over multiple odor presentations.

Figure 5 caption:

Spike timing dependent plasticity. The post–synaptic neuron (red) spikes follow that

of the pre–synaptic neuron (black), leading to an increase in the synaptic weight

(facilitation) (Fig. 5a top panel). The opposite temporal order (post–synaptic spikes

occur before pre–synaptic spikes) leads to a decrease in synaptic weights

(depression) (Fig. 5a bottom panel). The increase/decrease in synaptic weight (Δw)

is shown as a function of the time difference between the pre– and the post–synaptic

spike (5b). When the presynaptic spike occurs before the post–synaptic spike is

positive and otherwise negative. The distribution of synaptic weights of all KC-

MBONs pairs evolves over time (c). In the left panel of (c) all the initial weights were

set to 1.4. The system was then stimulated with different odors of varying

concentrations. The weights were sampled at fixed intervals of time. The distribution

of weights was plotted using a color map (see color bar for the frequency values).

The mean synaptic weight was overlaid on the distribution (white trace). The right

panel shows the temporal evolution of the synaptic weights when a low initial weight

(0.6) was used.

We next investigated the evolution of the odor representation exhibited by MBONs

concomitant with the evolution of the network weights. The peak distance between

the representations of two similar odors increased as the weight distribution settled

to its asymptotic values (Figures 67a and 67b; lighter colored curves correspond to

the weights later in training). However, notably, the location of the peak marked as

ϕ_{max}, for which the distance between odor representations was maximum, depended

on the STDP induced changes in the KC–MBON synaptic weight. KC sparseness (f)

depended on the peak distance. Notably, the location of the peak did not change

despite variations in the magnitude of the peak associated with weight changes

caused by STDP. This effect may be seen in figure 7ca for two different

concentrations of the simulated odorants. As odor stimuli were presented repeatedly

to drive the network, the value of optimal sparseness remained the same as the

network settled to its asymptotic weight distribution. This was true regardless of

whether the initial weight distributions were set to values higher or lower than the

asymptotic median value of the weight distribution post training of the network. At a

low odor concentration ($\sigma = 0.2$) the changes in the distance curve (marked in

progressively lighter shades) were small compared to the changes at higher

concentrations ($\sigma = 0.35$, red curves in figure 7a). In all cases, however, the optimal

degree of sparseness provided by the circuits before the KC–MBON synapse

remained optimal after STDP mediated changes of KC–MBON projections. Thus, we

conclude, an effect of STDP is to improve the ability of the olfactory system to

differentiate between odors (Figure 6b) while retaining the value of optimal

sparseness despite learning dependent changes in synaptic weight (Figure 6c).

Figure 6 caption

Role of STDP in odor discrimination. Distance between odors plotted as a function of

the window of integration of KCs spikes (ϕ) for high ($\sigma = 0.35$ red) and low ($\sigma =$

0.2 gray) concentration values (a). Spike timing dependent plasticity reshapes the

weights of the KC-beta lobe connections over time. Each line in the plot shows the

odor distance–ϕ relationship at different snapshots in time ranging from 0 to 100

seconds. Darker shades indicate earlier times. (b) shows the maximum distance

between two similar odors for high ($\sigma = 0.35$ red) and low ($\sigma = 0.2$ gray)

concentration values over the time that STDP re–weighted the KC–MBON

connections. Note, that the ϕ value maximizing the distance does not change during

STDP-mediated learning.

421   **Discussion**

In *Drosophila* and in locust, sparseness in KC firing is achieved by intrinsic high

firing thresholds and feedback inhibition from a single neuron in each lobe, the

anterior paired lateral (APL) neuron in *Drosophila* (33,34) and GGN in locust

(12,16,25,27). This simple architecture, with a single neuron exerting outsized

influence over the olfactory system, allows relatively simple experimental

perturbations that selectively change the sparseness of KC responses. Indeed, as

KC spiking increases in density, the ability of insects to differentiate between similar

odors decreases, but the ability to differentiate dissimilar odors is not affected (34).

This observation suggests that decreased sparseness increases the overlap

between representations, but that KC representations of dissimilar odors are

sufficiently distant and continue to be separable even when sparseness is

compromised.

Here, we developed a model that couples multiple layers of the locust olfactory

system. Our results demonstrate that olfactory circuitry drives odor-driven responses

in KCs to a specific optimal value that, from the perspective of downstream neurons

that receive convergent input from KCs, the MBONs, maximally separates odor

representations. We hypothesized that changes in synaptic weights caused by

experience-dependent plasticity could degrade what had been an optimal

representation. However, our simulations show that, despite STDP-induced changes

to the strength of the KC–MBON synapse, the value of optimal sparseness was

maintained. This finding is particularly significant because the overall feedforward

architecture of the insect olfactory system, featuring a near absence of feedback

across layers, implies that downstream layers cannot 'error-correct' upstream

representations. Thus, the connectivity between layers must assure that an optimal

representation constructed in one layer continues to be optimal from the perspective

of subsequent layers. Our model allowed us to explore the mechanism underlying

the maintenance of optimal sparseness across circuit layers and despite neural

plasticity.

In the locust olfactory system, odor representations are parceled into cyclic 50ms

packets of information, a process that begins in the antennal lobe and cascades at

least two synapses forward to the MBONs. This parcellation is maintained and

stabilized against noise and other corruption by STDP that adjusts the strength of

synapses when pre–synaptic input leads or lags post–synaptic output by tens of

milliseconds (within an oscillatory cycle). Lateral inhibition across MBONs may

further sharpen the odor representation. In this study, we employ a specific STDP

rule where potentiation occurs when the pre–synaptic spike occurs before the post–

synaptic response. When a reinforcing octopamine signal is delivered locally to the

mushroom body a few seconds after a pre-post pairing has initiated STDP, the

specific synapses that have participated in the pairing undergo an associative

change (35) that consists of a transformation of the plasticity rule governing the

dynamics of the synapse. Thus, STDP appears to play two roles. In the absence of

reinforcement, it supports the oscillatory dynamics inherited from the antennal lobe

by regulating the phase of MBON spikes. When reinforced with octopamine, the

STDP rule for odor specific KC–MBON pairs may itself undergo a qualitative change

STDP tags the synapses between odor specific KC–MBON pairs. Thus, the

presence of a diffuse reinforcing signal can initiate highly specific changes. Our

model pertains to the pre–reinforced KC–MBON synapse. This mechanism

progressively increases the distance between odor representations while retaining

the optimal sparseness at each processing level. We note that our analysis

addresses a specific form of plasticity exhibited by the KC–MBON synapse, and may

not generalize to other forms of plasticity that may be present.

The KC–MBON junction may be the location where the imperative of insect olfactory

system changes from identifying the odor to associating the odor with other sensory

and reward inputs. If so, MBONs may not require a precise representation of the

odor. In fact, in *Drosophila*, the MBONs have been shown to be broadly tuned, and

thus instantiate a representation more redundant than that of the population of

narrowly tuned KCs. However, studies in locust have shown that the odor-elicited

responses of MBONs, though densely spiking, are sensitive to the temporal ordering

of KC input (27), and contain information about odor identity (36). In our analysis we

included the dynamics of MBONs throughout the odor stimulus, parsing its spike

trains into 50 millisecond bins (equivalent to one oscillatory cycle in locusts) and

calculating the ability of the system to discriminate odorants over the entire duration

of the odor. Our study suggests that odor representations are maximally separated

when the neural representation of the odor in the mushroom body is optimally

sparse. Despite challenges, the olfactory circuit of insects maintains this optimal

sparseness over variations in the concentration and experience dependent plasticity.

Methods

The model antennal lobe consisted of a scaled–down network of 350 PNs and 100

inhibitory interneurons (the locust antennal lobe contains roughly 800 PNs and 350

local neurons). Each neuron was modeled as a single compartment with voltage and

calcium dependent currents with Hodgkin-Huxley kinetics. PNs generated Na^+ spikes

497 while inhibitory interneurons generated Ca^{2+} spikelets, as seen in the locust olfactory

498 system (36). The model of inhibitory interneurons included a Ca^{2+} current (I_{Ca}) and a

499 Ca^{2+} dependent K current that caused spike rate adaptation. Model PNs included a

500 fast sodium current I_{Ca}, a fast potassium current I_K (37), a transient potassium A-

501 current I_A (38), and a potassium leak current I_{KL}. The equations governing the

502 dynamics of the neurons are as follows,

503 $$C_m \frac{dV_{PN}}{dt} = -g_L(V_{PN} - E_L) - I_{Na} - I_K - I_A - g_{KL}(V_{PN} - E_{KL}) - I_{GABA_A} - I_{nACh} - I_{ext1} \quad (1.1)$$

505 $$C_m \frac{dV_{LN}}{dt} = -g_L(V_{LN} - E_L) - I_{Ca} - I_{K(Ca)} - I_K - g_{KL}(V_{LN} - E_{KL}) - I_{GABA_A} - I_{nACh} - I_{ext2} \quad (1.2)$$

507 The passive parameters of the model were set as follows. $C_M = 1.43 \times 10^{-4} \mu S$,

508 $g_L = 0.15 \mu S$ and $g_{KL} = 0.05 \mu S$. $E_L = -55mV$ and $E_{KL} = -95mV$. The passive

509 parameters were set the same for both the PNs (subscript PN in all the equations)

510 and the inhibitory local interneurons (subscript LN in the equations). The intrinsic

511 currents governing the dynamics of each neuron is given below.

512 Sodium current I_{Na} is given by,

513 $$I_{Na} = g_{Na} m^3 h(V - E_{Na}) \quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad (1.3)$$

515 where, the Na conductance, $g_{Na} = 50 \mu S$ and the reversal potential, $E_{Na} = 50mV$. m

516 and h are the activation and inactivation variables that are given by,

$\quad \dfrac{dm}{dt} = -\dfrac{1}{\tau_m}\left(m - m_\infty(V_{PN})\right)$ $\hspace{6cm}$ (1.4)

$\quad \dfrac{dh}{dt} = -\dfrac{1}{\tau_h}\left(h - h_\infty(V_{PN})\right)$ $\hspace{6cm}$ (1.5)

$\quad$ where, $m_\infty = \dfrac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 + \beta_1}$ and $\tau_m = \left(\dfrac{1}{\alpha_1 + \beta_1}\right)$ with $\alpha_1 = \dfrac{-0.32\left(13 - V_{PN}\right)}{\exp\left(\dfrac{13 - V_{PN}}{4}\right) - 1}$ and

$\quad \beta_1 = \dfrac{0.28\left(V_{PN} + 15\right)}{\exp\left(\dfrac{V_{PN} + 15}{5}\right) - 1}$. The steady state values of the inactivation variable h and the

$\quad$ time constant τ_h are given by $h_\infty = \dfrac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2}$ and $\tau_h = \left(\dfrac{1}{\alpha_2 + \beta_2}\right)$, where,

$\quad \alpha_2 = -0.128\left(\dfrac{38 + V_{PN}}{18}\right)$ and $\beta_2 = \dfrac{4}{\exp\left(-\dfrac{15 + V_{PN}}{5}\right) + 1}$

$\quad$ The equations describing the potassium current I_K for both PNs and inhibitory

$\quad$ interneurons are as follows,

$\quad\quad\quad I_k = g_K n^4 (V - E_K)$ $\quad$ (1.6)

$\quad$ where, $g_K = 10$ and $E_K = -95$. The activation variable of the K current is given by,

$\quad \dfrac{dn}{dt} = -\dfrac{1}{\tau_n}\left(n - n_\infty\right)$ $\quad$ (1.7)

$\quad$ where, $n_\infty = \dfrac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_3 + \beta_3}$ and $\tau_n = \dfrac{1}{\alpha_3 + \beta_3}$. $\alpha_3 = -0\dfrac{0.02\left(30 + V\right)}{\exp\left(-\dfrac{30 + V}{5}\right) - 1}$ and

$\quad \beta_3 = 0.5\exp\left(-\dfrac{35 + V}{40}\right)$

A transient potassium current, I_A, in PNs was described by the following equation,

$I_A = g_A m^4 h(V_{PN} - E_A)$ (1.8)

where, $g_A = 10\mu S$. The steady state values of the activation and the inactivation

variables are given by $m_\infty = \dfrac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\dfrac{V_{PN} + 60}{8.5}\right)}$ and $h_\infty = \dfrac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\dfrac{V_{PN} + 78}{6}\right)}$. The time

constants are given by $\tau_m = \left[\exp\left(\dfrac{V + 35.8}{19.7}\right) + \exp\left(-\dfrac{V + 79.7}{12.7}\right)\right]^{-1}$ and

$\tau_h = \left[\exp\left(\dfrac{V + 46}{5}\right) + \exp\left(-\dfrac{V + 238.4}{37.45}\right)\right]^{-1}$. The inhibitory interneurons showed spike

frequency adaptation due to a calcium dependent potassium current. The equations

and parameter values are as follows,

$I_{K(Ca)} = g_{K(Ca)} m\left(V_{LN} - E_{K(Ca)}\right)$ (1.9)

where, $g_{K(Ca)} = 0.3\mu S$, and $E_{K(Ca) = -90mV}$. The steady state value of the activation

variable, m, is $m_\infty = \dfrac{\left[Ca_{2+}\right]}{\left[Ca_{2+}\right] + 2}$ and the time constant is $\tau_m = \dfrac{100}{\left[Ca_{2+}\right] + 2}$. The calcium

concentration, $\left[Ca_{2+}\right]$, dynamics is governed by the following equation,

$\dfrac{d\left[Ca_{2+}\right]}{dt} = -AI_{Ca} - \dfrac{\left[Ca_{2+}\right] - \left[Ca_{2+}\right]_\infty}{\tau}$ (1.10)

where the equilibrium concentration of Calcium, $\left[Ca_{2+}\right]_\infty = 2.4 \times 10^{-4} mM$, and the time

constant $\tau = 5ms$. The constant $A = 5.2 \times 10^{-4} \dfrac{mMcm^2}{ms\mu A}$. The calcium current in the

inhibitory neurons is given by,

$I_{Ca} = g_{Ca} m^2 h\left(V - E_{Ca}\right)$ (1.11)

where, $g_{Ca} = 2\mu S$ and $E_{Ca} = 140mV$. $m_\infty = \dfrac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\dfrac{V_{LN} + 20}{6.5}\right)}$ and

$h_\infty = \dfrac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\dfrac{V_{LN} + 25}{12}\right)}$. The time constants are $\tau_m = 1.5$ and

$\tau_h = 0.3\exp\left(\dfrac{V - 40}{13}\right) + 0.002\exp\left(-\dfrac{V - 60}{29}\right)$

Fast GABAergic synapses between interneurons and between PNs and inhibitory

interneurons were modelled using first order activation schemes. Similarly, nicotinic

cholinergic input from PNs was used to drive the inhibitory interneurons. 50 of the

350 PNs extended excitatory input to the other PNs. All other PNs did not extend

direct connections to each other. GABAergic and cholinergic synapses were both

described by the following equations,

$I_{syn} = g_{syn}\left[O\right]\left(V - E_{syn}\right)$ (1.12)

where the reversal potential is $E_{nAch} = 0mV$ for cholinergic receptors and

$E_{GABA_A} = -70mV$ for fast GABA receptors. $\left[O\right]$ is the fraction of open channels that is

calculated according to,

$\dfrac{d\left[O\right]}{dt} = \alpha\left(1 - \left[O\right]\right)\left[T\right] - \beta\left[O\right]$ (1.13)

The rate constants, $\alpha = 10ms^{-1}$ and $\beta = 0.16ms^{-1}$ for GABAergic synapses and

$\alpha = 10ms^{-1}$ and $\beta = 0.2ms^{-1}$ for cholinergic synapses. When the receptors are

activated following a spike, the term $\left[T\right]$ becomes non-zero. For cholinergic neurons

this was modelled as the product of Heaviside functions in the following form,

$$[T] = A\Theta(t_0 - t_{max} - t)\Theta(t - t_0)$$ (1.14)

where, t_0 is the time of receptor activation, $A = 0.5$ and $t_{max} = 0.3ms$.

For GABAergic synapses,

$$[T] = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\dfrac{V(t) - V_0}{\sigma}\right)}$$ (1.15)

Kenyon cells and MBONS

We modeled a large array (15000) of KCs and 100 MBONs. Given the large number of KCs,

we modeled each as a two--dimensional map that can replicate in a computationally

efficient way the dynamics of a variety of conductance--based neurons and networks of

these neurons, but is computationally efficient (30,31). KCs and MBONs were modeled as

regular spiking cells governed by the following equations,

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n, x_{n-1}, y_n + \beta_n)$$ (1.16)

576   (1.17)

577   where the function $f(x_n, x_{n-1}, y_n + \beta_n)$ is defined as,

578                     $= \alpha + u$ for $0 < x_n < \alpha + u$ (1.18)

580   where $u = y_n + \beta_n$ $\mu = 0.0005$ $\sigma = 0.06$ $\beta = 0.03$. Both KCs and MBONs received

581   feedforward excitatory input. We did not model any lateral inhibition in these layers.

582   Synaptic input is described by the following equations,

583     $$I_{n+1}^{syn} = \gamma I_n^{syn} + g_{syn}\left(x_n^{post} - x_{rp}\right),\ \text{if a pre-synaptic spike occurs}$$
$$0,\ \text{otherwise}$$ (1.19)

The KC-MBON synapse showed spike timing dependent plasticity. We modeled STDP using a

model with an online update rule. Each pre-synaptic KC spike activated a variable that

decayed exponentially post activation in the absence of other spikes. The dynamics of x

followed the equation,

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -x + a_+ \sum_f \delta\left(t - t^f\right) \tag{1.20}$$

where, t^f is the time at which a spike occurs. The effect of the spike on the weight of the

KC-MBON synapse is given by the factor a_+. In the absence of a spike the variable x decays

exponentially to zero. A similar synaptic trace was defined to respond to postsynaptic spikes

given by the following equation,

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -y + a_- \sum_f \delta\left(t - t^f\right) \tag{1.21}$$

The weight of the synapse evolved in response to the timing of the pre- and post-synaptic

spikes according to the following equation,

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = A_+ x(t) \sum_n \delta\left(t - t^f\right) - A_- y(t) \sum_n \delta\left(t - t^f\right) \tag{1.22}$$

Further, the factors A_+ and A_- changed in a manner that depended on the current weight

$w(t)$ of the synapse. Increases in weight when the synapse was close to a maximum weight

were lower in magnitude than when it was further away from W_{max}. This was achieved by

introducing soft bounds to the weight by setting $A_+ = \left(W_{max} - w\right)\eta_+$ and $A_- = w\eta_-$

Acknowledgements

CA was funded by DBT–Wellcome India Alliance through an Intermediate fellowship

IA/I/11/2500290 and IISER Pune. MB was supported by NIDCD grant (R01 DC012943)

References

1. Laurent G. Olfactory network dynamics and the coding of multidimensional signals. Nat Rev Neurosci . 2002;3(11):884–95.

2. Friedrich RW, Habermann CJ, Laurent G. Multiplexing using synchrony in the zebrafish olfactory bulb. Nat Neurosci . 2004;7(8):862–71.

3. Wiechert MT, Judkewitz B, Riecke H, Friedrich RW. Mechanisms of pattern decorrelation by recurrent neuronal circuits. Nat Neurosci. 2010;13(8):1003–10.

4. Hige T, Aso Y, Rubin GM, Turner GC. Plasticity-driven individualization of olfactory coding in mushroom body output neurons. Nature . 2015;526(7572):258–62.

5. Cassenaer S, Laurent G. Hebbian STDP in mushroom bodies facilitates the synchronous flow of olfactory information in locusts. Nature. 2007;448(7154):709–13.

6. Hallem EA, Carlson JR. Coding of Odors by a Receptor Repertoire. Cell. 2006;125(1):143–60.

7. Kreher SA, Kwon JY, Carlson JR. The molecular basis of odor coding in the Drosophila larva. Neuron. 2005;46(3):445–56.

8. Raman B, Joseph J, Tang J, Stopfer M. Temporally Diverse Firing Patterns in Olfactory Receptor Neurons Underlie Spatiotemporal Neural Codes for Odors. J Neurosci . 2010;30(6):1994–2006.

9. Laurent G, Wehr M, Davidowitz H. Temporal representations of odors in an olfactory network. J Neurosci. 1996;16(12):3837–47.

10. Wehr M, Laurent G. Odour encoding by temporal sequences of firing in oscillating neural assemblies. Nature. 1996;384(6605):162–6.

11. Perez-Orive J, Mazor O, Turner GC, Cassenaer S, Wilson RI, Laurent G. Oscillations and sparsening of odor representations in the mushroom body. Science (80-) . 2002;297(5580):359–65.

12. Papadopoulou M, Cassenaer S, Nowotny T, Laurent G. Normalization for Sparse Encoding of Odors by a Wide-Field Interneuron. Science (80-) . 2011;332(6030):721–5.

13. Kanerva P. Sparse distributed memory and related models. In: Associative Neural Memories: Theory and Implementation . 1993. p. 50–76.

14. Aso Y, Hattori D, Yu Y, Johnston RM, Iyer NA, Ngo TTB, et al. The neuronal architecture of the mushroom body provides a logic for associative learning. Elife. 2014;3:e04577.

15. Strube-Bloss MF, Rössler W. Multimodal integration and stimulus categorization in putative mushroom body output neurons of the honeybee. R Soc Open Sci. 2018;5(2).

16. Leitch B, Laurent G. GABAergic synapses in the antennal lobe and mushroom body of the locust olfactory system. J Comp Neurol. 1996;372(4):487–514.

17. Stopfer M, Jayaraman V, Laurent G. Intensity versus Identity Coding in an Olfactory System. Neuron . 2003;39(6):991–1004.

18. Jortner RA. Network architecture underlying maximal separation of neuronal representations. Front Neuroeng . 2013;5.

19. Jortner RA, Farivar SS, Laurent G. A simple connectivity scheme for sparse coding in an olfactory system. J Neurosci . 2007;27(7):1659–69.

20. Assisi C, Stopfer M, Bazhenov M. Using the structure of inhibitory networks to unravel mechanisms of spatiotemporal patterning. Neuron . 2011;69(2):373–86.

652   21.   Assisi C, Bazhenov M. Synaptic inhibition controls transient oscillatory
653   synchronization in a model of the insect olfactory system. Front Neuroeng . 2012;5:7.
654   22.   Bazhenov M, Stopfer M, Rabinovich M, Huerta R, Abarbanel HD, Sejnowski TJ, et al.
655   Model of transient oscillatory synchronization in the locust antennal lobe. Neuron .
656   2001;30(2):553–67.
657   23.   Assisi C, Stopfer M, Laurent G, Bazhenov M. Adaptive regulation of sparseness by
658   feedforward inhibition. Nat Neurosci . 2007;10(9):1176–84.
659   24.   Stopfer M, Laurent G. Short-term memory in olfactory network dynamics. Nature .
660   1999;402(6762):664–8.
661   25.   Gupta N, Stopfer M. Functional Analysis of a Higher Olfactory Center, the Lateral
662   Horn. J Neurosci . 2012;32(24):8138–48.
663   26.   Kee T, Sanda P, Gupta N, Stopfer M, Bazhenov M. Feed-Forward versus Feedback
664   Inhibition in a Basic Olfactory Circuit. PLoS Comput Biol. 2015;11(10):1–24.
665   27.   Gupta N, Stopfer M. A temporal channel for information in sparse sensory coding.
666   Curr Biol . 2014;24(19):2247–56.
667   28.   Gerstner W, Kempter R, Van Hemmen JL, Wagner H. A neuronal learning rule for sub-
668   millisecond temporal coding. Nature. 1996;383(6595):76–8.
669   29.   Sjöström J, Gerstner W, Markram H. Spike-timing dependent plasticity. Vol. 5,
670   Scholarpedia. 2010. 1362 p.
671   30.   Rulkov NF. Modeling of Spiking-Bursting Neural Behavior Using Two-Dimensional
672   Map. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys . 2002;65(4 Pt 1):41922.
673   31.   Rulkov NF, Bazhenov M. Oscillations and synchrony in large-scale models of cortical
674   network. Biophys J . 2008;34(3–4):279–99.
675   32.   Kistler WM, Hemmen JL Van. Modeling Synaptic Plasticity in Conjunction with the
676   Timing of Pre- and Postsynaptic Action Potentials. 2000;405:385–405.
677   33.   Liu X, Davis RL. The GABAergic anterior paired lateral neuron suppresses and is
678   suppressed by olfactory learning. Nat Neurosci. 2009;12(1):53–9.
679   34.   Lin AC, Bygrave AM, Calignon A De, Lee T, Miesenböck G. Sparse , decorrelated odor
680   coding in the mushroom body enhances learned odor discrimination. 2014;17(4).
681   35.   Cassenaer S, Laurent G. Conditional modulation of spike-timing-dependent plasticity
682   for olfactory learning. Nature . 2012
683   36.   MacLeod K, Bäcker A, Laurent G. Who reads temporal information contained across
684   synchronized and oscillatory spike trains? Nature . 1998;395(6703):693–8.
685   37.   Traub RD, Wong RK, Miles R, Michelson H. A model of a CA3 hippocampal pyramidal
686   neuron incorporating voltage-clamp data on intrinsic conductances. J Neurophysiol .
687   1991;66(2):635–50.
688   38.   Huguenard JR, Coulter DA, Prince DA. A fast transient potassium current in thalamic
689   relay neurons: kinetics of activation and inactivation. J Neurophysiol .
690   1991;66(4):1304–15.
691

692
Figure 1   Caption

a) Schematic of the locust olfactory system. The first layer consists of Olfactory

receptor neurons (OR) in the antenna that provide input to PNs and local inhibitory

interneurons (LNs) in the antennal lobe (AL). PNs project to KCs of the mushroom

body (MB). In addition to excitatory input from the PNs the KCs also receive

inhibitory input from the Giant GABAergic neuron (feed–back inhibition). b) Input to

the Antennal lobe. Each PN (LN) indexed on the x--axis receives a dc input of

intensity shown along the y–axis. The concentration of the odor is characterized by

the width of the function describing the input intensities while the identity of the odor

is characterized by the position of its the peak.

Figure 2 caption

The top trace in (a) shows the response of a single PN to an external input (a,

bottom trace) while the middle trace shows the response of an LN. The trace in (b)

shows the summed projection neuron (PN) voltage response to an external input to

the network. The input (I_{ext}) (a, bottom trace) was scaled by the intensity (shown in

figure 1b) for each neuron. The PN raster plot for a reduced network with 300 PNs

and 100 local neurons (LNs) is shown in c.

713
Figure 3 caption

Individual KCs receive oscillatory input from the PNs in the AL. The sparseness of

the KC responses was modulated by choosing a window of time over which each KC

integrated input from PNs. That is, spikes occurring after a specified phase, in the

shaded region, were ignored and did not affect the KC responses. The raster plots in

(b)show the responses of a subset of KCs to two different odors. These spikes were

then fed to a layer of 100 (beta lobe) neurons. The response of the beta lobe

neurons was converted into a binary spatiotemporal pattern. For each neuron, a

single cycle of the PN LFP was marked either 1 (dark) or 0 (blank box), depending

on whether that neuron fired a spike during the cycle (Panels on the right in b). The

Euclidean distance between these binary spatiotemporal patterns was used to

calculate the distance between odors. The number of KCs that spike in response to

an external input is plotted in (c).

728
729 *Figure 4 caption*

730   a) Distance between odors plotted as a function of the window of integration for

731   different values of the concentration ($\sigma = 0.15 - 0.35$). b) Distance between

732   odors for two different KC–MBON synaptic weights. The shift in phase of the

733   peak distance is marked $\Delta\phi$.

735
Figure 5 caption:

Spike timing dependent plasticity. The post–synaptic neuron (red) spikes follow that

of the pre–synaptic neuron (black) leading to an increase in the synaptic weight

(facilitation) (Fig. 5a top panel). The opposite temporal order (post–synaptic spikes

occur before pre–synaptic spikes) leads to a decrease in synaptic weights

(depression) (Fig. 5a bottom panel). The increase/decrease in synaptic weight (Δw)

is shown as a function of the time difference between the pre– and the post–synaptic

spike (5b). When the presynaptic spike occurs before the post–synaptic spike Δt is

positive and negative otherwise. The distribution of synaptic weights of all KC-

MBONs pairs evolves over time (c). In the left panel of (c) all the initial weights were

set to 1.4. The system was then stimulated with different odors of varying

concentrations. The weights were sampled at fixed intervals of time. The distribution

of weights was plotted using a color map (see color bar for the frequency values).

The mean synaptic weight was overlaid on the distribution (white trace). The right

panel shows the temporal evolution of the synaptic weights when a low initial weight

(0.6) was used.

753
Figure 6 caption

Role of STDP in odor discrimination. Distance between odors plotted as a function of

the window of integration of KCs spikes (ϕ) for high (σ = 0.35 red) and low (σ =

0.2 gray) concentration values (a). Spike timing dependent plasticity reshapes the

weights of the KC-beta lobe connections over time. Each line in the plot shows the

odor distance– relationship at different snapshots in time ranging from 0 to 100

seconds. Darker shades indicate earlier times. (b) shows the maximum distance

between two similar odors for high (σ = 0.35 red) and low (σ = 0.2 gray)

concentration values over the time that STDP re–weighted the KC–MBON

connections. Note, that the ϕ value maximizing the distance does not change during

STDP mediated learning.

765